The type III-B CRISPR-Cas System Affects Energy Metabolism and Adaptation in the Archaeon *Saccharolobus solfataricus*

Authors:

Erika Wimmer[1], Isabelle A. Zink[2], Logan H. Hodgskiss[1], Melina Kerou[1], Christa Schleper[1]

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Vienna

[2] Laboratory of Microbiology, Wageningen University and Research, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands.

ABSTRACT

Type III CRISPR-Cas immune systems that recognize and cleave extrachromosomal RNA when active, are particularly widespread in archaea. Mechanistically, these systems have the potential to regulate gene expression of host genes on a post-transcriptional level, but very little is known about any potential accessory roles of type III-B systems beyond immunity. We have created knockout mutants of a type III-B CRISPR-Cas complex in the thermoacidophilic archaeon *Saccharolobus solfataricus* to investigate potential secondary functions of the type III-B system. Deletion mutants exhibited an accelerate growth but were less quickly adaptable to changes in carbon sources in their growth media. In line with this phenotype, upregulated genes were significantly enriched in functional categories of energy production and conversion, as well as with carbohydrate or amino acid transport and metabolism in RNAseq studies. Generally, a significant accumulation of genes encoding transmembrane proteins in the upregulated proportion of the transcriptome suggests interconnections between the type III-B CRISPR-Cas system and various membrane-associated processes. Notably, the deletion mutants did not lose their general virus- or plasmid defense activities indicating that this particular system might have been partially adopted for cellular regulatory roles.

INTRODUCTION

CRISPR-Cas (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats - CRISPR associated proteins) immune systems are present in roughly a third of fully sequenced bacterial and the majority of archaeal genomes[1]. Despite the impressive diversity of known CRISPR-Cas systems, the principle first steps of the immune reaction remain: A small crRNA (CRISPR RNA) is transcribed from a so-called spacer in a CRISPR array in the host genome. Spacers are DNA snippets of previously encountered viruses and plasmids that have been stored in the host genome during the process of CRISPR adaptation[2,3]. A CRISPR-Cas protein effector forms a complex with one small crRNA to identify complementary nucleic acid targets (so-called protospacers) on invading genetic elements, such as viruses or plasmids[4]. Dependent on the CRISPR-Cas system, the bound nucleic acid target is then either directly cleaved by the Cas enzyme or abortive infection pathways are activated to clear the invader from the population[5].

While most CRISPR-Cas effectors target double stranded DNA, type III and type VI CRISPR-Cas systems exhibit dedicated RNA-targeting mechanisms[6]. Type III CRISPR-Cas systems are frequently found in various archaeal phyla and are particularly abundant among Thermoproteota (formerly Crenarchaeota)[5]. These systems are especially intriguing because they are multi-subunit complexes that execute their immune reaction in three different ways: Upon recognition and binding of a specific RNA target (i.e. nascent mRNA transcribed from a plasmid), the Cas7 backbone of the type III complex cleaves the RNA protospacer. Furthermore, binding to the protospacer activates the Cas10 subunit of the complex which cleaves single stranded DNA in transcription bubbles promiscuously via its HD domain[7–10]. Concomitantly, the cyclase (palm) domain of Cas10 synthesizes cyclic molecules from ATP (cOAs)[11–14] which in turn activate ancillary CARF-domain containing proteins which can be either RNases, DNases or proteases that indiscriminately degrade their substrates in the cell[15,16]. This leads to cell death or cell dormancy which curtails the spread of the invader in the population[17–23].

Interstingly, the nucleolytic and abortive infection pathways of the type III CIRSPR-Cas complex can be inactivated, thereby only allowing specific cleavage of the RNA target. Complementarity of a sequence stretch at the 3' end of the protospacer (the so called antitag) to the 5' part of the crRNA or mismatched bases in certain parts of the crRNA:target duplex allosterically inhibit the catalytic activities of Cas10[8,9,11–14,24–26]. Therefore, type III CRISPR-Cas

effector complexes can specifically cleave mRNA targets, which raises the question as to
whether they also contribute to the regulation of host gene expression. Indeed, there are
some indications for additional roles of type III CRISPR-Cas complexes apart from immunity.
For instance, type III loci often contain high numbers of accessory genes potentially
constituting a functional connection to cellular processes other than defense[27,28]. Also, in
many cases type III CRISPR-*cas* operons are not genomically linked to CRISPR arrays or
modules involved in the acquisition of new spacers, thus they are reliant on co-occurring
CRISPR-Cas systems for a canonical immune response[5,29]. Furthermore, we find type III
CRISPR-Cas systems to be among the most highly expressed genes in *Saccharolobus*
solfataricus, also when no plasmid or virus invader is present.
80
Sa. solfataricus P1 belongs to the archaeal phylum Thermoproteota and contains two type III
CRISPR-Cas complexes, a type III-D (Csm) and a type III-B (Cmr-ß), as well as three DNA-
targeting type I-A complexes[5,30]. In vitro studies on the Cmr-ß complex from a close relative,
Sa. islandicus (SisCmr-ß), revealed that catalytic activities of the complex are modulated by
the additional subunit Cmr7[31,32] as its presence coincided with starkly reduced cOA
production by the Cas10 subunit[33]. In this study, the endogenous type I CRISPR-Cas system
was harnessed to generate a *cmr-ß* knockout strain of *Sa. solfataricus* in order to discern its
putative links to other processes and alternative roles besides immunity. A wide range of
effects of the genomic deletion on energy metabolism and transport mechanisms indicate a
general impact on the host's physiology as revealed by RNAseq. In addition, knockout strains
were more sensitive to switches from complex into single sugars media, indicating a role of
the Cmr-ß complex in metabolic adaptability. Furthermore, we did not observe any effect on
plasmid immunity upon type III CRISPR-Cas deletion, indicating that the Cmr-ß might not be
a main contributor to type III CRISPR-Cas immune defense in *Sa. solfataricus*.
95
96
97 **RESULTS**
98
Type IA-CRISPR-Cas-mediated knockout of the type III-B CRISPR-*cas* gene module in
***Sa. solfataricus* P1**
The endogenous DNA-targeting type I-A CRISPR-Cas system was harnessed for deletion of
the genes encoding the type III-B CRISPR-Cas complex Cmr-ß (SSOP1_RS10085 -
SSOP1_RS10115) (Fig. 1A). Two different 37-bp protospacers with 3' protospacer adjacent
motif (PAM) 5'-NGG[34] were selected within each of the three targeted genes (*cmr7, cmr6,*

cmr3/cas5) on the *Sa. solfataricus* chromosome (Fig. 1A). In total, six different mini CRISPR

arrays (miniCR) were assembled as described previously[35] containing one spacer or a

combination of two to three spacers targeting the respective genes on either coding or

template strand (cs or ts, respectively). The miniCRs and two approximately 800-bp donor

sequences for DNA repair corresponding to upstream and downstream flanking regions of

the *cmr-ß* locus were cloned into the plasmid-based vector pIZ-GW[36] (Fig. 1B). *Sa.*

solfataricus M18 cells transformed with the genome-editing plasmids were enriched under

selection in liquid medium. Although all constructs yielded the intended deletion as detected

by culture PCR, one knockout culture was chosen for plating to isolate single colonies (Suppl.

Fig. S1). The genomes of two purified knockout mutant cultures (KO1 and KO2) grown from

two single colonies were sequenced showing that in comparison to the deposited reference

(NZ_LT549890.1) the *cmr-ß* genes and their upstream basal promoter elements had been

scarlessly excised from the genome in both mutants (Fig. 2). Interestingly, KO2 had

additionally acquired a larger deletion (over 37 kb) between the genes SSOP1_RS14925 and

SSOP1_RS15080, both of which are encoding IS5 family transposases (Suppl. tab. 1, Fig. 3).

No difference in plasmid immunity between *cmr-ß* knockout mutants and wildtype strains

To investigate the contribution of Cmr-ß to the immune defense against foreign genetic

elements in *Sa. solfataricus* P1, both wildtype and *cmr-ß* knockout mutant were challenged

with two plasmids generating a transcript of a protospacer (i.e. target) complementary to a

crRNA encoded in CRISPR array D (Fig. 4A and B). We have previously demonstrated that

cells transfected with a viral vector carrying the same protospacer flanked by a PAM could

not survive, even under selective conditions[24], indicating an active type I CRISPR-Cas

immune response. To solely study the immune response of Cmr-ß and/or Csm by

circumventing type I CRISPR-mediated interference, the PAM of construct D2.109 was now

disrupted by nucleotide insertion at the 3' end of the protospacer. Upon recognition of this

protospacer transcript, the available type III effector(s) should elicit a multi-layered immune

response causing detrimental effects to the cells due to abortive infection. As mentioned

above, abortive infection can be abolished by the presence of a sequence stretch at the 3'

end of the protospacer (antitag) complementary to the 5' tag of the crRNA while the bound

target is still degraded[7–9,11–14,37,38]. Therefore, we equipped the same protospacer with a 3'

flanking antitag in construct D2.109-a (Fig. 4A), which in our previous study yielded a type III-

CRISPR-Cas-mediated reduction in protospacer transcript levels while DNA interference was

not observed[24]. In addition, two different controls were used, namely cells transformed with

plasmid A53 lacking a matching protospacer[24,39] and untransformed cells not harboring any

plasmid (negative control, NC) (Fig. 4A, 4B). After introduction of the different plasmids into

both wildtype and deletion mutant, it became evident that growth of cells harboring D2.109

was negatively affected to a similar extent in both wildtype and *cmr-ß* knockout mutants (Fig.

4D, Suppl. Fig. S2 and S3). In both strains, cells transformed with A53 and D2.109-a were

still growing in a 10fold higher dilution on plates compared to cells carrying plasmid D2.109.

