Spatio-temporal variability of airborne viruses over sub-Antarctic South
Georgia: Effects of sampling device and proximity of the ocean

Ritam Das[1], Lucie Malard[2,3], David A. Pearce[2,4], Janina Rahlff[1,5,6,#]

[1]Aero-Aquatic Virus Research Group, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Friedrich
Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany

[2]Department of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University,
Newcastle-upon-Tyne, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

[4]British Antarctic Survey, Natural Environment Research Council, High Cross, Madingley Road,
Cambridge, United Kingdom

[5]Leibniz Institute on Aging - Fritz Lipmann Institute (FLI), Jena, Germany

[6]Centre for Ecology and Evolution in Microbial Model Systems (EEMiS), Department of Biology
and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University, Kalmar, Sweden

#corresponding author: Janina.rahlff@uol.de

Key words: phage, sea, marine, virome, aerosol, Coriolis

Abstract

Studying airborne viral diversity in pristine, remote environments like the sub-Antarctic island
South Georgia provides crucial insights into viral ecology and their role in sustaining unique
ecosystems. Viruses influence microbial dynamics and nutrient cycles, which are vital for
ecological balance and long-term ecosystem sustainability. We explored the community
composition of airborne viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) of two sites in South Georgia,
using various sampling devices and viral metagenomics. The Coriolis μ device (wet collection)
was the most effective, yielding 30 viral scaffolds. Two-thirds of the scaffolds were from sea-level
samples, indicating that location impacts viral diversity. Protein-based clustering of 39 vOTUs
revealed similarities of 15 with known marine viruses, suggesting oceanic influence on the island's
airborne viral community. Genes related to UV damage protection and photosynthesis from two

airborne vOTUs were widely distributed in the major oceans, emphasizing the potential resilience

in changing climates. Host predictions indicated associations with bacterial genera like *Rickettsia*,

Myroides, and Bacteroidota. Some vOTUs matched viruses from extremophiles, indicating

adaptations to harsh environments. This study provides a baseline for understanding the

complexity and sustainability of airborne viral communities in remote ecosystems, underscoring

the need for continued monitoring in the face of environmental change.

Sustainability Statement

Antarctic microbes have potentially unique adaptations to extreme cold and harsh conditions.

Viruses that infect these microbes may play a pivotal role in shaping these adaptations and thus

understanding virus-host interactions is vital for preserving the unique microbial biodiversity of

Antarctica. Moreover, investigating the dynamics of viral aerosolization in and around Antarctica

is important for understanding the global dispersal of viruses and their potential impacts on distant

ecosystems. Monitoring microbial viruses in Antarctica is essential for tracking community

changes over time. Since Antarctica is often considered one of the last pristine environments on

Earth, understanding the role of microbial viruses is relevant for developing conservation strategies

to protect this ecosystem from potential anthropogenic impacts, including unintentional

introduction of foreign microorganisms and viruses. Our research aligns with Sustainable

Development Goals Life below water (SDG14) and Life on land (SDG15).

Introduction

The cryosphere encompassing ice sheets, glaciers, and sea ice, covers approximately 20% of

Earth's surface, representing climatically sensitive ecosystems [1]. One such isolated and pristine

ecosystem is the southernmost and fifth-largest continent Antarctica, which is surrounded by the

Southern Ocean' Circumpolar Current. With its low temperatures, enveloped by a thick ice sheet

and featuring harsh environmental conditions, Antarctica plays a critical role in our planet's

climate and ocean systems. Governed by the Antarctic Treaty System [2], which ensures the

continent's preservation for peaceful research and environmental protection, Antarctica embodies

international cooperation. The separation of Antarctica from the rest of the Earth almost 33 million

years ago followed by a subsequent cooling, has led to the evolution of a diverse cold-adapted

microbiota [3]. Human interventions in this pristine environment have caused the near extinction of

several native inhabitants of this continent (such as the Antarctic fur seals) and brought forward

alien species (such as springtails)[4] from the mainland [5]. In addition to man-made contributions,

transmission via aerial dispersal can be a major route for the invasion of new species [6]. Few studies

have investigated airborne bacteria in the Antarctic region [7, 8, 9, 10], and shown that Antarctica

indeed has a complex microbial diversity, which is primarily seeded from the atmosphere [3]. Yet,

there is a notable absence of research on airborne viruses [11], which is rather astonishing considering

that these biological entities are ubiquitous and reach global numbers of 10^{31} viral particles [12]. One

reason for the absence of viral investigations is that DNA yields from aerosols are usually low due

to the very limited biomass, which precludes metagenomic studies that would otherwise identify

viruses. Instead, 16S rRNA gene surveys (metabarcoding) involving a PCR step for amplification

are often applied [13, 14], which are sufficient if only prokaryotes are targeted. Viruses do not disperse

in the air as free particles; instead, they attach to soil dust or marine organic aggregates, forming

aerosols. The deposition rates of viruses in the atmosphere, which can be up to approximately 460

times higher than those of bacteria, are found to be positively correlated with organic aerosols [15].

This suggests that viruses may have longer residence times in the atmosphere, likely due to their

smaller size. Consequently, the extended time viruses spend in the atmosphere increases the

likelihood of their dispersal over long distances.

Sea spray aerosols (SSA), which are formed by the primary emission from the ocean, are the key

component by mass of marine aerosols and play a crucial role in the Earth's climate system [16]. For

example, a virus infecting *Emiliania huxleyi* can retain infectivity and induce coccolith shedding

and emission to the SSA, thereby acting as a regulator of the mass of marine aerosols [17]. Therefore,

through aerosol-mediated long-distance transport, viruses could constitute an ecological and

evolutionary driving force not only in marine ecosystems but also in adjoining ones. This could

occur through rain-washout events, where these aerosolized particles are removed from the

atmosphere through direct sedimentation, thereby allowing airborne viruses to interact with

microbes on the ground. This affects the abundance, composition, and distribution of microbes in

the recipient ecosystems [15].

In a study based on Antarctic surface snow, similar viral populations were found several hundred

to thousands of kilometres apart across Antarctica and overlaps between snow and marine viral

populations were revealed [18]. Flavobacteria from Antarctic snow were found to carry adaptive

immunity in the form of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-Cas

systems, indicating that defence mechanisms against mobile genetic elements are present [19].

Otherwise, investigations of viral diversity and lifestyle have been conducted on microbial viruses

from Antarctica's lakes [20], sea ice [21, 22], under the ice shelf [23], soils [24], from cryoconite holes [25] and

marine ecosystems including the Southern Ocean [26, 27]. Recent work demonstrated that viral

diversity in the Southern Ocean around the Western Antarctic Peninsula is complex and uncovered

both diversity and novelty among viruses. Alongside tailed dsDNA bacteriophages of the class

Caudoviricetes, abundant eukaryotic viruses including polinton-like viruses, nucleocytoplasmic

large DNA viruses (NCLDV), and virophages were found [28]. We propose that monitoring viruses

in this and other isolated (but human-affected) places could help track changes in viral community

over time, determine their stability and resilience and assess the impact of human activities on

microbial communities. Moreover, the relative increase in global temperature and impact on

glacial life forms in such extreme environments presents a unique opportunity to understand

ecosystem function, which could help us shape a sustainable 'One World' for the future.

In this study, we used viral metagenomics to investigate the spatial-temporal variability of airborne

viruses on a sub-Antarctic island, collected over seven days using different air samplers and a rain

collector. We expected the surrounding ocean to be a major contributing source for atmospheric

viruses in bioaerosols but also sought homologs of viral proteins from South Georgia in other

oceans, to which viruses are probably dispersed or from which they are recruited.

