Title: BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler to map heterogeneous cancer cell behavior in the tumor microenvironment

Emilio Rios-Jimenez*[1], Anoek Zomer*[2,3], Raphael Collot[2,3], Mario Barrera Román[2,3], Sandra F. Archidona[1], Hendrikus C.R. Ariese[2,3], Ravian L. van Ineveld[2,3], Michiel Kleinnijenhuis[2,3], Nils Bessler[2,3], Hannah Johnson[2,3], Caleb A. Dawson[4,5,6], Anne Rios[2,3] #, Maria Alieva[1] #.

* Equal contribution

\# Shared last authorship

Affiliations:

[1]Institute for Biomedical Research Sols-Morreale (IIBM), Spanish National Research Council-Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain.

[2]Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

[3]Oncode Institute, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

[4]ACRF Cancer Biology and Stem Cells Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia.

[5]Immunology Division, The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, VIC 3052, Australia.

[6]Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

Abstract

Intravital microscopy (IVM) enables live imaging of animals at single-cell level, offering essential insights into cancer progression. This technique allows for the observation of single-cell behaviors within their natural 3D tissue environments, shedding light on how genetic and microenvironmental changes influence the complex dynamics of tumors. IVM generates highly complex datasets that often exceed the analytical capacity of traditional uni-parametric approaches, which can neglect single-cell heterogeneous in vivo behavior and limit insights into microenvironmental influences on cellular behavior. To overcome these limitations, we present BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler (BEHAV3D-TP), a computational framework that enables unbiased single-cell classification based on a range of morphological and dynamic single cell features. BEHAV3D-TP integrates with widely used 2D and 3D image processing pipelines, enabling researchers without advanced computational expertise to profile cancer and healthy cell dynamics in IVM data. Here, we apply BEHAV3D-TP to study diffuse midline glioma (DMG), a highly aggressive pediatric brain tumor characterized by invasive progression. By extending BEHAV3D-TP to incorporate tumor microenvironment (TME) data from IVM or fixed correlative imaging, we demonstrate that distinct migratory behaviors of DMG cells are associated with specific TME components, including tumor-associated macrophages and vasculature. BEHAV3D-TP enhances the accessibility of computational tools for analyzing the complex behaviors of cancer cells and their interactions with the TME in IVM data.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a complex disease driven by a sequence of genetic and microenvironmental changes. As a result, tumors comprise many different cell populations that are in constant evolution[1]. This tumor heterogeneity has important biological and clinical implications as it differentially promotes key characteristics of individual cancer cells, including proliferation, invasion, and drug resistance [2]. Yet, we are far from fully understanding all the dynamic and bi-directional interactions in heterogeneous tumors, and how these interactions influence cancer cell behavior at the single-cell level. Emerging single-cell technologies and analysis tools provide a new opportunity to profile individual cells within tumors. For example, recent spatial transcriptomic and proteomic profiling provides evidence for the existence of multiple distinct microenvironmental niches within one tumor [3]. However, these techniques can only provide static information and make use of thin tissue sections that are only one cell layer thick. To investigate the real-time behavior of individual cells intravital microscopy (IVM) has been developed to study tumor cell behavior evolution over time but also in their three-dimensional native tissue environment.

IVM is a technique used to capture both spatial and temporal information at a single-cell level in living animals. Over the past years, it has provided many new insights into the dynamics of tumor heterogeneity and the development of therapy resistance [4–15] . For example, intravital imaging of mouse breast cancer models revealed that only a small portion of tumor cells is motile [15], and that fast- and slow-migrating cells are present in distinct regions of the tumor [7,16]. Moreover, additional heterogeneity exists among migrating tumor cells that can, for instance, move randomly or directionally relative to other tumor cells and/or surrounding healthy tissue [7]. However, traditional IVM analyses have considered cancer cells or the tumor microenvironment (TME) as a homogeneous population, thereby ignoring heterogeneity at the single-cell level and the influence of the local microenvironment, where interactions between neighboring cells may affect cellular behavior. To address these complexities, recent studies have used unbiased approaches using morpho-kinetic parameters derived from live *in vitro*[17] or *in vivo* imaging to classify cells and explore the single-cell behavioral landscape as an additional omic layer [18–20]. In line with this concept of characterizing cellular dynamic properties for cell classification, we have previously developed an analytical platform termed BEHAV3D [19,21] allowing to perform behavioral phenotyping of engineered T cells targeting cancer. While BEHAV3D was initially developed to analyze T cell migratory behavior under controlled in vitro conditions, we sought to expand its application to investigate tumor cell behaviors in IVM

data, where the complexity of the TME presents distinct analytical challenges. This manuscript builds on our foundational work but represents a significant advancement by adapting the pipeline specifically for IVM datasets.

While significant efforts have been made to develop open-source segmentation and tracking tools for live imaging data, including IVM[22–27] fewer tools exist for the unbiased analysis of tumor dynamics. One major barrier is that implementing such analytical methods often requires substantial computational expertise, limiting accessibility for many biomedical researchers conducting IVM experiments. To bridge this gap, we present BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler (BEHAV3D-TP) by providing a robust, user-friendly tool that allows researchers to extract meaningful insights from dynamic cellular behaviors without requiring advanced programming skills. BEHAV3D-TP expands the capabilities of BEHAV3D by incorporating key features designed for *in vivo* tumor cell dynamics (Fig. 1). The pipeline includes extensions that integrate tumor cell behavior with spatial features within the native TME, using either in situ (IVM) or fixed correlative imaging to reveal how distinct spatial niches influence tumor dynamics.

To further facilitate unbiased analysis of tumor dynamics, we developed BEHAV3D-TP as a flexible tool that supports both commercial and open-source data formats, ensuring broad compatibility across different research workflows. The pipeline supports 2D and 3D data formats generated by Imaris and by Fiji plugins such as TrackMate, MTrackJ, and ManualTracking, enabling processing of datasets from the most widely used segmentation and tracking solutions. We demonstrate the versatility and broad applicability of BEHAV3D-TP by applying it to a range of published tumor and healthy tissue IVM datasets.

Next, we focused on Diffuse Midline Glioma (DMG), a highly aggressive pediatric brain tumor characterized by invasive growth and its capacity to spread to other regions of the brain and the spinal cord [28]. Our approach allowed us to observe associations between DMG cell migration behavior and specific microenvironmental factors, including tumor-associated macrophages and vasculature, by visualizing TME components either during or after IVM. In our study, we specifically focused on cancer cell migration as a specific feature of DMG, but our methodology could easily be extended to other cancer cell behaviors such as intravasation or metastatic colonization. Overall, BEHAV3D-TP provides an accessible computational approach for a better understanding of tumor-TME crosstalk and the functional implications of this communication in heterogeneous tumors.

RESULTS

BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler: an accessible tool for mapping heterogeneity in tumor dynamics

To comprehensively map and analyze the dynamic heterogeneity of tumor cells within their TME we developed BEHAV3D-TP [https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler]. To enhance accessibility and encourage widespread adoption among researchers studying single tumor cell dynamics in live imaging and inspired by previous work on democratizing advanced image analysis[26,29,30], we designed BEHAV3D-TP as a modular Jupyter Notebook featuring an intuitive graphical user interface (GUI) (Figure 1 and Supplementary Video 1). The platform runs directly in a web browser and requires only a Google account for access. BEHAV3D-TP implementation within the Google Colaboratory (Colab) framework not only ensures efficient cloud-based computation with free and scalable resources but also enhances tool accessibility by eliminating the need for local software installation, a common barrier for inexperienced users. Regardless of programming proficiency, users can navigate the computational pipeline to extract comprehensive insights into tumor dynamics (Figure 1). After time-lapse image file processing and preparation using various frameworks (Figure 1a), the central component of BEHAV3D-TP — called the *heterogeneity module* (Figure 1b) — enables researchers to uncover diverse patterns of tumor morphodynamic behavior. Additionally, we provide two extension modules—the *large-scale phenotyping module* (Figure 1c) and the *small-scale phenotyping module* (Figure 1d)— which integrate tumor cell behavior identified with the *heterogeneity module* with TME features based on different data types. The *large-scale phenotyping* module uses post-IVM fixed imaging data for tissue phenotyping, identifying extensive regions with specific TME characteristics, and assessing the distribution of distinct tumor cell behavioral patterns within these regions. The *small-scale phenotyping* module uses *in situ*-labelled TME structures from IVM data, facilitating the correlation of these TME features within the microenvironment of individual tumor cell neighborhoods. Both modules, in conjunction with the *heterogeneity* module, help to identify how different aspects of the TME influence distinct behavioral patterns of tumor cells.

To evaluate the capability of the BEHAV3D-TP *heterogeneity module* in identifying diverse morphodynamic cell patterns, we applied it to IVM datasets from various tumor and healthy models. The datasets, processed with different segmentation and tracking tools, were analyzed

for multivariate similarities in morphological and dynamic features (summarized in Table 1)

using the dynamic time warping algorithm[21].

As an initial benchmark, we analyzed a previously published IVM dataset of two migratory and

metastatic breast cancer cell lines (4T1 and MDA-MB231), tracked in 2D using the MTrackJ

Fiji plugin (Supplementary Figure 1a) [15]. BEHAV3D-TP provided a more granular

characterization of these behaviors, uncovering additional migratory patterns not reported in

the original study (Supplementary Figure 1b-c). Specifically, we identified behaviors such as

Fast, *Intermediate*, *Very slow* and *Static* that had a different distribution among both tumor cell

lines (Supplementary Figure 1d-e).

