Prevalence and associated risk factors assessment of bovine fasciolosis in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia

Abraham Belete Temesgen[1] and Tesfaye Mesfin[2]

[1]Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Animals Sciences, University of Gondar, Ethiopia, P.O. Box: 196
[2]Animal Health and Fishery Resources Development Office, Debre Werq, Ethiopia

Corresponding Author: abrham.belete@uog.edu.et; https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5926-5568

Abstract

Bovine fasciolosis is a parasitic disease of cattle caused by ingesting metacercariae of liver flukes from the genus Fasciola. A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2021 to April 2022, encompassing a total of 384 cattle randomly selected from diverse locations. The study included cattle of various ages and genders, aiming to to determine the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factors in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Fecal examinations were performed to detect fluke eggs, and data were analyzed using descriptive statistics in STATA Version 20.0 to summarize bovine fasciolosis prevalence. Chi-square tests assessed relationships with infection rates and risk factors, with significance set at $P < 0.05$. Out of 384 fecal samples analyzed, 49.21% tested positive for Fasciola eggs. Origin areas included Tikurit (50%), Sebatamit (61.84%), Latammba (27.65%), and Kebele 11 (59.37%). Cattle in poor condition showed higher prevalence (64%) compared to those in medium (50%) and fat condition (26.96%). Age showed differences with young cattle at 50.38%, adults at 47.33%, and old cattle at 50.47%. Sex distribution indicated 49.73% prevalence in males and 48.73% in females. Regarding breed, local cattle had a prevalence of 51.62%, while crossbreeds showed 46.15%. Significant variations were observed based on locality and body condition, whereas age, sex, and breed showed no statistically significant differences ($p < 0.05$). Despite these differences, bovine fasciolosis remains prevalent in the area. Enhancing farmer awareness, regular deworming, and effective management are crucial to control the disease and reduce economic losses.

Keywords : *Bahir Dar; Bovine fasciolosis; Ethiopia; Fecal examination; Prevalence; Risk factors*

1. Introduction

Ethiopia boasts one of Africa's largest livestock populations, including approximately 55.03 million cattle, 27.32 million sheep, and 28.16 million goats as of 2019. Cattle are particularly crucial, accounting for about 81.2% of the country's total annual milk production. Despite their importance, livestock productivity in Ethiopia is hindered by various challenges such as diseases, malnutrition, and management deficiencies, with parasitic infections being a notable concern (Legesse et al., 2017).

Among parasitic infections, Fasciolosis stands out as a prominent concern affecting various animals such as cattle, buffaloes, sheep, goats, and even humans of all ages. It is caused by ingesting metacercariae of liver flukes from the genus Fasciola, typically found on contaminated forage, in water, or on raw vegetables (Ardo et al., 2013; Figtree et al., 2015; Najib et al., 2020). Livestock contract the infection directly from contaminated environments (Irsik et al., 2008), while humans usually acquire it through consumption of contaminated food or water (Aleixo et al., 2015).

Fasciolosis can lead to severe liver pathology, including damage caused by the migration of flukes through the liver and other organs, in both humans and animals (Najib et al., 2020). Clinically, the infection is known to induce inflammation of the bile ducts and obstruction of the biliary system in both animals and humans (Sah et al., 2017). The disease is endemic globally(Kleiman et al., 2007, Abunna et al., 2010, Nguyen et al., 2011). The distribution of fasciolosis depends on the presence of suitable aquatic snail species such as *Lymnaea truncatula* and *Lymnaea natalensis*, which serve as intermediate hosts (Boray & Love, 2007). Fasciolosis is prevalent worldwide and causes serious problems in sheep, goats, and cattle (Soliman, 2008). Economic losses due to fasciolosis infection in animals include mortality, morbidity, reduced growth rates, liver condemnation, increased susceptibility to secondary infections, costs associated with implementing control or treatment protocols, decreased productivity, and reduced fertility and milk production (Bernardo et al., 2011).