The same overall trend could also be observed when following their growth in liquid culture

(Fig. 4C). Consequently, the absence of the Cmr-ß complex had no obvious effect on the

extent of the observed type III CRISPR-Cas-mediated immune response in our experimental

setting.

Knockout mutants exhibit faster growth and significant changes in their transcriptional

landscape compared to the wildtype

To test whether growth of *cmr-ß* knockout mutants was affected compared to the wildtype

in complex medium, four replicate cultures for each strain were inoculated to the same initial

OD_{600} (0.027 ± 5.88E-04). In comparison to the wildtype, similarly accelerated growth was

observed for both knockout strains KO1 and KO2, the latter being the strain that attained the

additional genomic deletion (Fig. 5A). Samples were taken at the start of exponential growth

at an OD_{600} = 0.2 and RNA was extracted to perform RNA-seq. Principal component analysis

(PCA) revealed a distinct shift in the transcriptomic landscape upon deletion of Cmr-ß (Fig

5B). All four replicates of each strain grouped together, thereby indicating high reproducibility.

Additionally, the two deletion strains were overlapping whereas the wildtype was clearly

clustering separately. One principal component (PC1) could explain ~39% of the variance

between knockout strains and wildtype.

Changes in gene expression with high statistical significance (cutoff for adjusted *P* value

(padj) < 0.001) were taken into consideration in the downstream analysis even in case of

smaller log2 fold changes (FC) between deletion mutant and control. This approach is in

accordance with previous transcriptomic studies in other archaea that have reported lower

log2 fold changes of statistical significance for genes of physiological relevance[40–42]. Of the

3002 coding genes in *Sa. solfataricus* P1, roughly 30 % were differentially expressed in both

KO1 and KO2 when compared to the wildtype (Fig. 5E and F, respectively). The majority of

significantly up- and downregulated genes was shared between the two knockout mutants

KO1 and KO2 (Fig. 5C and D, respectively). The top 25 upregulated genes for KO1 and KO2

are listed in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, respectively. Observed differences were

seemingly not caused by a polar effect on the up- and downstream genes surrounding the

site where the targeted deletion had occurred, as significant changes in transcriptional levels
of genes were dispersed throughout the genome (Fig. 5G and H). A functional enrichment
analysis based on archaeal clusters of orthologous genes (arCOGs) was performed to
determine which general biological functions were affected by the deletion (Fig. 6A and B).
Genes involved in energy production and conversion (category C), amino acid transport and
metabolism (category E), and nucleotide transport and metabolism (category F) were
significantly enriched among the significantly upregulated genes in both KO1 and KO2. In
KO1, genes associated with carbohydrate transport and metabolism were additionally found
to be overrepresented among the significantly upregulated group of genes (Fig. 5A). In
contrast, there were no arCOG categories specifically enriched among the downregulated
group of genes.

Absence of Cmr-ß results in significant changes in core metabolic modules
In accordance with the functional enrichment analysis and the accelerated growth observed
in *cmr-ß* knockout strains, several key components of the energy metabolism were
upregulated in both knockout strains (all pathways discussed in this section are summarized
in Fig. 7, Supplementary Notes). Regarding the electron transport chain (ETC), most of the
genes encoding subunits of complex I (NADH dehydrogenase) were upregulated apart from
NADH dehydrogenase subunit B (NuoB), which is encoded elsewhere in the genome. In
Sulfolobales, c-type cytochromes are absent and the functions of complex III and IV of the
ETC are combined within quinone oxidoreductase supercomplexes[43]. These unusual terminal
oxidase complexes accept electrons directly from quinones, then in turn reduce molecular
oxygen and pump protons to generate a proton motive force. Transcription levels of two out
of three terminal oxidases in *Sa. solfataricus* were increased in *cmr-ß* knockout strains. While
all genes encoding for terminal oxidase SoxEFGHIM were upregulated, only half of the genes
encoding for terminal oxidase SoxABCDL showed significantly higher expression. The
hydrogenase-related subunits of a putative formate hydrogenlyase complex (FHL) present in
the genome were significantly upregulated in knockout strains, while the expression levels of
the putative formate dehydrogenase subunit (FdhA, a molybdenum-dependent enzyme) were
unchanged, or very slightly downregulated. In accordance with that, the periplasmic
component of the ABC molybdate transport system located downstream of the FdhA was
significantly downregulated.

Another process that was seemingly impacted on a transcriptional level by the *cmr-ß*
knockout was the transport of nutrients, with several different types of transporters being

significantly upregulated. Regarding organic carbon compounds, the arabinose ABC transporter (3.A.1.1.14-AraS) and several putative peptide ABC transporters (3.A.1.5-PepT) were clearly upregulated in KO1 and KO2, while the glucose ABC transporter (3.A.1.1.13-GlcS) exhibited mildly increased transcript levels[44,45]. The gene encoding transcriptional regulator XylR (SSOP1_RS15310), which in *S. acidocaldarius* was shown to positively regulate the expression of pentose transporters as well as metabolizing genes[46], was significantly upregulated. Accordingly, all but one gene with the corresponding predicted binding site for XylR[47] were upregulated, among them *araS* and *glcS* encoding the substrate-binding proteins of the respective ABC-transporters (Fig. 7). Interestingly, some genes of the XylR regulon were recently reported to be part of an independently modulated set of genes that also included the *soxEFGHIM* gene cluster, indicating that their expression might be governed by a shared unknown regulator[48]. Transporters putatively involved in the uptake of amino acids (2.A.1.15-The Aromatic Acid:H+ Symporter Family, 2.A.3.11-The Aspartate/Glutamate Transporter Family) were also highly upregulated in both mutants.

A predicted thiamine transporter[49] (ThiT) was highly upregulated in *cmr-β* knockout strains, as well as at least one homolog of ThiC (HMP phosphate (HMP-P) synthase) catalyzing the first step in the synthesis of the pyrimidine moiety of thiamine (HMP-PP), and Thi4 (thiamine thiazole synthase) catalyzing the formation of the thiazole ring (HET-P)[50,51]. Additionally, transcript levels of at least one out of two TenA homologs (aminopyrimidine aminohydrolase) implicated in the salvage of thiamine precursors was slightly higher in both mutant strains compared to wildtype. These genes are part of a proposed thiamine regulon in *Sa. solfataricus*, which includes genes involved in the biosynthesis pathway and transport of thiamine and/or precursors[49].

Sa. solfataricus employs a reversed monophosphate pathway for the synthesis of ribose-5-phosphate, which serves as pentose moiety in nucleotide biosynthesis[52]. The genes encoding the enzymes partaking in purine de novo biosynthesis until the formation of the intermediate 5′-phosphoribosyl-5-aminoimidazole (aminoimidazole ribotide, AIR) were upregulated in KO1 and KO2. Interestingly, this intermediate compound is used as a precursor during the generation of HMP-PP, establishing a link to the observations regarding thiamine biosynthesis[49]. In addition, slightly increased expression levels could also be observed for enzymes participating in purine salvage. In contrast, the genes encoding enzymes that catalyze the reaction from cytosine to uracil in the process of pyrimidine salvage were significantly downregulated, likely due to the supplementation of uracil in the medium.

Genes encoding TatC (SSOP1_RS15345) and SecY (SSOP1_RS03615), components of two

different protein translocation pathways[53–56], were also significantly upregulated in *cmr-ß*

knockout strains. Interestingly, insertion of TatC itself into the membrane was shown to

require the Sec pathway together with translocase YidC in E. coli[57], which we also found

significantly upregulated in our dataset (SSOP1_RS17510). Overall, a significant enrichment

of genes encoding transmembrane domain proteins could be observed among the

upregulated fraction of the transcriptomes of both KO1 and KO2 (Fig. 8A and B, respectively).

A number of genes involved in the isoprenoid lipid biosynthesis were significantly upregulated

in the *cmr-ß* knockout strains. Specifically, the genes responsible for the synthesis of

intermediates mevalonate (thiolase - *aact*, hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase - *hmgs*,

hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase - *hmgr*), glycerol-1-phosphate (glycerol-1-phosphate

dehydrogenase) and the ether bond formation step to geranylgeranylglyceryl phosphate

(GGGP) synthase), as well as geranylgeranyl reductase (*ggr*) and tetraether synthase (*tes*),

leading to the formation of the membrane-spanning glycerol dibiphytanyl glycerol tetraethers

(GDGTs). Interestingly, the modifying enzyme calditol synthase (*cds*) responsible for the

synthesis of the cyclopentenyl head group calditol[58] was significantly upregulated, while

GDGT ring synthases *grsA*, *grsB1* and *grsB2*[59] were downregulated, the latter two

significantly.

Lastly, 28-32 putative transcriptional regulators (arCOG functional category K), characterized

and uncharacterized, were significantly differentially expressed in the knockout strains,

indicating a strong involvement of the Cmr-ß complex to various regulatory circuits. However,

the CRISPR-associated Csa3 transcription factors involved in regulation of various CRISPR-

Cas system components and coordinating the immune response[60–64] were not differentially

expressed according to our cutoffs.

Response of CRISPR-Cas defense systems and transposases to the deletion of Cmr-ß

Next, we wanted to investigate whether the absence of Cmr-ß had influenced the expression

of other genes implicated in antiviral defense. Looking at the expression levels of CRISPR-

cas modules in our wildtype samples, it became evident that the first out of three type I-A

cas modules (SSOP1_RS07365-SSOP1_RS07390) as well as the *cmr-ß* module were most

highly expressed. The second and third type I-A module were considerably lower and

basically not expressed, respectively. In comparison, the expression level of the *csm* module

was more than ten times lower than the one of the *cmr-ß* module under standard growth

conditions. Upon *cmr-ß* knockout, we could detect an upregulation of the majority of genes

of the first type I-A *cas* module as well as increased expression levels for the *csm* module

(Fig. 7).

