Incompatibility groups of *Pseudomonas* plasmids revisited: comprehensive analysis of R-
factors and their replicons

AUTHORS[1]

Yosuke Nishimura[1+], Kensei Kaneko[2+], Tatsuya Kamijo[2+], Nanako Isogai[2], Maho Tokuda[2], Hui
Xie[3], Yusuke Tsuda[4], Aki Hirabayashi[5], Ryota Moriuchi[6], Hideo Dohra[6,7,8], Kazuhide Kimbara[2],
Chiho Suzuki-Minakuchi[3], Hideaki Nojiri[3], Haruo Suzuki[9]*, Masato Suzuki[5]* and Masaki
Shintani[2,7,10]*

[1] Research Center for Bioscience and Nanoscience (CeBN), Japan Agency for Marine Earth
Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 2-15 Natsushima-cho, Yokosuka-city, Kanagawa, 237-0061,
Japan
[2] Department of Engineering, Graduate School of Integrated Science and Technology, Shizuoka
University, 3-5-1 Johoku, Chuo-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, 432-8561, Japan
[3] Agro-Biotechnology Research Center (AgTECH), Graduate School of Agricultural and Life
Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-8657, Japan
[4] Department of Clinical Laboratory Medicine, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine,
Yoshida Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan
[5] Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, 1-23-1
Toyama, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan
[6] Shizuoka Instrumental Analysis Center, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka,
422-8529, Japan
[7] Research Institute of Green Science and Technology, Shizuoka University, 3-5-1, Johoku,
Chuo-ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, 432-8561, Japan
[8] Department of Science, Graduate School of Integrated Science and Technology, Shizuoka
University, 3-5-1, Johoku, Chuo -ku, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, 432-8561, Japan
[9] Faculty of Environment and Information Studies, Keio University, 5322 Endo, Fujisawa,
Kanagawa 252-0882, Japan
[10] Japan Collection of Microorganisms, RIKEN BioResource Research Center, 3-1-1 Koyadai,
Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0074, Japan
† Joint authors
* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel:+81-466-47-5099; Email:
haruo@sfc.keio.ac.jp (Haruo Suzuki); Tel:+81-3-5285-1111; Email: suzuki-m@niid.go.jp
(Masato Suzuki); Tel:+81-53-478-1181; Email: shintani.masaki@shizuoka.ac.jp (Masaki
Shintani)

ABSTRACT

Bacterial plasmid incompatibility (Inc) groups, IncP-1 to IncP-14, have long been recognised as R-factors. These factors harbour antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) in *Pseudomonas* species (PIncs). Despite their importance, some PIncs plasmid remain underexplored at the sequence level. This study attempts to address this gap by determining the complete nucleotide sequences of several key plasmids. Using BLAST search and AlphaFold3-based protein structure prediction, we identified replication initiation proteins (RIPs) and origins of vegetative replication (*oriV*) for these plasmids. Notably, mini-plasmids containing these RIP and *oriV* sequences successfully replicated in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Through pangenome analyses using the PLSDB database with the experimentally identified RIP gene reference, 2,351/59,895 plasmids were classified, and their core and accessory genes, including ARGs, were detected. Furthermore, phylogenetic analyses of the RIPs with publicly available protein sequences enabled the grouping of existing PIncs into distinct evolutionary lineages, providing a robust framework for identifying RIPs across a broader range of previously uncharacterised plasmids. The RIPs of 8,860 plasmids in the PLSDB were newly assigned. These findings offer crucial insights into the complex landscape of plasmid-mediated ARG dissemination, marking a significant advancement in the understanding of *Pseudomonas* plasmids across clinical and environmental contexts.

INTRODUCTION

Plasmids are self-replicating mobile genetic elements (MGEs) that play a key role in facilitating the exchange of genetic information among bacterial cells through conjugation or transformation. Plasmids, with their diverse functions, have emerged as the primary MGEs responsible for disseminating antimicrobial resistance genes (ARGs) among bacterial populations, which are classically known as R-factors and represent a threat to global public health and antimicrobial development [1]. The accurate classification of plasmids is therefore indispensable for gaining valuable insights into the epidemiology of plasmid-mediated antimicrobial resistance, which would allow us to identify specific plasmids carrying ARGs. This precision of classification is paramount for conducting genomic epidemiological studies [2, 3]. Historically, a primary method for classifying plasmids has been based on the concept of incompatibility (Inc) grouping, which relies on the phenotypic interactions between host cells harbouring two different plasmids [4]. Plasmids that cannot co-reside within the same host cell line belong to the same Inc group, indicating that their replication or maintenance systems are similar.

ESKAPE pathogens, which include *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* spp., have acquired clinically significant ARGs via plasmids and are recognised as key multidrug-resistant bacterial species, and novel antimicrobial agents must be developed to combat these species [5]. The genus *Pseudomonas*, including *P. aeruginosa*, has been extensively studied because of its diverse nature, widespread presence, and clinical significance as a causative agent of

various infections (6). Since the 1970s, a series of Inc groups (from IncP-1 to IncP-14) have
been proposed based on incompatibility tests conducted on the genus *Pseudomonas* (Table 1)
(7). In parallel, within the order *Enterobacterales*, which includes *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella*
species, *Enterobacter* spp., and related bacteria, Inc groups ranging from IncA to IncZ were
defined based on their host organisms (8). Notably, the same plasmid can be classified into
different Inc groups depending on the bacterial host, with examples such as IncP-1 being
equivalent to IncP, IncP-3 corresponding to either IncA or IncC, IncP-4 being the same as IncQ,
and IncP-6 aligning with IncG. Based on these classifications, extensive studies have been
conducted on the fundamental characteristics of these plasmids, including their replication,
maintenance systems, and transmissibility (Table 1) (9–12).

However, the terminology surrounding these classifications can be confusing. The IncP-1 to
IncP-14 groups, commonly referred to as "Inc groups in *Pseudomonas*," are often mistakenly
labelled as "IncP" or "IncP groups". Notably, "IncP" specifically refers to a single group within
the classification of plasmids in *Enterobacterales*, corresponding only to the IncP-1 group in
Pseudomonas. To avoid confusion, we refer to the Inc groups in *Pseudomonas* as "PIncs."
Recent advancements in sequence technologies have enabled the complete sequencing of
several PIncs plasmids, providing detailed insights into their genetic makeup (13–16).
Nevertheless, significant gaps exist in our understanding of certain PIncs groups, including
IncP-5, IncP-11, IncP-12, IncP-13, or IncP-14, and only a partial DNA region is deposited for
IncP-10 (Table 1). This is likely due to the narrow host range of some of these plasmids, which
cannot be transmitted to *E. coli* from their original host *P. aeruginosa* via conjugation (17).

In this study, we present the complete nucleotide sequences of five R plasmids, i.e., Rms139
(IncP-2) (18), Rms163 (IncP-5) (19, 20), Rsu2 (IncP-9), RP1-1 (IncP-11) (21), R716 (IncP-12) (22),
and pMG26 (IncP-13) (23, 24). Additionally, through *in silico* analyses, we identified a putative
representative plasmid for IncP-10, similar to the archetype IncP-10 plasmid, R91-5 (25, 26).
After identifying their replication-initiation protein (RIP) genes, we reclassified these plasmids
in a publicly accessible database (27) and proposed the introduction of two new plasmid
groups in *Pseudomonas*: IncP-15 and IncP-16. Furthermore, several other unclassified plasmids
in the genus *Pseudomonas*, which harbour clinically important ARGs, such as genes conferring
resistance to carbapenem and tigecycline, were examined. Two additional groups of plasmids,
pQBR103-like and pSTY-like plasmids, were further discussed as unassigned PIncs groups. The
elucidation of plasmid diversity and classification within PIncs is vital for deepening our
understanding of genetic exchange mechanisms and the dissemination of ARGs. We aim to
address the existing gaps in plasmid classification by proposing updated identification schemes
of RIPs. Using RIP data elucidated in this study, we could accurately classify 2,351 plasmids
within PLSDB, one of the plasmid databases containing 59,985 plasmids with their whole
nucleotide sequences (27, 28), of which 27,126 have not yet been classified. The identified RIP
information with previously known information was used to infer phylogenetic trees of
putative RIPs from publicly available protein sequences (UniParc). Our analysis revealed the
following: (i) except IncP-6, all other plasmids possess a winged-helix (WH) domain (named
WH RIPs), exhibiting homology with each other, indicating a shared evolutionary origin. Based

on reconstructed phylogeny, we propose a bifurcated evolutionary scenario of WH RIPs; one
lineage that includes IncP-5, -9, -10, and -11, and another distinct lineage. (ii) IncP-6, in
contrast, belongs to the superfamily of archaeo-eukaryotic primases (AEPs) and represents a
distinct evolutionary lineage.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and culture conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used are listed in Table 2. *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* strains
were cultured in Luria broth (LB) or M9 broth (29) at 30°C (*P. putida* KT2440) or 37°C (*P.*
aeruginosa PAO1 and its derivatives). LB plates containing 1.5% agar were used for filter
mating. Ampicillin (Ap, 50 μg/mL), piperacillin (Pip, 16 μg/mL), rifampicin (Rif, 50 μg/mL),
streptomycin (Sm 150 μg/mL), and tetracycline (Tc, 50 μg/mL for the others) were added to
the medium.

DNA manipulation

Total DNA from the bacterial strains was extracted using a NucleoSpin Tissue Kit (TAKARA BIO,
Japan). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on a T100 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad
Laboratories, USA) using the KOD One PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Japan). The primers used in
this study are listed in Table S1. The following amplification conditions were used: 30 cycles at
98°C for 10 s, 67°C for 5 s, and 68°C for 1 s. The pUC-GW plasmid with DNA fragments
including putative RIP genes and *oriV*s of each plasmid, except those for RP1-1 and pMG26,
was synthesised by the custom service of Azenta Life Science, USA. Restriction enzymes (New
England Biolabs, USA or TAKARA BIO), the HiYieldTM Gel/PCR DNA fragments Extraction kit
(RBC Bioscience, Taiwan), NEBuilder Hifi DNA Assembly system (New England Biolabs), and
competent *E. coli* JM109 and DH5α cells (RBC Bioscience) were used for cloning the DNA
fragments. Plasmid DNA from the bacterial strains was extracted using the NucleoSpin Plasmid
EasyPure Kit (TAKARA BIO). Electroporation to introduce the plasmids into different bacterial
strains was performed using a MicroPulser electroporator (Bio-Rad Laboratories). All other
procedures were performed according to standard methods (29).

Conjugation assays

Filter mating assays were performed as described previously (30). In brief, donor and recipient
strains, *P. aeruginosa* PAO1808 with a plasmid and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1R or *P. putida* KT2440,
were cultured in LB with the appropriate antibiotic(s) (preculture). One millilitre of the above
culture in LB medium was harvested and suspended in fresh LB medium. Approximately 10^8
colony forming units/mL (CFU/mL) of the donor and recipient suspended in 150 μL LB were
mixed and then dropped on 0.2 μm pore-size filters (cellulose acetate, Advantec) on an LB agar
plate for 24 h at 37°C. The mixture on the filter was resuspended in PBS and then spread onto
LB plates with appropriate antimicrobials to select transconjugants (i.e., recipient cells with the
plasmid). Liquid mating assays were performed as follows. The precultured donor cells were

resuspended in 500 μL LB, mixed with 1 mL recipient cells for 2 h (200 rpm), and statically

incubated for 3 h at 37°C. The cells were then harvested, resuspended in PBS, and spread onto

LB plates with the appropriate antibiotics to select the transconjugants.

Complete sequencing of the plasmids by next-generation sequencing

The nucleotide sequences of the plasmid DNAs were determined as follows: whole genomic

DNA extracted from their host (*P. aeruginosa* PAO1808, a derivative strain of PAO1 [31]) was

sequenced using the HiSeq2500 platform (Illumina, USA). Trimmed high-quality short reads

(read length >140 bp and quality score >15) were assembled using SPAdes v3.14.0 using the

plasmid option [32]. If circular contig(s) could not be found, the host chromosomal DNA was

removed by mapping the resultant contigs on the host genome sequences [their deposited

sequences, that is, DDBJ/GenBank accession number NC_002516 (*P. aeruginosa* PAO1)] using

Geneious Prime software v2020.01 [33]. Subsequently, the plasmid reads were extracted from

the remaining contigs using SAMtools v1.7 [34] and SeqKit v0.8.0 [35] and reassembled using

SPAdes [36]. For RP1-1 and pMG26, gaps in the plasmids were closed by PCR with the designed

primer sets based on the ends of contigs and Sanger sequencing of the PCR products.

