Evolutionary rates of nuclear and organellar genomes are linked in land plants

Yasmin Asar[1*], Hervé Sauquet[1,2,3], and Simon Y. W. Ho[1]

[1]School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006,

Australia

[2]National Herbarium of NSW, Botanic Gardens of Sydney, Mount Annan NSW, Australia

[3]Evolution and Ecology Research Centre, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental

Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

*Corresponding author: Yasmin Asar

Email: yasmin.asar@sydney.edu.au

ORCIDs

Yasmin Asar: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7561-4029

Hervé Sauquet: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8305-3236

Simon Y.W. Ho: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0361-2307

Summary

• Plants carry genetic material in three compartments, the nuclear, mitochondrial, and

chloroplast genomes. These genomes interact with each other to various degrees and are

subject to shared evolutionary drivers exerted by their host organisms. However, it is not

clear whether the three plant genomes display covarying evolutionary signals.

• We tested for correlated evolutionary rates between nuclear and organellar genomes using

extensive data sets from the major clades of land plants (Embryophyta), including mosses,

ferns, gymnosperms, and angiosperms. To examine the evolutionary dynamics in parasitic

angiosperms, which are under distinctive selective pressures, we analysed data sets from

mistletoes, broomrapes, sandalwoods, and rafflesias.

• Evolutionary rates of nuclear and organellar genomes were positively linked in land plants,

except in the parasitic angiosperms. We also found similar positive correlations for rates of

nonsynonymous and synonymous change between nuclear and organellar genomes. Our

results also reveal extensive evolutionary rate variation across land plant taxa.

• Overall, we find that nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes in land plants share

similar drivers of mutation rates, despite considerable variation in life history, morphology,

and genome sizes among clades. Our findings lay the foundation for further exploration of

the impact of co-evolutionary interactions on shared evolutionary rates between genomes.

Keywords: land plants, evolutionary rates, covariation, organelles, parasitic plants

Introduction

Land plants (embryophytes) colonised the terrestrial environment between 583 and 459 Myr ago (Morris *et al.*, 2018; Nie *et al.*, 2020) and have diversified into an estimated 435,000 living species (Enquist *et al.*, 2019), filling almost every ecological niche on Earth (Fig. 1). Whilst land plants display an immense range of morphological, ecological, and cellular differences, almost all have their genetic content carried in three separate genomes, found in their nuclei, mitochondria, and chloroplasts. Mitochondria are responsible for critical cell functions such as cellular respiration, immune function, and oxidative phosphorylation (Sloan *et al.*, 2014; Zervas *et al.*, 2019; Wang *et al.*, 2022). Chloroplasts are primarily responsible for photosynthesis, transforming light and water into glucose (Martin & Herrmann, 1998). Unlike the nuclear genome, chloroplasts and mitochondrial genomes are much smaller, responsible for far fewer cell functions, effectively haploid, unable to sexually recombine, and are uniparentally transmitted, usually maternally (but see Greiner *et al.*, 2015 for exceptions).

Considerable evolutionary and structural variation can be seen across the nuclear genomes of land plants, with extensive degrees of genome duplication and polyploidy. For instance, the largest known genome ($1C$ = 160.45 Gb) occurs in an octoploid New Caledonian fork fern, *Tmesipteris oblanceolata* (Fernández *et al.*, 2024). Polyploidy is likely to have provided an important foundation for the phenotypic novelties that allowed the flowering plants to radiate and speciate (Soltis *et al.*, 2019; Benton *et al.*, 2022). Plant mitochondrial genomes share the same endosymbiotic origin, cellular function, and uniparental transmission as those of animals, yet they exhibit a range of structures, from circular to branched, are much larger (0.2–20 Gb), and typically have very low rates of nucleotide substitution (Wolfe *et al.*, 1987; Drouin *et al.*, 2008; Chen *et al.*, 2017; Morley & Nielsen, 2017). In contrast, chloroplast genomes are remarkably conserved in size, structure, and content across land plants. They have much lower substitution rates than nuclear genomes in land plants (Wolfe *et al.*, 1987; Drouin *et al.*, 2008; Smith, 2015). Chloroplast genomes are circular, 110–200 kb in length, and include 80–90 protein-coding genes, four ribosomal RNA genes, and 30–31 transfer RNA genes (Kaur *et al.*, 2022). However, there are massive reductions in plastome content in parasitic angiosperms (Graham *et al.*, 2017; Zervas *et al.*, 2019). This reduction reaches its most extreme in the family Rafflesiaceae, where two species have lost their entire chloroplast genome (Molina *et al.*, 2014; Cai *et al.*, 2021). Parasitic flowering plants are 'outliers' to the remaining land plants in other aspects; for instance, there are vastly accelerated rates of mitochondrial evolution along with gene loss in *Viscum* (mistletoes) (Havird *et al.*, 2019; Zervas *et al.*, 2019).

Fig. 1 Morphological diversity and phylogeny of the green plants (Viridiplantae). (A) Representatives of the major clades of land plants that were analysed in this study (c–j) and close relatives of land plants, the chlorophyte green algae (a-b). a) *Chlorella* sp., b) *Ulva* sp., c) *Takakia* sp., d) *Buxbaumia aphylla*, e) *Polypodium glycyrrhiza*, f) *Polystichium acrostichoides*, g) *Pinus radiata*, h) *Welwitschia mirabilis*, i) *Passiflora edulis*, j) *Eucalyptus grandis*, k) *Strelitzia reginae*, l) *Loranthus europaeus*, m) *Striga gesneroides*, n) *Rafflesia arnoldii*, o) *Thesium scabrum*, and p) *Nepenthes jacquelinae*. All photographs were sourced from OpenVerse (https://openverse.org). Authors and Creative Commons licenses are supplied, unless image is in the public domain: a) Owen Strickland under CC BY 4.0, b) Ryan Hodnett under CC BY-SA 4.0, f) Derek Ramsey under CC BY-SA 2.5, g) Tony Wills under CC BY 2.5, h) Marc Fradera-Soler under CC BY 4.0, i) Sam Fraser-Smith under CC BY 2.0, j) Peter Woodard under CC BY-SA 3.0, k) fotopamas under CC BY 2.0, m) Dinesh Valke under CC BY-SA 2.0, n) SofianRafflesia under CC BY-SA 4.0, o) SAplants under CC BY-SA 4.0, and p) Shawn Mayes under CC BY-SA 3.0. (B) Simplified phylogeny of Viridiplantae (the green plants). Relationships are summarised from those described in One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative (2019). Plant silhouettes are in the public domain and available at http://www.phylopic.org.

Although there are great differences in size, structure, and content between nuclear and organellar

genomes, there are well-documented interactions between these genomic compartments.

Coordinated change between the nucleus and mitochondria may be integral for survival; this

manifests as mitonuclear covariation in animals, where the accumulation of deleterious mutations in

mitochondrial genomes is compensated for by mutations in the nucleus (Martin & Herrmann, 1998;

Weaver *et al.*, 2022). The mitochondria have protein complexes that consist of subunits variously

encoded by the mitochondrial and nuclear proteins, and these subunits need to interact properly to

ensure full function (Martin & Herrmann, 1998; Weaver *et al.*, 2022). This is particularly evident in

males, where mutations that impede fertility in males are non-functional in females, and are

retained in the population despite their deleterious effects (David *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, hybrids

in various animals show reduced fitness because of incompatibilities between maternally inherited

mitochondrial genes and paternally inherited nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins (Burton *et al.*,

2013; Sloan *et al.*, 2017). Thus, mitonuclear covariation can act as a post-zygotic barrier on the path

to speciation (Greiner *et al.*, 2015; Hill, 2016). This is also demonstrated in hybrid plants, where

expression of chimeric open reading frames in the mitochondria causes cytoplasmic male sterility,

which results in a failure to produce functional pollen (Bentolila *et al.*, 2002; Delph *et al.*, 2007; Fujii

et al., 2011). Only when there are compensatory changes in nuclear-encoded proteins can there be

restoration of male fertility.

