Title: Developing simple DNA extraction and PCR-RFLP for *MALE STERILITY 4* (*MS4*)

gene in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don: Toward an environmentally friendly protocol

Author names: Saneyoshi Ueno[1*], Yukiko Ito[2] , Yoichi Hasegawa[1] , Yoshinari Moriguchi[3]

Author affiliations:

[1]Department of Forest Molecular Genetics and Biotechnology, Forestry and Forest Products Research

Institute, 1 Matsunosato, Tsukuba, 305-8687, JAPAN

[2]Niigata Prefectural Forest Research Institute, 2249-5 Unotoro, Murakami, 958-0264, JAPAN

[3]Department of Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Niigata University, 8050 Ikarashi 2 no-cho, Nishi-ku,

Niigata, 950-2181, JAPAN

* Corresponding author (email address): Saneyoshi Ueno (saueno@ffpri.affrc.go.jp)

ORCID:

S.U.: 0000-0001-5571-0622, Y.H.: 0000-0003-4729-2090, Y.M.: 0000-0002-8535-1517

Abstract

This study presents the development of a simple DNA extraction method and a novel

PCR-RFLP technique for genotyping the *MALE STERILITY 4* (*MS4*) gene in *Cryptomeria japonica*.

Traditional CTAB-based DNA extraction methods, while effective, involve hazardous chemicals and

require high-speed centrifugation, which are impractical in many field settings. Our approach utilizes a

household dish detergent-based buffer, sodium chloride, and polyvinylpyrrolidone K-30 to extract DNA

from *C. japonica* needle leaf tissues. The simplicity of this method makes it more accessible and

environmentally friendly. The extracted DNA was successfully used in PCR-RFLP analysis, targeting a

single nucleotide polymorphism in the *MS4* gene, demonstrating its efficacy for genotyping. The

PCR-RFLP markers reliably discriminated between individual genotypes, confirming the practical

application of our simple extraction method, even for conifers containing inhibitory substances. This

technique is particularly advantageous for use in arboretums and field stations, where the use of

hazardous chemicals and specialized equipment is limited. Our study contributes to genetic resource

management by providing an easy, reliable, and safer method for DNA extraction and genetic analysis.

Key words: *Cryptomeria japonica*, sugi, DNA extraction, dish detergent, PCR-RFLP, male sterility

Statements and Declarations

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Introduction

Nucleic acid extraction is a starting process for genetic analysis and has been a topic of research for many years (Yadav et al. 2018; Dairawan and Shetty 2020; Schenk et al. 2023). The DNA extraction from plant tissue is difficult compared to that from animal tissue, because it contains poly-saccharide, polyphenolics and other secondary metabolites that prevent nucleic acid extraction. This challenge is particularly pronounced in trees, especially conifers like *Cryptomeria japonica*, which are known for their high levels of polyphenolics and polysaccharides. These compounds interfere with DNA extraction and PCR amplification, making the process more complex than with other plant species. Developing a method that can effectively extract DNA from such challenging plant material is crucial for advancing genetic studies in these species. In 1980, Murray and Thompson (Murray and Thompson 1980) published a DNA extraction method using CTAB (cetyl-trimethylammonium bromide) which efficiently removes polysaccharides from plant tissue. Currently the CTAB-based method is used to extract DNA/RNA from many plant species with wide downstream uses for restriction digestion and PCR experiments without further purification (Doyle and Doyle 1987; Le Provost et al. 2007). One drawback of the method is the use of hazardous chemicals such as chloroform and 2-metcapthoethanol, which are recognized internationally as hazardous substances. Specific handling must comply with the regulations and guidelines of each country. In addition to the use of hazardous chemicals, the CTAB protocol uses centrifugal forces of up to 20,000 g. Arboretums and field stations are rarely equipped with such centrifuges, where genetic markers may be useful for efficient management of genetic resources.

One of the simplest methods to extract DNA is the use of chelating resin, InstaGene (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.), which only requires heating tissue with the resin matrix is needed for DNA extraction. Tsuruta et al. (2021) used InstaGene Matrix to extract DNA from somatic embryogenic cells of *C. japonica* for marker assisted selection of *MS1* (*MALE STERILITY 1*) gene (Tsuruta et al. 2021). The method further applied for leaf, pollen, and inner bark tissue of *C. japonica* from which DNA was extracted for PCR amplification of *MS1* genotyping (Ueno et al. 2022). Although the InstaGene

extraction is simple, the amount of tissue (5–10 mg leaf) used with InstaGene Matrix is a key factor for

the success of DNA extraction (Ueno et al. 2022). Too much tissue with InstaGene Matrix results in a

viscous solution, leading to failure in PCR. Moreover, the process of trial and error with InstaGene can be

a time-consuming task, especially for those inexperienced in DNA experiments.

