1

9 **Functional constraints of *wtf* killer meiotic drivers**

Authors:

Ananya Nidamangala Srinivasa[1,2], Samuel Campbell[1], Shriram Venkatesan[1], Nicole L.

Nuckolls[1], Jeffrey J. Lange[1], Randal Halfmann[1,3], Sarah E. Zanders*[1,2]

[1]Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, Missouri, United States of America

[2]Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City,

Kansas, United States of America

[3]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center,

Kansas City, Kansas, United States of America

*Corresponding Author

Email: sez@stowers.org

Abstract

Killer meiotic drivers are selfish DNA loci that sabotage the gametes that do not inherit them

from a driver+/driver- heterozygote. These drivers often employ toxic proteins that target

essential cellular functions to cause the destruction of driver- gametes. Identifying the

mechanisms of drivers can expand our understanding of infertility and reveal novel insights

about the cellular functions targeted by drivers. In this work, we explore the molecular

mechanisms underlying the *wtf* family of killer meiotic drivers found in fission yeasts.

Each *wtf* killer acts using a toxic Wtf^{poison} protein that can be neutralized by a corresponding

Wtf^{antidote} protein. The *wtf* genes are rapidly evolving and extremely diverse. Here we found that

self-assembly of Wtf^{poison} proteins is broadly conserved and associated with toxicity across the

gene family, despite minimal amino acid conservation. In addition, we found the toxicity of

Wtf^{poison} assemblies can be modulated by protein tags designed to increase or decrease the

extent of the Wtf^{poison} assembly, implicating assembly size in toxicity. We also identified a

conserved, critical role for the specific co-assembly of the Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} proteins in

promoting effective neutralization of Wtf^{poison} toxicity. Finally, we engineered *wtf* alleles that

encode toxic Wtf^{poison} proteins that are not effectively neutralized by their corresponding

Wtf^{antidote} proteins. The possibility of such self-destructive alleles reveals functional constraints

on *wtf* evolution and suggests similar alleles could be cryptic contributors to infertility in fission

yeast populations. As rapidly evolving killer meiotic drivers are widespread in eukaryotes,

analogous self-killing drive alleles could contribute to sporadic infertility in many lineages.

Author Summary

Diploid organisms, such as humans, have two copies of most genes. Only one copy, however,

is transmitted through gametes (e.g., sperm and egg) to any given offspring. Alternate copies of

the same gene are expected to be equally represented in the gametes, resulting in random

transmission to the next generation. However, some genes can "cheat" to be transmitted to

more than half of the gametes, often at a cost to the host organism. Killer meiotic drivers are

one such class of cheater genes that act by eliminating gametes lacking the driver. In this work,

we studied the *wtf* family of killer meiotic drivers found in fission yeasts. Each *wtf* driver encodes

a poison and an antidote protein to specifically kill gametes that do not inherit the driver.

Through analyzing a large suite of diverse natural and engineered mutant *wtf* genes, we

identified multiple properties—such as poison self-assembly and poison-antidote co-assembly—

that can constrain poison toxicity and antidote rescue. These constraints could influence the evolution of *wtf* genes. Additionally, we discovered several incompatible *wtf* poison-antidote pairs, demonstrating expanded potential for self-killing *wtf* alleles. Such alleles could potentially arise spontaneously in populations cause infertility.

Introduction

Genomes often contain selfish DNA sequences that persist by promoting their own propagation into the next generation without providing an overall fitness benefit to the organism [1,2]. Killer meiotic drivers are one class of selfish sequences that act by preferentially destroying gametes that do not inherit the driver from a heterozygote [3]. This gamete destruction leads to biased, or sometimes, complete transmission of the driver+ genotype from driver+/driver- heterozygotes. Killer meiotic drive systems generally decrease the fitness of the organism carrying the driver, both through their killing activities and through indirect mechanisms [4].

Distinct killer meiotic drive systems have repeatedly evolved in eukaryotes and drivers found in distinct species are generally not homologous [3,5–12]. Despite this, killer meiotic drivers fall into a limited number of mechanistic classes with shared themes [3,13,14]. Additionally, unrelated killer meiotic drivers have recurrently exploited conserved facets of cell physiology. This has enabled study of the killing and/or rescue activities of drive proteins outside of their endogenous species [5,11,15,16]. Overall, drivers represent unique tools that can be used to discover novel, unexpected insights into the exploited biological processes.

The *wtf* genes are a family of extremely diverse, rapidly evolving killer meiotic drivers found in multiple copies in most *Schizosaccharomyces* (fission yeast) species [17–22]. The *wtf* driver genes each produce a Wtf^{poison} and a Wtf^{antidote} protein using distinct transcripts with overlapping coding sequences (Fig 1A) [17,22,23]. The amino acid sequences of the two proteins are largely identical, except the Wtf^{antidote} proteins have an additional N-terminal domain of about 45 amino acids not found in the Wtf^{poison} (Fig 1A). All four developing spores (products of meiosis) are exposed to the Wtf^{poison}, but only spores that inherit a compatible Wtf^{antidote} can neutralize the poison and survive [22].

Little is known about the mechanism of toxicity of the Wtf^{poison} proteins. The general mechanism used by Wtf^{antidote} proteins is better understood. The antidote-specific N-terminal domain is the

most conserved region and includes targeting motifs (PY motifs) that are recognized by

Rsp5/NEDD4 ubiquitin ligases which route the protein through the trans Golgi network to

endosomes and, ultimately, to the vacuole (fungal lysosome; Fig 1B) [15,24]. When both

proteins are present, the Wtf^{antidote} co-assembles with the Wtf^{poison}, and the Wtf^{antidote} thereby

traffics the Wtf^{poison} to the vacuole [15,24]. This mechanism is similar to a non-homologous drive

system recently described in rice, where an antidote protein rescues the gametes that inherit the

drive locus from a toxic poison protein by co-trafficking the poison to the autophagosome [5].

In general, the poison protein encoded by one *wtf* gene is not compatible with (i.e., neutralized

by) the antidotes of widely diverged *wtf* genes [17,19,25]. However, Wtf^{poison} proteins can be

neutralized by Wtf^{antidote} proteins encoded at different loci if the sequences are identical or highly

similar (outside of the antidote-specific N-terminal domain; Fig 1A) [17,22,24,26]. Although the

examples are limited, similarity at the C-termini of the Wtf poison and antidote proteins may be

particularly important for compatibility [15,25,26]. For example, the *wtf18-2* allele encodes an

antidote protein that neutralizes the poison produced by the *wtf13* driver [26]. The Wtf18-2^{antidote}

and Wtf13^{antidote} proteins are highly similar overall (82% amino acid identity) and identical at their

C-termini. Interestingly, the antidote encoded by the reference allele of *wtf18* is not identical to

Wtf13^{poison} at the C-terminus and does not neutralize it. In addition, swapping one amino acid for

two different amino acids in the C-terminus of Wtf18-2^{antidote} (D366NN mutation) to make it more

like the reference Wtf18^{antidote} abolishes the protein's ability to neutralize Wtf13^{poison} [26]. Still, the

rules governing poison and antidote compatibility are largely unknown.

While it is clear that a functional *wtf* driver kills about half the spores produced by *wtf+/wtf-*

heterozygotes, the full impacts of *wtf* gene evolution on the fitness of populations is not clear.

Because the *wtf* genes encode the poison and antidote proteins on overlapping coding

sequences (Fig 1A), novel poisons can emerge simultaneously with their corresponding

antidotes via mutation. This has been observed with a limited number of engineered *wtf* alleles,

and one can observe evidence of this divergence in the diversity of extant *wtf* alleles in natural

populations [15,19–21,26]. The natural alleles, however, represent a selected population and

thus provide a biased sample of the novel *wtf* alleles generated by mutation and recombination.

Novel alleles that generate functional drivers are predicted to be favored by the self-selection

enabled by drive and be over-represented in natural populations. Conversely, alleles that

generate a toxic poison without a compatible antidote would be expected to be under-

represented in natural populations due to infertility caused by self-killing.

Major questions remain about the mechanism(s) of Wtf[poison] toxicity and about the rules of

Wtf[poison] and Wtf[antidote] compatibility. Our working model is that the toxicity of Wtf[poison] proteins is

tied to their self-assembly [15]. This assembly, however, has only been conclusively

demonstrated for the Wtf4 proteins, encoded by the *wtf4* gene from the isolate of *S. pombe*

known as *kambucha*. For Wtf[antidote] function, a working model is that a similar homotypic

assembly with the Wtf[poison] is required to establish a physical connection between the proteins

so that the poison is shuttled to the vacuole along with a ubiquitinated antidote [15,24]. The

ubiquitination and trafficking of the Wtf antidotes to the vacuole has been demonstrated to be

conserved between widely diverged Wtf[antidote] proteins [19,24]. However, it is not clear if antidote

co-assembly with a poison has a functional role in poison neutralization, or if it merely provides

a physical link between the proteins to facilitate co-trafficking. It is also unclear if changes in the

coding sequence shared by the poison and antidote proteins of a given *wtf* can generate an

incompatible set of proteins, or if poisons will always be neutralized by sequence-matched

antidotes.

To test these models and to better understand the amino acid sequences that support the Wtf

protein functions, we analyzed a panel of natural and engineered Wtf proteins. We found that

both well-conserved and poorly conserved amino acid sequences can contribute to protein

function. Our analyses revealed broad conservation of Wtf[poison] self-assembly and suggest that

assembly size can affect Wtf[poison] toxicity, analogous to several other self-assembling toxic

proteins [27–29]. This strongly implicates self-assembly as a critical parameter in Wtf[poison]

toxicity. In addition, we found that specific co-assembly with the Wtf[antidote] is required for efficient

Wtf[poison] neutralization via trafficking to the vacuole. Finally, our analyses identified multiple *wtf*

alleles that generate poison proteins that are not efficiently neutralized by their corresponding

antidotes. Such alleles are self-destructive and could contribute to sporadic infertility. This work

refines our understanding of the functional constraints of Wtf proteins, with important

evolutionary implications. More broadly, our observations offer insight into how functional

conservation can be maintained despite extreme amino acid sequence divergence and extends

our understanding of the limits of protein assembly trafficking mechanisms.

Results

Wtf[poison] protein self-assembly is broadly conserved.

To test the models of Wtf protein functions and to understand how these functions could be

supported by extremely diverse protein sequences (e.g., <20% amino acid identity), we

generated a large panel of *wtf* variants that represent over 100 million years of divergence [19].

We reasoned that functionally important features of the proteins would be conserved in

functional variants (i.e. toxic Wtf^{poison} proteins), despite minimal amino acid conservation (Fig

1C). Twelve of the variants we assayed are wild-type or mutant alleles of *wtf* genes found in *S.*

octosporus, *S. osmophilus* or *S. cryophilus* (S1 Fig). One of these genes, *S. octosporus wtf25*,

has been previously characterized and found to encode a functional meiotic driver in its

endogenous species ([19]; S1 Table). Four additional genes (*S. cryophilus wtf1*, *S. osmophilus*

wtf41, and *S. octosporus wtf61*) have been shown to encode functional Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote}

proteins in an ectopic *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* assay system ([19]; S1 Table). The *S.*

cerevisiae system used to study Wtf proteins is well established and reflects phenotypes

observed in the endogenous fission yeast species [15,19,24]. Finally, we assayed a panel of

new mutant alleles of the previously characterized *wtf4* gene from the *S. kambucha* isolate of *S.*

pombe [15,22,23] (Fig 1D).

Our initial model, based on Wtf4^{poison}, was that Wtf^{poison} toxicity is linked to the ability of a Wtf^{poison}

to self-assemble [15]. To test this idea, we assayed if other functional Wtf^{poison} proteins besides

wild-type Wtf4^{poison} also self-assemble. To do this, we used the Distributed Amphifluoric FRET

(DAmFRET) approach, in which proteins are tagged with the photoconvertible mEos3.1

fluorophore (referred to as mEos hereafter) and expressed in *S. cerevisiae*. A uniform fraction of

the green mEos population is photoconverted to its red form, and protein-protein interactions

are then detected as FRET (Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer) between the green and

red fluorophores within single cells. Monomeric mEos exhibits negligible FRET and is used as a

negative control (Fig 2A and 2B) [15,30].

We first tagged four toxic (*S. cryophilus* Wtf1^{poison}, *S. osmophilus* Wtf41^{poison}, and *S. octosporus*

Wtf25^{poison} and Wtf61^{poison}) and one non-toxic (*S. osmophilus* Wtf19^{poison}) Wtf^{poison} protein at the

C-terminus with mEos. The tagged proteins retained their toxic/non-toxic phenotypes when

expressed in *S. cerevisiae* using a galactose-inducible expression system (Fig 2C) [19]. The

assayed proteins all share less than 20% amino acid identity with Wtf4^{poison}, which we use as a

positive control (S1B Fig). We found that all five newly tested Wtf^{poison}-mEos proteins, including

the nontoxic Wtf19^{poison}-mEos, exhibited self-assembly (Fig 2B). It is important to note, however,

that the data reported for Wtf25^{poison}-mEos are limited and we could not reliably assay that

protein via DAmFRET. This is because only living cells are considered in DAmFRET and

Wtf25^{poison}-mEos kills cells rapidly at low expression (e.g. they die faster than cells expressing

Wtf4^{poison}).

We also assayed DAmFRET in partial deletion alleles of *wtf4^{poison}-mEos* (S2 and S3 Figs)*.*

Some of these alleles are described in more detail below, but for this section, we focused on

exploring the potential connection between assembly and toxicity. The two toxic mutant

Wtf4^{poison} proteins tested, Wtf4-ex5Δ^{poison}-mEos (S2A and S2D-F Fig) and Wtf4Δ$^{20-poison}$-mEos

(S3A-E Fig) both exhibited self-assembly. Of these two proteins, Wtf4Δ$^{20-poison}$-mEos is more like

wild-type Wtf4^{poison}-mEos, in both toxicity and degree of assembly (S2D-F and S3B-E Figs).

Most non-toxic Wtf4 mutant proteins (Wtf4-TMD1Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-ex3Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-

ex4Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-ex6Δ^{poison}-mEos and Wtf4-consΔ^{poison}-mEos) exhibited reduced assembly

compared to the wild-type (S2A-E and S3A-D Figs). We did, however, find one exceptional

mutant protein, Wtf4Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos. This protein was not toxic but showed similar assembly to

the wild-type protein (S3A-D Fig). We could not reliably assay the assembly of some alleles

(Wtf4-TMD2Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-TMD6Δ^{poison}-mEos and Wtf4-ex2Δ^{poison}-mEos) due to low

fluorescence signal (S2A, S2B and S4 Figs).

These results show that Wtf^{poison} self-assembly is broadly conserved (over 100 million years)

and can be supported by a wide range of amino acid sequences (e.g., proteins sharing <20%

amino acid identity, S1B Fig). Given the broad conservation of self-assembly, that all the toxic

alleles exhibited assembly, and that mutant alleles with reduced toxicity also exhibited reduced

assembly, our results strongly support an association between self-assembly and toxicity.

Importantly, our results also demonstrate that self-assembly alone is insufficient for Wtf^{poison}

toxicity, as we found several Wtf^{poison} proteins (Wtf19-mEos and Wtf4Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos) that

assemble but are not toxic.

Deletion alleles demonstrate that both conserved and non-conserved regions contribute

to Wtf4^{poison} self-assembly and toxicity.

Our deletion mutants in *wtf4^{poison}* encompass both non-conserved and conserved sequences

allowing us to test the functional importance of both sequence types (Fig 1; [19-21]). Within *S.*

pombe Wtf proteins, there is one well conserved 29 base pair segment found at the beginning of

exon 3 (Fig 1B; [20]). The Wtf4-consΔ^{poison}-mEos protein lacks this domain and has disrupted

self-assembly and is non-toxic, indicating the conserved sequence has a functional role in both

Wtf4[poison] assembly and toxicity (S2C-E Fig).

We similarly found that several regions that are poorly conserved in Wtf proteins are functionally

important in Wtf4[poison]. For example, exon 4 is not conserved within functional *wtf* genes, even

within *S. pombe* [19, 20, 25]. Still, our results demonstrate exon 4 is functionally important in

wtf4 as the Wtf4-ex4Δ[poison]-mEos protein has disrupted self-assembly and is not toxic (S2A, S2D

and S2E Fig). An additional variable feature of *wtf* genes is the number of predicted

transmembrane domains, which varies between four and eight within functional Wtf proteins (S2

Table). The *wtf4* gene has 6 predicted transmembrane domains and we assayed individual

deletions of three (Wtf4-TMD1Δ[poison]-mEos, Wtf4-TMD2Δ[poison]-mEos and Wtf4-TMD6Δ[poison]-

mEos). All three transmembrane deletions disrupted toxicity, indicating they are also functionally

important (S2B, S2D and S2E Fig).

An additional set of deletion mutants we queried in a poorly conserved region followed up on an

observation by Hu et al [17] in one of the inaugural papers describing *wtf* drivers. In that work,

Hu et al [17] described 10 amino acid C-terminal truncations of two *wtf* genes known as *cw9*

and *cw27*. These mutant alleles both exhibited disrupted Wtf[poison], but not Wtf[antidote] activity,

implicating the C-terminus in Wtf[poison] function. These proteins share 62% amino acid identity in

the C-terminal exon 6 with each other and, at most, 70% with Wtf4. We tested if a similar 10

amino acid truncation of *wtf4* would specifically disrupt Wtf4[poison] activity. This mutation gave us

the exceptional *wtf4-Δ^{10}* allele described above that encodes a non-toxic Wtf4Δ[10-poison], that

surprisingly exhibits wild-type self-assembly (S3A-D Fig). To expand our analyses beyond *wtf4*,

we also made 10 amino acid C-terminal truncations of *S. cryophilus* Wtf1*, S. osmophilus* Wtf41*,

S. octosporus Wtf61 and Wtf25 poison. These proteins are all significantly smaller than Wtf4

(S1C Fig). Surprisingly, we found that these additional truncated proteins all retained toxicity

(S3F and S3G Fig) and could be rescued by the corresponding antidotes (S5 Fig) indicating the

C-termini are not universally important for Wtf[poison] function.

