A biofilm-tropic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* bacteriophage uses the exopolysaccharide Psl as

receptor

Brenna Walton[1], Serena Abbondante[2,3], Michaela Ellen Marshall[2,3], Justyna M. Dobruchowska[4], Amani

Alvi[1], Larry A. Gallagher[5], Nikhil Vallikat[1], Zhemin Zhang[8], Daniel J. Wozniak[6,7], Edward W. Yu[8], Geert-

Jan Boons[4,9,10], Eric Pearlman[2,3], Arne Rietsch[1,11]

1) Dept. of Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH,

U.S.A.

2) Dept. of Ophthalmology, University of California, Irvine, CA, U.S.A.

3) Institute of Immunology, University of California, Irvine, CA, U.S.A.

4) Dept. of Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery, Utrecht Institute for Pharmaceutical Sciences,

and Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Utrecht University, Utrecht, NL

5) Dept. of Microbiology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, U.S.A.

6) Dept. of Microbial Infection and Immunity, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, U.S.A.

7) Dept. of Microbiology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, U.S.A.

8) Dept. of Pharmacology, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, U.S.A.

9) Complex Carbohydrate Research Center, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, U.S.A.

10) Dept. of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, U.S.A.

11) Corresponding author: arne.rietsch@case.edu

Abstract

Bacteria in nature can exist in multicellular communities called biofilms. Biofilms also form in the course of many infections. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections frequently involve biofilms, which contribute materially to the difficulty to treat these infections with antibiotic therapy. Many biofilm-related characteristics are controlled by the second messenger, cyclic-di-GMP, which is upregulated on surface contact. Among these factors is the exopolysaccharide Psl, which is a critically important component of the biofilm matrix. Here we describe the discovery of a *P. aeruginosa* bacteriophage, which we have called Clew-1, that directly binds to and uses Psl as a receptor. While this phage does not efficiently infect planktonically growing bacteria, it can disrupt *P. aeruginosa* biofilms and replicate in biofilm bacteria. We further demonstrate that the Clew-1 can reduce the bacterial burden in a mouse model of *P. aeruginosa* keratitis, which is characterized by the formation of a biofilm on the cornea. Due to its reliance on Psl for infection, Clew-1 does not actually form plaques on wild-type bacteria under standard *in vitro* conditions. This argues that our standard isolation procedures likely exclude bacteriophage that are adapted to using biofilm markers for infection. Importantly, the manner in which we isolated Clew-1 can be easily extended to other strains of *P. aeruginosa* and indeed other bacterial species, which will fuel the discovery of other biofilm-tropic bacteriophage and expand their therapeutic use.

Introduction

Biofilms formed by bacteria at sites of infection significantly increase the difficulty of treatment with conventional antibiotic therapy. This increased resistance to antibiotic therapy has been attributed to a variety of factors, including reduced penetration of antibiotics (1, 2), as well as an increase in antibiotic-tolerant persister bacteria (3, 4). Formation of biofilms is a feature of many *P. aeruginosa* infections, including lung infections in cystic fibrosis patients (5, 6), wound, catheter, and device infections (7), as well as blinding corneal infections (8-10). In some instances, these biofilms have been found to be astonishingly antibiotic tolerant (11).

In addition to the antibiotic tolerance of bacteria in biofilms, there has been a significant increase in antibiotic resistant isolates (12). In fact, *P. aeruginosa* is one of the particularly worrisome ESKA<u>PE</u> group of pathogens (13). With the general rise of antibiotic-resistant isolates, phage therapy has garnered some interest as an alternative to treat these infections (14, 15). However, biofilm formation frequently interferes with phage infection (16), and even though a few bacteriophage that can target *P. aeruginosa* in a biofilm have been described (17, 18), the mechanism by which they infect these biofilm bacteria is unknown.

The extracellular matrix of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms is comprised of exopolysaccharides, including Psl, Pel, and alginate, as well as proteins and DNA (19, 20). Psl is of significant interest, since it is critical for biofilm formation, where it is needed for the initial surface attachment (21), as well as structural stability of the mature biofilm (22). Psl has been detected on the surface of individual *P. aeruginosa* bacteria in an apparent helical pattern (20). It is also deposited on surfaces by a subset of motile explorer bacteria during the early stages of aggregate formation (23). Psl production interferes with complement deposition and neutrophil functions, such as phagocytosis and ROS production (24). Moreover, Psl enhances the intracellular survival of phagocytosed *P. aeruginosa*, as well as survival in mouse models of lung and wound infection (24).

Here we describe the discovery of a bacteriophage that uses Psl, this crucial biofilm exopolysaccharide, as a receptor. Interestingly, this bacteriophage only infects a subpopulation of planktonically growing *P.* aeruginosa, but it can disrupt biofilms and replicates efficiently on biofilm-grown

bacteria. Moreover, the phage can reduce the bacterial burden in a corneal infection model, which

involves formation of a biofilm.

Results

Phage Clew-1 can form plaques on a ∆*fliF* mutant, but not wild type *P. aeruginosa*.

We screened wastewater samples at the three Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District water

treatment plants in Cleveland for bacteriophage. The majority of phage in these samples used type IV

pili as a receptor, and we wanted to exclude these from our screen. We had previously generated a ∆*fliF*

∆*pilA* double mutant strain in the lab and decided to use it to exclude both surface appendages as

potential receptors. This turned out to be fortuitous, since, surprisingly, the screen identified four phage

that could form plaques on the ∆*fliF* ∆*pilA* double mutant strain, but not the parental wild type *P.*

aeruginosa PAO1. We named these Cleveland wastewater-derived phage Clew-1, -3, -6, and -10.

Subsequent tests determined that it was the *fliF* deletion that rendered *P. aeruginosa* permissive for

infection by these phages. All four Clew phage can plaque on a *fliF* deletion mutant of *P. aeruginosa*

PAO1, but not the corresponding wild-type strain or ∆*pilA* mutant strain. (Fig. 1A, S1A, S1B, S2). An

unrelated Pbunavirus we isolated in the same screen, which uses O-antigen as receptor (Fig. S3), was

used as a control in these experiments (Our control phage, Ocp-2). The Clew bacteriophages belong to

the family of Bruynogheviruses (25) and are all highly related (Fig. 1B, S1C). Morphologically, like other

members of the family, they are Podoviruses (Fig. 1C).

c-di-GMP levels control infection of *P. aeruginosa* by bacteriophage Clew-1.

We next examined what part of the flagellum is involved in determining sensitivity to the Clew-1

phage. Mutations affecting the MS-ring (∆*fliF*) and associated proteins FliE or FliG (26) resulted in Clew-

1 sensitivity. However, mutations in the ATPase complex only conferred partial sensitivity, and mutations

affecting the hook or flagellar filament did not result in sensitivity, nor did a mutation that affects the type

III secretion function of the flagellar basal body by impeding proton flux, *flhA(R147A)*(27), (Fig.S4). We

therefore conclude that it is the presence of the MS-ring and not other aspects of the flagellum, such as

assembly of the full flagellar structure or flagellar rotation, that control phage sensitivity.

Interestingly, we found that deletion of *fleQ*, which is required for transcription of flagellar genes

(28), had a very minor effect on Clew-1 phage susceptibility of the wild-type bacteria, and actually

decreased Clew-1 susceptibility of the Δ*fliF* mutant bacteria (Fig. S1E). FleQ is a c-di-GMP-responsive

transcription factor that, among other things, reciprocally controls flagellar gene expression and

production of biofilm-related characteristics, such as the production of the extracellular polysaccharides

Psl and Pel, as well as the adhesin CdrA (28-30). We therefore examined whether manipulating c-di-

GMP levels controls phage susceptibility. To this end we produced the c-di-GMP phosphodiesterase

PA2133 from a plasmid (31) to artificially lower c-di-GMP levels in the Δ*fliF2* deletion mutant strain.

Conversely, we artificially elevated c-di-GMP levels in the wild-type by deleting the *wspF* gene (31).

Lowering c-di-GMP levels in the Δ*fliF2* mutant restored Clew-1 resistance (Fig. 1D), whereas deleting

wspF rendered the parental PAO1 strain phage sensitive (Fig. 1E). Taken together, these data

demonstrate that Clew-1 susceptibility is controlled by intracellular c-di-GMP levels and argue that

absence of the MS-ring controls phage susceptibility through an increase in c-di-GMP.

Phage Clew-1 requires Psl for infection.

