Reciprocal cross-feeding between bacteria can limit the emergence of metabolic dependencies

Short title: Cross-feeding can promote gene retention

Ying-Chih Chuang[1,2,†], Megan G. Behringer[3], Gillian Patton[3], Jordan T. Bird[4],
Jeffrey L. Mazny[1], Jennifer R. Gliessman[1], Crystal E. Love[1], Ankur Dalia[1],
and James B. McKinlay[1]#

[1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
[2]Biochemistry Program, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA
[3]Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA
[4]Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH 43201

Corresponding author: James B. McKinlay
Email: jmckinla@iu.edu

[†] Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

ABSTRACT

Cross-feeding is prevalent in microbial communities. Through time, cross-feeding is thought to enrich for loss-of-function mutations, thereby creating or reinforcing dependencies between community members. However, few studies have compared how cross-feeding affects the evolutionary trajectory of partners compared to monoculture conditions. Here we compared mutations that were differentially enriched in bacterial monocultures versus cocultures pairing phototrophic *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* with fermentative *Escherichia coli* in an obligate cross-feeding relationship based on the exchange of nitrogen and carbon for 650-800 generations. Opposite trends for the number of differentially enriched mutations were observed for each species; *R. palustris* accumulated more unique mutations in monoculture whereas *E. coli* accumulated more unique mutations in coculture. Contrary to expectations, the emergence of additional dependencies was more apparent for both species in monoculture, even though additional layers of cross-feeding involving iron and adenine were present in coculture. We reasoned that iron and adenine cross-feeding occurred at levels sufficient to repress gene expression in the recipient, thereby promoting gene retention by lowering gene cost. Thus, the influence of cross-feeding on evolutionary trajectories can vary with organisms and conditions, and there are situations where cross-feeding can limit, rather than promote, emergent dependencies.

IMPORTANCE

Bacteria commonly engage in cross-feeding where nutrients are transferred between neighbors. Cross-feeding is thought to alleviate energy expenditures for genes whose role can be met by cross-fed nutrients, leading to eventual gene loss. However, few examples have been documented, especially in comparison to monocultures where bacteria are grown without a cross-feeding partner. Here we grew cocultures pairing phototrophic *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* with fermentative *Escherichia coli* alongside corresponding monocultures for 650-800 generations. While coculture conditions required obligate exchange of nitrogen and carbon, additional cross-feeding of adenine and iron likely occurred. Contrary to expectations, iron and uncharacterized dependencies emerged in monocultures but not in cocultures. Low expression for iron scavenging and adenine synthesis genes in cocultures suggested that cross-feeding repressed gene expression, thereby lowering gene cost. Thus, whereas there are likely cases where cross-feeding makes costly genes dispensable, there are also cases where cross-feeding lowers gene cost, thereby promoting gene retention.

INTRODUCTION

Microbial metabolism shapes local chemical environments in ways that elicit regulated responses from neighboring cells, or even select for adaptive mutations. In some cases, extracellular metabolites can be used as nutrients by neighboring cells, establishing cross-feeding (1). Cross-feeding can lead to obligate dependencies through adaptive loss-of-function (LOF) mutations, when the benefit of exploiting an extracellular metabolite outweighs the cost of retaining a biosynthetic gene (2). When the recipient benefits at the expense of the producer, the recipient can be labeled a 'cheater' but when the recipient does not detrimentally affect the producer, the recipient can be labeled a 'beneficiary' (2, 3). The latter is described in the Black Queen Hypothesis (BQH), wherein cross-feeding provides a cost-benefit advantage that promotes adaptive gene-loss (2). The BQH is commonly used to explain the prevalence of auxotrophs, which cannot synthesize one or more essential nutrients (4, 5). Indeed, several groups observed that auxotrophs with biosynthetic gene deletions have a fitness advantage over their prototrophic counterparts when corresponding biosynthetic precursors were provided (6-10).

Whereas cross-feeding can promote adaptive gene loss, gene loss is also potentially constrained during cross-feeding by several factors. For example, the energetic costs of N_2 fixation, converting N_2 into $2\ NH_4^+$ via nitrogenase, was predicted to be under pressure for gene loss because NH_4^+ can escape and potentially enable higher growth rate for recipients (2). However, we found that growth rates supported by leaked NH_4^+ did not exceed those possible via N_2-fixation due to low NH_4^+ availability relative to N_2, even when bacteria were engineered to excrete NH_4^+ (11). Moreover, as has been documented with cheaters (12-14), nutrient availability can repress gene expression and thereby lower gene cost (4, 13, 15); most of a gene's cost comes from its expression (16-20). Thus, repressing gene expression could have similar cost-savings as a LOF mutation and thereby decrease selective pressure for LOF mutants.

Previously we engineered cocultures of phototrophic *R. palustris* and fermentative *E. coli* to study the mechanisms and evolution of obligate cross-feeding (21-25). Using anoxic conditions, *E. coli* ferments glucose into organic acids that provide essential carbon to *R. palustris* while *R. palustris* converts N_2 into NH_4^+, providing essential nitrogen to *E. coli* (21). The two species are not believed to interact in nature, providing an opportunity to observe how the relationship evolves without pre-adaptation (22). Whereas the coculture was engineered to rely on obligate NH_4^+ cross-feeding, other interaction layers exist. For example, we discovered that *R. palustris* externalizes enough adenine to support an *E. coli* purine auxotroph population (26, 27). How such secondary interactions affect the evolutionary trajectory of each species is unknown.

Here we compared differential mutation accumulation in *E. coli* and *R. palustris* monocultures versus cocultures for >600 generations. The number of differentially enriched mutations in monocultures versus cocultures was opposite for each organism. For several mutations, we performed a preliminary investigation to gain insights into why they were differentially enriched. In some cases, we correlated a lack of

103 dependency emergence in coculture to inhibitory effects of cross-feeding on gene
104 expression. Our results offer diverse insights into how cross-feeding influences
105 evolutionary trajectories and caution against general expectations for dependencies
106 through LOF mutations.
107
108 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
109
***R. palustris* and *E. coli* can coexist for hundreds of generations.** We established
long-term, batch, anaerobic (i) monocultures of phototrophic, N_2-fixing, NH_4^+-excreting
R. palustris NifA* (CGA676) (28), supplied with a mixture of organic acid salts (neutral
pH), ethanol, and bicarbonate to mimic *E. coli* fermentation products, (ii) monocultures
of fermentative *E. coli* PFM2, a MG1655 derivative with repaired *rpoS* and *rph* genes
(29, 30), supplied with 10 mM glucose and 10 mM NH_4Cl, and (iii) cocultures supplied
with 25 mM glucose (Fig 1A). All cultures were constantly illuminated, and 2.5% v/v was
transferred every seven days. All conditions included an exponential growth phase but
only monocultures experienced a nutrient-limited stationary phase. In cocultures,
glucose and consumable organic acids remained at the time of transfer and likely
supported growth, however slow due to the low pH. Ultimately, all conditions were
serially transferred for 650 – 803 generations (~5 generations per transfer; Fig 1).
122
We sequenced gDNA from populations at several timepoints. We then compared
mutations that were differentially enriched in monoculture versus coculture by applying
following criteria: (i) exclude synonymous mutations, (ii) no exact mutation in the
opposing monoculture or coculture condition, (iii) observed in the last two sequenced
timepoints, (iv) with a frequency >0.1 in the last timepoint. These criteria resulted in a
list of 167 mutations from *R. palustris* monocultures, 31 from *E. coli* monocultures, 43
from *R. palustris* in cocultures, and 132 from *E. coli* in cocultures (Fig 2A, Table S1-5).
These counts include redundancy, where some mutations were observed in multiple
lines. In all conditions, most mutations were nonsynonymous, except for *E. coli*
monocultures, where most mutations were small insertions/deletions (Fig 2B). Mutations
due to mobile genetic elements were only observed in *E. coli* (Fig 2B). To narrow our
focus on positive selection, we added a fifth criteria of parallelism where the same gene
or intergenic region must be mutated in at least two lineages.
136
Differentially enriched *R. palustris* mutations mostly occurred in monocultures.
Most differentially mutated *R. palustris* genes and intergenic regions occurred in
monocultures: 19 in monoculture vs 5 in coculture (Fig 3). We considered that the
higher mutation frequency in monoculture could be due to a *mutS,* encoding a mismatch
repair protein; lines 2 and 7 had a *mutS* mutations that met our criteria and most other
lines had a low frequency *mutS* mutation at some point during the experiment.
However, the total number of differentially-enriched mutations was similar across *R.*
palustris monoculture lines (range: 8-23; Table S1) with only 6 of 21 mutations in line 2
and 11 of 23 mutations in line 7 potentially attributed to *mutS*, since they occurred at a
lower frequency than the *mutS* mutation (*mutS* mutation frequency was 13 and 22% in
lines 2 and 7, respectively; Table S1). Thus, *mutS* mutations did not likely drive many of
the other mutations.
149