Several studies on the epidemiology of fasciolosis among animals have demonstrated that factors such as age, sex, breed, and livestock management exert a significant influence on the prevalence of this disease (Phiri et al., 2005; Keyyu et al., 2006; Abunna et al., 2010). Previous studies have explored the prevalence of fasciolosis and identified regional risk factors. They have underscored the importance of further investigation due to its pivotal role in assessing the local epidemiology of the disease (Aregay et al., 2013; Gebrie et al., 2015; Yitayal & Taddie, 2020). Therefore, the objective of the current study was to determine the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factors in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2021 to April 2022 in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia (Figure 1). Bahir Dar is situated approximately 575 km northwest of Addis Ababa, with an altitude ranging from 1500 to 2600 meters above sea level. The city's coordinates are 12° 29' N latitude and 37° 29' E longitude. It experiences an average annual rainfall of 1200 to 1600 mm and temperatures ranging from 8 to 31°C annually. The region is characterized by plain plateaus covering about 70% of the land, featuring various bush formations, low woods, evergreen lands, and semi-humid highland vegetation. The area is predominantly used for agriculture, cultivating crops such as teff, wheat, maize, and pulse crops (Legesse et al., 2017).

Figure 1 : Map of the the study area

2.2. Study Animal and Sampling Method

The study animals comprised cattle from selected sites in and around Bahir Dar, specifically Kebele 11, Sebatamit, Tikurit, and Latammba Kebeles. These cattle included both local breeds and crossbred

Holstein Friesian cattle. A total of 384 cattle, spanning all age groups and both sexes, were randomly selected from various origins. The average age of the cattle was determined using dentition (categorized as young and adult) as described by Cringoli et al. (2002), and their body condition scores were recorded following the guidelines outlined by Nicholson and Butterworth (1986).

2.3. Study Design and Sample Size

A cross-sectional study design was employed to assess the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factors in and around Bahir Dar town from November 2021 to April 2022. Factors including origin (place), breed, age, sex, and body condition were considered as potential risk factors for the occurrence of fasciolosis. The sample size for collecting fecal samples from the bovine population was determined following the guidelines outlined by Thrusfield (2005), using a 95% confidence interval and 5% absolute precision, assuming an expected prevalence of 50%.

$$\frac{1.96^2 \times Pexp \times (1 - Pexp)}{d^2}$$

Where n represents the required sample size, Pexp denotes the expected prevalence, and d stands for the desired absolute precision. The estimated sample size will be 384 animals.

2.4. Fecal Examination and Identification of Fasciola Eggs

Fecal samples collected directly from animals' rectums were preserved in universal bottles with 10% formalin for transport to the Bahir Dar Regional Veterinary Laboratory for analysis. At the laboratory, the sedimentation technique, following protocols detailed by Faria et al. (2008) and Elelu et al. (2016), was employed to detect fluke eggs present in the samples. To differentiate between Fasciola species eggs and other types, such as Paramphistomum species eggs, a methylene blue solution was utilized on the sediment. Fasciola eggs displayed a distinct yellowish hue, characterized by their larger size and thin, operculated shells, as outlined by Hussein et al. (2010). In contrast, Paramphistomum eggs stained blue, as outlined by Mifsut and Ballarin (2015).

2.5. Data Analysis

The raw data collected from the study were first organized and entered into a Microsoft Excel database using spreadsheet software. Following this, the data underwent analysis using descriptive statistics in STATA Version 20.0 to summarize the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis. To assess the

statistical relationships between infection rates and various risk factors (age, sex, breed, and locality), a chi-square (χ^2) test was employed. This test compared infection rates across these factors, with statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence

The prevalence of bovine fasciolosis across various risk factors is presented in Table 1 and Figure 3. The overall prevalence rate of fasciolosis in the study area was 49.21%. Detailed analysis by origin revealed varying rates: Sebatamit exhibited the highest prevalence at 61.84%, followed by Kebele 11 at 59.37%, Tikurit at 50%, and Latammba at 27.65%. Regarding body condition scores, cattle in poor condition showed the highest prevalence at 64%, followed by those in medium condition at 50%, and fat cattle at 26.96%. Among different age groups, prevalence rates were 50.38% in young cattle, 47.33% in adults, and 50.47% in older cattle. Similarly, prevalence rates across sex groups were 49.73% in males and 48.73% in females. Among different breed groups, local breeds exhibited a prevalence rate of 51.62%, while crossbreeds showed a rate of 46.15%.