Several transposase genes were significantly downregulated in absence of Cmr-ß. The genus

Saccharolobus generally carries a remarkable number of insertion sequence (IS) elements[65–

68]. For *Sa. solfataricus* P2 specifically it is estimated that IS elements make up approximately

10% of its total genome sequence (in bp)[69]. In the P1 reference genome, almost 170

functional transposases are currently annotated (based on eggnog annotations of

NZ_LT549890.1). Several transposases of the most prominent IS4 (ISC1225, ISC1359,

ISC1439) and IS5 (ISC1058, ISC1212, ISC1234) families were found to be downregulated in

both deletion mutants. Transposase genes belonging to the less abundant IS630 (ISC1048,

ISC1078), ISC1217 and IS110 (ISC1190, ISC1229) elements were also exhibiting lower

transcript levels in absence of the Cmr-ß complex. In contrast, although some transposases

of the IS200/IS605 and IS607 families were found to be downregulated, certain

representatives were upregulated (especially in KO2).

Adaptation to minimal media is affected in *cmr-ß* knockout mutant

Since we observed several genes related to transport being differentially expressed in

complex (sucrose-tryptone) media, we were interested in examining to which extent this

phenotype would still be observable in defined media. Wildtype and KO2 strain were adapted

to grow in media containing either glucose or sucrose as sole carbon source. The switch from

complex to defined media caused a lag phase in both strains, however it was more

pronounced in the *cmr-ß* knockout strain (Fig. 9A). The switch to sucrose resulted in a lag

phase of about 48 hours (hr) for the wildtype strain, after which it assumed a growth rate

similar to the one exhibited under complex media and reached stationary after 100 hr, while

the knockout endured both a prolonged lag phase of about 72-96 hr as well as an overall

reduced growth rate with linear growth for the next 96 hr, reaching stationary phase after 192

hr. The switch to glucose media proved more cumbersome for both strains and resulted a

slightly different profile. The wildtype endured a lag phase of about 72 hours, after which it

followed linear growth for the next 138 hours, while the knockout exhibited a very long lag

phase of 264 hr, after which it showed exponential growth with a growth rate only slightly

lower than in complex media. After adaptation, the growth curves of wildtype and KO2

ultimately converged in glucose medium, but not in sucrose, where the wildtype grew slower

than the knockout (Fig. 9B and C, respectively). Of the differentially expressed genes in
glucose medium, 234 (~8 % of protein-coding genes) were upregulated and 260 (~9 % of
protein-coding genes) were downregulated in KO2 (not shown). In sucrose medium, more
than twice as many genes were found to be significantly differentially expressed in KO2,
among those 621 (~20 %) were upregulated and 560 (~19 %) were downregulated (not
shown). The complex media condition served as an internal control in this second
experiment, and we found 287 (~10 %) and 254 (~9 %) genes to be up- and downregulated
in KO2, respectively (not shown). Here, the vast majority of upregulated genes was consistent
with our previous dataset (~73 %) (Fig 10A and Supplementary Information). Interestingly, 20
genes were similarly upregulated in all three conditions according to DESeq analysis (Suppl.
Tab. S4). Together with genes exhibiting a strong correlation with all *cmr-ß* knockout samples
in combined PCA analyses (Fig. 10B, Suppl. Tab. S5), the overarching theme again points
towards perturbations in energy metabolism, transport and lipid biosynthesis following the
cmr-ß knockout. The higher expression of these genes, regardless of medium composition,
strongly implicate a role of the Cmr-ß in the regulation of metabolism either directly or
indirectly.

DISCUSSION

Cmr-ß might not be a major contributor to CRISPR-Cas-mediated plasmid defense in *Sa.*
solfataricus
The co-existence of two different type III CRISPR-Cas complexes in *Sa. solfataricus* and other
Sulfolobales[70] might seem redundant at first, however is most likely indicative of different
mechanistic specialization which enables complementation in response to varying load and
types of MGEs[71,72]. In this study, we set out to investigate the specific roles of Cmr-ß in the
immune response of *Sa. solfataricus* P1. Interestingly, while *cmr-ß* knockout mutants were
readily obtained, similar attempts at deleting the *csm* locus caused large genomic deletions
(Wimmer unpublished) and isolated mutants could therefore not be tested. Our plasmid
challenge assay indicated that the transcription-dependent targeting effect of a protospacer-
carrying plasmid in *cmr-ß* knockout mutant was comparable to the wildtype. Hence, *Sa.*
solfataricus P1 Csm-mediated immunity leads to a similar outcome irrespective of the
presence of the Cmr-ß system (see Fig. 4). In analogy to SisCmr-ß[33], one could assume that
Cmr-ß of *Sa. solfataricus* P1 does not synthesize significant amounts of cOA molecules and

is possibly not a major contributor to the canonical multi-faceted type III CRISPR - mediated

defense.

Transcriptional response to the *cmr-ß* knockout supports accelerated growth phenotype

and interaction with regulatory networks

The findings of our transcriptomic analysis show that the deletion of Cmr-ß had significant

effects on the transcriptional landscape and growth behavior. In accordance with accelerated

growth following the deletion, we could observe upregulation of genes involved in distinct

functional categories of energy production and conversion, as well as metabolism and

transport of amino acids, nucleotides, and carbohydrates. The upregulation of complex I

(NADH dehydrogenase) and two out of three complex IVs is interesting regarding their

importance in maintaining pH homeostasis and generating a proton motif force in

thermoacidophilic organisms[43]. This observation is even more compelling considering that

complex I is capable of pumping protons as opposed to complex II (succinate

dehydrogenase)[73] and the bb3-type terminal oxidase has a higher electron to proton pumping

ratio than the other terminal oxidases (aa3-type SoxABCDL and DoxBCE) due to the

presence of two proton pumping sites[74,75]. Moreover, its subunit SoxE was suggested to act

as a pH sensor and regulate electron flow[74,76]. The activity of characterized FHL complexes

has also been connected to counteracting cytoplasm acidification through removal of

formate and H2 evolution[77,78]. Moreover, being membrane-bound complexes with similarities

to complex I, it has long been hypothesized that they might be capable of proton pumping

and therefore contribute to proton motive force generation, a capacity strongly supported by

the formate-driven growth in *Thermococcus onnurineus*[79,80]. Both these activities might

explain the upregulation profile of the hydrogenase component of the FHL in the knockout

strains.

Upregulation of ABC-type and other transporters for the uptake of substrates such as sugars,

peptides/amino acids and phosphate further supports the accelerated growth phenotype of

the knockout strains. The additional upregulation of thiamine transport and biosynthesis as

well as nucleotide biosynthesis seemingly underlines the need for higher ATP synthesis and

energy generation. The increased incorporation of membrane-anchored proteins could be

supported by the upregulation of pivotal components of protein translocation pathways,

namely *tatC, secY* and *yidC*. As central component of the Tat pathway, TatC captures folded

proteins destined for translocation such as subunits of terminal oxidases that assemble their

cofactors prior to translocation in the cytoplasm[81,82]. In contrast, ABC transporters of CUT

class of *Sa. solfataricus* harbor type IV pilin like signal peptides and are presumed to be

secreted via the Sec pathway[44,45,83].

As summarized above, the upregulation of the ETC complexes and the FHL, as well as the

increased organic carbon availability implied by the upregulation of the respective

transporters supports the increase in growth rate observed in the deletion mutants under

complex media. The observed upregulation in main lipid metabolism genes as well as

downregulation of the ring synthases would also agree with this phenotype, as it would be

the expected profile under logarithmic growth without energy limitations[84–87]. The observed

profile in the *cmr-ß* knockout could also indicate that *Sa. solfataricus* is experiencing (or

displaying the transcriptional profile of) a mild case of acid stress, as suggested by the

upregulation of calditol synthase[58]. The general transcriptional profile however is not

reminiscent of a classic case of acid stress, as documented in *Sa. islandicus*, which displayed

decreased energy production, upregulation of the proton-pumping ATPase, upregulation of

grsB, upregulation of repair and turnover pathways and increased anabolism[88]. As always

though, transcriptomic profiles need to be interpreted with caution, as it has been shown that

the observed profiles do not always translate into matching proteomic or lipidomic profiles[88].

An unclear profile in our case is nevertheless not surprising, as the actual imposed condition

(the absence of the *cmr-ß* module) is neither an environmental stressor nor a manipulation of

nutrient levels, and therefore the observed phenotypic changes cannot be interpreted in a

strict framework. Rather, we are getting a glimpse of the regulatory networks and processes

that the Cmr-ß is involved in in the absence of external stimulants, thereby sometimes leading

to contradictory profiles.

Functional link between CRISPR systems and cell envelope processes

As outlined above, our transcriptomic analysis of type III-B CRISPR-Cas deletion mutants

repeatedly pointed towards an involvement with membrane-associated processes.

Accordingly, genes encoding for transmembrane proteins were significantly enriched among

the upregulated fraction in type III-B deletion mutants under all conditions examined (Fig. 8).

In general, a connection between CRISPR-Cas systems and membrane-associated

processes seems intuitive as it would allow for quick responses upon entry of infecting

viruses.

