Spatio-temporal variability of airborne viruses over sub-Antarctic South
Georgia: Influence of sampling methodology and proximity of the ocean

Ritam Das[1,2], Lucie Malard[3,4], David A. Pearce[5,6], Peter Convey[6,7,8,9,10], Janina Rahlff[1,11,12,13#]

[1]Aero-Aquatic Virus Research Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Friedrich
Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany

[2]Department of Biology, Chemistry, Pharmacy, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

[3]Department F.-A. Forel for Environmental and Aquatic Sciences, University of Geneva, Geneva,
Switzerland

[4]Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

[5]Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University,
Newcastle-upon-Tyne, United Kingdom

[6]British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, Cambridge, United Kingdom

[7]Department of Applied Sciences, Zoology, University of Johannesburg, Auckland Park, South
Africa

[8]Millennium Institute – Biodiversity of Antarctic and Sub-Antarctic Ecosystems (BASE),
Santiago, Chile

[9]Cape Horn International Center, Puerto Williams, Chile

[10]School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, United Kingdom

[11]Leibniz Institute on Aging - Fritz Lipmann Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany

[12]European Virus Bioinformatics Center, Jena, Germany

[13]Centre for Ecology and Evolution in Microbial Model Systems (EEMiS), Department of Biology
and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

#corresponding author: Janina.rahlff@lnu.se

Abstract

Studying airborne viruses in remote environments like the sub-Antarctic island South Georgia

offers key insights into viral ecology, diversity, and their role in shaping ecosystems through

microbial and nutrient interactions. We analyzed airborne viral community composition at two

sites in South Georgia. Sampling took place using multiple methodologies, with the data produced

subjected to viral metagenomics. The Coriolis μ device (wet collection) was the most effective,

yielding 30 viral scaffolds. Two-thirds of the scaffolds were only obtained from the coastal

location, indicating that location influences airborne viral diversity. Protein-based clustering of 39

viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) revealed similarities of 15 with known marine viruses,

suggesting oceanic influence on the airborne viral community. Protein homologs related to UV

damage protection and photosynthesis from two airborne vOTUs were widely distributed across

major oceans, suggesting their potential role in supporting the resilience of marine microorganisms

under changing climate conditions. Some vOTUs had protein similarities to viruses infecting

extremophiles, indicating viral adaptations to harsh environments. This study provides a baseline

for understanding the complexity and sustainability of airborne viral communities in remote

ecosystems. It underscores the need for continued monitoring to assess how these communities

respond to shifting atmospheric and ecological conditions.

Introduction

The cryosphere, encompassing ice sheets, glaciers, permafrost, and sea ice, covers approximately

20% of Earth's surface, and hosts some of the planet's most climatically sensitive ecosystems [1].

Characterized by chronically low temperatures and harsh environmental conditions and covered

by continental-scale ice sheets on average 2 km thick, the fifth-largest continent Antarctica is a

critical driver of global climate and ocean systems. Its final separation from southern South

America and Australia around 33 million years ago in the final stages of the breakup of Gondwana

was followed by cooling and the eventual formation of its ice sheets, and led to the evolution of a

diverse cold-adapted microbiota [2]. Aerial dispersal is a major route for the invasion of new

species [3], particularly those that are small enough, or have suitable propagules, to be uplifted

into and transported into the air column. Antarctica is increasingly appreciated to host a complex

microbial diversity [4, 5], much of which appears to be endemic to the continent [6, 7]. However,

few studies have yet investigated airborne bacteria in the Antarctic region [8-11]. Furthermore,

there is a notable scarcity of research on both Antarctic viral diversity generally and on airborne

viruses specifically (reviewed by Heinrichs, et al. [12]), which is rather astonishing considering

that these biological entities are ubiquitous and reach global numbers of 10^{31} viral particles [13].

One reason for the absence of viral investigations is that DNA yields from aerosols are usually low

due to the very limited biomass, which precludes metagenomic studies that could otherwise

identify viruses. Instead, 16S rRNA gene surveys (metabarcoding) involving a PCR step for

amplification are often applied [14, 15], which are appropriate for studies of prokaryotes. Viruses

typically do not disperse in the air as free particles; instead, they often attach to soil dust or marine

organic aggregates, which can then form aerosols [16]. The deposition rates of viruses in the

atmosphere, which can be up to ~460 times higher than those of bacteria, are positively correlated

with organic aerosols [16]. This suggests that viruses may have longer residence times in the

atmosphere than microbes or other microscopic propagules, likely due to their smaller size [17].

Consequently, there is greater likelihood of their dispersal over long distances.

Sea spray aerosols (SSA), which are formed by the primary emission from the ocean, are the key

component by mass of marine aerosols and play a crucial role in the Earth's climate system [18].

A virus infecting the marine coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi* can lead to their rapid demise and

induce coccolith shedding, the process by which these marine algae release their calcium carbonate

plates into the surrounding water. This can lead to subsequent incorporation of the calcite units

(coccoliths) to the SSA, with these viruses acting as a regulator of the mass of marine aerosols

[19]. Through aerosol-mediated long-distance transport, viruses could constitute an ecological and

evolutionary driving force beyond marine ecosystems alone, for instance through rain-washout

events, where aerosolized particles are removed from the atmosphere by precipitation, or through

direct sedimentation [16]. Such events would allow airborne viruses to interact with microbes and

other organisms in terrestrial ecosystems, affecting their abundance, community composition and

distribution in the recipient ecosystems [16].

Investigations of viral diversity and lifestyle have been conducted on microbial viruses from

Antarctica's lakes [20], sea ice [21, 22], under the ice shelf [23], soils [24], from cryoconite holes

[25] and marine ecosystems including the Southern Ocean [26, 27]. In a study of Antarctic surface

snow, similar viral populations were described from locations separated by several hundred to

thousands of kilometres and overlaps between snow and marine viral populations were apparent

[28]. Flavobacteria from Antarctic snow carry adaptive immunity in the form of clustered regularly

interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas systems, indicating that defence mechanisms

against mobile genetic elements are present [29]. Recent work has demonstrated that viral diversity

in the Southern Ocean around the Western Antarctic Peninsula is complex and has uncovered both

diversity and novelty amongst the viruses present. As well as tailed dsDNA bacteriophages

representing the class Caudoviricetes, abundant eukaryotic viruses including polinton-like viruses,

nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses (NCLDV), and virophages have been reported [30]. A study

in the Antarctic and sub-Antarctic region of South Georgia observed the mortality and morbidity

of several key indigenous species due to the spread of a high pathogenicity avian influenza virus

H5N1 [31]. Therefore, monitoring viruses in isolated (including anthropogenic) locations could

help track changes in viral communities over time, determine their stability and resilience, and

assess the importance of anthropogenic influence. Furthermore, contemporary increase in global

temperature and its regional impact on cryosphere-associated life forms presents a unique

opportunity to understand ecosystem function, which could help shape a sustainable 'One World'

for the future.

In this study, we used viral metagenomics to investigate the spatio-temporal variability of airborne

viruses on the remote sub-Antarctic island of South Georgia in the South Atlantic Ocean, collected

over seven days using different air samplers and a rain collector. We hypothesised that the

surrounding ocean would be a major contributing source for atmospheric viruses in the bioaerosols

but also sought homologs of viral proteins obtained in our South Georgia samples in datasets

available from other oceans to which viruses are probably dispersed or from which they are

recruited.

Materials and methods

Field sampling and filtration

Air and rain samples were collected in South Georgia (latitude -54.4, longitude -36.5), which is

~1800 km from Antarctica to the south, ~1400 km from the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula to the

south-west and ~2150 km from southern South America to the west. Collection took place from

October 15 to 21, 2021, at two different sampling sites: King Edward Point (KEP) and Deadman's

Cairn at Lewis Pass (DMP) (Figure 1). These sites represent two different sampling altitudes of

~3 and ~200 m above sea level, respectively. Site KEP (-54.283528, -36.495194) was directly at

the coast, while DMP was located 2 km inland (-54.269964, -36.503672, Figure 1f). Three

different air samplers were used, namely the "wet" Coriolis ® μ air sampler, "dry" Coriolis

Compact air sampler (both Bertin Technologies SAS, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France), and a

Whatman Vacuum Pump attached to a Sartorius 250 ml filter holder collector ("pump"). The

samplers were positioned about 1 m above the ground surface. For rain sampling, a plastic funnel

attached to a 1 L sterile collection bottle ("collector") was used (at KEP only). At the DMP site,

only the wet and dry Coriolis samplers could be used as they function on batteries and do not

require main power. Sampling duration was 1-6 h when using the Coriolis instruments with high

flow rates, whether in wet or dry conditions, and extended up to 22 h when air was collected using

the vacuum pump. Rain collectors were used for 15 h (Table S1). We extracted hourly wind speed,

wind direction, pressure, and air temperature data for the sampling period (Table S1) from the

Grytviken Automatic Weather Station. For each sample, the corresponding values of each variable

were averaged to cover each sampling duration, allowing us to obtain average environmental

conditions for each sample.

