Quantitative physiology and biomass composition of *Cyberlindnera jadinii* in

ethanol-grown cultures

Marcel A. Vieira-Lara[#], Marieke Warmerdam, Erik A. F. de Hulster, Marcel van den Broek, Jean-Marc

Daran, Jack T. Pronk*

Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, van der Maasweg 9, 2629, HZ Delft,

the Netherlands

Corresponding authors:

[#]M.A.VieiraLara@tudelft.nl

*J.T.Pronk@tudelft.nl, tel. +31 15 2782473

Running title: From ethanol to protein with *Cyberlindnera jadinii*

Abstract (330/350)

Background

Elimination of greenhouse gas emissions in industrial biotechnology requires replacement of

carbohydrates by alternative carbon substrates, produced from CO_2 and waste streams. Ethanol is

already industrially produced from agricultural residues and waste gas and is miscible with water,

self-sterilising and energy-dense. The yeast *C. jadinii* can grow on ethanol and has a history in the

production of single-cell protein (SCP) for feed and food applications. To address a knowledge gap

in quantitative physiology of *C. jadinii* during growth on ethanol, this study investigates growth

kinetics, growth energetics, nutritional requirements, and biomass composition of *C. jadinii* strains

in batch, chemostat and fed-batch cultures.

Results

In aerobic, ethanol-limited chemostat cultures, the thiamine-auxotrophic strain *C. jadinii* CBS 621

exhibited a maximum biomass yield on ethanol ($Y_{x/s}^{max}$) of 0.83 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ and an estimated

maintenance requirement for ATP (m_{ATP}) of 0.76 $mmol \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$. Even at specific growth rates

below 0.05 h^{-1}, a stable protein content of approximately 0.54 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1}$ was observed. At low

specific growth rates, up to 17% of the proteome consisted of alcohol dehydrogenase proteins,

followed by aldehyde dehydrogenases and acetyl-CoA synthetase. Of 13 *C. jadinii* strains evaluated,

11 displayed fast growth on ethanol ($\mu_{max} > 0.4 \ h^{-1}$) in mineral medium without vitamins. The

prototrophic strain *C. jadinii* CBS 5947 was grown on an inorganic salts medium in fed-batch cultures

fed with pure ethanol. Biomass concentrations in these cultures increased up to 100 $g \cdot L^{-1}$, with a

biomass yield of 0.65 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$. Model-based simulation, based on quantitative parameters

determined in chemostat cultures, adequately predicted biomass production. A different protein

content of chemostat- and fed-batch-grown biomass (54% and 42%, respectively) may reflect the

more dynamic conditions in fed-batch cultures.

Conclusions

Analysis of ethanol-grown batch, chemostat and fed-batch cultures provided a quantitative

physiology baseline for fundamental and applied research on *C. jadinii*. Its high maximum growth

rate, high energetic efficiency of ethanol dissimilation, simple nutritional requirements and high

protein content, make *C. jadinii* a highly interesting platform for production of SCP and other

products from ethanol.

Keywords (3-10):

Ethanol, yeast, *Candida utilis*, protein, physiology, growth rate, chemostat

Introduction

Establishing a circular, net-zero emission industrial biotechnology industry requires replacing refined sugars, which are currently the predominant carbon sources for industrial fermentation processes, with feedstocks derived from CO_2 and renewable waste streams [1, 2]. Especially for products whose synthesis is ATP intensive, process intensity will benefit from first converting these primary carbon sources into small organic molecules that can be used as substrates to generate the product of interest in separate intensive, aerobic fermentation processes [1]. In addition to microbial fermentation of lignocellulosic residues from agriculture and forestry [3], such small molecules can be produced by gas fermentation [4], electrochemical production from CO_2 [1, 5] and, potentially, from microbial electrosynthesis [6, 7]. The resulting organic molecules include C_1 compounds such as formic acid and methanol as well as the C_2 compounds acetic acid and ethanol [1].

Ethanol is an energy-dense substrate that is completely miscible in water and, at high concentrations, self-sterilising. Especially at low pH, ethanol is less toxic than other C_1 and C_2 compounds generated from CO_2. Yeast-based production of ethanol from agricultural residues [8] and production of ethanol from waste gases by engineered strains of *Clostridium autoethanogenum* [4, 9] have both transitioned to industrial scale. Ethanol can be readily assimilated by a variety of microorganisms [10], including yeast species that are already applied in large-scale sugar-based fermentation processes. However, compared to the wealth of information on quantitative physiology of sugar-grown aerobic cultures of industrially relevant yeast species, quantitative information on the stoichiometry, kinetics, and energetics of ethanol-grown cultures of such yeasts is scarce [11].

After diffusion over the yeast plasma membrane [12], ethanol is first converted to acetyl-CoA. This conversion involves the enzymes NAD^+-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase, $NAD(P)^+$-dependent acetaldehyde dehydrogenase and acetyl-CoA synthetase. Further dissimilation and assimilation of

acetyl-CoA involves the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, glyoxylate cycle and gluconeogenesis.

Dissimilation of ethanol to CO_2 generates reduced cofactors (5 NAD(P)H and 1 $FADH_2$) and requires

input of one ATP-equivalent due to the combination of the investment of 2 ATP equivalents in the

acetyl-CoA synthetase reaction (13) and the formation of 1 ATP equivalent by substrate-level

phosphorylation in the TCA cycle. Energy coupling of the subsequent reoxidation of NADH and

$FADH_2$ by mitochondrial respiration has a strong impact on the net ATP yield from ethanol

dissimilation and, thereby, on product yields on ethanol and on oxygen. The efficiency of this energy

coupling is expressed by the P/O ratio, which indicates how many moles of ATP oxidative

phosphorylation generates when a single electron pair (for example derived from NADH) is

transferred to oxygen.

Involvement of an active multi-subunit proton-pumping NADH dehydrogenase ('Complex I')

in the mitochondrial respiratory chains of yeasts strongly influences their P/O ratio (14, 15). Several

industrially relevant yeasts, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Kluyveromyces lactis*, lack the

genetic information for synthesizing Complex I and, instead, only harbour single-subunit, non-

proton-translocating NADH dehydrogenases (16, 17). Other yeasts encode the genetic information

for synthesizing both Complex I and non-proton-translocating NADH dehydrogenases (18). In such

yeasts, energy coupling of respiration depends on how regulation of protein synthesis and/or activity

determine the distribution of NADH oxidation over Complex I and less efficient systems.

The ascomycete budding yeast *Cyberlindnera jadinii* can grow on a variety of carbon sources,

including sugars, organic acids and alcohols, and on nitrogen sources including ammonium, nitrate,

urea and amino acids (19). Although no extensive quantitative physiology studies have been

published on ethanol-grown cultures of *C. jadinii*, this yeast has been reported to show high biomass

yields on ethanol ($g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$) (16). This observation is consistent with the presence, in the

genome of *C. jadinii*, of the genetic information for encoding a Complex I NADH dehydrogenase (20).

C. jadinii is a polyploid teleomorph of *Candida utilis* (19, 21), which has a long history of safe use in

the production of single-cell protein (SCP) for animal nutrition (22) and yeast extracts as a food

ingredient (23). Based on dry weight, glucose-grown biomass of *C. jadinii* contains approximately

50% protein (16), with an amino acid composition that is compatible with animal nutritional

requirements. Nutritional studies have shown that *C. jadinii* SCP can functionally replace

conventional protein sources in fish, chicken and swine feed (24-26). *C. jadinii* strains have been

reported to grow without vitamin supplementation (27), which enables simple processes and may

offer options to select strains with high vitamin contents. SCP has the potential to alleviate negative

environmental impacts of food systems (28-31) due to a lower carbon footprint, water use and land

usage than those of other protein sources (32).

Large-scale industrial production of microbial biomass typically involves aerobic fed-batch cultures.

Because of oxygen transfer limitations in industrial bioreactors, achieving high biomass

concentrations in such processes requires a decreasing specific growth rate profile (33). For

understanding and predicting microbial performance in such industrial contexts, knowledge on

growth-rate-dependent physiology, with special attention for maintenance-energy requirements,

biomass yields on ethanol and oxygen, and, in the case of SCP production, biomass composition is

essential. This study therefore aims to investigate the quantitative physiology of *C. jadinii* with

ethanol as a substrate and its implications for SCP production. To this end, we studied growth

stoichiometry, growth energetics, vitamin requirements and biomass composition in batch and

chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* strains. Based on quantitative information derived from these

experiments, a fed-batch cultivation protocol for SCP production from pure ethanol as carbon

source, was designed and conducted at 20-L scale.

Materials & Methods

Yeast strains and media. *Cyberlindnera jadinii* strains CBS 621, CBS 567, CBS 841, CBS 842, CBS

890, CBS 1516, CBS 1517, CBS 1600, CBS 2160, CBS 4511, CBS 5609, CBS 5947, and CBS 7232 were

obtained from the Westerdijk Institute (Utrecht, The Netherlands). *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

CEN.PK113-7D was provided by Dr. Peter Kötter (J.-W. Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany).

Cultures were grown on a synthetic medium (SM) containing 5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 3 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ KH_2PO_4 0.5

$g \cdot L^{-1}$ $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 15 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ EDTA, 4.5 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.3 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$, 1 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$

$MnCl_2 \cdot H_2O$, 0.3 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, 4.5 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 3 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.4 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$

$Na_2MoO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, 1 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ H_3BO_3, 0.1 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ KI. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.0 with 1 M

KOH. After autoclaving at 120 °C for 20 min, 1 $mL \cdot L^{-1}$ of a filter-sterilized vitamin solution (0.05 $g \cdot L^{-1}$

D-(+)-biotin, 1.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ D-calcium pantothenate, 1.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ nicotinic acid, 25 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ *myo*-inositol, 1.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$

thiamine hydrochloride, 1.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ pyridoxal hydrochloride, 0.2 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ 4-aminobenzoic acid) (34) was

aseptically added. In some experiments, individual or all vitamins were omitted as indicated.

Glucose solutions autoclaved at 110 °C for 20 min or pure ethanol were aseptically added to the

medium along with the vitamin solution. For strain storage, 30% (v/v) glycerol (final concentration)

was added to shake-flask cultures grown overnight at 200 rpm and 30 °C in YPD medium (10 $g \cdot L^{-1}$

yeast extract, 20 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ peptone and 20 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ glucose) and 1 mL samples were kept at -80 °C.

Shake flask and microtiter plate cultivation. Shake-flask cultures, grown in 500-mL flasks

containing 100 mL SM supplemented with either 20 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ D-glucose (SMD) or 7.5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ ethanol (SME),

were incubated at 30 °C and 200 rpm in Innova incubators (Brunswick Scientific Edison, NJ). Initial

cultures were inoculated from frozen glycerol samples, incubated overnight, and used for a second

inoculation in the same medium. Upon reaching early exponential phase, these pre-cultures were

used to inoculate a third culture, either in shake flasks or microtiter plates, at an initial OD_{660} of 0.2-

1.0. Absorbance at 660 nm (OD_{660}) was measured with a Jenway 7200 spectrophotometer after

accurate dilution of samples to yield OD_{660} values between 0.1 and 0.3. Specific growth rates were

calculated by fitting exponential phase data (OD 0.2 – 5.0) to the equation $ln\,OD(t) = ln\,OD(0) + \mu_{max} \cdot t$,

in which μ_{max} (h^{-1}) represents the maximum specific growth rate. Cultivation in 96-well plates was

done in a Growth Profiler 960 (Enzyscreen BV, Heemstede, the Netherlands), operated at 30 °C and

at 250 rpm. Image-analysis measurements were taken every 20 min and maximum specific growth

rates were calculated from serial dilutions and time courses of green values (35). Mathematical

details and assumptions of the method are described in Supp. Material S3.

