Title:

Dynamic WT1 expression during gastrulation specifies peritoneal smooth muscle fate independently from mesothelial fate.

Running title: WT1 and smooth muscle fate

Authors: Suad H. Alsukari[1,3,$], Huei Teng Ng[1,$], Lilly Lang[1], Sharna Lunn[2], Shanthi Beglinger[1], Lauren Carr[1], Michael Boyes[1], David A. Turner[2] and Bettina Wilm[1]*

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Women's and Children's Health, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[2]Department of Musculoskeletal and Ageing Science, Institute of Life Course and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[3]current address: Department of Biology, Albaha University, Albaha, KSA

*To whom correspondence is to be addressed (bwilm@liverpool.ac.uk)

[$] equal contribution

Key words (3-6): mouse gastrulation, Wilms tumour protein 1, mesodermal cell fate, smooth muscle, mesothelium

1. Abstract

The Wilms' Tumour protein (WT1) was previously linked to the mesothelial and vascular smooth muscle cell (vSMC) lineage in the mouse intestine, with evidence suggesting that intestinal vSMCs arise from the mesothelium. Here, we report that WT1 is already expressed, unexpectedly, during gastrulation, in cells that specify a population of SMC precursor cells in the lateral plate mesoderm (LPM). Tamoxifen-induced genetic lineage tracing of *Wt1*-expressing cells revealed that vSMC and visceral smooth muscle cells (visSMCs) of the foetal mid-gut, but not mesothelial cells, were labelled after Tamoxifen administration at E7.5 or E8.5. Analysis of single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) datasets of gastrulation-stage mouse embryos and confocal microscopy demonstrated *Wt1* expression in epiblast, primitive streak, emerging mesoderm and, from E7.5 onwards, in the LPM. Co-expression of signature smooth muscle markers in *Wt1*-expressing cells in gastrulation-stage embryos revealed that vSMC and visSMC fate is specified independently from visceral mesothelium formation. Furthermore, Tamoxifen-induced *Wt1* knock-out at E7.5 affected vascularisation in the E12.5 intestine. Taken together, our study provides novel insight into the developmental lineage of smooth muscle specified by WT1 expression during gastrulation.

Summary Statement:

This study provides novel insight into the developmental lineage of smooth muscle cells in the intestine and mesentery, specified by WT1 expression during gastrulation.

2. Introduction

The embryonic origin of vascular smooth muscle cells (vSMCs) is relatively diverse as different regions of the vasculature arise from different progenitors including neural crest cells (NCCs), lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) and somatic mesoderm (Donadon and Santoro, 2021). Differentiation of vSMCs and tissue formation in vertebrates are driven by a series of canonical cell signalling events and mechanical forces which regulate progenitor cell recruitment, differentiation, and maturation (Monte et al., 2011; Qi et al., 2021; Stratman et al., 2020). Platelet derived growth factor receptor beta (PDGFRB) signalling is a key regulatory pathway in vSMC myogenesis since disruption of PDGFRB signalling reduces vSMC proliferation and recruitment (Hellstrom et al., 1999; Stratman et al., 2020). Specification of vSMC is marked by expression of smooth muscle cell markers such as transgelin (TAGLN), alpha-smooth muscle actin (ACTA2), smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (MYH11), desmin and others (Assinder et al., 2009; Muhl et al., 2022; Smyth et al., 2018). However, the timepoint when these genes start to be expressed in embryonic development, and their roles during the early stages of vSMC specification and differentiation remain unclear.

Wilms' tumour protein 1 (WT1) is a transcription factor involved in the development of several tissues and organs in normal embryogenesis. The earliest WT1 expression was identified in the intermediate mesoderm (IM) and LPM in E9.5 mouse embryos (Armstrong et al., 1993). Its most prominent roles are in the development of the kidneys, arising from the IM, and of the heart, where the WT1-expressing epicardium contributes to coronary vessel formation (Kreidberg et al., 1993; Rackley et al., 1993). The epicardium is the mesothelial layer of the heart, which is continuous with the pericardial mesothelium of the pericardial cavity. WT1 is also expressed in the pleural and peritoneal mesothelium, lining the cavities and covering the organs housed within, from about E9.5 onwards and throughout adulthood (Armstrong et al., 1993; Moore et al., 1999; Moore et al., 1998; Que et al., 2008; Wilm et al., 2005; Wilm et al., 2021).

Previously, studies showed that the epicardium can give rise to vSMC of the developing coronary vasculature (Guadix et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2008). Genetic lineage tracing experiments using a transgenic mouse line expressing Cre recombinase under control of regulatory elements of the human *WT1* gene (*Tg(WT1-cre)AG11Dbdr*, in short: *WT1-Cre*) in combination with the *Rosa*$^{LacZ/LacZ}$ reporter mouse revealed that a population WT1-expressing serosa and pleural mesothelium cells give rise to vSMC in the peritoneal and lung cavity (Que et al., 2008; Wilm et al., 2005). These results suggested that the

mesothelium and vasculature of the intestine and lungs may have a developmental
relationship similar to that of the epicardium and the coronary vasculature. Similar studies
using *mWt1/IRES/GFP-Cre* (*Wt1cre*) transgenic mice crossed with the *Rosa26R^EYFP*
reporter mouse also found a contribution of WT1-expressing mesothelial cells (MCs) to the
vasculature of the developing intestine (Carmona et al., 2013).

In order to determine when MCs become committed to give rise to intestinal vascular
smooth muscle, we conducted pulse-chase studies of postnatal and adult mice using a
tamoxifen (Tam)-inducible temporally-controlled and *Wt1*-driven Cre system
(*Wt1^tm2(cre/ERT2)Wtp*, *Wt1^CreERT2*) (Zhou et al., 2008). Our results showed that WT1-
expressing peritoneal cells maintained the mesothelium over the intestine and peritoneal
wall (and contributed to visceral fat, (Chau et al., 2011)), but failed to give rise to intestinal
vasculature components. However, WT1-expressing epicardium was observed to
contribute towards formation of coronary vessels postnatally and in adult mice (Wilm et al.,
2021). These findings suggested that the capacity of WT1-expressing MCs within the
peritoneal cavity to differentiate into vSMCs must be restricted to developmental stages.

We therefore propose that there is an embryonic contribution of WT1-expressing cells
towards the vSMC fate in the peritoneum and intestine, of which the temporo-spatial
resolution and molecular mechanisms have remained unresolved so far. Here, to address
this, we used the Tam-inducible *Wt1^CreERT2* mouse line in combination with Rosa-based
reporter mice for lineage tracing analysis to determine the contribution of WT1-expressing
cells towards intestinal vasculature in mouse embryo. Our results indicate that during
embryonic development, two distinct populations of WT1-expressing cells emerge in the
peritoneum, one which defines MCs, and one which defines SMC fate. Lineage-traced
cells were found not only as vSMCs but also as visceral SMCs (visSMCs) in the intestinal
wall, when Tam had been given at stages around E7.5 to E8.5, while predominantly MCs
were labelled when Tam had been given from approximately E9.5 onwards. Importantly,
both vSMCs and visSMCs, as well as the general parietal peritoneal wall tissue including
the mesothelium, is derived from the LPM.

We provide, for the first time, a detailed analysis of WT1 transcript and protein expression
in E6.5-E8.5 mouse embryos. *In silico* analysis of single cell RNA sequence (scRNAseq)
data sets revealed that *Wt1* is co-expressed with the emerging mesoderm marker
Brachyury (*Bra*) during gastrulation, and later with genes representing a SMC signature in
E6.5-E8.5 mouse embryos. We then describe expression of WT1 in the E7.5 and E8.5
mouse embryo in the primitive streak and the emerging neuro-mesodermal progenitor cells

as well as LPM using cutting edge confocal microscopy techniques. WT1-derived cells

within the mesentery of the developing intestine aligned with the developing endothelial

vascular network and co-expressed PDGFRB, while inactivation of WT1 affects

vascularisation of the developing intestine. Together, these data reveal a novel

developmental differentiation pathway of visceral and vascular smooth muscle cells

influenced by WT1 expression which is independent of mesothelium formation.

3. Results

Contribution of *Wt1*-expressing cells to SMCs in the peritoneal cavity.

To determine when during embryogenesis Wt1-expressing cells within the peritoneal cavity became fated to develop into vSMCs, we combined the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ lineage driver with either the *Rosa26R* (lacZ) or the $Rosa26^{mTmG}$ (GFP-fluorescent) lineage reporter in mice, gave tamoxifen (Tam) once at different embryonic stages (pulse), and performed endpoint analysis at stages around E18.5 (chase; see **Fig. 1A, Fig. S1A** and **Table S1**). We obtained similar results with both reporter systems: Tam administration at E9.5 or up to E13.5, followed by endpoint whole mount analysis, revealed almost exclusive labelling of cells covering the surface of the mesentery and intestine at mid-intestinal level (**Fig. 1B-E**, **Fig. S1C-F**, **Table S1**). Based on their position and shape, we concluded that these were MCs. When Tam was given to pregnant dams at E7.5 or E8.5 followed by endpoint analysis, we observed instead labelled cells surrounding the developing vessels of the mesentery, and in what appeared to be the circular visceral smooth muscle of the intestine at mid-intestinal level, but barely in the mesothelium (**Fig. 1F-J**; **Fig. S1G-K**). Littermates carrying no $Wt1^{CreERT2}$ allele showed no labelled cells after Tam administration (**Fig. S1L, M**).