Gene annotation of plasmids

Gene annotations of the plasmids were performed using DFAST-core v1.2.5 [37] with an in-

house database of plasmid sequences collected from NCBI RefSeq and then corrected

manually. Synteny analyses of plasmids were performed using Easyfig v2.2.2 [38]. Other *in*

silico analyses were performed using Geneious Prime v2020.01 [33]. The annotated plasmid

sequences were deposited under the following GenBank accession numbers: LC685025,

LC685026, LC685027, LC685593, and LC700336.

Detection and phenotype identification of ARGs

ARG sequences were detected using Staramr v0.10.0 and ResFinder database v2.2.1 updated

on 2023-10-27 (https://bitbucket.org/genomicepidemiology/resfinder_db) [39, 40] with the

following criteria: ≥ 90% identity and ≥ 60% coverage. Clinically important ARGs, including

genes conferring resistance to carbapenem, colistin, or tigecycline, in Gram-negative bacteria

were further detected based on the phenotype information of ARGs in AMRFinderPlus

v3.11.26 updated on 2023-11-16 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/antimicrobial-

resistance/AMRFinder/) [41].

Prediction of RIP genes and oriVs of each plasmid

To identify the genes encoding the RIP and origin of vegetative replication (*oriV*) regions of

Rms139, Rms163, RP1-1, and R716, two prediction approaches were used; after coding

sequence (CDS) annotations using DFAST-core, protein.faa files generated by DFAST-core were

used for the following: (i) nucleotide sequence-based searches were performed using BLASTn;

(ii) amino acid sequence-based protein structure prediction and the structure-based searches

were performed as described later. The GC-skew value, which has been commonly used to

measure the asymmetry in the composition of two nucleotides, guanine (G) and cytosine (C),
along a DNA strand and is defined as (G-C)/(G+C), of the plasmids was used by Webskew
(https://genskew.csb.univie.ac.at/webskew) to detect the changes in the GC skew, which
indicates the DNA replication origins or termini [42, 43]. Subsequently, the nucleotide or
amino acid sequences of putative CDSs around the above GC-skew shift point(s) were
subjected to methods (i) or (ii). The putative promoter region of the RIP gene was predicted
using BPROM
(http://www.softberry.com/berry.phtml?topic=bprom&group=help&subgroup=gfindb) [44].
Subsequently, the predicted RIP and *oriV* sequences of each PIncs were cloned into the pUC-
GW-Amp vector (Azenta Life Science). Transformation of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1808 was
performed with each of the resultant plasmids (at most 472 ng/μL), and the cultures were
spread onto LB+Pip plates. After incubation at 37ºC overnight, colonies on the plates were
isolated. Next, the presence of the mini-plasmid was confirmed by PCR with specific primers
after extraction of total DNA of transformants.

Protein structure prediction of RIPs

AlphaFold3-mediated protein structure prediction was performed using the amino acid
sequences of the RIP gene products in representative *Pseudomonas* plasmids
(https://figshare.com/s/9554d4fc982d7929fb77) on the AlphaFold server [45]. A structure-
based protein homology search using the predicted structural models was performed for the
PDB100 database on the FoldSeek server in 3Di/AA mode [46]. The predicted and
experimental structures were visualised and compared using PyMOL v3.0.3. The predicted
structures were coloured based on the root mean square deviation (RMSD) from the reference
experimental structures, i.e., the Pi or Rep19A proteins, which are RIPs of the R6K and pCA-347
plasmids, respectively [47, 48], using the ColorByRMSD script
(https://pymolwiki.org/index.php/ColorByRMSD). The distances between aligned C-alpha atom
pairs were stored as B-factors of these residues, which are coloured using a colour spectrum,
with blue specifying the minimum pairwise RMSD and red indicating the maximum. Unaligned
residues were coloured grey.

Identification, retrieval, and re-annotation of plasmids from public databases

Complete plasmid sequences were downloaded in FASTA format using the Entrez
Programming Utilities (E-utilities; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK25501/) from a
public database (PLSDB 2023_11_03_v2) (https://figshare.com/s/915a336484ee084ffbea) [27,
28]. To classify the plasmids in the PLSDB based on their rep sequence similarity, the RIP gene
sequences were detected using Staramr v0.10.0 (https://github.com/phac-nml/staramr) and
rep databases of MOB-suite v3.1.8 updated on 2023-12-12 (https://github.com/phac-
nml/mob-suite) (https://figshare.com/s/233faa319102390218e5) [49], PlasmidFinder v2.1
updated on 2023-12-04 (https://bitbucket.org/genomicepidemiology/plasmidfinder_db)
(https://figshare.com/s/7de82d807b4a1630f047) [50], and our custom-made *Pseudomonas*
plasmid RIP gene database (termed repP database,
https://figshare.com/s/28e3204f6991d6ce0c11) with the following criteria: ≥90% identity

and ≥60% coverage. The repP database was constructed using experimentally identified RIP
genes from the 87 reference *Pseudomonas* plasmids shown in Table S2. Furthermore,
duplicated RIP sequences between the MOB-suite and repP databases (Table S3) and incorrect
RIP sequences, including uncertain IncP and rep_cluster_1115, were manually removed from
the MOB-suite database. The modified MOB-suite database
(https://figshare.com/s/3e3ced8633136259f678) was used to detect multi-replicon
Pseudomonas plasmids, which are single plasmids that can belong to more than one group
other than the PIncs groups. Among the candidate IncP-1 plasmid sequences based on the
similarity to the RIP sequences, artificially constructed sequences not explicitly labelled as such
("other entries;other sequences;artificial sequences") in the NCBI taxonomy database
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy) were also present. We identified six IncP-1
plasmids (PD584_L3, PD689_delTT1, pJM105, pJM50, pRK2013, and pUZ8002) through a
literature survey, and manually removed these sequences from the putative IncP-1 plasmid
sequences.

For the final dataset, the sequence length (bp) and GC content (%) were calculated using
SeqKit v2.3.0 (https://bioinf.shenwei.me/seqkit/) (35). Plasmid sequences belonging to each
PIncs group were re-annotated using DFAST-core, with a reference genome from each group
to ensure consistency in the functional annotations. GFF3 annotation files produced by DFAST-
core were subsequently used as input files for PIRATE, a toolbox for pangenome analysis (51).

Pangenome analysis of plasmids

A pangenome, i.e., the entire set of protein genes from all members of a defined group (all the
plasmids of the PIncs group), was analysed using PIRATE
(https://github.com/SionBayliss/PIRATE). Here, we used DIAMOND v0.9.14 (52) rather than
BLAST (53) to identify homologous genes (protein families) in PIRATE. PIRATE was run on
default parameters with a high-scoring segment pair (HSP) query length threshold of 0.5, a
sequence similarity step range of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, and 98%, and an MCL
v14.137 inflation value of 2. A locally optimal alignment with no gaps is called a HSP in BLAST
(54). MCL is a Markov cluster algorithm (https://micans.org/mcl/). The core genes in each
PIncs group were those that were present in more than 95% of the plasmids included in the
pangenome analysis. Statistical computing and result visualisation were performed using R
v4.1.3 (https://www.r-project.org/). The output of SeqKit and PIRATE are available in Figshare
(https://figshare.com/s/0f2aa75d1eb4c5848a32).

Phylogenetic analysis of the RIPs

Detailed methods are provided in Supplementary Text S1 and illustrated in Figure S1. In brief,
to analyse the evolutionary relationships of the RIPs of PIncs, we identified 2,374 RIPs that
originated from 2,332 PInc plasmids. Subsequently, 251 non-redundant proteins at 100%
identity were extracted using MMseqs cluster v15-6f452 (55). Sequence homology between
the non-redundant proteins was assessed using BLASTp (53), and the resultant hits were
visualised via CPAP (https://github.com/yosuken/CPAP). To detect remote homology between

PIncs, we extended the sequence diversity by collecting homologous sequences through a two-
step homology search process. In the first step, PLSDB proteins predicted with orfM (n =
20,331,985) [56] were searched using hmmsearch of HMMER v3.3.2 [57]. In the second step,
UniParc proteins (downloaded on Feb 2024; n = 607,912,929) were searched using
hmmsearch. We obtained 6,948 non-redundant sequences (at 60% identity) that were
classified into 11 homologous groups. To investigate whether these groups exhibit homology
with each other, a highly sensitive hidden Markov model (HMM)–HMM comparison was
performed using HHblits v3.3.0 [58]. We extracted the homologous regions of the alignments
of the 10 groups (containing 6,306 sequences in total). The secondary structures of the
homologous regions were predicted and visualised based on the crystal structures (PDB: 2NRA,
4PT7) and alphafold3 predictions using iCn3D
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/icn3d/) [59]. After removing the gappy sequences,
we realigned the remaining 6,265 sequences using mafft v7.455 [60].

A maximum-likelihood phylogeny of WH RIPs was reconstructed using the alignment and IQ-
TREE v2.2.2.6 [61]. The best fit model (Q.pfam+F+R4) was selected using ModelFinder [62]. We
evaluated the supporting values of the best tree with UFBoot2 [63] via IQ-TREE and transfer
bootstrap expectation (TBE) via BOOSTER v0.1.2 [64]. We discarded the abnormally long
branches using treeshrink [65] and redundant sequences. The resultant tree was rooted based
on the PG5/PG9/PG10 superclade (n = 400 sequences) using gotree v0.4.0 [66]. The tree-
associated UniParc proteins and PLSDB plasmids were identified based on sequence homology
search results, and subsequently, the consensus taxonomic affiliation for each leaf was
identified. For a detailed description, see Supplementary Text S1 and Figure S2. Phylogenetic
tree–related files are available in Figshare (https://figshare.com/s/7303f34f6d8b12214f01).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overview of plasmid sequences

The genomes of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1808, each harbouring one of the six plasmids (Rms139,
Rms163, Rsu2, RP1-1, R716, and pMG26), were sequenced. Thus, the complete nucleotide
sequences for each R factor were successfully obtained (Table 1). Notably, although previous
studies have mostly focussed on IncP-9 plasmids, we also determined the complete nucleotide
sequence of an ancestral R plasmid from the IncP-9 group, known as Rsu2 or R2 in certain
literature, which was originally isolated at Shinshu University in Japan [67, 68]. Regarding
pMG26, no circular contigs were identified following the removal of reads mapped to the
reference genome, the chromosome of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1. Instead, a unique 99-kb DNA
region was identified within the chromosome of its host, PAO1808, which distinguished it from
other plasmid hosts.

Identification of the RIP gene in each R plasmid

RIPs of the representative plasmids in each PInc group were identified by nucleotide sequence-based search and/or structure-based search (see Materials and Methods).

The IncP-2 plasmid Rms139 harbours a single RIP gene, called *repP-2A*, with its putative *oriV* identified between nucleotides 2,395 and 3,150 (23). This *oriV* region contains nine repeats of an 11-bp sequence [5'-TA(G/C)TCAGGTTT-3'], two putative DnaA boxes [5'-TT(A/T)(T/G)C(T/C)(A/T)CA-3'], and an A+T-rich region (Figure 1). A mini-Rms139A construct, containing the *repP-2A* gene and *oriV*, was successfully introduced into *P. aeruginosa* via electroporation, achieving a transformation frequency of 5.0×10^3 CFU/µg-DNA. No transformants were detected with the control vector pUC-GW-AMP (<3.4×10^0 CFU/µg-DNA).

Initially, no RIP gene was detected in the IncP-5 plasmid Rms163 through nucleotide sequence-based searches. Subsequently, a GC-skew plot of Rms163 was generated (Figure S3), and three nearby CDSs were selected for three-dimensional structure prediction. One CDS showed structural similarity to the replication initiator A family proteins, specifically to Rep19A of pCA-347 with RMSD = 4.2 (Figure 2B). Downstream of this gene, a putative *oriV* region was identified with characteristic repeats, palindromic sequences, a putative DnaA box, and an A+T-rich region (Figure 1). Transformation of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 with a mini-Rms163A construct containing the RIP gene candidate and *oriV* resulted in a transformation efficiency of 4.4×10^1 CFU/µg-DNA. This candidate gene was subsequently named *repP-5A*.

