Phylogenomics of a genus of 'Great Speciators' reveals rampant incomplete lineage sorting,

gene flow, and mitochondrial capture in island systems

Jenna M. McCullough[1,2*], Chad M. Eliason[3], Shannon Hackett[3], Corinne E. Myers[1], and Michael

J. Andersen[1]

[1]*Department of Biology and Museum of Southwestern Biology, University of New Mexico,*

Albuquerque, NM, USA

[2]*Department of Biology, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY, USA*

[3]*Field Museum, Chicago, IL, USA*

*Corresponding author: Jenna McCullough[1], email jmmcculloughj@uky.edu

ABSTRACT

The flora and fauna of island systems, especially those in the Indo-Pacific, are renowned for their

high diversification rates and outsized contribution to the development of evolutionary theories.

The total diversity of geographic radiations of many Indo-Pacific fauna is often incompletely

sampled in phylogenetic studies due to the difficulty in obtaining single island endemic forms

across the Pacific and the relatively poor performance of degraded DNA when using museum

specimens for inference of evolutionary relationships. New methods for production and analysis

of genome-wide datasets sourced from degraded DNA are facilitating insights into the complex

evolutionary histories of these influential island faunas. Here, we leverage whole genome

resequencing (20X average coverage) and extensive sampling of all taxonomic diversity within

Todiramphus kingfishers, a rapid radiation of largely island endemic 'Great Speciators.' We find

that whole genome datasets do not outright resolve the evolutionary relationships of this clade:

four types of molecular markers (UCEs, BUSCOs, SNPs, and mtDNA) and tree building

methods did not find a single well-supported and concordant species-level topology. We then

uncover evidence of widespread incomplete lineage sorting and both ancient and contemporary

gene flow and demonstrate how these factors contribute to conflicting evolutionary histories. Our

complete taxonomic sampling allowed us to further identify a novel case of mitochondrial

capture between two allopatric species, suggesting a potential historical (but since lost) hybrid

zone as islands were successively colonized. Taken together, these results highlight how

increased genomic and taxon sampling can reveal complex evolutionary patterns in rapid island

radiations.

KEYWORDS: Artefactual branch effect, incomplete lineage sorting, Indo-Pacific, mitochondrial

capture, incomplete, molecular phylogenetics, whole genome resequencing

Islands play an outsized role in the study of speciation of wild populations (Wallace 1881;

MacArthur and Wilson 1967; Whittaker et al. 2017). Indeed, some of the most influential

examples of species diversification stem from island systems. These include the adaptive

radiations of Darwin's finches in the Galapagos (Darwin 1859; Grant and Grant 2006;

Lamichhaney et al. 2015), Hawaiian silverswords and honeycreepers (Baldwin and Sanderson

1998; Lerner et al. 2011; Landis et al. 2018), and Caribbean *Anolis* lizards (Mahler et al. 2010).

Though these examples have provided substantial insights in evolutionary biology, they are

unique cases in which results may not be generalizable to other groups of organisms. Conversely,

many lineages have evolved equally rapidly on islands without morphological novelty or obvious

adaptive consequences—hereafter referred to as geographic radiations (Rundell and Price 2009;

Simões et al. 2016). As distinct from adaptive radiations, geographic radiations occur across

spatially structured landscapes, such as island archipelagos, without the evolution of key

innovations or obvious adaptive changes (Moyle et al. 2009; Lieberman 2012; Moen and Morlon

2014). By studying organisms that occur across island systems, researchers can more readily

parse how these forces shape the evolutionary history of clades during bouts of rapid and

successive speciation events.

However, the study of rapid geographic radiations across island systems is not without its

challenges. For example, neutral processes like genetic drift and mutation are stronger

evolutionary forces in small populations such as those found in island systems (Baum et al.

2017). Additionally, rapid and successive speciation events contribute to short internode

distances that prove difficult for phylogenetic inferences (Whitfield and Lockhart 2007; Bagley

et al. 2020; Roycroft et al. 2020). These events also contribute to incomplete lineage sorting

(ILS), which is more frequent when successive speciation events occur at a faster rate than the

coalescence of alleles (Degnan and Salter 2005; Maddison and Knowles 2006; Scornavacca and

Galtier 2017). These issues are magnified when historical samples are included in analyses

(Smith et al. 2020; Ernst et al. 2022; Roycroft et al. 2022), a necessary, yet adverse, byproduct of

the gaps in modern genetic sampling. As our ability to collect better genetic data from degraded

samples increases, empirical studies are turning to historical samples to include these range-

restricted or rarely collected populations to broadly sample the entirety of geographic radiations.

The varied geographic radiations across the Indo-Pacific, encompassing southeast Asia

eastward to Polynesia, have contributed to many aspects of ecological and evolutionary theories

in wild populations. These include the early foundations of biogeography (Müller 1846; Wallace

1863, 1881; Lydekker 1896), the Theory of Island Biogeography (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967),

the 'Great Speciator' paradox (Diamond et al., 1976), taxon cycles (Wilson, 1959, 1961), and

allopatric speciation (Mayr 1942, 1963). Considered to be the main mechanism of speciation,

allopatric speciation invokes geographic isolation as a critical aspect of reproductive isolation

and subsequent lineage diversification. Prior to his work on speciation and the Biological Species

concept (Dobzhansky 1937; BSC; Mayr 1940, 1942), Ernst Mayr wrote extensively on the

phenotypic differences and evolutionary histories of many avian geographic radiations as curator

of ornithology at the American Museum of Natural History. He was particularly interested in

describing geographic variation based on avian specimens collected during the Whitney South

Seas Expedition (Chapman 1935), including honeyeaters (Mayr 1932a), *Rhipidura* fantails

(Mayr 1931a), *Pachycephala* whistlers (Mayr 1932b), and kingfishers (Mayr 1931b, 1935,

1941). The extensive phenotypic variation across these geographic radiations was influential in

the development of his evolutionary theories (Mayr 1940, 1942, 1963). Mayr's work on the

geographic variation of *Halcyon* kingfishers—now *Todiramphus* (Moyle 2006)—led to his

coinage of the 'superspecies' concept (Mayr 1931b), or what we now refer to as geographic

radiations. The superspecies concept describes a taxonomic 'grey zone' in which the varied

insular populations that comprise a geographic radiation may be recently diverged, having

developed distinctive phenotypic characteristics in isolation; however, they could not be treated

as separate species given our inability to assess reproductive isolation between allopatric

populations, a requirement of the Biological Species concept (Dobzhansky 1937; Mayr 1942,

1963; Mayr and Diamond 2001).

Due to changing prevailing definitions of species and new advances in molecular

systematics, there have been drastic impacts on the taxonomy of geographic radiations and

subsequent estimations of their species richness. Alternative views on species boundaries, such

as the Generalized Lineage Species Concept (de Quieroz 1998; de Queiroz 1999), led to an

increase in recognized species-level diversity within allopatric superspecies complexes. For

example, there has been a sea change in the way species limits are applied to insular geographic

radiations, due to the resolution of paraphyly or recognition of phylogenetically divergent

lineages, including in whistlers (Andersen et al. 2014; Marki et al. 2018), thrushes (Reeve et al.

2023), pittas (Irestedt et al. 2013), Australasian robins (Kearns et al. 2016), monarch flycatchers

(Andersen et al. 2015a, 2021; McCullough et al. 2021), white-eyes (Lim et al. 2019), sunbirds (Ó

Marcaigh et al. 2022), and kingfishers (Andersen et al. 2013; Sin et al. 2022). Increasingly, our

understanding of speciation with gene flow in these radiations has brought a more nuanced

approach to species limits (Nosil 2008; Campbell et al. 2018; Gyllenhaal et al. 2020; Mapel et al.

2021).

Todiramphus kingfishers represent the most species-rich and widespread genus within its

taxonomic order (Aves: Coraciiformes), distributed from the Red Sea to French Polynesia (Fig.

1). They are generalist predators, not obligate plunge-diving piscivores, as their common name

suggests. The Collared Kingfisher (*Todiramphus chloris*) is among the most widespread and

polytypic avian superspecies complexes in the Indo-Pacific. Andersen et al. (2015b) argued for a

revised classification based on a phylogeny that found no less than 10 species embedded within

the *T. chloris* species complex, thus rendering *T. chloris* paraphyletic. Moreover, this study

found that multiple instances of sympatry and obvious reproductive isolation within this group

suggested that species limits within *Todiramphus*, and especially within the *T. chloris* species

complex, were inadequately circumscribed.

Subsequent analyses highlighted the rapid diversification rate of *Todiramphus* kingfishers

(Andersen et al. 2018; McCullough et al. 2019), rivaling the textbook geographic radiation of

Zosterops white-eyes (Moyle et al. 2009; Lim et al. 2019). Unsurprisingly, the phylogenetic

history of *Todiramphus* kingfishers has proven recalcitrant, likely owing to their high speciation

rate and relative limitations of prior Sanger and reduced-representation sequencing datasets

(Andersen et al. 2015b, 2018; McCullough et al. 2019). More focused work employing RADseq

data, particularly assessing a major clade within the genus once considered a part of the *T.*

chloris complex, has highlighted a case of mitochondrial discordance within one clade of

Todiramphus comprising the migratory Sacred Kingfisher (*T. sanctus*), Islet Kingfisher (*T.*

colonus), and Torresian Kingfisher (*T. sordidus*; DeRaad et al. 2023). However, this study found

negligible levels of gene flow between these species and instead showed patterns consistent with

high rates of incomplete lineage sorting.

Because of the relatively rapid timing and phylogenetic diversity in the group,

Todiramphus kingfishers provide an opportunity to investigate how and when rapid radiations

occur across the Indo-Pacific. *Todiramphus* kingfishers originated in Sahul (Australia and New

Guinea; Andersen et al. 2018), having diverged from its sister taxon *Syma* approximately 10.3

Ma (McCullough et al. 2019). Since then, they dispersed eastward to French Polynesia and

westward across Southeast Asia to western India and as far as the Arabian Peninsula (Fig. 1).

The genus exhibits a high degree of secondary sympatry in island systems. For example, five

Todiramphus species can be found on Halmahera Island, Indonesia (17,780 km^2; Woodall 2001),

and three species can be found on the much smaller Tetepare Island, Solomon Islands (118 km^2;

McCullough et al. 2023). These kingfishers show marked geographic diversity, with noticeable

differences in plumages and body size within the same archipelago (e.g., Cinnamon-banded

Kingfisher *T. australasia* in the Lesser Sundas) or continental landmasses (Blue-black Kingfisher

T. nigrocyaneus in New Guinea). Of the 29 currently recognized extant species of *Todiramphus*

(Clements et al.2023; Gill et al. 2024), 28 occur on islands and seven are single-island endemics

(Fry et al. 1992; Woodall 2001). At the subspecific level, for which there are 93 named taxa, 70

occur only on islands and 28 are restricted to single islands (Fig. S1). Indeed, they occur on

nearly every island from Southeast Asia, through Wallacea, Melanesia, Micronesia, and

Polynesia—they truly are a quintessential geographic radiation.

Various studies have addressed species-level relationships at the family and ordinal levels

(Moyle 2006; Andersen et al. 2018; McCullough et al. 2019) or have addressed specific clades

(Andersen et al. 2015b; O'Connell et al. 2019; DeRaad et al. 2023). Yet to date, only half of the

total taxonomic diversity within *Todiramphus* has been included in a phylogenetic analysis due

to the lack of accessible modern tissue samples. Owing to these sampling gaps, the taxonomy

and evolutionary history of *Todiramphus* kingfishers remains unresolved. In this study, we

employed whole-genome resequencing of all 93 extant *Todiramphus* taxa to produce the first

fully sampled phylogeny of one of Mayr's classic examples of a geographic radiation. From

whole genome resequencing data of 127 samples, we extracted four types of molecular data for

phylogenetic inferences: ultraconserved elements (UCEs), single nucleotide polymorphisms

(SNPs), Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCOs), and mitochondrial

genomes (mtDNA). In doing so, we provide the most detailed study to date on the evolution of

one of the most widespread of the 'Great Speciator' genera. Our results reveal how incomplete

lineage sorting and gene flow during a rapid radiation have contributed to phylogenetic

uncertainty as well as another case of mitochondrial discordance within this complex. Together,

our study highlights the complicated evolutionary history of one of the Indo-pacific's greatest

avian radiations.

METHODS

Sampling and laboratory methods

We sampled at least one individual for all extant and named *Todiramphus* taxa (Table S1).

Because the number of taxa considered for each species varies widely, our species-level

sampling ranged from a single sample representing the monotypic Somber Kingfisher (*T.*

funebris) to 22 samples representing all the taxa within the widespread Pacific Kingfisher (*T.*

sacer). We also sampled two populations of *T. sacer* that Mayr considered as possible separate

taxa but refrained from naming both as separate subspecies, from Tucopia Island, Solomon

Islands and Aneityum Island, Vanuatu (Mayr 1931b). Moreover, we sampled multiple

individuals for two range-restricted Beach Kingfisher taxa that exhibit various head-color

morphotypes (*T. saurophagus admiralitis* and *T. s. anachoreta* which have green/blue or white

color on their heads). The only *Todiramphus* species we did not sample was the extinct

Mangareva Kingfisher (*T. gambieri*), whose species is represented by a single specimen

collected from Mangareva Island in 1838 (Thibault and Cibois 2017). Many *Todiramphus* taxa

are endemic to a few or single islands across the Indo-Pacific and are thus rarely collected, so we

relied heavily on clippings of toepads from museum study skins (n = 76, 59% of total sampling).