To better understand the host factors that control susceptibility and resistance to Clew-1 infection,

we carried out a pair of TnSeq experiments. In the first of these, we mutagenized the wild-type strain

PAO1F with the mini-mariner transposon TnFAC (32), and the resultant mutant library was infected with

phage Clew-1 at an MOI of 10 for 2 hours. The surviving bacteria were allowed to grow up after plating

on an LB plate and the transposon insertion sites for the input and output pool were determined by

Illumina sequencing. We identified insertion mutants that were depleted after infection (Fig. 2A). Two of

the genes with the most significant depletion were *fliF* and *fliG*, consistent with our previous analysis

indicating that these mutations sensitize PAO1 to Clew-1 infection. Interestingly we also noted depletion

of *pch* and *bifA* insertions, both encoding phosphodiesterases that are involved in depleting c-di-GMP in

the flagellated daughter cell after cell division (33-36). In fact, *pch* interacts with the chemotactic

machinery (33), highlighting, here too, the importance of c-di-GMP in controlling Clew-1 sensitivity.

In a reciprocal experiment, we carried out the TnSeq analysis in a *fliF* mutant strain. This analysis

identified insertions in the *psl* operon as the most highly enriched group of mutants after Clew-1 selection,

suggesting that Psl is required for phage infection (Fig. 2B). We examined the requirement for Psl

explicitly by generating *pslC* and *pslD* mutants in the PAO1F Δ*fliF2* strain background. PslC is a

glycosyltransferase required for Psl biosynthesis, while PslD is required for Psl export from the cell (37,

38). Deletion of either *pslC* or *pslD* rendered the *fliF* mutant bacteria Clew-1 resistant and sensitivity could

be restored through complementation using a plasmid-borne copy of the deleted open reading frame

(Fig. 2C). These data demonstrate that Psl production is required for infection of *P. aeruginosa* by phage

Clew-1.

Phage Clew-1 attachment is Psl-dependent

We next examined whether attachment of Clew-1 to *P. aeruginosa* is Psl-dependent. We first used

efficiency of center of infection (ECOI) analysis to examine attachment. In this analysis, the phage is

allowed to adhere to the bacteria for 5 minutes, before washing the bacteria to remove unattached phage.

The bacteria are then diluted, mixed with top agar and a sensitive indicator bacterium (Δ*fliF2*), and then

plated to allow for plaque formation as a biological readout of attached bacteriophage. Attachment of

phage Clew-1 is Psl-dependent. Interestingly, we were able to detect Psl-dependent attachment both

with wild-type and Δ*fliF2* mutant bacteria (Fig. 3A), which contradicted out initial efficiency of plating

experiments. We therefore reexamined phage susceptibility by monitoring phage infection in liquid media

and generating lysis curves for wild-type and Δ*fliF2* mutant bacteria, as well as their Δ*pslC* mutant

derivatives (Fig. S5). The Δ*fliF2* mutant strain was lysed after ~40 minutes of infection. The wild-type

bacteria displayed a significant slowing of growth upon Clew-1 infection when compared to the uninfected

culture, but not clear lysis as was observed with the Δ*fliF2* mutant. In both instances, deleting *pslC*

abolished any phage-dependent effect on growth.

We hypothesized that perhaps, the difference between wild-type and Δ*fliF2* mutant bacteria is due

to the fraction of cells that are producing Psl and therefore permissive for phage attachment. To test this

hypothesis, we labeled phage Clew-1 with the DyLight594 fluorescent dye and examined attachment

directly by microscopy (Fig. 3B). We observed a statistically significant increase in the percentage of

bacteria with attached bacteriophage in the Δ*fliF2* mutant bacteria compared to the wild-type, arguing

that the increase in c-di-GMP in the Δ*fliF2* mutant increases the fraction of Clew-1 susceptible cells in the

population. As anticipated, no phage was observed attached to the corresponding Δ*pslC* mutant (Fig.

3C).

Phage Clew-1 binds to Psl directly

We next examined whether phage Clew-1 can bind to Psl directly. We first determined whether

we could precipitate phage Clew-1 from filter sterilized culture supernatants of a Δ*fliF2* mutant using an

antibody directed against Psl. The presence of the phage was determined by quantitative PCR. We were

able to pull down phage Clew-1 in a Psl and antibody-dependent manner with Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslC* culture

supernatants serving as a control (Fig. 4A). Notably, we observed some Psl-dependent attachment in the

absence of antibody, arguing that Psl binds non-specifically to the magnetic beads we used in our

experiments. Including the anti-Psl antibody resulted in a statistically significant increase compared to

this background level of attachment (Fig. 4A). We next repeated the pulldown using a partially purified

fraction of cell-associated Psl to repeat the pulldown and again found Psl and antibody dependent

precipitation of phage Clew-1 (Fig. S7). Finally, we examined phage binding using a biotinylated, affinity

purified preparation of Psl and found that we could pull down the phage using this Psl fraction as well,

arguing that Clew-1 binds Psl directly (Fig. 4B).

Phage Clew-1 infects wild-type *P. aeruginosa* in biofilms

Since Clew-1 exploits Psl for infection and Psl is a key component of the biofilm matrix of most

strains of *P. aeruginosa* (39, 40), we hypothesized that, perhaps, Clew-1 can infect biofilm bacteria. We

used a static-biofilm model to test this hypothesis. Biofilms were established overnight in a 96-well plate.

One plate was washed and fixed with ethanol to quantify the day one biofilm mass using crystal violet

staining. In a second plate, established in parallel, the biofilms were washed with PBS and LB was added

back, either without addition, or with 10^9 pfu of phage Clew-1 or phage Ocp-2. The plates were

incubated overnight and the next day, the day 2 biofilm mass was quantified using crystal violet. A similar

experiment was carried out in 5-mL culture tubes to illustrate the result is shown in Fig. 5A. The averages

of 5 biological replicates in the 96-well experiment are shown in Fig. 5B. Treatment of the day one biofilm

with phage Clew-1 resulted in a statistically significant decrease in biofilm mass compared to the biomass

present at day 1. Phage Ocp-2 infection, on the other hand, did not result in a reduction in biofilm (Fig.

5B). Notably, phage Clew-1 was not able to reduce a biofilm formed by *P.* aeruginosa strain PA14, a

natural *psl* mutant (Fig. S8).

To corroborate this result, we also conducted a converse experiment where we monitored the

ability of phage Clew-1 or Ocp-2 to replicate on biofilm bacteria over a two-hour period. Here, biofilms

were generated overnight in 5-mL culture tubes, the biofilms were washed with PBS and exposed to 10^5

pfu/mL phage Clew-1 or phage Ocp-2 for 2 hours. At the end of the experiment, the culture supernatants

were filter sterilized and the phage were titered. Consistent with the reduction in biofilm mass seen in Fig.

5B, we found that phage Clew-1, but not Ocp-2, was able to replicate when grown on biofilm bacteria

(Fig. 5C).

In order to determine distinguish whether Clew-1 infection results in decolonization of bacteria

from the biofilm, or killing of biofilm bacteria, we carried out two complementary experiments. In the first

experiment, we generated wild type *P. aeruginosa* biofilms on polystyrene microbeads grown overnight

with agitation. The beads were then washed with PBS and incubated for 2 hours either in LB or LB with

Clew-1. Bacteria were released from the biofilm by sonication and the input CFU (total CFU attached to

beads) were compared to the output after 2h of incubation (sum of supernatant and bead-associated

viable bacteria). Clew-1 resulted in a significant reduction in viable CFU, arguing that phage infection kills

biofilm bacteria (Fig. 5D). In a related experiment, we used LIVE/DEAD staining of bacteria to assess

bacterial viability by microscopy. We established biofilms in microwell slides overnight, then added Syto

9 and propidium iodide (PI) to each well along with phage Clew-1, or a buffer control, and incubated the

slide overnight again before imaging the biofilms by confocal microscopy. Infection with Clew-1 resulted

in a significant increase in the fraction of the biofilm volume that is PI-positive, indicating that the biofilm

bacteria are dying (Fig. 5E).

Phage Clew-1 can clear *P. aeruginosa* in a mouse keratitis model

Given the ability of phage Clew-1 to infect *P. aeruginosa* biofilms, we next examined whether

Clew-1 could be used to treat a *P. aeruginosa* infection. Corneal infections by *P. aeruginosa* involve

formation of a biofilm (8, 40). In fact, a bivalent antibody directed against Psl and the type III secretion

needle-tip protein, PcrV, was found to be effective in clearing such corneal infections (8). We therefore

examined the ability of Clew-1 to reduce the *P. aeruginosa* bacterial burden in a corneal infection model.

