Re-programming of GM-CSF-dependent alveolar macrophages through GSK3 activity modulation

Israel Ríos[1], Cristina Herrero[1], Mónica Torres-Torresano[2], Baltasar López-Navarro[2], María Teresa

Schiaffino[2], Francisco Díaz-Crespo[3], Alicia Nieto-Valle[4], Rafael Samaniego[4], Yolanda Sierra-

Palomares[5], Eduardo Oliver[5], Fernando Revuelta-Salgado[6], Ricardo García-Luján[6], Paloma Sánchez-

Mateos[4,7], Rafael Delgado[8,9], Amaya Puig-Kröger[2#*] , Ángel L. Corbí[1#*].

[1] Myeloid Cell Laboratory, Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.

[2] Unidad de Inmuno-Metabolismo e Inflamación, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio

Marañón (IiSGM), Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.

[3] Servicio de Anatomía Patológica, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid,

Spain.

[4] Unidad de Microscopía Confocal, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM),

Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón, Madrid, Spain.

[5] Experimental Pharmacology and New Tragets in Cardiopulmonary Disorders, Centro de

Investigaciones Biológicas, CSIC, Madrid, Spain.

[6] Servicio de Neumología. Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre. Madrid, Spain.

[7] Department of Immunology, Ophthalmology and ENT, Universidad Complutense School of

Medicine, Madrid, Spain.

[8] Instituto de Investigación Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre (imas12), Madrid, Spain.

[9] Universidad Complutense School of Medicine, Madrid, Spain.

# APK and ALC contributed equally to this work.

* Corresponding authors: Dr. Ángel L. Corbí (acorbi@cib.csic.es). Centro de Investigaciones

Biológicas "Margarita Salas", CSIC. Ramiro de Maeztu, 9. Madrid 28040; Phone: 34-91-8373112;

Dr. Amaya Puig-Kröger (amaya.puig@iisgm.com). Unidad de Inmuno-Metabolismo e Inflamación,

Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón (IiSGM), Madrid, Spain. Phone: 34-91-

4269268.

SUMMARY

Monocyte-derived macrophages recruited into inflamed tissues can acquire an array of functional

states depending on the extracellular environment. Since the anti-inflammatory/pro-fibrotic

macrophage profile is determined by MAFB, whose activity/protein levels are regulated by GSK3,

we addressed the macrophage re-programming potential of GSK3 modulation. GM-CSF-dependent

(GM-MØ) and M-CSF-dependent monocyte-derived macrophages (M-MØ) exhibited distinct levels

of inactive GSK3, and inhibiting GSK3 in GM-MØ led to acquisition of transcriptional, phenotypic

and functional properties characteristic of M-MØ (enhanced expression of IL-10 and monocyte-

recruiting factors, and higher efferocytosis). These re-programming effects were also observed

upon GSK3α/β knockdown, and through GSK3 inhibition in *ex vivo* isolated human alveolar

macrophages (AMØ). Notably, GSK3 downmodulation potentiated the transcriptional signature of

Interstitial Macrophages (IMØ) while suppressed the AMØ-specific gene profile. Indeed,

heightened levels of inactive GSK3 and MAFB-dependent proteins were observed in severe COVID-

19 patients lung macrophages, highlighting the GSK3-MAFB axis as a therapeutic target for

macrophage re-programming.

INTRODUCTION

Macrophages exhibit considerable functional plasticity during inflammatory responses,

transitioning from pro-inflammatory activities to tissue repair and inflammation resolution [1,2]. This

functional versatility of macrophages is intrincately tied to factors such as their ontogeny (fetal

origin vs. monocyte-derived) and the specific tissue and extracellular environment [3–5]. Notably,

monocyte-derived macrophages are oppositely instructed by M-CSF or GM-CSF [6–12]. GM-CSF

directs monocyte-derived macrophages (GM-MØ) towards heightened pro-inflammatory activity

[10,13–15] and the acquisition of the lung alveolar macrophage phenotype and gene profile [16]. In fact,

GM-CSF is essential for generating lung alveolar macrophages [17], crucial for surfactant

homeostasis, whereas deficient GM-CSF signaling leads to pulmonary alveolar proteinosis [18], a

condition marked by defective surfactant clearance and disruption of pulmonary homeostasis due

to alveolar macrophage dysfunction [19]. Conversely, M-CSF gives rise to anti-inflammatory, pro-

resolving, and immunosuppressive monocyte-derived macrophages (M-MØ) [1,2,20] but promotes

the development of pro-fibrotic pathogenic monocyte-derived macrophages in lung pathologies

like idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) [21] and severe COVID-19 [22]. The pathological relevance of

GM-MØ and M-MØ subsets is evident in severe COVID-19, with a huge increase in monocyte-

derived M-MØ and a reduction of tissue-resident GM-MØ-like lung macrophages [23–25].

Despite their crucial role in maintaining tissue homeostasis, deregulated macrophage functional

specialization contributes to various human diseases, including tumors and chronic inflammatory

pathologies [26]. Consequently, macrophage re-programming has emerged as a promising

therapeutic approach [26]. However, achieving this necessitates a profound understanding of the

transcriptional and signaling mechanisms governing the pro- and anti-inflammatory nature of

macrophages. In this regard, recent findings indicate that the homeostatic and reparative

transcriptional profile of human M-MØ is orchestrated by MAF and MAFB [27–29], closely related

transcription factors that regulate stemness and self-renewal in the mouse haematopoietic lineage

[30–35]. In fact, the distinctive expression of MAFB in M-MØ, influencing IL-10 production [27,36], also

mediates macrophage re-programming induced by methotrexate [37] and LXR ligands [38].

Given the pivotal role of MAFB/MAF in human macrophage functional specification, and

considering

the regulation of large MAF factors through GSK3-mediated phosphorylation-induced proteasomal

degradation [30], we hypothesized that modulating GSK3 activity could offer a viable avenue for re-

programming monocyte-derived macrophages. Our findings reveal significant differences in

inhibitory phosphorylation of GSK3β (Ser^9-GSK3β) and GSK3α (Ser^{21}-GSK3α) between M-MØ and

GM-MØ, and that GSK3 inhibition (using CHIR-99021) or knock-down (using siRNA) in GM-MØ

prompts the adoption of transcriptional, phenotypic, and functional characteristics resembling

those of M-MØ. This re-programming effect was manifested in elevated expression of IL-10,

monocyte-recruiting chemokines, pro-fibrotic factors, as well as in enhanced phagocytic capability,

all correlating with increased MAFB expression. The re-programming action of GSK3 inhibition was

also observed in control monocytes and in monocytes exposed to a pathological pro-inflammatory

environment. Importantly, GSK3 inhibition in *ex vivo* isolated human Alveolar Macrophages

(AMØ), led to the loss of the AMØ gene signature and the acquisition of the gene profile that

characterizes Interstitial Macrophages (IMØ). Since pathogenic lung macrophages in severe

COVID-19 exhibit high levels of inactive GSK3 and heightened levels of MAFB and MAFB-

dependent proteins, our results underscore the potent macrophage re-programming impact of

GSK3 inhibition, and highlight the GSK3-MAFB axis as a promising therapeutic target for

macrophage re-programming.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Generation of human monocyte-derived macrophages *in vitro* and treatments. Human

Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs) were isolated from buffy coats from anonymous

healthy donors over a Lymphoprep (Nycomed Pharma) gradient according to standard procedures.

Monocytes were purified from PBMC by magnetic cell sorting using anti-CD14 microbeads

(Miltenyi Biotec). Monocytes (>95% CD14$^+$ cells) were cultured at 0.5 x 10^6 cells/ml in Roswell Park

Memorial Institute (RPMI 1640, Gibco) medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS,

Biowest) (complete medium) for 7 days in the presence of 1000 U/ml GM-CSF or 10 ng/ml M-CSF

(ImmunoTools) to generate GM-CSF-polarized macrophages (GM-MØ) or M-CSF-polarized

macrophages (M-MØ), respectively [27]. Cytokines were added every two days and cells were

maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2 and 21% O_2. Alveolar macrophages

(AMØ) were obtained from remains of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) of patients undergoing

bronchoscopy for diagnostic purposes under a protocol approved by the Internal Review Board of

Instituto de Investigación Hospital 12 de Octubre (Reference TP24/0183). BAL procedure was

performed with a flexible bronchoscope and a total volume of 150 ml of sterile isotonic saline

solution at 37°C. BAL fluid fractions were maintained at 4°C and cellular debris removed using a 40

μm cell strainer. BAL cells were washed with PBS, centrifuged and resuspended in complete

medium containing 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (#15140-122,Gibco), 50

μg/ml gentamicin (#G1397, Sigma-Aldrich), and 2.5 μg/ml amphotericin B (#A2942, Sigma-Aldrich).

The cells were seeded at 6–8 × 10^5 cells per well in 12-well plates for 1 h and washed extensively

to remove non-adherent cells. Finally, 2 ml of complete medium with antibiotics was added to

each well and the adherent cells incubated for 16–18 h before treatments. More than 95% of

adherent BAL cells were identified as AMØ according to morphology and phenotypic analysis.

When indicated, monocytes, GM-MØ or AMØ were exposed to the GSK3 inhibitors CHIR99021 (10

μM), SB-216763 (10 μM) or LiCl (10 mM), using DMSO as control. For macrophage activation, cells

were treated with either 100 ng/ml CL264 (InvivoGen), 10 ng/ml *E. coli* 0111:B4 lipopolysaccharide

(LPS-EB Ultrapure, Invivogen), TNF (20 ng/ml, ImmunoTools) or IFNγ (5 ng/ml, ImmunoTools).