Statistical analysis revealed significant differences in prevalence among origin locations and body condition categories. However, no significant differences were observed among age groups, sex groups, and breed groups ($p < 0.05$).

3.2. Identification of Fasciola Eggs

The egg of the Fasciola species detected in the cattle fecal sample in the study is presented in Figure 2. The criteria for identification were established using morphological features guided by Hussein et al. (2010) and Mifsut and Ballarin (2015). These eggs are notably ovoid in shape with a distinctive thick, yellowish-brown shell, often displaying an operculum at one end.

Figure 2 : Egg of *Fasciola* species: yellowish egg (arrow)

Table 1: Prevalence of Bovine Fasciolosis Based on Risk Factors

Factors	Category	Examined	Positive	χ2	P-value
Origin	Tikurit	118	59 (50 %)		
	Sebatamit	76	47 (61.84%)	26.31	0.000
	Latammba	94	26 (27.65%)		
	Kebele 11	96	57 (59.37%)		
Body condition	Poor	125	80 (64 %)		
	Medium	170	85 (50 %)	28.6	0.000
	Fat	89	24 (26.96 %)		
Age	Young	129	65 (50.38%)		
	Adult	150	71 (47.33%)	0.839	0.3503
	Old	105	53 (50.47%)		
Sex	Male	187	93 (49.73%)	0.844	0.3503
	Female	197	96 (48.73%)		
Breed	Local	215	111 (51.62%)	0.287	1.134
	Cross	169	78 (46.15%)		
Total		**384**	189 **(49.21%)**		

Figure 3: Prevalence of Bovine Fasciolosis Based on Risk Factors

4. Discussion

The study revealed an overall prevalence of bovine fasciolosis of 49.21% in the study area, which closely aligns with previous research findings. Tsegaye et al. (2012) reported a prevalence of 41.41% in Woreta, while Demssie et al. (2012) found 54.5% in Jimma. Additionally, studies conducted in Angacha Woreda (Eshetu et al., 2017), the North-East Amhara Region (Ayelign & Alemneh, 2017), and Eastern Shoa, Kuyu District (Mohammed et al., 2018) documented prevalence rates of 40.62%, 47.10%, and 54.2%, respectively.These similarities are attributed to shared agro-ecological conditions across Ethiopia, which provide favorable habitats for the intermediate hosts of Fasciola spp., such as snails of the genus Lymnaea. Agrarian practices like communal water sources and irrigation facilitate transmission, with contaminated pastures and water serving as major infection routes for cattle (Gebrie & Alemneh, 2015).

Conversely, lower prevalence rates were noted in some regions such as Soddo in Southern Ethiopia (4.9%) (Abunna et al., 2010), Nekemte in the East Wollega Zone (15.9%) (Asrese & Ali, 2014), and Wolaita Soddo in Southern Ethiopia (15.9%) (Tilahun et al., 2014). Similarly, slightly higher but still relatively low prevalence rates were recorded in areas like Mecha District in the West Gojam Zone (31.5%) (Ewnetu et al., 2015), Zenzelma in Bahir Dar (26%) (Legesse et al., 2017), Bahir Dar itself (32.3%) (Gebrie et al., 2015), Shambu in Western Ethiopia (30.8%) (Desa & Mitiku, 2019), and Gondar in Northwestern Ethiopia (23.44%) (Gebrie & Alemneh, 2015). This variation could be attributed to differences in altitude, topography, weather conditions, and disparities in livestock owners' awareness of disease management practices. These include protecting cattle from infected areas, maintaining dry pastures, and eliminating contaminated vegetation that could harbor Fasciola larvae. Additionally, variations in the use of anthelmintics to treat infected animals by veterinary health personnel may also contribute (Asressa et al., 2012).

