The danger zone: the joint trap of incomplete lineage sorting and long-branch

attraction in resolving the Gondwanan origin of Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae

Liming Cai[1,2], Natalia Pabón-Mora[3], Juan F. Alzate[4], Liang Liu[5], Charles C. Davis[2]

[1]Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA
[2]Department of Organismal Biology, Harvard University Herbaria, Harvard University,
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA
[3] Instituto de Biología, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia
[4] Facultad de Medicina, Centro Nacional de Secuenciación Genómica, Sede de Investigación
Universitaria, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia
[5]Department of Statistics, the University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
14

Abstract

Two key factors have been implicated as major impediments to phylogenomic inference:

incomplete lineage sorting (ILS)—especially in cases where clades are in the so-called

anomaly zone—and erroneous gene tree estimation—commonly manifested by long-

branch attraction in the Felsenstein zone. Rafflesiaceae (Malpighiales) is an iconic parasitic

plant clade whose species occur west of Wallace's line in tropical Southeast Asia. This clade

has been notoriously difficult to place phylogenetically and is nested within an explosive

ancient radiation, rendering the Malpighiales one of the thorniest nodes across the

angiosperm Tree of Life. The parasitic family Apodanthaceae has recently been positioned

as sister to Rafflesiaceae, offering the hope to stabilize their placements. Here, using a

dataset of 2,135 genes and complex species tree inference methods, the monophyletic

Rafflesiaceae+Apodanthaceae clade is variously placed with Euphorbiaceae, Peraceae,

Putranjivaceae, and Pandaceae. Such unstable placements appear to be the result of

excessive levels of rate heterogeneity and ILS, which contribute to a phylogenetic "danger

zone" where simulation suggests that current methods and genomic data will never

provide a tidy phylogenetic resolution for species trees alike. Despite the topological

uncertainty, however, our divergence time estimation identifies a mid-Cretaceous origin of

stem group Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, not only making them the oldest parasitic

plant lineage reported to date, but also suggests a likely Gondwana vicariance scenario to

explain their disjunct distribution in South America, Africa, Australia, and Northern India.

Keywords

Adaptive radiation, long branch attraction, anomaly zone, composition bias, heterotachy,

PhyloBayes, divergence time, biogeography

Introduction

Adaptive radiation is one of the most fascinating phenomena in evolutionary ecology; it

occurs when a single lineage rapidly diverges into many descendant lineages each with

substantial changes in their morphology, behavior, and physiology (Schluter 2000; Losos

and Mahler 2010). Well-known examples include three-spined sticklebacks, Galapagos

finches, Caribbean anoles, and Hawaiian silverswords and lobeliads (Schluter and McPhail

1992; Baldwin 1997; Grant and Grant 2007; Givnish et al. 2009; Losos 2011). Despite the

intriguing nature of adaptive radiations, such clades often present challenges for

phylogenetic inference because they frequently exhibit a combination of short and long

branches resulting from extensive accumulation of mutations (Glor 2010; Walker and

Smith 2023). Species trees involving adaptive radiations are likely to fall within the

"anomaly zone" in which the most common gene tree differs from the species tree,

resulting in a potentially high amount of incomplete lineage sorting (ILS) (Degnan and

Rosenberg 2006). In addition, mutational saturation and rate heterogeneity among

descendant branches in these radiations can lead to various systematic errors, including

long-branch attraction and compositional bias (Kapli et al. 2020).

These factors are further confounded when the radiation is ancient, as in the case of

Malpighiales—an apparent explosive Cretaceous radiation that has produced plants with

diverse morphologies ranging from tropical mangroves (Rhizophoraceae) to submerged

aquatics (Podostemaceae) (Davis et al. 2005; Wurdack and Davis 2009; Cai et al. 2020).

Among the strangest of all malpighialean members is the parasitic family, Rafflesiaceae,

whose species produce the world's largest flowers (Davis et al. 2007; Nikolov and Davis

2017). Until recently, the large-flowered Rafflesiaceae *sensu stricto* (up to 1 m) were

thought to be the only parasites within Malpighiales (Barkman et al. 2004; Davis and

Wurdack 2004; Davis et al. 2007; Wurdack and Davis 2009), but Alzate et al. (2024)

demonstrated the surprising placement of the small-flowered endoparasite Apodanthaceae

species (less than 0.5 cm) within Malpighiales as sister to Rafflesiaceae. Their hypothesized

synapomorphies include most notably the endophytic habit (endoparasite) where the plant

persists as strands of cells with no distinguishable vegetative organs embedded in their

hosts; until their flowers emerge briefly for sexual reproduction (Kuijt 1969; Nikolov et al.

2013; Thorogood et al. 2021; Teixeira-Costa and Davis 2021; González and Pabón-Mora

2017; Alzate et al. 2024). However, the two families have very distinct geographic

distributions and host preferences. Rafflesiaceae parasitize vines of *Tetrastigma* (Vitaceae)

and grow west of Wallace's line in tropical Southeast Asia (Kuijt 1969; Nikolov et al. 2013).

In contrast, Apodanthaceae exhibits a pantropical distribution with disjunct occurrences in

subtropics and the Mediterranean. Members of Apodanthaceae parasitize Salicaceae and

diverse Fabaceae shrubs and trees (Bellot and Renner 2014).

Reconstructing the early evolutionary history of these two parasitic families, including

their phylogenetic placements and divergence time, will provide important insights into

the biogeographical and ecological processes shaping these extraordinary parasites. Yet the

path to their resolution has been slow. Rafflesiaceae has been proposed as sister to

Passifloraceae and Euphorbiaceae *sensu stricto* within Malpighiales (Barkman et al. 2004;

Nickrent et al. 2004; Davis et al. 2007; Bendiksby et al. 2010) and Apodanthaceae was a

widely accepted member of Cucurbitales (Filipowicz and Renner 2010). The lack of

genomic resources, excessive rate heterogeneity, and horizontal gene transfer have

substantially impeded these previous investigations. The recently available genomic and

transcriptomic resources in both families provide a promising opportunity to expand the

toolkit for resolving their placement. In particular, the addition of Apodanthaceae offers

hope of breaking up the long branch associated with stem group Rafflesiaceae. Despite this

promise, there are four genomic aspects that will likely confound phylogenomic

investigations. First, nearly half of the conserved genes in Rafflesiaceae (and likely in

Apodanthaceae) have been lost due to the evolution of endoparasitism (Cai et al. 2021a),

which greatly restricts the repertoire of genes for phylogenomic research. Moreover, these

gene losses are overrepresented in housekeeping functions such as photosynthesis and

plastid organization, which may lead to incorrect ortholog assessments during

phylogenetic analyses (Xiong et al. 2022). Second, parasitic plants are known to acquire

foreign genetic materials from their hosts via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) (Davis and

Wurdack 2004; Xi et al. 2012; Xi et al. 2013). The incorrect placement of Apodanthaceae in

Cucurbitales is likely an outcome of horizontally transferred *matR* and nuclear 18S from

ancestral hosts (Filipowicz and Renner 2010). Third, the well-characterized high

substitution rate in Rafflesiaceae may lead to spurious species tree reconstruction because

of long-branch attraction (Nickrent and Starr 1994; Nickrent and Duff 1996). This

phenomenon was shown in all three cellular genomes using representative genes across

multiple independently evolved parasitic lineages (Young and dePamphilis 2005;

Bromham et al. 2013) and has been demonstrated to span nearly every gene across the

entire nuclear genome in Rafflesiaceae (Cai et al. 2021). Fourth, the unusual AT-rich (GC%

= 24%) genome content of Rafflesiaceae likely contributes to compositional bias that may

incorrectly group distantly related taxa as close relatives (Foster and Hickey 1999; Knight

et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2004). Little is known regarding the substitution rates and AT

content in Apodanthaceae. These confounding factors make the placement of this enigmatic

clade incredibly challenging, but this is further compounded by the nature of their

inclusion in the large and diverse order Malpighiales.

The ancient radiation of Malpighiales includes nine of the ten most phylogenetically

recalcitrant angiosperm clades (Smith et al. 2013; Cai et al. 2019; Cai et al. 2020), easily

placing its members as the most extraordinary and difficult phylogenomic problem to

resolve in angiosperms. In addition, the potentially greatly accelerated substitution rates in

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae combined with the previously summarized genomic

anomalies attributable to their parasitic lifestyle make this an even greater intractable

phylogenomic problem. This parasitic plant clade falls into a joint trap that we term "the

danger zone" of ILS and long-branch attraction. The "danger zone" represents a perturbing

combination not conducive to achieving phylogenetic resolution. But we envision that a

careful assessment of these factors will yield insights into how to tackle an enormous

phylogenomic challenge, which is likely to be found elsewhere in the tree of life. Here, using

2,135 genes including a broad sampling of Malpighiales, we tackle the joint problem of ILS

and long-branch attraction using a combination of approaches that accommodate

genealogical heterogeneity among loci and rate heterogeneity among sites. Our divergence

time estimation demonstrated an ancient Gondwanan divergence of Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae providing insight into their disjunct distribution and distinct host

preference.

RESULTS

Phylogenomic datasets

By combining data from new RNA sequencing data from Apodanthaceae and two published

genome and transcriptome studies within Malpighiales (Cai et al. 2019; Cai et al. 2021), we

generated a phylogenomic dataset of 2,135 loci (referred to as G2135 hereafter; Data S1),

each containing at least 10 out of 45 species in Malpighiales (Tables S1-2). A phylogenetic

based approach from Yang and Smith (2014) was applied to remove paralogs and potential

HGTs (Fig. S1). These 45 species included all three genera of Rafflesiaceae (*Sapria, Rafflesia,*

and *Rhizanthes*), both genera from Apodanthaceae (*Apodanthes* and *Pilostyles*), and three

outgroup species from Oxalidales and Celastrales. Individual DNA and protein alignments

were filtered by the profile hidden Markov models implemented in HmmCleaner (Di

Franco et al. 2019). The final concatenated matrix consisted of 3,099,966 DNA codon sites

(1,033,322 protein sites) with a gene and character occupancy of 70.3% and 75.5%,

respectively. Each locus on average contained 32 species and the median length of DNA

alignment was 1,260 bp after trimming. The mean branch support for maximum likelihood

(ML) gene trees was 72.0 based on ultrafast bootstrap replicates (UFBP) (Hoang et al.

2018), but the mean support was only 57.4 UFBP for the placement of Rafflesiaceae and

36.7 UFBP for Apodanthaceae (Table S2). In addition to this complete dataset, we compiled

two subsampled datasets with 446 (G446) and 829 loci (G829). The G446 dataset

contained loci where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae were monophyletic in individual

gene trees (Table S3; Data S1). The G829 dataset was a subset of lock-like loci suitable for

divergence time estimation and the application of computationally intensive mixture

models (Data S1). See Methods below for details on subsampling criteria. All curated

alignments and gene trees are available as supplementary data on Zenodo

(10.5281/zenodo.11643025).