Human cytokine production was measured in M-MØ culture supernatants using commercial ELISA

[CCL2 (BD Biosciences), IL-10, CCL18, LGMN, SPP1 (R&D Systems) and activin A (BD Biosciences)]

and following the procedures supplied by the manufacturers.

siRNA transfection. GM-MØ (1 × 10^6 cells) were transfected with a human *GSK3A*-specific and/or

GSK3B-specific siRNA (50 nM) (Dharmacon) using HiPerFect (Qiagen). Silencer Select Negative

Control No. 2 siRNA (siCtrl, 50 nM) (Dharmacon) was used as negative control siRNA. Six hours

after transfection, cells were either allowed to recover from transfection in complete medium (66

hours), and lysed. Knockdown of GSK3α/β was confirmed by Western blot.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR). Total RNA was extracted using the total RNA and

protein isolation kit (Macherey-Nagel). RNA samples were reverse-transcribed with High-Capacity

cDNA Reverse Transcription reagents kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed with LightCycler® 480 Probes Master (Roche

Life Sciences) and Taqman probes on a standard plate in a Light Cycler® 480 instrument (Roche

Diagnostics). Gene-specific oligonucleotides (*IL10*: Forward, 5′-TCACTCATGGCTTTGTAGATGC-3′,

and   Reverse,   5′-   GTGGAGCAGGTGAAGAATGC-3′;   *LGMN*:   Forward,   5′-

GAACACCAATGATCTGGAGGA-3′, and Reverse, 5′-GGAGACGATCTTACGCACTGA-3′) were designed

using the Universal ProbeLibrary software (Roche Life Sciences). Results were normalized to the

expression level of the endogenous references genes (*TBP*, *HPRT1*) and quantified using the ΔΔCT

(cycle threshold) method.

Western blot. Cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE (50 μg unless indicated otherwise) and

transferred onto an Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (PVDF; Millipore). After

blocking the unoccupied sites with 5% non-fat milk diluted in Tris-Buffered Saline plus Tween 20

(TBS-T), protein detection was carried out with antibodies against MAFB (HPA005653, Sigma

Aldrich), total GSK3α (#4337, Cell Signaling Technology), total GSK3β (#27C10, Cell Signaling

Technology), total GSK3 (#5676, Cell Signaling Technology), p-Ser^9-GSK3β (#5558, Cell Signaling

Technology), p-Ser^{21}-GSK3α (#9316, Cell Signaling Technology), p-Tyr^{279}/P-Tyr^{216}- GSK3α/β (#05-

413, Sigma Aldrich), CD163 (#MCA1853, Bio-Rad), MAF (sc-518062, Santa Cruz Biotechnology),

IL7R (sc-514445, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and FOLR2 (clone 94b, kindly provided by Dr. Takami

Matsuyama) [39], and vinculin (#V9131, Sigma-Aldrich) or GAPDH (sc-32233, Santa Cruz

Biotechnology) as protein loading controls. Quimioluminiscence was detected in a Chemidoc

Imaging system (BioRad) using SuperSignal™ West Femto (ThermoFisher Scientific).

RNA-sequencing and data analysis. RNA isolated from GM-MØ exposed to DMSO or the GSK3β

inhibitor CHIR-99021 (10 μM) for 48h, monocytes exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO, *ex vivo* isolated

AMØ exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO, or GM-MØ transfected with siRNA specific for *GSK3A*

and/or *GSK3B*, or a control siRNA, were subjected to sequencing on a BGISEQ-500 platform

(https://www.bgitechsolutions.com). RNAseq data were deposited in the Gene Expression

Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession GSE256538 (monocytes exposed to

CHIR99021 or DMSO), GSE256208 (GM-MØ exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO), GSE262463 (AMØ

exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO) or GSE266236 (GM-MØ transfected with siRNA specific for

GSK3A, GSK3B or a control siRNA). Low quality reads and reads with adaptors or unknown bases

were filtered to get the clean reads. Sequences were mapped to GRCh38 genome using HISAT2 [40]

or Bowtie2 [41], and clean reads for each gene were calculated using htseq-count [42] and the RSEM

software package [43]. Differential gene expression was assessed by using the R-package DESeq2.

Differentially expressed genes were analysed for annotated gene sets enrichment using ENRICHR

167 (http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr/) [44,45], and enrichment terms considered significant with a

168 Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted p value <0.05. For gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

169 (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp) [46], gene sets available at the website, as well

170 as gene sets generated from publicly available transcriptional studies

171 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds), were used. The datasets used throughout the manuscript

172 (either reported here for the first time or previously published) are listed and described in Table

173 S1.

174 **Re-analysis of single cell RNA-seq data.** Single cell RNA-Seq data from 8 healthy lung samples

175 were obtained from GSE128033 [47] and futher analyzed using R programming language. A total of

176 5898240 cells were filtered down to 21310 according to the following criteria: 1) number of genes

177 per cell (nFeature, > 200 and < 6000); 2) Unique Molecular Identifiers (nCount, > 1000) ; and 3) %

178 of mitochondrial genes (< 15 %). Data were then normalized using LogNormalize with a scale

179 factor of 10000 and the top 2000 features were identified with the vst method using Seurat

180 v.5.0.1. The resulting Seurat object was converted into an Anndata object using sceasy v.0.0.7 and

181 the integration was processed using scVI v.1.0.4 and Scanpy v.1.9.8 in a Python enviroment. The

182 trained model was re-converted into a Seurat object. The Seurat FindNeighbors function was used

183 with the scVI reduction to obtain the resulting clusters with a resolution of 1.2. To focus on

184 macrophages and monocytes, macrophage (*CD163*, *FABP4*, *LYVE1* as markers) and monocyte

185 (*FCN1* as marker) clusters were identified and re-integrated using scVI v.1.0.4 in a Python

186 enviroment and later re-clustered in Seurat v.5.0.1 with a resolution of 0.6.

187 **Phagocytosis assay.** Phagocytosis ability was assessed by flow cytometry using pHrodo Red *E. coli*

188 BioParticles Conjugates (Thermo Fisher), following the procedures recommended by the

189 manufacturer. Macrophages were cultured in 24-well plate and exposed to pHrodo bioparticles for

190 60 min at 37 °C/5% CO2. Cells were then harvested and assessed by flow cytometry.

Efferocytosis assay. Jurkat cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium without FCS for 16 h, and

then treated with staurosporine (0.5 μg/ml, SIGMA), followed by an incubation at 37 °C for 3 h.

Staurosporine treatment yielded a population of 83% annexin V + cells. Apoptotic cells (AC) were

resuspended at a concentration of 1 × 10^6 cells/ml and labeled with CellTrace™ Violet reagent

(0.5 μM, Invitrogen, Thermo Scientific) for 20 min. For efferocytosis, macrophages were cultured

with labeled AC (ratio 1:4) in p24 plates during 1 h at 37 °C. After 1 h, macrophages were rinsed

with PBS to remove unbound AC and detached with PBS 5 mM EDTA, and pelleted by

centrifugation before analyzing by flow cytometry.

Bioenergetics profile. The XF24 extracellular flux analyzer (Seahorse Biosciences, North Billerica,

MA) was used to determine the bioenergetic profile of intact cells. Briefly, cells were seeded

(200,000 cells/well) in XF24 plates (Seahorse Biosciences) and allowed to recover for 24 h. Cells

were then incubated in bicarbonate-free DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 11.11 mM

glucose, 2 mM L-glutamine, 1 mM pyruvate, and 2% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich) in a CO2-free incubator

for 1 h. The oxygen consumption rate (OCR) and extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), a proxy for

lactate production, were recorded to assess the mitochondrial respiratory activity and glycolytic

activity, respectively. After four measurements under basal conditions, cells were treated

sequentially with 1 μM oligomycin, 0.6 μM carbonyl cyanide p-(trifluoromethoxy)phenylhydrazone

(FCCP), 0.4 μM FCCP, and 0.5 μM rotenone plus 0.5 mM antimycin A (Sigma-Aldrich), with three

consecutive determinations under each condition that were subsequently averaged.

Nonmitochondrial respiration (OCR value after rotenone plus antimycin A addition) was

subtracted from all OCR measurements. ATP turnover was estimated from the difference between

the basal and the oligomycin-inhibited respiration, and the maximal respiratory capacity was the

rate in the presence of the uncoupler FCCP [48]. Six independent replicas of each analysis were done,

and results were normalized according to protein concentration.

Multicolor Fluorescence Confocal Microscopy

Lung samples were collected from autopsies performed on patients who died from diagnosed

SARS-CoV-2 infection or unrelated causes (myocardial infarction, as controls) at the Gregorio

Marañón Hospital, Madrid. Patient data and samples were obtained in accordance with Ethics

Committees of Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón requirements. Formalin-

fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and unmasked by steaming

in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer pH 9.0 (Dako Glostrup, Denmark) for 7 minutes. Slides were

blocked with 5 µg/ml human immunoglobulins solved in blocking serum-free medium (Dako) for

30 minutes, and then sequentially incubated with 5–10 µg/ml primary antibodies, overnight at

4°C, and proper fluorescent secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA, US)

for 1h. Washes were performed by immersion in PBS containing 0.05% Tween-20, and single-cell

quantification was performed in 3–5 fields imaged with the ACS_APO 20x/NA 0.60 objective of a

confocal microscope (Leica, SPE). Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of proteins of interest was

obtained at segmented CD68+ macrophages using the 'analyze particle' plugging of ImageJ2

software [49], as previously shown [50].

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were conducted using the GraphPad Prism software. For

comparison of means, and unless otherwise indicated, statistical significance of the generated

data was evaluated using the paired Student t test or One-Way ANOVA followed by multiple

comparisons using the Dunnett's test or Fisher's LSD test. In all cases, $p<0.05$ was considered as

statistically significant.