Mice were infected with $5*10^4$ cfu of wild-type *P. aeruginosa* strain PAO1 and given a topical application

of $5*10^9$ pfu Clew-1 in 5µL of PBS, or PBS alone, at 24h and 48h post-infection (Fig. 6A). After 48 hours

the infection we quantified corneal opacity, a measure that correlates with the infiltration of immune cells

(41-43), and GFP fluorescence (produced by the *P. aeruginosa* strain used in the infection) by image

analysis. We also assessed the bacterial burden (colony forming units). Mice infected with PAO1

developed severe corneal disease manifest as corneal opacification in the region of bacterial growth

indicated by GFP fluorescence (Figure 6B-D). Phage Clew-1 treatment was able to significantly reduce

the bacterial burden, and in some instances completely eradicate the infection, as measured by GFP

fluorescence and CFU (Fig. 6D, E). Corneal opacity, was similarly reduced by the phage treatment,

indicating that inflammation was also starting to resolve (Fig. 6B, C).

Summary

We describe the isolation of four phage belonging to the family of Bruynogheviruses that use the

P. aeruginosa exopolysaccharide Psl as a receptor. Psl is not a capsular polysaccharide, so this

distinguishes the Clew phages from phages such as KP32 that infect *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Moreover,

these *Klebsiella* phages use a capsular depolymerase to break down the capsular polysaccharide (44,

45). Clew-1, on the other hand, has no such activity (Fig. S9) arguing that the role of Psl in infection is

distinct from that seen in capsule-targeting bacteriophage.

Phage Clew-1 has the surprising quality that it fails to plaque on wild-type *P. aeruginosa* PAO1,

but forms plaques on a *fliF* mutant. We determined that the *fliF* mutation generates a c-di-GMP dependent

signal that up-regulates Psl production. Importantly, it increases the fraction of bacteria to which the

phage can bind, resulting in efficient lysis in liquid cultures, and plaque formation in top agar. Plaque

formation is likely masked in the wild-type bacteria by the fraction of cells that are not phage-susceptible.

Notably, certain Bruynogheviruses are able to bind to *P. aeruginosa* PAO1, but not plaque (46). We now

have an explanation for this observation.

The identification of Psl as phage receptor prompted us to examine the ability of phage Clew-1 to

infect wild-type *P. aeruginosa* in a biofilm. We found that phage Clew-1, unlike the unrelated Ocp-2 phage,

was able to disrupt biofilms formed by wild-type bacteria. Moreover, Clew-1 was able to actively replicate

on biofilm bacteria, while phage Ocp-2 could not. Taken together, our data suggests that phage Clew-1

has specialized to replicate on *P. aeruginosa* growing in a biofilm. Given the prevalence of bacterial

biofilms in nature, this specialization makes sense. Moreover, our observation suggests that we may

have underestimated the prevalence of biofilm-tropic bacteriophage since standard isolation techniques

using plaque formation of wild-type bacteria would miss phage akin to Clew-1. In fact, another

bacteriophage was recently described that requires an intact *psl* operon for replication and can only

plaque on PAO1 with elevated c-di-GMP levels (35). This bacteriophage, Knedl, belongs to the family of

Iggyvirueses (47), highlighting that more biofilm-tropic bacteriophage wait to be discovered. Our data

also suggest that, for *P. aeruginosa*, using a Δ*fliF* Δ*pilA* double mutant will allow us to enrich for biofilm-

specific bacteriophage, by excluding dominant type IV pilus-dependent phage and up-regulating biofilm-

specific surface structures such as Psl, Pel, and CdrA. Given the importance of biofilms in contributing to

the antibiotic resistance of *P. aeruginosa* in infections such as the CF lung, catheters or wound infections,

treatment modalities that are targeted towards biofilm bacteria are sorely needed. Indeed, phage Clew-

1 shows some promise in this regard, since it was able to kill biofilm bacteria *in vitro*, and control *P.*

aeruginosa infection in a mouse model of keratitis, which involves biofilm formation at the site of infection.

While Clew-1 plaques can sometimes look turbid, this is likely a function of the fact that Clew-1 can only

bind to a fraction of the bacterial population (80-90% in the case of PAO1 Δ*fliF2*, Fig. 3). We have not

been able to isolate Clew-1 lysogens, which is consistent with observations using other *Bruynogheviruses*

(18, 48, 49) and argues that Clew-1 is lytic and not temperate. While many bacteriophages are not able

to infect *P. aeruginosa* biofilms, some phage with the ability to target biofilms have been described,

including the *Bruynoghevirus* Delta (18). We present here a way by which phage that target *P. aeruginosa*

biofilms can be enriched during isolation.

Capsule-targeting bacteriophage tend to use LPS as a secondary receptor for tight binding to the

target cell. While Clew-1 does not seem to require either A- or B-band LPS for infection (Fig. S3), we did

hit several genes involved in the biosynthesis of outer core LPS in our TnSeq analysis (Table S3). We

tested whether one of these genes, *ssg* (PA5001), is required for Clew-1 infection and found that this is

the case (Fig. S3). How binding to Psl and LPS relate to the infection of *P. aeruginosa* by Clew-1 will be

examined in a future study.

Another interesting aspect of the work described herein is the relationship between the presence

of the MS-ring (FliF) and its associated proteins FliE and FliG with c-di-GMP levels. While it has been

noted previously that flagellar mutations lead to increases in c-di-GMP levels and increased production

of Psl upon surface contact (50), our results differ somewhat in that phage susceptibility was primarily

the result of loss of the MS-ring and associated proteins (FliEFG), not, for example, the flagellar filament

(FliC). This difference may be due to differences between planktonically grown (as in our study) and

surface-attached bacteria. Surface contact leads to up-regulation of c-di-GMP through surface sensing

by the Wsp chemosensory system (31, 51). Attached bacteria divide asymmetrically, with c-di-GMP levels

decreasing in the flagellated daughter cell (33-35, 52). This asymmetry requires the phosphodiesterase

Pch, which has been reported to bind to the chemosensory protein CheA (33, 34). A second

phosphodiesterase, BifA, is also required for maintaining c-di-GMP homeostasis and developing an

asymmetric program of cell division upon attachment to surfaces (35, 36). In our TnSeq experiment we

found that insertions in flagellar genes, such as *fliF* and *fliG*, but also insertions in *pch* and *bifA* resulted

in Clew-1 sensitivity. Whether the strong Clew-1 sensitivity associated with deletion of *fliF*, *fliE*, or *fliG* in

our data, relative to deletions in other flagellar components, relates to a pivotal role of the MS-ring in

controlling the activity of Pch and/or BifA is unclear, but worth further investigation. However, our work,

along with the work of the Jenal group (35), suggests that phage such as Clew-1 or Knedl could be a

useful tool for interrogating c-di-GMP signaling pathways in *P. aeruginosa*.

In summary, we have described here the isolation of a group of bacteriophages that target *P.*

aeruginosa biofilms by using the exopolysaccharide Psl as a receptor. Consistent with the critical role of

Psl as part of the *P. aeruginosa* biofilm matrix, we demonstrate that phage Clew-1 can replicate on biofilm

bacteria and control *P. aeruginosa* in a mouse model of keratitis. Moreover, we have described a

generalizable method that allows for the enrichment of biofilm-tropic bacteriophage, which is important

due to their potential utility in combating biofilm infections that are notoriously recalcitrant to antibiotic

therapy.

Methods

Strain construction and culture conditions

Bacterial strains were grown in LB (10g/L tryptone, 5g/L yeast extract, 5g/L NaCl) at 37°C unless

indicated otherwise. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in table S1. Mutations

were introduced into the genome of *P. aeruginosa* by allelic exchange. Briefly, flanks defining the mutation

were amplified from the *P. aeruginosa* genome and cloned into plasmid pEXG2 by Gibson cloning. The

primers used for the amplifications were designed using AmplifX 2.1.1 by Nicolas Jullien (Aix-Marseille

Univ, CNRS, INP, Inst Neurophysiopathol, Marseille, France - https://inp.univ-amu.fr/en/amplifx-manage-

test-and-design-your-primers-for-pcr) and are noted in table S2. Plasmid pEXG2 harboring the mutation

construct was transformed into *E. coli* strain SM10 and mated at 37°C into *P. aeruginosa* by mixing the

donor and recipient strains on an LB plate. The mating mixture was then plated on an LB plate with

30µg/mL gentamicin and 5µg/mL triclosan and grown overnight at 37°C (selecting against the *E. coli*

donor strain). Cointegrates were restruck and subsequently grown in LB lacking salt until the culture was

barely turbid. The bacteria were then plated on a sucrose plate (5% sucrose, 10g/L tryptone, 5g/L yeast

extract) and incubated overnight at 30°C. Sucrose resistant colonies were tested for gentamicin

sensitivity and the presence of the mutation was tested by PCR.

