Inference technique for the synaptic conductances in rhythmically active

networks and application to respiratory central pattern generation circuits

Yaroslav I. Molkov[1], Anke Borgmann[2], Hidehiko Koizumi[2], Noriyuki Hama[2,3], Ruli Zhang[2], Jeffrey C. Smith[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Neuroscience Institute, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA

[2]Cellular and Systems Neurobiology Section, NINDS, NIH, Bethesda, MD

[3]Department of Neural and Muscular Physiology, Shimane University School of Medicine, Izumo City,

Japan

11   Abstract

Unraveling synaptic interactions between excitatory and inhibitory interneurons within rhythmic neural

circuits, such as central pattern generation (CPG) circuits for rhythmic motor behaviors, is critical for

deciphering circuit interactions and functional architecture, which is a major problem for understanding

how neural circuits operate. Here we present a general method for extracting and separating patterns of

inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductances at high temporal resolution from single neuronal

intracellular recordings in rhythmically active networks. These post-synaptic conductances reflect the

combined synaptic inputs from the key interacting neuronal populations and can reveal the functional

connectome of the active circuits. To illustrate the applicability of our analytic technique, we employ our

method to infer the synaptic conductance profiles in identified rhythmically active interneurons within

key microcircuits of the mammalian (mature rat) brainstem respiratory CPG and provide a perspective on

how our approach can resolve the functional interactions and circuit organization of these interneuron

populations. We demonstrate the versatility of our approach, which can be applied to any other

rhythmic circuits where conditions allow for neuronal intracellular recordings.

Introduction

Rhythmic neural circuits, which are ubiquitous in nervous systems (Buzsáki, 2006), generate spatiotemporal patterns of activity by synaptic interactions between neuronal populations, and unraveling the synaptic inputs to circuit neurons at high temporal resolution in the active networks is a major experimental problem in neurobiology for understanding circuit functional dynamics and architecture. Solving this problem ultimately requires electrophysiological methods to extract dynamic patterns of synaptic conductances in post-synaptic neurons from intracellular recordings during network activity. These patterns often involve temporally complex excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs, and thus methods to extract these inputs must be able to delineate the excitatory and inhibitory components, which can be superimposed during circuit activity, complicating the problem. In this study we have developed a robust and versatile technique for extracting and separating patterns of inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductances from intracellular recording data that is applicable to any periodically active network. We designed our method to be compatible with various experimental setups, including current-clamp and voltage-clamp protocols, by focusing on the fundamental principles of electrophysiology and network dynamics. Our technique ensures high-resolution temporal profiling of synaptic inputs, making it adaptable to a wide range of rhythmically active neuronal circuits such as vertebrate and invertebrate motor pattern generation (CPG) networks (e.g., (Calabrese & Marder, 2024)), and cortical circuit rhythms (Yuste *et al.*, 2005). This generalizability highlights the potential of our approach to provide new insights into the functional organization and dynamics of diverse rhythmic neural circuits. Our approach extends previously proposed methods for extracting dynamic patterns of input synaptic conductances from intracellular recordings from neurons in a variety of circuits (Borg-Graham *et al.*, 1998; Anderson *et al.*, 2000; Shu *et al.*, 2003; Berg *et al.*, 2007; Endo & Kiehn, 2008; Wright & Calabrese, 2011) by providing nearly continuous, high-resolution readouts of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic conductances that are essential for deciphering circuit functional interactions.

To illustrate the utility of our technique, we applied this to circuits in the mammalian brainstem respiratory CPG, which is the fundamental rhythmic neural system that generates and controls breathing movements that are critical for homeostatic regulation of oxygen, carbon dioxide and pH in the brain and body (Feldman & Smith, 1995; Richter & Smith, 2014). These circuits are continuously active in various experimental preparations and the experimental accessibility of these circuits provides the opportunity to apply our methods to investigate cellular and network electrophysiological processes underlying rhythmic motor pattern generation in a physiologically important mammalian system (Richter & Spyer,

2001; Smith *et al.*, 2013) . The rhythmic patterns of alternating inspiratory and expiratory phase neuronal

activities that coordinate activity of spinal and cranial motoneurons during breathing originate within

interacting pontine-medullary excitatory and inhibitory circuits in the brainstem (Cohen, 1979; Bianchi *et*

al., 1995; Feldman & Smith, 1995; Richter, 1996; Smith *et al.*, 2013). We have targeted specific

respiratory microcircuits in the medulla of mature rat brainstem-spinal cord preparations *in situ*

containing a variety of neuronal phenotypes and synaptic interactions that are key to the operation of

the respiratory CPG. This allowed us to perform single neuron intracellular recordings from various

neuronal types in different microcircuits, test different recording protocols to assess the robustness of

our approach, and provides examples of how our technique can extract complex patterns of synaptic

conductances at high temporal resolution in different types of rhythmic neurons. We illustrate how the

synaptic conductance profiles throughout the respiratory cycle imply a "functional connectome" of

respiratory circuit interactions, demonstrating the efficacy of our technique to provide novel information

on circuit dynamic organization and operation.

Results

The general theoretical aspects of our approach for analyzing neuronal excitatory and inhibitory

conductances from intracellular recordings in rhythmic circuits, and their specific application to the

rhythmically active respiratory circuits, are delineated below. Our objective was to extract synaptic

conductance profiles representing nearly continuous readouts of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic

inputs from the neuronal recordings throughout a cycle of periodic neural activity— the respiratory cycle

in our illustration. Any periodic activity can be analyzed in the same way that we describe in this

illustration below.

Reconstruction of synaptic inputs from intracellular recordings

The reconstruction of synaptic conductance profiles is based on the current balance equation:

$$g_{leak}(E_{leak} - V_m(t)) + g_i(t)(E_i - V_m(t)) + g_e(t)(E_e - V_m(t)) + I_{inj}(t) = 0 \qquad (1)$$

where g_{leak} and E_{leak} are the conductance and the reversal potential of the leak current respectively,

$g_i(t)$ and $g_e(t)$ are inhibitory and excitatory conductances (subject to retrieval), E_i and E_e are reversal

potentials of inhibitory and excitatory synaptic currents, and $I_{inj}(t)$ is the injected current. Equation (1)

implies that the membrane potential of the cell after short-time-scale fluctuations are filtered out is in

instantaneous equilibrium, i.e., the membrane time constant C/g_{leak} (where C is membrane

capacitance) is much shorter than the time scale of the synaptic conductance variations.