RESULTS

Monocyte-derived macrophages generated in the presence of GM-CSF (GM-MØ) or M-CSF (M-

MØ) differ in the state of activation of GSK3α and GSK3β. Monocyte-derived M-MØ exhibit a

transcriptional profile akin to that of pathogenic macrophages in severe COVID-19 [22], whereas GM-

MØ resemble lung alveolar macrophages (AMØ) [22]. Our prior research has established that MAFB

determines the transcriptional and functional specification of monocyte-derived M-MØ,

characterized by significantly higher MAFB levels compared to GM-MØ [27]. Since the protein levels

of large MAF family members (MAF, MAFA, MAFB, NRL) are controlled through GSK3

phosphorylation-dependent proteasome degradation [30], we initially assessed the relative levels of

the inhibitory (Ser^{21} for GSK3α and Ser^9 for GSK3β) and activation-associated (Tyr^{279} for GSK3α

and Tyr^{216} for GSK3β) phosphorylation of GSK3 in M-MØ and GM-MØ. Ser^{21}-GSK3α and Ser^9-

GSK3β phosphorylation was more pronounced in M-MØ, aligning with their elevated levels of

MAFB (Figure 1A). Conversely, no significant difference in Tyr^{279}-GSK3α and Tyr^{216}-GSK3β

phosphorylation was observed between M-MØ and GM-MØ (Figure 1B). Therefore, the

heightened expression of MAFB in M-MØ correlates with a higher inhibitory phosphorylation of

GSK3.

Inhibition of GSK3 leads to transcriptional, phenotypic, functional, and metabolic

reprogramming in monocyte-derived GM-MØ. Building upon the above findings, we aimed to

examine the macrophage re-programming effects of GSK3 activity modulation in GM-MØ through

the use of the GSK3 inhibitor CHIR-99021 (Figure 1C). Kinetics and dose-response analysis of the

effects of CHIR-99021 on MAFB expression showed that maximal protein levels were achieved

after a 24-48 hour exposure to 10µM CHIR-99021 (Supplementary Figure 1A), conditions that were

used hereafter. Indeed, treatment of GM-MØ with CHIR-99021 for 48 hours resulted in a

significant decrease in the activation-associated Tyr^{216}-GSK3β phosphorylation (Figure 1D) and a

robust elevation in MAFB protein levels (Figure 1E). Moreover, reversal of the Tyr^{216}/Ser^{9} GSK3β

phosphorylation ratio was also seen after CHIR-99021 treatment, albeit it was not observed after

exposure to other GSK3 inhibitors (SB-216763 or LiCl; Supplementary Figure 1B). RNA-Seq analysis

of CHIR-GM-MØ unveiled a huge transcriptional impact of GSK3 inhibition, with more than 2000

differentially expressed genes ([log_2FC]>1; *adj p* < 0.05) (Figure 1F). Corresponding with the higher

MAFB expression, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) showed that the CHIR-GM-MØ

transcriptome was significantly enriched in genes that either mark M-MØ (GSE68061) or are

upregulated during monocyte-to-M-MØ differentiation (Figure 1G). Conversely, GM-MØ-specific

genes (GSE68061) or genes increased during monocyte-to-GM-MØ differentiation, were

downregulated (Figure 1G). Additional gene ontology analysis further supported the

pathophysiological significance of the macrophage re-programming induced by modulating GSK3

activity. Thus, analysis of the MoMac-VERSE (a resource that identified conserved monocyte and

macrophage states derived from healthy and pathologic human tissues) (GSE178209) [2], indicated

that GSK3 inhibition augments the expression of the gene sets that define MoMac-VERSE subsets

identified as long-term resident macrophages [Cluster HES1_Mac (#2)] and tumor-associated

macrophages with an M2-like signature [Clusters HES1_Mac (#2), TREM2_Mac (#3), $C1Q^{hi}$_Mac

(#16) and FTL_Mac (#17)] [2] (Figure 1H). Moreover, GSK3 inhibition increased the expression of the

gene sets that define pathogenic pro-fibrotic macrophage subsets in severe COVID-19 [(Group 3 or

$SPP1^{+}$, GSE145926) [24], MoAM3 (GSE155249) [25] or $CD163^{+}/LGMN^{+}$ MØ (EGAS00001005634) [23]]

(Figure 1I). Therefore, GSK3 inhibition enhances MAFB expression and re-programs macrophage

by promoting the acquisition of the gene profile that defines anti-inflammatory and pro-fibrotic

macrophages.

In agreement with the increased MAFB levels detected in CHIR-GM-MØ (Figure 1E), screening for

mediators of the re-programming action of GSK3 inhibition using DoRoThEA [51]

(https://saezlab.github.io/dorothea/) revealed a significant enrichment in MAFB-regulated genes

(Figure 2A), and similar results were yielded upon Enrichr analysis

[44,45](https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr/) (Supplementary Figure 1C). In fact, GSEA confirmed that

CHIR-GM-MØ are enriched in MAFB-dependent genes [22,27] (Figure 2B-C) along with macrophage

genes whose regulatory regions are directly bound by MAFB [52] (75-geneset, Figure 2C), including

CCL2, *CCL8*, *CCL18*, *IGF1*, *IL10* and *LGMN* (Figure 2D). Importantly, all these changes were reflected

in the phenotype of CHIR-GM-MØ, that showed elevated expression of transcription factors like

MAF (Figure 2E), cell surface proteins like CD163 and FOLR2 (Figure 2E, F), and soluble factors like

CCL2, CCL18, IL-10, LGMN and SPP1 (Figure 2G), all of which are either direct MAFB targets [52] or

MAFB-regulated genes [22,27]. Likewise, the attenuated expression of the GM-MØ-specific *INHBA*

gene (Figure 2D) corresponded to a decrease in Activin A production by CHIR-GM-MØ (Figure 2G).

These results underscore the phenotypic re-programming induced by GSK3 inhibition in GM-MØ,

wherein there is an upregulation of MAFB-dependent transcription factors, cell surface markers,

and soluble factors which collectively define an anti-inflammatory/pro-fibrotic phenotype

characteristic of M-CSF-dependent monocyte-derived macrophages.

Remarkably, the phenotypic changes induced by GSK3 inhibition were also accompanied by

alterations in macrophage functional capabilities. Thus, CHIR-GM-MØ exhibited elevated anti-

inflammatory activity, evidenced by increased production of IL-10 upon activation by

inflammatory cytokines such as TNF or IFNγ, or exposure to PAMPs like LPS or the TLR7 ligand

CL264 (Figure 3A). In addition, CHIR-GM-MØ demonstrated increased phagocytic ability for *E. coli*

particles (Figure 3B), as well as enhanced efferocytosis (Figure 3C), with both activities being

typically higher in M-MØ. Collectively, these findings indicate that GSK3 inhibition re-educates

GM-MØ macrophages by facilitating the acquisition of transcriptional, phenotypic, and functional

properties characteristic of M-CSF-dependent monocyte-derived macrophages. Of note, in line

with the well-established connection between inflammatory potential and metabolic state in

macrophages [53], GSK3 inhibition also induced modifications in various metabolic parameters in

GM-MØ, including basal respiration and maximal ATP production (Supplementary Figure 1D-F).

siRNA-mediated knock-down of *GSK3A* and/or *GSK3B* enhances the expression of the MAFB-

dependent profiles of M-MØ and pathogenic pro-fibrotic lung macrophages. To fully support the

involvement of GSK3 in the macrophage re-programming action of CHIR-99021, we next assessed

the transcriptional consequences of knocking-down *GSK3A* (coding for GSK3α) and/or *GSK3B*

(coding for GSK3β) in GM-MØ (Figure 4A,B). Like CHIR-99021, silencing of both *GSK3A* and *GSK3B*

augmented the expression of MAFB, with the simultaneous silencing of both *GSK3A* and *GSK3B*

genes having a stronger effect (Figure 4B), and modulated the expression of 329 genes (Figure

4C,D). Of note, silencing of *GSK3A* and *GSK3B* reproduced the effects of CHIR-99021, as it strongly

enhanced the expression of genes upregulated by the inhibitor in either GM-MØ or *ex vivo*

isolated Alveolar Macrophages (Figure 4E and not shown). *GSK3A/B* knock-down triggered a

significant enrichment in the expression of MAFB-dependent genes (Figure 4F), genes acquired

along the monocyte-to-M-MØ differentiation (Figure 4G), and genes that mark M-MØ, while

reducing the expression of GM-MØ-specific genes (Figure 4G). Furthermore, in agreement with

the effect of CHIR-99021, *GSK3A/B* knock-down enhanced the expression of the MoMac-VERSE

macrophage clusters HES1_Mac (#2) and TREM2_Mac (#3) [2] (Figure 4H) as well as the gene sets

that define pathogenic pro-fibrotic macrophage subsets in severe COVID-19 [(SPP1^{+}, GSE145926)

[24], MoAM3 (GSE155249) [25] or CD163+/LGMN+ MØ (EGAS00001005634) [23]] (Figure 4I,

Supplementary Figure 2A). Conversely, silencing of *GSK3A* and *GSK3B* drastically reduced the

expression of the gene sets that characterize tissue-resident lung Alveolar Macrophages in COVID-

19, with the concomitant silencing of *GSK3A* and *GSK3B* again showing a stronger effect (Figure

4I). Altogether, these results support the relevance of GSK3α and GSK3β as relevant targets for

human macrophage re-programming.

GSK3 inhibition redirects monocytes towards acquiring a gene profile reminiscent of anti-

inflammatory M-MØ. Given the swift and profound anti-inflammatory impact observed in fully

differentiated GM-MØ upon GSK3 inhibition or knock-down, we next delved into assessing

whether modulation of GSK3 activity influence the differentiation capability of peripheral blood

monocytes. To that end, monocytes were exposed to CHIR-99021, and the resulting cells (CHIR-

Mon, Figure 5A) exhibited increased MAFB levels as early as 16 hours post-treatment (Figure 5B).