To further assess the versatility of BEHAV3D-TP, we applied it to 2D IVM data of terminal

end buds (TEB) from healthy mammary gland tissue[31]. This dataset features confetti-labeled

epithelial cell types and was analyzed using maximum intensity projections of multiple z planes

showing cell morphology changes. As described in the original publication[31] cell segmentation

was performed with Cellpose 2.0[32] within the MaSCOT-AI workflow, followed by tracking

using the TrackMate plugin in Fiji. This workflow not only confirmed the compatibility of

BEHAV3D-TP with advanced segmentation frameworks but also its usage to unravel distinct

morphodynamic patterns in non-tumor epithelial cells (Supplementary Figure 2b,c). Consistent

with previous findings that HR+ TEB (Pr-cre/Confetti) exhibit greater migratory capacity than

HR- TEB (Elf5-rtTA/TetO-Cre/Confetti), our analysis suggests that this difference is primarily

driven by a distinct morphodynamic behavior (Supplementary Figure 2 c,d). Specifically, HR+

TEB more frequently exhibited characteristics of Cluster 2, defined by a rounder shape and

increased motility. Despite the small sample size requiring further validation, these results

demonstrate BEHAV3D-TP's ability to uncover complex, multiparametric cellular behaviors

that are often missed in analyses focused on single-value comparisons across conditions.

We next tested BEHAV3D-TP with a 3D image processing pipeline applied to IVM data from

adult glioma (GBM) [7]. In this case, cells were segmented using μSAM[33], a deep-learning

foundation model adapted for microscopy data (Segment Anything for Microscopy), and

subsequently tracked with TrackMate[27] Fiji plugin (Supplementary Figure 3a). BEHAV3D-TP

identified distinct GBM morphodynamic patterns, each characterized by unique combinations

of morphological and dynamic features and associated with specific spatial distributions

(Supplementary Figure 3b-d). Cluster 1, consisting of large and slow-moving cells, was more

isolated and located farther from neighboring cells compared to Cluster 6 (small, fast, persistent

cells) and Cluster 4 (very small, static cells). These findings suggest that the compact spatial
arrangement of small cells within the tumor may contribute to their reduced size.

Collectively, these findings underscore the versatility and broad applicability of BEHAV3D-
TP across diverse biological systems, dimensionalities (2D and 3D), imaging modalities, and
data processing pipelines, supporting its utility as a robust tool for the in-depth analysis of
cellular behavioral patterns in IVM data.

Identification of highly heterogeneous *in vivo* cell behavior displayed by DMGs

We then applied our platform to get insights into the behavioral heterogeneity among pediatric
DMG tumors, characterized by a highly invasive nature. We implanted mice with patient
derived DMG cells expressing H2BmNeonGreen marker and performed IVM for up to 5.4
hours upon tumor development (Figure supplement 4a). We tracked intravitally imaged DMG
cells from different mice using Imaris, a commercial software a widely adapted by the IVM
community[34–40] for its intuitive 3D visualization and analysis capabilities. In each imaged
position, we evaluated not only the dynamic characteristics of individual DMG cells but also
their spatial relationship to the tumor edge, which was delineated using Imaris Surface module
(Figure 2a, see Methods). Next, to untangle the complexity of tumor cell dynamics in an
unbiased manner, we used the BEHAV3D-TP *heterogeneity module,* implementing
multiparametric single-cell time-series classification to identify distinct single-cell behavioral
patterns (Figure 2 a, b). For this, we used kinetic parameters, including displacement, speed,
persistence, and movement direction relative to the tumor edge (Table 1), followed by
dimensionality reduction to classify cells. The BEHAV3D-TP *heterogeneity module* identified
7 DMG behavioral clusters: *retreating*, *slow retreating*, *erratic*, *static*, *slow*, *slow invading,* and
invading (Figure 2b, c, d, Supplementary video 2). To accurately describe each of the clusters,
we analyzed their most predominant features (Figure 2d) and back-projected the cluster
information into the original time-lapse to visually inspect cell behavior in relation to the tumor
(Figure 2a, c, Figure supplement 4b, Supplementary video 2). Of particular interest were cells
from the *invading* and *retreating* clusters, characterized by fast movement away from and
towards the tumor edge, respectively (Figure 2c-f, and Figure supplement 4c). In fact, in this
particular DMG model, approximately 10% of the cancer cells were displaying *invasive*
behavior (Figure supplement 4d), in line with the intrinsic infiltrative nature of DMG[41] .
Interestingly, about another 10% of DMG cells were moving back to the tumor edge (*retreating*,
slow retreating clusters) (Figure supplement 4d), behavior that has been previously observed
for glioblastoma and suggested to be regulated by differential chemotactic signaling by the

tumor edge and the surrounding brain parenchyma[7]. We also observed *erratic* cells that display

fast non-directed migration behavior, and *static* cells that are non-migratory (Figure 2c-f).

Crucially, the distinct behavioral patterns were detected in all intravitally imaged mice (Figure

supplement 4d), ruling out any sample bias that might lead to clusters consisting solely of cells

from a single tumor or mouse. This underscores the effectiveness of the BEHAV3D-TP in

revealing representative heterogeneous tumor behavioral patterns.

Mapping *in vivo* tumor cell migratory properties to distinct TME regions identified

through *ex vivo* large-scale 3D imaging

To investigate whether the TME influences behavioral heterogeneity among cancer cells, we

developed two complementary approaches to correlate the identified behavioral clusters (Figure

2) to the composition of the TME (Figures 1c, 3a and 4a).

The first approach consists of performing large-scale TME phenotyping and identifying regions

with a specific cellular composition and architecture within the TME of intravitally imaged

tumors that were subsequently fixed. This is accomplished by using multispectral large-scale

single-cell resolution 3D (mLSR-3D) imaging data[42,43] and a spatial analysis framework called

CytoMAP[44] (Figure 3a). We selected microenvironmental components of interest, such as

perivascular niches and glial cells, as they have been previously reported to play an important

role in the invasive behavior of glioblastoma cells[7,45–49]. Specifically, intravitally imaged brains

were collected immediately after the IVM imaging sessions and were fixed and stained for

blood vessels (CD31), oligodendrocytes (Olig2), and tumor-associated microglia/macrophages

(TAMM) (Iba1) (Figure 3b). Subsequent CytoMAP[44] spatial phenotyping analysis identified

three different environmental niches: *Void* regions (less vascularized and with low glial

infiltration), *TAMM/oligo* regions (enriched in oligodendrocytes, TAMM, and tumor cells), and

TAMM/vascularized regions (highly vascularized regions enriched in TAMM) (Figure 3b, and

Figure supplement 5a-c). To investigate the heterogeneity of tumor cell behavior in relation to

the identified microenvironmental niches, BEHAV3D-TP *large-scale phenotyping module*

mapped the different niches (*Void*, *TAMM/Oligo*, and *TAMM/vascularized*) identified by

CytoMAP onto 3D intravital imaging data (Figures 1c, 3c). In the TME-defined IVM-imaged

positions we compared the frequencies of the different behavioral clusters that we identified

with the BEHAV3D-TP *heterogeneity* module (Figure 3d). Interestingly, *invading* cells were

more abundant in *TAMM/vascularized* regions compared to *Void* regions that contain a higher

proportion of *static* cells, and *TAMM/Oligo* microenvironments contain more *slow retreating*

cells compared to the other regions (Figure 3d, Figure supplement 5d). Finally, we compared

the results obtained with BEHAV3D-TP to a more classical IVM analysis approach that relies
on assessing single dynamic parameters. Interestingly, single parameters showed a restricted
ability to identify differences in cellular behavior among various environments (Figure
supplement 5e-g), underscoring the importance of multiparametric behavioral mapping in
revealing more nuanced cellular behavior that cannot be captured solely by individual dynamic
parameters.

248 Linkage of *in vivo* tumor cell behavior to the composition of the microenvironment at a
249 single-cell level

With the goal of further refining TME phenotyping to better understand tumor cell behavior
determinants, we implemented an alternative approach using the BEHAV3D-TP *small-scale*
phenotyping module (Figure 1d, 4a). This module utilizes the detection of TME components
during IVM to offer insights into their abundance and spatial relationship with DMG cells at a
single-cell level. Together with the *heterogeneity module* that profiles the single-cell behavior
of tumor cells (Figure 1), this additional module allows linking different DMG behavioral
profiles with DMG cell small-scale niches (Figures 1d, 4a). For *in vivo* TME labeling, we
injected mice with an anti-CD31-AF647 antibody to label blood vessels, and with either SR101,
a fluorescent marker known to label oligodendrocytes and astrocytes [50–53], or CD20r, a novel
molecule described to label microglial cells [54] (Figure supplement 6a, b). This allowed us to
measure spatial TME characteristics of each single DMG cell, such as minimal distance to a
certain TME component, the number of these cell types in a certain radius, or the cell density
for this particular cell (Figure 1d). Interestingly, the BEHAV3D-TP *small-scale phenotyping*
module revealed substantial TME heterogeneity across the different behavioral DMG clusters
(Figure 4b). Compared to *slow* and *slow-retreating* cells, *invading* cells were usually found to
be less densely positioned relative to other DMG cells (Figure 4b, and Figure supplement 6c),
correlating with their invasive potential into the brain parenchyma. In line with the data from
the large-scale TME phenotyping (Figure 3), our data suggests that *invading* DMG cells reside
in a local environment that is enriched in TAMMs (Figure 4b). Indeed, *invading* cells are closer
to TAMMs compared to *erratic* and *slow* migrating cells (Figure 4c), and move directionally
towards TAMMs (Figure 4d, Supplementary video 3), suggesting that migration of this
behavioral cluster is at least partially mediated by chemotaxis. Furthermore, all fast-migrating
behavioral clusters (*invading*, *erratic,* and *retreating*) were found to be generally closer to blood
vessels (Figure 4b and e), suggesting that perivascular invasion is a more efficient movement
route for these cells (Figure 4f), a mode of migration that has been previously observed for adult

glioblastoma cells as well [7,45,47–49]. Lastly, particularly *slow-retreating* DMG cells were found

in regions enriched for SR101^{+} cells (Figure 4b and Figure supplement 6d), perhaps reflecting

oligodendrocyte-like (OC-like) tumor DMG cells that have been found near oligodendrocytes

[55]. Altogether, these data demonstrate the power of our BEHAV3D-TP pipeline in correlating

single-cell tumor cell behavior to its local environment, with an important opportunity to

identify novel environmental regulators of invasion.