Materials and methods

Field sampling and filtration

Air and rain samples were collected in South Georgia (latitude -54.4°, longitude -36.5°), which

is ~2000 km from Antarctica, from October 15 to October 21 2021 at two different sampling sites:

King Edwards Point (KEP) and Deadman's Cairn at the Lewis Pass (DMP). These sites represent

two different sampling heights of 0 and ~200 m above sea level, respectively. Site KEP (54° 17

' 02 'S, 36° 29' 75 'W) was influenced by the sea, whereas DMP was located at altitude (54°

16' 05 'S, 36° 30' 20 'W, Fig. 1f). Three different air samplers were used, namely the "wet"

Coriolis ® µ air sampler, "dry" Coriolis Compact air sampler (both Bertin Technologies SAS,

Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France), a Whatman Vacuum Pump attached to a Sartorius 250 ml filter

holder collector ("pump"), and for rain sampling, a plastic funnel attached to a 1 L sterile collection

bottle ("collector"). At the DMP site, only the wet and dry Coriolis samplers could be used as they

function on batteries. The sampling time varied between 1 and 6 hours when using the Coriolis

instruments with high flow rates, whether in wet or dry conditions, and extended up to 22 hours

when air was collected using the pump. Rain collectors were used for 15 hours (Table S1). We

extracted hourly wind speed, wind direction, pressure and air temperature for the sampling period

(Table S1) from the Grytviken Automatic Weather Station. For each sample, the corresponding

values of each variable were averaged to cover each sampling duration, allowing us to obtain

average environmental conditions for each sample.

DNA extraction, sequencing

Cellulose nitrate membrane filters with air samples were sliced into 0.5 mm wide strips using a

sterilized scalpel to maximize the filters' exposure to the cell lysis buffer during DNA extraction.

For samples collected in nuclease-free water using the Coriolis wet device, the collection cones

were vortexed (SciQuip, Shropshire, UK) before being filtered through 0.2 μm pore size cellulose

nitrate membrane filters (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Chicago, IL, USA) using a Welch WOBL

vacuum pump (Welch, Mt. Prospect, IL, USA) for 10 minutes. Samples obtained via the Coriolis

Compact sampler (the dry device) were resuspended in 15 mL of DNA/RNAase-free water,

manually shaken, and vortexed before undergoing the same filtration process. All pre-processing

was conducted in a Class II cabinet, and the vacuum pump, tubing, and filtration apparatus were

sterilized with 70% ethanol before use. DNA extraction from air samples was performed using the

Qiagen PowerSoil kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

DNA extracts were submitted to NU-OMICS sequencing facility at Northumbria University,

Newcastle, for Illumina NextSeq shotgun metagenomics sequencing. DNA libraries were prepared

using the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit, following the manufacturer's instructions.

Sequencing was conducted on an Illumina NextSeq system (Illumina Inc., USA) with V2.5 300-

cycle chemistry.

Metagenomic analysis

Sequencing reads went through adapter trimming using BBduk within BBTools [29], and afterwards

Sickle v.1.33 [30] was run in paired-end mode and '-t sanger' setting. Taxonomic profiling of

microbes was performed using the tool mOTUs v.3.0.2 on trimmed reads with options '-A' (reports

full taxonomy) '-c' (reports counts) '-M' (to save intermediate marker gene cluster count)

including a separate run to retrieve unassigned taxa. MOTUs employs universal, protein-coding,

and single-copy phylogenetic marker gene sequences [31, 32] The trimmed reads were subsequently

assembled using metaSPAdes v.3.15.5. [33] and metaviral SPAdes [34]. Assemblies were combined

and viral scaffolds were predicted by running VIBRANT v.1.2.1 [35], VirSorter v2 with setting '--

include-groups "dsDNAphage,ssDNA,NCLDV,lavidaviridae"' and default score [36], and geNomad

v.1.3 [37]. Viral scaffolds detected by the different tools were combined and filtered to a minimum

length of 3000 bp. The number of assembled viral scaffolds by sampling device was summed up.

As the number of viruses and the genome size were very low, assemblies across all read files

(respective forward and reverse reads of all samples merged) were performed using the above tools

and additionally MEGAHIT v.1.2.9 [38]. The resulting viral scaffolds were combined with the

previous ones, and a size cut-off of 5000 bp was applied. Viruses were clustered using VIRIDIC

v.1.0 r3.6 [39], and only one viral operational taxonomic unit (vOTU) of each species cluster

(demarcation threshold = 95%) was used in downstream analysis, and CheckV v.1.0.1 [40] was run.

To determine if a vOTU was present in a sample, reads were mapped back to vOTUs using Bowtie

2 v.2.3.5.1 [41] with settings '--mp 1,1 --np 1 --rdg 0,1 --rfg 0,1 --score-min L,0,-0.1' [42], which ensure

that only reads with 90% sequence identity map to the vOTU. To follow guidelines of Roux,

Emerson, Eloe-Fadrosh and Sullivan [43], a vOTU was considered present if at least 75% of the

scaffold was covered with reads, which was checked using the 'calcopo.rb' script [18]. Mean

coverages of vOTU were determined using the '04_01calc_coverage_v3.rb' script [44]. Gene calling

was performed using Prodigal v.2.6.3 [45] and for viral clustering, vConTACT2 v.0.9.19 [46] was run

on the vOTU proteins together with those from the 1August2023 viral reference database

(https://github.com/RyanCook94/inphared/tree/a330daa635cd3c78843d470668cb22ff842960e4)

derived from INPHARED [47]. Results were compiled using graphanalyzer v.1.5.1 [48] and a network

was built in Cytoscape v.3.9.0 [49]. PhaMer [50] and PhaGCN [51] were used to discriminate phages

from non-phages, and to determine the taxonomic rank of a phage at family level, respectively.

and VirClust webtool [52] with default settings was run on vOTUs and related genomes, that were

identified by ViPTree v.4.0 [53]. ViPTree was run with dsDNA prokaryotic and eukaryotic hosts as

reference viruses. The guanine/cytosine (GC) content of vOTUs was determined using EMBOSS

v. 5.0.0 [54]. Annotations of vOTUs were performed using DRAM-v v.1.4.6. [55]. vOTUs were

BLASTed against the IMG/VR Viral Nucleotide Database v.4 with an e-value cut-off of 1e-5 [56].

Domain analysis of the proteins was performed using NCBI Conserved Domain Search website

[57]. A BLASTp analysis for selected amino acids sequences from two vOTUs was run against the

Ocean Gene Atlas [58, 59] using the Tara Oceans Microbiome Reference Genome Catalog v1 OM-

RGC_v1 and an e-value cut-off of 1e-10. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was chosen as

the environmental variable based on which the spatial distribution of the protein homologs of

vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2 were observed, because the protein homologs are

functionally related to PAR or ultraviolet radiation, which both correlate with global solar radiation

[60]. The phylogenetic tree acquired from the Ocean Gene Atlas was edited using the Interactive

Tree of Life (iTOL) v6 website[61]. Overlaps of vOTUs between stations were visualized with Venn

Diagrams made with the Ugent webtool (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/).

Host predictions were performed using iPHoP v.1.2.0 and the database Sept_2021_pub [62].

Diversity analysis and statistics

Community and diversity analysis of vOTUs was performed using phyloseq v. 1.48.0 [63] within the

R programming environment v.4.4.0 [64]. Plotting and statistical analysis of Shannon-Wiener index

was performed in Graphpad Prism 10.

Results:

Viral community composition is affected by sampling site, collection device, and daily
fluctuations

Different sampling devices collected different numbers of viral genome fragments. From the 60

assembled vOTUs >3 kb length, most vOTUs (30) were assembled from samples collected with

the wet Coriolis and only one vOTU from the dry Coriolis (Figure 1a, collection devices depicted

in Figure 1c). Seven and 22 vOTUs were assembled after collection with the pump and the

collector, respectively. Of the 60 assembled vOTUs, 43 and 17 vOTUs were collected at sea

level/KEP and altitude/DMP, respectively (Figure 1d). From these assembled scaffolds and the

cross-assembled ones, 39 vOTUs >5 kb were identified and further analyzed. Mapping reads to

these vOTUs showed that 15 unique vOTUs were in samples taken with the collector, another

unique 15 in samples from wet Coriolis, and 9 vOTUs occurring in samples obtained by both

devices, suggesting that these two sampling methods complement each other well for virus

sampling (Figure 1b). Mapping further revealed that 11 vOTUs were shared between both

sampling sites, while 19 were only present at sea-level/KEP and 9 were detected at the
altitude/DMP site (Figure 1e).

