Engineering synthetic agonists for targeted activation of Notch signaling

David H.Perez[1], Daniel Antfolk[1], Elliot Medina[1], David Gonzalez-Perez[1], Vincent C. Luca[1]

[1] Department of Immunology, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL 33602, USA

ABSTRACT

Notch signaling regulates cell fate decisions and has context-dependent tumorigenic or tumor

suppressor functions. Although several Notch inhibitors are under development as cancer

therapies, the mechanical force requirement for Notch receptor activation has hindered attempts

to generate soluble agonists. To address this problem, we engineered synthetic Notch agonist

(SNAG) proteins that mimic the tension-generating mechanism of endogenous ligands. SNAGs

were designed by fusing a high-affinity variant of the Notch ligand Delta-like 4 (DLL4) to antibody

fragments that induce target internalization. This bispecific format enables the SNAG-bound

biomarkers to "pull" on Notch receptors, triggering Notch activation in mixed populations of

biomarker-expressing and non-expressing cells. SNAGs targeting the immune checkpoint PDL1

potently activated Notch in co-cultures of Notch1- and PDL1-expressing cells, but not in

monocultures of Notch1-expressing cells alone. Additional SNAGs targeting the tumor antigens

CD19 and HER2 also activated Notch in mixed cell populations, indicating that the SNAG design

concept is adaptable to multiple biomarkers. SNAG-mediated Notch activation was blocked by a

dynamin inhibitor, and efficacy increased dramatically when SNAGs were dimerized via fusion to

antibody Fc domains, suggesting that endocytosis and multimerization are important for optimal

SNAG function. These insights will greatly expand our ability to modulate Notch signaling for

applications in immunotherapy and regenerative medicine.

INTRODUCTION

The Notch pathway is a conserved signaling system that regulates cell fate decisions, tissue

homeostasis, and immune cell development. Notch receptors are massive (~290kD)

transmembrane proteins that are activated by a distinctive, mechanical force-driven mechanism[1–

4]. Notch signaling is initiated when a Delta-like (DLL) or Jagged (JAG) ligand forms a *trans*-

interaction with a Notch receptor on the surface of an adjacent cell[4–7]. Endocytosis of the ligand

then generates a "pulling" force that propagates to the negative regulatory region (NRR) of Notch

[1,8]. This pulling destabilizes the NRR, which exposes internal cleavage sites for processing by the

intramembrane proteases ADAM10 (S2 cleavage) and γ-secretase (S3 cleavage)[9,10]. Following

these proteolytic events, the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) translocates to the nucleus to

function as a transcriptional co-activator[11].

Dysfunctional Notch signaling causes numerous inherited and acquired diseases. Loss-of-

function mutations in Notch receptors and ligands are linked to the development of aortic valve

disease (Notch1), Alagille syndrome (Notch2, Jagged1), CADASIL (Notch3), spondylocostal

dysostosis (DLL3), and other congenital disorders[12–15]. In cancer, Notch functions as a tumor

suppressor or oncogene depending on the cell type, and both loss-of-function and hyperactivating

mutations influence tumorigenesis and disease progression[16]. Notch is also pleiotropic in the

context of cell fate decisions, in that Notch activation may stimulate either proliferation or

differentiation in different stem cell populations[17]. These diverse functions suggest that Notch

agonists and antagonists may each be viable therapeutics in certain biomedical contexts[18].

The role of Notch in T cell biology has led to the development of several Notch-based strategies

for enhancing cancer immunotherapy. Notch signaling is important for several natural stages of T

cell maturation[19], and ex vivo Notch activation is required for the differentiation of T cells from

hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs)[20]. This latter function may potentially be used to generate

allogeneic T cells for "off-the-shelf" adoptive T cell or CAR T cell therapies. More recently, Notch

activation has been shown to enhance the antitumor function of fully mature, activated T cells[21].

Genetic overexpression of an activated form of Notch[22], as well as culturing T cells in the presence

of Notch1-specific antibodies[23] or ligand-expressing cells[24], were each associated with improved

tumor clearance in various animal models of cancer. Detailed analysis of the T cells used in these

studies revealed that these phenotypes were due to the Notch-stimulated induction of exhaustion-

resistant or stem-like phenotypes.

Although Notch inhibitors are widely available, the requirement for mechanical force in Notch

activation has precluded the development of soluble agonists[3,18]. Specifically, these agents are

challenging to engineer because they must somehow "pull" on the Notch receptor despite lacking

a method of force generation. Several strategies have been developed to activate Notch receptors

in vitro through mimicry of the physiological activation process. Notch signaling may be induced

through co-culture of Notch-expressing cells and ligand-expressing cells, by culturing Notch-

expressing cells on plates coated with ligands or antibodies, or by administration of ligand-coated

microbeads[23,25,26]. By contrast, only a single antibody targeting Notch3, A13, has been reported

to function as a soluble agonist[27]. Binding of this antibody promotes the unfolding of metastable

Notch3 NRR domains, which in turn exposes the S2 site for proteolytic cleavage [28]. Unfortunately,

this NRR unfolding approach has been ineffective for receptor subtypes with stable NRRs (e.g.,

Notch1/2/4), and the lack of soluble agonists remains a significant void in our biochemical toolkit

for manipulating the Notch pathway.