The phase of the respiratory cycle was defined as a piece-wise linear function of time in the

following way: $\varphi(t) = (t - t_k)/(t_{k+1} - t_k)$, for times t between the time moments of two consecutive

inspiratory phase onsets t_k and t_{k+1}. Our approach was based on the idea that in a network exhibiting

periodic dynamics, the synaptic conductances depend on the cycle phase and not on time explicitly, so

that $g_{i,e}(t) = g_{i,e}(\varphi(t))$. The respiratory cycle was divided into 100 bins of phase values, and for each

bin the time moments $\{t_{ik}: \varphi(t_{ki}) \in [\varphi_i, \varphi_{i+1}]\}$ that correspond to phases in the bin were collected. For

each phase bin i of the respiratory cycle, the relationship between the injected current $I_{inj}(t_{ik})$ and the

membrane potential $V_m(t_{ik})$, where t_k are the times that correspond to the phase bin, appears fairly

linear (see **Figure 1B** and **Supplemental Figure 3**). Therefore, by linear regression we can find the slope

and V-intercept of this dependence in the form:

$$V_m(t_k) = V_0(\varphi) + R(\varphi) \cdot I_{inj}(t_k) + \delta V_m(t_k) \qquad\qquad (2)$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_i + \varphi_{i+1})/2$ is the center of the bin. The slope $R(\varphi)$ represents the total resistance, the

intercept $V_0(\varphi)$ is the effective resting potential for the phase of the cycle φ, and $\delta V_m(t_k)$ are random

fluctuations of the membrane voltage. Total conductance $G(\varphi)$ was calculated as the reciprocal of the

total resistance, $G(\varphi) = 1/R(\varphi)$. The total conductance has static (phase-independent) and dynamic

(phase-dependent) components. The latter is assumed to be a sum of dynamic components of the

inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductances. Synaptic conductances can also have static components

whose combination cannot be distinguished from a static conductance of any other nature. Accordingly,

we can think of the total conductance as a superposition of inhibitory and excitatory conductances

whose static components form a static component of the total conductance, and their dynamic

components underlie dynamic changes in the total conductance. Hence, the total current can be thought

as a combination of the inhibitory and excitatory currents:

$$G(\varphi)(V_m - V_0(\varphi)) = G_i(\varphi)(V_m - E_i) + G_e(\varphi)(V_m - E_e), \qquad\qquad (3)$$

where $G_i(\varphi)$ and $G_e(\varphi)$ are inhibitory and excitatory synaptic conductances, and E_i and E_e are

corresponding reversal potentials. This equation must be fulfilled for any V_m, therefore

$$G(\varphi) = G_i(\varphi) + G_e(\varphi),\tag{4}$$

$$G(\varphi)V_0(\varphi) = G_i(\varphi)E_i + G_e(\varphi)E_e.\tag{5}$$

Solving this system of equations for the synaptic conductances yields

$$G_i(\varphi) = G(\varphi)(E_e - V_0(\varphi))/(E_e - E_i),\tag{6}$$

$$G_e(\varphi) = G(\varphi)(V_0(\varphi) - E_i)/(E_e - E_i).\tag{7}$$

To find the dynamic components of the synaptic conductances $\Delta G_i(\varphi)$ and $\Delta G_e(\varphi)$ we subtract their

minimal values over the respiratory cycle:

$$\Delta G_i(\varphi) = G_i(\varphi) - \min_{\varphi} G_i(\varphi),\tag{8}$$

$$\Delta G_e(\varphi) = G_e(\varphi) - \min_{\varphi} G_e(\varphi).\tag{9}$$

Finally, we can use the sum of the minimal values found as an estimate for the leak conductance:

$$g_{leak} = \min_{\varphi} G_i(\varphi) + \min_{\varphi} G_e(\varphi).\tag{10}$$

Figure 1. Reconstruction of synaptic conductance profiles. (**A**) An example of the intracellular recording

from a rhythmic inspiratory neuron (2nd trace from top) during the stepwise current injection (top trace)

protocol that we used for our analyses. Extracellular recording of phrenic nerve activity (PNA, bottom

trace) and integrated PNA (iPNA, 4rd trace from top) are used for reference. The red trace shows a

moving median (MM) filtration of the voltage at higher voltage resolution in the 3rd trace. The MM filters

out the spikes while preserving slow voltage waves**. (B)** Plots of injected current vs. membrane potential

of a preBötC inspiratory neuron corresponding to three selected values of the respiratory cycle phase

(φ). Straight lines of the same colors show best linear fits. The parameters of the fits – the slope and *y*-

intercept – are used to estimate the total resistance and the resting potential, respectively, for each

phase of the cycle. (**C**) A typical example of the wedge diagram. Red plusses represent parameters of

linear regressions for 100 phase values. The x-coordinate of each point is the total conductance

$G(\varphi) = 1/R(\varphi)$, and the y-coordinate is $I_0(\varphi)$ (see Eqs. (2) and (11)). Thick black lines are zero

excitation (upper boundary) and zero inhibition (lower boundary) lines, respectively (see Eqs. (13) and

(14)). The slopes of the lines correspond to the reversal potentials for inhibition and excitation. (**D**)

Calculated dynamic components of synaptic conductances from the same recording using Eqs. (6-9) as

functions of the phase of the respiratory cycle. Two cycles are shown with integer values of the phase (0,

1, 2) corresponding to a transition from expiration to inspiration. The excitatory synaptic conductance is

shown in red, and the inhibitory conductance is shown in blue.

Reversal potential estimation

It is possible that during a certain part of the cycle, a neuron receives only one type of synaptic input,

inhibitory or excitatory. Below is how this fact can be exploited for obtaining the estimates of reversal

potentials for inhibition or excitation. For inhibition, after introducing

$$I_0(\varphi) = -G(\varphi)V_0(\varphi) \qquad\qquad\qquad (11)$$

in (5) and combining (4) and (5) we get:

$$I_0(\varphi) = -E_i G(\varphi) - G_e(\varphi)(E_e - E_i). \qquad\qquad\qquad (12)$$

Since the total excitatory synaptic conductance $G_e(\varphi)$ is greater or equal to its static component

$G_e^0 = \min_\varphi G_e(\varphi)$, from (12) it follows that a straight line on the (G, I_0)-plane described by

$$I_0(\varphi) = -E_i G(\varphi) - G_e^0(E_e - E_i) \qquad\qquad (13)$$

serves as an upper boundary of the reconstructed trajectory $\{(G(\varphi), I_0(\varphi)), \varphi \in [0,1]\}$. If we suppose

that the neuron receives only phase-dependent inhibition in a certain range of phase, i.e., the excitatory

synaptic conductance is equal to its static component in this range, $G_e(\varphi) = G_e^0$, then the trajectory

moves strictly along the line described by (13) which can be referred to as a *zero excitation line*. By

identifying the upper portion of the trajectory with nearly linear behavior we can perform linear

regression of this portion to find its slope $-E_i$, and thus estimate the reversal potential for inhibition

(see **Figure 1C**). Similarly, for the phases of the cycle where only excitatory input is present, we can

derive:

$$I_0(\varphi) = -E_e G(\varphi) + G_i^0(E_e - E_i) \qquad\qquad (14)$$

where $G_i^0 = \min_\varphi G_i(\varphi)$ is a static component of the inhibitory conductance and call it the *zero*

inhibition line. The latter obviously serves as a lower boundary of the trajectory. Together the zero

excitation and zero inhibition lines form a wedge that bounds the trajectory, so hereinafter we refer to

such plots as *wedge diagrams* (**Figure 1C**).

Interestingly, the linear upper boundary of the trajectory was seen in almost all recordings

processed implying that, first, during certain phase(s) of the respiratory cycle the interneurons indeed

receive only inhibitory input, and second, we could use the identified parameters of the zero-excitation

line to measure the inhibitory reversal potential. The same approach might be applied for the

determination of the reversal potential for excitation if there was a certain range of phases when a cell

received the excitatory input only. Since E_e is expected to be close to 0 mV, this would be clearly

identifiable by the presence of a nearly horizontal part of the curve serving as a lower boundary of the

same. This kind of behavior was not found in most of the recordings, so, as commonly accepted, E_e was

set to -10 mV in those cases. However, as we demonstrate below, variation of reversal potentials over a

wide range of possible values does not affect the shape of synaptic conductance profiles qualitatively.