Complementing plasmids were generated by amplifying the open reading frame and using Gibson

assembly (53) to clone it into pPSV37. The plasmids were then transformed into *P. aeruginosa* by

electroporation.

For motility assays, individual bacterial colonies were used to inoculate motility agar plates (0.3%

agar, LB plates) and incubated at 37°C for ~8h before imaging the plate.

Bacteriophage isolation and sequencing

Bacteriophage were isolated from filter sterilized waste-water samples from one of three Northeast Ohio

Regional Sewer District wastewater treatment plants (Westerly, Southerly, or Easterly Sewage Treatment

plant). Phage were isolated by picking individual plaques isolated on *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 Δ*fliF* Δ*pilA* and

testing them for their ability to form plaques on the isolation strain or wild-type PAO1. Phage genome

DNA was isolated as previously described (54). Genomic DNA was sequenced by Illumina sequencing

and assembled using Spades version 3.15.3 (55). Genomes were annotated using PhageScope (56).

Genomes for Clew-1, Clew-3, Clew-6, and Clew-10, as well as Ocp-2, have been deposited in Genbank

[accession# PQ790658.1, PQ790659.1, PQ790660.1, PQ790661.1, and PQ790662.1].

CsCl purification of bacteriophage

Bacteriophage were purified by CsCl gradient based on a published protocol (57). Briefly, a 500mL culture

of PAO1F Δ*fliF2* was grown in LB to an OD_{600} of ~0.2 and inoculated with phage Clew-1 or Ocp-2 at an

MOI of 0.025. After about 4h of culture, the bacteria were pelleted (12,000 x g, 15 min, 4 ºC) and the

supernatant was filtered through a 0.2µM filter. The supernatant was treated with DNAse and RNAse

(1µg/mL each) overnight at 4°C. The following day, the phage were pelleted by centrifugation (overnight,

7,000 x g, 4 ºC), the supernatant discarded and the pellets were resuspended in 1mL of SM buffer (50mM

Tris.Cl (pH 7.5), 100mM NaCl, 8mM $MgSO_4$) without BSA each (~2h at 4°C). The concentrated phage

prep was then spun at 12,000xg for 10 minutes to pellet remaining cell debris. At this point, 0.75g of

CsCl/mL was added to the cleared supernatant and the mixture was spun for 20h at 4°C at 32000 rpm in

Beckman Optima MAX-TL ultracentrifuge using an MLS-50 rotor to establish the gradient. The band with

the phage was removed with a syringe and 20 gauge needle and transferred to a 3.5kDa cut-off dialysis

cassette (Slide-A-Lyzer, Thermo). The phage prep was dialyzed overnight against SM, then 2x for 3h

against SM, then overnight against PBS and 1x for 4h against PBS. The phage prep was tested for titer

and, in the case of Clew-1, the ability to plaque on a Δ*fliF2* mutant, but not wild-type PAO1F.

Negative Stain Electron Microscopy

The negative stain experiment was done as described previously (58). Briefly, a 3 µl phage Clew-

1 sample (0.1-0.5 mg/ml) was loaded onto glow-discharge carbon coated grid for 60 s at room

temperature and blotted with filter paper. The grid was touched with a water droplet and then blotted with

filter paper. This process was repeated twice. The grid was then touched with a drop of 0.75% uranyl

formate and blotted with filter paper. A second drop of 0.75% uranyl formate was applied to touch the grid

for 30 s, blotted with filter paper and then air dried before data collection. The images were taken by

Tecnai T20 (FEI Company) equipped with a Gatan 4K x 4K CCD camera at 80,000 x magnification.

Efficiency of plating experiments

To test phage plating efficiency, bacterial strains were back-diluted 1:200 from overnight cultures and grown to early log phase (OD600 ~0.3). At this point, 50μL of culture were mixed with 3mL top agar (10g/L tryptone, 5g/L yeast extract, 5g/L NaCl, 0.6% agar) and plated on an LB agar plate. Once solidified, 10-fold serial dilutions of the phage in SMB buffer (50mM Tris.Cl (pH 7.5), 100mM NaCl, 8mM MgSO$_4$, 0.1% bovine serum albumin). were spotted onto the agar using a multichannel pipette (3μL spots). The spots were allowed to dry and the plates incubated overnight at 37°.

Efficiency of Center of Infection (ECOI) experiments

P. aeruginosa strains were grown to mid-logarithmic phase in LB supplemented with 5mM MgCl2 and 0.1mM MnCl2 (LBMM) concentrated and resuspended at a concentration of 10^9 cfu/mL in LBMM. 100μL bacterial suspensions were infected at an MOI of 1 with phage Clew-1 (2μL, 5*10^10 pfu/mL) for 5 mins at 37°C, then pelleted (3' 10k RPM), washed 2x with 1mL LB, and resuspended in 100μL LB. The infected cells were serially diluted 10x, then 10μL of diluted, infected bacteria (10^-4 for WT and Δ*fliF2*; 10^-1 for Δ*pslC* and Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslC*) were mixed with 50μL of the mid-log PAO1F Δ*fliF2* culture and mixed with 2.5mL top agar, plated on an LB plate and incubated overnight at 37·C. The following day, plaques were counted to enumerate the cell-associated bacteria (59).

TnSeq analysis.

Strain PAO1F or PAO1F Δ*fliF* were mutagenized with transposon TnFac (32), a mini-mariner transposon conferring gentamicin resistance. A pool 3*10^6 (PAO1F) or 6*10^6 (PAO1F Δ*fliF*) insertion mutants was grown overnight, then diluted 1:200 in fresh LB and grown to an OD600 of 0.2. At this point the bacteria were infected at an MOI of 10 with phage Clew-1 and incubated for 2h to allow infection and killing of susceptible bacteria. Bacteria from 1mL culture were then pelleted, resuspended in 100μL LB with 5mM EGTA, and plated on a 3 LB plates with 30μg/mL gentamicin. The next day, surviving bacteria that had grown up where pooled and chromosomal DNA from the input and output pools were isolated using the GenElute™ Bacterial Genomic DNA Kit (Millipore-Sigma). Library preparation followed a published

protocol (60). Genomic DNA was sheared to ~300bp using a Covaris focused ultrasonicator. The sheared

DNA was repaired using the NEBNext End Repair Module (New England Biolabs) and subsequently

tailed with a polly-dC tail using Terminal Transferase (New England Biolabs). Tailed chromosomal DNA

fragments were amplified in two consecutive steps, using primers Mar1x and olj376 for the first round

and Mar2-InSeq paired with a TdT_Index primer for the second round, based on the published protocol

(60). The libraries were sequenced using an Illumina MiniSeq system using the transposon-specific

primer MarSeq2. Reads with the correct Tn end sequence were mapped and tallied per site and per gene

using previously described scripts ((60) and https://github.com/lg9/Tn-seq). The data (hits and # of reads

for each gene) are listed for each strain and condition in Table S3.

Clew-1 attachment by fluorescence microscopy

Bacteriophage Clew-1 was isolated from 500mL of culture and purified using a CsCl gradient, following

a protocol published by the Center for Phage Technology at Texas A&M University. After dialysis overnight

dialysis of the phage into SM buffer, the phage was dialyzed 3 more times against PBS (2x for 3h and

once overnight). The purified phage was titered by efficiency of plating analysis and labeled with a

Dylight594 NHS-ester (Invigtrogen) at a concentration of 0.2mM, overnight in the dark. After labeling, the

residual dye was removed by gel filtration using a Performa DTR gel filtration cartridge (EdgeBio) that

had been equilibrated with PBS. The labeled phage preparation was titered to ensure that the phage

concentration was unchanged and that the phage had not lost infectivity.

To assess phage attachment, wild type PAO1F, PAO1F Δ*fliF2*, or PAO1F Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslC* harboring plasmid

pP25-GFPo, which directs the constitutive production of GFP, were grown in LB to an OD_{600} of ~0.3-0.4,

normalized to an OD_{600} of 03, and 0.5mL of the culture were infected for 10 minutes at 37°C with

DyLight594-labeled Clew-1 phage at an MOI of 5. At this point, the infected bacteria were fixed with 1.6%

paraformaldehyde [final concentration], incubated in the dark for 10 minutes, then the remaining

paraformaldehyde was quenched through the addition of 200μL of 1M glycine (10 minutes at RT). The

bacteria were washed 3x with 500μL of SM buffer and resuspended in 30μL SM buffer. 4μL were spotted

onto an agarose pad, covered with a coverslip and imaged using a Nikon Eclipse 90i fluorescence

microscope. Images were adjusted for contrast and false-colored using the Acorn software package

(Flying Meat Software), and cell-associated bacteriophage were counted in ImageJ.