In this study, we engineered bispecific proteins that stimulate Notch activation in specific cellular

contexts. These synthetic Notch agonists (SNAGs) contain a Notch-binding arm and a targeting

arm, enabling them to form intercellular interactions in mixed populations of biomarker-expressing

and non-expressing cells. A diverse panel of SNAGs stimulated Notch activation via a mechanism

that resembles the natural endocytic "pulling" of DLL and JAG ligands, and SNAG efficacy was

enhanced through the incorporation of a multimerization scaffold. We validated our SNAG design

in a model system by restoring the signaling of loss-of-function DLL4, and we successfully

developed additional SNAGs targeting the tumor biomarkers PDL1, CD19, and HER2. The

modularity and versatility of this SNAG platform provide a blueprint for the development of a

diverse repertoire of Notch-based biologics.

RESULTS

Soluble DLL4 ligand multimers do not activate Notch signaling. As an initial attempt to

generate Notch agonists, we investigated whether soluble oligomers of an affinity-matured DLL4

ligand (Delta^{MAX}) activate Notch signaling[29]. Delta^{MAX} contains ten mutations that increase its

affinity for human Notch receptors by 500- to 1000-fold, making it a more potent activator than

DLL4 in co-culture and plate-bound formats[29]. We hypothesized that this increased affinity,

coupled with receptor crosslinking through multimerization, could introduce tension in the absence

of an endocytic pulling force. To test this hypothesis, we incubated Notch1-Gal4 mCitrine reporter

cells[30] with soluble and immobilized Delta^{MAX} multimers (Fig. 1a-c). Delta^{MAX} dimers were

generated through the C-terminal addition of a dimeric human IgG1 Fc domain (Fig. 1b), and

tetramers were generated by pre-mixing a 4:1 molar ratio of biotinylated Delta^{MAX} with streptavidin

(SA, Fig. 1c). We found that neither the monomers nor the multimers induced reporter activity. By

contrast, the plated Delta^{MAX} ligands potently stimulated Notch1 activation (Fig. 1). This indicates

that the receptor crosslinking by Delta^{MAX}-Fc dimers and Delta^{MAX}-SA tetramers is insufficient for

signaling activation.

Design of synthetic Notch agonists. To develop soluble Notch agonists, we engineered bispecific proteins that recapitulate the endocytosis-linked activation mechanism of DLL and JAG ligands (Fig. 2a). SNAGs were created by fusing Delta^{MAX} to the N-terminus of biomarker-targeting antibody fragments via a flexible (GS)$_5$ linker, or by fusing Delta^{MAX} and antibody fragments to the N- and C-termini of a dimeric IgG1 Fc domain (Fig. 2b). These design concepts are intended to form a "molecular bridge" between Notch-expressing cells and cells that express a given surface protein. Conceptually, SNAGs should then activate Notch if the enforced interactions induce endocytic or tensile force capable of unfolding the NRR.

SNAGs rescue the signaling of a signaling-deficient DLL4 mutant. To demonstrate proof-of-concept, we tested whether a SNAG could rescue the activity of a signaling-deficient DLL4 mutant. Loss-of-function DLL4 cells were generated by expressing a "headless" DLL4 truncation where the Notch-binding C2 and DSL domains[6,31] were replaced with a BC2 epitope tag (BC2-DLL4^{HL}) (Fig. 3a)[32]. BC2-SNAGs were then generated by fusing Delta^{MAX} to a BC2-specific nanobody (Figs. 3a-b). We found that BC2-DLL4^{HL} cells alone did not activate signaling in a Notch1-Gal4 mCitrine reporter assay, whereas the addition of 1 nM to 100 nM concentrations of SNAGs stimulated a dose-dependent increase in reporter activity (Fig. 3c). Monomeric BC2-SNAGs containing the (GS)$_5$ linker (BC2-SNAG) simulated a ~6-fold increase in Notch1 signaling, whereas dimeric BC2-SNAG Fc fusion proteins (BC2-SNAG^{Fc}) were more effective and induced a ~10-fold increase (Fig. 3c). Importantly, administration of the monomeric or dimeric BC-SNAGs alone did not substantially increase Notch1 reporter activity, indicating that a mixture of target-expressing and non-expressing cells is required for SNAG-mediated activation (Fig. 3c).

SNAGs targeting tumor antigens activate Notch in mixed cell populations. We next tested whether SNAGs targeting the tumor antigens PDL1, CD19, or HER2 can stimulate Notch activation. There is mounting evidence that Notch signaling enhances the function of activated T cells[22–24], and SNAGs localized to the tumor microenvironment have the potential to stimulate localized activation of tumor-associated lymphocytes. For these SNAGs, the targeting arms were derived from antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) that were pre-selected for their ability to induce target internalization. We hypothesized that SNAGs incorporating ADC antibodies could thus mimic the physiological endocytosis mechanism of DLL or JAG ligands.

