Dynamics of recombination, X inactivation and centromere proteins during

stick insect spermatogenesis

Zoé Dumas[1]*, William Toubiana[1]*, Marie Delattre[2], Tanja Schwander[1]

*These authors contributed equally

[1]Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne.

[2]Laboratory of Biology and Modeling of the Cell, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, CNRS

UMR 5239, Inserm U1293, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Lyon, France.

Corresponding authors: william.toubiana@unil.ch, zoe.dumas@unil.ch.

ABSTRACT

In eukaryotes, the cellular processes contributing to gamete formation form the building

blocks of genetic inheritance across generations. While traditionally viewed as conserved

across model organisms, emerging studies reveal significant variation in meiotic and post-

meiotic processes. Extending our knowledge to non-model organisms is therefore critical to

improve our understanding of the evolutionary origin and significance of modifications

associated with gamete formation. We describe the cytological patterns underlying

chromosome segregation, recombination, and meiotic sex chromosome inactivation during

male meiosis in the stick insect group *Timema*. Our results provide a detailed description of

centromere protein loading dynamics during spermatogenesis, and further reveal that 1)

recombination initiates before synapsis (unlike *Drosophila* meiosis), and 2) that the X remains

actively silenced despite two waves of transcriptional activation in autosomes during

spermatogenesis. Together, our observations help understand the evolutionary significance

of key cellular events related to spermatogenesis and shed light on the diversity of their

associated molecular processes among species, including *Timema* stick insects.

INTRODUCTION

A key step in sexual reproduction is the generation of haploid cells. The transition from

diploidy to haploidy occurs in meiosis, a cellular process that ensures the maintenance of

ploidy levels between generations. During meiosis, chromosomes are inherited from a diploid

progenitor germ cell to produce four haploid gametic cells, through two rounds of cell division

(1). In males, these events are followed by the process of spermiogenesis, during which the

four meiotic products mature into sperm cells which then fuse with female gametes and

restore the diploid cellular state (2). While traditionally viewed as conserved across model

organisms, emerging studies reveal significant variation in meiotic and post-meiotic processes

underlying sperm maturation (2-6).

In a canonical depiction of meiosis, the early steps (i.e., prophase I) involve chromosome

condensation, pairing, synapsis, and recombination (1). These processes work together to

facilitate the segregation of chromosomes and formation of novel allele combinations (1).

However, the temporal and functional relationships between these steps can vary among

species. For example, some lineages exhibit achiasmatic meiosis, where homologous

chromosomes segregate without recombination, as is the case for *Drosophila* fruit fly males

(7). In organisms that do recombine, the temporal relationships between meiotic

recombination and synapsis can also vary, with dependence or independence of synapsis on

the occurrence of double-stranded breaks (DSBs) and recombination (8). Although

recombination plays a key role in homologous chromosome segregation, it appears that

different features can coexist. Yet, the evolutionary origins and causes of this variation remain

unclear (7, 9).

Another variable phenotype is observed during the early steps of meiosis and in species with

differentiated sex chromosomes such as in XY or X0 systems (i.e., without Y chromosome).

Here, sex chromosomes partially synapse or do not synapse at all (10). This phenomenon is

thought to trigger major transcriptional changes, by which sex chromosomes are

transcriptionally silenced during meiosis through a process known as meiotic sex

chromosome inactivation (MSCI) (11). However, there is extensive variation in these

transcriptional changes among species, with MSCI occurring in some species but not others

(12-16). Additionally, the timing and mechanisms of MSCI differ between species, preventing

the formulation of a general theory as to why MSCI occurred in the first place (11, 17) (see

the discussion section for a list of proposed hypotheses).