DISCUSSION

Here, we provide a new user-friendly platform tailored for IVM single tumor cell dynamics

analysis, termed BEHAV3D-TP, aiming to better understand the mechanisms underlying tumor

heterogeneity. In contrast to traditional pipelines that rely on the analysis of single parameters

such as cell speed or displacement[56–58] or that use an artificial threshold to assign a specific

behavior to cells[10], BEHAV3D-TP is an unbiased approach based on the integration of multiple

parameters measured by IVM. Using the *heterogeneity module* of our BEHAV3D-TP pipeline,

we uncovered behavioral phenotypes that have not been described before and that could not

have been identified using single parameter analysis. For example, our analysis revealed

invading and *retreating* clusters, composed of cells with a similar cell speed but with an

opposite migration direction, an important biological and clinical difference. Likely,

bidirectional migration is mediated by different chemotactic cues and by the composition of the

local TME[59]. It is of vital importance for DMG and other cancer patients to better understand

the biology underlying the different behavioral phenotypes, especially concerning *invading*

cells that are difficult to therapeutically target [60].

Previous studies suggest a major role for the microenvironment in promoting tumor cell spread

into the healthy brain [61–63]. Indeed, using the complementary *large-* and *small-scale TME*

phenotyping modules of BEHAV3D-TP, we identified substantial differences in the TME

composition of the different behavioral DMG clusters. Our results also show that the small-

scale TME analysis has a higher predictive value compared to the large-scale TME analysis,

suggesting that a cell's direct microenvironment is a more important regulator of its behavior

compared to its macroenvironment. Future experiments directed towards identifying more TME

components, for example using multispectral LSR-3D imaging[42], could therefore further refine

the *large-scale phenotyping module* by detecting subregion heterogeneity.

IVM enables simultaneous labeling of various TME components and allows real-time

investigation of their association with tumor cell behavior. Indeed, we found that TAMMs and

blood vessels were associated with specific behavioral phenotypes, showing the power of BEHAV3D-TP *small-scale phenotyping module* in identifying novel regulators of cellular behavior. This is exemplified by our finding that *slow-retreating* DMG cells were found in environments enriched for SR101^{+} cells, an observation that has not been made before. To take further advantage of BEHAV3D-TP, future work should be directed toward identifying more TME components, including cells of the adaptive immune system. For example, it would be interesting to use our pipeline on recently developed immunocompetent mouse models of DMG[64,65], or in mice with a humanized immune system[66]. While our findings suggest that microenvironmental factors may influence tumor cell migration, further studies will be necessary to establish causal relationships. Additional experimental validation, such as macrophage ablation experiments, could help clarify the specific contributions of these factors.

While our current study does not provide direct functional validation of the distinct motility clusters identified, existing literature strongly supports the notion that cell dynamics can serve as a proxy for functional states and phenotypic heterogeneity. Prior work, including studies by our group[19,67] as well as Crainiciuc et al.[36] and Freckmann et al.[20], has demonstrated that variations in cell motility patterns can reflect underlying functional characteristics. Specifically, cell morpho-dynamic features have been shown to correlate with differences in cell type identity, T-cell engagement, metastatic potential, and drug resistance states. This growing body of evidence suggests that tumor cell behavior, as captured by BEHAV3D-TP, may serve as a predictive tool for deciphering functional tumor heterogeneity. Future studies integrating transcriptomic or proteomic profiling of motility-defined subpopulations could further elucidate the biological significance of these behavioral phenotypes.

In addition to motility-based classification, features such as tumor cell morphology, proliferation state, and interactions with the tumor microenvironment can further refine tumor phenotyping. BEHAV3D-TP allows for the selection of diverse feature types, supporting datasets that include both dynamic, morphological and spatial parameters. However, we recognize that expanding the feature set may introduce biologically irrelevant noise, particularly in 3D microscopy data where limited z-axis resolution can lead to morphological artifacts. This highlights the potential need in the future to include unbiased feature selection strategies, such as bootstrapping methods[68], to ensure the identification of meaningful and biologically relevant parameters. Careful consideration of these aspects is key to maximizing the interpretability and predictive value of analyses performed with BEHAV3D-TP.

To enhance the accessibility of BEHAV3D-TP for researchers keen on studying tumor cell
behavioral profiles through live imaging, we have incorporated our software in a Google Colab
notebook, allowing researchers with minimal programming skills to employ the tool online
without the requirement to install specific software environments nor the need to directly
interact with the code. The user can easily install the necessary dependencies, set up the working
environment, process datasets and adjust parameters without requiring deep coding skills.
Google Colab provides the necessary computational resources to be able to run the pipeline
without demanding a powerful local machine. However, there are RAM usage and runtime
limitations that could affect the analysis, given a big enough dataset in the free version of
Google Colab. Given this and data privacy concerns, the workflow can also be run locally via
a Jupyter Notebook, that can be downloaded from the Google Colab notebook. Aligned with
the goals of BEHAV3D-TP, other methods utilizing the Google Colab framework are emerging,
such as CellTracksColab[29], which is a general tool for tracking data analysis. While
CellTracksColab offers valuable insights into tracking data, BEHAV3D-TP is specifically
designed for IVM data and includes additional features. These features include directionality
metrics crucial for invasion studies, detailed analysis of identified behavioral populations, data
back-projection, and correlation of these behaviors with both large-scale and small-scale TME
features. This comprehensive approach provides a deeper understanding of the TME drivers
behind intratumoral heterogeneity, making BEHAV3D-TP a robust tool for researchers
investigating the complex behaviors of tumor cells in their natural environments. BEHAV3D-
TP supports a broad range of data formats, including those generated by commercial Imaris and
by open-source Fiji plugins such as TrackMate, MTrackJ, and ManualTracking, increasing the
tool's applicability to researchers using both commercial and open-source pipelines.

In summary, BEHAV3D-TP allows to get insights into the heterogeneity of tumor cell
migration behavior in an accessible way; however, it would be exciting to extend the application
to other behaviors, such as cell division or therapy response to study TME-mediated drug
resistance.

METHODS

Animal material

NOD.Cg-PrkdcscidIl2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NSG) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (France). Experiments were conducted per Institutional Guidelines under acquired permission from the local Ethical Committee and as per current Dutch laws on Animal Experimentation. Mice were housed under sterile conditions using an individually ventilated cage (IVC) system and were fed with sterile food and water.

Human DMG sphere-forming culture

DMG007 (HSJD-DIPG-007) cells established from primary DMG material were grown in a base medium (TSM) consisting of 48% DMEM/F12 and 48% Neurobasal medium (Thermo Fisher) supplemented with GlutaMAX, 100mM Sodium Pyruvate, MEM non-essential amino acids and 1M Hepes buffer, at 1% each. Primocin (InvivoGen) at 50mg/ml was additionally added to the TSM. Working medium was prepared by adding 20ng/ml of human EGF and human basic FGF, 10ng/ml of PDGF-AA and PDGF-BB (Peprotech) and 2ug/ml of Heparin (StemCell Technologies). DMG cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO_2.

Fluorescent reporter cloning and lentiviral transduction

Fluorescent reporter construct was based on the combination of Histone2B-mNeonGreen, P2A and mScarlet I-CAAX gifted from Dr. Hugo Snippert (UMC Utrecht, The Netherlands), and inserted by In-Fusion HD Cloning Plus (Takarabio) into a lentiviral vector including cPPT/CTS, WPRE, an EF1a promoter and IRES-puromycin-resistance cassette[11]. Subsequently the above-mentioned elements were amplified by PCR and the amplicon was assembled in the final lentiviral backbone using Gibson Assembly (NEB). Sequences were validated using Sanger sequencing. DMG007 cells were transduced using a standard lentiviral transduction protocol and selected using puromycin to achieve a stable cell line.