Several differentially mutated genes in coculture also acquired different mutations in
monoculture. Among these was *ppsR2*, encoding an O_2-responsive regulator of genes
for phototrophic growth. *ppsR2* is commonly mutated in *R. palustris* because they
provide an advantage under low-light conditions, which occurs at high cell densities
(31). Other genes that were commonly mutated in monoculture and coculture were
cbbM, encoding the Calvin cycle type II Rubisco and *cbbR*, encoding a Calvin cycle
regulator. It is unclear why mutations were enriched in these genes, but it is possible
that they are associated with the role of CO_2 fixation in electron balance during
photoheterotrophic growth or electron distribution between CO_2 and N_2 fixation (28, 32).
We did not investigate Calvin cycle gene mutations further herein.
160
R. palustris monocultures evolved to utilize H_2. Both species produce H_2, *E. coli* via
fermentation and *R. palustris* as a coproduct of nitrogenase activity (33). Curiously, H_2
levels decreased through serial transfers in *R. palustris* monocultures but not in
cocultures (Fig 4A, B). A commonly mutated gene in *R. palustris* monocultures was
hoxJ, which encodes a sensor kinase that governs H_2-oxidizing hydrogenase by
repressing the transcriptional activator HoxA (Fig 4C). Normally, HoxJ repression of
HoxA is relieved when HupUV senses H_2. However, the *R. palustris* ancestor had a
hupV mutation, causing HoxJ to constitutively repress HoxA (34). Deleting HoxJ was
previously shown to lead to hydrogenase activity (34).
170
171   Based on the above, we hypothesized that our *hoxJ* mutants also permitted H_2
172   oxidation. To test this hypothesis, we introduced a 5-bp *hoxJ* deletion, observed in 7
173   monoculture lines, into the NifA* ancestor. Indeed, the mutant did not accumulate H_2,
174   (Fig 4D). Thus, we reason that *hoxJ* mutations that were enriched in monoculture
175   allowed *R. palustris* to use H_2 as an electron source.
176
We questioned why *hoxJ* mutants were only enriched in monoculture. Previously,
transposon disruption of *hoxJ* in monoculture led to a fitness benefit in stationary phase
(35). The benefit likely stemmed from H_2-mediated CO_2 fixation after organic substrates
were exhausted; strains with WT *hoxJ* could not grow after this point (35). We first
asked whether there is something about coculture conditions that generally prevents H_2
oxidation. When we repaired the *hupV* mutation (*hupV*+) or deleted *hoxJ*, no H_2
accumulated in either monoculture or coculture, indicating that *R. palustris* hydrogenase
can be activated in coculture (Fig 4E). Therefore, something must have prevented
enrichment of metabolically active *hoxJ* mutants in coculture.
186
We considered two possibilities that could explain the lack of *hoxJ* mutant enrichment in
coculture. First, consumable organic acids, which remained available at the time of
transfer in cocultures, could have allowed the general *R. palustris* population to remain
competitive against *hoxJ* mutants, preventing their enrichment. A second possibility is
that *E. coli* outcompeted *R. palustris* for nickel, which is required for hydrogenase
activity. Since our media used for serial transfers lacked a nickel supplement, nickel
must have come as a contaminant in other media components, and its availability was
likely low. Although the absence of H_2 in cocultures with Δ*hoxJ* or *hupV*+ mutants
indicates that *R. palustris* can compete against *E. coli* for nickel, this might only be true

when the H_2-oxidizing *R. palustris* population is large. An emergent, low frequency, H_2-
oxidizing *R. palustris* subpopulation might instead be outcompeted by *E. coli* for nickel.
198
R. palustris siderophore gene mutations are correlated with expression level. A
frequently mutated gene cluster in *R. palustris* monocultures was RPA2382-90, for
siderophore synthesis (Fig 3, Fig 5A, B). We speculate that these were LOF mutations,
giving rise to 'cheaters' that scavenged siderophores from a siderophore-producing
subpopulation. However, we questioned why *R. palustris* siderophore mutants were not
observed in cocultures.
205
We hypothesized that siderophore gene mutations were not enriched in coculture
because *E. coli* facilitated *R. palustris* iron acquisition, thus decreasing the need for *R.*
palustris to synthesize siderophores. The situation would be analogous to that observed
for *P. aeruginosa*, which produces siderophores only when needed, due to their cost.
High *P. aeruginosa* siderophore production in low-iron conditions led to a higher
frequency of siderophore-deficient mutants compared to iron-rich conditions where
siderophore production was low (12-14). In support of this hypothesis, we previously
saw that *R. palustris* siderophore gene transcripts were low in coculture with *E. coli*
MG1655, relative to monocultures (23). We verified that this trend was also true for *R.*
palustris in coculture with *E. coli* PFM2; RT-qPCR analysis showed that the RPA2390
transcript level in coculture was 20% of that in monoculture (Fig 5C). Adding soluble
iron to monocultures decreased RPA2390 expression to 3% of that observed without
added iron, suggesting that the low expression in coculture was in response to higher
iron availability (Fig 5C). Thus, iron must be scarce in *R. palustris* monocultures,
inducing siderophore production, whereas in coculture, *E. coli* somehow increases iron
availability, repressing *R. palustris* siderophore synthesis.
222
The mechanism of increased iron availability for *R. palustris* in coculture deserves
investigation beyond the scope of the current study. However, we suspect that *R.*
palustris can use *E. coli* siderophores. Others have speculated that *R. palustris* uses
foreign siderophores because its genome encodes multiple siderophore transporters but
only one synthesis cluster (36, 37). In support of this hypothesis, the *E. coli* siderophore
entF transcript level was 3.3-fold higher in coculture than in monoculture (Fig. 5D),
perhaps in response to iron loss to *R. palustris*
230
231 **Other R. palustris mutations with unknown phenotypes.** Other genes that were
232 mutated in monoculture include a methylmalonyl-CoA mutase encoded by RPA1837, a
233 possible flagellin encoded by RPA2297 that is outside the flagellar gene cluster, and a
234 hypothetical protein encoded by RPA4084 (6 of 10 lines).
235
One of the few *R. palustris* genes that was differentially mutated in at least 5 coculture
lines was RPA3297, annotated to encode a urea or short-chain amino acid transporter.
Each mutation was an insertion or deletion that should cause a frame shift and thus
they are all likely LOF mutations. This transporter was previously observed to have
elevated protein levels in coculture compared to monoculture (23). We speculate that