Remarkably, the 16-hour exposure to the GSK3 inhibitor induced significant alterations in the

expression of over 2000 genes ([$\log_2$FC]>1; *adj p* < 0.05) (Figure 5C) and sufficed to augment the

expression of M-MØ-specific genes and genes upregulated during M-CSF-dependent monocyte-to-

M-MØ differentiation (Figure 5D), including *CCL2*, *CD163* and *IL10* (Figure 5E). Notably, the

transcriptome of CHIR-Mon exhibited a significant enrichment in MAFB-dependent genes (Figure

5F, Supplementary Figure 2B) and genes characterizing the MAFB+ pathogenic macrophages in

severe COVID-19 (Supplementary Figure 2C), whereas it showed an under-representation of genes

inhibited by MAFB [52] (Figure 5F). Compared to DMSO, CHIR-99021 treatment upregulated the

expression of 25% of M-MØ-specific genes, including MAFB-dependent genes like *CCL2*, *IL10* and

CD163, while downregulating 30% of GM-MØ-specific genes (Figure 5G), and this transcriptional

effect was also evident in comparison with M-CSF-treated monocytes (Supplementary Figure 2D).

To broaden the scope of these findings, we also examined the consequences of inhibiting GSK3 in

monocytes exposed to a pathologic pro-inflammatory environment, namely synovial fluid from

patients with active rheumatoid arthritis (RASF) (Figure 5H). Evaluation of CHIR-99021-treated

monocytes in the presence of RASF revealed an enhanced expression of MAFB (Figure 5I) and

MAFB-dependent genes such as *IL10* and *LGMN* (Figure 5J). Therefore, GSK3 inhibition in

monocytes also leads to heightened MAFB expression, ultimately steering differentiation towards

anti-inflammatory monocyte-derived macrophages.

Inhibition of GSK3 in *ex vivo* isolated human alveolar macrophages promotes the acquisition of

an M-MØ-like anti-inflammatory profile. To further validate the macrophage re-programming

potential of GSK3 inhibition, we next conducted experiments on *ex vivo* isolated human alveolar

macrophages (AMØ, Figure 6A), whose development and gene expression profile is GM-CSF-

dependent [17–19]. To that end, we determined the transcriptome of three independent isolates of

AMØ, purified from broncho-alveolar lavages, after exposure to CHIR-99021 for 24 hours (Figure

6A). A total of 865 differentially expressed genes ([$\log_2$FC]>1; *adj p* < 0.05) were identified, with

316 genes upregulated in CHIR-AMØ (Figure 6B). Further comparisons and gene ontology analysis

revealed that GSK3 inhibition by CHIR-99021 (CHIR-AMØ) upregulated the gene sets that define

pathogenic pro-fibrotic macrophage subsets in severe COVID-19 [(SPP1$^+$, GSE145926) [24], MoAM3

(GSE155249) [25] or CD163$^+$/LGMN$^+$ MØ (EGAS00001005634) [23]] (Figure 6C,D; Supplementary Figure

2E). Conversely, CHIR-AMØ exhibited a reduced expression of the gene sets that characterize lung-

resident AMØ in severe COVID-19 [AMØ1+AMØ2 clusters from EGAS00001005634) [23], FABP4+

from GSE145926) [24], TRAM1 from GSE155249 [25]] (Figure 6D,E; Supplementary Figure 2F), including

key AMØ-specific genes like *FABP4* and *MARCO* (Figure 6E), and similar resuts were seen upon

analysis of AMØ-specific gene sets from other studies [25,54] (Supplementary Figure 2F). Like in the

case of CHIR-GM-MØ, CHIR-AMØ exhibited an over-representation of M-MØ-specific genes and

genes acquired along the monocyte-to-M-MØ differentiation (GSE188278) (Supplementary Figure

2G). Consistent with these findings, CHIR-AMØ exhibited higher expression of MAFB (Figure 6F)

whose increase correlated with an augmented secretion of Legumain, CCL2 and IL-10 (Figure 6G),

although the latter was only seen in two samples, probably reflecting heterogeneity in primary cell

responses. In contrast, lower levels of activin A were detected in CHIR-AMØ-conditioned medium

(Figure 6G). Remarkably, and in agreement with the shift in macrophage subsets that takes place

in severe COVID-19 lungs (loss of AMØ, appearance of monocyte-derived pro-fibrotic

macrophages) [22–25], the level of phosphorylated Ser^{21}-GSK3α and Ser^{9}-GSK3β was very significantly

augmented in lung macrophages (CD68+) from severe COVID-19 patients, an increase that

correlated with a robust enhancement of the macrophage expression of both MAFB and the

MAFB-dependent protein CD163 (Figure 6H). These results collectively demonstrate that

macrophage re-programming through GSK3 inhibition can also be achieved on *in vivo* isolated

macrophages, leading to enhanced expression of MAFB and MAFB-dependent genes, the

acquisition of an anti-inflammatory transcriptional profile and the loss of the signature of lung-

resident human AMØ.

GSK3- and MAFB-dependent genes are differentially expressed between human lung alveolar

and interstitial macrophages. To assess whether GSK3 inhibition also modulates the phenotypic

differences between human lung macrophage subsets in a physiological setting, we next took

advantage of the available information on human healthy lungs obtained by single cell-RNA

sequencing [47]. Re-analysis of the single cell-RNA sequencing data [47] (Figure 7A; Supplementary

Figure 3A-D) revealed several clusters expressing macrophage-associated markers (*CD163, FABP4,*

LYVE1, FCN1) (Supplementary Figure 3D), and whose subsequent re-clustering identified cell

subsets expressing previously defined markers for infiltrating monocytes (Cluster 4/7/12:*FCN1*) [23],

Alveolar macrophages (AMØ) (Clusters 0/2/5/13: *FABP4 TYMS*-negative; Cluster 9: *MARCO,*

INHBA; Cluster 11: PPARG), proliferating AMØ (Cluster 15: *TYMS, MKI67, TOP2A, NUSAP1*) [23], and

Interstitial Macrophages (IMØ) that variably express markers for tissue-resident macrophages like

LYVE1, RNASE1 and *LGMN* (Clusters 1/3/6/8/10/14) [1,23,47] (Figure 7B; Supplementary Figure 4A-C).

The comparison of IMØ and AMØ subsets revealed that the genes upregulated upon inhibition of

GSK3 include numerous MAFB-dependent genes (e.g., *MAFB, IL10, LGMN, SPP1, CCL2*) and

showed a higher expression in IMØ (Figure 7C). On the other hand, the genes downregulated by

CHIR-99021 were preferentially or exclusively expressed in AMØ, including *INHBA, GSN, FBP1,*

PPARG, AXL and *AQP3* (Figure 7C). Therefore, GSK3 inhibition prompts macrophages towards the

acquisition of a gene profile that resembles that of IMØ, while provokes the loss of the AMØ gene

signature. Such a conclusion was also reached upon analysis of pseudo bulk-RNA sequencing data

from GSE128033 [47]. Indeed, numerous MAFB-dependent and GSK3-regulated genes were found

within the 712 genes that are differentially expressed ([log$_2$FC]>1; *adj p* < 0.05) between IMØ and

AMØ (Figure 7D). Further GSEA evidenced that GSK3 inhibition in either GM-MØ (CHIR-GM-MØ)

(Figure 7E) or AMØ (CHIR-AMØ) (Figure 7F) very significantly enhance the expression of MAFB-

dependent IMØ-specific genes (as defined by Morse *et al.* [47] or by Li *et al.* [55]), while simultaneosuly

impaired the expression of genes characterizing human AMØ (Figure 7E-F). More importantly, a

similar effect was observed after *GSK3A/B* silencing in GM-MØ, that yielded an enhanced

expression of IMØ-specific genes and a downregulation of the gene set that defines AMØ (Figure

7G). Altogether, these results indicate that inhibiting GSK3 shifts the gene profile of Alveolar

Macrophages towards that of Interstitial Macrophages (or monocyte-derived macrophages), and

imply that the activation status of GSK3 significantly influences the specification of lung-resident

macrophages (AMØ *vs*. IMØ).

DISCUSSION

Our previous investigations have demonstrated that the macrophage re-programming effect of

LXR modulators [38,56] and methotrexate [37] coincide with alterations in the protein levels of MAFB.

Similarly, intravenous immunoglobulins have been shown to alter the inflammatory state of

macrophages and modulate MAFB levels [57]. Building upon these findings and others, we have

explored whether modulating the activity of GSK3, a primary regulator of MAFB protein levels and

activity, is sufficient to induce a shift in the inflammatory profile of human macrophages. Our

results indicate that GSK3 inhibition impairs the expression of genes associated with GM-CSF-

dependent differentiation of monocyte-derived macrophages while concurrently promoting the

acquisition of genes and functions associated with M-CSF-dependent monocyte-derived

macrophages, particularly those regulated by MAFB. The physiological relevance of these findings

is underscored by the contrasting effect that GSK3 inhibition has on genes that define lung-

resident alveolar macrophages (decrease) versus monocyte-derived macrophages recruited into

the lung (increase) during inflammatory responses. Thus, modulation of GSK3 activity emerges as a

plausible strategy for macrophage reprogramming in therapeutic interventions.

Therapeutic approaches aimed at re-educating tumor-associated macrophages into

immunostimulatory cells [58] may involve targeting the macrophage PI3Kγ intracellular signaling

pathway [59], which inhibits NFκB activation through Akt and mTOR [60], thereby inducing an anti-

inflammatory program that promotes immune suppression. Indeed, macrophage PI3Kγ

inactivation stimulates and prolongs NFκB activation, promoting an immunostimulatory

transcriptional program [60]. The ability of PI3Kγ to toggle between immune stimulation and

suppression aligns with our findings on GSK3 inhibition, as PI3Kγ inactivates GSK3 via

phosphorylation of Ser^9 (GSK3β) and Ser^{21} (GSK3α) [30]. Threfore, GSK3 inhibition may contribute to

the anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects of PI3Kγ inactivation by enhancing MAFB

expression. Moreover, MAFB might even participate in the macrophage reprogramming

consequences of mTOR modulation [61–63] due to the known reciprocal interactions between GSK3

and mTOR [64]. Hence, since the phosporylation of MAFB by GSK3 requires of a prior "priming"

phosphorylation event [30], identification of the MAFB priming kinase(s) emerges as a potentially

relevant effort in the search for additional targets for macrophage re-programming.