Isolation and purification of Psl polysaccharide

Wild-type *P. aeruginosa* was grown for 18h in M63 minimal medium ($[NH_4]_2SO_4$, 2 g/l; KH_2PO_4, 13.6 g/l;

$FeCl_3$, 0.5 mg/l, pH 7) supplemented with 0.5% Casamino acids (BD), 1 mM $MgCl_2$, and 0.2% glucose.

Bacterial cells were removed by centrifugation, the supernatant lyophilized, and Psl isolated by affinity

chromatography.

The affinity column was prepared by resuspending 0.286 g of CNBr activated Sepharose

(Purchased from GE Healthcare; cat#17-0430-01) in 1 M HCl (1 mL). It was subsequently filtered and

washed with 1 M HCl (60 mL) and coupling buffer (1.5 mL; 0.1 M NaHCO3, 0.5 M NaCl, pH = 9). The

activated Sepharose was added to a solution of Cam-003 (61) in coupling buffer (0.5 mL; 10 mg/mL) and

was incubated for two hours at room temperature. The solvent was then removed by filtration, and the

beads were washed with coupling buffer (3 × 1 mL). After removal of the solvent the sepharose was

incubated with blocking buffer (2 mL; 0.1 M Tris, 0.5 M NaCl, pH = 8.5) for 2h at ambient temperature.

The beads were washed with wash buffer (4 mL) and coupling buffer (4 mL) for four cycles until the

OD280 of the wash was <0.01. The derivatized beads were loaded onto a column and after washing with

5 column volumes of PBS-buffer (pH=7.4) the affinity column was ready to use.

Crude Psl (100 mg) was dialyzed (Thermo Scientific SnakeSkinTM Dialysis Tubing 3K MWCO)

for three days and six exchanges of water and then concentrated to a final volume of 1 mL (10 mg/mL).

It was loaded onto the affinity column and washed with PBS-buffer (4 mL) in order to remove all not-

retained material. Next, the captured Psl was eluted with glycine buffer (4 mL; 100 mM glycine × HCl,

pH=2.7). The glycine fraction was dialyzed (3K MWCO) for three days and six exchanges of water and

after lyophilization, pure Psl (80 µg) was obtained.

The solution was lyophilized, and the residue was fractionated by gel permeation chromatography

on a Bio-Gel P-2 column (90 × 1.5 cm), eluted with 10 mM NH_4HCO_3. The collected fractions contained

different size of Psl material: dimer (two repeating units), trimer (three repeating units) and high molecular

weight polysaccharide. The high molecular weight polysaccharide fraction was used in our experiments.

Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry experiments were

performed using Bruker ultrafleXtreme (Bruker Daltonics) mass spectrometer. All spectra were recorded

in reflector positive-ion mode and the acquisition mass range was 200–6000 Da. Samples were prepared

by mixing on the target 0.5 µL sample solutions with 0.5 µL aqueous 10% 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid as

matrix solution.

Precipitation of Clew-1 from culture supernatants and using purified Psl

For experiments in which binding of Clew-1 to Psl in culture supernatants was tested, PAO1F

ΔfliF2 or PAO1F *ΔfliF2 ΔpslC* were grown to mid-logarithmic phase, then the bacteria were pelleted and

the supernatants filter sterilized using a 0.2µM filter. Culture supernatants were mixed with 1µL of a rabbit,

anti-Psl antibody (37) as well as 10^7 pfu of phage Clew-1. The mixture was incubated on ice for 1h, then

10µL of magnetic protein A beads (BioRad), washed 2x with SMB + 0.05% Triton X-100 (SMBT) were

added to the mixture and incubated for an additional 30 minutes on ice. The magnetic beads were

collected, washed 3x with SMBT and resuspended in 100µl of SMBT. Presence of Clew-1 in input and

output samples was determined by quantitative PCR using primers designed to amplify the tail fiber gene,

gp12.

Experiments using partially purified, cell-associated Psl were carried out in SM buffer. 100µL of

SM-buffer were mixed with 10^7 pfu of phage Clew-1, as well as 1µg of a partially purified, deproteinated

fraction of cell-associated Psl (62) and incubated for 1h on ice. All subsequent steps were the same as

for the culture supernatants, above. Samples were resuspended in 100µL SMBT before quantifying Clew-

1 levels.

Experiments using affinity purified, biotinylated Psl were carried out in SM. Here too, 10^7 pfu

Clew-1 were incubated with 1µg of affinity purified, biotinylated Psl. The samples were either incubated

with streptavidin-coated Dynabeads (M280, Invitrogen) to precipitate the biotinylated Psl (or with

magnetic protein A beads as a specificity control). Otherwise, the experiments were carried out as for the

partially purified, cell-associated Psl fraction, above.

Static biofilm experiments

Static biofilm experiments were carried out based on a published protocol (63). *P. aeruginosa* PAO1F

was grown to mid-logarithmic phase in LB and then diluted to an OD600 of 0.05 and used to inoculate

either 5mL polystyrene tubes (1mL) or 6 wells in a polystyrene 96-well plate (150μL). The cultures were

incubated overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO2 atmosphere. The following day, 1

set of biofilm samples was washed three times with PBS, for 20 minutes fixed with 95% ethanol, and

subsequently air dried after removing the ethanol. The remaining biofilm samples were washed 2x with

PBS and reconstituted with pre-warmed LB (1.2mL in 5mL tube biofilms, 200μL. in 96-well plates), or LB

harboring either 10^9 pfu of phage Clew-1 or phage Ocp-2. The biofilm samples were again incubated

overnight at 37°C in a humidified incubator with a 5% CO2 atmosphere, and subsequently washed and

fixed as the control samples, above. The fixed and dried biofilms were stained with a 0.1% solution of

crystal violet in water for 30 minutes, the staining solution was removed, and the biofilms were washed

2x with mili-Q water and rinsed twice with deionized water before drying the stained biofilm samples. The

stained biofilms in the 5mL tubes were photographed against a white background. The stained biofilms

in the 96-well plates were incubated for 20 minutes in 200μL 30% acetic acid to solubilize the crystal

violet stain, which was subsequently quantified by spectrophotometry (absorbance at 590nm).

Bead biofilm assay

For each technical replicate (3 per condition), one 6mm natural bead (Precision Plastic Ball Company)

was placed in the well of a 24-well plate, and covered with 1mL of PAO1F in LB at an OD600 of 0.05.

The plate was sealed with parafilm and shaken overnight (150 rpm, 37°C). The following day all beads

were washed by moving the bead to 1mL sterile PBS with a forceps. Three beads were placed individually

into microcentrifuge tubes with 1mL PBS with 5mM $MgCl_2$, sonicated for 10 minutes in a water bath

sonicator, and titered on LB plates to determine the input. The remaining beads were transferred into

fresh wells of the 24-well plate with either 1mL LB or 1mL LB with 10^9 pfu Clew-1 and incubated with

shaking (37°C, 150rpm) for another 2 hours. At this point, the supernatant bacteria were titered, the beads

were washed 1x with PBS and placed individually into microcentrifuge tubes with 1mL PBS with 5mM

$MgCl_2$ and sonicated and titered, as above. The bacterial titers of the supernatant and bead-associated

bacteria were added to obtain the total CFU in the well and compared to the input CFU (bead titer at T0).

In some experiments, the phage treated samples were treated with a virucide before tittering (64). Here

250µL of 15% wt/vol, filter-sterilized black Tea was mixed with 730µL Milli-Q H2O and mixed with 20µL

of a freshly made, 200mM $FeSO_4$ solution just before use (4mM FeSO4 final). The virucide was mixed

with the sample, 1:1 and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature before tittering the bacteria.

However, this did not significantly alter the outcome of the experiment, and the data presented are a

combination of all experiments, with and without virucide.

LIVE/DEAD imaging of biofilms

Biofilms were generated in 8-well chamber slides (ibidi) by inoculating the well with 200µL of mid-

log PAO1F (diluted to an OD_{600} 0.05) and incubating the slide overnight in a humidified incubator (5%

CO_2). The next day, the staining solution was added to each well (1µL of a 1:10 dilution of each

component in DMSO + 8µL LB) as well as 40 µL LB (no phage control) or 40µL LB with 10^9 pfu Clew-

1. The concentrations were based on a published protocol for using LIVE/DEAD staining to test antibiotic

susceptibility of biofilms (65).The slides were incubated overnight and imaged using a 60x objective on

a Nikon AX R laser scanning confocal microscope. The Z-stacks were analyzed and the propidium iodide

positive fraction of the total biofilm volume was determined using the BiofilmQ software package (66).

Mouse keratitis model

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. The mice were housed in pathogen free

conditions in microisolator cages and were treated according to institutional guidelines following approval

by the University of California IACUC.