We extended our deletion analyses of the C-terminus of Wtf4 poison and were surprised to find

that deleting ten more amino acids than the ten missing in the non-toxic Wtf4Δ[10-poison] protein

restored toxicity. Specifically, the Wtf4Δ[20-poison] protein exhibited robust self-assembly and near

wild-type levels of toxicity (S3A-D Fig). A larger deletion of 29 amino acids, Wtf4ex6Δ[poison],

showed reduced self-assembly and was not toxic (S3A-D Fig).

Together, our results show that even non-conserved sequences can have context-dependent

importance within a Wtf[poison] protein. Specifically, a feature (e.g. the residues encoded in exon 4,

or the last 10 amino acids of Wtf4[poison]) can be functionally important in one Wtf[poison] protein but

be missing or dispensable in another. This, combined with the lack of conservation within Wtf

proteins, suggests that the contextually important amino acids (like the last 10 of Wtf4[poison]) do

not have a specific function, but can rather contribute to the overall properties of the protein.

Changes in these contextually important regions can be complemented by changes elsewhere

in the protein.

Intracellular localization of mutant Wtf[poison] proteins is correlated with toxicity.

We identified self-assembly as one feature shared by functional Wtf[poison] proteins and suspected

that intracellular localization could be another, since we previously found that the toxic *S.*

octosporus Wtf25[poison]-mCherry exhibited similar localization to that of toxic Wtf4[poison] [19].

Specifically, both poisons show small puncta broadly distributed in the cytoplasm of *S.*

cerevisiae cells, with minor localization to what appears to be the endoplasmic reticulum (ER;

[15,19]). All functionally confirmed Wtf driver proteins have multiple predicted transmembrane

domains (S2 and S3 Tables) and hence, this localization pattern may reflect the poison being

trafficked from the ER through the secretory pathway, through the Golgi and trans-Golgi network

[24].

To test the hypothesis that a link exists between the intracellular distribution and toxicity of

Wtf[poison] proteins, we imaged the wild-type Wtf[poison]-mEos proteins described above in *S.*

cerevisiae cells. The localization of the *S. octosporus* Wtf25[poison]-mEos was similar to the

previously described Wtf25[poison]-mCherry described above (Fig 2D). The additional toxic Wtf[poison]

proteins from *S. octosporus*, *S. osmophilus* and *S. cryophilus*, also exhibited dispersed puncta,

like the toxic Wtf4[poison]-mEos control cells (Fig 2D). The nontoxic *S. osmophilus* Wtf19[poison]-

mEos, however, showed a distinct localization pattern with strong signal enrichment in what

appears to be the ER (Fig 2D). These data are consistent with our hypothesis that there is a link

between intracellular distribution and toxicity with toxic Wtf[poison] proteins exhibiting distributed

puncta.

To further test our hypothesis, we also assayed the localization of the mutant Wtf4[poison] proteins

mentioned above (S2F and S3E Figs). The most toxic mutant protein, Wtf4Δ20[poison]-mEos,

localized in distributed puncta like wild-type (S3A-C and S3E Fig). The less toxic Wtf4-

ex5Δ^{poison}-mEos protein also formed some distributed puncta, but also exhibited more ER-like

localization than wild-type (S2A, S2D and S2F Fig). Most of the non-toxic mutant Wtf4^{poison}

proteins (Wtf4-TMD1Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-TMD2Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-ex2Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-

ex3Δ^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4-ex4Δ^{poison}-mEos, and Wtf4-consΔ^{poison}-mEos) were less dispersed and

exhibited a largely ER-like localization, similar to the non-toxic *S. osmophilus* Wtf19^{poison}-mEos

(Fig 2C-D and S2A-D and S2F Fig). One additional non-toxic mutant, Wtf4-TMD6Δ^{poison}-mEos,

localized to the vacuole, reminiscent of Wtf^{antidote} protein localization (S2B, S2D and S2F Fig).

The non-toxic Wtf4-ex6Δ^{poison}-mEos had a unique localization pattern that combined a diffuse

distributed signal with some larger protein assemblies (S3A-C and S3E Fig). Finally, the non-

toxic Wtf4Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos localization was indistinguishable from wild-type Wtf4^{poison}-mEos (S3A-

C and S3E Fig).

In summary, all the toxic Wtf^{poison} proteins show a distributed puncta localization pattern, like

Wtf4^{poison}. All except one non-toxic Wtf^{poison} protein showed a distinct localization pattern from

Wtf4^{poison}, most often with enhanced ER-like localization. These results parallel our DAmFRET

analyses where all toxic Wtf^{poison} proteins assemble and all the nontoxic proteins, except one,

show reduced or lack of assembly. In both cases, the exceptional protein is Wtf4Δ$^{10-poison}$, which

is non-toxic, but shows wild-type assembly and localization in cells. Despite the exception, our

results show that Wtf^{poison} self-assembly (assayed by DAmFRET) combined with cellular

localization patterns are good predictors of Wtf^{poison} protein toxicity (Fig 7A).

Altering assembly properties of Wtf^{poison} proteins affects toxicity.

To further test the model that the toxicity of Wtf^{poison} proteins is tied to their self-assembly, we

sought to alter the assembly properties of Wtf4^{poison} using tags. We first aimed to increase the

overall size of the Wtf4^{poison} assemblies. To do this, we employed a recently described tool to

oligomerize mEos3-fused proteins in trans. Specifically, we expressed a fusion protein

consisting of the human ferritin heavy chain protein (FTH1) and a nanobody that recognizes

mEos (mEosNB) [31]. The FTH1 domain self assembles to form a 24-mer core and can form

supramolecular clusters when fused to self-assembling proteins [32,33]. In our assay, we

expressed Wtf4^{poison}-mEos from a galactose inducible promoter and the mEosNB-FTH1 from a

doxycycline repressible promoter (Fig 3A and 3B). As expected, we found that Wtf4^{poison}-mEos

formed fewer and larger puncta inside cells in the presence of mEosNB-FTH1, consistent with

the mEosNB-FTH1 complexes bringing Wtf^{poison}-mEos assemblies into supramolecular clusters

(i.e., -Dox panels, Fig 3C). Expression of the mEosNB-FTH1 alone had no effect on yeast

growth (Fig 3D, panel (i)). While expression of the Wtf4^{poison}-mEos alone was toxic, co-

expression of the mEosNB-FTH1 and Wtf4^{poison}-mEos markedly suppressed the toxicity of the

Wtf4^{poison}-mEos (Fig 3D, compare panel (ii) to panel (iii)). We also observed the same

suppression of toxicity with four additional toxic Wtf^{poison}-mEos proteins in the presence of the

mEosNB-FTH1, indicating the effect was not specific to Wtf4^{poison} (Fig 3C and 3D). These

results indicate that these supramolecular Wtf^{poison} assemblies are non-toxic. This effect could

be due to altered intracellular localization and/or the reduced exposed surface area of the

Wtf^{poison} assemblies.

We next attempted to increase the solubility Wtf4^{poison} assemblies using a modified N-terminal

domain (NT*) tag derived from spidroins, a principal component of spider silk [34]. The NT* tag

can decrease protein aggregation, which is the role of the native domain in spiders [35–39]. We

added NT* to the N-terminus of the Wtf4^{poison}-mEos to generate NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos (Fig 4A).

We found that this protein had a novel combination of assembly and localization not

represented in any of our other alleles. Specifically, the NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos protein showed

less self-assembly than Wtf4^{poison}-mEos (Fig 4C), but the NT* tag did not visibly alter the

localization of the protein within cells (Fig 4E). Interestingly, the NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos protein

showed increased toxicity in cells (Fig 4B). To test if the effects of the NT* tag were specific to

Wtf4^{poison} or more general, we also tagged *S. octosporus* Wtf25^{poison} with NT* to generate NT*-

Wtf25^{poison}-mEos (S6A Fig). As with NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos, we observed unaltered localization

and increased toxicity of the NT*-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos protein, relative to wild-type Wtf25^{poison}-mEos

(S6B and S6D Fig). We could not, however, quantify NT*-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos assembly by

DAmFRET as the high toxicity prevented us from obtaining sufficient viable cells with mEos

fluorescence (S4E Fig).

We also assayed how another solubility tag, the *E. coli* Maltose Binding Protein (MBP), affected

Wtf^{poison} toxicity [40–42]. The MBP-tagged Wtf4^{poison} (MBP-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos) had the same

phenotype as the NT*-tagged Wtf4^{poison} protein: decreased assembly, increased toxicity,

unaltered localization, relative to the wild-type protein (S7 Fig). The phenotype of the MBP-

tagged Wtf25^{poison} (MBP-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos) however, did not mirror that of the NT*-tagged

protein. Instead, we found that the MBP-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos protein showed reduced, but still high

toxicity. The MBP-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos protein also showed an altered, stronger endoplasmic

reticulum-like localization, as compared to the wild-type protein (S7A-C Fig). This protein thus

adds to those described above in which we see more ER-like localization associated with

reduced Wtf^{poison} toxicity. The MBP-Wtf25^{poison}-mEos protein did exhibit self-assembly, but we

could not compare it the wild-type protein as high toxicity limited the viable cells we could assay

via DAmFRET (S4F and S7D Figs).

The bulk of our experiments are consistent with a model where the assembly properties and the

distribution of Wtf^{poison} proteins within cells affects toxicity, with distributed, punctate assemblies

exhibiting more toxicity. Increasing Wtf^{poison} solubility (while maintaining assembly), increases

toxicity, unless localization is disrupted. Forcing Wtf^{poison} proteins into large, localized

assemblies, suppresses toxicity. The non-toxic Wtf4$\Delta^{10\text{-}poison}$ protein that forms distributed

assemblies, however, undermines this simple model or at least indicates that there are

additional unidentified features that are essential for toxicity.

An additional possibility that we considered was that the expression levels of the Wtf^{poison}

proteins likely contributes to the phenotypes we observed, despite expressing all alleles from a

common vector backbone using a common promoter. To assay expression levels, we quantified

fluorescence of the mEos tags shared by all alleles. We did not use western blots as that

approach is challenging to apply to Wtf^{poison} proteins as they are toxic and highly hydrophobic

[15,23]. Instead, we looked at the acceptor (red form of mEos) fluorescence in live cells

analyzed in the DAmFRET experiments (S4 Fig; Data from Figs 2B, 4C, S2E and S3D). As

expected, based on other studies [43–46], we observed significant fluorescent protein signal

heterogeneity within cells expressing the monomer mEos control and each Wtf^{poison} protein

tested (S4 Fig). We did not, however, find increased fluorescent signal in cells expressing the

most toxic proteins (as determined by growth assays). On the contrary, we tended to observe

less signal in the cells expressing the most toxic proteins (e.g., Wtf4^{poison} and NT*-Wtf4^{poison}).

These data support a model in which cells expressing the higher levels of toxic proteins are

more likely to be removed by death and are not quantified. As mentioned above, however, we

also observed low signal in the cells expressing the non-toxic Wtf4-ex2Δ^{poison}, Wtf4-TMD2Δ^{poison},

and Wtf4-TMD6Δ^{poison} alleles (S4 Fig). The low levels of Wtf4-TMD6Δ^{poison} could be due to its

degradation in the vacuole (S2F Fig). For the other two alleles, it is formally possible the low

levels of these proteins in cells could contribute to their lack of toxicity.

Limited modularity of the Wtf^{antidote}-specific domain.

We next wanted to test which protein features affect Wtf4antidote function (Fig 1A and 1B). As
described above, the Wtf4antidote shares the resides encoded by exons 2-4 with the Wtf4poison but
has an additional N-terminal domain encoded by exon 1. We hypothesized that the amino acids
encoded by exon 1 would be insufficient for function and that exons 2-6 would be required for
protein self-assembly as they comprise the Wtf4poison protein, which self-assembles [15]. As
expected, we found that a protein consisting of only the exon 1-encoded residues linked to a
mEos tag (Wtf4 Exon1-mEos) could not self-assemble (S8A and S8B Fig). The Wtf4 Exon1-
mEos protein was also not trafficked to the vacuole, despite this protein harboring two PY motifs
that promote ubiquitin-mediated trafficking of wild-type Wtfantidote proteins [24] (S8E Fig). Finally,
the Wtf4 Exon1-mEos protein could not rescue Wtf4poison toxicity, which parallels recent results
using distinct Wtf proteins (S8C and S8F Fig) [24]. These results demonstrate that the antidote-
specific domain encoded by *wtf4* exon 1 is insufficient for antidote function.
385
To test if the exon 1 encoded domain was modular, we generated two mutants *wtf4poison-ex1*
and *wtf4poison-ex1int*. The *wtf4poison-ex1* allele moves the antidote-specific domain moved to the C-
terminus of the protein (S8A Fig). The *wtf4poison-ex1int* allele moved exon 1 a more central region
of the protein (beginning of exon 4). This location is between the last two predicted
transmembrane domains and is not predicted to disrupt them (Fig 1B). We found that mEos
tagged versions of both Wtf4poison-ex1 and Wtf4poison-ex1int proteins were both non-toxic and
trafficked to the vacuole, suggesting they retained at least some antidote functionality (S8D and
S8F Fig). Only the Wtf4poison-ex1, however, could neutralize the toxicity of Wtf4poison-mCherry
(S8D and S8F Fig). These results demonstrate that the domain encoded by exon 1 is at least
partially modular, but that a central location within the polypeptide can disrupt the Wtfantidote
protein's ability to neutralize a Wtfpoison. We speculate this could be because extensive,
continuous, amino acid identity facilitates Wtfpoison and Wtfantidote co-assembly.
398
Wtfantidote requires more than physical linkage to effectively traffic Wtfpoison to the vacuole
We next wanted to determine if co-assembly serves merely to link Wtfpoison and Wtfantidote proteins
to allow for co-trafficking, or if co-assembly serves a more nuanced role in neutralizing Wtfpoison
toxicity. To test this, we generated Wtfpoison and Wtfantidote proteins that were physically linked, but
not co-assembled, by artificially tethering Wtfpoison proteins to diverged Wtfantidote proteins, with
which they cannot co-assemble, using a combination of GFP and GFP-binding protein (GBP)
tags (Figs 5A, 5B, S9A and S9B).
406

We first tested if tethering Wtf4^{poison} to *S. octosporus* Wtf61^{antidote} could neutralize the toxic

Wtf4^{poison}. We generated Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry and Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP proteins and found the

tags did not disrupt protein function. Specifically, the Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry protein was toxic,

and could be rescued by Wtf4^{antidote} proteins, including Wtf4^{antidote}-GFP. Similarly, the

Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP protein was able to rescue Wtf61^{poison}-mCherry (Fig 5C). However, Wtf61^{antidote}-

GFP was not able to efficiently traffic Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry to the vacuole or neutralize its

toxicity (Fig 5C and 5D). This failure to rescue is not a failure of the GFP-GBP interaction to link

the proteins as we found that the Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP and Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry proteins largely

co-localized, which they did not do in the absence of the GBP tag (Fig 5D). Moreover, the

localization of the tethered Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP-Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry changed relative to the

individual proteins: the Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP no longer trafficked to the vacuole and Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-

mCherry was less distributed in cells. Effective GFP-GBP linkage is the most parsimonious

explanation of these observations. To test if our results were generalizable, we also analyzed a

widely diverged, independent pair of Wtf proteins (*S. octosporus* Wtf25^{poison} and *S. cryophilus*

Wtf1^{antidote}). Our results with this protein pair mirrored those described above suggesting that

specific antidote-poison co-assembly generally promotes proper antidote function (S9 Fig).

Given that the tethered Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP and Wtf4^{poison}-GBP-mCherry were often adjacent to

vacuoles and not totally distributed in cells, as occurs when trafficking of Wtf proteins is

disrupted [15], we suspected that the vacuolar targeting was still occurring, but blocked at a late

step in the process (e.g., vacuole entry). Consistent with this notion, the assemblies often co-

localized with the Rnq-1mCardinal protein, which is a prion protein that marks the insoluble

protein deposit (IPOD) [47–49] (Fig 5E). This result was surprising to us because with a different

expression system (β-estradiol induction) a considerable amount of the Wtf4^{poison}/Wtf4^{antidote} co-

assemblies accumulate at the IPOD are not toxic to cells [15]. It is unclear why GFP/GBP

mediated assemblies, but not Wtf/Wtf mediated co-assemblies, would be toxic at the IPOD, but

we speculate about this in the discussion.

Beyond the GFP/GBP tethering experiments, we made additional observations suggesting that

a specific nature of co-assembly with Wtf^{poison} is required for Wtf^{antidote} function. When

characterizing the toxic NT*-Wtf4^{poison} protein (with the NT* domain that increases solubility), we

found that this protein was not effectively rescued by wild-type Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry (Fig 4D).

This drastic reduction in rescue (relative to that observed with the wild-type Wtf4^{poison}) is striking

given that the colocalization of NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos with Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry appears only mildly

reduced relative to that observed between wild-type Wtf4 proteins (Figure 4E and 4F). In

addition, the localization of the NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos is altered in the presence of Wtf4^{antidote}-

mCherry (it becomes less distributed compared to wild type Wtf4 proteins; Fig 4E). These

observations suggest the NT*-Wtf4^{poison}-mEos and Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry proteins interact, but are

not efficiently trafficked into the vacuole (Fig 4E). This is analogous to the GFP/GBP linked, but

unassembled, Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} pairs described above. The effect of the NT* tag on

Wtf^{poison}/Wtf^{antidote} compatibility was not, however, universal. We did not observe strong

disruption of poison/antidote compatibility caused by the NT* tag on *S. octosporus* Wtf25^{poison}

(NT*-Wtf25^{poison} allele, S6 Fig), indicating that factors affecting poison/antidote compatibility can

be context dependent.

Together, our results demonstrate that a physical linkage is insufficient to ensure efficient

neutralization of a Wtf^{poison} protein's toxicity by a Wtf^{antidote}. Instead, specific co-assembly of the

proteins likely both links compatible Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} proteins and facilitates their effective

co-trafficking into the vacuole.

C-terminal region supports Wtf4^{antdiote} function

As introduced above, Hu et al [17] previously described mutant alleles of two *S. pombe wtf*

genes lacking the codons for the last 10 amino acids. Those mutants maintained Wtf^{antidote}

activity [17]. To test if the C-terminal amino acids were generally dispensable for antidote

function, we made *wtf4^{antidote}* truncation alleles. We found that a 10 amino acid truncation of

Wtf4, (Wtf4$\Delta^{10-antidote}$-mCherry) was slightly toxic to cells, as compared to the Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry

and empty vector controls (S10 Fig). A 20 amino acid truncation of the, Wtf4$\Delta^{20-antidote}$-mCherry,

showed even more toxicity, although it was still considerably less than the toxicity observed with

the Wtf4^{poison}-mCherry. A 29 amino acid truncation, Wtf4-ex6$\Delta^{antidote}$-mCherry, exhibited no

toxicity (S10 Fig). These results suggest that the C-terminal 20 amino acids of Wtf4^{antidote} play a

role in limiting the toxicity of the Wtf4^{antidote} protein.