Figure 1: Map showing the location of South Georgia (a) and the two sampling sites DMP =

Deadman's Cairn, Lewis Pass, and KEP = King Edward Point (b). Sources: a) Mapping and

Geographic Information Centre, British Antarctic Survey, 2025; Bathymetry: Weatherall, et al.

[114], Coastline: SCAR Antarctic Digital Database, 2024 for areas south of 60°S and Natural Earth

for areas north of 60°S b) South Georgia and The Shackleton Crossing" by the British Antarctic

Survey, 2021, 1:200,000 and 1:40,000 scale, BAS (Misc 12), Cambridge, British Antarctic Survey.

Reproduced with permission.

DNA extraction and sequencing

Cellulose nitrate membrane filters with air samples were sliced into 0.5 mm wide strips using a

sterilized scalpel to maximize the filters' exposure to the cell lysis buffer during DNA extraction.

For samples collected in nuclease-free water using the Coriolis wet device, the collection cones

were vortexed (SciQuip, Shropshire, UK) before being filtered through 0.2 μm pore size cellulose

nitrate membrane filters (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA) using a Welch WOBL

vacuum pump (Welch, Mt. Prospect, IL, USA) for 10 min. Samples obtained via the Coriolis

Compact sampler (the dry device) were resuspended in 15 mL of DNA/RNAase-free water,

manually shaken, and vortexed before undergoing the same filtration process. All pre-processing

was conducted in a Class II cabinet, and the vacuum pump, tubing, and filtration apparatus were

sterilized with 70% ethanol before use. DNA extraction from air samples was performed using the

Qiagen PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Sterile water controls taken during sampling and extraction kit controls, although not sequenced

for metagenomics, showed no contamination in the 16S rRNA gene amplicon dataset, as detailed

in a separate, currently submitted manuscript [32]. DNA extracts were submitted to NU-OMICS

sequencing facility at Northumbria University, Newcastle, for Illumina NextSeq shotgun

metagenomics sequencing. DNA libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep

Kit, following the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was conducted on an Illumina NextSeq

system (Illumina Inc., USA) with V2.5 300-cycle chemistry.

Metagenomic analysis

Sequencing reads went through adapter trimming using BBduk within BBTools [33], and

afterwards Sickle v.1.33 [34] was run in paired-end mode and '-t sanger' setting. Taxonomic

profiling of microbes was performed using the tool mOTUs v.3.0.2 on trimmed reads with options

'-A' (reports full taxonomy) '-c' (reports counts) '-M' (to save intermediate marker gene cluster

count) including a separate run to retrieve unassigned taxa. The tool mOTUs employs universal,

protein-coding, and single-copy phylogenetic marker gene sequences [35, 36]. The trimmed reads

were subsequently assembled using metaSPAdes v.3.15.5 [37] and metaviral SPAdes [38].

Assemblies were combined and viral scaffolds were predicted by running VIBRANT v.1.2.1 [39],

VirSorter v2 with setting '--include-groups "dsDNAphage,ssDNA,NCLDV,lavidaviridae"' and

default score [40], and geNomad v.1.3 [41]. Viral scaffolds detected by the different tools were

combined and filtered to a minimum length of 3000 bp. The number of assembled viral scaffolds

by sampling device was summed. As the number of viruses and the genome size were very low,

assemblies across all read files (respective forward and reverse reads of all samples merged) were

performed using the above tools and additionally MEGAHIT v.1.2.9 [42]. The resulting viral

scaffolds were combined with the previous ones, and a size cut-off of 5000 bp was applied. Viruses

were clustered using VIRIDIC v.1.0 r3.6 [43], and only one viral operational taxonomic unit

(vOTU) of each species cluster (demarcation threshold = 95 % intergenomic similarity) was used

in downstream analysis, and CheckV v.1.0.1 [44] was run. To determine if a vOTU was present in

a sample, reads were mapped back to vOTUs using Bowtie 2 v.2.3.5.1 [45] with settings '--mp 1,1

--np 1 --rdg 0,1 --rfg 0,1 --score-min L,0,-0.1' [46], which ensure that only reads with 90%

sequence identity map to the vOTU. To follow guidelines of Roux, et al. [47], a vOTU was

considered present if at least 75% of the scaffold was covered with reads, which was checked using

the 'calcopo.rb' script [28]. Mean coverages of vOTU were determined using the

'04_01calc_coverage_v3.rb' script [48] and coverages were normalized to sequencing depth.

Relative abundance was calculated as the proportion of each normalized coverage relative to the

total, expressed as a percentage. Gene calling was performed using Prodigal v.2.6.3 [49], and for

viral clustering, vConTACT2 v.0.9.19 [50] was run on the vOTU proteins together with those from

the   1August2023   viral   reference   database

(https://github.com/RyanCook94/inphared/tree/a330daa635cd3c78843d470668cb22ff842960e4)

derived from INPHARED [51]. Results were compiled using graphanalyzer v.1.5.1 [52] and a

network was built in Cytoscape v.3.10.3 [53]. PhaMer [54] and PhaGCN [55] within PhaBOX v.1

[56] were used to discriminate phages from non-phages, and to determine the taxonomic rank of a

phage at family level, respectively. VirClust webtool [57] with default settings was run on the

airborne vOTUs. ViPTree v.4.0 [58] was run with settings for dsDNA for nucleic acid type of

reference viruses and "Any host" as the host category. Further virophage classification was

performed using https://github.com/simroux/ICTV_VirophageSG [59]. In a previous, non-polar

study, viral genomes retrieved from aerosols and rainwater had a significantly higher

guanine/cytosine (GC) base content compared to marine viruses [60], which is why the GC content

of the Antarctic air vOTUs was further explored. The GC content of vOTUs was determined using

EMBOSS v. 5.0.0 [61]. Annotations of vOTUs were performed using DRAM-v v.1.4.6. [62],

which includes annotations from the databases Pfam, VOGDB, KOfam, dbCAN, and RefSeq viral.

The vOTUs were BLASTed against the IMG/VR Viral Nucleotide Database v.4 with an e-value

cut-off of 1e-5 [63]. Domain analysis of the proteins was performed using NCBI Conserved

Domain Search website [64]. A BLASTp analysis for selected amino acids sequences from two

vOTUs was run against the Ocean Gene Atlas [65, 66] using the Tara Oceans Microbiome

Reference Genome Catalog v1 OM-RGC_v1 (based on metagenomes) and an e-value cut-off of

1e-10. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was chosen as the environmental variable based

on which the spatial distribution and abundance (average copies per cell) of the protein homologs

of vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2 was explored, because the protein homologs are

functionally related to PAR or ultraviolet radiation, which both correlate with global solar radiation

[67]. The phylogenetic tree acquired from the Ocean Gene Atlas was edited using the Interactive

Tree of Life (iTOL) v.6 website [68]. Overlaps of vOTUs between stations were visualized with

Venn   Diagrams   made   with   the   Ugent   webtool

(https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/). Host predictions were performed using

iPHoP v.1.2.0 and the database Sept_2021_pub [69].

Diversity analysis and statistics

Community and diversity analysis of vOTUs was performed using phyloseq v. 1.48.0 [70] within

the R programming environment v.4.4.0 [71]. Plotting and statistical analysis of Shannon-Wiener

index was performed in Graphpad Prism 10.

Results:

Viral community composition is affected by sampling site, collection device, and daily fluctuations

The different sampling devices collected different numbers of viral genome fragments. From the 60 assembled vOTUs >3 kb length, most vOTUs (30) were assembled from samples collected with the wet Coriolis and only one vOTU from the dry Coriolis (Figure 2a, collection devices depicted in Figure 2c). Seven and 22 vOTUs were assembled after collection with the vacuum pump and the rain collector, respectively. Of the 60 assembled vOTUs, 43 and 17 vOTUs were collected at the coastal KEP site and the inland higher altitude DMP site, respectively (Figure 2d). From these assembled scaffolds and the cross-assembled ones, 39 vOTUs >5 kb were identified and further analyzed. Mapping reads to these vOTUs showed that 15 unique vOTUs were present in samples taken with the rain collector, another unique 15 vOTUs in samples from the wet Coriolis, and 9 vOTUs occurred in samples obtained by both devices, suggesting that these two sampling methods complement each other for virus sampling (Figure 2b). Eleven vOTUs were shared between both sampling sites, while 19 were only present at KEP and 9 at DMP (Figure 2e).