***Figure 1: Airborne virus sampling with different collection device from two sampling sites in
South Georgia.*** *a) Number of collected viral scaffolds >3000 bp per sampling device; for
description of sampling devices, please see main text. b) Venn diagram showing overlapping
vOTUs for different sampling devices based on read mapping. c) Image of Coriolis µ and Coriolis
COMPACT sampler in the Antarctic landscape (Image: David Pearce). d) Number of collected
viral scaffolds >2 kb by sampling site, e) Venn diagram showing overlapping vOTUs (>5 kb)
between sampling sites based on read mapping. f) Map of the sampling site "South Georgia and
The Shackleton Crossing" by British Antarctic Survey, 2021, 1:200,000 and 1:40,000 scale, BAS
(Misc 12), Cambridge, British Antarctic Survey. Reproduced with permission.*

The vOTUs were clustered into viral clusters (VCs) by vConTACT2 corresponding to genus level
clusters, while "singletons" and "outliers" may represent novel viruses. Relative abundance
analysis of VCs showed that VC_1229_0 (containing vOTU_12 & vOTU_13), vOTU_24, and
vOTU_30 were most abundant and prevalent in the community (Figure 2a, Table S2). Based on

Virsorter2, VC_1229_0 might contain virophage vOTUs, family *Lavidaviridae*, vOTU24 belongs

to order Ortervirales (single-stranded RNA viruses replicating through a DNA intermediate), and

vOTU_30 was classified as Bamfordvirae by geNomad, which includes NCLDV. Shannon-

Wiener diversity was highest for vOTUs sampled with the collector at sea-level/KEP (2.9 and 3.0)

compared to the wet Coriolis at altitude/DMP (2.1 and 2.3) and compared to the wet Coriolis and

pump at sea-level (0.6 – 1.9) and thus did not show a clear Shannon-Wiener diversity difference

between the two sites as for bacteria (see below) but may indicate a joint effect of the sampling

device and site on alpha-diversity (Figure 2b).

Figure 2: Viral cluster and vOTU distribution and relation to guanine/cytosine (GC) content.

a) Relative abundance of viral clusters (VC) and unclustered viral operational taxonomic units

(vOTUs) across stations. b) Shannon-Wiener index for viruses separated by study site. c) GC

content of vOTUs versus sampling date and site. If vOTUs were found in several samples based

on read mapping, they have the same color; if they are unique for a sample, they are black.

DMP=Deadman's Point, KEP=King Edward Point, Sea/lvl= Sea-level, WC= wet Coriolis, LC

refers to internal sample identification.

During sampling on October 15th, 2021, both the pump and the collector captured some of the
most abundant vOTUsBased on read mapping, vOTUs and VCs reoccur and co-occur on different
days and on both sites, but also new vOTUs appear. Rain samples (LC-14 & LC-16) contained
some vOTUs that were also recovered from air on October 15th, suggesting that these overlapping
vOTUs were washed out by falling rain from the atmosphere or resulted from raindrops that were
collected with the aerosol sampler. Some viral clusters were only detected in the rainwater, namely
VC_752_0, VC_750_0, VC_749_0, VC_747_0 (all predicted Caudoviricetes), which could
indicate that they originate from a higher altitude. In a previous, non-polar study, viral genomes
retrieved from aerosols and rainwater had a significantly higher GC base content compared to
marine viruses [65], which is why the GC content of the Antarctic air vOTUs was further explored.
Notably, on one day (LC-41), where viruses were sampled at sea level with the wet Coriolis, four
vOTUs present in the sample based on read mapping had a GC content of mean ± standard
deviation = 61.8% ± 4.0 (n = 4, Figure 2c, Table S3).

Protein-based clustering revealed similarities to known marine viruses

According to classifications by geNomad, Adintoviridae (3), Caudoviricetes (15) including
Crassvirales (3), Imitervirales (1), Bamfordvirae (2), unclassified (10) or not determined by the
tool (8) were found. VirSorter2 predicted dsDNA phages (13), lavidaviridae (9), NCLDV (7) and
not determined (10, Table S3). Depending on analysis tool, evidence for the presence of 13 – 17
phages among the 39 vOTUs was found (Figure 3a & 3b, Table S3). Four phages were predicted
to be family Demerecviridae and two others as family Straboviridae (Table S3).

Protein-based clustering using vConTACT2 showed similarities of South Georgia vOTUs to
known marine phages, vOTU_07 shared protein similarities with *Nonlabens* phage P12024L
(GenBank, JQ823123, Figure 3a&b), which originates from coastal seawater [66]. vOTU_36 and
vOTU_37 detected in rainwater formed VC_747_0 sharing protein similarities with
Flavobacterium phage vB_FspP_elemoA_8-9C (GenBank, MT497073). Based on VipTree,
vOTU_35 was related to oceanic, widespread Cyanophage S-TIM5 (GenBank, NC_019516) [67, 68]
and estuarine Synechococcus phage S-CBWM1 (GenBank, NC_048106, Figure 4a) [69], vOTU_28,

vOTU_29, vOTU_36 and vOTU_37 were related to different Flavobacterium phages of the elemo

and immuto group from the Baltic Sea [70], and vOTU_27 to different Cellulophaga phages, which

were isolated from the Baltic Sea [71] and North Sea [72]. In total, 15 vOTUs were related to viruses

having marine hosts or marine origin themselves (Figure 3a, Table S4) and provide evidence that

the Antarctic air viral community was influenced by both the land and the sea.

Functional annotations of the 39 vOTUs are listed in Table S5. vOTU_19 being related to

Nonlabens phages P12024S (GenBank, NC_018271) and P12024L (GenBank, NC_018272)

(Figure 3a) had the most number of ORFs (19) encoding for proteins involved in host lytic

machinery such as holin (ORF6) and peptidase (ORF9) [73]. vOTU_11 encoded for a Pao

retrotransposon peptidase being homologous to aspartic proteinases expressed by retroposons and

retroviruses (Pfam database, PF05380)[74]. Sequence alignment and tBLASTx analysis of the

vOTUs indicated potential similarities with viruses from different ecosystems. We found several

protein coding genes of vOTU_07 to show ~50% sequence identity with phages P12024S

(GenBank, NC_018271) and P12024L (GenBank, NC_018272) (Figure 4a) infecting the marine

host *Persicivirga* of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* [66]. Additionally, we found protein similarities

of vOTU_17 and vOTU_39 with viruses that infect extremophilic hosts such as the archaeon

Haloarcula californiae isolated from salt brines (DSMZ, DSM 8905) [75] and *Microbacterium*

radiodurans NRRL B-24799, a UV radiation-tolerant bacterium [76], respectively (Figure 4b).

Hierarchical and phylogenetic clustering of viral core proteins highlighted distinct protein

clustering into eight different clades (clusters 1, 2, 6, 10-12, 14 and 20) out of the predicted 22

(Figure 4c, Table S6). These clusters in the hierarchical tree demonstrate protein overlaps and

constitute similar phylogenetic relations as observed in the ViPtree analysis (Figures 3a & 3b).

Clusters 2, 6, and 11 have the greatest number of members (04) while cluster 20 comprised of just

two members shared the greatest number of common core genes. This cluster is formed from

vOTU_36 and vOTU_37, being related to Flavobacterium phage vB_FspP_elemoA_8-9C

(GenBank, MT497073) in vConTACT2. Overall, we find high diversity of proteome in the

analyzed vOTUs, with proteins overlapping among scaffolds being phylogenetically similar.

a   b

Figure 3: Viral protein-based clustering. *a) Proteomic tree of 39 viral operational taxonomic units (red branches) and their related viruses constructed using ViPTree. b) Protein-sharing network of Antarctic vOTUs (big black squares) forming viral clusters with Nonlabens phage P12024S and P12024L and with each other.*

Spatial distribution of protein homologs in the major oceans

Further analyses were performed to reveal biogeographic distribution of two predicted viral

proteins. vOTU_35 sharing protein identity with the Cyanophage S-TIM5 (Figure 4a) encoded the

photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 with a psbA domain (vOTU_35_ORF14) (Figure 5a).