The significant impact of GSK3 inhibition by CHIR-99021 on the macrophage transcriptional profile

mirrors the effects observed with other GSK3 inhibitors on the differentiation of monocyte-

derived dendritic cells (MDDC) [65]. Specifically, GSK3 inhibitors like LiCl, SB415286, or SB216763

redirect the GM-CSF+IL-4-dependent development of MDDC towards the generation of

macrophage-like cells [65]. Considering that MAFB expression is higher in monocyte-derived

macrophages than in dendritic cells [66], it is reasonable to infer that GSK3 inhibitors also boost

MAFB expression in cells differentiated in the presence of GM-CSF+IL-4, thereby supporting the

modulation of GSK3 activity as a tool to alter lineage determination in myeloid cells.

The re-programming effect of GSK3 inhibition on *ex vivo* isolated alveolar macrophages bears

significant therapeutic implications, particularly in severe COVID-19 cases where profibrotic

monocyte-derived macrophages replace GM-CSF-dependent tissue-resident alveolar macrophages

[23,24,67]. Our observations reveal that exposure of *ex vivo* isolated alveolar macrophages to CHIR-

99021 leads to the loss of the alveolar macrophage GM-CSF-dependent gene profile and the

acquisition of a MAFB-dependent pro-fibrotic transcriptional landscape resembling that of M-CSF-

dependent monocyte-derived macrophages. Given that MAFB serves as a negative regulator of

GM-CSF signaling [68], downregulation of MAFB expression through modulation of GSK3 activity

emerges as a rationale strategy to re-direct pathogenic monocyte-derived macrophages towards

the acquisition of a lung-resident alveolar macrophage-like profile during severe COVID-19. This

approach aligns with the proposed therapeutic use of GM-CSF in COVID-19 [69,70], as GM-CSF would

impede or limit the MAFB-dependent upregulation of profibrotic and neutrophil-attracting factors

observed in severe COVID-19 [52].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by grant PID2020-114323RB-I00 from Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación

to ALC, Dirección General de Innovación e Investigación Tecnológica de la Comunidad de Madrid

(RETARACOVID, P2022/BMD-7274) to ALC, APK, PS-M and RD, Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Grant

PI23/00224 to APK, Grant PI21/00989 to RD), Red de Enfermedades Inflamatorias (RICORS

RD21/0002/0034) from Instituto de Salud Carlos III and cofinanced by the European Regional

Development Fund "A way to achieve Europe" (ERDF) and PRTR to APK, and PID2021-123167OB-

I00 from Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades and CSIC Talent Attraction program

(20222AT010) to EO. This research work was also funded by the European Commission –

NextGenerationEU (Regulation EU 2020/2094), through CSIC's Global Health Platform (PTI Salud

Global). AN-V was funded by YEI program contract from Comunidad de Madrid (PEJ-2021-AI/BMD-

23327). IR was funded by a Formación de Personal Investigador predoctoral fellowship from

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Grant PRE2021-097080). Figures were created with

Biorender.com.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

IR, CH, MT-T, BL-N, MT-S, FD-C, AN-V, RS and YS-P performed research and analyzed data; EO, PS-

M, RD, AP-K and ALC designed research and analyzed data; FR-S and RG-L identified suitable

patients for broncho-alveolar lavage; IR, APK and ALC wrote the paper.

DISCLOSURE OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the Gene Expression Omnibus

repository (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession GSE256538 (monocytes exposed

to CHIR99021 or DMSO), GSE256208 (GM-MØ exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO), GSE262463

(alveolar macrophages exposed to CHIR99021 or DMSO) and GSE266236 (GM-MØ after GSK3α/β

knockdown).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Transcriptional effect of GSK3 inhibition on monocyte-derived GM-MØ. A. MAFB, total

GSK3α, total GSK3β, Ser^9-phosphorylated GSK3β and Ser^{21}-phosphorylated GSK3α levels in M-MØ

and GM-MØ, as determined by Western blot (left panel). GAPDH protein levels were determined

as protein loading control. Mean ± SEM of the MAFB/GAPDH, Ser^{21}-phosphorylated GSK3α/total

GSK3α and Ser^9-phosphorylated GSK3β/total GSK3β protein ratios from three independent

experiments are shown (right panel) (paired Student's t test: ***, p<0.005; ****, p<0.001). A

representative Western blot experiment is shown in each case in the upper panel. **B**. p-Tyr^{279}-

GSK3α and p-Tyr^{216}-GSK3β levels in four independent samples of M-MØ and GM-MØ, as

determined by Western blot (left panel). GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein

loading control. Mean ± SEM of the p-Tyr^{279}-GSK3α/GAPDH and p-Tyr^{216}-GSK3β/GAPDH protein

ratios from four independent experiments are shown (right panel) (paired Student's t test: n.s.,

not significant). **C.** Schematic representation of the exposure of GM-MØ to CHIR-99021 for 48

hours. **D.** Tyr^{279}-phosphorylated GSK3α and Tyr^{216}-phosphorylated GSK3β levels in three

independent preparations of DMSO- or CHIR-99021-treated GM-MØ, as determined by Western

blot (left panel). GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein loading control. Mean ± SEM of

the p-Tyr^{216}-GSK3β/GAPDH and p-Tyr^{279}-GSK3α/GAPDH protein ratios from the three independent

experiments are shown (right panel) (paired Student's t test: ****, p<0.001). **E**. MAFB protein

levels in DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by Western blot. A representative

experiment is shown. **F**. Number of differentially expressed genes ([log2FC] >1; adjp<0.05)

between DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ. Differential gene expression was assessed using

DESeq2. **G**. GSEA of the indicated gene sets (from GSE68061, left panel; from GSE188278, right

panel) on the ranked comparison of the CHIR-GM-MØ vs. DMSO-GM-MØ transcriptomes.

Normalized Enrichment Score (NES) and FDRq values are indicated in each case. **H.** Summary of

GSEA of the gene sets that define the tissue-resident monocyte and macrophage states (MoMac-

VERSE) [2] on the ranked comparison of the CHIR-GM-MØ vs. DMSO-GM-MØ transcriptomes. FDRq

values are indicated only if FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not Significant. **I.** Summary of GSEA of the gene

sets that characterize the macrophage subsets identified in severe COVID-19 [23–25] on the ranked

comparison of the CHIR-GM-MØ vs. DMSO-GM-MØ transcriptomes. FDRq values are indicated

only if FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not Significant.

Figure 2.- Phenotypic effects of GSK3 inhibition on monocyte-derived GM-MØ: Enhanced

expression of MAFB-dependent genes and proteins. A. DoRoThEA [51] analysis on the ranked

comparison of the DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ transcriptomes. **B.** GSEA of MAFB-regulated

gene sets (from GSE155719) on the ranked comparison of the DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ

transcriptomes. NES and FDRq values are indicated in each case **C.** Overlap between the genes

upregulated (|log2FC|>1; adjp<0.05) in CHIR-GM-MØ (relative to DMSO M-MØ) and MAFB-

dependent genes (from GSE155719, left panel) or the 75-geneset of MAFB-regulated genes (right

panel) [52], with indication of some of the overlapping genes. **D.** Relative mRNA levels of the

indicated genes in DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by RNA-Seq on three

independent samples (GSE256208). *Adjp* of the comparison, shown in each case, was assessed

using DESeq2. **E.** CD163, MAF and IL7R protein levels in DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as

determined by Western blot (left panel). GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein

loading control. Mean ± SEM of the MAF/GAPDH, IL7R/GAPDH and CD163/GAPDH protein ratios

from seven independent experiments are shown (right panels) (paired Student's t test: ***,

p<0.005; ****, p<0.001). A representative Western blot experiment is shown in each case. **F.**

FOLR2 protein levels in DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by Western blot (left

panel). Vinculin protein levels were determined as protein loading control. Mean ± SEM of the

FOLR2/Vinculin protein ratio from three independent experiments are shown (lower panel)

(paired Student's t test: **, p<0.01). A representative Western blot experiment is shown. **G.**

Production of the indicated soluble factors by DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by

ELISA. Mean ± SEM of seven independent samples are shown (paired Student's t test: *, p<0.05;

**, p<0.01; ***, p<0.005; ****, p<0.001).

Figure 3.- Functional consequences of GSK3 inhibition on monocyte-derived GM-MØ. A.

Production of IL-10 by untreated (-) or stimulated (LPS, TNF, IFNγ or CL264) DMSO-GM-MØ and

CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by ELISA. Mean ± SEM of four independent samples are shown

(paired Student's t test: *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.005; ****, p<0.001). **B.** Phagocytosis of

pHRodo-labeled bacterial particles by DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ, as determined by flow

cytometry. Mean ± SEM of three independent samples are shown (paired Student's t test: *,

p<0.05). A representative flow cytometry analysis is shown in the left panel. **C.** Efferocytosis

capacity of DMSO-GM-MØ, CHIR-GM-MØ and DMSO-M-MØ, as determined by flow cytometry

using staurosporine-induced CellTrace Violet-labeled apoptotic Jurkat cells. The percentage of

positive cells and mean fluorescence intensity are shown. Mean ± SEM of 3 independent samples

are shown (one-way ANOVA with Fisher LSD test: *, p<0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.005).

Representative flow cytometry histograms for CellTrace Violet emission of DMSO-GM-MØ, CHIR-

GM-MØ and DMSO-M-MØ are shown.

Figure 4.- Transcriptional effects of GSK3 knock-down in monocyte-derived GM-MØ. A.

Schematic representation of the siRNA-mediated GSK3 knock-down procedure in monocyte-

derived GM-MØ. **B**. Total GSK3α, GSK3β and MAFB protein levels in monocyte-derived GM-MØ

after siRNA-mediated *GSK3A* and/or *GSK3B* silencing, as determined by Western blot (upper

panel). GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein loading control. Mean ± SEM of

GSK3β/GAPDH and GSK3α/GAPDH protein ratios from the three independent experiments are

shown (lower panel) (one-way ANOVA with Dunnet test:*, p<0.05). **C**. Heatmap of the relative

expression of the genes significantly altered after *GSK3A* and *GSK3B* knock-down in siCNT-GM-MØ

(lanes A-C), siGSK3A-GM-MØ (lanes D-F), siGSK3B-GM-MØ (lanes G-I), siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ (lanes J-

L). Representative genes are indicated. **D**. Number of differentially expressed genes (adjp<0.05)

between siGSK3A-GM-MØ, siGSK3B-GM-MØ or siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ and siCNT-GM-MØ.