We next tested if the truncation Wtf4^{antidote} proteins could rescue the toxicity of a wild-type

Wtf4^{poison}-mEos protein. Neither the Wtf4$\Delta^{10-antidote}$-mCherry or Wtf4-ex6$\Delta^{antidote}$-mCherry proteins

appreciably neutralized Wtf4^{poison}-mEos toxicity (S10A and S10B Fig). The Wtf4$\Delta^{20-antidote}$-

mCherry protein, however, rescued growth of cells expressing Wtf4^{poison}-mEos to a level

comparable to that observed in the cells expressing only Wtf4$\Delta^{20-antidote}$-mCherry. So, despite the

slight toxicity of the Wtf4$\Delta^{20-antidote}$-mCherry protein, it still retained some antidote function.

Interestingly, we were surprised to see that the other truncated antidotes Wtf4$\Delta^{10\text{-antidote}}$-mCherry

and Wtf4-ex6Δ^{antidote}-mCherry could also partially rescue the toxicity of Wtf4$\Delta^{20\text{-poison}}$-mEos

poison, although it was much less rescue compared to that of the wild-type Wtf4$^{\text{antidote}}$-mCherry

protein (S10A and S10B Fig). This demonstrates that these truncated proteins retain some

functionality.

Our results indicate that the C-terminus supports Wtf4$^{\text{antidote}}$ function, but that some functionality

remains even in the absence of the last 29 amino acids. When considered in combination with

the results of Hu et al [17], our combined results indicate that the importance of the C-terminus

is context dependent. Like our Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ results discussed earlier, these observations, combined

with the lack of conservation of Wtf proteins, suggests that Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$ function (outside of the

conserved PY motifs) relies on overall properties of the protein (e.g., lack of toxicity and ability

to co-assemble with a matching Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$), rather than any functional domain.

Self-killing alleles that encode a functional Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ with an incompatible Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$

Because Wtf proteins are encoded on largely overlapping coding sequences, a change in the

shared coding sequence can simultaneously create a novel Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ protein and a matching

novel Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$ protein. Our engineered mutant alleles offered the opportunity to explore if

matching proteins are always compatible (i.e., if a novel toxic Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ protein is always

neutralized by its corresponding Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$ protein).

We therefore explored poison and antidote compatibility more broadly within the alleles we

generated. In many cases, the Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$ proteins were able to rescue their matching Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$

alleles (S1 Table). One class of mutants, however, created toxic Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ proteins that were not

rescued by the matching Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$. In *wtf4*, these mutants changed a region of exon 6 that

encodes a 7 amino acid repeat found in variable numbers (0-84 base pairs of repeat sequence)

within *S. pombe wtf* genes (Fig 6A and 6B) [20]. The repeat region in *wtf4* encodes one

complete repeat plus three additional amino acids of the repeat (Fig 6C). We found that

mutating this region in Wtf4 by either scrambling the amino acid order (Wtf4-rep2$^{\text{sc}}$) or by

replacing the ten amino acids with alanine (Wtf4-rep2$^{\text{A}}$ allele) generated toxic Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ proteins

that were not neutralized by their matching Wtf$^{\text{antidote}}$ proteins (Fig 6D). The Wtf4-rep2$^{\text{sc-antidote}}$-

mCherry and Wtf4-rep2$^{\text{A-antidote}}$-mCherry proteins were both trafficked to the vacuole when

expressed alone but did not effectively co-traffic their corresponding Wtf$^{\text{poison}}$ proteins (Fig 6E).

The Wtf4-rep2$^{sc\ antidote}$-mCherry and Wtf4-rep2$^{sc\ poison}$-mEos proteins showed decreased co-

localization, relative to wild-type proteins, suggesting the proteins had disrupted co-assembly

(Fig 6E and 6F). Alternatively, the Wtf4-rep2$^{A\ antidote}$-mCherry and Wtf4-rep2$^{A\ poison}$-mEos proteins

colocalized (Fig 6F) but remained more distributed within cells relative to wild-type (Fig 6E). This

distributed localization is a change from the vacuolar localization of Wtf4-rep2$^{A\ antidote}$-mCherry

alone, further supporting that the Wtf4-rep2$^{A\ antidote}$-mCherry and Wtf4-rep2$^{A\ poison}$-mEos are

interacting. Therefore, the incompatibility of the Wtf4-rep2^{A} proteins adds additional support to

our earlier conclusion that a particular form of association is required between Wtf^{antidote} and

Wtf^{poison} proteins to ensure the poison is effectively trafficked to the vacuole and neutralized.

We also assayed the effects of deleting the repeat region of *wtf4* exon 6 alone (*wtf4-rep2Δ*

allele), or in combination with deleting another repetitive region found in exon 3 (*wtf4-rep1-2Δ*

allele; S11A Fig) [20]. We found that the Wtf4-rep2Δ^{poison}-mEos protein is toxic but is only

partially rescued by Wtf4-rep2Δ^{antidote}-mCherry, relative to the rescue observed between wild-

type Wtf4 poison and antidote proteins (S11D Fig). We found that the two Wtf4-rep2Δ proteins

exhibit decreased colocalization, relative to wild-type proteins, suggesting the limited rescue is

due to disrupted poison-antidote interaction (S11E and S11F Fig). Interestingly, we found that

the defect in poison-antidote compatibility conferred by the deletion of the exon 6 repeats in the

wtf4-rep2Δ allele is partially suppressed by also deleting the repetitive region found in exon 3.

Specifically, the proteins encoded by the *wtf4-rep1-2Δ* allele, with both regions deleted, have

near wild-type phenotypes (S11 Fig). We observed no defects in poison and antidote

compatibility in the proteins encoded by an allele (*wtf4-rep1Δ*) lacking only the repetitive region

in exon 3 (S11A, S11B, S11D-F Fig).

Finally, we also tested if the novel mutations we made in this study in the exon 3 or C-terminal

repeats affected compatibility with wild-type Wtf proteins. In all cases tested, the repeat mutant

Wtf^{poison} proteins were not neutralized by their wild-type Wtf^{antidote} counterparts and vice versa

(S12 Fig). For example, Wtf4-rep1Δ^{poison}-mEos is not neutralized by Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry and

Wtf4^{poison}-mEos is not neutralized by Wtf4-rep1Δ^{antidote}-mCherry (S12B Fig).

The repeats in exon 6, but not in exon 3, are broadly conserved in the *wtf* gene family [19]. We

also mutated the homologous region in *S. octosporus wtf25* and found analogous phenotypes

as those described above in *wtf4* (S13 Fig). Like *wtf4*, *S. octosporus wtf25* also encodes 10

amino acids in this C-terminal region (S13A-C Fig). We generated the *S. octosporus wtf25-*

rep2^{Sk} allele by swapping the endogenous codons for those of *wtf4*. We found that this allele

encoded an incompatible Wtf25-rep2$^{Sk\text{-}poison}$-mCherry and Wtf25-rep2$^{Sk\text{-}antidote}$-mEos pair (S13D

Fig). Surprisingly, the individual Wtf25-rep2^{Sk} proteins were compatible with Wtf25 proteins (i.e.,

Wtf25-rep2$^{Sk\text{-}poison}$-mCherry was rescued by Wtf25^{antidote}-mEos and vice versa; S13D Fig). We

also deleted the repeat region of *S. octosporus wtf25* (to generate the *wtf25-rep2Δ* allele) and

again found phenotypes similar to those observed in the analogous *wtf4* mutant (*wtf4-rep2Δ*)

(S14 Fig). Specifically, the Wtf25-1^{antidote}-mCherry showed reduced rescue of the Wtf25-

rep2Δ^{poison}-mEos toxicity, relative to the wild-type Wtf25 protein pair (S14C Fig).

Altogether, our results further support a critical role for the repeats in Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote}

compatibility and reveal that mutants in this domain can encode Wtf^{poison} proteins not neutralized

by the matching Wtf^{antidote} proteins. Such alleles are important constraints on *wtf* gene evolution

as they would contribute to infertility via self-killing.

Discussion

Protein assembly plays conserved roles in Wtf protein function.

The mutant analyses provided in this work expands and supports a working model in which 1)

Wtf^{poison} toxicity is tied to the homotypic assembly of the proteins [15], 2) Wtf^{antidote} proteins are

ubiquitinated and trafficked to the vacuole [15,24] and, 3) Wtf^{antidote} proteins co-assemble with

their matching Wtf^{poison} proteins and co-traffic them to the vacuole [15,26]. Recent work has

established that ubiquitin-mediated Wtf^{antidote} trafficking and co-trafficking with their

corresponding Wtf^{poison} proteins are conserved within the extremely diverse gene family [24]. It

was unclear, however, if homotypic protein assembly is a conserved feature of Wtf^{poison} or

Wtf^{antidote} protein function.

The results of this study support the model that homotypic protein assembly plays critical roles

in the function of Wtf^{poison} proteins. First, we observed that the ability to self-assemble was

conserved amongst functional (i.e., toxic) wild-type Wtf^{poison} proteins from four

Schizosaccharomyces species (Fig 2). Given that the functional proteins share as little as 20%

amino acid identity, these observations likely reflect conserved functional importance of self-

assembly. Our mutant analyses of Wtf4^{poison} proteins provided additional support for this model

in that all toxic mutant proteins self-assembled (S2 and S3 Figs). Furthermore, we found that

the Wtf^{poison} toxicity could be modulated by altering the assembly properties of the protein with

tags (Figs 3, 4, S6 and S7). Together, our data suggest that Wtf^{poison} toxicity is tied to protein

assembly with distributed, small assemblies showing greater toxicity than localized, larger

assemblies (Figs 2-4, 7, S2, S3, S6 and S7). The recent work of Zheng et al. [24] suggests the

distributed assemblies may represent localization to the trans-Golgi network.

Still, it is important to note that the Wtf4Δ$^{10 poison}$ allele was non-toxic, despite assembling into

small, distributed assemblies indistinguishable from those generated by the wild-type protein

(S3 Fig). This exceptional protein highlights that even if self-assembly is critical for Wtf^{poison}

toxicity, it is not the only factor required. In addition, this allele offers an opportunity for future

work to explore features that distinguish toxic from nontoxic protein assemblies.

Our results also support an expanded, more nuanced role for homotypic protein assembly and

trafficking in Wtf^{antidote} function. First, we found that the antidote-specific domain that contains

the PY motifs is insufficient to promote vacuole trafficking, suggesting some other features of

Wtf proteins are also required (S8 Fig). We posit protein self-assembly could contribute to this

function. For Wtf^{antidote} neutralization of a Wtf^{poison}, we initially assumed that co-assembly of

Wtf^{antidote} with Wtf^{poison} proteins served only to physically link the proteins, thus enabling the

Wtf^{antdiote} to traffic the Wtf^{poison} to the vacuole [15]. We found, however, that physical linkage

between a Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} can be insufficient to ensure their co-trafficking to the vacuole

and neutralization of the Wtf^{poison}'s toxicity. We observed this insufficiency in experiments linking

two distinct pairs of non-matching Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} proteins with GFP-GBP tags (Figs 5

and S9). In addition, we found that the *wtf4-rep2^A* and *NT*-wtf4* alleles encode protein pairs in

which poison-antidote interaction appeared largely intact, but trafficking into the vacuole and

poison neutralization was disrupted (Figs 4 and 6). These experiments suggest that efficient co-

trafficking of Wtf^{poison}/ Wtf^{antidote} protein assemblies requires a particular conformation or strong

affinity between the interacting proteins not replicated in the ineffective Wtf^{poison}/Wtf^{antidote}

combinations mentioned above (Fig 7).

Moreover, our experiments surprisingly revealed that trafficking toxic Wtf assemblies to the

proper destination may not always be sufficient to ensure their neutralization. In previous work

using a different induction system than that employed here, we found that much of the trafficked

Wtf4^{poison}/Wtf4^{antidote} assemblies accumulated at the insoluble protein deposit (IPOD), in addition

to the vacuole [15] and the cells were viable. Similar, but generally smaller, assemblies can be

seen accumulating outside of the vacuole (likely the IPOD) in many of the viable cells we image

expressing Wtf^{antidote} proteins or compatible Wtf^{poison}/Wtf^{antidote} assemblies using the GAL

induction system employed in this study (e.g., Fig 6E panels expressing Wtf4^{antidote} and

Wtf4^{antidote}/Wtf4^{poison}). Similarly, Zheng et al [24] found that vacuole localization was not essential

for Wtf^{poison} neutralization as they observed that trafficking to the endosome can be sufficient.

Here, however, we observed that Wtf4^{poison}-GBP/Wtf61^{antidote}-GFP assemblies (and likely the

Wtf25^{poison}-GBP/Wtf1^{antidote}-GFP assemblies) were trafficked to the IPOD, but were still toxic

(Figs 5, 7 and S9). These results suggest that factors beyond localization, perhaps assembly

conformation, can also affect the toxicity of Wtf proteins.

Commonalities between Wtf proteins and other self-assembling proteins.

The Wtf proteins share broad parallels with other nonhomologous proteins that form

assemblies. For example, a sequence-independent common oligomeric property may underly

the toxicity of unrelated amyloid proteins [50]. While Wtf^{poison} proteins are related to each other,

their sequences are extremely diverged. We propose a common feature of their assembled

forms is likely responsible for their shared toxicity. Another feature Wtf proteins share with

several unrelated proteins is the capacity to form functional assemblies. Multiple amyloidogenic

proteins form functional amyloids that perform diverse biological functions, including long term

memory in flies [51], epigenetic inheritance in yeast [52] and biofilm formation in bacteria [53–

55]. Some functionally aggregating amyloids have also shown to be toxic at intermediate stages

of assembly, suggesting that protein toxicity could pose a risk in certain instances [56]. The co-

expression of Wtf^{antidote} with the toxic Wtf^{poison} results in a change in its localization and the

assembly properties of the Wtf^{poison}-Wtf^{antidote} complex. This suggests that protein-protein

interaction within a pair of corresponding Wtf proteins is similar to functional aggregation. While

the outcome of functional Wtf protein assembly is different (i.e., successful drive), the delicate

interplay between toxic and non-toxic protein assemblies is similar to functional aggregating

amyloids.

The use of E3 ubiquitin ligases to direct protein trafficking is an additional theme Wtf proteins

share with other self-assembling proteins acting in diverse cell signaling processes, including

immune response [57,58], prion disease [59] and other neurodegenerative disorders [60–66]. In

multiple cases, ubiquitination of a key protein results in its aggregation, differential trafficking to

specific intracellular locations or degradation, suggesting that this is a common mechanism for

enabling downstream signaling processes. Additionally, a lack of ubiquitination by the ligase

often results in toxic aggregates [59,62,65] or reduced functionality of the key protein [57,58],

which are very reminiscent of the Wtf[poison]/Wtf[antidote] assemblies discussed above.

Rapidly evolving coding sequence repeats can affect Wtf[poison]-Wtf[antidote] compatibility.

Most *S. pombe wtf* genes contain varying copy numbers of a sequence repeat in exon 3 [20].

The potential role of the exon 3 repeats was unclear, but all functionally validated *S. pombe wtf*

drivers contain the exon 3 repeats (S2 Table). One *wtf* gene (*wtf23* from the CBS5557 strain)

that lacks the exon 3 repeats has been tested in *S. pombe* and failed to cause drive in two strain

backgrounds, although it was not determined if the encoded proteins were non-functional or if

the driver was effectively suppressed [20,25]. Previous work also found that a mismatch of

repeat numbers in exon 3 between a Wtf13[poison] (five repeats) and Wtf18-2[antidote] (four repeats)

could still produce a compatible poison and antidote pair [26]. In this work, we found that

deleting the exon 3 repeats in *wtf4* (*wtf4-rep1Δ* allele) produced a functional, compatible Wtf[poison]

and Wtf[antidote] pair. The Wtf4-rep1Δ[poison], however, was not neutralized by the wild-type

Wtf4[antidote], which has two repeats (S12B Fig). This indicates that the exon 3 repeats can affect

Wtf[poison] and Wtf[antidote] compatibility in a context dependent fashion.

Many *S. pombe wtf* genes also contain a sequence repeat in exon 6 [20]. Repeats homologous

to those found in *S. pombe* exon 6 can also be found in the C-termini of many genes in *S.*

octosporus and *S. osmophilus* [19]. All functionally validated drivers contain repeats in *S.*

octosporus, but one functional *S. pombe* driver (*wtf35* from FY29033) lacks the repeats (S5

Table) [19,25]. Previous work demonstrated that a mismatch in the number of exon 6 repeats

could affect Wtf[poison] and Wtf[antidote] compatibility in *S. pombe* Wtf proteins [15,26]. This current

work extends previous work by showing with additional alleles of *wtf4* and novel alleles of *S.*

octosporus wtf25 that copy number mismatches in this region disrupt Wtf[poison] and Wtf[antidote]

compatibility.

Overall, our results support the model that the rapid copy number evolution of the repeats found

in *wtf* genes contribute to rapid innovation of novel Wtf[poison] and Wtf[antidote] pairs [19,20]. These

highly evolvable sequences have likely contributed to the long-term evolutionary success of *wtf*

drivers, as generating novel alleles allows frequent generation of novel drivers likely to be

heterozygous (and thus drive) in crosses. Frequent driver turnover may also complicate the

evolution of drive suppressors that are not other *wtf* genes. Still, this work reveals that these

hypermutable regions come with a burden as they contain the potential to generate self-killing

alleles, which are discussed below.

Wtf fitness landscape likely includes self-killing alleles.

Our analyses of mutations in the repeats found in exon 6 of *S. pombe* genes revealed a novel

self-killing phenotype in which a *wtf* allele can encode a toxic Wtf[poison] that is not effectively

neutralized by its corresponding Wtf[antidote]. Such an allele is expected to lead to a dominant loss

of fertility, analogous to mutations where the antidote protein expression or function is disrupted

[17,22,24]. This phenotype was strongest in mutants that changed the sequence of the repeats

(i.e., *wtf4-rep2[sc]*, *wtf4-rep2[A]* and *wtf25-rep[Sk]*). These mutations are rather dramatic and have a

low probability of arising spontaneously in nature.