Figure 2: Airborne virus sampling with different collection devices from two sampling sites in South Georgia. a) Number of collected viral scaffolds >3000 bp per sampling device; for description of sampling devices, see main text. b) Venn diagram showing overlapping vOTUs for different sampling devices based on read mapping. c) Coriolis µ and Coriolis COMPACT sampler

in the Antarctic landscape (Image: David Pearce). d) Number of collected viral scaffolds >2 kb by

sampling site, e) Venn diagram showing overlapping vOTUs (>5 kb) between sampling sites based

on read mapping.

The vOTUs were clustered into viral clusters (VCs) by vConTACT2 corresponding to genus level

clusters, while "singletons" and "outliers" may represent novel viruses. Relative abundance

analysis showed that VC_1229_0 (containing vOTU_12 & vOTU_13), vOTU_24, and vOTU_30

were the most abundant (based on coverage depth) and prevalent (based on coverage breadth) VCs

in the community (Figure 3a, Table S2). Based on Virsorter2, VC_1229_0 might contain

virophage vOTUs, family Lavidaviridae, which could however not be further validated by using

virophage-specific markers. VOTU_30 was classified as Bamfordvirae by geNomad, which

includes NCLDV. Shannon-Wiener diversity was highest for vOTUs sampled with the rain

collector at KEP (2.9 and 3.0) compared to the wet Coriolis at DMP (2.1 and 2.3) and compared

to the wet Coriolis and pump at KEP (0.6 – 1.9) and thus did not show a clear Shannon-Wiener

diversity difference between the two sites but may indicate a joint effect of the sampling device

and site on alpha-diversity (Figure 3b).

During sampling on October 15, 2021, both the vacuum pump and the collector captured some of

the most abundant vOTUs (Figure 3a). Based on read mapping, vOTUs and VCs reoccurred and

co-occurred on different days and at both sites, but also new vOTUs appeared (Figure 3a & c).

Rain samples (LC-14 & LC-16) contained some vOTUs that were also recovered from air on

October 15, suggesting that these overlapping vOTUs were washed out by falling rain from the

atmosphere or resulted from raindrops that were collected with the aerosol sampler. Some VCs

were only detected in the rainwater, namely VC_752_0, VC_750_0, VC_749_0 and VC_747_0

(all predicted Caudoviricetes). Notably, on one day (LC-41), where viruses were sampled at KEP

with the wet Coriolis, four vOTUs present in the sample based on read mapping had a GC content

of 61.8% ± 4.0 (mean ± standard deviation, Figure 3c, Table S3).

Figure 3: Viral cluster and vOTU distribution and relation to guanine/cytosine (GC) content.
a) Relative abundance of viral clusters (VC) and unclustered viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) across stations. b) Shannon-Wiener index for viruses separated by study site. c) GC content of vOTUs versus sampling date and site. If vOTUs were found in several samples based on read mapping, they have the same color; if they are unique for a sample, they are black. DMP = Deadman's Pass, KEP = King Edward Point, Sea/lvl = Sea-level, WC = wet Coriolis, LC refers to internal sample identification.

Protein-based clustering reveals similarities to known marine viruses

According to classification of the 39 vOTUs by geNomad, Adintoviridae (3), Caudoviricetes (15 including Crassvirales (3)), Imitervirales (1), Bamfordvirae (2), unclassified (10) or not determined by the tool (8) were found. VirSorter2 predicted dsDNA phages (13), Lavidaviridae (9), NCLDV (7) and not determined (10) (Table S3). Depending on the virus identification tool, evidence for the presence of 13 – 17 phages among the 39 vOTUs was found (Figure 4a, b, Table S3). Four phages were predicted to be family Demerecviridae and two others family Straboviridae (Table S3).

Protein-based clustering using vConTACT2 showed similarities of some South Georgia vOTUs to known marine phages. vOTU_07 shared similarities with Nonlabens phage P12024L (GenBank, JQ823123, Figure 4a, b), which originates from coastal seawater [72]. vOTU_36 and vOTU_37, detected in rainwater, formed VC_747_0 sharing protein similarities with the Baltic Sea derived Flavobacterium phage vB_FspP_elemoA_8-9C (GenBank, MT497073). Based on VipTree, vOTU_35 was related to the widespread oceanic Cyanophage S-TIM5 (GenBank, NC_019516) [73, 74] and estuarine Synechococcus phage S-CBWM1 (GenBank, NC_048106, Figure 5a) [75] , vOTU_28, vOTU_29, vOTU_36, and vOTU_37 were related to different Flavobacterium phages of the genera *Elemovirus* and *Immutovirus* from the Baltic Sea [76], and vOTU_27 to different Cellulophaga phages isolated from the Baltic Sea [77] and North Sea [78]. In total, 15 vOTUs were related to viruses known to have marine hosts or marine origin themselves (Figure 4a, Table S4), providing evidence that the airborne viral community sampled here in South Georgia was influenced by marine input.

Figure 4: Viral protein-based clustering. a) Proteomic tree of 39 viral operational taxonomic units (red branches) and their related viruses constructed using ViPTree. b) Protein-sharing network of Antarctic vOTUs (big black squares) forming viral clusters with Nonlabens phage P12024S and P12024L and with each other.

Functional annotations of the 39 vOTUs are given in Table S5. vOTU_19, related to Nonlabens phages P12024S (GenBank, NC_018271) and P12024L (GenBank, NC_018272) (Figure 5a), had the most ORFs (19), encoding for proteins involved in host lytic machinery such as holin (ORF6) and peptidase (ORF9) [79]. Sequence alignment and tBLASTx analysis of the vOTUs indicated potential similarities with viruses from different ecosystems. Several protein coding genes of vOTU_07 showed ~50% sequence identity with phages P12024S (GenBank, NC_018271) and P12024L (GenBank, NC_018272) (Figure 5a), which infect the marine bacterial host *Persicivirga* (family Flavobacteriaceae) [72]. Additionally, vOTU_17 and vOTU_39 displayed protein similarities with viruses that infect extremophilic hosts such as haloviruses HHTV-2 (GenBank, NC_021340.1) and HCTV-2 (GenBank, NC_021319.1) which infects the archaeon *Haloarcula californiae* isolated from salt brines (DSMZ, DSM 8905) [80] and phage OscarSo (GenBank, NC_073466.1), which infects *Microbacterium radiodurans* NRRL B-24799, a highly UV-tolerant bacterium [81], respectively (Figure 5b).

Hierarchical and phylogenetic clustering of viral core proteins highlighted clustering into eight

distinct clades (clusters 1, 2, 6, 10-12, 14 and 20) out of the predicted 22 (Figure 5c, Table S6).

These clusters in the hierarchical tree demonstrated protein overlaps and provided similar

phylogenetic reconstructions as observed in the ViPtree analysis (Figure 5a, b). Clusters 2, 6, and

11 had the greatest number of members (four) while cluster 20, comprised of just two members,

shared the greatest number of common core genes. This cluster is formed from vOTU_36 and

vOTU_37 and was related to Flavobacterium phage vB_FspP_elemoA_8-9C (GenBank,

MT497073) in vConTACT2. Overall, we found high diversity of the proteome in the analyzed

vOTUs, with proteins overlapping among scaffolds being phylogenetically similar.