Cranial imaging window (CIW) surgery and tumor cell injection

CIW surgery and tumor cell injection were performed on the same day as previously described [7,10]. Briefly, mice were sedated with Hypnorm (Fluanison [neuroleptic] + Fentanyl [opioid]) (0.4 ml/kg) + Midazolam [benzodiazepine sedative] (2 mg/kg) at a dose of 1:1:2 in sterile water and mounted in a stereotactic frame. The head was secured using a nose clamp and two custom-made 3D printed ear bars, made out of Polylactic Acid (PLA, Geeetech, 700-001-043). The

head was shaved, and the skin was cut circularly. The mouse was placed under a stereo-
microscope to ensure precise surgical manipulation. The periosteum was scraped, and a circular
groove of 5 mm diameter was drilled over the right parietal bone. The bone flap was lifted under
a drop of cortex buffer (125 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM glucose, 10 mM HEPES buffer,
2 mM MgSO$_4$, and 2 mM CaCl$_2$, pH 7.4), and the dura mater was removed. Gelfoam sponge
(Pfizer) was used to stop potential bleedings. Next, 1×10^5 DMG007-H2B-mNeonGreen cells
resuspended in 3 µl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were injected stereotactically using a 5 µl
Hamilton syringe with a 2 pt style in the middle of the craniotomy at a depth of 0.5 mm. The
exposed brain was sealed with silicone oil and a 6 mm coverslip was glued on top. Dental
acrylic cement (Vertex) was applied on the skull surface, covering the edge of the coverslip,
and a custom-made 3D printed ring made of biocompatible Polylactide acid (PLA, Geeetech,
700-001-0433) was glued around the coverslip to provide fixation during intravital imaging and
to serve as a reservoir for a water drop required for the objective of the microscope. A single
dose of 100 µg/kg of buprenorphine (Temgesic, BD pharmaceutical limited) was administered
for post-surgical pain relief. Mice were closely monitored twice per week to assess behavior,
reactivity, and appearance.

Intravital imaging

For DMG IVM imaging mice were intravitally imaged as previously described [7,10]. In short,
mice were sedated with isoflurane and placed face-down in a stereotactic frame. The Polylactide
ring was used to fix the mouse's head to the frame with custom-made Polylactide bars. Time-
lapse images of the entire tumor volume were acquired for a maximum of 5.4 hours. The
minimal time interval between serial images was set to 20 minutes. For tile scans, images of the
complete z-stack of the tumor were acquired, with a step size of 2 µm. In a group of 3 mice,
oligodendrocytes and astrocytes were imaged by an intravenous injection of 50 µL SR101
(Thermofisher) at a concentration of 5 mM dissolved in PBS. In a different group of 3 mice,
TAMMs were imaged by intravenous injection of 50 µL 100 µM CDr20[54]. Simultaneously with
the injection of either SR101 or CDr20, all mice received an intravenous injection of 10 ul
CD31-AF647 antibody (ThermoFisher, A14716) to visualize the blood vessels.

Intravital imaging was performed on an upright FVMPE-RS multiphoton microscope
(Olympus) equipped with a MaiTai DeepSee and an InSight DeepSee laser (Spectra-Physics),
a 25x/1.05 numerical aperture water objective, and two GaAsP and two Multialkali
photomultiplier tubes (Olympus). Images were acquired with simultaneous imaging with the
MaiTai (960 nm) and Insight (1100 nm) lasers. Green, red, and far-red fluorescence was

separated by 570 nm and 650 nm dichroic mirrors, and 610/70 nm and 705/90 nm filters
(Semrock) and collected by GaAsP or Multialkali photomultiplier tubes. Scanning was
performed in a bidirectional mode with a resonant scanner at 400 Hz and 12 bit, with a zoom of
1x, 512 × 512 pixels. For optimal detection of blood vessels (CD31-AF647), a single tile scan
was made at the last timepoint with Insight laser tuned at 1250 nm. At the postprocessing step,
this image was co-registered to the time-lapse movie.

Breast tumor IVM imaging was performed as described before[15] and data was kindly provided
by Dr. Nienke Vrisekoop. GBM IVM imaging was performed as described before[7] and data
was kindly provided by Prof. Jacco van Rheenen. Breast epithelial IVM imaging and cell
tracking with MaSCOT-AI was performed as described before[31] and single cell tracks were
downloaded from Zenodo repository (14503476).

Co-registration of IVM imaged blood vessel imaging

CD31-AF647 tile scan featuring optimal visualization of blood vessels during live imaging was
co-registered to the last timepoint of the time-lapse movie. Both images (last timepoint of time-
lapse tile-scan and blood vessel tile-scan) were visually inspected to determine and annotate the
internal landmarks using Imaris (Oxford Instruments), versions 9.5 to 9.6. These landmarks
served as a reference for the co-registration software to overlap both images. To perform the
co-registration, a modification of *elastix*[69] was used; a python-based open-source software
based on Insight Segmentation and Registration Toolkit (ITK) plus SimpleElastix[70] : a
collection of different algorithms used on medical image registration. Due to the extensive
number of potential parameter combinations, specific parameters were manually chosen. This
selection was conducted using the Slicer3D visualization software, version 5.2.2.

Immunohistochemistry

3D immunohistochemistry was performed as described before [43]. After the live imaging session,
mouse brains were immersed in 5 ml 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) pH 7.4 overnight on ice.
After fixation, brains were blocked in 5-10 ml Wash Buffer 1 (2 ml Tween-20, 2 ml Triton X-
100, 2 ml 10% SDS, and 2 g BSA in 1 l PBS) for 5 hours. For multiplex immunolabeling, a
two- or three-round staining protocol was used as previously described[42,43]. Washing and
incubation steps were performed in Wash Buffer 2 (1 ml Triton X-100, 2 ml 10% SDS, and 2
g BSA in 1 l PBS). A thick (1 mm) slice of the cortex part that was under the cranial imaging
window was dissected with a scalpel before proceeding to immunolabeling. The following
primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Olig2 1:100 (AB9610, Merk), goat anti-Iba1 1:200

(NB100-1028SS, NovusBio) and CD31-AF647 1:250 (A14716, ThermoFisher). As secondary

antibodies, donkey anti-rabbit AF405 1:250 (ab175651, Abcam) and donkey anti-goat AF633

1:250 (A21082, ThermoFisher) were used. After the last washing step, tissues were optically

cleared by three stepwise incubations of an increasing concentration of FUnGI[71] clearing agent

(25%/50%/75%, diluted in PBS) for 1h at room temperature[42]. The final incubation step with

100% FUnGI was performed overnight at 4°C.

mLSR3D imaging

mLSR3D imaging[42,43] of thick slices of fixed mouse cortex was performed using a Zeiss

LSM880 system equipped with a 32-channel spectral detector. The signal from all fluorophores

present in the samples was collected in a single acquisition and linear unmixing was used to

obtain separate channels. Unmixing was carried out by the Online Fingerprinting mode of the

microscope. Pre-acquired references of each single fluorophore were used to enable

multispectral on-the-fly unmixing. Imaging was performed using a 25x multi-immersion

objective 0.8 NA (Zeiss 420852-9871) with a working distance of 570μm. Tile scans were

acquired using a voxel size of 0.332x0.332x1.200 μm, a pixel dwell of 2μs, and a 10% tile

overlap.

Imaris image processing

479 DMG IVM datasets were processed using Imaris software (Oxford Instruments, versions 9.5 to

480 10.1) for 3D visualization, shift correction, object rendering, and cell tracking of time-lapse

481 movies.

Imaris Cell tracking

The *Channel Arithmetics* Xtension was used for channel unmixing of overlapping signals. The

Spots ImarisTrack module was used for object detection and semi-automated tracking of tumor

cells (autoregressive motion). Tumor cell tracks were manually corrected to ensure accurate

tracking. Around 50-100 cells per position were manually corrected and labeled as such for

posterior selection of accurate tracks. To determine the direction of tumor cell movement

relative to the tumor core, at the image edge closer to the tumor core a "Tumor edge" surface

object was manually rendered using the contour tool. The *Distance Transformation* Xtension

was used to measure the distance between tumor cells and the "Tumor edge". For tracked tumor

cells, time-lapse data containing the coordinates of each cell, the values of cell speed, mean

square displacement, displacement delta length, displacement length, and distance to "Tumor

edge" were exported. See https://qbi.uq.edu.au/files/40820/ImarisManual.pdf for statistical
details.

Imaris Microenvironmental factors rendering

For rendering the microenvironmental factors detected during IVM or by post-IVM LSR-3D
imaging, the Surface and Spots modules of Imaris were used. Surfaces were used for the SR101,
CDr20, Olig2, DAPI, and Iba1 stainings, and Spots were used for CD31+ protruding or
elongated structures, as previously described [44]. For rendered structures, positional data
containing the coordinates of objects were exported for large-scale spatial phenotyping with
Cytomap.

Fiji image processing

µSAM cell segmentation

504 Segmentation was performed using the µSAM plugin for Napari[33] , where *vit-b* model was
505 selected to compute embeddings for each timeframe. Automatic segmentation was applied, and
506 parameters were adjusted for optimal results. Manual corrections were made to remove
507 unwanted surfaces or add missing cells. Once segmentation was complete, frames were
508 combined into a single stack in ImageJ and tracked with Trackmate.

Fiji tracking

Breast tumor IVM data was manually tracked with Fiji MtrackJ plugin as previously
described[10,15] .

Adult glioma cells, segmented with µSAM, were consequently tracked with Fiji TrackMate
plugin. The labeled image stack, segmented using µSAM, was imported into ImageJ for cell
tracking with the Fiji TrackMate plugin. The "Label Image Detector" was employed to identify
individual cells, and the LAP Tracker was used to link cells across timeframes. Tracking
parameters were optimized to account for missing frames and ensure accurate cell trajectories.
The statistics for each tracked cell were subsequently exported. To integrate both dynamic and
morphological data, a custom script was developed to merge the dynamic information from
TrackMate with the morphological data obtained from the µSAM segmentation labels for each
individual cell. This integration was achieved by assigning the "TRACK_ID" from the
TrackMate "allspots" output file to each segmented instance extracted from the TIFF file. The
assignment was performed using the "measure" function in scikit-image and the KDTree
distance function from the scipy module, based on the x, y, and z positions of the cells.