Identifying suitable genes for placing Rafflesiaceae

To identify suitable genes for phylogenetic reconstruction, especially regarding the

placement of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, we used fourteen metrics to quantify

various aspects of phylogenetic properties (Table S2). These metrics included six tree-wise

features such as number of species, number of parsimony informative sites, average branch

support, total tree length, root-to-tip distance variance, and gene tree–species tree

congruence; and eight metrics specific to Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, including their

DNA compositional bias, lineage-specific branch lengths, and branch support. These

fourteen metrics were significantly correlated in 50 out of the 91 comparisons (Pearson

correlation p-value <0.05; Fig. S2). For example, the mean branch support, which is a

widely applied metric for selecting high-quality phylogenetic markers, was positively

correlated with the number of parsimony informative sites (p-value = 8.9e-16; Pearson

correlation coefficient = 0.225) and gene tree–species tree congruence (p-value = 0;

Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.303). Rafflesiaceae- and Apodanthaceae-specific

features were strongly correlated with each other, but relatively independent of the tree-

wise metrics. For example, the branch support of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae was

only significantly positively correlated with each other and their branch lengths, but not

any tree-wise features (Fig. S2). The only exceptions involved the correlated branch length

between Rafflesiaceae, Apodanthaceae, and the total tree length and variation because the

long terminal branches of these parasites had a substantial influence on the global mean.

Initial phylogenetic reconstructions yielded weakly supported species trees

Explorative phylogenetic reconstructions using concatenation and coalescent methods

unanimously supported the monophyly of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (100 UFBP or

1.0 posterior probability (PP) in all 22 analyses). Despite the effort to mitigate homoplasy,

rate heterogeneity, and ILS, the relative replacement of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae

within Malpighiales remained elusive (Table 1; Table S4; Data S2).

Concatenated DNA analyses of the G2135 dataset placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae

as sister to the MRCA of Rhizophoraceae+Erythroxylaceae and Ixonanthaceae with

moderate to high support (89–99 UFBP; Analysis 1 and 2 in Table 1). However, reduced

support and alternative topologies were obtained when attempting to mitigate saturation

by removing the third codon position or using protein sequences. For example, after

removing the third codon position and applying the more stringent alignment site

homology filtering criteria, Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae were placed as sister to

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae with low support (33-38 UFBP) based on DNA and protein

alignments (Analysis 4 and 7 in Table 1). The application of complex heterotachy and

profile mixture models did not improve the result either. The DNA analysis using the

GHOST heterotachy model with four mixture classes placed Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae with Linaceae with a moderate support of 63 UFBP. Application of the

protein profile mixture model placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae with the MRCA of

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae, and Pandaceae (69 UFBP). Finally, we explored

the performance of an *a posteriori* set of 446 loci (G446) where Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae were monophyletic in gene trees. Both DNA and protein analyses of G446

placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae as sister to all other members of the Malpighiales

with low support (44–55 UFBP; Analysis 6 and 10 in Table 1).

Compared to concatenation, coalescent analyses recovered more consistent results. Ten

out of the twelve coalescent analyses placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae variously

with Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae, and Rhizophoraceae+

Erythroxylaceae with moderate to low support (0.35–0.99 PP; 7–20 BP; Analysis 11-22 in

Table 1). Even when using the G446 dataset, both ASTRAL and MP-EST placed Rafflesiaceae

and Apodanthaceae as sister to the MRCA of Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae, and

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae. However, in two analyses using the gene trees inferred from the

GTR model *without* rate heterogeneity across sites, both ASTRAL and MP-EST placed

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae with Linaceae (0.99 PP in ASTRAL and 4 BP in MP-EST).

In particular, gene trees from this enforced GTR model recovered an exceptionally high

proportion of monophyletic Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (n = 653) among individual

gene trees compared to models allowing for rate heterogeneity across sites (n = 423–446;

Table S3).

Despite these conflicts, initial analyses using concatenation and coalescent frequently

placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae with four clades consisting of

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae, and

Erythroxylaceae+Rhizophoraceae. The divergence between these clades was characterized

by a series of short internal branches, leading to extensive phylogenetic conflicts (Fig.1).

The concordant gene frequencies (gCF) among these four clades plus Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae, defined as the percentage of decisive gene trees supporting that branch

(Minh, Hahn, et al. 2020), ranged from 0.41% to 6.39%, with most genes being

uninformative (86.22–96.09%). The gCFs for other early-diverging lineages in Malpighiales

were similarly low and ranged from 0.02% to 3.85%, reflecting the well-recognized

recalcitrant relationship in Malpighiales. In sharp contrast, internal branches within other

well-recognized clades such as the parietal clade (MRCA of Salicaceae and Violaceae) and

the clusioids (MRCA of Clusiaceae and Calophyllaceae) had gCFs between 20.00–86.32%.

Site concordance factors (sCF) showed similar patterns. The sCF ranged from 18.4% to

33.9% for DNA and from 28.6% to 34.5% for protein alignments among clades closely

related to Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (Fig. 1). The GC content within the coding

regions of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae was comparable to other free-living

Malpighiales and was unlikely to be responsible for the low concordance factors observed

above (Fig. S3).

Fig 1. Conflicting phylogenetic signals evidenced by gene and site concordance factors lead
to weakly supported conflicting placements of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae. **A**. The
species tree cladogram is inferred by ASTRAL from the complete dataset (Analysis 15 in
Table 1). The phylogram with branch lengths in mutational units shown on the right is
estimated from IQ-TREE using concatenated DNA alignments. For close relatives of
Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, the gene, DNA site, and protein site concordance factors
(CFs) are labeled as numbers and pie charts on each node. For the rest of the tree, only
gene concordance factors are labeled and visualized. **B**. Alternative placement of
Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (Raff. + Apo.) across various data subsampling and
species tree inference schemes. The 8 hypothesized placements of Rafflesiaceae and
Apodanthaceae are variously supported by coalescent or concatenation analyses detailed
in Table 1 and Table S4. Family names abbreviated for illustrative purposes include
Rhizophoraceae (Rhizo.), Erythroxylaceae (Eryth.), and Euphorbiaceae (Euphorb.).

Table 1 Phylogenetic analysis schemes for 22 concatenation and coalescent methods. The
placement and support for Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae are listed. See Fig. 1B for the
definition of topologies H1–H8 and branch support is evaluated by 1000 ultrafast bootstrap

(UFBP) in IQ-TREE, local posterior probability (PP) in ASTRAL, and non-parametric
bootstrapping in MP-EST (BP). Details of the parameter settings of each analysis, including
gene and site filtering strategies, are provided in Table S4.

	ID	Data type	Gene set	Starting partition	Substitution model	Program	Placement	Support
Concatenation	1	DNA	G2135	Gene	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	H1	84 UFBP
	2	DNA	G2135	Codon	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	H1	99 UFBP
	3	DNA	G2135	Codon	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	H2	41 UFBP
	4	DNA	G2135	Codon	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	H3	38 UFBP
	5	DNA	G2135	Site	GHOST	IQ-TREE	H4	63 UFBP
	6	DNA	G446	Gene	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	sister to all other Malpighiales	55 UFBP
	7	Protein	G2135	Gene	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	H3	33 UFBP
	8	Protein	G2135	Gene	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	Centroplacaceae	27 UFBP
	9	Protein	G829	Site	PMSF	IQ-TREE	H5	69 UFBP
	10	Protein	G446	Gene	ModelFinder	IQ-TREE	sister to all other Malpighiales	44 UFBP
Coalescent	11	DNA	G2135	Codon	GTR (no +I/R/G)	ASTRAL IV	H4	0.99 PP
	12	DNA	G2135	Codon	ModelFinder	ASTRAL IV	H6	0.48 PP
	13	DNA	G2135	Gene	GTR (with +I/R/G)	ASTRAL IV	H7	0.67 PP
	14	DNA	G2135	Codon	GTR (with +I/R/G)	ASTRAL IV	H8	0.35 PP
	15	DNA	G2135	Gene	ModelFinder	ASTRAL IV	H5	0.51 PP
	16	DNA	G446	Gene	ModelFinder	ASTRAL IV	H5	0.52 PP
	17	DNA	G2135	Codon	GTR (no +I/R/G)	MP-EST	H4	4 BP
	18	DNA	G2135	Codon	ModelFinder	MP-EST	H7	20 BP
	19	DNA	G2135	Gene	GTR (with +I/R/G)	MP-EST	H5	14 BP
	20	DNA	G2135	Codon	GTR (with +I/R/G)	MP-EST	H8	17 BP
	21	DNA	G2135	Gene	ModelFinder	MP-EST	H7	8 BP
	22	DNA	G446	Gene	ModelFinder	MP-EST	H5	7 BP

Fast-evolving genes and sites drive biased support

We evaluated the goodness-of-fit of individual genes and sites to eight alternative

placements of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae derived from explorative phylogenetic

analyses (defined in Table 1) using the method from Shen et al. (2017). In particular, we

examined how spurious relationships might arise from fast-evolving genes and sites. The

results turned out to be sensitive to codon position, substitution rate, and type of input

data (i.e., DNA versus protein).

We first examined the log-likelihoods (LL) of individual sites and confirmed that the

differences of log-likelihoods (ΔLL) among topologies were similar and there was no site

with outstanding ΔLL values (Fig. S4). However, the site rate among the three codon

positions varied substantially, with the rates in the third codon being nearly five times

(median = 1.954) compared to those in the first (median = 0.483) and second codon

positions (median = 0.327; Fig. S5). When evaluating topologies based on concatenated

codon positions, both the first and third codons strongly rejected H5, where Rafflesiaceae

and Apodanthaceae were placed with Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae, and

Pandaceae (approximately unbiased (AU) test p-value < 9.02e-56; Table S5). In contrast,

the second codon supported H5 as a feasible topology (AU test p-value = 0.116; Table S5).

The first codons also showed a slight preference for H1 compared to H3 (bp-RELL$_{H1}$ =

0.641; bp-RELL$_{H3}$ = 0.162; Table S5) whereas the third codon showed a slight preference

for H3 instead (bp-RELL$_{H1}$ = 0.187; bp-RELL$_{H3}$ = 0.720) based on the resampling estimated

log-likelihoods (RELL) (Kishino et al. 1990).