All other samples were either sourced from blood (n = 4) or ethanol- or frozen-preserved tissue

samples (n = 47) loaned to us from natural history collections. Our sampling scheme spans

nearly one and a half centuries of collecting effort by countless collectors (1887–2019, toepads

span 1887–1987). In total, we had 127 samples representing all 92 extant diversity within the

genus; because of differing levels of sample quality, not all samples are present in each generated

dataset (Table S1). We chose four representatives from each of the three kingfisher subfamilies

as outgroups, sourced from higher-level work on genomic evolution across the family (Eliason et

al. 2023). Because DNA sourced from museum specimens is known to have higher rates of

degradation than high quality tissues, we treated toepad-sourced samples differently than tissue-

sourced samples at all stages of wet lab work (see Appendix 2 for more details). We prepared

libraries with Kapa Biosystems Hyper Prep Kit and the iTruStub dual-indexing system

(baddna.org) using established protocols at the University of New Mexico. We pooled toepad-

and tissue-sourced libraries separately (10–15 toepad libraries per lane and 15–16 tissue libraries

per lane) and sequenced them across 12 S4 PE-150 lanes of an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 at the

Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation.

Bioinformatic processing

We trimmed demultiplexed raw reads with trimmomatic v.0.39 (Bolger et al. 2014) and aligned

cleaned reads to a Collared Kingfisher (*T. chloris collaris*) reference genome (Eliason et al.

2022) using BWA v.0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 2009) and GNU Parallel (Tange 2021). We used

MarkDuplicatesSpark, implemented in GATK v.4.2.6.1 (McKenna et al. 2010; Van der Auwera

and O'Connor 2020), to tag and remove duplicate reads. We assessed individual genome

summary statistics (i.e., CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics, CollectInsertSizeMetrics, and

depth) with Picard v.2.26.11 (Broad Institute 2019) and samtools v.1.15 (Danecek et al. 2021).

We used GATK v.3.8.1 to identify and realign indels (RealignerTargetCreator, IndelRealigner)

to produce final BAM files for extraction of different types of molecular markers for downstream

phylogenetic analyses.

Extraction and analysis of Ultraconserved Elements (UCEs)

We used the Phyluce v.1.7.0 (Faircloth 2016); described in full at https://github.com/faircloth-

lab/ phyluce) Python package to harvest thousands of UCE loci in silico (specifically following

the phyluce Tutorial III: Harvesting UCE Loci From genomes). We used samtools to convert the

final BAM file to fasta and then to 2.bit format using fatoTwoBit (Kuhn et al. 2013). Next, we

aligned the tetrapods UCE 5k probe set to each genome and extracted loci with 500 bp flanking

regions with "phyluce_probe_slice _sequence_from_genomes". We then followed the typical

bioinformatic steps implemented in the phyluce pipeline starting from "phyluce_assembly_get

_match_counts." We aligned each locus with MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013) without

initially trimming the ends of UCE loci, instead trimming using TrimAL v.1.4.rev15 (Capella-

Gutiérrez et al. 2009) with the "-automated1" flag and degenerated_DNA matrix (available at

https://github.com/inab/trimal/ blob/trimAl/dataset/matrix.Degenerated_DNA) to allow for

ambiguous bases.

During preliminary phylogenetic exploration, we determined that many of the toepad-

sourced samples had exceptionally long branches (see below for phylogenetic analyses and Table

S1 for these samples). Biologically unrealistic long branches such as these can contribute to

long-branch attraction and therefore incorrect phylogenetic relationships (Felsenstein 1978); this

issue has been shown as a byproduct of poor alignment trimming contributing to "dirty ends" of

UCE loci sourced from historical samples, including those sourced from avian toepads

(McCormack et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2020; Salter et al. 2022). We removed these artefactual

"dirty ends" following the bioinformatic pipeline by Smith et al. (2020; see Appendix 2 for

further information). Our final UCE dataset was a 90% complete matrix comprising multiple

individuals for some taxa that had at least 105 of 117 samples present at each UCE locus (Table

S3).

We used multispecies coalescent tree (MSC) and concatenated maximum likelihood

(ML) methods to analyze UCE datasets. Because gene tree histories could conflict with the

overall species tree, we used two species tree methods that account for gene tree heterogeneity

(Kubatko and Knowles 2023). First, we used SVDquartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014)

implemented in Paup* 4.0a168 (Swofford 2003); this quartet-based method uses a concatenated

alignment and site pattern frequencies of splits to estimate a species tree and has been shown to

perform well for large multilocus datasets (Wascher and Kubatko 2021). To decrease

computation time in Paup*, we split up the 117-sample, 90% complete matrix into two,

overlapping subsets of taxa (each with 69 samples). For each subset, we analyzed all possible

quartets and assessed support with 100 BS. Next, we used the summary-statistic method

ASTRAL-III v.1.15.2.4 (Zhang et al. 2018; Rabiee et al. 2019) to estimate the species tree. This

summary-statistic MSC method is sensitive to fragmentary data and depends on high quality

gene trees (Mirarab 2023). Because toepad-sourced samples often have a higher degree of

missing data within individual alignments, we used a series of filtering steps to produce a final

set of high quality gene trees. To do so, we 1) filtered the 90% complete matrix to drop samples

from individual alignments if they comprised more than 25% of ambiguous nucleotides (N) and

70% of gaps; 2) filtered our gene trees based on different thresholds of parsimony informative

(PI) sites (>50 PI sites and 1,583 gene trees; >100 PI sites and 125 gene trees; see Appendix 2

for more details). For ASTRAL, we increased the number of searches (-r) and subsampling (-s)

from the default of 4 to 8. To estimate a concatenated ML phylogeny of the 90% complete

matrix, we used RaxML-NG v.1.2.0 (Kozlov et al. 2019), assuming a general time-reversible

model of nucleotide substitution and gamma-distributed rates among all sites (GTR+G) and

evaluated support with the autoMRE function (set to 1000 BS). Finally, we inferred a partitioned

maximum likelihood phylogeny with IQtree 2.1.4 (Chernomor et al. 2016; Minh et al. 2020b),

implementing modelfinder and assessing support with 1,000 replicates of Ultrafast bootstraps

(Hoang et al. 2018).

Processing and analysis of single-copy orthologous loci (BUSCOs)

Because of their conserved nature in coding regions of the genome, single-copy orthologous

genes (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs [BUSCOs; Simão et al. 2015) have been

shown to be informative molecular markers at both deep and shallow timescales (Waterhouse et

al. 2018; Timilsena et al. 2022; Alaei Kakhki et al. 2023). Prior to extracting thousands of

BUSCO loci for phylogenetic inference, we masked low coverage sites from the final BAM files.

Generally, we calculated read depths with mosdepth 0.3.3 (Pedersen and Quinlan 2017),

generated consensus sequences with bcftools 1.19 (Li 2011; Danecek and McCarthy 2017)

implemented in a custom function in R 4.3.2 (R Development Core Team 2013), and used

bedtools v2.31.1 (Quinlan and Hall 2010) to mask sites with less than five reads. We extracted

thousands of BUSCO loci from the genomes using BUSCO 5.4.6 (Manni et al. 2021a, 2021b).

During initial phylogenomic analysis, it became clear that extracting these data from the lower

coverage toepad genomes yielded problematic alignments that contributed to an artificial pattern

in which these low coverage toepad genomes grouped together rather than within the clades that

matched those inferred with SNP and UCE datastets (Fig. S3). Because all of these data are

aligned to the same reference, we instead ran BUSCO on the high quality *T. chloris collaris*

reference genome and used BED coordinates of 8,337 BUSCO loci to extract these same regions

from all of our samples. To do so, we converted the metaeuk output for our reference sample to

gff3 coordinate format using the python script MetaeukToGff.py (https://github.com/Andy- B-

123/MetaeukToGff3). With GFFread v0.12.8 (Pertea and Pertea 2020), we extracted individual

genes in amino acid (AA) format from all samples. We created locus-specific files with

faSomeRecords (Kuhn et al. 2013) and removed short duplicates within loci with keep-

longest.py (https://gist.github.com/mkweskin/8869358). Because all loci—regardless of whether

adequate coverage of sequence data is present—are extracted based on this approach, we filtered

out loci that were entirely composed of missing data or invariant sites as well as those that did

not have PI sites to produce a matrix of 8,012 AA loci for 109 samples.

To determine if protein-coding loci inferred similar phylogenetic histories to the SNP and

UCE datasets, we also inferred phylogenies for the BUSCO loci with MSC and ML methods.

Similar to how we treated UCE data, we performed additional steps to filter BUSCO loci for

MSC analyses in order to produce high quality gene trees as input into ASTRAL. Though every

sample in our dataset was present at each of these loci regardless of presence of data, many

toepad samples comprised 50% or more of ambiguous sites. We dropped these individual

samples from BUSCO alignments with the same python script noted in the UCE processing

section by the ambiguous character from "N" to "X". In a similar fashion as the UCE datasets,

we filtered BUSCO loci by the number of PI sites. With a threshold of 20 PI AA sites (4,177

gene trees), a similar artefactual pattern of toepad-sourced samples cluster was apparent (Fig. S4)

that disappeared with a threshold of 100 PI AA sites (470 gene trees). We increased the number

of searches (-r) and subsampling (-s) to 8. We inferred a maximum likelihood phylogeny for the

concatenated matrix of 8,012 loci with IQtree 2.1.4 and assessed support with 1,000 ultrafast

bootstraps. During model selection, we confined substitution models to the Q.bird matrix and did

not allow for partition merging (Chernomor et al. 2016; Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017; Minh et al.

2021).

Processing and analysis of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs)

We performed variant discovery and genotyping on realigned BAM files with UnifiedGenotyper

in GATK 3 using a scatter-gather approach. Recent work has shown that the results of this

program are comparable to HaplotypeCaller in GATK 4 and ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 2014)

for non-model organisms (Aguillon et al. 2021). With VCFtools, we initially filtered SNPs that

were successfully genotyped in at least 90% of samples, had a minimum quality score of 30,

minimum depth of 3, and a minor allele count of 3. Because toepad-sourced samples had

significantly higher missing data, we removed samples that were missing at 55% or more sites in

this initial dataset. This only affected poorly sequenced toepad-sourced samples in which we had

several representatives per taxon. One sample of *T. n. nigrocyaneus* (AMNH 301866) fell

marginally below this threshold, but since it had less missing data than our other *T. n.*

nigrocyaneus sample, thus we still included it in the final VCF file (see Table S1). After

dropping these six toepad samples, we then produced a SNP dataset in which all samples were

successfully genotyped at all sites, as well as only keeping bi-allelic SNPs every 5,000 bp. Our

final dataset comprised 85,156 SNPs from 119 samples representing all *Todiramphus* taxa.

We implemented three different ML, MSC, and distance-based network methods for tree

building using SNP data. First, we converted the VCF file to nexus format with nucleotide data

using the ruby script convert_vcf_to_nexus.rb (available on https://github.com/mmatschiner/

tutorials/blob/master/species_tree_inference_with_snp_data/src/convert_vcf_to_nexus.rb). We

used this alignment for ML inference in IQtree, correcting for ascertainment bias (+ASC) to limit

branch length overestimations and assessed support with 1,000 BS replicates. Second, we

analyzed the SNP alignment using all possible quartets with SVQquartets and assessed support

with 1,000 BS replicates. Third, we generated a phylogenetic network to visualize the genetic

distances between all samples. We used R package StAMPP v1.6.3 (Pembleton et al. 2013; R

Development Core Team 2013) to produce a pairwise genetic distance (Nei's D; Nei 1972)

matrix as input into SplitsTree4 v 4.18.13 (Bryant and Moulton 2004; Huson and Bryant 2006)

to produce a Neighbor-Net phylogram.

Extraction and analysis of Mitochondrial genomes

We generated mitogenomes by mapping the trimmomatic cleaned reads to a reference

mitogenome of *T. sanctus vagans* (GenBank: NC011712, (Pratt et al. 2009) using the singularity

version of Mitofinder V1.4.1 (Allio et al. 2020). We checked these alignments by eye for

incorrect internal stop codons and dropped spurious samples from gene alignments if initial

phylogenetic analyses inferred them as an outgroup to *Todiramphus* (suggesting a incorrectly

mapped NUMT sequence rather than true mitochondrial DNA; (Nacer and Raposo do Amaral

2017). We used IQtree v2.2.2.6 to infer a maximum likelihood phylogeny of the 12 mtDNA

genes and assessed support with 1,000 BS replicates.

Tests for incomplete lineage sorting and gene flow

338   To test for incomplete lineage sorting, we calculated gene and site concordance factors

for the BUSCO and 90% complete UCE matrix trees inferred with IQtree. Gene (gCFs) and site

concordance factors (sCFs) are two additional measures for assessing topological support by

revealing the level of gene tree species tree discordance in phylogenomic datasets to assess the

extent of ILS. We pared down each of these datasets to a subset of 34 tips that encompassed

higher-level relationships across *Todiramphus*. To calculate gCFs, we chose to use the more

informative gene trees that we produced for ASTRAL in order to limit noise introduced by

thousands of low-signal gene trees. We calculated cGFs from UCE-based gene trees with >50

PIsites (1,583 gene trees) and BUSCO-based gene trees with >20 PI AA sites (4,155 gene trees)

with the superfluous tips not included in our 34-tip subset dropped ad hoc. We calculated sCFs,

using the maximum likelihood implementation with --scfl flag (Minh et al. 2020a; Mo et al.

2023), from the pared down concatenated alignments.