A weaker version of the self-killing phenotype was, however, also observed in mutations that

deleted the repeats. Interestingly, the deleterious effects of removing the exon 6 repeats in *wtf4*

(*wtf4-rep2Δ* allele) could be suppressed by also deleting the exon 3 repeats (*wtf4-rep1-2Δ*

allele) (S12C and S12D Fig). This suppression, in addition to the one functional driver known to

lack the exon 6 repeats, shows that changes in other regions of the protein can compensate for

the missing repeats. Still, the existence of *wtf* genes without the repeats, and extensive gene

conversion within the family, suggests that novel deleterious repeat deletion mutations are likely

to arise recurrently in natural populations [19–21].

We may have also fortuitously sampled one largely self-killing allele from a natural population

that did not have disrupted repeats, suggesting there are multiple paths to generating such

alleles. The *wtf41* gene from *S. osmophilus* encodes a toxic Wtf41[poison] that is not efficiently

neutralized by the corresponding Wtf41[antidote] protein (S5C Fig, [19]). All together, we propose

self-killing *wtf* alleles could contribute to recurrent, spontaneous sub-fertility or infertility. We

propose this spontaneous sub-fertility could be a persistent burden on the population fitness of

all *Schizosaccharomyces* species carrying *wtf* drivers.

Rapid evolution and the risk of self-killing alleles.

Beyond *wtf* genes, there are many known killer meiotic drivers [3,5,6,8,9,11]. There are also

likely many more yet to be discovered, given their accelerated pace of discovery in recent years.

Although the genes causing drive in different systems are not homologous, they often share

mechanistic and evolutionary themes [13,14,67]. Those themes include production of

poisons/killer elements and rapid evolution. The *wtf* genes have illustrated that high evolvability,

via nonallelic gene conversion and mutable coding sequence repeats, can facilitate the

evolutionary success of meiotic drivers [19,21,68]. This work reveals that rapid evolution of killer

elements also presents the risk of generating self-killing alleles. There is no reason to suppose

such risks would be specific to the *wtf* killers. Instead, we posit that such self-killing alleles may

be a widespread source of recurrent, spontaneous infertility in eukaryotes.

Materials and Methods

Cloning

We confirmed all the vectors described in this study by Sanger sequencing or by Nanopore

sequencing via Plasmidsaurus. The specifics for the yeast strains used in this study are listed in

S4 Table, plasmids are in S5 Table and the oligos are in S6 Table.

S. cerevisiae **vectors:**

Generation of a Gal-inducible GFP vector to tag alleles: We amplified GFP-ADH1 terminator

from a previously published plasmid, pSZB464 [15] with oligos 3744+3743. We digested this

product with SacI and SpeI and cloned it into pDK20 [69] to generate pSZB1528. We then

isolated the Gal promoter-GFP-ADH1T after digestion with SacI and KpnI and cloned it into a

SacI-KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1540.

Generation of a Gal-inducible mCherry vector to tag alleles: We amplified mCherry-ADH1

terminator from pFA6a-mCherry-kanMX6 [71] with oligos 3745+3743. We digested this product

with SacI and SpeI and cloned it into pDK20 [69] to generate pSZB1526. We then isolated the

Gal promoter-mCherry-ADH1T after digestion with SacI and KpnI and cloned it into a SacI-KpnI

digested pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1537.

Generation of a Gal-inducible mEos3.1 vector to tag alleles: We digested V08 [30] with SacI and

KpnI to release the fragment with Gal promoter- 4x(EAAAR) linker-mEos3.1. We then cloned

this into SacI-KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1460.

Generation of a monomer mEos3.1 in an ARS/CEN plasmid: We cut out Gal-monomer

mEos3.1-cyc1T from RHX0935 [30] with SacI and KpnI, and cloned into SacI, KpnI cut pRS316

[70] to generate pSZB1514.

Generation of Gal-inducible wtf alleles:

S. kambucha wtf4 exon 1: The 136 base pairs that makes up exon 1 of *S. kambucha wtf4* was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1552.

S. kambucha wtf4-rep1Δ: We deleted 66 base pairs (bases 313-378 of poison coding

sequence) that make up the exon 3 coding sequence repeats in *S. kambucha wtf4poison* and

cloned it into pSZB1460 to generate pSZB1565. We repeated the same deletion in *S.*

kambucha wtf4antidote (bases 439-504 of antidote coding sequence) and this construct was

synthesized and cloned it into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1736.

S. kambucha wtf4-rep2Δ: We deleted 30 base pairs (bases 802-831 of poison coding

sequence) that make up the exon 6 repeats in *S. kambucha wtf4* and this construct was

synthesized and cloned it into pSZB1460 to generate pSZB1566 by IDT. To construct the

mutant antidote, we deleted bases 928-957 of the antidote coding sequence and this construct

was synthesized and cloned it into pSZB1537 by IDT generate pSZB1737.

S. kambucha wtf4-rep1-2Δ: We deleted 66 base pairs (bases 313-378 of poison coding

sequence) and 30 base pairs (bases 802-831 of poison coding sequence) to delete both the

exon 3 and 6 coding sequence repeats in *S. kambucha wtf4.* This construct was synthesized

and cloned into pSZB1460 to generate pSZB1670. To construct the mutant antidote, we deleted

66 base pairs (bases 439-504 of antidote coding sequence) and 33 base pairs (bases 928-957

of antidote coding sequence). This construct was synthesized and cloned it into pSZB1537 by

IDT generate pSZB1738.

S. kambucha wtf4-rep2sc: We randomly scrambled the 10 amino acids that make up the exon 6

coding sequence repeats in *S. kambucha wtf4poison* and then reordered the codons to match the

amino acids. We then replaced the wild-type 30 base pairs with the scrambled 30 base pairs

(TTTGGGAGAGCGAGAGGGATAGGTAATATA) and this construct was synthesized and

cloned into pSZB1460 to generate pSZB1742. To construct the mutant antidote, we replaced

the exon 6 coding sequence repeats with the same scrambled 30 base pairs, and this construct

was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1740.

S. kambucha wtf4-rep2^A: We replaced the 30 base pairs that make up the exon 6 coding

sequence repeats with alanine codons (GCAGCGGCTGCCGCTGCAGCTGCCGCAGCG) in *S.*

kambucha wtf4^{poison} and this construct was synthesized and was cloned into pSZB1460 to

generate pSZB1743. To construct the mutant antidote, we replaced the exon 6 coding

sequence repeats with the same alanine codons, and this construct was synthesized and

cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1741.

S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}-ex1^{int}: We inserted exon 1 in between exons 3 and 4 of *S. kambucha*

wtf4^{poison} and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1616. To maintain the in-frame codons, we inserted exon 1 at 541 base pairs of the poison

coding sequence, which is one base pair before exon 3 ends.

S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}-ex1: We inserted exon 1 before the stop codon of *S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}*

coding sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to

generate pSZB1555.

S. kambucha wtf4-TMD1Δ: We used TMHMM2.0 [71,72] to predict transmembrane topology

(see S3 Table for a detailed description). With these predictions as guidance, we deleted the

first predicted transmembrane domain (bases 121-186 of poison coding sequence) from in *S.*

kambucha wtf4^{poison} and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to

generate pSZB1561.

S. kambucha wtf4-TMD2Δ: We deleted the second predicted transmembrane domain (bases

232-291 of poison coding sequence) of *S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}* coding sequence and this

construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1562.

S. kambucha wtf4-TMD6Δ: We deleted the sixth predicted transmembrane domain (bases 580-

648 of poison coding sequence) of *S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}* coding sequence and this construct

was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1563.

S. kambucha wtf4-ex2Δ: We deleted exon 2 (bases 11-283) of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding

sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1556.

S. kambucha wtf4-ex3Δ: We deleted exon 3 (bases 284-541) of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding

sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1557.

S. kambucha wtf4- ex4Δ: We deleted exon 4 (bases 542-733) of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding

sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1558.

S. kambucha wtf4-ex5Δ: We deleted exon 5 (bases 734-796) of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding

sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1559.

S. kambucha wtf4-ex6Δ: We deleted exon 6 (bases 797-885) of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding

sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate

pSZB1560. To construct the mutant antidote, we deleted exon 6 and this construct was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1899.

S. kambucha wtf4-consΔ: From previous analysis of *S. pombe wtf* genes, a conserved region

within exon 3 was identified [20]. This conserved region within exon 3 was 29 base pairs long

(bases 284-312) in *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding sequence. To maintain in-frame codons, we

included one base pair upstream the conserved region, and made a 30 base pairs deletion

(bases 283-312) in *S. kambucha wtf4^poison* coding sequence and this construct was synthesized

and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1617.

S. kambucha wtf4-Δ^10-poison-mEos: We amplified a 10 amino acid truncated poison from

pSZB464 [15] with oligos 3183+3186 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly

(New England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1402. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned

the insert into SacI, KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1505.

S. kambucha wtf4-Δ[10-antidote]-mCherry: We amplified 10 amino acid truncated antidote from

pSZB708 [15] with oligos 1402+3138, and mCherry-cyc1T from pSZB708 [15] with oligos

3139+2170. We then stitched these pieces with oligos 1402+2170. We cut this fragment with

XhoI, BamHI and cloned this into XhoI, BamHI cut pDK20 [69] to generate pSZB1416. We cut

this plasmid with KpnI and XhoI and cloned the insert into KpnI, XhoI cut pRS314 [70] to

generate pSZB1550.

S. kambucha wtf4-Δ[20-poison]-mEos: We amplified 20 amino acid truncated poison from pSZB464

[15] with oligos 3183+3282 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New

England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1444. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned the

insert into SacI, KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1507.

S. kambucha wtf4-Δ[20-antidote]-mCherry: We amplified 20 amino acid truncated antidote from

pSZB708 [15] with oligos 1402+3829. We digested this fragment with XhoI and BamHI and

cloned the insert into XhoI, BamHI cut pSZB1537 to generate pSZB1567.

S. kambucha wtf4[poison]-mCherry: We amplified *S. kambucha wtf4[poison]-mCherry-cyc1T* from

pSZB708 [15] with oligos 2625+964. This product was cut with BamHI and XhoI and cloned into

BamHI, XhoI cut pDK20 [69] to generate pSZB1374. We cut this plasmid with KpnI and XhoI

and cloned the insert into KpnI, XhoI cut pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1381.

S. kambucha wtf4[poison]-mEos: We digested RHX1389 [15] with KpnI, SacI and ligated the insert

with KpnI, SacI cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1455. This plasmid had the start site of the

poison mutated to TAG, which was then corrected to ATG by GenScript to generate pSZB1476.

S. kambucha wtf4[poison]-GBP-mCherry: We added the GFP-binding protein sequence from

Addgene plasmid #89068 [74] at the end of *S. kambucha wtf4[poison]* and this construct was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1748.

S. kambucha NT*-wtf4[poison]-mEos: We added the mutated N-terminal domain (D40K, K65D)

from the flagelliform spidroin 1A variant 1 from *Trichonephila clavipes*, NT* [34,35], followed by

a TEV cleavage site (ENLYFQS) [75] at the N-terminus of *S. kambucha wtf4[poison]* coding

sequence, which was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1900.

S. kambucha MBP-wtf4^poison^-mEos: We added the *S. cerevisiae* codon-optimized *E. coli* Maltose

Binding Protein (MBP) coding sequence [76,77] followed by a 4X(GGGS)-GG linker to the N-

terminus of *S. kambucha wtf4^poison^* coding sequence, which was synthesized and cloned into

pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1949.

S. kambucha wtf4^antidote^-GFP: We ordered *S. kambucha wtf4^antidote^* which was synthesized and

cloned into pSZB1540 by IDT to generate pSZB1874.

S. kambucha wtf4^antidote^-mCherry: We amplified *S. kambucha wtf4^antidote^-mCherry-cyc1T* from

pSZB1005 [15] with oligos 1402+2170. This product was digested with BamHI and XhoI and

cloned into BamHI, XhoI cut pDK20 [69] to generate pSZB1699. This plasmid was digested with

KpnI, XhoI and ligated with KpnI, XhoI cut pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1774.

S. kambucha wtf4^antidote^-mEos: We digested pSZB1120 [7] with KpnI, SacI and ligated the insert

into KpnI, SacI cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1453. This plasmid had the start site of the

antidote mutated to TAG, which was then corrected to ATG by GenScript to generate

pSZB1477.

S. octosporus wtf25^poison^-mEos: We amplified *S. octosporus wtf25^poison^* from pSZB1353 [19] with

oligos 3841+3840 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England

Biolabs) to generate pSZB1548. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned the insert into

SacI, KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1585.

S. octosporus wtf25^poison^-mCherry: We ordered *S. octosporus wtf25^poison^* coding sequence was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1807.

S. octosporus wtf25^poison^-GBP-mCherry: We added the GFP-binding protein sequence from

Addgene plasmid #89068 [74] at the end of *S. octosporus wtf25^poison^* coding sequence and this

construct was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1868.

S. octosporus wtf25^antidote^-mCherry: We ordered *S. octosporus wtf25^antidote^* coding sequence was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1746.

S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}-mEos: We ordered *S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}* coding sequence was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1806.

S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}-mEos in pRS314: We amplified *S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}* from

pSZB1347 [19] with oligos 3839+3840. This insert was cloned into V08 [30] via Golden Gate

cloning (New England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1593. We digested this with KpnI, SacI and

cloned the insert into pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1598.

S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}-GFP: We ordered *S. octosporus wtf25^{antidote}* coding sequence was

synthesized and cloned into pSZB1540 by IDT to generate pSZB1869.

S. octosporus wtf25-repΔ: We deleted 30 base pairs (bases 505-534) that make up the exon 4

repeats in *S. octosporus wtf25^{poison}* and was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to

generate pSZB1687. To construct the mutant antidote, we deleted bases 640-669 of the

antidote coding sequence and this construct was synthesized and cloned it into pSZB1540 by

IDT generate pSZB1739.

S. octosporus wtf25-rep^{Sk}: To swap the coding sequence repeats between *S. kambucha wtf4*

exon 6 and *S. octosporus wtf25* exon 4, we replaced the 30 base pairs from *wtf25*

(ATAGGAAACGGTGCACGGCATAGGAAAT) with 30 base pairs from *wtf4*

(ATAGGGAATATAGGGAGAGCGTTTAGAGGT) in *S. octosporus wtf25*. The mutant poison

was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1732. The mutant antidote

was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1731.

S. octosporus wtf25Δ^{10-poison}-mEos: We amplified a 10 amino acid C-terminal truncated

wtf25^{poison} from pSZB1353 [19] with oligos 4173+4174 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden

Gate assembly (New England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1675. We then cut out the tagged

poison with SacI and KpnI and ligated it with cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1694.

S. octosporus NT*-wtf25^{poison}: We added the mutated N-terminal domain (D40K, K65D) from the

flagelliform spidroin 1A variant 1 from *Trichonephila clavipes*, NT* [33,34], followed by a TEV

cleavage site (ENLYFQS) [75] at the N-terminus of *S. octosporus wtf25^{poison}* coding sequence,

which was synthesized and cloned into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1927.

S. octosporus MBP-wtf25^{poison}-mEos: We added the *S. cerevisiae* codon-optimized *E. coli*
Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) coding sequence [76,77] followed by a 4X(GGGS)-GG linker to
the N-terminus of *S. octosporus wtf25^{poison}* coding sequence, which was synthesized and cloned
into pSZB1460 by IDT to generate pSZB1950.
949
950 *S. octosporus wtf61^{poison}-mEos:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf61^{poison}* from pSZB1040 [19] with
951 oligos 3837+3838 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England
952 Biolabs) to generate pSZB1569. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned the insert into
953 SacI, KpnI digested pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1583.
954
955 *S. octosporus wtf61^{poison}-mCherry:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf61^{poison}* from pSZB1040 [19]
956 with oligos 4193+4194. We digested this product with XhoI, BamHI and cloned this into XhoI,
957 BamHI cut pSZB1537 to generate pSZB1706.
958
959 *S. octosporus wtf61^{antidote}-GFP:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf61^{antidote}* from pSZB1095 [19] with
960 oligos 4192+4194. We digested this product with XhoI, BamHI and cloned this into with XhoI,
961 BamHI cut pSZB1540 to generate pSZB1708.
962
963 *S. octosporus wtf61^{antidote}-mEos:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf61^{antidote}* from pSZB1095 [19]
964 with oligos 3836+3837 and cloned into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England
965 Biolabs) to generate pSZB1645. We then digested pSZB1645 with KpnI and SacI and ligated it
966 with KpnI, BamHI cut pRS314 to generate pSZB1647.
967
968 *S. cryophilus wtf1^{poison}-mEos*: We amplified *S. cryophilus wtf1^{poison}* from pSZB1122 [19] with
969 oligos 3844+3843 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England
970 Biolabs) to generate pSZB1544. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned the insert into
971 SacI, KpnI digested pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1575.
972
973 *S. cryophilus wtf1^{poison}-mCherry*: We ordered *S. cryophilus wtf1^{poison}* which was synthesized and
974 cloned into pSZB1537 by IDT to generate pSZB1870.
975
S. cryophilus wtf1^{antidote}-mEos: We amplified *S. cryophilus wtf1^{antidote}* from pSZB1192 [19] with
oligos 3843+3842 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England

Biolabs) to generate pSZB1605. We digested this with SacI and KpnI and cloned the insert into

SacI, KpnI digested pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1612.

S. cryophilus wtf1^{antidote}*-GFP:* We ordered *S. cryophilus wtf1*^{antidote} which was synthesized and

cloned into pSZB1540 by IDT to generate pSZB1871.

S. cryophilus wtf1Δ^{10-poison}*:* We amplified a 10 amino acid C-terminal truncated *wtf1*^{poison} from

pSZB1122 [19] and oligos 4177+4178 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly

(New England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1673. We then cut out the tagged poison with SacI,

KpnI and cloned into cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1692.

S. osmophilus wtf41^{poison}*-mEos:* We cloned *S. osmophilus wtf41*^{poison} from pSZB1327 [19] with

oligos 3361+3362 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England

Biolabs) to generate pSZB1533. We then cut out the tagged poison with SacI, KpnI and cloned

into cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1581.