this elevated expression was disadvantageous, but the function of the gene product
remains unknown.
243
244 Two *R. palustris* coculture lines also had a common intergenic mutation between *hbdA*
245 and *etfA*. These genes are likely involved in fatty acid degradation and might be
246 commonly regulated by LiuR (38). The effect of this mutation is unknown.
247
Differentially enriched *E. coli* mutations mostly occurred in cocultures. The
number of differentially enriched mutations in *E. coli* were oppositely skewed than for *R.*
palustris (Fig 2). The same pattern held for differentially mutated *E. coli* genes and
intergenic regions in at least two lineages per condition: 6 were mutated in monoculture
vs 19 in coculture (Fig 6). Similar observations of cocultures leading to more mutations
were made by another group who paired *E. coli* auxotrophs in reciprocal cross-feeding
cocultures versus monocultures (39).
255
E. coli **mutations in coculture reflect adaptation to nitrogen starvation.** In
coculture, the *E. coli* growth rate is limited to ~20% of maximum due to the rate at which
it receives NH_4^+ from *R. palustris* (21, 22). As in previous long-term cocultures pairing a
different *R. palustris* NifA* strain with *E. coli* MG1655 (22), several *E. coli* mutations in
coculture suggested adaptation to this low-nitrogen condition. For example, mutations
were commonly observed in *glnGL,* encoding the two-component system NtrBC that
controls nitrogen scavenging. Previously, we demonstrated that NtrBC is critical for *E.*
coli survival in the low-nitrogen coculture environment (22, 23). Other mutations in *glnK*
and *amtB*, which respectively encode a nitrogen-responsive regulatory protein and an
NH_4^+ transporter, likely also help with nitrogen acquisition in coculture (Fig 6); we also
previously demonstrated the importance of *E. coli* AmtB in coculture (24).
267
E. coli **purine transporter mutants are enriched in coculture.** We designed our
cocultures to be based on the reciprocal exchange of fermentation products and NH_4^+
(21). We later discovered that *R. palustris* also externalizes adenine that can be
consumed by *E. coli* (26, 27). Herein, *E. coli* exhibited several mutations in coculture
that could be in response to adenine availability. For example, mutations were enriched
upstream of *adeD (yicP)* encoding adenine deaminase (Fig 6). Insertion elements
upstream of *adeD* in the adenine permease gene *adeQ* (yicO) are known to activate
adeD expression (40). Mutations were also enriched in the adenine permease gene,
adeP (*purP*) (Fig 6). The *adeP* mutations were accompanied by a multi-gene
amplification that created up to 40 copies of *adeP* and neighboring genes (Fig 7A, B).
We revisited sequencing results from our previous long-term cocultures featuring *E. coli*
MG1655 (22) and found similar mutations upstream of *adeD* and amplification of the
same region (Fig 7C). Evolved *E. coli* monocultures did not show this amplification but
had up to 8-fold amplification in another region, with no obvious connection to adenine
(Fig S1).
283
We hypothesized that these mutations could lead to increased fitness in the presence of
adenine. We attempted to mimic a high *adeP* copy number via IPTG-induced *adeP*
expression from a plasmid. However, over-expressing *adeP* in PFM2 did not improve

growth in the presence of adenine over an empty vector control (Fig 7D, E). It is
possible that *adeP* amplification is only advantageous in the context of the surrounding
amplified region or other enriched mutations.
290
To address an adenine-related fitness benefit more generally, we compared evolved *E.*
coli growth with and without adenine. Adenine benefited several coculture-evolved
PFM2 isolates, suggesting that some mutations were adaptive to adenine availability
(Fig 8). Although some monoculture isolates also responded positively to adenine,
including two possible auxotrophs, the trend was less pronounced; when isolates were
treated as replicates, the change in cell density with adenine versus without was
significantly higher for coculture-evolved PFM2 isolates but not for monoculture evolved
isolates (paired one-tail t-test, p = 0.002 versus 0.14, respectively).
299
Strain-specific adenine toxicity likely influences *E. coli* evolution. Adenine
availability in coculture might also explain differential enrichment of mutations in
previous cocultures featuring *E. coli* MG1655 (22). MG1655 is inhibited by adenine due
to an *rph* mutation that disrupts *pyrE* regulation (41), leading to suboptimal precursor
distribution between purine and pyrimidine synthesis in the presence of adenine (42).
The *rph* mutation is repaired in *E. coli* PFM2 (29, 30). Through serial transfers, PFM2
mutations in *rph* and purine and pyrimidine synthesis genes were rare in monoculture
and coculture (Fig 9A). In contrast, MG1655 populations (only evolved in coculture)
contained a high frequency of *rph* mutations and a low frequency of purine synthesis
gene (*pur*) mutations (Fig 9B). We hypothesized that MG1655 *rph* and purine
biosynthesis mutations alleviated adenine toxicity. Indeed, repairing the ancestral *rph*
mutation or deleting *purH*, rendering MG1655 a purine auxotroph, alleviated alanine
toxicity (Fig 9C).
313
Coculture adenine availability did not enrich for adenine auxotrophs. Given that *de*
novo purine synthesis is energetically costly, we expected to observe *E. coli* purine
synthesis LOF mutations in cocultures where adenine is available from *R. palustris*;
adenine is amply available in long-term cocultures (43). However, no purine
biosynthesis gene mutations were observed in cocultures (Fig 9A), nor were adenine
auxotrophs observed among 40 coculture isolates screened (Fig 8); only four
monoculture isolates in lines 18 and 20 were possibly adenine auxotrophs (Fig 8).
321
The BQH predicts that cross-feeding will lead to LOF mutations only when the benefit of
cross-feeding outweighs the cost of maintaining the gene (2). To directly test whether
adenine auxotrophs would have an advantage in coculture, we compared PFM2 growth
versus that of a *ΔpurH* mutant in a minimal medium with and without adenine. The
ΔpurH mutant required adenine for growth, but despite the availability of adenine, the
ΔpurH mutant did not grow faster than the PFM2 parent (Fig 10A, B). We also directly
compared the fitness of PFM2 versus a *ΔpurH* mutant in coculture with *R. palustris*
NifA* (CGA676). We used a range of initial frequencies so that we could simultaneously
test for possible coexistence by mutual invasion, where an equilibrium frequency can be
extrapolated from the x-intercept by linear regression analysis (11, 44-46). Although
there was poor linear correlation in the invasion-from-rare assay, the *ΔpurH* mutant