Firing patterns, synaptic input conductances, and inferred functional connectome of respiratory

interneurons: an illustration

For our illustration of extracting synaptic conductances with the above approach, we targeted neurons in

key interacting respiratory circuits located in discrete bilateral regions of the ventrolateral medulla,

specifically in the preBötzinger complex (preBötC) region, which contains local excitatory and inhibitory

circuit neurons critical for generating rhythmic inspiratory activity, and the adjacent more rostral

Bötzinger complex (BötC) region (refer to **Supplemental Figure 1**), containing neurons generating

rhythmic expiratory activity that interact with preBötC circuits, including by inhibitory circuit connections

(e.g., (Lindsey *et al.*, 2012; Smith *et al.*, 2013; Molkov *et al.*, 2017; Ausborn *et al.*, 2018). Thus, targeting

these regional microcircuits allowed us to test our methods for extracting various patterns of excitatory

and inhibitory synaptic inputs in two functionally distinct microcircuits. Also, there are various

electrophysiological phenotypes of respiratory neurons with different patterns of neuronal spiking

activity during the respiratory cycle in these regions, thus providing a diversity of rhythmic neuronal

types to correlate with synaptic input patterns from our analysis, which is useful for illustrating the utility

of our techniques for delineating various functional synaptic interactions. We have used sharp

microelectrode intracellular recordings in mature rat brainstem-spinal cord preparations *in situ* (see

Materials and methods) for our analysis since this approach allowed us to readily record deep in the

brainstem in these targeted regions from multiple neurons under current- and voltage-clamp with a

single electrode. This provides complementary information to test the robustness of our approach,

which should be insensitive to the mode of intracellular recording. Although our techniques can be

applied to any type of rhythmic neuron, we specifically targeted and analyzed interneurons, verified by

immunochemistry (as described below and in Material and methods), which are the most technically

challenging to record from due to small neuronal sizes and potential recording instability, but are

functionally critical for analyzing synaptic mechanisms of neural activity pattern generation. Thus, we

could test how our technique performs for important neuronal populations under recording conditions

that would likely be encountered for many rhythmic interneuronal circuits in nervous systems.

Firing patterns of respiratory interneurons. Under normal physiological conditions the neuronal activity

during the respiratory cycle consists of three main activity phases [inspiratory (I), post-inspiratory (post-

I), and stage 2 or late expiratory (E2)] that are well documented in the literature (e.g., (Lindsey *et al.*,

2012; Richter & Smith, 2014). Previously described respiratory populations based on their specific firing

patterns in relation to these different phases of the cycle (see **Figure 2**) include pre-

inspiratory/inspiratory (pre-I/I), ramping inspiratory (ramp-I), early-inspiratory (early-I), late inspiratory

(late-I), post-inspiratory (post-I) and augmenting expiratory (aug-E, active during E2) neurons. We have

recorded neurons from all these populations (**Figure 2**) and have analyzed and presented representative

synaptic input patterns (**Figure 3**) for each electrophysiological phenotype. In some cases, as an

informative addition to our electrophysiological analyses, we labeled the recorded neurons by

intracellular injection of biocytin contained in the electrode recording solution (see Materials and

methods) for subsequent histological verification (***Supplemental Figure 1***) of the recording location,

interneuron identification, and in some cases neurotransmitter phenotype by immunohistochemistry

(n=34 neurons total labeled). The latter enabled us to identify inhibitory neurons (glycinergic or

GABAergic) (***Supplemental Figure 2***) for five of the neuron types (pre-I/I, ramp-I, early-I, post-I, aug-E) as

described below, which aids in circuit analysis and the corroboration of inhibitory inputs from neurons

with particular firing patterns.

Figure 2. Firing patterns of respiratory interneurons. The traces are current clamp intracellular

recordings from different types of respiratory neurons distinguished by their action potential firing

patterns and activity during different respiratory cycle phases [inspiratory (I), post-I, or late-expiratory/E2

phase]. Extracellular recordings of the phrenic nerve activity (PNA) and central vagus nerve activity

(cVNA) are shown below as reference. The nerve recordings were obtained simultaneously with the pre-
I/I neuron recording. All other neuron recordings were obtained from different experimental
preparations and were composited and aligned according to phases of the cycle as indicated at the top.
This was done by deleting segments (as indicated) of the membrane potentials during the expiratory
phases, since the expiratory intervals largely determine the discharge frequencies which are variable
between the *in situ* preparations, while the inspiratory phase durations are very similar. All neurons
included in our study exhibited rhythmic firing patterns consistent with the three-phase organization of
the cycle and can be arranged in this format for purposes of illustration. Regions of the ventrolateral
medulla (preBötC or BötC) where these example recordings were obtained, as verified histologically, are
indicated.

Synaptic conductances and functional connectome of respiratory interneurons. Figure 3 shows the
synaptic conductance profiles of the major interneuronal electrophysiological phenotypes whose firing
patterns are illustrated in ***Figure 2***. Within one population of the same electrophysiological phenotype,
patterns of synaptic inputs were qualitatively similar, although there was neuron-to-neuron variability in
calculated conductance values and details of the conductance profiles could vary (***Figure 3***) among
multiple examples of each type of recorded neurons. From these temporal patterns of synaptic
conductances, as explained below we infer functional connectomes or circuit motifs for each
electrophysiological phenotype as illustrated in ***Figure 4***, which is an important outcome of our analyses.
In general, these circuit connections motifs are constructed by considering that the different
components of the synaptic conductance profiles are formed by convergent inputs from neuronal
populations of specific phenotypes and, therefore, can be interpreted as reflecting activity patterns of
these pre-synaptic populations. By matching activity patterns with patterns of synaptic inputs in the
recorded post-synaptic neurons, we can suggest functional connections between neuronal populations.
The information on inhibitory transmitter phenotype for neurons with different firing patterns was also
considered and indeed corroborates that subsets of the presynaptic neurons are inhibitory as inferred
from the conductance profiles, which gives additional confidence in the correlation between pre-
synaptic firing patterns and likely post-synaptic interactions.

Figure 3. Synaptic conductance profiles of major respiratory neuronal phenotypes. Each panel depicts how the dynamic components of excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) synaptic conductances, normalized to the maximal value, vary with the cycle phase for an individual neuron. Neuronal firing phenotypes are listed on the top. Two respiratory cycles are shown so that transitions between the respiratory phases are clearly seen. The inspiratory phases are highlighted by grey bars. Integer phase values (0, 1, 2) correspond to transitions from expiration to inspiration as determined by onset of the phrenic motor output recorded simultaneously. The error for each phase value is indicated by a thick black line in each panel.