S. osmophilus wtf41Δ^{10-poison}*-mEos:* We cloned C-terminus 10 amino acid truncated *S.*

osmophilus wtf41^{poison} from pSZB1325 [19] with oligos 4175+4176 and cloned this into V08 [30]

via Golden Gate assembly (New England Biolabs) to generate pSZB1676. We then cut out the

tagged poison with SacI, KpnI and cloned into cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1696.

S. osmophilus wtf41^{antidote}*-mEos:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf41*^{antidote} from pSZB1325 [19]

with oligos 3832+3362 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England

Biolabs) to generate pSZB1599. We then cut out the tagged poison with SacI, KpnI and cloned

into cut pRS314 [70] to generate pSZB1607.

1003

1004   *S. osmophilus wtf19*^{poison}*-mEos:* We amplified *S. osmophilus wtf19*^{poison} from pSZB1324 [19] with

1005   oligos 3835+3834 and cloned this into V08 [30] via Golden Gate assembly (New England

1006   Biolabs) to generate pSZB1546. We then cut out the tagged poison with SacI, KpnI and cloned

1007   into cut pRS316 [70] to generate pSZB1579.

1008

1009   **Strain construction**

1010

1011   *S. cerevisiae strains with Galactose and β-estradiol inducible systems:*

1012

We used the previously published strain, SZY1637 [15] and an independently constructed

isolates of SZY1637, SZY1639 or SZY5807, to construct the strains in this study by

transforming in the appropriate vectors. These strains have the lexA-ER-haB42 transcription

factor integrated at *HIS3* [78]. In general, we used a protocol, modified from [79], to transform

the vectors into these strains. Briefly, we incubated a mixture of 276μL of PLATE solution, 50μL

of boiled salmon sperm DNA, 30μL of water, 1-4μL of vector DNA and a match-head sized

amount of yeast at 30°C overnight and plated on selective media on the following day to select

for transformants. We used Synthetic Complete (SC) media (Yeast Nitrogen Base from VWR

#291940 and SC Supplement mix from SunSci) with the appropriate dropouts of the selective

components to maintain vectors in the strains as in [15]. In some cases, transformants did not

express the construct when induced. To ensure proper testing of genotypes, we screened

multiple transformants via cytometry or microscopy to ensure that strains express the

fluorescently tagged construct(s).

1026

1027   *Construction of S. cerevisiae strain with mEosNB-FTH1:*

1028

1029   We mated RHY3171 [31] to BY4741 [80] to obtain the progeny (SZY6594) that contained the

1030   *hoΔ::natMX::tTa{Off}tet07^mEosNB-(G4s)3-FTH1* construct, but lacked the *pGal-WHI5::hphMX*

1031   construct.

1032

1033   **Spot assays**

1034

For spot assays, we first grew the strains for each experiment in the appropriate SC dropout

media overnight at 30°C. The next day, we measured the OD600 of each strain and normalized

the OD of all the strains to an OD of ~1. We then serially diluted these normalized cultures 10-

fold (up to the dilution of 10^{-5}) in water and plated 5μL of each dilution on both SC dropout

media and SC Galactose dropout media. We imaged the plates post 72h of growth at 30°C,

except in the case of Figs 4B, S6B and S6C, where plates were grown for an additional day at

30°C to clearly visualize differences in poison toxicity.

1042

For the spot assays presented in Fig 3D, we first grew the strains in 5mL SC-URA (uracil) media

overnight at 30°C. The next day, we pelleted overnight cultures and resuspended in 1mL of

sterile water. We then used 100μL of this as the first in the dilution series. This was diluted 5-

fold, up to eleven dilutions in order to observe the toxicity/suppression clearly. We plated 5μL of

each dilution on SC-URA media, SC Galactose-URA media and SC Galactose-URA media with

40mg/L Doxycycline (Calbiochem #324385). We imaged the plates post 72h of growth at 30°C.

1049

For the spot assays presented in S7B Fig, we first grew the strains in 5mL SC-TRP-URA media

overnight at 30°C. The next day, we pelleted overnight cultures and resuspended in 1mL of

sterile water. We then used 100μL of this as the first in the dilution series. This was diluted 5-

fold, up to 11 dilutions in order to observe the toxicity clearly. We plated 5μL of each dilution on

SC-TRP-URA media and SC Galactose-TRP-URA media. We imaged the plates post 72h of

growth at 30°C.

1056

1057   **Microscopy**

1058

The appropriate strains for each experiment were first grown in 5mL of the appropriate SC

dropout media overnight at 30°C. The next day, we inoculated 3mL of SC Raffinose dropout

media with 1mL of the saturated overnight cultures and let them grow overnight at 30°C. On the

following day, we pelleted the cultures and resuspended the cells in 3mL SC Galactose dropout

media and incubated at 30°C. Cells were induced for 4-6 hours on SC Galactose dropout media

and then imaged on a Zeiss LSM 980 confocal microscope which consisted of an Axio Observer

Z1 base, through a 40x C-Apochromat (NA = 1.2) water immersion objective. The GFP and

mCherry tagged proteins were excited at 488 and 561 nm, respectively. Emission was collected

in channel mode onto a GaAsP detector in photon counting mode through a 491-544 nm

bandpass for GFP and a 570-632 nm bandpass for mCherry. Transmitted light was also

collected. Image fields of view were zoomed optically to a ~26 μm square with 512 pixels in

each dimension.

1071

For imaging cells expressing *S. octosporus* Wtf61[antidote]-GFP, *S. kambucha* Wtf4[poison]-GBP-mCh

and Rnq1-mCardinal, we first grew cells in SC-Trp-Ura-Leu media. The next day, we inoculated

3mL of SC Raffinose-Trp-Ura-Leu media with 1mL of the saturated overnight cultures and let

them grow overnight at 30°C. The following day, we pelleted the cultures and resuspended the

cells in 3mL SC Galactose-Trp-Ura-Leu + 500nM β-estradiol (VWR, # #AAAL03801-03) media

and incubated at 30°C. Cells were induced for 4 hours and then imaged as described above,

except that we also excited mCardinal tagged proteins at 640nm and collected the emission on

channel mode onto a 650-700 nm bandpass detector of the Zeiss LSM 980 confocal

microscope.

1081

1082 **Spectral unmixing for mEosNB-FTH1 strains**

1083

For imaging the mEosNB-FTH1 strains, we first grew the strains in 5mL SC-URA+40mg/L

Doxycycline. The next day, we inoculated 3mL of both SC Raffinose-URA and SC Raffinose-

URA+40mg/L Doxycycline media with 1mL of the saturated overnight cultures and let them

grow overnight at 30°C. The following day, we pelleted the cultures and resuspended the cells

in 3mL of both SC Galactose-URA and SC Galactose-URA+40mg/L Doxycycline and incubated

at 30°C. The rest of the methodology was as described in the section above.

1090

The background fluorescence for strains constructed with SZY6594 had high autofluorescence

in the GFP channel. To distinguish autofluorescence from true mEos signal, we spectrally

unmixed the images. First, we captured spectral images with the lambda mode on the detector

of the Zeiss LSM 980 confocal microscope (same settings as above), exciting the cells at 488

nm and collecting emission over the entire visual spectrum. We then made reference spectra for

mEos and autofluorescence from control cells, and then spectrally unmixed images using an in-

house written plugin on ImageJ (https://research.stowers.org/imagejplugins/). The data

presented in Fig 3C has transmitted light images collected on the channel mode with GFP

excitation, and the spectrally unmixed images collected on lambda mode.

1100

1101 **Image analysis to quantify colocalization of mEos and mCherry signals in tagged**

1102 **constructs**

1103

Cell regions of interest (ROIs) were found based on the transmitted light only using Cellpose

(https://www.cellpose.org/) in Python using the "cyto" model and a diameter of 200. Pixels from

these ROIs were then background subtracted using an average background signal from an ROI

placed away from any cell. The Scipy Pearson Correlation for each cell ROI was then calculated

for all pixels in each cell. Dead cells and aberrant ROIs were hand filtered out using Fiji

(https://fiji.sc/). Find the raw data for these analyses in S1 Data.

1110

1111 **DAmFRET**

1112

We performed the analysis similar to the methods in [15], with a few exceptions. We grew the

strains in the same method described for microscopy, however, we induced the cells for 5-6

hours. We then photoconverted the cells post induction for 5 minutes, using the OmniCure

S2000 Elite UV lamp for photoconversion. The total power over 5 minutes of exposure amounts

to 12.048 J/cm^2. The sample collection and data analysis were similar to methods described in

[15] with a few exceptions. We included an empty vector strain in each experiment to effectively

exclude auto fluorescent cell populations. All the cells for each experiment were induced at the

same time, for the same amount of time, and were grown in the same 96-well plate. Three

technical replicates were included for each sample.

1122

There was only one fluorescent population that was independent of expression level for each

construct analyzed. In order to clearly visualize AmFRET values across the cells expressing

different constructs, we analyzed cells above a certain Acceptor fluorescence intensity threshold

set for each experiment. For each set of experimental data, the median of the Wtf4^{poison}-mEos

AmFRET values was calculated. The difference of this median value from 1 was then added to

all the datasets within an experiment. For visualizing the results of the DAmFRET experiments,

plots of AmFRET values were generated using GraphPad Prism 10 (Version 10.2.3 (347)).

1130

Statistical analysis for the DAmFRET experiments involved pooling the technical replicates and

performing pairwise t-tests comparing these values to either the wild-type Wtf4^{poison}-mEos

dataset, or the monomer dataset. For each DAmFRET experiment, we then did a multiple

sampling correction (Bonferroni correction) by dividing the p value cutoff (0.05) by the number of

tests performed. Find the raw data and statistical analysis for each DAmFRET experiment in S4

Data.

1137

1138 We used GraphPad Prism 10 (Version 10.2.3 (347)) to visualize acceptor fluorescence intensity

1139 values of live cells expressing the relevant Wtf^{poison} constructs presented in S6 Fig. Three

1140 technical replicates of each isolate were plotted, with the median included. Find the raw data for

1141 this analysis in S2 Data.

1142

1143 **Figure legends**

1144

Figure 1. Features of *wtf4* and mutant alleles.

A. A cartoon of *S. kambucha wtf4* coding sequence (CDS). Wtf4[antidote] coding sequence is

shown in magenta, which includes exons 1-6. The Wtf4[poison] coding sequence is shown in cyan,

which includes 21 base pairs from intron 1 (in grey), and exons 2-6. **B.** Features of Wtf4[antidote]

and Wtf4[poison] proteins. Row 1 shows predicted secondary structure domains and functional

motifs, including PY motifs (in mustard) and predicted transmembrane domains (in red). Row 2

shows the coding sequence repeats in exon 3 and exon 6. Row 3 highlights a well-conserved

region 9 amino acids long. Row 4 is the normalized hydrophobicity of the Wtf4 proteins from

ProtScale, with the Kyle and Doolittle Hydropathy scale [81]. The higher the number on the

scale, the higher the hydrophobicity of the amino acid. See S5 and S6 Tables for detailed

descriptions. **C.** Percentage amino acid identity of Wtf[antidotes] from 33 *wtf* driver genes from four

isolates of *S. pombe* [20]. The antidote sequences were aligned using Geneious Prime

(2023.0.4) and the percentage amino acid identity is depicted as a heatmap, with yellow being

100% identity. *S. kambucha wtf4* CDS is shown below for comparison, with the exons labeled

corresponding to the consensus. Labeled areas within exons 3 and 6, where identity is low,

represent the expansion and contraction of the coding sequence repeats of different *wtf* genes.

D. Cartoon of *wtf4* mutants constructed in this study. Each mutant category was constructed

based on a specific feature mentioned above. The categories are depicted with a wild type *wtf4*

allele at the top. See S1 Table for a comprehensive overview of the alleles and their

phenotypes.

1165

Figure 2. Extremely diverged toxic Wtf[poison] proteins exhibit similar self-assembly and

intracellular localization.

A. Cartoon illustrating the Distributed Amphifluoric FRET (DAmFRET) assay (modified from

[30]). AmFRET values for individual cells were calculated by dividing the acceptor fluorescence

by the FRET fluorescence, both measured via cytometry. **B.** Combined AmFRET values for

three technical replicates of the specified Wtf[poison]-mEos proteins and monomer-mEos (negative

control). X^P represents the WtfX poison proteins tested here. The median is indicated with a

solid line and the bars represent the interquartile range. For easier comparison, the values were

normalized so that Wtf4[poison] had a median of 1 in each experiment. The data shown here do not

include outliers. See S2 Data for the complete dataset and p-values. Statistical significance:

*$p<0.01$; t-tests with Bonferroni correction. **C.** A spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on

SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates. Each strain carries an empty [*TRP1*] plasmid, and

either an empty [*URA3*] plasmid (EV) or the indicated *wtf[poison]-mEos* allele under the control of a

galactose-inducible promoter. The plates were grown at 30°C for 3 days. **D.** Representative

images of the same strains depicted in C were induced in galactose media for 4 hours at 30°C to

express the indicated mEos-tagged proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and

contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. Yellow arrows indicate

endoplasmic reticulum-like localization. TL is transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 µm.

1184

Figure 3. Increasing poison assembly with tags suppresses Wtf^{poison} toxicity.

A. A cartoon of the constructs used in this experiment (C-D). The mEosNB-FTH1 construct was

integrated into the genome and is under the control of a doxycycline-repressible promoter.

mEosNB is a nanobody that binds mEos. The *wtf^{poison}* alleles are carried on a [*URA3*] plasmid

and are under the control of a galactose-inducible promoter. **B.** Cartoon of the constructs

expressed on each medium used: SC-Ura, SC Galactose-Ura + 40 mg/L doxycycline, and SC

Galactose-Ura. FTH1 is a 24-mer but is depicted as an 8-mer core. **C.** Representative images of

cells induced with galactose media (with or without 40mg/L Doxycycline) for 4 hours at 30°C to

express the indicated Wtf^{poison}mEos proteins. The cells induced without Doxycycline (-Dox) also

express mEosNB-FTH1. This strain background exhibits high autofluorescence, so we

spectrally unmixed the signal to remove autofluorescence (See Methods). The images are not

at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. TL

is transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 µm. **D.** A spot assay of cells carrying the constructs

illustrated in A-C serially diluted on SC-Ura, SC Galactose-Ura + 40mg/L Doxycycline and SC

Galactose-Ura plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries either an empty [*URA3*]

plasmid (EV) or the indicated *wtf^{poison}-mEos* allele. These media induce the expression of

mEosNB-FTH1 (i), the indicated Wtf^{poison}-mEos protein (ii), or both (iii), respectively. The

horizontal break in the image of each plate is due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate

easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-

URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA + 40mg/L Doxycycline plate).

1205

Figure 4. Reducing Wtf4^{poison} assembly with NT* tag increases toxicity and affects its

rescue by Wtf4^{antidote}.

A. Cartoon of alleles used in this experiment (B-F). The NT* tag has a general anti-aggregation

property [34]. **B.** A spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-

TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 4 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*]

plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the control

of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal break in the image for each plate is due to a

rearrangement of the image to facilitate easy comparison. All strains were grown on the same

plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **C.** AmFRET values for three

technical replicates of the specified Wtf4^{poison}-mEos alleles and monomer-mEos (negative

control). The median is indicated with a solid line and the bars represent the interquartile range.

For easier comparison, the values were normalized so that Wtf4^{poison} had a median of 1 in each

experiment. Since Wtf4-20^{poison}-mEos cells were very low in number, we pooled two biological

replicates (n=6 technical replicates). The data shown here do not include outliers. See S2 Data

for the complete dataset and p-values. Statistical significance: *p<0.025, t-tests with Bonferroni

correction. **D.** A spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-

TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*]

plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the control

of galactose-inducible promoters. All strains were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-

URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **E.** Representative images of the same strains depicted in D

were induced with galactose media for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated Wtf4^{poison}-mEos

proteins and/or Wtf4^{antidote}-mCherry. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast

settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. 4^{P} indicates Wtf4^{poison}, 4^{A} indicates

Wtf4^{antidote}, TL is transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm. **F**. Pearson's Correlation between

mCherry and mEos signal in cells from E expressing the specified proteins. N>100, *** p<0.001,

t-test.

1232

Figure 5. Effective neutralization of Wtf4^{poison} requires more than a physical connection to

a Wtf^{antidote}.

A. Cartoon of constructs used in this experiment. *S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}* was tagged at the C-

terminus with either mCherry or GBP-mCherry (GBP: GFP-binding protein). *S. kambucha*

wtf4^{antidote} was tagged with mEos or GFP. *S. octosporus wtf61^{antidote}* was tagged with GFP. *S.*

octosporus wtf61^{poison} was tagged with mCherry. **B.** Experimental set up and summary of the

results shown in C and D. In a matching Wtf protein pair (top), poison-antidote interaction and

rescue of poison toxicity is observed. In the mismatched pair (bottom), interaction between GFP

and GBP results in a forced interaction between the poison and the antidote (shown in D). This

interaction is insufficient to rescue the mismatched poison (shown in C). **C.** Spot assay of cells

serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for

3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty

(EV) or carry the indicated *wtf* alleles under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The

horizontal break in the image of each plate is due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate

easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-

URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **D.** Representative images of the same strains shown in C

were induced in galactose for 4 hours at 30˚C to express the indicated Wtf proteins. **E.**

Representative images of cells induced with galactose and 500nM β-estradiol for 4 hours at

30˚C to produce the indicated proteins. Rnq1-mCardinal marks the insoluble protein deposit

(IPOD) that is associated with the vacuole [46–48]. In D-E, the images are not at the same

brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. 4^P indicates

Wtf4^{poison}, 4^A indicates Wtf4^{antidote}, 61^P indicates Wtf61^{poison}, 61^A indicates Wtf61^{antidote}, TL is

transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 µm.

1256

Figure 6. Modification of *wtf4* exon 6 CDS repeats can disrupt antidote rescue.