First hints at the functional link between the cell envelope and CRISPR-Cas were already
gathered relatively early on, when it was shown that the incorporation of misfolded proteins
translocated by the Tat system likely caused proton leakage at the membrane prompting the
activation of the type I CRISPR-Cas system in *E.coli*[89]. In the human pathogen *Francisella*
novicida, Cas9 complexed with a small CRISPR-associated RNA (scaRNA) was found to
transcriptionally repress Blp, a membrane-exposed lipoprotein usually recognized by the
hosts immune cells[90,91]. In the gram-negative bacterium *Serratia*, the Rcs signaling pathway
involved in outer membrane stress response[92] downregulates type I and type III CRISPR-Cas
defense while simultaneously enhancing innate immunity, e.g through repression of viral cell-
surface receptors[93]. Genome analyses have also pointed out the enriched presence of various
predicted membrane proteins in the genomic neighborhood of type III CRISPR-Cas systems,
indicating a close association with the cell envelope[27,28], although the exact nature of this
proposed functional connections largely remains to be investigated *in vivo*.

The metabolic burden of maintaining CRISPR-Cas systems
Some of the observed changes in the transcriptional landscape upon deletion of the *cmr-ß*
gene cluster could also be explained by the reduced metabolic burden and cost associated
with the maintenance of defense systems. In *Streptococcus thermophilus* DGCC7710
harboring multiple CRISPR-Cas systems (2 x type II, type I and type III), the disruption of one
of the *cas9* genes gives mutants a competitive advantage in competition with wildtype cells,
possibly due to a reduced lag phase[94]. In contrast, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14
possesses only one type I-F CRISPR-Cas system, and its deletion caused reduced
comparative fitness in competition assays[95]. In general, the studies in *P. aeruginosa* suggest
that constitutive fitness costs associated with the presence of functional CRISPR-Cas
systems are low, however conditional costs arise due to the elicitation of the immune
response upon MGE exposure[95–97]. Similar to what has been observed for *S. thermophilus*[94],
the deletion of one out of several co-existing *cas* gene modules also caused an accelerated
growth phenotype in *Sa. solfataricus* P1. However, the significant congruence between
transcriptomes from two different deletion mutant strains despite the presence of a second
large genomic deletion in only one of them suggests that we are, at least in part, witnessing
valid functional connections with certain degree of specificity.

Are the observed changes the result of direct regulation by Cmr-ß or secondary effects?
The observed profiles of anabolic pathways and membrane composition could be interpreted
either as direct regulatory targets or indirectly affected due to the increase in available energy

and resulting increased growth rate. The amount of (both characterized and uncharacterized) differentially regulated transcription factors in our dataset also indicates the entanglement of CRISPR-Cas systems with global regulatory networks. On this note, a LysR-type transcriptional regulator involved in control of secondary metabolites and motility was shown to repress type III-A immunity in the gram-negative bacterium *Serratia*[98]. Moreover, the observed differential expression of transposase genes in response to the Cmr-ß deletion could also influence host gene expression and are a known source of various ncRNAs in *Sa. solfataricus*[99–101] and other archaea[102–106]. Former studies analyzing the content of isolated Cmr-ß and Csm protein complexes have revealed that both complexes are sequestering a proportion non-canonical guides in addition to canonical crRNAs *in vivo*[32,107], some of which could match potential cellular targets, providing the possibility for direct CRISPR-mediated regulation. However, we also expect that many effects are downstream events of primary direct key regulation targets such as transcription factors, or reaction to the physiological changes invoked by presumed direct effects (e.g. direct upregulation of ETC transcripts would result in a change in the energy budget of the cell). Our current experimental design does not allow for an investigation into the molecular mechanisms by which the Cmr-ß could convey the observed regulatory effects, but we are working on elucidating the direct and indirect effects in the future.

CONCLUSION

The deletion of the type III system consistently leads to an upregulation of genes encoding for membrane proteins and membrane-associated processes, the most important of which concern energy metabolism, the establishment of a proton motive force and essential nutrient acquisition, accompanied by an accelerated growth phenotype. As these results are in the absence of MGEs, we conclude that the type III system is exerting an influence on the greater metabolic functions, and therefore fitness, of the organism irrespective of its role in cellular defense.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
This research was funded in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [J 4611-B]. We thank John van der Oost, Raymond Staals, Sonja V. Albers and Xu Peng for scientific discussions.

 DATA AVAILABILITY

 All datasets and supplementary tables will be made available upon publication of the

 manuscript.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Design of genetic constructs and cloning. The synthetic mini CRISPR arrays (miniCRs)

containing one to three targeting spacers were assembled and cloned into pENTRY vectors

as described previously[35]. Two 800 to 900 bp sequences homologous to the upstream and

downstream regions of the *cmr-ß* locus were introduced into each vector to direct DNA repair

mechanisms. Flank 1 corresponding to the upstream region was amplified using primers

flank1_fw_SalI and flank1_rv, flank 2 corresponding to the downstream region was amplified

using primers flank2_fw and flank2_rv_EagI. The sequences of all primers used for

construction of genome editing constructs can be found in Suppl. Tab. S6. The resulting PCR

products were checked by gel-electrophoresis and purified (Monarch PCR&DNA Cleanup

Kit, NEB) according to manufacturer's instructions. Flanks were phosphorylated using the T4

Polynucleotide Kinase (NEB) and cleaved with corresponding restriction enzyme SalI-HF or

EagI-HF (NEB). pENTRY-miniCR vectors were double digested with SalI-HF and EagI-HF

(NEB). Linearized pENTRY-miniCR vectors and both flanks were fused using the Quick

Ligation™ Kit (NEB). Correct sequences of miniCRs and flanks were verified by Sanger

sequencing and consequently transferred from pENTRY vectors into destination vector pIZ-

GW[36,108] using the Gateway™ LR Clonase™ II Enzyme mix (Invitrogen™).

Culturing, transformation and isolation of knockout mutants. Uracil-auxotrophic derivate

M18 of *Sa. solfataricus* P1 (DSM 1616, ATCC 35091) was cultivated in Brock salts medium

supplemented with D(+)-Sucrose (0.2 %, w/v) (Serva), Tryptone (0.1%, w/v) (Roth) and Uracil

(0.0125 mg/mL) (Sigma Aldrich), in short Brock medium S/T/U. Media pH was initially

adjusted to 3.5 with H_2SO_4 and cultures were incubated under shaking conditions at 77 °C

(Zebec 2016). Growth was assessed by measuring optical density (OD) at a wavelength of

600nm in a spectrophotometer. Competent cells for electroporation were prepared as

described previously[109]. Per construct, 50 μl of M18 competent cells were mixed with 150 ng

of plasmid DNA and electroporated using 1-mm cuvettes in a Gene Pulser Xcell (Biorad) with

the following settings: 1240 V, 1000 Ω, 25 µF. Electroporated cells were transferred to equal

volumes of recovery solution[110] and incubated for 1 hour at 77 °C. After recovery,

electroporated cells were inoculated directly into 50mL selective medium containing D(+)-

Sucrose (0.2%, w/v) and NZ-Amine (0.1%, w/v) (Fluka Analytics). For plasmid

counterselection, cells harboring pIZ-cmr73ts were transferred to medium containing

Sucrose, Tryptone, Uracil and 5-Fluoroorotic acid (0.05 mg/mL) (5-FOA, Sigma Aldrich).

Consequently, dilution streaks on gellan gum plates (0.64% w/v) (Gelrite, Roth) with the same

media composition were performed. Deletion mutant colonies finally underwent three

consecutive rounds of plating (without 5-FOA) to ensure the presence of a single genotype.

As a control, a purified isolate of untransformed *Sa. solfataricus* M18 (wildtype) was

generated using the same approach. Plates were incubated under high humidity at 77°C.

Culture PCR. The presence of the *cmr-ß* genes was checked by adding 2 µl aliquots from

OD samples or colony suspensions as template in PCR reaction with primer pairs cmr-KO-

check_fw/rv or cmr-middle_fw/cmr-KO-check_rv. Successful removal of plasmid and

persistence of IS-element conferring uracil auxotrophy were verified using primers

ORF904_fw/rv and pyrBE_fw/rv, respectively. All primers used for culture PCR are listed in

Suppl. Tab S7. For all culture PCRs, full reaction volumes were loaded on 1% agarose gels

together with 1 kb Plus DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific). Knockout of *cmr-ß* indicated by

smaller bands in gel-electrophoresis of PCR products was verified by sequencing of DNA

from gel-purified bands (Monarch DNA extraction Kit, NEB).

Plasmid challenge assay. ORF406 of conjugative plasmid pNOB8 was amplified using

primers ORF406_fw/rv. Matching overhangs were introduced into pENTRY vectors

downstream of a constitutive promoter (i.e truncated version of leader sequence of CRISPR

array D in *Sa. solfataricus* P2) with primers pENTRY-ORF406_fw/rv. The resulting product

was used as template in a touchdown PCR reaction (57°C, TΔ-0,2) for fusion with the ORF406

amplicon. ORF406 harbors a protospacer with a 5'NGA PAM exhibiting partial

complementarity to spacer A53 of *Sa. solfataricus* P2[39] which is absent from P1. Using

primers OE-D2.109_fw/rv, this protospacer region was exchanged to match spacer 109 of

CRISPR array D2 (D2.109) in P1 as described previously[24]. The insertion of an additional

nucleotide directly downstream of the protospacer rendered the original PAM sequence

dysfunctional impeding type I-A CRISPR-mediated interference. Additionally, we constructed

a protospacer D2.109-a with an antitag (5' CTTTCAAT) matching to the 5' tag of the D2.109

crRNA using primer OE-D2.109-a_fw. The sequences of all primers used for construction of

ORF406 target constructs are listed in Suppl. Tab. S6. Transformation of wildtype and

deletions strain was carried out as described above, with the following alterations: prior to

electroporation competent cells from both strains were adjusted to the same optical densities

with 20 mM Sucrose, then three replicates of 50 μL cells mixed with 350 ng of plasmid DNA

were set up for each construct. Cells without the addition of plasmid DNA were used as

control. Following 1 hour recovery, each replicate was inoculated in 50 mL selective medium.