tended to decrease in frequency relative to parent in cocultures, where adenine is
available (Fig 10C). Thus, our results suggest that a *ΔpurH* mutant does not have a
competitive advantage over PFM2 in the presence of adenine, thus explaining why
PFM2 adenine auxotrophs were not enriched in cocultures.
337
Adenine represses *E. coli* purine synthesis gene expression. One reason why
PFM2 purine auxotrophs were not enriched in coculture could be if adenine availability
repressed gene expression, thereby decreasing gene cost. This would be analogous to
the situation described above for *R. palustris* siderophore genes. In support of this
hypothesis, we previously saw that *E. coli* MG1655 had lower *pur* transcript levels in
coculture (23). We verified that the same is true for PFM2 by RT-qPCR quantification of
purH transcript; coculture PFM2 *purH* levels were 20% of those in monoculture (Fig
11A). Adding adenine to monocultures resulted in a *purH* transcript level that was 12%
of that observed without adenine, suggesting that the low expression in coculture was
due to adenine availability (Fig 11A).
348
R. palustris also had less *purH* transcript in coculture, 50% of that in monoculture (Fig
11B). It is unclear how *E. coli* would influence *R. palustris purH* transcript levels.
Regardless, it is curious that adenine availability combined with *purH* expression in
monoculture did not lead to *R. palustris pur* LOF mutations (Table S1) nor any purine
auxotrophs (Fig 12). We speculate that *R. palustris* lacks adenine uptake mechanisms,
which would also help explain extracellular adenine accumulation (26, 43); poor uptake
mechanisms can be an important factor in extracellular metabolite accumulation (47-
49).
357
***E. coli* monocultures enrich for auxotrophs.** Whereas cocultures did not enrich for *E.*
coli auxotrophs, several evolved monoculture PFM2 isolates could not grow in a
minimal medium (Fig 8). Enrichment of auxotrophs in monoculture could suggest the
emergence of cross-feeding between *E. coli* subpopulations that were not available in
coculture, perhaps due to competition from an *R. palustris* population that is an order-of-
magnitude larger (21, 22). Although we did not investigate these possible *E. coli*
monoculture cross-feeding relationships further, we speculate that a source of the
auxotrophies could be mutations in *rpoC*, encoding the RNA polymerase β'-subunit.
RpoC mutations are known to result in polyauxotrophs (50).
367
368   Curiously, we did not see a similar emergence of *R. palustris* auxotrophs (Fig 12). *E.*
369   *coli* and other diverse bacteria are known to support, or quickly evolve to support,
370   auxotrophic strains via cross-feeding (51-55). It is possible that *R. palustris* cannot
371   support diverse auxotrophs beyond those that require purines (26).
372
Other *E. coli* mutations with unknown phenotypes. Other differentially enriched *E.*
coli mutations include those in *aceE* that evolved in monocultures (Fig 6). *aceE* encodes
a pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit, which is important for respiration but is generally not
involved in *E. coli* fermentative metabolism (56). It is possible that expression of *aceE* is
sub-optimally high under the high growth rates supported in monoculture conditions
(23). Other mutations enriched in monoculture occurred in genes for post-translational

regulation of the TCA cycle enzyme isocitrate dehydrogenase (*aceK*), ribosome
modification (*rluC*), a vitamin C transporter (*ulaA/B*), and a deoxyribose salvage enzyme
(*deoB*).
382
Several genes mutated in coculture might impact biofilm formation and acid sensitivity.
We speculate that these mutations could help *E. coli* associate with *R. palustris* and/or
E. coli cross-feeding partners and potentially respond to fermentative acid stress. For
example, *csgD/B* is involved in curlin-based biofilm formation (57), which is regulated in
part by *cpxRP* genes that were also mutated (58). *ycgV* encodes an outer membrane
protein that might be involved in biofilm formation and *fimH/A* are involved in fimbriae-
mediated adhesion (57). Mutations in *yifE* (*maoP*)/*hdfR*, might also affect biofilm
formation. MaoP was noted to affect biofilm formation in addition to its role in
chromosome positioning and segregation (59). HdfR might have a regulatory link to the
transition between motile and sessile lifestyles (60).
393
HdfR might also be linked to acid resistance by regulating amino acid decarboxylation
genes encoded by *gltBD* (61). Mutations in *hdfR* were also observed in cocultures with
MG1655 (22). Mutations were also observed in *cspC/yobF*, which encode for proteins
that respond to acid and other stressors (62). Some of the genes associated with the
amplified region in cocultures might also be linked to acid resistance such as *mnmE*
(63) and *yidZ* (64).
400
401 **Concluding remarks.** Long-term serial transfers of *R. palustris* and *E. coli*
402 monocultures and cocultures differentially enriched for mutations. More differentially
403 enriched mutations were observed in *R. palustris* monocultures compared to cocultures,
404 and vice-versa for *E. coli*. Our results suggest that the extent to which cross-feeding
405 influences the evolutionary landscape depends on the organisms and conditions.
406
A generalization from our observations is that monocultures enriched for dependencies
more than cocultures. Against expectations for cross-feeding to promote LOF mutations
in a recipient related to synthesis of a cross-fed metabolite, *R. palustris* siderophore
mutants and unknown *E. coli* auxotrophs were only enriched in monoculture. We reason
that adenine and siderophore LOF mutants were not observed in coculture because
cross-feeding generated metabolite levels that were sufficient to repress gene
expression, thereby lowering gene cost; when gene cost is minimized, selective
pressure for LOF mutations is also minimized, thus promoting gene retention. Our
results suggest that the effects of cross-feeding on gene loss versus retention can be
more nuanced than is often assumed.
417
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. *R. palustris* CGA676 is derived from CGA0092 (65) and carries a
nifA* mutation that causes NH_4^+ excretion under N_2-fixing conditions (21, 28). *R.*
palustris mutants were made by homologous recombination using constructs in the
suicide vector pJQ200SK (66). All primers are in Table S6. pJQhoxJΔ5bp was made by
amplifying 1.4 kb from an evolved isolate from line A2 using primers JLM32 and JLM33,
digesting with BamHI and XhoI sites, and then ligating into pJQ200SK that had been

digested with the same enzymes. The ligation reaction was used to transform NEB10β
for plasmid verification by PCR and Sanger sequencing. pJQhoxJΔ5bp was then used
to transform *E. coli* S17-1 by electroporation. In each case, transformed *E. coli* was
recovered on LB agar with gentamycin (30 µg ml^{-1}). *E. coli* S17-1 with pJQ-ΔhoxJ and
pJQ-hupV were gifts from C. S. Harwood (67).
430
R. palustris nifA hoxJ*Δ5bp (CGA4065), *nifA* ΔhoxJ* (CGA4050) and *nifA* hupV+*
(CGA4062) were then made by introducing pJQhoxJΔ5bp, pJQ-ΔhoxJ, or pJQ-hupV,
respectively, into CGA676 by conjugation with an *E. coli* S17-1 donor as described (67).
Single recombinants were recovered on photosynthetic medium (PM) agar (68, 69) with
10 mM succinate and 100 µg ml^{-1} gentamycin. Double recombinants were recovered by
counterselection on PM succinate plates with 10% sucrose, screened for gentamycin
sensitivity, and then verified by PCR amplification and/or Sanger sequencing.
438
439 *E. coli* PFM2 was a gift from P. Foster (29, 30). The Δ*purH* mutant was made via
440 lambda Red recombination (70) using constructs amplified from the Δ*purH*::km^R KEIO
441 mutant JW3970 (71) using primers YCC29 and YCC30. FLP-mediated excision was
442 used to remove the km resistance cassette (70). All mutants were verified by PCR
443 amplification and Sanger sequencing.
444
Growth conditions. Colonies were recovered from 25% glycerol -80 °C frozen stocks
by streaking to lysogeny agar (*E. coli*) or PM agar with 10 mM succinate. Single
colonies were then used to inoculate starter cultures. Anoxic media in test tubes were
prepared by bubbling N_2 through 10 ml of media in 27-ml anaerobic test tubes, then
sealing with rubber stoppers and aluminum crimps prior to autoclaving. Monocultures
and cocultures were grown horizontally at 30°C with light from a 45 W halogen bulb
(430 lumens) and shaking at 150 rpm in minimal M9-derived coculture medium (MDC)
(21) with either (i) *E. coli* monocultures: 10 mM glucose, 10 mM NH_4Cl, and cation
solution (100X stock: 100 mM $MgSO_4$ and 10 mM $CaCl_2$); *R. palustris* monocultures: 20
mM sodium acetate and 10 mM NH_4Cl; or cocultures: 25 mM glucose and cation
solution. Cultures with plasmid-carrying strains were also supplemented with 100 µg/ml
gentamycin or 25 µg/ml chloramphenicol as appropriate. Starter cultures were
inoculated with single colonies. *R. palustris* starter cultures were grown in MDC with 20
mM acetate and 10 mM NH_4Cl. *E. coli* starter cultures were grown aerobically in
lysogeny broth, with 30 µg/ml kanamycin (km) when appropriate. *E. coli* starter cultures
were washed twice in 1 ml MDC prior to inoculating test cultures or bioassays.
Cocultures were inoculated with 0.1 ml each of *R. palustris* and *E. coli* to an initial
optical density (OD$_{660}$) of ~0.003 each.
463
464 Cultures in 96-well plates were treated similarly except that oxic solutions were used to
465 prepare 0.2 ml volumes in each well. Anoxic conditions were achieved by sealing plates
466 inside a BD GasPak EZ large incubation container with anaerobic sachets.
467
Experimental evolution. Founder monocultures of *E. coli* PFM2 and *R. palustris* NifA*
CGA676 were each grown from a single colony in anoxic MDC with either 10 mM
glucose, cation solution, and 3 mM NH_4Cl for PFM2 or 20 mM sodium acetate for