350   We estimated the phylogenetic network for the UCE gene tree dataset (1,583 gene trees)

with Species Networks applying Quartets (SNaQ; Solís-Lemus and Ané 2016) implemented in

Julia (Bezanson et al. 2017). SNaQ is a maximum pseudolikelihood approach to infer

phylogenetic networks while simultaneously accounting for ILS and gene flow with a coalescent

model based on quartet concordance factors (Baum 2007). We counted quartets and calculated

concordance factors with the 'countquartetsintrees' function in PhyloNetworks (Solís-Lemus et

al. 2017). After removing our outgroup, the Blue-caped Kingfisher (*Actenoides hombroni*), from

Figure 1. Ranges and evolutionary relationships of all *Todiramphus* kingfishers. A) Partitioned

maximum likelihood phylogeny of 4,942 UCE loci from the 90% complete matrix. Circles on nodes

indicate support values less than 100 bootstrap support. B). Ranges of 29 species-level groups with

diagonal lines showing sympatry and colors corresponding to tips. Letters corresponding to species

ranges are as follows: A, *T. enigma;* B, *T. funebris;* C, *T. diops;* D, *T. lazuli*; E, *T. macleayii*, F, *T.*

colonus, G, *T. albonotatus*. See Figure S1 with these ranges directly labeled with taxon names. C)

Unrooted phylogenetic network based on pairwise divergence among all samples, produced from a

complete set of 85,165 SNPs. Note that some branch lengths have been artificially shortened, denoted by

double slashes. See Figure S17 for subspecific labels. Illustrations created by Jenna McCullough and

names are as follows: i, *T. nigrocyaneus*; ii, *T. farquhari*; iii, *T. reichenbachii;* iv, *T. sacer*; v, *T. sanctus*;

vi, *T. saurophagus*; vii, *T. tristrami*; and viii, *T. leucopygius.*

our gene trees, our 33-tip dataset comprised 40,920 unique quartets which proved

computationally intractable to analyze together. Instead, we produced three subsets of 3,000 non-

overlapping randomly selected quartets as input into SNaQ, using h_m= 0–4 with 10 runs each.

For each subset, we kept adding edges until the likelihood scores no longer improved and chose

the tree with the best overall likelihood (though we report the best tree for each subset).

Mitochondrial data revealed discordant topologies for some *T. sacer* taxa and *T. colonus*

and *T. sordidus* (discussed in detail in the Results section); to specifically test for gene flow

between non-sister taxa, we used Dsuite v0.5 (Malinsky et al. 2021) to perform four-taxon

ABBA-BABA tests. We used our 119-sample filtered SNP dataset, in which each sample was

present at a given site and each SNP was at least 5,000 bp apart (85,156 SNPs) as input and used

the *Dtrios* module for block Jackknifing of 20 SNP windows across the genome (4,256 SNPs per

window) to calculate D and f_4-ratio statistics (Durand et al. 2011; Patterson et al. 2012) for every

possible quartet topology. We analyzed two different datasets: 1) a species-level dataset in which

all subspecific taxa were lumped into their respective species' tip, except for *T. sacer regina* (as

it was not monophyletic with the rest of *T. sacer*; see Results) and 2) the same as #1 but pared

down for fewer species and the treatment of five *T. sacer* taxa that were recovered within the

mitochondrial *T. sanctus* clade as separate tips: *T. sacer vicina* and four microendemics of

Temotu Province, Solomon Islands, *T s. regina, vicina, ornatus, utupuae,* and *brachyurus*. The

remaining *T. sacer* taxa were grouped within a "polynesian" and "melanesian" tip based on the

two clades recovered in the UCE IQtree topology (Fig. 1). We incorporated a false discovery rate

(FDR)-adjusted statistical significance threshold (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995), which is

recommended by the developer when running more than one trio (Malinsky et al. 2021). Our

FDR-adjusted *p*-threshold was 0.0000137 (3,654 pairwise tests for 29 tips in both datasets).

RESULTS

The average coverage of assembled genomes was 20X with differences between toepad- (n = 66,

average depth 10X with range of 4.6–27.9X) and tissue-sourced genomes (n = 47, average depth

34.8X, 11.9–98X; Table S1, Fig S5). Tissue-sourced genomes were relatively complete, with an

average 96.2% (standard deviation ±0.72%) of the 8,338 single-copy BUSCO loci (Table S4,

Figs. S6–7). Toepad-sourced genomes, on the other hand, averaged 55.9 ± 33.7% single-copy

BUSCO loci; though this was higher for those with more than 10X average coverage (88 ±

14.9%). Average depth for toepad-sourced genomes did not negatively scale with collection date;

perhaps not surprisingly, the relative data quality from the datasets extracted from these genomes

did scale with average depth (Fig. S7). Ten of the 127 genomes produced for this project, all

sourced from toepad material, were not high enough in coverage to produce adequate data for

inclusion in each of the four genetic datasets (we highlight in which datasets samples were

present in Table S1).

We extracted several datasets with varying numbers of samples for UCE, BUSCO, SNP,

and mtDNA data (Table S3). Our 90% complete UCE matrix with 117 samples comprised 4,942

loci and 4,531,264 bp. Our BUSCO datasets, differing based on thresholds of within-locus PI

AA sites, comprised 8,012 (>20 PI sites per locus threshold, total length 5,102,241 AA sites)

with 108 samples. Our 100% complete SNP dataset, with one SNP per 5 kb, comprised 85,156

SNPs for 119 samples. We recovered complete or partial mitochondrial genomes for 111

samples.

Topological conflict between nuclear data types and tree-building methods

The backbone of the *Todiramphus* tree is characterized by many short internodes, suggesting

rapid and successive speciation events early in the evolution of this group. We found

phylogenetic conflict between datasets and methods due, in part, to these short internodes. When

just considering species-level relationships, we did not find a single well-resolved topology that

matched across any two datasets or tree-building methods (Fig. 2). The first three main branches

of the tree rarely varied across analyses, with Blue-black Kingfisher (*T. nigrocyaneus*), Rufous-

lored Kingfisher (*T. winchelli*), and Sombre Kingfisher (*T. funebris*), respectively. Only in one

analysis did we find *T. winchelli* fully supported as the first branch of the genus instead of *T.*

nigrocyaneus (UCE SVDquartets tree; Fig. S8). We found conflicting phylogenetic signals for

the next major branch (Fig. 2), as we either recovered: 1) the Australian endemic Rufous-backed

Kingfisher (*T. pyrrhopygius*; Figs. S9–10), 2) a small clade of four species as the next branch

(referred to as the "*leucopygius* clade"; Figs. 1, S11–18), or 3) *T. pyrrhopygius* sister to the

leucopygius clade (Fig. S8). Gene and site concordance factors for the UCE and BUSCO IQtree-

inferred topologies revealed that both datatypes strongly favored conflicting topologies: 76% of

1,583 best UCE gene trees and 59% of concatenated 90% complete UCE alignment (4,531,264

bp in length) favored the earlier branching *T. pyrrhopygius* topology whereas a similar

percentage of the significantly larger BUSCO dataset (4,155 gene trees and 5,102,241 AA sites)

favored the *leucopygius* clade branching prior to *T. pyrrhopygius* (Fig. 3). The Lesser Sundas

Figure 2. Comparisons of trees from UCE, BUSCO, SNP, and mtDNA datasets with red branches

highlighting differences from the UCE maximum likelihood tree. Double asterisks (**) indicate samples

sourced from toepad samples. We collapsed nodes with <85 bootstrap support and note those with 99–85

BS. We grouped lineages that were consistently inferred as a clade for simplicity: *T. sacer* et al. includes

T. reichenbachii and *T. recurvirositris*. Note that *T. pelewensis* and *T. cinnamominus* are considered a

single tip ("*cinnamominus* et al..") except in Panels H and I.

endemic species, Cinnamon-banded Kingfisher (*T. australasia*) was always supported as sister to

the clade comprising the bulk of diversity within the genus: the Vanuatu Kingfisher (*T.*

farquhari) and all other species. We consistently found support for two major clades within

Todiramphus: 1) an entirely oceanic island clade and 2) an Australasian clade (Fig. 1). We

hereafter refer to these as the "Oceanic clade" and the "Australasian clade" even though some

taxa within the Australasian clade also inhabit oceanic islands.

Within the Oceanic clade, we found support for three subclades: 1) a subclade of two

microendemics in Micronesia, the Palau Kingfisher (*T. pelewensis*) and the extinct-in-the-wild

Guam Kingfisher (*T. cinnamominus*), 2) a subclade of eastern Polynesian species (referred to

hereafter as such, comprising *T. veneratus, T. gertrudae, T. tutus* etc.), and 3) a subclade

comprising Flat-billed Kingfisher (*T. recurvirostris*), Pohnpei Kingfisher (*T. reichenbachii*), and

T. sacer (i.e., the "*sacer* clade") sister to the Polynesian subclade. The relationships between

these subclades were largely consistent, even though sCF of the relationship between the east

Polynesian clade and the *sacer* clade showed that alternative topologies were favored at nearly

the same proportion as the inferred topologies for both BUSCO and UCE datasets (Fig. 3).

However, most of the discordance between analyses and data types were mostly restricted to

whether *T. recurvirostris* and *T. sacer regina* diverged earlier than *T. reichenbachii* and crown *T.*

sacer (supported by UCE data; Figs. S8–11, 15) or not (supported by SNP and BUSCO data;

Figs. S13–14, 16). Whereas the placement of *T. sacer regina* (Wallis and Futuna)*,* represented by

two toepad-sourced genomes (6.8 and 14.5 average coverage), varied between different clades

across analyses, we never inferred a topology in which *T. sacer regina* was within crown *T.*

sacer. UCE ML analyses instead found *T. s. regina* sandwiched between two other

microendemic species in a ladderized branching pattern: *T. recurvirostris* (Samoa), *T. s. regina*,

and *T. reichenbachii* (Pohnpei I.; Figs. S1, S9–10). With some support, we also found *T. s.*

regina: 1) sister to *T. recurvirostris* (Fig. S8), 2) branching prior to *T. recurvirostris* (Fig. S15),

3) as the first branch of the Oceanic clade (Fig. S14), or 4) as the first branch within the *T.*

sanctus complex (Fig. S16). The unrooted phylogenetic network placed *T. sacer regina* as

similarly distant from *T. sacer* as *T. recurvirostris* (Figs. 1C; S17).

Within the Australasian clade, eight species formed four subclades: three species

complexes (Sacred Kingfisher *T. sanctus,* Torresian Kingfisher *T. sordidus*, and Collared

Kingfisher *T. chloris*) and a clade comprising the largely oceanic island species (Islet Kingfisher

T. colonus, Mariana Kingfisher *T. albicilla*, Beach Kingfisher *T. saurophagus*, and Melanesian

Kingfisher *T. tristrami*). *Todiramphus sanctus*, one the few migratory species in the genus

(though four of five subspecies are sedentary and endemic to Pacific Islands), was supported as

the first branch in the Australasian clade. Across the nuclear datasets, most of the species-level

discordance between analyses centered on the second branch within the clade: whether it was *T.*

sordidus (Figs. S8B, 14)*, T. chloris* (Fig. S8A)*, a sister relationship between the two (Figs. S10,

16), or so poorly supported we considered it a polytomy with the *colonus* clade (Figs. S9, 11–13,

15).

Mitochondrial discordance and new cases of mtDNA capture within Todiramphus

Compared to nuclear DNA, the mitochondrial data revealed a different picture of the

evolutionary history of *Todiramphus* kingfishers in three major ways (Figs. 4, S19). First, the

mtDNA-inferred topology matched the ML UCE data in which *pyrrhopygius* diverges prior to

the *"leucopygius"* clade (Figs. S9–10, 18). Second, it was the only dataset to show the eastern

Polynesian clade (i.e., *T. veneratus* et al.) sister to the rest of the Oceanic clade and Australasian

clade. Third, it was the only phylogeny to recover *T. chloris* as the first branch of the

Australasian clade and instead recover a significantly different topology from all nuclear

datasets: *T. colonus* sister to *T. sanctus* and *T. sordidus*. This relationship has been highlighted

before (DeRaad et al. 2023) and was therefore expected. However, we recovered a strongly

supported topology of five *T. sacer* taxa embedded within the *T. sanctus* clade, most

Figure 3. Site (sCF) and gene (gCF) concordance factors of UCE and BUSCO topologies show a high

degree of ILS present in the evolutionary history of *Todiramphus* kingfishers. A) Partitioned 90%

complete UCE matrix (4,942 loci, total 4,531,264 bp) inferred in IQtree with gCFs calculated from gene

trees of loci with at least 50 parsimony informative sites (PIs; 1,583 gene trees). B) Partitioned BUSCO

topology (8,012 loci, total 5,102,241 amino acid sites) inferred in IQtree with gCFs calculated from gene

trees of loci with >50 PI amino acid sites (4,155 gene trees).

closely associated with the migratory taxon *T. s. sanctus* and sedentary *T. sanctus macmillani*

(endemic to Loyalty Islands, NE of New Caledonia). This group of *T. sacer* taxa with *T. sanctus*

mitochondrial DNA included *T. sacer regina* and four allopatric microendemics of Temotu

Province of Solomon Islands (*T. sacer ornatus, vicina, brachyurus,* and *utupuae*). Apart from our

inability to determine the relationship of *T. s. regina* with nuclear data, these four other Temotu

T. sacer taxa were either supported as the first few sequentially branching lineages of the *T.*

sacer clade (Figs. S9–10, 14–16) or had equivocal support values deeper in the *sacer* complex

(Figs. S11, 14–15) across nuclear datasets. In the unrooted phylogenetic network, three of these

five taxa were inferred to be early branching and independent lineages on par with splits shown

in other species-level taxa (i.e., *T. recurvirostris* and *T. reichenbachii*; Figs. 1C, S17). We had

sequenced multiple individuals of all these taxa (n = 2–3 samples) to confirm their curious

placement as sequentially branching at the base of the *T. sacer* complex. Yet, we did not find that

all individuals of each taxon had *T. sanctus* mtDNA: *T. sacer ornatus* and *T. sacer vicina* had at

least one individual in *T. sanctus* and *T. sacer* clades, whereas all samples for *T. sacer*

brachyurus, regina, and *utupuae* were recovered within *T. sanctus*.

