Discovery of a new *Phenuivirus* species in *Lachenalia* plants reveals possible co-evolution between 5' and 3' RNA sequence motifs

Rob J. Dekker, Wim C. de Leeuw, Marina van Olst, Wim A. Ensink, Selina van Leeuwen, Timo M. Breit[#], Martijs J. Jonker.

RNA Biology research group, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

[#]Corresponding author: Timo Breit, t.m.breit@uva.nl

Running title: *A new Phenuivirus in Lachenalia with coevolved RNA ends*

Abstract

This study reports the discovery of a new Phenuivirus species, named Lachenalia Phenuivirus-1 (LacPhV-1), from Lachenalia plants in an urban botanic garden in Amsterdam. Using a combination of smallRNA-seq, RNA-seq, and advanced bioinformatics, we identified a segmented negative-strand RNA virus in the Phenuiviridae family. Our findings show significant divergence between this new virus and known Phenuiviruses, such as Tulip Streak Virus (TuSV) and Lactuca Big Vein associated Phlebovirus (LBVaPV), supporting its classification as a distinct species. Notably, the sequence differences found in the conserved 5' and 3' ends of these segments suggest potential co-evolution. Despite the observed genomic distances, there is significant conservation in the RdRp subdomain, underscoring evolutionary relationships among LacPhV-1, TuSV, and LBVaPV. Our findings expand the known global virome and highlight the importance of exploring plant viromes in diverse ecological settings to better understand virus evolution and diversity.

Introduction

The global virome is still largely unknown (Paez-Espino *et al.,* 2016; Neri, *et al.* 2022). As a first step to understand the innumerable strategies viruses possess to evade the host anti-virus responses, it is important to discover as many different viruses as possible. This applies to human-pathogenic viruses (Carroll *et al*., 2018a and 2018b), as well as to phytopathogenic viruses, which are a serious threat to global food security (Jones, 2021; Ristaino *et al.*, 2021). A particular environment that might be a breeding ground for, yet unknown, phytoviruses is the (urban) botanic garden. Given the green "islands" botanic gardens represent, in which plants are kept in relative seclusion for numerous generations, often in close proximity to exotic plant species from exotic places, the assumption is that there may be many (yet unknown) viruses present in botanic garden plants.

There are several ways to discover new phytoviruses. The most straightforward approach is to analyze plant RNA with RNA-seq and using bioinformatics to assemble the reads into contigs. The genome sequence of these contigs or their translated protein sequences can be compared to virus databases to detect known or yet unknown virus sequences. One problem with the RNA-seq approach is the massive background of host RNA. Also, past virus infections cannot be detected by lack of viral RNA. Another approach is to investigate the virus-related siRNA defense response of the host plants to a viral infection (Vaucheret *et al.*, 2024). Given the massive siRNA response of most plants to a virus infection, which usually covers the entire length of RNA viruses, it is often possible to easily reconstruct the complete virus RNA sequence from the host-generated siRNAs (Wu *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, the siRNA response can be present after the virus is defeated by the host, so siRNA might sometimes also provide information of past virus infections. A disadvantage of the siRNA approach relates to virus infections with multiple variants. As the typical length of siRNAs is 21 nucleotides, it is often difficult to entangle multiple virus variant sequences. This is obviously a lesser problem with paired-end 150 nucleotide RNA-seq reads. Hence, combining smallRNA-seq and RNA seq in virus discovery experiments will provide the best of both approaches.

To investigate the current or past presence of known virus variants or unknown viruses in botanic garden plants, we screened 25 *Asparagales* samples from a Dutch urban botanic garden by high-throughput smallRNA-sequencing, as well as RNA-sequencing. In this report we describe the discovery of a new segmented, negative-strand RNA *Bunayvirus* that appears to belong to the *Phenuiviridae* family. The sequence differences found in the 5' and 3' conserved ends of the four virus RNA segments hint at the possible presence of sequence co-evolution. This new virus was discovered along with two other newly identified viruses within the same sample set (Dekker *et al.*, 2024a and 2024b).

Material and methods

Samples
Samples of leaves from 25 *Asparagales* plants were collected from Hortus Botanicus, a botanic garden in Amsterdam, the Netherlands, on February 14, 2019. Details about the plant genera can be found in Supplemental Table ST1.

RNA isolation
Small-RNA was isolated by grinding a flash-frozen ±1 cm^2 leaf fragment to fine powder using mortar and pestle, dissolving the powder in QIAzol Lysis Reagent (Qiagen) and purifying the RNA using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). Separation of the total RNA in a small (<200 nt) and large (>200 nt) fraction, including DNase treatment of the large RNA isolates, was performed as described in the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of the RNA was determined using a NanoDrop ND-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and RNA quality was assessed using the 2200 TapeStation System with Agilent RNA ScreenTapes (Agilent Technologies).