Next, we used ΔLL to identify any outlier gene showing exceptionally high support for one

topology over another. For DNA alignments, the ΔLL between the best-supported topology

H1 and most other alternative topologies was within a similar range (Fig. S6). However,

one gene (locus ID = 313) had exceptionally large ΔLL for topology H3, H7, and H8

compared to H1 (Fig. S6), the former of which generally placed the parasites with

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae (H3) or their close relatives (H7, H8) instead of

Rhizophoraceae+Erythroxylaceae and Ixonanthaceae (H1). In addition, the same gene

showed a reverse trend by strongly favoring H3 over H1 based on protein alignment. We

thus removed this gene before investigating the impact of substitution rate on topology

support.

To further explore how fast-evolving genes prone to long-branch attraction may contribute

to the conflicting placements of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, we ordered genes based

on the substitution rate estimated by IQ-TREE, which ranged from 0.523 to 1.897 for DNA

alignments and 0.078 to 3.056 for protein alignments. We examined how the support for

optimal topology changed with the addition of genes with increasing rates. As a negative

control where a topology is universally rejected regardless of evolutionary rates, we

compared the ΔLL of the optimal topology (H1 in DNA alignments and H3 in protein

alignments) to H4, which placed the parasites with Linaceae. Both DNA and protein data

demonstrated a consistent and nearly linear increase in ΔLL as more rapidly evolving genes

were added (Fig. 2C, F). The trend also aligned with the simulated mean where genes were

randomly ordered regardless of rates. The decisive lead in the final ΔLL (>1000) plus the

alignment between the empirical and simulated curve of ΔLL demonstrated an example

when the alternative topology (H4) could be rejected with confidence (AU test p-value

<0.002; Table S5) and the impact of substitution rate was minimal. In contrast, support for

H1 (Rhizophoraceae+Erythroxylaceae, Ixonanthaceae) over H3 (Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae)

in DNA alignments was clearly driven by a small group of fast-evolving genes (Fig. 2A, B).

The cumulative ΔLL of H1–H3 was below zero or near zero for the first 1,735 (81.2%)

slower-evolving genes based on DNA alignments (Fig. 2B). However, the 9.8% fastest-

evolving genes significantly favored the H1 hypothesis over H3 (AU test p-value = 0.147

versus 0.0114; Table S8) such that they completely reverse the trend (Fig. 2B). This

phenomenon was mitigated in protein-based analyses where the empirical curve of ΔLL

aligned better with the simulated average (Fig. 2E). But for both DNA and protein data,

slower-evolving genes seem to support a closer affinity between the parasites and

Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae (H3) instead of Rhizophoraceae+Erythroxylaceae, Ixonanthaceae

(H1). Finally, we examined the changes of ΔLL regarding the H5 topology

(Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae), which is favored by many

coalescent analyses and one protein-based concatenation analysis using the profile mixture

model. DNA alignments from slower-evolving genes similarly showed a preference for this

alternative topology H5 than H1 compared to fast-evolving genes (Fig. 2A). Although this is

less obvious in the protein analysis (Fig. 2D).

Fig. 2 Changes in cumulative differences of log-likelihood (ΔLL) when adding more rapidly

evolving genes. **A–C** ΔLL of the optimum topology H1 compared to three alternative

topologies H5 (**A**), H3 (**B**), and H4 (**C**) based on DNA sequences. **D–F** ΔLL of the optimum

topology H3 compared to three alternative topologies H5 (**D**), H1 (**E**), and H**4 (F)** based on

protein sequences. Topologies H1-H5 are defined in Fig. 1B. All 2,135 genes are ordered on

the x-axis based on DNA (A-C) or protein substitution rates (D-E). The rate and likelihoods

for individual genes were inferred using the –wpr and -wpl flags under the same optimal

substitution model determined by ModelFinder and per-gene partition in IQ-TREE. The red

line represents the cumulative ΔLL as genes with higher rates are added. The dark gray

lines represent the cumulative ΔLL from 1000 simulated bootstrap replications where

genes are randomly ordered. The yellow line represents the simulated average. The gene

and site rate and likelihood estimates supporting this figure are provided in Data S3.

The anomaly zone and coalescent simulations

To explore the effects of ILS, we first calculated the branch length in coalescent units for

focal clades. The three successive short internal branches among the parasites and the

other four focal clades ranged from 0.015 to 0.096 in coalescent units (Fig. 3A). The

estimated minimum branch length to escape the anomaly zone was 0.344, which is four

times longer than the actual branch lengths (Fig. 3B). This result suggests that these rapid

radiations involving Rafflesiaceae+Apodanthaceae are clearly subject to the anomaly zone.

Our gene tree simulation with six taxa also corroborated this conclusion; the most common

gene tree was discordant from the true species tree (Fig. 3C), which ranked 11th in

frequency. The highly consistent frequencies between the empirical and simulated gene

trees suggested that most gene tree variations can be explained by ILS. However, a

significant difference in gene tree frequencies was observed in topology T15, in which

Rafflesiaceae was placed sister to all other Malpighiales (Fig. S7). This topology was

present in only 11% of the true gene trees in the simulation but increased to 17% when

adding in the ML inference step (Fig. 3C).

Fig 3. The anomaly zone and coalescent simulation. **A.** Reference species tree for coalescent
simulation with labeled branches. **B.** For each internal branch pair in a four-taxon tree,
boundaries of the anomaly zone a(x) calculated from (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006) are
compared to the empirical branch length (y). Two out of three branch pairs (b-c and c-d)
fall within the anomaly zone as y< a(x). **C.** Gene tree frequency for the 15 alternative
placements of Rafflesiaceae (T1–T15) in the empirical gene trees (dark grey), simulated
true gene trees under the multispecies coalescent model (MSC; medium grey), and inferred
maximum likelihood (ML) gene trees based on the simulated sequences (light grey).
Topologies for T1 to T15 are defined in Fig. S7. In all three cases, gene trees consistent with
the true species tree topology have very low frequency.

When inferring the species tree from simulated alignments, none of the concatenated

analyses recovered the true species tree. Specifically, when more than 4,000 loci were used,

the true topology was strongly rejected by the AU test, suggesting statistical inconsistency

(Table S6). Instead, the incorrect species topologies were variously supported by AU tests.

T5 and T15 were most frequently favored, which placed Rafflesiaceae with (Putranjivaceae,

Euphorbiaceae) or the MRCA of Rhizophoraceae and Euphorbiaceae, respectively. In

contrast, summary coalescent methods consistently recovered the true species when at

least 4,000 loci were used (Table S7). The gene tree estimation error was as high as 78.1%

for 500-bp-alignments and 70.0% for 2000-bp-alignments in terms of the placement of

Rafflesiaceae. Such high estimation error led to incorrect species tree estimation when less

than 3,000 genes were used. But even when enough loci were used and the true species

tree was recovered, the BP support for Rafflesiaceae was still moderate to low (35–84 BP)

and did not increase with the number of genes used. Moreover, alternative topologies could

not be rejected based on the likelihood ratio test. For example, the difference in

pseudolikelihoods between the true species tree H11 and an alternative topology H5 was

0.71 when using 1,000 genes (pseudolikelihood = -10968.5) and 20.3 when using 10,000

genes (pseudolikelihood = -109763.3), which were not statistically significant (p–

value >0.51, Table S7).

Divergence time estimation

Finally, based on a species tree topology from the ASTRAL analysis, we inferred the

divergence time of Malpighiales using the Bayesian method implemented in PhyloBayes

(Lartillot et al. 2009) and the penalized likelihood method implemented in treePL (Smith

and O'Meara 2012). Both approaches supported a mid-Cretaceous origin of Malpighiales,

followed by radiation in the Albian that gave rise to the majority of the family-level

diversity within Malpighiales (Fig. 4; Fig. S8). The Phylobayes analysis was based on a

subset of 829 clock-like loci with the third codon position removed. Crown group

Malpighiales was inferred to originate during the Albian at 111.1 Ma (95% confidence

interval CI = 109.5–112.1; Fig. 4) and the MRCA of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae

diverged from its sister clade, the MRCA of Euphorbiaceae and Pandaceae, during the

Albian at 110.9 Ma (95% CI = 109.2–111.9). Rafflesiaceae diverged from Apodanthaceae at

106.5 Ma (95% CI = 102.8–108.7) and crown group Rafflesiaceae arose very recently

during the Miocene at 15.1 Ma (95% CI = 9.2–28.1). Crown group Apodanthaceae was

inferred to originate in the early Eocene at 53.1 Ma (95% CI = 35.9–66.4). The treePL

analysis was based on the concatenated DNA alignment of the complete G2135 dataset

without the third codon. The MRCA of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae diverged from its

sister clade at 106.4 Ma (Fig. S8). Stem group Rafflesiaceae diverged from Apodanthaceae

in the Cenomanian at 98.8 Ma and its crown group age is inferred to be 19.0 Ma. Crown

group Apodanthaceae, on the other hand, originated around the Paleocene-Eocene

transition at 55.6 Ma.

Fig. 4 Bayesian divergence time estimation of Malpighiales. The dated phylogeny is
inferred under the CAT-GTR model based on the concatenated DNA sequences from 829
clock-like genes in PhyloBayes. Divergence time measured in million years (Ma) is labeled
on each node and the 95% confidence intervals are visualized as bars. Distribution of the
extant species in Apodanthaceae and Rafflesiaceae is projected to the paleomap from the
Late Cretaceous at 94 million years ago. The map is referenced from the PALEOMAP Project
by C. R. Scotese (www.scotese.com).

DISCUSSION

Genome-wide rate acceleration in parasites contributes to long-branch attraction

Despite meticulously curated genomic data, we did not obtain a single tidy placement for Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae within Malpighiales. The shift to such an extreme parasitic lifestyle early in their evolution has undoubtedly reshaped the selective forces acting on otherwise conserved autotrophic functions such as photosynthesis (Cai 2023). As a result, relaxed selection and accelerated nucleotide substitution rates are often characteristic of the plastid and nuclear genomes of these species (Bromham et al. 2013; Wicke et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2023). This rate acceleration has contributed to exceptionally long branches in Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (Fig. 1A). Previous genomic investigations in Rafflesiaceae revealed that its synonymous substitution rate was 4.6 times higher than its close relative *Manihot* (Euphorbiaceae) and 3.3 times higher than *Populus* (Salicaceae) (Cai et al. 2021). After the divergence of Rafflesiaceae from its free-living relatives, 35.1% of genes have experienced more than one synonymous substitution per site (Cai et al. 2021) and this has led to extensive phylogenetic conflicts when trying to place these parasites. These conflicts are reflected by the low gene and site concordance factors where a remarkable 98.9% of the genes are uninformative for placing Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae (Fig. 1).