Patterns of gene flow

ABBA-BABA tests uncovered biologically plausible scenarios of gene flow across both subsets

of data (species-level and the pared down dataset to investigate gene flow between *T. sanctus*

and *T. sacer* taxa that have *T. sanctus* mtDNA). Of the 3,544 calculated D-statistics, 858 and

887, respectively, were significant with a FDR-adjusted p value <0.000013. The results show

complex patterns highlighting gene flow between *T. sanctus* and mitochondrial discordant

lineages, with elevated F4 ratios between *T. sanctus* and *T. colonus* (F4 = 0.34), *T. sordidus* (F4

= 0.31), *T. s. regina* (F4 = 0.34), and the four Temotu *T. sacer* taxa (range of F4 = 0.12–0.33;

Figs. S19–20). Though the highest F4 ratios in the pared down dataset are between *T. sacer*

Figure 4. Evidence of gene flow and mitochondrial capture within some Pacific Kingfisher (*T. sacer*)

taxa and Sacred Kingfisher (*T. sanctus*). A) Partitioned IQtree phylogenies inferred from the 90%

complete UCE matrix and 13 mitochondrial genes. Note that the mtDNA phylogeny for the Australasian

clade (*T. sanctus*, *T. tristrami*, and allies) is different from the UCE topology, which has been described

previously (DeRaad et al. 2023). In the mtDNA phylogeny, there are two clades of *T. sanctus*: a clade of

four of the five sedentary, island endemic *T. sanctus* taxa (a), which is sister to the clade comprising the

migratory and nominate taxon *T. sanctus sanctus* (b), the five *T. sacer* taxa (colored lines), and the fifth

island endemic sedentary taxon *T. sanctus macmillani* (c). See Fig. S19 for tip labels. B) Range map of

both species, highlighting the subspecific ranges of the *T. sacer* taxa with *T. sanctus* mtDNA (see Fig. S1

for the subspecific ranges of all 22 *T. sacer* taxa). Jenna McCullough created the illustrations of

kingfisher taxa; names are indicated by roman numerals next to illustrations and are as follows: i, *T. sacer*

brachyurus; ii, *T. sacer vicina*; iii, *T. sacer ornatus*; iv, *T. sacer utupuae*; v, *T. sacer regina*; vi, *T. sanctus*

sanctus; and vii, *T. sacer sacer* (endemic to Tonga). C) Pairwise results of ABBA-BABA tests from

Dsuite, with values in comparisons with FDR-adjusted significant pairwise p values. Above the diagonal

line is the most significant (D_{min}) for any pairwise comparison and below are F4-ratio values. Only a

subset of all comparisons is shown here, see Figs. S20–23 for the complete set.

ornatus and the Polynesian (F4 = 0.51) and Melanesian (F4 = 0.66) clades of *T. sacer*. With

conservative interpretations of these tests by considering the lowest D values between multiple

comparisons (D_{min}), we still see moderate signals of gene flow between *T. sacer regina* and *T.*

sanctus (D_{min} = 0.13; Fig. S21) and *T. sacer* (0.16) in the species-level dataset (Fig. S21). When

T. sacer taxa that have *T. sanctus* mtDNA are treated as their own tips, we see statistically

significant signatures of gene flow between *T. sanctus* and *T. sacer brachyurus* (D_{min} = 0.08; Fig.

S19) and between *T. sacer brachyurus* and *T. sacer ornatus* (D_{min} = 0.27). Long swaths of

statistically significant and high D-statistic values for every species comparison, such as those

shown for *T. colonus,* members of the *leucopygius* and east Polynesian clades (Fig. S21), could

reflect either ancient introgression (Fig. S18), incorrect topology, or substitution rate variation

caused by bottlenecked populations (Table S4, (Frankel and Ané 2023; Koppetsch et al. 2024).

Network analyses with SNaQ also confirm the presence of hybrid lineages across

Todiramphus and explain topological discordance across datasets, with the best overall network

recovering four hybrid lineages (Fig. S18C). Reticulation events for our best SNaQ topology

indicated that between 2–37% of the sampled genome (gamma, γ) was exchanged in each event,

with 1-γ being the contribution of the other parental lineage. We inferred an ancient

hybridization event that exchanged a sizable portion of the sampled genome between *T.*

pyrrhopygius and the ancestor of *T. australasia* + the rest of *Todiramphus* (γ = 0.378).

Additionally, we inferred a reticulation event between *T. farquhari* and the ancestor of the east

Polynesian clade (γ = 0.236), between *T. chloris* and *T. sordidus* (γ = 0.233), and very low

percentage of the sampled genome between *T. sanctus* and the ancestor to the other members of

the Australasian clade (γ = 0.022). The other best trees in the two other subsets showed similar

patterns of reticulation events with varying levels of γ (Fig. S18). The best inferred networks for

other quartet subsets inferred similar and complicated reticulation histories across *Todiramphus*,

including branches involving the *T. pyrrhopygius* and the *T. leucopygius* clade, as well as

between *T. sanctus*, *T. chloris*, and *T. sordidus*.

Relationships within species complexes

We found several cases in which *Todiramphus* species complexes do not accurately reflect

taxonomic groupings. First, we found that the Talaud Kingfisher (*T. enigma*, endemic to the

Talaud islands between Sulawesi and the Philippines), is consistently recovered within the

Collared Kingfisher (*T. chloris*) clade. It was most frequently supported as sister to nominate *T.*

chloris (*T. c. chloris*, endemic to Wallacea; Figs. 1, 4, S1, S8, S13, S16). Additionally, we

consistently found that the two most northern taxa of the *T. sacer* complex, *T. sacer pavuvu*

(Pavuvu I.) and *T. sacer mala* (Malita I.) of the central Solomon Islands, were embedded within

T. tristrami and closely associated with their geographically closest neighbor, *T. tristrami alberti*

(main chain of the Solomons Archipelago). We found that the two unnamed *T. sacer* taxa, those

from Tucopia Island in the Temotu Province of the Solomon Islands and Anietyum Island in

southern Vanuatu, did not group with their assumed subspecific assignment. We never recovered

a sister relationship between samples from Tucopia and representatives from its long-assumed

subspecies, *T. sacer torresianus,* or between samples from Anietyum and its presumed

subspecies, *T. sacer erromangae* (Mayr 1931; Gill et al. 2024; Peters 1945).

DISCUSSION

As we can efficiently sequence larger and more thoroughly sampled phylogenomic datasets, it is

increasingly clear that the evolutionary histories of rapid radiations are complex (Jarvis et al.

2014; Prum et al. 2015; Malinsky et al. 2018; Zink and Vázquez-Miranda 2019; Rota et al. 2022;

Mirarab et al. 2024; Stiller et al. 2024). Here, we show that whole genome resequencing of a

thoroughly sampled taxonomic dataset of all described forms of a genus of 'Great Speciators'

has revealed evidence of pervasive gene flow that occurred throughout its rapid radiation. We

inferred deep reticulation events as well as more shallow cases of gene flow between both

sympatric (*T. sanctus* and *T. sordidus*) and allopatric species (*T. sanctus* and *T. sacer*; Figs. 4,

S18–22). This inferred gene flow, in concert with many rapid speciation events that precluded

gene coalescence and explains the topological discordance across the four data types used in this

study (UCEs, BUSCOs, SNPs, and mtDNA).

Our results confirm past work showing mitochondrial discordance in the Australasian

Todiramphus clade (DeRaad et al. 2023) and also reveal novel signatures of gene flow with a

larger and more comprehensive dataset (Figs. S19–22). Moreover, we uncovered new cases of

mitochondrial discordance (Fig. 4) between *T. sanctus* and several *T. sacer* populations that

nuclear data inferred as some of the earliest branches within *T. sacer*. Though our limited

sampling of a single individual for most taxa precluded us from more detailed population-level

analyses, we found signatures of gene flow between *T. sanctus* and Temotu *T. sacer* that have *T.*

sanctus mtDNA (Figs. S19–22). The inference of these hybrid lineages as divergent and non-

monophyletic lineages suggests that they follow the 'artefactual branch effect' (Chan et al.

2020b, 2023; Pyron et al. 2022). Our results suggest that there are numerous violations of the

traditional bifurcating species tree model within *Todiramphus* kingfishers. A next step towards

understanding interspecies relationships would be a sliding window approach to determine if

particular genomic regions are disproportionately driving the levels of discordance observed

here, as has been shown in early branches of Neoaves (Mirarab et al. 2024; Stiller et al. 2024).

Gene flow within Todiramphus *kingfishers*

Studies that employ genome-wide data are increasingly demonstrating the prevalence of gene

flow during periods of rapid diversification (Edelman et al. 2019; Taylor and Larson 2019; Guo

et al. 2022; Ocampo et al. 2022; Jensen et al. 2023; Jiang et al. 2024; Stiller et al. 2024; Zhao et

al. 2024). Here, we uncovered novel patterns of gene flow between *Todiramphus* kingfishers as

they rapidly diversified over the last 10 Ma. Recent population-level studies that employed either

mitochondrial (O'Connell et al. 2019) or RAD-seq data (DeRaad et al. 2023) did not find

signatures of gene flow between *T. sanctus* and other members of the Australasian clade (*T.*

chloris, *T. sordidus*, *T. colonus*, etc.). Here, comparisons between these lineages showed

relatively lower signatures of gene flow than other pairwise comparisons, such as between *T.*

sanctus and *T. sacer,* and within the *T. sacer* complex (Figs. S20–23). Network analyses inferred

a very low percentage of the sampled genome (2.2%) shared between *T. sanctus* and the ancestor

to the remaining members of the Australasian clade (Fig. S18). This novel inference of gene flow

was likely missed in prior studies due to the ever-growing size and scope of molecular datasets

brought to bear on this group (three mtDNA genes for O'Connell et al. 2019 vs. ~2,000 SNPs for

Deraad et al. 2023 vs. >85K SNPs and thousands of gene trees for this study). We are not the

first to uncover gene flow within Indo-Pacific kingfishers. Studies that employ phylogenomic

data showed persistent gene flow but maintenance of species-boundaries between the two

sympatric *Syma* species (sister genus to *Todiramphus*) across an elevational gradient in New

Guinea (Linck et al. 2020) as well as across *Ceyx,* the other 'Great Speciator' kingfisher genus

(Lim et al. 2010; Andersen et al. 2013; Shakya et al. 2023). Overall, our study fits into a larger

picture of gene flow between island endemic avian lineages, including within Fijian groups such

as honeyeaters and bush-warblers (Gyllenhaal et al. 2020; Mapel et al. 2021) and Indonesian

monarch flycatchers (Andersen et al. 2021).

638   Many of the contemporary patterns of gene flow that we observed in this study involve

one species in particular, the Sacred Kingfisher *T. sanctus*. Because we had limited sampling per

taxon, we could not pursue more detailed tests of gene flow between *T. sanctus* and other

species, such as Admixture (Alexander et al. 2009) or fastsimcoal2 (Excoffier et al. 2021).

However, observed mitochondrial discordance of five *T. sacer* taxa (*regina*, *ornatus, utupuae,*

vicina, and *brachyurus;* Fig. 4) and elevated estimates of D_{min} between *T. sanctus* and *T. sacer*

from ABBA-BABA tests (Figs. S19, S21) confirms the presence of gene flow. Moreover, one of

these *T. sacer* lineages (*regina*) is fully supported in the *T. sanctus* clade in one phylogeny

(BUSCO IQtree; Fig. S16). *Todiramphus sanctus* is notable because it is one of two truly

migratory *Todiramphus* species alongside the Forest Kingfisher (*T. macleayii*; Woodall 2001).

Moreover, only the nominate subspecies of *T. sanctus* is known to be migratory—the other four

taxa within this complex are considered sedentary and endemic to separate Pacific islands (Fig.

S1). We inferred gene flow between *T. sanctus* and Torresian Kingfisher (*T. sordidus*), a species

that also breeds in Australia. However, during its non-breeding season, *T. sanctus* occupies a

wide swath of the Indo-Pacific: from as far west as Sumatra, across Wallacea, New Guinea, and

northern Melanesia, and as far east as Makira in the Solomon Islands (Fry et al. 1992; Woodall

2001). Therefore, in the non-breeding season, *T. sanctus* is sympatric with upwards of 42

Todiramphus taxa (representing 15 species), compared to only five taxa (3 species) in the

breeding season. However, the *T. sacer* taxa that we found to have *T. sanctus* mtDNA are not

sympatric with wintering *T. sanctus*, as there are no records of *T. sanctus* in Temotu Province,

Solomon Islands, or even as far east as Wallis and Futuna (*T. sacer regina*; see Appendix 2 for

more details on breeding records of *T. sanctus*). This suggests a complicated history of island

colonization, historical gene flow, and range contraction between these two species.

With the lack of substantial breeding records of *T. sanctus* outside of Australia, we are

left with one central question: why do we infer gene flow between *T. sanctus* and Temotu *T.*

sacer (*ornatus, utupuae*, *vicina*, and *brachyurus)* and *T. s. regina* (Fig. 4) when we do not have

sympatric records (breeding or otherwise) of these taxa? Notably, the only islands that sedentary

T. sanctus inhabit are conspicuously void of *T. sacer*. Geographically, the closest breeding *T.*

sanctus taxon to an island with *T. sacer* is *T. sanctus macmillani* (Loyalty Islands) and the

undescribed *T. sacer* population on Anietyum [also written as Anatom], approximately ~220 km

apart. *Todiramphus sanctus macmillani* is notable because it was placed in the mitochondrial

clade with the migratory *T. sanctus sanctus* and all five *T. sacer* (Fig. 4). This pattern of non-

overlapping breeding ranges of sedentary *T. sanctus* and *T. sacer* parallels a pattern described by

Mayr (1932b) for Fijian whistlers (*Pachycephala*) in which the archipelago was first colonized

by one group and then replaced by another, forming hybrid populations as the second wave of

colonists swept through the archipelago. Notably, crown *T. sanctus* predates that for *T. sacer*

(McCullough et al. 2019, McCullough et al. *in prep*), so perhaps the ancestral range of *T. sanctus*

had more widespread sedentary populations across the South Pacific prior to members of the

Oceanic clade's back colonization from Eastern Polynesia towards Melanesia. This hypothesis

needs further testing, but it would explain the evidence of hybridization (Fig. 4) without the

contemporary presence of *T. sanctus* in the areas where *T. sacer* lineages possess *T. sanctus*

mtDNA.