We also analysed the stomach with attached spleen, and colon of foetuses under this experimental design, and observed that Tam dosing at E7.5 resulted in labelling of cells with circular visceral smooth muscle appearance but not surface-covering MCs. By contrast, foetuses after Tam dosing at E8.5 showed a mixed population of labelled cells in the stomach, mostly consisting of what appeared to be circular visceral smooth muscle; in the colon, there were only labelled cells with circular visceral smooth muscle appearance. In foetuses which had received Tam at E9.5 up to E14.5, cell labelling occurred predominantly in surface-covering MCs (**Fig. S2**, **Table S1**). However, after Tam dosing at E9.5 and up to E11.5 we observed in a few foetuses occasional LacZ-positive cells that appeared to be circular visceral smooth muscle, in the stomach and colon.

Interestingly, the spleen which is also an LPM-derived organ, was not labelled when Tam was administered at E7.5 (**Fig. S2A**), but strongly labelled in foetuses with Tam dosing from E8.5 onwards (**Fig. S2B-F**). This indicates that WT1 was not yet expressed at E7.5 in the cells that later will become splenic precursors forming the putative splenic mesenchyme (Hecksher-Sorensen et al., 2004).

Immunofluorescence staining on sections through intestine and mesentery of $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;

$Rosa26^{mTmG/+}$ foetuses confirmed that after inducing Tam-based lineage tracing at E8.5,

visSMCs and vSMCs co-expressed ACTA2 and GFP and were found close to the CD31-

positive vascular endothelial cells, while hardly any MCs (pan-cytokeratin, WT1) were

GFP-positive. By contrast, after Tam dosing at E11.5, MCs were GFP-labelled but no

visSMCs or vSMCs (**Fig. 2**). These results suggest that during embryonic development,

two distinct populations of WT1-expressing cells emerge, one which defines MCs from

approximately E9.5 onwards, and one which defines visceral and vascular SMC fate

predominantly at stages around E7.5 to E8.5.

An additional observation in the mice after Tam dosing at E7.5 and E8.5, was that labelled

visSMCs and vSMCs could only be found in a short fragment of the mid-intestinal region

including mesentery (**Fig. S1G**). This suggests a short pulse-like or transient period of

WT1 expression during which Tam exposure allowed the recombination and labelling of

future visSMCs and vSMCs cells in the midgut region. However, the labelled visSMC in

the stomach and colon additionally indicate contribution along fore- and hindgut regions

not limited to initiation at E7.5-E8.5.

$Wt1$ transcript is expressed from E6.5 in mouse embryos and co-expressed with

markers for smooth muscle lineage

Next, we analysed expression of $Wt1$ transcripts during mouse gastrulation stages E6.5 to

E8.5 using a published scRNAseq data set (Pijuan-Sala et al., 2019). We found that $Wt1$

was expressed in mouse embryos throughout this developmental period in 0.65% to

1.66% of total embryonic cells (**Fig. S3.1A**). Mean expression for $Wt1$ was between 0.955

and 1.13 with cells considered as expressing $Wt1$ if levels were above 0 (**Fig. S3.1B**). $Wt1$

expression was highly dynamic, with the majority of $Wt1$-expressing cells between E6.5

and E7.25 identified as epiblast, and from E7.5 onwards shifting towards a majority in cells

described as 'mesenchyme' (from E8.25-E8.5, **Fig. S3.1C**). Since visSMCs and vSMCs

have their origin in the lateral plate mesoderm (LPM) but the dataset annotation lacked a

clear indication which of the mesoderm tissues were LPM, we characterised in a candidate

approach somatic, paraxial, nascent, mixed and intermediate mesoderm together with

primitive streak, notochord, epiblast and mesenchyme for classical LPM and other

mesodermal markers, confirming that 'mesenchyme' was representing the LPM population

(**Fig. S3.1E, F**).

Next, we defined a set of 15 genes each that we considered as SMC signature (Muhl et
al., 2022) and as MC signature (Kanamori-Katayama et al., 2011) (**Fig. S3.1G**). We
assessed within all *Wt1*-expressing cells the enrichment of the SMC signature genes or
MC signature genes across the three developmental stages E6.5, E7.5 and E8.5, and
found that at E6.5 and E8.5 the SMC gene set was expressed in a higher proportion of
cells than the MC gene set, and at E8.5, the SMC gene set had a higher relative
expression than the MC gene set (**Fig. 3A**). Correlation analysis within total *Wt1*-
expressing cells of individual SMC signature or MC signature genes with *Wt1* expression
across different embryonic time points revealed a positive and highly significant correlation
with several genes of the respective sets (**Fig. 3B, C**). SMC genes correlated in earlier
embryonic stages higher, but at later stages MC genes correlated higher; generally, the
analysis suggested a highly dynamic change in correlation across the gene sets (**Fig. 3B**).
Wt1-expressing cells which significantly co-expressed with at least 3 genes (the threshold)
of the SMC gene set, were found from E6.5 in the epiblast, at E7.0 also in the primitive
streak, and from E7.5 in the LPM (**Fig. 3D**), while *Wt1*-expressing cells which significantly
co-expressed with the MC gene set above the threshold, were found from E7.25 in the
LPM (**Fig. 3E**). Next, we explored how the *Wt1*-expressing cells that expressed the SMC
and/or MC gene signatures above the threshold, segregated. At E7.5, *Wt1*-expressing
cells separated into two distinct populations of 11 SMC signature cells and 10 MC
signature cells; 10 of the SMC signature cells were in the LPM, while 1 of the MC
signature cells was in the LPM (**Fig. 3F, H**). By contrast, at E8.5, there were 11 cells with
both SMC and MC signatures above the threshold in addition to two distinct populations of
58 SMC signature cells and 33 MC signature cells (**Fig. 3G**). In the SMC signature group,
45 cells were localised in the LPM (78%), while 24 MC signature cells were localised in the
LPM (72%) (**Fig. 3I**). Our analysis further revealed that *Wt1* expression levels in the SMC
signature cells were generally lower than in MC signature cells, both at E7.5 and E8.5
(**Fig. 3H, I, Fig. S3.1H, I**). Out of the 11 cells with both SMC and MC gene signatures, 9
were found in the LPM (**Fig. S3.1J**).

Taken together, these data suggest that a consistent population of Wt1-expressing cells
arises from the epiblast, via the primitive streak to the emerging mesoderm and the LPM.
The Wt1-expressing cells divided into two populations with either smooth muscle or
mesothelial fate between E7.0 and E7.5, emerging predominantly in the LPM. Onset for
SMC fate in Wt1-expressing cells appeared to be slightly earlier and more prominent than
MC fate, with respect to number of cells, correlation of expression and significance.

Furthermore, Wt1 expression levels were generally lower in cells with SMC signature than
MC signature.

Relationship between *Wt1* and *Hand1/2* expression and SMC and MC fate

The HAND1 and HAND2 transcription factors are key regulators of vascular development
(Barnes et al., 2010; Barnes et al., 2011; Vincentz et al., 2021; Vincentz et al., 2017).
HAND1-expressing cells emerge from the LPM, similarly to WT1, and both HAND1 and
HAND2 have been shown to signify precursors of the MC and SMC lineage in mouse and
zebrafish (Maska et al., 2010; Prummel et al., 2022; Reynolds et al., 2021; Yin et al.,
2010). Therefore, we explored the correlation of *Hand1* or *Hand2* expression with the SMC
or MC gene sets and in the context of *Wt1* expression. Total *Hand1*-expressing cells
showed slightly stronger correlation and significance with the SMC gene sets from E6.5 to
E7.25 than with the MC gene sets (**Fig. S3.2A**). *Hand2*-expressing cells were overall more
strongly correlated with SMC and MC signature genes than *Hand1*-expressing cells (**Fig.**
S3.2B). There was significant correlation with *Wt1* expression in *Hand2*-expressing cells
from E7.5 onwards, but not in *Hand1*-expressing cells (**Fig. S3.2A, B**). We further
analysed the correlation of *Hand2* expression in *Wt1*-expressing cells with SMC and MC
signature genes, and found limited correlation and significance with either gene set (**Fig.**
S3.2C, D). The majority of *Wt1*- and *Hand2*-coexpressing cells were found in the LPM,
starting from E7.0 onwards (**Fig. S3.2E**).

These results suggest that *Hand2* expression during gastrulation stages correlated with
both SMC and MC fate more strongly than *Hand1* expression. By contrast, *Hand2*
expression in Wt1-expressing cells was not strongly correlated with either SMC or MC fate
even though co-expressing cells were identified in the LPM.