Overnight cultures of P. aeruginosa PAO1F/pP25-GFPo were grown to log phase (OD_{600} of 0.2) in LB

broth, then washed and resuspended in PBS at $2.5x10^7$ bacteria/ml. 7-12 weeks old C57BL/6 mice were

anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine solution, the corneal epithelium was abraded with three parallel

scratches using a sterile 26-gauge needle, and 2 µL of a suspension of bacteria were added topically

(approximately $5x10^4$ cfu per eye). At 24h and 48h, the mice were anesthetized and treated with 5*10^9

pfu CsCl purified phage Clew-1 in PBS, or PBS alone. At 72h the mice were euthanized, and corneas

were imaged by brightfield microscopy to detect opacification, or by fluorescence microscopy to detect

GFP-expressing bacteria. Fluorescent intensity images were quantified using Image J software (NIH).

To determine the bacterial load, whole eyes were homogenized in PBS using a TissueLyser II (Qiagen,

30 Hz for 3 minutes), and homogenates were serially diluted plated on LB agar plates for quantification

of colony forming units (CFU) by manual counting. CFU were also determined at 2h to confirm the

inoculum.

Growth curves

Strains PAO1F, PAO1F Δ*fliF2*, PAO1F Δ*pslC*, and PAO1F Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslC* were grown to mid-logarithmic

phase in LB, then diluted to a concentration of 10^8 cfu/mL. For growth curve measurements (OD600),

3 technical replicates were set up in a 96-well plate for each strain/condition. 100µL of culture were mixed

with 10µL PBS or 10µL with 10^8 pfu Clew-1 and incubated at 37°C in an Agilent Cytation 5 Imaging

Plate Reader with a heated chamber and orbital rotation between OD600 measurements. OD600

readings were taken every 5 minutes.

Culture Supernatant Psl blot

Strains PAO1F Δ*fliF2* and PAO1F Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslC* were grown to mid-logarithmic phase (OD600 ~0.5), the

bacteria pelleted by centrifugation and the culture supernatant was sterilized using a 0.22µM syringe

filter. 0.5mL supernatant samples were incubated for 1h at 37°C with or without 10^7 pfu Clew-1 and

subsequently diluted three times at a 1:3 ratio. 2µL of the undiluted culture supernatants and of each

dilution were spotted onto a nitrocellulose filter and allowed to air-dry. The filter was then blocked with

5% non-fat milk in TBS-T (20mM Tris.Cl, 150mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) for 30 minutes, washed 2x with

TBS-T and incubated with the primary anti-Psl antibody (diluted 1:3000) in TBS-T overnight at 4°C. The

following day, the blot was washed 3x with TBS-T, then incubated with secondary antibody (horse-radish

peroxidase conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody, Sigma) diluted 1:10000 in TBS-T for ~3h at room

temperature. The blot was then washed 3x with TBS-T and developed using the Advansta WesternBright

Sirius HRP substrate and imaged on a GE ImageQuant LAS4000 imager.

Analysis of Evolutionary Relatedness

The evolutionary relationship between Clew bacteriophage and other Bruynogheviruses was carried out

using the Maximum Likelihood method and JTT matrix-based model (67). The tree with the highest log

likelihood is shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying

Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model,

and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. The tree is drawn to scale, with branch

lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. This analysis involved 12 amino acid

sequences. There was a total of 485 positions in the final dataset. Evolutionary analyses were conducted

in MEGA11(68, 69). The genome comparison between Luz24 and the Clew phages was visualized using

EasyFig (70).

Acknowledgements

This work was made possible through an award by the Hypothesis fund. The authors would like to thank the Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District, and in particular Scott Broski and Leslie Vankuren, for providing the wastewater samples from which the bacteriophage described in this study were isolated. We wish to acknowledge Sabrina Lamont and Tony DiCesare (Wozniak lab) who provided Psl preparations and αPsl rabbit polyclonal antibody for the studies described. The authors would like to thank Dr. George Dubyak for the use of his spectrophotometer/plate reader. We would like to thank Dr. Joseph Mougous for providing us with unpublished *pslC* and *pslD* complementation plasmids. We would also like to thank Dr. Mougous and Dr. Simon Dove for their support and for critical reading of the manuscript, and Dr. Matthew Parsek for his enthusiasm for the project and helpful discussions. This manuscript was supported by NIH grant R01AI169865 (to D.J.W.), grant R01EY14362 (to E.P.), and grant R01 AI145069 (to E.W.Y). The Psl-specific CAM003 antibody was obtained by G.-J.B. from AstraZeneca (Dr. Antonio DiGiandomenico). The departmental Nikon AX R confocal microscope was supported by 1P30 DA054557 (to Alan Levine).

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 c-di-GMP levels control infection of *P. aeruginosa* by bacteriophage Clew-1. A) Efficiency

of plating experiment in which 3µL of a 10x dilution series of bacteriophage Ocp-2 or Clew-1 were

spotted on wild-type PAO1F, or PAO1F Δ*fliF2*. The adjacent graph shows the compiled results from 11

experiments. B) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of Clew-1 relative to other Bruynogheviruses

(including the type phage, LUZ24) and phage Bjorn as an outgroup. Branch lengths are measured in

number of substitutions per site in the terminase large subunit. C) transmission electron micrograph of

the Clew-1 phage. D) Efficiency of plating experiment as in (A) assaying the effect of expressing the

phosphodiesterase PA2133 from a plasmid. E) Efficiency of plating experiment assaying the effect of

deleting *wspF* on Clew-1 resistance. (* $p<0.05$, **** $p<0.0001$ by Student's T-test (A, E) or 1-way

ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test (D))

Fig. 2 Bacteriophage Clew-1 uses Psl as a receptor to infect *P. aeruginosa*. A) TnSeq experiment

in which a pool of mariner transposon mutants of strain PAO1F were infected with phage Clew-1 for 2h.

The number of insertions in the output pool were plotted against the ratio of the output and input pool.

B) Similar TnSeq analysis as in A) but using PAO1F Δ*fliF2*. C) Efficiency of plating analysis on Δ*fliF2*

Δ*pslC* and Δ*fliF2* Δ*pslD,* Psl biosynthesis mutants, either harboring an empty vector or a complementing

plasmid (n=6). Clew-1 values were compared by 1-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test

(** $p<0.01$, n.s. .. not significant).

Fig. 3. The Δ*fliF2* mutation changes the fraction of cells that phage Clew-1 binds to. A) Efficiency

of center of infection analysis. The indicated strain was infected for 5 minutes at an MOI of 0.01, the

bacteria were pelleted, washed 3x with PBS, then diluted and mixed with an excess of the Δ*fliF2* mutant

strain, top agar and plated on an LB agar plate. The number of plaques was used to calculate the

number of phage that attached and productively infected the initial strain. B) Phage Clew-1 was labeled

with DyLight594 fluorophores, bound to the indicated wild-type or mutant bacteria (15 minutes in LB),

washed and fixed with paraformaldehyde. Phage attached to bacteria were imaged by fluorescence

microscopy and attachment was quantified over 5 biological replicates, shown in C). Attachment was

compared by 1-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test. * p< 0.05, *** p<0.001 ,****

p<0.0001.

Fig. 4. Phage Clew-1 binds to Psl. A) Sterile filtered mid-log culture supernatants of PAO1F *ΔfliF2* or

PAO1F *ΔfliF2 ΔpslC* were incubated with phage Clew-1, as well as magnetic protein-A beads and,

where indicated, a rabbit, anti-Psl antiserum. Beads were collected, washed 3x, and phage in the input

and output samples were quantified by qPCR (7 independent replicates.) B) Phage Clew-1 was

incubated for 1h in SM buffer with affinity purified, biotinylated Psl (biotin-Psl) and magnetic protein A

beads, or magnetic streptavidin beads (SA), where indicated. Beads were collected and washed 3x,

and phage in the input and output samples were quantified by qPCR (3 independent replicates).

Statistical significance was determined by ANOVA with Sidák post-hoc test (**** p<0.0001).