We generated monomeric and dimeric PDL1-SNAGs by fusing Delta^{MAX} to a single-chain variable

fragment (scFv) derived from the ADC antibody Atezolizumab[33,34]. In the monomeric PDL1-

SNAG, Delta^{MAX} and the scFv were connecting using a (GS)$_5$ linker, and in the dimeric PDL1-

SNAG (PDL1-SNAG^{Fc}), Delta^{MAX} and the scFv were fused to the N-and C-termini of an IgG1 Fc

domain. Unexpectedly, addition of the monomeric PDL1-SNAG to a 1:1 mixture of Notch1 reporter

cells and PDL1-expressing MDA-MB-231 cells did not activate Notch1 (Fig. 4a). However, the

dimeric PDL1-SNAG^{Fc} protein stimulated a ~7-fold increase in Notch1 signaling in the coculture,

suggesting that multimerization or avidity-enhancement may be required for SNAGs to effectively

target biomarkers other than Notch ligands (Fig. 4a). Neither the PDL1-SNAG nor the PDL1-

SNAG^{Fc} substantially increased Notch1 reporter activity in the absence of MDA-MB-231 cells.

Because of the increased efficacy of the dimeric SNAGs (Fig. 3c, Fig. 4a), we designed

subsequent SNAGs using only the Fc-fusion format.

SNAGs do not activate signaling on cells expressing both Notch1 and PDL1. Given the

ubiquitous expression of Notch1 in mammalian cells, it is conceivable that SNAGs could activate

signaling when Notch1 and the target protein are both present on the cell surface. To test this

possibility, we cultured MDA-MB-231 cells in the presence of soluble Delta^{MAX}-Fc, PDL1-SNAG^{Fc},

or immobilized Delta^{MAX}-Fc and monitored the levels activated Notch1 by Western Blot (Fig. 4b).

We found that the plated Delta^{MAX}-Fc protein stimulated high levels of Notch1 activation, whereas

the PDL1-SNAG-Fc did not induce signaling over the background levels observed for soluble

Delta^{MAX}-Fc alone (Fig. 4b). The inability of SNAGs to activate Notch1 in MDA-MB-231 cells that

the present design does not enable sufficient intercellular crosslinking in cultures of cells

expressing both Notch1 and the biomarker.

Development of SNAGs targeting HER2 and CD19. The optimization of PDL1-SNAGs guided

our design of additional SNAGs targeting the B cell lymphoma antigen CD19 and the breast

cancer antigen HER2. To generate a CD19-SNAG construct, we fused an scFv derived from the

CD19-targeting ADC loncastuximab[35] to the C-terminus of Delta^{MAX}-Fc (CD19-SNAG^{Fc}). The

CD19-SNAG was then added to Notch1 reporter cells or to co-cultures of Notch1 reporter cells

and CD19-overexpressing 3T3 fibroblast cells. We found that the CD19-SNAG^{Fc} protein

stimulated up to a 6-fold increase in reporter activity in the co-culture compared to untreated

Notch1 cells (Fig. 4c). For the HER2-SNAG^{Fc} construct, we used an scFv derived from the HER2-

targeting ADC trastuzumab[36] as the targeting arm. Addition of the HER2-SNAG^{Fc} to a mixed

culture of Notch1 reporter cells and HER2-expressing SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells induced a 6-
fold increase in reporter activity (Fig. 4d) at the highest concentration tested (100 nM), which is
similar to the level of activation we observed for the PDL1-SNAG[Fc] and the CD19-SNAG[Fc]
constructs (Fig. 4a-c). In the absence of biomarker-expressing cells, neither the CD19-SNAG[Fc]
nor the HER2-SNAG[Fc] stimulated a significant increase in signaling compared to Delta[MAX]-Fc
alone (Fig. 4). Collectively, these data indicate SNAGs may be adapted to target a wide range of
cell surface proteins.

Endocytosis is required for SNAG-mediated Notch activation. Ligand endocytosis is
important for Notch activation[37], and this process is regulated by ubiquitination of DLL or JAG
ICDs by the E3 ligase Mindbomb1[38–40]. To test whether endocytosis is necessary for SNAG
function, we co-administered SNAGs with the dynamin-dependent endocytosis inhibitor Dynasore
(Fig. 5)[41]. We found that BC2-SNAGs targeting DLL4[HL] were unable to activate Notch1 in co-
cultures of Notch1 and DLL4[HL] cells in the presence of Dynasore, indicating that endocytosis is
required for SNAG-mediated rescue of DLL4 signaling (Fig. 5a-b). Interestingly, we found that
immobilized SNAGs were also unable to activate Notch1 in the presence of Dynasore, suggesting
that endocytosis is also essential for Notch activation by plated ligands (Fig. 5c). We next tested
whether Dynasore inhibits the function of a SNAGs targeting a cell surface protein that was not
derived from a natural ligand. We found that Dynasore completely ablated the activity of CD19-
SNAG[Fc] in co-cultures of Notch1- and CD19-expressing cells (Fig. 5d). These studies
demonstrate that Notch activation by plated ligands, SNAGs targeting a DLL4 loss-of-function
mutant, and SNAGs targeting tumor antigens each depend on endocytosis. However, we were
unable to conclude whether endocytosis of the receptor, ligand, or both, is essential for SNAG
function.