Figure 4. Inferred connections between different respiratory interneuronal populations. By matching the firing phenotype of the receiver neuron and its synaptic inputs we infer possible motifs of interactions between the functional populations of the active network. In this representation, we assume that the functional connection between populations is present, if a post-synaptic neuron of a particular firing phenotype has a dynamic component of the synaptic conductance statistically significantly different from zero (see Materials and methods: Statistical significance) in the phase range corresponding to the activity pattern of the pre-synaptic population. Here we show two examples of such inferences for each phenotype representing the most straightforward interpretation of synaptic inputs (*A1, B1, C1, D1, E1 and F1*) as well as interactions involving the least number of populations (*A2, B2, C2, D2, E2 and F2*), reflecting the cell-to-cell variability in conductance profiles with some neurons within a given electrophysiological phenotype exhibiting the smaller set of synaptic inputs. Possible inhibitory/excitatory connections are shown by blue/red lines ending with blue circles/red arrows originating from presynaptic neurons and terminating at the post-synaptic neurons. Inhibitory sources are shown in blue, and the excitatory sources are shown in red. These circuit motifs are consistent with the patterns of synaptic inputs to the various neurons, as described in the text for each electrophysiological phenotype, and account for our immuno-histochemical identification of inhibitory neurons as subpopulations of most of the electrophysiological types as indicated in the text.

To illustrate in more detail how we have reconstructed the circuit connection motifs in *Figure 4*, we describe how we interpret and translate the synaptic conductance profiles for each electrophysiological phenotype. Pre-I/I cells (n=25 neurons recorded in the preBötC) in all cases received

decrementing expiratory inhibition, and augmenting excitation during late expiration as well as strong

ramping inspiratory excitation (*Figure 3A1-5*). As shown In *Figure 4A1*, a straight-forward interpretation

of this pattern of inputs is that the pre-I/I population receives inhibitory inputs from post-I spiking

neurons and excitatory inputs from aug-E and ramp-I populations. Alternatively, since a combination of

aug-E and ramp-I excitatory inputs is qualitatively similar to an input from the pre-I/I population itself, it

is also possible that excitatory pre-I/I neurons are recurrently interconnected within this population

(*Figure 4A2*). These possibilities are not mutually exclusive, and both connectivity patterns could be

expressed in the respiratory circuits. We labeled 13 of these pre-I/I neurons during recording, two of

which were identified as inhibitory neurons by neurotransmitter immuno-labeling for glycine or GABA (1

glycinergic and 1 GABAergic neuron identified), which is incorporated in *Figure 4F1*.

Neurons with the ramp-I spiking patterns (n=24 recorded in preBötC) also received ramping

inspiratory phase excitation, but unlike some pre-I/I cells, they generally showed strong synaptic

inhibition throughout the post-inspiratory and late-expiratory (E2) phases (*Figure 3B1-5*). Some of these

neurons also received excitatory input during the E2 expiratory/pre-inspiratory phase. Therefore, it is

reasonable to suggest that ramp-I neurons receive inhibitory inputs from post-I and aug-E populations as

well as an excitatory input from pre-I/I neurons (*Figure 4B1*). Another possibility is that the excitatory

ramp-I neurons not receiving excitation during the pre-inspiratory phase have recurrent excitation within

their population (*Figure 4B2*). Four out of 7 of these neurons were labeled in the preBötC and identified

as inhibitory (glycinergic) neurons (incorporated in *Figures 4D1&4E1).*

All early-I spiking neurons (n=6 in preBötC) showed decrementing expiratory phase inhibition

(*Figure 3C1-5*). Most of these cells also received pre-inspiratory/late expiratory and inspiratory excitation

(*Figure 3C1, 3-5*), while some of them did not (*Figure 3C2*), which we interpret as shown in **Figures 4C1**

and 4C2, respectively. The excitatory pre-I/I input can also be a combination of aug-E and ramp-I

excitation as in *Figure 4A1*. One of the three labeled early-I neurons was identified as an inhibitory

(GABAergic) neuron (*Supplemental Figure 2*), corroborating the early-I inhibitory inputs inferred from

conductance profiles shown in *Figures 4 D1&2, 4E1&E2, 4F1&F2*.

Synaptic inputs to late-I cells (n=6 in preBötC) were similar to ramp-I neurons (*Figure 3D1-5*) plus

concurrent, inspiratory phase inhibition. So, in addition to inhibitory inputs from post-I and aug-E

neurons and pre-I/I (in some cases) and ramp-I excitation, they likely receive inhibitory inputs from early-

I spiking neurons (*Figure 4D1*). Some of these cells showed neither inhibition nor excitation at the end of

expiration (*Figure 3D2*), so they might receive no aug-E inhibition and ramp-I rather than pre-I/I

excitation (**Figure 4D2**). These neurons were infrequently recorded, and none were successfully labeled

for neurotransmitter type identification.

Post-I spiking neurons (n=40: 25 in preBötC, 15 in BötC), that always exhibit a decrementing

firing pattern during expiration, received strong decrementing inhibition during inspiration, and

incrementing inhibition during late expiration (**Figure 3E1-5**). They also showed a relatively weak

excitation during post-inspiration, usually accompanied by comparable in magnitude ramping excitation

during inspiration. The corresponding circuit elements representing inputs to the post-I neurons are

shown in **Figure 4E1**. Since post-I neurons receive post-I excitation, it is possible that excitatory post-I

cells form a population with recurrent excitatory interconnections. Besides, some of these neurons did

not have any significant excitatory input during inspiration (**Figure 3E3**). The latter possibilities are shown

in **Figure 4E2**. Eight of the 20 post-I neurons labeled (5 in preBötC and 3 BötC neurons) were identified as

glycinergic (**Supplemental Figure 2**), consistent with a previous study (Ezure *et al.*, 2003), and

corroborating the post-I inhibitory inputs shown in **Figures 4A1&2, 4B1&2, 4C1&2, 4D1&2, 4F1&2**.

Finally, all the aug-E spiking neurons (n=19 recorded: 9 neurons in preBötC, 10 in BötC) received

decrementing inhibitory inputs during expiratory and inspiratory phases as well as an excitatory input

that started in the middle of expiration and incremented towards the end of expiration (**Figure 3F1-5**).

Some of these neurons received pre-inspiratory inhibition. We interpret this as the aug-E population

receiving inputs from early-I, post-I and pre-I/I inhibitory populations and the excitatory input from aug-E

neurons (**Figure 4F1**). Similar to other interpretations, it is also possible that the excitatory aug-E

neurons form a population with recurrent excitation with an augmenting pattern that matches their

activity profile, while some of these neurons do not receive pre-I inhibition (**Figure 4F2**). Three out of 6

of these neurons labeled were identified as glycinergic neurons (1 preBötC neuron and 2 BötC neurons)

(**Supplemental Figure 2**), as inferred from the synaptic conductance profiles in **Figures 4B1&2, 4C1, 4D1,**

4E1.

Validating reliability of inferred synaptic conductance profiles

Synaptic conductance profiles from current- and voltage-clamp recordings. The traditional way to

measure transmembrane currents is by voltage-clamp intracellular recordings. Using this experimental

protocol, the membrane potential of the neuron is held at a constant level thus maintaining all voltage-

dependent membrane currents at their steady states. Therefore, in voltage-clamp conditions any total

349 current variations result exclusively from time-varying synaptic inputs, while in the current-clamp

350 protocol variations of the voltage can potentially be contaminated by transient dynamics of the voltage-

351 gated channels. However, the implementation of the voltage-clamp protocol is technically far more

352 challenging with sharp high-impedance microelectrodes than injecting a constant current. By design, our

353 technique is indifferent to what strategy is used for the injected current variations, so we performed

354 both current- and voltage-clamp recordings from select neurons (n=10) where the voltage-clamp could

355 be satisfactorily established following the current-clamp recording in each of these neurons and

356 compared the results of the synaptic input interferences as a test of the reliability of our methods.