Chemostat cultivation. Chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* and *S. cerevisiae* were grown at 30 °C in 1.5

L bioreactors connected to an ez-Control (Applikon Getinge, Delft, The Netherlands). Glucose- and

ethanol-limited cultures were grown on SM with 5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ glucose and 3.75 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ ethanol, respectively,

unless otherwise stated, with 0.2 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ Pluronic PE 6100 anti-foaming agent (BASF, Ludwigshafen,

Germany). The working volume of cultures was kept at 1.0 L with an electrical level sensor connected

to the effluent pump. Unless stated otherwise, culture pH was maintained at 5.0 by automatic

addition of 2 M KOH. Cultures were stirred at 800 rpm with 2 Rushton impellers and sparged with air

at 0.5 L/min. CO_2 and O_2 contents in the in- and off-gas were measured with a multi-gas analyser

(MultiExact 4100 Multi-gas Analyser, Servomex). Dissolved oxygen concentration was monitored

with an autoclavable oxygen electrode (Applisens, Schiedam The Netherlands). After inoculation

and a batch cultivation phase, the continuous cultivation mode was initiated when a sharp decrease

of the CO_2 output indicated substrate depletion. At least two pre-steady-state samples were taken

from the effluent line when at least 5 volume changes had passed since the onset of continuous

cultivation. When values of dry weight and CO_2 differed by less than 5% for two consecutive volume

changes, steady-state samples were collected directly from the culture. Carbon recoveries,

calculated based on a yeast biomass carbon content of 45.5% (w/w) (36) were between 95 and 105%

unless stated otherwise. Maintenance coefficient and maximum biomass yield on substrate were

calculated by linear regression of substrate consumption rates (q_s) plotted against the dilution rate

(D) according to the Pirt equation (eq. 1; (37).

$$q_s = \frac{D}{Y_{x/s}^{max}} + m_s \ (1)$$

Fed-batch cultivation. Fed-batch cultivation was conducted at 30 °C in 20-L Bio Bench reactors

(Applikon Getinge, Delft, The Netherlands) with an initial working volume of 8.0 L. Stirrer speed was

kept at 800 rpm and pressurised air (20.95% O_2, 0.04% CO_2) was supplied at a flow rate of 7 L·min^{-1}.

An overpressure of 1.0 bar (2.0 bar total pressure) was applied throughout cultivation to enhance

oxygen transfer. A polarographic oxygen electrode (model 32 275 6800; Ingold) was used to monitor

the dissolved oxygen concentration to ensure it remained above 15% of air saturation (38).

The medium used for fed-batch cultivation contained 2 g·L^{-1} $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 20 g·L^{-1} KH_2PO_4 6 g·L^{-1}

$MgSO_4·7H_2O$, and trace elements (150 mg·L^{-1} EDTA, 45 mg·L^{-1} $ZnSO_4·7H_2O$, 3 mg·L^{-1} $CoCl_2·6H_2O$,

10 mg·L^{-1} $MnCl_2·H_2O$, 3 mg·L^{-1} $CuSO_4·5H_2O$, 45 mg·L^{-1} $CaCl_2·2H_2O$, 30 mg·L^{-1} $FeSO_4·7H_2O$, 4

mg·L^{-1} $Na_2MoO_4·2H_2O$, 10 mg·L^{-1} H_3BO_3, 1 mg·L^{-1} KI) and 0.2 g·L^{-1} Pluronic PE 6100 antifoam.

Ammonium hydroxide (25% w/v) was used to control culture pH at 5.0 and to simultaneously provide

on-demand nitrogen-source supply. For the initial batch phase, which was inoculated at an OD_{660} of

0.2, 12 g·L^{-1} ethanol was used as carbon source. When the end of the exponential growth phase was

indicated by a simultaneous decrease of CO_2 production and an increase in the dissolved-oxygen

concentration, the fed-batch phase was initiated. During this phase, absolute ethanol was used as

carbon source and pumped into the reactor with a controllable Masterflex model 7518-00 pump

(Cole-Parmer Instrument Company, Chicago, IL). The feed rate was controlled by a MFCS SCADA

Software (Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) according to equation 2. Over the

duration of the fed batch phase, this feed profile resulted in flow rates between 0.006 and 0.050 kg

ethanol·h^{-1}.

192
$$F_{ethanol}(t) = \left(\frac{\mu}{Y_{x/s}^{max}} + m_s \right) \cdot c_{x,0} \cdot W_0 \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \text{ (2)}$$

In equation 2, $F_{ethanol}$ indicates the feed rate of ethanol in kg ethanol·h⁻¹, $c_{x,0}$ the initial biomass

concentration ($g_{biomass}\cdot(kg_{broth}^{-1})$), W_0 the initial culture weight (kg_{broth}) and t the time (h) after starting

the feed. $Y_{x/s}^{max}$ is the maximum biomass yield on substrate in the absence of maintenance

($g_{biomass}\cdot(g_{ethanol}^{-1})$) and m_s is the maintenance coefficient ($g_{ethanol}\cdot(g_{biomass}^{-1})\cdot h^{-1}$), both calculated from

chemostat experiments. The specific growth rate was kept at 0.10 h⁻¹ until the dissolved oxygen

concentration decreased to 15% of air saturation. At that point, the feed was changed from

exponentially increasing to constant. The fed-batch process was simulated in Python essentially as

described by Hensing et al., 1995 (33). The volumetric mass transfer coefficient (k_La) (39) was

experimentally determined to be 210 ± 11 h⁻¹ with the dynamic technique of absorption (40), and a

value of 200 h⁻¹ was used for the simulation. Scripts are found in Appendix 1.

Analytical methods. Biomass dry weight in culture samples was measured as previously described

(41). Briefly, dried nitrocellulose filters (pore size 0.45 µm, Gelman Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI) stored

at 80 °C were weighed and used to filter 10.0 mL culture samples. After washing with demineralized

water, the filters were dried for 20 min at 350 W output in a microwave (Bosch, Stuttgart, Germany)

and weighed again. For determination of metabolite concentrations in culture supernatants,

samples were rapidly quenched with cold steel beads and filtered as described by Mashego et al.,

2003 (42). Concentrations of glucose and metabolites were determined by HPLC (43). Biomass-

specific rates of production and consumption of substrates and products, respectively, as well as

carbon recoveries, were calculated with a script available from Appendix 2.

Determination of biomass composition. Protein content of yeast biomass was determined with

the Biuret method according to Verduyn et al., 1991 (14), and lipid content according to Izard &

Limberger, 2003 and Johnson et al., 1977 (44, 45) with modifications. Briefly, cell suspension (20 mL)

was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. The pellet was washed and resuspended in demineralized

water to give a total volume of 10.0 mL H_2O and stored at -20 °C. For protein measurements, thawed

samples were mixed with 3 M NaOH (2:1), boiled at 100 °C for 10 min and cooled on ice. After adding

$CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$ (2.5% w/v) to samples (1:3), they were incubated at room temperature for 5 min and

centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min. The absorbance of the clear supernatant was then measured at

510 nm. A solution of 5 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ bovine serum albumin (Merck-Sigma A9647) was used to construct a

standard curve. For total lipid determination, 100 µL of sample were mixed with 1.0 mL H_2SO_4 and

vortexed. Samples were incubated at 100 °C for 10 min and cooled for 5 min. Subsequently, 2.5 mL

of phosphoric acid-vanillin reagent (1.2 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ vanillin and 68% phosphoric acid) were added, samples

were vortexed and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. Samples were cooled to room temperature and

absorbance was read at 530 nm. Standard solutions of sunflower oil (Merck-Sigma S5007) in

chloroform (0 – 1.0 mg/mL) were used to construct a calibration curve. Chloroform was fully

evaporated prior to H_2SO_4 addition.

To measure RNA and carbohydrate contents of yeast biomass, culture samples were washed once

with demineralized water and pellets containing 3 to 5 mg biomass were stored at -20 °C. A 2.0 $g \cdot L^{-1}$

solution of yeast RNA (Sigma 10109223001) was prepared in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 and also stored

at -20 °C. Before analysis, the stock solution was diluted to obtain standard solutions with RNA

concentrations ranging from 5 to 60 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$. RNA was extracted (46) and quantified by measuring

absorbance at 260 nm (U-3010 spectrophotometer, Hitachi). Total carbohydrate contents in

biomass were quantified according to (47). Briefly, 5 mL of concentrated H_2SO_4 were added to 1 mL

of sample, followed by the addition of 1 mL of phenol solution (50 $g \cdot L^{-1}$). Samples were incubated at

100 °C for 15 min and subsequently cooled to room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 488

nm. As standard solution of glucose and mannose (2:1) was used to construct a calibration range

(0.0 - 0.2 $g \cdot L^{-1}$). To correct for the presence of nucleic acid pentoses, relative extinction coefficients

of 0.36 and 0.26 versus extinction coefficient obtained from the standard curve were used for RNA

and DNA, respectively (adapted from (36)). The following formula was used:

$$Abs_{sample} = \varepsilon_{standard} \cdot [carbohydrates] + \varepsilon_{RNA} \cdot [RNA] + \varepsilon_{DNA} \cdot [DNA] \quad (3)$$

Where Abs stands for absorbance, $\varepsilon_{standard}$ was obtained from freshly prepared standard curves, RNA

content was measured, and DNA content was assumed to be 0.5% of the biomass dry weight for all

samples.

Proteome analysis. Biomass samples (20 mL, containing approximately 3 g biomass$\cdot$L^{-1}) were

centrifuged and washed once with demineralized water. Pellets were resuspended in cold methanol

(-20°C) and lysed with a Precellys Homogenizer (Bertin technologies SAS, Montigny-le-Bretonneux,

France) at 6,800 rpm for 15 s. Total protein concentrations of the resulting samples were measured

by Qubit protein assay, and proteins were isolated by Bligh and Dyer extraction. Isolated proteins

were processed further by reduction, alkylation, and trypsin digestion (48). Samples were analysed

in technical triplicates by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using a

Vanquish UHPLC coupled to a Qexactive Plus (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Peptides

were separated using reverse-phase chromatography with a gradient of water with 0.1% formic acid

(solvent A) and 20% water and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (solvent B) from 5% B to 60% B in 52

min. Data-independent acquisition (DIA) was performed with a resolution setting of 17,500 within

the 400 to 1,200 m/z range and a maximum injection time of 20 ms, followed by high-energy collision-

induced dissociation activated (HCD) MS/MS scans with a resolution setting of 17,500 and injection

time set to auto. Four DIA MS/MS fragmentation scans were performed after each full scan and the

mass range was divided into 100 m/z windows. Raw files were analysed with the Pulsar search

engine and Spectronaut, version 17.5, against the annotated proteins of *C. jadinii* (UniProtKB, strain

ATCC 18201/CBS 1600 [www.uniprot.org]). Label-free quantification was performed using the top

three peptides measured for each protein. Retention time realignment was based on local (non-

linear) regression.

The obtained signal per protein (*raw signal (i)*, proportional to protein copy number) was multiplied

by the respective molecular weight, yielding a *signal (i)*. Subsequently, the *signal (i)* obtained per

protein was divided by the sum of all *signals (i)*'s obtained in the sample and multiplied by 100. This

resulted in the approximate percentage (in weight/weight) by which each protein contributed to the

total proteome mass.

Amino acid analysis. Culture samples were washed once with demineralized water and pellets

containing approximately 50 mg biomass were stored at -20 °C. Total amino acid content of yeast

biomass was determined after hydrolysis of the biomass according to the Accq-Tag method

(Waters). Before hydrolysis, samples were weighed in a headspace vial, which was subsequently

flushed with nitrogen to remove oxygen. Hydrolysis with 6 M HCl was performed to release free

amino acids from proteins and peptides. Free amino acids were derivatized on the NH_2 terminus with

(AQC) 6-aminoquinolyl-N-hydroxy-succinimidyl carbamate. For complete conversion, samples

were incubated for 10 min at 55 °C. Derivatized amino acids were analysed using UPLC reversed-

phase chromatography with diode array detection at 260 nm (Acquity UPLC, Waters Corporation,

Milford, USA). Derivatized amino acids were chromatographically separated with an Acquity UPLC

BEH C18 1.7 µm 2.1*100 mm (Waters) analytical column and a gradient with Mobile phase A:

AccQ·Tag Ultra Eluent A, and Mobile phase B: AccQ·Tag Ultra Eluent B, starting at 0.1% B to 9.1% B

in 5.74 min increasing to 21.2% B at 7.74 min followed by a ramp to 59.6% B at 8.04 min with a flow

rate of 0.7 mL/min. Glutamine, asparagine, tryptophan and cysteine could not be determined with

this method because glutamine and asparagine will be converted to glutamic acid and aspartic acid,

and tryptophan breaks down during hydrolysis.