Spatial distribution of selected protein homologs in the major oceans

To investigate the ecological significance and environmental distribution of viral proteins with

potential functional roles in photosynthesis and UV protection, we analysed homologous

sequences from vOTU_35 and vOTU_39 using a metagenomic database from the Tara Oceans

project. VOTU_35 shared protein identity with the Cyanophage S-TIM5 (Figure 5a), which

encoded the photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 with a psbA domain (vOTU_35_ORF14)

(Figure 6a). The D1 and D2 reaction centre proteins form a heterodimer responsible for the

establishment of photosystem II in cyanobacteria [82, 83], which is the most light-sensitive

complex of cyanobacteria [84]. Another protein from vOTU_39 shared similarity with a protein

from the phage OscarSo (GenBank, NC_073466.1) that infects the extremophilic UV-tolerant host

Microbacterium radiodurans [81]. The phage carries a gene encoding for the protein from the

impB/mucB/samB family with a DinP domain having functions in the bacterial SOS (DNA

damage) response and thus has relevance in UV protection (Pfam database, PF00817) [85] (Figure

6a). To understand the distribution of these protein sequences and the possible origin of the

encoding vOTUs, we explored the biogeography of the protein sequences based on matches with

the Ocean Gene Atlas. The global distribution of the two protein homologs shows distinct patterns

in terms of geographic spread and relative abundance. The protein homolog of the photosynthetic

reaction centre protein D1 is widely distributed across Tara Oceans stations, with notable

concentrations across the South Atlantic Ocean, western Indian Ocean, and eastern tropical

Atlantic, as well as in parts of the central South Pacific. The highest abundances are observed in

central Africa and the Indian Ocean region. This homolog appears across multiple size fractions,

including 0 – 0.22 μm, 0.1 – 0.22 μm, and 0.22 – 0.45 μm, and exhibits a relatively high abundance

range from 9.94e-6 to 1.02e-3. In contrast, the protein homolog impB/mucB/samB with a DinP

domain has a more restricted distribution, being primarily detected across the South Atlantic

Ocean, the western Indian Ocean, and the eastern equatorial Pacific Ocean. It is present in the same

particle size fractions as the other homolog but at much lower abundances, ranging from 6.68e-9

to 1.06e-5. The highest abundances of this homolog are localized to a single prominent site in the

South Atlantic Ocean (station Tara_076).

Figure 5: Sequence alignment and proteome clustering of annotated vOTUs. a) Sequence

alignment of vOTUs with marine viruses. vOTU_07 demonstrate nucleotide identity with two

Nonlabens phages. Sequence alignment of vOTU_35 indicate nucleotide identity with two marine

cyanophages. b) Sequence alignment of vOTUs from extremophilic hosts. vOTU_17 demonstrate

tBLASTx identity with sequences of two archaeal viruses. Sequence alignment of vOTU_39

shows tBLASTx identity with a high genomic GC content containing actinobacteriophage OscarSo

(Genbank, OP434449.1) infecting *Microbacterium radiodurans*. c) Viral proteome clustering of

all vOTUs with Virclust indicates eight different clades (clusters 1, 2, 6, 10-12, 14 and 20) out of

the predicted 22.

Homologs of photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 (vOTU_35_ORF14) were widely detected

in several Tara Oceans sampling locations in the surface water layer (SRF) at varying PAR ranging

from approximately 0.07 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} to 41.3 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} as compared to the

mesopelagic zone (MES), where almost no PAR was observed, deep chlorophyll maximum

(DCM) with PAR ranging from approximately 0.038 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} to 2.52 mol quanta m^{-2}

day^{-1}, and the marine epipelagic mixed layer (MIX) with PAR ranging from approximately

0.00002 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} to 0.000059 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} (Figure 6b, Table S7). A total of

2625 hits were obtained for this protein, with an abundance value of 192236. Taxonomic

distribution of these homologs indicated high prevalence of this protein in viral genomes (37%)

(Figure S1). The UV protection related protein (vOTU_39_ORF2) was mostly abundant in the

SRF as compared to the MES, DCM, and MIX layers, however, the distribution across the

sampling locations was rather sparse as compared to vOTU_35_ORF14 (Figure 6b, Table S7&8).

Only a total of 59 hits were obtained for this impB/mucB/samB family protein and the number of

abundance measures was 1924, while for the photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 we

received 2625 hits and the number of abundance measures was 192236. Viral homologs of the

impB/mucB/samB family protein could not be found. The closest homolog predicted by the Ocean

Gene Atlas for this protein was the impB/mucB/samB and DinP domain-containing protein of the

bacterium, *Vulcanimicrobium alpinum* (GenBank, WP_317995911.1) [86], isolated from a

fumarole ice cave at high altitude on the volcano Mount Erebus in Victoria Land, Antarctica

(Figure S2). Both protein homologs were present at sampling location TARA_076, being closer to

the sampling site of our study than most other Tara Ocean stations (Figure 6c).

Figure 6: Distribution and abundance of viral protein sequence homologs in the major oceans. a) Domain analysis of photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 derived from vOTU_35 and impB/mucB/samB derived from vOTU_39. b) the abundance of the protein homolog for the photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 and impB/mucB/samB with a DinP domain in three different filtered fractions and across different sampling depths (SRF = surface water, DCM = deep chlorophyll maximum, MES = mesopelagic zone, MIX = mixed layer) and in relation to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). c) Distribution of protein homologs across stations of

399   the Tara Ocean expedition based on BLASTp to the Tara Oceans Microbiome Reference Genome

400   Catalog v1 OM-RGC_v1 as obtained from Ocean Gene Atlas. Red circle indicates the position of

401   South Georgia. Size of bubble indicates abundance in b and c.

Microbial community composition and host assignments

Only three hosts could be predicted for the 39 vOTUs using iPhoP. These were the genera

Rickettsia (confidence score 90.8, phylum Pseudomonadota) for vOTU_34, *Myroides* for vOTU18

(confidence score 90.9, phylum Bacteroidota) and UBA9320 for vOTU_37 (confidence score 90.9,

phylum Bacteroidota), the latter being a metagenome assembled genome from the Central Arctic

[87]. Microbial community profiling (mainly prokaryotes, with a small number of reads of

Basidiomycota) showed that the airborne community was dominated by Actinobacteria,

Proteobacteria (now Pseudomonadota) and unassigned taxa. More unassigned taxa were found in

the inland DMP samples (up to 80% relative abundance) than at the coastal KEP samples (up to

18% relative abundance, Table S9, Figure S3). BLASTing vOTUs to the IMG/VR database

revealed hits to orders Ortervirales (vOTU_01, vOTU_23, vOTU_24) and Algavirales

(vOTU_03), to the class Caudoviricetes (vOTU_07, vOTU_12 – vOTU_16, vOTU_18, vOTU_19,

vOTU_20, vOTU_25 – vOTU_29, vOTU_33, vOTU_34 – vOTU_37, vOTU_39), and to the order

Priklausovirales (vOTU_31). We acknowledge, however, that the distinction between viruses and

retroelements can be challenging for Ortervirales-like sequences. Predicted hosts were of the phyla

Actinobacteriota (vOTU_12 – vOTU_15, Alphaproteobacteria (vOTU_39), Saprospiraceae

(vOTU_36 & vOTU_37) and Spirochaetota (vOTU_12 – vOTU_15). Most viral hits were to

marine, aquatic, seawater ecosystems from various parts of the world (Table S10).

Discussion

Aerosolization of different viral groups, site effects, and biogeographic distribution

Our data show that the airborne viral community composition over South Georgia is influenced by

the sampling site on a local scale (coastal vs inland) and the sampling device used. We found

typical eukaryotic viral groups like NCLDV, which often are parasitized upon by smaller DNA

viruses, known informally as virophage. Both virus types have been previously reported after

sampling from 15 m depth in Marguerite Bay in the Southern Ocean close to the Antarctic

continent [30] and to influence algal host-virus interactions in a meromictic Antarctic lake [88].

About half of all the vOTUs identified corresponded to phages. Our data confirm that viruses of

various groups become airborne and form the first baseline data on airborne viral communities

from South Georgia, along with evidence of day-to-day fluctuations, and of influence by the

marine environment.

More vOTUs were assembled from and were present based on read mapping at the immediately

coastal KEP site, suggesting aerosolization of local marine viruses. Several vOTUs shared proteins

with known cyanophages and phage isolates infecting typical marine heterotrophic bacteria and,

additionally, typical marine prokaryotes such as Thaumarchaeota (*Nitrosopumilus* sp.), were part

of the microbial community (Figure S3). An earlier study in the Arctic explored viral distribution

and adaptation at the air-sea interface and showed that virus dispersal across the Arctic might be

facilitated by aerosolization of viruses residing in the sea-surface microlayer, the uppermost 1 mm

surface layer in contact with the atmosphere [89]. Viruses are active in the Antarctic microlayer

[90] from where they can be emitted into aerosols [91]. As our sampling sites were located on a

remote Southern Ocean island far from other terrestrial influences, finding such small-scale local

differences in marine contributions to the airborne viral community was not our initial expectation

as, in absolute terms, both sites are physically close to the coast. High GC content vOTUs known

to be present in air and rain samples from Swedish air [60] were detected on one sampling day in

the dataset explored here. This was a day with particularly low wind speeds, sunny weather, and a

wind direction of 238 degrees (west-south-west), the latter contrasting with all other sampling,

where the wind direction was north-west to north-north-west (Table S1). These high GC viruses

shared protein identities with Microbacterium phage OscarSo (37.5% identity in case of

vOTU_39), which has a GC content of 69.2% (https://phagesdb.org/phages/OscarSo/), and with

two archaeal viruses of the halophilic archaeon *Haloarcula* (e.g. 34.4% and 34.7% in case of

vOTU_17). OscarSo has been isolated from *Microbacterium radiodurans*, which is highly

resistant to UV radiation and originates from sand of the Gobi Desert [81]. Hence, our findings

provide some evidence that airborne viruses with high GC content could have extremophilic hosts,

allowing speculation that such viruses have a non-marine origin and stem from the higher

atmosphere or terrestrial influences such as desert dust. The majority of marine prokaryote

genomes have a GC content ranging between 30% and 50% [92, 93], and associated viruses

typically mirror the GC content of their hosts [94, 95].