After 41 hours (i.e. before cultures had started to grow), 45 μl of serial dilutions from 10^1 to

10^{-5} from all cultures were spotted on selective gellan gum plates containing D(+)-Sucrose

(0.2%, w/v) and NZ-Amine (0.1%, w/v).

Adaptation experiment. M18 wildtype and KO2 were inoculated from glycerol stocks into

prewarmed Brock medium S/T/U, 50 mL, pH 3.5, and incubated under shaking conditions at

77°C. As a preadaptation step, aliquots of both strains were then transferred to Brock

medium G/T/U supplemented with D(+)-Glucose (Sigma Aldrich) instead of Sucrose at the

same final concentration. A second aliquot was again transferred to Brock S/T/U. For the

adaptation growth curve, 4 replicate cultures were set up for the following media

compositions: Brock medium Sucrose (0.3%, w/v) with Uracil, Brock medium Glucose (0.3%,

w/v) with Uracil, and standard complex Brock medium S/T/U. When cultures had reached

late exponential growth, one replicate was transferred to 4 replicate flasks with fresh medium

of the same composition until growth had stabilized for two consecutive transfers. At that

point, 5 mL samples were taken at $OD_{600}=0.2$ for RNA preparation. Throughout the

experiment, cultures were inoculated to the same initial OD_{600}.

DNA preparation and genome mutation analysis. DNA was extracted as described in ref[24].

The sequencing was performed by the Next Generation Sequencing Facility at at Vienna

BioCenter Core Facilities (VBCF), member of the Vienna BioCenter (VBC), Austria. Samples

were sequenced (150 PE) sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform with a Westburg DNA

preparation kit resulting in 300,000-500,000 reads per sample. Raw reads were downloaded

from the VBCF website and checked using md5sum. FastQC[111] version 0.11.8 and MultiQC[112]

version 1.8 were used to initially analyze sequences and the samtools package[113] version

1.10 (using htslib 1.10[114]) along with bedtools [115] version 2.29.0 was used to convert file types.

Trimmomatic[116] version 0.36 trimmed adapter sequences and cropped reads using the

options: SLIDINGWINDOW:5:20 LEADING:5 TRAILING:5 MINLEN:50 HEADCROP:12. The

stand-alone program PrinSeq Lite[117] version 0.20.4 was used to remove sequences < 38 bp

and/or with quality scores < 30. Next, Bowtie2[118] version 2.3.5.1 was used to align the

remaining RNA sequences to the *Sa. solfataricus* strain P1 reference genome
(NZ_LT549890.1) downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information
(NCBI). Mutations, insertions, and deletions were then found using breseq[119,120] version
0.32.1 with default settings using the NCBI RefSeq annotation from 02/19/2020.
597
RNA preparation and sequencing analysis. RNA was extracted from 5 mL of culture
sampled at OD_{600}=0.2 as described in ref[24]. Sample quality control, library preparation (150
PE) from rRNA-depleted samples (to approx. 50%) and sequencing on an Illumina NovaSeq
platform was performed by Novogene (UK) Co., Ltd. Raw reads were downloaded from the
sequencing facilities' website and checked using md5sum. Adapter sequences were trimmed
using Trimmomatic[116] version 0.39 using the following settings: HEADCROP:12
SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 LEADING:3 TRAILING:3 MINLEN:30. Reads were filtered for a
minimum mean quality score of 30 using PrinSeq Lite[117] version 0.20.4. Reads mapping to
ribosomal RNA were removed from total reads with the sortmeRNA tool[121] version 4.3.6 by
supplying a curated reference file containing *Sa. solfataricus* P1 rRNA sequences. The
remaining reads were aligned to the *Sa. solfataricus* P1 reference genome (NCBI:
NZ_LT549890.1) using Hisat2[122] version 2.2.1 with parameter --rna-strandness RF. The
resulting sequence alignment files were converted to binary format using the samtools
package[113] version 1.20. featureCounts from the Subread package [123] version 2.0.6 assigned
mapped reads to genomic features (feature and attribute type specified as "gene" and "ID",
respectively) using a General Feature Format (GFF) annotation file with RefSeq annotations
downladed from the NCBI website on as a reference. To assess expression of transposase
genes, a separate run with featureCounts was performed to inlcude reads mapping at
multiple positions within the genome ('-M' option for multimapping reads). The output count
matrix was used to perform differential expression analysis with the DESseq2 package[124]
version 1.42.1 in R[125] version 4.3.3 with Rstudio[126] version 2023.12.1+402. Default p-value
adjustment in DESeq2 (Benjamini-Hochberg method) was used to determine relevant p-
values (referred to as p-adj in result tables). Principal component analysis (PCA) was
performed as described previoulsy[40].
622
Assignment of transporter families, arCOGs and transmembrane domains, and functional
enrichment analysis. The BLAST tool integrated into the curated Transporter Classification
Database (TCDB)[127] was used to classify transporters. Archaeal Clusters of Orthologous
Groups (arCOGs)[128] were assigned to genes according to database version 2018. Genes
without an arCOG assignment were annotated using eggNOG-mapper[129] version 2.1.12.

Genes encooding proteins with predicted transmembrane domains were identified using

Phobius[130]. In both cases, a modified statistical hypergeometric test to determine functional

categories significantly enriched for *Sa. solfataricus* (*P*-value < 0.05) among the up- or

downregulated genes was conducted using the phyper function in R[40].

References

1. Pourcel, C. *et al.* CRISPRCasdb a successor of CRISPRdb containing CRISPR arrays
and cas genes from complete genome sequences, and tools to download and query
lists of repeats and spacers. *Nucleic Acids Res* (2019) doi:10.1093/nar/gkz915.
2. Jackson, S. A. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas: Adapting to change. *Science (1979)* **356**, (2017).
3. McGinn, J. & Marraffini, L. A. Molecular mechanisms of CRISPR–Cas spacer
acquisition. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**, 7–12 (2019).
4. Shmakov, Sergey; Sitnik, Vassilii; Makarova, Kira; Wolf, Yuri; Severinov, Konstantin;
Koonin, E. The CRISPR Spacer Space Is Dominated by Sequences from Species-
Specific Mobilomes. *mBio* **8**, 1–18 (2017).
5. Makarova, K. S. *et al.* Evolutionary classification of CRISPR–Cas systems: a burst of
class 2 and derived variants. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **18**, (2020).
6. van Beljouw, S. P. B., Sanders, J., Rodríguez-Molina, A. & Brouns, S. J. J. RNA-
targeting CRISPR–Cas systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**, 21–34 (2023).
7. Elmore, J. R. *et al.* Bipartite recognition of target RNAs activates DNA cleavage by the
Type III-B CRISPR–Cas system. *Genes Dev* **30**, 447–459 (2016).
8. Kazlauskiene, M., Tamulaitis, G., Kostiuk, G., Venclovas, Č. & Siksnys, V.
Spatiotemporal Control of Type III-A CRISPR-Cas Immunity: Coupling DNA
Degradation with the Target RNA Recognition. *Mol Cell* **62**, 295–306 (2016).
9. Estrella, M. A., Kuo, F.-T. & Bailey, S. RNA-activated DNA cleavage by the Type III-B
CRISPR–Cas effector complex. *Genes Dev* **30**, 460–470 (2016).
10. Liu, T. Y., Liu, J. J., Aditham, A. J., Nogales, E. & Doudna, J. A. Target preference of
Type III-A CRISPR-Cas complexes at the transcription bubble. *Nat Commun* **10**,
(2019).
11. Kazlauskiene, M., Kostiuk, G., Venclovas, Č., Tamulaitis, G. & Siksnys, V. A cyclic
oligonucleotide signaling pathway in type III CRISPR-Cas systems. *Science (1979)*
357, 605–609 (2017).
12. Niewoehner, O. *et al.* Type III CRISPR–Cas systems produce cyclic oligoadenylate
second messengers. *Nature* **548**, 543 (2017).
13. Han, W. *et al.* A Type III-B Cmr effector complex catalyzes the synthesis of cyclic
oligoadenylate second messengers by cooperative substrate binding. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **46**, 10319–10330 (2018).
14. Rouillon, C., Athukoralage, J. S., Graham, S., Grüschow, S. & White, M. F. Control of
cyclic oligoadenylate synthesis in a type III CRISPR system. *Elife* **7**, 1–25 (2018).
15. Steens, J. A., Salazar, C. R. P. & Staals, R. H. J. The diverse arsenal of type III
CRISPR–Casassociated CARF and SAVED effectors. *Biochemical Society*
Transactions vol. 50 1353–1364 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20220289
(2022).
16. Makarova, K. S. *et al.* Evolutionary and functional classification of the CARF domain
superfamily, key sensors in prokaryotic antivirus defense. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 31
(2020).