RNA-sequencing
Barcoded smallRNA-seq and RNA-seq libraries were generated using a Small RNA-seq Library Prep Kit (Lexogen) and a TruSeq Stranded Total RNA with Ribo-Zero Plant kit (Illumina), respectively. The size distribution of the libraries with indexed adapters was assessed using a 2200 TapeStation System with Agilent D1000 ScreenTapes (Agilent Technologies). The smallRNA-seq libraries were clustered and sequenced at 1x75 bp on a NextSeq 550 System using a NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2.5 (75 cycles; Illumina). RNA-seq libraries were clustered and sequenced at 2x150 bp on a NovaSeq 6000 System using the NovaSeq 6000 S4 Reagent Kit v1.5 (300 cycles; Illumina).

Bioinformatics analyses
Sequencing reads were trimmed using trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) [parameters: LEADING:3; TRAILING:3; SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15; MINLEN:19]. Mapping of the trimmed reads to the NCBI virus database was performed using Bowtie2 v2.4.1 (Langmead *et al.*, 2012). Contigs were assembled from smallRNA-seq data using all trimmed reads as input for SPAdes De Novo Assembler (Prjibelski *et al.*, 2020) with parameter settings: only-assembler mode, coverage depth cutoff 10, and kmer length 17, 18 and 21. Assembly of contigs from RNA-seq data was performed with default settings.

Scanning of contig sequences for potential RdRp-like proteins was performed using PalmScan (Babaian *et al.*, 2022) and LucaProt (Hou *et al.*, 2023).

Results and discussion

A new *Phenuivirus* in *Lachenalia*
In our study, we employed a combination of smallRNA-seq, RNA-seq, advanced bioinformatics, and manual curation to uncover previously unknown plant viruses in 25 *Asparagales* plants showing mild to severe disease phenotypes collected from an urban botanic garden in Amsterdam (Supplemental Table ST1). The smallRNA-seq experiment yielded on average approximately 11 million sequencing reads (< 76 nt) per sample and the RNA-seq experiment yielded on average about 39 million read-pairs (2x150 nt) per sample (Supplemental Table ST1).

Initial assembly of the smallRNA-seq reads resulted in several contigs that showed a weak similarity to the RdRp containing RNA1 segment of Tulip Streak Virus (TuSV)(Neriya *et al.*, 2021). TuSV is a four-part segmented negative-stranded, single-stranded RNA Phenuivirus from the order *Bunyavirales* that initially was reported to contain two segments of ~ 6 kb and 1.1 kb (Neriya, 2021), but was by later research extended to include two additional smaller segments of ~1.1 kb and 1.3 kb (Supplemental Table ST2). The TuSV-like siRNA-derived contigs, combined with the RNA-seq contigs were assembled into four virus RNA segments (RNA1-RNA4; GenBank accessions: PQ067367, PQ067368, PQ067369, PQ067370) that code for four virus-related proteins (Figure 1A and Supplementary Table ST2).

Figure 1. A) Schematic illustration of the discovered Lachenalia Phenuivirus-1 (LacPhV-1) genome and its associated proteins.. Indicated on the + strand are the proposed open reading frames (grey arrow box) and corresponding protein sizes (blue). B) Amino acid similarity between (LacPhV-1-NL1-26) and the two most similar Phenuivirus genome sequences: Tulip Streak Virus (TuSV) and Lactuca Big Vein associated Phlebovirus (LBVaPV). C) Similarities between the 5' and 3' terminus motifs of the four genomic RNA segments of each virus. To facilitate interpretation of the sequence complementarity, the reverse complement of the 3' terminal sequences are shown (3'RC). Nucleotides identical to the consensus nucleotide are highlighted in green. The hallmark non-complementary, protruding CC at positions 10 and 11 in the 5' motif are indicated in pink (Ren *et al.*, 2020). Nucleotides from positions 11 (3') and 12 (5') that are identical in the same RNA molecule are indicated by a black box. The ATG translation start sites for the RdRP proteins are highlighted in blue.