Given the co-evolutionary interactions between the nuclear and organellar genomes, we would

expect their evolutionary rates to show some level of association. Such rate correlations have been

observed in the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes of crustaceans, bivalves, mammals, yeast, and

insects (Barreto *et al.*, 2018; Yan *et al.*, 2019; Piccinini *et al.*, 2021; Formaggioni *et al.*, 2022; Weaver

et al., 2022; Biot-Pelletier *et al.*, 2023; Tao *et al.*, 2024). However, there has been mixed evidence of

evolutionary rate correlations across nuclear and organellar genomes in plants, with some

researchers discounting the existence of coevolutionary interactions between nuclear and

chloroplast genomes (Hill, 2020). Recent studies have found strong signals for rate correlations in

data sets comprising hundreds of nuclear and chloroplast genes from 20–25 angiosperm taxa

(Williams *et al.*, 2019; Forsythe *et al.*, 2021), as well as evidence for linkage disequilibrium in nuclear

and mitochondrial genes across 3439 genomes of seven angiosperm species (Lian *et al.*, 2024).

Similar correlations have been detected in studies of individual genera and families of angiosperms

(Zhang *et al.*, 2015; Rockenbach *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2023; Khachaturyan *et al.*, 2024).

Nevertheless, the amount of co-ordination between nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes

has not been fully explored across the diversity of land plants. This leaves uncertainty about whether

the interactions between the three genomes have left broad-scale signatures of covariation in their

evolutionary rates.

In this study, we characterise evolutionary rate variation and test for correlations in rates of nuclear,

mitochondrial, and chloroplast genome evolution using extensive data sets from major lineages of

land plants. We first conduct a simulation study to validate the performance of three phylogenetic

methods used to test for evolutionary rate correlations. We then use these methods to analyse data

sets of mosses, ferns, gymnosperms, and angiosperms. Our analyses reveal strong evolutionary rate

correlations between nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genes across major lineages of land

plants. In contrast, these patterns are not detected in parasitic angiosperms, perhaps a consequence

of their unique habit and reduced genomes.

Materials and Methods

Data collection and curation

We collected nucleotide sequence data for nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast markers from a

diverse range of plant taxa, including mosses, ferns, gymnosperms, angiosperms, as well as a

number of genera and families of parasitic angiosperms. We use the formal terminology, as per

Cantino *et al.* (2007) and Bechteler *et al.* (2023). Thus, 'mosses' refers to Bryophyta, 'ferns' refers to

Monilophyta, 'gymnosperms' refers to the extant Acrogymnospermae, and 'angiosperms' to

Angiospermae. Full details of the data sets and their sources are provided in Supporting Information

(Table S1).

We targeted the most recent genomic studies, which included all described protein-coding genes for

the organellar data sets, with taxon sampling to the greatest extent achievable under our

computational limits. Overall, for the four major land plant clades (mosses, ferns, gymnosperms, and

angiosperms), we assembled data with mean alignment lengths of 167,688 bp for nuclear DNA,

27,341 bp for mitochondrial DNA, and 60,574 bp for chloroplast DNA. The average number of taxa

that were used for these comparisons was n = 86. Gene alignments were smaller for data sets of

parasitic angiosperms, with mean lengths of 3,298 bp, 5,585 bp, and 51,230 bp, for nuclear,

mitochondrial, and chloroplast data sets respectively (see Supporting Information Table S1 and

Notes S1). The average number of taxa for these comparisons was n = 70.

We pruned the nuclear and organellar data sets to ensure that taxa matched between the data sets.

We then inferred phylograms using maximum likelihood in IQ-TREE2 (version 2.2.2.6; Bui et al. 2020)

and Bayesian inference in BEAST2 (version 2.6.6; Bouckaert et al. 2019). For all analyses, tree

topologies were fixed to match those from the source studies (Supporting Information Table S1). We

did not allow for the possibility that separate genomic compartments can support highly divergent

phylogenies, but topological discordance is a source of noise that would weaken any signals of

evolutionary rate correlation.

Phylogenetic analyses

We inferred phylograms using maximum-likelihood analysis. When alignments of individual genes

were available, we selected the partitioning scheme and nucleotide substitution models in IQ-TREE2

(-m TESTMERGEONLY). For gene data sets with sequences that were well aligned and in frame, we

also split the sequences into 1st+2nd and 3rd codon sites. We used these as proxies for

nonsynonymous and synonymous sites, respectively, allowing us to test for correlations at these

sites separately. Branch lengths were proportionally linked between partitions. We constrained the

tree topology (-g) and inferred branch lengths for each data set.

We then inferred trees using Bayesian analysis in BEAST2, using the same partitioning schemes as in

the maximum-likelihood analyses. For each partition, the substitution model was averaged using

bModeltest (Bouckaert & Drummond, 2017). Uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock models were

unlinked between genomes (nuclear, chloroplast, and mitochondrial), with one clock model having a

mean rate of 1.0 and with the other clock model having a mean rate that was inferred in the analysis

(Drummond *et al.*, 2006). The mean rate of the clock model was assigned a uniform prior with

bounds of 0 and 1,000,000. To allow rate variation across partitions, each was assigned its own

relative rate parameter. The tree topology was fixed, as in the maximum-likelihood analyses, with a

birth-death tree prior on the relative node times.

Each analysis was run for at least 100,000,000 MCMC steps, with sampling every 10,000 steps. The

sampling frequency was varied according to the chain length, with at least 10,000 trees sampled

from the posterior for each run. At least three independent runs were completed for each analysis

and their samples combined in LogCombiner, with at least 10% of each run discarded as burn-in.

Effective sample sizes, checked in Tracer (Rambaut *et al.*, 2018) were greater than 200 for almost all

parameters, including the likelihood and posterior. The combined tree samples were summarised

using TreeAnnotator.

Methods for testing evolutionary rate correlations

We validated the methods for detecting correlated evolutionary rates by ensuring that they were

both accurate (with low rate of false-positive detection) and powerful (able to detect linked rates of

evolution across a variety of settings). We performed a comprehensive simulation study, described

in the Supporting Information (see Methods S1 and Fig. S1–S6), to evaluate methods based on

correlations of (*i*) root-to-tip distances, (*ii*) independent sister-pair contrasts, and (*iii*) branch rates

inferred using Bayesian relaxed-clocks. All three methods were found to be accurate, powerful, and

fit for use when testing for positive evolutionary rate correlations. These results are consistent with

those from our previous evaluation of these methods in detecting evolutionary rate correlations

between molecular and morphological data sets (Asar *et al.*, 2023).

Root-to-tip distances were calculated using the package *adephylo* in R. The outgroup taxa were

removed from the nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast phylograms inferred in our maximum-

likelihood analyses above, then patristic distances between the root of the tree to each tip were

calculated. We then tested for pairwise correlations between the root-to-tip distances of the

phylograms. We used a permutation correlation test (perm.cor.test function in *jmuOutlier*) (Higgins,

2004) using 200,000 simulations (one-sided), implemented in R (Garren, 2017), to avoid violations

caused by use of non-independent data points.

The second method of testing for correlated rates involved taking phylogenetically independent

pairs of taxa (sister-pairs) and comparing their relative branch lengths between nuclear,

mitochondrial, and chloroplast phylograms. Using the R package *diverge* (Anderson & Weir, 2020),

we extracted sister species that shared a most recent common ancestor to the exclusion of other

sister pairs, which avoids the problem of phylogenetic non-independence but reduces the amount of

data (Felsenstein, 1985; Bromham *et al.*, 2002). We computed the difference between the branch

lengths of sister taxa for each of the plant organellar phylograms. We tested for positive correlations

between these contrasts using the non-parametric Spearman's rank correlation test, to allow for

violations of bivariate normality and homoscedasticity. We log-transformed and standardised all

contrasts by dividing them by the square root of the sum of branch lengths (Garland *et al.*, 1992;

Freckleton, 2000; Welch & Waxman, 2008; Lanfear *et al.*, 2010). After investigating whether the data

met the assumptions of the independent sister-pairs contrasts method, we used a two-sample sign

test where the assumptions were violated. See Supporting Information for full description of

assumption tests and their results for all comparisons (Methods S2, Table S8–S16).