Compared to parsimony, likelihood methods are more robust to long-branch attractions (Felsenstein 1978; Huelsenbeck and Hillis 1993), but overly simplistic substitution models can still lead to long-branch attraction (Hendy and Penny 1989; Zharkikh and Li 1993; Tateno et al. 1994; Bruno and Halpern 1999; Ho and Jermiin 2004; Bergsten 2005; Brinkmann et al. 2005; Philippe et al. 2011). In Malpighiales, models without sufficient rate heterogeneity accommodation often grouped Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae with other apparent "rogue" taxa with long branches such as Linaceae (Analysis 5, 11, and 17 in Table 1). For example, the concatenation Analysis 5 employed the GHOST heterotachy model but our high-performance computing cluster can only accommodate four mixture classes due to its high computational demand. As a result, despite designed to mitigate heterotachy, the GHOST model with four mixture classes appeared to be inadequate for capturing cross-site rate variation compared to partition models with 107 to 178 partitions, each with different rate heterogeneity modeling. This overly simplified substitution model in our GHOST

analysis may have contributed to the grouping of Linaceae, Rafflesiaceae, and

Apodanthaceae, all of which have long root-to-tip branch lengths. In contrast, despite the

even longer terminal branch in Podostemaceae and its high GC content, the phylogenetic

affinity between Podostemaceae and Hypericaceae was maximally supported in all

analyses due to the longer internal branch supporting their shared ancestry (Fig. 2A; Fig. S3;

Data S2).

Our strongest evidence for the influence of long branch attraction stems from the *a*

posteriori selection of genes and models where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae are

monophyletic. Concatenation of the G446 dataset where the parasites were monophyletic

in gene trees placed Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae sister to all other Malpighiales,

which is a classic signal of long branch attraction (Kapli et al. 2020). Coalescent analyses of

the same set of genes, on the other hand, recovered a more conventional topology (H5).

This result suggests that coalescent methods might be more robust to long branch

attraction compared to concatenation methods, but they are still not immune to these

effects. Among the five gene tree reconstruction methods, applying the GTR model with no

rate heterogeneity modeling yielded up to 54.3% more monophyletic Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae across genes (Table S3), but coalescent analysis of these gene trees using

ASTRAL and MP-EST consistently placed the parasites with Linaceae, similar to the

concatenation results above using the oversimplified GHOST model (Fig. 2C, F). Many of

these outcomes of long branch attraction were captured by our simulation. For example,

gene tree frequencies for the fifteen alternative topologies were largely consistent between

the true gene trees and gene trees inferred from the simulated alignments (Fig. 3C). Yet a

disproportionately high frequency of T15 placing Rafflesiaceae sister to all other

Malpighiales was recovered among ML-inferred gene trees compared to the true gene trees,

likely a result of long-branch attraction during the ML inference step. When aggregating

such erroneous signals into concatenated alignments, it contributed to the support of T15

in optimum species tree searches and rejection of the true species tree (Fig. 2B; Table S6).

Navigating the phylogenetic "Danger Zone" of excessive incomplete lineage sorting

and long-branch attraction

Like many other rapid radiations in mammals and birds (Liu et al. 2017; Stiller et al. 2024),

the explosive malpighialean speciation followed by parasitism-induced rate acceleration

created a sharp contrast in branch length before and after the origin of stem group

Rafflesiaceae+Apodanthaceae. The combination of high ILS and long-branch attraction

presents significant challenges for species reconstruction in both concatenation and

coalescent methods (Martyn and Steel 2012; Roch et al. 2019). We define the term "danger

zone" to represent an empirically identified species tree space under the extreme influence

of ILS and long-branch attraction such that phylogenetic reconstruction is excessively

challenging.

Selecting reliable genes with desired phylogenetic properties under these circumstances is

one of the ways to optimize signal-to-noise ratio (Koch 2021) and potentially deal with the

"danger zone" problem. Here, we identified that some commonly applied gene-filtering

criteria, including high gene tree–species tree congruence, high gene tree support, and low

missing taxa, strongly covary in our dataset (Fig. S1). Yet none of these global metrics were

useful when choosing informative genes to place Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae. For

example, the branch support of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae was only strongly

influenced by the length of its short stem group branch rather than the long descending

branch leading to extant genera (Fig. S1). Therefore, the recalcitrant placement of

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae appears to be primarily driven by incomplete lineage

sorting. But long-branch attraction caused by fast evolving genes and sites further

exacerbated the problem and led to spurious relationships (Fig. 2). Our application of the

G446 dataset where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae were monophyletic was aimed to

select "informative" loci based on *a posteriori* criterion, but counterintuitively, phylogenetic

reconstruction using these genes demonstrated that they were more prone to long branch

attraction (Table 1). Thus, selecting a small set of highly informative genes is not a

promising approach to address the "danger zone" problem because an empirically

verifiable criterion to optimize signal-to-noise ratio is lacking in our system and many

others.

Alternatively, other efforts to resolve clades similarly suffering from "danger zone"

phenomena have turned to a big-data analytics approach. Examples include the application

of tens of thousands of loci from whole genome sequencing to resolve the bird Tree of Life

(Stiller et al. 2024). Yet our simulation concluded that current methods for modeling

substitution and coalescent processes are insufficient to fully resolve the placement of

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae with at least ten thousand loci. The empirical species tree

existed within a parameter space where both incomplete lineage sorting and long-branch

attraction were prevalent. Concordant gene trees appeared at a very low frequency, and

the most common gene trees consistently placed the parasite sister to all other

Malpighiales due to long-branch attraction (Fig. 3C). In addition, massive gene loss

demonstrated in Rafflesiaceae renders a greatly reduced pool of phylogenetic markers that

are potentially insufficient to achieve a definitive placement. Alternative methods

employing macro- and micro-scale gene synteny and rare genomic changes have been

increasingly implemented to untangle recalcitrant relationships in angiosperms and teleost

fishes (Zhao et al. 2021; Parey et al. 2023). As more genomic data is developed in

Malpighiales and beyond, the application of these new methods may provide novel insights

into this thorny phylogenetic problem.

The Gondwana origin of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae

Despite the phylogenetic uncertainty, coalescent analyses and concatenation analyses with

complex models consistently recover similar sister clades for Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae. This improvement in topological resolution, plus the mid-Cretaceous

divergence time of stem group Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, has led to novel insights

into the early evolutionary history of these enigmatic parasites.

Most coalescent and mixture-model-based concatenation analyses placed Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae with clades variously consisting of Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae,

Euphorbiaceae, and Peraceae (Table 1), all of which share a pantropical distribution.

Although the highly modified morphology of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae makes it

challenging to identify synapomorphies, several shared features support such phylogenetic

affinity. For example, the pentamerous dioecious flowers in Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae are commonly found in Pandaceae, Putranjivaceae, and its unsampled close

relative Lophopyxidaceae (Matthews and Endress 2013; Wurdack et al. 2004). In addition,

Putranjivaceae releases sulfur-rich, strong-smelling chemicals to attract pollinators like

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae. The foul odor of Rafflesiaceae largely comes from

dimethyl disulfide and dimethyl trisulfide (Jürgens et al. 2013; Wee et al. 2018), whereas

the strong smell in *Drypetes* (Putranjivaceae) comes from isothiocyanates of the

glucosinolates pathway (Johnson et al. 2009). Future studies focusing on the metabolic

pathway of these chemicals may elucidate whether they are derived from shared ancestry.

The parasitic common ancestor of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae diverged from their

free-living relatives in the mid-Cretaceous as part of the initial malpighialean radiation

(110.9 Ma; 95% CI = 109.2–111.9). This was then followed by the divergence between stem

group Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae at 106.5 Ma (95% CI = 102.8–108.7). These Albian

age estimates not only suggested that extreme life history strategies such as

endoparasitism can evolve rapidly within less than 5 Ma but also pinpointed Rafflesiaceae

and Apodanthaceae as the oldest endoparasitic and holoparasitic clades known. Many

other holoparasitic lineages such as Hydnoraceae and Cynomoriaceae have comparable

stem group ages in the mid-Cretaceous (Naumann et al. 2013), but their much younger or

unknown crown group ages make it difficult to determine the exact date when

holoparasitism evolved. Our estimation of the crown group Apodanthaceae in Eocene (53.1

Ma, 95% CI = 35.9–66.4) aligns with those from Naumann et al. (2013) and Bellot and

Renner (2014), but we drastically push forward the age of crown group Rafflesiaceae to

Miocene (15.1 Ma; 95% CI = 9.2–28.1). This Miocene age is the youngest compared to

previous estimates from Bendiksby et al. (2010) at 81.7 Ma, Pelser et al. (2019) at 68 Ma,

and Naumann et al. (2013) at 65.3 Ma; but it aligns well with the relative age constraint

imposed by horizontal gene transfer (Davín et al. 2018)—the crown group age of the

recipient (Rafflesiaceae) should be younger than the stem group age of the donor

(*Ampelopsis* and *Tetrastigma*; 41.2-50.6 Ma) (Chen et al. 2011; Nie et al. 2012a; Cai et al.

2021). We anticipate that these age estimates will continue to be refined in future studies

as more comprehensive taxon sampling, fossil calibrations, and branch-rate modeling are

applied, such as those implemented in starBEAST (Ogilvie et al. 2017).

The mid-Cretaceous divergence and the distribution of extant Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae in South America, Africa, Australia, and Northern India strongly suggest a

Gondwanan origin (Fig. 4). Such a fragmented global distribution makes likelihood-based

biogeographic reconstruction untraceable. Given the poor long-distance dispersal capacity

and restricted current range of these endoparasites (Barkman et al. 2017; Malabrigo Jr. et

al. 2025), we propose a southern Gondwana origin and an "out-of-India" dispersal route for

Rafflesiaceae. Extant Rafflesiaceae are frequently found in southern Thailand to the

Philippines, but the early-diverging *Sapria himalayana* are distributed in the seasonal

forest south of the Himalayas in Assam India and Myanmar. This potentially points to an

Indian origin of stem group Rafflesiaceae that rafted the continent until its collision with

Eurasia during the Eocene. Particularly, we previously demonstrated a host shift in stem

group Rafflesiaceae from *Ampelopsis* to *Tetrastigma* (Cai et al. 2021). The major

geographical and climatic transitions associated with the India collision may well have

served as a trigger for such shifts in life history strategies. The oldest fruit fossil of Vitaceae

from the Late Cretaceous was also discovered in India (Manchester et al. 2013). Similar

"out-of-India" dispersal has been demonstrated in *Dipterocarpus*, Crypteroniaceae, and

multiple lineages of frogs (Bossuyt and Milinkovitch 2001; Conti et al. 2002; Bansal et al.