Following the recombination and sex chromosome inactivation processes, two rounds of cell

division ensure the proper segregation of each homologous chromosome and chromatid. This

process is mediated by centromeres—specific chromosomal regions where centromere

proteins bind to DNA and facilitate the attachment of the spindle microtubules (18). However,

the way centromeres are specified varies considerably among species (19). In most

eukaryotes, centromeres are confined to specific regions of the chromosomes and are

marked by the histone variant CenH3 (also known as CENP-A), which recruits other

centromere proteins before cell division (20). These organisms, known as monocentric

species, contrast with the holocentric species, where centromeres are specified along the

entire length of chromosomes (21). Furthermore, the loading of centromere proteins,

including CenH3, shows considerable diversity; they can be deposited through various

mechanisms, and in some species, they are even entirely absent (19, 22). How such changes

can evolve and how the cellular machinery adapts to ensure accurate chromosome

segregation remains poorly understood.

Finally, the process of sperm maturation (i.e., spermiogenesis) involves a series of

chromosomal regulation that include changes in transcription and DNA compaction. While

these events are essential for the transmission of genetic information across generations,

they also pose significant challenges for preserving genome integrity (23). Despite its

importance, it remains largely unclear whether sexual organisms address these challenges in

similar or different manners.

Understanding the diversity of meiotic and post-meiotic processes has become a critical

concern for identifying the elements essential for gamete production and elucidating the

proximate and ultimate mechanisms underlying the diversification of gametogenesis.

The stick insect genus *Timema* has emerged as a compelling system for exploring the diversity

of cellular processes linked to spermatogenesis (i.e., male meiotic and post-meiotic

processes), owing to two recently uncovered features during male meiosis (24, 25). First, the

centromere histone determinant CenH3 binds in a localized or chromosome-wide manner

depending on chromosomes and meiotic stages (25). Second, the X chromosome

demonstrated significant alterations in transcriptional activity over gonad development,

diminishing in overall gene expression as the meiotic program initiates (24). These findings

prompted us to investigate in more detail the cellular processes involved in *Timema* male

meiosis. While our main focus was on the species *Timema californium*, we also used a

comparative approach across different *Timema* species, covering over 30 MY of divergence

(26), and compared our findings with the cellular processes described in other organisms (see

Materials and Methods for the details and limitations in the species comparisons). This

approach allowed us to explore the diversity and spatiotemporal dynamics of different

cellular processes involved in recombination, meiotic sex chromosome inactivation and

chromosome segregation. Overall, our study unveils that despite a conservation in the

cytological processes governing spermatogenesis in *Timema*, the spatiotemporal dynamics of

the molecular machinery involved can vary considerably among species, particularly when

compared to model organisms.

RESULTS

***Timema* chromosomes pair and initiate recombination before synapsis during male**

prophase I

Meiotic recombination is initiated by the formation of programmed DNA double-stranded

breaks (DSBs) that rapidly induce the phosphorylation of the histone variant H2AX (γH2AX)

and the recruitment of the recombinase Rad51 that is involved in homologous recombination

and mediates the single-strand invasion to repair DNA after DSBs (27, 28). To determine

whether DSB formation and homologous recombination are required for initiating synapsis

during *Timema* male meiosis, which is chiasmatic (29), we analysed the temporal localisation

of these two proteins, as well as the subunit of the cohesin complex SMC3. SMC3 marks

chromosomal axes but can also be used as marker for the progression of synapsis as SMC3

filaments become thicker as chromosomes pair and synapse (30). We find that the induction

of recombination, including DSB formation and DNA repair via strand invasion, starts prior to

synapsis, when chromosomes begin to condense and elongate into strands (see materials and

methods for the characterization of cellular stages). Indeed, during the early zygotene stage,

γH2AX and Rad51 form a cloud of foci at a polarized region of the nucleus (Figure 1A-E; Figure

2A-E; Supplementary figures 1A-J and 2A-O). At the same stage, only thin continuous SMC3

filaments are observed (Figure 1A-C; Figure 2A-C; Supplemental figure 3C), forming a

structure commonly referred to as the bouquet configuration in other species (31, 32). The

polarized patterns of γH2AX and Rad51 proteins as well as the bouquet configuration were

also observed in grasshopper spermatocytes (9), suggesting that the process is conserved

across the polyneopteran insect orders.