The script is available both as a downloadable version on GitHub
(https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/MorphoTrack-merger) and as an online application
(https://morphotrack-merger.streamlit.app/), making this tool more accessible for non-coding
users.

Tumor large-scale spatial phenotyping with Cytomap

The positional data of various environmental surface features, segmented from LSR-3D
imaging data, was analyzed for large-scale spatial phenotyping using the Cytomap Spatial
Analysis Toolbox[44]. Briefly, neighborhoods with a 100 μm radius were generated.
Neighborhoods were then clustered into 2 regions based on DMG cell positioning using the
David Balwin algorithm. Using the gating tool, the tumor regions (containing DMG objects)
were defined. Next, the neighborhoods were clustered again into 3 different regions based on
the distribution of Iba1, Olig2, and CD31: *Void, TAMM/Oligo,* and *TAMM/vascularized*. By
using the *Manually Defined Regions* option, only the tumor region was classified. Finally, for
each region, fold-change values were calculated and exported using the *Region Statistics* tab.
To map the assigned regions onto IVM movies, a 3D image of the cluster distribution within
the tumor was generated and exported for each sample (Figure Supplement 5a). Next, regions
within the IVM movies were visually matched to the corresponding regions identified by the
Large-Scale Phenotyping module of Cytomap (Figure 3c). For each mouse, at least one or two
representative positions per matched region type were selected, cropped, and analyzed to assess
tumor cell behavior, following the previously described cell tracking methodology (*Imaris Cell*
tracking).

BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler framework

BEHAV3D-TP was developed using the R Studio version 4.3.3. It features three modules: 1)
Heterogeneity module with optional 2) *Large-scale and/or* 3) *Small-scale phenotyping module.*
These modules are described in detail below, exemplified by the analysis of DMG data from
this study. All of the modules are included in the same script available in Github
[https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler], but can be run
independently from one another. To acquire this independency, the optional modules are
directly combined to the necessary sections of the *Heterogeneity module*. Consequently, the
user can use whichever module is best suited for their purposes. The complete workflow—
combining heterogeneity analysis with large- and small-scale phenotyping—is primarily
optimized for datasets processed with Imaris due to its wide use in the IVM community and

special suitability for 3D visualization and tracking. In order to further facilitate public access to the BEHAV3D-TP, a Google Colab notebook has also been created accessible through the same Github page [https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler]. This environment is friendly to anyone regardless of their coding expertise as it has a step-by-step follow-through execution through the pipeline.

 In addition, to broaden accessibility and support alternative data processing pipelines, the core *Heterogeneity module* of BEHAV3D-TP is also compatible with Fiji-based tracking data, specifically outputs generated by TrackMate. MTrackJ and ManualTracking. A separate Google Colab notebook is provided for these workflows on the Github page, allowing users to easily import Fiji-processed datasets, adjust analysis parameters, identify different morpho-dynamic single cell patterns.

A Wiki demo run and a video tutorial (Supplementary Video 1) are also provided through the GitHub page [https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler] for further clarification.

To ensure that your input data aligns with the workflow, we provide an online application for data quality control (https://github.com/alievakrash/BEHAV3D_TP_dataQC). This tool allows users to visualize various features, grouped by a condition of interest, and check for issues such as a high number of missing values (NA). It enables users to assess their data for any inconsistencies or unexpected patterns before proceeding with further analysis.

Heterogeneity module.

To account for missing time points and to create a time series with the same time interval for each tumor cell time series, linear interpolation was used to estimate the values of missing time points. To compare time series independently, tracks were cropped to the minimal common length among all tracks. In the case of DMG data to a 2.6 hour duration. For tracked tumor cells, time-lapse data containing the coordinates of each cell, the values of cell speed, mean square displacement, displacement delta length, displacement length, and relative distance to "Tumor edge" were used to calculate a cross-distance matrix between time series. First, we performed a principal component (PC) analysis and selected the number of PCs that explained at least 90% of data variance (3).

The previously calculated PCs were then used to compute the cross-distance matrix between each tumor cell multivariate time series using the dynamic time warping algorithm from the

587   package "dtwclust". To visualize heterogenous tumor cell behaviors in 2 dimensions,
588   dimensionality reduction on the cross-distance matrix was performed by the Uniform Manifold
589   Approximation and Projection method ("umap" package). Clustering was performed using the
590   k-means clustering algorithm. For each cluster, the average values of distinct behavioral and
591   environmental components were calculated and plotted as a heatmap ("pheatmap").

Large-scale phenotyping module.

593   The information obtained from mLSR3D and Cytomap about large-scale TME regions were
594   combined with the DMG cells behavioral information (see above section *Tumor large-scale*
595   *spatial phenotyping with Cytomap)* to examine the large-scale features of tumor cells. For tumor
596   cells, the mean speed, square displacement and raw movement were analyzed.

Small-scale phenotyping module.

The positional coordinates of tracked tumor cells and detected microenvironmental factors were
used to determine the small-scale landscape of tumor cells. For tumor cells, the average distance
to the closest 10 neighbors was measured to determine tumor cell density. For each tumor cell
and each environmental component, the number of microenvironmental objects in a 30-µm
radius and the distance to the closest object were computed. For each behavioral cluster, the
values of closest neighbors, minimal distance to each environmental factor, and number of
environmental objects in the 30 µm radius were plotted and compared.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R. The representation of *n* may refer to individual
mice, distinct imaging positions within different mice, or individual cell tracks, as indicated in
the figure legends. Two-tailed unpaired t-tests were performed for comparison between two
groups unless indicated otherwise (Figure supplement 1d). For comparisons of more than two
groups we performed a one-way ANOVA (Figure 2d and Figure 4b) followed by Tukey HSD
correction (Figure Supplement 4c (p values in legend), Figure 3d, Figure 4 c,e, Figure
Supplement b, e-g, Figure Supplement 6 c,d). To compare the distribution of different
morphodynamic clusters of TEB cells, we pooled all positions and mice due to the low number
of cells per position. We then constructed a contingency table and performed a Chi-square test
(Figure supplement 2d). To compare the distance to the nearest neighbors between
morphodynamic clusters of GBM cells, a mixed-effects linear regression was performed with
mouse as a random effect (Figure supplement 3d).

Data availability

DMG imaging data is publicly available at BioStudies accession number S-BIAD1759 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/studies/S-BIAD1759). Breast cancer IVM data was previously described[15] and kindly provided by Dr Nienke Vrisekoop. Adult glioma IVM data was previously described[7] and kindly provided by Prof. Jacco van Rhennen. Healthy breast epithelium IVM was previously described[31] and kindly provided by Prof. Jane Visvader. Demo outputs and Jupyter notebooks of Imaris- and Fiji-processed data are provided in our GitHib repository (https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler).

Code availability

We provide the BEHAV3D-TP framework in a Google Colab user-friendly environment [https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1JI7ysqFf3tvdi6Df4YUsSZ8RbuXw8wba?usp=sharing], as well as in an R script format in Github [https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler]. Additionally, a Wiki [https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/BEHAV3D_Tumor_Profiler/wiki] to follow through a demo dataset as well as a video tutorial (Supplementary Video 1) has been developed for further assistance. For the BEHAV3D-TP heterogeneity module, we offer an online app to assess data quality (https://behav3d-tp-data-qc.streamlit.app/), with the corresponding code available on GitHub (https://github.com/alievakrash/BEHAV3D_TP_dataQC). Additionally, for quantifying morphological features derived from Microsam segmentation and integrating them with dynamic features from TrackMate, we provide another online app (https://morphotrack-merger.streamlit.app/) and related code on GitHub (https://github.com/imAIgene-Dream3D/MorphoTrack-merger).

Acknowledgements

We thank the Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology for technical support and the Hubrecht Institute, Zeiss and the Princess Maxima Imaging Center for imaging support and collaboration. We thank D. van Vuurden (Princess Máxima Center for Pediatric Oncology) and Dr. Ángel Montero Carcaboso (Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona Hospital) for providing primary DMG cultures; Dr Young-Tae Chang (Postech, Korea) for providing us the CD20r dye; Dr Nienke Vrisekoop for sharing breast cancer IVM data; Prof. Jacco van Rheenen and Wouter Beijk for sharing adult glioma IVM data; Prof Jane Visvader for sharing breast epithelial IVM data; Dr Colinda Scheele and Marcel Issler for sharing healthy breast IVM data (explored but

not used in the current study); and members of the Dream3DLAB (Rios group) and imAIgene-

lab (Alieva group) for offering critical feedback on the project. A.Z. was supported by a Veni

fellowship from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (09150161910076). R.C.

and A.C.R were supported by an ERC-starting grant 2018 project (no. 804412). This work was

produced with the support of a 2023 Leonardo Grant for Scientific Research and Cultural

Creation, BBVA Foundation (LEO23-2-10305-BBM-BAS-144) and the V FERO-ASEICA

Research Award (BFERO2024.04). M.A was supported by the Comunidad de Madrid (2022-

T1/BMD-24021).

FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig. 1: BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler pipeline. Schematic representation of the workflow

showing data preparation, input and outputs in each of the three modules. **a,** Time-lapse image

processing and preparation, based on segmentation and tracking of tumor (and labelled TME

cells). Mounting Google Drive is optional but recommended to work in the Google Colab

framework. **b,** Heterogeneity Module provides distinct behavioral clusters for cells, and relates

them to dynamic features and provides a back-projection of the tracked cells. **c, d,** Optional

modules, additional to the Heterogeneity module. **c,** Large-scale phenotyping module combines

TME large-scale information with cell morphodynamic profiling to further depict population

frequencies in distinct TME regions. **d,** Small-scale phenotyping module combines TME small-

scale information with morphodynamic profiling to depict how the cellular environment can

influence tumor cell behavior.