Significant differences in the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis were observed among different origins: Sebatamit (61.84%), Kebele 11 (59.37%), Tikurit (50%), and Latammba (27.65%). These findings are consistent with earlier studies (Mebrahtu & Beka, 2013; Gebrie et al., 2015; Yusuf et al., 2016; Eshetu et al., 2017; Desa & Mitiku, 2019; Yitayal & Taddie, 2020) reporting significant variability in prevalence among different origins. However, other studies (Belay et al., 2012; Tsegaye et al., 2012; Petros et al., 2013; Aregay et al., 2013; Tilahun et al., 2014; Asrese & Ali, 2014; Ewnetu et al., 2015; Legesse et al., 2017; Ayelign & Alemneh, 2017; Bayou & Geda, 2018; Zewde et al., 2019) found no significant differences among origins. This variation in prevalence is likely due to differences in

climatic conditions (such as altitude, rainfall, and temperature), animal management systems, and the availability of veterinary services and drug usage (Ayelign & Alemneh, 2017).

Body condition scores showed significant variability in the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis: cattle in poor condition exhibited the highest prevalence at 64%, followed by those in medium condition at 50%, and fat cattle at 26.96%. This variability among body condition categories is consistent with findings from several earlier studies (Demssie et al., 2012; Belay et al., 2012; Petros et al., 2013; Asrese & Ali, 2014; Tilahun et al., 2014; Ewnetu et al., 2015; Gebrie & Alemneh, 2015; Yusuf et al., 2016; Eshetu et al., 2017; Legesse et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2018; Kassie & Ali, 2019; Desa & Mitiku, 2019; Yitayal & Taddie, 2020). Conversely, some studies reported non-significant variability in prevalence rates among different body condition categories (Mebrahtu & Beka, 2013; Aregay et al., 2013; Gebrie et al., 2015; Bayou & Geda, 2018; Zewde et al., 2019). The variation, possibly due to malnutrition, chronic diseases, or environmental conditions, may contribute to the prevalence of fasciolosis. Additionally, the presence of other infections, whether parasitic or non-parasitic, can further compromise the animal's body condition, making them more susceptible to fasciolosis. This combined impact is likely to affect the overall body condition and weight of the animals (Yitayal & Taddie, 2020).

Age did not significantly influence the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in the study area: young cattle (50.38%), adults (47.33%), and older cattle (50.47%). This lack of variability among age groups corresponds with findings from several earlier studies (Tsegaye et al., 2012; Belay et al., 2012; Mebrahtu & Beka, 2013; Tilahun et al., 2014; Gebrie & Alemneh, 2015; Gebrie et al., 2015; Legesse et al., 2017; Bayou & Geda, 2018; Mohammed et al., 2018; Desa & Mitiku, 2019). The variation, possibly due to uniform exposure levels or management practices affecting all age groups similarly.However, some studies have reported significant variability in prevalence rates among different age groups (Petros et al., 2013; Aregay et al., 2013; Ewnetu et al., 2015; Yusuf et al., 2016; Ayelign & Alemneh, 2017; Eshetu et al., 2017; Kassie & Ali, 2019; Zewde et al., 2019).

Similarly, sex did not significantly influence the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in the study area: males (49.73%) and females (48.73%). This lack of variability aligns with findings from several earlier studies (Tsegaye et al., 2012; Petros et al., 2013; Aregay et al., 2013; Mebrahtu & Beka, 2013; Tilahun et al., 2014; Gebrie et al., 2015; Ewnetu et al., 2015; Ayelign & Alemneh, 2017; Legesse et al., 2017; Bayou & Geda, 2018; Desa & Mitiku, 2019). Conversely, significant variability in prevalence rates among sex groups has been reported in other studies (Eshetu et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2018; Zewde et al., 2019). These differences might be attributed to the fact that the management system is

similar for both sexes, meaning they have equal exposure to contaminated grass. In this study area, management practices and treatments are consistent for all animals (Tilahun et al., 2014).