Although the complete sequence of the plasmid previously identified as IncP-10 is not available in public databases, a 2.7-kb DNA sequence from the archetype IncP-10 plasmid R91-5 (25) was used as the query. This sequence was previously identified as the replication region of R91-5 through incompatibility testing (26). We identified 45 plasmids in the PLSDB with regions similar to this 2.7-kb fragment, including an unnamed plasmid from the *P. aeruginosa* strain PAB546 (GenBank accession number NZ_MN433456.1), which we tentatively named pPAB546. While no RIP gene was initially annotated in this plasmid, a manual search similar to that used for IncP-5 led to the identification of a putative RIP similar to Rep19A (RMSD = 5.4) (Figure 2B), encoded by a gene near the conserved 2.7-kb region (Figure 1). The transformation of mini-pPAB546 into *P. aeruginosa* yielded a frequency of 1.7×10^2 CFU/µg-DNA.

The IncP-11 plasmid RP1-1 possessed a putative CDS classified as rep_cluster_2025 by the MOB-suite package (49), located at nucleotides 54,711-54,953 of the RP1-1 sequence (GenBank accession number LC700336). A nearby *oriV* region featured four 12-bp repeats and an A+T-rich region, although no DnaA box was identified (Figure 1). Initial transformation attempts with a pUC-GW-AMP construct harbouring these regions failed to yield transformants (<8.0×10^1 CFU/µg-DNA). However, a downstream CDS, showing 61% identity with the replication initiator protein RepA from a *P. stutzeri* plasmid-like contig, was cloned along with the *oriV* region. The constructed mini-RP1-1A successfully transformed *P. aeruginosa* at a frequency of 1.3×10^2 CFU/µg-DNA (Figure 1), leading to the designation of the CDS as *repP-11A*.

Similar to the IncP-2 plasmid, the IncP-12 plasmid R716 contained a putative RIP gene, *repP-*

12A, encoding a trans-acting replication function (TrfA) family protein (pfam07042), which is

analogous to the RIPs found in IncP-1 plasmids. Downstream of *repP-12A*, we identified eight

repeats of a 14-bp sequence (5'-CGTCGTCCGACGCA-3'), one putative DnaA box (5'-

TTATCCATA-3'), and an A+T-rich region (Figure 1). A mini-R716A construct containing *repP-12A*

and *oriV* was successfully introduced into *P. aeruginosa* via electroporation, with a

transformation frequency of 1.1×10^3 CFU/μg-DNA.

pMG26 (IncP-13) is not a plasmid but an integrative and conjugative element (ICE)

The plasmid pMG26, previously identified as a multidrug resistance plasmid and classified as

IncP-13 [17], did not yield a circular contig during assembly, even after removing reads

mapping to the PAO1808 chromosome. Instead, a 99-kb DNA region was detected within the

chromosome of its host, PAO1808, which was not conserved in the hosts of other plasmids.

This observation led to the hypothesis that pMG26 might function as an ICE, similar to the

reclassification of FP8 as ICEPae1161 [69].

To investigate this hypothesis, PCR analyses were performed to determine whether an

intermediate circular DNA form of the 99-kb DNA region could be generated in the host

PAO1808. As shown in Figure S4, PCR products were obtained using three different primer

sets, suggesting that the pMG26 could indeed form a circular intermediate within its host.

Notably, 49-bp direct repeats (5'-

ATGGTGGGTCGTGTAGGATTCGAACCTACGACCAATTGGTTAAAAGCCA-3') were identified at both

ends of the integrated DNA region. The predicted circular form of pMG26 was 99,171 bp in

length and was integrated into the *tRNA*$_{Lys}$ locus situated between the *lepA* and *clpB* region in

the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 chromosome (5,086,973 nt, NC_002516).

The 99-kb DNA region of pMG26 encoded a MOB$_H$ type relaxase and MPF$_G$ family type IV

secretion system (T4SS) (Table 1). To test its conjugative transferability, both filter mating and

liquid mating assays were performed between *P. aeruginosa* PAO1808 (donor) carrying pMG26

and *P. putida* KT2440 (recipient). The element was successfully transferred from the donor to

the recipient strain, with transfer frequencies of $1.4\text{-}1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ per donor (filter mating) and

$0.28\text{-}3.4 \times 10^{-5}$ (liquid mating) per donor (Table 3). These results strongly suggest that pMG26

functions as an ICE.

Additionally, PCR analysis revealed that pMG26 integrated at three different *tRNA*$_{Lys}$ sites on

the KT2440 chromosome (PP_t09, PP_t11 and PP_t13). Notably, the entire DNA region of

pMG26 exhibited a high degree of similarity with that of pKLC102 [70] (Figure S5), a member of

the PAPI-1 family of ICEs, which are abundant in *P. aeruginosa* [70]. Further analyses using

MOB-suite indicated that rep_cluster_322 was present in both pMG26 and pKLC102. The

putative *oriV* region of pKLC102 [70] was also identified in pMG26.

Despite these similarities, no transformants were detected when a mini-pMG26A containing
the rep_cluster_322 CDS and putative *oriV* region of pMG26 was introduced into *P. aeruginosa*
(below the detection limit, <2.0 x 10^1 CFU/μg-DNA). This result was not unexpected because
the autonomous replication of ICE is typically mediated by rolling-circle replication involving
their relaxases (71).

These findings suggest that pMG26, originally classified as an IncP-13 plasmid, functions as an
ICE, with a potential role in the horizontal transfer of multidrug resistance genes in
Pseudomonas spp. The high similarity with pKLC102 further supports this reclassification and
underscores the significance of ICEs in the evolution and dissemination of resistance
determinants.

Transferability of other R-factors

The transferability of R plasmids Rms139 (IncP-2), Rms169 (IncP-5), Rsu2 (IncP-9), RP1-1 (IncP-
11), and R716 (IncP-12) was assessed using both filter mating and liquid mating assays. All
plasmids were successfully transferred from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1808 to *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 via
filter mating on solid media, indicating their self-transmissible nature (Table 3). Additionally,
Rms139 was successfully transferred to *P. putida* KT2440 (Table 3).

However, no transconjugants of Rms163 were detected in the liquid mating assay (below the
detection limit, <2.0 × 10^{-7} per donor), suggesting that the conjugation system of Rms163 is
specifically adjusted for transfer under solid conditions. This may reflect the differences in the
environmental conditions or surface requirements for effective mating formation (72, 73).

MOB-suite analysis revealed that the IncP-2 plasmid Rms139 carried the MPF_I family T4SS and
MOB_P type relaxase gene (Table 1). In contrast, neither the previously known MOB type
relaxase nor the MPF family T4SS components were identified in the IncP-5 plasmid Rms163 or
IncP-12 plasmid R716. Instead, both Rms163 and R716 were found to harbour defect in
organelle trafficking (Dot) or intracellular multiplication (Icm) systems, which are known as
type IVB secretion systems (74). Similarly, the IncP-11 plasmid RP1-1 (GenBank accession
number LC700336) lacked the previously known MOB type relaxase and MPF families but
possessed putative genes for relaxase (34,618-35,376 nt), type IV coupling protein (32,822-
30,702 nt), and proteins involved in mating pair formation.

These findings confirm that all the R-factors sequenced in this study are self-transmissible,
although they utilise diverse mechanisms for conjugation. This diversity in conjugation systems
highlights the varied strategies employed by plasmids to spread across bacterial populations,
which has important implications for the dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes.

Reclassifications of plasmids in PLSDB based on RIPs of PIncs

Based on the nucleotide sequences of the identified RIP and *oriV* regions of the plasmids of
IncP-1 to IncP-13, new Inc groups within the *Pseudomonas* genus could be proposed. In our
previous studies, novel plasmid groups hosted by *Pseudomonas*, known as PromA group and
pSN1216-29-like plasmids, were identified (75–78). As the nucleotide sequences of their RIP
gene and *oriV* region differed from those of IncP-1 to IncP-13, these new plasmid groups were
designated as IncP-15 and IncP-16, respectively.

Several other important *Pseudomonas*-derived plasmids carrying ARGs have not yet been
assigned to any Inc group. For instance, pQBR103 is a large 425-kb plasmid identified in
Pseudomonas strains colonising the leaf and root surfaces of sugar beet plants (79, 80). This
plasmid contains two putative RIP genes located at 339,909-341,066 nt and 388,322-389,491
nt. Notably, the latter RIP gene exhibited low identity (46.2% at the nucleotide level) with
repP-2A. Recently, similar large-size plasmids (>400 kb) have been identified, which carry the
tmexCD-toprJ gene cluster, encoding a resistance-modulation-division (RND) family efflux
pump (81), conferring resistance to multiple classes of antimicrobials including tigecycline.

Another plasmid of interest is pSTY, which was identified in the styrene degrading
Pseudomonas strain VLB120 (82). Recently, plasmids similar to pSTY have been reported to
carry carbapenem resistance genes, bla_{VIM} (83). Their RIP genes and *oriV* regions were
predicted, leading to their provisional classification as Inc_{pSTY}, despite the absence of
incompatibility testing (83). The identification and classification of such plasmids are crucial
because they expand our understanding of the diversity and evolutionary trajectories of ARG-
bearing plasmids in *Pseudomonas*.

To identify and accurately classify similar plasmids within the publicly available PLSDB
(2023_11_03_v2, containing 59,895 plasmids) into specific PIncs, we prepared custom-made
RIP reference sequences (Table S2). These reference sequences included the newly identified
RIP gene sequences of PIncs, excluding IncP-8 and IncP-13 (ICEs) and IncP-14 (not available at
the time). Using these custom sequences, 2,357 plasmids were identified that could be
classified into PIncs (Table 1, S4). This approach allowed for the classification of 530 plasmids
that were not previously classified by the PlasmidFinder database and 1,514 plasmids that
were not previously classified by the MOB-suite package database, resulting in a more
comprehensive plasmid classification. Notably, our custom-made RIP reference corrected 109
misclassifications identified by the MOB-suite package database, underscoring the accuracy of
our approach (https://figshare.com/s/915a336484ee084ffbea).

The distribution of the 2,357 plasmids across each PIncs group is summarised in Table 1 and
Table S4. PIRATE analyses were performed to identify core genes present in 95–100% of the
plasmids within each PIncs group (Table 1, S5-1~S5-14), revealing several key features. For
instance, the genome sizes of IncP-2, pQBR103-like plasmids, and pSTY-like plasmids were
exceptionally large (Table 1, S4, Figure 3A). Additionally, the IncP-1 and IncP-4 plasmids
exhibited a wider range of G+C content compared to other groups of plasmids (Figure 3B).
Regarding the numbers of core genes, IncP-2, IncP-12, and pQBR103-like plasmids had more
core genes than other groups (Table 1, S4, Figure 3C). Furthermore, over 20% of the plasmids

in the IncP-2, P-3, P-4, P-6 P-10, and P-11 groups were identified as multi-replicons, meaning
that they carried additional RIP genes besides the *repA* gene of PIncs (Table 1, S4, Figure 3D).
Importantly, more than 60% of the IncP-2, P-3, P-4, P-6, P-10, and pQBR103-like plasmids
harboured ARGs, with several of these groups, IncP-2, P-6, P-10, and pQBR103-like plasmids,
containing ARGs of significant clinical importance (Figure 3D).

IncP-1 (=IncP) plasmids

In our previous study, 14 subgroups within IncP-1, denoted as IncP-1αβγδεζηθικλμορ, were
proposed based on the incompatibility tests (78). In this study, we tentatively propose an
additional subgroup, IncP/P-1π, which includes 41 plasmids (Table S4), including pEP5289.
Although pEP5289 was previously identified as a member of the IncP-1 group found in the
genus *Neisseria* (84), it has not been experimentally demonstrated whether this plasmid is
incompatible with other IncP-1 plasmids. Furthermore, the host range of these plasmids is
limited to the genus *Neisseria*, a feature that may distinguish them from other IncP-1 plasmids.
A total of 25 core genes were identified across 321 plasmids in this group, the average
numbers of which were 65.7 (Table 1, S4, S5-1).

IncP-2 plasmids

Plasmids belonging to the IncP-2 group exhibit significantly larger average DNA sizes of
approximately 440 kb. Notably, 293 core genes were identified within this group (Table 1, S4,
S5-2), a number significantly higher than that in the other PIncs group. This was around 53% of
the average gene numbers (293/549.8) of 87 plasmids in IncP-2 (Table S4). As previously
reported (85), the megaplasmid family known as pBT2436 and pRBL16 (14, 15), associated
with ARGs, has been recognised as part of the IncP-2 plasmids. Given their large size, specific
gene sets in the plasmids are likely crucial for maintaining these plasmids within their hosts.
Interestingly, one core gene product exhibited identity with the Tus protein (Table S5-2),
known for binding to DNA replication terminus (*ter*) sites of replicons (86). This protein,
encoded by rep_cluster_1115 in the MOB-suite database, was found not only in all IncP-2
plasmids but also in nine other large-sized plasmids (113–527 kb) and, therefore, was removed
from our custom-made RIP reference sequences
(https://figshare.com/s/3e3ced8633136259f678).