A. Cartoon of two coding sequence repeat mutants of *S. kambucha wtf4*. **B**. Logo representing

the amino acids encoded by the repeats found in exon 6 of *S. pombe wtf* genes from [20]. **C.**

The amino acids encoded by the exon 6 repeats in *S. kambucha wtf4* and the *wtf4-rep2^{sc}* and

wtf4-rep2^A mutant alleles. **D.** Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA

and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30˚C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*]

and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles

under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the image of each

plate are due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a

panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **E.**

Representative images the same strains depicted in D were induced in galactose for 4 hours at

30˚C to express the indicated Wtf proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and

contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. The arrows in the TL panels

highlight vacuoles. 4^P indicates Wtf4^{poison}, 4^A indicates Wtf4^{antidote}, TL indicates transmitted light,

and the scale bar is 4 µm. **F**. Pearson's Correlation between mEos and mCherry signal in cells

expressing the specified constructs from E. N>100, ***p<0.001, t-test.

1273

Figure 7. Analyses of Wtf proteins reveal additional functional constraints.

A. A dispersed Wtf^{poison} localization and poison-poison assembly can be observed across

greatly diverged proteins and are associated with Wtf^{poison} toxicity. However, assembly and/or

localization alone are insufficient to cause toxicity. In multiple examples of non-toxic proteins (an

example is included in each panel), we observe either an endoplasmic reticulum-like localization

or vacuolar localization. **B.** Modulating Wtf^{poison} assembly with exogenous tags affects its

toxicity. While increasing Wtf^{poison} assembly via tethering to mEosNB-FTH1 suppresses toxicity,

increasing solubility with NT* (or MBP in the case of *S. kambucha* Wtf4^{poison}*)* increases the

toxicity. **C.** Multiple modalities of ineffective antidote rescue. Effective rescue of a Wtf^{poison} by the

corresponding Wtf^{antidote} (e.g., Wtf4 proteins) is supported by specific co-assembly and vacuolar

localization. Artificial tethering of a mismatched Wtf^{poison} and Wtf^{antidote} does not result in effective

rescue. Disruption of poison-antidote co-localization (e.g., Wtf4-rep2^{sc} proteins) or vacuolar

targeting of co-localized poison-antidote proteins (e.g., Wtf4-rep2^{A} proteins) also results in

ineffective rescue.

1288

1289 **Supplemental Information**

1290

S1 Figure. Wtf proteins share limited amino acid identity but have common features

A. A cartoon of *S. octosporus wtf25* coding sequence (CDS). Wtf25^{antidote} coding sequence is

shown in purple, which includes exons 1-5. The Wtf4^{poison} coding sequence is shown in navy,

which begins at the 27th base pair of exon 2 and extends through exon 5. Row 4 depicts the

predicted transmembrane domains (in red) and PY motif (in mustard). Row 5 depicts the CDS

repeats found in exon 4 (in cobalt). Row 6 depicts the normalized hydrophobicity of Wtf25

proteins from ProtScale, with the Kyle and Doolittle Hydropathy scale [81]. The higher the

number on the scale, the higher the hydrophobicity of the amino acid. See S2 and S3 Tables for

more detailed descriptions. **B.** Pairwise amino acid identity of the 6 Wtf^{antidote} proteins shown.

The amino acid sequences were aligned using Geneious Prime (2023.0.4), and the percentage

amino acid identity is depicted as a heatmap, with yellow being 100% identity. **C.** Depiction of

CDS repeats and lengths of 6 *wtf^{antidote}* CDSs. The CDS repeats found in exon 6 of *S. kambucha*

wtf4 are homologous to those found in exon 4 of the other *wtf* genes [19]. The scale bar

represents 108 base pairs (bp). **D-E.** *S. octosporus wtf25* (**D**), *S. cryophilus wtf1*, and *S.*

osmophilus wtf41 (**E**) mutants constructed in this study. The categories have their respective

wild type allele shown on top. See S1 Table for a comprehensive overview of the alleles and

their phenotypes.

1308

S2 Figure. Deletion mutants affect Wtf4^{poison} toxicity, self-assembly and localization.

Cartoon of *S. kambucha wtf4* exon deletion mutants (**A**), predicted transmembrane domain

(TMD) deletion mutants (**B**) and a mutant that deletes a 9 amino acid conserved region encoded

in exon 3 (**C**). **D.** A spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-LEU-URA and SC Gal-

LEU-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries an empty [*LEU2*] plasmid,

and either an empty [*URA3*] plasmid (EV) or the indicated *wtf4^{poison}-mEos* alleles under the

control of a galactose-inducible promoter. The horizontal break in the image for each plate is

due to rearrangement of the image to facilitate easy comparison. All strains were grown on the

same plates (i.e., one SC-LEU-URA or SC Gal-LEU-URA plate). **E.** AmFRET values for three

technical replicates of the specified Wtf4^{poison}-mEos proteins and monomer-mEos (negative

control). The median is indicated with a solid line and the bars represent the interquartile range.

For easier comparison, the values were normalized so that Wtf4^{poison} had a median of 1 in each

experiment. The data shown here do not include outliers. See S2 Data for the complete dataset

and p-values. Statistical significance: *p<0.008, **p<0.0008, t-tests between the means of each

replicate, with Bonferroni correction. **F.** Representative images of the same strains depicted in D

were induced in galactose media for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated mEos-tagged

proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show

localization of tagged proteins. Yellow arrows indicate endoplasmic reticulum-like localization.

4^{P} indicates Wtf4^{poison}, TL is transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm.

1328

S3 Figure. C-terminal truncations have inconsistent effects on Wtf^{poison} protein toxicity.

A. Cartoon of C-terminal truncation mutants of *S. kambucha wtf4*. **B.** Cartoon illustrating the

amino acids lost with the C-terminal truncation alleles of *wtf4*. The amino acids comprising the

exon 6 coding sequence repeats are highlighted in pink. **C.** A spot assay of cells serially diluted

and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each

strain carries both an empty [*TRP1*] plasmid (EV) and a [*URA3*] plasmid that is either empty or

carries the indicated *wtf4^{poison}-mEos* allele under the control of a galactose inducible promoter.

D. AmFRET values for three technical replicates of the specified Wtf4^{poison}-mEos alleles and

monomer-mEos (negative control). The median is indicated with a solid line and the bars

represent the interquartile range. For easier comparison, the values were normalized so that

Wtf4^{poison} had a median of 1 in each experiment. The data shown here do not include outliers.

See S2 Data for the complete dataset and p-values. Statistical significance: *p<0.0125, t-test

with Bonferroni correction. **E.** Representative images of the same strains depicted in C were

induced with galactose media for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated mEos-tagged

proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show

localization of tagged proteins. 4^{P} indicates Wtf4^{poison}, TL is transmitted light, and the scale bar is

4 μm. **F.** Cartoons of C-terminal truncation mutants of *S. cryophilus wtf1* (*wtf1-Δ^{10}*)*, S.*

osmophilus wtf41 (*wtf41-Δ^{10}*)*, and *S. octosporus wtf25* (*wtf25-Δ^{10}*)*. **G.** Spot assays of cells

serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for

3 days. Each strain carries both an empty [*TRP1*] plasmid (EV) and an empty [*URA3*] plasmid

(EV), or the indicated *wtf^{poison}-mEos* allele under the control of a galactose-inducible promoter.

The horizontal breaks in the images for each plate are due to rearrangements of the image to

facilitate easy comparison. All strains were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or

SC Gal-TRP-URA plate).

1353

S4 Figure. The fluorescence signal intensity of expressed Wtf[poison] proteins does not

correlate with toxicity.

A-F Acceptor fluorescence intensity (in arbitrary units, a.u.) of similarly sized live cells across all

Wtf DAmFRET experiments in this study, with the line indicating the median of the population.

Data represented here is from the following experiments: A corresponds to Fig 2B, B

corresponds to S2E Fig, C corresponds to S3D Fig, D corresponds to Fig 4C, E corresponds

alleles in S6 Fig, and F corresponds to S7D Fig. The Y-axis is scaled to a log10 scale. The data

are color coded, with toxic poisons in teal, and non-toxic alleles in orange.

1362

S5 Figure. C-terminus truncation alleles of diverged Wtf[poison]s are rescued by their

corresponding wild type Wtf[antidote]s.

A. Cartoon of *S. cryophilus wtf1*, *S. osmophilus wtf41*, and *S. octosporus wtf25* C-terminal

truncation mutants. **B-D.** Spot assays of cells serially diluted on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-

URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*]

plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf* alleles under the control

of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the images within a panel are due to

rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were

grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate).

1372

S6 Figure. **Reducing assembly with NT* tag increases Wtf25[poison] toxicity.**

A. Cartoon of alleles used in this experiment (B-E). The NT* tag has a general anti-aggregation

property [34]. **B-C.** Spot assays of cells serially diluted on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA

plates and grown at 30°C for 4 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid.

The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf25* alleles under the control of

galactose-inducible promoters. In B, the horizontal break in the image of each plate is due to a

rearrangement of the image to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown

on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate for panel B). **D.**

Representative images of the same strains shown in C were induced with galactose media for 4

hours at 30°C to express the indicated Wtf25[poison]-mEos proteins and/or Wtf25[antidote]-mCherry.

The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of

tagged proteins. 25[P] indicates Wtf25[poison], 25[A] indicates Wtf25[antidote], TL is transmitted light, and

the scale bar is 4 µm. **E**. Pearson's Correlation between mCherry and mEos signal in cells from

D expressing the specified proteins. N>100, p>0.05, t-test.

1387

S7 Figure. Reducing Wtf^{poison} assembly with MBP tag affects toxicity.

A. Cartoon of alleles used in this experiment (B-C). MBP is the *E. coli* <u>M</u>altose <u>B</u>inding <u>P</u>rotein

[76]. **B.** Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA

plates and grown at 30°C for 4 days. Each strain carries both an empty [*TRP1*] plasmid and a

[*URA3*] plasmid that is either empty (EV) or carries the indicated *wtf^{poison}-mEos* allele under the

control of a galactose-inducible promoter. The horizontal break in the image of each plate is due

to rearrangement of the image to facilitate easy comparison. All strains were grown on the same

plates (i.e., one SC Gal-TRP-URA plate or SC-Trp-Ura). **C.** Representative images of the same

strains depicted in B induced with galactose media for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated

mEos-tagged proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to

clearly show localization of tagged proteins. Yellow arrows indicate endoplasmic reticulum-like

localization. 4[P] indicates Wtf4[poison], 25[P] indicates Wtf25[poison], TL is transmitted light, and the

scale bar is 4 µm. **D.** AmFRET values for three technical replicates of the specified Wtf^{poison}-

mEos alleles and monomer-mEos (negative control). The median is indicated with a solid line

and the bars represent the interquartile range. For easier comparison, the values were

normalized so that Wtf4[poison] had a median of 1 in each experiment. The data shown do not

include outliers. See S2 Data for the complete dataset and p-values. Statistical significance:

*p<0.016, t-test with Bonferroni correction.

1406

S8 Figure. Limited modularity of the Wtf4^{antidote}-specific domain.

A. Cartoon of *wtf4^{antidote}* exon 1 and mutants that relocate, or both relocate and mutate the exon.

B. AmFRET values for three technical replicates of the specified mEos-tagged proteins and

monomer-mEos (negative control). The median is indicated with a solid line and the bars

represent 1.5 times the interquartile range. For easier comparison, the values were normalized

so that Wtf4[poison] had a median of 1 in each experiment. The data shown do not include outliers.

See S2 Data for the complete dataset and p-values. Statistical significance: *p<0.0125, t-tests

with Bonferroni correction. **C-D.** Spot assays of cells serially diluted on SC-TRP-URA and SC

Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a

[*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the

control of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the images of each plate in

panels C and D are due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All
strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-
URA plate for panel C). **E.** Representative images of the same strains shown in C induced with
galactose media for 4 hours at 30˚C to express the Wtf4^{poison}-mEos, Wtf4 exon1-mCherry, or
both proteins. **F.** Representative images of the same strains shown in D were induced with
galactose media for 4 hours at 30˚C to the indicated *wtf4* alleles. In E-F, arrows in the
transmitted light images indicate vacuoles. The images are not at the same brightness and
contrast settings to clearly show localization of the tagged proteins. 4^P indicates Wtf4^{poison}, 4^A
indicates Wtf4^{antidote}, Ex1 is Wtf4 exon1, TL is transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm.
1427
S9 Figure. Effective neutralization of Wtf25^{poison} requires more than a physical connection
to a Wtf^{antidote}.
A. Cartoon of constructs used in this experiment. *S. octosporus* Wtf25^{poison} was tagged at the C-
terminus with either mCherry or GBP-mCherry (GBP: GFP-binding protein). *S. octosporus*
Wtf25^{antidote} was tagged with mEos or GFP. *S. cryophilus* Wtf1^{antidote} was tagged with GFP. *S.*
cryophilus Wtf1^{poison} was tagged with mCherry. **B.** Experimental set up and summary of the
results shown in C and D. In a matching Wtf protein pair (top), poison-antidote interaction and
rescue of poison toxicity is observed. In the mismatched pair (bottom), interaction between GFP
and GBP results in a forced interaction between the poison and the antidote (shown in D). This
interaction is insufficient to rescue the mismatched poison (shown in C). **C.** Spot assay of cells
serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30˚C for
3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty
(EV) or carry the indicated *wtf* alleles under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The
horizontal breaks in the images of each plate are due to rearrangements of the image to
facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one
SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **D.** Representative images the strains depicted in C
were induced in galactose for 4 hours at 30˚C to express the indicated Wtf proteins. The images
are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged
proteins. The arrows in the transmitted light images indicate vacuoles. 25^P indicates Wtf25^{poison},
25^A- indicates Wtf25^{antidote}, 1^P indicates Wtf1^{poison}, 1^A indicates Wtf1^{antidote}, TL indicates
transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm.
1449
1450 **S10 Figure. Idiosyncratic poison and antidote compatibility between wild-type and**
1451 **truncation alleles of *wtf4*.**

A. Cartoon of *S. kambucha wtf4* C-terminal truncation mutants. **B.** Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the images are due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **C.** Representative images the strains depicted in B were induced in galactose for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated Wtf4 proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. The arrows in the TL panels highlight vacuoles. 4^P indicates Wtf4^{poison}, 4^A indicates Wtf4^{antidote}, TL indicates transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 µm.

S11 Figure. *wtf4* coding sequence repeats are dispensable, but can affect antidote rescue. A. Cartoon of exon 3 and exon 6 coding sequence repeat deletion mutants of *S. kambucha wtf4*. **B-C.** Logo for amino acids encoded by the repeats found in exon 3 (B) and exon 6 (C) of *S. pombe wtf* genes from [20]. The sequence of the amino acids encoded in each repeat region in *S. kambucha wtf4* is shown below each logo. **D.** Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal break in the image of each plate is due to rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **E.** Representative images of the same strains as depicted in D were induced in galactose for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated Wtf4 proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. The arrows in the TL panels highlight vacuoles. 4^P indicates Wtf4^{poison}, 4^A indicates Wtf4^{antidote}, TL indicates transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 µm. **F**. Pearson's Correlation between mEos and mCherry signal in cells expressing the specified constructs from E. N>100, ***p<0.001, t-test.

S12 Figure. Coding sequence repeat mutant proteins do not interact with wildtype Wtf4 proteins functionally.
A. Cartoon of coding sequence repeat mutants of *S. kambucha wtf4.* **B-H.** Spot assays of cells serially diluted on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days.

1486   Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or

1487   carry the indicated *wtf4* alleles under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The

1488   horizontal breaks in the images in within a panel are due to rearrangements of the images to

1489   facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same plates (i.e., one

1490   SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate in panel B).

1491

S13 Figure. Swapping *wtf* CDS repeats across species affects Wtf25 antidote rescue.

A. Cartoon of a coding sequence repeat mutant of *S. octosporus wtf25*. **B**. Logo representing

the amino acids encoded by the repeats found in exon 4 of *S. octosporus wtf* genes from [19].

C. The amino acids encoded by the exon 4 repeats of *S. octosporus wtf25* and by the exon 6

repeats of *S. kambucha wtf4*. **D.** Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA

and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates and grown at 30°C for 3 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*]

and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf25* alleles

under the control of galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the images are due

to rearrangements of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were

grown on the same plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **E.**

Representative images of the same strains depicted in D were induced in galactose for 4 hours

at 30°C to express the indicated Wtf25 proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and

contrast settings to clearly show localization of tagged proteins. The arrows in the TL panel

highlight vacuoles. Yellow arrows indicate endoplasmic reticulum-like localization. 25^P indicates

Wtf25^{poison}, 25^A indicates Wtf25^{antidote}, TL indicates transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm.

1507

S14 Figure. *S. octosporus wtf25* coding sequence repeats are functionally dispensable

for poison toxicity but promote antidote rescue.

A. Cartoon of *S. octosporus wtf25* exon 4 coding sequence repeat deletion mutant. **B.** Logo for

the amino acids encoded by the repeats found in exon 4 of *S. octosporus wtf* genes from [19] **C.**

Spot assay of cells serially diluted and plated on SC-TRP-URA and SC Gal-TRP-URA plates

and grown at 30°C for 4 days. Each strain carries both a [*URA3*] and a [*TRP1*] plasmid. The

plasmids are either empty (EV) or carry the indicated *wtf25* alleles under the control of

galactose-inducible promoters. The horizontal breaks in the images are due to rearrangements

of the images to facilitate easy comparison. All strains within a panel were grown on the same

plates (i.e., one SC-TRP-URA or SC Gal-TRP-URA plate). **D.** Representative images the same

strains depicted in C were induced in galactose for 4 hours at 30°C to express the indicated

Wtf25 proteins. The images are not at the same brightness and contrast settings to clearly show

localization of tagged proteins. The arrows in the TL panels highlight vacuoles. Yellow arrows

indicate endoplasmic reticulum-like localization. 25^P indicates Wtf25^{poison}, 25^A indicates

Wtf25^{antidote}, TL indicates transmitted light, and the scale bar is 4 μm.

1523

S1 Table. Overview of *wtf* alleles and their phenotypes in this study. Columns 1-2 describe

the allele names and their construction. Columns 3-4 describe the toxicity of the poison and

antidote proteins encoded by the corresponding allele. Column 5 notes if the mutant antidote

can rescue the corresponding poison allele. Column 6-7 note if the specific antidote allele can

rescue the wild-type poison (Column 6), or if the specific poison can be rescued by the wild-type

antidote (Column 7). Column 8 notes if the corresponding antidote can rescue other poison

alleles. Column 9 notes the AmFRET values are comparable to the wild-type Wtf4^{poison}-mEos or

monomer-mEos. Finally, column 10 notes the figures/supplemental figures where these alleles

can be found. Rows are separated based on the wild-type allele that the mutants were

constructed from, where applicable. ND indicates that the specified experiment was not done.