17.   Steens, J. A. *et al.* Type III-B CRISPR-Cas cascade of proteolytic cleavages. *Science*
(1979) **383**, 512–519 (2024).
18.   Rostøl, J. T. & Marraffini, L. A. Non-specific degradation of transcripts promotes
plasmid clearance during type III-A CRISPR–Cas immunity. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 656–662
(2019).
19.   Rostøl, J. T. *et al.* The Card1 nuclease provides defence during type III CRISPR
immunity. *Nature* **590**, 624–629 (2021).
20.   McMahon, S. A. *et al.* Structure and mechanism of a Type III CRISPR defence DNA
nuclease activated by cyclic oligoadenylate. *Nat Commun* **11**, 1–11 (2020).
21.   Mayo-Muñoz, D. *et al.* Type III CRISPR-Cas provides resistance against nucleus-
forming jumbo phages via abortive infection. *Mol Cell* **82**, 4471-4486.e9 (2022).
22.   Hogrel, G. *et al.* Cyclic nucleotide-induced helical structure activates a TIR immune
effector. *Nature* 1–5 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05070-9.
23.   Rouillon, C. *et al.* Antiviral signalling by a cyclic nucleotide activated CRISPR protease.
Nature **614**, 168–174 (2023).
24.   Zebec, Z., Manica, A., Zhang, J., White, M. F. & Schleper, C. CRISPR-mediated
targeted mRNA degradation in the archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **42**, 5280–5288 (2014).
25.   Pan, S. *et al.* A seed motif for target RNA capture enables efficient immune defence by
a type III-B CRISPR-Cas system. *RNA Biol* **16**, 1166–1178 (2019).
26.   Steens, J. A. *et al.* SCOPE enables type III CRISPR-Cas diagnostics using flexible
targeting and stringent CARF ribonuclease activation. *Nat Commun* **12**, 1–12 (2021).
27.   Shmakov, S. A., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., Severinov, K. V. & Koonin, E. V.
Systematic prediction of genes functionally linked to CRISPR-Cas systems by gene
neighborhood analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**,
E5307–E5316 (2018).
28.   Shah, S. A. *et al.* Comprehensive search for accessory proteins encoded with archaeal
and bacterial type III CRISPR-cas gene cassettes reveals 39 new cas gene families.
RNA Biol **00**, 1–13 (2018).
29.   Silas, S. *et al.* Type III CRISPR-Cas systems can provide redundancy to counteract
viral escape from type I systems. *Elife* **6**, (2017).
30.   Garrett, R. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas Adaptive Immune Systems of the Sulfolobales:
Unravelling Their Complexity and Diversity. *Life* **5**, 783–817 (2015).
31.   Deng, L., Garrett, R. A., Shah, S. A., Peng, X. & She, Q. A novel interference
mechanism by a type IIIB CRISPR-Cmr module in Sulfolobus. *Mol Microbiol* **87**, 1088–
1099 (2013).
32.   Zhang, J. *et al.* Structure and Mechanism of the CMR Complex for CRISPR-Mediated
Antiviral Immunity. *Mol Cell* **45**, 303–313 (2012).
33.   Sofos, N. *et al.* Structures of the Cmr-β Complex Reveal the Regulation of the
Immunity Mechanism of Type III-B CRISPR-Cas. *Mol Cell* **79**, 741-757.e7 (2020).
34.   Gudbergsdottir, S. *et al.* Dynamic properties of the Sulfolobus CRISPR/Cas and
CRISPR/Cmr systems when challenged with vector-borne viral and plasmid genes and
protospacers. *Mol Microbiol* **79**, 35–49 (2011).
35.   Wimmer, E., Zink, I. A. & Schleper, C. Reprogramming CRISPR-Mediated RNA
Interference for Silencing of Essential Genes in Sulfolobales. in *Methods in Molecular*
Biology vol. 2522 177–201 (Humana Press Inc., 2022).
36.   Zink, I. A. *et al.* CRISPR-mediated gene silencing reveals involvement of the archaeal
S-layer in cell division and virus infection. *Nat Commun* **10**, 1–14 (2019).
37.   Samai, P. *et al.* Co-transcriptional DNA and RNA cleavage during type III CRISPR-cas
immunity. *Cell* **161**, 1164–1174 (2015).
38.   Han, W. *et al.* A type III-B CRISPR-Cas effector complex mediating massive target
DNA destruction. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 1983–1993 (2017).

39.   Manica, A., Zebec, Z., Teichmann, D. & Schleper, C. In vivo activity of CRISPR-
729   mediated virus defence in a hyperthermophilic archaeon. *Mol Microbiol* **80**, 481–491
730   (2011).
731   40.   Reyes, C. *et al.* Genome wide transcriptomic analysis of the soil ammonia oxidizing
732   archaeon Nitrososphaera viennensis upon exposure to copper limitation. *ISME Journal*
733   **14**, 2659–2674 (2020).
734   41.   Grünberger, F. *et al.* Uncovering the temporal dynamics and regulatory networks of
735   thermal stress response in a hyperthermophile using transcriptomics and proteomics.
736   *mBio* **14**, (2023).
737   42.   Esteves, A. M. *et al.* Combined transcriptomics–metabolomics profiling of the heat
738   shock response in the hyperthermophilic archaeon Pyrococcus furiosus.
739   *Extremophiles* **23**, 101–118 (2019).
740   43.   Auernik, K. S. & Kelly, R. M. Identification of components of electron transport chains
741   in the extremely thermoacidophilic crenarchaeon Metallosphaera sedula through iron
742   and sulfur compound oxidation transcriptomes. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **74**, 7723–7732
743   (2008).
744   44.   Albers, S.-V. *et al. Glucose Transport in the Extremely Thermoacidophilic Sulfolobus*
745   *Solfataricus Involves a High-Affinity Membrane-Integrated Binding Protein. JOURNAL*
746   *OF BACTERIOLOGY* vol. 181 https://journals.asm.org/journal/jb (1999).
747   45.   Elferink, M. G. L., Albers, S. V., Konings, W. N. & Driessen, A. J. M. Sugar transport in
748   Sulfolobus solfataricus is mediated by two families of binding protein-dependent ABC
749   transporters. *Mol Microbiol* **39**, 1494–1503 (2001).
750   46.   van der Kolk, N. *et al.* Identification of XylR, the Activator of Arabinose/Xylose
751   Inducible Regulon in Sulfolobus acidocaldarius and Its Application for Homologous
752   Protein Expression. *Front Microbiol* **11**, 1066 (2020).
753   47.   Brouns, S. J. J. *et al.* Identification of the Missing Links in Prokaryotic Pentose
754   Oxidation Pathways. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **281**, 27378–27388 (2006).
755   48.   Chauhan, S. M. *et al.* Machine Learning Uncovers a Data-Driven Transcriptional
756   Regulatory Network for the Crenarchaeal Thermoacidophile Sulfolobus acidocaldarius.
757   *Front Microbiol* **12**, (2021).
758   49.   Rodionov, D. A., Leyn, S. A., Li, X. & Rodionova, I. A. A novel transcriptional regulator
759   related to thiamine phosphate synthase controls thiamine metabolism genes in
760   Archaea. *J Bacteriol* **199**, 743–759 (2017).
761   50.   Rodionov, D. A., Vitreschak, A. G., Mironov, A. A. & Gelfand, M. S. Comparative
762   genomics of thiamin biosynthesis in procaryotes. New genes and regulatory
763   mechanisms. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **277**, 48949–48959 (2002).
764   51.   Palmer, L. D. & Downs, D. M. The thiamine biosynthetic enzyme thic catalyzes
765   multiple turnovers and is inhibited by S-Adenosylmethionine (AdoMet) metabolites.
766   *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **288**, 30693–30699 (2013).
767   52.   Soderberg, T. Biosynthesis of ribose-5-phosphate and erythrose-4-phosphate in
768   archaea: a phylogenetic analysis of archaeal genomes. *Archaea* **1**, 347–352 (2005).
769   53.   Albers, S. V., Szabó, Z. & Driessen, A. J. M. Protein secretion in the Archaea: Multiple
770   paths towards a unique cell surface. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**, 537–547 (2006).
771   54.   Van Den Berg, B. *et al. X-Ray Structure of a Protein-Conducting Channel.*
772   www.nature.com/nature (2003).
773   55.   Hamsanathan, S., Anthonymuthu, T. S., Bageshwar, U. K. & Musser, S. M. A Hinged
774   Signal Peptide Hairpin Enables Tat-Dependent Protein Translocation. *Biophys J* **113**,
775   2650–2668 (2017).
776   56.   Szabo, Z. & Pohlschroder, M. Diversity and subcellular distribution of archaeal
777   secreted proteins. *Front Microbiol* **3**, (2012).