The D1 and D2 reaction centre proteins form a heterodimer responsible for the establishment of

photosystem II in cyanobacteria [77, 78], which is the most light-sensitive complex of cyanobacteria

being affected by photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) [79]. Another protein from vOTU_39

sharing similarity with a phage infecting the extremophilic UV-tolerant host *Microbacterium*

radiodurans [76] carried a gene cluster encoding for impB/mucB/samB with a DinP domain having

functions in the bacterial SOS (DNA damage) response and thus has relevance in UV protection

(vOTU_39_ORF2) (Pfam database, PF00817) [80] (Figure 5a). To understand the distribution of

these protein sequences and the possible origin of the encoding vOTUs, we explored the

biogeography of the protein sequence based on similarities with the Ocean Gene Atlas. The

homologs of photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 (vOTU_35_ORF14) were widely detected

in several Tara Oceans sampling locations at the surface water layer (SRF) at varying PAR ranging

from approximately 0.07 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} to 41.3 mol quanta m^{-2} day^{-1} as compared to the

mesopelagic zone (MES), deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM), and the marine epipelagic mixed

layer (MIX) (Figure 5b, Table S7). A total of 2625 hits were obtained for this protein and the

number of abundance measures was 192236. Taxonomic distribution of these homologs indicated

high abundance of this protein in viral genomes (37%) (Figure S1). The UV protection related

protein (vOTU_39_ORF2) was mostly abundant in the SRF as compared to the MES, DCM, and

MIX layers, however, the distribution across the sampling locations was rather sparse as compared

to vOTU_35_ORF14 (Figure 5b, Table S8). Only a total of 59 hits were obtained for this protein

and the number of abundance measures was 1924. Viral homologs of impB/mucB/samB family

protein could not be found. The closest homolog predicted by the Ocean Gene Atlas for this protein

is the impB/mucB/samB and DinP domain containing protein of a bacterium, *Vulcanimicrobium*

alpinum (GenBank, WP_317995911.1)[81], which has been isolated from a fumarolic ice cave of the

volcano Mount Erebus in Antarctica (Figure S2). Additionally, homologs of both proteins were

present at the sampling location TARA_076, which is closer to the sampling site of our study than

most other TARA Ocean stations (Figure 5c).

Figure 4: Sequence alignment and proteome clustering of annotated vOTUs. *a) Sequence alignment of vOTUs with marine viruses. vOTU_07 demonstrate nucleotide identity with two*

Nonlabens phages. Sequence alignment of vOTU_35 indicate nucleotide identity with two marine

cyanophages. b) Sequence alignment of vOTUs from extremophilic hosts. vOTU_17 demonstrate

tBLASTx identity with sequences of two archaeal viruses. Sequence alignment of vOTU_39 shows

tBLASTx identity with a high genomic GC content containing actinobacteriophage OscarSo

(Genbank, [OP434449.1](#)*) infecting Microbacterium radiodurans. c) Viral proteome clustering of*

all vOTUs with Virclust indicates eight different clades (clusters 1, 2, 6, 10-12, 14 and 20) out of

the predicted 22.

Figure 5: Distribution and abundance of viral protein sequence homologs in the major oceans.

a) The amino acid sequence of Photosynthetic reaction centre protein D1 derived from vOTU_35 and impB/mucB/samB derived from vOTU_39 based on BLASTp to the Tara Oceans Microbiome Reference Genome Catalog v1 OM-RGC_v1 as obtained from Ocean Gene Atlas. b) the abundance of the protein homolog for "photosystem" and "DinP" in three different filtered fractions and across different sampling depths (SRF = surface water, DCM = deep chlorophyll maximum, MES = mesopelagic zone, MIX = mixed layer) and in relation to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR). c) Distribution of proteins across stations of the Tara Ocean expedition. Size of bubble indicates abundance in b and c.

Microbial community composition and host assignments

For the 39 vOTUs, only three hosts could be predicted, which were the genus *Rickettsia* (confidence score 90.8, phylum Pseudomonadota) for vOTU_34, *Myroides* for vOTU18 (confidence score 90.9, phylum Bacteroidota) and UBA9320 for vOTU_37 (confidence score 90.9, phylum Bacteroidota), the latter being a metagenome assembled genome from the Central Arctic [82]. Microbial community profiling (mainly prokaryotes, with few reads to Basidiomycota) showed that the air community was dominated by Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria (now Pseudomonadota) and unassigned taxa. More unassigned taxa were found in altitude/DMP samples (up to 80% relative abundance) than at sea-level/KEP (up to 18% relative abundance, Table S9, Figure S3). BLASTing vOTUs to the IMG/VR database revealed hits to orders Ortervirales (vOTU_01, vOTU_23, vOTU_24) and Algavirales (vOTU_03), to the class Caudoviricetes (vOTU_07, vOTU_12 – vOTU_16, vOTU_18, vOTU_19, vOTU_20, vOTU_25 – vOTU_29, vOTU_33, vOTU_34 – vOTU_37, vOTU_39), and to order Priklausovirales (vOTU_31). Predicted hosts were of the phyla Actinobacteriota (vOTU_12 – vOTU_15, Alphaproteobacteria (vOTU_39), Saprospiraceae (vOTU_36 & vOTU_37) and Spirochaetota (vOTU_12 – vOTU_15). Most hits were to marine, aqueous, seawater ecosystems from various parts of the world (Table S10).

Discussion

Aerosolization of different viral taxonomic ranks, site effects, and biogeographic

distribution

Our data have shown that the air viral community composition over South Georgia was influenced

by the sampling site (proximity to the sea) and the type of air sampling device used. We found

typical eukaryotic viral groups like NCLDV, which often get infected by dsDNA viral phages, so-

called virophages, which we also found evidence for and which have been previously observed in

the Southern Ocean close to the Antarctica continent [28] and to influence algal host-virus

interactions in a meromictic Antarctic lake [83]. About half of all vOTUs corresponded to phages.

Overall, this shows that viruses of various taxonomic ranks become airborne, and we here provide

first baseline data on the viral communities in this region, day-to-day fluctuations and influences

by the marine environment

More vOTUs were assembled from and were present based on read mapping at the close-to-the-

sea KEP site suggesting previous aerosolization of marine viruses. An earlier study in the Arctic

region has explored viral distribution and adaptation at the air-sea interface and showed that virus

distribution across the Arctic might be facilitated by aerosolization of viruses residing in the sea-

surface microlayer, the uppermost 1 mm surface layer in contact with the atmosphere [84]. Viruses

can be emitted into aerosols from the surface microlayer [85] and viruses are active in the Antarctic

microlayer [86]. As both sites were located on a remote island in the middle of the Southern Ocean

and far away from major terrestrial influences, such small-scale local differences in marine

contributions to the viral community were not expected as both sites are eventually close to the

sea. Several vOTUs shared proteins with known cyanophages and phage isolates infecting typical

marine heterotrophic bacteria and additionally, typical marine prokaryotes such as

Thaumarchaeota (*Nitrosopumilus* sp.), were part of the microbial community (Figure S3).

High GC carrying vOTUs known to occur in air and rain samples from Swedish air [65] were found

on one sampling day in the data set explored here. They were derived from a sample on a day

where particularly low wind speeds were recorded, sunny weather, and a wind direction of 110

degrees (east-southeast) direction, whereas during all other samplings wind came from a westward

direction (Table S1). High GC viruses shared protein identities with Microbacterium phage

OscarSo (vOTU_39), which has a GC content of 69.2% (https://phagesdb.org/phages/OscarSo/),

and with two archaeal viruses of the halophilic archaeon *Haloarcula* (vOTU_17). OscarSo has

been isolated from *Microbacterium radiodurans* being resistant to UV radiation and originating

from sand of the Gobi Desert [76]. Hence, our findings provide some evidence that air viruses with

high GC content could have extremophilic hosts, letting us speculate that such viruses have a non-

marine origin and stem from the higher atmosphere or terrestrial influences.

The distance between the two sampling sites DMP and KEP is approximately 2 km and hence our

data overall confirm short-range dispersal of Antarctic viruses with bioaerosols, with likely

influences from sea spray and wet precipitation as it was raining during some of the sampling

(Table S1). Interestingly, the alpha diversity between both sites was not significantly different for

the viruses. We speculate that viral communities are less influenced by a study site, because longer

residence times and lower deposition velocities in air, since they are smaller [15, 87]. Therefore, they

can be dispersed further and can become part of another remote community more easily.