Whole-genome sequencing. Genomic DNA was isolated from culture samples containing approximately $7.0 \cdot 10^9$ cells with the Qiagen 100/G kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), according to manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 was sequenced in-house on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA) to obtain a paired-end library with an insert-size of 550 bp and 300 bp read length using TruSeq Nano DNA library preparation, yielding 6.4 Gb of genomic DNA sequence. *C. jadinii* CBS 5947 was sequenced at Macrogen on an Illumina NovaSeq sequencer to obtain a paired-end library with an insert-size of 550 bp and 151 bp read length using TruSeq DNA PCR-free library preparation, yielding 3.5 Gb of genomic DNA sequence. Reads of strains CBS 621 and CBS 5947 were mapped against the *C. jadinii* NBRC 0988 genome (BioProject: PRJDB11630; GCA_024346625.1) using BWA (version 0.7.15) (49). Alignments were processed using SAMtools (version 1.3.1.) (50) and variants were called by applying Pilon (version 1.18) (51). Heterozygous single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) ratios of each chromosome were extracted from the Pilon produced variant calling file (VCF) and the distributions were plotted by using ggplot2 (52). *De novo* assembly was performed on the Illumina reads with the SPAdes genome assembler (version 3.9.0) (53). Sequencing data are available at NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) under the BioProject accession number PRJNA1153750.

Vitamin measurements. Analyses of selected vitamins (thiamine, pyridoxine, nicotinic acid and riboflavin) were conducted via Elisa by SGS Nederland B.V., division SGS Food Lab. Biomass samples containing circa 3 $g_{biomass}$ were used.

Software and Statistics. Scripts used for calculations were written in Python (v3.10), using Jupyter Notebook (v 6.4.11). Data visualisation and statistical analysis were conducted in GraphPad Prism (v9.0, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Results

1. Biomass yield and protein content in glucose- and ethanol-grown chemostat cultures

To verify earlier reports that *C. jadinii* shows higher biomass yields on ethanol than *S. cerevisiae* (14, 16), aerobic ethanol-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 and *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D were grown at a dilution rate of 0.10 h^{-1}. Consistent with earlier reports (16), steady-state cultures of *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D showed a biomass yield of 0.59 ± 0.01 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ (Fig 1A). In four independent chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* CBS 621, biomass yields were consistently 23% higher (0.74 ± 0.01 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$) than in those of *S. cerevisiae*. The biomass yields on ethanol of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 were higher than previously reported for chemostat cultures of this strain (0.69 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$) (14, 16). In contrast to the ethanol-limited cultures, glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 and *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D showed only 6% difference in biomass yield (0.51 ± 0.01 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{glucose})^{-1}$ and 0.48 ± 0.00 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{glucose})^{-1}$, respectively; Fig 1A). Both species showed lower biomass yields on oxygen in ethanol-limited cultures than in glucose-limited cultures (Fig 1B). However, biomass yields on oxygen in ethanol-limited cultures of *C. jadinii* were approximately 50% higher in *C. jadinii* than *S. cerevisiae* (Table S1). The different biomass yields of *C. jadinii* and *S. cerevisiae* on ethanol may reflect the presence and absence, respectively, of a proton-pumping respiratory complex I in these two yeast species (14, 20).

Consistent with earlier reports (16, 36), the highest protein content in yeast biomass (0.54 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1}$) was observed in the ethanol-limited *C. jadinii* cultures and the lowest protein content in the glucose-limited *S. cerevisiae* cultures (0.36 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1}$) (Fig 1C). In the ethanol-limited cultures of *C. jadinii*, the protein yield on ethanol reached 0.40 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ (Fig 1D).

2. Proteome and amino-acid composition of ethanol-grown *C. jadinii*

To further investigate the biomass composition of the ethanol- and glucose-limited chemostat

cultures of *C. jadinii*, proteome analyses were performed. Of 2596 detected proteins, 1656 were

identified with at least 3 different peptides (Supp. Material S1). In the ethanol-limited *C. jadinii*

cultures, the 10 most abundant proteins comprised approximately 25% of the proteome. Two

isoforms each of alcohol dehydrogenase (*Cj*Adh) and acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (*Cj*Ald), as well

as an acetyl-CoA synthetase 1 (*Cj*Acs1), which together catalyse the first three steps in ethanol

catabolism (Fig 1E), accounted for 6 of the 10 most highly abundant proteins (Fig 1F). Key proteins in

ethanol assimilation (isocitrate lyase and malate synthase) were also very highly expressed. While

their levels were clearly higher in ethanol-grown cultures, the 6 proteins involved in ethanol

catabolism were also abundant in glucose-grown cells (Fig 1F). In contrast, levels of elongation

factor 1-α and the α-subunit of the F_1F_o-ATP synthase were similar in glucose-limited and ethanol-

limited cultures (Fig 1F).

In view of the 75% higher respiration rate in ethanol-limited cultures than in glucose-limited cultures

of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 (4.4 vs 2.5 mmol $O_2 \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$, Table S1), levels of proteins involved in

oxidative phosphorylation were also investigated. A set of 71 proteins involved in oxidative

phosphorylation that were represented by at least 2 independent peptides and included subunits of

all 4 respiratory complexes and ATP synthase (Supp. Material S1) showed, on average, a 60% higher

level in ethanol-limited cultures than in glucose-limited cultures. Complex I subunits (28 detected)

were, on average, 75% higher in ethanol- compared to glucose-limited samples (Fig. S1A).

The amino acid composition of *C. jadinii*, which is important for food and feed applications of

microbial biomass (54), was analysed and compared to that of *S. cerevisiae*. Biomass from ethanol-

and glucose-grown chemostat cultures of the two yeasts showed similar amino acid composition,

with high glutamate and glutamine levels (Table S2). A low content of methionine in glucose- and

ethanol-grown chemostat cultures of both yeasts (1.35 to 1.80% of the proteome, Fig 1G) was

previously reported for multiple yeasts species (24). The sum of the analysed amino acid contents

followed the same trend as total protein measurements (Fig S1B) but resulted in lower absolute

values (Fig S1C). There is a growing interest in using yeast biomass in aquaculture to produce fish

feed and thereby to curtail overfishing for fishmeal (24, 28, 54). A comparison of amino acid levels in

ethanol-grown *C. jadinii* biomass with reference values for essential amino acids in fish feed

identified methionine as limiting (Fig S1D), with all other amino acids at or above required levels.

Figure 1: Effect of growth-limiting carbon source on the physiology of *C. jadinii* and proteome composition. *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D and *C. jadinii* CBS 621 were grown in steady-state, aerobic ethanol-limited and glucose-limited chemostats at a dilution rate of 0.10 h^{-1}. **(A, B)** Biomass yields on substrate and oxygen, respectively. (C) Protein content as a percentage of biomass. (D) Protein yields on substrate. (E)

Enzymes involved in conversion of ethanol to acetyl-CoA. Adh: alcohol dehydrogenase, Ald: aldehyde

dehydrogenase, Acs: acetyl-CoA synthetase. (F) Top 10 most abundant proteins (with at least 3 identified

peptides) in the proteome of ethanol-grown *C. jadinii* versus the levels of the same proteins in glucose-limited

chemostats in the same species. *Cj* stands for *Cyberlindnera jadinii*. (G) Protein amino acid residue

composition (as a percentage of the total amino acid residue pool. H stands for highest, and L for lowest amino

acids in abundance for all analysed species and conditions. #p<0.05, ##p<0.01, ###p<0.001, *S. cerevisiae* vs

C. jadinii, same substrate. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, same yeast species, ethanol- (EtOH) vs glucose-

grown (Gluc), n=2.

3. Maximum biomass yield and maintenance-energy requirement of *C. jadinii* in ethanol-limited

chemostat cultures

To analyse how specific growth rate affects biomass yield and to estimate the maintenance energy

requirements of *C. jadinii* CBS 621, ethanol-limited chemostat cultures were grown at different

dilution rates. To define a range of dilution rates, maximum specific growth rates (μ_{max}) of *C. jadinii*

were determined in batch cultures grown on synthetic medium with ethanol or glucose as sole

carbon source (Fig 2A). Values of μ_{max} on ethanol and glucose were 0.43 ± 0.01 h^{-1} and 0.57 ± 0.01 h^{-1},

respectively (Fig 2B). Both values are higher than the reported μ_{max} of *S. cerevisiae* CEN.PK113-7D on

synthetic medium with glucose (0.39 h^{-1}) (55).

Ethanol-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* were grown at dilution rates ranging from 0.025 to

0.30 h^{-1}. Over this range of specific growth rates, biomass yields on ethanol ranged from 0.59 to 0.81

$g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ (Fig 2C, Table S3). Using the Pirt equation (eq. 1), we derived maximum biomass

yields on ethanol and oxygen based on the linear fits shown in Fig 2D-E. From the same data,

maximum biomass yields of 0.83 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ and 28 $g_{biomass} \cdot (mol\ O_2)^{-1}$ on oxygen were

determined. The maximum yield on ethanol is very close to a theoretical value of 0.84

$g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$, estimated based on the assimilation equation for ethanol by *C. jadinii* (14). This

observation suggests that, at high specific growth rates, growth of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 is close to being

carbon-limited rather than energy-limited. From Fig 2D-E, we derived maintenance coefficients for

ethanol (m_s) and oxygen (m_{O2}) of 0.28 $mmol_{ethanol} \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ and 0.76 mmol $O_2 \cdot (g_{biomass})^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$,

respectively (Fig 2F). Maintenance coefficients relate to use of energy substrate for processes that

are not associated with growth, such as homeostasis of membrane potential and turnover of cellular

components (56) and explains the decline in biomass yield as the dilution rate approaches zero (Fig

2C). Assuming an *in vivo* P/O ratio of 1.8 *for C. jadinii* (14) and growth-rate independent maintenance-

energy requirements ((37), Eq. 1), we estimated an ATP requirement for maintenance (m_{ATP}) of 2.7

mmol ATP $\cdot$ (g biomass)$^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$ (Fig 2F). This value is over 4-fold higher than the estimated m_{ATP} for *S.*

cerevisiae grown aerobically in glucose-limited cultures (0.63 $mmol_{ATP} \cdot (g_{biomass}^{-1}) \cdot h^{-1}$) (57).

A previous publication reported that *C. jadinii* shows higher biomass yields at pH 4.0 than at pH 5.0

(58). However, we did not observe a meaningful change in biomass yield when ethanol-limited

cultures were grown at pH 4.0 instead of 5.0, while protein contents of cultures grown at pH 4.0 were

lower (Fig S2A-C). Co-feeding with formic acid, whose metabolism in yeasts is strictly dissimilatory,

can supply additional NADH and thereby increase ATP availability for assimilation of the carbon

source (16, 59, 60). Formic acid co-feeding only marginally increased the biomass yield and protein

content in ethanol-limited cultures, resulting in a protein yield on ethanol of 0.43 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ (Fig

S2D-F). The effect of formic acid co-feeding was more pronounced in glucose-grown chemostat

cultures, in which protein yields on glucose increased from 0.25 to 0.33 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{glucose})^{-1}$ (Fig S2F-H).