Differential gene expression was assessed using DESeq2. **E**. GSEA of the genes whose expression is

significantly modulated by CHIR-99021 in either GM-MØ (from Figure 1, this manuscript) or in *ex*

vivo isolated Alveolar Macrophages (AMØ, see below in Figure 6) on the ranked comparison of the

siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ transcriptomes. **F**. GSEA of the indicated gene sets (from

GSE68061) on the ranked comparison of the siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ transcriptomes.

G. Summary of GSEA of the indicated gene sets (from GSE188278) on the ranked comparison of

siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ. **H.** Summary of GSEA of the gene sets that define the

tissue-resident monocyte and macrophage states (MoMac-VERSE) [2] on the ranked comparison of

the siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ transcriptomes. FDRq values are indicated only if

FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not Significant. **I.** Summary of GSEA of the gene sets that characterize the

macrophage subsets identified in severe COVID-19 [23–25] on the ranked comparison of siGSK3A/B-

GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ. FDRq values are indicated only if FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not

Significant.

Figure 5.- Transcriptional effects of GSK3 inhibition on human peripheral blood monocytes. A.

Schematic representation of the exposure of human monocytes to CHIR-99021 or DMSO for 16h.

B. MAFB protein levels in monocytes exposed for 16h to DMSO, CHIR-99021 or M-CSF, as

determined by Western blot (left panel). Vinculin protein levels were determined as protein

loading control. Mean ± SEM of the MAFB/Vinculin protein ratio from the three independent

experiments shown (right panel) (one-way ANOVA with Fisher LSD test: ***, p<0.005). **C**. Number

of differentially expressed genes ([log2FC] >1; adjp<0.05) between DMSO-Mon and CHIR-Mon.

Differential gene expression was assessed using DESeq2. **D**. GSEA of the indicated gene sets (from

GSE68061, upper panel; from GSE188278, lower panel) on the ranked comparison of the DMSO-

Mon and CHIR-Mon transcriptomes. NES and FDRq values are indicated in each case. **E**. Relative

mRNA levels of the indicated genes in monocytes exposed for 16h to DMSO, CHIR-99021 or M-

CSF, as determined by RNA-Seq on three independent samples (GSE256538). *Adjp* of the

comparison, shown in each case, was assessed using DESeq2. **F**. GSEA of MAFB-regulated gene

sets (from GSE155719) on the ranked comparison of the DMSO-Mon and CHIR-Mon

transcriptomes. NES and FDRq values are indicated in each case. **G**. Overlap between the genes

upregulated (|log2FC|>1; adjp<0.05) in CHIR-Mon (relative to DMSO-Mon) and M-MØ-specific

marker genes (from GSE68061) (left panel) and genes downregulated (|log2FC|>1; adjp<0.05) in

CHIR-Mon (relative to DMSO-Mon) and GM-MØ-specific marker genes (from GSE68061) (right

panel), with indication of some of the overlapping genes. **H**. Schematic representation of the

exposure of human monocytes to synovial fluid from rheumatoid arthritis patients (RASF) for 48h

in the presence or absence of CHIR-99021. **I**. MAFB protein levels in monocytes exposed to three

independent samples of RASF in the presence of DMSO or CHIR-99021, as determined by Western

blot (upper panel). Vinculin protein levels were determined as protein loading control. Mean ±

SEM of the MAFB/Vinculin protein ratio from seven independent experiments using three

monocyte preparations and three unrelated RASF (lower panel) (paired Student's t test: **,

p<0.01). **J**. Relative expression of *IL10* and *LGMN* in monocytes exposed to RASF in the presence of

DMSO or CHIR-99021, as determined by RT-PCR. Mean ± SEM of the results from the three

independent samples are shown (paired Student's t test: **, p<0.01).

Figure 6.- Transcriptional and phenotypic effects of GSK3 inhibition on *ex vivo* isolated human

alveolar macrophages. A. Schematic representation of the exposure of *ex vivo* isolated human

alveolar macrophages to CHIR-99021 or DMSO for 24h. **B**. Volcano plot depicting the differentially

expressed genes between CHIR-AMØ and DMSO-AMØ. **C**. Heatmap of the relative expression of

the genes within the CD163+/LGMN+ pro-fibrotic monocyte-derived macrophage cluster (from

EGAS00001005634) [23] in DMSO-AMØ (lanes A-C) and CHIR-AMØ (lanes D-F). Differentially

expressed between CHIR-AMØ and DMSO-AMØ are shown in greeen, and MAFB-dependent genes

(GSE155719) are shown in blue. Representative genes are indicated. **D**. (Upper panel) GSEA of the

gene sets that characterize the monocyte-derived profibrotic macrophage subsets identified in

severe COVID-19, namely CD163+/LGMN+ (EGAS00001005634) [23], MoAM3 (GSE155249) [25] and

SPP1+ (GSE145926) [24], on the ranked comparison of the transcriptomes of CHIR-AMØ vs. DMSO-

AMØ. NES and FDRq values are indicated in each case. (Lower panel) GSEA of the gene sets that

characterize tissue-resident alveolar macrophages in severe COVID-19, namely AMØ1

(EGAS00001005634) [23], TRAM1+ (GSE155249) [25] and FABP4+ (GSE145926) [24], on the ranked

comparison of the transcriptomes of CHIR-AMØ vs. DMSO-AMØ. **E.** Heatmap of the relative

expression of the genes within the Alveolar Macrophage signature (including all the genes

overexpressed in the AMØ1 and AMØ2 macrophages clusters from EGAS00001005634) [23] in

DMSO-AMØ (lanes A-C) and CHIR-AMØ (lanes D-F). Differentially expressed between CHIR-AMØ

and DMSO-AMØ are indicated in greeen, and selected genes are shown. **F**. MAFB protein levels in

three independent samples of *ex vivo* isolated AMØ exposed to DMSO or CHIR-99021 for 24h, as

determined by Western blot. GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein loading control. **G.**

Production of the indicated soluble factors by three independent preparations of DMSO-AMØ and

CHIR-AMØ, as determined by ELISA. **H**. Expression of inactive GSK3 (S9, pSer^9-GSK3β; S21, pSer^{21}-

GSK3α), MAFB and CD163 in human lung macrophages. Representative human lung tissues from

COVID (n= 2) and control (n= 3) patients co-stained for CD68 (macrophage marker, red), Ser^{21}-

phosphorylated GSK3α, Ser^9-phosphorylated GSK3β, MAFB or CD163 (green), and DAPI (nuclei,

blue), as indicated (Scale bar, 50 μm) (left panel). Plots show MFI (in arbitrary units, a.u.) of single-

cell CD68+ macrophages stained for each antibody (right panel) (paired Student's t test: ****,

p<0.001).

Figure 7. **Differential expression of GSK3-regulated genes in human lung interstitial and alveolar**

macrophages. A. Embedding of 21310 single-cell transcriptomes from human lungs in UMAP

(Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) with the scVI reduction. Cell-type annotation is

based on expression of canonical marker genes, as reported in [47]. **B**. Two-dimensional embedding

computed by UMAP with the scVI reduction on computationally identified macrophages after

filtering according to number of genes per cell (nFeature, > 200 and < 6000), Unique Molecular

Identifiers (nCount, > 1000) and % of mitochondrial genes (< 15 %). **C**. Relative expression of the

indicated genes in the four macrophage subsets defined upon re-clustering of the macrophages

identified in the single cell RNA sequencing reported in GSE128033 [47]. **D**. Volcano plot illustrating

the differentially expressed genes between the Interstitial Macrophage (IMØ) and Alveolar

Macrophage (AMØ) subsets defined upon re-analysis of the single cell RNA sequencing reported in

GSE128033 [47]. **E-G**. GSEA of the gene sets that define human lung AMØ or IMØ macrophage

subsets (GSE128033 and GSE193782) [47,55] on the ranked comparison of CHIR-GM-MØ vs. DMSO-

GM-MØ transcriptomes (**E**), CHIR-AMØ vs. DMSO-AMØ transcriptomes (**F**) or siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ

vs. siCNT-GM-MØ (**G**).

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1.- A. MAFB protein levels in GM-MØ exposed to DMSO or distinct CHIR-99021

concentrations (0.1μM, 1μM, 10μM) for 6-48 hours, as determined by Western blot (left panel).

GAPDH protein levels were determined as protein loading control. Mean ± SEM of the

MAFB/GAPDH protein ratio from three independent experiments are shown (right panel) (one-

way ANOVA with Fisher LSD test: ***, p<0.005; ****, p<0.001). **B**. p-Ser^9-GSK3β and p-Tyr^{216}-

GSK3β levels in GM-MØ treated with DMSO, CHIR-99021 (10 μM), SB-216763 (10 μM) or LiCl (10

mM) for 48 hours, as determined by Western blot. A representative experiment of three

independent experiments is shown in the left panel. GAPDH protein levels were determined as

protein loading control. **C**. Gene ontology analysis of the genes upregulated (|log2FC|>1;

adjp<0.05) in CHIR-GM-MØ (relative to DMSO GM-MØ) using Enrichr and the indicated databases.

D. Oxygen Consumption rate (OCR) profile of DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ monitored using

the Seahorse Biosciences extracellular flux analyzer at the indicated time points. Cells were

treated sequentially, as indicated, with 1 mM oligomycin (Oligo), 0.6 plus 0.4 mM FCCP, and 1 mM

rotenone plus 1 mM antimycin A (Rot/AA). **E**. Extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), a proxy for

the rate of lactate production, measured in DMSO-GM-MØ and CHIR-GM-MØ under basal

conditions and after the stimulation with 1 mM oligomycin and at the indicated time points. **F**.