357   ***Figure 5*** shows the excitatory and inhibitory synaptic conductance profiles extracted from

358 current- (left) and voltage-clamp (right) segments of the intracellular recordings for 3 different types of

359 representative neurons. It is evident that the shapes of the conductance profiles as well as the

360 relationship between the excitatory and inhibitory conductances extracted are very similar. Quantitative

361 variations in the amplitude of the synaptic inputs are similar to those observed when using different

362 epochs of the same current-clamp recordings (see below). Thus, we conclude that our synaptic

363 conductance inferences obtained by current clamp recordings favorably match the conductance profiles

364 obtained from direct recordings of synaptic currents during voltage clamp.

Figure 5. Comparison of the synaptic conductance profiles reconstructed from current- and

voltage-clamp recordings. Conductance profiles for three representative preBötC neurons are

illustrated (from current-clamp recordings at left and voltage-clamp recordings from the same

neurons at right). Two respiratory cycles are shown for each neuron (post-I, top; aug-E, middle;

ramp-I, bottom panels) with inspiratory phases highlighted by gray bars. Calculated synaptic

conductances are normalized by the estimates of the leak conductance. While the absolute

conductance values may vary, the shapes of the synaptic conductance profiles as well as the

relationship between the excitatory and inhibitory conductances are consistent across these

recording protocols.

Uncertainties concerned with estimates of synaptic reversal potentials. As noted, it was not always

possible to identify linear portions of GI-contours suitable for reliable estimation of the reversal

potentials. In addition, the procedure described does not imply an unequivocal way for finding the errors

for the reversal potential estimates. Accordingly, for the synaptic input classification purposes, we varied

the reversal potentials in the predefined ranges when using Eqs. (6) and (7) to calculate the synaptic

conductances. We used the following ranges: [-20, 0] mV and [-110, -90] mV for the reversal potentials

for excitation and inhibition, respectively. Figure 6 illustrates that although the absolute values of the

conductances vary to some extent as we vary the reversal potentials, the shape of their reconstructed

profiles remains consistent.

Figure 6. The effect of reversal potential variation on the synaptic input reconstruction results. We

used the recording of the ramp-I neuron shown in Fig. 3B5 and recalculated its synaptic conductances for

different combinations of the inhibitory and excitatory reversal potential values as indicated in each plot.

Synaptic conductances are normalized by the estimates of the leak conductance. While the absolute

conductance values vary with the reversal potentials, the shapes of the synaptic profiles as well as the

relationship between the excitatory and inhibitory conductances are consistent across different values of

the excitatory and inhibitory reversal potentials used.

Evaluating the effects of non-stationarity of the intracellular recordings. Our approach implicitly

assumes that the intrinsic properties of the recorded neurons do not change (much) throughout the

epoch used for the calculations. To verify the plausibility of this hypothesis, whenever possible we used

two different epochs from the same recordings satisfying the criteria formulated in the *Preprocessing*

section of the Methods for the complete analysis. We found that differences between the results for

different epochs in most cases were comparable to those observed in the recordings obtained by

current- and voltage-clamp protocols from the same neuron. ***Figure 7*** shows the results for two adjacent

non-overlapping epochs for three different neurons.

406
Figure 7. Non-stationarity of the recordings does not qualitatively affect the reconstructed profiles of

synaptic conductances. Synaptic conductance profiles calculated using non-overlapping segments of the

recordings (left and right) from three different neurons (post-I, top; aug-E, middle and bottom). The

similarities of the conductance profiles for different segments reflect the stability of the recordings and

neuronal intrinsic properties and patterns of synaptic inputs.

In summary, our evaluation of the major assumptions and potential sources of error with our

procedures for extracting temporal patterns of synaptic conductances, including recording procedures,

and cycle phase-dependent linearity of current-voltage relations (***Supplemental Figure 3***), indicate that

the temporal profiles of conductances obtained by our method are reliable for functional circuit

reconstruction as we elaborate further below.

Discussion

In our analyses of synaptic conductance profiles in rhythmically active neurons from neuronal

intracellular recordings, we propose that these profiles represent the convergent synaptic inputs from

many neurons, and therefore represent the synaptic interactions of key functional populations operating

in the active networks, which provides a basis for functional network reconstruction. Accordingly, a main

objective of this study was to establish the utility and reliability of our technique, based on theoretical

analyses and experimental validation, for extracting and separating profiles of inhibitory and excitatory

conductances at high temporal resolution from intracellular recording data. We demonstrate the

efficiency and robustness of our technique by applying our analyses to infer synaptic inputs to

rhythmically active respiratory neurons comprising key microcircuits within the medullary preBötC and

BötC regions of the brainstem respiratory CPG, which provided a diversity of neuronal

electrophysiological phenotypes in various rhythmic microcircuits to test the performance of our

technique. Our approach extends previously proposed methods for extracting dynamic patterns of

synaptic conductances in post-synaptic neurons in various other neural circuits (Borg-Graham *et al.*,

1998; Anderson *et al.*, 2000; Shu *et al.*, 2003; Berg *et al.*, 2007; Endo & Kiehn, 2008; Wright & Calabrese,

2011), by providing higher temporal resolution with nearly continuous readouts of inhibitory and

excitatory synaptic conductances, in our illustration throughout the respiratory cycle for different

respiratory interneuron types. We have obtained previously unavailable synaptic conductance profiles

for the different neuron populations, and illustrate how this analysis can be used to infer functional

interactions and circuit configurations of interneuron populations, which demonstrates the utility of our

technique. Below we further address technical issues affecting the robustness of our approach, and then

discuss the general applicability to rhythmically active circuits.

Estimation of reversal potentials and errors in conductance analysis

We have noted above and evaluated uncertainties concerned with synaptic reversal potentials and

assumptions about stability of the cellular properties and recordings on the inferred synaptic

conductance profiles, but it is important to elaborate certain points. We have used an experimental

preparation and setup that provides optimal stability for sharp electrode intracellular recordings. We

used strict criteria for assessing and accepting the quality and stability of the recordings. For the most

part, our analysis results for a given neuron that meets our criteria were reproducible for different

recording epochs and conditions. We also generated continuous error estimates for each example shown

and confirmed the statistical significance of conductance values. Regarding stationarity, our results

suggest that any differences between the inferred conductance profiles for different recording epochs

and conditions in most cases were comparable to those between recordings obtained by current- and

voltage-clamp protocols.