DISCUSSION
The development of soluble agonists has been an enduring challenge in the Notch field[18,42]. The
SNAG platform described here provides a potential solution to this problem and provides a
framework for the development of a diverse array of Notch activating biologics. Such agents have
a wide range of potential translational applications, particularly in cancers where Notch functions
as a tumor suppressor[16], T cell manufacturing[20,26], T cell immunotherapy[22–24], wound healing[43],
and other areas of regenerative medicine. These first-generation SNAGs were engineered using

an Fc-fusion format used in clinically viable protein drugs, which may also help to accelerate in
vivo translation.

In their present form, SNAGs facilitate potent activation of Notch signaling in mixed populations
of cells. However, we anticipate that the design may be tuned to further optimize SNAG function.
For example, it may be preferable to engineer SNAGs such that the target binding arm has a
higher binding affinity than the Notch-binding arm to improve specificity and tissue distribution.
Such strategies have been successfully employed both for bispecific inhibitory antibodies[44] and T
cell engagers[45]. Additionally, higher-order oligomers beyond the monomeric and dimeric SNAG
scaffolds tested here may lead to increased signaling potency. Future studies will focus on
optimizing affinity and multimerization to maximize signaling while maintaining favorable
biochemical properties.

One surprising observation was that PDL1-SNAGs did not activate signaling on cells expressing
both PDL1 and Notch1. We speculate that these SNAGs engage the two targets in cis on the
surface of a single cell, as opposed to bridging PDL1 and Notch1 proteins between cells, and that
cis interactions do not introduce sufficient tension to unfold the NRR. This may be attributed to
the restricted diffusion of SNAGs in the 2-dimensional environment of the membrane, which can
promote preferential cis interactions by increasing in local concentration. Previous studies have
shown that cis inhibition of Notch signaling occurs when ligands and receptors are expressed on
the same cell[30,46], and it appears that SNAGs are similarly unable to activate Notch in this context.
Regardless, the ability of SNAGs to mediate unidirectional signaling enables highly selective
targeting, which could minimize the risks of potential toxicity from global Notch agonism.

Although SNAGs are effective in mixed cell populations, the development of "unconditional"
agonists that do not rely on a secondary target remains an unsolved problem. Thus far, it appears
that the metastable NRR of Notch3 is uniquely susceptible to antibody-mediated
destabilization[28,47]. The engineering of agonists targeting other Notch receptors with more stable
NRRs may require alternative solutions. The successful activation of Notch1 with ligands
immobilized on beads[26] or DNA origami structures[48] suggests that oligomerization may be an
effective strategy, but these methods are not currently viable for in vivo applications. Despite
these limitations, the development of SNAGs represents a key first step towards the widespread
development of Notch activating molecules for basic and translational research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

V.C.L. and D.H.P. wrote the manuscript. V.C.L., D.H.P, and D.A. designed the experiments. D.H.P. cloned the SNAG constructs, purified the proteins, and performed the signaling assays. E.M. and D.G.P. generated the Delta^{MAX} constructs. D.A. performed the Notch activation assays in MDA-MB-231 cells. V.C.L. supervised the project and edited the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

This project was supported by NIH R35GM133482 (V.C.L. and D.A.), the Sigrid Juselius Foundation (D.A.) and NIH R35 Diversity Supplement R35GM133482-03S2 (E.M.). V.C.L. is a Rita Allen Scholar. Shared resources were provided by the Moffitt Cancer Center Support Grant NIH P30CA076292.

COMPETING INTERESTS

V.C.L. is a consultant on unrelated projects for Cellestia Biotech, Remunix, and Curie.Bio. The remaining authors have no competing interests.

Figure. 1. Soluble Delta^{MAX} oligomers do not activate Notch signaling. (a) Flow cytometry histogram overlay of Notch1 reporter cells stimulated by soluble or plated (non-specifically adsorbed) Delta^{MAX} or Delta^{MAX} that had been adsorbed to tissue culture plates. The cartoon depicts the site-specifically biotinylated Delta^{MAX}(N-EGF5) construct. (b) Histogram overlay of Notch1 reporter cells stimulated with soluble or plated Delta^{MAX}-Fc protein. (c) Histogram overlay of Notch1 reporter cells stimulated with plated or soluble Delta^{MAX}-SA tetramers.

258
259

260   **Figure 2. Design concept for synthetic Notch agonists.** (a) Cartoon schematic depicting the

261   ECDs of Notch1 and DLL4 interacting during canonical Notch activation. The primary ligand-

262   binding region of Notch1 (EGF domains 11-12) and the primary receptor-binding region of DLL4

263   (C2 and DSL domains) are shaded. (b) Schematic of a generalized SNAG construct alongside a

264   cartoon depicting SNAG-mediated Notch activation.