4. Impact of specific growth rate on protein content and alcohol dehydrogenase levels in

ethanol-limited chemostat cultures

In aerobic, glucose-limited chemostat cultures of yeasts, the protein content of the biomass

typically increases with increasing specific growth rate (36, 58, 61, 62). In contrast, aerobic ethanol-

limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 showed slightly higher protein contents at specific

growth rates below 0.10 h^{-1} (Fig 2G). Similarly, while RNA content was reported to positively correlate

with specific growth rate in *S. cerevisiae* (36, 63), ethanol-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii*

CBS 621 showed essentially stable RNA contents across a range of dilution rates (Fig 2G). In contrast

to protein and RNA contents, contents of carbohydrates and lipids in biomass increased with

specific growth rate, representing 26 and 11%, respectively, of the biomass at D = 0.30 h^{-1} (Fig. 2H).

Yields of all four macromolecular biomass components on ethanol showed a growth-rate dependent

increase (Fig S2G-H), reflecting the trend of total biomass yields (Fig 2C).

Many studies report that the fraction of microbial proteomes allocated to the translation machinery

increases with increasing specific growth rate (64-66). To investigate whether ethanol-grown *C.*

jadinii exhibited a similar correlation, proteomics analyses were performed on samples from

cultures grown at 0.025, 0.10, 0.21 and 0.30 h^{-1}. The resulting proteome dataset (Supp. Material S2)

was manually curated into 7 categories based on the Gene Ontology annotation obtained from

UniProt (67). Levels of 357 proteins correlated well with specific growth rate (R^2 > 0.90; 273 positive

and 84 negative correlations; Supp. Material S2). The most prominent effect was a negative

correlation of the abundance of proteins involved in ethanol catabolism (*Cj*Adh, *Cj*Ald and *Cj*Acs)

with growth rate. These proteins occupied approximately 27% of the proteome at 0.025 h^{-1} and 12%

at 0.3 h^{-1}. In contrast, the fraction of proteins involved in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS)

increased from 4.8 to 7.4% (Fig. 2I), which positively correlated with oxygen consumption rates (Fig

S3A). These abundances are similar to a predicted proteome contribution of OXPHOS proteins of

approximately 5% in *S. cerevisiae* and *Issatchenkia orientalis* (*Pichia kudriavzevii*) (64, 68). The

fractions of TCA-cycle enzymes and proteins involved in amino acid synthesis both increased from

approximately 4% at 0.025 h^{-1} to 9% at 0.3 h^{-1}, while the fraction of the proteome allocated to the

translation machinery increased from 22 to 29% (Fig 2I).

Of the proteins involved in ethanol catabolism, *Cj*Adh had the strongest impact on the composition

of the proteome. Total *Cj*Adh levels (3 most abundant isoforms, Fig S3B) accounted for 17% and 5%

of the proteome at 0.025 h^{-1} and 0.3 h^{-1}, respectively (Fig 2J), followed by *Cj*Ald and *Cj*Acs (Fig S3C-

D). Given the almost linear correlation between *Cj*Adh levels and dilution rate, we estimated *Cj*Adh's

specific maximum activity (v_{max}). When these estimates were plotted against measured biomass-

specific ethanol consumption rates (which should equal the *in vivo* flux through *Cj*Adh), the curves

intercepted at D = 0.39 h^{-1} (Fig 2K), which is very close to the maximum specific growth rate of *C.*

jadinii on ethanol (0.43 h^{-1}). This comparison suggests that the maximum specific growth rate of *C.*

jadinii CBS 621 on ethanol may be constrained at the proteome by the level of alcohol

dehydrogenase.

Figure 2: Physiology of *C. jadinii*, biomass composition, and proteome in ethanol-limited cultures at different dilution rates. (A) Optical density at 660 nm (OD_{660}) as a function of time and (B) maximum growth rates (μ_{max}) of glucose- and ethanol-grown batch cultures. (C) Biomass yield on ethanol, (D) biomass-specific

ethanol uptake rate ($q_{ethanol}$) and (E) biomass-specific oxygen uptake rates (q_{O_2}) in aerobic, steady-state,

ethanol-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* CBS 621. (F) Maintenance requirements for ethanol ($m_{ethanol}$)

and oxygen (m_{O2}) calculated as the y-intercept of the fitted curves shown in D and E, respectively. Maintenance

ATP requirements (m_{ATP}) were estimated from $m_{O2,}$ assuming an *in vivo* P/O ratio of 1.8 (14). (G) and (H)

Quantification of the main components of the biomass in % of dry weight: proteins (Prot), RNA, carbohydrates

(Carb) and lipids. (I) Protein allocation in ethanol-limited chemostat cultures grown at different dilution rates.

Categories were manually curated and represent (in ascending order) Ethanol catabolism (first three steps

shown in Fig 1F), Carbohydrate metabolism (Carb.), Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPHOS), TCA cycle

(including the glyoxylate shunt reactions), Amino acid metabolism, Translation and Others. The last category

encompasses proteins from pathways with a lower proteome allocation or for which no pathway was assigned.

(J) Total alcohol dehydrogenase protein (*Cj*Adh, % of proteome) as a function of dilution rate. (K) Estimated v_{max}

for *Cj*Adh, assuming a k_{cat} of 150 min^{-1} for all isoforms (Brenda database (69), EC 1.1.1.1, average reported

value for yeasts) and a proteome comprising 50% of the dry weight (w/w), plotted together with the ethanol

consumption rates ($q_{ethanol}$) from bioreactor experiments. 0.39 h^{-1} represents the intersection between both

lines. Open symbols show carbon recovery of around 107%, while closed symbols represent carbon

recoveries of 100±5%. **p<0.001, n=2.

***5. C. jadinii* CBS 621 is a thiamine auxotroph**

The chemically defined medium used in the experiments described above contained seven B-type

vitamins that are commonly included in defined media for yeast cultivation (70). However, although

vitamin-independent growth of other *C. jadinii* strains has been reported (27), the vitamin

dependence of strain CBS 621 has not been investigated. To assess vitamin requirements of this

strain, it was grown in shake-flask cultures on 'dropout' synthetic media from which a single vitamin

was omitted. In addition, growth was measured on a synthetic medium from which all 7 vitamins

were omitted (Δvitamins). In these experiments, only the Δthiamine and Δvitamins media showed

severely compromised growth (Fig 3A-B). When, after 24 h incubation in vitamin-free medium with

ethanol, culture samples were used to inoculate new flasks, the growth deficiency after 24 h further

incubation in vitamin-free medium was even more pronounced (Fig 3B). Addition of thiamine

sufficed to restore growth (Fig 3C).

To investigate the apparent thiamine auxotrophy *C. jadinii* CBS 621, its genome was sequenced and

de novo assembled. The estimated genome size of 17.9 Mb (Table S4) is larger than that of other *C.*

jadinii strains (19). This may be due to its high degree of heterozygosity, which generated alternative

alleles for heterozygous regions during assembly. Based on the distribution of SNPs in the

heterozygous regions across the genome, CBS 621 is most probably triploid (Fig S4A). A search for

genes encoding proteins in thiamine biosynthesis (*CjTHI4*, *CjTHI5*, *CjTHI6* and *CjTHI20*) (70) was

performed in UniProt, using *C. jadinii* as a taxonomy identifier. The annotated amino acid sequences

for the type strain CBS 1600 were then used to identify homologs in strain CBS 621 with the BLAST

tool (Fig 3D). This homology search yielded matches in strain CBS 621 with *CjTHI5*, *CjTHI6* and

CjTHI20, but not with *CjTHI4*, which encodes thiamine thiazole synthase, a single-turnover 'suicide

enzyme' (70) involved in thiamine biosynthesis. Based on these results, we concluded that loss of

the *CjTHI4* gene rendered *C. jadinii* CBS 621 a thiamine auxotroph.

Figure 3: Growth of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 on single-vitamin dropout and vitamin-free media. (A) Growth curves

of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 on single-vitamin dropout media in shake flasks grown on synthetic medium with ethanol

as a sole carbon source. Δ"vitamin name" indicates absence of only the indicated vitamin from the standard

vitamin mix. *Complete* indicates presence of all vitamins and *Δvitamins* indicates absence of all vitamins. (B)

OD_{660} after 24h of cultivation in shake flasks. (C) OD_{660} after further 24h of cultivation in shake flasks for

samples from indicated groups (x-axis) that were reinoculated at OD 0.5 in the presence or absence of

thiamine, n=2. (D) Overview of tBLASTn search to identify genes coding for enzymes in the biosynthesis of

thiamine in CBS 621. The reference GenBank IDs used as queries were retrieved from UniProt, based on

searches containing the respective protein name and species (*C. jadinii* CBS 1600). The tBLASTn algorithm was

run locally using default settings.

504 6. Cultivation of prototrophic *C. jadinii* strains on ethanol on a vitamin-free medium

To explore growth of prototrophic *C. jadinii* strains on ethanol, twelve additional strains were

selected based on availability of genome sequences and isolation origin. These strains were then

evaluated for (i) vitamin dependency, (ii) specific growth rate, and (iii) biomass and protein yields in

ethanol-grown cultures. A serial-dilution based method (Fig 4A) was used to calculate specific

growth rates in microtiter plates (35). When plotting the natural logarithm of the dilution factor

against the time to reach a predefined threshold cell concentration, the specific growth rate

corresponds to the slope of the curve (Fig 4B). Of the tested *C. jadinii* strains, only CBS 621 and CBS

7232 fail to grow on vitamin-free medium (Fig 4C). Growth of these strains was rescued by adding of

thiamine and biotin, respectively (Fig S5A). The maximum specific growth rates of the strains ranged

from 0.13 - 0.44 h^{-1} (Fig 4C). Most of the variation in the dataset could be attributed to strain

differences (p<0.001). Vitamin absence also significantly impacted the specific growth rates, leading

to an average 10-15% lower growth rate (p<0.001) (Fig 4C). The final Green Value of the growth curves

(Fig S5B) showed less variation among samples.

Three *C. jadinii* strains were selected for further investigation: CBS 5609 (highest μ_{max}), CBS 1600

(largest difference in μ_{max} caused by vitamin absence) and CBS 5947 (among the top three strains

with the highest final Green Value). Maximum specific growth rates of these three strains were

measured in shake flasks to validate the serial dilution method. Although the effect of vitamin

absence on CBS 1600 was less pronounced (Fig 4D), values obtained with the two methods

corresponded well (Fig 4E, S5C). Publicly available genome sequences from strains CBS 1600 and

CBS 5609 (21, 71), indicated the presence of a complete *THI4* gene. Presence of *THI4* in strain CBS

5947 was confirmed by whole-genome sequencing (Table S4). Like strains CBS 5609 and CBS 621,

C. jadinii CBS 5947 appeared to be triploid based on its SNP distribution (Fig S4B).

To investigate biomass yields and protein contents of the three selected strains during growth on

ethanol in vitamin-free medium, they were grown in ethanol-limited chemostats at 0.10 h^{-1} in the

absence of supplemented vitamins (Table S5). *C. jadinii* CBS 5609, the strain with the highest μ_{max} on

ethanol (0.46 h^{-1}, Fig 4D) showed the lowest biomass yield on ethanol and oxygen (Fig 4F-G). Strains

CBS 1600 and CBS 5947 showed nearly the same biomass yields on ethanol (0.72 and 0.74

$g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$, respectively (Fig 4F-H). All three strains showed a protein content of approximately

54% (Fig 4H). Vitamin-supplemented ethanol-limited cultures of strains CBS 1600 and CBS 621

showed the same biomass yield on ethanol and protein content (Fig 4F-H). For strain CBS 1600,

these results indicate that omitting vitamins from synthetic media does not affect biomass yield and

protein content during ethanol-limited growth at 0.10 h^{-1}.