CGA676. A single founder monoculture was then used to inoculate 10 monocultures
and 10 cocultures. All cultures were grown horizontally without shaking at 30°C with
light in MDC. PFM2 monocultures were supplemented with 10 mM glucose, cation
solution, 25 mM NaCl, and 2.3 mM NH$_4$Cl. These cultures were started later after
finding that 25 mM glucose led to periodic extinction due to prolonged exposure to
acidic fermentation products. As a result, *E. coli* monocultures were transferred less
times than for other conditions. No data from monocultures with 25 mM glucose were
presented herein. CGA676 monocultures were supplemented with 25 mM glucose,
cation solution, 8 mM disodium succinate, 7.3 mM sodium acetate, 0.25 mM sodium
formate, 1.4 mM sodium lactate, and 6.3 mM ethanol. Cocultures were supplemented
with 25 mM glucose, cation solution, and 25 mM NaCl. Acidification was not a problem
despite using 25 mM glucose because *R. palustris* consumes enough organic acids to
dampen the pH drop (21). Every 7 days, cultures were vortexed and 0.25 ml was
transferred to fresh medium. About every 5 transfers, stocks were diluted 1:1 with 50%
glycerol and stored at -80°C. Separately, cell pellets from 1 ml samples were frozen for
gDNA extraction.

Analytical procedures. Cell densities were measured via turbidity (OD$_{660}$) using a
Genesys 20 visible spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher). H$_2$ was measured using a
Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph with a thermal conductivity detector as
described by sampling 0.1 ml of headspace using a gas-tight syringe (72). Statistical
analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism (v10).

Competition assays. Competition assays were conducted in an invasion-from-rare
format to consider coexistence by the mutual invasion criterion, where each population
can increase when rare (44, 46). Cocultures were started from various initial
frequencies (targeting 0.01 – 0.99) of each *E. coli* strain (WT vs Δ*purH* or WT vs
Δ*purH*::km^R) for a total initial cell density of ~10^6 colony forming units (CFU) / ml. *R.*
palustris CGA676 was inoculated to an initial density of ~10^6 CFU / ml. Frequencies
were determined upon inoculation and after 5 days. WT and Δ*purH* mutants were
distinguished by counting CFUs on M9 agar with cations and 25 mM glucose and on LB
agar and then determining Δ*purH* populations from the difference. When Δ*purH*::km^R
mutants were used LB agar included km to allow for direct determination of population
size. Change in frequency = (*E. coli* Δ*purH* / (*E. coli* WT + *E. coli* Δ*purH*))$_{final}$ – (*E. coli*
Δ*purH* / (*E. coli* WT + *E. coli* Δ*purH*))$_{initial}$ (45).

Genome sequencing and mutation analysis. gDNA was purified from cells using a
Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Lysis
was facilitated after resuspension by adding proteinase K (50 µg/ml final), and
incubating at 56°C for 10 min. RNaseA (4 µl, Promega) was then added and the lysate
was incubated for 2 min before proceeding.

DNA fragment libraries were made using a NextFlex Bioo Rapid DNA kit and libraries
were sequenced using Illumina NextSeq 500 150x150 paired-end runs by the IU Center
for Genomics and Bioinformatics. Paired-end reads were pre-processed for quality with
cutadapt 3.4 (73) with the following options: -a AGATCGGAAGAGC -A

AGATCGGAAGAGC ; -q 15,10; -u 6. Mutations were called using breseq v. 0.32.0 on polymorphism mode (74). *E. coli* monoculture population sequences were mapped to the MG1655 genome (accession NC_000913); *R. palustris* monoculture population sequences were mapped to a concatenated reference genome consisting of the CGA009 chromosome (accession BX571963), and its plasmid pRPA (accession BX571964). Co-culture sequences were mapped to a concatenation of the *E. coli* and *R. palustris* reference genomes. Polymorphisms that co-occurred in both the monoculture and co-culture datasets were filtered and maintained as a subset to enrich for the most informative variants representing treatment differences (Table S1-4). This filtering step also removed sequence differences between the reference sequences and those of the experimental strains used. Variants were prioritized as mutations of interest if they were detectable at the final two sequencing timepoints and co-occurred across multiple populations in the same locus. All mutations can be found in Tables S1-4. Locus tag conversions can be found in Table S5.

Reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR). Cultures received 100 µM adenine or ammonium ferric [iron(III)] citrate as indicated. Cultures were harvested in exponential phase at 0.6-0.8 OD_{660} except for *E. coli* monocultures (+/- adenine experiment), which were harvested at 0.3-0.4 OD_{660} to avoid adenine depletion. Cultures were chilled on ice and pelleted by centrifugation. Lysis, RNA purification, and cDNA generation were performed exactly as described (26). Standard curves were generated using gDNA. Transcripts were quantified as described (26) using the appropriate primers (Table S6) with a Mastercycler ep *realplex* real-time PCR system (Eppendorf). Data was analyzed by *realplex* software using Noiseband. Specificities were validated by melting curves and by the presence of a single band on an agarose gel.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by US Army Research Office grants W911NF-14-1-0411 and W911NF-17-1-0159, the National Science Foundation CAREER award MCB-1749489 to JBM, and National Institutes of Health grants R35GM128674 to ABD and R35GM150625 to MB. Supercomputing resources were supported in part by Lilly Endowment, Inc., through its support for the IU Pervasive Technology Institute.

We are grateful to A. Cairo, S. Kamaran, and N. Ramli, for maintaining long-term cultures. We thank A. Drummond, J. Drummond, P. Foster, J.P. Gerdt, J. Lennon, M. Lynch, T. Romeo, and the McKinlay lab for helpful advice.

REFERENCES

1.	R. K. Fritts, A. L. McCully, J. B. McKinlay, Extracellular metabolism sets the table for microbial cross-feeding. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **85**, e00135-00120 (2021).
2.	J. J. Morris, R. E. Lenski, E. R. Zinser, The Black Queen Hypothesis: Evolution of dependencies through adaptive gene loss. *mBio* **3**, e00036-00012 (2012).