Proteomic alignment and classification of the vOTUs aligned with the results of the phylogenetic

and clustering analysis. We found sequence identity at the amino acid level of some vOTUs with

viruses of typical marine bacteria and archaea. We identified two different proteins encoded by

vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2, which shared several homologs widely distributed in the

surface water layer of the major oceans (such as the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Ocean),

supporting a probable marine origin. What is speculative yet essential to note is the functionality

of these proteins and their involvement in modulating a host's metabolism. Phages present in

diverse ecological habitats must be highly adaptive; this could involve the placement of structural

genes in regions of above-average GC content of the genome [88], encoding specialized proteins

promoting survival and extremotolerance of their hosts or reverting to lysogenic or

pseudolysogenic life cycles [89, 90]. A typical trait of marine phages is the augmentation of the host

metabolism or enhancing viral fitness by the acquisition and expression of auxiliary metabolic

genes (AMGs) [91]. Considering that phage-derived psbA has already been demonstrated to support

photosynthetic activity of their hosts in surface water [92], and the potential of the impB/mucB/samB

gene cluster to support microbial growth in harsh environments [93], we hypothesize that

vOTU_35_ORF14 and vOTU_39_ORF2 could potentially be AMGs supporting the replication of

these viruses in the marine and atmospheric environments, respectively. This might be needed as

both environments have challenging conditions, e.g., surface water in the open ocean is

oligotrophic [94], and the atmosphere is prone to desiccation and solar and UV radiation effects [95].

Methodological challenges of sampling air viruses for metagenomics

Several viruses remained unclustered by vConTACT2 or just clustered with other Antarctic viruses

from this study, indicating the presence of novel viruses. Still the overall viral biomass in these air

samples was low, a common challenge in air microbiology [96, 97]. This led us to lower the usual 10

kb length cut-off for vOTUs proposed by the standard viromics guidelines [43] to accept smaller, 5

kb viral scaffolds to be used for viral presence/absence determination, which might be more

adequate for other low and ultra-low biomass ecosystems such as clean rooms or space equipment

[98, 99]. However, allowing smaller fragments can also lead to more uncertainties in various

downstream predictions and increases the possibility for false-positive predictions. Because

airborne viruses are present in low abundance, the detection of a vOTU in a sample is more likely

to be affected by limited coverage compared to high biomass ecosystems, making it difficult to

accurately interpret overlapping communities and day-to-day diversity.

The type of sampling device used had an influence on the viral findings. The dry Coriolis sampled

few viruses (just one got assembled) and none were found by mapping reads from dry Coriolis

samples to vOTUs retrieved from other samplers. According to the manufacturer's information,

the Coriolis Compact has a flow rate of 50 L min^{-1} and is described as a device capable of virus

sampling but is not mentioned in the literature for this purpose. The wet Coriolis (Coriolis μ) has

been used extensively for viral air sampling [100, 101]. It has a higher flow rate of up to 300 L min^{-1}

and collects particles into a liquid and thus might be more efficient than the dry Coriolis. The liquid

might be more suitable for conserving the viral particles. Both Coriolis samplers have a size range

of 500 nm – 10 μm for collected particles, which would exclude most viruses. As we have no

information on the particle size of the sampled viruses here, it remains speculative if small viruses

were not sampled or were only collected if being attached to larger particles. Further tests should

be conducted to thoroughly validate the devices used for viral sampling. This includes tests on the

stability of viral nucleic acids on filters and in liquid, as degradation of nucleic acids is possible

during long-term air sampling [102]. Instead of sampling on 0.2 μm pore-sized membranes or

Sterivex filters, viruses collected into liquid could be iron-flocculated or subjected to ultrafiltration

and processed as viromes as done for aquatic samples [103], which remains to be tested in the future.

We noticed that more different viruses were sampled with the rain collector Rain and aerosol-

derived viruses can be genomically very different [65], and airborne microbial communities also vary

between precipitation types and with seasonal variations in Antarctica [10, 104]. This would explain

why different, non-overlapping vOTUs were collected by the two devices. Therefore, it might be

advisable to use a funnel collector in combination with an impinger air sampling system to obtain

a more accurate representation of the air's viral community.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge use of the HPC cluster DRACO, with tools and database

kindly provided by the VEO group of Bas Dutilh at the FSU Jena. We acknowledge technical

assistance by Luke Cockerton for DNA extractions and by Bingli Chai for submitting the sequence

data to SRA.

Funding: JR received funding by the DFG RA3432/1-1, project number 446702140, the WBP

Return Grant RA3432/1-3, project number 534276621, and the Swedish Research Council,

Starting Grant ID 2023-03310_VR. Fieldwork was supported by the British Antarctic Survey,

Project CASS196. Data handling was enabled by resources provided by the National Academic

Infrastructure for Supercomputing in Sweden (NAISS) and the Swedish National Infrastructure

for Computing at UPPMAX partially funded by the Swedish Research Council through grant

agreement no. 2022-06725.

Author Contributions: RD: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology,

visualization, validation, writing original draft, writing review & editing; LM: field sampling, data

acquisition, writing review & editing; DAP: conceptualization, field sampling, writing review &

editing; JR: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, project administration,

supervision, visualization, validation, writing original draft, writing review & editing

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: Sequencing data are stored an NCBI's SRA under the Bioproject

PRJNA1107129, Biosample IDs: SAMN41160173 - SAMN41160182. The vOTUs are deposited

at figshare under doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.26536105. Weather data is downloadable via

https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/cgi-bin/metdb-form-

2.pl?tabletouse=U_MET.GRYTVIKEN_AWS&complex=1&idmask=.....&acct=u_met&pass=w

eather.

References:

1.   Malard LA, Sabacka M, Magiopoulos I, Mowlem M, Hodson A, Tranter M, Siegert MJ,
Pearce DA. Spatial Variability of Antarctic Surface Snow Bacterial Communities. *Front*
Microbiol **10**, 461(2019). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00461
2.   Stokke OS, Vidas D. *Governing the Antarctic: the effectiveness and legitimacy of the*
Antarctic Treaty System. Cambridge University Press (1996).
3.   Pearce DA, Bridge PD, Hughes KA, Sattler B, Psenner R, Russell NJ. Microorganisms in
the atmosphere over Antarctica. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **69**, 143-157(2009).
doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00706.x
4.   Leihy RI, Peake L, Clarke DA, Chown SL, McGeoch MA. Introduced and invasive alien
species of Antarctica and the Southern Ocean Islands. *Sci Data* **10**, 200(2023).
doi:10.1038/s41597-023-02113-2
5.   Rogers AD, Frinault BAV, Barnes DKA, Bindoff NL, Downie R, Ducklow HW,
Friedlaender AS, Hart T, Hill SL, Hofmann EE, Linse K, McMahon CR, Murphy EJ,
Pakhomov EA, Reygondeau G, Staniland IJ, Wolf-Gladrow DA, Wright RM. Antarctic
Futures: An Assessment of Climate-Driven Changes in Ecosystem Structure, Function,
and Service Provisioning in the Southern Ocean. *Ann Rev Mar Sci* **12**, 87-120(2020).
doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-011028
6.   Pretorius I, Schou WC, Richardson B, Ross SD, Withers TM, Schmale DG, 3rd, Strand
TM. In the wind: Invasive species travel along predictable atmospheric pathways. *Ecol*
Appl **33**, e2806(2023). doi:10.1002/eap.2806
7.   Cao Y, Yu X, Ju F, Zhan H, Jiang B, Kang H, Xie Z. Airborne bacterial community
diversity, source and function along the Antarctic Coast. *Sci Total Environ* **765**,
142700(2021). doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142700
8.   Pearce DA, Hughes KA, Lachlan-Cope T, Harangozo SA, Jones AE. Biodiversity of air-
borne microorganisms at Halley Station, Antarctica. *Extremophiles* **14**, 145-159(2010).
doi:10.1007/s00792-009-0293-8
9.   King-Miaow K, Lee K, Maki T, LaCap-Bugler D, Archer SDJ. Airborne microorganisms
in Antarctica: transport, survival and establishment. *The Ecological Role of Micro-*
Organisms in the Antarctic Environment, 163-196(2019). doi:10.1007/978-3-030-02786-
5_8
10.   Malard LA, Avila-Jimenez ML, Schmale J, Cuthbertson L, Cockerton L, Pearce DA.
Aerobiology over the Southern Ocean - Implications for bacterial colonization of
Antarctica. *Environ Int* **169**, 107492(2022). doi:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107492
11.   Heinrichs ME, Piedade GJ, Popa O, Sommers P, Trubl G, Weissenbach J, Rahlff J.
Breaking the Ice: A Review of Phages in Polar Ecosystems. *Bacteriophages: Methods*
and Protocols, 31-71(2024). doi:10.1007/978-1-0716-3549-0_3