The similarity between the specific RNAs of this new Phenuivirus and the known TuSV virus ranges from 56-68% (at a coverage of 36-72%) at the RNA level and 50-63% (at a coverage 87-100%) at the protein level (Figure 1B and Supplemental Table ST2). These similarities are well below the species demarcation criteria for the *Phenuiviridae* family identity (< 95% identity in the RdRp amino acd sequence, Sasaya *et al.*, 2023) to conclude that the virus sequences we found are from a yet unknown Phenuivirus species. When we mapped the smallRNA-seq reads and RNA-seq reads from all samples back to the new virus RNA sequences, we saw evidence for siRNA related to this virus in four samples (S09, S15, S22, S26), all of which were *Lachenalia* samples (Supplemental Tables 1 and 3). Hence, we named our new virus Lachenalia Phenuivirus-1 (LacPhV-1) and the isolation variant sequence from sample 26 was used in our subsequent analyses, LacPhV-1-NL1-26.

There was one other Phenuivirus in Genbank that also showed similarity to both LacPhV-1 and TuSV; Lactuca Big Vein associated Phlebovirus (LBVaPV) (Schravesande *et al.*, 2023; Supplemental Table ST2). Even though TuSV and LBVaPV differ substantially, with a similarity of 69-75% at RNA level and 74-85% at protein level, they show almost the same weak similarity to LacPhV-1, which results in a triangular sequence distance from each other (Figure 1B and Supplemental Table ST2). This is clear at the protein level, where the similarity coverage is always at least 87%, whereas at the RNA level this coverage goes even as low as 22%. The fact that the overall RNA similarity is lower than protein similarity is a common occurrence in viral genome comparisons. Given that these three distinct viruses were found in plants from three different orders: Asparagales (LacPhV-1), Liliales (TuSV), and Asterales (LBVaPV), might explain the observed genomic distances.

When we zoomed in on the RdRp subdomain region with the well-conserved motif A, B, and C, which play an essential role in the catalytic function of RdRp (Jia and Gong, 2019), it was clear that while there was substantial difference between LacPhV-1 and TuSV (19%) or LBVaPV (19%), the three sequences of the three domains were identical (Figure 2) even though they represent 23% of the subdomain sequences. The next most similar RdRp subdomain (Arenavirus from the *Arenaviridae* family), contained divergent amino acids in each of the three domains (Figure 2), suggesting that although the LacPhV-1, TuSV and LBVaPV are different species, they are evidently related.

Figure 2. Sequence comparison of the conserved RdRp palm subdomains with the characteristic motifs. Alignment of the LacPhV conserved RdRp palm subdomain protein sequences to the three most similar RdRp subdomain sequences in GenBank. The amino acid sequences of the RdRp subdomain motifs A, B, and C are indicated in blue. Amino acids that diverge from the LacPhV-1 RdRp subdomain motifs are indicated in red.

We also observed a noticeable difference in relative smallRNA-seq read counts between the RNA segments of this new virus. After correction for read length, the relative read counts for the RNA1 and RNA 4 segments were 0.11 and 0.34 times the overall average read count, whereas this was 2.31 and 1.24 for the RNA2 and RNA3 segments, respectively (Supplemental Table ST4). This difference in smallRNA-read counts was consistent over all four LacPhV-1 positive samples. As most of those reads are 21-mers, this means that for unknown reasons the relative number of siRNAs for each RNA fragment is rather dissimilar. This phenomenon is also present with RNA-seq reads with low relative read counts (RNA1, 0.22 and RNA3, 0.78) and high relative read counts (RNA2, 1.91 and RNA4, 2.64). RNA-seq reads represent either virus RNA or virus mRNA. Hence, there is no direct correlation detectable between the relative number of siRNAs and virus (m)RNA (Supplemental Table ST4).

The four RNA segments of the LacPHV-1 virus contain complementary 5' and 3' terminal sequences that can form a so-called 'panhandle structure' which is typical for *Bunyaviruses* (Takahashi *et al.*, 1990, Reguera *ei al.*, 2013 Sasaya *et al.*, 2023). When we compare these terminal sequences with those of TuSV and LBVaPV, they show a stretch of nine nucleotides that is in all except one terminal sequence completely conserved (Figure 1D). The 5' sequence continues with a conserved CC, whereas the 3' sequence shows one T, leading to an important protruding C nucleotide when both ends pair (Ren *et al.*, 2020). Comparing the sequence termini of these Phenuiviruses with other four or eight segmented Phenuiviruses (Supplemental Figure SF1) revealed, next to the hallmark non-complementary CC nucleotides, at nucleotide position 10 and 11 in the 5' terminus motif, possible importance for positions 9 (5' and 3') and 10 (3'). These nucleotides invariably are (for the 3' sequence in reverse complement orientation) 'TC' in Tenuiviruses and Mechloroviruses, 'AC' in Wenriviruses (Supplemental Figure SF1), and AA in the three somewhat similar Phenuiviruses in this study, of which the previously reported viruses are linked to Phleboviruses, even though this genus reportedly only has three segments (Sasaya *et al.*, 2023).