WT1 protein is expressed in the primitive streak and emerging mesoderm in E7.5 and E8.5 mouse embryos

Next, we determined whether WT1 protein could be detected in the tail bud of E8.5
wildtype mouse embryos using confocal immunofluorescence microscopy of whole mount-
stained embryos. Our analysis revealed that WT1 was expressed in emerging mesodermal
cells, as well as extra-embryonic tissues including the yolk sac and allantois (**Fig. 4A-D**).
Co-immunostaining with BRA and confocal imaging clearly identified WT1-expressing cells
in the BRA-domains of primitive streak and IM/LPM region (**Fig. 4A, C, D-G**; compare **Fig.**

S4 based on the EMAP eMouse Atlas project (Richardson et al., 2014)). Co-expression analysis of individual focal planes revealed that in the most posterior region around the primitive streak, WT1 expression was found in some BRA-positive cells emerging from the node (**Fig. 4E**), the mesenchyme including neuromesodermal progenitor cells and IM/LPM, the neural plate, primitive blood cells and allantois (**Fig. 4D-G;** and insets). Quantification of co-expression of WT1 and BRA (insets of **Fig. 4E, F**) revealed that cells with strong WT1 expression, also were positive for strong BRA expression (**Fig. 4H**), confirming that WT1 was co-expressed in BRA-positive cells emerging from the mesoderm. Of note, our analysis of the scRNAseq dataset suggested that very few cells contained transcripts of both *Wt1* and *Bra*, while protein for both genes seemed to be detectable in more cells.

At E7.5, the co-expression domain between WT1 and BRA was more restricted, with WT1 mostly expressed in the epiblast, where it was co-expressed with BRA, and in the primitive streak (**Fig. S5B**). Further confocal image analysis of triple whole mount immunofluorescence for WT1, BRA and TAGLN in E8.5 mouse tailbuds demonstrated protein co-expression of WT1 with TAGLN in the mesoderm/paraxial mesoderm and LPM (**Fig. 4I-N** and **Fig. S5A**). These data support the observation that co-expression of WT1 and TAGLN in the emerging LPM define the smooth muscle population. Analysis of HAND2- and WT1-expression in the emerging LPM of wildtype mouse embryos at E8.5 showed that there were cells that either co-expressed these proteins, or expressed them individually in a mutually exclusive manner (**Fig. S3.3**).

Taken together, these data show that WT1 is dynamically expressed in the gastrulating embryo: in cells of the primitive streak and emerging mesoderm including the neuromesodermal progenitor cells and LPM, where it is co-expressed with HAND2 and the SMC marker TAGLN.

Cells arising from *Wt1*-lineage tracing after Tam dosing at E7.5 or E8.5 localise to three main tissues at E9.5: pro-epicardium, urogenital ridge and neural tube.

To obtain a better temporal resolution of the cells that had expressed WT1 at gastrulation stages for lineage tracing of SMCs, we administered Tam at E7.5 or E8.5 and observed the range and localisation of GFP-positive cells at E9.5 (**Fig. S6.1A**).

Tam dosing at E7.5 resulted in very few GFP-positive cells, mostly found in the pro-epicardium (**Fig. S6.1B-E**). In three litters analysed, we found that all 15 embryos had

between 2 and 20 GFP-positive cells in the pro-epicardium, while GFP-positive cells
(range 1-12) in the posterior trunk region were observed only in 9 out of 15 embryos
(**Table S2**, **SFig. 7**). Importantly, we also detected GFP-positive cells in the neural tube of
embryos after Tam at E7.5 (**Fig. S6.1F-I**) and E8.5 (not shown), in line with our
observations in **Figs. 3** and **4** that WT1 was also expressed in the emerging
neuroectoderm. By contrast, in embryos after Tam at E8.5, we observed abundant GFP-
positive cells localised to the pro-epicardium at the caudal side of the forming heart (**Fig.**
S6.1J-M), and to the urogenital ridge (**Fig. S6.2B, C**). We observed GFP-positive cells
consistently towards the ventral midline, including the mesentery, in sections, and by using
light sheet microscopy of the trunk region of the E9.5 embryo (**Fig. S6.2B, C and Fig. S8**,
Supplementary Movie, STable 3).

Together, these results demonstrate that the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ lineage tracing system detected
cells that expressed WT1 at around E7.5 and E8.5 within a similar spectrum of cell
progeny, but GFP-positive cell numbers where smaller when Tam was given at E7.5. This
was in agreement with the scRNAseq data analysis (**Fig. 3**) and distribution of WT1-
expressing cells by whole mount immunofluorescence (**Fig. 4**). Moreover, we could
identify GFP-positive cells that appeared in the region of the mesentery, as potential
precursors for visceral and vascular smooth muscle of the developing intestinal tract.

Cells of the *Wt1*-lineage contribute to the development of the vascular and visceral
smooth muscle between E10.5 and E15.5

To elucidate the developmental path and fate of the vSMCs and visSMCs using the
emergence of the GFP-positive cells, we analysed embryos at E10.5 after Tam dosing at
E8.5. At this stage, GFP-positive cells were predominantly seen in the region of the
urogenital ridge and the heart (**Fig. S6.2A, D-F'**). In tissue sections, GFP-positive cells
localised in the region between the dorsal aorta and the ventral mesentery, and within the
urogenital ridge (**Fig S6.2E, E'**). Some of the cells within the region between dorsal aorta
and ventral mesentery appeared to be situated close to endothelial cells (**Fig S6.2F, F'**).

In embryos Tam dosed at E8.5 and analysed at E12.5 (**Fig. 5A**), we found GFP-positive
cells in a spotty appearance within the mesentery of the developing small intestinal
segment of the intestinal tract (**Fig. 5B, B'**). Immunofluorescence analysis on sections
through this region revealed that GFP-positive cells were localised within the mesentery
and in the developing intestinal wall near the forming vascular plexus (**Fig. 5C, D**). GFP-
expressing cells were distinct from endothelial cells but closely associated (**Fig. 5D**).

Furthermore, our analysis showed that some of the GFP-labelled cells within the

mesentery and the intestinal wall co-expressed PDGFRB, suggesting that they had started

the smooth muscle differentiation programme (**Fig. 5E, F-F''**). Occasionally, we found a

few GFP-positive cells in the mesothelial covering of the mesentery (**Fig. 5E, F**). Together,

these results indicate that *Wt1*-lineage traced cells labelled at around E8.5, appeared in

the vicinity of the developing vasculature and co-expressed PDGFRB, a key marker of

vascular smooth muscle progenitor cells during stages of intestinal patterning and

angiogenesis. When endpoint analysis was performed at E15.5, we detected GFP-positive

cells within the visceral smooth muscle and surrounding mesenteric vessels (**Fig. 5G, H**),

similar to findings from the endpoint analysis at around E18.5 (**Fig. 1I**). We also explored

the *Wt1*-lineage in embryos after Tam administration at E6.5 and analysis at E14.5, but

observed only very few GFP-labelled cells near the intestine and gonad in one out of 5

analysed embryos (from 3 litters, **Figure S6.3**).

Ablation of *Wt1* at E7.5 leads to disorganised developing vasculature in the intestine

We next asked how deletion of *Wt1* during gastrulation stages would affect vascularisation

of the developing intestine and mesentery by administering Tam at E7.5 to a litter of

$Wt1^{CreERT2/fl}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ (KO@E7.5) and $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ (control)

embryos. In the intestines of KO@E7.5 embryos, we found higher variability in the density

of endothelial CD31 expression, and in the vascular network formation, when compared to

control embryos (**Fig. 6A-H**). Images captured by 3D confocal microscopy were tested for

batch effects which could be neglected (**Fig. 6D**). Our analysis revealed that the variability

in the density of CD31 expression was higher in the KO@E7.5 group than in the controls

(**Fig. 6C, E**), and the coefficient of variation for most parameters of vascular network

formation was much higher in the KO@E7.5 embryos than controls (**Fig. 6H**), again

revealing higher variability. Furthermore, we could detect ACTA2-expressing cells around

CD31-expressing vessels within the developing intestine, and observed that in the

KO@E7.5 embryos the ACTA2-expressing cells appeared less aligned and attached to the

endothelial cells than in the controls (**Fig. 6I**).

Collectively, these data indicate that loss of WT1 function in cells that expressed *Wt1* at

around E7.5, affects vessel formation in the developing intestine and mesentery at later

stages, in both endothelial and mural cells.

Discussion

In this study, we have for the first time determined the temporal and spatial relationship between the emerging intestinal vascular and visceral smooth muscle cells and the expression of the transcriptional regulator WT1 during gastrulation and post-gastrulation stages of mouse embryonic development. Our analysis revealed that WT1 is expressed in a progenitor cell pool in the emerging mesoderm of the gastrulating embryo. Some of these cells differentiate into smooth muscle cells in the intestine and mesentery. Our data indicate that this process is temporally independent of the formation of the mesothelium covering these tissues, which also expresses WT1. Thus, our data shed new light onto smooth muscle development independent from mesothelium development, and the temporo-spatial involvement of WT1 in this process (**Figure 7**).

Using Tam-dependent, inducible genetic lineage tracing, we analysed the embryonic contribution of *Wt1*-expressing cells to visSMCs and vSMCs of the intestine. In previous studies, we had shown that *Wt1*-expessing cells give rise to vSMCs in the mesentery and intestine using a non-inducible *Wt1*-based lineage tracing system (Wilm et al., 2005). Similarly, by using the same or an alternative *Wt1*-driven genetic reporter system, it had been demonstrated that *Wt1*-expressing progenitor cells give rise to visSMCs and vSMCs not only in the intestine but also in the lungs (Cano et al., 2013; Carmona et al., 2013; Que et al., 2008), however, the temporal involvement and spatial significance of *Wt1* expression during smooth muscle specification and differentiation had not been determined. In a follow-on study, we determined that *Wt1*-expressing cells in postnatal stages fail to give rise to intestinal visSMCs and vSMCs, by making use of the same Tam-dependent temporal activation process for lineage analysis as utilised here (Wilm et al., 2021). We concluded that the source of intestinal and mesenteric SMCs had to be cells expressing *Wt1* during embryonic development; however, it was not clear whether these cells arise from the overlying mesothelium which also expresses WT1 during embryonic development, as had been our previous hypothesis (Wilm et al., 2005).