Figure 4: Characterization of *C. jadinii* strains in microtiter plates, shake flasks and bioreactors concerning vitamin dependency. (A) Representative growth curves of serially diluted CBS 621 in microtiter plates, ranging from 1 to 32× dilution, initial OD_{660} 0.2 to 0.00625, respectively. (B) Calculation of specific growth rates via serial dilution method. The time (h) required for a specific dilution to reach a green value of 20 was plotted against the natural logarithm of the respective dilution (1-32). (C) Specific growth rates for 13 *C. jadinii* strains grown on ethanol in the presence or absence of vitamins, calculated with the serial dilution method, n.d: non-detectable. (D) Specific growth rates of 4 *C. jadinii* strains grown on ethanol in shake flasks in the presence or absence of vitamins. (E) Correlation of specific growth rates obtained in microtiter plates (x-axis) with those measured in shake flasks (y-axis) for strains grown in the presence of vitamins. (F-H) Biomass

yields on ethanol, oxygen and protein content for selected strains grown in ethanol-limited chemostats

(dilution rate 0.10 h^{-1}). Where indicated by Δvit, no vitamins were added to the cultivation, n=2-3.

7. High-cell-density fed-batch cultivation of *C. jadinii* on ethanol in a mineral, vitamin-free

medium.

Of the vitamin-prototrophic *C. jadinii* strains, CBS 5947 showed the highest biomass yield in ethanol-

limited chemostat cultures (0.74 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$, Fig 4F). To evaluate whether this strain could be

grown to high biomass densities on ethanol in a vitamin-free medium, a fed-batch protocol was

developed. After an initial batch phase on 12 $g \cdot L^{-1}$ ethanol, a biomass concentration of 5.5

$g_{biomass} \cdot (kg_{broth})^{-1}$ was reached in two independent reactors. For the subsequent fed-batch regime,

pure ethanol was continuously fed and 25% NH_4OH was used as pH titrant and nitrogen source. To

increase oxygen solubility, 1 bar overpressure was applied (Fig 5A). The initial specific growth rate

was set at 0.10 h^{-1} by applying a preprogrammed exponentially increasing ethanol feed rate (Fig 5B).

When dissolved oxygen concentration in the reactor decreased to a concentration corresponding to

15% of air saturation at atmospheric pressure (Fig S6A), the ethanol feed rate was first fixed at 0.047

$kg_{ethanol} \cdot h^{-1}$ and, after 5 additional hours, at 0.035 $kg_{ethanol} \cdot h^{-1}$ (Fig 5B) to prevent oxygen limitation. In

total, approximately 1.4 kg of ethanol was consumed in each reactor within 48 h (Fig 5C), leading to

biomass concentration of 98 ± 4 $g_{biomass} \cdot (kg_{broth})^{-1}$ (Fig 5D). Consistent with the cultures being ethanol-

limited, the ethanol concentration in culture samples remained below detection limit throughout the

fed-batch phase. From the time-dependent increase of the amount of biomass in the reactor, we

calculated an approximate specific growth rate of 0.02 h^{-1} at the end of the fed-batch phase (Fig 5E).

A decline in growth rate was also evident from the slope of the CO_2 profile during fermentation (Fig

S6B). The fed-batch process was simulated (33) based on the parameters calculated from Fig 2D-F

and the feed profile shown in Fig 5B. Experimentally determined specific growth rates and ethanol

consumption were consistent with model predictions (Fig 5C-E).

The protein content remained at 50% during the exponential feed phase of the fed-batch culture (Fig

5F). However, in contrast to observations in steady-state chemostat cultures (Fig 2) in which protein

concentrations did not decline at low specific growth rates, a decrease of the protein content to 42%

was observed towards the end of the fed-batch cultures (Fig 5F). This indicates that the protein

content decreases when the growth rate was dynamically changed from 0.10 to 0.022 h^{-1}. In the two

fed-batch experiments, an average of 405 g protein was produced (Fig 5G). Based on the total

ethanol consumed and total biomass and protein produced during the fed-batch phase, biomass,

and protein yields of 0.65 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ and 0.28 $g_{protein} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$, respectively, were calculated (Fig

5H). Production of low concentrations of acetate and succinate in the fed-batch processes (~10 mM

final concentrations) (Fig S6C) played a negligible role in the total carbon recovery (Fig S6D-E).

In the fed-batch cultures, strain CBS 5947 needed to synthesize vitamins to sustain growth. Levels

of thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, and pyridoxine in fed-batch grown *C. jadinii* CBS 5947 ranged from 1.7

– 260 mg $\cdot (kg_{biomass})^{-1}$. When compared with the vitamin contents in aquaculture diets (Table S6) (72),

ethanol-grown *C. jadinii* biomass showed higher levels of niacin, riboflavin and pyridoxine, while

thiamine content of the yeast biomass was approximately 3-fold lower.

Figure 5: Growth of *C. jadinii* CBS 5947 on vitamin-free medium in aerobic, ethanol-limited fed-batch cultures. Aerobic fed-batch fermentation was performed at 30 °C, at pH 5.0 and at 1 bar overpressure, with a feed of pure ethanol. A 25% (w/v) solution of NH_4OH solution was used for simultaneous pH control and nitrogen supplementation. (A) Scheme of reactor and critical parameters related to the process (fed-batch phase). W_0: initial weight of the culture broth (kg), $c_{x,0}$: initial biomass concentration ($kg_{biomass} \cdot (kg_{broth})^{-1}$), μ_0: initial specific growth rate in fed-batch phase (h^{-1}), DW = biomass dry weight concentration (g biomass · (kg broth)$^{-1}$), created with BioRender.com. (B) Profile of feed rate during the fed-batch phase. (C-E) Consumed ethanol, biomass dry weight, and growth rate (μ) during the fed-batch phase. Symbols represent data and grey lines refer to simulations. (F-G) protein percentage in the biomass and total protein content during the fed-

597   batch phase. (H) Total biomass and protein yield on ethanol based on the whole fed-batch process. Data are

598   shown for 2 independent reactors (mean ± SD).

Discussion

Fast growth of industrial micro-organisms is especially beneficial during batch cultivation and during

the initial exponential growth phase of aerobic fed-batch fermentation processes, when oxygen

transfer is not yet limiting. In a recent screening of 52 ascomycete budding yeasts, we noted that *C.*

jadinii CBS 621 was the fastest-growing strains on a synthetic medium containing ethanol as sole

carbon source (11). In the present study, multiple *C. jadinii* strains showed specific growth rates on

ethanol close to 0.4 h^{-1} (doubling time 1.7 h) in batch cultures grown on a synthetic medium

supplemented with a standard vitamin mixture (Fig 2B, 4C-D). With two exceptions, the investigated

C. jadinii strains were prototrophic and showed maximum specific growth rates on ethanol in

vitamin-free media that were only 10-15% lower than in vitamin-supplemented medium. Use of

vitamin-free media offers advantages in terms of cost and simplicity of medium preparation and

sterilization and, potentially, reduction of contamination risks (70). Moreover, use of a naturally

prototrophic yeast strain precludes the need for extensive metabolic engineering strategies, such

as recently applied to *S. cerevisiae* (73), to eliminate full vitamin auxotrophies. The thiamine

auxotrophy of our initial model strain *C. jadinii* CBS 621 (Fig 3A-C) could be attributed to absence of

a Cj*THI4* gene. Thiamine auxotrophy of this strain may enable use of *THI4* as a selectable marker in

ongoing research to make *C. jadinii* fully accessible to Cas9-based genome editing (74).

Biomass yields of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 in ethanol-limited chemostat cultures with vitamin

supplementation, and of two prototrophic *C. jadinii* strains in vitamin-free media, were among the

highest reported for yeasts (11) (Fig 4F). The maximum biomass yield ($Y_{x/s}^{max}$) of *C. jadinii* CBS 621 on

ethanol of 0.83 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$ in vitamin-supplemented, ethanol-limited chemostat cultures even

approached the theoretical maximum yield on ethanol of 0.84 $g_{biomass} \cdot (g_{ethanol})^{-1}$(14) for ethanol-

limited growth with ammonium as the nitrogen source. A previous study on strain CBS 621 that was

based on measurements at a single dilution rate (0.10 h^{-1}) reported lower biomass yields than

observed in our study (16). A similarly high biomass yield on ethanol (0.82 $g \cdot g^{-1}$) was reported for

batch cultures of the related species *Cyberlindnera saturnus* (10). We consistently measured high

biomass yields in replicate cultures of different *C. jadinii* strains, both on vitamin-supplemented and

vitamin-free media, checked carbon recoveries of the chemostat cultures and confirmed that no

biomass retention occurred (75) and therefore trust our reported data.

Ethanol-limited chemostat cultures of *C. jadinii* showed a 25% higher biomass yield on ethanol than

cultures of *S. cerevisiae* grown under the same set of conditions (Fig. 1). This difference can be

attributed to the presence and absence, respectively, of genes encoding a proton-pumping Complex

I NADH dehydrogenase in these two yeasts (11). In contrast to the difference observed in ethanol-

limited cultures (14, 16, 76), biomass yields of *C. jadinii* and *S. cerevisiae* in aerobic glucose-limited

cultures differed by only 6% (Fig 1). This observation suggests that the contribution of Complex I to

respiratory reoxidation of NADH by *C. jadinii* may be carbon-source dependent. A deeper

understanding of the role and regulation of Complex I in *C. jadinii* may contribute to improving yields

of biomass and other ATP-intensive products, also on carbon- and energy sources other than

ethanol. Our current lack of understanding on this subject is illustrated by the observation that,

counterintuitively, levels of the non-proton-pumping single-subunit NADH dehydrogenase *Cj*Ndi1

were approximately 150-fold higher in the proteome of ethanol-limited cultures of *C. jadinii* than in

glucose-limited cultures (Fig S1A, Supp Material S1). Further research should also address the

question of how subcellular localization of key enzymes and/or redox shuttle mechanisms enable

reoxidation of NADH generated by ethanol and acetaldehyde dehydrogenases, enzymes that can

occur in the cytosol as well as in the mitochondrial matrix of yeasts (77) by Complex I, whose

catalytic site is in the mitochondrial matrix.

The estimated growth-rate independent maintenance energy requirement for ATP (m_{ATP}) of ethanol-

limited cultures of *C. jadinii* (2.7 mmol ATP $\cdot$ ($g_{biomass}^{-1}$) $\cdot$ h^{-1}, Fig 2F) was higher than the m_{ATP} of 1.2 mmol

ATP $\cdot$ ($g_{biomass}$)$^{-1}$ $\cdot$ h^{-1} estimated from a study on aerobic, glucose-limited chemostat cultures of the

Complex-I containing yeast *Komagataella phaffii*, grown at dilution rates ranging from 0.025 to 0.10

h^{-1} (78). In energy-substrate-limited cultures, a high maintenance energy requirement most strongly

affects biomass yields at low specific growth rates, where it needs a large fraction of the substrate

to be respired instead of converted to biomass. Consequently, and consistent with model-based

simulations that included the high maintenance requirement of *C. jadinii*, high-cell-density fed-

batch cultures did not attain the biomass yields reached in ethanol-limited chemostat cultures

grown at intermediate rates (Fig 3C, 4F, 5H) . Protein turnover has been reported to account for a

large share of the ATP requirement for cellular maintenance in *S. cerevisiae* (79). Interestingly, two

heterologous-protein producing *K. phaffii* strains were reported to exhibit 2-fold higher maintenance-

energy requirements than a reference strain (78, 80). This raises the question of whether the high

m_{ATP} of *C. jadinii* may be related to its high protein content, and particularly the remarkably high levels

of specific proteins involved in ethanol metabolism.

Under substrate-limited conditions, competitiveness of micro-organisms is determined by their

affinity for the growth-limiting substrate, i.e. the ability to sustain a high biomass-specific

consumption rate (q_s) at a low concentration (c_s) of that substrate. Based on the Monod equation (q_s

= $q_{s,max}$ ($c_s/(c_s + k_s)$)), affinity can be defined as $q_{s,max}/K_S$ (81), in which $q_{s,max}$ is the maximum biomass-

specific substrate uptake rate and K_s is the value of c_s at which q_s = ½ $\cdot$ $q_{s,max}$. At low specific growth

rates, a large fraction of the proteome of ethanol-limited cultures of *C. jadinii* was allocated to

enzymes that catalyse the first three reactions of ethanol metabolism (Fig 2I) and, in particular, to

alcohol dehydrogenase (Fig 2J). This observation indicates that, at low specific growth rates, *C.*

jadinii increases its affinity for ethanol by increasing $q_{s,max}$. This adaptation strategy resembles

regulation of methanol oxidase, the first enzyme in methanol metabolism, in *Ogataea polymorpha*.