12. Hendrix RW, Smith MC, Burns RN, Ford ME, Hatfull GF. Evolutionary relationships among diverse bacteriophages and prophages: all the world's a phage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2192-2197(1999). doi:10.1073/pnas.96.5.2192

13. Nga DDY, Nhung VH, Nhan NT, Hien TT. Study on the concentration, composition, and recovery rate of bacterial bioaerosols after rainfall in Ho Chi Minh City. *Environ Monit Assess* **196**, 295(2024). doi:10.1007/s10661-024-12442-3

14. Vishwakarma YK, Mayank, Ram K, Gogoi MM, Banerjee T, Singh RS. Bioaerosol emissions from wastewater treatment process at urban environment and potential health impacts. *J Environ Manage* **361**, 121202(2024). doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.121202

15. Reche I, D'Orta G, Mladenov N, Winget DM, Suttle CA. Deposition rates of viruses and bacteria above the atmospheric boundary layer. *ISME J* **12**, 1154-1162(2018). doi:10.1038/s41396-017-0042-4

16. Quinn PK, Collins DB, Grassian VH, Prather KA, Bates TS. Chemistry and related properties of freshly emitted sea spray aerosol. *Chem Rev* **115**, 4383-4399(2015). doi:10.1021/cr500713g

17. Trainic M, Koren I, Sharoni S, Frada M, Segev L, Rudich Y, Vardi A. Infection Dynamics of a Bloom-Forming Alga and Its Virus Determine Airborne Coccolith Emission from Seawater. *iScience* **6**, 327-335(2018). doi:10.1016/j.isci.2018.07.017

18. Rahlff J, Bornemann TLV, Lopatina A, Severinov K, Probst AJ. Host-Associated Phages Disperse across the Extraterrestrial Analogue Antarctica. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **88**, e0031522(2022). doi:10.1128/aem.00315-22

19. Lopatina A, Medvedeva S, Shmakov S, Logacheva MD, Krylenkov V, Severinov K. Metagenomic analysis of bacterial communities of Antarctic surface snow. *Front Microbiol* **7**, 398(2016). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00398

20. Kepner RL, Jr., Wharton RA, Jr., Suttle CA. Viruses in Antarctic lakes. *Limnol Oceanogr* **43**, 1754-1761(1998). doi:10.4319/lo.1998.43.7.1754

21. Luhtanen AM, Eronen-Rasimus E, Oksanen HM, Tison JL, Delille B, Dieckmann GS, Rintala JM, Bamford DH. The first known virus isolates from Antarctic sea ice have complex infection patterns. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **94**, fiy028(2018). doi:10.1093/femsec/fiy028

22. Paterson H, Laybourn-Parry J. Antarctic sea ice viral dynamics over an annual cycle. *Polar Biology* **35**, 491-497(2012). doi:10.1007/s00300-011-1093-z

23. Lopez-Simon J, Vila-Nistal M, Rosenova A, De Corte D, Baltar F, Martinez-Garcia M. Viruses under the Antarctic Ice Shelf are active and potentially involved in global nutrient cycles. *Nat Commun* **14**, 8295(2023). doi:10.1038/s41467-023-44028-x

24. Zablocki O, van Zyl L, Adriaenssens EM, Rubagotti E, Tuffin M, Cary SC, Cowan D. High-level diversity of tailed phages, eukaryote-associated viruses, and virophage-like elements in the metaviromes of antarctic soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**, 6888-6897(2014). doi:10.1128/AEM.01525-14

25. Sommers P, Fontenele RS, Kringen T, Kraberger S, Porazinska DL, Darcy JL, Schmidt SK, Varsani A. Single-Stranded DNA Viruses in Antarctic Cryoconite Holes. *Viruses* **11**, 1022(2019). doi:10.3390/v11111022

26. Guixa-Boixereu N, Vaqué D, Gasol JM, Sánchez-Cámara J, Pedrós-Alió C. Viral distribution and activity in Antarctic waters. *Deep Sea Res Part II Top Stud Oceanogr* **49**, 827-845(2002). doi:10.1016/s0967-0645(01)00126-6

571   27.   Liang Y, Bai X, Jiang Y, Wang M, He J, McMinn A. Distribution of marine viruses and
572   their potential hosts in Prydz Bay and adjacent Southern Ocean, Antarctic. *Polar Biol* **39**,
573   365-378(2015). doi:10.1007/s00300-015-1787-8
574   28.   Piedade GJ, Schön ME, Lood C, Fofanov MV, Wesdorp EM, Biggs TEG, Wu L, Bolhuis
575   H, Fischer MG, Yutin N, Dutilh BE, Brussaard CPD. Seasonal dynamics and diversity of
576   Antarctic marine viruses reveal a novel viral seascape. *Research Square*, (2024).
577   doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-3778832/v1
578   29.   Bushnell B. BBTools software package. *URL http://sourceforge* net/projects/bbmap **578**,
579   579(2014)
580   30.   Joshi N, Fass J. Sickle: A sliding-window, adaptive, quality-based trimming tool for
581   FastQ files. (2011)
582   31.   Milanese A, Mende DR, Paoli L, Salazar G, Ruscheweyh HJ, Cuenca M, Hingamp P,
583   Alves R, Costea PI, Coelho LP, Schmidt TSB, Almeida A, Mitchell AL, Finn RD,
584   Huerta-Cepas J, Bork P, Zeller G, Sunagawa S. Microbial abundance, activity and
585   population genomic profiling with mOTUs2. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1014(2019).
586   doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08844-4
587   32.   Ruscheweyh HJ, Milanese A, Paoli L, Karcher N, Clayssen Q, Keller MI, Wirbel J, Bork
588   P, Mende DR, Zeller G, Sunagawa S. Cultivation-independent genomes greatly expand
589   taxonomic-profiling capabilities of mOTUs across various environments. *Microbiome* **10**,
590   212(2022). doi:10.1186/s40168-022-01410-z
591   33.   Nurk S, Meleshko D, Korobeynikov A, Pevzner PA. metaSPAdes: a new versatile
592   metagenomic assembler. *Genome Res* **27**, 824-834(2017). doi:10.1101/gr.213959.116
593   34.   Antipov D, Raiko M, Lapidus A, Pevzner PA. Metaviral SPAdes: assembly of viruses
594   from metagenomic data. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 4126-4129(2020).
595   doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa490
596   35.   Kieft K, Zhou Z, Anantharaman K. VIBRANT: automated recovery, annotation and
597   curation of microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic
598   sequences. *Microbiome* **8**, 90(2020). doi:10.1186/s40168-020-00867-0
599   36.   Guo J, Bolduc B, Zayed AA, Varsani A, Dominguez-Huerta G, Delmont TO, Pratama
600   AA, Gazitua MC, Vik D, Sullivan MB, Roux S. VirSorter2: a multi-classifier, expert-
601   guided approach to detect diverse DNA and RNA viruses. *Microbiome* **9**, 37(2021).
602   doi:10.1186/s40168-020-00990-y
603   37.   Camargo AP, Roux S, Schulz F, Babinski M, Xu Y, Hu B, Chain PSG, Nayfach S,
604   Kyrpides NC. Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nat Biotechnol*,
605   (2023). doi:10.1038/s41587-023-01953-y
606   38.   Li D, Liu CM, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam TW. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node
607   solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph.
608   *Bioinformatics* **31**, 1674-1676(2015). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033
609   39.   Moraru C, Varsani A, Kropinski AM. VIRIDIC-A novel tool to calculate the
610   intergenomic similarities of prokaryote-infecting viruses. *Viruses* **12**, (2020).
611   doi:10.3390/v12111268
612   40.   Nayfach S, Camargo AP, Schulz F, Eloe-Fadrosh E, Roux S, Kyrpides NC. CheckV
613   assesses the quality and completeness of metagenome-assembled viral genomes. *Nat
614   Biotechnol*, (2020). doi:10.1038/s41587-020-00774-7
615   41.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* **9**,
616   357-359(2012). doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