2022). A northern route via Laurasia is also possible during the Paleocene and Eocene, as

has been proposed for the historical host clade *Ampelopsis* (Nie et al. 2012b). Future fossil

discoveries, especially involving the distinctive seeds of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae,

may help resolve this mystery.

On the other hand, the late Paleocene to early Eocene divergence between *Apodanthes* and

Pilostyles in crown group Apodanthaceae was likely caused by ecological- and host-related

shifts. This divergence time marks the warmest epoch on earth that permitted the dispersal

of dry tropical *Pilostyles* through southern Patagonia, Antarctica to Australia, the corridor

of which did not close until late Eocene (Amoo et al. 2022). However, our Eocene age

estimates necessitate repeated long-distance dispersal of *Pilostyles* from the neotropics to

tropical Africa and Persia. The patchy distribution of *Pilostyles* in present-day Persia that

corresponds to the ancient Cimmerian continent could have been caused by large-scale

extinction events due to major volcanism events and drastic paleoclimate shifts during the

repositioning of this plate.

Conclusion

Our empirical investigation and simulation suggested that the combination of excessive

incomplete lineage sorting and long-branch attraction created a seemingly intractable

phylogenetic "danger zone" where the placement of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae may

never be resolved neatly. The unique life-history strategy of these endoparasites has led to

high substitution rates that contribute to long-branch attraction in both concatenation and

coalescent analyses. Potential sister clades of Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae include

Putranjivaceae, Pandaceae, Euphorbiaceae, and Peraceae, all sharing a pantropical

distribution. Divergence time estimates suggest that Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae

represent the oldest known parasitic plant lineage, with plant endoparasitism evolving in

the ecosystem as early as the mid-Cretaceous. The divergence between Rafflesiaceae and

Apodanthaceae and the early diversification of *Pilostyles* (Apodanthaceae) within the

neotropics and southern Australia may have been influenced by the Gondwana vicariance.

These novel phylogenetic and temporal insights have opened a series of questions

regarding the processes that shaped the distinct floral size, geographical distribution, and

host preference in these two families. In particular, what may serve as the ancestral host(s)

for Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae given their highly specific present-day host

preference in Vitaceae, Salicaceae, and Fabaceae? Perhaps close examination of host-

derived gene transfers will help reveal the hidden history of these remarkable plants in

deep time.

Materials and Methods

RNA sequencing and transcriptome assembly of Apodanthaceae

Apodanthaceae was recently demonstrated to be a close relative of Rafflesiaceae (Alzate et

al. 2024) and we thus obtained the transcriptomes of both Apodanthaceae genera for

phylogenomic investigation. Sample collection, RNA extraction, sequencing, and

transcriptome assembly of *Pilostyles boyacensis* and *Apodanthes caseariae* were described

in González et al. (2020) and Alzate et al. (2024), respectively. Briefly, for each species,

three tissue samples spanning the full life cycle, including endophytic tissue, preanthetic
flowers, and fruits and seeds, were flash frozen in the field. The *Pilostyles* samples were at
xerophytic thickets around Villa de Leyva (Boyacá, Colombia) and voucher specimens were
deposited at COL (voucher FG 4518 and voucher FG 4519). The *Apodanthes* samples were
collected in wet tropical forests around Villavicencio (Department of Meta, Colombia) and
the voucher specimen was deposited at HUA (voucher NP 495).
642
For RNA extraction, we applied the TRIzol_TM Reagent (Invitrogen) Kit and the Spectrum
Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich TM) for *Pilostyles* and *Apodanthes*, respectively. RNA
sequencing library was prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA library construction kit
(Illumina) and sequenced on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 instrument in Macrogen (South
Korea). Read cleaning was performed with a quality threshold of Q30 and a minimum read
length of 70 bp, singletons were excluded. Contig was assembled using the Trinity V2.5.1
software (Grabherr et al. 2011) with TRIMMOMATIC adapter removal.
650
Phylogenomic dataset assembly
We combined the phylogenomic datasets from our previous studies (Cai et al. 2019; Cai et
al. 2021) and transcriptomes from Apodanthaceae to generate a 2,135-gene dataset (Data
S1). The combined dataset included 45 species representing all five extant genera of
Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae, twenty-one families broadly sampled across
Malpighiales, and three outgroup species from Oxalidales and Celastrales (Table S1).
Briefly, the two datasets from Cai et al. (2019) and Cai et al. (2021) were merged based on
gene IDs of the three Malpighiales species sampled in both studies (*Manihot esculenta*,
Populus trichocarpa, and *Jatropha curcas*). Preliminary DNA alignments and gene trees
were inferred for each orthogroup using MAFFT v.7.299 (Katoh and Standley 2013) and
FastTree v2.1 (Price et al. 2010). These preliminarily filtered alignments were used to build
profile hidden Markov models to search for orthologous sequences in Apodanthaceae using
HMMER v3.4 (Mistry et al. 2013). For each ortholog, only the best HMMER hit with e-values
lower than 1e-70 was used for *Apodanthes* and *Pilostyles*. Finally, the tree-based ortholog
identification approach from Yang and Smith (2014) was applied iteratively to remove HGT
genes in Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae as well as paralogs arising from gene

duplications. Any taxa with branches longer than 1.5 were removed. This cutoff was

determined by the global distribution of branch length where 1.5 is a natural break (Fig.

S9). The final phylogenomic dataset consisted of 2,135 protein-coding orthogroups

satisfying the following three criteria: (1) included at least one vertically transmitted gene

from Rafflesiaceae or Apodanthaceae; (2) included at least 10 species (23% species

completeness); (3) all genes are orthologous to each other, and no paralogs generated by

gene duplications prior to the divergence of Malpighiales were included. A detailed

description of dataset assembly is provided in the supplementary note S1. All scripts used

in this study are available on the GitHub repository

(https://github.com/lmcai/Rafflesiaceae_phylogenomics).

Sequence alignment, cleaning, and gene tree reconstruction

Protein sequences of these 2,135 single-copy orthogroups were aligned using the E-INS-i

algorithm implemented in MAFFT and then converted into the corresponding codon

alignments using pal2nal (Suyama et al. 2006). Protein and DNA alignments were filtered

using the profile hidden Markov models implemented in HmmCleaner v0.180750 (Di

Franco et al. 2019). We applied a threshold of 50 and 10 to generate two sets of alignments

with relaxed or stringent site filtering, respectively. In particular, a threshold of 50 can

effectively remove nonhomologous regions while retaining fast-evolving sequences in

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae. Consequently, 0.67% of the nucleotides and 0.94% of the

amino acids from the raw alignments were flagged. In contrast, a threshold of 10 will

remove these fast-evolving sequences and 2.4% of the nucleotides and 4.4% of the amino

acids were flagged. We then used a custom Python script to mask the flagged regions and

remove sites with >70% ambiguous characters (i.e., gaps and masked sites) while retaining

codon positions for downstream analysis (available on the GitHub repository).

We inferred ML phylogenies for each locus based on five combinations of DNA partitioning

strategies and substitution models using IQ-TREE v2.3.6 (Minh, Schmidt, et al. 2020). These

ML inferences included (1) codon-based partition and forcing the GTR substitution model

without rate heterogeneity across sites (i.e., no +I or +R or +G); (2) codon-based partition

and forcing the GTR substitution model *with* rate heterogeneity across sites; (3) codon-

based partition and using the optimal substitution models determined by ModelFinder

(Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017); (4) no partition within loci and forcing the GTR substitution

model with rate heterogeneity across sites; (5) no partition within loci and using the

optimal substitution models determined by ModelFinder. For each ML analysis, branch

supports were assessed using ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFBP) (Hoang et al. 2018)

with 1000 replicates along with the "-bnni" option to reduce the risk of overestimating

branch support resulting from model violations. We also used a non-parametric bootstrap

(BP) with 100 replications to assess branch support and generate BP gene trees for

coalescent analyses below. All DNA alignments, protein alignments, and individual gene

trees were deposited in Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.11643025).

Quantifying phylogenetic properties of individual genes

Each gene was quantified for the following phylogenetic properties using IQ-TREE log files

and SortaDate (Smith et al. 2018): number of species, number of parsimony informative

sites, average gene tree support, total tree length, root-to-tip branch-length variance, and

gene tree–species tree congruence (Table S2). A species tree estimated by ASTRAL-IV

v1.19.4.5 (Zhang and Mirarab 2022) based on all 2,135 orthogroups (analysis 15 in Table 1)

was used for assessing gene tree–species tree congruence, but we removed all

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae species to avoid the systematic bias stemming from

artificially fixing this unstable node. Four phylogenetic metrics specific to Rafflesiaceae

were extracted: the base compositional bias of Rafflesiaceae, minimum root-to-tip distance

among Rafflesiaceae species, the length of the short ascending parent branch of stem-group

Rafflesiaceae, and the branch support of Rafflesiaceae. We similarly defined and extracted

four Apodanthaceae-specific metrics. The base compositional heterogeneity of

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae was derived from the Chi-square test in IQ-TREE and

reported in its log file, whereas the other metrics were summarized using a custom Python

script from gene trees (available on GitHub). Finally, we modeled the pairwise Pearson

correlation among these phylogenetic metrics. The correlation heatmap was generated

using the function "heatmap" from the R package corrplot (Wei et al. 2017).

Generation of phylogenetic hypotheses with data subsampling

Twenty-two explorative phylogenomic analyses were conducted (Table 1, Table S4). These

comprised concatenation (10 analyses) and coalescent methods (12 analyses) that used

either DNA (18 analyses) or protein alignments (4 analyses) for the complete dataset (17

analyses) or subsampled datasets (5 analyses). Two data subsets were created in an

attempt to select an *a posteriori* set of phylogenetic informative loci and to select clock-like

genes with less heterotachy to apply computationally intense mixture models. First, a 446–

locus dataset (referred to as G446) was selected from the complete dataset (referred to as

G2135) to include loci where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae formed a monophyletic

clade based on ML gene trees estimated with codon-based partition and optimal

substitution model determined by ModelFinder (Table S2; Data S1). Second, an 829–locus

dataset (referred to as G829) was created to select genes ranked in the top 50% by

SortaDate and included more than 32 (71%) species (Table S2; Data S1). A detailed

description of the command line used to perform the 22 analyses was provided on GitHub

(https://github.com/lmcai/Rafflesiaceae_phylogenomics/tree/master/4_phylogeny_hypo_

generation).