In slow-growing (0.03 h^{-1}) methanol-limited cultures of this methylotrophic yeast, 20% of its

proteome consisted of methanol oxidase (82), which closely resembles the 17% contribution of

alcohol-dehydrogenases in ethanol-limited *C. jadinii* cultures grown at 0.025 h^{-1}. We hypothesize

that this '$q_{s,max}$ adaptation' to ethanol-limited growth contributes to the observation that, despite

lower rates of assimilation and dissimilation at low specific growth rates, *C. jadinii* exhibited an

essential growth-rate independent protein content of ca. 54% in ethanol-limited chemostat

cultures.

Based on the quantitative data obtained from chemostat experiments, we designed a feed profile for

high-cell density ethanol-limited fed-batch cultures. A previous study also described based fed-

batch cultivation of *C. jadinii* on ethanol, but applied discontinuous ethanol feeding and reached a

maximum biomass concentration of 15 g·(kg$_{broth}$)$^{-1}$ (83). By controlled feeding of pure ethanol, which

eliminated the need for sterilisation of the feed line, the fed-batch cultures reached 100

g$_{biomass}$·(kg$_{broth}$)$^{-1}$ in 48 h of cultivation (Fig 5D). Experimental data on biomass formation were in

excellent agreement with model predictions. However, the protein content of biomass harvested at

the end of high-cell density fed-batch cultures, when the specific growth rate had decreased to 0.02

h^{-1}, had decreased to only 42%. Further research is needed to assess whether this marked difference

with the protein content in slow-growing chemostat cultures is due to the dynamic growth-rate

profile in the fed-batch cultures, imperfect mixing of the pure ethanol feed, or other factors.

Conclusions

Based on different analyses on ethanol-grown batch, chemostat and fed-batch cultures, the present

study provides a quantitative physiology baseline for fundamental and applied research on *C. jadinii*.

Its high maximum growth rate, high energetic efficiency of ethanol dissimilation, simple nutritional

requirements and high protein content, make this yeast a highly interesting platform for production

of SCP and other products from ethanol produced by low-emission technologies. Especially for SCP

applications, an observed difference in protein content between ethanol chemostat and fed-batch

cultures that were grown over the same range of specific growth rates, merits further investigation.

From a fundamental science perspective, our results identify questions about regulation, energy

coupling and compartmentation of NADH reoxidation during growth of yeasts and fungi during

growth on non-conventional substrates. In addition, the results underline the key role of

maintenance energy requirements in high-cell-density fed-batch processes.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its

supplementary information files.

Competing interests

The company dsm-firmenich owns intellectual property rights of technology discussed in this paper.

MAVL and JTP are co-inventors on patent applications related to the present work.

Funding

Co-funding was obtained from dsm-firmenich and from a supplementary grant 'TKI- Toeslag' for

Topconsortia for Knowledge and Innovation (TKI's) of the Netherlands Ministry of Economic Affairs

and Climate Policy (CHEMIE.PGT.2021.003). The PhD project of MW is funded from a Stevin Prize

awarded to JTP by the Dutch Research Council (NWO).

Authors' contributions

MAVL: investigation, methodology, analysis, data visualization, writing (original draft, reviewing and

editing). MW: investigation, methodology, analysis, writing (reviewing and editing). EdH and MvdB:

investigation, methodology. JMD: conceptualization, writing (reviewing and editing). JTP:

conceptualization, supervision, writing (reviewing and editing).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Clara Carqueija Cardoso for the help with DNA sequencing, Christiaan Mooiman

for assistance with the fed-batch experiments, Marijke Luttik for the help with growth experiments,

Chris Klomp and Niels Tiemersma for evaluating the methods for biomass composition and help with

bioreactor cultivation, and Jordy Elfering for the testing of the serial dilution method and screening

and for help with bioreactor cultivation. We thank André Vente for the amino acid and proteome

analyses, and Mickel Jansen and Tjeerd van Rij for the input in the project.

References

1.   Claassens NJ, Cotton CAR, Kopljar D, Bar-Even A. Making quantitative sense of

electromicrobial production. Nature Catalysis. 2019;2(5):437-47.

2.   Leger D, Matassa S, Noor E, Shepon A, Milo R, Bar-Even A. Photovoltaic-driven microbial

protein production can use land and sunlight more efficiently than conventional crops. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(26).

3.   Lynd LR, Beckham GT, Guss AM, Jayakody LN, Karp EM, Maranas C, et al. Toward low-cost

biological and hybrid biological/catalytic conversion of cellulosic biomass to fuels. Energy &

Environmental Science. 2022;15(3):938-90.

4.   Martin ME, Richter H, Saha S, Angenent LT. Traits of selected *Clostridium* strains for syngas

fermentation to ethanol. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016;113(3):531-9.

5.   Smith WA, Burdyny T, Vermaas DA, Geerlings H. Pathways to Industrial-Scale Fuel Out of

Thin Air from CO2 Electrolysis. Joule. 2019;3(8):1822-34.

6.   Christodoulou X, Okoroafor T, Parry S, Velasquez-Orta SB. The use of carbon dioxide in

microbial electrosynthesis: Advancements, sustainability and economic feasibility. Journal of CO2

Utilization. 2017;18:390-9.

7.   Cabau-Peinado O, Winkelhorst M, Stroek R, de Kat Angelino R, Straathof AJJ, Masania K, et

al. Microbial electrosynthesis from CO_2 reaches productivity of syngas and chain elongation

fermentations. Trends Biotechnol. 2024.

8.   Jansen MLA, Bracher JM, Papapetridis I, Verhoeven MD, de Bruijn H, de Waal PP, et al.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains for second-generation ethanol production: from academic

exploration to industrial implementation. FEMS Yeast Res. 2017;17(5).

9.   Takors R, Kopf M, Mampel J, Bluemke W, Blombach B, Eikmanns B, et al. Using gas mixtures

of CO, CO_2 and H_2 as microbial substrates: the do's and don'ts of successful technology transfer

from laboratory to production scale. Microb Biotechnol. 2018;11(4):606-25.

10.   Van Peteghem L, Sakarika M, Matassa S, Rabaey K. The Role of Microorganisms and Carbon-

to-Nitrogen Ratios for Microbial Protein Production from Bioethanol. Appl Environ Microbiol.

2022;88(22):e0118822.

11.   Warmerdam M, Vieira-Lara MA, Mans R, Daran JM, Pronk JT. Specific growth rates and growth

stoichiometries of Saccharomycotina yeasts on ethanol as sole carbon and energy substrate.

Manuscript in preparation. 2024.

12.   Kotyk A, Alonso A. Transport of ethanol in baker's yeast. Folia Microbiol (Praha).

1985;30(1):90-1.

13.   Kozak BU, van Rossum HM, Niemeijer MS, van Dijk M, Benjamin K, Wu L, et al. Replacement

of the initial steps of ethanol metabolism in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by ATP-independent

acetylating acetaldehyde dehydrogenase. FEMS Yeast Res. 2016;16(2):fow006.

14.   Verduyn C, Stouthamer AH, Scheffers WA, van Dijken JP. A theoretical evaluation of growth

yields of yeasts. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 1991;59(1):49-63.

15.   Juergens H, Hakkaart XDV, Bras JE, Vente A, Wu L, Benjamin KR, et al. Contribution of

Complex I NADH Dehydrogenase to Respiratory Energy Coupling in Glucose-Grown Cultures of

Ogataea parapolymorpha. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2020;86(15).

16.   Verduyn C. Physiology of yeasts in relation to biomass yields. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek.

1991;60(3-4):325-53.

17.   Joseph-Horne T, Hollomon DW, Wood PM. Fungal respiration: a fusion of standard and

alternative components. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2001;1504(2-3):179-95.

18.   Nosek J, Fukuhara H. NADH dehydrogenase subunit genes in the mitochondrial DNA of

yeasts. J Bacteriol. 1994;176(18):5622-30.

19.   Sousa-Silva M, Vieira D, Soares P, Casal M, Soares-Silva I. Expanding the Knowledge on the

Skillful Yeast *Cyberlindnera jadinii*. J Fungi (Basel). 2021;7(1).

20.   Averet N, Jobin ML, Devin A, Rigoulet M. Proton pumping complex I increases growth yield in

Candida utilis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2015;1847(10):1320-6.

21.   Rupp O, Brinkrolf K, Buerth C, Kunigo M, Schneider J, Jaenicke S, et al. The structure of the

Cyberlindnera jadinii genome and its relation to *Candida utilis* analyzed by the occurrence of single

nucleotide polymorphisms. J Biotechnol. 2015;211:20-30.

22.   Solomons G, Litchfield JH. Single cell protein. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology.

1983;1(1):21-58.

23.   Lallemand. Exploring Lallemand's Torula Yeast: A Remarkable Journey [Available from:

https://bio-lallemand.com/savory-ingredients/exploring-lallemands-torula-yeast-specialties/.

24.   Agboola JO, Lapeña D, Øverland M, Arntzen MØ, Mydland LT, Hansen JØ. Yeast as a novel

protein source - Effect of species and autolysis on protein and amino acid digestibility in Atlantic

salmon (Salmo salar). Aquaculture. 2022;546.

25.   Cruz A, Sterten H, Steinhoff FS, Mydland LT, Overland M. *Cyberlindnera jadinii* yeast as a

protein source for broiler chickens: effects on growth performance and digestive function from

hatching to 30 days of age. Poult Sci. 2020;99(6):3168-78.

26.   Cruz A, Tauson A-H, Matthiesen CF, Mydland LT, Øverland M. *Cyberlindnera jadinii* yeast as

a protein source for growing pigs: Effects on protein and energy metabolism. Livestock Science.

2020b;231.

27.   Burkholder PR, McVeigh I, Moyer D. Studies on some growth factors of yeasts. Journal of

Bacteriology. 1944;48(4):385-91.

28.   Jones SW, Karpol A, Friedman S, Maru BT, Tracy BP. Recent advances in single cell protein

use as a feed ingredient in aquaculture. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2020;61:189-97.

29.   Nyyssola A, Suhonen A, Ritala A, Oksman-Caldentey KM. The role of single cell protein in

cellular agriculture. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2022;75:102686.

30.   Ritala A, Hakkinen ST, Toivari M, Wiebe MG. Single Cell Protein-State-of-the-Art, Industrial

Landscape and Patents 2001-2016. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:2009.

31.   Spalvins. Improving single cell protein yields and amino acid profile via mutagenesis: review

of applicable amino acid inhibitors for mutant selection. 2021.

32.   Choi KR, Yu HE, Lee SY. Microbial food: microorganisms repurposed for our food. Microb

Biotechnol. 2022;15(1):18-25.

33.   Hensing MCM, Vrouwenvelder JS, Hellinga C, Van Dijken JP, Pronk JT. Use of chemostat data

for modelling extracellular-inulinase production by *Kluyveromyces marxianus* in a high-cell-density

fed-batch process. Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering. 1995;79(1):54-8.

34.   Verduyn C, Postma E, Scheffers WA, Van Dijken JP. Effect of benzoic acid on metabolic fluxes

in yeasts: a continuous-culture study on the regulation of respiration and alcoholic fermentation.

Yeast. 1992;8(7):501-17.

35.   Hazan R, Que YA, Maura D, Rahme LG. A method for high throughput determination of viable

bacteria cell counts in 96-well plates. BMC Microbiol. 2012;12:259.