42.   Nilsson E, Bayfield OW, Lundin D, Antson AA, Holmfeldt K. Diversity and host
interactions among virulent and temperate Baltic Sea *Flavobacterium* phages. *Viruses* **12**,
158(2020). doi:10.3390/v12020158
43.   Roux S, Emerson JB, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Sullivan MB. Benchmarking viromics: an in
silico evaluation of metagenome-enabled estimates of viral community composition and
diversity. *PeerJ* **5**, e3817(2017). doi:10.7717/peerj.3817
44.   Bornemann TLV, Esser SP, Stach TL, Burg T, Probst AJ. uBin: A manual refining tool
for genomes from metagenomes. *Environ Microbiol* **25**, 1077-1083(2023).
doi:10.1111/1462-2920.16351
45.   Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal:
prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC*
Bioinformatics **11**, 119(2010). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119
46.   Bolduc B, Jang HB, Doulcier G, You ZQ, Roux S, Sullivan MB. vConTACT: an iVirus
tool to classify double-stranded DNA viruses that infect Archaea and Bacteria. *PeerJ* **5**,
e3243(2017). doi:10.7717/peerj.3243
47.   Cook R, Brown N, Redgwell T, Rihtman B, Barnes M, Clokie M, Stekel DJ, Hobman J,
Jones MA, Millard A. INfrastructure for a PHAge REference Database: Identification of
large-scale biases in the current collection of cultured phage genomes. *Phage* **2**, 214-
223(2021). doi:10.1089/phage.2021.0007
48.   Pandolfo M, Telatin A, Lazzari G, Adriaenssens EM, Vitulo N. MetaPhage: an
automated pipeline for analyzing, annotating, and classifying bacteriophages in
metagenomics sequencing data. *mSystems* **7**, e0074122(2022).
doi:10.1128/msystems.00741-22
49.   Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski
B, Ideker T. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular
interaction networks. *Genome Res* **13**, 2498-2504(2003). doi:10.1101/gr.1239303
50.   Shang J, Tang X, Guo R, Sun Y. Accurate identification of bacteriophages from
metagenomic data using Transformer. *Brief Bioinform* **23**, bbac258(2022).
doi:10.1093/bib/bbac258
51.   Shang J, Jiang J, Sun Y. Bacteriophage classification for assembled contigs using graph
convolutional network. *Bioinformatics* **37**, i25-i33(2021).
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab293
52.   Moraru C. VirClust-A tool for hierarchical clustering, core protein detection and
annotation of (Prokaryotic) viruses. *Viruses* **15**, 1007(2023). doi:10.3390/v15041007
53.   Nishimura Y, Yoshida T, Kuronishi M, Uehara H, Ogata H, Goto S. ViPTree: the viral
proteomic tree server. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2379-2380(2017).
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx157
54.   Rice P, Longden I, Bleasby A. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open
Software Suite. *Trends Genet* **16**, 276-277(2000). doi:10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02024-2
55.   Shaffer M, Borton MA, McGivern BB, Zayed AA, La Rosa SL, Solden LM, Liu P,
Narrowe AB, Rodriguez-Ramos J, Bolduc B, Gazitua MC, Daly RA, Smith GJ, Vik DR,
Pope PB, Sullivan MB, Roux S, Wrighton KC. DRAM for distilling microbial
metabolism to automate the curation of microbiome function. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**,
8883-8900(2020). doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa621
56.   Camargo AP, Nayfach S, Chen IA, Palaniappan K, Ratner A, Chu K, Ritter SJ, Reddy
TBK, Mukherjee S, Schulz F, Call L, Neches RY, Woyke T, Ivanova NN, Eloe-Fadrosh

EA, Kyrpides NC, Roux S. IMG/VR v4: an expanded database of uncultivated virus
genomes within a framework of extensive functional, taxonomic, and ecological
metadata. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, D733-D743(2023). doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1037

57.   Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY, Geer RC,
He J, Gwadz M, Hurwitz DI, Lanczycki CJ, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N,
Wang Z, Yamashita RA, Zhang D, Zheng C, Bryant SH. CDD: NCBI's conserved
domain database. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, D222-226(2015). doi:10.1093/nar/gku1221

58.   Vernette C, Lecubin J, Sanchez P, Tara Oceans C, Sunagawa S, Delmont TO, Acinas SG,
Pelletier E, Hingamp P, Lescot M. The Ocean Gene Atlas v2.0: online exploration of the
biogeography and phylogeny of plankton genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, W516-
W526(2022). doi:10.1093/nar/gkac420

59.   Villar E, Vannier T, Vernette C, Lescot M, Cuenca M, Alexandre A, Bachelerie P,
Rosnet T, Pelletier E, Sunagawa S, Hingamp P. The Ocean Gene Atlas: exploring the
biogeography of plankton genes online. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, W289-W295(2018).
doi:10.1093/nar/gky376

60.   Escobedo JF, Gomes EN, Oliveira AP, Soares J. Ratios of UV, PAR and NIR
components to global solar radiation measured at Botucatu site in Brazil. *Renewable
Energy* **36**, 169-178(2011). doi:10.1016/j.renene.2010.06.018

61.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic
tree display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **52**, W78-W82(2024).
doi:10.1093/nar/gkae268

62.   Roux S, Camargo AP, Coutinho FH, Dabdoub SM, Dutilh BE, Nayfach S, Tritt A.
iPHoP: An integrated machine learning framework to maximize host prediction for
metagenome-derived viruses of archaea and bacteria. *PLoS Biol* **21**, e3002083(2023).
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3002083

63.   McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis
and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**, e61217(2013).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061217

64.   Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. (2019)

65.   Rahlff J, Esser SP, Plewka J, Heinrichs ME, Soares A, Scarchilli C, Grigioni P, Wex H,
Giebel HA, Probst AJ. Marine viruses disperse bidirectionally along the natural water
cycle. *Nat Commun* **14**, 6354(2023). doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42125-5

66.   Kang I, Jang H, Cho J-C. Complete genome sequences of two *Persicivirga*
bacteriophages, P12024S and P12024L. *J Virol* **86**, 8907-8908(2012).
doi:10.1128/jvi.01327-12

67.   Sabehi G, Shaulov L, Silver DH, Yanai I, Harel A, Lindell D. A novel lineage of
myoviruses infecting cyanobacteria is widespread in the oceans. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*
109, 2037-2042(2012). doi:10.1073/pnas.1115467109

68.   Baran N, Carlson MCG, Sabehi G, Peleg M, Kondratyeva K, Pekarski I, Lindell D.
Widespread yet persistent low abundance of TIM5-like cyanophages in the oceans.
Environ Microbiol **24**, 6476-6492(2022). doi:10.1111/1462-2920.16210

69.   Xu Y, Zhang R, Wang N, Cai L, Tong Y, Sun Q, Chen F, Jiao N. Novel phage-host
interactions and evolution as revealed by a cyanomyovirus isolated from an estuarine
environment. *Environ Microbiol* **20**, 2974-2989(2018). doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14326

70. Hoetzinger M, Nilsson E, Arabi R, Osbeck CMG, Pontiller B, Hutinet G, Bayfield OW, Traving S, Kisand V, Lundin D, Pinhassi J, Middelboe M, Holmfeldt K. Dynamics of Baltic Sea phages driven by environmental changes. *Environ Microbiol* **23**, 4576-4594(2021). doi:10.1111/1462-2920.15651

71. Holmfeldt K, Solonenko N, Shah M, Corrier K, Riemann L, Verberkmoes NC, Sullivan MB. Twelve previously unknown phage genera are ubiquitous in global oceans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 12798-12803(2013). doi:10.1073/pnas.1305956110

72. Bartlau N, Wichels A, Krohne G, Adriaenssens EM, Heins A, Fuchs BM, Amann R, Moraru C. Highly diverse flavobacterial phages isolated from North Sea spring blooms. *ISME J* **16**, 555-568(2022). doi:10.1038/s41396-021-01097-4

73. Shah S, Das R, Chavan B, Bajpai U, Hanif S, Ahmed S. Beyond antibiotics: phage-encoded lysins against Gram-negative pathogens. *Front Microbiol* **14**, 1170418(2023). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2023.1170418

74. Majorek KA, Dunin-Horkawicz S, Steczkiewicz K, Muszewska A, Nowotny M, Ginalski K, Bujnicki JM. The RNase H-like superfamily: new members, comparative structural analysis and evolutionary classification. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**, 4160-4179(2014). doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1414

75. Sencilo A, Jacobs-Sera D, Russell DA, Ko CC, Bowman CA, Atanasova NS, Osterlund E, Oksanen HM, Bamford DH, Hatfull GF, Roine E, Hendrix RW. Snapshot of haloarchaeal tailed virus genomes. *RNA Biol* **10**, 803-816(2013). doi:10.4161/rna.24045

76. Zhang W, Zhu HH, Yuan M, Yao Q, Tang R, Lin M, Yang SZ, Li ZK, Chen M. *Microbacterium radiodurans* sp. nov., a UV radiation-resistant bacterium isolated from soil. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* **60**, 2665-2670(2010). doi:10.1099/ijs.0.017400-0

77. Shi LX, Schroder WP. The low molecular mass subunits of the photosynthetic supracomplex, photosystem II. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1608**, 75-96(2004). doi:10.1016/j.bbabio.2003.12.004

78. Kamiya N, Shen JR. Crystal structure of oxygen-evolving photosystem II from *Thermosynechococcus vulcanus* at 3.7-A resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 98-103(2003). doi:10.1073/pnas.0135651100

79. Pathak J, Ahmed H, Singh PR, Singh SP, Häder D-P, Sinha RP. Chapter 7 - Mechanisms of Photoprotection in Cyanobacteria. In: *Cyanobacteria* (eds Mishra AK, Tiwari DN, Rai AN). Academic Press (2019).