Concatenation analysis—We inferred the ML phylogeny based on the DNA and protein

alignments with various combinations of partition schemes and substitution models using

IQ-TREE. For all IQ-TREE inferences, branch support was evaluated using 1000 UFBP

replicates. Analyses 1–4 represented edge-linked partitioned DNA analyses with increasing

data filtering effort. The best partition model was determined by the greedy strategy from

ModelFinder implemented in IQ-TREE (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) where partitions

were iteratively merged until the model fit did not increase any further (-m MFP+MERGE).

Analyses 1 and 2 used DNA alignments filtered by HmmCleaner with a threshold of 50 and

a gene-based (Analysis 1) or codon-based (Analysis 2) initial partition. Analysis 3 used the

same DNA alignments and a codon-based initial partition, but with the third codon

removed. Analysis 4 used DNA alignments without the third codon, filtered by

HmmCleaner with a more stringent threshold of 10 and a codon-based partition. Analysis 5

implemented an edge-unlinked mixture GHOST model that can effectively handle

heterotachy by assigning sites into individual classes with unlinked model parameters and

edge lengths (Lopez et al. 2002; Crotty et al. 2020). We applied this GHOST model with four

mixture classes to the DNA alignment filtered by HmmCleaner with a threshold of 50 due to

the high RAM usage of this model. The last DNA concatenation (Analysis 6) used a

posteriori set of 446 loci (G446) where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae were

monophyletic in individual gene trees. The species tree was then inferred based on a gene-

based partition analysis in IQ-TREE.

Analysis 7-10 were protein-based analyses largely reflecting the data filtering strategies of

the DNA analyses. Analysis 7 and 8 applied gene-based partition schemes and protein

alignments filtered by HmmCleaner with a threshold of 50 and 10, respectively. The site-

specific profile mixture model implemented in Analysis 9 was applied to the subsampled

G829 dataset and 30 mixture components (LG+C30+F+G) due to the high RAM usage of this

model. This PMSF model approximates the empirical profile mixture model of Le et al.

(2008), which is a variant of the infinite mixture model CAT-GTR in PhyloBayes. It can

effectively ameliorate long-branch attraction artifacts and is computationally more efficient

(Wang et al. 2018). Finally, Analysis 10 applied the gene-based partition to the G446

dataset where Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae were monophyletic.

Coalescent analysis—We used ASTRAL-IV and MP-EST v3.0 (Liu et al. 2010) to infer species

trees under the coalescent model. For both ASTRAL and MP-EST analyses, ML gene trees of

the G2135 dataset inferred from the five sets of partition schemes and substitution models

described above were used. Branch support was evaluated using the local posterior

probability in ASTRAL and 100 non-parametric bootstrapping in MP-EST. In addition to the

complete dataset, we also inferred species trees using the G446 dataset in both ASTRAL

and MP-EST.

Evaluating gene and site phylogenetic conflicts

We used gene and site concordance factors to quantify phylogenetic conflicts using IQ-

TREE. The gene concordance factor (gCF) is defined as the percentage of decisive gene

trees containing that branch (Minh, Hahn, et al. 2020). The site concordance factor (sCF)

was similarly defined but used a heuristic method to sample alignment sites while

minimizing the effects of homoplasy and taxon sampling (Mo et al. 2023). To calculate gCF

and sCF in IQ-TREE, we used the species tree, gene trees, and DNA alignments from the

coalescent-based Analysis 15 (Table 1). These gene trees were inferred under the optimal

substitution models determined by ModelFinder without codon-based partition. For sCF

calculation, 200 quartets were sampled to obtain stable site concordance factors (--scfl 200)

and we inferred sCF for both the concatenated DNA alignment and three codon positions

separately.

Fit of gene trees and sites to proposed topologies

The initial phylogenetic reconstructions revealed several possible placements of

Rafflesiaceae and Apodanthaceae associated with Euphorbiaceae+Peraceae, Putranjivaceae,

Pandaceae, Erythroxylaceae+Rhizophoraceae, Ixonanthaceae, and Linaceae. We tested the

fitness of individual genes and sites to the 8 alternative topologies commonly recovered

from our species inference analyses (Table 1) using the methods described in Shen et al.

(Shen et al. 2017).

Briefly, we conducted constrained phylogenetic searches enforcing the relationship

imposed by each of the 8 hypotheses but no constraints on the rest of the tree. Branch

length and the overall species tree topology were optimized using gene-based partition

(without merging) and substitution models determined by ModelFinder. Gene and site

likelihood scores for each tree were then obtained under the same partition and model

parameters from the previous step using the "-wpl" and "-wsl" flags in IQTREE, respectively.

The gene-specific rate was obtained from the IQTREE log file (under "Partition-specific

rates") and the site-specific rate was inferred using the "-wsr" option in IQTREE. These

gene and site likelihood scores and rates were reported in Data S3.

Identify spurious relationships arising from fast-evolving genes

To explore how fast-evolving genes may lead to spurious species tree reconstruction, we

first ordered the 2,135 loci based on the gene-specific rate for both DNA and protein

alignments (Data S3). We then examined the changes in cumulative difference of log

likelihoods (ΔLL) between the best-supported (H1 for DNA and H3 for protein) and

alternative topologies when more fast-evolving genes were added to the concatenated

matrix (Fig. 3). Results robust to evolutionary rates should demonstrate a steady increase

in ΔLL (e.g., Fig. 3C). In contrast, results sensitive to evolutionary rates are expected to have

ΔLL curves with less predictable trends and even sharp changes when the fastest-evolving

genes are added (e.g., Fig. 3B). To further evaluate the statistical significance of these

deviations, we generate 1000 bootstrap replicates where genes were randomly ordered

and plotted the same ΔLL curve. If the empirical curve falls out of the 95% confidence

interval, we consider a significant influence of rates to generate potentially spurious

relationships.

Testing the anomaly zone

The anomaly zone in a four-taxon species tree is defined by the length ratio of the two

internal branches (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006). To test if the focal clades fall within the

anomaly zone, we estimated the boundaries of the anomaly zone a(x) according to equation

4 from (Degnan and Rosenberg 2006). We used the phylogeny estimated by ASTRAL from

the complete dataset as the reference species tree (Analysis 15 in Table 1). Here, the three

internal nodes among the focal clades form three pairs of parent-descendent branches

whose topology aligns with the asymmetrical four-taxon phylogeny from (Degnan and

Rosenberg 2006). If the length of the descendant internal branch is smaller than a(x), then

the internode pair is in the anomaly zone.

Coalescent simulation

To further explore how ILS and homoplasy affect species tree reconstruction, we simulated

gene trees and DNA alignments based on the coalescent and mutational parameters from

the empirical dataset. The MP-EST species tree estimated from the complete dataset

(analysis 14 in Fig. 2) was used as the reference species tree and was pruned to include

only one species from each of the focal clades plus a non-Malpighiales outgroup,

Crossopetalum. We used the function "sim.coal.mpest" in the R package Phybase v2.0 (Liu

and Yu 2010) to simulate 10,000 gene trees using the reference species tree. We then

modified the branch lengths of the simulated gene trees to reflect the inter-species and

inter-locus rate variation in mutational units. To do so, we fit a Gaussian distribution to

each internal and terminal branch (e.g., terminal branches leading to *Sapria*, Rafflesiaceae)

from the empirical ML gene trees using function "fitdistr" in the R package MASS v7.3-54

(Ripley et al. 2013). We then assigned branch length in mutation units to the simulated

trees by randomly sampling the corresponding Gaussian distribution. Finally, we used the

parameters of the GTR+F+G4 model estimated by IQ-TREE based on the complete dataset

(analysis 1 in Fig. 2) to simulate DNA alignments in Seq-Gen v1.3.4 (Rambaut and Grassly

1997). For each gene, four alignments with different lengths (500, 1000, 1500, and 2000 bp)

were simulated to reflect high to low levels of gene tree estimation error (Mirarab et al.

2016; Cai et al. 2021b). We then inferred gene trees in IQ-TREE under the GTR+F+G4

model using 100 bootstrap replicates. Species trees were estimated using both

concatenation and coalescent methods. For concatenation analyses, we applied the

unpartitioned dataset in IQ-TREE under the GTR+F+G4 model. Branch support was

estimated by 1000 UFBP. For the coalescent method, we applied the ML gene trees in MP-

EST and ASTRAL. Branch support was estimated by 100 BP replicates. We also subsampled

the dataset to include 500, 1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, and 5000 loci with four alignment

lengths. Finally, we conducted AU tests in IQ-TREE and likelihood ratio tests in MP-EST to

statistically evaluate alternative topologies.

Divergence time estimation

To fully utilize our phylogenomic data for divergence time estimation, we applied both the

penalized likelihood method implemented in treePL v1.0 (Smith & O'Meara, 2012) and the

Bayesian method implemented in PhyloBayes v4.1 (Lartillot et al. 2009). For both analyses,

we fixed the topology using the species tree derived from the ASTRAL analysis 15 (Table 1).

For the treePL analysis, branch lengths of the species tree were inferred using the

concatenated DNA alignments, partition scheme, and substitution model from analysis 5

(Table 1) in IQ-TREE. This input alignment included all 2,135 loci, masked using

HmmCleaner with a threshold of 10, and excluded all third codon positions. We fixed the

root age at 118 Ma to reflect the crown group age of the Celastrales-Oxalidales-

Malpighiales clade from Ramírez-Barahona et al. (Ramirez-Barahona et al. 2020). In

addition, eight fossils in Malpighiales and one fossil from Oxalidales were used to constrain

the minimum age of the clade they each represented (Table S8). We then primed and cross-
validated our data to establish the best smoothing parameter (smooth = 0.01). Finally, we
conducted a thorough search to infer the best time tree.

For the PhyloBayes analysis, we similarly applied the concatenated DNA alignment without
the third codon position and masked with HmmCleaner using a threshold of 10. To ease
with convergence, we used the clock-like G829 loci. Divergence time estimation was
conducted under the log-normal autocorrelated relaxed clock model and the CAT Dirichlet
process mixture for among-site substitution heterogeneities. We constrained the root age
using a uniform distribution with a lower and upper bound of 89 and 125 Ma, respectively.
These boundaries were determined by the age of the oldest fossil *Palaeoclusia chevalieri*
(89 Ma) (Crepet and Nixon 1998) within Malpighiales and the oldest angiosperm
macrofossil *Archaefructus liaoningensis* at 125 Ma (Sun et al. 1998). For internal node age
calibrations, we used a uniform distribution for each fossil (Table S8) where the fossil's age
served as the minimum and 125 Ma as the maximum. We ran two Monte Carlo Markov
chains per model and kept every tenth sample until 30,000 samples were collected.
Convergence was checked by computing the discrepancies and effective sample sizes of the
posterior averages and visualizing the trend of the posterior. Consensus trees were
computed by removing 30% of the burn-in trees.