The distance between the two sampling sites DMP and KEP is approximately 2 km and, hence,

our data overall confirm short-range dispersal of Antarctic viruses with bioaerosols, with likely

influences from sea spray and wet precipitation as precipitation occurred during some of the

sampling events (Table S1). Viral alpha diversity did not differ significantly between the two sites,

whereas bacterial diversity showed a significant difference [32]. The marine origin of certain

viruses and the spread of specific genes suggest that viruses are primarily sourced through

atmospheric transfer over long distances. We speculate that viral communities are less influenced

by a study site than bacteria, because of longer residence times and lower deposition velocities in

air, since they are smaller [16, 17]. Therefore, they can be dispersed further and can become part

of another remote community more easily.

Proteomic alignment and classification of the vOTUs was consistent with the results of the

phylogenetic and clustering analyses. We found sequence identity at the amino acid level of some

vOTUs with viruses of typical marine bacteria and archaea. Specifically, two different proteins

encoded by vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2 shared several homologs that are widely

distributed in the surface water layer of the major oceans (Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Ocean),

supporting a probable marine origin. While speculative, the functionality of these proteins and

their potential involvement in modulating a host's metabolism may be important. Phages present

in diverse ecological habitats must be highly adaptive; for instance, this could involve the

placement of structural genes in regions of above-average GC content of the genome [96],

encoding specialized proteins promoting host survival and extremotolerance, or reverting to

lysogenic or pseudolysogenic life cycles [97, 98]. Typical traits of marine phages include

augmentation of host metabolism or enhancing viral fitness by the acquisition and expression of

auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) [99]. Considering that phage-derived psbA has been

demonstrated to support host photosynthetic activity in surface water [100], and the potential of

the impB/mucB/samB gene cluster to support microbial growth in harsh environments is known

[101], we hypothesize that vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2 could potentially be AMGs

supporting the replication of these viruses in the marine and atmospheric environments,

respectively. This could be appropriate and adaptive, as organisms in both environments face

challenging conditions, for instance surface water in the open ocean is oligotrophic [102], and the

atmosphere is prone to desiccation and solar and UV radiation effects [103].

Methodological challenges of sampling air viruses for metagenomics

Several viruses remained unclustered by vConTACT2 or could only be clustered with other viruses

obtained in this study, suggesting the presence of previously unknown viral diversity. In

interpreting our results, it is important to acknowledge that many viruses remain unclassified and

undocumented in public repositories. As a result, the vConTACT2 reference database used in this

study may not fully capture the diversity of viral sequences present in our samples, potentially

leading to the underrepresentation of novel or poorly characterized viral taxa. In addition, the

overall viral biomass in these air samples was low, a common challenge in aeromicrobiology [104,

105]. As in a previous air virus study [106], this led us to lower the generally-applied 10 kb length

cut-off for the analysis of vOTUs proposed by the standard viromics guidelines [47] to accept

smaller, 5 kb, viral scaffolds to be used for viral presence/absence determination. Such a step might

also be appropriate for studies in other low and ultra-low biomass systems such as clean rooms or

space equipment [107, 108]. However, accepting smaller fragments can also increase uncertainties

in various downstream predictions as well as the probability of false-positive predictions. Because

airborne viruses are present in low abundance, the detection of a vOTU in a sample is more likely

to be affected by limited coverage compared to high biomass ecosystems, making it difficult to

accurately interpret overlapping communities and day-to-day variation in diversity.

The type of sampling device used here clearly influenced the viral findings. The dry Coriolis

sampled few viruses (a single virus was assembled) and none were found by mapping reads from

dry Coriolis samples to vOTUs retrieved from other samplers. According to the manufacturer's

information, the Coriolis Compact has a flow rate of 50 L min^{-1} and is described as a device capable

of virus sampling, but this device is not mentioned in the academic literature on this subject. The

wet Coriolis (Coriolis µ) has been used extensively for viral air sampling [109, 110]. It has a higher

flow rate of up to 300 L min^{-1} and collects particles into a liquid and, thus, might be more efficient

than the dry Coriolis. Additionally, the liquid might be more suitable for conserving the viral

particles. Both Coriolis samplers have a size range of 500 nm – 10 µm for collected particles,

which should exclude most viruses. As we have no information on the particle size of the sampled

viruses here, we can only speculate whether small viruses were not sampled or were only collected

if attached to larger particles. Further tests are required to thoroughly validate the devices used for

viral sampling, including tests on the stability of viral nucleic acids on filters and in liquid, as

degradation of nucleic acids is possible during long-term air sampling [111]. Instead of sampling

on 0.2 µm pore-sized membranes or Sterivex filters, future studies of viruses collected into liquid

could be iron-flocculated or subjected to ultrafiltration and processed as viromes, as done for

aquatic samples [112].

A larger diversity of viruses was sampled with the rain collector. Rain and aerosol-derived viruses

can be genomically very different [60], while airborne microbial communities also vary between

precipitation types and with seasonal variations in Antarctica [11, 113]. Work by Jiang, et al. [106]

supports that the atmosphere harbors a distinct viral community while also revealing habitat-

specific viral assemblages and AMGs. Supporting previous findings [60], our work revealed VCs

only found in the rainwater samples, which could indicate that they originate from a higher

altitude. The collection of different, non-overlapping vOTUs by our two sampling devices

suggests that using a funnel collector alongside an impinger air sampling system provides a more

comprehensive representation of the airborne viral community, which can vary between aerosols

and rain.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge use of the HPC cluster DRACO, with tools and database

kindly provided by the VEO group of Bas Dutilh at the FSU Jena. We acknowledge technical

assistance by Luke Cockerton for DNA extractions and by Bingli Chai for submitting the sequence

data to SRA.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: All authors consent to the publication of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding Statement: JR received funding from the DFG RA3432/1-1, project number 446702140,

the WBP Return Grant RA3432/1-3, project number 534276621, and the Swedish Research

Council, Starting Grant ID 2023-03310_VR. Fieldwork was supported by the British Antarctic

Survey, Project NERC CASS196, to DAP and PC. PC is supported by NERC core funding to the

BAS 'Biodiversity, Evolution and Adaptation' Team. Data handling was enabled by resources

provided by the National Academic Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and

the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing at UPPMAX, partially funded by the Swedish

Research Council through grant agreement no. 2022-06725.

Data Availability: Sequencing data are stored in NCBI's SRA under the Bioproject

PRJNA1107129, Biosample IDs: SAMN41160173 - SAMN41160182. The vOTUs are deposited

at figshare under doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.26536105 and in NCBI's BankIt under accession

numbers PV563644 - PV563682. Weather data are downloadable via https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/cgi-

bin/metdb-form-

2.pl?tabletouse=U_MET.GRYTVIKEN_AWS&complex=1&idmask=.....&acct=u_met&pass=w

eather.