To address this question, we administered Tam between E7.5 and E13.5 using the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ driver in combination with either the $Rosa26^{LacZ}$ or $Rosa26^{mTmG}$ reporter systems, and analysed the presence of LacZ- and GFP-labelled cells in foetuses just before birth (E17.5, E18.5 or E19.5). Our analysis showed that Tam dosing at E7.5 or E8.5 resulted in labelling of vSMC and visSMCs which had originated from *Wt1*-expressing cells. When Tam was given at E9.5 or later stages, the labelled cells were confined to the mesothelial covering of the mesentery and intestine. This finding demonstrates a clearly

defined separate mechanism of the emergence of the mesothelial lineage, from the
vascular/visceral smooth muscle lineage, indicating a role for WT1 in distinct mechanisms.

Other studies have previously used the Tam-inducible $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ driver in combination
with reporter systems to elucidate the lineage and origin of smooth muscle cells in the
lungs. Contribution to airway smooth muscle, vascular smooth muscle and parenchyma
was observed in late-stage mouse foetuses when Tam had been given between E9.5 and
E11.5 (Dixit et al., 2013; Moiseenko et al., 2017). Time lapse experiments of *ex vivo*
cultured lungs from lineage-traced E12.5 embryos after Tam at E10.5 revealed a direct
contribution of mesothelial cells towards the deeper lung parenchyma (Dixit et al., 2013).
These results suggest that the smooth muscle of the airways and lung vasculature may
have a contribution via the mesothelium at later developmental stages, in a different
mechanism to the one described here for the intestinal tract.

In the heart, WT1-based lineage tracing had demonstrated contribution of epicardial cells
to coronary vessel formation (Quijada et al., 2020; Rudat and Kispert, 2012; Wilm et al.,
2005; Zhou et al., 2008). Based on *in situ* hybridisation and immunofluorescence on
sections of E8.5 embryos, WT1 was not expressed in the developing heart at this stage.
By contrast, non-inducible $Wt1^{CreEGFP}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG}$ embryos at E8.5 had a number of GFP-
expressing cells in the forming heart, indicating an early contribution of Wt1-expressing
cells (Rudat and Kispert, 2012). However, WT1 is also expressed in non-epicardial cells in
the heart, including myocardium, which makes interpretation of lineage experiments more
challenging (Rudat and Kispert, 2012). In addition, our own post-natal lineage tracing
experiments using the Tam-inducible $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ driver had shown that after birth *Wt1-*
expessing cells contribute to coronary SMCs (Wilm et al., 2021), suggesting a different
mechanism of coronary angiogenesis and maintenance of coronary vasculature than in the
intestinal tract and mesentery.

The Tam-inducible lineage tracing system is dependent on the Tam-driven recombination
activity which then irreversibly switches on the reporter gene expression. Several studies
have analysed the temporal delay between Tam administration and reporter expression,
indicating that depending on route of administration (oral gavage or IP injection), a
temporal delay of between 12-18 hours could be observed in other genetic systems
(Nguyen et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2008). This temporal delay needs to be factored in when
interpreting the results of the current study, suggesting that about 0.5 embryonic days may
have to be added to the stages indicated for the recombination initiation.

The Tam-inducible lineage tracing system is based on the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ driver. We and others have previously shown that the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$ driver fails to provide 100% recombination efficiency, leading to inefficient cell labelling between 14.5 and 80% (Chen et al., 2014; Li et al., 2013; Wilm et al., 2021). A range of reasons could contribute to this observation, including inefficiency of the recombination event and/or insufficiency of tamoxifen delivery and physiological distribution, as discussed in (Wilm et al., 2021). These deficiencies inherent to the lineage tracing system need to be taken into account when interpreting the distribution and coverage of labelled cells within tissues.

One of the surprising findings of this study emerged from our analysis of scRNAseq data (Pijuan-Sala et al., 2019) showing that from E6.5 onwards, $Wt1$ is expressed in the epiblast, primitive streak and emerging mesoderm, and in the LPM where it is co-expressed with key markers of SMC development. Analysis of WT1 expression in early-stage mouse embryos had been previously performed by in situ hybridisation showing $Wt1$ transcripts in the intermediate mesoderm/LPM in E9.0 mouse embryos (Armstrong et al., 1993). Because further insights into $Wt1$ expression or function at gastrulation stages have not been published yet, our results are the first to shed light onto WT1 gastrulation-stage expression or engagement. We have identified $Wt1$ expression in epiblast and primitive streak cells in embryos up to about E7.25, and subsequently a shift to specifically identified mesodermal cells in embryos from E7.5 to E8.5 where they are predominantly present in the LPM. $Wt1$-expressing cells with a SMC signature emerged slightly earlier and more robustly from E6.5 onwards than $Wt1$-expressing cells with a MC signature; however, interestingly there were a few $Wt1$-expressing cells that have both SMC and MC signatures. We could confirm co-expression of WT1 protein with BRA in the primitive streak/emerging mesoderm, and with the key SM marker TAGLN in the LPM.

With these results we have demonstrated that WT1-expressing cells unexpectedly emerge already in gastrulation stage embryos. Our findings indicate that the SMCs labelled by $Wt1$-lineage tracing are not derived from $Wt1$-expressing MCs arising first and then differentiating into visSMCs and vSMCs. Instead, the slightly earlier onset and prevalence of the $Wt1$-expressing SMC signature-cells during gastrulation appears to provide the direct link to the labelled SMCs many days later in the embryo.

The peritoneal mesothelium emerges due to separation of the LPM into somatic and splanchnic mesoderm, which surround the peritoneal cavity; the splanchnic mesoderm forms the mesodermal component of the intestinal tract (Funayama et al., 1999). Detailed analysis of the emergence of the mesothelium has been provided in chick and quail

embryo models (Thomason et al., 2012; Winters et al., 2012), demonstrating that the bilateral splanchnopleure consisting of splanchnic mesoderm and endoderm, turns into a trilaminar tissue with a mesenchymal layer emerging between an outer mesodermal epithelium and the endoderm. While in the chick embryo, the outer epithelium is situated on a basal lamina already at HH13 (~19 somites, 48 hours), cytokeratin expression as indicator for epithelium, starts only at HH19 (~40 somites, 71 hours; roughly corresponding to mouse embryos at Theiler stage 17 or E10.5), and WT1 is not expressed at this stage yet (Winters et al., 2012). The outer epithelium is defined as mesothelium only from HH29 (6 days) onwards (chick and quail), when it has organised into a simple squamous epithelium (Thomason et al., 2012; Winters et al., 2012).

In zebrafish, *hand2* expression has been presented as a marker for mesothelial progenitor cells emerging from the lateral most region of the LPM at tailbud stage (i.e. at late gastrulation stages) (Prummel et al., 2022). Co-expression with Tagln in the LPM-emerging cells, and a more limited expression of *wt1a/b*, that was restricted to the cells of the anterior visceral peritoneum, and absent posteriorly and in the parietal mesothelium, suggested that *hand2* was a more encompassing marker for arising mesothelium. Furthermore, *hand2* mutant zebrafish had disorganised LPM-derived cells, in particular a lack of parietal mesothelium, indicating an upstream regulatory role of *hand2* in mesothelium formation (Prummel et al., 2022).

In the mouse embryo, the peritoneal mesothelium has been shown to arise between E10.5 and E11.5 since at E11.5, a continuous layer of cells expressing cytokeratin and WT1 is present (Wilm et al., 2005). Further information on mesothelial precursor populations and lineage in mouse embryonic development is limited: non-inducible *Hand2* or *Hand1*-based lineage tracing showed labelling of mesothelium over the liver in E14.5 or E15.5 mouse embryos but no temporal analysis (Prummel et al., 2022). Non-inducible *Hand1*-lineage has also been shown to label the visceral smooth muscle in mouse embryos at E14.5 (Barnes et al., 2010), and *Hand1* deletion in the LPM affected the formation of the visSM (Maska et al., 2010).

Our analysis of the correlation of SMC or MC signature gene expression in *Hand1*- or *Hand2*-expressing cells during gastrulation, in comparison to that in *Wt1*-expressing cells, revealed that *Hand2* expression is more highly correlated with both SMC and MC signature gene expression than *Hand1*, and overlaps with *Wt1*-expressing cells. In addition, both HAND2 and WT1 are present in an overlapping domain in the posterior LPM in the E8.5 embryo. These data indicate that during gastrulation and in the emerging

mesoderm, *Wt1-* and *Hand2-* expressing cells have similar expression profiles and WT1
and HAND2 similar expression domains.