Breed also did not significantly influence the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in the study area: local breeds (51.62%) and crossbreeds (46.15%). This finding corresponds with several earlier studies that also reported non-significant differences in prevalence rates among breed categories (Tilahun et al., 2014; Gebrie & Alemneh, 2015; Legesse et al., 2017). Conversely, significant variability among breed groups has been documented in other studies (Tsegaye et al., 2012; Aregay et al., 2013; Asrese & Ali, 2014). The variation may be attributed to the unknown blood levels in crossbred animals (Legesse et al., 2017).

5. Conclusion

The study reveals a concerning prevalence of Fasciola eggs in cattle fecal samples, with nearly half testing positive (49.21%). Localities and body conditions significantly influence these rates, whereas age, sex, and breed did not show notable variations ($p < 0.05$). Despite these differences, bovine fasciolosis remains prevalent in the area. It is crucial to enhance farmer awareness, implement regular deworming practices, and adopt effective management strategies to control the disease and minimize economic losses.

Data sharing statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are available upon request from the corresponding author.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Abraham Belete Temesgen: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Resources, Investigation, Conceptualization. Tesfaye Mesfin: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Resources, Investigation, Conceptualization.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

   The authors extend their sincere gratitude to all the dedicated staff members of the Bahir Dar Regional Veterinary Laboratory. Their invaluable contributions in providing essential laboratory resources and unwavering support have been crucial to the successful completion of this study.

Reference

Abunna, F., Asfaw, L., Megersa, B., & Regassa, A. (2010). Bovine fasciolosis: coprological, abattoir survey and its economic impact due to liver condemnation at Soddo municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. *Tropical animal health and production*, *42*, 289-292.

Aleixo, M. A., Freitas, D. F., Dutra, L. H., Malone, J., Martins, I. V. F., & Molento, M. B. (2015). Fasciola hepatica: epidemiology, perspectives in the diagnostic and the use of geoprocessing systems for prevalence studies. *Semina: Ciências Agrárias*, *36*(3), 1451-1465.

Ardo, M. B., Aliyara, Y. H., & Lawal, H. (2013). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in major abattiors of Adamawa State, Nigeria. *Bayero journal of pure and applied sciences*, *6*(1), 12-16.

Aregay, F., Bekele, J., Ferede, Y., & Hailemelekot, M. (2013). Study on the prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in and around Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. *Ethiopian Veterinary Journal*, *17*(1), 1-11.

Asrese, N. M., & Ali, M. G. (2014). Bovine fasciolosis: prevalence and economic significance in southern Ethiopia. *Acta Parasitol*, *5*, 76-82.

Asressa Yeneneh, A. Y., Hassen Kebede, H. K., Tewodros Fentahun, T. F., & Mersha Chanie, M. C. (2012). Prevalence of cattle flukes infection at Andassa Livestock Research Center in north-west of Ethiopia. *CABI Databases*, 2, 85-89

Ayelign, M., & Alemneh, T. (2017). Study on prevalence and economic importance of bovine fasciolosis in three districts of North-East Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *J Infect Non Infect Dis*, *3*, 24.

Bayou, K., & Geda, T. (2018). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factors in Haranfama municipal abattoir, Girja District, South-Eastern Ethiopia. *Int J Anim Sci*, *2*(2), 1018s1.

Belay, E., Molla, W., & Amare, A. (2012). Prevalence and economic losses of bovine fasciolosis in dessie Municipal Abattoir, South Wollo Zone, Ethiopia. *European Journal of Biological Sciences*, *4*(2), 53-59.