During the mid-zygotene to pachytene transition, synapsis progresses gradually and

asynchronously among chromosomes, alongside with the resolution of the induced DSBs. This

was evidenced by SMC3 filaments gradually shifting from thin to thicker filaments, with a

variable number of thick filaments among cells, while the γH2AX signal progressively

homogenizes and decreases over the entire nuclear surface (Figure 1F-O; Supplemental figure

1A-O; Supplemental figure 3E-J). Similarly, the Rad51 signal decreases and only a subset of

recombination sites per chromosome persists as discrete foci along the thick SMC3 filaments

(Figure 2F-T; Supplemental figure 2A-E and 2P-T).

Before the initiation of the first meiotic division, γH2AX and Rad51 signals disappear while

SMC3 filaments become interrupted near chromosomal ends (Figure 1P-T; Figure 2Z-Zd;

Supplementary figure 3O-P and 3A'-O'). These interruptions likely highlight chiasma sites that

correspond to the contact zone where homologous chromosomes remain connected (33). At

metaphase I, chromosomes then form "rod" bivalents with cross-shaped SMC3 labeling

interrupted at one chromosomal end for the majority of chromosomes in all four *Timema*

species examined, suggesting the formation of a single terminal or sub-terminal chiasma site

(Supplementary figure 3O-P and 3A'-L'). We also identified two *Timema* species forming one

"ring" bivalent with more circularized SMC3 labeling interrupted at two chromosomal ends,

suggestive of two terminal or sub-terminal chiasma sites (Supplementary figure 3O-P and 3A'-

F').

Overall, our findings show that the phosphorylation of the histone H2AX and the

chromosomal deposition of the recombinase Rad51 occur prior to the thickening of SMC3

filaments, supporting the idea of a recombination-dependent machinery for synapsis

formation as observed in *Arabidopsis,* yeast, jellyfish and mouse (34-37). Furthermore, the

terminal positions of chiasma sites suggest that crossing-overs may preferentially occur on

chromosomal ends in *Timema* males, although such conclusion requires further molecular

evidence.

Spermatogenesis progression of meiotic sex chromosome inactivation in *Timema* males

In *Timema* male meiosis, the X chromosome remains unsynapsed due to the absence of a

homologous partner (i.e., X0 system) (38). As a result, the pachytene stage is marked by thick

SMC3 filaments on all chromosomes except the X chromosome, where a thin SMC3 filament

remains (Supplementary figure 3I) (see materials and methods for X vs autosome

identification). At the same stage, we observed that the X chromosome is also characterized

by an intense DAPI staining, not present at the zygotene stage (Supplementary figure 3C-J).

This is reminiscent of the heteropycnotic body (also termed sex body) found in organisms

with meiotic sex chromosome inactivation (MSCI) (16, 39). The heteropycnotic body is a key

marker of MSCI, highlighting the intense compaction of sex chromosomes, and is often

assisted by the DNA damage response (DDR) pathway in mammals, where diverse DDR factors

including γH2AX and Rad-related kinases accumulate to initiate the chromosome-wide

silencing (15, 40). However, this pathway appears to be modified in hemipteran and

orthopteran insects, notably with the absence of Rad51 and the emergence of γH2AX only

after the sex body has formed (16, 41, 42). Our analyses of γH2AX and Rad51 in *Timema*

suggest that this cascade is also modified compared to both insects and mammals. Indeed,

despite the detection of Rad51 proteins as discrete sites along the unsynapsed axial element

of the X chromosome (Figure 2P-T; Supplementary figure 2A-E and 2P-T), the γH2AX signal is

inconsistently detected across *Timema* species during pachytene (Figure 1K-O;

Supplementary figure 1A-O). Specifically, *T. californicum* and *T. petita* exhibit two to three

distinct γH2AX patches in X regions, while no signal is detected on the X chromosome for *T.*

cristinae and *T. chumash*. Note that because we used a commercial antibody against γH2AX,

we cannot formally exclude that this variation across species is caused by variable antibody

affinities. However, the antibody clearly detects the expected cloud of DSB-associated γH2AX

foci at a polarized region of the nucleus during the zygotene stage in all species (Figure 1A-E;

Supplementary figure 1A-J). We could also verify that the presence or absence of γH2AX on

the X chromosome at pachytene is consistent across individuals of the same species

(Supplementary table 1). Finally, the X chromosome in all *Timema* species exhibits intense

DAPI staining at the nuclear periphery, attesting that the unusual γH2AX pattern on the X

chromosome, in some species, does not prevent the tight compaction of this chromosome

(Figure 1N-O; Supplementary figure 1A-O).