The third method of testing for correlated rates was based on the inferred posterior mean branch

rates from Bayesian phylogenetic analyses. We tested for positive correlations between the branch

rates inferred using the uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock for the plant nuclear, mitochondrial,

and chloroplast data sets. We obtained the posterior mean branch rates in R, using the package

treeio (version 1.22.0) (Wang *et al.*, 2020). To compute the significance of the correlation, we used

Spearman's rank-order correlation test.

Results

Evolutionary rates across land plants

Our analyses revealed considerable evolutionary rate variation in nuclear and chloroplast genomes

across the major lineages of land plants (Fig. 2A): mosses, ferns, gymnosperms, and angiosperms.

We estimated evolutionary rates by dividing the mean root-to-tip distance of each phylogenetic tree

by the estimated age of its root node (Supporting Information Table S2). Given that the age of the

angiosperms continues to be debated, with molecular estimates ranging from the Late Jurassic to

the Permian (Sauquet *et al.*, 2022), we used multiple estimates for the crown-age of this group (Fig.

2A, Supporting Information Table S2). Estimated evolutionary rates of nuclear genomes ranged from

4.46×10^{-4} substitutions/site/Myr in acrogymnosperms to 2.16×10^{-3} substitutions/site/Myr in

angiosperms. In contrast, the estimated evolutionary rate of chloroplast genomes varied from

4.56×10^{-4} substitutions/site/Myr in acrogymnosperms to 9.67×10^{-4} substitutions/site/Myr in ferns.

Rates of mitochondrial genome evolution were substantially lower than those of nuclear and

chloroplast genomes, ranging from 2.33×10^{-4} substitutions/site/Myr in mosses to 4.69×10^{-4}

substitutions/site/Myr in angiosperms. All data sets of land plant nuclear, mitochondrial, and

chloroplast genomes used in analyses are described in Supporting Information Table S1.

Across four major lineages of land plants, we identified disparate levels of among-lineage rate

variation as measured by the coefficient of variation of rates (σ) (Fig. 2B). This metric was calculated

in a Bayesian phylogenetic analysis by dividing the standard deviation of the branch rates by the

mean of the branch rates, with values close to zero indicating clocklike evolution (Drummond *et al.*,

2006). In the major lineages of land plants, the nuclear and chloroplast data sets had similar levels of

moderate rate heterogeneity, with mean σ ranging from 0.694 to 1.11 across all groups (Fig. 2B;

Supporting Information Table S3). In angiosperms, the mitochondrial mean σ was 1.52 (95% CI 1.35–

1.71), reflecting an extreme degree of rate variation among lineages. Among parasitic angiosperms,

σ was especially pronounced in nuclear genes of Rafflesiaceae (σ = 1.60, 95% CI 0.702–2.59),

Fig. 2. There is pronounced diversity in evolutionary rates and among-lineage rate heterogeneity

amongst the major lineages of land plants. (A) Estimates of evolutionary rates for nuclear markers

(dark blue squares), mitochondrial markers (violet triangles), and chloroplast markers (green circles)

of the major embryophyte lineages. Three estimates of evolutionary rates are provided for

Angiospermae, depending on whether a Middle Triassic ~246 Ma (a), Early Jurassic ~198 Ma (b), or

Late Jurassic ~ 154 Ma (c) age of origin is assumed. These age estimates are from the analyses using

constrained, relaxed, and unconstrained complete calibrations reported by Ramírez-Barahona *et al.,*

(2020). Plant silhouettes are in the public domain and available at http://www.phylopic.org. (B)

Coefficient of variation of rates, a measure of among-lineage rate heterogeneity, estimated for each

of the plant clades in this study. The nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomic compartments

are represented by dark blue squares, violet triangles, and green circles, respectively. Estimates

were obtained by Bayesian relaxed-clock analysis and are shown as posterior means accompanied by

95% credibility intervals.

mitochondrial genes in *Loranthus* (σ = 1.40, 95% CI 1.09–1.76), and chloroplast genes in

Orobanchaceae (σ = 1.41, 95% CI 0.961–1.92). Concordant results were obtained when we

estimated the degree of among-lineage rate heterogeneity using the coefficient of variation of root-

to-tip distances in maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees (Supporting Information Fig. S7, Table S3).

Evolutionary rate correlations between genomes

We tested for evolutionary rate correlations across genomic compartments of the major land plant

lineages using available genomic data sets (Supporting Information Table S1). We began by

conducting a comprehensive simulation study to validate three methods of analysis (described fully

in Supporting Information Methods S1): (*i*) correlations of root-to-tip distances, based on maximum-

likelihood phylograms; (*ii*) independent sister-pair contrasts, based on maximum-likelihood

phylograms; and (*iii*) correlations of branch rates inferred using Bayesian relaxed-clocks. We found

that all three methods were accurate, with false positives detected across an average of 3.8% of

replicates, and that the methods were moderately powerful, with the average detection of true

correlations at 88.5% (Supporting Information Fig. S1–S6). The independent sister-pair contrasts

method was the most conservative, being unable to detect any rate correlations when there were

only 18 ingroup taxa in the simulations. In these cases, there were only a small number of sister-pair

contrasts (*n*=3) (Supporting Information Fig. S1, S5). When the 18-taxon simulation setting was

excluded for independent sister-pair contrasts, the average detection of true correlations overall

increased to 99.5% across the three methods. Accordingly, we focused on sampling data sets that

had more than 20 ingroup taxa (Supporting Information Table S1). We found that the third method,

correlations of branch rates inferred using Bayesian relaxed-clocks, had the greatest accuracy and

power (Supporting Information Fig. S2, S6). Thus, we focus on the results obtained using this

method, although the two other methods yielded concordant results (Supporting Information Fig.

S8–S10).

We found consistently strong, significant correlations between evolutionary rates of nuclear and

chloroplast genomes (Fig. 3; $r_s \geq 0.48$ and $p \leq 10^{-8}$ for all comparisons). This result was found across

all of the major land plant lineages that we assessed. Similarly, there was a significant association

between evolutionary rates of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Supporting Information Fig. S8;

$r_s \geq 0.25$ and $p \leq 10^{-3}$) and between almost all mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes (Supporting

Information Fig. S8; $r_s \geq 0.28$ and $p < 0.01$). All tested associations were significant, with the

exception of angiosperm mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes, which was weakly positive but

statistically insignificant ($r_s = 0.032$, $p = 0.27$). We were also unable to detect an evolutionary rate

303
304

Fig. 3. Rates of nuclear and chloroplast evolution are strongly linked in the major embryophyte

clades. Log-transformed relative branch rates plotted for nuclear genome against the chloroplast

genome for the major land plant clades. Branch rates were estimated using Bayesian relaxed-clock

analysis. The correlation coefficient (r_s) and p-value (calculated using Spearman's rank correlation

test) are shown for each plot. Bold font indicates p-values below 0.05. Plant silhouettes are in the

public domain and available at http://www.phylopic.org.

correlations between mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes in a clade of marine algae, closely

related to land plants, Chlorophyta (Supporting Information Fig. S11, r_s = 0.18 and p = 0.13).

To gain additional insight into the nature of the rate correlations, we performed further analyses of

protein-coding sequences by separating the 1st+2nd and 3rd codon sites. We treated these as

proxies for nonsynonymous and synonymous evolutionary changes, respectively. These analyses

were possible for three data sets: mosses, ferns, and angiosperms. For both nonsynonymous and

synonymous rates, we detected correlations between nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Table 1;

r_s ≥ 0.12 and p ≤ 0.024) and between nuclear and chloroplast genomes (Table 1; r_s ≥ 0.51 and p ≤

0.01). Similarly, almost all comparisons of evolutionary rates between mitochondrial and chloroplast

genomes detected significant associations (Table 1). However, we were unable to detect a

correlation in nonsynonymous rates (r_s = 0.011 and p = 0.42) and synonymous rates (r_s = 0.033 and p

= 0.27) between the mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes of angiosperms, despite the large

sample size (n = 185). The results were concordant with those obtained using the two other methods

that we employed (Supporting Information Table S4–S5). The ability to detect significant associations

was reduced when we used sister-pair contrasts, as expected from the results of our simulations.