3. M. Ghoul, A. S. Griffin, S. A. West, Toward an evolutionary definition of cheating. *Evolution* **68**, 318-331 (2014).

4. G. D'Souza *et al.*, Ecology and evolution of metabolic cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. *Nat Prod Rep* **35**, 455-488 (2018).

5. S. Pande, C. Kost, Bacterial unculturability and the formation of intercellular metabolic networks. *Trends Microbiol.* **25**, 349-361 (2017).

6. S. Zamenhof, H. H. Eichhorn, Study of microbial evolution through loss of biosynthetic functions: establishment of "defective" mutants. *Nature* **216**, 456-458 (1967).

7. G. D'Souza *et al.*, Less is More: Selective advantages can explain the prevalent loss of biosynthetic genes in bacteria. *Evolution* **68**, 2559-2570 (2014).

8. D. Dykhuizen, Selection for tryptophan auxotrophs of *Escherichia coli* in glucose-limited chemostats as a test of the energy conservation hypothesis of evolution. *Evolution* **32**, 125-150 (1978).

9. S. Pande *et al.*, Fitness and stability of obligate cross-feeding interactions that emerge upon gene loss in bacteria. *ISME J* **8**, 953-962 (2013).

10. G. D'Souza, C. Kost, Experimental evolution of metabolic dependency in bacteria. *PLoS Genet.* **12**, e1006364 (2016).

11. O. F. Schakel, R. K. Fritts, A. J. Zmuda, S. Setayeshgar, J. B. McKinlay, Microbial cross-feeding stabilized by segregation of a dependent mutant from its independent ancestor. *ISME J* **19**, wraf131 (2025).

12. F. Harrison, J. Paul, R. C. Massey, A. Buckling, Interspecific competition and siderophore-mediated cooperation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *ISME J* **2**, 49-55 (2008).

13. Z. Dumas, R. Kümmerli, Cost of cooperation rules selection for cheats in bacterial metapopulations. *J. Evol. Biol.* **25**, 473-484 (2012).

14. A. R. T. Figueiredo, A. Wagner, R. Kümmerli, Ecology drives the evolution of diverse social strategies in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 5214-5228 (2021).

15. T. N. Nguyen, Q. G. Phan, L. P. Duong, K. P. Bertrand, R. E. Lenski, Effects of carriage and expression of the Tn10 tetracycline-resistance operon on the fitness of *Escherichia coli* K12. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **6**, 213-225 (1989).

16. F. C. Neidhardt, J. L. Ingraham, M. Schaechter, *Physiology of the bacterial cell: a molecular approach* (Sinauer Associates Inc, Sunderland, MA, 1990).

17. M. Lynch, G. K. Marinov, The bioenergetic costs of a gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 15690-15695 (2015).

18. D. M. Stoebel, A. M. Dean, D. E. Dykhuizen, The cost of expression of Escherichia coli lac operon proteins is in the process, not in the products. *Genetics* **178**, 1653-1660 (2008).

19. E. Dekel, U. Alon, Optimality and evolutionary tuning of the expression level of a protein. *Nature* **436**, 588-592 (2005).

20. Z. Wu *et al.*, Expression level is a major modifier of the fitness landscape of a protein coding gene. *Nat Ecol Evol* **6**, 103-115 (2022).

21. B. LaSarre, A. L. McCully, J. T. Lennon, J. B. McKinlay, Microbial mutualism dynamics governed by dose-dependent toxicity of cross-fed nutrients. *ISME J* **11**, 337-348 (2017).

22. R. K. Fritts *et al.*, Enhanced nutrient uptake is sufficient to drive emergent cross-feeding between bacteria in a synthetic community. *ISME J* **14**, 2816–2828 (2020).

23. A. L. McCully *et al.*, An *Escherichia coli* nitrogen starvation response Is important for mutualistic coexistence with *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *Appl and Environ Microbiol* **84**, e00404-00418 (2018).

24. A. L. McCully, B. LaSarre, J. B. McKinlay, Recipient-biased competition for an intracellularly generated cross-fed nutrient is required for coexistence of microbial mutualists. *mBio* **8**, e01620-01617 (2017).

25. A. L. McCully, B. LaSarre, J. B. McKinlay, Growth-independent cross-feeding modifies boundaries for coexistence in a bacterial mutualism. *Environ. Microbiol.* **19**, 3538-3550 (2017).

26. Y. C. Chuang *et al.*, Bacterial adenine cross-feeding stems from a purine salvage bottleneck. *ISME J* **18**, wrae034 (2024).

27. B. LaSarre, A. M. Deutschbauer, C. E. Love, J. B. McKinlay, Covert Cross-Feeding Revealed by Genome-Wide Analysis of Fitness Determinants in a Synthetic Bacterial Mutualism. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **86**, e00543-00520 (2020).

28. J. B. McKinlay, C. S. Harwood, Carbon dioxide fixation as a central redox cofactor recycling mechanism in bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 11669-11675 (2010).

29. P. L. Foster, H. Lee, E. Popodi, J. P. Townes, H. Tang, Determinants of spontaneous mutation in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as revealed by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, E5990-5999 (2015).

30. H. Lee, E. Popodi, H. Tang, P. L. Foster, Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, E2774-2783 (2012).

31. K. R. Fixen, C. S. Harwood, A polymorphism in the oxygen-responsive repressor PpsR2 confers a growth advantage to *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* under low light. *Photosynth Res* **129**, 199-204 (2016).

32. J. B. McKinlay, C. S. Harwood, Calvin cycle flux, pathway constraints, and substrate oxidation state together determine the H_2 biofuel yield in photoheterotrophic bacteria. *mBio* **2**, e00323-00310 (2011).

33. A. A. Sangani, A. L. McCully, B. LaSarre, J. B. McKinlay, Fermentative *Escherichia coli* makes a substantial contribution to H_2 production in coculture with phototrophic *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **366**, fnz162 (2019).

34. F. E. Rey, Y. Oda, C. S. Harwood, Regulation of uptake hydrogenase and effects of hydrogen utilization on gene expression in *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *J. Bacteriol.* **188**, 6143-6152 (2006).

35. K. B. Pechter *et al.*, Molecular basis of bacterial longevity. *mBio* **8**, e01726-01717 (2017).

36. F. W. Larimer *et al.*, Complete genome sequence of the metabolically versatile photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **22**, 55-61 (2004).

37. O. Baars, F. M. M. Morel, X. Zhang, The purple non-sulfur bacterium *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* produces novel petrobactin-related siderophores under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**, 1667-1676 (2018).

38. A. E. Kazakov *et al.*, Comparative genomics of regulation of fatty acid and branched-chain amino acid utilization in proteobacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **191**, 52-64 (2009).

39. D. Preussger, A. Herbig, C. Kost, Synergistic coevolution accelerates genome evolution. *bioRxiv*, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.1105.1119.444833 (2021).