Most neurons that we have analyzed receive inhibition only during certain parts of respiratory

cycle regardless of whether they are inspiratory or expiratory neuron types. This allowed retrieving their

reversal potentials for inhibition using the approach described in Materials and methods. Interestingly,

the inhibitory synaptic reversal potentials retrieved turned out to vary significantly among cells between

-62 mv and -116 mV. The reversal potential estimates were in good correspondence with actual reversals

of inhibitory events when a cell was hyperpolarized below the reversal potential. Therefore, regarding

the analysis, the results were reliable. Nevertheless, on average, reversal potentials were much more

negative than what would have been expected. It is well known that in intracellular recordings, the

length constant of the neuron and the distance of the microelectrode from the synaptic inputs

determine the measured reversal potential (Calvin, 1969; Spruston *et al.*, 1993; Wehr & Zador, 2003). For

the precise extraction of inhibitory and excitatory conductances from the total conductance, reversal

potential values may be critical. The variability of the inhibitory reversal potential emphasizes the need

to determine the reversal potential for each neuron separately. Otherwise, a wrong inhibitory reversible

potential value used for the reconstruction may lead to incorrect conductance profiles. For example, a

large positive bias in the inhibitory reversal potential will have the effect that a part of the inhibitory

conductance may be mislabeled as excitatory conductance and therefore show a pattern of concurrent

inhibition and excitation. Our method for the estimation of inhibitory reversible potential appeared very

reliable when compared to the experimental estimates of the inhibitory reversal potential and

essentially excluded the occurrence of this excitatory conductance artifact.

The absolute values of inhibitory and excitatory conductance calculated by the analysis are

contaminated with an error depending on the length constant of the neuron and the distance of the

microelectrode from the synaptic inputs (Calvin, 1969; Wehr & Zador, 2003). Importantly, Wehr and

Zador (2003) show that the estimate of timing of synaptic conductances is reliable and not influenced by

the length constant of the neuron. Therefore, the conductance profiles extracted with our analysis are

qualitatively reliable and the overall time course of inhibitory and excitatory conductances reflect the

overall inhibitory and excitatory inputs to the different electrophysiological classes of active neurons

analyzed.

Applicability to any rhythmically active network

Our method for extracting and separating phasic patterns of inhibitory and excitatory synaptic

conductances from single neuronal intracellular recordings is broadly applicable to rhythmic circuits

which are ubiquitous in nervous systems. Our approach involves phase-based analysis, where the

network activity cycle is divided into activity phases to capture dynamic changes of synaptic input

conductances throughout the activity cycle. This approach is relevant for any circuits with repetitive

activity cycles, such as rhythmic CPG motor networks (e.g., (Calabrese & Marder, 2024)) and circuits

generating cortical rhythms (Yuste *et al.*, 2005). The method is based on the general mathematical

framework presented, involving current balance equations and linear regression to separate excitatory

and inhibitory conductances, and therefore is applicable to different rhythmic neuron types and circuits

and is suitable for diverse experimental setups. By providing high-resolution temporal profiles of synaptic

inputs and outputs (below, based on neuronal spiking patterns), this method enables researchers to infer

the functional connectivity and dynamic interactions within any rhythmically active network.

Applying this method, as we have illustrated for respiratory circuits, to other rhythmic networks

such as locomotor or cortical circuits including sensory processing networks, follows a similar process for

extracting neuronal excitatory and inhibitory inputs during different oscillatory phases of endogenous or

sensory-driven periodic activity. This allows for the inference of functional synaptic input connections for

any targeted neuron populations based on the timing and strength of the extracted synaptic inputs. In

this context, functional populations are defined as groups of neurons with similar firing patterns with

respect to the cycle phase. If the synaptic conductance profile of a recorded neuron contains a

component that mirrors the firing pattern of a specific population, it can be inferred that there is a

functional connection from that population to the recorded neuron. Similarly, in sensory processing

networks, it can reveal how sensory inputs are synaptically integrated in neurons by examining synaptic

conductance patterns in response, for example, to repetitive stimuli.

The approach that we have described is versatile since it is compatible with various recording

protocols, such as current-clamp and voltage-clamp and different modes of intracellular recording (sharp

electrode or whole-cell patch clamp). We note that our approach to defining functional connectivity

does not provide information on locations of the sources of the synaptic inputs, although we can infer

spiking patterns of the source neurons from the temporal features of the synaptic conductance profiles.

These profiles represent the total synaptic inputs that can arise from many neurons possibly with short

and long-range axonal projections to a given neuron. The capability of our approach to readout the total

synaptic conductance and separate the excitatory and inhibitory components from all sources at high

temporal resolution is a strength of our approach. These inferred neuronal population connections from

the electrophysiological analyses provided by our technique can be further mapped and corroborated

with other functional and anatomical approaches.

In summary, the versatility of our technique lies in its foundation on basic electrophysiological

principles and adaptability to different rhythmic activities making it a powerful tool for investigating a

wide range of rhythmically active neuronal networks and potentially offering insights into their

functional organization and dynamic operations.

Materials and methods

Animal procedures

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Institute

of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (Animal Study Proposal #1154-21).

In situ perfused rat brain-stem spinal cord preparation

Experiments were performed using the *in situ* arterially perfused brainstem-spinal cord preparations

from mature (3–4 weeks old) rats (Paton, 1996; Paton *et al.*, 2022) following experimental procedures

described in detail in Smith *et al*. 2007 and modified as described below to provide optimal conditions

for intracellular recording. Briefly, preheparinzed (1,000 units, given intraperitoneally) rats (Sprague-

Dawley, 45-70g; male) were anaesthetized deeply with 5% isoflurane and the portion of the body caudal

to the diaphragm was removed. The head and thorax were immersed in ice-chilled carbogenated Ringer

solution and the brain was decerebrated at a precollicular level. The descending aorta, phrenic nerve,

central vagus and hypoglossal nerves were surgically isolated. The dorsal brainstem was exposed by

craniotomy and cerebellectomy. To achieve the mechanical stability necessary for intracellular

recordings, the great veins and heart were removed. The preparation was transferred to a recording

chamber and the descending aorta cannulated with a double lumen catheter for perfusion and recording

of perfusion pressure with a pressure transducer. A hydraulic damping Windkessel chamber was used in

the perfusion circuit immediately downstream from the output of the roller pump (Watson-Marlow,

Wilmington, MA) to eliminate peristaltic flow pulsations that affect stability of the sharp electrode

intracellular recordings.

Solutions and pharmacological agents

The perfusate contained the following in distilled water: magnesium sulphate (MgSO4 -1.25 mM),

potassium phosphate (KH_2PO_4 - 1.25 mM), potassium chloride (KCl - 5.0 mM), sodium bicarbonate

($NaHCO_3$ - 25 mM), sodium chloride (NaCl -125 mM), calcium chloride ($CaCl_2$ - 2.5 mM), dextrose (10

mM) and polyethylene glycol (0.1785 mM). Vecuronium bromide was added to the perfusate to block

neuromuscular transmission (4 µg/ml; SUN pharmaceutical industries, Bryan, USA). The perfusate was

gassed with 95%O2-5%CO2 and maintained at 31°C. Vasopressin (200–400 pM as required; APP

pharmaceuticals, East Schaumburg, USA) was added to the perfusate to raise and maintain perfusion

pressure at 70–80 mmHg. Unless stated, all chemicals were from Sigma.