Visceral smooth muscle arises from around E13 in the mouse embryo based on ACTA2
immunofluorescence (Walton et al., 2016; Wilm et al., 2005), while the vascular smooth
muscle in the intestine and mesentery can be detected from E12.5 during angiogenic
remodelling, with mature vessels covered by mural cells, detectable from E15.5 onwards
(Hatch and Mukouyama, 2015; Wilm et al., 2005). A complex signalling network including
the Myocardin/serum response factor (SRF) interaction controls SMC differentiation by
regulating expression of cytoskeletal SM proteins including ACTA2, TAGLN and smooth
muscle myosin heavy chain. Furthermore, PDGFRB signalling regulates vSMC
proliferation, migration and differentiation (Donadon and Santoro, 2021). In this study, we
have used a signature of the key regulators and markers of SMC fate and differentiation to
determine their co-expression with *Wt1* already from E7.5 onwards in the LPM. In E12.5
embryos, *Wt1*-lineage traced cells in the developing intestinal/mesenteric vascular network
co-expressed PDGFRB after activation of the reporter at around E8.5. Importantly, Tam-
induced knock-out of *Wt1* at E7.5 affected vascularisation of the intestine and mesentery
in E12.5 mutant embryos compared to controls: the endothelial network was more irregular
based on the higher variability in cell density and branching, and the forming mural/vSMC
were less aligned with the vascular networks. These results strongly indicate a role for
WT1 in smooth muscle development, originating in cells of the emerging mesoderm.
Furthermore, our analysis of *Wt1*-lineage tracing at earlier stages (E9.5, E10.5) of
development suggest that the *Wt1*-lineage traced cells originating at E8.5, may migrate
into the mesentery and towards the developing vascular network.

Taken together, our data clearly demonstrate that the visSMCs and vSMCs that arose
from WT1-expressing cells at around E7.5 or E8.5, are independent in their specification
and differentiation from the mesothelial cells of the peritoneum. These can only be tagged
after initiation of Wt1-controlled recombination from around E9.5 onwards. Our results
therefore indicate an early emergence of visSMC and vSMC lineage linked to WT1
expression in the gastrulating embryo, and an uncoupling from the mesothelial lineage. A
detailed mechanistic role of WT1 in both processes remains to be elucidated.

Materials and Methods

Mice:

The following compound mutants were used in this study and bred in-house: $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$; $Rosa26^{LacZ/LacZ}$ ($Wt1^{tm2(cre/ERT2)Wtp}$;$Gt(ROSA)26Sor/J$)(Soriano, 1999; Zhou et al., 2008), $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/+}$ ($Wt1^{tm2(cre/ERT2)Wtp}$;$Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo}$/J)(Muzumdar et al., 2007), and $Wt1^{CreERT2/fl}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/+}$ ($Wt1^{tm2(cre/ERT2)Wtp}$;$Wt1^{fl}$; $Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm4(ACTB-tdTomato,-EGFP)Luo}$/J)(Martinez-Estrada et al., 2010). CD1 female mice (at least 10 weeks old, Charles River, Harlow, UK) were time-mated with $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{LacZ/LacZ}$ or $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/+}$ males, and when a vaginal plug was detected, noon of the day was considered as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). CD1 female mice time-mated by Charles River were ordered in. Mice were housed in individually ventilated cages under a 12-hour light/dark cycle, with *ad libitum* access to standard food and water. All animal experiments were performed under a Home Office licence granted under the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and were approved by the University of Liverpool AWERB committee. Experiments are reported in line with the ARRIVE guidelines.

Tamoxifen dosing

For Wt1 lineage tracing in embryos, pregnant CD1 mice after mating with $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$; $Rosa26^{LacZ/LacZ}$ or $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$; $Rosa26^{mTmG/+}$ male mice were dosed with 100 µg/g body weight of Tam (T5648, SigmaAldrich; 40 mg/ml, in corn oil (C8267, SigmaAldrich)) via oral gavage once on various days and analysed between E9.5 and E19.5. Pregnant dams were monitored for their well-being including daily weight checks. For Wt1 knock-out, pregnant $Wt1^{fl/fl}$; $Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ female mice mated with $Wt1^{CreERT2/fl}$; $Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ male mice were given 100 µg/g body weight of Tam via oral gavage at E7.5.

XGal staining

Dissected embryos or tissues were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA)/0.25% glutaraldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for between 1 and 1.5 hours, whole-mount XGal staining performed overnight according to standard protocols, followed by overnight post-fixation in 4% PFA (PBS) at 4°C.

Immunofluorescence

The following primary antibodies were used: anti-WT1 rabbit polyclonal (1:200 to 1:500, clone C-19, sc-192, Santa Cruz), anti-WT1 mouse monoclonal (1:50, clone 6F-H2, M3561, Dako), anti-PDGFRB rat monoclonal (1:100, clone APB5, eBioscience), anti-ACTA2 mouse monoclonal (1:100 to 1:200, clone 1A4, A2547, Sigma), anti-ACTA2 rabbit polyclonal (1:200, ab5694, Abcam), anti-Pecam/CD31 rat monoclonal (1:50 to 1:200, 550274, Pharmingen), anti-Brachyury goat polyclonal (1:200, PA5-46984, Invitrogen/ThermoScientific), anti-TAGLN/SM22 mouse monoclonal (1:100, 60213-1-Ig, Proteintech), anti-GFP rabbit or goat polyclonal (1:5000, ab6556 or ab6673, Abcam). The anti-ACTA2 and anti-TAGLN/SM22 antibodies were directly labelled using Zenon direct labelling kit (Invitrogen/ThermoScientific) according to manufacturer's instructions. Secondary antibodies were Alexa fluorophore-coupled (Invitrogen/ThermoScientific) and were used at a dilution of 1:1000. DAPI (D9542, SigmaAldrich) was used at 1:250-1000 for nuclear counterstaining.

Frozen sections: Tissues were fixed in 4% PFA for between 30 to 90 mins, protected in 30% sucrose overnight, placed in Cryomatrix (Thermo Scientific) and snap frozen. Frozen sections were generated at 8-10 µm on a Thermo Scientific HM525 NX Cryostat. Immunofluorescence (IF) staining was performed following standard protocols (Wilm et al., 2005). Two bleaching steps of 20 min each in 3% H_2O_2/MeOH were included for embryos or their tissues carrying the Rosa26$^{mTmG/+}$ mutation in order to remove the tdTomato fluorescence (Lua et al., 2015). Subsequently to completed IF, sections were coverslipped with Fluoro-Gel (with Tris buffer; Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA), sealed and stored in the dark at 4°C.

Whole tissues and embryos: Whole tissues/embryos were fixed in 4% PFA for 60 minutes on ice and stored in 0.0025% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich) in PBS at 4°C until used. Tissues/embryos were permeabilised using 0.25% Triton X-100 (PBS) for 60 minutes on ice. IF staining was performed using previously published protocols (Baillie-Johnson et al., 2015; Beccari et al., 2018; Turner et al., 2017). E7.5 or E8.5 IF-stained embryos were mounted on coverslips within SecureSeal imaging spacers (Grace Bio-Labs) and embedded in RapiClear 1.52 solution (SUNJin Lab) overnight. E12.5 IF-stained embryos were mounted on coverselips within 1.0mm iSpacer (SUNJin Lab) in RapiClear 1.52 solution for at least 2 nights. Samples were stored in the dark at 4°C before imaging.

Microscopy

Epifluorescence microscopy: IF sections were imaged on a Leica DM 2500 upright
microscope with a Leica DFC350 FX digital camera and a Leica EL6000 fluorescence light
source supported by the Leica Application Suite software package (LAS, version 3 or 4;
Leica Microsystems, Germany/Switzerland).

Whole mount microscopy: XGal-stained and GFP-positive embryos and tissues were
imaged using a Leica MZ 16F dissecting microscope equipped with a Leica DFC420 C
digital camera and a Leica EL6000 fluorescence light source supported by the LAS.

Confocal microscopy: Imaging of whole mount embryos was performed using an Andor
Dragonfly spinning disc confocal microscope (Andor, Oxford Instruments) mounted on an
inverted Leica DMi8 base using either a 10x (0.45NA) or a 25x water-dipping objective
(NA0.95). The z-steps for the 10x and 25x objectives were 1.32 µm and 0.35 µm,
respectively. DAPI, Alexa- 488, –568 and –647 were sequentially excited using 405nm
561, 637nm laser diodes, respectively, and emitted light reflected through 450/50 nm,
525/50nm, 600/50nm and 700/25nm bandpass filters, respectively. An iXon 888 EM-CCD
camera was used to collect emitted light, and data were captured using Fusion version 5.5
(Bitplane) with subsequent image analysis performed using either Fiji (Schindelin et al.,
2012) or Imaris (Bitplane). Images were manually quantified by circling visible nuclei within
a single z-plane to generate regions of interest (ROI) using the ROI manager tool in Fiji.
The average grey values were then measured for the selected regions for each fluorescent
channel.

Light sheet microscopy: The unfixed trunk area of E9.5 *Wt1$^{CreERT2/+}$; Rosa26$^{mTmG/+}$*
embryos dosed with tamoxifen at E8.5, was mounted in 1% low melting point agarose
(ROTI Garose, Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany), transferred into a capillary (Size 4, Brand
GmbH, Wertheim, Germany) and placed in the imaging chamber of a Zeiss Light Sheet
Z.1 microscope with one 5x detection objective and two 5x illumination objectives
supported with the Zen software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Imaging was performed
using the 488 nm channel to capture GFP-positive cells, and the 561 nm channel to image
membrane-bound dTomato to provide tissue context. Images were adjusted to colours
using the Imaris software and the movie edited to highlight the shape of the selected area
by using the surface tool, and by labelling GFP-positive cells with coloured spots to
indicate their different locations.

Analysis of single-cell mouse gastrulation atlas.