Figure. 3. SNAGs rescue the signaling of a loss-of-function DLL4 mutant. (a) Cartoon

schematic depicting a SNAG binding to Notch1 and a loss-of-function DLL4 mutant. The

"headless" loss-of-function DLL4 protein (DLL4HL) was generated by replacing the Notch-binding

C2-DSL region with a BC2 peptide epitope recognized by the anti-BC2 nanobody. (b) Cartoon

schematic depicting the multivalent binding of a dimeric Fc-tagged SNAG (BC2-SNAGFc) to

Notch1 and "headless" DLL4. (c) A fluorescent reporter assay was used to evaluate SNAG-

mediated activation of Notch1. Increasing concentrations (1 nM, 10 nM, or 100 nM) of DeltaMAX-

Fc, BC2-SNAG, or BC2-SNAGFc were added to Notch1-Gal4 mCitrine reporter cells alone or a

1:1 mixture of Notch1 reporter cells and HEK293 cells expressing DLL4HL and fluorescence was

measured by flow cytometry. A representative experiment from three biological replicates is

shown. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was normalized to the mean MFI of Notch1 reporter

cells alone. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three technical replicates with the *P*

value by Student's *t* test shown above each comparison.

Figure. 4. SNAGs targeting tumor antigens activate Notch in mixed cell populations. (a)

PDL1-SNAG-mediated activation of Notch1 was evaluated in a fluorescent reporter assay.

Increasing concentrations (1 nM, 10 nM, or 100 nM) of Delta^{MAX}-Fc, PDL1-SNAG, or PDL1-

SNAG^{Fc} were added to Notch1-Gal4 mCitrine reporter cells alone, or a 1:1 mixture of Notch1

reporter cells and MDA-MB-231 cells. (b) Activation of Notch1 in MDA-MB-231 cells, which

express both PDL1 and Notch1, was assessed by Western Blot using an antibody against the

activated NICD. (c, d). Notch1 activation by CD19-SNAGs and HER2-SNAGs, was evaluated

using a fluorescent reporter assay. Increasing concentrations (1 nM, 10 nM, or 100 nM) of

Delta^{MAX}-Fc or each SNAG^{Fc} were added to Notch1-Gal4 mCitrine reporter cells alone, or a 1:1

mixture of Notch1 reporter cells and CD19-overexpressing 3T3 cells (c) or HER2-expressing SK-

BR-3 cells (d). For a, c, and d, a representative experiment from three biological replicates is

shown. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was normalized to the mean MFI of Notch1 reporter

cells alone. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three technical replicates with the *P*

value by Student's *t* test shown above each comparison.

303
304

Figure 5. SNAG-mediated Notch activation requires endocytosis. (a) Flow cytometry
histogram overlay depicting Notch1 reporter activity induced by immobilized BC2-SNAG in the
presence or absence of Dynasore. (b-d) Flow cytometry histogram overlays depicting Notch1
reporter activity induced by soluble BC2-SNAG, BC2-SNAG^Fc, or CD19-SNAG^Fc in the presence
or absence of Dynasore. In (b) and (c), Notch1 reporter cells were co-cultured with HEK293 cells
expressing DLL4^HL. In (d) Notch1 reporter cells were co-cultured with CD19-overexpressing 3T3
cells. A representative histogram is shown for each experimental condition from one of three
biological replicates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Protein expression and purification

All SNAG sequences were cloned into a pAcGP67A vector for insect cell production containing an N-terminal gp67 signal peptide and C-terminal 8xHis-tag. Monomeric SNAGs were generated by fusing a truncated version of the Delta^{MAX} protein spanning from the N-terminus to EGF5 (N-EGF5) fused to a biomarker-targeting scFv or nanobody using a flexible (GS)$_5$ linker. Dimeric SNAG^{Fc} constructs were generated by fusing Delta^{MAX} (N-EGF5) and the biomarker targeting module to the N- and C-termini of a human IgG1 Fc domain, respectively. All SNAG^{Fc} constructs contained short GSG-linkers between the Fc sequence and Delta^{MAX} or the targeting module. Published sequences of atezolizumab, trastuzumab, and loncastuximab[49] were converted into a scFv format prior to being incorporated into SNAGs, and the sequence of the BC2-specific nanobody[32] was obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID 5VIN). Each scFv was generated by fusing the C-terminus of the variable heavy (V$_H$) domain to the N-terminus of the variable light (V$_L$) domain with a (GGGGS)$_3$ linker. Biotinylated Delta^{MAX}(N-EGF5) protein was generated through enzymatic modification of a C-terminal biotin acceptor peptide (BirA tag) as previously described[29]. The "headless" loss-of-function DLL4^{HL} mutant was generated by replacing the C2 and DSL domains of human DLL4 with the BC2-peptide sequence, which was connected to the N-terminus of EGF1 by a short GSG-linker. The DLL4^{HL} construct was cloned into a pLenti-IRES-Puro vector for mammalian expression.

All SNAG constructs in this study were expressed for by infecting *Trichoplusia ni* insect cell cultures (Expression Systems) at a density of 2×10^6 cells ml^{-1} with recombinant Baculovirus. Culture supernatants were harvested after 48h, and proteins were purified by nickel and size-exclusion chromatography. Biotinylated proteins were site-specifically modified using BirA ligase and excess biotin was removed by purifying the proteins on a size-exclusion column. Protein purity was assessed by SDS–PAGE using TGX 12% Precast gels (Bio-Rad). All proteins were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80 °C following purification.

Cell culture and generation of cell lines

Mammalian cells were cultured at 37 °C, with a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2, washed with Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS, Corning), and detached with trypsin–EDTA 0.25% (Gibco) for subculturing or cell-based assays. Notch reporter cell lines CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 were a gift from Dr.