Fig. 2: Behavioral profiling of DMG cells with BEHAV3D-TP heterogeneity module. a,

Representative intravitally imaged position showing DMG cells tracked relative to tumor Edge

(left panel). Tracks were classified according to DMG behavior (right, color coded by cluster).

Representative of $n = 18$ independent positions from $n=6$ mice. **b,** UMAP plot showing seven

color-coded DMG cell behavioral clusters identified by BEHAV3D-TP. Each datapoint

represents one DMG cell track. A total of 981 individual cells were tracked in $n = 18$

independent positions from $n=6$ mice. **c,** Representative intravitally imaged positions and

enlarged sections for showcasing distinct DMG behavioral clusters *Invading* (yellow),

Retreating (green), *Erratic* (dark purple) and *Static* (light purple) through the time series. $n = 18$

independent positions from $n=6$ mice. Scale bars 10μm. **d,** Heatmap depicting relative values

of DMG cell features indicated for each cluster, named according to their most distinct

characteristics. Arbitrary units in respect to maximal and minimal values for each feature. See

Table 1 for features description. Bubble size according to significance levels, all features

represented have a significance level of P < 0.001 (***): *mean_displ2, mean squared*
displacement; speed; delta displacement; displacement length; persistence; invasion. P-values
represent the significance of differences across clusters tested through ANOVA for each
feature. **e, f,** UMAP representation of DMG cells' velocity relative to the tumor edge(μm) (**e**)
and speed (μm/h) (**f**). Each datapoint represents one cell track and is colored following a color
gradient. For each feature colors represent the mean DMG track values. Representative
of $n = 18$ independent positions from $n=6$ mice.

Fig. 3: Mapping distinct DMG behavioral patterns to distinct TME regions. a, Overview
of the large-scale TME region phenotyping workflow. After IVM imaging session, the tissue is
fixed and stained and then analyzed using mLSR-3D. CytoMAP Spatial Analysis Toolbox is
used to identify distinct large-scale regions, that are subsequently matched to IVM imaging
dataset (c). **b,** Representative fixed multispectral image of a mLSR3D imaged DMG tumor and
zoomed-in images of TME large-scale regions: *Void* (blue outline), *TAMM/vascularized* (red
outline) and *TAMM/Oligo* (yellow outline). DMG nuclei (blue), CD31 (pink), Olig2 (purple),
and Iba1 (yellow) are represented on the imaged. Scale bars, 100 μm (overview), 30 μm
(zoomed-in regions). **c,** 3D multispectral image DMG cell rendering color-coded per large TME
region: *Void* (blue), *TAMM/Oligo* (yellow) and *TAMM/vascularized* (red) (left). Based on the
spatial coordinates of DMG cells classified into specific TME regions by CytoMAP, cells
within the mLSR-3D images were subsequently assigned to their respective TME regions.
Regions were then visually mapped on intravital imaging data (right). Representative of $n=6$
mice. White outline indicates one of the tumor regions analyzed with BEHAV3D-TP from the
full tumor volume. **d** Boxplots showing the frequencies of different DMG behavioral clusters
in *Void, TAMM/Oligo and TAMM/vascularized* TME large-scale regions. For each behavioral
cluster, the y-axis displays the z-scored percentage of cells, normalized per mouse to account
for inter-mouse variability. Each point represents an individual imaged position, with shape
indicating the mouse of origin. P-values represent the significance of differences across TME
regions tested through ANOVA with Tukey post hoc, for each behavioral cluster. $n = 18$
independent positions from $n=6$ mice.

Fig. 4: The BEHAV3D-TP small-scale phenotyping correlates DMG behavioral profiles
with microenvironmental composition at a single-cell resolution. a, Overview of the small-
scale TME phenotyping module. During IVM imaging, information about spatial distribution
of SR101+, CD20r+, and CD31+ cells is collected and processed by the BEHAV3D-TP *small-*
scale phenotyping module. Single DMG cell spatial TME features like distance to its neighbors,

number of cells in a radius or minimal distance to a cell type were measured. **b,** Heatmap
depicting DMG cell small-scale niches' features across distinct DMG behavioral clusters.
Arbitrary units in respect to maximal and minimal values for each feature. Bubble size
according to significance levels, from smallest ($P < 0.05$ (**)) to medium ($P < 0.01$ (**)) to
biggest ($P < 0.001$ (***)): *dist_10_cell*, Distance to nearest 10 cells, $P < 0.01$ (**); *n_SR101*,
number of SR101 cells, $P < 0.05$ (*); *min_SR101*, minimal distance to a SR101 cell, $P < 0.05$
(*); *n_CD20r*, number of CD20r cells, $P < 0.05$ (*); *min_Cd20r*, minimal distance to a CD20r
cell, $P < 0.01$ (**); *min_BV_dist*, minimal distance to a Blood vessel, $P < 0.001$ (***). P-values
represent the significance of differences across clusters tested through ANOVA for each
feature. **c, e,** Boxplot depicting the *Minimal distance to a CD20r+ TAMM (µm)* (**c**) and the
Minimal distance to a blood vessel (µm) (**e**) across DMG behavioral clusters. Each point
represents an individual tracked cell, color depicts the imaged position and shape denotes the
mouse. P-values represent the significance of differences across behavioral clusters tested
through ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc. $P < 0.01$ (**);$P < 0.05$ (*).$P < 0.001$ (***); $P < 0.1$
(·). **c,** $n = 10$ independent positions from $n=3$ mice. **e,** $n = 8$ independent positions from $n=3$
mice. **d,** Multispectral image showing the movement of DMG cells (grey) relative to CD20r+
TAMM (blue) and the Tumor core. The trajectories show a path from the initial (blue) to the
final position (red). Scale bar, 10 µm. **f,** Time lapse multispectral images (left to right) showing
the DMG cells (blue) displacement along CD31 blood vessel (purple) away from the tumor
edge. Scale bars, 30 µm.

Figure supplement 1: Example application of BEHAV3D-TP for 2D movement pattern
analysis of breast cancer cells tracked with MTrackJ Fiji plugin. a) Representative
intravitally imaged position showing breast tumor cells tracked in 2D with the MTrackJ plugin.
Red: MDA-MB-231 cells; Blue: Second Harmonic Generation; Multicolored tracks:
individually tracked cells. **b)** UMAP plot showing six color-coded breast tumor cell behavioral
clusters identified by BEHAV3D-TP. Each datapoint represents one cell track. A total of 935
individual cells were tracked. **c)** Heatmap depicting relative values of breast tumor cell dynamic
and spatial features indicated for each cluster, named according to their most distinct
characteristics. Arbitrary units in respect to maximal and minimal values for each feature. See
Table 1 for features description. **d)** Boxplots showing the percentages of different breast cancer
behavioral clusters for 4T1 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Each point represents a different imaging
position. P-values represent the significance between both conditions measured with a

Student's T test. **e)** Breast tumor cell tracks classified according to behavior (color coded by
cluster in c). In all panels: representative of $n = 13$ independent positions from $n=4$ mice.

Figure supplement 2: Example application of BEHAV3D-TP for 2D morphodynamic
analysis of cells segmented with CellPose2.0 and tracked using TrackMate.

a) Schematic overview of the segmentation and tracking pipeline using CellPose2.0 and
TrackMate (see Methods). **b)** UMAP plot showing four color-coded morphodynamic clusters
of healthy breast epithelial cells from TEBs identified by BEHAV3D-TP. Each datapoint
represents one cell track. A total of 291 individual cells were tracked. **c)** Heatmap depicting
relative values of epithelial cell dynamic and morphological features indicated for each cluster,
named according to their most distinct characteristics. Arbitrary units in respect to maximal and
minimal values for each feature. **d)** Stacked bar plot showing the distribution of
morphodynamic cell types across the two indicated TEB populations: HR+ luminal progenitor
cells and HR− luminal progenitor cells. Combined data from 19 mice and 72 independent
positions. Chi-square test indicates p=0.02 for cluster 2.

Figure supplement 3: Example application of BEHAV3D-TP for 3D morphodynamic
analysis of cells segmented with μSAM and tracked using TrackMate.

a) Schematic overview of the segmentation and tracking pipeline using μSAM and TrackMate.
An online app was developed to integrate morphological and dynamic features (see Methods).
b) UMAP plot showing four color-coded morphodynamic clusters of GBM cells identified by
BEHAV3D-TP. Each datapoint represents one cell track. Total of 460 individually tracked
cells. **c)** Heatmap depicting relative values of GBM cell dynamic and morphological features
indicated for each cluster, named according to their most distinct characteristics. Arbitrary units
in respect to maximal and minimal values for each feature. See Table 1 for features description.
d) Boxplot showing *Average distance to the 3 closest GBM neighbors (pixels)* across distinct
GBM morphodynamic clusters. Each point represents an individual tracked cell, with color
indicating the imaged position (n = 6) and shape representing the mouse (n = 4). P-values denote
statistical significance across behavioral clusters, assessed using a linear mixed model with
mouse as a random effect, followed by Tukey's post hoc test. P < 0.001 (***).