However, all tested comparisons were significant when using root-to-tip distances, which was not

unexpected, given the slightly inflated detection of rate correlations when using this method shown

in our simulations (Supporting Information Methods S1, Fig. S2).

Uncoupled evolutionary rates in parasitic flowering plants

We tested for evolutionary rate correlations across the nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast

genomes of four groups of parasitic angiosperms. For these analyses, we targeted the most recent

genomic or multilocus studies with large taxon sampling (full description in Supporting Information

Table S1 and see Notes S1). Our analyses failed to detect any associations in evolutionary rates

between nuclear and chloroplast genomes in Orobanchaceae, *Thesium*, and *Loranthus*, and between

nuclear and mitochondrial genomes in Rafflesiaceae (Fig. 4; p = 1.0). The results were largely

concordant with those obtained using the two other methods that we employed (Supporting

Information Fig. S12–S14). The only discrepancy was in *Loranthus*, for which there was a correlation

between the root-to-tip distances (p = 0.04); however, this comparison was based on few taxa (n =

13).

Table 1. Correlations between rates at 1st + 2nd sites and 3rd sites for each of the organellar

compartments in the major embryophyte clades. For each organellar comparison, the correlation

coefficient (r_s) and p-values (p) for a Spearman rank correlation test are recorded. Bold font indicates

p-values below 0.05.

Taxon[a]		Nuclear–Chloroplast		Nuclear–Mitochondrial		Chloroplast–Mitochondrial	
		1st + 2nd	3rd	1st + 2nd	3rd	1st + 2nd	3rd
Bryophyta	r_s	0.51	0.61	0.12	0.23	0.31	0.26
	p	**<2.2×10⁻¹⁶**	**<2.2×10⁻¹⁶**	**2.4×10⁻²**	**9.4×10⁻⁵**	**8.3×10⁻⁸**	**7.4×10⁻⁶**
Monilophyta	r_s	0.57	0.52	0.29	0.39	0.27	0.40
	p	**9.9×10⁻⁹**	**2.3 ×10⁻⁷**	**3.2×10⁻³**	**1.2×10⁻⁴**	**3.6×10⁻³**	**2.2×10⁻⁵**
Angiospermae[b]	r_s	0.40	0.30	-	-	0.011	0.033
	p	**1.1×10⁻³**	**1.0×10⁻²**	-	-	4.2×10⁻¹	2.7×10⁻¹

[a]Number of taxa (n) included for the comparisons was: n = 135 (Bryophyta), n = 44 (Monilophyta), n

= 51 (Monilophyta, chloroplast–mitochondrial), n = 30 (Angiospermae, nuclear–chloroplast), and n =

185 (Angiospermae, chloroplast–mitochondrial).

[b]These comparisons refer to the data sets sourced from Zeng et al., (2014), Ruhfel et al., (2014), and

Tyszka et al., (2023). This differs from the nuclear and chloroplast data sets presented in the main

figure since these did not have site partitioning data available.

Fig. 4. Rates of organellar evolution are decoupled in parasitic angiosperms. Comparisons of evolutionary branch rates of nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genes are shown for four parasitic lineages of angiosperms. Relative branch rates were estimated using Bayesian relaxed-clock analysis. The correlation coefficient (r_s) and p-value (calculated using Spearman's rank correlation test) are shown for each plot. Bold font indicates p-values below 0.05.

Discussion

Evolutionary rate correlations between genomes in land plants

Our study has shown that evolutionary rates are positively correlated between the nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes in the major lineages of land plants. These correlations were detected using various methods of analysis that we validated through an extensive simulation study. The pervasive signal of rate correlations across such diverse lineages is remarkable, given their ancient age (e.g., bryophyte mosses arose 424–416 Ma; Bechteler *et al.,* 2023) and their disparate life histories and ecologies. Contrastingly, we could not detect any evolutionary rate correlations in parasitic angiosperms. Indeed, parasitic angiosperms feature a variety of distinctive morphological and cellular traits that demarcate them from the rest of the flowering plants. For instance, the 'parasite reduction syndrome' phenomenon describes the convergent loss of vegetative tissue and photosynthetic organs and genes in parasitic flowering plants (Sanchez-Puerta *et al.*, 2023).

Evolutionary rate correlations are consistent with the broad effects of cytonuclear covariation and interaction in land plants. These results are in line with the presence of mitonuclear covariation in animals (Weaver *et al.*, 2022). There is evidence that coordination between the nuclear and organellar genomes in plants is vital to fundamental processes in plant cells, including ATP synthesis, oxidative phosphorylation, and photosynthesis (Sloan *et al.*, 2014). For instance, in flowering plants, 2000 proteins are contained in the mitochondria, but fewer than 41 of these are encoded by the mitochondrial genome (Chen *et al.*, 2017). The vast majority of proteins are encoded in the nucleus and transferred into the mitochondria by transit peptides. The nuclear genome can thus generate regulatory factors required for effective mitochondrial function (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Hill, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2022). This is particularly important in the mitochondrial response to pathogen infection, activating programmed cell death, and immune function (Wang *et al.*, 2022).

Gene exchange between genomic compartments might be partly driving the evolutionary rate correlation that we have uncovered. There are clear instances of bidirectional movement between the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes; for example, up to half of the maize mitochondrial genome is nuclear in origin (Chen *et al.*, 2017). The movement of mitochondrial genes into the chloroplast genome appears to be much less profuse. The conserved chloroplast genome is more likely to transfer its genes to the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes, but unable to take up foreign organellar DNA (Chen *et al.*, 2017; Hill, 2020). This is consistent with the uniformity in size and structure of the chloroplast genome in land plants. Furthermore, the sexually recombining nuclear

genome has taken up hundreds of genes from the organellar compartments, as well as controlling

and regulating many of the functions of the mitochondria and chloroplasts (Martin, 2003; Sloan *et*

al., 2014). These features of genomic interaction suggest a weaker association between the

evolutionary rates of mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes. However, if evolutionary rates in the

mitochondrial and chloroplast genomes are both strongly linked to that of the nuclear genome, an

indirect association can emerge between the rates of the two organellar genomes. This is consistent

with the strength of the rate correlation being somewhat reduced between the two organellar

compartments in ferns, angiosperms, and gymnosperms.

Our findings may also explain why higher rates of chloroplast substitution are linked to

diversification in the angiosperm family Proteaceae (Duchêne & Bromham, 2013). A higher rate of

change in the chloroplast genome predicts rapid changes in the nuclear and mitochondrial genomes,

potentially driving adaptation, reproductive isolation, and eventually speciation. This is similar to

findings in vertebrate and invertebrate animals, where mitonuclear covariation is a key factor in

bolstering speciation (Hill, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2021; Weaver *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, a similar link

between substitution rate and diversification has been found across many angiosperm clades

(Lancaster, 2010; Bromham *et al.*, 2015)

Shared drivers of evolutionary rates

Although cytonuclear interactions are expected to produce some degree of evolutionary covariation

between the nuclear and organellar genomes, the observed correlations in evolutionary rates might

reflect a shared response by all three genomic compartments to some other factor. For example, the

genomes might be responding to the same selection pressures or might have a shared system of

DNA repair (Williams *et al.*, 2019). We looked for signatures of these factors by performing separate

tests of correlations for nonsynonymous and synonymous sites. We found correlations between the

nonsynonymous rates of nuclear and organellar genomes, consistent with positive selection and

genomic interactions driving the shared variation in evolutionary rates. However, we also found

correlations between the synonymous rates of nuclear and organellar genomes, suggesting that

there is a shared driver of mutation rates across the three genomic compartments. This is supported

by the results of a previous study that found correlated rates at synonymous sites, but not at

nonsynonymous sites (Bromham *et al.*, 2015). However, our results suggest that the patterns of

mutation rate variation are also being propagated to nonsynonymous rates, where a weaker

correlation is apparent across the three genomes. The results of our analyses, conducted at a broad

scale on hundreds of nuclear genes and tens of organellar genes, contradict those of previous

studies that only found correlations between mitochondrial- and nuclear-encoded mitochondrial

genes known to interact (Yan *et al.*, 2019; Piccinini *et al.*, 2021; Weaver *et al.*, 2022).