Acknowledgements:
We thank the two anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments on the initial version
of the manuscript. We thank Steven R Manchester, Peter Wilf, and members of the Davis lab
for feedback on early versions of this study.

Funding
This research was supported by startup funds from the University of Florida and the
Stengl-Wyer Postdoc Fellowship from the University of Texas at Austin to LC; National
Science Foundation (NSF) AToL grant (DEB-0622764), NSF grant DEB-1120243, and
startup funds from Harvard University to CCD.

Data availability

All DNA alignments, protein alignments, and individual gene trees were available on

Zenodo (10.5281/zenodo.11643025). All codes to conduct the analysis were available on

GitHub https://github.com/lmcai/Rafflesiaceae_phylogenomics.

Reference
Alzate JF, González FA, Pabón-Mora N. 2024. Back together: Over 1000 single-copy nuclear
loci and reproductive features support the holoendoparasitic Apodanthaceae and
Rafflesiaceae as sister lineages in the order Malpighiales. *Molecular Phylogenetics*
and Evolution 201:108217.

Amoo M, Salzmann U, Pound MJ, Thompson N, Bijl PK. 2022. Eocene to Oligocene
vegetation and climate in the Tasmanian Gateway region were controlled by
changes in ocean currents and $p\mathrm{CO}_2$. *Climate of the Past* 18:525–546.

Baldwin BG. 1997. Adaptive radiation of the Hawaiian silversword alliance: congruence
and conflict of phylogenetic evidence from molecular and non-molecular
investigations. *Molecular evolution and adaptive radiation* 103:1840–1843.

Bansal M, Morley RJ, Nagaraju SK, Dutta S, Mishra AK, Selveraj J, Kumar S, Niyolia D, Harish
SM, Abdelrahim OB, et al. 2022. Southeast Asian Dipterocarp origin and
diversification driven by Africa-India floristic interchange. *Science* 375:455–460.

Barkman TJ, Klooster MR, Gaddis KD, Franzone B, Calhoun S, Manickam S, Vessabutr S,
Sasirat S, Davis CC. 2017. Reading between the vines: Hosts as islands for extreme
holoparasitic plants. *Am J Bot* 104:1382–1389.

Barkman TJ, Lim S-H, Salleh KM, Nais J. 2004. Mitochondrial DNA sequences reveal the
photosynthetic relatives of Rafflesia, the world's largest flower. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences 101:787–792.

Bellot S, Renner S. 2014. The systematics of the worldwide endoparasite family
Apodanthaceae (Cucurbitales), with a key, a map, and color photos of most species.
PhytoKeys 36:41–57.

Bendiksby M, Schumacher T, Gussarova G, Nais J, Mat-Salleh K, Sofiyanti N, Madulid D,
Smith SA, Barkman T. 2010. Elucidating the evolutionary history of the Southeast
Asian, holoparasitic, giant-flowered Rafflesiaceae: Pliocene vicariance,
morphological convergence and character displacement. *Mol Phylogenet Evol*
57:620–633.

Bergsten J. 2005. A review of long-branch attraction. *Cladistics* 21:163–193.

Bossuyt F, Milinkovitch MC. 2001. Amphibians as Indicators of Early Tertiary "Out-of-India"
Dispersal of Vertebrates. *Science* 292:93–95.

Brinkmann H, Van der Giezen M, Zhou Y, De Raucourt GP, Philippe H. 2005. An empirical
assessment of long-branch attraction artefacts in deep eukaryotic phylogenomics.
Systematic biology 54:743–757.

Bromham L, Cowman PF, Lanfear R. 2013. Parasitic plants have increased rates of
molecular evolution across all three genomes. *BMC Evol Biol* 13:1–11.

Bruno WJ, Halpern AL. 1999. Topological bias and inconsistency of maximum likelihood
using wrong models. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16:564–566.

Cai L. 2023. Rethinking convergence in plant parasitism through the lens of molecular and
population genetic processes. *American Journal of Botany* 110:e16174.

Cai L, Arnold BJ, Xi Z, Khost DE, Patel N, Hartmann CB, Manickam S, Sasirat S, Nikolov LA,
Mathews S. 2021. Deeply altered genome architecture in the endoparasitic
flowering plant Sapria himalayana Griff.(Rafflesiaceae). *Current Biology* 31:1002-
1011. e9.

Cai L, Xi Z, Amorim AM, Sugumaran M, Rest JS, Liu L, Davis CC. 2019. Widespread ancient
whole-genome duplications in Malpighiales coincide with Eocene global climatic
upheaval. *New Phytol* 221:565–576.

Cai L, Xi Z, Lemmon EM, Lemmon AR, Mast A, Buddenhagen CE, Liu L, Davis CC. 2020. The
Perfect Storm: Gene Tree Estimation Error, Incomplete Lineage Sorting, and Ancient
Gene Flow Explain the Most Recalcitrant Ancient Angiosperm Clade, Malpighiales.
Syst Biol 70:491–507.

Chen P, Wen J, Chen L. 2011. Spatial and temporal diversification of Tetrastigma (Vitaceae).
The Gardens' bulletin, Singapore 63:307–327.

Chen X, Fang D, Xu Y, Duan K, Yoshida S, Yang S, Sahu SK, Fu H, Guang X, Liu M, et al. 2023.
Balanophora genomes display massively convergent evolution with other extreme
holoparasites and provide novel insights into parasite–host interactions. *Nat. Plants*
9:1627–1642.

Conti E, Eriksson T, Schönenberger J, Sytsma KJ, Baum DA. 2002. Early tertiary out-of-India
dispersal of Crypteroniaceae: evidence from phylogeny and molecular dating.
Evolution 56:1931–1942.

Crepet W, Nixon K. 1998. Fossil Clusiaceae from the Late Cretaceous (Turonian) of New
Jersey and implications regarding the history of bee pollination. *Am J Bot* 85:1122–
1122.

Crotty SM, Minh BQ, Bean NG, Holland BR, Tuke J, Jermiin LS, Haeseler AV. 2020. GHOST:
recovering historical signal from heterotachously evolved sequence alignments. *Syst*
Biol 69:249–264.

Davín AA, Tannier E, Williams TA, Boussau B, Daubin V, Szöllősi GJ. 2018. Gene transfers
can date the tree of life. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2:904.

Davis CC, Latvis M, Nickrent DL, Wurdack KJ, Baum DA. 2007. Floral gigantism in
Rafflesiaceae. *science* 315:1812–1812.

Davis CC, Webb CO, Wurdack KJ, Jaramillo CA, Donoghue MJ. 2005. Explosive radiation of
Malpighiales supports a mid-Cretaceous origin of modern tropical rain forests. *Am*
Nat 165:E36–E65.

Davis CC, Wurdack KJ. 2004. Host-to-parasite gene transfer in flowering plants:
phylogenetic evidence from Malpighiales. *Science* 305:676–678.

Degnan JH, Rosenberg NA. 2006. Discordance of species trees with their most likely gene
trees. *PLOS Genet* 2:e68.

Di Franco A, Poujol R, Baurain D, Philippe H. 2019. Evaluating the usefulness of alignment
filtering methods to reduce the impact of errors on evolutionary inferences. *BMC*
Evolutionary Biology 19:21.

Felsenstein J. 1978. Cases in which parsimony or compatibility methods will be positively
misleading. *Syst Zool* 27:401–410.

Filipowicz N, Renner SS. 2010. The worldwide holoparasitic Apodanthaceae confidently
placed in the Cucurbitales by nuclear and mitochondrial gene trees. *BMC*
Evolutionary Biology 10:219.

Foster PG, Hickey DA. 1999. Compositional bias may affect both DNA-based and protein-
based phylogenetic reconstructions. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 48:284–290.

Givnish TJ, Millam KC, Mast AR, Paterson TB, Theim TJ, Hipp AL, Henss JM, Smith JF, Wood
KR, Sytsma KJ. 2009. Origin, adaptive radiation and diversification of the Hawaiian
lobeliads (Asterales: Campanulaceae). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
Sciences 276:407–416.

Glor RE. 2010. Phylogenetic Insights on Adaptive Radiation. *Annual Review of Ecology,*
Evolution, and Systematics 41:251–270.

González AD, Pabón-Mora N, Alzate JF, González F. 2020. Meristem genes in the highly
reduced endoparasitic Pilostyles boyacensis (Apodanthaceae). *Frontiers in Ecology*
and Evolution 8:209.

González F, Pabón-Mora N. 2017. Floral Development and Morphoanatomy in the
1016   Holoparasitic Pilostyles boyacensis (Apodanthaceae, Cucurbitales) Reveal Chimeric
1017   Half-Staminate and Half-Carpellate Flowers. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*
1018   178:522–536.

1019   Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L,
1020   Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome
1021   without a genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nat Biotechnol* 29:644.

1022   Grant PR, Grant BR. 2007. How and why species multiply: the radiation of Darwin's finches.
1023   Princeton University Press

1024   Hendy MD, Penny D. 1989. A Framework for the Quantitative Study of Evolutionary Trees.
1025   *Systematic Biology* 38:297–309.

1026   Ho SY, Jermiin LS. 2004. Tracing the decay of the historical signal in biological sequence
1027   data. *Systematic Biology* 53:623–637.

1028   Hoang DT, Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: improving the
1029   ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 35:518–522.

1030   Huelsenbeck JP, Hillis DM. 1993. Success of Phylogenetic Methods in the Four-Taxon Case.
1031   *Systematic Biology* 42:247–264.

1032   Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TK, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder:
1033   fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* 14:587.

1034   Kapli P, Yang Z, Telford MJ. 2020. Phylogenetic tree building in the genomic age. *Nat Rev*
1035   *Genet* 21:428–444.

1036   Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
1037   improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 30:772–780.

1038   Kishino H, Miyata T, Hasegawa M. 1990. Maximum likelihood inference of protein
1039   phylogeny and the origin of chloroplasts. *J Mol Evol* 31:151–160.

1040   Knight RD, Freeland SJ, Landweber LF. 2001. Rewiring the keyboard: evolvability of the
1041   genetic code. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 2:49–58.

1042   Koch NM. 2021. Phylogenomic subsampling and the search for phylogenetically reliable
1043   loci. *Molecular biology and evolution*.

1044   Kuijt J. 1969. The biology of parasitic flowering plants. Berkeley, CA: University of
1045   California Press

1046   Lartillot N, Lepage T, Blanquart S. 2009. PhyloBayes 3: a Bayesian software package for
1047   phylogenetic reconstruction and molecular dating. *Bioinformatics* 25:2286–2288.

Liu L, Yu L. 2010. Phybase: an R package for species tree analysis. *Bioinformatics* 26:962–
963.