Other sources of topological discordance

In addition to the demonstrated gene flow across the *Todiramphus* radiation (Fig. 4), topological

discordance could also be attributed to other biological and methodological factors. Incomplete

lineage sorting (ILS) is a well-known and pervasive source of topological discordance, especially

within rapid radiations (Suh et al. 2015; Lopes et al. 2020). However, teasing apart the relative

contribution of gene flow versus ILS is difficult. DeRaad et al. (2023) suggested that mitonuclear

discordance within the Australasian *Todiramphus* clade was driven largely by ILS rather than

nuclear gene flow or mitochondrial capture, based on largely non-significant pairwise

comparisons of the ABBA-BABBA D-statistic. Here, gene and site concordance factors

(gCF/sCF) between major *Todiramphus* topologies similarly suggest that ILS is likely a

significant source of topological conflict across the entire genus (Fig. 3). However, ancient

reticulation events consistently inferred in similar areas of the tree (Fig S18) and significant

pairwise D-statistic comparisons using our comprehensive SNP dataset (Figs. S20–23), together

suggest that ongoing gene flow during diversification contributed to signals of genome-wide

discordance in the Austalasian clade (Figs. 2–3). While DeRaad et al. (2023) rejected

mitochondrial capture within the Australasian *Todiramphus* clade, our expanded taxonomic

sampling across the genus reveals clear cases of mitochondrial capture of recently derived *T.*

sanctus haplotypes in multiple *T. sacer* lineages, highlighting the overall complexity of the

heterogeneous evolutionary processes simultaneously contributing to generate the patterns of

relatedness we observe among extant *Todiramphus* lineages.

Alternatively, methodological explanations like the source (tissue or toepad) or type of

DNA (exonic vs. intronic) could also explain some of the topological discordance among data

types and tree building methods. Because our dataset relied heavily on toepad samples, we took

additional steps at all levels of this study to ameliorate the effects of degraded DNA on

topological inference. In addition to special wet lab processing of toepad-sourced genomes, we

performed additional UCE alignment cleaning (Smith et al. 2020) and novel methods of *in silico*

BUSCO extractions (see Appendix 2). Moreover, we explored filtering approaches for MSC tree

building methods. ASTRAL is known to perform poorly when input gene trees are built from

short alignments of uninformative loci (Mirarab 2023), a pervasive issue for datasets utilizing

degraded DNA samples from museum specimens (Andersen et al. 2019; Salter et al. 2019, 2022;

Smith et al. 2020; Roycroft et al. 2021; Huynh et al. 2023). For datasets that have a high degree

of ancient or historical samples, there is often an artefactual pattern in which high quality and

historical samples form distinct clusters (Fig. S2) rather than being uniformly distributed in the

species tree. Before filtering for parsimony informative (PI) sites, ASTRAL-inferred species

trees showed a clear artefactual pattern of toepad-sourced samples clustering at the base of their

respective species-complexes (Figs. S2, S4). This pattern disappeared when we analyzed smaller

sets of gene trees based on alignments with more PI sites (Figs. S11, S15). Although filtering

based on informativeness can introduce bias (Musher et al. 2019; Burbrink et al. 2020), the

approach has been used with success elsewhere (e.g., Chan et al. 2020a; Leite et al. 2021;

Forthman et al. 2022). We preferred it here because it is a general approach to deal with these

issues rather than clade-specific filtering approaches (Chen et al. 2018) or by dropping important

tips represented by toepads. Additionally, the clades that represented the most topological

discordance were from those represented by high-quality tissues (i.e., *T. farquhari*, *T.*

pyrrhopygius) or were in clades with relatively few toepad-sourced samples (i.e., the *leucopygius*

clade, *T. sordidus*; Fig. 2).

The type of DNA from which molecular markers are derived (i.e., exonic vs. intronic

regions) could also contribute to conflicting topological patterns. UCEs are largely sourced from

intronic and non-coding regions of the genome whereas BUSCOs are all exonic markers from

coding regions. The difference in selective pressures and therefore rates of fixed mutations, has

been shown to have a substantial impact in the overall topology inferred by these markers, such

as in higher-level phylogenomic studies of all birds (Jarvis et al. 2014; Prum et al. 2015; Reddy

et al. 2017). Yet, we generally find that these data types produce largely similar topologies (Figs.

2–3). Though less commonly used in species-level relationships of birds, these molecular

markers are more widely used to infer phylogenies outside of birds, such as in plants (Timilsena

et al. 2022; Walden and Schranz 2023), fungi (Kanzi et al. 2020), arthropods (Dias et al. 2020;

Van Damme et al. 2022).

Taxonomic recommendations

In line with a growing body of work that has uncovered unsuspected species-level diversity in

geographic radiations across the Indo-Pacific (Andersen et al. 2013; Reeve et al. 2023; Pedersen

et al. 2018; Irestedt et al. 2013), we too found that some taxa in our dataset require taxonomic

revisions based on their novel systematic relationships (see Appendix 2 for further discussion).

Roughly half of the *Todiramphus* diversity we sampled has never been included in a molecular

phylogenetic analysis. Many newly added taxa fall within their presumed species groups, such as

all of the subspecific diversity within *T. tristrami* (Fig. 1), but there are some instances that

require taxonomic changes. When Ernst Mayr described geographic variation of kingfishers

across the Pacific, he noted that two populations on Tucopia (Temotu Province, Solomon

Islands) and Aneityum (Tafea Province, Vanuatu) islands were each phenotypically distinct from

those on nearby islands, but he refrained from naming these as subspecies (Mayr 1931b). He

wrote of the birds from Anietyum, they were "indistinguishable from typical *juliae…* only the

distribution keeps me, for the present, from uniting it immediately with *juliae*" (Mayr 1931b, pg

4). To our eyes, Aneityum *sacer* are more similar to those in central Vanuatu (*T. sacer juliae*)

with white underparts and collar rather than the buffy *T. s. tannensis* on Tanna Island. However,

instead of considering this a separate taxon or grouping this population with the closest island

(Tanna), Aneityum birds have long been considered as part of *T. sacer erromangae*, forming a

curious leapfrog distribution of white-bellied birds (*erromangae* and the Aneityum form)

sandwiching buffy-bellied birds (Tanna). We would expect that if Aneityum birds are indeed part

of *T. s. erromangae* or *tannensis*, our genetic samples would be closely associated with one of

these taxa. For the samples from Tucopia, Mayr refrained from naming these birds as a

subspecific taxon because their plumages were "too worn" (Mayr 1931b), and they have been

considered either a part of *T. s. torresianus* (Banks Is., Vanuatu) or *T. s. melanodera* (Vanikolo

in Temotu Province). Whereas we sometimes found Aneityum *sacer* grouping in a subclade with

T. s. erromangae or *tannensis* and Tucopia *sacer* (Fig. 1), we never recovered Aneityum *sacer* or

Tucopia *sacer* as sister to either of their presumed subspecies. We suspect these could represent

independent lineages from their long-presumed subspecies but we defer naming these two

populations as subspecies at this time.

CONCLUSIONS

Geographic radiations across the Indo-Pacific have been critical to the development of influential

speciation theories, with genome-scale datasets providing new and accelerated insights into the

complicated evolutionary histories of such rapidly diversifying clades. In this study we show that

whole genome resequencing and complete sampling of a rapid radiation of mostly island

kingfishers does not outright resolve the evolutionary relationships of the group. Instead, we

found that four types of data (UCEs, BUSCOs, SNPs, and mtDNA) and tree building methods

(ML, MSC, networks) did not infer a single well-supported and concordant species-level

topology. Phylogenetic network analyses suggest that ancient introgression is likely the cause of

these topological differences. The discovery of several *T. sacer* populations falling in the

mitochondrial clade of a widespread and migratory taxon, *T. sanctus*, and the inference of gene

flow between them ABBA-BABA tests, suggests a potential historical hybrid zone between

these two species as islands were successively colonized. We were only able to pick up on these

signals of hybridization because we thoroughly sampled all described diversity within the genus

by relying on a sizable number of toepad-sourced data. Future studies that investigate rapid

radiations in this region should incorporate widespread taxonomic and population level

sampling, while also sampling large amounts of genetic data to tease apart the interacting effects

of gene flow and incomplete lineage sorting on complex evolutionary histories.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study unequivocally would not have been possible if not for the countless people since 1887

who have dedicated their time to collecting, preparing, preserving, and loaning bird specimens

and their associated tissues to the world's scientists. Nearly 30% of our sampling was from the

American Museum of Natural History's Whitney South Seas Expedition (1920–1941), therefore

we would like to acknowledge some of its most prolific collectors: Hannibal Hamlin, Rollo H.

Beck, William F. Coultas, and Ernst Mayr. We specifically thank the curators and collections

managers of the American Museum of Natural History, Australian National Wildlife Collection,

Charles R. Conner Museum, Delaware Museum of Natural History, the Field Museum,

University of Kansas Biodiversity Institute and Natural History Museum, the Natural History

Museum in London, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Louisiana State

University Museum of Natural Science, Museum of Comparative Zoology, Natural History

Museum of Geneva, Museum of Southwestern Biology, Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, New

York State Museum, Smithsonian National Zoological Park, University of Michigan Museum of

Zoology, United States National Museum, University of Washington Burke Museum, Western

Australia Museum, and Yale Peabody Museum. We thank Devon DeRaad, Ethan Gyllenhaal,

David Tan, Fernando Machado-Stredel, Rob Lanfear, Laura Kubatko, David Bryant, Leo Joseph,

and Nathan Kolbow for helpful discussions on the analyses presented in this manuscript. We

would like to thank the UNM Center for Advanced Research Computing, supported in part by

the National Science Foundation (NSF), for providing the research computing resources used in

this work. This study was funded by NSF (DEB 2112467 to C.E.M., S.J.H., and M.J.A) and the

following sources to J.M.M.: the American Museum of Natural History Frank Chapman

Research Grant, UNM Biology Department Alvin R. and Caroline G. Grove Research and

Richard B. Forbes Conservation awards, British Ornithologists' Union Small Ornithological

Research Grant, and the American Ornithological Society Werner and Hildegard Hesse Research

award.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Supplementary material, including alignments, tree figures, and other information

(supplementary methods, discussion, figures, and tables) are available in the Dryad data

repository (available upon acceptance). Raw read data sequenced for this project is available on

Genbank (accession upon acceptance).

APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Combined excel spreadsheet that includes five supplementary tables.

Appendix 2: Combined PDF of supplementary methods, discussion, and figures.

LITERATURE CITED

Aguillon S.M., Walsh J., Lovette I.J. 2021. Extensive hybridization reveals multiple coloration

genes underlying a complex plumage phenotype. Proc. Biol. Sci. 288:20201805.

Alaei Kakhki N., Schweizer M., Lutgen D., Bowie R.C.K., Shirihai H., Suh A., Schielzeth H.,

Burri R. 2023. A Phylogenomic Assessment of Processes Underpinning Convergent

Evolution in Open-Habitat Chats. Mol. Biol. Evol. 40.

Alexander D.H., Novembre J., Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in

unrelated individuals. Genome Res. 19:1655–1664.

Allio R., Schomaker-Bastos A., Romiguier J., Prosdocimi F., Nabholz B., Delsuc F. 2020.

MitoFinder: Efficient automated large-scale extraction of mitogenomic data in target

enrichment phylogenomics. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 20:892–905.

Andersen M.J., Hosner P.A., Filardi C.E., Moyle R.G. 2015a. Phylogeny of the monarch

flycatchers reveals extensive paraphyly and novel relationships within a major Australo-

Pacific radiation. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 83:118–136.

Andersen M.J., McCullough J.M., Friedman N.R., Townsend Peterson A., Moyle R.G., Joseph

L., Nyári Á.S. 2019. Ultraconserved elements resolve genus-level relationships in a major

Australasian bird radiation (Aves: Meliphagidae). Emu - Austral Ornithology. 119:218–232.

Andersen M.J., McCullough J.M., Gyllenhaal E.F., Mapel X.M., Haryoko T., Jønsson K.A.,

Joseph L. 2021. Complex histories of gene flow and a mitochondrial capture event in a

nonsister pair of birds. Mol. Ecol. 30:2087–2103.

Andersen M.J., McCullough J.M., Mauck W.M., Smith B.T., Moyle R.G. 2018. A phylogeny of

kingfishers reveals an Indomalayan origin and elevated rates of diversification on oceanic

islands. J. Biogeogr. 45:269–281.

Andersen M.J., Nyári Á.S., Mason I., Joseph L., Dumbacher J.P., Filardi C.E., Moyle R.G. 2014.

Molecular systematics of the world's most polytypic bird: the Pachycephala

pectoralis/melanura (Aves: Pachycephalidae) species complex. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 170:566–

588.

Andersen M.J., Oliveros C.H., Filardi C.E., Moyle R.G. 2013. Phylogeography of the Variable

Dwarf-Kingfisher *Ceyx Lepidus* (Aves: Alcedinidae) inferred from mitochondrial and

nuclear DNA sequences. Auk. 130:118–131.

Andersen M.J., Shult H.T., Cibois A., Thibault J.-C., Filardi C.E., Moyle R.G. 2015b. Rapid

diversification and secondary sympatry in Australo-Pacific kingfishers (Aves: Alcedinidae:

Todiramphus). R Soc Open Sci. 2:140375.

Bagley J.C., Uribe-Convers S., Carlsen M.M., Muchhala N. 2020. Utility of targeted sequence

capture for phylogenomics in rapid, recent angiosperm radiations: Neotropical Burmeistera

bellflowers as a case study. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 152:106769.

Baldwin B.G., Sanderson M.J. 1998. Age and rate of diversification of the Hawaiian silversword

alliance (Compositae). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 95:9402–9406.

Baum D.A. 2007. Concordance trees, concordance factors, and the exploration of reticulate

genealogy. Taxon. 56:417–426.