40. C. Petersen, L. B. Møller, P. Valentin-Hansen, The cryptic adenine deaminase gene of
Escherichia coli. Silencing by the nucleoid-associated DNA-binding protein, H-NS, and
activation by insertion elements. *J. Biol. Chem.* **277**, 31373-31380 (2002).
41. K. F. Jensen, The Escherichia coli K-12 "wild types" W3110 and MG1655 have an rph
frameshift mutation that leads to pyrimidine starvation due to low pyrE expression levels.
J. Bacteriol. **175**, 3401-3407 (1993).
42. A. S. Bagnara, L. R. Finch, The effects of bases and nucleosides on the intracellular
contents of nucleotides and 5-phosphoribosyl 1-pyrophosphate in *Escherichia coli*. *Eur.*
J. Biochem. **41**, 421-430 (1974).
43. Y. C. Chuang, J. B. McKinlay, Metabolite toxicity as a driver of bacterial metabolite
externalization. *bioRxiv*, https://doi.org/10.64898/62026.64801.64817.700106 (2026).
44. T. N. Grainger, J. M. Levine, B. Gilbert, The invasion criterion: a common currency for
ecological research. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **34**, 925-935 (2019).
45. S. P. Hammarlund, J. M. Chacón, W. R. Harcombe, A shared limiting resource leads to
competitive exclusion in a cross-feeding system. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21**, 759-771 (2019).
46. P. Chesson, Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* **31**,
343-366 (2000).
47. J. B. McKinlay, Are Bacteria Leaky? Mechanisms of Metabolite Externalization in
Bacterial Cross-Feeding. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **77**, 277-297 (2023).
48. S. Sulheim *et al.*, Microbes use transporters to regulate the release of metabolites based
on value. *bioRxiv*, https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.1108.1119.671024 (2025).
49. C. M. Jones, N. J. Hernandez Lozada, B. F. Pfleger, Efflux systems in bacteria and their
metabolic engineering applications. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **99**, 9381-9393 (2015).
50. D. Satory, J. A. Halliday, P. Sivaramakrishnan, R. C. Lua, C. Herman, Characterization
of a novel RNA polymerase mutant that alters DksA activity. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 4187-4194
(2013).
51. G. Yousif *et al.*, Obligate cross-feeding of metabolites is common in soil microbial
communities. *bioRxiv*, https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.1101.1129.635426 (2025).
52. M. T. Mee, J. J. Collins, G. M. Church, H. H. Wang, Syntrophic exchange in synthetic
microbial communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, E2149-2156 (2014).
53. S. Giri, G. Yousif, S. Shitut, L. Ona, C. Kost, Prevalent emergence of reciprocity among
cross-feeding bacteria. *ISME Commun* **2**, 71 (2022).
54. S. Giri *et al.*, Metabolic dissimilarity determines the establishment of cross-feeding
interactions in bacteria. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, 5547-5557 e5546 (2021).
55. E. H. Wintermute, P. A. Silver, Emergent cooperation in microbial metabolism. *Mol.*
Syst. Biol. **6**, 407 (2010).
56. J. L. Snoep *et al.*, Differences in sensitivity to NADH of purified pyruvate dehydrogenase
complexes of *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Lactococcus lactis*, *Azotobacter vinelandii* and
Escherichia coli: implications for their activity in vivo. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **114**, 279-
283 (1993).
57. C. Beloin, A. Roux, J. M. Ghigo, *Escherichia coli* biofilms. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*
322, 249-289 (2008).
58. C. Prigent-Combaret *et al.*, Complex regulatory network controls initial adhesion and
biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli* via regulation of the *csgD* gene. *J. Bacteriol.* **183**,
7213-7223 (2001).

697 59. E. R. Holden *et al.*, Massively parallel transposon mutagenesis identifies temporally
698   essential genes for biofilm formation in *Escherichia coli*. *Microb Genom* **7**, 000673
699   (2021).

700 60. C. S. Barker, B. M. Pruss, P. Matsumura, Increased motility of *Escherichia coli* by
701   insertion sequence element integration into the regulatory region of the flhD operon. *J.*
702   *Bacteriol.* **186**, 7529-7537 (2004).

703 61. E. Krin, A. Danchin, O. Soutourina, Decrypting the H-NS-dependent regulatory cascade
704   of acid stress resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *BMC Microbiol.* **10**, 273 (2010).

705 62. E. C. Hobbs, J. L. Astarita, G. Storz, Small RNAs and small proteins involved in
706   resistance to cell envelope stress and acid shock in *Escherichia coli*: analysis of a bar-
707   coded mutant collection. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 59-67 (2010).

708 63. A. K. Sayed, J. W. Foster, A 750 bp sensory integration region directs global control of
709   the *Escherichia coli* GadE acid resistance regulator. *Mol. Microbiol.* **71**, 1435-1450
710   (2009).

711 64. Y. Gao *et al.*, Unraveling the functions of uncharacterized transcription factors in
712   *Escherichia coli* using ChIP-exo. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, 9696-9710 (2021).

713 65. B. E. Mazny, O. F. Sheff, B. LaSarre, A. McKinlay, J. B. McKinlay, Complete genome
714   sequence of *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* CGA0092 and corrections to the *R. palustris*
715   CGA009 genome sequence. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **12**, e0128522 (2023).

716 66. J. Quandt, M. F. Hynes, Versatile suicide vectors which allow direct selection for gene
717   replacement in Gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* **127**, 15-21 (1993).

718 67. F. E. Rey, E. K. Heiniger, C. S. Harwood, Redirection of metabolism for biological
719   hydrogen production. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**, 1665-1671 (2007).

720 68. M.-K. Kim, C. S. Harwood, Regulation of benzoate-CoA ligase in *Rhodopseudomonas*
721   *palustris*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **83**, 199-203 (1991).

722 69. B. LaSarre, R. Morlen, G. C. Neumann, C. S. Harwood, J. B. McKinlay, Nitrous oxide
723   reduction by two partial denitrifying bacteria requires denitrification intermediates that
724   cannot be respired. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **90**, e0174123 (2024).

725 70. K. A. Datsenko, B. L. Wanner, One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
726   *Escherichia coli K-12* using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 6640-6645
727   (2000).

728 71. T. Baba *et al.*, Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout
729   mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2**, 2006.0008 (2006).

730 72. J. J. Huang, E. K. Heiniger, J. B. McKinlay, C. S. Harwood, Production of hydrogen gas
731   from light and the inorganic electron donor thiosulfate by *Rhodopseudomonas palustris*.
732   *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**, 7717-7722 (2010).

733 73. M. Martin, Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
734   *EMBnet j* **17** (2011).

735 74. D. E. Deatherage, J. E. Barrick, "Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved
736   microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq" in Engineering and
737   Analyzing Multicellular Systems: Methods and Protocols*, L. Sun, W. Shou, Eds.
738   (Springer New York, New York, NY, 2014), 10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12, pp. 165-
739   188.

Figures and legends

Fig 1. Long-term anaerobic monoculture and coculture conditions. All cultures were laid flat without shaking and were constantly illuminated. **(A)** Phototrophic *R. palustris* NifA* (CGA676) was fed a mixture of organic acids (OAcs), ethanol, and $NaHCO_3$ to mimic carbon sources available from *E. coli* fermentation products. The NifA* mutation causes NH_4^+ excretion during N_2 fixation. H_2 is also produced as a co-product of N_2 fixation. *R. palustris* also excretes adenine independent of the NifA* mutation. **(B)** *E. coli* PFM2 was fed 10 mM NH_4Cl and 10 mM glucose, which it fermented to a mixture of OAcs, ethanol, CO_2, and H_2. **(C)** Cocultures were fed 25 mM glucose. Growth of each species is dependent on the exchange of NH_4^+ and OAcs.

Fig 2. Differentially enriched mutations in long-term monocultures and cocultures. Mutation counts and fractions are the sum of mutations across all 10 lines in each condition. To be counted, mutations had to meet the following criteria: (i) exclude synonymous mutations, (ii) no exact mutation in the opposing monoculture or coculture condition, (iii) observed in the last two sequenced timepoints, (*Rp* monoculture, generations 781 and 803; *Ec* monoculture, generations 500 and 650; coculture, generations 655 and 732), (iv) with a frequency >0.1 in the last timepoint.