Liu L, Yu L, Edwards SV. 2010. A maximum pseudo-likelihood approach for estimating
species trees under the coalescent model. *BMC Evol Biol* 10:302.

Liu L, Zhang J, Rheindt FE, Lei F, Qu Y, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Sullivan C, Nie W, Wang J, et al.
2017. Genomic evidence reveals a radiation of placental mammals uninterrupted by
the KPg boundary. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:E7282–
E7290.

Lopez P, Casane D, Philippe H. 2002. Heterotachy, an important process of protein
evolution. *Molecular biology and evolution* 19:1–7.

Losos JB. 2011. Lizards in an evolutionary tree: ecology and adaptive radiation of anoles.
Univ of California Press

Losos JB, Mahler DL. 2010. Adaptive radiation: the interaction of ecological opportunity,
adaptation, and speciation. In: Evolution since Darwin: The First 150 Years. Sinauer
Associates. p. 381–420.

Malabrigo Jr. P, Tobias AB, Witono J, Mursidawati S, Susatya A, Siti-Munirah MY, Wicaksono
A, Raihandhany R, Edwards S, Thorogood CJ. 2025. Most of the world's largest
flowers (genus Rafflesia) are now on the brink of extinction. *PLANTS, PEOPLE,*
PLANET 7:331–346.

Manchester SR, Kapgate DK, Wen J. 2013. Oldest fruits of the grape family (Vitaceae) from
the Late Cretaceous Deccan cherts of India. *Am J Bot* 100:1849–1859.

Martyn I, Steel M. 2012. The impact and interplay of long and short branches on
phylogenetic information content. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 314:157–163.

Minh BQ, Hahn MW, Lanfear R. 2020. New methods to calculate concordance factors for
phylogenomic datasets. *Molecular biology and evolution* 37:2727–2733.

Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, Von Haeseler A, Lanfear
R. 2020. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in
the genomic era. *Mol Biol Evol* 37:1530–1534.

Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR, Bateman A, Punta M. 2013. Challenges in homology search:
HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. *Nucleic Acids Res*
41:e121–e121.

Mo YK, Lanfear R, Hahn MW, Minh BQ. 2023. Updated site concordance factors minimize
effects of homoplasy and taxon sampling. *Bioinformatics* 39:btac741.

Naumann J, Salomo K, Der JP, Wafula EK, Bolin JF, Maass E, Frenzke L, Samain M-S, Neinhuis
C, dePamphilis CW, et al. 2013. Single-Copy Nuclear Genes Place Haustorial
Hydnoraceae within Piperales and Reveal a Cretaceous Origin of Multiple Parasitic
Angiosperm Lineages. *PLOS ONE* 8:e79204.

Nickrent DL, Blarer A, Qiu Y-L, Vidal-Russell R, Anderson FE. 2004. Phylogenetic inference
in Rafflesiales: the influence of rate heterogeneity and horizontal gene transfer. *BMC*
Evol Biol 4:40.

Nickrent DL, Duff RJ. 1996. Molecular studies of parasitic plants using ribosomal RNA.
Advances in parasitic plant research:28–52.

Nickrent DL, Starr EM. 1994. High rates of nucleotide substitution in nuclear small-subunit
(18S) rDNA from holoparasitic flowering plants. *Journal of Molecular Evolution*
39:62–70.

Nie Z-L, Sun H, Manchester SR, Meng Y, Luke Q, Wen J. 2012a. Evolution of the
intercontinental disjunctions in six continents in the Ampelopsis clade of the grape
family (Vitaceae). *BMC evolutionary biology* 12:1–13.

Nie Z-L, Sun H, Manchester SR, Meng Y, Luke Q, Wen J. 2012b. Evolution of the
intercontinental disjunctions in six continents in the Ampelopsis clade of the grape
family (Vitaceae). *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 12:17.

Nikolov LA, Davis CC. 2017. The big, the bad, and the beautiful: Biology of the world's
largest flowers. *J Syst Evol* 55:516–524.

Nikolov LA, Endress PK, Sugumaran M, Sasirat S, Vessabutr S, Kramer EM, Davis CC. 2013.
Developmental origins of the world's largest flowers, Rafflesiaceae. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences 110:18578–18583.

Ogilvie HA, Bouckaert RR, Drummond AJ. 2017. StarBEAST2 brings faster species tree
inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates. *Mol Biol Evol* 34:2101–2114.

Parey E, Louis A, Montfort J, Bouchez O, Roques C, Iampietro C, Lluch J, Castinel A,
Donnadieu C, Desvignes T. 2023. Genome structures resolve the early diversification
of teleost fishes. *Science* 379:572–575.

Pelser PB, Nickrent DL, van Ee BW, Barcelona JF. 2019. A phylogenetic and biogeographic
study of Rafflesia (Rafflesiaceae) in the Philippines: limited dispersal and high island
endemism. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 139:106555.

Philippe H, Brinkmann H, Lavrov DV, Littlewood DTJ, Manuel M, Wörheide G, Baurain D.
2011. Resolving difficult phylogenetic questions: why more sequences are not
enough. *PLOS Biol* 9:e1000602.

Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees
for large alignments. *PloS one* 5:e9490.

Rambaut A, Grassly NC. 1997. Seq-Gen: an application for the Monte Carlo simulation of
DNA sequence evolution along phylogenetic trees. *Computer applications in the*
biosciences: CABIOS 13:235–238.

Ramirez-Barahona S, Sauquet H, Magallon S. 2020. The delayed and geographically
heterogeneous diversification of flowering plant families. *Nat Ecol Evol* 4:1232–
1238.

Ripley B, Venables B, Bates DM, Hornik K, Gebhardt A, Firth D, Ripley MB. 2013. Package
'mass.' *Cran r* 538:113–120.

Roch S, Nute M, Warnow T. 2019. Long-branch attraction in species tree estimation:
inconsistency of partitioned likelihood and topology-based summary methods. *Syst*
Biol 68:281–297.

Schluter D. 2000. The Ecology of Adaptive Radiation. Oxford, New York: Oxford University
Press

Schluter D, McPhail JD. 1992. Ecological character displacement and speciation in
sticklebacks. *Am Nat* 140:85–108.

Shen X-X, Hittinger CT, Rokas A. 2017. Contentious relationships in phylogenomic studies
can be driven by a handful of genes. *Nat Ecol Evol* 1:0126.

Smith SA, Brown JW, Hinchliff CE. 2013. Analyzing and synthesizing phylogenies using tree
alignment graphs. *PLOS Comput Biol* 9:e1003223.

Smith SA, Brown JW, Walker JF. 2018. So many genes, so little time: A practical approach to
divergence-time estimation in the genomic era. *PLOS One* 13:e0197433.

Smith SA, O'Meara BC. 2012. treePL: divergence time estimation using penalized likelihood
for large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 28:2689–2690.

Stiller J, Feng S, Chowdhury A-A, Rivas-González I, Duchêne DA, Fang Q, Deng Y, Kozlov A,
Stamatakis A, Claramunt S, et al. 2024. Complexity of avian evolution revealed by
family-level genomes. *Nature* 629:851–860.

Sun G, Dilcher DL, Zheng S, Zhou Z. 1998. In search of the first flower: a Jurassic angiosperm,
Archaefructus, from northeast China. *Science* 282:1692–1695.

Suyama M, Torrents D, Bork P. 2006. PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein sequence
alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res* 34:W609–
W612.

Tateno Y, Takezaki N, Nei M. 1994. Relative efficiencies of the maximum-likelihood,
neighbor-joining, and maximum-parsimony methods when substitution rate varies
with site. *Molecular biology and evolution* 11:261–277.

Teixeira-Costa L, Davis CC. 2021. Life history, diversity, and distribution in parasitic
flowering plants. *Plant Physiol* 187:32–51.

Thorogood CJ, Teixeira-Costa L, Ceccantini G, Davis C, Hiscock SJ. 2021. Endoparasitic
plants and fungi show evolutionary convergence across phylogenetic divisions. *New*
Phytol 232:1159–1167.

Walker JF, Smith SA. 2023. Phylogenomic conflicts in plants. In: Species tree inference: A
guide to methods and applications. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University
Press. p. 149–160.

Wang H, Singer GA, Hickey DA. 2004. Mutational bias affects protein evolution in flowering
plants. *Molecular biology and evolution* 21:90–96.

Wei T, Simko V, Levy M, Xie Y, Jin Y, Zemla J. 2017. Package 'corrplot.' *Statistician* 56:e24.

Wicke S, Müller KF, Quandt D, Bellot S, Schneeweiss GM. 2016. Mechanistic model of
evolutionary rate variation en route to a nonphotosynthetic lifestyle in plants.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences:201607576.

Wurdack KJ, Davis CC. 2009. Malpighiales phylogenetics: gaining ground on one of the most
recalcitrant clades in the angiosperm tree of life. *Am J Bot* 96:1551–1570.

Xi Z, Bradley RK, Wurdack KJ, Wong KM, Sugumaran M, Bomblies K, Rest JS, Davis CC. 2012.
Horizontal transfer of expressed genes in a parasitic flowering plant. *BMC Genomics*
13:227.

Xi Z, Wang Y, Bradley RK, Sugumaran M, Marx CJ, Rest JS, Davis CC. 2013. Massive
mitochondrial gene transfer in a parasitic flowering plant clade. *PLOS Genet*
9:e1003265.

Xiong H, Wang D, Shao C, Yang X, Yang J, Ma T, Davis CC, Liu L, Xi Z. 2022. Species Tree
Estimation and the Impact of Gene Loss Following Whole-Genome Duplication.
Systematic Biology 71:1348–1361.

Yang Y, Smith SA. 2014. Orthology Inference in Nonmodel Organisms Using Transcriptomes
and Low-Coverage Genomes: Improving Accuracy and Matrix Occupancy for
Phylogenomics. *Mol Biol Evol* 31:3081–3092.

Young ND, dePamphilis CW. 2005. Rate variation in parasitic plants: correlated and
uncorrelated patterns among plastid genes of different function. *BMC Evolutionary*
Biology 5:16.

Zhang C, Mirarab S. 2022. Weighting by gene tree uncertainty improves accuracy of
quartet-based species trees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 39:msac215.

Zhao T, Zwaenepoel A, Xue J-Y, Kao S-M, Li Z, Schranz ME, Van de Peer Y. 2021. Whole-
genome microsynteny-based phylogeny of angiosperms. *Nat Commun* 12:3498.

Zharkikh A, Li W-H. 1993. Inconsistency of the maximum-parsimony method: the case of
five taxa with a molecular clock. *Systematic Biology* 42:113–125.

1188