Author Contribution Statement: RD: data curation, formal analysis, investigation,

methodology, visualization, validation, writing original draft, writing review & editing; LM: field

sampling, data acquisition, writing review & editing; DAP: conceptualization, field sampling,

writing review & editing; PC: interpretation, writing review and editing; JR: conceptualization,

formal analysis, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, visualization, validation,

writing original draft, writing review & editing

References:

1.   Malard LA, Sabacka M, Magiopoulos I, Mowlem M, Hodson A, Tranter M, et al. Spatial
variability of Antarctic surface snow bacterial communities. Front Microbiol.
2019;10:461;
2.   Pearce DA, Bridge PD, Hughes KA, Sattler B, Psenner R, Russell NJ. Microorganisms in
the atmosphere over Antarctica. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2009;69(2):143-57;
3.   Pretorius I, Schou WC, Richardson B, Ross SD, Withers TM, Schmale DG, 3rd, et al. In
the wind: Invasive species travel along predictable atmospheric pathways. Ecol Appl.
2023;33(3):e2806;
4.   Cary SC, McDonald IR, Barrett JE, Cowan DA. On the rocks: the microbiology of
Antarctic Dry Valley soils. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2010;8(2):129-38;
5.   Cavicchioli R. Microbial ecology of Antarctic aquatic systems. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2015;13(11):691-706;

6.   Verleyen E, Van de Vijver B, Tytgat B, Pinseel E, Hodgson DA, Kopalová K, et al.
Diatoms define a novel freshwater biogeography of the Antarctic. Ecography.
2021;44(4):548-60;
7.   Vyverman W, Verleyen E, Wilmotte A, Hodgson DA, Willems A, Peeters K, et al.
Evidence for widespread endemism among Antarctic micro-organisms. Polar Science.
2010;4(2):103-13;
8.   Cao Y, Yu X, Ju F, Zhan H, Jiang B, Kang H, et al. Airborne bacterial community
diversity, source and function along the Antarctic Coast. Sci Total Environ.
2021;765:142700;
9.   Pearce DA, Hughes KA, Lachlan-Cope T, Harangozo SA, Jones AE. Biodiversity of air-
borne microorganisms at Halley Station, Antarctica. Extremophiles. 2010;14(2):145-59;
10. King-Miaow K, Lee K, Maki T, LaCap-Bugler D, Archer SDJ. Airborne microorganisms
in Antarctica: transport, survival and establishment. The Ecological Role of Micro-
Organisms in the Antarctic Environment. 2019:163-96;
11. Malard LA, Avila-Jimenez ML, Schmale J, Cuthbertson L, Cockerton L, Pearce DA.
Aerobiology over the Southern Ocean - Implications for bacterial colonization of
Antarctica. Environ Int. 2022;169:107492;
12. Heinrichs ME, Piedade GJ, Popa O, Sommers P, Trubl G, Weissenbach J, et al. Breaking
the ice: A review of phages in polar ecosystems. Methods Mol Biol. 2024;2738:31-71;
13. Hendrix RW, Smith MC, Burns RN, Ford ME, Hatfull GF. Evolutionary relationships
among diverse bacteriophages and prophages: all the world's a phage. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A. 1999;96(5):2192-7;
14. Nga DDY, Nhung VH, Nhan NT, Hien TT. Study on the concentration, composition, and
recovery rate of bacterial bioaerosols after rainfall in Ho Chi Minh City. Environ Monit
Assess. 2024;196(3):295;
15. Vishwakarma YK, Mayank, Ram K, Gogoi MM, Banerjee T, Singh RS. Bioaerosol
emissions from wastewater treatment process at urban environment and potential health
impacts. J Environ Manage. 2024;361:121202;
16. Reche I, D'Orta G, Mladenov N, Winget DM, Suttle CA. Deposition rates of viruses and
bacteria above the atmospheric boundary layer. ISME J. 2018;12(4):1154-62;
17. Alsante AN, Thornton DCO, Brooks SD. Ocean Aerobiology. Front Microbiol.
2021;12(3143):764178;
18. Quinn PK, Collins DB, Grassian VH, Prather KA, Bates TS. Chemistry and related
properties of freshly emitted sea spray aerosol. Chem Rev. 2015;115(10):4383-99;
19. Trainic M, Koren I, Sharoni S, Frada M, Segev L, Rudich Y, et al. Infection dynamics of
a bloom-forming alga and its virus determine airborne coccolith emission from seawater.
iScience. 2018;6:327-35;
20. Kepner RL, Jr., Wharton RA, Jr., Suttle CA. Viruses in Antarctic lakes. Limnol
Oceanogr. 1998;43(7):1754-61;
21. Luhtanen A-M, Eronen-Rasimus E, Oksanen HM, Tison J-L, Delille B, Dieckmann GS,
et al. The first known virus isolates from Antarctic sea ice have complex infection
patterns. FEMS Microbiol Ecol. 2018;94(4):fiy028;
22. Paterson H, Laybourn-Parry J. Antarctic sea ice viral dynamics over an annual cycle.
Polar Biol. 2011;35(4):491-7;

23. Lopez-Simon J, Vila-Nistal M, Rosenova A, De Corte D, Baltar F, Martinez-Garcia M.
Viruses under the Antarctic Ice Shelf are active and potentially involved in global
nutrient cycles. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):8295;
24. Zablocki O, van Zyl L, Adriaenssens EM, Rubagotti E, Tuffin M, Cary SC, et al. High-
level diversity of tailed phages, eukaryote-associated viruses, and virophage-like
elements in the metaviromes of Antarctic soils. Appl Environ Microbiol.
2014;80(22):6888-97;
25. Sommers P, Fontenele RS, Kringen T, Kraberger S, Porazinska DL, Darcy JL, et al.
Single-stranded DNA viruses in Antarctic cryoconite holes. Viruses. 2019;11(11):1022;
26. Guixa-Boixereu N, Vaqué D, Gasol JM, Sánchez-Cámara J, Pedrós-Alió C. Viral
distribution and activity in Antarctic waters. Deep Sea Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr.
2002;49(4-5):827-45;
27. Liang Y, Bai X, Jiang Y, Wang M, He J, McMinn A. Distribution of marine viruses and
their potential hosts in Prydz Bay and adjacent Southern Ocean, Antarctic. Polar Biol.
2015;39(2):365-78;
28. Rahlff J, Bornemann TLV, Lopatina A, Severinov K, Probst AJ. Host-associated phages
disperse across the extraterrestrial analogue Antarctica. Appl Environ Microbiol.
2022;88(10):e0031522;
29. Lopatina A, Medvedeva S, Shmakov S, Logacheva MD, Krylenkov V, Severinov K.
Metagenomic Analysis of Bacterial Communities of Antarctic Surface Snow. Front
Microbiol. 2016;7:398;
30. Piedade GJ, Schon ME, Lood C, Fofanov MV, Wesdorp EM, Biggs TEG, et al. Seasonal
dynamics and diversity of Antarctic marine viruses reveal a novel viral seascape. Nat
Commun. 2024;15(1):9192;
31. Banyard AC, Bennison A, Byrne AMP, Reid SM, Lynton-Jenkins JG, Mollett B, et al.
Detection and spread of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus H5N1 in the Antarctic
Region. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):7433;
32. Malard LA, Convey P, Pearce DA. Daily turnover of airborne bacterial communities in
the sub-Antarctic. Environ Microbiome. 2025;20(1):91;
33. Bushnell B. BBTools software package. URL http://sourceforgenet/projects/bbmap.
2014;578:579;
34. Joshi N, Fass J. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for
FastQ files. 2011;
35. Milanese A, Mende DR, Paoli L, Salazar G, Ruscheweyh HJ, Cuenca M, et al. Microbial
abundance, activity and population genomic profiling with mOTUs2. Nat Commun.
2019;10(1):1014;
36. Ruscheweyh HJ, Milanese A, Paoli L, Karcher N, Clayssen Q, Keller MI, et al.
Cultivation-independent genomes greatly expand taxonomic-profiling capabilities of
mOTUs across various environments. Microbiome. 2022;10(1):212;
37. Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. metaSPAdes: a new versatile
metagenomic assembler. Genome Res. 2017;27(5):824-34;
38. Antipov D, Raiko M, Lapidus A, Pevzner PA. Metaviral SPAdes: assembly of viruses
from metagenomic data. Bioinformatics. 2020;36(14):4126-9;
39. Kieft K, Zhou Z, Anantharaman K. VIBRANT: automated recovery, annotation and
curation of microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic
sequences. Microbiome. 2020;8(1):90;