57.   Zhu, L., Klenner, C., Kuhn, A. & Dalbey, R. E. Both yidc and SecYEG are required for
translocation of the periplasmic loops 1 and 2 of the multispanning membrane protein
tatC. *J Mol Biol* **424**, 354–367 (2012).
58.   Zeng, Z., Liu, X. L., Wei, J. H., Summons, R. E. & Welander, P. V. Calditol-linked
membrane lipids are required for acid tolerance in Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **115**, 12932–12937 (2018).
59.   Zeng, Z. *et al.* GDGT cyclization proteins identify the dominant archaeal sources of
tetraether lipids in the ocean. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 22505–22511 (2019).
60.   Liu, Z. *et al.* A CRISPR-associated factor Csa3a regulates DNA damage repair in
Crenarchaeon Sulfolobus islandicus. *Nucleic Acids Res* **48**, 9681–9693 (2020).
61.   Charbonneau, A. A., Eckert, D. M., Gauvin, C. C., Lintner, N. G. & Lawrence, C. M.
Cyclic tetra-adenylate (Ca4) recognition by csa3; implications for an integrated class 1
crispr-cas immune response in saccharolobus solfataricus. *Biomolecules* **11**, (2021).
62.   Ye, Q. *et al.* CRISPR-Associated Factor Csa3b Regulates CRISPR Adaptation and
Cmr-Mediated RNA Interference in Sulfolobus islandicus. *Front Microbiol* **11**, (2020).
63.   He, F., Vestergaard, G., Peng, W., She, Q. & Peng, X. CRISPR-Cas type I-A Cascade
complex couples viral infection surveillance to host transcriptional regulation in the
dependence of Csa3b. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 1902–1913 (2017).
64.   Xia, P., Dutta, A., Gupta, K., Batish, M. & Parashar, V. Structural basis of cyclic
oligoadenylate binding to the transcription factor Csa3 outlines cross talk between type
III and type I CRISPR systems. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **298**, 101591 (2022).
65.   Guo, L. *et al.* Genome analyses of icelandic strains of Sulfolobus islandicus, model
organisms for genetic and virus-host interaction studies. *J Bacteriol* **193**, 1672–1680
(2011).
66.   Brügger, K. *et al.* Mobile elements in archaeal genomes. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **206**,
131–141 (2002).
67.   Filée, J., Siguier, P. & Chandler, M. Insertion Sequence Diversity in Archaea.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **71**, 121–157 (2007).
68.   Medvedeva, S. *et al.* New insights into the diversity and evolution of the archaeal
mobilome from three complete genomes of Saccharolobus shibatae. *Environ Microbiol*
23, 4612–4630 (2021).
69.   She, Q. *et al.* The complete genome of the crenarchaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus P2.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **98**, 7835–7840 (2001).
70.   Zink, I. A., Wimmer, E. & Schleper, C. Heavily Armed Ancestors: CRISPR Immunity
and Applications in Archaea with a Comparative Analysis of CRISPR Types in
Sulfolobales. *Biomolecules* **10**, 1–41 (2020).
71.   Peng, W., Feng, M., Feng, X., Liang, Y. X. & She, Q. An archaeal CRISPR type III-B
system exhibiting distinctive RNA targeting features and mediating dual RNA and DNA
interference. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 406–417 (2015).
72.   Zhang, J., Graham, S., Tello, A., Liu, H. & White, M. F. Multiple nucleic acid cleavage
modes in divergent type III CRISPR systems. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, 1789–1799
(2016).
73.   Schäfer, G., Engelhard, M. & Müller, V. Bioenergetics of the Archaea. *Microbiology*
and Molecular Biology Reviews **63**, 570–620 (1999).
74.   Komorowski, L., Verheyen, W. & Schäfer, G. The Archaeal Respiratory Supercomplex
SoxM from S. acidocaldarius Combines Features of Quinole and Cytochrome c
Oxidases. *Biol. Chem.* **383**, 1791–1799 (2002).
75.   Gleißner, M., Kaiser, U., Antonopoulos, E. & Schäfer, G. The Archaeal SoxABCD
Complex Is a Proton Pump in Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry **272**, 8417–8426 (1997).

76.   Komorowski, L., Anemüller, S. & Schäfer, G. First expression and characterization of a
recombinant CuA-containing subunit II from an archaeal terminal oxidase complex. *J*
Bioenerg Biomembr **33**, 27–34 (2001).

77.   Böck, A. & Sawers, G. Fermentation. in *Escherichia coli and Salmonella: Cellular and*
Molecular Biology (ed. Neidhardt, F. C.) 262–282 (American Society for Microbiology
Press, Washington, DC, 1996).

78.   Takács, M. *et al.* Formate hydrogenlyase in the hyperthermophilic archaeon,
Thermococcus litoralis. *BMC Microbiol* **8**, 88 (2008).

79.   Kim, Y. J. *et al.* Formate-driven growth coupled with H2 production. *Nature* **467**, 352–
355 (2010).

80.   Peters, K. & Sargent, F. Formate hydrogenlyase, formic acid translocation and
hydrogen production: dynamic membrane biology during fermentation. *Biochimica et*
Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics **1864**, 148919 (2023).

81.   Berks, B. C., Palmer, T. & Sargent, F. Protein targeting by the bacterial twin-arginine
translocation (Tat) pathway. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* vol. 8 174–181 Preprint at
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2005.02.010 (2005).

82.   Berks, B. C. A common export pathway for proteins binding complex redox cofactors?
Mol Microbiol **22**, 393–404 (1996).

83.   Albers, S.-V., Konings, W. N. & Driessen, A. J. M. A unique short signal sequence in
membrane-anchored proteins of Archaea. *Mol Microbiol* **31**, 1595–1596 (1999).

84.   Zhou, A. *et al.* Energy flux controls tetraether lipid cyclization in Sulfolobus
acidocaldarius. *Environ Microbiol* **22**, 343–353 (2020).

85.   Quehenberger, J., Pittenauer, E., Allmaier, G. & Spadiut, O. The influence of the
specific growth rate on the lipid composition of Sulfolobus acidocaldarius.
Extremophiles **24**, 413–420 (2020).

86.   Cobban, A. *et al.* Multiple environmental parameters impact lipid cyclization in
Sulfolobus acidocaldarius. *Environ Microbiol* **22**, 4046–4056 (2020).

87.   Jensen, S. *et al.* The Effects of Temperature and Growth Phase on the Lipidomes of
Sulfolobus islandicus and Sulfolobus tokodaii. *Life* **5**, 1539–1566 (2015).

88.   Chiu, B. K. *et al.* Membrane lipid and expression responses of Saccharolobus
islandicus REY15A to acid and cold stress. *Front Microbiol* **14**, (2023).

89.   Perez-Rodriguez, R. *et al.* Envelope stress is a trigger of CRISPR RNA-mediated DNA
silencing in Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* **79**, 584–599 (2011).

90.   Sampson, T. R., Saroj, S. D., Llewellyn, A. C., Tzeng, Y.-L. & Weiss, D. S. A
CRISPR/Cas system mediates bacterial innate immune evasion and virulence. *Nature*
497, 254–257 (2013).

91.   Ratner, H. K. *et al.* Catalytically Active Cas9 Mediates Transcriptional Interference to
Facilitate Bacterial Virulence. *Mol Cell* **75**, 498-510.e5 (2019).

92.   Meng, J., Young, G. & Chen, J. The Rcs System in Enterobacteriaceae: Envelope
Stress Responses and Virulence Regulation. *Frontiers in Microbiology* vol. 12 Preprint
at https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.627104 (2021).

93.   Smith, L. M. *et al.* The Rcs stress response inversely controls surface and CRISPR–
Cas adaptive immunity to discriminate plasmids and phages. *Nat Microbiol* **6**, 162–172
(2021).

94.   Vale, P. F. *et al.* Costs of CRISPR-Cas-mediated resistance in Streptococcus
thermophilus. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**, (2015).

95.   Westra, E. R. *et al.* Parasite exposure drives selective evolution of constitutive versus
inducible defense. *Current Biology* **25**, 1043–1049 (2015).

96.   Meaden, S. *et al.* Phage gene expression and host responses lead to infection-
dependent costs of CRISPR immunity. *ISME Journal* **15**, 534–544 (2021).

97.   Alseth, E. O. *et al.* Bacterial biodiversity drives the evolution of CRISPR-based phage
resistance. *Nature* **574**, 549–552 (2019).

98.   Smith, L. M. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas immunity is repressed by the LysR-type transcriptional
881   regulator PigU. *Nucleic Acids Res* **52**, 755–768 (2024).
882   99.   Zago, M. A., Dennis, P. P. & Omer, A. D. The expanding world of small RNAs in the
883   hyperthermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. *Mol Microbiol* **55**, 1812–1828
884   (2005).
885   100.   Tang, T. H. *et al.* Identification of novel non-coding RNAs as potential antisense
886   regulators in the archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. *Mol Microbiol* **55**, 469–481 (2005).
887   101.   Wurtzel, O. *et al.* A single-base resolution map of an archaeal transcriptome. *Genome*
888   *Res* **20**, 133–141 (2010).
889   102.   Straub, J. *et al.* Small RNAs in haloarchaea: Identification, differential expression and
890   biological function. *RNA Biol* **6**, 281–292 (2009).
891   103.   Jäger, D. *et al.* Deep sequencing analysis of the Methanosarcina mazei Gö 1
892   transcriptome in response to nitrogen availability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**,
893   21878–21882 (2009).
894   104.   Jäger, D., Förstner, K. U., Sharma, C. M., Santangelo, T. J. & Reeve, J. N. Primary
895   transcriptome map of the hyperthermophilic archaeon Thermococcus kodakarensis.
896   *BMC Genomics* **15**, 684 (2014).
897   105.   Gelsinger, D. R. & DiRuggiero, J. Transcriptional landscape and regulatory roles of
898   small noncoding RNAs in the oxidative stress response of the haloarchaeon Haloferax
899   volcanii. *J Bacteriol* **200**, 1–17 (2018).
900   106.   Babski, J. *et al.* Genome-wide identification of transcriptional start sites in the
901   haloarchaeon Haloferax volcanii based on differential RNA-Seq (dRNA-Seq). *BMC*
902   *Genomics* **17**, 629 (2016).
903   107.   Rouillon, C. *et al.* Structure of the CRISPR interference complex CSM reveals key
904   similarities with cascade. *Mol Cell* **52**, 124–134 (2013).
905   108.   Zink, I. A. Development of a plasmid shuttle vector system for CRISPR studies in the
906   archaeon Sulfolobus solfataricus. (University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, 2013).
907   109.   Manica, A., Zebec, Z., Steinkellner, J. & Schleper, C. Unexpectedly broad target
908   recognition of the CRISPR-mediated virus defence system in the archaeon Sulfolobus
909   solfataricus. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, 10509–10517 (2013).
910   110.   Wagner, M. *et al.* Versatile genetic tool box for the crenarchaeote Sulfolobus
911   acidocaldarius. *Front Microbiol* **3**, 1–12 (2012).
912   111.   Andrews, S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. Preprint
913   at (2010).
914   112.   Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis
915   results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048
916   (2016).
917   113.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,
918   2078–2079 (2009).
919   114.   Bonfield, J. K. *et al.* HTSlib: C library for reading/writing high-throughput sequencing
920   data. *Gigascience* **10**, (2021).
921   115.   Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
922   features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).
923   116.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
924   sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
925   117.   Schmieder, R. & Edwards, R. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic
926   datasets. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 863–864 (2011).
927   118.   Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat*
928   *Methods* **9**, 357–359 (2012).
929   119.   Deatherage, D. E. & Barrick, J. E. Identification of Mutations in Laboratory-Evolved
930   Microbes from Next-Generation Sequencing Data Using breseq. in *Engineering and*

Analyzing Multicellular Systems. Methods in Molecular Biology (eds. Sun, L. & Shou,
W.) vol. 1151 165–188 (Humana Pres, New York, NY, 2014).