1534

S2 Table. Overview of features across *wtf* driver genes. Column 1 denotes the *wtf* driver

gene, and columns 2-8 describe specific features of each gene and their encoded proteins.

Column 2 notes if the gene has been shown to drive in fission yeast or been shown to encode

for functional Wtf poison and antidote proteins in *S. cerevisiae*. Column 3 notes the number of

exons in the gene, and column 4 notes the size of the Wtf^{antidote} protein in amino acids. If the

antidote sequence had a predicted coiled-coil domain, column 5 notes the size and location in

the antidote protein as described in [15]. Column 6 notes the numbers of PY motifs (L/PPXY;

[24]) in exon 1. While some Wtf^{antidote} proteins also have an additional PY motif in exon 2, we did

not include that information here since those motifs are shared by the corresponding poison

proteins as well. Column 7 notes the number of predicted transmembrane domains predicted by

TMHMM2.0 [72,73]. Column 8 notes the length of the exon 3 coding sequence repeats (if

present) in amino acids. Column 9 notes the length of the exon 4/6 coding sequence repeats (if

present) in amino acids. NA (not applicable) here indicates that the repeats were not present in

the Wtf protein. Column 10 mentions the reference(s) where these genes/encoded proteins

were characterized.

1550

S3 Table. Overview of predicted transmembrane domains of Wtf^{antidote} proteins. The

results from the TMHMM2.0 [72,73] analysis of Wtf^{antidote} proteins encoded by *wtf* driver genes in

S2 table are detailed here. Find the specific results of the analyses, including the number of

amino acids in the predicted transmembrane domains, probability of the N-terminal being

internal to the membrane (N-in), the specific location and the length of the predicted

transmembrane domains.

1557

1558 **S4 Table. Yeast strains used in this study.** Column 1 is the figure where these strains were

1559 used, Column 2 is the name of the yeast strain and column 3 is the genotype of the strain. If the

1560 strain was constructed in this study, column 4 has the details on how the strain was constructed.

1561 If the strain was constructed in another study, column 5 notes the references for the same.

1562

1563 **S5 Table. Plasmids used in this study.** Column 1 denotes if the plasmids were used for strain

1564 construction or cloning, and the specific wild-type alleles that the plasmids correspond to.

1565 Column 2 is the name of the plasmid and column 3 is a short description of the plasmid. If the

1566 plasmid was not constructed in this study, column 4 references the studies the plasmids were

1567 constructed in.

1568

1569 **S6 Table. Oligos used in this study.** Column 1 denotes the name of the oligo and column 2

1570 has the sequence of the oligos. If the oligo was not constructed in this study, column 3

1571 references the studies the oligos were used in.

1572

1573 **S1 Data. Raw Data for Pearson's Correlation tests performed in this study.** Each sheet

1574 contains the raw data for the Pearson's Correlation tests and the statistical analysis

1575 corresponding to the tests performed in Figs 4F, S6E, 6F and S11F, respectively. See Methods

1576 for how this analysis was performed.

1577

S2 Data. Raw Data for AmFRET plots presented in this study. Each sheet contains the raw

data and the statistical analysis for the AmFRET plots of different Wtf^{poison}-mEos proteins,

Wtf4^{antidote}-mEos, *S. kambucha wtf4* Exon1-mEos and monomer-mEos proteins in Figs 2B, S2E,

S3D, Fig 4C, S6 Fig, S7D and S8B, respectively. The corresponding raw data for the Acceptor

fluorescence intensity presented in S4 Fig for each of these experiments are found next to the

relevant AmFRET data. See Methods for how this analysis was performed.

1584

1585 **Acknowledgements**

1586 We thank the members of the Zanders lab, the Halfmann lab and the Core facilities at Stowers

1587 Institute for their help and guidance throughout the stages of development of this paper.

1588

References

1590

1.   Burt A, Trivers R. Genes in Conflict. Harvard University Press; 2006.

https://doi.org/10.2307/J.CTVJHZRC6.

2.   Sandler L, Novitski E. Meiotic Drive as an Evolutionary Force. Am Nat 1957;91:105–10.

3.   Bravo Núñez MA, Nuckolls NL, Zanders SE. Genetic Villains: Killer Meiotic Drivers.

Trends in Genetics 2018;34:424–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2018.02.003.

4.   Zanders SE, Unckless RL. Fertility Costs of Meiotic Drivers. Current Biology

2019;29:R512–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.046.

5.   Wang C, Wang J, Lu J, Wang H, Wu C, Xiong Y, et al. A natural gene drive system

confers reproductive isolation in rice. Cell 2023;186:3577–92.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.06.023.

6.   Xie Y, Shen R, Chen L, Liu YG. Molecular mechanisms of hybrid sterility in rice. Sci

China Life Sci 2019;62:737–43. https://doi.org/10.1007/S11427-019-9531-7.

7.   Li J, Zhou J, Zhang Y, Yang Y, Pu Q, Tao D. New Insights into the Nature of

Interspecific Hybrid Sterility in Rice. Front Plant Sci 2020;11.

https://doi.org/10.3389/FPLS.2020.555572.

8.   Myint ZM, Koide Y, Takanishi W, Ikegaya T, Kwan C, Hikichi K, et al. OlCHR, encoding

a chromatin remodeling factor, is a killer causing hybrid sterility between rice species *Oryza*

sativa and *O. longistaminata*. IScience 2024;27. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISCI.2024.109761.

9.   Saupe SJ, Johannesson H. On the Mechanistic Basis of Killer Meiotic Drive in Fungi.

Annu Rev Microbiol 2022; 76:305–23. https://doi.org/10.1146/ANNUREV-MICRO-041320-

113730.

10.   Simon M, Durand S, Ricou A, Vrielynck N, Mayjonade B, Gouzy J, et al. APOK3, a

pollen killer antidote in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics 2022;221.

https://doi.org/10.1093/GENETICS/IYAC089.

11.   Wang D, Wang H, Xu X, Wang M, Wang Y, Chen H, et al. Two complementary genes in

a presence-absence variation contribute to *indica-japonica* reproductive isolation in rice. Nat

Commun 2023;14. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-023-40189-X.

12.   You S, Zhao Z, Yu X, Zhu S, Wang J, Lei D, et al. A toxin-antidote system contributes to

interspecific reproductive isolation in rice. Nat Commun 2023;14.

https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-023-43015-6.

13.   Courret C, Wei X, Larracuente AM. New perspectives on the causes and consequences

of male meiotic drive. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2023;83.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GDE.2023.102111.

14.   Lai EC, Vogan AA. Proliferation and dissemination of killer meiotic drive loci. Curr Opin

Genet Dev 2023;82. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GDE.2023.102100.

15.   Nuckolls NL, Mok AC, Lange JJ, Yi K, Kandola TS, Hunn AM, et al. The *wtf4* meiotic

driver utilizes controlled protein aggregation to generate selective cell death. Elife 2020; 9:1–35.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55694.

16.   Urquhart AS, Gardiner DM. A Natural Fungal Gene Drive Enacts Killing via DNA

Disruption. MBio 2023;14. https://doi.org/10.1128/MBIO.03173-22.

17.   Hu W, Jiang Z Di, Suo F, Zheng JX, He WZ, Du LL. A large gene family in fission yeast

encodes spore killers that subvert Mendel's law. Elife 2017;6.

https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.26057.

18.   Brysch-Herzberg M, Jia GS, Sipiczki M, Seidel M, Li W, Assali I, et al.

Schizosaccharomyces lindneri sp. nov., a fission yeast occurring in honey. Yeast 2023; 40:237–

53. https://doi.org/10.1002/YEA.3857.

19.   De Carvalho M, Jia GS, Nidamangala Srinivasa A, Billmyre RB, Xu YH, Lange JJ, et al.

The *wtf* meiotic driver gene family has unexpectedly persisted for over 100 million years. Elife

2022;11. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.81149.

20.   Eickbush MT, Young JM, Zanders SE. Killer meiotic drive and dynamic evolution of the

wtf gene family. Mol Biol Evol 2019; 36:1201–14. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz052.

21.   Xu Y-H, Suo F, Zhang X-R, Du T-Y, Hua Y, Jia G-S, et al. Evolutionary modes of *wtf*

meiotic driver genes in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. BioRxiv 2024:2024.05.30.596636.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.30.596636.

22.   Nuckolls NL, Núñez MAB, Eickbush MT, Young JM, Lange JJ, Yu JS, et al. *wtf* genes

are prolific dual poison-antidote meiotic drivers. Elife 2017;6.

https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.26033.

23.   Nuckolls NL, Nidamangala Srinivasa A, Mok AC, Helston RM, Núñez MAB, Lange JJ, et

al. *S. pombe wtf* drivers use dual transcriptional regulation and selective protein exclusion from

spores to cause meiotic drive. PLoS Genet 2022;18.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1009847.

24.   Zheng JX, Du TY, Shao GC, Ma ZH, Jiang Z Di, Hu W, et al. Ubiquitination-mediated

Golgi-to-endosome sorting determines the toxin-antidote duality of fission yeast *wtf* meiotic

drivers. Nat Commun 2023;14. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-023-44151-9.

25.   Bravo Nuñez MA, Sabbarini IM, Eickbush MT, Liang Y, Lange JJ, Kent AM, et al.

Dramatically diverse *Schizosaccharomyces pombe wtf* meiotic drivers all display high gamete-

killing efficiency. PLoS Genet 2020;16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008350.

26.   Bravo Núñez MA, Lange JJ, Sarah ZE. A suppressor of a *wtf* poison-antidote meiotic

driver acts via mimicry of the driver's antidote. PLoS Genet 2018;14.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007836.

27.   Mannini B, Mulvihill E, Sgromo C, Cascella R, Khodarahmi R, Ramazzotti M, et al.

Toxicity of protein oligomers is rationalized by a function combining size and surface

hydrophobicity. ACS Chem Biol 2014; 9:2309–17.

https://doi.org/10.1021/CB500505M/SUPPL_FILE/CB500505M_SI_001.PDF.

28.   Ries HM, Nussbaum-Krammer C. Shape matters: The complex relationship between

aggregation and toxicity in protein-misfolding diseases. Essays Biochem 2016; 60:181–90.

https://doi.org/10.1042/EBC20160008.

29.   Bucciantini M, Giannoni E, Chiti F, Baroni F, Taddei N, Ramponi G, et al. Inherent

toxicity of aggregates implies a common mechanism for protein misfolding diseases. Nature

2002 416:6880 2002; 416:507–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/416507a.

30.   Khan T, Kandola TS, Wu J, Venkatesan S, Ketter E, Lange JJ, et al. Quantifying

Nucleation In Vivo Reveals the Physical Basis of Prion-like Phase Behavior. Mol Cell 2018;

71:155-168.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.06.016.

31.   Kimbrough H, Jensen J, Weber C, Miller T, Maddera LE, Babu V, et al. A tool to dissect

heterotypic determinants of homotypic protein phase behavior. bioRxiv 2025;

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.01.631016.

32.   Bellapadrona G, Elbaum M. Supramolecular Protein Assemblies in the Nucleus of

Human Cells. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2014; 53:1534–7.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ANIE.201309163.

33.   Bracha D, Walls MT, Wei MT, Zhu L, Kurian M, Avalos JL, et al. Mapping Local and

Global Liquid Phase Behavior in Living Cells Using Photo-Oligomerizable Seeds. Cell 2018;

175:1467-1480.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2018.10.048.

34.   Schellhaus AK, Xu S, Gierisch ME, Vornberger J, Johansson J, Dantuma NP. A spider

silk-derived solubility domain inhibits nuclear and cytosolic protein aggregation in human cells.

Communications Biology 2022 5:1 2022; 5:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03442-5.

35.   Kronqvist N, Sarr M, Lindqvist A, Nordling K, Otikovs M, Venturi L, et al. Efficient protein

production inspired by how spiders make silk. Nat Commun 2017;8.

https://doi.org/10.1038/NCOMMS15504.

1689 36. Askarieh G, Hedhammar M, Nordling K, Saenz A, Casals C, Rising A, et al. Self-

1690 assembly of spider silk proteins is controlled by a pH-sensitive relay. Nature 2010 465:7295

1691 2010; 465:236–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08962.

1692 37. Abelein A, Chen G, Kitoka K, Aleksis R, Oleskovs F, Sarr M, et al. High-yield Production

1693 of Amyloid-β Peptide Enabled by a Customized Spider Silk Domain. Scientific Reports 2020

1694 10:1 2020; 10:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57143-x.

1695 38. Jaudzems K, Askarieh G, Landreh M, Nordling K, Hedhammar M, Jörnvall H, et al. pH-

1696 Dependent Dimerization of Spider Silk N-Terminal Domain Requires Relocation of a Wedged

1697 Tryptophan Side Chain. J Mol Biol 2012; 422:477–87.

1698 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JMB.2012.06.004.

1699 39. Andersson M, Chen G, Otikovs M, Landreh M, Nordling K, Kronqvist N, et al. Carbonic

1700 Anhydrase Generates CO2 and H+ That Drive Spider Silk Formation Via Opposite Effects on

1701 the Terminal Domains. PLoS Biol 2014;12: e1001921.

1702 https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PBIO.1001921.

1703 40. Brumbaugh-Reed EH, Aoki K, Toettcher JE, Toettcher J. Rapid and reversible

1704 dissolution of biomolecular condensates using light-controlled recruitment of a solubility tag.

1705 BioRxiv 2024:2024.01.16.575860. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.16.575860.

1706 41. Raran-Kurussi S, Keefe K, Waugh DS. Positional effects of fusion partners on the yield

1707 and solubility of MBP fusion proteins. Protein Expr Purif 2015; 110:159.

1708 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PEP.2015.03.004.

1709 42. Raran-Kurussi S, Sharwanlal SB, Balasubramanian D, Mote KR. A comparison between

1710 MBP- and NT* as N-terminal fusion partner for recombinant protein production in E. coli. Protein

1711 Expr Purif 2022; 189:105991. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PEP.2021.105991.

1712 43. Blake WJ, Kærn M, Cantor CR, Collins JJ. Noise in eukaryotic gene expression. Nature

1713 2003 422:6932 2003; 422:633–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01546.

1714 44. Elowitz MB, Levine AJ, Siggia ED, Swain PS. Stochastic gene expression in a single

1715 cell. Science (1979) 2002; 297:1183–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1070919/

1716 45. Ozbudak EM, Thattai M, Kurtser I, Grossman AD, Van Oudenaarden A. Regulation of

1717 noise in the expression of a single gene 2002. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng869.

1718 46. Nordholt N, Van Heerden J, Kort R, Bruggeman FJ. Effects of growth rate and promoter

1719 activity on single-cell protein expression. Scientific Reports 2017 7:1 2017; 7:1–11.

1720 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-05871-3.

1721 47. Rothe S, Prakash A, Tyedmers J. The Insoluble Protein Deposit (IPOD) in Yeast. Front

1722 Mol Neurosci 2018; 11:385163. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNMOL.2018.00237/

48.   Tyedmers J, Treusch S, Dong J, McCaffery JM, Bevis B, Lindquist S. Prion induction

involves an ancient system for the sequestration of aggregated proteins and heritable changes

in prion fragmentation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010; 107:8633–8.

https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1003895107/

49.   Kaganovich D, Kopito R, Frydman J. Misfolded proteins partition between two distinct

quality control compartments. Nature 2008 454:7208 2008; 454:1088–95.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07195.

50.   Kayed R, Head E, Thompson JL, McIntire TM, Milton SC, Cotman CW, et al. Common

structure of soluble amyloid oligomers implies common mechanism of pathogenesis. Science

(1979) 2003; 300:486–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1079469/

51.   Majumdar A, Cesario WC, White-Grindley E, Jiang H, Ren F, Khan MR, et al. Critical

role of amyloid-like oligomers of *Drosophila* Orb2 in the persistence of memory. Cell 2012;

148:515–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2012.01.004.

52.   Alberti S, Halfmann R, King O, Kapila A, Lindquist S. A systematic survey identifies

prions and illuminates sequence features of prionogenic proteins. Cell 2009; 137:146.

https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2009.02.044.

53.   Perov S, Lidor O, Salinas N, Golan N, Tayeb-Fligelman E, Deshmukh M, et al. Structural

Insights into Curli CsgA Cross-β Fibril Architecture Inspire Repurposing of Anti-amyloid

Compounds as Anti-biofilm Agents. PLoS Pathog 2019;15.

https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PPAT.1007978.

54.   Yan Z, Yin M, Chen J, Li X. Assembly and substrate recognition of curli biogenesis

system. Nature Communications 2020 11:1 2020; 11:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-

14145-7.

55.   Sheng J, Olrichs NK, Gadella BM, Kaloyanova D V., Bernd Helms J. Regulation of

Functional Protein Aggregation by Multiple Factors: Implications for the Amyloidogenic Behavior

of the CAP Superfamily Proteins. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21:1–23.

https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS21186530.

56.   Jackson MP, Hewitt EW. Why Are Functional Amyloids Non-Toxic in Humans?

Biomolecules 2017;7. https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOM7040071.

57.   Kong L, Sui C, Chen T, Zhang L, Zhao W, Zheng Y, et al. The ubiquitin E3 ligase

TRIM10 promotes STING aggregation and activation in the Golgi apparatus. Cell Rep 2023;42.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112306.

58.   Liu B, Zhang M, Chu H, Zhang H, Wu H, Song G, et al. The ubiquitin E3 ligase TRIM31

promotes aggregation and activation of the signaling adaptor MAVS through Lys63-linked

polyubiquitination. Nat Immunol 2017; 18:214–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3641.

59.   Masperone L, Codrich M, Persichetti F, Gustincich S, Zucchelli S, Legname G. The E3

Ubiquitin Ligase TRAF6 Interacts with the Cellular Prion Protein and Modulates Its Solubility and

Recruitment to Cytoplasmic p62/SQSTM1-Positive Aggresome-Like Structures. Mol Neurobiol

2022; 59:1577–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/S12035-021-02666-6/

60.   Zhang ZY, Harischandra DS, Wang R, Ghaisas S, Zhao JY, McMonagle TP, et al.

TRIM11 protects against tauopathies and is down-regulated in Alzheimer's disease. Science

(1979) 2023;381. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add6696.