IncP-3A (=IncA) or IncP-3C (IncC)

The IncP-3 group, also known as the IncA/C group, was recently reclassified into two separate
Inc groups, IncA and IncC (87). Although RIP genes within IncA and IncC plasmids are highly
conserved (>98% identity), the differences in the iteron numbers, spacing, and locations could
make them compatible (87). PIRATE analysis revealed that these plasmids share 48 core genes
(24.0% of average gene numbers, 48/200.1) (Table 1, S4, S5-3), but the accessory genes in the
IncP-3 plasmids exhibited greater diversity (Figure 3C). Notably, most IncP-3 (94.5%) plasmids

carried at least one ARG (Table 1, S4), indicating that these plasmids are significant vectors for
ARGs.

IncP-4 (=IncQ) plasmids

IncP-4 plasmids, also known as the IncQ group, exhibit a wide range of DNA sizes, from 1.7 to
412 kb, with an average of 121 kb (Table 1, Figure 3A). These plasmids are characterised by the
presence of *repBAC* genes, which are essential for their single-strand displacement replication
(12). However, only two core genes *repA* and *repC* (*repP-4A*) were consistently observed across
this group, which was a rather small ratio for their average gene numbers, i.e., 1.5% of 134.1
(Table 1, S4, S5-4). This was possibly due to the presence of multi-replicons in the IncP-4
group, with only 29.1% plasmids containing a single replicon (Table S4).

IncP-5 plasmids

Only one plasmid closely related to Rms163, an IncP-5 plasmid, was identified in the PLSDB
(Table 1). This limited representation in the database may not reflect the true prevalence of
these plasmids in natural environments. ARGs in Rms163 were located within a Tn*3*-like
transposon and a remnant of class 1 integron (Figure S6), with nucleotide sequences showing
100% identity to segments of Tn*5393* and class 1 integron previously observed in an IncP-9
plasmid, pSN0726-36, isolated from a soil sample in Japan (78). Interestingly, the *repP-5A* gene
in Rms163 exhibited 100% identity with the corresponding gene in pPALA50 (GenBank
accession number CP111035.1), a gene recently discovered in *P. aeruginosa* isolated from the
sputum of a patient with cystic fibrosis (88). Despite the absence of ARGS in pPALA50, the high
conservation of other genetic elements between Rms163 and pPALA50 is notable (Figure S6).

IncP-6 (=IncG) plasmids

IncP-6 plasmids, similar to IncP-4, exhibited diverse DNA sizes ranging from 12 to 497 kb
(Figure 3A). Three core genes were identified, including *repP-6A*, *parC* (involved in plasmid
partitioning (89)), and a putative recombinase/transposase gene (Table S5-5). This was a small
ratio of average gene numbers, i.e., 5.7% (3/52.7) (Table S4). The archetype plasmid of IncP-6,
Rms149, is incompatible with IncU plasmids including pRA3 and pFBAOT6 (90). Although they
share the *repP-6A* and *parC* genes, the backbone genes between IncP-6 and IncU plasmids
differ (90, 91), suggesting the potential need for further subgroup classification. In this study,
the IncP-6 (IncG) and IncU plasmids were separately recognised.

IncP-7 plasmids

IncP-7 plasmids shared 10 core genes (5.2% per 191.9 average gene numbers, Table S4),
including *repA* (*repP-7A*), and *parWAB* genes, which are involved in plasmid partitioning (92)

(Table S5-6). Interestingly, some IncP-7 plasmids, including pWW53 and pND6-1 (93, 94),
lacked genes for conjugative transfer, likely explaining why the numbers of core genes were
small (Table S4). Among these 23 plasmids, only four carried ARGs, whereas nine others
carried catabolic genes, including pCAR1(note that three derivative plasmids has been
deposited), pDK1, pWW53, pND6-1, pPHE24 (95), and unnamed plasmids (GenBank accession
number NZ_CP071901) (96).

IncP-9 plasmids

The 10 core genes of IncP-9 plasmids (9.3% per average core gene numbers, 10/107.6 (Table
S4)) included *rep* (*repP-9A*), genes for plasmid partition, and a single-strand DNA binding
protein (*ssb*) (Table S5-7). The plasmid sizes ranged from 38 to 483 kb. Notably, some smaller
plasmids did not carry any genes for conjugative transfer (Table S5-7), whereas the largest
plasmid, pKF715A (GenBank accession number NZ_AP015030.1), carried the *bph-sal* element
(97). IncP-9 plasmids, which have been well-studied in *Pseudomonas*, show diversity based on
the partial sequences of *rep* and *oriV*, leading to proposals for several subgroups (98).
Although many IncP-9 plasmids carry ARGs, some, such as pWW0 and NAH7, are catabolic
plasmids with lower sequence identity in *repP-9A* and other core genes compared to ARG-
carrying IncP-9 plasmids (data not shown).

IncP-10 plasmids

All the identified IncP-10 plasmids were hosted by *P. aeruginosa*. More than 50% of them
contained ARGs for carbapenem (Table 1). Among the 49 plasmids in the IncP-10 group, the
sequence lengths ranged from 29,402 to 106,679 base pairs (bp). Pangenome analysis using
PIRATE identified 209 gene families across these plasmids, with 19 core genes present in 95–
100% of the plasmids (29.0% per average gene numbers, 19/65.6) (Table S4), including
putative genes for plasmid replication and maintenance (Table S5-8).

IncP-11 plasmids

IncP-11 plasmids, which are also hosted by *P. aeruginosa* (99), shared 19 core genes, including
repP-11A, plasmid partition genes, and genes related to conjugative transfer (Table S5-9). The
ratio of the core genes per average gene numbers of IncP-10 plasmids was 47.6% (19/65.6,
Table S4). Several plasmids were observed in clinical isolates, indicating that IncP-11 plasmids
are significant carriers of ARGs in human pathogens. Importantly, pOXA-198 (GenBank
accession number MG958650), previously proposed as an IncP-11 plasmid (100), lacks any
genes resembling the *repP-11A*, suggesting that it may not belong to the traditionally
recognised IncP-11 group (101).

IncP-12 plasmids

Nine plasmids hosted by *P. aeruginosa* were categorised as IncP-12 plasmids (Table 1), with
165 core genes, which was a rather large ratio, 74.2% per their average gene numbers
(165/222.4, Table S4), although several core genes encoded putative hypothetical proteins
(Table S5-10). This indicated that nine plasmids in IncP-12 had high genetic conservation,
although none of them other than R716 carried any known ARGs.

IncP-15 (PromA)

A total of 42 plasmids were identified as IncP-15/PromA plasmids, which share 30 core genes,
which was 50.3% of their average gene numbers (30/59.6, Table S4). These core genes
included genes involved in replication and conjugative transfer (Table S5-11). Interestingly,
several of these plasmids do not carry any known accessory genes and exhibit very conserved
genetic structures in different subgroups (75, 77).

IncP-16 (pSN1216-29) plasmids

A total of 24 plasmids were classified as IncP-16 (pSN1216-29), with their sizes ranging from 35
to 174 kb. These plasmids shared 17 core genes (28.9% of their average gene numbers,
17/58.9) (Table S4) including *repA* and some genes involved in conjugative transfer (Table S5-
12). Some of these plasmids, such as pCTX-M15 and pSRC119-A/C, are multi-replicons, which
may explain the reduced number of shared genes. Three IncP-16 plasmids carried ARGs.

pQBR103-like plasmids

Similar to IncP-2, pQBR103-like plasmids have larger average DNA sizes (~515 kb), with 229
core genes identified (Table S5-13). These plasmids are known as pJBCL41-type plasmids and
important as they are associated with antimicrobial resistance, especially clinically relevant
carbapenem and tigecycline resistance (102, 103).

pSTY-like plasmids

pSTY-like plasmids exhibited significant diversity in their genetic content. Pangenome analysis
using PIRATE identified 1,073 gene families across 15 plasmids in this group, with 66 core
genes (Table S5-14). Some of these plasmids have been linked to clinically relevant ARGs, such
as carbapenem and tigecycline resistance (83, 103), making them important targets for further
research.

Phylogenetic analysis of RIPs

To investigate the evolutionary relationships of 15 PIncs, we performed all-against-all BLASTp
and analysed the homologous relationships between 251 non-redundant RIP representatives

(at 100% sequence identity) (Figure 4). The degree of sequence similarity of RIPs within each
PInc was found to vary depending on the PInc. Some PIncs show a high degree of sequence
variation, namely IncP-1 (34.05% identity for the most divergent pair), IncP-4 (28.81%), and
IncP-15 (56.14%). In contrast, the other PIncs contain less diverse RIP proteins (>73% identity
within each PInc) (Table S6). We rarely detected homologous regions with BLASTp between
the most sequence pairs of different PIncs, except for some specific combinations of PIncs,
such as IncP-2/IncP-3/pQBR103, IncP-1/IncP-12, and IncP-10/IncP-11, which exhibited
sequence similarity between different PIncs (Figure 4).

The low sequence diversity of the RIPs is not suitable for the detection of remote homology
between the RIPs of 15 PIncs. Thus, we extensively collected homologous sequences of RIPs
through a two-step homology search process (Figure S2A). In the first step, we collected
homologous proteins of the RIPs from the entire PLSDB plasmid dataset. We obtained 1,885
non-redundant RIPs with 90% identity, which were reclassified into 12 groups of homologous
sequences (i.e., some PIncs were merged including RIPs of similar sequences; see Figure S2). In
the second step, we collected homologous sequences of RIPs of the first step, from the
comprehensive protein database UniParc, and obtained 6,948 non-redundant RIPs with 60%
identity (87,818 sequences in total), consisting of 11 groups of homologous sequences (Figure
S2).

We then analysed the homologous relationships between the 11 groups. Surprisingly, 10
groups exhibited homology with each other, with the exception of PG6, which includes IncP-6
plasmids. Based on the highly sensitive HMM–HMM comparisons using HHblits, we identified
two supergroups (SG1 and SG2). The groups within each SG shared homology (>99%
probability, evalue ≤1.5e-22) (Figure 5A). In addition, PG9, which includes IncP-9 plasmids,
shared homology (90.1 – 98.4% probability, evalue ≤ 8.8e-05) with all other groups except
PG6. The solved and predicted crystal structures of SG1 RIPs, such as RepA from plasmid pPS10
(PG7), RepE from plasmid F (PG7), π from plasmid R6K (PG7), and TrfA protein from plasmid
RK2 (PG1), contain two winged-helix domains (WH1 and WH2) (104). Although the crystal
structures of PG9, PG10, and PG5 RIPs have not been reported, based on the alphafold3
predictions, these are predicted to have only one WH domain (Figure 2). We observed that the
C-terminal WH domain (WH2) of SG1 RIPs shared homology with the WH domain of PG9 RIPs.
WH2 of the RepA protein of pPS10 binds precisely to the iteron 3' end and is the major
determinant of RepA sequence specificity (105). We therefore speculated that the conserved
WH domain likely plays an important role in replication origin recognition. Here, we named
these WH domain-containing RIPs "WH RIPs", which constitute a "WH RIP superfamily".

The remaining PG6 exhibited no homology with the other groups (<1% probability by HHblits).
To characterise the evolutionary origin of PG6, we performed a HHblits search against Pfam
v35.0. The RIPs of PG6 show significant homology to PF03090 (Replicase family; with 98.4%
probability by HHblits), including the ColE2 Rep protein. The ColE2 Rep protein belongs to the
superfamily of AEPs (106) and is the only plasmid-specific trans-acting factor required for
initiation of plasmid replication (107). The RIPs of IncP-6 plasmids (9, 89) have a PriCT domain,

which may form a compact module and play a central role in DNA unwinding. The C termini of
some AEPs suggest that it probably plays a similar role in these proteins. The RIPs of PG6
plasmids have domain compositions different from those of the other groups. We therefore
concluded that RIPs of PG6 have different evolutionary origins with the other groups.