In LacPhV-1, TuSV and LBVaPV, the second stretch of nine nucleotides in the terminal sequences seems to be more virus/RNA-segment specific, as no terminal sequence occurs in more than one RNA segment for all three viruses. Moreover, compared to the consensus sequence of this second nucleotide stretch, there are 33 non-

consensus nucleotide pairs (31%) of the total 108 pairs. Yet more striking, only 6 nucleotide pairs (5.6%) are not complementary. Thus, even though there are quite some differences in the second stretch, there are few non-complementary divergences, which might be extremely important for vital virus functions as has been shown by Kohl *et al.* (2023) through the effects of a single point mutation in a 3' terminus of a *Bunyavirus*. The inclination towards maintaining complementarity might indicate a form of co-evolution between the 5' and 3' termini of the RNA segment of these *Phenuiviruses*.

Concluding remarks

With this study, we add a new virus species to the ever-expanding global virome. In our research into virus presence in (urban) botanic gardens, this is the second new virus species we report (Dekker *et al.*, 2024). The first new virus species concerned a single-stranded, positive-stranded RNA virus of the *Capillovirus* genus and *Betaflexiviridae* family. Here we describe a single-stranded, negative-stranded RNA virus of the *Phenuiviridae* family.

The observation that the siRNA response to the four virus RNA segments, as well as the presence of these virus RNA molecules are both different and not related, yet quite consistent between samples is puzzling. Constant variation in the presence of virus RNA or virus mRNA is explicable; however, constant variation in virus-related siRNA hints at an siRNA regulatory mechanism based on the sequence of the RNA segments. Most likely, this is a cumulative effect of the siRNA hot-spots and cold spots in the various RNA segments (Molnár A *et al.*, 2005).

With our new Phenuivirus we detected an indication of a possible presence of sequence co-evolution of the 5' and 3' termini that can form so-called panhandle RNA structures in the four molecules, probably involved in RNA replication, transcription, pseudo-circularization, and virus packaging (Barr *et al.*, 2003 and 2004, Reguera *et al.*, 2013,Sun *et al.*, 2018, Ren *et al.*, 2020, and Malet *et al.*, 2023). Each addition of well-analyzed and well-annotated virus sequences to the virus databases increases the potential for new discoveries in the mechanisms of viruses, which may be beneficial to the battles against pathogenic viruses.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Sarina Veldman, Martin Smit, Iris van Kleinwee and Reinout Havinga from the Hortus Botanicus in Amsterdam, The Netherlands, for their invaluable support in providing us with plant leaf material for this study. This research was directly and indirectly funded by the Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences of the University of Amsterdam.

Data availability

The raw sequence reads have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under BioProject accession number PRJNA1137160. The following Lachenalia Phenuivirus-1 (LacPhV-1) genome segment sequences have been deposited in NCBI GenBank: RNA1 (PQ067367), RNA2 (PQ067368), RNA3 (PQ067369), and RNA4 (PQ067370).

References

Barr JN, Elliott RM, Dunn EF, Wertz GW. Segment-specific terminal sequences of Bunyamwera bunyavirus regulate genome replication. *Virology*. 2003;311(2):326-38.

Barr JN, Wertz GW. Bunyamwera bunyavirus RNA synthesis requires cooperation of 3'- and 5'-terminal sequences. *J Virol*. 2004;78(3):1129-38.

Carroll D, Daszak P, Wolfe ND, Gao GF, Morel CM, Morzaria S. The Global Virome Project. *Science*. 2018;359(6378):872-4.

Carroll D, Watson B, Togami E, Daszak P, Mazet JA, Chrisman CJ. Building a global atlas of zoonotic viruses. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2018;96(4):292-4.

Correction for Ristaino *et al*., The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2021;118(40): e2115792118.

Dekker RJ, de Leeuw WC, van Olst M, Ensink WA, van Leeuwen S, Breit TM, Jonker MJ. A new Betaflexivirus species in Ferraria crispa plants. *bioRxiv*. 2024a.

Dekker RJ, de Leeuw WC, van Olst M, Ensink WA, van Leeuwen S, Breit TM, Jonker MJ. A new Polerovirus species in plants from the Asparagaceae family. *bioRxiv*. 2024b.

Jia H, Gong P. A Structure-Function Diversity Survey of the RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerases From the Positive-Strand RNA Viruses. *Front Microbiol*. 2019;10:1945.

Jones RAC. Global Plant Virus Disease Pandemics and Epidemics. *Plants (Basel)*. 2021;10(2):233.