Previous studies have identified a number of potential drivers of mutation rates in plant genomes.

For instance, generation time is closely linked to long-term rates of meiosis in plants, since each

elapsed generation has undergone a meiotic cycle. Thus, generation time might be driving the

mutation rates of all three genomes (Petit & Hampe, 2006). Also, plant height is closely linked to

generation time, and height has been found to be strongly associated with the rate of molecular

evolution (Lanfear *et al.*, 2013). This may be because taller plants have slower growth in their apical

meristem and so undergo fewer cycles of mitosis (Li *et al.*, 1996; Lanfear *et al.*, 2013). Therefore,

fewer mutations are inherited by offspring, effectively reducing the evolutionary rates of taller

plants.

Environmental factors such as temperature can potentially act as mutagens in plants (Davies *et al.*,

2004; Quiroz *et al.*, 2023). This has been shown by experiments in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, where multi-

generational exposure to higher temperature led to accumulation of mutations in genes involved in

DNA repair, defense repair, and signalling, as well as increasing the mutation rate (Belfield *et al.*,

2021; Lu *et al.*, 2021). UV irradiation is another well-documented mutagen in plants (Davies *et al.*,

2004; Quiroz *et al.*, 2023), leading to oxidative DNA damage as demonstrated in Cucurbitaceae

(Watanabe *et al.*, 2006). These environmental drivers of mutation would potentially act on all three

genomes in land plants.

Population size is also likely to have a broad impact on the evolutionary dynamics of nuclear,

mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes. There is less efficient selection against mutations in small

populations, leading to a reduction in the efficiency of DNA repair machinery and ultimately to

elevated mutation rates across the three genomes (Bergeron *et al.*, 2023). There is evidence of

unique systems of DNA repair in the nuclear, mitochondrial, and chloroplast genomes. For instance,

mitochondria lack nucleotide excision repair, whereas chloroplasts are unable to fix double-strand

breaks using non-homologous end joining (Boesch *et al.*, 2011). Genome copy number and mutation

rate are negatively linked in mitochondria, this may be because unmutated copies can perform

repairs on double-strand breaks, however, this pattern is not seen in chloroplasts (Zwonitzer *et al.*,

2024). Alternatively, there is mounting evidence for strong links between the epigenome and

mutation rates in plants (Martincorena & Luscombe, 2013; Quiroz *et al.*, 2023). Further work on DNA

repair systems in plants is needed to clarify their role in mutation rate variation across nuclear and

organellar genomes.

Evolutionary rates in land plants

Across land plants, we found that nuclear genomes have evolved the most rapidly, followed by

chloroplast genomes and mitochondrial genomes. These results are consistent with those of

previous studies (Wolfe *et al.*, 1987; Drouin *et al.*, 2008; Smith, 2015). Overall, land plant nuclear

genomes exhibit highly dynamic evolution, with the largest genomes 2400 times the size of the

smallest; nuclear genomes also undergo rampant polyploidisation in land plants (Pellicer *et al.*,

2018). Furthermore, nuclear genomes are responsible for most cell functions, encoding between

25,000–40,000 genes (Heslop-Harrison & Schmidt, 2012). Thus, their high evolutionary rate, when

compared with the reduced rates of the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes, is not unexpected.

The low evolutionary rates of nuclear and organellar genomes in gymnosperms are consistent with

the long generations of these taxa (Leitch & Leitch, 2012; De La Torre *et al.*, 2017). Whilst many

angiosperms can complete their life cycle in mere weeks, most gymnosperms take years to finish a

single cycle.

There was a moderate amount of among-lineage rate heterogeneity overall in nuclear and

chloroplast genes in the major land plant clades. Our results are consistent with previous findings of

high-rate heterogeneity among lineages in angiosperm mitochondrial genomes. Whilst plants have

drastically lower rates of mitochondrial evolution, the angiosperm mitochondrial genome is dynamic

and exhibits highly variable evolutionary rates (Galtier, 2011; Havird *et al.*, 2017; Petersen *et al.*,

2020). The inflated rates of mitochondrial evolution in lineages such as *Plantago*, *Silene*, and *Viscum*

have been attributed to genetic transfer from bacteria, viruses, and the nuclear and chloroplast

genomes (Zervas *et al.*, 2019). Indeed, the phenomenon of mitochondrial fusion is exhibited by

Amborella trichopoda, the sister taxon to all other angiosperms (Rice *et al.*, 2013). The mitochondrial

genome of this species has fused with the whole genomes of three green algae and a moss species,

and has received 82 copies of protein-coding and rRNA genes via horizontal transfer from other

angiosperm species (Rice *et al.*, 2013). Further insights will be gained from analysing larger amounts

of data, particularly additional mitochondrial data; mitochondrial genomes are publicly available for

only 142 species of plants, compared with 4445 chloroplast genomes (Petersen *et al.*, 2020).

Evolutionary rates in parasitic angiosperms

The parasitic flowering plants included in our study exhibited high levels of among-lineage rate

heterogeneity in their nuclear and organellar genomes. Rate heterogeneity was especially

pronounced in nuclear genes of Rafflesiaceae, in mitochondrial genes of *Loranthus*, and in

chloroplast genes of Orobanchaceae. Given the extreme levels of rate variation, it is perhaps

unsurprising that we could not detect any evolutionary rate correlations in the parasitic angiosperms

that we tested: *Loranthus*, Orobanchaceae, Rafflesiaceae, and *Thesium*.

Parasitism has evolved at least 12 times across the angiosperms (Westwood *et al.*, 2010; Nickrent,

2020), with an estimated 4750 species attacking the stems and roots of host plants for nutrition. Our

data sets included Rafflesiaceae, a group of the most reduced holoparasites (Molina *et al.*, 2014).

Holoparasites do not perform any photosynthetic function and rely on their hosts for their

nutritional requirements, with the most extreme form being endoparasitism. Indeed, Rafflesiaceae

include endoparasites such as *Sapria*, which lack an independent body and grow directly on their

host (Cai *et al.*, 2021). Other parasite species that we analysed occur as hemiparasites, which have

not lost photosynthetic function completely, and only partly rely on their host for heterotrophic

nutrient demands (Těšitel *et al.*, 2010). Hemiparasites can be either obligate, depending on their

hosts for nutrients, or facultative, where they are able to live independently of their hosts (Těšitel *et*

al., 2010). We analysed data from obligate parasites *Loranthus*, which often reside on the stems of

their hosts (Liu *et al.*, 2018), and *Thesium*, which includes both obligate and facultative herbaceous

parasites that attach to the roots of their hosts. Orobanchaceae includes members that span the

range of parasitic modes, from free-living hemiparasites to holoparasites that live on their hosts and

leach water and nutrients directly from the hosts' roots, e.g., in desert hyacinths (Nickrent, 2020;

Thorogood *et al.*, 2021).

The degree of parasitism seems to be linked to the amount of chloroplast reduction. For example,

the holoparasitic members of Orobanchaceae have experienced widespread chloroplast gene losses,

with members of Rafflesiaceae being the most extreme iteration of this gene loss, as they appear to

have lost their chloroplast genomes entirely (Molina *et al.*, 2014; Zervas *et al.*, 2019). The

hemiparasitic *Viscum*, a genus of mistletoe, have lost 10–22% of their chloroplast genes compared

with their autotrophic relatives (Petersen *et al.*, 2015; Zervas *et al.*, 2019). These gene losses are

likely to be associated also with the loss of signalling and regulatory genes in the nuclear and

mitochondrial genomes. This may have caused the lack of evolutionary rate correlations between

nuclear and organellar genomes in parasitic angiosperms, given the loss of nuclear-encoded genes.