Baum D.A., Futuyma D.J., Hoekstra H.E., Lenski R.E., Moore A.J., Peichel C.L., Schluter D.,

Whitlock M.C. 2017. The Princeton Guide to Evolution. Princeton University Press.

Benjamini Y., Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful

approach to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. 57:289–300.

Bezanson J., Edelman A., Karpinski S., Shah V.B. 2017. Julia: A Fresh Approach to Numerical

Computing. SIAM Rev. 59:65–98.

Billerman S.M., Walsh J. 2019. Historical DNA as a tool to address key questions in avian

biology and evolution: A review of methods, challenges, applications, and future directions.

Mol. Ecol. Resour. 19:1115–1130.

Bolger A.M., Lohse M., Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. Bioinformatics. 30:2114–2120.

Broad Institute. 2019. Picard toolkit. Broad Institute, GitHub repository.

Bryant D., Moulton V. 2004. Neighbor-net: an agglomerative method for the construction of

phylogenetic networks. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:255–265.

Burbrink F.T., Grazziotin F.G., Pyron R.A., Cundall D., Donnellan S., Irish F., Keogh J.S.,

Kraus F., Murphy R.W., Noonan B., Raxworthy C.J., Ruane S., Lemmon A.R., Lemmon

E.M., Zaher H. 2020. Interrogating Genomic-Scale Data for Squamata (Lizards, Snakes,

and Amphisbaenians) Shows no Support for Key Traditional Morphological Relationships.

Syst. Biol. 69:502–520.

Campbell C.R., Poelstra J.W., Yoder A.D. 2018. What is Speciation Genomics? The roles of

ecology, gene flow, and genomic architecture in the formation of species. Biol. J. Linn. Soc.

Lond. 124:561–583.

Capella-Gutiérrez S., Silla-Martínez J.M., Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: a tool for automated

alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics. 25:1972–1973.

Chan K.O., Hutter C.R., Wood P.L. Jr, Grismer L.L., Brown R.M. 2020a. Larger, unfiltered

datasets are more effective at resolving phylogenetic conflict: Introns, exons, and UCEs

resolve ambiguities in Golden-backed frogs (Anura: Ranidae; genus Hylarana). Mol.

Phylogenet. Evol. 151:106899.

Chan K.O., Hutter C.R., Wood P.L. Jr, Grismer L.L., Das I., Brown R.M. 2020b. Gene flow

creates a mirage of cryptic species in a Southeast Asian spotted stream frog complex. Mol.

Ecol. 29:3970–3987.

Chan K.O., Mulcahy D.G., Anuar S. 2023. The Artefactual Branch Effect and Phylogenetic

Conflict: Species Delimitation with Gene Flow in Mangrove Pit Vipers (Trimeresurus

purpureomaculatus-erythrurus Complex). Syst. Biol. 72:1209–1219.

Chapman F.M. 1935. THE WHITNEY SOUTH SEA EXPEDITION. Science. 81:95–97.

Chen D., Braun E.L., Forthman M., Kimball R.T., Zhang Z. 2018. A simple strategy for

recovering ultraconserved elements, exons, and introns from low coverage shotgun

sequencing of museum specimens: Placement of the partridge genus Tropicoperdix within

the galliformes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 129:304–314.

Chernomor O., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q. 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for

Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. Syst. Biol. 65:997–1008.

Chifman J., Kubatko L. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model.

Bioinformatics. 30:3317–3324.

Clements J.F., Rasmussen P.C., Schulenberg T.S., Iliff M.J., Fredericks T.A., Gerbracht J.A.,

Lepage D., Spencer A., Billerman S.M., Sullivan B.L., Wood C.L. The eBird/Clements

checklist of Birds of the World: v2023b. Available from

https://www.birds.cornell.edu/clementschecklist/download/.

Danecek P., Bonfield J.K., Liddle J., Marshall J., Ohan V., Pollard M.O., Whitwham A., Keane

T., McCarthy S.A., Davies R.M., Li H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.

Gigascience. 10.

Danecek P., McCarthy S.A. 2017. BCFtools/csq: haplotype-aware variant consequences.

Bioinformatics. 33:2037–2039.

Darwin C. 1859. On the Origin of Species . .

Degnan J.H., Salter L.A. 2005. Gene tree distributions under the coalescent process. Evolution.

59:24–37.

DeRaad D.A., McCullough J.M., DeCicco L.H., Hime P.M., Joseph L., Andersen M.J., Moyle

R.G. 2023. Mitonuclear discordance results from incomplete lineage sorting, with no

detectable evidence for gene flow, in a rapid radiation of Todiramphus kingfishers. Mol.

Ecol.

Dias G.R., Dupim E.G., Vanderlinde T., Mello B., Carvalho A.B. 2020. A phylogenomic study

of Steganinae fruit flies (Diptera: Drosophilidae): strong gene tree heterogeneity and

evidence for monophyly. BMC Evol. Biol. 20:141.

Dobzhansky T. 1937. Genetics and the Origin of Species. Columbia University Press.

Durand E.Y., Patterson N., Reich D., Slatkin M. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between

closely related populations. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2239–2252.

Edelman N.B., Frandsen P.B., Miyagi M., Clavijo B., Davey J., Dikow R.B., García-Accinelli

G., Van Belleghem S.M., Patterson N., Neafsey D.E., Challis R., Kumar S., Moreira G.R.P.,

Salazar C., Chouteau M., Counterman B.A., Papa R., Blaxter M., Reed R.D., Dasmahapatra

K.K., Kronforst M., Joron M., Jiggins C.D., McMillan W.O., Di Palma F., Blumberg A.J.,

Wakeley J., Jaffe D., Mallet J. 2019. Genomic architecture and introgression shape a

butterfly radiation. Science. 366:594–599.

Eliason C.M., Hains T., McCullough J., Andersen M.J., Hackett S.J. 2022. Genomic novelty

within a "great speciator" revealed by a high-quality reference genome of the collared

kingfisher (Todiramphus chloris collaris). G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 12:jkac260.

Eliason C.M., Mellenthin L.E., Hains T., McCullough J.M., Pirro S., Andersen M.J., Hackett S.J.

2023. Genomic signatures of convergent shifts to plunge-diving behavior in birds. Commun

Biol. 6:1011.

Ernst M., Jønsson K.A., Ericson P.G.P., Blom M.P.K., Irestedt M. 2022. Utilizing museomics to

trace the complex history and species boundaries in an avian-study system of conservation

concern. Heredity . 128:159–168.

Excoffier L., Marchi N., Marques D.A., Matthey-Doret R., Gouy A., Sousa V.C. 2021.

fastsimcoal2: demographic inference under complex evolutionary scenarios.

Bioinformatics. 37:4882–4885.

Faircloth B.C. 2016. PHYLUCE is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic

loci. Bioinformatics. 32:786–788.

Faircloth B.C., McCormack J.E., Crawford N.G., Harvey M.G., Brumfield R.T., Glenn T.C.

2012. Ultraconserved Elements Anchor Thousands of Genetic Markers Spanning Multiple

Evolutionary Timescales. Syst. Biol. 61:717–726.

Felsenstein J. 1978. Cases in which Parsimony or Compatibility Methods will be Positively

Misleading. Syst. Biol. 27:401–410.

Feng S., Stiller J., Deng Y., Armstrong J., Fang Q., Reeve A.H., Xie D., Chen G., Guo C.,

Faircloth B.C., Petersen B., Wang Z., Zhou Q., Diekhans M., Chen W., Andreu-Sánchez S.,

Margaryan A., Howard J.T., Parent C., Pacheco G., Sinding M.-H.S., Puetz L., Cavill E.,

Ribeiro Â.M., Eckhart L., Fjeldså J., Hosner P.A., Brumfield R.T., Christidis L., Bertelsen

M.F., Sicheritz-Ponten T., Tietze D.T., Robertson B.C., Song G., Borgia G., Claramunt S.,

Lovette I.J., Cowen S.J., Njoroge P., Dumbacher J.P., Ryder O.A., Fuchs J., Bunce M., Burt

D.W., Cracraft J., Meng G., Hackett S.J., Ryan P.G., Jønsson K.A., Jamieson I.G., da

Fonseca R.R., Braun E.L., Houde P., Mirarab S., Suh A., Hansson B., Ponnikas S., Sigeman

H., Stervander M., Frandsen P.B., van der Zwan H., van der Sluis R., Visser C.,

Balakrishnan C.N., Clark A.G., Fitzpatrick J.W., Bowman R., Chen N., Cloutier A.,

Sackton T.B., Edwards S.V., Foote D.J., Shakya S.B., Sheldon F.H., Vignal A., Soares

A.E.R., Shapiro B., González-Solís J., Ferrer-Obiol J., Rozas J., Riutort M., Tigano A.,

Friesen V., Dalén L., Urrutia A.O., Székely T., Liu Y., Campana M.G., Corvelo A.,

Fleischer R.C., Rutherford K.M., Gemmell N.J., Dussex N., Mouritsen H., Thiele N.,

Delmore K., Liedvogel M., Franke A., Hoeppner M.P., Krone O., Fudickar A.M., Milá B.,

Ketterson E.D., Fidler A.E., Friis G., Parody-Merino Á.M., Battley P.F., Cox M.P., Lima

N.C.B., Prosdocimi F., Parchman T.L., Schlinger B.A., Loiselle B.A., Blake J.G., Lim H.C.,

Day L.B., Fuxjager M.J., Baldwin M.W., Braun M.J., Wirthlin M., Dikow R.B., Ryder

T.B., Camenisch G., Keller L.F., DaCosta J.M., Hauber M.E., Louder M.I.M., Witt C.C.,

McGuire J.A., Mudge J., Megna L.C., Carling M.D., Wang B., Taylor S.A., Del-Rio G.,

Aleixo A., Vasconcelos A.T.R., Mello C.V., Weir J.T., Haussler D., Li Q., Yang H., Wang

J., Lei F., Rahbek C., Gilbert M.T.P., Graves G.R., Jarvis E.D., Paten B., Zhang G. 2021.

Author Correction: Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative

genomics. Nature. 592:E24.

Forthman M., Braun E.L., Kimball R.T. 2022. Gene tree quality affects empirical coalescent

branch length estimation. Zool. Scr. 51:1–13.

Frankel L.E., Ané C. 2023. Summary Tests of Introgression Are Highly Sensitive to Rate

Variation Across Lineages. Syst. Biol. 72:1357–1369.

French W.M. 1957. [Correspondence] Birds of the Solomon Islands. Ibis . 99:126–127.

Fry C.H., Fry K., Harris A. 1992. Kingfishers, Bee-eaters & Rollers: A handbook. Princeton,

New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Gill F., Donsker D., Rasmussen P. 2024. IOC world bird list 14.1. Available from

https://www.worldbirdnames. org/new/ioc-lists/master-list-2/.

Grant P.R., Grant B.R. 2006. Evolution of character displacement in Darwin's finches. Science.

313:224–226.

Guo W., Sun D., Cao Y., Xiao L., Huang X., Ren W., Xu S., Yang G. 2022. Extensive

Interspecific Gene Flow Shaped Complex Evolutionary History and Underestimated

Species Diversity in Rapidly Radiated Dolphins. J. Mamm. Evol. 29:353–367.

Gyllenhaal E.F., Mapel X.M., Naikatini A., Moyle R.G., Andersen M.J. 2020. A test of island

biogeographic theory applied to estimates of gene flow in a Fijian bird is largely consistent

with neutral expectations. Mol. Ecol. 29:4059–4073.

Hartert E. 1904. The birds of the South-west Islands, Wetter, Roma, Kisser, Letti and Moa.

Novitates Zoologicae. 11:174–221.

Hoang D.T., Chernomor O., von Haeseler A., Minh B.Q., Vinh L.S. 2018. UFBoot2: Improving

the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:518–522.

Huson D.H., Bryant D. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol.

Biol. Evol. 23:254–267.

Huynh S., Cloutier A., Sin S.Y.W. 2023. Museomics and phylogenomics of lovebirds

(Psittaciformes, Psittaculidae, Agapornis) using low-coverage whole-genome sequencing.

Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 185:107822.

Irestedt M., Fabre P.H., Batalha-Filho H., Jonsson K.A., Roselaar C.S., Sangster G., Ericson

P.G.P. 2013. The spatio-temporal colonization and diversification across the Indo-Pacific by

a "great speciator" (Aves, Erythropitta erythrogaster). Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences. 280:20130309–20130309.

Irestedt M., Thörn F., Müller I.A., Jønsson K.A., Ericson P.G.P., Blom M.P.K. 2022. A guide to

avian museomics: Insights gained from resequencing hundreds of avian study skins. Mol.

Ecol. Resour. 22:2672–2684.

Jarvis E.D., Mirarab S., Aberer A.J., Li B., Houde P., Li C., Ho S.Y.W., Faircloth B.C., Nabholz

B., Howard J.T., Suh A., Weber C.C., da Fonseca R.R., Li J., Zhang F., Li H., Zhou L.,

Narula N., Liu L., Ganapathy G., Boussau B., Bayzid M.S., Zavidovych V., Subramanian

S., Gabaldón T., Capella-Gutiérrez S., Huerta-Cepas J., Rekepalli B., Munch K., Schierup

M., Lindow B., Warren W.C., Ray D., Green R.E., Bruford M.W., Zhan X., Dixon A., Li

S., Li N., Huang Y., Derryberry E.P., Bertelsen M.F., Sheldon F.H., Brumfield R.T., Mello

C.V., Lovell P.V., Wirthlin M., Schneider M.P.C., Prosdocimi F., Samaniego J.A., Vargas

Velazquez A.M., Alfaro-Núñez A., Campos P.F., Petersen B., Sicheritz-Ponten T., Pas A.,

Bailey T., Scofield P., Bunce M., Lambert D.M., Zhou Q., Perelman P., Driskell A.C.,

Shapiro B., Xiong Z., Zeng Y., Liu S., Li Z., Liu B., Wu K., Xiao J., Yinqi X., Zheng Q.,

Zhang Y., Yang H., Wang J., Smeds L., Rheindt F.E., Braun M., Fjeldså J., Orlando L.,

Barker F.K., Jønsson K.A., Johnson W., Koepfli K.-P., O'Brien S., Haussler D., Ryder

O.A., Rahbek C., Willerslev E., Graves G.R., Glenn T.C., McCormack J., Burt D., Ellegren

H., Alström P., Edwards S.V., Stamatakis A., Mindell D.P., Cracraft J., Braun E.L.,

Warnow T., Jun W., Gilbert M.T.P., Zhang G. 2014. Whole-genome analyses resolve early

branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science. 346:1320–1331.