Electrophysiological recordings and neuron labeling

Efferent activity of the phrenic (PN) and central vagus (VN) nerves were recorded simultaneously with

glass suction electrodes and their activities were amplified, filtered (0.3–6.0 KHz), rectified and

integrated (50 ms time constant). Sharp microelectrode somatic intracellular recordings were made in

the preBötC and BötC regions. The brainstem was mapped by recording population activity of neurons in

the medulla oblongata with an extracellular electrode (5–10 MOhm) prior to intracellular recording. The

position of the electrode was monitored by software that kept track of the micromanipulator mechanical

coordinates. Once the region of the preBötC or BötC was identified by rhythmic inspiratory/expiratory

population activity, coordinates were saved and in some cases the brainstem surface was marked with

pontamine sky blue dye. Intracellular recordings were made with fine tipped glass microelectrodes (45 to

70 MOhm) pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries. The microelectrodes for intracellular recording were

driven into the recording site by a combination DC motor driven micromanipulator with an integrated

piezotranslator (PM10-1, Marzhauser Wetzlar, Germany), allowing high stepping speeds for cell

penetration. A dual current clamp-voltage clamp amplifier (SEC-05X, NPI, Tamm, Germany) was used to

amplify the signals in bridge and discontinuous current clamp (DCC) recording modes. Discontinuous

single electrode voltage clamp (SEVC) recordings were obtained by exploiting the high switching

frequencies and electrode capacity compensation of the SEC-05X system, allowing high fidelity SEVC

recordings with the high resistance sharp microelectrodes used. The microelectrodes were filled with a

solution of 2 M potassium acetate (KAc) and 0.1 M potassium chloride (KCl) and 4% neurobiotin. During

recordings some neurons were labeled by ionophoresis of neurobiotin into the cell. All recordings were

digitized via a CED 1401-plus multi-channel interface and acquired (6–12 kHz digitization rates) using CED

Spike 2 software.

Histological analysis

Transected brain stem was fixed in 4% buffered (0.1 M phosphate buffer) paraformaldehyde for 24 h at

4°C, cryoprotected at 4°C in 30% sucrose, and subsequently coronally sectioned (30 μm thick) on a

freezing microtome. All the floating sections were preincubated for 1 h with 10% donkey serum in PBS

and subsequently incubated for 48-72h at room temperature with primary antibodies: Rabbit anti-

Glycine antibody (1:5000; Immunosolution), rabbit anti-GABA antibody (1:1000; Millipore-Sigma), and

goat anti-ChAT antibody (1:500; Chemicon). Labeling for neuronal ChAT expression was used to label

motoneurons of nucleus ambiguous to verify the region where the neurons were recorded and to

confirm that the recordings were obtained from non-motoneurons. Floating sections were incubated for

2 h at room temperature with a mixture of donkey anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with Cy5 and donkey anti-

goat IgG conjugated with Cy3 (1:500; Jackson ImmunoResearch). For fluorescence labeling of neurons

filled with neurobiotin during electrophysiological recording, slices were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488-

Avidin D (1:500, Invitrogen). Tissue was mounted on slides and covered with antifading medium.

Confocal images were obtained with a laser-scanning microscope (LSM 510 Meta, Zeiss). Alexa Fluor 488,

Cy3, and Texas Red or Cy5 were detected at 488, 543, and 633 nm emission wavelengths, respectively.

Images were acquired and processed with a Zeiss Zen software and presented as single optical sections

selected from serial optical sections (Z-stacks).

Reconstruction of synaptic inputs from intracellular recordings

<u>Preprocessing</u>

Inhibitory and excitatory synaptic inputs to respiratory neurons were reconstructed from intracellular

recordings using a general approach similar to that described by Berg *et al.* (2007) and others (Borg-

Graham *et al.*, 1998; Anderson *et al.*, 2000; Shu *et al.*, 2003; Endo & Kiehn, 2008).

During intracellular recordings for conductance measurement and subsequent decomposition of

inhibitory and excitatory inputs, depolarizing and hyperpolarizing current was injected into the cell in a

stepwise manner (***Figure 1A***). The period of time from the onset of the phrenic burst to the beginning of

the next phrenic burst was used as reference cycle. The beginning of a phrenic burst was determined as

10% of phrenic amplitude in the rectified and low pass filtered (time constant = 0.05s) extracellular

recording. We only analyzed recordings from respiratory neurons that fulfilled the following criteria: (1)

The coefficient of variation of the respiratory cycle period was <10%; (2) At least three steps of current

injections were made during intracellular recording that were kept constant for at least 5 respiratory

cycles each. Neurons with obvious recording instability such as significant changes in membrane leakage

current with a baseline membrane potential drift were not analyzed. The intracellularly recorded

membrane potential $V_m(t)$ was sampled down from 12.5 kHz to 100 Hz. To eliminate spikes without

affecting slow membrane potential fluctuations the voltage trace $V_m(t)$ was processed using median

filtration.

<u>Error estimation</u>

After performing linear regression (2) for each phase bin we have standard errors $\delta R(\varphi)$ and $\delta V_0(\varphi)$ of

the total resistance $R(\varphi)$ and the resting potential $V_0(\varphi)$ respectively which are defined by voltage

fluctuations and by the number of respiratory cycles in the epoch used for reconstruction. Based on this

we can approximately calculate the standard error for the total conductance $\delta G(\varphi) = \delta R(\varphi)/R^2(\varphi)$,

which can be used as an overestimate for the errors of both excitatory and inhibitory conductances since

the total conductance is a sum of the two. Calculation of the dynamic components involves subtraction

of the minimal values of the calculated synaptic conductances. Therefore, the dynamic components'

standard errors can be estimated as $\delta\Delta G_{i,e}(\varphi) = \sqrt{\delta G(\varphi)^2 + \delta G(\varphi_m)^2}$, where φ_m is the phase where

the corresponding conductance (excitatory or inhibitory) attains its minimum.

<u>Statistical significance</u>

Considering the relatively large sample sizes (for each cell analyzed we had 50-200 respiratory cycles

long recordings), we used a one-tailed z-test to confirm statistical significance of the reconstructed

synaptic inputs by testing a null hypothesis that the dynamical component of the synaptic conductance is

zero (no different from its minimal value). Specifically, at each phase of the respiratory cycle φ for the

dynamic components of inhibitory and excitatory conductances we calculated their z-scores $Z_{i,e}(\varphi) =$

$\Delta G_{i,e}(\varphi)/\delta\Delta G_{i,e}(\varphi)$, and corresponding p-values. We used p-value < 0.05 criterion to accept the

alternative hypothesis that the calculated dynamic component of the synaptic conductance at a

particular phase is statistically significantly greater than zero. In the latter case, we concluded that the

recorded cell received the corresponding type of synaptic input (excitatory or inhibitory) during the

corresponding part of the respiratory cycle (inspiration, post-inspiration, or late-expiration/pre-

inspiration).

Supplemental Figure 1. **Respiratory regions of the brainstem ventrolateral medulla and intracellular**

recording sites. A. Parasagittal view (neutral red stain) of the lateral medulla indicating regions of the

ventral respiratory column (VRC) and locations of the preBötC and BötC regions of the VRC in the

ventrolateral medulla, ventral to nucleus ambiguus (NA) that were targeted for intracellular recordings.