Figure supplement 4: DMG cells heterogeneity captured by intravital imaging. a,
Schematic representation of an intravital imaging experimental design, capturing timelapses up
to 5.4 hours of DMG cells expressing H2BmNeonGreen and mScarlet proteins, followed by
single-cell tracking. **b,** Representative intravitally imaged position showing DMG cell tracks

colored by behavioral cluster projected over the last timepoint of the original IVM time-lapse.

c, Quantification of average DMG cell speed (μm/h) in each identified behavioral cluster.

Significance of differences across behavioral clusters tested through ANOVA followed by

Tukey's post hoc test. All comparisons significant, except cluster 3-4 and 6-4. **d,** Behavioral

cluster frequency distribution across individual IVM imaged mice. Each point indicates an

individual cell track, color depicts $n = 18$ independent positions; shape depicts $n=6$ mice.

Figure supplement 5: Cell type and behavioral cluster frequency distribution in identified

TME large-scale regions. a, Representative CytoMap output showcasing, 3D spatial

distribution of DMG cells in distinct TME large-scale regions: *Void* (blue), *TAMM/Oligo*

(yellow) and *TAMM/vascularized* (red). Each datapoint represent a unique DMG cell within a

classified large-scale region. **b, c,** Fold change of cell count of DMG, Iba1+, Olig2+ and CD31+

cell types, identified with mLSR3D fixed imaging, relative to the mean cell count in each TME

large scale region (*Void, TAMM/Oligo, TAMM/vascularized)*, both in boxplot (**b**) and table (**c**)

formats. In **(b)** each point represents a mouse, *n*=7. For DMG cell count: *TAMM/Oligo* versus

Void, P < 0.01 (**); *TAMM/Oligo* versus *TAMM/vascularized*, P < 0.05 (*). For Iba1 cell count:

TAMM/Oligo versus *Void*, P < 0.001 (***); *TAMM/vascularized* versus *Void*, P < 0.001 (***).

In Olig2 cell count: *TAMM/Oligo* versus *Void,* P < 0.001 (***); *TAMM/Oligo* versus

TAMM/vascularized, P < 0.001 (***). For CD31 cell count: *TAMM/Oligo* versus *Void,* P <

0.001 (***); *TAMM/vascularized* versus *Void,* P < 0.001 (***); *TAMM/Oligo* versus

TAMM/vascularized, P < 0.001 (***). **d,** Boxplots showing the percentages of different DMG

behavioral clusters in *Void, TAMM/Oligo* and *TAMM/vascularized* TME large-scale regions.

Each point represents a different imaging position. Color: $n = 18$ independent positions; Shape:

n=6 mice. **e, f, g,** Violin plots showing DMG single cell features (*Tumor cell speed* (μm/hour)

(**e**), *Average speed displacement* (**f**) *and Tumor cell velocity* (μm/hour)(**g**)) differences among

Void, TAMM/Oligo and TAMM/vascularized TME large-scale regions. **e,** *TAMM/vascularized*

versus *Void*, P < 0.01 (**); *TAMM/vascularized* versus *TAMM/Oligo*, P < 0.01 (**). **g,** Tumor

cell velocity can be either towards brain core (down, negative values) or towards brain

parenchyma (up, positive values). For **e, f, g:** $n = 18$ independent positions from *n*=6 mice.

Figure supplement 6: Cell distribution and behavioral clusters in small-scale TME. a, b,

Representative 3D IVM rendering of *DMG nuclei* (cyan), CD31+ cells (grey) and SR101+ (**a**,

red) or CD20r+ cells (**b**, red). Scale bars, 100 μm. **c, d,** Boxplot showing *Average distance to*

the 10 closest DMG neighbors (μm) (**c**) and *Number of SR101+ cells in a 30 μm radius* (**d**)

across distinct DMG single-cell behavioral clusters. Each point represents an individual tracked

cell, color depicts the imaged position and the shape denotes the mouse. P-values represent the

significance of differences across behavioral clusters tested through ANOVA followed by

Tukey's post hoc test. $P < 0.05$ (*); $P < 0.01$ (**); $P < 0.1$ (·). **c,** n=18 independent positions

imaged in 6 mice. **d,** n=8 independent positions imaged in 3 mice.

Supplementary video 1: BEHAV3D Tumor Profiler pipeline main characteristics and

walkthrough. Summary video of BEHAV3D TP pipeline.

Supplementary video 2: Representative intravital time-lapse video of DMG cells. Raw

DMG cells time-lapse (right panel). Cell tracks were classified according to DMG behavior

(right panel, color coded by cluster). Representative movie from n=18 independent positions

imaged in 6 mice. Scale bars 40 µm.

Supplementary video 3: Dynamic multispectral representative time-lapse video of DMG

cells and CD20r+ TAMM. Time-lapse showing the movement of DMG cells (grey) relative

to CD20r+ TAMM (blue). The trajectories towards the TAMM of two DMG cells show a path

from the initial (blue) to the final position (red). Left: Tumor edge; right: tumor core.

Representative movie from n=10 independent positions imaged in 3 mice. Scale bars 10 µm, 7

µm after zoom in.

REFERENCES

1. Vitale, I., Shema, E., Loi, S. & Galluzzi, L. Intratumoral heterogeneity in cancer progression and response to immunotherapy. *Nat Med* **27**, 212–224 (2021).

2. Lawson, D. A., Kessenbrock, K., Davis, R. T., Pervolarakis, N. & Werb, Z. Tumour heterogeneity and metastasis at single-cell resolution. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **20**, 1349–1360 (2018).

3. Elhanani, O., Ben-Uri, R. & Keren, L. Spatial profiling technologies illuminate the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* **41**, 404–420 (2023).

4. Scheele, C. L. G. J., Maynard, C. & Rheenen, J. van. Intravital Insights into Heterogeneity, Metastasis, and Therapy Responses. *Trends Cancer* **2**, 205–216 (2016).

5. Entenberg, D., Oktay, M. H. & Condeelis, J. S. Intravital imaging to study cancer progression and metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **23**, 25–42 (2023).

6. Alieva, M., Ritsma, L., Giedt, R. J., Weissleder, R. & Rheenen, J. van. Imaging windows for long-term intravital imaging. *IntraVital* **3**, e29917 (2014).

7. Alieva, M. *et al.* Intravital imaging of glioma border morphology reveals distinctive cellular dynamics and contribution to tumor cell invasion. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 2054 (2019).

8. Alieva, M. & Rios, A. C. Longitudinal Intravital Imaging of Brain Tumor Cell Behavior in Response to an Invasive Surgical Biopsy. *J. Vis. Exp.* (2019) doi:10.3791/59278.

9. Zomer, A., Croci, D., Kowal, J., Gurp, L. van & Joyce, J. A. Multimodal imaging of the dynamic brain tumor microenvironment during glioblastoma progression and in response to treatment. *iScience* **25**, 104570 (2022).

10. Alieva, M. *et al.* Preventing inflammation inhibits biopsy-mediated changes in tumor cell behavior. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 7529 (2017).

11. Janssen, A., Beerling, E., Medema, R. & Rheenen, J. van. Intravital FRET Imaging of Tumor Cell Viability and Mitosis during Chemotherapy. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e64029 (2013).

12. Croci, D., Zomer, A., Kowal, J. & Joyce, J. A. Cranial imaging window implantation technique for longitudinal multimodal imaging of the brain environment in live mice. *STAR Protoc.* **4**, 102197 (2023).

13. Zomer, A. *et al.* Brief Report: Intravital Imaging of Cancer Stem Cell Plasticity in Mammary Tumors. *STEM CELLS* **31**, 602–606 (2013).

14. Zomer, A. *et al.* In Vivo Imaging Reveals Extracellular Vesicle-Mediated Phenocopying of Metastatic Behavior. *Cell* **161**, 1046–1057 (2015).

15. Chen, N., Ritsma, L. M. A. & Vrisekoop, N. In vivo characteristics of human and mouse breast tumor cell lines. *Exp. Cell Res.* **381**, 86–93 (2019).

16. Bayarmagnai, B., Perrin, L., Pourfarhangi, K. E. & Gligorijevic, B. Cell Migration, Methods and Protocols. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1749**, 175–193 (2018).

17. Shannon, M. J., Eisman, S. E., Lowe, A. R., Sloan, T. & Mace, E. M. cellPLATO: an unsupervised
method for identifying cell behaviour in heterogeneous cell trajectory data. *J. Cell Sci.* **137**, jcs261887.

18. Crainiciuc, G. *et al.* Behavioural immune landscapes of inflammation. *Nature* **601**, 415–421
(2022).

19. Dekkers, J. F. *et al.* Uncovering the mode of action of engineered T cells in patient cancer organ-
oids. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **41**, 60–69 (2023).

20. Freckmann, E. C. *et al.* Traject3d allows label-free identification of distinct co-occurring pheno-
types within 3D culture by live imaging. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5317 (2022).

21. Alieva, M. *et al.* BEHAV3D: a 3D live imaging platform for comprehensive analysis of engi-
neered T cell behavior and tumor response. *Nat. Protoc.* 1–33 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41596-024-00972-
6.

22. Pizzagalli, D. U. *et al.* Leukocyte Tracking Database, a collection of immune cell tracks from in-
travital 2-photon microscopy videos. *Sci. Data* **5**, 180129 (2018).

23. Pizzagalli, D. U. *et al.* CANCOL, a Computer-Assisted Annotation Tool to Facilitate Colocaliza-
tion and Tracking of Immune Cells in Intravital Microscopy. *J. Immunol.* **208**, 1493–1499 (2022).