Fig. 5. Phage Clew-1 can infect *P. aeruginosa* in biofilms. A) Biofilms of wild type *P.* aeruginosa

PAO1F were established overnight in 5mL culture tubes (1mL culture), the tubes were washed with

PBS and 1.2mL LB, LB with 10^10 pfu phage Clew-1, or LB-with 10^10 pfu phage Ocp-2 were added

back to each tube (1 was fixed with EtOH to represent the 1-day old biofilm). The following day all

biofilms were washed with PBS and stained with crystal violet. B) PAO1F biofilms were established

overnight in a 96-well plate (150µL of culture, 6 technical replicates/condition), washed and incubated

overnight with 200µL of LB or LB with 10^9 pfu bacteriophage Clew-1 or Ocp-2. The biofilms were then

washed, fixed, and stained with crystal violet, which was then quantified spectrophotometrically. The

day 1 controls were set to 100% (5 biological replicates). C) Growth of phage Clew-1 or Ocp-2 was

assayed by establishing a static biofilm in 5 mL culture tubes overnight. The biofilms were washed with

PBS, then LB with 10^5 pfu/mL of phage Clew-1 or Ocp-2 was added back. Biofilms were incubated at

37°C for 2h 15 minutes, the culture supernatants were filter sterilized and input and output phage

concentrations were tittered (6 biological replicates). D) Wild-type PAO1 biofilms were grown overnight,

with agitation, on 6mm polystyrene beads. Biofilms were rinsed with PBS and incubated with LB or LB

with 10^9 pfu Clew-1 for 2h. The sum of bead-associated and supernatant CFU were titered at the 2h

mark and compared to the bead-associated biofilm input (T0) to assess total viable CFU (7 biological

replicates). E) Biofilms were grown overnight in 8-well slides, at which point the LIVE/DEAD stain dyes,

Syto 9 and propidium iodide were added, either on their own (mock) or in the presence of Clew-1. After

another 24h of incubation, biofilms were imaged by confocal microscopy. Maximum intensity projections

of the collected Z-stacks for one replicate are shown, along with YZ and XZ projections to the right and

bottom of the image, respectively. The fraction of the total biofilm volume in the image stack that is

propidium iodide positive was determined using BiofilmQ (3 biological replicates). Statistical

significance was determined by ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test, except for panel E),

where a two-tailed, unpaired T-test was applied (* $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$).

Fig. 6. Phage Clew-1 reduces the bacterial burden in a mouse cornea model of infection. A) Mice

corneas were scratched and infected with $5*10^4$ cfu strain PAO1F/pP25-GFPo, which produces GFP

constitutively. Infected corneas were treated with $2*10^9$ pfu phage Clew-1 or a PBS control at 24h and

48h post infection. B) At 72h post infection, the corneas were imaged by confocal microscopy to

estimate the opacity (driven largely by the infiltration of neutrophils) and GFP fluorescence (produced

by infecting *P. aeruginosa*). Representative images are shown in B). Opacity and GFP fluorescence

were quantified by image analysis and are graphed in C) and D), respectively. E) Eyes were also

homogenized and plated for CFU to determine the total bacterial burden at the end of the experiment.

Significance was determined by Mann-Whitney test (C, D) or ANOVA with Kruskal Wallis post-hoc test

(E) (** $p<0.01$, **** $p<0.0001$).

References

1. Wilton M, Charron-Mazenod L, Moore R, Lewenza S. Extracellular DNA Acidifies Biofilms and Induces Aminoglycoside Resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(1):544-53.

2. Chiang WC, Nilsson M, Jensen PO, Hoiby N, Nielsen TE, Givskov M, et al. Extracellular DNA shields against aminoglycosides in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(5):2352-61.

3. Ciofu O, Moser C, Jensen PO, Hoiby N. Tolerance and resistance of microbial biofilms. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2022;20(10):621-35.

4. Lewis K. Multidrug tolerance of biofilms and persister cells. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2008;322:107-31.

5. Hoiby N, Ciofu O, Bjarnsholt T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms in cystic fibrosis. Future Microbiol. 2010;5(11):1663-74.

6. Mulcahy LR, Burns JL, Lory S, Lewis K. Emergence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains producing high levels of persister cells in patients with cystic fibrosis. J Bacteriol. 2010;192(23):6191-9.

7. Ledizet M, Murray TS, Puttagunta S, Slade MD, Quagliarello VJ, Kazmierczak BI. The ability of virulence factor expression by Pseudomonas aeruginosa to predict clinical disease in hospitalized patients. PLoS One. 2012;7(11):e49578.

8. Thanabalasuriar A, Scott BNV, Peiseler M, Willson ME, Zeng Z, Warrener P, et al. Neutrophil Extracellular Traps Confine Pseudomonas aeruginosa Ocular Biofilms and Restrict Brain Invasion. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;25(4):526-36 e4.

9. Behlau I, Gilmore MS. Microbial biofilms in ophthalmology and infectious disease. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008;126(11):1572-81.

10. Okurowska K, Monk PN, Karunakaran E. Increased tolerance to commonly used antibiotics in a Pseudomonas aeruginosa ex vivo porcine keratitis model. Microbiology (Reading). 2024;170(5).

11. Hamed K, Debonnett L. Tobramycin inhalation powder for the treatment of pulmonary Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection in patients with cystic fibrosis: a review based on clinical evidence. Ther Adv Respir Dis. 2017;11(5):193-209.

12. D'Agata EM. Rapidly rising prevalence of nosocomial multidrug-resistant, Gram-negative bacilli: a 9-year surveillance study. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2004;25(10):842-6.

13. Rice LB. Federal funding for the study of antimicrobial resistance in nosocomial pathogens: no ESKAPE. J Infect Dis. 2008;197(8):1079-81.

14. Qin S, Xiao W, Zhou C, Pu Q, Deng X, Lan L, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: pathogenesis, virulence factors, antibiotic resistance, interaction with host, technology advances and emerging therapeutics. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2022;7(1):199.

15. Yin C, Alam MZ, Fallon JT, Huang W. Advances in Development of Novel Therapeutic Strategies against Multi-Drug Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antibiotics. 2024;13(2):119.

16. Luthe T, Kever L, Thormann K, Frunzke J. Bacterial multicellular behavior in antiviral defense. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2023;74:102314.

17. Manohar P, Loh B, Turner D, Tamizhselvi R, Mathankumar M, Elangovan N, et al. In vitro and in vivo evaluation of the biofilm-degrading Pseudomonas phage Motto, as a candidate for phage therapy. Frontiers in microbiology. 2024;15:1344962.

18. Knezevic P, Petrovic Fabijan A, Gavric D, Pejic J, Doffkay Z, Rakhely G. Phages from Genus Bruynoghevirus and Phage Therapy: Pseudomonas Phage Delta Case. Viruses. 2021;13(10).

19. Ma LZ, Wang D, Liu Y, Zhang Z, Wozniak DJ. Regulation of Biofilm Exopolysaccharide Biosynthesis and Degradation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2022;76:413-33.

20. Ma L, Conover M, Lu H, Parsek MR, Bayles K, Wozniak DJ. Assembly and development of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm matrix. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5(3):e1000354.

21. Byrd MS, Pang B, Mishra M, Swords WE, Wozniak DJ, Pier G. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exopolysaccharide Psl Facilitates Surface Adherence and NF-κB Activation in A549 Cells. mBio. 2010;1(3).

22. Colvin KM, Irie Y, Tart CS, Urbano R, Whitney JC, Ryder C, et al. The Pel and Psl polysaccharides provide Pseudomonas aeruginosa structural redundancy within the biofilm matrix. Environ Microbiol. 2012;14(8):1913-28.

23.   Zhao K, Tseng BS, Beckerman B, Jin F, Gibiansky ML, Harrison JJ, et al. Psl trails guide exploration and microcolony formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Nature. 2013;497(7449):388-91.

24.   Mishra M, Byrd MS, Sergeant S, Azad AK, Parsek MR, McPhail L, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Psl polysaccharide reduces neutrophil phagocytosis and the oxidative response by limiting complement-mediated opsonization. Cell Microbiol. 2012;14(1):95-106.

25.   Lefkowitz EJ, Dempsey DM, Hendrickson RC, Orton RJ, Siddell SG, Smith DB. Virus taxonomy: the database of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV). Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(D1):D708-D17.

26.   Minamino T, Kawamoto A, Kinoshita M, Namba K. Molecular Organization and Assembly of the Export Apparatus of Flagellar Type III Secretion Systems. Bacterial Type III Protein Secretion Systems. Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology2019. p. 91-107.

27.   Erhardt M, Wheatley P, Kim EA, Hirano T, Zhang Y, Sarkar MK, et al. Mechanism of type-III protein secretion: Regulation of FlhA conformation by a functionally critical charged-residue cluster. Mol Microbiol. 2017;104(2):234-49.

28.   Arora SK, Ritchings BW, Almira EC, Lory S, Ramphal R. A transcriptional activator, FleQ, regulates mucin adhesion and flagellar gene expression in Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a cascade manner. J Bacteriol. 1997;179(17):5574-81.

29.   Oladosu VI, Park S, Sauer K. Flip the switch: the role of FleQ in modulating the transition between the free-living and sessile mode of growth in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol. 2024;206(3):e0036523.