Data analysis from a previously published dataset (Pijuan-Sala et al., 2019) was performed using R (version 4.3.2). Data were accessed through Bioconductor 'MouseGastrulationData' package (version 1.16.0), and only processed data were extracted for downstream analysis. Extracted data were normalised using *logNormCounts* function from 'scuttle' package (version 1.12.0). Normalisation of cell count per sample was carried out by dividing total number of cells by sample size and rounding up to the nearest integer. Signature scoring was performed using AUCell package (version 1.26.0) (Aibar et al., 2017) and threshold was manually selected from suggested thresholds calculated by the package. All plots were created using 'ggplot2' package (version 3.4.3).

Analysis of whole-mount embryos

Workflow of whole-mount CD31 staining analysis where regions of interest (white boxes) were pre-processed by background subtraction, median filtering and manual thresholding due to photobleaching. Each ROI was then: 1) input into 3Dsuite to measure the total volume of the vasculature of respective regions; 2) 3D-skeletonized and the resulting branching information was analysed via AnalyzeSkeleton.

Acknowledgements:

We acknowledge funding by the BBSRC Alert13, grant number BB/L014947/1, for the Zeiss Z.1 Light sheet microscope. We are also indebted to the University of Liverpool's (UoL) Centre for Cell Imaging (CCI) facility for provision of state-of-the-art imaging equipment funded through grants awarded to the University of Liverpool's CCI by the MRC (MR/K015931/1) and the BBSRC (BB/M012441/1, BB/R01390X/1), and for excellent technical support, assistance, and training. We express our thanks to the Biomedical Services Unit at the University of Liverpool, for expert support in mouse maintenance, breeding and timed mating. We acknowledge Sumaya Dauleh and Thomas P. Wilm for technical assistance.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Author Contributions: BW conceived and designed the study. SHA, HTN, LL, SL, SB,
LC, MB, DAT and BW performed experiments and analysed the data. HTN analysed the
scRNAseq datasets and interpreted it with DAT and BW. DAT and BW wrote the
manuscript with input from HTN and SHA. All authors discussed the results and
commented on the manuscript.

Funding:

BW was supported by MRC project grant MR/M012751/1 and the BBSRC Doctoral
Training Partnership scheme (BB/M011186/1 for MB; BB/T008695/1 for HTN), and
acknowledges generous funding from the Albaha University, Saudi Arabia (PhD
Studentship to SHA). SB was funded by an NHS Student Bursary. LL and LC were MRes
students self-funding their studies. DAT was supported in this work by a BBSRC New
Investigator Grant (BB/X000907/1; SL) and a David Sainsbury NC3Rs Fellowship
(NC/P001467/1).

Data and resource availability:

All data and resources will be made available on github upon acceptance.

4. References

Aibar, S., Gonzalez-Blas, C. B., Moerman, T., Huynh-Thu, V. A., Imrichova, H., Hulselmans, G., Rambow, F., Marine, J. C., Geurts, P., Aerts, J., et al. (2017). SCENIC: single-cell regulatory network inference and clustering. *Nat Methods* **14**, 1083-1086.

Armstrong, J. F., Pritchard-Jones, K., Bickmore, W. A., Hastie, N. D. and Bard, J. B. (1993). The expression of the Wilms' tumour gene, WT1, in the developing mammalian embryo. *Mech Dev* **40**, 85-97.

Assinder, S. J., Stanton, J. A. and Prasad, P. D. (2009). Transgelin: an actin-binding protein and tumour suppressor. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* **41**, 482-486.

Baillie-Johnson, P., van den Brink, S. C., Balayo, T., Turner, D. A. and Martinez Arias, A. (2015). Generation of Aggregates of Mouse Embryonic Stem Cells that Show Symmetry Breaking, Polarization and Emergent Collective Behaviour In Vitro. *J Vis Exp.*

Barnes, R. M., Firulli, B. A., Conway, S. J., Vincentz, J. W. and Firulli, A. B. (2010). Analysis of the Hand1 cell lineage reveals novel contributions to cardiovascular, neural crest, extra-embryonic, and lateral mesoderm derivatives. *Dev Dyn* **239**, 3086-3097.

Barnes, R. M., Firulli, B. A., VanDusen, N. J., Morikawa, Y., Conway, S. J., Cserjesi, P., Vincentz, J. W. and Firulli, A. B. (2011). Hand2 loss-of-function in Hand1-expressing cells reveals distinct roles in epicardial and coronary vessel development. *Circulation research* **108**, 940-949.

Beccari, L., Moris, N., Girgin, M., Turner, D. A., Baillie-Johnson, P., Cossy, A. C., Lutolf, M. P., Duboule, D. and Arias, A. M. (2018). Multi-axial self-organization properties of mouse embryonic stem cells into gastruloids. *Nature* **562**, 272-276.

Cano, E., Carmona, R. and Munoz-Chapuli, R. (2013). Wt1-expressing progenitors contribute to multiple tissues in the developing lung. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* **305**, L322-332.

Carmona, R., Cano, E., Mattiotti, A., Gaztambide, J. and Munoz-Chapuli, R. (2013). Cells derived from the coelomic epithelium contribute to multiple gastrointestinal tissues in mouse embryos. *PloS one* **8**, e55890.

Chau, Y. Y., Brownstein, D., Mjoseng, H., Lee, W. C., Buza-Vidas, N., Nerlov, C., Jacobsen, S. E., Perry, P., Berry, R., Thornburn, A., et al. (2011). Acute multiple organ failure in adult mice deleted for the developmental regulator Wt1. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002404.

Chen, Y. T., Chang, Y. T., Pan, S. Y., Chou, Y. H., Chang, F. C., Yeh, P. Y., Liu, Y. H., Chiang, W. C., Chen, Y. M., Wu, K. D., et al. (2014). Lineage tracing reveals distinctive fates for mesothelial cells and submesothelial fibroblasts during peritoneal injury. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **25**, 2847-2858.

Dixit, R., Ai, X. and Fine, A. (2013). Derivation of lung mesenchymal lineages from the fetal mesothelium requires hedgehog signaling for mesothelial cell entry. *Development* **140**, 4398-4406.

Donadon, M. and Santoro, M. M. (2021). The origin and mechanisms of smooth muscle cell development in vertebrates. *Development* **148**.

Funayama, N., Sato, Y., Matsumoto, K., Ogura, T. and Takahashi, Y. (1999). Coelom formation: binary decision of the lateral plate mesoderm is controlled by the ectoderm. *Development* **126**, 4129-4138.

Guadix, J. A., Carmona, R., Munoz-Chapuli, R. and Perez-Pomares, J. M. (2006). In vivo and in vitro analysis of the vasculogenic potential of avian proepicardial and epicardial cells. *Dev Dyn* **235**, 1014-1026.

Hatch, J. and Mukouyama, Y. S. (2015). Spatiotemporal mapping of vascularization and innervation in the fetal murine intestine. *Dev Dyn* **244**, 56-68.

Hecksher-Sorensen, J., Watson, R. P., Lettice, L. A., Serup, P., Eley, L., De Angelis, C., Ahlgren, U.
and Hill, R. E. (2004). The splanchnic mesodermal plate directs spleen and pancreatic
laterality, and is regulated by Bapx1/Nkx3.2. *Development* **131**, 4665-4675.

Hellstrom, M., Kalen, M., Lindahl, P., Abramsson, A. and Betsholtz, C. (1999). Role of PDGF-B and
PDGFR-beta in recruitment of vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes during embryonic
blood vessel formation in the mouse. *Development* **126**, 3047-3055.

Kanamori-Katayama, M., Kaiho, A., Ishizu, Y., Okamura-Oho, Y., Hino, O., Abe, M., Kishimoto, T.,
Sekihara, H., Nakamura, Y., Suzuki, H., et al. (2011). LRRN4 and UPK3B are markers of
primary mesothelial cells. *PloS one* **6**, e25391.

Kreidberg, J. A., Sariola, H., Loring, J. M., Maeda, M., Pelletier, J., Housman, D. and Jaenisch, R.
(1993). WT-1 is required for early kidney development. *Cell* **74**, 679-691.

Li, Y., Wang, J. and Asahina, K. (2013). Mesothelial cells give rise to hepatic stellate cells and
myofibroblasts via mesothelial–mesenchymal transition in liver injury. *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **110**, 2324-2329.

Lua, I., Li, Y., Pappoe, L. S. and Asahina, K. (2015). Myofibroblastic Conversion and Regeneration
of Mesothelial Cells in Peritoneal and Liver Fibrosis. *The American journal of pathology* **185**,
3258-3273.

Martinez-Estrada, O. M., Lettice, L. A., Essafi, A., Guadix, J. A., Slight, J., Velecela, V., Hall, E.,
Reichmann, J., Devenney, P. S., Hohenstein, P., et al. (2010). Wt1 is required for
cardiovascular progenitor cell formation through transcriptional control of Snail and E-
cadherin. *Nat Genet* **42**, 89-93.

Maska, E. L., Cserjesi, P., Hua, L. L., Garstka, M. E., Brody, H. M. and Morikawa, Y. (2010). A Tlx2-
Cre mouse line uncovers essential roles for hand1 in extraembryonic and lateral
mesoderm. *Genesis* **48**, 479-484.