Bernardo, C. D. C., Carneiro, M. B., Avelar, B. R. D., Donatele, D. M., Martins, I. V. F., & Pereira, M. J. S. (2011). Prevalence of liver condemnation due to bovine fasciolosis in Southern Espírito Santo: temporal distribution and economic losses. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*, *20*, 49-53.

Boray, J. C., & Love, S. (2007). Liver fluke disease in sheep and cattle. *Prime fact*, *446*, 1-10.

Cringoli, G., Rinaldi, L., Capuano, F., Baldi, L., Veneziano, V., & Capelli, G. (2002). Serological survey of Neospora caninum and Leishmania infantum co-infection in dogs. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *106*(4), 307-313.

Demssie, A., Birku, F., Biadglign, A., Misganaw, M., Besir, M., & Addis, M. (2012). An Abattoir survey on the prevalence and monetary loss of fasciolosis in cattle in Jimma Town, Ethiopia. *Cell*, *251*(912112251), 912112251.

Desa, G., & Mitiku, W. (2019). Prevalence and Economic Significance of Bovine Fasciolosis in Shambu Municipality Abattoir, Ethiopia. *Acta Parasitol*, *10*, 103-110.

Elelu, N., Ambali, A., Coles, G. C., & Eisler, M. C. (2016). Cross-sectional study of Fasciola gigantica and other trematode infections of cattle in Edu Local Government Area, Kwara State, north-central Nigeria. *Parasites & Vectors*, 9, 1-11.

Eshetu, E., Thomas, N., Awukew, A., Goa, A., & Butako, B. (2017). Study on the prevalence of Bovine Fasciolosis and Estimated financial losses due to liver condemnation: Incase of Angacha Woreda, Kambata Tembaro Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, *7*, 78-83.

Ewnetu, A., Derso, S., & Tesfaye, R. (2015). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factor in Mecha District, West Gojam Zone, Northwestern Ethiopia. *European Journal of Biological Sciences*, *7*(3), 132-138.

Faria, R. N., Cury, M. C., & Lima, W. S. (2008). Evaluation of two available methods to detect eggs of Fasciola hepatica in cattle faeces. *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec*. 60, 1023–1025

Figtree, M., Beaman, M. H., Lee, R., Porter, M., Torey, E., Hugh, T. J., & Hudson, B. J. (2015). Fascioliasis in Australian travellers to Bali. *Medical Journal of Australia*, *203*(4), 186-188.

Gebrie, M., & Alemneh, T. (2015). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in and around Gondar, Northwestern Ethiopia. *Acta Parasitologica Globalis*, *6*, 231-237.

Gebrie, Y., Gebreyohannes, M., & Tesfaye, A. (2015). Prevelance of bovine fasciolosis in and around Bahir Dar, north west Ethiopia. *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*, *7*(4), 74-79.

Hussein, A. N. A., Hassan, I. M., & Khalifa, R. M. A. (2010). Description of eggs and larval stages of Fasciola, light and scanning electron microscopic studies. *Research Journal of Parasitology*, 5(1), 1-12.

Irsik, M. B., Courtney III, C. H., & Richey, E. (2008). Liver Fluke Control in Beef Cattle: VM120/VM089, rev. 7/2007. *Edis*, *2008*(3).

Kassie, B. S., & Ali, S. F. (2019). Study on the prevalence and economic significance of bovine fasciolosis at Gondar Elfora Abattoir, Gondar, Ethiopia. *Journal of Animal Research*, *9*(5), 623-631.

Keyyu, J. D., Kassuku, A. A., Msalilwa, L. P., Monrad, J., & Kyvsgaard, N. C. (2006). Cross-sectional prevalence of helminth infections in cattle on traditional, small-scale and large-scale dairy farms in Iringa district, Tanzania. *Veterinary research communications*, *30*, 45-55.

Kleiman, F., Pietrokovsky, S., Prepelitchi, L., Carbajo, A. E., & Wisnivesky-Colli, C. (2007). Dynamics of Fasciola hepatica transmission in the Andean Patagonian valleys, Argentina. *Veterinary Parasitology*, *145*(3-4), 274-286.