61.   Davidson JM, Wu SSL, Rayner SL, Cheng F, Duncan K, Russo C, et al. The E3

Ubiquitin Ligase SCF Cyclin F Promotes Sequestosome-1/p62 Insolubility and Foci Formation

and is Dysregulated in ALS and FTD Pathogenesis. Mol Neurobiol 2023; 60:5034–54.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-023-03355-2.

62.   Watabe K, Kato Y, Sakuma M, Murata M, Niida-Kawaguchi M, Takemura T, et al. Praja1

RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase suppresses neuronal cytoplasmic TDP-43 aggregate formation.

Neuropathology 2020; 40:570–86. https://doi.org/10.1111/neup.12694.

63.   Won SY, Park JJ, You ST, Hyeun JA, Kim HK, Jin BK, et al. p21-activated kinase 4

controls the aggregation of α-synuclein by reducing the monomeric and aggregated forms of α-

synuclein: involvement of the E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4-1. Cell Death Dis 2022;13.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05030-1.

64.   Watabe K, Niida-Kawaguchi M, Tada M, Kato Y, Murata M, Tanji K, et al. Praja1 RING-

finger E3 ubiquitin ligase is a common suppressor of neurodegenerative disease-associated

protein aggregation. Neuropathology 2022; 42:488–504. https://doi.org/10.1111/neup.12840.

65.   Nadel CM, Thwin AC, Callahan M, Lee K, Connelly E, Craik CS, et al. The E3 Ubiquitin

Ligase, CHIP/STUB1, Inhibits Aggregation of Phosphorylated Proteoforms of Microtubule-

associated Protein Tau (MAPT). J Mol Biol 2023;435.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168026.

66.   Yan C, Gong L, Chen L, Xu M, Abou-Hamdan H, Tang M, et al. PHB2 (prohibitin 2)

promotes PINK1-PRKN/Parkin-dependent mitophagy by the PARL-PGAM5-PINK1 axis 2020.

https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2019.1628520.

67.   Lindholm AK, Dyer KA, Firman RC, Fishman L, Forstmeier W, Holman L, et al. The

Ecology and Evolutionary Dynamics of Meiotic Drive. Trends Ecol Evol 2016; 31:315–26.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.001.

68.   Hernández JFL, Rubinstein BY, Unckless RL, Zanders SE. Modeling the Evolution of

Populations with Multiple Killer Meiotic Drivers. BioRxiv 2023:2023.09.28.560003.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.28.560003.

69.   Dasgupta SK, Jain S, Kaushal D, Tyagi AK. Expression Systems for Study of

Mycobacterial Gene Regulation and Development of Recombinant BCG Vaccines. Biochem

Biophys Res Commun 1998; 246:797–804. https://doi.org/10.1006/BBRC.1998.8724.

70.   Sikorski RS, Hieter P. A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for

efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genetics 1989; 122:19–27.

https://doi.org/10.1093/GENETICS/122.1.19.

71.   Snaith HA, Samejima I, Sawin KE. Multistep and multimode cortical anchoring of tea1p

at cell tips in fission yeast. EMBO J 2005; 24:3690. https://doi.org/10.1038/SJ.EMBOJ.7600838.

72.   Sonnhammer ELL, Von Heijne G, Krogh A. A hidden Markov model for predicting

transmembrane helices in protein sequences. AAAI Press; 1998.

73.   Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. Predicting transmembrane

protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol

2001; 305:567–80. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315.

74.   Chen Y hui, Wang G yuan, Hao H chao, Chao C jiang, Wang Y, Jin Q wen. Facile

manipulation of protein localization in fission yeast through binding of GFP-binding protein to

GFP. J Cell Sci 2017; 130:1003–15. https://doi.org/10.1242/JCS.198457.

75.   Sanchez MI, Ting AY. Directed evolution improves the catalytic efficiency of TEV

protease. Nature Methods 2019 17:2 2019; 17:167–74. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-

0665-7.

76.   Kapust RB, Waugh DS. *Escherichia coli* maltose-binding protein is uncommonly

effective at promoting the solubility of polypeptides to which it is fused. Protein Sci 1999;

8:1668. https://doi.org/10.1110/PS.8.8.1668.

77.   Fox JD, Routzahn KM, Bucher MH, Waugh DS. Maltodextrin-binding proteins from

diverse bacteria and archaea are potent solubility enhancers. FEBS Lett 2003; 537:53–7.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(03)00070-X.

78.   Ottoz DSM, Rudolf F, Stelling J. Inducible, tightly regulated and growth condition-

independent transcription factor in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res 2014;42.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku616.

79.   R Elble. A simple and efficient procedure for transformation of yeasts. Biotechniques

1992.

80.   Winston F, Dollard C, Ricupero-Hovasse SL. Construction of a set of convenient

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains that are isogenic to S288C. Yeast 1995;11:53–5.

https://doi.org/10.1002/YEA.320110107.

81.   Gasteiger E, Hoogland C, Gattiker A, Duvaud S, Wilkins MR, Appel RD, et al. Protein

Identification and Analysis Tools on the ExPASy Server. The Proteomics Protocols Handbook

2005:571–607. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-890-0:571.

1828

1829

Figure 1

A

B

C

D

Figure 2

A

<u>D</u>istributed <u>Am</u>phifluoric <u>FRET</u> (DAmFRET)

B

C

Plasmids	SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[URA3]		
EV		
S. kambucha wtf4^{poison}-mEos		
S. cryophilus wtf1^{poison}-mEos		
S. osmophilus wtf41^{poison}-mEos		
S. osmophilus wtf19^{poison}-mEos		
S. octosporus wtf61^{poison}-mEos		
S. octosporus wtf25^{poison}-mEos		

D

Figure 3

A **Constructs**

Repressed by Doxycyline

FTH1 — mEosNB

Induced by Galactose

Wtf^poison — mEos

B **Experimental setup**

Media used

(i) SC-URA

(ii) SC Gal-URA +Dox

(iii) SC Gal-URA

mEosNB-FTH1 (24-mer)

Wtf^poison-mEos

Wtf^poison-mEos +mEosNB-FTH1

Construct(s) expressed

C

	S. kambucha Wtf4^poison	*S. cryophilus* Wtf1^poison	*S. osmophilus* Wtf41^poison	*S. octosporus* Wtf61^poison	*S. octosporus* Wtf25^poison

TL / Unmixed mEos / Merge +TL rows, each with +Dox and -Dox panels.

D

Plasmids [*URA3*]	(i) SC-URA	(ii) SC Gal-URA+Dox	(iii) SC Gal-URA
EV			
S. kambucha wtf4^poison-mEos			
S. cryophilus wtf1^poison-mEos			
S. osmophilus wtf41^poison-mEos			
S. octosporus wtf61^poison-mEos			
S. octosporus wtf25^poison-mEos			

Figure 4

A *S. kambucha wtf4*

wtf4^poison-mEos

NT*-wtf4^poison-mEos

wtf4^antidote-mCh

B

Plasmids		
[URA3]	+	[TRP1]
EV	+	EV
wtf4^poison-mEos	+	EV
NT*-wtf4^poison-mEos	+	EV

SC-TRP-URA   SC Gal-TRP-URA

D

Plasmids		
[URA3]	+	[TRP1]
EV	+	EV
wtf4^poison-mEos	+	EV
EV	+	wtf4^antidote-mCh
wtf4^poison-mEos	+	wtf4^antidote-mCh
NT*-wtf4^poison-mEos	+	EV
NT*-wtf4^poison-mEos	+	wtf4^antidote-mCh

SC-TRP-URA   SC Gal-TRP-URA

C

Normalized AmFRET

4^P   NT*-4^P   Monomer

E *S. kambucha wtf4*

EV+EV   4^P+EV   4^A+EV   4^P+4^A   NT*-4^P+EV   NT*-4^P+4^A

TL

mEos

mCherry

Merge

Merge +TL

F

Correlation

Wtf4^poison+ Wtf4^antidote   NT*-Wtf4^poison+ Wtf4^antidote

***

Figure 5

Figure 6

A *S. kambucha wtf4*

Allele — Coding sequence repeat mutants

- *wtf4+*
- *wtf4-rep2^sc*
- *wtf4-rep2^A*

Exon 6 repeats · Exon 6 repeats scrambled · Exon 6 repeats replaced with Ala

B Exon 6 repeat consensus

probability vs Amino acid

C 10AA

wtf4+	IGNIGRAFRG
wtf4-rep2^sc	FGRARGIGNI
wtf4-rep2^A	AAAAAAAAAA

D

Plasmids [URA3] + [TRP1]	SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
EV + EV		
wtf4^poison-mEos + EV		
EV + wtf4^antidote-mCh		
wtf4^poison-mEos + wtf4^antidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2^sc-poison-mEos + EV		
EV + wtf4-rep2^sc-antidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2^sc-poison-mEos + wtf4-rep2^sc-antidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2^A-poison-mEos + EV		
EV + wtf4-rep2^A-antidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2^A-poison-mEos + wtf4-rep2^A-antidote-mCh		

F Correlation

Wtf4^poison+Wtf4^antidote · Wtf4-rep2^sc-poison+Wtf4-rep2^sc-antidote · Wtf4-rep2^A-poison+Wtf4-rep2^A-antidote

n.s · *** · ***

E *S. kambucha wtf4*

Columns: EV+EV · 4^P+EV · 4^A+EV · 4^P+4^A · 4-rep2^sc-P+EV · EV+4-rep2^sc-A · 4-rep2^sc-P+4-rep2^sc-A · 4-rep2^A-P+EV · EV+4-rep2^A-A · 4-rep2^A-P+4-rep2^A-A

Rows: TL · mEos · mCherry · Merge · Merge+TL

Figure 7

A

Toxic	Non-toxic

Wtf4^{poison}-mEos

S. osmophilus Wtf19^{poison}-mEos

Wtf4-TMD6Δ^{poison}-mEos

ER: Endoplasmic Reticulum

B

mEosNB-FTH1+ Wtf^{poison}-mEos

Wildtype Wtf^{poison}-mEos

NT*-Wtf^{poison}-mEos (or) MBP-Wtf^{poison}-mEos

C

Rescue	Ineffective Rescue

IPOD: Insoluble Protein Deposit

S1 Figure

A *S. octosporus wtf25*

B %Amino acid Identity of fission yeast Wtf^antidote^s

C

108bp

D *S. octosporus wtf25* Mutants

E C-terminus Truncation Mutants

S2 Figure

A Allele | Exon deletion mutants

wtf4+
wtf4-ex2Δ
wtf4-ex3Δ
wtf4-ex4Δ
wtf4-ex5Δ

B Allele | Predicted TMD mutants

wtf4+
wtf4-TMD1Δ
wtf4-TMD2Δ
wtf4-TMD6Δ

■ Predicted TMD

C Allele | Conserved region mutant

wtf4+
wtf4-consΔ

■ Conserved region

D

Plasmids [URA3]	SC-LEU-URA	SC Gal-LEU-URA
EV		
wtf4^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-TMD1Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-TMD2Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-TMD6Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-ex2Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-ex3Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-ex4Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-ex5Δ^poison^-mEos		
wtf4-consΔ^poison^-mEos		

E

Normalized AmFRET vs. 4^P, 4-TMD1Δ^P, 4-ex3Δ^P, 4-ex4Δ^P, 4-ex5Δ^P, 4-consΔ^P, Monomer

F

S. kambucha wtf4

EV | 4^P | 4-TMD1Δ^P | 4-TMD2Δ^P | 4-TMD6Δ^P | 4-ex2Δ^P | 4-ex3Δ^P | 4-ex4Δ^P | 4-ex5Δ^P | 4-consΔ^P

TL
mEos
Merge +TL

S3 Figure

A

C-terminal truncation mutants

Allele

wtf4+

wtf4-Δ^10

10AA

wtf4-Δ^20

20AA

wtf4-ex6Δ

29AA

B

Exon 5   Exon 6

wtf4+   F L E GIGNIGRAFRG ANDNNDIPLGEMEVESEV

wtf4-Δ^10   F L E GIGNIGRAFRG ANDNNDIP

wtf4-Δ^20   F L E GIGNIGRAF

wtf4-ex6Δ   F L E

Exon 6 CDS repeats

C

Plasmids	SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[URA3]		
EV		
wtf4^poison-mEos		
wtf4-Δ^10-poison-mEos		
wtf4-Δ^20-poison-mEos		
wtf4-ex6Δ^poison-mEos		

D

Normalized AmFRET

F

C-terminus Truncation Mutants

Allele

S. cryophilus wtf1+

wtf1-Δ^10

10AA

S. osmophilus wtf41+

wtf41-Δ^10

10AA

S. octosporus wtf25+

wtf25-Δ^10

10AA

G

Plasmids	SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[URA3]		
EV		
wtf4^poison-mEos		
wtf4-Δ^10-poison-mEos		
wtf1^poison-mEos		
wtf1-Δ^10-poison-mEos		
wtf41^poison-mEos		
wtf41-Δ^10-poison-mEos		
wtf25^poison-mEos		
wtf25-Δ^10-poison-mEos		

E

S. kambucha wtf4

EV   4^P   4-Δ^10-P   4-Δ^20-P   4-ex6Δ^P

TL

mEos

Merge +TL

S4 Figure

S5 Figure

A

Allele	C-terminus Truncation Mutants
S. cryophilus wtf1+	
wtf1-Δ¹⁰	10AA
S. osmophilus wtf41+	
wtf41-Δ¹⁰	10AA
S. octosporus wtf25+	
wtf25-Δ¹⁰	10AA

B

Plasmids			SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[*URA3*]	+	[*TRP1*]		
EV	+	*EV*		
wtf1^{poison}-mEos	+	*EV*		
EV	+	*wtf1^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf1^{poison}-mEos	+	*wtf1^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf1-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*EV*		
wtf1-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*wtf1^{antidote}-mEos*		

C

Plasmids			SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[*URA3*]	+	[*TRP1*]		
EV	+	*EV*		
wtf41^{poison}-mEos	+	*EV*		
EV	+	*wtf41^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf41^{poison}-mEos	+	*wtf41^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf41-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*EV*		
wtf41-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*wtf41^{antidote}-mEos*		

D

Plasmids			SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
[*URA3*]	+	[*TRP1*]		
EV	+	*EV*		
wtf25^{poison}-mEos	+	*EV*		
EV	+	*wtf25^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf25^{poison}-mEos	+	*wtf25^{antidote}-mEos*		
wtf25-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*EV*		
wtf25-Δ$^{10-poison}$-mEos	+	*wtf25^{antidote}-mEos*		

S6 Figure

A

S. octosporus wtf25

B

C

D

E

S7 Figure

A Allele   Poison-poison assembly mutants

MBP-wtf4^poison   MBP | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6

MBP-wtf25^poison   MBP | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5

B

Plasmids
[*URA3*]   SC-TRP-URA   SC Gal-TRP-URA
EV
wtf4^poison-mEos
MBP-wtf4^poison-mEos

Plasmids
[*URA3*]   SC-TRP-URA   SC Gal-TRP-URA
EV
wtf25^poison-mEos
MBP-wtf25^poison-mEos

C

| | EV | *S. kambucha wtf4* | | *S. octosporus wtf25* | |
| | | 4^P | MBP-4^P | 25^P | MBP-25^P |

TL
mEos
Merge +TL

D

Normalized AmFRET

4^P   MBP-4^P   MBP-25^P   Monomer

S8 Figure

S9 Figure

S10 Figure

A

Allele	C-terminal truncation mutants
wtf4+

 |
wtf4-Δ¹⁰	10AA
wtf4-Δ²⁰	20AA
wtf4-ex6Δ	29AA

B

S11 Figure

A *S. kambucha wtf4*

Allele	Coding Sequence Repeat mutants
wtf4+	
wtf4-rep1Δ	
wtf4-rep2Δ	
wtf4-rep1-2Δ	

Exon 3 CDS repeats | Exon 6 CDS repeats

B Exon 3 repeat consensus

One repeat unit

AQCIKVTVIFL AQCVKVTAVGL

S. kambucha wtf4

C Exon 6 repeat consensus

One repeat unit

IGNIGRAFRG

S. kambucha wtf4

D

Plasmids [URA3]	+	[TRP1]	SC-TRP-URA	SC Gal-TRP-URA
EV	+	EV		
wtf4poison-mEos	+	EV		
EV	+	wtf4antidote-mCh		
wtf4poison-mEos	+	wtf4antidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep1Δpoison-mEos	+	EV		
EV	+	wtf4-rep1Δantidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep1Δpoison-mEos	+	wtf4-rep1Δantidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2Δpoison-mEos	+	EV		
EV	+	wtf4-rep2Δantidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep2Δpoison-mEos	+	wtf4-rep2Δantidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep1-2Δpoison-mEos	+	EV		
EV	+	wtf4-rep1-2Δantidote-mCh		
wtf4-rep1-2Δpoison-mEos	+	wtf4-rep1-2Δantidote-mCh		

F

*** *** n.s

Correlation

Wtf4poison +Wtf4antidote | Wtf4-rep1Δpoison +Wtf4-rep1Δantidote | Wtf4-rep2Δpoison +Wtf4-rep2Δantidote | Wtf4-rep1-2Δpoison +Wtf4-rep1-2Δantidote

E *S. kambucha wtf4*

	EV+EV	4P+EV	4A+EV	4P+4A	4-r1ΔP +EV	4-r1ΔA +EV	4-r1ΔP+ 4-r1ΔA	4-r2ΔP +EV	4-r2ΔA +EV	4-r2ΔP+ 4-r2ΔA	4-r1-2ΔP +EV	4-r1-2ΔA +EV	4-r1-2ΔP+ 4-r1-2ΔA
TL													
mEos													
mCherry													
Merge													
Merge +TL													

S12 Figure

S13 Figure

A

S. octosporus wtf25

Allele	Coding Sequence Repeat mutant
wtf25	
wtf25-rep^Sk	

■ *S. octosporus wtf25* CDS repeats
■ *S. kambucha wtf4* CDS repeats

B

Exon 4 repeat consensus

Amino acid

C

One repeat unit

S. octosporus wtf25   IGNGANGIGN

S. kambucha wtf4   IGNIGRAFRG

D

E

S14 Figure

A **S. octosporus wtf25**

Allele   **CDS repeat mutants**

wtf25

wtf25-repΔ

■ Exon 4 repeats

B Exon 4 repeat consensus

C