Moiseenko, A., Kheirollahi, V., Chao, C. M., Ahmadvand, N., Quantius, J., Wilhelm, J., Herold, S.,
Ahlbrecht, K., Morty, R. E., Rizvanov, A. A., et al. (2017). Origin and characterization of
alpha smooth muscle actin-positive cells during murine lung development. *Stem cells*
(Dayton, Ohio) **35**, 1566-1578.

Monte, G. d., Casanova, J. C., Guadix, J. A., MacGrogan, D., Burch, J. B. E., Pérez-Pomares, J. M.
and Pompa, J. L. d. l. (2011). Differential Notch Signaling in the Epicardium Is Required for
Cardiac Inflow Development and Coronary Vessel Morphogenesis. *Circulation Research*
108, 824-836.

Moore, A. W., McInnes, L., Kreidberg, J., Hastie, N. D. and Schedl, A. (1999). YAC
complementation shows a requirement for Wt1 in the development of epicardium, adrenal
gland and throughout nephrogenesis. *Development* **126**, 1845-1857.

Moore, A. W., Schedl, A., McInnes, L., Doyle, M., Hecksher-Sorensen, J. and Hastie, N. D. (1998).
YAC transgenic analysis reveals Wilms' tumour 1 gene activity in the proliferating coelomic
epithelium, developing diaphragm and limb. *Mech Dev* **79**, 169-184.

Muhl, L., Mocci, G., Pietila, R., Liu, J., He, L., Genove, G., Leptidis, S., Gustafsson, S., Buyandelger,
B., Raschperger, E., et al. (2022). A single-cell transcriptomic inventory of murine smooth
muscle cells. *Developmental cell* **57**, 2426-2443 e2426.

Muzumdar, M. D., Tasic, B., Miyamichi, K., Li, L. and Luo, L. (2007). A global double-fluorescent
Cre reporter mouse. *Genesis* **45**, 593-605.

Nguyen, M. T., Zhu, J., Nakamura, E., Bao, X. and Mackem, S. (2009). Tamoxifen-dependent,
inducible Hoxb6CreERT recombinase function in lateral plate and limb mesoderm, CNS
isthmic organizer, posterior trunk neural crest, hindgut, and tailbud. *Dev Dyn* **238**, 467-474.

Pijuan-Sala, B., Griffiths, J. A., Guibentif, C., Hiscock, T. W., Jawaid, W., Calero-Nieto, F. J., Mulas,
C., Ibarra-Soria, X., Tyser, R. C. V., Ho, D. L. L., et al. (2019). A single-cell molecular map of
mouse gastrulation and early organogenesis. *Nature* **566**, 490-495.

Prummel, K. D., Crowell, H. L., Nieuwenhuize, S., Brombacher, E. C., Daetwyler, S., Soneson, C.,
Kresoja-Rakic, J., Kocere, A., Ronner, M., Ernst, A., et al. (2022). Hand2 delineates
mesothelium progenitors and is reactivated in mesothelioma. *Nat Commun* **13**, 1677.
Qi, L., Kong, W., Fu, Y., Fu, X. and Xu, T. (2021). Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Development and
Cardiovascular Malformations. *Cardiology Discovery* **01**, 259-268.
Que, J., Wilm, B., Hasegawa, H., Wang, F., Bader, D. and Hogan, B. L. (2008). Mesothelium
contributes to vascular smooth muscle and mesenchyme during lung development. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **105**, 16626-16630.
Quijada, P., Trembley, M. A. and Small, E. M. (2020). The Role of the Epicardium During Heart
Development and Repair. *Circulation research* **126**, 377-394.
Rackley, R. R., Flenniken, A. M., Kuriyan, N. P., Kessler, P. M., Stoler, M. H. and Williams, B. R.
(1993). Expression of the Wilms' tumor suppressor gene WT1 during mouse
embryogenesis. *Cell Growth Differ* **4**, 1023-1031.
Reynolds, S., Pierce, C., Powell, B., Kite, A., Hall-Ruiz, N., Schilling, T. and Le Pabic, P. (2021). A
show of Hands: Novel and conserved expression patterns of teleost hand paralogs during
craniofacial, heart, fin, peripheral nervous system and gut development. *Dev Dyn* **250**,
1796-1809.
Richardson, L., Venkataraman, S., Stevenson, P., Yang, Y., Moss, J., Graham, L., Burton, N., Hill,
B., Rao, J., Baldock, R. A., et al. (2014). EMAGE mouse embryo spatial gene expression
database: 2014 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, D835-844.
Rudat, C. and Kispert, A. (2012). Wt1 and epicardial fate mapping. *Circulation research* **111**, 165-
169.
Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 676-682.
Smyth, L. C. D., Rustenhoven, J., Scotter, E. L., Schweder, P., Faull, R. L. M., Park, T. I. H. and
Dragunow, M. (2018). Markers for human brain pericytes and smooth muscle cells. *Journal*
of Chemical Neuroanatomy **92**, 48-60.
Soriano, P. (1999). Generalized lacZ expression with the ROSA26 Cre reporter strain. *Nat Genet* **21**,
70-71.
Stratman, A. N., Burns, M. C., Farrelly, O. M., Davis, A. E., Li, W., Pham, V. N., Castranova, D.,
Yano, J. J., Goddard, L. M., Nguyen, O., et al. (2020). Chemokine mediated signalling within
arteries promotes vascular smooth muscle cell recruitment. *Communications Biology* **3**,
734.
Thomason, R. T., Bader, D. M. and Winters, N. I. (2012). Comprehensive timeline of mesodermal
development in the quail small intestine. *Dev Dyn* **241**, 1678-1694.
Turner, D. A., Girgin, M., Alonso-Crisostomo, L., Trivedi, V., Baillie-Johnson, P., Glodowski, C. R.,
Hayward, P. C., Collignon, J., Gustavsen, C., Serup, P., et al. (2017). Anteroposterior
polarity and elongation in the absence of extra-embryonic tissues and of spatially localised
signalling in gastruloids: mammalian embryonic organoids. *Development* **144**, 3894-3906.
Vincentz, J. W., Firulli, B. A., Toolan, K. P., Osterwalder, M., Pennacchio, L. A. and Firulli, A. B.
(2021). HAND transcription factors cooperatively specify the aorta and pulmonary trunk.
Developmental biology **476**, 1-10.
Vincentz, J. W., Toolan, K. P., Zhang, W. and Firulli, A. B. (2017). Hand factor ablation causes
defective left ventricular chamber development and compromised adult cardiac function.
PLoS Genet **13**, e1006922.
Walton, K. D., Whidden, M., Kolterud, A., Shoffner, S. K., Czerwinski, M. J., Kushwaha, J.,
Parmar, N., Chandhrasekhar, D., Freddo, A. M., Schnell, S., et al. (2016). Villification in the
mouse: Bmp signals control intestinal villus patterning. *Development* **143**, 427-436.

Wilm, B., Ipenberg, A., Hastie, N. D., Burch, J. B. E. and Bader, D. M. (2005). The serosal
mesothelium is a major source of smooth muscle cells of the gut vasculature. *Development*
132, 5317-5328.
Wilm, T. P., Tanton, H., Mutter, F., Foisor, V., Middlehurst, B., Ward, K., Benameur, T., Hastie, N.
and Wilm, B. (2021). Restricted differentiative capacity of Wt1-expressing peritoneal
mesothelium in postnatal and adult mice. *Scientific reports* **11**, 15940.
Winters, N. I., Thomason, R. T. and Bader, D. M. (2012). Identification of a novel developmental
mechanism in the generation of mesothelia. *Development* **139**, 2926-2934.
Yin, C., Kikuchi, K., Hochgreb, T., Poss, K. D. and Stainier, D. Y. (2010). Hand2 regulates
extracellular matrix remodeling essential for gut-looping morphogenesis in zebrafish.
Developmental cell **18**, 973-984.
Zhou, B., Ma, Q., Rajagopal, S., Wu, S. M., Domian, I., Rivera-Feliciano, J., Jiang, D., von Gise, A.,
Ikeda, S., Chien, K. R., et al. (2008). Epicardial progenitors contribute to the cardiomyocyte
lineage in the developing heart. *Nature* **454**, 109-113.
Zhu, J., Nakamura, E., Nguyen, M. T., Bao, X., Akiyama, H. and Mackem, S. (2008). Uncoupling
Sonic hedgehog control of pattern and expansion of the developing limb bud.
Developmental cell **14**, 624-632.
832
833
834

Figure legends:

Figure 1: Embryonic contribution of cells of the *Wt1*-lineage to visceral mesothelium or visceral and vascular smooth muscle is dependent on timing of tamoxifen dosing. A. Schematic of the study design: CD1 dams were time mated with $Wt1^{CreERT2/+};Rosa26^{LacZ/LacZ}$ males and received tamoxifen (Tam) at the respective embryonic stages (embryonic day, E); embryos were dissected between E17.5 and E19.5, and XGal stained. **B.** When Tam was given at E13.5 and embryos analysed at E17.5, the mesentery (M) and intestine (I) were well covered by lacZ+ cells. **C, D.** When Tam had been given at E12.5, the coverage of LacZ-positive cells in the mesentery was less complete at E19.5. This loss of coverage with LacZ-positive cells was still more pronounced E17.5 when Tam had been given at E11.5 (D). **E.** Similarly, there were only patches of LacZ-positive cells in the mesentery and over the intestine at E17.5 after Tam administration at E9.5. **F, G.** When Tam had been given at E8.5, LacZ-positive cells were observed in small patches in the mesentery (arrow, F), or in cell chains near the vasculature (arrowhead, F), or in distinct rings (open arrowheads, G) surrounding the mesenteric veins (filled arrowhead, G) in the second arcade of the mesenteric vascular tree. The corresponding artery is depicted with an arrow (G). **H-J.** Tam dosing at E7.5 resulted at E18.5 in LacZ-positive cells scattered within the mesentery (H), in the circular musculature of the small intestine (white arrowheads, I), and surrounding a segment of mesenteric artery of the second arcade (black arrowheads, J). Scale bars, 500 μm (B, C, D, F, H), 200 μm (E, G, I, J).