30.   Dasgupta N, Wolfgang MC, Goodman AL, Arora SK, Jyot J, Lory S, et al. A four-tiered transcriptional regulatory circuit controls flagellar biogenesis in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Molecular Microbiology. 2003;50(3):809-24.

31.   Hickman JW, Tifrea DF, Harwood CS. A chemosensory system that regulates biofilm formation through modulation of cyclic diguanylate levels. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005;102(40):14422-7.

32.   Wong SM, Mekalanos JJ. Genetic footprinting with mariner-based transposition in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97(18):10191-6.

33.   Kulasekara BR, Kamischke C, Kulasekara HD, Christen M, Wiggins PA, Miller SI. c-di-GMP heterogeneity is generated by the chemotaxis machinery to regulate flagellar motility. eLife. 2013;2.

34.   Laventie B-J, Sangermani M, Estermann F, Manfredi P, Planes R, Hug I, et al. A Surface-Induced Asymmetric Program Promotes Tissue Colonization by Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Cell Host & Microbe. 2019;25(1):140-52.e6.

35.   Manner C, Dias Teixeira R, Saha D, Kaczmarczyk A, Zemp R, Wyss F, et al. A genetic switch controls Pseudomonas aeruginosa surface colonization. Nat Microbiol. 2023;8(8):1520-33.

36.   Kuchma SL, Brothers KM, Merritt JH, Liberati NT, Ausubel FM, O'Toole GA. BifA, a cyclic-Di-GMP phosphodiesterase, inversely regulates biofilm formation and swarming motility by Pseudomonas aeruginosa PA14. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(22):8165-78.

37.   Byrd MS, Sadovskaya I, Vinogradov E, Lu H, Sprinkle AB, Richardson SH, et al. Genetic and biochemical analyses of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Psl exopolysaccharide reveal overlapping roles for polysaccharide synthesis enzymes in Psl and LPS production. Molecular Microbiology. 2009;73(4):622-38.

38.   Wu H, Wang D, Tang M, Ma LZ. The advance of assembly of exopolysaccharide Psl biosynthesis machinery in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiologyopen. 2019;8(10):e857.

39.   Tabor DE, Oganesyan V, Keller AE, Yu L, McLaughlin RE, Song E, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa PcrV and Psl, the Molecular Targets of Bispecific Antibody MEDI3902, Are Conserved Among Diverse Global Clinical Isolates. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(12):1983-94.

40.   Zegans ME, DiGiandomenico A, Ray K, Naimie A, Keller AE, Stover CK, et al. Association of Biofilm Formation, Psl Exopolysaccharide Expression, and Clinical Outcomes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Keratitis: Analysis of Isolates in the Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial. JAMA ophthalmology. 2016;134(4):383-9.

41.   Sun Y, Karmakar M, Roy S, Ramadan RT, Williams SR, Howell S, et al. TLR4 and TLR5 on corneal macrophages regulate Pseudomonas aeruginosa keratitis by signaling through MyD88-dependent and -independent pathways. J Immunol. 2010;185(7):4272-83.

42.   Hazlett LD, Zucker M, Berk RS. Distribution and kinetics of the inflammatory cell response to ocular challenge with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in susceptible versus resistant mice. Ophthalmic Res. 1992;24(1):32-9.

43.   Lee EJ, Cowell BA, Evans DJ, Fleiszig SM. Contribution of ExsA-regulated factors to corneal infection by cytotoxic and invasive Pseudomonas aeruginosa in a murine scarification model. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003;44(9):3892-8.

44.   Squeglia F, Maciejewska B, Łątka A, Ruggiero A, Briers Y, Drulis-Kawa Z, et al. Structural and Functional Studies of a Klebsiella Phage Capsule Depolymerase Tailspike: Mechanistic Insights into Capsular Degradation. Structure. 2020;28(6):613-24.e4.

45.   Dunstan RA, Bamert RS, Belousoff MJ, Short FL, Barlow CK, Pickard DJ, et al. Mechanistic Insights into the Capsule-Targeting Depolymerase from a Klebsiella pneumoniae Bacteriophage. Microbiology Spectrum. 2021;9(1).

46.   Costa AR, van den Berg DF, Esser JQ, Muralidharan A, van den Bossche H, Bonilla BE, et al. Accumulation of defense systems in phage-resistant strains of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Sci Adv. 2024;10(8):eadj0341.

47.   Maffei E, Manner C, Jenal U, Harms A. Complete genome sequence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa phage Knedl. Microbiol Resour Announc. 2024;13(4):e0117423.

48.   Ceyssens P-J, Hertveldt K, Ackermann H-W, Noben J-P, Demeke M, Volckaert G, et al. The intron-containing genome of the lytic Pseudomonas phage LUZ24 resembles the temperate phage PaP3. Virology. 2008;377(2):233-8.

49.   Alvi IA, Asif M, Ur Rehman S. A single dose of a virulent bacteriophage vB PaeP-SaPL, rescues bacteremic mice infected with multi drug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Virus Res. 2021;292:198250.

50.   Harrison JJ, Almblad H, Irie Y, Wolter DJ, Eggleston HC, Randall TE, et al. Elevated exopolysaccharide levels in Pseudomonas aeruginosa flagellar mutants have implications for biofilm growth and chronic infections. PLoS Genet. 2020;16(6):e1008848.

51.   Armbruster CR, Lee CK, Parker-Gilham J, de Anda J, Xia A, Zhao K, et al. Heterogeneity in surface sensing suggests a division of labor in Pseudomonas aeruginosa populations. eLife. 2019;8.

52.   Christen M, Kulasekara HD, Christen B, Kulasekara BR, Hoffman LR, Miller SI. Asymmetrical distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP upon bacterial cell division. Science. 2010;328(5983):1295-7.

53.   Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang RY, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, 3rd, Smith HO. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods. 2009;6(5):343-5.

54.   Jakociune D, Moodley A. A Rapid Bacteriophage DNA Extraction Method. Methods Protoc. 2018;1(3).

55.   Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes De Novo Assembler. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2020;70(1):e102.

56.   Wang RH, Yang S, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Wang X, Xu Z, et al. PhageScope: a well-annotated bacteriophage database with automatic analyses and visualizations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024;52(D1):D756-D61.

57.   Piya D, Vara L, Russell WK, Young R, Gill JJ. The multicomponent antirestriction system of phage P1 is linked to capsid morphogenesis. Mol Microbiol. 2017;105(3):399-412.

58.   Booth DS, Avila-Sakar A, Cheng Y. Visualizing proteins and macromolecular complexes by negative stain EM: from grid preparation to image acquisition. J Vis Exp. 2011(58).

59.   Hyman P, Abedon ST. Bacteriophage host range and bacterial resistance. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2010;70:217-48.

60.   Gallagher LA. Methods for Tn-Seq Analysis in Acinetobacter baumannii. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1946:115-34.

61.   DiGiandomenico A, Warrener P, Hamilton M, Guillard S, Ravn P, Minter R, et al. Identification of broadly protective human antibodies to Pseudomonas aeruginosa exopolysaccharide Psl by phenotypic screening. J Exp Med. 2012;209(7):1273-87.

62.   Chiba A, Sugimoto S, Sato F, Hori S, Mizunoe Y. A refined technique for extraction of extracellular matrices from bacterial biofilms and its applicability. Microb Biotechnol. 2015;8(3):392-403.

63.   O'Toole GA. Microtiter dish biofilm formation assay. J Vis Exp. 2011(47).

64.   Liu H, Meng R, Wang J, Niu YD, Li J, Stanford K, et al. Inactivation of Escherichia coli O157
Bacteriophages by Using a Mixture of Ferrous Sulfate and Tea Extract. J Food Prot. 2015;78(12):2220-
6.
65.   Müsken M, Di Fiore S, Romling U, Häussler S. A 96-well-plate-based optical method for the
quantitative and qualitative evaluation of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation and its application
to susceptibility testing. Nat Protoc. 2010;5(8):1460-9.
66.   Hartmann R, Jeckel H, Jelli E, Singh PK, Vaidya S, Bayer M, et al. Quantitative image analysis of
microbial communities with BiofilmQ. Nat Microbiol. 2021;6(2):151-6.
67.   Jones DT, Taylor WR, Thornton JM. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein
sequences. Comput Appl Biosci. 1992;8(3):275-82.
68.   Stecher G, Tamura K, Kumar S. Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) for macOS.
Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(4):1237-9.
69.   Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11.
Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(7):3022-7.
70.   Sullivan MJ, Petty NK, Beatson SA. Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. Bioinformatics.
2011;27(7):1009-10.
823