In this study, we aim to develop a simple DNA extraction method and PCR-RFLP (polymerase

chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism) technique for the recently identified *MS4*

(*MALE STERILITY 4*) gene in *C. japonica* (Kakui et al. 2023). The mutation in *MS4* involves a single

nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) that results in an amino acid substitution. Although a KASP

(Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR) (Dipta et al. 2024) marker for the *MS4* gene was recently developed

(Watanabe et al. 2024), its application requires specialized equipment such as a real-time PCR machine,

which may not be readily available in arboretums or field stations. Another potential approach is

developing allele-specific PCR markers, but this method requires extensive optimization of PCR

conditions, even though Locked Nucleic Acids (LNA) are included in PCR primers (Latorra et al. 2003),

making it more challenging and time-consuming. Therefore, we focused on developing a PCR-RFLP

technique that serves as a practical example of applying our simple DNA extraction method. This

approach, which avoids the use of "deleterious" and "poisonous" substances, aims to provide an

accessible, environmentally friendly tool for genetic analysis, offering a versatile solution for researchers

in various settings.

Materials and Methods

Fresh leaf tissue samples from five *C. japonica* individuals (Table 1) were sampled at Niigata

Prefectural Forest Research Institute and kept refrigerated at 4 °C until DNA extraction. One needle leaf

(approximately 5 mg) was disrupted in 1 mL of dish detergent buffer (10 % dish detergent 'Yashinomi

Hi-power' (Saraya Co., Ltd.), 10 % NaCl, and 5% polyvinylpyrrolidone K-30 (PVP) (NACALAI TESQUE) at

room temperature using mortar and pestle (Fig. 1). The dish detergent contained 32 % surfactant (sodium alkyl

ether sulfates, alkyl betaines, and fatty acid alkanolamides), auxiliary cleaning agents, and stabilizers. The

resulting 'green juice' (approximately 500 µL) was transferred to a 1.5 mL tube, centrifuged for three minutes

with a CHIBITAN-R microcentrifuge (Hitachi Koki, Co. Ltd.) at the maximum speed of 6,200 rpm, and 300

µL of supernatant was then collected in a new 1.5 mL tube. Twice the volume (600 µL) of cold 100 % ethanol

was added to the tube and mixed with inverted shaking. The solution was centrifuged for 10 min at room

temperature using the CHIBITAN-R, and the supernatant was discarded by decantation. 600 µL of 70 %

ethanol was then added to the tube to wash the precipitate, spun briefly by CHIBITAN-R, and discarded by

decantation. The precipitate was air-dried overnight at room temperature, and dissolved in 100 µL of TE buffer

(NACALAI TESQUE).

In order to demonstrate the practical application for the extracted DNA, PCR-RFLP was developed

to genotype the male-sterile causative mutation of *MALE STERILITY 4* (*MS4*) in *C. japonica* (Fig. 2) as a

model system. PCR primer pairs to amplify the target region were designed by Primer3Plus (Untergasser et al.

2012).   The SEQUENCE_TEMPLATE contained the CJt034360 sequence (DDBJ accession number:

ICQT01013259) with the *PRIMER_MIN_SIZE* 23, *PRIMER_OPT_SIZE* 23, *PRIMER_MAX_POLY_X* 2,

PRIMER_PAIR_MAX_DIFF_TM 2, and SEQUENCE_TARGET 301,1 (target causative SNP coordinate

(301) along the *SEQUENCE_TEMPLATE*, and the length of 1). The enzymatic function of *MS4* is TKPR1

(tetraketide alpha-pyrone reductase 1), dysfunction of which causes male sterility in not only *C. japonica*, but

also *Arabidopsis* (Grienenberger et al. 2010) and rice (Xu et al. 2019). Restriction enzymes to discriminate the

mutant and wild type alleles in *MS4* were searched using the REHUNT program (Cheng et al. 2018). Four

samples (Table 1), whose *MS4* genotypes were established elsewhere by artificial crossing (Miyajima et al.