Kohl A, Bridgen A, Dunn E, Barr JN, Elliott RM. Effects of a point mutation in the 3' end of the S genome segment of naturally occurring and engineered Bunyamwera viruses. *J Gen Virol*. 2003;84(4):789-93.

Madeira F, Madhusoodanan N, Lee J, Eusebi A, Niewielska A, Tivey ARN, Lopez R, Butcher S. The EMBL-EBI Job Dispatcher sequence analysis tools framework in 2024. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2024;gkae241.

Malet H, Williams HM, Cusack S, Rosenthal M. The mechanism of genome replication and transcription in bunyaviruses. *PLoS Pathog*. 2023;19(1): e1011060.

Molnár A, Csorba T, Lakatos L, Várallyay E, Lacomme C, Burgyán J. Plant virus-derived small interfering RNAs originate predominantly from highly structured single-stranded viral RNAs. *J Virol*. 2005;79(12):7812-8.

Neri U, Wolf YI, Roux S, Camargo AP, Lee B, Kazlauskas D, Chen IM, Ivanova N, Zeigler Allen L, Paez-Espino D, Bryant DA, Bhaya D; RNA Virus Discovery Consortium; Krupovic M, Dolja VV, Kyrpides NC, Koonin EV, Gophna U. Expansion of the global RNA virome reveals diverse clades of bacteriophages. *Cell*. 2022;185(21):4023-4037.

Neriya Y, Morikawa T, Hamamoto K, Noguchi K, Kobayashi T, Suzuki T, Nishigawa H, Natsuaki T. Characterization of tulip streak virus, a novel virus associated with the family Phenuiviridae. *J Gen Virol*. 2021;102(2).

Paez-Espino D, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Pavlopoulos GA, Thomas AD, Huntemann M, Mikhailova N, Rubin E, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC. Uncovering Earth's virome. *Nature*. 2016;536(7617):425-30.

Reguera J, Malet H, Weber F, Cusack S. Structural basis for encapsidation of genomic RNA by La Crosse Orthobunyavirus nucleoprotein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(18):7246-51.

Ristaino JB, Anderson PK, Bebber DP, Brauman KA, Cunniffe NJ, Fedoroff NV, Finegold C, Garrett KA, Gilligan CA, Jones CM, Martin MD, MacDonald GK, Neenan P, Records A, Schmale DG, Tateosian L, Wei Q. The persistent threat of emerging plant disease pandemics to global food security. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2021;118(23): e2022239118.

Sasaya T, Palacios G, Briese T, Di Serio F, Groschup MH, Neriya Y, Song JW, Tomitaka Y. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Phenuiviridae 2023. *J Gen Virol*. 2023;104:001893.

Schravesande WEW, Heer Pd, Verhage A, van den Burg HA. Genome sequence of a novel phlebovirus associated with lettuce big vein disease infecting lettuce (Lactuca spp.). *Microbiol Resour Announc*. 2024;13(2): e0097923.

Sun Y, Li J, Gao GF, Tien P, Liu W. Bunyavirales ribonucleoproteins: the viral replication and transcription machinery. *Crit Rev Microbiol*. 2018;44(5):522-40.

Takahashi M, Toriyama S, Kikuchi Y, Hayakawa T, Ishihama A. Complementarity between the 5'- and 3'-terminal sequences of rice stripe virus RNAs. *J Gen Virol*. 1990;71(12):2817-21.

Vaucheret H, Voinnet O. The plant siRNA landscape. *Plant Cell*. 2024;36(2):246-75.

Wu Q, Luo Y, Lu R, Lau N, Lai EC, Li WX, Ding SW. Virus discovery by deep sequencing and assembly of virus-derived small silencing RNAs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2010;107(4):1606-11.

Supplemental information

Supplemental Figure SF1. Comparison of Phenuiviridae segment termini. Similarities between the 5' and 3' terminus motifs of the four RNAs of each virus. To facilitate interpretation of the sequence complementarity, the reverse complement (RC) of the 3' terminal sequences are presented. The consensus nucleotides from position 1 to 9 are highlighted in green. The hallmark CC nucleotides at positions 10 and 11 in the 5' motif are indicated in red. The distinguishing nucleotides at position 9 (5' and 3') and position 10 (3') are highlighted in blue. Nucleotides from positions 11 (3') and 12 (5') that are identical in the same RNA molecule are boxed.