Legesse, S., Tsegaye, S., Lamesgen, S., Wolelaw, Y., Garikipati, D., & Wondimagegn, W. (2017). Coprological prevalence and associated risk factors of bovine fasciolosis in and around Zenzelma, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. *Eur Exp Biol*, *7*(5), 34.

Mebrahtu, G., & Beka, K. (2013). Prevalence and economic significance of fasciolosis in cattle slaughtered at Dire Dawa Municipal Abattoir, Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Advances*, *3*, 319-324.

Mifsut, C. L. (2015). Helminths: Handbook for Identification and Counting of Parasitic Helminth Eggs in Urban Wastewater. *Iwa Publishing*.London, 27–56.

Mohammed, C., Nigussie, L., Dugasa, J., & Seid, U. (2018). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its associated risk factors in Eastern Shoa, Kuyu District Central Ethiopia. *Arch Vet Sci Technol*, 1-5

Najib, M. A., Izani, N. J. N., Amilah, W. A. W. W. N., Faez, A. M., & Shafizol, Z. (2020). A scoping review of the prevalence of fascioliasis in Malaysia and risk factors for infection. *The Malaysian Journal of Medical Sciences*, *27*(1), 22.

Nguyen, T. G. T., Le, T. H., Dao, T. H. T., Tran, T. L. H., Praet, N., Speybroeck, N., ... & Dorny, P. (2011). Bovine fasciolosis in the human fasciolosis hyperendemic Binh Dinh province in Central Vietnam. *Acta tropica*, *117*(1), 19-22.

Nicholson, M. J., & Butterworth, M. H. (1986). A guide to condition scoring of zebu cattle. *ILRI* (aka *ILCA* and *ILRAD*), 3-31

Petros, A., Kebede, A., & Wolde, A. (2013). Prevalence and economic significance of bovine fasciolosis in Nekemte municipal abattoir. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, *5*(8), 202-205.

Phiri, A. M., Phiri, I. K., Sikasunge, C. S., & Monrad, J. (2005). Prevalence of fasciolosis in Zambian cattle observed at selected abattoirs with emphasis on age, sex and origin. *Journal of veterinary medicine, series B*, *52*(9), 414-416.

Sah, R., Khadka, S., Khadka, M., Gurubacharya, D., Sherchand, J. B., Parajuli, K., ... & Rijal, B. (2017). Human fascioliasis by Fasciola hepatica: the first case report in Nepal. *BMC research notes*, *10*, 1-4.

Soliman, M. F. (2008). Epidemiological review of human and animal fascioliasis in Egypt. *The Journal of Infection in Developing Countries*, *2*(03), 182-189.

Thrusfield M. Veterinary Epidemiology. 3rd ed. UK*: Blackwell Publishing*; 2005. p. 433.

Tilahun, Z., Nemomsa, D., Himanot, H., & Girma, K. (2014). Study on prevalence of Bovine Fasciolosis at Nekemte Veterinary clinic, East Wolega Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia. *European Journal of Biological Sciences*, *6*(2), 40-45.

Tsegaye, B., Abebaw, H., & Girma, S. (2012). Study on coprological prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in and around Woreta, Northwestern Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, *4*(7), 89-92.

Yitayal, G., & Taddie, W. (2020). Prevalence Bovine Fasciolosis in Postmortem Examination at Bahir Dar Municipal Abattoir, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. *J. Anim. Husb. Dairy Sci*, *4*, 30-36.

Yusuf, M., Nuraddis, I., Tafese, W., & Deneke, Y. (2016). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis in municipal abattoir of Haramaya, Ethiopia. *Food Science and Quality Management*, *48*.

Zewde, A., Bayu, Y., & Wondimu, A. (2019). Prevalence of bovine fasciolosis and its economic loss due to liver condemnation at Wolaita Sodo Municipal Abattair, Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 1, 9572373.