2010; Hasegawa et al. 2018; Hirayama et al. 2021) and whose DNA was extracted using a modified CTAB

method (Hasegawa et al. 2020), were used to develop PCR-RFLP. The PCRs were carried out in a 10 µL

reaction containing 1 µL (about 10 ng) of template DNA, 1 µL of each of primer (2 µM stock solution; forward:

AGCCATGAATGGAACAACTGTGT, and reverse: GGAGGAAGGCTCGGTCCTATTAT), resulting in a

final concentration of 0.2 µM for each primer, and 5 µL of QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Master Mix (Qiagen). The

thermal profiles used were as follows: the initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of

95 °C for 30 sec, 60 °C for 90 sec, and 72 °C for 60 sec with the final extension of 72 °C for 10 min. The

resulting products (5 µL) were digested with the restriction enzyme *Hae*III (NIPPON GENE) in a 10 µL of

reaction containing 5 µL of PCR products, 1 µL of 10× Buffer M (resulting in a final concentration of 1×) , and

0.1 µL of *Hae*III (high concentration: 30 – 100 units/µL) and incubated for at least three hours or overnight at

37 °C. The PCR products and restriction-digested products were run on 2 % agarose gels, stained with ethidium

bromide, and visualized on a UV transilluminator.

Results and Discussion

The PCR-RFLP developed for *MS4* was successfully discriminated the individual genotypes

(Figure 3). When DNAs extracted by the dish detergent buffer was used for this marker system, expected

results were also obtained, demonstrating the successful application of the simple DNA extraction

method in this study. Because DNA extraction is a basic starting experiment of molecular biology,

biology classes in secondary education conduct DNA extraction experiments using commercially

available detergent with simple procedures (Ogata et al. 2010; de Andrade et al. 2024). Although these

classes commonly use soft tissue plant materials including broccoli flower buds and strawberries, no

reports were found for DNA extraction from coniferous plants using dishwashing detergent. In the

current study, we developed a simple DNA extraction method with commercially available dishwashing

detergent for use in marker assisted management of arboretum or field stations, where the use of

hazardous chemicals is not preferable and powerful centrifuges are not equipped. When DNA extracted

with this simple detergent buffer was run on agarose gels, DNA bands were rarely observed. This is

probably due to the small amount of DNA extracted and fragmented. However, PCR successfully

amplified the 1.5 kb fragment, though the amplification of primer dimers or non-specific amplification

occurred (Fig. 3). While polymerases, such as MightyAmp DNA polymerase (Lu et al. 2012), may be

suitable for use with crude DNA that contains PCR inhibitors, this study used HotStartTaq DNA

polymerase in Multiplex Kit (Qiagen) which is widely used for genetic analysis with microsatellite

markers for clonal identification and parentage analysis. Additionally, since the DNA extracted using this

method could also be utilized with restriction enzymes, which are generally more sensitive to inhibitors

than polymerases in PCR, it suggests that the quality of the extracted DNA is high enough for various

molecular biology applications.

The DNA extraction buffer used in this study (Fig. 1) comprised commercially available

dishwashing detergent, NaCl, and PVP, each contributing to the effectiveness of the extraction process.

The dishwashing detergent acts as a surfactant, breaking down cell membranes and denaturing proteins,

thus facilitating the release of DNA into the solution. There are several brands of dishwashing detergent

in the market. Although each product has different ingredients, comparative studies have indicated that

any dishwashing detergent could extract DNA (Ogata et al. 2010). Because the concentration of detergent

is different for each brand, preliminary experiments to determine the most effective concentration of

detergent is necessary. In the current study, we initially tried to use 1 µL of 'green juice' extracted by an

extraction buffer containing only 2 % dish washing detergent, directly as a template DNA for PCR.

Although 1.5 kbp PCR products were successfully obtained, we failed to digest the PCR products by

*Hae*III restriction enzyme, probably due to inhibiting contaminants in the 'green juice.' We therefore

included salting-out/salting-in for proteins, and ethanol precipitation for DNA in the protocol (Fig. 1).

NaCl is indispensable to precipitate DNA by ethanol, while it is expected to work as salt to prevent

proteins from dissolving in or precipitating from the buffer. Instead of using dishwashing detergent,

laundry detergent may be used (Nasiri et al. 2005). However, measuring a specific amount of detergent

powder and dissolving it into a buffer may be more tedious than using liquid type detergent, though any

commercially available products commonly found in the laboratory could be a key factor in terms of cost

and convenience. The PVP, the other ingredient in the extraction buffer (Fig. 1), was included, because it

efficiently removes phenolic compounds, which interact with DNA and prevent DNA extraction

(Heikrujam et al. 2020). Extraction buffer without PVP led to failure in *Hae*III restriction digestion in the

current protocol for *C. japonica*, though PCR was successful (data not shown). If samples contain less

phenolic compounds or only PCR application is needed, PVP could be removed from the extraction

buffer.