Moreover, due to the intimate nature of the host–parasite association, horizontal gene transfer is

rife, especially in the mitochondrial genomes of parasite species. In family Rafflesiaceae, it was

found that 24–41% of mitochondrial genes were donated from the host plant (Xi *et al.*, 2013). This

provides another potential explanation for the lack of evolutionary rate correlations; the foreign

genes have not undergone coordinated evolution with the native genes over millions of years.

Conclusions

We have identified correlations in evolutionary rates across the nuclear, mitochondrial, and

chloroplast genomes in land plants, but not in parasitic angiosperms. These associations suggest that

the evolution of the three genomes is at least partly governed by a common factor that acts upon

mutation rates. Further insight into these evolutionary dynamics can be gained through detailed

studies of specific genes, including nuclear and mitochondrial genes that encode products that are

used in the mitochondria. Our framework can be extended to other major lineages of plants,

including the green algae but also red algae. We found a weakly positive (but insignificant)

correlation in a small data set of chlorophytes. If there is evidence for evolutionary rate correlations

in algae, this indicates that the dynamic is ancient and consistent across all Viridiplantae. Indeed,

whether rate correlations exist at an even larger scale across between the genomic compartments

across the Tree of Life is unknown. This is particularly pertinent as new organelles are being

discovered, such as the nitrogen-fixing organelle, the 'nitroplast' (Coale *et al.*, 2024). Another open

question is whether evolutionary rate correlations would persist in other photosynthetic eukaryote

lineages with plastids derived from secondary or tertiary symbioses, such as dinoflagellates

(Maruyama & Kim, 2020). Uncovering the drivers of evolutionary rate correlations will allow better

understanding of the causes of genomic change.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DP220103265). Y.A. also acknowledges

the support provided by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. The

authors acknowledge the Sydney Informatics Hub and the high-performance computing facility,

Artemis, at the University of Sydney for providing computing resources. We also thank Samuli

Lehtonen, Glenda Cardenas Ramirez, Miguel Angel García García, Pieter Pelser, Chi Toan Le, Daniel

Nickrent, Sean Graham, and Frank E Anderson for providing access to data sets.

Competing interests

There are no competing interests to report.

Author contributions

YA, HS, and SYWH designed and planned the study. YA collected, curated, and analysed the empirical

data sets and performed simulations. YA wrote the manuscript, with input from HS and SYWH.

References

Anderson SAS, Weir JT. **2020**. A comparative test for divergent adaptation: inferring speciation
drivers from functional trait divergence. *The American Naturalist* **196**: 429–442.

Asar Y, Sauquet H, Ho SYW. **2023**. Evaluating the accuracy of methods for detecting correlated rates
of molecular and morphological evolution. *Systematic Biology* **72**: 1337–1356.

Barreto FS, Watson ET, Lima TG, Willett CS, Edmands S, Li W, Burton RS. **2018**. Genomic signatures
of mitonuclear coevolution across populations of *Tigriopus californicus*. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*
2: 1250–1257.

Bechteler J, Peñaloza-Bojacá G, Bell D, Gordon Burleigh J, McDaniel SF, Christine Davis E, Sessa EB,
Bippus A, Christine Cargill D, Chantanoarrapint S, *et al.* 2023. Comprehensive phylogenomic time
tree of bryophytes reveals deep relationships and uncovers gene incongruences in the last 500
million years of diversification. *American Journal of Botany* **110**: e16249.

Belfield EJ, Brown C, Ding ZJ, Chapman L, Luo M, Hinde E, van Es SW, Johnson S, Ning Y, Zheng SJ,
***et al.* 2021**. Thermal stress accelerates *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutation rate. *Genome Research* **31**:
40–50.

Bentolila S, Alfonso A, Hanson M. **2002**. A pentatricopeptide repeat-containing gene restores
fertility to cytoplasmic male-sterile plants. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
United States of America **99**: 10887–10892.

Benton MJ, Wilf P, Sauquet H. **2022**. The angiosperm terrestrial revolution and the origins of
modern biodiversity. *New Phytologist* **233**: 2017–2035.

Bergeron LA, Besenbacher S, Zheng J, Li P, Bertelsen MF, Quintard B, Hoffman JI, Li Z, St. Leger J,
Shao C, *et al.* 2023. Evolution of the germline mutation rate across vertebrates. *Nature* **615**: 285–
291.

Biot-Pelletier D, Bettinazzi S, Gagnon-Arsenault I, Dubé AK, Bédard C, Nguyen THM, Fiumera HL,
Breton S, Landry CR. **2023**. Evolutionary trajectories are contingent on mitonuclear interactions.
Molecular Biology and Evolution **40**: msad061.

Boesch P, Weber-Lotfi F, Ibrahim N, Tarasenko V, Cosset A, Paulus F, Lightowlers RN, Dietrich A.
2011. DNA repair in organelles: pathways, organization, regulation, relevance in disease and aging.
Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Cell Research **1813**: 186–200.

Bouckaert RR, Drummond AJ. **2017**. bModelTest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model averaging and
model comparison. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **17**: 42.

Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchêne S, Fourment M, Gavryushkina A, Heled J,
Jones G, Kühnert D, De Maio N, *et al.* 2019. BEAST 2.5: an advanced software platform for Bayesian
evolutionary analysis. *PLOS Computational Biology* **15**: e1006650.

Bromham L, Hua X, Lanfear R, Cowman PF. **2015**. Exploring the relationships between mutation
rates, life history, genome size, environment, and species richness in flowering plants. *The American*
Naturalist **185**: 507–524.

Bromham L, Woolfit M, Lee MSY, Rambaut A. **2002**. Testing the relationship between
morphological and molecular rates of change along phylogenies. *Evolution* **56**: 1921–1930.

Bui MQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, Lanfear R. **2020**.
IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era.
Molecular Biology and Evolution **37**: 1530–1534.

Burton RS, Pereira RJ, Barreto FS. **2013**. Cytonuclear genomic interactions and hybrid breakdown.
Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **44**: 281–302.

**Cai L, Arnold BJ, Xi Z, Khost DE, Patel N, Hartmann CB, Manickam S, Sasirat S, Nikolov LA, Mathews
S, *et al.* 2021**. Deeply altered genome architecture in the endoparasitic flowering plant Sapria
himalayana Griff. (Rafflesiaceae). *Current Biology* **31**: 1002-1011.e9.

Cantino PD, Doyle JA, Graham SW, Judd WS, Olmstead RG, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Donoghue MJ.
2007. Towards a phylogenetic nomenclature of Tracheophyta. *Taxon* **56**: E1–E44.

Chen Z, Zhao N, Li S, Grover CE, Nie H, Wendel JF, Hua J. **2017**. Plant mitochondrial genome
evolution and cytoplasmic male sterility. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **36**: 55–69.

**Coale TH, Loconte V, Turk-Kubo KA, Vanslembrouck B, Mak WKE, Cheung S, Ekman A, Chen J-H,
Hagino K, Takano Y, *et al.* 2024**. Nitrogen-fixing organelle in a marine alga. *Science* **384**: 217–222.

**David P, Degletagne C, Saclier N, Jennan A, Jarne P, Plénet S, Konecny L, François C, Guéguen L,
Garcia N, *et al.* 2022**. Extreme mitochondrial DNA divergence underlies genetic conflict over sex
determination. *Current Biology* **32**: 2325-2333.e6.

Davies TJ, Savolainen V, Chase MW, Moat J, Barracloug TG. **2004**. Environmental energy and
evolutionary rates in flowering plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **271**:
2195–2200.