Metabolic parameters obtained from the OCR and ECAR profiling after subtraction of the

rotenone/antimycin-insensitive respiration. Basal OCR is the oxygen consumption rate in the

absence of effectors, ATP turnover is considered as the oligomycin-sensitive respiration, maximal

respiration is the OCR value in the presence of the uncoupler FCCP, glycolytic capacity is the ECAR

value after the inhibition with oligomycin of the mitochondrial ATP synthesis (paired Student's t

test: ***, p<0.005; n.s., not significant). In **D-F**, results are normalized according to protein

concentrations and presented as mean ± SEM of six independent samples.

Figure S2. A. Summary of GSEA of the gene sets that characterize the macrophage subsets

identified in severe COVID-19 [23–25] on the ranked comparison of siGSK3A-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-

MØ (square symbols), siGSK3B-GM-MØ vs. siCNT-GM-MØ (star symbols) and siGSK3A/B-GM-MØ

vs. siCNT-GM-MØ. FDRq values are indicated only if FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not Significant. **B.**

GSEA of *bona fide* MAFB-bound genes ("75-genelist") [52] on the ranked comparison of the

transcriptomes of CHIR-Mon vs DMSO-Mon. NES and FDRq value is indicated. **C**. Summary of GSEA

of the gene sets that characterize the macrophage subsets identified in severe COVID-19 [23–25] on

the ranked comparison of the transcriptomes of CHIR-Mon vs. DMSO-Mon. FDRq values are

indicated only if FDRq>0.0; empty dots, Not Significant. **D**. Overlap between the genes upregulated

(|log2FC|>1; adjp<0.05) in CHIR-Mon (relative to M-CSF-Mon) and M-MØ-specific marker genes

(left panel) and genes downregulated (|log2FC|>1; adjp<0.05) in CHIR-Mon (relative to M-CSF-

Mon) and GM-MØ-specific marker genes (right panel), with indication of some shared genes. **E.**

Overlap between the genes upregulated or downregulated in CHIR-AMØ (|log2FC|>1 and

adjp<0.05) and the CD163+/LGMN+ pro-fibrotic macrophage cluster defined in EGAS00001005634

[23] (left panel), or the gene sets of MAFB-dependent (middle panel) and MAFB-inhibited (right

panel) genes defined in GSE155719 [52]. **F**. Overlap between the genes upregulated or

downregulated in CHIR-AMØ (|log2FC|>1 and adjp<0.05, or just adjp<0.05) and the Alveolar

Macrophage signature as defined in EGAS00001005634 (AMØ1 and AMØ2 clusters) [23] (left panel),

GSE155249 (TRAM1 and TRAM2 clusters) [25] (middle panel) or in [54] (right panel). **G.** Summary of

GSEA of the indicated gene sets (from GSE68061 and GSE188278) on the ranked comparison of

the DMSO-AMØ and CHIR-AMØ transcriptomes. FDRq values are indicated only if FDRq>0.0.

Figure S3. **A**. Number of cells from single cell-RNA sequencing on human lungs from eight

independent donors (from GSE128033) [47], after filtering according to number of genes per cell

(nFeature, > 200 and < 6000), Unique Molecular Identifiers (nCount, > 1000) and % of

mitochondrial genes (< 15 %). **B**. UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection), with

the scVI reduction, embedding of 21310 single-cell transcriptomes from human lungs as reported

in GSE128033 [47], with color-coding indicating distinct cell clusters. **C**. UMAP (Uniform Manifold

Approximation and Projection), with the scVI reduction, embedding of 21310 single-cell

transcriptomes from human lungs as reported in GSE128033 [47], with color-coding indicating donor

origin. **D**. Color-coded expression of the indicated genes after projection onto the UMAP

embedding shown in **B-C**.

Figure S4. **A**. UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection), with the scVI reduction,

embedding of single-cell transcriptomes from human lung macrophages as reported in GSE128033

[47], with color-coding indicating distinct cell clusters. **B**. UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation

and Projection), with the scVI reduction, embedding of single-cell transcriptomes from human

lungs as reported in GSE128033 [47], with color-coding indicating donor origin. **C**. Color-coded

expression of the genes used for macrophage definition (*CD163, FABP4, LYVE1, FCN1*), as well as

proliferation-associated genes (*TYMS, MKI67, TOP2A, NUSAP1*) and other *bona fide* macrophage

marker genes (*SPI1, FOLR2*), after projection onto the UMAP embedding shown in **B-C**.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Table S1.- Transcriptional datasets used in the present study.

REFERENCES

1. Dick, S. A. *et al.* Three tissue resident macrophage subsets coexist across organs with
conserved origins and life cycles. *Sci. Immunol.* **7**, (2022).

2. Mulder, K. *et al.* Cross-tissue single-cell landscape of human monocytes and
macrophages in health and disease. *Immunity* **54**, 1883-1900.e5 (2021).

3. Hoeffel, G. & Ginhoux, F. Fetal monocytes and the origins of tissue-resident
macrophages. *Cell. Immunol.* **330**, 5–15 (2018).

4. Ruffell, B. & Coussens, L. M. Macrophages and therapeutic resistance in cancer.
Cancer Cell **27**, 462–472 (2015).

5. Lavin, Y., Mortha, A., Rahman, A. & Merad, M. Regulation of macrophage
development and function in peripheral tissues. *Nat Rev Immunol* **15**, 731–744 (2015).

6. Caescu, C. I. *et al.* Colony stimulating factor-1 receptor signaling networks inhibit
mouse macrophage inflammatory responses by induction of microRNA-21. *Blood* **125**,
e1–e13 (2015).

7. Van Overmeire, E. *et al.* M-CSF and GM-CSF Receptor Signaling Differentially Regulate
Monocyte Maturation and Macrophage Polarization in the Tumor Microenvironment.
Cancer Res **76**, 35–42 (2016).

8. Fleetwood, A. J., Lawrence, T., Hamilton, J. A. & Cook, A. D. Granulocyte-Macrophage
Colony-Stimulating Factor (CSF) and Macrophage CSF-Dependent Macrophage
Phenotypes Display Differences in Cytokine Profiles and Transcription Factor
Activities: Implications for CSF Blockade in Inflammation. *J. Immunol.* **178**, 5245–5252
(2007).

9. Lacey, D. C. *et al.* Defining GM-CSF- and Macrophage-CSF-Dependent Macrophage
Responses by In Vitro Models. *J Immunol* **188**, 5752–5765 (2012).

10. Gonzalez-Dominguez, E. *et al.* Atypical Activin A and IL-10 Production Impairs Human
CD16+ Monocyte Differentiation into Anti-Inflammatory Macrophages. *J Immunol*
196, 1327–1337 (2016).

11. Fleetwood, A. J., Dinh, H., Cook, A. D., Hertzog, P. J. & Hamilton, J. A. GM-CSF- and M-
CSF-dependent macrophage phenotypes display differential dependence on Type I
interferon signaling. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **86**, 411–421 (2009).

12. Sierra-Filardi, E. *et al.* Activin A skews macrophage polarization by promoting a

proinflammatory phenotype and inhibiting the acquisition of anti-inflammatory
macrophage markers. *Blood* **117**, 5092–5101 (2011).

13. Gonzalez-Dominguez, E. *et al.* CD163L1 and CLEC5A discriminate subsets of human
resident and inflammatory macrophages in vivo. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **98**, 453–466 (2015).

14. Palacios, B. S. *et al.* Macrophages from the synovium of active rheumatoid arthritis
exhibit an activin a-dependent pro-inflammatory profile. *J. Pathol.* **235**, 515–526
(2015).

15. de las Casas-Engel, M. *et al.* Serotonin Skews Human Macrophage Polarization
through HTR 2B and HTR 7 . *J. Immunol.* **190**, 2301–2310 (2013).

16. Nieto, C. *et al.* The activin A-peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma axis
contributes to the transcriptome of GM-CSF-conditioned human macrophages. *Front.*
Immunol. **9**, (2018).

17. Guilliams, M. *et al.* Alveolar macrophages develop from fetal monocytes that
differentiate into long-lived cells in the first week of life via GM-CSF. *J Exp Med* **210**,
1977–1992 (2013).

18. Trapnell, B. C., Carey, B. C., Uchida, K. & Suzuki, T. Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis, a
primary immunodeficiency of impaired GM-CSF stimulation of macrophages. *Curr*
Opin Immunol **21**, 514–521 (2009).

19. Huang, X., Cao, M. & Xiao, Y. Alveolar macrophages in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis:
origin, function, and therapeutic strategies. *Front. Immunol.* **14**, (2023).

20. Donadon, M. *et al.* Macrophage morphology correlates with single-cell diversity and
prognosis in colorectal liver metastasis. *J. Exp. Med.* **217**, (2020).

21. Joshi, N. *et al.* A spatially restricted fibrotic niche in pulmonary fibrosis is sustained by
M-CSF/M-CSFR signalling in monocyte-derived alveolar macrophages. *Eur. Respir. J.*
55, 1–16 (2020).

22. Vega, M. A. *et al.* MAFB and MAF Transcription Factors as Macrophage Checkpoints
for COVID-19 Severity. *Front. Immunol.* **11**, (2020).

23. Wendisch, D. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 infection triggers profibrotic macrophage responses
and lung fibrosis. *Cell* **184**, 6243-6261.e27 (2021).

24. Liao, M. *et al.* Single-cell landscape of bronchoalveolar immune cells in patients with
COVID-19. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 842–844 (2020).