In conclusion, we successfully developed a simple and effective DNA extraction method that is

applicable to conifers, a plant group traditionally difficult to process due to inhibitory substances. This

method's successful application in genotyping the MS4 gene in *C. japonica* suggests its potential utility in

a wide range of plant species, making it a valuable tool for genetic analysis in settings where access to

specialized equipment is limited. This approach broadens the scope of molecular biology applications and

offers a practical solution for DNA extraction in diverse and challenging environments.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution

(BRAIN) Grant (JPJ007097; Project ID 28013B) and FFPRI Grant (#201421, #201906). Samples used in

the simplified DNA extraction method were collected by Mr. Iwai of the Niigata Prefectural Forest

Research Institute.

References

Cheng Y-H, Liaw J-J, Kuo C-N (2018) REHUNT: a reliable and open source package for restriction

enzyme hunting. BMC Bioinformatics 19:178

Dairawan M, Shetty PJ (2020) The Evolution of DNA Extraction Methods. AJBSR 8:39

de Andrade BS, Dias BTC, da Silva ECS, et al (2024) DNA extraction from strawberry (*Fragaria*

ananassa) as a practical tool for teaching genetic content. Editora 719–732

Dipta B, Sood S, Mangal V, et al (2024) KASP: a high-throughput genotyping system and its applications

in major crop plants for biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. Mol Biol Rep 51:508

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987) A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue.

PHYTOCHEMICAL BULLETIN 19:11–15

Grienenberger E, Kim SS, Lallemand B, et al (2010) Analysis of TETRAKETIDE α-PYRONE

REDUCTASE function in Arabidopsis thaliana reveals a previously unknown, but conserved,

biochemical pathway in sporopollenin monomer biosynthesis. Plant Cell 22:4067–4083

Hasegawa Y, Ueno S, Matsumoto A, et al (2018) Fine mapping of the male-sterile genes (*MS1*, *MS2*,

MS3, and *MS4*) and development of SNP markers for marker-assisted selection in Japanese

cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don). PLoS One 13:e0206695

Hasegawa Y, Ueno S, Wei F-J, et al (2020) Development of diagnostic PCR and LAMP markers for

MALE STERILITY 1 (*MS1*) in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don. BMC Res Notes 13:457

Heikrujam J, Kishor R, Behari Mazumder P (2020) The chemistry behind plant DNA isolation protocols.

In: Biochemical Analysis Tools - Methods for Bio-Molecules Studies. IntechOpen

Hirayama S, Iwai J, Higuchi Y, et al (2021) Selection of trees with male sterile genes except for *MALE*

STERILITY 1 in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. don (In Japanese). J Jpn For Soc 103:161–167

Kakui H, Ujino-Ihara T, Hasegawa Y, et al (2023) A single-nucleotide substitution of *CjTKPR1*

determines pollen production in the gymnosperm plant *Cryptomeria japonica*. PNAS Nexus

2:gad236

Latorra D, Campbell K, Wolter A, Hurley JM (2003) Enhanced allele-specific PCR discrimination in

SNP genotyping using 3' locked nucleic acid (LNA) primers. Hum Mutat 22:79–85

Le Provost G, Herrera R, Paiva JAP, et al (2007) A micromethod for high throughut RNA extraction in

forest trees. Biol Res 40:291–297

Lu Q, Hu H, Mo J, Shu L (2012) Enhanced amplification of bacterial and fungal DNA using a new type

of DNA polymerase. Australas Plant Pathol 41:661–663

Miyajima D, Yoshii E, Hosoo Y, Taira H (2010) Cytological and genetic studies on male sterility in

Cryptomeria japonica D. Don (Shindai 8) (In Japanese). Journal of the Japanese Forest Society

92:106–109

Murray MG, Thompson WF (1980) Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids

Res 8:4321–4325

Nasiri H, Forouzandeh M, Rasaee MJ, Rahbarizadeh F (2005) Modified salting-out method: high-yield,

high-quality genomic DNA extraction from whole blood using laundry detergent. J Clin Lab

Anal 19:229–232

Ogata F, Kawakami N, Naito S, Tsuzuki H (2010) An examination about the DNA extraction conditions

of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* var. *italica*) (In Japanese). Bulletin of Junshin Junior College

15–18

Schenk JJ, Becklund LE, Carey SJ, Fabre PP (2023) What is the "modified" CTAB protocol?