120. Barrick, J. E. *et al.* Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from
short-read resequencing data using breseq. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 1039 (2014).

121. Kopylova, E., Noé, L. & Touzet, H. SortMeRNA: fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal
RNAs in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3211–3217 (2012).

122. Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C. & Salzberg, S. L. Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**, 907–
915 (2019).

123. Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program
for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

124. Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

125. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. A language and environment for statistical
computing. Preprint at (2016).

126. Team Rs. RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Preprint at (2016).

127. Saier, M. H. *et al.* The Transporter Classification Database (TCDB): 2021 update.
Nucleic Acids Res **49**, D461–D467 (2021).

128. Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Archaeal clusters of orthologous genes
(arCOGs): An update and application for analysis of shared features between
thermococcales, methanococcales, and methanobacteriales. *Life* **5**, 818–840 (2015).

129. Cantalapiedra, C. P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Huerta-Cepas, J.
eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain
Prediction at the Metagenomic Scale. *Mol Biol Evol* **38**, 5825–5829 (2021).

130. Käll, L., Krogh, A. & Sonnhammer, E. L. L. A Combined Transmembrane Topology
and Signal Peptide Prediction Method. *J Mol Biol* **338**, 1027–1036 (2004).

Figure 1. (A) Overview of the CRISPR-Cas systems in *Sa. solfataricus* P1. Three type I-A (pink), one type III-D (lime green), and one type III-B (green) system are present. In addition, a partial type III-B *cas* gene cluster can be detected. The strain harbors six CRISPR arrays (striped boxes), two are split through the insertion of fragments, in the case of array F stemming from a pNOB8-like conjugative plasmid. Six different protospacers that were chosen for the type I-A CRISPR-mediated deletion of the *cmr-ß* gene module are indicated. Two protospacers each are located in genes *cmr7* (pink), *cmr6* (red) and *cmr3* (salmon). Flanking sequences of the *cmr-ß* genes (red boxes) are provided as donor for the DNA repair process (see B). **(B)** Different combinations of artificial spacers (single to triple) all targeting either template or coding strand of the respective genes were cloned into a miniCR array on the plasmid-based vector pIZ, preceded by donor DNA sequences (red). Color code for miniCR: self-targeting spacers – equivalent to (A); non-targeting spacers (used for cloning procedure)– grey; repeats – black.

Figure 2. Genome viewer snapshot of *cmr-ß* knockout mutants. Paired-end reads (150 bp) generated from **(A)** KO1 and **(B)** KO2 were mapped to the *Sa. solfataricus* P1 NCBI reference genome (NZ_LT549890.1). Reference genes are displayed as grey arrows. The upper panel shows read coverage (blue) and the lower panel shows aligned reads (black). The excision of the *cmr-ß* gene cluster and its promoter as depicted in **(C)** was confirmed to have happened as intended for both isolated mutant strains.

Figure 3. Genome viewer snapshot of second deletion that occurred spontaneously in *cmr-ß* mutant KO2. Paired-end reads (150 bp) were mapped to the *Sa. solfataricus* P1 NCBI reference genome (NZ_LT549890.1). Reference genes are displayed as grey arrows. The upper panel shows read coverage (blue) and the lower panel shows aligned reads (black). The deleted region indicated by a red arrow spans over 37 kb and is borderd by two IS5 family transposase (pseudo-) genes. Read alignments within the indicated deleted region correspond to copies of different IS4 family transposase genes.

Figure 4. Plasmid challenge experiment. (A) Wildtype and deletion mutant KO2 were transformed with plasmids harboring different protospacers: A53 is not recognized by the CRISPR immune system due the lack of a matching spacer; D2.109 matches an endogenous spacer in CRISPR locus D eliciting type III CRISPR-mediated immunity; D2.109a harbors the same protospacer as in D2.109 and a matching 3' antitag to inhibit abortive infection. Negative control (NC) cells did not receive a plasmid and therefore lack an intact *pyrEF* locus. **(B)** Plasmid map of pIZ-ORF406-A53. **(C)** Growth curves for control (left) and KO2 (right) were generated by measuring optical density at 600nm, samples are color coded as follows: NC - black; A53 - orange; D2.109 - dark green; D2.109a - light green. Error bars: mean ± SD (n = 3, i.e. three separate inoculations after electroporation). **(D)** After transformation, cells were recovered in selective media before performing 10-fold serial dilutions (10^1 to 10^{-5}) and spotted on plates. One representative plate per strain is shown, the remaining plates are provided in the supplementary material (Suppl. Fig. S2 and S3).

Figure 5. Knockout of the *cmr-ß* genes leads to growth accelaration and significant changes on the transcriptomic level. **(A)** Initial growth curve following isolation of two separate *cmr-ß* knockout mutants in comparison to wildtype undergoing the same procedure for the isolation of a single colony. Growth was followed by measuring optical density at 600nm. Color code: wildtype control – grey; deletion mutant 1 (KO1) – dark green; deletion mutant 2 (KO2) – light green. Error bars, mean ± SD (n = 4, i.e. four separate inoculations). **(B)** Principal component analysis of log transformed transcriptomic data from early exponential growth phase. Ellipses indicate 95% confidence interval. Same color code as in growth curve is used. **(C)** Venn diagram showing overlap between KO1 and KO2 regarding significantly upregulated genes in comparison to wildtype. **(D)** and **(E)** Volcano plots displaying log2-fold changes (x-axis) and associated significance (-log10 adjusted p value, y-axis), comparing KO1 and KO2, respectively. Color code indicates genes that are strongly upregulated (log2FC > 1, padj ≤ 0.001, dark red), upregulated (log2FC < 1, padj ≤ 0.001, red), strongly downregulated (log2FC < -1, padj ≤ 0.001, dark blue), downregulated (log2FC > -1, padj ≤ 0.001, blue). **(F)** and **(G)** Circular genome-wide plot for KO1 and KO2, respectively, showing *Sa. solfataricus* genome with position 0 to the right. Position of origins of replication (ori) and corresponding *cdc6* genes, as well as CRISPR-Cas systems are indicated. From outside inwards, rings indicate annotated coding sequences (black), log2-transformed TPM values for control (grey) and knockout mutant (green). Log2-fold changes in expression levels are displayed for significantly upregulated (padj ≤ 0.001, red) and downregulated (padj ≤ 0.001, blue) genes.

Figure 6. Functional enrichment analysis based archaeal clusters of orthologous genes (arCOGs) for **(A)** KO1 and **(B)** KO2. Left and right side of the plot show results for significantly downregulated and upregulated genes, respectively. Grey bar size represents the number of genes expected for each category based on a hypergeometric test, whereas neighboring bars represent the found number of genes for each category. Categories with padj-value < 0.05 are considered significantly overrepresented and highlighted by solid lines around the bar. Significance levels (-log10 transformed adjusted p-value) are indicated by color scale from white to dark red.

Figure 7. Response in metabolism to deletion of type III-B CRISPR-Cas system. First circle from left to right represents log2-transformed TPM values as an approximation for expression levels in wildtype control, indicated by color bar from white (not expressed) to black (highly expressed). Log2FC in transcript levels found in KO1 and KO2 are represented in that order by the two following circles, indicated by color scale from dark blue (strongly downregulated) to dark red (strongly upregulated). Significant change (padj ≤ 0.001) is indicated by solid outlines around circles. Genes with predicted binding sites for transcription factors ThiR or XylR are indicated by star or triangle symbols, respectively.

Figure 8. Enrichment analysis of genes encoding transmembrane-domain proteins as predicted for protein products by phobius. for **(A)** KO1 and **(B)** KO2. Left and right side of the plot show results for significantly downregulated and upregulated genes, respectively. Grey bar size represents the number of genes expected for each category based on a hypergeometric test, whereas neighboring bars represent the found number of genes. Categories with padj-value < 0.05 are considered significantly overrepresented and highlighted by solid lines around the bar. Significance levels (-log10 transformed adjusted p-value) are indicated by color bar from white to dark red.

Figure 9. Adaptation Experiment Growth Curves. (A) Switching wildtype control and deletion mutant from complex to defined carbon sources sucrose and glucose causes lag phase during adaptation. Growth was followed by measuring optical density at 600nm. Wildtype control and Ko2 samples are represented by circles and triangles, respectively. Samples are color coded according to media composition as follows: Sucrose-tryptone – blue; sucrose – light blue; glucose – orange. Error bars, mean $\pm$ SD (n = 4, i.e. four separate inoculations). **(B)** and **(C)** show growth of KO2 and wildtype in glucose and sucrose media, respectively, after adaptation.

Figure 10. Transcriptomic Analysis of Cultures Adapted to Glucose and Sucrose Medium. (A) Venn diagram showing overlap in significantly upregulated genes between initial transcriptomic dataset and sucrose-tryptone condition of adaptation experiment. **(B)** Principal component analysis of log transformed transcriptomic data from early exponential growth phase. Ellipses indicate 95% confidence interval. Wildtype control and KO2 samples are represented by circles and triangles, respectively. Samples are color coded according to media composition as follows: Sucrose-tryptone – blue; sucrose – light blue; glucose – orange.