Abbreviations: rVRG and cVRG, rostral and caudal ventral respiratory groups which also contain neurons

of the respiratory CPG; 5 and 7, trigeminal and facial motor nuclei; 7n, facial nerve; SO, superior olivary

nuclei. **B**. Example of histological reconstruction (confocal fluorescence images) of recorded inspiratory

neuron (ramp-I) in the preBötC region ventral to motoneurons of NAsc (semi-compact division) labeled

by immunostaining (red) for choline acetyltransferase (ChAT). The locations of rhythmic neurons used for

our analyses were routinely verified by filling the cells with neurobiotin by iontophoresis at the end of

recording and fluorescently stained (see Methods), and in some cases further processed for immuno-

identification of neurotransmitter phenotype (see ***Supplemental Figure 2***). The immunostaining for ChAT

was used as a landmark for regional recording locations, and also to verify that recordings were obtained

from interneurons and not motoneurons. A higher magnification image of neuronal morphology with

background removed is shown in the dashed box at right. **C**. Example of histological reconstruction

(confocal images) of a recorded expiratory neuron (aug-E) and fluorescently stained in the BötC region

ventral to motoneurons of NAc (compact division) labeled by immunostaining (red) for ChAT. Higher

magnification image of labeled neuron (background removed) is shown at right.

Supplemental Figure 2. Identification of glycinergic or GABAergic inhibitory neurons. Examples from separate experiments of neurons filled with neurobiotin during the somatic intracellular recordings, and subsequent immunohistochemistry with antibodies for glycine or GABA (red fluorescence), and Alexa Fluor 488-Avidin D conjugate to label neurobiotin. Images shown for the labeled respiratory neuron types in the ventrolateral medullary regions indicated are fluorescence single-plane confocal images for the glycine or GABA antibody labeling (left panels), neurobiotin labeling (middle), and superposition images showing double labeling (right). The single imaging planes shown were chosen to optimize visualization of co-labeling of the somata of the recorded neuron in each case.

Supplemental Figure 3. Linear regressions of the injected current vs. membrane voltage for different phases of the respiratory cycle. Shown are the injected current (y-axis) vs. membrane voltage (x-axis) relations for 25 different phases of the respiratory cycle from a current-clamp recording from a ramp-I preBötC neuron. The phase value is indicated in the bottom right corner of each plot. This figure illustrates that the phase-specific current-voltage dependencies are almost perfectly linear in a wide range of voltage values. The exception is the interval of phase values near the transition from inspiration to expiration (0.2-0.25 for this particular recording) which reflects some cycle-cycle variability of the inspiratory phase durations. Less perfect linear fits near the transitions between respiratory phases manifest as bumps in the error estimates shown by black lines in *Figures 1D, 3, 5, 6, 7*.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by NIH grants R01 NS057815, R01 NS069220, R01 AT008632, Alexander von

Humboldt Foundation, and the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, NINDS.

References

Anderson JS, Carandini M & Ferster D. (2000). Orientation tuning of input conductance, excitation, and
inhibition in cat primary visual cortex. *J Neurophysiol* **84,** 909-926.

Ausborn J, Koizumi H, Barnett WH, John TT, Zhang R, Molkov YI, Smith JC & Rybak IA. (2018).
Organization of the core respiratory network: Insights from optogenetic and modeling studies. *PLoS*
Comput Biol **14,** e1006148.

Berg RW, Alaburda A & Hounsgaard J. (2007). Balanced inhibition and excitation drive spike activity in
spinal half-centers. *Science* **315,** 390-393.

Bianchi AL, Denavitsaubie M & Champagnat J. (1995). Central Control of Breathing in Mammals -
Neuronal Circuitry, Membrane-Properties, and Neurotransmitters. *Physiological Reviews* **75,** 1-45.

Borg-Graham LJ, Monier C & Fregnac Y. (1998). Visual input evokes transient and strong shunting
inhibition in visual cortical neurons. *Nature* **393,** 369-373.

Buzsáki G. (2006). *Rhythms of the brain*. Oxford University Press, Oxford ; New York.

Calabrese RL & Marder E. (2024). Degenerate Neuronal and Circuit Mechanisms Important for
Generating Rhythmic Motor Patterns. *Physiological Reviews***,** (in press).

Calvin WH. (1969). Dendritic synapses and reversal potentials: theoretical implications of the view from
the soma. *Exp Neurol* **24,** 248-264.

Cohen MI. (1979). Neurogenesis of respiratory rhythm in the mammal. *Physiol Rev* **59,** 1105-1173.

Endo T & Kiehn O. (2008). Asymmetric operation of the locomotor central pattern generator in the
neonatal mouse spinal cord. *J Neurophysiol* **100,** 3043-3054.

Ezure K, Tanaka I & Kondo M. (2003). Glycine is used as a transmitter by decrementing expiratory
neurons of the ventrolateral medulla in the rat. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of*
the Society for Neuroscience **23,** 8941-8948.

Feldman JL & Smith JC. (1995). Neural Control of Respiratory Pattern in Mammals: An Overview. In
Regulation of breathing, 2nd edn, ed. Dempsey JA & Pack AI, pp. 39-69. M. Dekker, New York.

Lindsey BG, Rybak IA & Smith JC. (2012). Computational models and emergent properties of respiratory
neural networks. *Compr Physiol* **2,** 1619-1670.

Molkov YI, Rubin JE, Rybak IA & Smith JC. (2017). Computational models of the neural control of
breathing. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Syst Biol Med* **9**.

Paton JF. (1996). A working heart-brainstem preparation of the mouse. *J Neurosci Methods* **65,** 63-68.

Paton JFR, Machado BH, Moraes DJA, Zoccal DB, Abdala AP, Smith JC, Antunes VR, Murphy D,
Dutschmann M, Dhingra RR, McAllen R, Pickering AE, Wilson RJA, Day TA, Barioni NO, Allen AM,
Menuet C, Donnelly J, Felippe I & St-John WM. (2022). Advancing respiratory-cardiovascular
physiology with the working heart-brainstem preparation over 25 years. *J Physiol* **600,** 2049-2075.

Richter DW. (1996). Neural regulation of respiration: rhythmogenesis and afferent control. In
Comprehensive Human Physiology, ed. Greger R & Windhorst U, pp. 2079-2095. Springer-Verlag,
Berlin.

Richter DW & Smith JC. (2014). Respiratory rhythm generation in vivo. *Physiology (Bethesda)* **29,** 58-71.

Richter DW & Spyer KM. (2001). Studying rhythmogenesis of breathing: comparison of in vivo and in

vitro models. *Trends Neurosci* **24,** 464-472.

Shu Y, Hasenstaub A & McCormick DA. (2003). Turning on and off recurrent balanced cortical activity.

Nature **423,** 288-293.

Smith JC, Abdala AP, Borgmann A, Rybak IA & Paton JF. (2013). Brainstem respiratory networks: building

blocks and microcircuits. *Trends Neurosci* **36,** 152-162.

Spruston N, Jaffe DB, Williams SH & Johnston D. (1993). Voltage- and space-clamp errors associated with

the measurement of electrotonically remote synaptic events. *J Neurophysiol* **70,** 781-802.

Wehr M & Zador AM. (2003). Balanced inhibition underlies tuning and sharpens spike timing in auditory

cortex. *Nature* **426,** 442-446.

Wright TM, Jr. & Calabrese RL. (2011). Patterns of presynaptic activity and synaptic strength interact to

produce motor output. *J Neurosci* **31,** 17555-17571.

Yuste R, MacLean JN, Smith J & Lansner A. (2005). The cortex as a central pattern generator. *Nat Rev*

Neurosci **6,** 477-483.

760