We reconstructed the phylogeny of the WH RIPs using the identified homologous region of the
WH domain. The homologous region showed similar secondary structure compositions
including multiple alpha helixes and beta turns among the 10 groups of the WH RIPs (Figure
5B). A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of the WH RIPs was reconstructed, which
comprised 6,194 clustering representatives (60% identity) associated with 28,424 PLSDB
plasmids and 66,527 Uniparc proteins (Figure 5C). The tree is subdivided largely according to
the 10 groups with two special notes. First, PG7 (including IncP-7 plasmids) and PGsty
(including pSTY plasmids) were merged and formed one large group because these are being
composed of similar sequences. Second, PG5 (including IncP-5 plasmids) formed a subclade
within the clade of PG10. We defined clades considering the group affiliations and branch
support values. Most clades (i.e., PG5, PG9, PG16, PG3, PG15, PG4, and PG7/PGsty) were
identified with two types of high support values (UFBoot2: 72–100%, TBE: 99–100%). The
remaining (PG1 and PG10) clades were not highly supported by UFBoot2 but were supported
by TBE (>99%). Considering the larger structure, we defined a superclade PG1/PG4/PG15,
containing the PG1, PG4, and PG15 clades, and a superclade PG5/PG9/PG10, containing the
PG5, PG9, and PG10 clades, both of which were supported with high support values (UFBoot2:
77%, TBE: 99.9–100%). Notably, the branch dividing the PG5/PG9/PG10 superclade and the
remaining tree constitutes the well-supported division of SG1, which is composed of two WH
domains, and SG2/PG9, which is composed of one WH domain. We thus speculated that this
division is possibly the most ancestral split in the tree.

The tree includes diverse sequences not derived from PLSDB plasmids, indicating that
numerous uncharacterised plasmids and incompatibility groups exist. In total, 75.4% (n =
4,669) of tree leaves were not associated with PLSDB plasmids (Figure 5C). Conversely, a
substantial proportion of PLSDB plasmids (47.4%; n = 28,424) was associated with the
remaining leaves of the tree (n = 1,525), indicating that these plasmids are likely replicated by
WH RIPs. Considering plasmids with alternative replication mechanisms that employ disparate
types of RIPs, such as the superfamily of AEPs (e.g., IncP-6) and rolling-circle replication (e.g.,
Pfam PF01719; Rep_OBD), this fraction is notably high, almost reaching 29,662 PLSDB plasmids
identified with plasmid types using PlasmidFinder v2.1
(https://figshare.com/s/915a336484ee084ffbea; 59,895 plasmid list). Notably, 9,666 plasmids
lacking PlasmidFinder types were associated with the tree. In other words, besides the 2,357
PIncs plasmids, 8,860 additional plasmids were associated with the tree. This indicates that the
tree can serve as a reference for the characterisation of plasmids, facilitating the identification
and classification of RIP sequences. Furthermore, among the 1,525 leaves associated with
PLSDB plasmids, 51 leaves are notably associated with a large number of plasmids, each linked
to more than 100 plasmids, with a range from 102 to 6,872 plasmids, and collectively
accounting for 32,713 RIPs, which represents 81.0% of the total 40,371 RIPs. This suggests a

biased knowledge of plasmids containing similar RIPs. Subsequently, 9,141 plasmids (32.2%)
were identified with multiple RIPs, suggesting that multi-replicons are not a special case of
plasmids.

Each clade of the tree contains diverse taxonomic lineages, suggesting potential host
transitions within the clade (Figure 5C). In contrast, some taxa are enriched in specific clades.
For instance, the phylum Actinomycetota is enriched in PG15 (84.2%), whereas the phyla
Bacillota, Bacteroidota, Campylobacterota, and Fusobacteriota are enriched in PG7/PGsty
(90.0, 93.0, 95.0, 100%, respectively). Although this enrichment might be associated with some
specific environment (e.g., gut microbe for the latter), further study is required to describe the
detailed association between clades, lineages, and environments.

In summary, remote homology detection and phylogenetic reconstruction of the RIPs of PIncs
and their homologs lead to the following findings: (i) except IncP-6, the RIPs of PIncs exhibit
homology and are classified as members of the WH RIP superfamily, (ii) a well-supported
branch in the phylogenetic tree divided WH RIPs into the PG5/PG9/PG10 superclade, which
comprises one WH domain, and the remaining WH RIPs, which are composed of two WH
domains, and (iii) the tree greatly expanded the plasmid universe through the identification
and classification of the WH RIP superfamily.

CONCLUSION

This study provides valuable insights into the classification and genetic characterisation of
Pseudomonas plasmids. We successfully determined the complete whole nucleotide
sequences of several previously uncharacterised plasmids, including those in the
Pseudomonas, namely the IncP-5, IncP-11, and IncP-12 groups, and reclassified plasmids that
were previously thought to belong to 'IncP-13' plasmids as an ICE. Furthermore, we identified
RIP genes associated with these plasmids, along with the IncP-2 plasmid, contributing to a
more refined understanding of their replication mechanisms.

Our study also introduced four newly proposed plasmid groups, IncP-15, lncP-16, and
pQBR103-like and pSTY-like groups, thereby significantly expanding the plasmid groups and
contributing to the expansion and refinement of the *Pseudomonas* plasmid database. Among
the extensive collection of 59,895 plasmids in the PLSDB, a subset (2,351 plasmids) was
classified into these specific PIncs, which underlines the importance of updating and refining
plasmid databases to reflect new discoveries.

Our findings underscore the strengths of our classification system, which is grounded in
experimental data and aligns closely with established knowledge. In contrast, the recently
launched IMG/Plasmid database, despite its comprehensiveness in terms of including a vast
number of plasmid sequences (>700,000 sequences) (https://img.jgi.doe.gov/cgi-
bin/plasmid/main.cgi)(108), employs plasmid taxonomic units (PTUs) based solely on average
nucleotide sequence identity (109). Although this method is thorough in scope, it fails to

capture the distinct characteristics of individual plasmid groups that have been documented
through years of research as they are affected by long-sized accessory genes in plasmids. The
experimental identification of genes and DNA regions involved in plasmid replication remains
crucial for a deeper understanding of plasmid biology, including their host range, coevolution
with bacterial hosts, and potential applications in biotechnology. These findings are
particularly relevant for understanding the spread of ARG-associated plasmids in clinical and
environmental *Pseudomonas* isolates, especially in the context of multidrug-resistant *P.*
aeruginosa strains.

The reconstructed phylogenetic tree of WH RIPs will provide a fundamental basis for plasmid
detection and classification based on RIPs, which are essential proteins for understanding
plasmid replication mechanisms. Further research should focus on integrating experimental
data with large-scale sequence analyses to reconcile discrepancies between traditional and
modern classification systems. Additionally, experimental validation and phylogenetic analysis
of RIPs and *oriV* in plasmids in plasmids with alternative replication mechanisms, including the
superfamily of AEPs and rolling-circle replication, are essential. These efforts will enhance our
ability to track and manage antimicrobial resistance in various environments, ultimately
contributing to better public health outcomes.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The annotated plasmid sequences have been deposited in the GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ under
accession numbers LC685025, LC685026, LC685027, LC685593, and LC700336.

Supplementary Data statement
Supplementary Data are available at online.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The YN, HS, MS, MS conceived, designed, and supervised the study. KK, TK, MT, HX, YT, AH,
RM, HD, MS, HS, MS performed the experiments and data analysis. YN, RM, HD, KK, HN, MS,
HS, MS wrote, reviewed, and edited the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript
revision, read and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Prof. Dr. S. Iyobe of the Gumma University School of Medicine and Prof. Dr.
M. Tsuda of Tohoku University for providing Rms139, Rms163, RP1-1, R716, and pMG26.

Computation time was provided by the Super Computer System, Institute for Chemical
Research, Kyoto University.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants (JP24wm0225029 to Y. Tsuda; JP24gm1610007 to A.
Hirabayashi; JP24fk0108665, JP24fk0108683, JP24fk0108712, JP24fk0108642,
JP24gm1610003, JP24wm0225029, and JP24wm0225022 to M. Suzuki; JP22wm0325022 and
JP22wm0225008 to M. Shintani) from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and
Development (AMED), grants (JPMJAX21BK to Y. Nishimura; 19H02869 and 19H05679 to H.
Nojiri; 20K10436 and JPMJCR20H1 to H. Suzuki; 22KK0058 and 23K06556 to M. Suzuki;
16H06279, 19H02869, 19H05686, and JP23H02124 to M. Shintani) from the Ministry of
Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan, grants to Y. Nishimura, M.
Tokuda, X. Hui, C. Suzuki-Minakuchi, H. Nojiri, H. Suzuki, M. Suzuki, and M. Shintani from
Consortium for the Exploration of Microbial Functions of Ohsumi Frontier Science Foundation,
Japan, a grant from Asahi Glass Foundation to M. Shintani, a grant to M. Shintani from Institute
of Fermentation Osaka (L-2023-1-002), National University Corporation Shizuoka University,
Japan, and a grant to M. Shintani from the Research Institute of Green Science and Technology
Fund for Research Project Support (2023-RIGST-23104), National University Corporation
Shizuoka University, Japan.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Helinski,D.R. (2022) A Brief History of Plasmids. *EcoSal Plus*, **10**, eESP00282021.

2. Boolchandani,M., D'Souza,A.W. and Dantas,G. (2019) Sequencing-based methods and
resources to study antimicrobial resistance. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **20**, 356–370.

3. Suzuki,M., Hashimoto,Y., Hirabayashi,A., Yahara,K., Yoshida,M., Fukano,H., Hoshino,Y.,
Shibayama,K. and Tomita,H. (2023) Genomic epidemiological analysis of antimicrobial-
resistant bacteria with nanopore sequencing. In *Methods in Molecular Biology*,
Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.). Springer US, New York, NY, pp. 227–246.

4. Novick,R.P. (1987) Plasmid incompatibility. *Microbiol. Rev.*, **51**, 381–395.

5. Miller,W.R. and Arias,C.A. (2024) ESKAPE pathogens: antimicrobial resistance, epidemiology,
clinical impact and therapeutics. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 10.1038/s41579-024-01054-w.

6. Peix,A., Ramírez-Bahena,M.-H. and Velázquez,E. (2018) The current status on the taxonomy
of Pseudomonas revisited: An update. *Infect. Genet. Evol.*, **57**, 106–116.

7. Thomas,C.M. and Haines,A.S. (2004) Plasmids of the Genus Pseudomonas. In Ramos,J.-L.
(ed), *Pseudomonas: Volume 1 Genomics, Life Style and Molecular Architecture*.
Springer US, Boston, MA, pp. 197–231.

8. Lawley,T., Wilkins,B.M. and Frost,L.S. (2014) Bacterial conjugation in gram-negative bacteria.
In *Plasmid Biology*. ASM Press, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 203–226.

9. Haines,A.S., Cheung,M. and Thomas,C.M. (2006) Evidence that IncG (IncP-6) and IncU
plasmids form a single incompatibility group. *Plasmid*, **55**, 210–215.

10. Fernandez-Alarcon,C., Singer,R.S. and Johnson,T.J. (2011) Comparative genomics of
multidrug resistance-encoding IncA/C plasmids from commensal and pathogenic
Escherichia coli from multiple animal sources. *PLoS One*, **6**, e23415.

11. Yano,H., Shintani,M., Tomita,M., Suzuki,H. and Oshima,T. (2019) Reconsidering plasmid
maintenance factors for computational plasmid design. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.*,
17, 70–81.

12. Loftie-Eaton,W. and Rawlings,D.E. (2012) Diversity, biology and evolution of IncQ-family
plasmids. *Plasmid*, **67**, 15–34.

13. Xiong,J., Alexander,D.C., Ma,J.H., Deraspe,M., Low,D.E., Jamieson,F.B. and Roy,P.H. (2013)
Complete sequence of pOZ176, a 500-kilobase IncP-2 plasmid encoding IMP-9-
mediated carbapenem resistance, from outbreak isolate Pseudomonas aeruginosa 96.
Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., **57**, 3775–3782.

14. Cazares,A., Moore,M.P., Hall,J.P.J., Wright,L.L., Grimes,M., Emond-Rhéault,J.-G.,
Pongchaikul,P., Santanirand,P., Levesque,R.C., Fothergill,J.L., *et al.* (2020) A
megaplasmid family driving dissemination of multidrug resistance in Pseudomonas.
Nat. Commun., **11**, 1370.

15. Jiang,X., Yin,Z., Yuan,M., Cheng,Q., Hu,L., Xu,Y., Yang,W., Yang,H., Zhao,Y., Zhao,X., *et al.*
(2020) Plasmids of novel incompatibility group IncpRBL16 from Pseudomonas species.
J. Antimicrob. Chemother., **75**, 2093–2100.