Figure 2: Immunofluorescence analysis of embryonic *Wt1*-lineage cells in intestine and mesentery. Frozen sections of intestine and mesentery of embryos after Tam dosing at E8.5 or E11.5, and dissection at E17.5 or E19.5, respectively) were analysed by immunofluorescence for co-expression of GFP with cytokeratin (A, A', E, E'), WT1 (B, B', F, F'), CD31 (C, C', G, G') and ACTA2 (D, D' H, H', I, I'). **A, A', B, B'.** After Tam at E8.5, co-expression of GFP with the mesothelial markers cytokeratin and WT1 was sparse (filled arrowhead). **C, C'.** GFP-positive cells were found in close vicinity to CD31 expressed in the mesenteric vessels (arrowhead). **D, D', E, E'.** At this stage, GFP was co-expressed with ACTA2 in the mesenteric vasculature (arrowhead, D, D'), and the visceral smooth muscle (arrowhead, E, E'). **F, F', G, G'.** After Tam at E11.5, the mesothelial markers cytokeratin and WT1 were detected in the mesothelium covering the intestine and co-expression with GFP was observed in the visceral mesothelium (arrowheads). **H, H', I, I'.** Expression analysis for the vascular markers CD31 and ACTA2 showed no co-expression

with GFP within the mesentery. **J.** Schematic of the anatomical location of the different

images. Scale bars, 50 µm (all).

Figure 3. *Wt1* expression in gastrulation stage-cells with SMC and MC signatures. A.

Assessing enrichment of the SMC and MC gene sets in all *Wt1*-expressing cells using

AUCell. **B.** Correlation plots of *Wt1* expression against SMC marker or MC signature

genes in total *Wt1*-expressing cells at different embryonic time points. White boxes

indicate total absence of data at the respective time point; grey boxes indicate less than

three data points (**p-values: ***<0.001; ** <0.01; * <0.05**). **C.** Dynamic changes in the

number of SMC and MC markers significantly correlated with *Wt1* expression at different

embryonic stages. **D.** Dynamic distribution of *Wt1*-expressing cells significantly co-

expressing at least 3 SMC signature genes in different tissues across embryonic stages.

E. Dynamic distribution of *Wt1*-expressing cells significantly co-expressing at least 3 MC

signature genes in different tissues across embryonic stages. **F-G.** Subsets of *Wt1*-

expressing cells with respective SMC or MC gene signature scoring above threshold (n=3)

were intersected. At E7.5, *Wt1*-expressing cells separated into two distinct populations

with either high SMC signature score or MC signature score. However, at E8.5, there were

11 cells with both SMC signature and MC signature score above the threshold. **H-I.** *Wt1*

expression levels in cells of either the SMC or MC signature groups at E7.5 and E8.5. The

11 cells expressing both SMC and MC signature genes were excluded from the E8.5

graphs. Dashed line represents median of the dataset. Other cell types in SMC gene set at

E7.5 included extraembryonic ectoderm, extraembryonic mesoderm, pharyngeal

mesoderm, rostral neuroectoderm, surface ectoderm and visceral endoderm; in the MC

gene set included definitive endoderm, extraembryonic ectoderm, mixed mesoderm,

parietal endoderm, pharyngeal mesoderm, primitive streak, and rostral neurectoderm. At

E8.5, other cell types in both groups included allantois, cardiomyocytes, pharyngeal

mesoderm, and surface ectoderm.

Figure 4: WT1 is expressed in the primitive streak, emerging mesoderm, NMP and

LPM in E8.5 mouse embryos. A-D. Whole mount staining for WT1 and BRA in the E8.5

mouse tail bud. A and B are MIP images generated from 150 z-sections, while C and D

are focal images at sagittal level. WT1 was expressed in the tail bud, in the allantois and

yolk sac, as well as primitive streak, NMP, LPM/IM, where there was co-expression with

BRA. In D (based on E), the different tissue domains are outlined. **E-G.** Images at two

focal planes through the tail bud including node, primitive streak, notochord, NMP, LPM/IM

and neural plate, in sagittal (slice 109, E), and near-sagittal (slice 268, F) position. Boxes

in E and F indicate position of higher magnified inserts. Lines in E indicate position of focal views in G1-4. Arrows in G-14 indicate position of focal planes for E and F. **H.** Quantification of co-expression levels of both WT1 and BRA at the two focal slices indicated shown in E and F and indicated in G1-4. AFU: arbitrary fluorescence units. **I.** Whole mount staining for WT1, BRA and TAGLN in the E8.5 mouse tail bud. Image of oblique focal plane; stipled line indicates focal plane for images J-N. **J.** LPM and notochordal plate (NCP) are outlined in transverse focal plane image. **K-M**. Expression of WT1 (K), BRA (L) and TAGLN (M). WT1 and TAGLN were co-expressed in the surface cells covering the paraxial mesoderm and in the LPM. Scale bars, 100 µm (A-E), 50 µm (I-N).

Figure 5. Contribution of *Wt1*-lineage cells to the mesentery near developing vasculature and to the intestinal wall. A. Schematic of the study design: analysis of embryos at E12.5 or E15.5 after time mating of $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ males with CD1 females and Tam dosing at E8.5. **B, B'.** View of the intestinal loop and mesentery. GFP-positive cells (chevrons) were found within the mesentery of the developing intestinal tract (arrow; star depicts caecum). Note the abundant GFP fluorescence in the liver (Li). **C-D.** Immunofluorescence of CD31 and GFP on sections through this region showed GFP-positive cells within the mesentery and in the intestinal wall, near the developing microvascular plexus (C). Higher magnification (D) reveals that GFP-positive cells (chevrons) are often arranged close to neighbouring endothelial cells (arrows) of the vascular plexus in the intestinal wall and mesentery. **E-F".** Immunofluorescence for PDGFRB and GFP on neighbouring sections showed that GFP-positive cells within the intestinal wall mesenchyme and mesentery co-expressed PDGFRB (chevrons), while a few mesothelial GFP-positive cells were PDGFRB-negative (F-F", arrow). **G, H.** Representative images from two different embryos at E15.5 after tamoxifen dosing at E8.5. GFP-positive cells (arrowheads) were found within a segment of the small intestine in a circular arrangement. da, dorsal aorta, e, endoderm, m, mesentery, ma, mesenteric artery, mv, mesenteric vein. Scale bars, 500 µm (G, H), 300 µm (B, B'), 100 µm (C, E, F-F"), 50 µm (D).

Figure 6. Effects on intestinal vasculature development in *Wt1* knock-out embryos. After dosing with Tam at E7.5, $Wt1^{CreERT2/fl}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ (KO@E7.5) and $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ (control) embryos were analysed at E12.5. **A.** Workflow of whole-mount CD31 staining analysis where regions of interest (white boxes) were processed to measure the total volume (3Dsuite) and the branching characteristics

(AnalyzeSkeleton) of the vasculature of the respective regions. **B.** Selected focal planes
showing CD31 signals of a KO@E7.5 and a control embryo. **C.** Overall distribution of
percentage CD31 density in different ROIs of each embryo. **D.** PCA plot of percentage
CD31 density of the ROIs in each embryo processed in different batches. **E.** Distribution of
mean percentage CD31 density in KO@E7.5 and littermate controls. Distribution in the
control group was consistently lower (Std=1.89; CV=24.36%), while the distribution in
KO@E7.5 embryos was more widely spread throughout (Std=5.46; CV=50.65%). **F.** Mean
cumulative count of different voxel types. **G, H.** Distribution of samples in each group at
different voxel types. Branching characteristics revealed a higher variability and coefficient
of variation in KO@E7.5 compared to control embryos. **I**. Analysis of focal planes of z-
stacks after whole-mount IF for CD31 and ACTA2 revealed that SMC interactions with
endothelial cells were affected in KO@E7.5 compared to control embryos.

Figure 7: The embryonic lineage contribution of WT1-expressing cells to visceral
and vascular smooth muscle cells is uncoupled from the mesothelial lineage.
Lineage tracing of the GFP-labelled cells via the $Wt1^{CreERT2/+}$;$Rosa26^{mTmG/mTmG}$ system
after Tam at E7.5 or E8.5 demonstrated that cells appeared at the dorsal base of the
mesentery at E10.5. At E12.5 GFP-positive cells were closely aligned to the forming
endothelial plexus and co-expressed PDGFRB in the mesentery of the midgut. At E15.5,
GFP-positive visceral smooth muscle cells were detectable in the foetal intestine. At
around E18.5, GFP-positive vSMCs and viSMCs co-expressed ACTA2. By contrast, GFP-
labelled mesothelial cells emerged in lineage traced embryos predominantly when Tam
had been given from E9.5 onwards. va, vitelline artery, ma, mesenteric artery