Supplemental Table ST1. Overview of plant samples used for smallRNA and RNA-seq analyses. The table includes detailed information on the disease phenotype, harvest date, and taxonomic classification of each sample. Additionally, the number of reads obtained from smallRNA-seq and the number of read-pairs from RNA-seq are provided. (*) SmallRNA-seq was performed in two separate experiments, resulting in the observed variations in read counts.

Sample ID	Name	Abbreviation	Pot number	Disease phenotype	Harvest date	Order	Family	Genus	Species	sRNAseq*	RNAseq
S01	Drimiopsis_maculata_Lindl_Paxton	Dm	2	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Drimiopsis*	D. maculata	3,570,212	34,640,313
S02	Lachenalia_aloides_Asch_Graebert_var_quadricolor	La	3	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. aloides	5,955,615	38,542,291
S03	Lachenalia_bulbifera_Cirillo_Engl	Lb	4	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. Bulbifera	4,975,216	54,405,719
S04	Lachenalia_bulbifera_Cirillo_Engl	Lb	13	absent	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. Bulbifera	4,498,177	48,864,675
S05	Albuca_canadensis_L_FMLeight	Ac	6	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Albuca*	A. canadensis	5,094,352	74,387,234
S06	Ferraria_crispa_Burm	FC	7	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	4,511,770	39,227,726
S07	Ferraria_crispa_Burm_subsp_crispa	FC[10]	10	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	3,650,466	16,393,962
S08	Ferraria_crispa_Burm_subsp_crispa	FC[11]	11	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	5,461,449	50,399,202
S09	Lachenalia_liliiflora_Jacq	Ll	14	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. lilliflora	5,193,916	41,434,192
S10	Albuca_canadensis	Ac	16	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Albuca*	A. canadensis	4,000,657	31,769,287
S11	Tritonia_pallida_Ker_Gawl_subsp_pallida	Tp	21	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Tritonia*	T. pallida	5,631,974	20,052,549
S12	Gladiolus_splendens_Sweet_Herb	Gs	22	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Gladiolus*	G. splendens	5,061,686	36,381,503
S14	Drimiopsis_maculata_Lindl_Paxton	Dm	1	absent	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Drimiopsis*	D. maculata	10,854,121	56,652,319
S15	Lachenalia_aloides_Asch_Graebert_var_luteola	La	5	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. aloides	15,559,011	45,746,374
S16	Ferraria_crispa_Burm	FC	7	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	34,660,163	31,620,407
S17	Ferraria_crispa_Burm	FC	8	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	21,189,604	22,966,473
S18	Ferraria_crispa_Burm	FC	9	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	11,280,321	32,402,472
S19	Ferraria_crispa_Burm_subsp_crispa	FC[10]	10	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	23,454,445	21,800,891
S20	Ferraria_crispa_Burm_subsp_crispa	FC[11]	11	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	15,185,230	69,625,722
S21	Ferraria_crispa_Burm_subsp_crispa	FC	12	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Iridaceae	*Ferraria*	F. crispa	13,570,849	21,285,878
S22	Lachenalia_stayneri_WFBarker	Ls	15	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. stayneri	15,290,142	37,664,978
S23	Albuca_canadensis	Ac	17	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Albuca*	A. canadensis	11,732,233	24,542,074
S24	Albuca_canadensis	Ac	18	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Albuca*	A. canadensis	10,911,507	49,361,870
S25	Lachenalia_corymbosa_JCManning_Goldblatt	Lc	19	severe	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. corymbosa	12,504,425	35,099,651
S26	Lachenalia_kliprandensis_WF_Barker	Lk	20	moderate	2019-02-14	Asparagales	Asparagaceae	*Lachenalia*	L. kliprandensis	19,037,058	35,044,220
								Average number of reads		10,913,384	38,812,479

Supplemental Table ST2. Sequence similarity comparisons between LacPhV-NL1-26, identified in this study, and various related viruses retrieved from GenBank. The table presents sequence similarity at both the genome and protein levels, with specific GenBank accession IDs provided for each virus and corresponding RNA genome segment. Pairwise comparisons are shown for LacPhV-NL1-26 against TuSV and LBVaPV, as well as a direct comparison between TuSV and LBVaPV (column TuSV vs LBVaPV).