16. Urbanowicz,P., Bitar,I., Izdebski,R., Baraniak,A., Literacka,E., Hrabák,J. and Gniadkowski,M.
(2021) Epidemic Territorial Spread of IncP-2-Type VIM-2 Carbapenemase-Encoding
Megaplasmids in Nosocomial Pseudomonas aeruginosa Populations. *Antimicrob.*
Agents Chemother., **65**.

17. Jacoby,G.A. (1986) Resistance Plasmids of Pseudomonas. *The Biology of Pseudomonas*,
10.1016/b978-0-12-307210-8.50013-0.

18. Sawada,Y., Yaginuma,S., Tai,M., Iyobe,S. and Mitsuhashi,S. (1976) Plasmid-mediated
penicillin beta-lactamases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antimicrob. Agents*
Chemother., **9**, 55–60.

19. Sagai,H., Hasuda,K., Iyobe,S., Bryan,L.E., Holloway,B.W. and Mitsuhashi,S. (1976)
Classification of R plasmids by incompatibility in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antimicrob.*
Agents Chemother., **10**, 573–578.

20. Summers,A.O. and Jacoby,G.A. (1978) Plasmid-determined resistance to boron and
chromium compounds in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*,
13, 637–640.

21. Ingram,L., Sykes,R.B., Grinsted,J., Saunders,J.R. and Richmond,M.H. (1972) A transmissible
resistance element from a strain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa containing no detectable
extrachromosomal DNA. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, **72**, 269–279.

22. Bryan,L.E., Semaka,S.D., Van den Elzen,H.M., Kinnear,J.E. and Whitehouse,R.L. (1973)
Characteristics of R931 and other Pseudomonas aeruginosa R factors. *Antimicrob.*
Agents Chemother., **3**, 625–637.

23. Jacoby,G.A. (1980) Plasmid determined resistance to carbenicillin and gentamicin in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Plasmids and Transposons*, 10.1016/b978-0-12-675550-
3.50011-3.

24. Bradley,D.E. (1983) Specification of the conjugative pili and surface mating systems of
Pseudomonas plasmids. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, **129**, 2545–2556.

25. Cain,D. and Holloway,B.W. (1984) Prime plasmids derived from the IncP-10 plasmid R91-5
in Pseudomonas putida. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, **24**, 97–101.

26. Davies,S. and Krishnapillai,V. (1990) DNA sequence analysis of the replication region of the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa plasmid R91-5. *J. Genet.*, **69**, 101–112.

27. Schmartz,G.P., Hartung,A., Hirsch,P., Kern,F., Fehlmann,T., Müller,R. and Keller,A. (2022)
PLSDB: advancing a comprehensive database of bacterial plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res.*,
50, D273–D278.

28. Galata,V., Fehlmann,T., Backes,C. and Keller,A. (2018) PLSDB: a resource of complete
bacterial plasmids. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **47**, D195-D202.

29. Sambrook,J. and Russell,D. (2001) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual 3rd edn. Cold
Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

30. Shintani,M., Yoshida,T., Habe,H., Omori,T. and Nojiri,H. (2005) Large plasmid pCAR2 and
class II transposon Tn*4676* are functional mobile genetic elements to distribute the
carbazole/dioxin-degradative *car* gene cluster in different bacteria. *Appl. Microbiol.*
Biotechnol., **67**, 370–382.

31. Royle,P.L., Matsumoto,H. and Holloway,B.W. (1981) Genetic circularity of the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO chromosome. *J. Bacteriol.*, **145**, 145–155.

32. Antipov D, Hartwick N, Shen M, Raiko M, Lapidus A, Pevzner PA. (2016) plasmidSPAdes:
assembling plasmids from whole genome sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, **32**, 3380-
3387.

33. Kearse,M., Moir,R., Wilson,A., Stones-Havas,S., Cheung,M., Sturrock,S., Buxton,S.,
849   Cooper,A., Markowitz,S., Duran,C., *et al.* (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and
850   extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence
851   data. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 1647–1649.

852   34. Li,H., Handsaker,B., Wysoker,A., Fennell,T., Ruan,J., Homer,N., Marth,G., Abecasis,G.,
853   Durbin,R. and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009) The sequence
854   alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 2078–2079.

855   35. Shen,W., Le,S., Li,Y. and Hu,F. (2016) SeqKit: a cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for
856   FASTA/Q file manipulation. *PLoS One*, **11**, e0163962.

857   36. Bankevich,A., Nurk,S., Antipov,D., Gurevich,A.A., Dvorkin,M., Kulikov,A.S., Lesin,V.M.,
858   Nikolenko,S.I., Pham,S., Prjibelski,A.D., *et al.* (2012) SPAdes: a new genome assembly
859   algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.*, **19**, 455–477.

860   37. Tanizawa,Y., Fujisawa,T. and Nakamura,Y. (2018) DFAST: a flexible prokaryotic genome
861   annotation pipeline for faster genome publication. *Bioinformatics*, **34**, 1037–1039.

862   38. Sullivan,M.J., Petty,N.K. and Beatson,S.A. (2011) Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer.
863   *Bioinformatics*, **27**, 1009–1010.

864   39. Florensa,A.F., Kaas,R.S., Clausen,P.T.L.C., Aytan-Aktug,D. and Aarestrup,F.M. (2022)
865   ResFinder - an open online resource for identification of antimicrobial resistance genes
866   in next-generation sequencing data and prediction of phenotypes from genotypes.
867   *Microb Genom*, **8**, 000748.

868   40. Bharat,A., Petkau,A., Avery,B.P., Chen,J.C., Folster,J.P., Carson,C.A., Kearney,A., Nadon,C.,
869   Mabon,P., Thiessen,J., *et al.* (2022) Correlation between phenotypic and *in silico*
870   detection of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella enterica* in Canada using Staramr.
871   *Microorganisms*, **10**, 292.

872   41. Feldgarden,M., Brover,V., Gonzalez-Escalona,N., Frye,J.G., Haendiges,J., Haft,D.H.,
873   Hoffmann,M., Pettengill,J.B., Prasad,A.B., Tillman,G.E., *et al.* (2021) AMRFinderPlus
874   and the reference gene catalog facilitate examination of the genomic links among
875   antimicrobial resistance, stress response, and virulence. *Sci. Rep.*, **11**, 12728.

876   42. Lobry,J.R. (1996) Origin of replication of *Mycoplasma genitalium*. *Science*, **272**, 745–746.

877   43. Necşulea,A. and Lobry,J.R. (2007) A new method for assessing the effect of replication on
878   DNA base composition asymmetry. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **24**, 2169–2179.

879   44. Solovyev,V. (2011) V. Solovyev, A Salamov (2011) Automatic annotation of microbial
880   genomes and metagenomic sequences. In metagenomics and its applications in
881   agriculture, biomedicine and environmental studies (Ed. R.W. Li), Nova Science
882   Publishers, p.61-78.

883   45. Abramson,J., Adler,J., Dunger,J., Evans,R., Green,T., Pritzel,A., Ronneberger,O., Willmore,L.,
884   Ballard,A.J., Bambrick,J., *et al.* (2024) Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular
885   interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature*, **630**, 493–500.

46. van Kempen,M., Kim,S.S., Tumescheit,C., Mirdita,M., Lee,J., Gilchrist,C.L.M., Söding,J. and
Steinegger,M. (2024) Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat.*
Biotechnol., **42**, 243–246.

47. Swan,M.K., Bastia,D. and Davies,C. (2006) Crystal structure of π initiator protein–iteron
complex of plasmid R6K: Implications for initiation of plasmid DNA replication. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A, **103**, 18481–18486.

48. Schumacher,M.A., Tonthat,N.K., Kwong,S.M., Chinnam,N.B., Liu,M.A., Skurray,R.A. and
Firth,N. (2014) Mechanism of staphylococcal multiresistance plasmid replication origin
assembly by the RepA protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, **111**, 9121–9126.

49. Robertson,J. and Nash,J.H.E. (2018) MOB-suite: software tools for clustering,
reconstruction and typing of plasmids from draft assemblies. *Microb. Genom.*, **4**,
e000206.

50. Carattoli,A. and Hasman,H. (2020) PlasmidFinder and in silico pMLST: Identification and
typing of plasmid replicons in whole-genome sequencing (WGS). *Methods Mol. Biol.*,
2075, 285–294.

51. Bayliss,S.C., Thorpe,H.A., Coyle,N.M., Sheppard,S.K. and Feil,E.J. (2019) PIRATE: A fast and
scalable pangenomics toolbox for clustering diverged orthologues in bacteria.
Gigascience, **8**, giz119.

52. Buchfink,B., Reuter,K. and Drost,H.-G. (2021) Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life
scale using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods*, **18**, 366–368.

53. Altschul,S.F., Madden,T.L., Schäffer,A.A., Zhang,J., Zhang,Z., Miller,W. and Lipman,D.J.
(1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search
programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **25**, 3389–3402.

54. Fassler,J. and Cooper,P. (2011) BLAST Glossary, National Center for Biotechnology
Information (US), https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/books/NBK62051

55. Steinegger,M. and Söding,J. (2017) MMseqs2 enables sensitive protein sequence searching
for the analysis of massive data sets. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **35**, 1026–1028.

56. Woodcroft,B.J., Boyd,J.A. and Tyson,G.W. (2016) OrfM: a fast open reading frame predictor
for metagenomic data. *Bioinformatics*, **32**, 2702–2703.

57. Eddy,S.R. (2011) Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, **7**, e1002195.

58. Steinegger,M., Meier,M., Mirdita,M., Vöhringer,H., Haunsberger,S.J. and Söding,J. (2019)
HH-suite3 for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. *BMC*
Bioinformatics, **20**, 473.

59. Wang,J., Youkharibache,P., Zhang,D., Lanczycki,C.J., Geer,R.C., Madej,T., Phan,L., Ward,M.,
Lu,S., Marchler,G.H., *et al.* (2020) iCn3D, a web-based 3D viewer for sharing 1D/2D/3D
representations of biomolecular structures. *Bioinformatics*, **36**, 131–135.

60. Katoh,K. and Standley,D.M. (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **30**, 772–780.

61. Minh,B.Q., Schmidt,H.A., Chernomor,O., Schrempf,D., Woodhams,M.D., von Haeseler,A.
and Lanfear,R. (2020) IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic
inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **37**, 1530–1534.

62. Kalyaanamoorthy,S., Minh,B.Q., Wong,T.K.F., von Haeseler,A. and Jermiin,L.S. (2017)
ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat. Methods*,
14, 587–589.

63. Hoang,D.T., Chernomor,O., von Haeseler,A., Minh,B.Q. and Vinh,L.S. (2018) UFBoot2:
improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **35**, 518–522.

64. Lemoine,F., Domelevo Entfellner,J.-B., Wilkinson,E., Correia,D., Dávila Felipe,M., De
Oliveira,T. and Gascuel,O. (2018) Renewing Felsenstein's phylogenetic bootstrap in the
era of big data. *Nature*, **556**, 452–456.

65. Mai,U. and Mirarab,S. (2018) TreeShrink: fast and accurate detection of outlier long
branches in collections of phylogenetic trees. *BMC Genomics*, **19**, 272.

66. Lemoine,F. and Gascuel,O. (2021) Gotree/Goalign: toolkit and Go API to facilitate the
development of phylogenetic workflows. *NAR Genom Bioinform*, **3**, lqab075.

67. Kawakami,Y., Mikoshiba,F., Nagasaki,S., Matsumoto,H. and Tazaki,T. (1972) Prevalence of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains possessing R factor in a hospital. *J. Antibiot.* , **25**,
607–609.

68. Hedges,R.W. and Jacob,A.E. (1975) Mobilization of plasmid-borne drug resistance
determinants for transfer from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Gen.
Genet.*, **140**, 69–79.

69. Kawalek,A., Kotecka,K., Modrzejewska,M., Gawor,J., Jagura-Burdzy,G. and Bartosik,A.A.
(2020) Genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1161, a PAO1 derivative
with the ICE*Pae1161* integrative and conjugative element. *BMC Genomics*, **21**, 14.

70. Klockgether,J., Reva,O., Larbig,K. and Tümmler,B. (2004) Sequence analysis of the mobile
genome island pKLC102 of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* C. *J. Bacteriol.*, **186**, 518–534.

71. Johnson,C.M. and Grossman,A.D. (2015) Integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs): what
they do and how they work. *Annu. Rev. Genet.*, **49**, 577–601.