Characterizing modifications to the CTAB DNA extraction protocol. Appl Plant Sci 11:e11517

Tsuruta M, Maruyama TE, Ueno S, et al (2021) Marker-assisted selection for pollen-free somatic plants

of sugi (Japanese cedar, *Cryptomeria japonica*): A simple and effective methodology for

selecting male-sterile mutants with *ms1-1* and *ms1-2*. Front Plant Sci 12:748110

Ueno S, Tsuruta M, Moriguchi Y, Hasegawa Y (2022) A manual to genotype male sterility gene (*MS1*)

mutations in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don (In Japanese)

https://www.ffpri.affrc.go.jp/pubs/chukisseika/documents/5th-chuukiseika9.pdf. Accessed 31

August 2024

Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, et al (2012) Primer3--new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic

Acids Res 40:e115

Watanabe M, Ueno S, Hasegawa Y, et al (2024) Development and application of a KASP marker for

marker-assisted selection against the male-sterile gene *MALE STERILITY 4* (*MS4*) in Japanese

cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don). New Forests 1–14

Xu D, Qu S, Tucker MR, et al (2019) Ostkpr1 functions in anther cuticle development and pollen wall

formation in rice. BMC Plant Biol 19:104

Yadav S, Ram C, Singh S, Rana MK (2018) Plant genomic DNA isolation-the past and the present, a

review. Ind J Plant Genet Resour 31:315

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Workflow of the simple DNA extraction method

Fig. 2 Schematic representation of *MS4* (*TKPR1*) and PCR-RFLP in *Cryptomeria japonica*

Yellow rectangles indicate CDS (coding sequences), which are divided by intron sequences shown by

black lines. *MS4* consists of five exons and introns with a total of 3,323 bp from the start to the stop

codon. The CDS is translated into 333 amino acid residues, the 82nd of which is cysteine (C) and arginine

(R) for the wild type and the mutant, respectively. This is the causative mutation of male sterility by *MS4*.

The restriction enzyme, *Hae*III, can recognize the GGCC, the mutant nucleic acid sequence, while the

wild type sequence cannot be cut by the enzyme. The PCR-RFLP primer pairs are shown by red

triangles; the PCR products from *ms4* allele contain two *Hae*III recognition sequences shown by black

triangles, the C82R site, and that in the third intron common with the products from the wild type allele.

The resulting electrophoretic profile, with gel wells in gray, shows thee, four, and two bans for *ms4/ms4*,

Ms4/ms4, and *Ms4/Ms4* genotype, respectively

Fig. 3 Photographic images for agarose gel electrophoresis

(a) Development of PCR-RFLP for *MS4* gene: PCR products (lanes 1–4) and *HaeIII*-digested products

(lanes 5–8) for `Shindai 8,` `S8HK5,` `Kamikiri Niigata-58,` `Higashikanbara-5` with genotypes of

ms4/ms4, *Ms4/ms4*, *Ms4/ms4*, and *Ms4/Ms4*, respectively. (b) DNA extracted by the simple extraction

using the dish detergent buffer was used for PCR-RFLP genotyping. *Hae*III-digested products for

`Shindai 8,' `Kamikiri Niigata-58,` `S8 × Gosenshi-1,` `Setsugai Niigata-1,` and `Kitakanbara-1` are in

lanes 9–13. The smallest bands (less than 100 bp) may result from primer dimers or unspecific

amplification products. In both (a) and (b), 100 bp ladder was run on the lane M

Tables and Figures

Table 1 Japanese cedar samples used in the current study

No[#]	ID	Male flower phenotype	*MS4* genotype[$]
1	Shindai 8	Sterile	*ms4/ms4*
2	S8HK5	Fertile	*Ms4/ms4*
3	Kamikiri Niigata-58	Fertile	*Ms4/ms4*
4	Higashikanbara-5	Fertile	*Ms4/Ms4*
5	S8 × Gosenshi-1	Fertile	*Ms4/ms4*
6	Setsugai Niigata-1	Fertile	*Ms4/Ms4*
7	Kitakanbara-1	Fertile	*Ms4/Ms4*

[#] For development of the PCR-RFLP maker, trees with No 1–4 were used, while for development of the

simple DNA extraction method, trees with No 1 and 4–7 were used.

[$] Genotypes were known previously for trees with No 1–5 (Miyajima et al. 2010; Hasegawa et al. 2018;

Hirayama et al. 2021), while they were unknown for trees with No 6–7 before the present study.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3