GENOME

Segment	LacPhV-1-NL1-26 Accession	Size	TuSV Accession	Size	Coverage	Identity	LBVaPV Accession	Size	Coverage	Identity	TuSV vs LBVaPV Coverage	Identity
RNA1	PQ067367	6,342	LC571987.1	6,379	72%	68.20%	OR610326.1	6,408	59%	70.93%	99%	75.25%
RNA2	PQ067368	1,090	LC571988.1	1,110	46%	67.01%	OR610327.1	1,107	42%	69.74%	100%	74.98%
RNA3	PQ067369	1,475	LC805881.1	1,454	36%	66,42%	OR610328.1	1,429	22%	65.06%	80%	74.82%
RNA4	PQ067370	1,291	LC805882.1	1,283	60%	65.26%	OR610329.1	1,282	67%	65.63%	100%	69.26%

PROTEIN

Segment	LacPhV-1-NL1-26 Accession	Size	TuSV Accession	Size	Coverage	Identity	LBVaPV Accession	Size	Coverage	Identity	TuSV vs LBVaPV Coverage	Identity
RNA1	XDI83325	2,069	BCK51609.1	2,095	99%	57.47%	WOH23130.1	2,098	99%	57.24%	100%	84.56%
RNA2	XDI83326	249	BCK51610.1	259	87%	63.47%	WOH23131.1	260	88%	62.73%	100%	83.40%
RNA3	XDI83327	346	BFI02998.1	348	100%	49.86%	WOH23132.1	348	100%	50.00%	100%	80.00%
RNA4	XDI83328	374	BFI02999.1	374	100%	57.75%	WOH23133.1	374	100%	58.02%	100%	73.80%

Supplemental Table ST4. Relative read counts of smallRNA-seq and RNA-seq read mapping to the new LacPhV-1-NL1-26 RNA sequences. The table presents the number of reads mapping to each segment, normalized to segment length (per 1,000 nucleotides). Additionally, the normalized read counts are expressed as a ratio over the average read count per segment, providing a comparative analysis of the read distribution across the viral genome.

	Genome segment	Length (nt)	Read count per 1.000 nt S09	S15	S22	S26	Relative to average segment read count S09	S15	S22	S26	Average
smallRNA-seq	RNA1	6,342	2,636	25,295	23,048	21,841	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.20	**0.11**
smallRNA-seq	RNA2	1,090	116,469	548,200	568,169	234,458	2.33	2.35	2.41	2.16	**2.31**
smallRNA-seq	RNA3	1,475	66,308	281,544	283,555	132,263	1.33	1.20	1.20	1.22	**1.24**
smallRNA-seq	RNA4	1,291	14,347	79,661	69,230	45,782	0.29	0.34	0.29	0.42	**0.34**
	Average per 1.000 nt		49,940	233,675	236,000	108,586					
RNA-seq	RNA1	6,342	22,441	7,063	40,933	25,442	0.45	0.03	0.17	0.23	**0.22**
RNA-seq	RNA2	1,090	178,862	68,673	501,528	177,967	3.58	0.29	2.13	1.64	**1.91**
RNA-seq	RNA3	1,475	67,552	19,563	176,409	79,193	1.35	0.08	0.75	0.73	**0.73**
RNA-seq	RNA4	1,291	221,149	78,137	814,953	254,821	4.43	0.33	3.45	2.35	**2.64**
	Average per 1.000 nt		122,501	43,359	383,456	134,356					

Supplemental Table ST3. Summary smallRNA-seq and RNA-seq data mapping on the four genome segments of the discovered LacPhV-NL1-26 virus. SmallRNA-seq data was mapped using two different approaches: strict-mapping (allowing no mismatches; blue) and mismatch-mapping (allowing up to 10% mismatches; red). RNA-seq data was mapped using default settings. For each genomic segment (RNA1-4) of the LacPhV-NL1-26 virus, the table shows the read count, unique read count, and coverage percentage of the mapping. The "All" category aggregates the total number of reads mapped across all genomic segments. * The coverage percentage of the RNA-seq mapping is 100% for all four segments for all virus-positive samples.

smallRNA-seq — Strict-mapping

Read count

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	1	0	2	12	3	1	1	17	16,718	0	0	0	79	160,420	4	0	0	0	2	170	146,172	0	0	6	138,518
RNA2	1,090	6	0	0	30	29	8	15	138	126,951	0	0	0	260	597,538	3	1	3	2	0	703	619,304	2	2	17	255,559
RNA3	1,475	0	0	0	22	21	7	17	68	97,805	0	1	0	185	415,277	6	1	1	2	0	467	418,243	2	0	9	195,088
RNA4	1,291	0	0	0	10	3	0	4	14	18,522	0	1	0	33	102,842	2	0	0	0	0	112	89,376	1	0	1	59,105
All	10,198	7	0	2	74	56	16	37	237	259,996	0	2	0	557	1,276,077	15	4	4	4	2	1,452	1,273,095	5	5	33	648,270