Michael Elowitz (California Institute of Technology)[30]. Briefly, transfections of HEK293T cells were

carried out with packaging vectors VSV-G and d8.9 in the presence of polyethyleneimine at a

ratio of 4:1 (DNA:polyethyleneimine). HER2+ SK-BR-3 cells, human CD19-overexpressing 3T3

cells, and PD-L1+ MDA-MB-231 cells were gifts from Drs. Brian Czerniecki, Fred Locke, and Eric

Lau, respectively (Moffit Cancer Center). HEK293T, SK-BR-3, 3T3 mouse fibroblast, and MDA-

MB-231 cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Cytiva) supplemented with 10% FBS (peak

serum) and 2% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco). Puromycin $5\,\mu g\,ml^{-1}$ was added to HEK293T cell

cultures to maintain homogeneous populations of receptor-expressing cells. CHO-K1 N1-Gal4

cells were cultured in minimum essential medium Eagle-alpha modification (α-MEM, Cytiva)

supplemented with 10% FBS (peak serum), 2% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco), $400\,\mu g\,ml{-}1$ of

zeocin (Alfa aesar) and $600\,\mu g\,ml{-}1$ of geneticin (Gibco). Expression of receptors on the cell

surface was confirmed by flow cytometry (BD Accuri C6 plus) staining the cell lines with a

biotinylated BC2-nanobody construct (streptavidin AF647), anti-hPDL1 FITC, anti-hHER2 rabbit

29D8 (anti-rabbit AF647), or anti-hCD19 FITC in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS for 1 h at

4 °C.

Notch activation with Delta^{MAX} multimers

On day one, biotinylated Delta^{MAX}, Delta^{MAX} tetramers formed with streptavidin, or Delta^{MAX}-Fc

were reconstituted in DPBS and adsorbed to tissue culture 96-well plates (Coastar) for 1 h at

37 °C. The wells were then washed three times with 200 µl of DPBS to remove unbound proteins.

Next, CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 cells were detached with trypsin–EDTA 0.25% (Gibco) and manually

counted. Appropriate dilutions were prepared in α-MEM media to ensure 30,000 CHO-K1 N1-

Gal4 cells per well in a volume of 50 µL. Cells were transferred to the ligand-coated plates and

cultured for 24 h at 37 °C in 5% CO2. On day two, CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 cells were washed with 200 µl

DPBS, detached with 30 µL of trypsin–EDTA 0.25%, and quenched with 170 µL of α-MEM media.

Finally, cells were resuspended, and the H2B-mCitrine signal was measured by flow cytometry

(BD Accuri C6 plus). CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 cells alone were used as the control. The measurements

represent the mean fluorescent intensity as fold-change of Notch activation±s.d. of three

technical replicates. Notch activation was normalized to wells containing CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 cells

alone.

Notch activation with SNAGs in coculture of cells expressing the target tumor biomarker

On day one, cells expressing the target receptor of the SNAG (signal-sending cells) were
detached with trypsin–EDTA, counted manually, and dilutions prepared such that 50 μL of DMEM
containing 15,000 signal-sender cells were added to wells of a tissue culture 96-well plate. The
next day, CHO-K1 N1-Gal4 reporter cells (signal-receiver cells) were detached with trypsin–
EDTA, and 50 μl of α-MEM media containing 30,000 cells were added to the tissue culture 96-
well plate containing the signal-sending cells after combining with the indicated Delta^{MAX} or SNAG
protein. Wells without signal-sending cells were used to determine background activation of Notch
by Delta^{MAX} and SNAGs. When testing inhibition of endocytosis, 80 μM of the Dynamin inhibitor I
(Dynasore, Sigma) was added to the mixture of Notch reporter cells with protein and added to the
tissue culture 96-well plate containing the signal-sending cells. Notch activation was measured
as previously described.
391
Testing for Notch1 activation by the PDL1-SNAG^{Fc} in MDA-MB-231 cells. Delta^{MAX} (100 nM
protein in 600 μL of DPBS) was non-specifically adsorbed to a single well of a 12-well plate for 1
hour at 37 °C as a positive control for Notch1 activation. The positive control well and three
additional wells were then seeded with 200 x 10^3 cells with MDA-MB-231 cells. The plate was
centrifuged at 400 x g for 4 min to ensure cells were retained at the bottom of each well, and then
the media of all wells was discarded. In the first uncoated well, 600 μL of DMEM was added as a
negative control. The second well was filled with 600 μL of media containing 100 nM of Delta^{MAX}-
Fc to monitor Notch1 activation by soluble ligand. The third was filled with 600 μL of media
containing 100 nM PDL1-SNAG^{Fc}. The following day, the media was aspirated from all four wells,
and the samples were resuspended in 60 μL of Laemli sample buffer with 5% β-mercaptoethanol
to lyse cells, followed by boiling at 100 °C for 4 min. Lastly, the samples were analyzed by western
blotting using equal protein amounts of cell lysates separated by SDS–PAGE (12% Mini-
PROTEAN TGX Precast Protein Gels, Bio-Rad) and transferred to PVDF membranes using an
iBlot2 Gel Transfer Device (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The membranes were blocked in 3%
BSA + 0.1% TBS-Tween. Primary antibodies were anti-Notch1 (D1E11 rabbit mAb, Cell Signaling
Technology, 1:1,000), anti-cleaved Notch1 (Val1744 rabbit mAb, Cell Signaling Technology,
1:1,000), and β-actin (rabbit polyclonal Ab, Cell Signaling Technology, 1:1,000). Secondary
antibody anti-Rabbit IgG conjugated to HRP (Goat polyclonal Ab, Vector Laboratories, 1:8,000)
was used for detection of proteins using SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent

Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Images were acquired using a Chemidoc Imaging System

and analyzed with Image-Lab v.6 software (Bio-Rad).