36.   Lange HC, Heijnen JJ. Statistical reconciliation of the elemental and molecular biomass

composition of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2001;75(3):334-44.

37.   Pirt SJ. The maintenance energy of bacteria in growing cultures. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.

1965;163(991):224-31.

38.   van Hoek P, de Hulster E, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT. Fermentative capacity in high-cell-density

fed-batch cultures of baker's yeast. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2000;68(5):517-23.

39.   Puiman L, Elisiário MP, Crasborn LML, Wagenaar LECH, Straathof AJJ, Haringa C. Gas mass

transfer in syngas fermentation broths is enhanced by ethanol. Biochemical Engineering Journal.

2022;185.

40.   Garcia-Ochoa F, Gomez E. Bioreactor scale-up and oxygen transfer rate in microbial

processes: an overview. Biotechnol Adv. 2009;27(2):153-76.

41.   Postma E, Verduyn C, Scheffers WA, Van Dijken JP. Enzymic analysis of the crabtree effect in

glucose-limited chemostat cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol.

1989;55(2):468-77.

42.   Mashego MR, van Gulik WM, Vinke JL, Heijnen JJ. Critical evaluation of sampling techniques

for residual glucose determination in carbon-limited chemostat culture of *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2003;83(4):395-9.

43.   Guadalupe Medina V, Almering MJ, van Maris AJ, Pronk JT. Elimination of glycerol production

in anaerobic cultures of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strain engineered to use acetic acid as an

electron acceptor. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2010;76(1):190-5.

44.   Johnson KR, Ellis G, Toothill C. The sulfophosphovanillin reaction for serum lipids: a

reappraisal. Clin Chem. 1977;23(9):1669-78.

45.   Izard J, Limberger RJ. Rapid screening method for quantitation of bacterial cell lipids from

whole cells. J Microbiol Methods. 2003;55(2):411-8.

46.   Benthin S, Nielsen J, Villadsen J. A simple and reliable method for the determination of

cellular RNA content. Biotechnology Techniques. 1991;5(1):39-42.

47.   Herbert D, Phipps PJ, Strange RE. Chapter III Chemical Analysis of Microbial Cells. Methods

in Microbiology1971. p. 209-344.

48.   Hebert AS, Richards AL, Bailey DJ, Ulbrich A, Coughlin EE, Westphall MS, et al. The one hour

yeast proteome. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2014;13(1):339-47.

49.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics. 2009;25(14):1754-60.

50.   Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence

Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078-9.

51.   Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, et al. Pilon: an integrated

tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One.

2014;9(11):e112963.

52.   Wickham H, Wickham H. ggplot2: Springer; 2016.

53.   Prjibelski A, Antipov D, Meleshko D, Lapidus A, Korobeynikov A. Using SPAdes De Novo

Assembler. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics. 2020;70(1):e102.

54.   Agboola JO, Øverland M, Skrede A, Hansen JØ. Yeast as major protein-rich ingredient in

aquafeeds: a review of the implications for aquaculture production. Reviews in Aquaculture.

2020;13(2):949-70.

55.   Wronska AK, Haak MP, Geraats E, Bruins Slot E, van den Broek M, Pronk JT, et al. Exploiting

the Diversity of Saccharomycotina Yeasts To Engineer Biotin-Independent Growth of

Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2020;86(12):e00270-20.

56.   van Bodegom P. Microbial maintenance: a critical review on its quantification. Microb Ecol.

2007;53(4):513-23.

57.   Vos T, Hakkaart XD, de Hulster EA, van Maris AJ, Pronk JT, Daran-Lapujade P. Maintenance-

energy requirements and robustness of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at aerobic near-zero specific

growth rates. Microb Cell Fact. 2016;15(1):111.

58.   Paredes-Lopez O, Camargo-Rubio E, Ornelas-Vale A. Influence of specific growth rate on

biomass yield, productivity, and compostion of *Candida utilis* in batch and continuous culture. Appl

Environ Microbiol. 1976;31(4):487-91.

59. van Winden WA, Mans R, Breestraat S, Verlinden RAJ, Mielgo-Gomez A, de Hulster EAF, et al.

Towards closed carbon loop fermentations: Cofeeding of *Yarrowia lipolytica* with glucose and formic

acid. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2022;119(8):2142-51.

60. Bruinenberg PM, Jonker R, Vandijken JP, Scheffers WA. Utilization of Formate as an

Additional Energy-Source by Glucose-Limited Chemostat Cultures of *Candida utilis* CBS 621 and

Saccharomyces cerevisiae CBS 8066 - Evidence for the Absence of Transhydrogenase Activity in

Yeasts. Arch Microbiol. 1985;142(3):302-6.

61. Mor JR, Fiechter A. Continuous cultivation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. I. Growth on

ethanol under steady-state conditions. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 1968;10(2):159-76.

62. Fonseca GG, Gombert AK, Heinzle E, Wittmann C. Physiology of the yeast *Kluyveromyces*

marxianus during batch and chemostat cultures with glucose as the sole carbon source. FEMS Yeast

Res. 2007;7(3):422-35.

63. Waldron C, Lacroute F. Effect of growth rate on the amounts of ribosomal and transfer

ribonucleic acids in yeast. J Bacteriol. 1975;122(3):855-65.

64. Elsemman IE, Rodriguez Prado A, Grigaitis P, Garcia Albornoz M, Harman V, Holman SW, et

al. Whole-cell modeling in yeast predicts compartment-specific proteome constraints that drive

metabolic strategies. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):801.

65. Bruggeman FJ, Planque R, Molenaar D, Teusink B. Searching for principles of microbial

physiology. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2020;44(6):821-44.

66. Klumpp S, Scott M, Pedersen S, Hwa T. Molecular crowding limits translation and cell growth.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(42):16754-9.

67. Consortium U. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic acids research.

2019;47(D1):D506-D15.

68.   Shen Y, Dinh HV, Cruz E, Call CM, Baron H, Ryseck R-P, et al. Proteome capacity constraints

favor respiratory ATP generation. 2022.

69.   Schomburg I, Jeske L, Ulbrich M, Placzek S, Chang A, Schomburg D. The BRENDA enzyme

information system-From a database to an expert system. J Biotechnol. 2017;261:194-206.

70.   Perli T, Wronska AK, Ortiz-Merino RA, Pronk JT, Daran JM. Vitamin requirements and

biosynthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast. 2020;37(4):283-304.

71.   Yasukawa T, Oda AH, Nakamura T, Masuo N, Tamura M, Yamasaki Y, et al. TAQing2.0 for

genome reorganization of asexual industrial yeasts by direct protein transfection. Commun Biol.

2022;5(1):144.

72.   Council NR. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. Washington, DC: The National

Academies Press; 2011. 392 p.

73.   Ehrmann AK, Wronska AK, Perli T, de Hulster EAF, Luttik MAH, van den Broek M, et al.

Engineering *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for fast vitamin-independent aerobic growth. Metab Eng.

2024;82:201-15.

74.   Gu L, Zhang R, Fan X, Wang Y, Ma K, Jiang J, et al. Development of CRISPR/Cas9-Based

Genome Editing Tools for Polyploid Yeast *Cyberlindnera jadinii* and Its Application in Engineering

Heterologous Steroid-Producing Strains. ACS Synth Biol. 2023;12(10):2947-60.

75.   Noorman H, Baksteen J, Heijnen J, Luyben CA. The bioreactor overflow device: an undesired

selective separator in continuous cultures? Microbiology. 1991;137(9):2171-7.

76.   van Urk H, Postma E, Scheffers WA, van Dijken JP. Glucose transport in crabtree-positive and

crabtree-negative yeasts. J Gen Microbiol. 1989;135(9):2399-406.

77.   Bakker BM, Bro C, Kotter P, Luttik MA, van Dijken JP, Pronk JT. The mitochondrial alcohol

dehydrogenase Adh3p is involved in a redox shuttle in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Bacteriol.

2000;182(17):4730-7.

78.   Rebnegger C, Vos T, Graf AB, Valli M, Pronk JT, Daran-Lapujade P, et al. *Pichia pastoris*

Exhibits High Viability and a Low Maintenance Energy Requirement at Near-Zero Specific Growth

Rates. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016;82(15):4570-83.

79.   Hong KK, Hou J, Shoaie S, Nielsen J, Bordel S. Dynamic ^{13}C-labeling experiments prove

important differences in protein turnover rate between two *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. FEMS

Yeast Res. 2012;12(7):741-7.

80.   Maurer M, Kuhleitner M, Gasser B, Mattanovich D. Versatile modeling and optimization of fed

batch processes for the production of secreted heterologous proteins with *Pichia pastoris*. Microb

Cell Fact. 2006;5(1):37.

81.   Button DK. Kinetics of nutrient-limited transport and microbial growth. Microbiol Rev.

1985;49(3):270-97.

82.   van Dijken JP, Otto R, Harder W. Growth of *Hansenula polymorpha* in a methanol-limited

chemostat. Physiological responses due to the involvement of methanol oxidase as a key enzyme in

methanol metabolism. Arch Microbiol. 1976;111(1-2):137-44.

83.   Watteeuw CM, Armiger WB, Ristroph DL, Humphrey AE. Production of single cell protein from

ethanol by fed-batch process. Biotechnology and Bioengineering. 1979;21(7):1221-37.

Supplemental Materials

Figure S1: Amino acid composition and OXPHOS proteins. (A) Heatmap of proteins involved in oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in ethanol- (EtOH) vs glucose-grown (Gluc) *C. jadinii*. Levels were normalized to average levels across all samples. Blue: low levels, red: high levels. Protein names and UniProt ID's are found in Supp. Material S1. Dots next to each row on the right represent predicted membrane proteins. Roman numerals on the left represent respiratory complex (I-IV) and F_1F_o-ATP synthase (V). (B) Total amino acid residue concentration in *S. cerevisiae* and *C. jadinii* grown on glucose or ethanol as substrate in chemostats.

(C) Comparison of total amino acid levels (y-axis) and total protein measured with the Biuret method (x-axis). The dashed line is the identity line (y=x). (D) Amino acid levels in ethanol-grown *C. jadinii* (red dots) compared to the 95% confidence interval (CI) of amino acid levels needed for feed formulation in aquaculture (from (72)), grey boxes, n =2.

Figure S2: Yields of biomass components and strategies to increase biomass yield (A) Biomass yield on substrate, (B) protein content as a percentage of the biomass and (C) protein yields on substrate for *C. jadinii* grown in ethanol-limited cultures at either pH 5.0 (Ctrl) or pH 4.0. (D) Biomass yield on substrate, (E) protein content as a percentage of the biomass and (F) protein yields on substrate for *C. jadinii* grown in ethanol (EtOH) or glucose-limited (Gluc) chemostats in the presence or absence of formic acid (FA). The ratio of formic acid to substrate was defined as 1.2 Cmol/Cmol: 2.4 mol FA per mol ethanol and 7.2 mol FA per mol glucose. (G) and (H) Yields of the main components of the biomass in $g \cdot g_s^{-1}$: proteins (Prot), RNA, carbohydrates (Carb) and lipids, ##$p<0.01$ ###$p<0.001$, ethanol- (EtOH) vs glucose-grown (Gluc). *$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, effect of either pH or FA addition, n=2.

Figure S3: Proteome allocation of OXPHOS and ethanol catabolism proteins. (A) Percentage of the proteome occupied by OXPHOS proteins versus the oxygen consumption rates (q_{O_2}). Indicated in italics are the respective dilution rates. (B) Alcohol dehydrogenase (*Cj*Adh) isoforms, (B) aldehyde dehydrogenase isoforms (*Cj*Ald) and (C) acetyl-CoA synthetase isoforms (*Cj*Acs) as a function of the dilution rate. Protein names and UniProt ID's are found in Supp. Material S2, n =2.