25.   Grant, R. A. *et al.* Circuits between infected macrophages and T cells in SARS-CoV-2
pneumonia. *Nature* **590**, 635–641 (2021).
26.   Schultze, J. L. Reprogramming of macrophages - New opportunities for therapeutic
targeting. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **26**, 10–15 (2016).
27.   Cuevas, V. D. *et al.* MAFB Determines Human Macrophage Anti-Inflammatory
Polarization: Relevance for the Pathogenic Mechanisms Operating in Multicentric
Carpotarsal Osteolysis. *J. Immunol.* **198**, 2070–2081 (2017).
28.   Kim, H. The transcription factor MafB promotes anti-inflammatory M2 polarization
and cholesterol efflux in macrophages. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, (2017).
29.   Kang, K. *et al.* Interferon-γ Represses M2 Gene Expression in Human Macrophages by
Disassembling Enhancers Bound by the Transcription Factor MAF. *Immunity* **47**, 235-
250.e4 (2017).
30.   Eychène, A., Rocques, N. & Pouponnot, C. A new MAFia in cancer. *Nature Reviews*
Cancer vol. 8 683–693 (2008).
31.   Lavin, Y. *et al.* Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the
local microenvironment. *Cell* **159**, 1312–1326 (2014).
32.   Kelly, L. M., Englmeier, U., Lafon, I., Sieweke, M. H. & Graf, T. MafB is an inducer of
monocytic differentiation. *EMBO J.* **19**, 1987–1997 (2000).
33.   Aziz, A., Soucie, E., Sarrazin, S. & Sieweke, M. H. MafB/c-Maf deficiency enables self-
renewal of differentiated functional macrophages. *Science (80-.).* **326**, 867–871
(2009).
34.   Soucie, E. L. *et al.* Lineage-specific enhancers activate self-renewal genes in
macrophages and embryonic stem cells. *Science (80-.).* **351**, aad5510 (2016).
35.   Sarrazin, S. *et al.* MafB restricts M-CSF-dependent myeloid commitment divisions of
hematopoietic stem cells. *Cell* **138**, 300–313 (2009).
36.   Cuevas, V. D. *et al.* The gene signature of activated M-CSF-Primed human monocyte-
derived macrophages is IL-10-dependent. *J. Innate Immun.* (2021)
doi:10.1159/000519305.
37.   Ríos, I. *et al.* GSK3β Inhibition Prevents Macrophage Reprogramming by High-Dose
Methotrexate. *J. Innate Immun.* **15**, 283–296 (2022).
38.   de la Aleja, A. G. *et al.* Inhibition of LXR controls the polarization of human

inflammatory macrophages through upregulation of MAFB. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **80**,
(2023).

39.   Nagayoshi, R. *et al.* Effectiveness of anti-folate receptor β antibody conjugated with
truncated Pseudomonas exotoxin in the targeting of rheumatoid arthritis synovial
macrophages. *Arthritis Rheum.* **52**, 2666–2675 (2005).

40.   Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 907–
915 (2019).

41.   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*
Methods **9**, 357–359 (2012).

42.   Anders, S., Pyl, P. T. & Huber, W. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-
throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).

43.   Li, B. & Dewey, C. N. RSEM: Accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with
or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, (2011).

44.   Chen, E. Y. *et al.* Enrichr: Interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment
analysis tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* **14**, 128 (2013).

45.   Kuleshov, M. V *et al.* Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web
server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W90–W97 (2016).

46.   Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for
interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545–
15550 (2005).

47.   Morse, C. *et al.* Proliferating SPP1/MERTK-expressing macrophages in idiopathic
pulmonary fibrosis. *Eur. Respir. J.* **54**, (2019).

48.   Brand, M. D. & Nicholls, D. G. Assessing mitochondrial dysfunction in cells. *Biochem. J.*
435, 297–312 (2011).

49.   Rueden, C. T. *et al.* ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of scientific image data.
BMC Bioinformatics **18**, (2017).

50.   Gutiérrez-Seijo, A. *et al.* Activin A Sustains the Metastatic Phenotype of Tumor-
Associated Macrophages and Is a Prognostic Marker in Human Cutaneous Melanoma.
J. Invest. Dermatol. **142**, 653-661.e2 (2022).

51.   Garcia-Alonso, L., Holland, C. H., Ibrahim, M. M., Turei, D. & Saez-Rodriguez, J.

Benchmark and integration of resources for the estimation of human transcription
factor activities. *Genome Res.* **29**, 1363–1375 (2019).

52. Simón-Fuentes, M. *et al.* MAFB shapes human monocyte–derived macrophage
response to SARS-CoV-2 and controls severe COVID-19 biomarker expression. *JCI*
Insight **8**, (2023).

53. Wculek, S. K., Dunphy, G., Heras-Murillo, I., Mastrangelo, A. & Sancho, D. Metabolism
of tissue macrophages in homeostasis and pathology. *Cellular and Molecular*
Immunology vol. 19 384–408 (2022).

54. Melms, J. C. *et al.* A molecular single-cell lung atlas of lethal COVID-19. *Nature* **595**,
(2021).

55. Li, X. *et al.* ScRNA-seq expression of IFI27 and APOC2 identifies four alveolar
macrophage superclusters in healthy BALF. *Life Sci. Alliance* **5**, (2022).

56. González de la Aleja, A. *et al.* Activation of LXR Nuclear Receptors Impairs the Anti-
Inflammatory Gene and Functional Profile of M-CSF-Dependent Human Monocyte-
Derived Macrophages. *Front. Immunol.* **13**, (2022).

57. Domínguez-Soto, Á. *et al.* IVIg Promote Cross-Tolerance against Inflammatory Stimuli
In Vitro and In Vivo. *J. Immunol.* **201**, 41–52 (2018).

58. Molgora, M. & Colonna, M. Turning enemies into allies—reprogramming tumor-
associated macrophages for cancer therapy. *Med* vol. 2 666–681 (2021).

59. Zheng, W. & Pollard, J. W. Inhibiting macrophage PI3K? To enhance immunotherapy.
Cell Res. **26**, 1267–1268 (2016).

60. Kaneda, M. M. *et al.* PI3Kγ 3 is a molecular switch that controls immune suppression.
Nature **539**, 437–442 (2016).

61. Byles, V. *et al.* The TSC-mTOR pathway regulates macrophage polarization. *Nat.*
Commun. **4**, (2013).

62. Do, M. H. *et al.* Reprogramming tumor-associated macrophages to outcompete
endovascular endothelial progenitor cells and suppress tumor neoangiogenesis.
Immunity **56**, 2555-2569.e5 (2023).

63. Zhang, X. *et al.* Reprogramming tumour-associated macrophages to outcompete
cancer cells. *Nature* **619**, 616–623 (2023).

64. Evangelisti, C., Chiarini, F., Paganelli, F., Marmiroli, S. & Martelli, A. M. Crosstalks of

GSK3 signaling with the mTOR network and effects on targeted therapy of cancer.

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Cell Research vol. 1867 (2020).

65. Rodionova, E. *et al.* GSK-3 mediates differentiation and activation of proinflammatory

dendritic cells. *Blood* **109**, 1584–1592 (2007).

66. Bakri, Y. *et al.* Balance of MafB and PU.1 specifies alternative macrophage or dendritic

cell fate. *Blood* **105**, 2707–2716 (2005).

67. Delorey, T. M. *et al.* COVID-19 tissue atlases reveal SARS-CoV-2 pathology and cellular

targets. *Nature* **595**, 107–113 (2021).

68. Koshida, R., Oishi, H., Hamada, M. & Takahashi, S. MafB antagonizes phenotypic

alteration induced by GM-CSF in microglia. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **463**, 109–

115 (2015).

69. Lang, F. M., Lee, K. M. C., Teijaro, J. R., Becher, B. & Hamilton, J. A. GM-CSF-based

treatments in COVID-19: reconciling opposing therapeutic approaches. *Nat. Rev.*

Immunol. (2020) doi:10.1038/s41577-020-0357-7.

70. Bosteels, C. *et al.* Loss of GM-CSF-dependent instruction of alveolar macrophages in

COVID-19 provides a rationale for inhaled GM-CSF treatment. *Cell Reports Med.* **3**,

(2022).

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

MAFB

GAPDH

[CHIR-99021]

6 h   24 h   48 h

MAFB

Normalized densitometry

***
****
****
***
***
****
***

[CHIR-99021]

6 h   24 h   48 h

B

pGSK3β Ser^9

pGSK3β Tyr^{216}

GAPDH

DMSO-GM-MØ   CHIR-GM-MØ   SB21-GM-MØ   LiCl-GM-MØ

C

Upregulated CHIR99021 (830 genes)

TF Perturbations Followed by Expression
MAF SIRNA MACROPHAGE HUMAN GSE98368 RNASEQ DOWN (1.34E-37)
MAF OE MACROPHAGE HUMAN GSE98368 RNASEQ UP (1.53E-36)

RNAseq Automatic GEO Signatures Human Down
Maf Mafb-Sirnas M-Csf-Derived Macrophages GSE155719 (2.53E-81)

RNAseq Automatic GEO Signatures Human Up
Tumor-Associated Macrophages Liver Clm GSE131353 (1.79E-39)

Gene Perturbations from GEO down
Il10 KO mouse GSE25846 sample 3062 (4.18E-33)

Ligand Perturbations from GEO up
interleukin-10 human PBMC GDS4551 ligand:141 (1.15E-35)

4   8   12   16   20   24   28   32   76   80   84
-log10 (p value)

D

OCR (pmol/min/µg prot)

800
600
400
200

Oligo   FCCP 0.6   FCCP 0.4   Rot+AA

0   20   40   60   80   100   120   140
Time (minutes)

E

ECAR (mpH units/min/µg prot)

Oligo

0   10   20   30   40   50   60
Time (minutes)

F

Basal respiration
OCR (pmol/min/µg prot)
***

ATP Production
400
300
200
100
***

Proton leak
120
80
40
***

Respiratory Capacity
OCR (pmol/min/µg prot)
400
300
200
100
***

Glycolytic Capacity
ECAR (mpH units/min/µg prot)
n.s.

Maximal Glycolytic capacity
n.s.

DMSO-GM-MØ   CHIR-GM-MØ

Sup Fig 1

Sup Fig 2

Sup Fig 3

Supplementary Table S1.

Transcriptional datasets used in the present study