REFERENCES

1. Meloty-Kapella, L., Shergill, B., Kuon, J., Botvinick, E. & Weinmaster, G. Notch ligand

endocytosis generates mechanical pulling force dependent on dynamin, epsins, and actin.

Dev. Cell **22**, 1299–1312 (2012).

2. Wang, X. & Ha, T. Defining Single Molecular Forces Required to Activate Integrin and Notch

Signaling. *Science* **340**, 991–994 (2013).

3. Gordon, W. R. *et al.* Mechanical Allostery: Evidence for a Force Requirement in the

Proteolytic Activation of Notch. *Dev. Cell* **33**, 729–736 (2015).

4. Sprinzak, D. & Blacklow, S. C. Biophysics of Notch Signaling. *Annu Rev Biophys* **50**, 157–

189 (2021).

5. Rebay, I. *et al.* Specific EGF repeats of Notch mediate interactions with Delta and Serrate:

implications for Notch as a multifunctional receptor. *Cell* **67**, 687–699 (1991).

6. Luca, V. C. *et al.* Structural biology. Structural basis for Notch1 engagement of Delta-like 4.

Science **347**, 847–853 (2015).

7. Luca, V. C. *et al.* Notch-Jagged complex structure implicates a catch bond in tuning ligand

sensitivity. *Science* eaaf9739 (2017) doi:10.1126/science.aaf9739.

8. Gordon, W. R. *et al.* Structural basis for autoinhibition of Notch. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **14**,

295–300 (2007).

9. De Strooper, B. *et al.* A presenilin-1-dependent gamma-secretase-like protease mediates

release of Notch intracellular domain. *Nature* **398**, 518–522 (1999).

10. Brou, C. *et al.* A novel proteolytic cleavage involved in Notch signaling: the role of the

disintegrin-metalloprotease TACE. *Mol. Cell* **5**, 207–216 (2000).

11. Schroeter, E. H., Kisslinger, J. A. & Kopan, R. Notch-1 signalling requires ligand-induced

proteolytic release of intracellular domain. *Nature* **393**, 382–386 (1998).

12. Garg, V. *et al.* Mutations in NOTCH1 cause aortic valve disease. *Nature* **437**, 270–274

(2005).

13. Li, L. *et al.* Alagille syndrome is caused by mutations in human Jagged1, which encodes a

ligand for Notch1. *Nat. Genet.* **16**, 243–251 (1997).

14. Joutel, A. *et al.* Notch3 mutations in CADASIL, a hereditary adult-onset condition causing

stroke and dementia. *Nature* **383**, 707–710 (1996).

15. Bulman, M. P. *et al.* Mutations in the human delta homologue, DLL3, cause axial skeletal

defects in spondylocostal dysostosis. *Nat Genet* **24**, 438–441 (2000).

16. Radtke, F. & Raj, K. The role of Notch in tumorigenesis: oncogene or tumour suppressor?

Nat Rev Cancer **3**, 756–767 (2003).

17. Hori, K., Sen, A. & Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. Notch signaling at a glance. *Journal of Cell*

Science **126**, 2135–2140 (2013).

18. Andersson, E. R. & Lendahl, U. Therapeutic modulation of Notch signalling--are we there

yet? *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **13**, 357–378 (2014).

19. Brandstadter, J. D. & Maillard, I. Notch signalling in T cell homeostasis and differentiation.

Open Biol **9**, 190187 (2019).

20. Schmitt, T. M. & Zúñiga-Pflücker, J. C. Induction of T Cell Development from Hematopoietic

Progenitor Cells by Delta-like-1 In Vitro. *Immunity* **17**, 749–756 (2002).

21. Kelliher, M. A. & Roderick, J. E. NOTCH Signaling in T-Cell-Mediated Anti-Tumor Immunity

and T-Cell-Based Immunotherapies. *Front Immunol* **9**, 1718 (2018).

22. Sierra, R. A. *et al.* Rescue of notch-1 signaling in antigen-specific CD8+ T cells overcomes

tumor-induced T-cell suppression and enhances immunotherapy in cancer. *Cancer Immunol*

Res **2**, 800–811 (2014).

23. Wilkens, A. B. *et al.* NOTCH1 signaling during CD4+ T-cell activation alters transcription

factor networks and enhances antigen responsiveness. *Blood* **140**, 2261–2275 (2022).

24. Kondo, T. *et al.* Notch-mediated conversion of activated T cells into stem cell memory-like T

cells for adoptive immunotherapy. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15338 (2017).