72. Bradley,D.E., Taylor,D.E. and Cohen,D.R. (1980) Specification of surface mating systems
among conjugative drug resistance plasmids in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.*, **143**,
1466–1470.

73. Bradley,D.E. (1984) Characteristics and function of thick and thin conjugative pili
determined by transfer-derepressed plasmids of incompatibility groups I1, I2, I5, B, K
and Z. *J. Gen. Microbiol.*, **130**, 1489–1502.

74. Kitao,T., Kubori,T. and Nagai,H. (2022) Recent advances in structural studies of the
Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm type IV secretion system. *Microbiol. Immunol.*, **66**,
67–74.

75. Yanagiya,K., Maejima,Y., Nakata,H., Tokuda,M., Moriuchi,R., Dohra,H., Inoue,K.,
Ohkuma,M., Kimbara,K. and Shintani,M. (2018) Novel self-transmissible and broad-
host-range plasmids exogenously captured from anaerobic granules or cow manure.
Front. Microbiol., **9**, 2602.

76. Tokuda,M., Suzuki,H., Yanagiya,K., Yuki,M., Inoue,K., Ohkuma,M., Kimbara,K. and
Shintani,M. (2020) Determination of plasmid pSN1216-29 host range and the similarity
in oligonucleotide composition between plasmid and host chromosomes. *Front.*
Microbiol., **11**, 1187.

77. Tokuda,M., Yuki,M., Ohkuma,M., Kimbara,K., Suzuki,H. and Shintani,M. (2023)
Transconjugant range of PromA plasmids in microbial communities is predicted by
sequence similarity with the bacterial host chromosome. *Microb. Genom.*, **9**,
mgen001043.

78. Hayakawa,M., Tokuda,M., Kaneko,K., Nakamichi,K., Yamamoto,Y., Kamijo,T., Umeki,H.,
Chiba,R., Yamada,R., Mori,M., *et al.* (2022) Hitherto-unnoticed self-transmissible
plasmids widely distributed among different environments in Japan. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol., **88**, e0111422.

79. Lilley,A.K. and Bailey,M.J. (1997) Impact of plasmid pQBR103 acquisition and carriage on
the phytosphere fitness of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SBW25: burden and benefit.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol., **63**, 1584–1587.

80. Tett,A., Spiers,A.J., Crossman,L.C., Ager,D., Ciric,L., Dow,J.M., Fry,J.C., Harris,D., Lilley,A.,
Oliver,A., *et al.* (2007) Sequence-based analysis of pQBR103; a representative of a
unique, transfer-proficient mega plasmid resident in the microbial community of sugar
beet. *ISME J.*, **1**, 331–340.

81. Lv,L., Wan,M., Wang,C., Gao,X., Yang,Q., Partridge,S.R., Wang,Y., Zong,Z., Doi,Y., Shen,J., *et*
al. (2020) Emergence of a plasmid-encoded resistance-nodulation-division efflux pump
conferring resistance to multiple drugs, including tigecycline, in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.
mBio, **11**, e02930-19.

82. Köhler,K.A.K., Rückert,C., Schatschneider,S., Vorhölter,F.-J., Szczepanowski,R., Blank,L.M.,
Niehaus,K., Goesmann,A., Pühler,A., Kalinowski,J., *et al.* (2013) Complete genome
sequence of *Pseudomonas* sp. strain VLB120 a solvent tolerant, styrene degrading
bacterium, isolated from forest soil. *J. Biotechnol.*, **168**, 729–730.

83. Chen,F., Wang,P., Yin,Z., Yang,H., Hu,L., Yu,T., Jing,Y., Guan,J., Wu,J. and Zhou,D. (2022)
VIM-encoding IncpSTY plasmids and chromosome-borne integrative and mobilizable
elements (IMEs) and integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) in *Pseudomonas*. *Ann.*
Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob., **21**, 10.

84. Pachulec,E. and van der Does,C. (2010) Conjugative plasmids of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*.
PLoS One, **5**, e9962.

85. Shintani,M., Suzuki,H., Nojiri,H. and Suzuki,M. (2022) Precise classification of antimicrobial
resistance-associated IncP-2 megaplasmids for molecular epidemiological studies on
Pseudomonas species. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, 10.1093/jac/dkac006.

86. Hidaka,M., Kobayashi,T., Takenaka,S., Takeya,H. and Horiuchi,T. (1989) Purification of a
DNA replication terminus (*ter*) site-binding protein in *Escherichia coli* and identification
of the structural gene. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **264**, 21031–21037.

87. Ambrose,S.J., Harmer,C.J. and Hall,R.M. (2018) Compatibility and entry exclusion of IncA
and IncC plasmids revisited: IncA and IncC plasmids are compatible. *Plasmid*, **96–97**, 7–
12.

88. Robinson,L.A., Collins,A.C.Z., Murphy,R.A., Davies,J.C. and Allsopp,L.P. (2023) Diversity and
prevalence of type VI secretion system effectors in clinical *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
isolates. *Front. Microbiol.*, **13**.

89. Haines,A.S., Jones,K., Cheung,M. and Thomas,C.M. (2005) The IncP-6 plasmid Rms149
consists of a small mobilizable backbone with multiple large insertions. *J. Bacteriol.*,
187, 4728–4738.

90. Kulinska,A., Czeredys,M., Hayes,F. and Jagura-Burdzy,G. (2008) Genomic and functional
characterization of the modular broad-host-range RA3 plasmid, the archetype of the
IncU group. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, **74**, 4119–4132.

91. Rhodes,G., Parkhill,J., Bird,C., Ambrose,K., Jones,M.C., Huys,G., Swings,J. and Pickup,R.W.
(2004) Complete nucleotide sequence of the conjugative tetracycline resistance
plasmid pFBAOT6, a member of a group of IncU plasmids with global ubiquity. *Appl.
Environ. Microbiol.*, **70**, 7497–7510.

92. Shintani,M., Yano,H., Habe,H., Omori,T., Yamane,H., Tsuda,M. and Nojiri,H. (2006)
Characterization of the replication, maintenance, and transfer features of the IncP-7
plasmid pCAR1, which carries genes involved in carbazole and dioxin degradation.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol., **72**, 3206–3216.

93. Yano,H., Garruto,C.E., Sota,M., Ohtsubo,Y., Nagata,Y., Zylstra,G.J., Williams,P.A. and
Tsuda,M. (2007) Complete sequence determination combined with analysis of
transposition/site-specific recombination events to explain genetic organization of
IncP-7 TOL plasmid pWW53 and related mobile genetic elements. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **369**,
11–26.

94. Yano,H., Miyakoshi,M., Ohshima,K., Tabata,M., Nagata,Y., Hattori,M. and Tsuda,M. (2010)
Complete nucleotide sequence of TOL plasmid pDK1 provides evidence for
evolutionary history of IncP-7 catabolic plasmids. *J. Bacteriol.*, **192**, 4337–4347.

95. Heinaru,E., Truu,J., Stottmeister,U. and Heinaru,A. (2000) Three types of phenol and p-
cresol catabolism in phenol- and p-cresol-degrading bacteria isolated from river water
continuously polluted with phenolic compounds. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, **31**, 195–205.

96. Lopez-Echartea,E., Suman,J., Smrhova,T., Ridl,J., Pajer,P., Strejcek,M. and Uhlik,O. (2021)
Genomic analysis of dibenzofuran-degrading *Pseudomonas veronii* strain Pvy reveals
its biodegradative versatility. *G3* , **11**.

97. Suenaga,H., Fujihara,H., Kimura,N., Hirose,J., Watanabe,T., Futagami,T., Goto,M.,
Shimodaira,J. and Furukawa,K. (2017) Insights into the genomic plasticity of
Pseudomonas putida KF715, a strain with unique biphenyl-utilizing activity and
genome instability properties. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*, **9**, 589–598.

98. Sevastsyanovich,Y.R., Krasowiak,R., Bingle,L.E., Haines,A.S., Sokolov,S.L., Kosheleva,I.A.,
Leuchuk,A.A., Titok,M.A., Smalla,K. and Thomas,C.M. (2008) Diversity of IncP-9
plasmids of *Pseudomonas*. *Microbiology*, **154**, 2929–2941.

99. Huang,W., Hamouche,J.E., Wang,G., Smith,M., Yin,C., Dhand,A., Dimitrova,N. and
Fallon,J.T. (2020) Integrated genome-wide analysis of an isogenic pair of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa clinical isolates with differential antimicrobial resistance to
ceftolozane/tazoiactam, ceftazidime/avibactam, and piperacillin/tazobactam. *Int. J.*
Mol. Sci., **21**, 1026.

100. Bonnin,R.A., Bogaerts,P., Girlich,D., Huang,T.-D., Dortet,L., Glupczynski,Y. and Naas,T.
(2018) Molecular characterization of OXA-198 carbapenemase-producing
Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, **62**, e02496-
17.

101. Shintani,M., Suzuki,H., Nojiri,H. and Suzuki,M. (2023) Reconsideration of the previously
classified incompatibility groups of plasmids, IncP-1 and IncP-11. *Environ. Microbiol.*,
25, 1071-1076

102. Botelho,J., Lood,C., Partridge,S.R., van Noort,V., Lavigne,R., Grosso,F. and Peixe,L. (2019)
Combining sequencing approaches to fully resolve a carbapenemase-encoding
megaplasmid in a *Pseudomonas shirazica* clinical strain. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.*, **8**,
1186–1194.

103. Zhu,J., Lv,J., Zhu,Z., Wang,T., Xie,X., Zhang,H., Chen,L. and Du,H. (2023) Identification of
TMexCD-TOprJ-producing carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria from hospital
sewage. *Drug Resist. Updat.*, **70**, 100989.

104. Wegrzyn,K., Zabrocka,E., Bury,K., Tomiczek,B., Wieczor,M., Czub,J., Uciechowska,U.,
Moreno-Del Alamo,M., Walkow,U., Grochowina,I., *et al.* (2021) Defining a novel
domain that provides an essential contribution to site-specific interaction of Rep
protein with DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **49**, 3394-3408.

105. Giraldo,R. and Fernandez-Tresguerres,M.E. (2004) Twenty years of the pPS10 replicon:
insights on the molecular mechanism for the activation of DNA replication in iteron-
containing bacterial plasmids. *Plasmid*, **52**, 69–83.

106. Iyer,L.M., Koonin,E.V., Leipe,D.D. and Aravind,L. (2005) Origin and evolution of the
archaeo-eukaryotic primase superfamily and related palm-domain proteins: structural
insights and new members. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **33**, 3875–3896.

107. Itou,H., Yagura,M., Shirakihara,Y. and Itoh,T. (2015) Structural basis for replication origin
unwinding by an initiator primase of plasmid ColE2-P9: duplex DNA unwinding by a
single protein. *J. Biol. Chem.*, **290**, 3601–3611.

108. Camargo,A.P., Roux,S., Schulz,F., Babinski,M., Xu,Y., Hu,B., Chain,P.S.G., Nayfach,S. and
Kyrpides,N.C. (2023) Identification of mobile genetic elements with geNomad. *Nat.*
Biotechnol., **42**, 1303-1312

109. Redondo-Salvo,S., Bartomeus-Peñalver,R., Vielva,L., Tagg,K.A., Webb,H.E., Fernández-
López,R. and de la Cruz,F. (2021) COPLA, a taxonomic classifier of plasmids. *BMC*
Bioinformatics, **22**, 390.

110. Robertson,J. and Nash,J.H.E. (2018) MOB-suite: software tools for clustering,
reconstruction and typing of plasmids from draft assemblies. *Microb. Genom.,* **4**,
e000206.

111. Holloway,B.W. (1955) Genetic recombination in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Gen.*
Microbiol., **13**, 572–581.

112. Stover,C.K., Pham,X.Q., Erwin,A.L., Mizoguchi,S.D., Warrener,P., Hickey,M.J.,
Brinkman,F.S., Hufnagle,W.O., Kowalik,D.J., Lagrou,M., *et al.* (2000) Complete genome
sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. *Nature*, **406**,
959–964.

113. Bagdasarian,M., Lurz,R., Rückert,B., Franklin,F.C., Bagdasarian,M.M., Frey,J. and
Timmis,K.N. (1981) Specific-purpose plasmid cloning vectors. II. Broad host range, high
copy number, RSF1010-derived vectors, and a host-vector system for gene cloning in
Pseudomonas. *Gene*, **16**, 237–247.