40.   Guo J, Bolduc B, Zayed AA, Varsani A, Dominguez-Huerta G, Delmont TO, et al.
667   VirSorter2: a multi-classifier, expert-guided approach to detect diverse DNA and RNA
668   viruses. Microbiome. 2021;9(1):37;
669   41.   Camargo AP, Roux S, Schulz F, Babinski M, Xu Y, Hu B, et al. Identification of mobile
670   genetic elements with geNomad. Nat Biotechnol. 2023;
671   42.   Li D, Liu CM, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam TW. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node
672   solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
673   Bioinformatics. 2015;31(10):1674-6;
674   43.   Moraru C, Varsani A, Kropinski AM. VIRIDIC-A novel tool to calculate the
675   intergenomic similarities of prokaryote-infecting viruses. Viruses. 2020;12(11);
676   44.   Nayfach S, Camargo AP, Schulz F, Eloe-Fadrosh E, Roux S, Kyrpides NC. CheckV
677   assesses the quality and completeness of metagenome-assembled viral genomes. Nat
678   Biotechnol. 2021;39(5):578-85;
679   45.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.
680   2012;9(4):357-9;
681   46.   Nilsson E, Bayfield OW, Lundin D, Antson AA, Holmfeldt K. Diversity and host
682   interactions among virulent and temperate Baltic Sea *Flavobacterium* phages. Viruses.
683   2020;12(2):158;
684   47.   Roux S, Emerson JB, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Sullivan MB. Benchmarking viromics: an in
685   silico evaluation of metagenome-enabled estimates of viral community composition and
686   diversity. PeerJ. 2017;5:e3817;
687   48.   Bornemann TLV, Esser SP, Stach TL, Burg T, Probst AJ. uBin: A manual refining tool
688   for genomes from metagenomes. Environ Microbiol. 2023;25(6):1077-83;
689   49.   Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal:
690   prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC
691   Bioinformatics. 2010;11(1):119;
692   50.   Bolduc B, Jang HB, Doulcier G, You ZQ, Roux S, Sullivan MB. vConTACT: an iVirus
693   tool to classify double-stranded DNA viruses that infect Archaea and Bacteria. PeerJ.
694   2017;5:e3243;
695   51.   Cook R, Brown N, Redgwell T, Rihtman B, Barnes M, Clokie M, et al. INfrastructure for
696   a PHAge REference Database: Identification of large-scale biases in the current
697   collection of cultured phage genomes. Phage (New Rochelle). 2021;2(4):214-23;
698   52.   Pandolfo M, Telatin A, Lazzari G, Adriaenssens EM, Vitulo N. MetaPhage: an
699   automated pipeline for analyzing, annotating, and classifying bacteriophages in
700   metagenomics sequencing data. mSystems. 2022;7(5):e0074122;
701   53.   Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a
702   software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks.
703   Genome Res. 2003;13(11):2498-504;
704   54.   Shang J, Tang X, Guo R, Sun Y. Accurate identification of bacteriophages from
705   metagenomic data using Transformer. Brief Bioinform. 2022;23(4):bbac258;
706   55.   Shang J, Jiang J, Sun Y. Bacteriophage classification for assembled contigs using graph
707   convolutional network. Bioinformatics. 2021;37(Suppl_1):i25-i33;
708   56.   Shang J, Peng C, Liao H, Tang X, Sun Y. PhaBOX: a web server for identifying and
709   characterizing phage contigs in metagenomic data. Bioinform Adv. 2023;3(1):vbad101;
710   57.   Moraru C. VirClust-A tool for hierarchical clustering, core protein detection and
711   annotation of (prokaryotic) viruses. Viruses. 2023;15(4):1007;

58.   Nishimura Y, Yoshida T, Kuronishi M, Uehara H, Ogata H, Goto S. ViPTree: the viral
proteomic tree server. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(15):2379-80;
59.   Roux S, Fischer MG, Hackl T, Katz LA, Schulz F, Yutin N. Updated virophage
taxonomy and distinction from polinton-like viruses. Biomolecules. 2023;13(2):204;
60.   Rahlff J, Esser SP, Plewka J, Heinrichs ME, Soares A, Scarchilli C, et al. Marine viruses
disperse bidirectionally along the natural water cycle. Nat Commun. 2023;14(1):6354;
61.   Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
Software Suite. Trends Genet. 2000;16(6):276-7;
62.   Shaffer M, Borton MA, McGivern BB, Zayed AA, La Rosa SL, Solden LM, et al.
DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to automate the curation of microbiome
function. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48(16):8883-900;
63.   Camargo AP, Nayfach S, Chen IA, Palaniappan K, Ratner A, Chu K, et al. IMG/VR v4:
an expanded database of uncultivated virus genomes within a framework of extensive
functional, taxonomic, and ecological metadata. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(D1):D733-
D43;
64.   Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY, et al. CDD:
NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(Database issue):D222-
6;
65.   Vernette C, Lecubin J, Sanchez P, Tara Oceans C, Sunagawa S, Delmont TO, et al. The
Ocean Gene Atlas v2.0: online exploration of the biogeography and phylogeny of
plankton genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2022;50(W1):W516-W26;
66.   Villar E, Vannier T, Vernette C, Lescot M, Cuenca M, Alexandre A, et al. The Ocean
Gene Atlas: exploring the biogeography of plankton genes online. Nucleic Acids Res.
2018;46(W1):W289-W95;
67.   Escobedo JF, Gomes EN, Oliveira AP, Soares J. Ratios of UV, PAR and NIR
components to global solar radiation measured at Botucatu site in Brazil. Renewable
Energy. 2011;36(1):169-78;
68.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic
tree display and annotation tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024;52(W1):W78-W82;
69.   Roux S, Camargo AP, Coutinho FH, Dabdoub SM, Dutilh BE, Nayfach S, et al. iPHoP:
An integrated machine learning framework to maximize host prediction for metagenome-
derived viruses of archaea and bacteria. PLoS Biol. 2023;21(4):e3002083;
70.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis
and graphics of microbiome census data. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61217;
71.   Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. 2019;
72.   Kang I, Jang H, Cho JC. Complete genome sequences of two *Persicivirga*
bacteriophages, P12024S and P12024L. J Virol. 2012;86(16):8907-8;
73.   Sabehi G, Shaulov L, Silver DH, Yanai I, Harel A, Lindell D. A novel lineage of
myoviruses infecting cyanobacteria is widespread in the oceans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A. 2012;109(6):2037-42;
74.   Baran N, Carlson MCG, Sabehi G, Peleg M, Kondratyeva K, Pekarski I, et al.
Widespread yet persistent low abundance of TIM5-like cyanophages in the oceans.
Environ Microbiol. 2022;24(12):6476-92;

75.   Xu Y, Zhang R, Wang N, Cai L, Tong Y, Sun Q, et al. Novel phage–host interactions and
757   evolution as revealed by a cyanomyovirus isolated from an estuarine environment.
758   Environ Microbiol. 2018;20(8):2974-89;
759   76.   Hoetzinger M, Nilsson E, Arabi R, Osbeck CMG, Pontiller B, Hutinet G, et al. Dynamics
760   of Baltic Sea phages driven by environmental changes. Environ Microbiol.
761   2021;23(8):4576-94;
762   77.   Holmfeldt K, Solonenko N, Shah M, Corrier K, Riemann L, Verberkmoes NC, et al.
763   Twelve previously unknown phage genera are ubiquitous in global oceans. Proc Natl
764   Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(31):12798-803;
765   78.   Bartlau N, Wichels A, Krohne G, Adriaenssens EM, Heins A, Fuchs BM, et al. Highly
766   diverse flavobacterial phages isolated from North Sea spring blooms. ISME J.
767   2022;16(2):555-68;
768   79.   Shah S, Das R, Chavan B, Bajpai U, Hanif S, Ahmed S. Beyond antibiotics: phage-
769   encoded lysins against Gram-negative pathogens. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1170418;
770   80.   Sencilo A, Jacobs-Sera D, Russell DA, Ko CC, Bowman CA, Atanasova NS, et al.
771   Snapshot of haloarchaeal tailed virus genomes. RNA Biol. 2013;10(5):803-16;
772   81.   Zhang W, Zhu HH, Yuan M, Yao Q, Tang R, Lin M, et al. *Microbacterium radiodurans*
773   sp. nov., a UV radiation-resistant bacterium isolated from soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.
774   2010;60(Pt 11):2665-70;
775   82.   Shi LX, Schroder WP. The low molecular mass subunits of the photosynthetic
776   supracomplex, photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2004;1608(2-3):75-96;
777   83.   Kamiya N, Shen JR. Crystal structure of oxygen-evolving photosystem II from
778   *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* at 3.7-A resolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
779   2003;100(1):98-103;
780   84.   Pathak J, Ahmed H, Singh PR, Singh SP, Häder D-P, Sinha RP. Chapter 7 - Mechanisms
781   of Photoprotection in Cyanobacteria. In: Mishra AK, Tiwari DN, Rai AN, editors.
782   Cyanobacteria. Academic Press; 2019. p. 145-71.
783   85.   Smith BT, Walker GC. Mutagenesis and more: umuDC and the *Escherichia coli* SOS
784   response. Genetics. 1998;148(4):1599-610;
785   86.   Yabe S, Muto K, Abe K, Yokota A, Staudigel H, Tebo BM. *Vulcanimicrobium alpinus*
786   gen. nov. sp. nov., the first cultivated representative of the candidate phylum
787   "Eremiobacterota", is a metabolically versatile aerobic anoxygenic phototroph. ISME
788   Commun. 2022;2(1):120;
789   87.   Winder JC, Boulton W, Salamov A, Eggers SL, Metfies K, Moulton V, et al. Genetic and
790   structural diversity of prokaryotic ice-binding proteins from the Central Arctic Ocean.
791   Genes (Basel). 2023;14(2);
792   88.   Yau S, Lauro FM, DeMaere MZ, Brown MV, Thomas T, Raftery MJ, et al. Virophage
793   control of antarctic algal host-virus dynamics. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
794   2011;108(15):6163-8;
795   89.   Rahlff J, Westmeijer G, Weissenbach J, Antson A, Holmfeldt K. Surface microlayer-
796   mediated virome dissemination in the Central Arctic. Microbiome. 2024;12(1):218;
797   90.   Vaqué D, Boras JA, Arrieta JM, Agusti S, Duarte CM, Sala MM. Enhanced viral activity
798   in the surface microlayer of the Arctic and Antarctic Oceans. Microorganisms.
799   2021;9(2):317;
800   91.   Aller JY, Kuznetsova MR, Jahns CJ, Kemp PF. The sea surface microlayer as a source of
801   viral and bacterial enrichment in marine aerosols. J Aerosol Sci 2005;36(5-6):801-12;