25. Varnum-Finney, B. *et al.* Immobilization of Notch ligand, Delta-1, is required for induction of

notch signaling. *J. Cell. Sci.* **113 Pt 23**, 4313–4318 (2000).

26. Trotman-Grant, A. C. *et al.* DL4-µbeads induce T cell lineage differentiation from stem cells

in a stromal cell-free system. *Nat Commun* **12**, 5023 (2021).

27. Li, K. *et al.* Modulation of Notch Signaling by Antibodies Specific for the Extracellular

Negative Regulatory Region of NOTCH3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 8046–8054 (2008).

28. Tiyanont, K., Wales, T. E., Siebel, C. W., Engen, J. R. & Blacklow, S. C. Insights into Notch3

Activation and Inhibition Mediated by Antibodies Directed Against its Negative Regulatory

Region. *J Mol Biol* **425**, 3192–3204 (2013).

29. Gonzalez-Perez, D. *et al.* Affinity-matured DLL4 ligands as broad-spectrum modulators of

Notch signaling. *Nat Chem Biol* 1–9 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41589-022-01113-4.

30. Sprinzak, D. *et al.* Cis-interactions between Notch and Delta generate mutually exclusive

signalling states. *Nature* **465**, 86–90 (2010).

31. Cordle, J. *et al.* A conserved face of the Jagged/Serrate DSL domain is involved in Notch

trans-activation and cis-inhibition. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **15**, 849–857 (2008).

32. Braun, M. B. *et al.* Peptides in headlock – a novel high-affinity and versatile peptide-binding

nanobody for proteomics and microscopy. *Sci Rep* **6**, (2016).

33. Powles, T. *et al.* MPDL3280A (anti-PD-L1) treatment leads to clinical activity in metastatic

bladder cancer. *Nature* **515**, 558–562 (2014).

34. Xiao, D. *et al.* Development of bifunctional anti-PD-L1 antibody MMAE conjugate with

cytotoxicity and immunostimulation. *Bioorganic Chemistry* **116**, 105366 (2021).

35. Zammarchi, F. *et al.* ADCT-402, a PBD dimer-containing antibody drug conjugate targeting

CD19-expressing malignancies. *Blood* **131**, 1094–1105 (2018).

36. Lewis Phillips, G. D. *et al.* Targeting HER2-positive breast cancer with trastuzumab-DM1, an

antibody-cytotoxic drug conjugate. *Cancer Res* **68**, 9280–9290 (2008).

37. Parks, A. L., Klueg, K. M., Stout, J. R. & Muskavitch, M. A. Ligand endocytosis drives

receptor dissociation and activation in the Notch pathway. *Development* **127**, 1373–1385

(2000).

38. Koo, B.-K. *et al.* An obligatory role of mind bomb-1 in notch signaling of mammalian

development. *PLoS One* **2**, e1221 (2007).

39. McMillan, B. J. *et al.* A tail of two sites: a bipartite mechanism for recognition of notch

ligands by mind bomb E3 ligases. *Mol Cell* **57**, 912–924 (2015).

40. Cao, R. *et al.* Structural Requirements for Activity of Mind bomb1 in Notch Signaling.

bioRxiv 2024.03.01.582834 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.03.01.582834.

41. Kirchhausen, T., Macia, E. & Pelish, H. E. USE OF DYNASORE, THE SMALL MOLECULE

INHIBITOR OF DYNAMIN, IN THE REGULATION OF ENDOCYTOSIS. *Methods Enzymol*

438, 77–93 (2008).

42. Medina, E., Perez, D. H., Antfolk, D. & Luca, V. C. New tricks for an old pathway: emerging

Notch-based biotechnologies and therapeutics. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* S0165-

6147(23)00213–4 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.tips.2023.09.011.

43. Bonnici, L., Suleiman, S., Schembri-Wismayer, P. & Cassar, A. Targeting Signalling

Pathways in Chronic Wound Healing. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **25**, 50

(2024).

44. Mazor, Y. *et al.* Enhanced tumor-targeting selectivity by modulating bispecific antibody

binding affinity and format valence. *Sci Rep* **7**, 40098 (2017).

45. Haber, L. *et al.* Generation of T-cell-redirecting bispecific antibodies with differentiated

profiles of cytokine release and biodistribution by CD3 affinity tuning. *Sci Rep* **11**, 14397

(2021).

46. del Álamo, D., Rouault, H. & Schweisguth, F. Mechanism and Significance of cis-Inhibition

in Notch Signalling. *Current Biology* **21**, R40–R47 (2011).

47. Xu, X. *et al.* Insights into Autoregulation of Notch3 from Structural and Functional Studies of

Its Negative Regulatory Region. *Structure* **23**, 1227–1235 (2015).

48. Smyrlaki, I. *et al.* Soluble and multivalent Jag1 DNA origami nanopatterns activate Notch

without pulling force. *Nat Commun* **15**, 465 (2024).

49. Abanades, B. *et al.* The Patent and Literature Antibody Database (PLAbDab): an evolving

reference set of functionally diverse, literature-annotated antibody sequences and

structures. *Nucleic Acids Research* **52**, D545–D551 (2024).

523