Jensen A., Swift F., de Vries D., Beck R.M.D., Kuderna L.F.K., Knauf S., Chuma I.S., Keyyu

J.D., Kitchener A.C., Farh K., Rogers J., Marques-Bonet T., Detwiler K.M., Roos C.,

Guschanski K. 2023. Complex Evolutionary History With Extensive Ancestral Gene Flow

in an African Primate Radiation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 40.

Jiang Z., Zang W., Ericson P.G.P., Song G., Wu S., Feng S., Drovetski S.V., Liu G., Zhang D.,

Saitoh T., Alström P., Edwards S.V., Lei F., Qu Y. 2024. Gene flow and an anomaly zone

complicate phylogenomic inference in a rapidly radiated avian family (Prunellidae). BMC

Biol. 22:49.

Kalyaanamoorthy S., Minh B.Q., Wong T.K.F., von Haeseler A., Jermiin L.S. 2017.

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat. Methods.

14:587–589.

Kanzi A.M., Trollip C., Wingfield M.J., Barnes I., Van der Nest M.A., Wingfield B.D. 2020.

Phylogenomic incongruence in Ceratocystis: a clue to speciation? BMC Genomics. 21:362.

Katoh K., Standley D.M. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.

Kearns A.M., Joseph L., White L.C., Austin J.J., Baker C., Driskell A.C., Malloy J.F., Omland

K.E. 2016. Norfolk Island Robins are a distinct endangered species: ancient DNA unlocks

surprising relationships and phenotypic discordance within the Australo-Pacific Robins.

Conserv. Genet. 17:321–335.

Kelly J., Rahman A., Grass I., Tasirin J.S., Waltert M. 2017. Avifaunal status updates, range

extensions and potential new taxa on the lesser Sangihe and Talaud islands, Indonesia.

Raffles Bull. Zool. 65:482–496.

Koppetsch T., Malinsky M., Matschiner M. 2024. Towards reliable detection of introgression in

the presence of among-species rate variation. bioRxiv.:2023.05.21.541635.

Korneliussen T.S., Albrechtsen A., Nielsen R. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of Next Generation

Sequencing Data. BMC Bioinformatics. 15:356.

Kozlov A.M., Darriba D., Flouri T., Morel B., Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable

and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. Bioinformatics.

35:4453–4455.

Kubatko L., Knowles L. 2023. Species Tree Inference: A Guide to Methods and Applications.

Princeton University Press.

Kuhn R.M., Haussler D., Kent W.J. 2013. The UCSC genome browser and associated tools.

Brief. Bioinform. 14:144–161.

Lamichhaney S., Berglund J., Almén M.S., Maqbool K., Grabherr M., Martinez-Barrio A.,

Promerová M., Rubin C.-J., Wang C., Zamani N., Grant B.R., Grant P.R., Webster M.T.,

Andersson L. 2015. Evolution of Darwin/'s finches and their beaks revealed by genome

sequencing. Nature. 518:371–375.

Landis M.J., Freyman W.A., Baldwin B.G. 2018. Retracing the Hawaiian silversword radiation

despite phylogenetic, biogeographic, and paleogeographic uncertainty. Evolution. 72:2343–

2359.

Leite R.N., Kimball R.T., Braun E.L., Derryberry E.P., Hosner P.A., Derryberry G.E., Anciães

M., McKay J.S., Aleixo A., Ribas C.C., Brumfield R.T., Cracraft J. 2021. Phylogenomics of

manakins (Aves: Pipridae) using alternative locus filtering strategies based on

informativeness. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 155:107013.

Lerner H.R.L., Meyer M., James H.F., Hofreiter M., Fleischer R.C. 2011. Multilocus resolution

of phylogeny and timescale in the extant adaptive radiation of Hawaiian honeycreepers.

Curr. Biol. 21:1838–1844.

Lieberman B.S. 2012. Adaptive Radiations in the Context of Macroevolutionary Theory: A

Paleontological Perspective. Evol. Biol. 39:181–191.

Li H. 2011. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping

and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics.

27:2987–2993.

Li H., Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics. 25:1754–1760.

Lim B.T.M., Sadanandan K.R., Dingle C., Leung Y.Y., Prawiradilaga D.M., Irham M., Ashari

H., Lee J.G.H., Rheindt F.E. 2019. Molecular evidence suggests radical revision of species

limits in the great speciator white-eye genus Zosterops. J. Ornithol. 160:1–16.

Lim H.C., Sheldon F.H., Moyle R.G. 2010. Extensive color polymorphism in the southeast Asian

oriental dwarf kingfisher Ceyx erithaca: a result of gene flow during population divergence?

J. Avian Biol. 41:305–318.

Linck E., Freeman B.G., Dumbacher J.P. 2020. Speciation and gene flow across an elevational

gradient in New Guinea kingfishers. J. Evol. Biol. 33:1643–1652.

Lopes F., Oliveira L.R., Kessler A., Beux Y., Crespo E., Cárdenas-Alayza S., Majluf P.,

Sepúlveda M., Brownell R.L., Franco-Trecu V., Páez-Rosas D., Chaves J., Loch C.,

Robertson B.C., Acevedo-Whitehouse K., Elorriaga-Verplancken F.R., Kirkman S.P., Peart

C.R., Wolf J.B.W., Bonatto S.L. 2020. Phylogenomic Discordance in the Eared Seals is best

explained by Incomplete Lineage Sorting following Explosive Radiation in the Southern

Hemisphere. Syst. Biol. 70:786–802.

Lydekker R. 1896. A Geographical History of Mammals. The University Press.

MacArthur R.H., Wilson E.O. 1967. The Theory of Island Biogeography. Princeton, New Jersey:

Princeton University Press.

Maddison W.P., Knowles L.L. 2006. Inferring phylogeny despite incomplete lineage sorting.

Syst. Biol. 55:21–30.

Mahler D.L., Revell L.J., Glor R.E., Losos J.B. 2010. Ecological opportunity and the rate of

morphological evolution in the diversification of Greater Antillean anoles. Evolution.

64:2731–2745.

Malinsky M., Matschiner M., Svardal H. 2021. Dsuite - Fast D-statistics and related admixture

evidence from VCF files. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 21:584–595.

Malinsky M., Svardal H., Tyers A.M., Miska E.A., Genner M.J., Turner G.F., Durbin R. 2018.

Whole-genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected by

gene flow. Nat Ecol Evol. 2:1940–1955.

Manni M., Berkeley M.R., Seppey M., Simão F.A., Zdobnov E.M. 2021a. BUSCO Update:

Novel and Streamlined Workflows along with Broader and Deeper Phylogenetic Coverage

1110   for Scoring of Eukaryotic, Prokaryotic, and Viral Genomes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 38:4647–4654.

1111 Manni M., Berkeley M.R., Seppey M., Zdobnov E.M. 2021b. BUSCO: Assessing Genomic Data

1112   Quality and Beyond. Curr Protoc. 1:e323.

1113 Mapel X.M., Gyllenhaal E.F., Modak T.H., DeCicco L.H., Naikatini A., Utzurrum R.B., Seamon

1114   J.O., Cibois A., Thibault J.-C., Sorenson M.D., Moyle R.G., Barrow L.N., Andersen M.J.

1115   2021. Inter- and intra-archipelago dynamics of population structure and gene flow in a

1116   Polynesian bird. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 156:107034.

1117 Marki P.Z., Fjeldså J., Irestedt M., Jønsson K.A. 2018. Molecular phylogenetics and species

1118   limits in a cryptically coloured radiation of Australo-Papuan passerine birds

1119   (Pachycephalidae: Colluricincla). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 124:100–105.

1120 Mayr E. 1931a. Notes on fantails of the genus Rhipidura. Am. Mus. Novit.

1121 Mayr E. 1931b. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea Expedition 12 Notes on Halcyon

1122   chloris and some of its subspecies. Am. Mus. Novit. 469:1–10.

1123 Mayr E. 1932a. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea Expedition. 18, Notes on

1124   Meliphagidae from Polynesia and the Solomon Islands. Am. Mus. Novit. 516:1–30.

1125 Mayr E. 1932b. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea Expedition. 21, Notes on

1126   thickheads (*Pachycephala*) from Polynesia. American Museum novitates; no. 531.

1127 Mayr E. 1935. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea Expedition. 30, Descriptions of

1128   twenty-five new species and subspecies. American Museum novitates; no. 820.

1129 Mayr E. 1940. Speciation phenomena in birds. Am. Nat.

1130 Mayr E. 1941. Birds collected during the Whitney South Sea Expedition. 47, Notes on the genera

1131   Halcyon, Turdus and Eurostopodus. American Museum novitates ; no. 1152. .

1132 Mayr E. 1942. Systematics and the Origin of Species. New York: Columbia University Press.

Mayr E. 1963. Animal Species and Evolution. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Belknap Press.

Mayr E., Diamond J.M. 2001. The Birds of Northern Melanesia: Speciation, Ecology, and

Biogeography. New York: Oxford University Press.

McCormack J.E., Tsai W.L.E., Faircloth B.C. 2015. Sequence capture of ultraconserved

elements from bird museum specimens. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 16:1189–1203.

McCullough J.M., DeCicco L.H., Herr M.W., Holland P., Pikacha D., Lavery T.H., Olson K.V.,

DeRaad D.A., Tigulu I.G., Mapel X.M., Klicka L.B., Famoo R., Hobete J., Runi L., Rusa

G., Tippet A., Boseto D., Brown R.M., Moyle R.G., Andersen M.J. 2023. A Survey of

Terrestrial Vertebrates of Tetepare Island, Solomon Islands, Including Six New Island

Records1. pasc. 76:411–435.

McCullough J.M., Gyllenhaal E.F., Mapel X.M., Andersen M.J., Joseph L. 2021. Taxonomic

implications of recent molecular analyses of Spectacled (Symposiachrus trivirgatus) and

Spot-winged (S. guttula) Monarchs (Passeriformes: Monarchidae). Emu - Austral

Ornithology. 121:365–371.

McCullough J.M., Moyle R.G., Smith B.T., Andersen M.J. 2019. A Laurasian origin for a

pantropical bird radiation is supported by genomic and fossil data (Aves: Coraciiformes).

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 286:20190122.

McCullough J.M., Eliason C., Hackett S., Myers C.E., Andersen M.J. (*in prep*). Genetic drift

underlies rapid plumage evolution in a rapid island radiation of 'Great Speciators'.

McKenna A., Hanna M., Banks E., Sivachenko A., Cibulskis K., Kernytsky A., Garimella K.,

Altshuler D., Gabriel S., Daly M., DePristo M.A. 2010. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a

MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res.

20:1297–1303.

Minh B.Q., Dang C.C., Vinh L.S., Lanfear R. 2021. QMaker: Fast and Accurate Method to

Estimate Empirical Models of Protein Evolution. Syst. Biol. 70:1046–1060.

Minh B.Q., Hahn M.W., Lanfear R. 2020a. New Methods to Calculate Concordance Factors for

Phylogenomic Datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 37:2727–2733.

Minh B.Q., Schmidt H.A., Chernomor O., Schrempf D., Woodhams M.D., von Haeseler A.,

Lanfear R. 2020b. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic

Inference in the Genomic Era. Mol. Biol. Evol. 37:1530–1534.

Mirarab S. 2023. Species Tree Estimation Using ASTRAL: Practical Considerations. In:

Kubatko L.S., Knowles L.L., editors. Species Tree Inference: A Guide to Methods and

Applications. Princeton University Press. p. 43–67.

Mirarab S., Rivas-González I., Feng S., Stiller J., Fang Q., Mai U., Hickey G., Chen G., Brajuka

N., Fedrigo O., Formenti G., Wolf J.B.W., Howe K., Antunes A., Schierup M.H., Paten B.,

Jarvis E.D., Zhang G., Braun E.L. 2024. A region of suppressed recombination misleads

neoavian phylogenomics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 121:e2319506121.

Moen D., Morlon H. 2014. Why does diversification slow down? Trends Ecol. Evol. 29:190–

197.

Mo Y.K., Lanfear R., Hahn M.W., Minh B.Q. 2023. Updated site concordance factors minimize

effects of homoplasy and taxon sampling. Bioinformatics. 39.

Moyle R.G. 2006. A Molecular phylogeny of Kingfishers (Alcedinidae) with insights into early

biogeographic history. Auk. 123:487–413.

Moyle R.G., Filardi C.E., Smith C.E., Diamond J.M. 2009. Explosive Pleistocene diversification

and hemispheric expansion of a ``great speciator''. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.

106:1863–1868.

Müller S. 1846. Ueber den Charakter der Thierwelt auf den Inseln des indischen Archipels, ein

Beitrag zur zoologischen Geographie. Archiv für Naturgeschichte. 12:109–128.

Murphy N.R., Hellwig R.J. 1996. Improved nucleic acid organic extraction through use of a

unique gel barrier material. Biotechniques. 21:934–6, 938–9.

Musher L.J., Catanach T.A., Valqui T., Brumfield R.T., Aleixo A., Johnson K.P., Weckstein J.D.

2024. Whole-genome phylogenomics of the tinamous (Aves: Tinamidae): comparing gene

tree estimation error between BUSCOs and UCEs illuminates rapid divergence with

introgression. bioRxiv.:2024.01.22.576737.