Unique read count

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	1	0	2	12	3	1	1	17	4,218	0	0	0	77	11,762	4	0	0	0	2	159	11,469	0	0	6	10,711
RNA2	1,090	6	0	0	27	27	8	14	105	3,572	0	1	0	182	6,370	3	1	3	2	0	391	5,945	2	2	17	4,534
RNA3	1,475	0	0	0	20	20	7	16	54	2,862	0	1	0	141	4,819	6	1	1	2	0	271	4,447	2	0	8	3,507
RNA4	1,291	0	0	0	10	3	0	4	13	1,838	0	1	0	31	3,500	2	0	0	0	0	100	3,422	1	0	1	2,920
All	10,198	7	0	2	69	53	16	35	189	12,490	0	2	0	431	26,451	15	2	4	4	2	921	25,283	5	2	32	21,672

Coverage percentage

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	6	98	0	0	0	22	100	1	0	0	0	1	39	100	0	0	2	100
RNA2	1,090	0	0	0	30	32	12	16	68	100	0	0	2	85	100	5	2	5	0	0	93	100	3	3	20	100
RNA3	1,475	0	0	0	25	28	10	27	50	100	0	2	0	70	100	10	2	2	4	0	88	100	4	0	14	100
RNA4	1,291	0	0	0	13	4	0	6	17	99	0	0	0	29	100	3	0	0	0	0	67	100	1	0	1	100

smallRNA-seq — Mismatch-mapping

Read count

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	1	0	2	13	4	1	1	18	5,099	1	0	0	80	18,477	7	1	0	0	2	173	17,180	2	1	7	16,393
RNA2	1,090	1	1	0	11	3	0	4	13	2,421	0	1	0	37	7,438	6	1	1	1	0	106	6,728	1	2	2	5,389
RNA3	1,475	7	0	0	30	31	10	14	115	6,851	0	0	0	204	21,904	3	2	4	2	0	437	20,977	2	3	17	12,701
RNA4	1,291	0	1	0	23	21	7	17	56	5,229	1	1	1	158	15,279	7	2	1	4	1	294	14,649	3	0	9	9,409
All	10,198	9	2	2	77	59	18	36	202	19,600	2	2	2	479	63,098	23	6	6	7	3	1,010	59,534	8	6	35	43,892

Unique read count

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	1	0	2	13	4	1	1	18	18,799	1	0	0	82	168,376	7	1	0	0	2	184	153,564	2	1	7	144,943
RNA2	1,090	1	1	0	11	3	3	4	14	19,466	0	1	0	40	110,924	6	1	1	1	0	118	95,168	1	2	2	62,710
RNA3	1,475	7	0	0	33	33	10	15	148	136,261	0	1	0	282	651,742	3	2	4	2	1	750	666,069	2	3	17	276,606
RNA4	1,291	0	1	0	25	22	7	18	70	103,081	1	1	1	203	446,036	7	2	1	4	1	494	446,648	3	0	10	207,915
All	10,198	9	2	2	82	62	18	38	250	277,607	2	2	2	607	1,377,078	23	6	6	7	3	1,546	1,361,449	8	6	36	692,174

Coverage percentage

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	6	100	0	0	0	22	100	1	0	0	0	1	39	100	0	0	2	100
RNA2	1,090	0	0	1	14	4	0	6	17	99	0	0	1	33	100	8	1	1	1	0	67	100	1	2	3	100
RNA3	1,475	0	0	0	32	35	13	16	69	100	0	0	0	86	100	5	3	6	3	0	94	100	2	5	20	100
RNA4	1,291	0	0	0	30	30	10	28	50	100	2	2	2	73	100	12	4	2	8	2	90	100	6	0	14	100

RNA-seq — Default mapping

Read count*

Genome segment	Length (nt)	S01	S02	S03	S04	S05	S06	S07	S08	S09	S10	S11	S12	S14	S15	S16	S17	S18	S19	S20	S21	S22	S23	S24	S25	S26
RNA1	6,342	2	0	0	99	0	0	0	1	142,321	0	0	0	1	44,795	0	0	0	0	0	0	259,599	2	0	1	161,354
RNA2	1,090	0	0	0	50	0	1	0	6	194,960	2	0	4	0	74,854	0	1	0	0	0	0	546,665	4	0	0	193,984
RNA3	1,475	0	3	0	53	0	0	0	1	99,639	3	2	0	0	28,855	0	1	0	0	1	0	260,203	0	5	17	116,809
RNA4	1,291	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	4	285,504	6	0	0	1	100,875	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,052,104	0	0	14	328,974
All	10,198	2	6	0	260	0	1	0	12	722,424	8	5	4	2	249,379	0	1	0	0	1	0	2,118,571	6	0	2	801,121