114. Nelson,K.E., Weinel,C., Paulsen,I.T., Dodson,R.J., Hilbert,H., Martins dos Santos,V.A.,
Fouts,D.E., Gill,S.R., Pop,M., Holmes,M., *et al.* (2002) Complete genome sequence and
comparative analysis of the metabolically versatile *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440.
Environ. Microbiol., **4**, 799–808.

115. Holloway,B.W. and Richmond,M.H. (1973) R-factors used for genetic studies in strains of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa and their origin. *Genet. Res.* , **21**, 103–105.

116. Grinsted,J., Saunders,J.R., Ingram,L.C., Sykes,R.B. and Richmond,M.H. (1972) Properties of
a R factor which originated in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 1822. *J. Bacteriol.*, **110**, 529–
537.

1105

1106 **TABLES**

1107 **Table 1. Lists of representative plasmids in the PIncs.**

Incompatibility (Inc) groups in *Pseudomonas* (PIncs)	Representative plasmid [accession no.]	MOB MPF[a]	No. of plasmids in PLSDB	Average size [bp]	Average GC [%]	No. of core genes[b]	No of multi-replicons [ratio(%)]	No. of plasmids with ARG [ratio(%)]
IncP-1 (IncP)	RK2/RP4 [BN000925]	MOB_P MPF_T	321	57,024	55.9	25	13 [4.0]	166 [50.8]
IncP-2	**Rms139** [LC653116]	MOB_P MPF_I	87	439,701	56.8	293	32 [36.8]	82 [96.7]
IncP-3A/C (IncA/C)	pRA1 (IncA) [FJ705807] pR55 (IncC) [JQ010984]	MOB_H MPF_F	921	165,307	52.0	48	211 [22.9]	891 [96.7]
IncP-4 (IncQ)	RSF1010 [M28829]	MOB_Q -	695	120,958	53.2	2	493 [70.9]	657 [94.5]
IncP-5	**Rms163**	NA	2	244,810	57.7	ND	0	1

	[LC685027]	NA					[0.0]	[50.0]
IncP-6 (IncG)	Rms149 [AJ877225]	MOB$_P$ -	119	42,711	58.7	3	72 [60.5]	105 [88.2]
IncP-7	pCAR1 [AB088420]	MOB$_H$ MPF$_F$	23	156,431	56.0	10	2 [8.7]	4 [17.4]
IncP-9	pWW0 [AJ344068] NAH7 [AB237655] **Rsu2** [**LC685593**]	MOB$_F$ MPF$_F$	34	87,921	58.0	10	2 [5.9]	20 [58.8]
IncP-10	R91-5 [X54695[c]] pPAB546 [MN433456]	NA NA	49	49,589	59.0	19	25 [51.0]	30 [61.2]
IncP-11	**RP1-1** [**LC700336**]	NA NA	23	55,244	58.9	37	15 [65.2]	6 [26.1]

		MOBa/MPFa	Coreb	Size (bp)	GC (%)			
IncP-12	**R716** [**LC685026**]	NA NA	9	176,615	59.5	165	0 [0.0]	1 [11.1]
IncP-13	**pMG26d** [**LC685025**]	MOB$_F$ MPF$_G$	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
IncP-15 **(PromA)**	pSN1104-11 [AP018707]	MOB$_P$ MPF$_T$	42	50,043	61.1	30	1 [2.4]	3 [13.0]
IncP-16 **(pSN1216-29)**	pSN1216-29 [AP018710]	MOB$_P$ MPF$_T$	24	51,023	60.1	17	4 [16.7]	6 [25.0]
unassigned **(pQBR103)**	pQBR103 [AM235768]	NA NA	11	514,821	55.1	229	1 [9.1]	7 [63.6]
Inc$_{pSTY}$ **(pSTY)**	pSTY [NC_022739]	MOB$_F$ MPF$_F$	15	297,214	56.5	66	4 [26.7]	8 [33.3]

1108 [a]Classification of MOB classes and MPF types was identified by the MOB-suite (110) with criteria of >=90% identity and >=60% coverage. "-"
1109 indicates that the genes involved in conjugation have not been detected, whereas "NA" indicates that the classes and types of MOB or MPF were
1110 not identified although the plasmid was self-transmissible. [b]Core genes of each Inc group plasmid were identified by PIRATE analyses. [c]Partial DNA
1111 sequences are available. [d]pMG26 was shown to be not a plasmid but an integrative and conjugative element in this study.

1112

Table 2. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Bacterial strains/ plasmids	Relevant characteristics	Reference
Bacterial strains		
Escherichia coli		
DH5α	F⁻, *endA1*, *hsdR17*(r_k^-, m_k^-), *phoA*, *supE44*, *thi-1*, λ⁻, *recA1*, *gyrA96*, *relA1*, Δ(*lacZYA- argF*)U169, ψ80d*lacZ*ΔM15	RBCBioscience
JM109	F' [*tra*D36, *proAB*, *lacI*�q, *lacZ*ΔM15], *recA1*, *endA1*, *gyrA96*, *thi-1*, *hsdR17*(r_k^- m_k^+), e14⁻ (*mcrA*⁻), *supE44*, *relA1*, Δ(*lac-pro*AB)	RBCBioscience
Pseudomonas aeruginosa		
PAO1	Wild type isolate	[111, 112]
PAO1R	A spontaneous Rif^R-mutant	This study
PAO1808	A derivative strain of PAO1, *leu* auxotroph	[31]
P. putida KT2440	pWW0-free *Pseudomonas putida* mt-2	[113, 114]
Plasmids		
Rms139	IncP-2 plasmid, Cb^R, Cm^R, Sm^R, Tc^R	[18, 85]
Rms163	IncP-5 plasmid, Cm^R, Tc^R	[19, 20]

Rsu2	IncP-9 plasmid, Cb^R, Sm^R	(67, 68)
RP1-1	IncP-11 plasmid, Cb^R	(115, 116)
R716	IncP-12 plasmid, Sm^R	(22)
pMG26	Considered to be an IncP-13 plasmid, Cb^R, Cm^R, Km^R, Gm^R, Sm^R	(17)
pUC-GW-AMP	Cloning vector, Ap^R (Pip^R)	Azanta Life Science
mini-Rms139A (IncP-2)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *repP-2A* regions of Rms139 (accession no. LC653116, 370,867-370,989 nt, 1-1181 nt and 2395-3150 nt)	This study
mini-Rms163A (IncP-5)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *repP-5A* regions of Rms163 (accession no. LC685027, 267,484-267,599 nt and 1-1,506 nt)	This study
mini-pPAB546A (IncP-10)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *repP-10A* regions of PAB546 plasmid (accession no. MN433456.1, 10,799-8,355 nt)	This study
mini-RP1-1A (IncP-11)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *repP-11A* regions of RP1-1 (accession no. LC700336, 55,020-55,149 nt and 1-1,759 nt)	This study
mini-R716A (IncP-12)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *repP-12A* regions of R716 (accession no. LC685026, 178,926-179,007 nt and 1-1,572 nt)	This study
mini-pMG26A (IncP-13)	pUC-GW-AMP carrying putative *oriV* and *rep* regions of pMG26 (accession no. LC685025, 1-1,344 nt and 10,751-12,119 nt)	This study

1114

Table 3. Conjugation frequency of each plasmid assessed with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains PAO1 and KT2440 as recipients, using both filter (solid) mating and liquid mating assays.

Plasmid	Recipient			
	PAO1		KT2440	
	solid	liquid	solid	liquid
ICEpMG26 (Previous IncP-13)	$6.7 \pm 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$	$7.8 \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.9 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-6}$
Rms139 (IncP-2)	$1.1 \pm 0.78 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.0 \pm 2.9 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.6 \pm 0.44 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.7 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$
Rms163 (IncP-5)	$1.2 \pm 0.55 \times 10^{-4}$	$<2.0 \times 10^{-9}$	Not determined	Not determined
Rsu2 (IncP-9)	$2.8 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$	$8.8 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	$8.6 \pm 0.79 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.5 \pm 0.34 \times 10^{0}$
RP1-1 (IncP-11)	$2.6 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.4 \pm 0.69 \times 10^{-5}$	Not determined	Not determined
R716 (IncP-12)	$9.5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.8 \pm 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$	Not determined	Not determined

Figure 1. Genetic structure of mini-replicon of IncP-2 (Rms139), IncP-5 (Rms163), IncP-10 (pAP546), IncP-11 (RP1-1), and IncP-12 (R716) plasmids.
(A) The mini-replicon of each plasmid was constructed by using DNA regions shown in a red arrow (replication initiation protein RIP gene) with its promoter (grey box) and a grey solid line (*oriV*). Putative iterons, palindromes, and A+T-rich regions are shown by short red arrows, short yellow arrows, and blue boxes below the *oriV* region, respectively. Putative DnaA boxes are shown by black arrowheads in the *oriV* region. (B) Consensus sequences of putative iterons are shown. (C) Transformation efficiency of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 with the mini-replicons are shown.

Figure 2. Predicted three-dimensional structure of RIP of plasmids in PIncs.
(A) Predicted structures of RIPs of IncP-1 (RepP-1A (=TrfA1) of RK2), IncP-2 (RepP-2A of Rms139), IncP-3 (RepP-3A of pRA1), IncP-4 (RepP-4A (=RepC) of RSF1010), IncP-7 (RepP-7A of pCAR1), IncP-9 (RepP-9A of pWW0), IncP-12 (RepP-12A of R716), IncP-15 (RepP-15A of pSN1104-11), IncP-16 (RepP-16A of pSB1216-29), pQBR103 (Rep$_{pQBR103}$), and pSTY (Rep$_{pSTY}$). The crystal structure of Pi protein of IncX plasmid, R6K, is shown as reference, of which structure is elucidated by X-ray crystallography. (B) Predicted structures of RIPs of IncP-5 (RepP-5A of Rms163), IncP-10 (RepP-10A of pPAB546), and

IncP-11 (RepP-11A of RP1-1). The crystal structure of Rep19A protein of *Staphylococcus* plasmid, pCA-347, is shown as reference, of which structure is elucidated by X-ray crystallography.

Figure 3. Features of plasmids of PIncs in the PLSDB.

Distribution of (A) plasmid sizes (kb) and (B) G+C contents (%), and (C) ratio of core and accessory genes of each PIncs plasmids in the PLSDB. (D) Relationship of each PIncs plasmids to other plasmid replicons and ARGs in the PLSDB. A sankey diagram was generated using the SankeyWidget library in Python through in-house programming.

Figure 4. Homologous relationships between 251 non-redundant RIPs of 15 PIncs.

%-identities of all-against-all BLASTp among the 251 non-redundant RIPs were visualised. The heatmap and the dendrograms were generated using R v3.6.1 and R packages dendextend and gplots via CPAP v0.1.0 (https://github.com/yosuken/CPAP) with a option ("--clust-method complete") to perform clustering with complete-linkage method.

Figure 5. Evolutionary relationships and phylogeny of WH RIPs.

(A) Remote homology among 10 WH RIP groups, identified using HHblits. Two supergroups (SG1 and SG2) were defined according to the high probability (>99%) predicted within each supergroup. Relationships with more than 90% probability were indicated by lines. The WH2 domain of SG1 is homologous to the WH domain of SG2 and PG9. The domain lengths shown are arbitrary. (B) The secondary structures of representatives of the 10 WH RIP groups. The representatives were selected from the 3D structure-resolved sequences shown in Figure 2. Namely, PG1 (RepP-1A (=TrfA1) of RK2), PG3 (RepP-3A of pRA1), PG4 (RepP-4A (=RepC) of RSF1010), PG7 (RepP-7A of pCAR1), PG15 (RepP-15A of pSN1104-11), PG16 (RepP-16A of pSB1216-29), PGsty (ReppSTY), PG9 (RepP-9A of pWW0), PG5 (RepP-5A of Rms163), and PG10 (RepP-10A of pPAB546) were selected. The secondary structures were predicted and visualised using iCn3D based on the 3D structures. (C) The ML phylogenetic tree of WH RIPs (6,194 leaves) calculated based on the conserved region (98 positions in the alignment) of the WH domain. The tree was rooted by the superclade of PG5/PG9/PG10. The clade and superclade names, bootstrap values, and number of leaves are shown in the legend. The consensus taxonomic affiliation of each leaf is shown in the outer ring. The number of leaves for each taxon is shown in the legend. The number of sequences (PLSDB plasmids and UniParc proteins) associated with each leaf is indicated by lines in the outmost rings. The line length represents the number of sequences but the upper limit in the visualisation is set to 100.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5