Figure S4: SNP distribution. Reads were mapped to the haploid consensus genome. SNPs were detected and the percentage of reads (vs total reads) for each SNP were computed and plotted as histograms. The peaks at around 33% and 66% show that SNPs occupy either 1/3 or 2/3 of each location, indicating that (A) CBS 621 and (B) CBS 5947 are triploid strains.

Figure S5: Growth curves of 13 _C. jadinii_ strains. (A) Representative traces of individual growth curves for all tested strains. Traces represent cultures grown at dilution 1×, $OD_{initial}$ = 0.2. Grey lines represent the control (with added vitamins), blue lines represent cultures grown in the absence of added vitamins. For CBS 621 and CBS 7232, which do not grow in the absence of all vitamins, thiamine and biotin were added as sole vitamins, respectively. (B) Final green values (GV) at the stationary phase for all conditions, nd: non-detectable. (C) Correlation of the growth rates obtained in the Growth Profiler (x-axis) with those obtained in shake flasks (y-axis) for strains grown in the absence of vitamins, n=2-3.

Figure S6: Fed-batch representative traces and carbon recovery. (A) Representative CO_2 concentration in the off-gas and (B) dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration, both during batch and fed-batch phases for reactor 2. (C) Acetate and succinate concentrations in the residuals during the fed-batch phase. (D-E) carbon balance time course for reactors 1 and 2, respectively. The red line represents the total amount of ethanol consumed. The shaded areas correspond to biomass (DW), CO_2 and organic acids (Ac + succ). All amounts are expressed in Cmol, n=2.

Supplemental Tables

Table S1: *C. jadinii* and *S. cerevisiae* in glucose- and ethanol-limited growth at 0.10 h^{-1} (mean ± SD)

Strain	Substrate	$Y_{X/S}$ (g$_{biomass}$·g$_s^{-1}$)	$q_{ethanol}$ (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	q_{CO_2} (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	q_{O_2} (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	RQ
S. cerevisiae	Glucose	0.48 ± 0.00	1.27 ± 0.00	3.31 ± 0.25	2.78 ± 0.13	1.19 ± 0.03
S. cerevisiae	Ethanol	0.59 ± 0.01	3.64 ± 0.20	3.56 ± 0.10	6.62 ± 0.17	0.54 ± 0.00
C. jadinii	Glucose	0.51 ± 0.01	1.08 ± 0.01	2.99 ± 0.08	2.51 ± 0.12	1.19 ± 0.03
C. jadinii	Ethanol	0.74 ± 0.01	2.87 ± 0.02	2.19 ± 0.02	4.41 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.00

Table S2: Amino acid composition of the biomass of *C. jadinii* and *S. cerevisiae* in glucose- and ethanol-limited growth at 0.10 h^{-1} (mean ± SD). Values are in mg·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$.

Amino acid	*S. cerevisiae* Glucose	*S. cerevisiae* Ethanol	*C. jadinii* Glucose	*C. jadinii* Ethanol
Alanine	17.7 ± 0.5	21.4 ± 0.3	25.0 ± 0.8	29.1 ± 0.4
Arginine	15.5 ± 0.4	19.5 ± 0.3	21.2 ± 0.6	24.9 ± 0.3
Aspartate/Asparagine	32.9 ± 0.8	37.8 ± 0.1	40.5 ± 1.3	44.7 ± 0.8
Glutamate/Glutamine	38.2 ± 0.9	60.5 ± 0.6	62.0 ± 2.8	65.7 ± 1.6
Glycine	13.8 ± 0.3	16.7 ± 0.0	19.6 ± 0.6	22.6 ± 0.1
Histidine	6.5 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.3	10.0 ± 0.1
Isoleucine	15.8 ± 0.4	18.1 ± 0.1	20.4 ± 0.7	23.6 ± 0.1
Leucine	24.0 ± 0.6	27.8 ± 0.4	31.4 ± 1.0	35.5 ± 0.4
Lysine	25.8 ± 0.6	28.7 ± 0.3	31.9 ± 1.2	36.8 ± 0.4
Methionine	5.1 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.2	5.2 ± 0.2	5.9 ± 0.1
Phenylalanine	14.5 ± 0.4	16.4 ± 0.2	18.8 ± 0.6	21.0 ± 0.2
Proline	11.8 ± 0.3	13.8 ± 0.0	15.0 ± 0.4	17.1 ± 0.4
Serine	15.7 ± 0.4	17.9 ± 0.4	22.2 ± 1.0	24.6 ± 0.4
Threonine	16.0 ± 0.4	18.2 ± 0.2	21.7 ± 0.8	23.9 ± 0.4
Tyrosine	11.0 ± 0.3	12.7 ± 0.1	14.9 ± 0.2	17.2 ± 0.3
Valine	17.5 ± 0.5	19.6 ± 0.3	23.9 ± 0.8	27.8 ± 0.2

Table S3: effect of growth rate on ethanol-limited CBS 621 physiology

Dilution rate (h^{-1})	$Y_{X/S}$ (g$_{biomass}$·g$_{ethanol}^{-1}$)	$q_{ethanol}$ (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	q_{CO_2} (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	q_{O_2} (mmol·g$_{biomass}^{-1}$·h^{-1})	RQ
0.025 ± 0.00	0.59 ± 0.00	0.93 ± 0.02	0.98 ± 0.03	1.56 ± 0.00	0.63 ± 0.02
0.05 ± 0.00	0.70 ± 0.01	1.54 ± 0.00	1.39 ± 0.01	2.65 ± 0.11	0.52 ± 0.00
0.10 ± 0.00	0.74 ± 0.01	2.87 ± 0.02	2.19 ± 0.02	4.41 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.00
0.21 ± 0.00	0.77 ± 0.01	5.90 ± 0.01	3.63 ± 0.02	8.30 ± 0.02	0.44 ± 0.00
0.24 ± 0.00	0.78 ± 0.01	6.69 ± 0.01	3.86 ± 0.04	9.72 ± 0.25	0.40 ± 0.01
0.30 ± 0.00	0.81 ± 0.00	8.18 ± 0.08	4.78 ± 0.11	11.76 ± 0.07	0.41 ± 0.00

Table S4: Genome sequence of CBS 621 and CBS 5947

Components	NBRC 0988 (CBS 5609)	NBRC 0988 (CBS 5609)	CBS 621	CBS 5947
NCBI assembly reference	GCA_000328385.1 (2016)	GCA_024346625.1 (2022)		
Assembly level	Chromosomes	Chromosomes	-	-
Genome size	14.3 Mb	13.1 Mb	17.9 Mb	14.7 Mb
Genes	8,864	-	10,949	
No of scaffolds	1,002	7	-	-
Scaffolds N50	189,765	2,424,584	-	-
No of contigs	1,163	7	7,832	6,831
Contigs N50	158,681	2,424,584	3,994	3,299
No of chromosomes	13	6 (+ mtDNA)	-	-
GC-content	44.7	44.5	44.5	44.3
Total of CDS	8,646	-		

Table S5: Vitamin-dependent physiology of *C. jadinii* strains on ethanol-limited growth at $0.10\ h^{-1}$

Strain	Condition	$Y_{X/S}$ $(g_{biomass} \cdot g_{ethanol}^{-1})$	$q_{ethanol}$ $(mmol \cdot g_{biomass}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$	q_{CO_2} $(mmol \cdot g_{biomass}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$	q_{O_2} $(mmol \cdot g_{biomass}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1})$	RQ
CBS 621	Ctrl (all vit)	0.74 ± 0.01	2.90 ± 0.02	2.19 ± 0.02	4.40 ± 0.05	0.50 ± 0.00
CBS 1600	Ctrl (all vit)	0.73 ± 0.01	2.94 ± 0.05	2.19 ± 0.04	4.41 ± 0.12	0.50 ± 0.00
CBS 1600	Δ vitamins	0.72 ± 0.01	2.96 ± 0.00	2.25 ± 0.01	4.51 ± 0.01	0.50 ± 0.00
CBS 5609	Δ vitamins	0.70 ± 0.00	3.14 ± 0.16	2.42 ± 0.10	4.99 ± 0.23	0.48 ± 0.00
CBS 5947	Δ vitamins	0.74 ± 0.01	2.95 ± 0.03	2.23 ± 0.05	4.61 ± 0.09	0.48 ± 0.00

Table S6: Vitamin levels in C. jadinii CBS 5947 at the end of fed-batch and their requirements in aquaculture (DOI: 10.17226/13039)

Vitamin	Content* $(mg \cdot (kg_{biomass})^{-1})$	Aquaculture# $(mg \cdot (kg_{diet})^{-1})$
Thiamine	1.7 ± 0.3	5 ± 2
Riboflavin	15 ± 3	7 ± 1
Niacin	290 ± 140	30 ± 15
Pyridoxine	53 ± 9	7 ± 1

*mean ± SD, #mean ± SEM

Supplemental Materials

Supplemental Material S1: Proteomics dataset *S. cerevisiae* and *C. jadinii* (xlsx)

Supplemental Material S2: Proteomics dataset *C. jadinii* dilution rates (xlsx)

Supplemental Material S3: Derivation of growth rates from serial dilution method

The Growth Profiler is an equipment used for high throuput screening for growth of strains and medium conditions. However, it produces Green Values (GV), which are based on pictures taken from the bottom of the wells. The value of GV is proportional to OD, but not linearly (Fig. S7). To avoid the need of creating an GV to OD calibration curve for each strain tested, we propose a method to derive maximal growth rates (μ_{max}) based on serial sample dilutions, inspired by the method described by (35).

The method is based on serial dilutions of a culture sample to determine its μmax in given conditions. First, a GV in the early exponential phase for each growth curve is selected, namely GV_{select}. In the current manuscript, GV_{select} of 20 was chosen, which will always refer to the same OD for the same species and conditions, given that OD is a function of GV (Fig S7). The time required to reach this specific GV_{select} is recorded for each dilution ($t_{dilution}$). By plotting $t_{dilution}$ (x-axis) against the natural logarithm of the dilution factor (y-axis), one can obtain the μ_{max} as the slope of the fitted line. The mathematical reasoning behind this is addressed below.

Figure S7: GV to OD conversion curve, obtained for exponentially-growing CBS 621.

The general formula for exponential growth at constant volume is:

$$c_t = c_0 \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \text{ (S1)}$$

In which c_t is the cell concentration at elapsed time, c_0 is the starting concentration, μ is the specific growth rate, and t is the elapsed time. Let us consider two cultures of the same species, one inoculated at concentration c_n and other inoculated at concentration c_p, where n and p are the dilution factors in respect to c_0, n>p. After a timespan of t_n and t_p, respectively, they reach a predetermined concentration c_t. Therefore, we can write:

$$c_n \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t_n} = c_p \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t_p} \text{ (S2)}$$

$$c_p = c_n \cdot \frac{n}{p} \text{ (S3)}$$

By substituting c_p in equation S2 according to S3, we have:

$$e^{\mu \cdot t_n} = \frac{n}{p} \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t_p} \text{ (S4)}$$

Solving this equation for μ, we have:

$$\mu = \frac{\ln n - \ln p}{(t_n - t_p)} \text{ (S5)}$$

If cells are growing at a $\mu = \mu_{max}$, this can then be rewritten as:

$$\mu_{max} = \frac{\ln n - \ln p}{(t_n - t_p)} \text{ (S6)}$$

Fig. S8A represents the growth curves (in GV) of a specific strain/condition inoculated at dilutions n and p and the GV_{select}. Fig S8B shows a line where the natural logarithms of dilutions (y-axis) are plotted against the time to reach GV_{select} (x-axis). The slope of the curve is equal to equation S6, therefore justifying the validity of the serial dilution method to infer growth rates. This method, however, assumes that no lag phase is present when diluting the samples.

Fig S8: Schematic graphs of the serial dilution method. (A) Growth curves of cultures inoculated at dilution factors p and n, in Green Value against time. Time points t_p and t_n stand for the time when GV_{select} is reached. (B) Natural logarithm of dilution factors p and n plotted against the respective time points t_p and t_n, displayed with the mathematical formula for the slope.