Delph LF, Touzet P, Bailey MF. **2007**. Merging theory and mechanism in studies of gynodioecy.
Trends in Ecology & Evolution **22**: 17–24.

Drouin G, Daoud H, Xia J. **2008**. Relative rates of synonymous substitutions in the mitochondrial,
chloroplast and nuclear genomes of seed plants. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **49**: 827–
831.

Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A. **2006**. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with
confidence. *PLOS Biology* **4**: e88.

Duchêne D, Bromham L. **2013**. Rates of molecular evolution and diversification in plants: chloroplast
substitution rates correlate with species-richness in the Proteaceae. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **13**:
65.

**Enquist BJ, Feng X, Boyle B, Maitner B, Newman EA, Jørgensen PM, Roehrdanz PR, Thiers BM,
Burger JR, Corlett RT, *et al.* 2019**. The commonness of rarity: global and future distribution of rarity
across land plants. *Science Advances* **5**: eaaz0414.

Felsenstein J. **1985**. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *The American Naturalist* **125**: 1–15.

**Fernández P, Amice R, Bruy D, Christenhusz MJM, Leitch IJ, Leitch AL, Pokorny L, Hidalgo O, Pellicer
J**. **2024**. A 160 Gbp fork fern genome shatters size record for eukaryotes. *iScience* **27**: 109889.

Formaggioni A, Plazzi F, Passamonti M. **2022**. Mito-nuclear coevolution and phylogenetic artifacts:
the case of bivalve mollusks. *Scientific Reports* **12**: 11040.

Forsythe ES, Williams AM, Sloan DB. **2021**. Genome-wide signatures of plastid-nuclear coevolution point to repeated perturbations of plastid proteostasis systems across angiosperms. *Plant Cell* **33**: 980–997.

Freckleton RP. **2000**. Phylogenetic tests of ecological and evolutionary hypotheses: checking for phylogenetic independence. *Functional Ecology* **14**: 129–134.

Fujii S, Bond CS, Small ID. **2011**. Selection patterns on restorer-like genes reveal a conflict between nuclear and mitochondrial genomes throughout angiosperm evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **108**: 1723–1728.

Galtier N. **2011**. The intriguing evolutionary dynamics of plant mitochondrial DNA. *BMC Biology* **9**: 9–11.

Garland T, Harvey PH, Ives AR. **1992**. Procedures for the analysis of comparative data using phylogenetically independent contrasts. *Systematic Biology* **41**: 18–32.

Garren ST. **2017**. Permutation tests for nonparametric statistics using R. *Asian Research Journal of Mathematics* **5**: 1–8.

Graham SW, Lam VKY, Merckx VSFT. **2017**. Plastomes on the edge: the evolutionary breakdown of mycoheterotroph plastid genomes. *New Phytologist* **214**: 48–55.

Greiner S, Sobanski J, Bock R. **2015**. Why are most organelle genomes transmitted maternally? *BioEssays* **37**: 80–94.

Havird JC, Noe GR, Link L, Torres A, Logan DC, Sloan DB, Chicco AJ. **2019**. Do angiosperms with highly divergent mitochondrial genomes have altered mitochondrial function? *Mitochondrion* **49**: 1–11.

Havird JC, Trapp P, Miller CM, Bazos I, Sloan DB. **2017**. Causes and consequences of rapidly evolving mtDNA in a plant lineage. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **9**: 323–336.

Heslop-Harrison JS (Pat), Schmidt T. **2012**. Plant nuclear genome composition. In: eLS. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a0002014.pub.

Higgins JJ. **2004**. *Introduction to Modern Nonparametric Statistics.* Pacific Grove, CA: Brooks/Cole.

Hill GE. **2016**. Mitonuclear coevolution as the genesis of speciation and the mitochondrial DNA barcode gap. *Ecology and Evolution* **6**: 5831–5842.

Hill GE. **2020**. Mitonuclear compensatory coevolution. *Trends in Genetics* **36**: 403–414.

Kaur P, Kaila T, Dhkal M, Gaikwad K. **2022**. Chapter 26 – Chloroplast genome and plant–virus interaction. In: Sharma P, Yadav D, Gaur RK, eds. Bioinformatics in Agriculture. Academic Press, 419–436.

Khachaturyan M, Santer M, Reusch TBH, Dagan T. **2024**. Heteroplasmy is rare in plant mitochondria compared to plastids despite similar mutation rates. *Molecular Biology andE* **41**: msae135.

De La Torre AR, Li Z, Van De Peer Y, Ingvarsson PK. **2017**. Contrasting rates of molecular evolution and patterns of selection among gymnosperms and flowering plants. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**: 1363–1377.

Lancaster LT. **2010**. Molecular evolutionary rates predict both extinction and speciation in temperate angiosperm lineages. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **10**: 162.

Lanfear R, Ho SYW, Jonathan Davies T, Moles AT, Aarssen L, Swenson NG, Warman L, Zanne AE,
Allen AP. **2013**. Taller plants have lower rates of molecular evolution. *Nature Communications* **4**:
1879.

Lanfear R, Ho SYW, Love D, Bromham L. **2010**. Mutation rate is linked to diversification in birds.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **107**: 20423–20428.

Leitch AR, Leitch IJ. **2012**. Ecological and genetic factors linked to contrasting genome dynamics in
seed plants. *New Phytologist* **194**: 629–646.

Li W-H, Ellsworth DL, Krushkal J, Chang BH-J, Hewett-Emmett D. **1996**. Rates of nucleotide
substitution in primates and rodents and the generation–time effect hypothesis. *Molecular*
Phylogenetics and Evolution **5**: 182–187.

Lian Q, Li S, Kan S, Liao X, Huang S, Sloan DB, Wu Z. **2024**. Association analysis provides insights into
plant mitonuclear interactions. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **41**: msae028.

Liu B, Le CT, Barrett RL, Nickrent DL, Chen Z, Lu L, Vidal-Russell R. **2018**. Historical biogeography of
Loranthaceae (Santalales): diversification agrees with emergence of tropical forests and radiation of
songbirds. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **124**: 199–212.

Lu Z, Cui J, Wang L, Teng N, Zhang S, Lam HM, Zhu Y, Xiao S, Ke W, Lin J, *et al.* 2021. Genome-wide
DNA mutations in Arabidopsis plants after multigenerational exposure to high temperatures.
Genome Biology **22**: 160.

Martin W. **2003**. Gene transfer from organelles to the nucleus: Frequent and in big chunks.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA **100**: 8612–8614.

Martin W, Herrmann RG. **1998**. Gene transfer from organelles to the nucleus: how much, what
happens, and why? *Plant Physiology* **118**: 9–17.

Martincorena I, Luscombe NM. **2013**. Non-random mutation: the evolution of targeted
hypermutation and hypomutation. *BioEssays* **35**: 123–130.

Maruyama S, Kim E. **2020**. Evolution of photosynthetic eukaryotes; Current opinion, perplexity, and
a new perspective. In: Kloc M, ed. Symbiosis: Cellular, Molecular, Medical and Evolutionary Aspects.
Springer, 337–351.

Molina J, Hazzouri KM, Nickrent D, Geisler M, Meyer RS, Pentony MM, Flowers JM, Pelser P,
Barcelona J, Inovejas SA, *et al.* 2014. Possible loss of the chloroplast genome in the parasitic
flowering plant *Rafflesia lagascae* (Rafflesiaceae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**: 793–803.

Morley SA, Nielsen BL. **2017**. Plant mitochondrial DNA. *Frontiers in Bioscience - Landmark* **22**: 1023–
1132.

Morris JL, Puttick MN, Clark JW, Edwards D, Kenrick P, Pressel S, Wellman CH, Yang Z, Schneider H,
Donoghue PCJ. **2018**. The timescale of early land plant evolution. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences, USA **115**: E2274–E2283.

Nickrent DL. **2020**. Parasitic angiosperms: how often and how many? *Taxon* **69**: 5–27.