80. Smith BT, Walker GC. Mutagenesis and more: umuDC and the *Escherichia coli* SOS response. *Genetics* **148**, 1599-1610(1998). doi:10.1093/genetics/148.4.1599

81. Yabe S, Muto K, Abe K, Yokota A, Staudigel H, Tebo BM. *Vulcanimicrobium alpinus* gen. nov. sp. nov., the first cultivated representative of the candidate phylum "Eremiobacterota", is a metabolically versatile aerobic anoxygenic phototroph. *ISME Commun* **2**, 120(2022). doi:10.1038/s43705-022-00201-9

82. Winder JC, Boulton W, Salamov A, Eggers SL, Metfies K, Moulton V, Mock T. Genetic and Structural Diversity of Prokaryotic Ice-Binding Proteins from the Central Arctic Ocean. *Genes (Basel)* **14**, (2023). doi:10.3390/genes14020363

83. Yau S, Lauro FM, DeMaere MZ, Brown MV, Thomas T, Raftery MJ, Andrews-Pfannkoch C, Lewis M, Hoffman JM, Gibson JA, Cavicchioli R. Virophage control of antarctic algal host-virus dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 6163-6168(2011). doi:10.1073/pnas.1018221108

84. Rahlff J, Westmeijer G, Weissenbach J, Antson A, Holmfeldt K. Surface
microlayer-mediated virome dissemination in the Central Arctic. *Microbiome* **in press**,
(2024). doi:10.1186/s40168-024-01902-0

85. Aller JY, Kuznetsova MR, Jahns CJ, Kemp PF. The sea surface microlayer as a source of
viral and bacterial enrichment in marine aerosols. *J Aerosol Sci* **36**, 801-812(2005).
doi:10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.10.012

86. Vaqué D, Boras JA, Arrieta JM, Agusti S, Duarte CM, Sala MM. Enhanced viral activity
in the surface microlayer of the Arctic and Antarctic Oceans. *Microorganisms* **9**,
317(2021). doi:10.3390/microorganisms9020317

87. Alsante AN, Thornton DCO, Brooks SD. Ocean Aerobiology. *Front Microbiol* **12**,
764178(2021). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.764178

88. Das R, Rahlff J. Phage genome architecture and GC content: Structural genes and where
to find them. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2006.2005.597531(2024). doi:10.1101/2024.06.05.597531

89. Hwang Y, Rahlff J, Schulze-Makuch D, Schloter M, Probst AJ. Diverse viruses carrying
genes for microbial extremotolerance in the Atacama Desert hyperarid soil. *mSystems* **6**,
10.1128/msystems.00385-00321(2021). doi:10.1128/mSystems.00385-21

90. Irby I, Broddrick JT. Microbial adaptation to spaceflight is correlated with bacteriophage-
encoded functions. *Nat Commun* **15**, 3474(2024). doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42104-w

91. Heyerhoff B, Engelen B, Bunse C. Auxiliary Metabolic Gene Functions in Pelagic and
Benthic Viruses of the Baltic Sea. *Front Microbiol* **13**, 863620(2022).
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.863620

92. Sharon I, Tzahor S, Williamson S, Shmoish M, Man-Aharonovich D, Rusch DB,
Yooseph S, Zeidner G, Golden SS, Mackey SR, Adir N, Weingart U, Horn D, Venter JC,
Mandel-Gutfreund Y, Beja O. Viral photosynthetic reaction center genes and transcripts
in the marine environment. *ISME J* **1**, 492-501(2007). doi:10.1038/ismej.2007.67

93. Wang SY, Chen YP, Huang RF, Wu YL, Ho ST, Li KY, Watanabe K, Chen MJ.
Subspecies classification and comparative genomic analysis of *Lactobacillus*
kefiranofaciens HL1 and M1 for potential niche-specific genes and pathways.
Microorganisms **10**, 1637(2022). doi:10.3390/microorganisms10081637

94. Goldman JC. Oceanic nutrient cycles. In: *Flows of Energy and Materials in Marine*
Ecosystems: Theory and Practice (ed Fasham MYR). Springer (1984).

95. Polymenakou PN. Atmosphere: A Source of Pathogenic or Beneficial Microbes?
Atmosphere **3**, 87-102(2012). doi:10.3390/atmos3010087

96. Hou J, Fujiyoshi S, Perera IU, Nishiuchi Y, Nakajima M, Ogura D, Yarimizu K,
Maruyama F. Perspectives on Sampling and New Generation Sequencing Methods for
Low-Biomass Bioaerosols in Atmospheric Environments. *J Indian Inst Sci* **103**, 1-
11(2023). doi:10.1007/s41745-023-00380-x

97. Harnpicharnchai P, Pumkaeo P, Siriarchawatana P, Likhitrattanapisal S,
Mayteeworakoon S, Ingsrisawang L, Boonsin W, Eurwilaichitr L, Ingsriswang S.
AirDNA sampler: An efficient and simple device enabling high-yield, high-quality
airborne environment DNA for metagenomic applications. *PLoS One* **18**,
e0287567(2023). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0287567

98. Highlander SK, Wood JM, Gillece JD, Folkerts M, Fofanov V, Furstenau T, Singh NK,
Guan L, Seuylemezian A, Benardini JN, Engelthaler DM, Venkateswaran K, Keim PS.
Multi-faceted metagenomic analysis of spacecraft associated surfaces reveal planetary

protection relevant microbial composition. *PLoS One* **18**, e0282428(2023).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0282428
99.   Zhang Y, Xin CX, Zhang LT, Deng YL, Wang X, Chen XY, Wang ZQ. Detection of
Fungi from Low-Biomass Spacecraft Assembly Clean Room Aerosols. *Astrobiology* **18**,
1585-1593(2018). doi:10.1089/ast.2017.1803
100. Verreault D, Gendron L, Rousseau GM, Veillette M, Masse D, Lindsley WG, Moineau S,
Duchaine C. Detection of airborne lactococcal bacteriophages in cheese manufacturing
plants. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **77**, 491-497(2011). doi:10.1128/AEM.01391-10
101. Letourneau V, Gagne MJ, Vyskocil JM, Brochu V, Robitaille K, Gauthier M, Brassard J,
Duchaine C. Hunting for a viral proxy in bioaerosols of swine buildings using molecular
detection and metagenomics. *J Environ Sci (China)* **148**, 69-78(2025).
doi:10.1016/j.jes.2023.08.017
102. Boifot KO, Skogan G, Dybwad M. Sampling efficiency and nucleic acid stability during
long-term sampling with different bioaerosol samplers. *Environ Monit Assess* **196**,
577(2024). doi:10.1007/s10661-024-12735-7
103. Langenfeld K, Chin K, Roy A, Wigginton K, Duhaime MB. Comparison of ultrafiltration
and iron chloride flocculation in the preparation of aquatic viromes from contrasting
sample types. *PeerJ* **9**, e11111(2021). doi:10.7717/peerj.11111
104. Els N, Larose C, Baumann-Stanzer K, Tignat-Perrier R, Keuschnig C, Vogel TM, Sattler
B. Microbial composition in seasonal time series of free tropospheric air and precipitation
reveals community separation. *Aerobiologia* **35**, 671-701(2019). doi:10.1007/s10453-
019-09606-x