Musher L.J., Ferreira M., Auerbach A.L., McKay J., Cracraft J. 2019. Why is Amazonia a

"source" of biodiversity? Climate-mediated dispersal and synchronous speciation across the

Andes in an avian group (Tityrinae). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological

Sciences. 286:20182343.

Nacer D.F., Raposo do Amaral F. 2017. Striking pseudogenization in avian phylogenetics:

Numts are large and common in falcons. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 115:1–6.

Nei M. 1972. Genetic distance between populations. Am. Nat. 106:283–292.

Nosil P. 2008. Speciation with gene flow could be common. Mol. Ecol. 17:2103–2106.

Ocampo D., Winker K., Miller M.J., Sandoval L., Uy J.A.C. 2022. Rapid diversification of the

Variable Seedeater superspecies complex despite widespread gene flow. Mol. Phylogenet.

Evol. 173:107510.

O'Connell D.P., Kelly D.J., Lawless N., Karya A., Analuddin K., Marples N.M. 2019.

Diversification of a `great speciator' in the Wallacea region: differing responses of closely

related resident and migratory kingfisher species (Aves: Alcedinidae: Todiramphus). Ibis .

161:806–823.

Ó Marcaigh F., Kelly D.J., O'Connell D.P., Analuddin K., Karya A., McCloughan J., Tolan E.,

Lawless N., Marples N.M. 2022. Small islands and large biogeographic barriers have driven

contrasting speciation patterns in Indo-Pacific sunbirds (Aves: Nectariniidae). Zool. J. Linn.

Soc. 198:72–92.

Patterson N., Moorjani P., Luo Y., Mallick S., Rohland N., Zhan Y., Genschoreck T., Webster

T., Reich D. 2012. Ancient admixture in human history. Genetics. 192:1065–1093.

Pedersen B.S., Quinlan A.R. 2017. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and

exomes. Bioinformatics. 34:867–868.

Pembleton L.W., Cogan N.O.I., Forster J.W. 2013. StAMPP: an R package for calculation of

genetic differentiation and structure of mixed-ploidy level populations. Mol. Ecol. Resour.

13:946–952.

Peters J.L. 1945. Check-list of birds of the World. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard

University Press.

Pertea G., Pertea M. 2020. GFF Utilities: GffRead and GffCompare. F1000Res. 9.

Pratt R.C., Gibb G.C., Morgan-Richards M., Phillips M.J., Hendy M.D., Penny D. 2009. Toward

resolving deep neoaves phylogeny: data, signal enhancement, and priors. Mol. Biol. Evol.

26:313–326.

Prum R.O., Berv J.S., Dornburg A., Field D.J., Townsend J.P., Lemmon E.M., Lemmon A.R.

2015. A comprehensive phylogeny of birds (Aves) using targeted next-generation DNA

sequencing. Nature. 526:569–573.

Pyron R.A., O'Connell K.A., Lemmon E.M., Lemmon A.R., Beamer D.A. 2022. Candidate-

species delimitation in Desmognathus salamanders reveals gene flow across lineage

boundaries, confounding phylogenetic estimation and clarifying hybrid zones. Ecol. Evol.

12:e8574.

de Queiroz K. 1999. The general lineage concept of species and the defining properties of the

species category. In: Wilson R.A., editor. Species: New Interdisciplinary Essays.

Cambridge, Massachusetts: A Bradford Book. p. 46–89.

de Quieroz K. 1998. The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of

speciation. In: Howard D.J., Berlocher S.H., editors. Endless Forms: Species and

Speciation. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p. 57–75.

Quinlan A.R., Hall I.M. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics. 26:841–842.

Rabiee M., Sayyari E., Mirarab S. 2019. Multi-allele species reconstruction using ASTRAL.

Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 130:286–296.

Raxworthy C.J., Smith B.T. 2021. Mining museums for historical DNA: advances and

challenges in museomics. Trends Ecol. Evol. 36:1049–1060.

R Development Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. .

Reddy S., Kimball R.T., Pandey A., Hosner P.A., Braun M.J., Hackett S.J., Han K.-L.,

Harshman J., Huddleston C.J., Kingston S., Marks B.D., Miglia K.J., Moore W.S., Sheldon

F.H., Witt C.C., Yuri T., Braun E.L. 2017. Why Do Phylogenomic Data Sets Yield

Conflicting Trees? Data Type Influences the Avian Tree of Life more than Taxon Sampling.

Syst. Biol. 66:857–879.

Reeve A.H., Gower G., Pujolar J.M., Smith B.T., Petersen B., Olsson U., Haryoko T., Koane B.,

Maiah G., Blom M.P.K., Ericson P.G.P., Irestedt M., Racimo F., Jønsson K.A. 2023.

Population genomics of the island thrush elucidates one of earth's great archipelagic

radiations. Evol Lett. 7:24–36.

Riley J. 2003. Population sizes and the conservation status of endemic and restricted-range bird

species on Karakelang, Talaud Islands, Indonesia. Bird Conserv. Int. 13:59–74.

Riley J., Hicks D., Wardill J. 1998. The taxonomic status of Halcyon enigma on the Talaud

islands, Indonesia. Bull. Am. Assoc. Hist. Nurs.

Rohland N., Harney E., Mallick S., Nordenfelt S., Reich D. 2015. Partial uracil–DNA–

glycosylase treatment for screening of ancient DNA. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol.

Sci. 370:20130624.

Rota J., Twort V., Chiocchio A., Peña C., Wheat C.W., Kaila L., Wahlberg N. 2022. The

unresolved phylogenomic tree of butterflies and moths (Lepidoptera): Assessing the

potential causes and consequences. Syst. Entomol. 47:531–550.

Roycroft E.J., Moussalli A., Rowe K.C. 2020. Phylogenomics Uncovers Confidence and

Conflict in the Rapid Radiation of Australo-Papuan Rodents. Syst. Biol. 69:431–444.

Roycroft E., MacDonald A.J., Moritz C., Moussalli A., Portela Miguez R., Rowe K.C. 2021.

Museum genomics reveals the rapid decline and extinction of Australian rodents since

European settlement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 118.

Roycroft E., Moritz C., Rowe K.C., Moussalli A., Eldridge M.D.B., Portela Miguez R., Piggott

M.P., Potter S. 2022. Sequence Capture From Historical Museum Specimens: Maximizing

Value for Population and Phylogenomic Studies. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. 10.

Rundell R.J., Price T.D. 2009. Adaptive radiation, nonadaptive radiation, ecological speciation

and nonecological speciation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 24:394–399.

Salter J.F., Hosner P.A., Tsai W.L.E., McCormack J.E., Braun E.L., Kimball R.T., Brumfield

R.T., Faircloth B.C. 2022. Historical specimens and the limits of subspecies phylogenomics

in the New World quails (Odontophoridae). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 175:107559.

Salter J.F., Oliveros C.H., Hosner P.A., Manthey J., Robbins M.B., Moyle R.G., Brumfield R.T.,

Faircloth B.C. 2019. Extensive paraphyly in the typical owl family (Strigidae). Auk.

137:ukz070.

Scornavacca C., Galtier N. 2017. Incomplete Lineage Sorting in Mammalian Phylogenomics.

Syst. Biol. 66:112–120.

Seppey M., Manni M., Zdobnov E.M. 2019. BUSCO: Assessing Genome Assembly and

Annotation Completeness. Methods Mol. Biol. 1962:227–245.

Shakya S.B., Alexander A., Lim H.C., Manthey J.D., Prawiradilaga D., Chan K.O., Sheldon

F.H., Moyle R.G. 2023. Demographic history of a complex polymorphism in populations of

the Oriental Dwarf Kingfisher (Ceyx erithaca/rufidorsa) of Southeast Asia. Ibis . 165:1267–

1279.

Simão F.A., Waterhouse R.M., Ioannidis P., Kriventseva E.V., Zdobnov E.M. 2015. BUSCO:

assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.

Bioinformatics. 31:3210–3212.

Simões M., Breitkreuz L., Alvarado M., Baca S., Cooper J.C., Heins L., Herzog K., Lieberman

B.S. 2016. The Evolving Theory of Evolutionary Radiations. Trends Ecol. Evol. 31:27–34.

Sin Y.C.K., Eaton J.A., Hutchinson R.O., Rheindt F.E. 2022. Re-assessing species limits in a

morphologically cryptic Australasian kingfisher lineage (Coraciiformes: Halcyonidae) using

bioacoustic data. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 136:489–505.

Smith B.T., Mauck W.M., Benz B.W., Andersen M.J. 2020. Uneven Missing Data Skew

Phylogenomic Relationships within the Lories and Lorikeets. Genome Biol. Evol. 12:1131–

1147.

Solís-Lemus C., Ané C. 2016. Inferring Phylogenetic Networks with Maximum

Pseudolikelihood under Incomplete Lineage Sorting. PLoS Genet. 12:e1005896.

Solís-Lemus C., Bastide P., Ané C. 2017. PhyloNetworks: A Package for Phylogenetic

Networks. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34:3292–3298.

Stiller J., Feng S., Chowdhury A.-A., Rivas-González I., Duchêne D.A., Fang Q., Deng Y.,

Kozlov A., Stamatakis A., Claramunt S., Nguyen J.M.T., Ho S.Y.W., Faircloth B.C., Haag

J., Houde P., Cracraft J., Balaban M., Mai U., Chen G., Gao R., Zhou C., Xie Y., Huang Z.,

Cao Z., Yan Z., Ogilvie H.A., Nakhleh L., Lindow B., Morel B., Fjeldså J., Hosner P.A., da

Fonseca R.R., Petersen B., Tobias J.A., Székely T., Kennedy J.D., Reeve A.H., Liker A.,

Stervander M., Antunes A., Tietze D.T., Bertelsen M., Lei F., Rahbek C., Graves G.R.,

Schierup M.H., Warnow T., Braun E.L., Gilbert M.T.P., Jarvis E.D., Mirarab S., Zhang G.

2024. Complexity of avian evolution revealed by family-level genomes. Nature.

Suh A., Smeds L., Ellegren H. 2015. The Dynamics of Incomplete Lineage Sorting across the

Ancient Adaptive Radiation of Neoavian Birds. PLoS Biol. 13:e1002224.

Swofford D.L. 2003. {PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (* and other methods).

Version 4.}. .

Tange O. 2021. GNU Parallel 20210922 ("Vindelev"). Zenodo. .

Taylor S.A., Larson E.L. 2019. Insights from genomes into the evolutionary importance and

prevalence of hybridization in nature. Nat Ecol Evol. 3:170–177.

Thibault J.-C., Cibois A. 2017. Birds of Eastern Polynesia: A biogeographic atlas. Lynx

Edicions.

Timilsena P.R., Wafula E.K., Barrett C.F., Ayyampalayam S., McNeal J.R., Rentsch J.D.,

McKain M.R., Heyduk K., Harkess A., Villegente M., Conran J.G., Illing N., Fogliani B.,

Ané C., Pires J.C., Davis J.I., Zomlefer W.B., Stevenson D.W., Graham S.W., Givnish T.J.,

Leebens-Mack J., dePamphilis C.W. 2022. Phylogenomic resolution of order- and family-

level monocot relationships using 602 single-copy nuclear genes and 1375 BUSCO genes.

Front. Plant Sci. 13:876779.

Tsai W.L.E., Schedl M.E., Maley J.M., McCormack J.E. 2019. More than skin and bones:

comparing extraction methods and alternative sources of DNA from avian museum

specimens. Mol. Ecol. Resour.

Van Damme K., Cornetti L., Fields P.D., Ebert D. 2022. Whole-Genome Phylogenetic

Reconstruction as a Powerful Tool to Reveal Homoplasy and Ancient Rapid Radiation in

Waterflea Evolution. Syst. Biol. 71:777–787.

Van der Auwera G.A., O'Connor B.D. 2020. Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker, GATK, and

WDL in Terra. "O'Reilly Media, Inc."

Walden N., Schranz M.E. 2023. Synteny Identifies Reliable Orthologs for Phylogenomics and

Comparative Genomics of the Brassicaceae. Genome Biol. Evol. 15.

Wallace A.R. 1863. On the Physical Geography of the Malay Archipelago. The Journal of the

Royal Geographical Society of London. 33:217–234.

Wallace A.R. 1881. Island Life. Harper & Brothers.

Wascher M., Kubatko L. 2021. Consistency of SVDQuartets and Maximum Likelihood for

Coalescent-Based Species Tree Estimation. Syst. Biol. 70:33–48.

Waterhouse R.M., Seppey M., Simão F.A., Manni M., Ioannidis P., Klioutchnikov G.,

Kriventseva E.V., Zdobnov E.M. 2018. BUSCO Applications from Quality Assessments to

Gene Prediction and Phylogenomics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 35:543–548.

Whitfield J.B., Lockhart P.J. 2007. Deciphering ancient rapid radiations. Trends Ecol. Evol.

22:258–265.

Whittaker R.J., Fernández-Palacios J.M., Matthews T.J., Borregaard M.K., Triantis K.A. 2017.

Island biogeography: Taking the long view of nature's laboratories. Science. 357.

Woodall P.F. 2001. Family Alcedinidae (kingfishers). In: del Hoyo J., Elliott A., Sargatal J.,

editors. Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 6. Mousebirds to hornbills. Barcelona,

Spain: Lynx Edicions. p. 130–249.

Zhang C., Rabiee M., Sayyari E., Mirarab S. 2018. ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree

reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. BMC Bioinformatics. 19:153.

Zhao W., Gao J., Hall D., Andersson B.A., Bruxaux J., Tomlinson K.W., Drouzas A.D., Suyama

Y., Wang X.-R. 2024. Evolutionary radiation of the Eurasian Pinus species under pervasive

gene flow. New Phytol.

Zink R.M., Vázquez-Miranda H. 2019. Species Limits and Phylogenomic Relationships of

Darwin's Finches Remain Unresolved: Potential Consequences of a Volatile Ecological

Setting. Syst. Biol. 68:347–357.

1353