24. Joseph, A., Chu, C. J., Feng, G., Dholakia, K. & Schallek, J. Label-free imaging of immune cell
dynamics in the living retina using adaptive optics. *eLife* **9**, e60547 (2020).

25. Molina-Moreno, M. *et al.* ACME: Automatic feature extraction for cell migration examination
through intravital microscopy imaging. *Méd. Image Anal.* **77**, 102358 (2022).

26. Hidalgo-Cenalmor, I. *et al.* DL4MicEverywhere: deep learning for microscopy made flexible,
shareable and reproducible. *Nat. Methods* **21**, 925–927 (2024).

27. Ershov, D. *et al.* TrackMate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into tracking
pipelines. *Nat Methods* **19**, 829–832 (2022).

28. Buczkowicz, P., Bartels, U., Bouffet, E., Becher, O. & Hawkins, C. Histopathological spectrum of
paediatric diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *Acta Neuropathol.*
128, 573–581 (2014).

29. Gómez-de-Mariscal, E. *et al.* CellTracksColab — A platform for compiling, analyzing, and ex-
ploring tracking data. *bioRxiv* 2023.10.20.563252 (2024) doi:10.1101/2023.10.20.563252.

30. Chamier, L. von *et al.* Democratising deep learning for microscopy with ZeroCostDL4Mic. *Nat*
Commun **12**, 2276 (2021).

31. Dawson, C. A. *et al.* Hormone-responsive progenitors have a unique identity and exhibit high mo-
tility during mammary morphogenesis. *Cell Rep.* **43**, 115073 (2024).

32. Pachitariu, M. & Stringer, C. Cellpose 2.0: how to train your own model. *Nat. Methods* **19**, 1634–
1641 (2022).

33. Archit, A. *et al.* Segment Anything for Microscopy. *Nat. Methods* **22**, 579–591 (2025).

34. Dawson, C. A., Mueller, S. N., Lindeman, G. J., Rios, A. C. & Visvader, J. E. Intravital micros-
copy of dynamic single-cell behavior in mouse mammary tissue. *Nat. Protoc.* **16**, 1907–1935 (2021).

35. Jung, E. *et al.* Tumor cell plasticity, heterogeneity, and resistance in crucial microenvironmental
niches in glioma. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1014 (2021).

36. Crainiciuc, G. *et al.* Behavioural immune landscapes of inflammation. *Nature* 1–7 (2022)
doi:10.1038/s41586-021-04263-y.

37. Jarade, A. *et al.* Inflammation triggers ILC3 patrolling of the intestinal barrier. *Nat. Immunol.* **23**,
1317–1323 (2022).

38. Chauveau, A. *et al.* Visualization of T Cell Migration in the Spleen Reveals a Network of Peri-
vascular Pathways that Guide Entry into T Zones. *Immunity* **52**, 794-807.e7 (2020).

39. Kienle, K. *et al.* Neutrophils self-limit swarming to contain bacterial growth in vivo. *Science* **372**,
(2021).

40. Liu, B. *et al.* Affinity-coupled CCL22 promotes positive selection in germinal centres. *Nature* **592**,
133–137 (2021).

41. Persson, M. L. *et al.* The intrinsic and microenvironmental features of diffuse midline glioma: Im-
plications for the development of effective immunotherapeutic treatment strategies. *Neuro-Oncol.* **24**,
1408–1422 (2022).

42. Ineveld, R. L. van *et al.* Multispectral confocal 3D imaging of intact healthy and tumor tissue us-
ing mLSR-3D. *Nat. Protoc.* **17**, 3028–3055 (2022).

43. Ineveld, R. L. van *et al.* Revealing the spatio-phenotypic patterning of cells in healthy and tumor
tissues with mLSR-3D and STAPL-3D. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **39**, 1239–1245 (2021).

44. Stoltzfus, C. R. *et al.* CytoMAP: A Spatial Analysis Toolbox Reveals Features of Myeloid Cell
Organization in Lymphoid Tissues. *Cell Rep.* **31**, 107523 (2020).

45. Winkler, F. *et al.* Imaging glioma cell invasion in vivo reveals mechanisms of dissemination and
peritumoral angiogenesis. *Glia* **57**, 1306–1315 (2009).

46. Wallmann, T. *et al.* Microglia Induce PDGFRB Expression in Glioma Cells to Enhance Their Mi-
gratory Capacity. *iScience* **9**, 71–83 (2018).

47. Seano, G. & Jain, R. K. Vessel co-option in glioblastoma: emerging insights and opportunities. *An-
giogenesis* **23**, 9–16 (2020).

48. Ravin, R. *et al.* Perivascular invasion of primary human glioblastoma cells in organotypic human
brain slices: human cells migrating in human brain. *J. Neuro-Oncol.* **164**, 43–54 (2023).

49. Gupta, R. K. *et al.* Tumor-specific migration routes of xenotransplanted human glioblastoma cells
in mouse brain. *Sci. Rep.* **14**, 864 (2024).

50. Nimmerjahn, A., Kirchhoff, F., Kerr, J. N. D. & Helmchen, F. Sulforhodamine 101 as a specific
marker of astroglia in the neocortex in vivo. *Nat. Methods* **1**, 31–37 (2004).

51. Appaix, F. *et al.* Specific In Vivo Staining of Astrocytes in the Whole Brain after Intravenous Injection of Sulforhodamine Dyes. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e35169 (2012).

52. Rasmussen, R., Nedergaard, M. & Petersen, N. C. Sulforhodamine 101, a widely used astrocyte marker, can induce cortical seizure-like activity at concentrations commonly used. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30433 (2016).

53. Hagos, L. & Hülsmann, S. Unspecific labelling of oligodendrocytes by sulforhodamine 101 depends on astrocytic uptake via the thyroid hormone transporter OATP1C1 (SLCO1C1). *Neurosci. Lett.* **631**, 13–18 (2016).

54. Kim, B. *et al.* Visualizing Microglia with a Fluorescence Turn-On Ugt1a7c Substrate. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **58**, 7972–7976 (2019).

55. Liu, I. *et al.* The landscape of tumor cell states and spatial organization in H3-K27M mutant diffuse midline glioma across age and location. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 1881–1894 (2022).

56. Xin, T. *et al.* Oncogenic Kras induces spatiotemporally specific tissue deformation through converting pulsatile into sustained ERK activation. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **26**, 859–867 (2024).

57. Venkataramani, V. *et al.* Glioblastoma hijacks neuronal mechanisms for brain invasion. *Cell* **185**, 2899-2917.e31 (2022).

58. Bera, K. *et al.* Extracellular fluid viscosity enhances cell migration and cancer dissemination. *Nature* **611**, 365–373 (2022).

59. Gertler, F. & Condeelis, J. Metastasis: tumor cells becoming MENAcing. *Trends Cell Biol.* **21**, 81–90 (2011).

60. Kluiver, T. A., Alieva, M., Vuurden, D. G. van, Wehrens, E. J. & Rios, A. C. Invaders Exposed: Understanding and Targeting Tumor Cell Invasion in Diffuse Intrinsic Pontine Glioma. *Front. Oncol.* **10**, 92 (2020).

61. Quail, D. F. & Joyce, J. A. The Microenvironmental Landscape of Brain Tumors. *Cancer Cell* **31**, 326–341 (2017).

62. Taylor, K. R. & Monje, M. Invasive glioma cells: The malignant pioneers that follow the current. *Cell* **185**, 2846–2848 (2022).

63. Erices, J. I. *et al.* Glioblastoma Microenvironment and Invasiveness: New Insights and Therapeutic Targets. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **24**, 7047 (2023).

64. Patel, S. K. *et al.* Generation of diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma mouse models by brainstem-targeted in utero electroporation. *Neuro-Oncol.* **22**, 381–392 (2020).

65. Chatinier, A. du *et al.* Generation of immunocompetent syngeneic allograft mouse models for pediatric diffuse midline glioma. *Neuro-Oncol. Adv.* **4**, vdac079 (2022).

66. Srivastava, R. *et al.* Development of a human glioblastoma model using humanized DRAG mice for immunotherapy. *Antib. Ther.* **6**, 253–264 (2023).

67. Wezenaar, A. K. L. *et al.* Mapping T cell dynamics to molecular profiles through behavior-guided transcriptomics. *Nat. Protoc.* 1–28 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41596-024-01126-4.

68. Aragones, D. G. *et al.* Variable selection for nonlinear dimensionality reduction of biological da-
tasets through bootstrapping of correlation networks. *Comput. Biol. Med.* **168**, 107827 (2024).

69. Klein, S., Staring, M., Murphy, K., Viergever, M. A. & Pluim, J. P. W. elastix: A Toolbox for In-
tensity-Based Medical Image Registration. *IEEE Trans. Méd. Imaging* **29**, 196–205 (2010).

70. Marstal, K., Berendsen, F., Staring, M. & Klein, S. SimpleElastix: A User-Friendly, Multi-Lingual
Library for Medical Image Registration. *2016 IEEE Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. Work.*
(CVPRW) 574–582 (2016) doi:10.1109/cvprw.2016.78.

71. Rios, A. C. *et al.* Intraclonal Plasticity in Mammary Tumors Revealed through Large-Scale Single-
Cell Resolution 3D Imaging. *Cancer Cell* **35**, 618-632.e6 (2019).

Figure 2. Behavioral profiling of DMG cells with BEHAV3D-TP heterogeneity module.

Figure 3: Mapping distinct DMG behavioral patterns to distinct TME regions

Figure 4. The BEHAV3D-TP small-scale phenotyping correlates DMG behavioral profiles with microenvironmental composition at a single-cell resolution