763
764
Fig 3. Mutations enriched in *R. palustris* NifA* in long-term monocultures and
cocultures. Each point represents a mutation in a single lineage. Mutations are shown
if they are not a synonymous mutation and were observed: (i) exclude synonymous
mutations, (ii) no exact mutation in the opposing monoculture or coculture condition, (iii)
observed in the last two sequenced timepoints, (*Rp* monoculture, gen 781 and 803; *Rp*
coculture, gen 655 and 732), (iv) with a frequency >0.1 in the last timepoint, and (v) the
same gene or intergenic region was mutated in at least two lines for a given condition.
Generation: gen.

773
Fig 4. *R. palustris* monocultures evolve H₂ utilization. H_2 was quantified in 7-day-old
cultures at selected time points for *R. palustris* monoculture lines 1-10 **(A)** and coculture
lines 21-30 **(B)**. **(C)** *R. palustris* NifA* (CGA676) contains a *hupV* mutation that prevents
the H_2 sensor HupUV from repressing HoxJ. HoxJ then constitutively represses the
transcriptional activator of hydrogenase (H_2ase) genes, HoxA. **(D)** Stationary phase H_2
in the NifA* ancestor without and with a 5-bp *hoxJ* deletion commonly observed in
evolved monocultures. Cultures were grown in the same MDC medium used for
monoculture serial transfers plus 1 µM $NiSO_4$. **(E)** Stationary phase H_2 levels in the
NifA* ancestor without or with *hupV* repaired (*hupV*+) or *hoxJ* deleted. Monocultures
were grown in MDC with 20 mM acetate. Cocultures were grown in same media used
for coculture serial transfers. **(D, E)** Bars, mean ± SD; n=3.

785
786
Fig 5. Siderophore gene loss is prevalent in *R. palustris* monocultures where
gene expression is high. A, B. *R. palustris* siderophore gene (RPA2386-90) mutation
frequencies in monoculture (**A**) and coculture lines (**B**). No mutations were observed in
lines 21, 25, and 27. Repeated lines with the same symbols in a given graph indicate
different mutations in the same gene. **C, D.** RT-qPCR quantification of siderophore
synthesis genes in *R. palustris* (**C**; RPA2390 relative to *fixJ*) and in *E. coli* (**D**; *entF*
relative to *hcaT*). Values are the mean ± SD, n = 4. Statistically significant differences
from the monoculture (mono) condition for each strain were determined using an
unpaired two-tail t-test; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; co, coculture; Fe, ammonium ferric
citrate.
797

798
799
Fig 6. Mutations enriched in *E. coli* PFM2 in long-term monocultures and
cocultures. Each point represents a mutation in a single lineage. Mutations are shown
if they are not a synonymous mutation and were observed: (i) exclude synonymous
mutations, (ii) no exact mutation in the opposing monoculture or coculture condition, (iii)
observed in the last two sequenced timepoints, (*Ec* monoculture, gen 500 and 650; *Ec*
coculture, gen 655 and 732), (iv) with a frequency >0.1 in the last timepoint, and (v) the
same gene or intergenic region was mutated in at least two lines for a given condition.
Generation: gen.

808
Fig 7. Amplification of *adeP* alone does not benefit PFM2 in the presence of
adenine. A. Region of amplified *E. coli* genes observed in MG1655 and PFM2
cocultures but not in monocultures. The boundaries of the amplification differed
between lineages but always contained these genes. **B, C.** Level of amplification
observed in MG1655 in coculture (**B**) and in PFM2 in coculture (**C**). **D.** Effect of over-
expressing *adeP* on PFM2 growth in monocultures with and without 35 μM adenine
(ade). Over-expression was achieved by expressing *adeP* from the plasmid pCA24N
with 30 μM IPTG. Data points are mean ± 95% C.I.; n=3. EV, empty vector. **E.** Growth
rates from the same conditions as panel **D**; Bars, mean ± 95% C.I.; n=6, combining two
separate experiments. Different letters indicate statistical differences (*p* <0.05) from a
one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

820
Fig 8. *E. coli* adenine auxotrophs are not prevalent in long-term cocultures.
Randomly chosen evolved *E. coli* isolates (generation 650; n=4) were grown overnight
in monoculture conditions with and without 50 µM adenine alongside the ancestral strain
(Anc; PFM2) and a *ΔpurH* (*ΔH*) mutant (n=3). Each point represents a single
measurement for a single isolate; replicate measurements were not made for any
isolate. Each line connects measurements for the same isolate grown with and without
adenine to facilitate comparisons.

829
830
Fig 9. Mutations in genes for nucleotide synthesis are enriched in *E. coli* MG1655
but not PFM2. (A,B) Each point represents a mutation frequency in a given gene for a
given line of *E. coli* PFM2 **(A)** or MG1655 **(B)**. **(C).** *E. coli* MG1655 adenine sensitivity
can be relieved by repairing *rph* or deleting *purH*. Strains were grown in *E. coli*
monoculture conditions without (-) or with (+) 35 µM adenine. ***Statistical differences (*p*
<0.05) compared to the wild-type (WT) conditions without adenine using a one-way
ANOVA. NG, no growth.

838
Fig 10. Adenine availability does not provide a fitness advantage to an engineered
E. coli **PFM2 purine auxotroph. A.** Growth of WT *E. coli* PFM2 and its *ΔpurH* mutant
in monoculture with and without 35 μM adenine (ade). Points, mean ± SD as shading;
n=3. **B.** Monoculture growth rates for WT PFM2 vs a corresponding *ΔpurH* mutant with
and without 35 μM adenine. 'a,' indicates statistically similar values ($p > 0.01$) as
determined using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test. Bars,
mean ± SD; n=3. **C.** Invasion-from-rare assay competing a *ΔpurH* mutant against its
PFM2 parent in coculture with *R. palustris* CGA676 under N_2-fixing conditions where *R.*
palustris excretes NH_4^+ and adenine. The orange line is the best fit from a linear
regression analysis with 95% CI shaded in purple. Change in frequency = (*E. coli ΔpurH*
/ (*E. coli* WT + *E. coli ΔpurH*))$_{final}$ – (*E. coli ΔpurH* / (*E. coli* WT + *E. coli ΔpurH*))$_{initial}$. **B,**
C. Each data point represents a measurement from a single biological replicate.
851

852
Fig 11. Purine biosynthesis genes are down-regulated in coculture. RT-qPCR
quantification of *purH* transcript levels in *E. coli* PFM2 (**A**; relative to *hcaT*) and *R.*
palustris CGA676 (**B**; relative to *fixJ*). 100 μM adenine (+ ade) was used to ensure that
it was not used up before harvesting RNA. Bars, mean ± SD, n = 3-4. Statistically
significant differences from the monoculture condition for each strain were determined
using an unpaired two-tail t-test; ***, $p < 0.001$; ****, $p < 0.0001$.

859
860
Fig 12. *R. palustris* auxotrophs are not prevalent in long-term cocultures.
Randomly chosen evolved-*R. palustris* isolates (generation 650) were grown overnight
in monoculture conditions with and without 50 μM adenine alongside the ancestral strain
(Anc; CGA676) (n=4). Monoculture isolates were grown for 6 days and coculture
isolates were grown for 7 days due to inclement weather, each with a corresponding
ancestral control. Each point represents a single measurement for a single isolate;
replicate measurements were not made for any isolate. Each line connects
measurements for the same isolate grown with and without adenine to facilitate
comparisons.