Nie Y, Foster CSP, Zhu T, Yao R, Duchene DA, Ho SYW, Zhong B. **2020**. Accounting for uncertainty in
the evolutionary timescale of green plants through clock-partitioning and fossil calibration
strategies. *Systematic Biology* **69**: 1–16.

One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes I. **2019**. One thousand plant transcriptomes and the
phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature* **574**: 679–685.

Pellicer J, Hidalgo O, Dodsworth S, Leitch IJ. **2018**. Genome size diversity and its impact on the
evolution of land plants. *Genes (Basel)* **9**: 88.

Petersen G, Anderson B, Braun H-P, Meyer EH, Møller IM. **2020**. Mitochondria in parasitic plants.
Mitochondrion **52**: 173–182.

Petersen G, Cuenca A, Seberg O. **2015**. Plastome Evolution in Hemiparasitic Mistletoes. *Genome
Biology and Evolution* **7**: 2520–2532.

Petit RJ, Hampe A. **2006**. Some evolutionary consequences of being a tree. *Annual Review of
Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **37**: 187–214.

Piccinini G, Iannello M, Puccio G, Plazzi F, Havird JC, Ghiselli F. **2021**. Mitonuclear coevolution, but
not nuclear compensation, drives evolution of OXPHOS complexes in bivalves. *Molecular Biology and
Evolution* **38**: 2597–2614.

Quiroz D, Lensink M, Kliebenstein DJ, Grey Monroe J. **2023**. Causes of mutation rate variability in
plant genomes. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **74**: 751–775.

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. **2018**. Posterior Summarization in Bayesian
Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. *Systematic Biology* **67**: 901–904.

Ramírez-Barahona S, Sauquet H, Magallón S. **2020**. The delayed and geographically heterogeneous
diversification of flowering plant families. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **4**: 1232–1238.

**Rice DW, Alverson AJ, Richardson AO, Young GJ, Sanchez-Puerta MV, Munzinger J, Barry K, Boore
JL, Zhang Y, DePamphilis CW, et al.** **2013**. Horizontal transfer of entire genomes via mitochondrial
fusion in the angiosperm *Amborella*. *Science* **342**: 1468–1473.

Rockenbach K, Havird JC, Grey Monroe J, Triant DA, Taylor DR, Sloan DB. **2016**. Positive selection in
rapidly evolving plastid-nuclear enzyme complexes. *Genetics* **204**: 1507–1522.

Ruhfel BR, Gitzendanner MA, Soltis PS, Soltis DE, Burleigh JG. **2014**. From algae to angiosperms–
inferring the phylogeny of green plants (Viridiplantae) from 360 plastid genomes. *BMC Evolutionary
Biology* **14**: 23.

Sanchez-Puerta MV, Ceriotti LF, Gatica-Soria LM, Roulet ME, Garcia LE, Sato HA. **2023**. Beyond
parasitic convergence: unravelling the evolution of the organellar genomes in holoparasites. *Annals
of Botany* **132**: 909–928.

Sauquet H, Ramírez-Barahona S, Magallón S. **2022**. What is the age of flowering plants? (R Melzer,
Ed.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* **73**: 3840–3853.

Sloan DB, Havird JC, Sharbrough J. **2017**. The on-again, off-again relationship between
mitochondrial genomes and species boundaries. *Molecular Ecology* **26**: 2212–2236.

Sloan DB, Triant DA, Wu M, Taylor DR. **2014**. Cytonuclear interactions and relaxed selection
accelerate sequence evolution in organelle ribosomes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**: 673–682.

Smith DR. **2015**. Mutation rates in plastid genomes: they are lower than you might think. *Genome
Biology and Evolution* **7**: 1227–1234.

Soltis PS, Folk RA, Soltis DE. **2019**. Darwin review: angiosperm phylogeny and evolutionary radiations. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **286**: 20190099.

Tao M, Chen J, Cui C, Xu Y, Xu J, Shi Z, Yun J, Zhang J, Ou G-Z, Liu C, *et al.* 2024. Identification of a longevity gene through evolutionary rate covariation of insect mito-nuclear genomes. *Nature Aging*: https://doi.org/10.1038/s43587-024-00641-z.

Těšitel J, Plavcová L, Cameron DD. **2010**. Interactions between hemiparasitic plants and their hosts. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* **5**: 1072–1076.

Thorogood CJ, Leon CJ, Lei D, Aldughayman M, Huang L, Hawkins JA. **2021**. Desert hyacinths: An obscure solution to a global problem? *PLANTS, PEOPLE, PLANET* **3**: 302–307.

Tyszka AS, Bretz EC, Robertson HM, Woodcock-Girard MD, Ramanauskas K, Larson DA, Stull GW, Walker JF. **2023**. Characterizing conflict and congruence of molecular evolution across organellar genome sequences for phylogenetics in land plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **14**: 1125107.

Wang L-G, Lam TT-Y, Xu S, Dai Z, Zhou L, Feng T, Guo P, Dunn CW, Jones BR, Bradley T, *et al.* 2020. Treeio: an R package for phylogenetic tree input and output with richly annotated and associated data. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **37**: 599–603.

Wang S, Ore MJ, Mikkelsen EK, Lee-Yaw J, Toews DPL, Rohwer S, Irwin D. **2021**. Signatures of mitonuclear coevolution in a warbler species complex. *Nature Communications* **12**: 4279.

Wang J, Xu G, Ning Y, Wang X, Wang GL. **2022**. Mitochondrial functions in plant immunity. *Trends in Plant Science* **27**: 1063–1076.

Watanabe K, Yamada N, Takeuchi Y. **2006**. Oxidative DNA damage in cucumber cotyledons irradiated with ultraviolet light. *Journal of Plant Research* **119**: 239–246.

Weaver RJ, Rabinowitz S, Thueson K, Havird JC. **2022**. Genomic signatures of mitonuclear coevolution in mammals. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **39**: msac233.

Welch JJ, Waxman D. **2008**. Calculating independent contrasts for the comparative study of substitution rates. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **251**: 667–678.

Westwood JH, Yoder JI, Timko MP, dePamphilis CW. **2010**. The evolution of parasitism in plants. *Trends in Plant Science* **15**: 227–235.

Williams AM, Friso G, van Wijk KJ, Sloan DB. **2019**. Extreme variation in rates of evolution in the plastid Clp protease complex. *Plant Journal* **98**: 243–259.

Wolfe KH, Li WH, Sharp PM. **1987**. Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **84**: 9054–9058.

Xi Z, Wang Y, Bradley RK, Sugumaran M, Marx CJ, Rest JS, Davis CC. **2013**. Massive mitochondrial gene transfer in a parasitic flowering plant clade. *PLOS Genetics* **9**: e1003265.

Yan Z, Ye G, Werren JH. **2019**. Evolutionary rate correlation between mitochondrial-encoded and mitochondria-associated nuclear-encoded proteins in insects. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **36**: 1022–1036.

Yang Y, Forsythe ES, Ding YM, Zhang DY, Bai WN. **2023**. Genomic analysis of plastid-nuclear interactions and differential evolution rates in coevolved genes across Juglandaceae species. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **15**: evad145.

Zeng L, Zhang Q, Sun R, Kong H, Zhang N, Ma H. **2014**. Resolution of deep angiosperm phylogeny
using conserved nuclear genes and estimates of early divergence times. *Nature Communications* **5**:
4956.

Zervas A, Petersen G, Seberg O. **2019**. Mitochondrial genome evolution in parasitic plants. *BMC*
Evolutionary Biology **19**: 87.

Zhang J, Ruhlman TA, Sabir J, Blazier JC, Jansen RK. **2015**. Coordinated rates of evolution between
interacting plastid and nuclear genes in geraniaceae. *Plant Cell* **27**: 563–573.

Zwonitzer KD, Tressel LG, Wu Z, Kan S, Broz AK, Mower JP, Ruhlman TA, Jansen RK, Sloan DB,
Havird JC. **2024**. Genome copy number predicts extreme evolutionary rate variation in plant
mitochondrial DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **121**: e2317240121.

810