802   92.   Luo H, Thompson LR, Stingl U, Hughes AL. Selection maintains low genomic GC
803   content in marine SAR11 lineages. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(10):2738-48;
804   93.   Yan W, Feng X, Zhang W, Nawaz MZ, Luo T, Zhang R, et al. Genomes of diverse
805   isolates of *Prochlorococcus* high-light-adapted clade II in the Western Pacific Ocean.
806   Front Mar Sci. 2021;7:619826;
807   94.   Bahir I, Fromer M, Prat Y, Linial M. Viral adaptation to host: a proteome-based analysis
808   of codon usage and amino acid preferences. Mol Syst Biol. 2009;5(1):311;
809   95.   Simon D, Cristina J, Musto H. Nucleotide composition and codon usage across viruses
810   and their respective hosts. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:646300;
811   96.   Das R, Rahlff J. Phage genome architecture and GC content: Structural genes and where
812   to find them. bioRxiv. 2024:2024.06.05.597531;
813   97.   Irby I, Broddrick JT. Microbial adaptation to spaceflight is correlated with bacteriophage-
814   encoded functions. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):3474;
815   98.   Hwang Y, Rahlff J, Schulze-Makuch D, Schloter M, Probst AJ. Diverse viruses carrying
816   genes for microbial extremotolerance in the Atacama Desert hyperarid soil. mSystems.
817   2021;6(3):e00385-21;
818   99.   Heyerhoff B, Engelen B, Bunse C. Auxiliary metabolic gene functions in pelagic and
819   benthic viruses of the Baltic Sea. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:863620;
820   100.   Sharon I, Tzahor S, Williamson S, Shmoish M, Man-Aharonovich D, Rusch DB, et al.
821   Viral photosynthetic reaction center genes and transcripts in the marine environment.
822   ISME J. 2007;1(6):492-501;
823   101.   Wang SY, Chen YP, Huang RF, Wu YL, Ho ST, Li KY, et al. Subspecies classification
824   and comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus kefiranofaciens* HL1 and M1 for
825   potential niche-specific genes and pathways. Microorganisms. 2022;10(8):1637;
826   102.   Goldman JC. Oceanic nutrient cycles. In: Fasham MYR, editor. Flows of Energy and
827   Materials in Marine Ecosystems: Theory and Practice. Springer New York, NY:
828   Springer; 1984. p. 137-70.
829   103.   Polymenakou PN. Atmosphere: A source of pathogenic or beneficial microbes?
830   Atmosphere. 2012;3(1):87-102;
831   104.   Hou J, Fujiyoshi S, Perera IU, Nishiuchi Y, Nakajima M, Ogura D, et al. Perspectives on
832   sampling and new generation sequencing methods for low-biomass bioaerosols in
833   atmospheric environments. J Indian Inst Sci. 2023;103(3):1-11;
834   105.   Harnpicharnchai P, Pumkaeo P, Siriarchawatana P, Likhitrattanapisal S,
835   Mayteeworakoon S, Ingsrisawang L, et al. AirDNA sampler: An efficient and simple
836   device enabling high-yield, high-quality airborne environment DNA for metagenomic
837   applications. PLoS One. 2023;18(6):e0287567;
838   106.   Jiang T, Guo C, Yu H, Wang Z, Zheng K, Zhang X, et al. Habitat-Dependent DNA viral
839   communities in atmospheric aerosols: Insights from terrestrial and marine ecosystems in
840   East Asia. Environ Int. 2025;197:109359;
841   107.   Highlander SK, Wood JM, Gillece JD, Folkerts M, Fofanov V, Furstenau T, et al. Multi-
842   faceted metagenomic analysis of spacecraft associated surfaces reveal planetary
843   protection relevant microbial composition. PLoS One. 2023;18(3):e0282428;
844   108.   Zhang Y, Xin CX, Zhang LT, Deng YL, Wang X, Chen XY, et al. Detection of fungi
845   from low-biomass spacecraft assembly clean room aerosols. Astrobiology.
846   2018;18(12):1585-93;

847   109.   Verreault D, Gendron L, Rousseau GM, Veillette M, Masse D, Lindsley WG, et al.
848   Detection of airborne lactococcal bacteriophages in cheese manufacturing plants. Appl
849   Environ Microbiol. 2011;77(2):491-7;
850   110.   Letourneau V, Gagne MJ, Vyskocil JM, Brochu V, Robitaille K, Gauthier M, et al.
851   Hunting for a viral proxy in bioaerosols of swine buildings using molecular detection and
852   metagenomics. J Environ Sci (China). 2025;148:69-78;
853   111.   Boifot KO, Skogan G, Dybwad M. Sampling efficiency and nucleic acid stability during
854   long-term sampling with different bioaerosol samplers. Environ Monit Assess.
855   2024;196(6):577;
856   112.   Langenfeld K, Chin K, Roy A, Wigginton K, Duhaime MB. Comparison of ultrafiltration
857   and iron chloride flocculation in the preparation of aquatic viromes from contrasting
858   sample types. PeerJ. 2021;9:e11111;
859   113.   Els N, Larose C, Baumann-Stanzer K, Tignat-Perrier R, Keuschnig C, Vogel TM, et al.
860   Microbial composition in seasonal time series of free tropospheric air and precipitation
861   reveals community separation. Aerobiologia. 2019;35:671-701;
862   114.   Weatherall P, Ferreras SC, Cardigos SD, Cornish N, Davidson SR, Dorschel B, et al. The
863   GEBCO_2024 Grid-a continuous terrain model of the global oceans and land. 2024.

List of Supporting Material Legends

Figure S1: Protein homolog analysis of Photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 (psbA)

vOTU_35_ORF2. Krona plot demonstrates the proportion of the homologs in viral genome

isolates from the Tara Oceans Microbiome Reference Genome Catalog v1 OM-RGC_v1.

Figure S2: Protein homolog analysis of impB/mucB/samB from vOTU_39. Phylogeny of

impB/mucB/samB from vOTU_39_02 showing close similarity and ancestry with the

impB/mucB/samB with a DinP domain containing protein of the extremophile *Vulcanimicrobium*

alpinum (edges highlighted in red). Black triangles indicate collapsed nodes.

Figure S3: Relative abundance of air-derived prokaryotes based on a) phylum and b) class.

DC=dry Coriolis, Sea-lvl= sea level, WC=wet Coriolis. LC refers to an internal sample number.

Table S1: Metadata

Table S2: vOTUs_relabd

Table S3: vOTUs_infos

Table S4: vOTU_ecosystems

Table S5: vOTU_annotations

Table S6: Protein_VirClust

Table S7: OTU35ORF14_OGeneAtlas

Table S8: vOTU39_ORF2_OGeneAtlas

Table S9: mOTUs_relabd

Table S10: IMG/VR hits