We previously showed that the X chromosome compaction in pachytene is associated with

MSCI (24). However, it is unknown whether the X chromosome in *Timema* is transcriptionally

inactive throughout spermatogenesis or only at specific stages, including the pachytene stage.

To determine the transcriptional status of the X chromosome and autosomes, we immuno-

labelled the RNA polymerase II phosphorylated on serine 2, which is a conserved

transcriptional marker of transcription elongation (43). We found that the X chromosome

remains transcriptionally inactive throughout spermatogenesis, while there are two phases

of autosomal transcription during meiosis and spermiogenesis. Indeed, the RNA polymerase

II signal is first absent from the entire nucleus at the onset of prophase I (i.e., leptotene and

zygotene stages; Figure 3A-J). It then appears at the pachytene stage only on the autosomes,

concomitantly with the formation of the heteropycnotic body (Figure 3K-O). This first

transcriptional phase is maintained until the diplotene stage (Figure 3P-Zi) and is followed by

a second transcriptional phase during the spermatid stage, marked again by an intense RNA

polymerase II signal on the autosomes but not the X chromosome (Figure 3a-e'). As

spermatids then elongate, the polymerase II signal is depleted from the nuclei and the

heteropycnotic body is no longer visible (Figure 3f-o).

Contrasting with the absence of polymerase II signal, we observed that the X chromosome

becomes coated by the modified histone H3K9me3 in pachytene (Figure 4F-J; Supplementary

figure 4A-F and 4O-S), another MSCI marker involved in transcriptional repression,

heterochromatization, and heteropycnotic body formation of the sex chromosomes during

MSCI (16, 40). However, while the heteropycnotic body persists in all species until the round

spermatid stage, the maintenance of H3K9me3 on the X varies among species: it remains until

the round spermatid stage in *T. petita* and *T. chumash* whereas its signal is already lost at this

stage in *T. californicum* and *T. cristinae* (Figure 4 a-e'; Supplementary figure 4A-C and 4G-S).

We note that species lacking H3K9me3 signal on the X chromosome at the spermatid stage,

display a coating of H3K9me3 on the X chromosome in pachytene and other meiotic stages

such as metaphase I (Figure 4F-T; Supplementary figure 4A-C), indicating that the binding

efficiency of the antibody is not affected in these species.

Combined, our findings show the complete transcriptional inactivity of the X chromosome

throughout *Timema* spermatogenesis, which explains the strongly reduced overall gene

expression of the X chromosome compared to autosomes in male gonads (24). Furthermore,

the establishment and maintenance of this process appear to be dynamic, unfolding across

five major cellular steps. During the first step, the polymerase II is absent from nuclei in

leptotene and zygotene stages, and all chromosomes, including the X chromosome, are most

likely transcriptionally inactive (Figures 3A-J). The second step involves the appearance of the

MSCI markers such as the formation of the heteropycnotic body along with the presence of

H3K9me3, γH2AX and Rad51 proteins on the X (Figure 1K-O; Supplementary figure 1A-O;

Figure 2P-T; Supplementary figure 2A-T). While this process appears to depend on H3K9me3

and Rad51 (as in mammals (15)), it does not seem to require the accumulation of γH2AX on

the X chromosome (resembling the pattern in grasshoppers but differing from that in

mammals (15, 41)). However, further functional validations such as knockdown or knockout

experiments will be required in the future, as currently not accessible in the system, to draw

firm conclusions on the mechanisms associated with the initiation of MSCI in *Timema*. The

second step is also marked by a first wave of transcription on the autosomes from pachytene

to diplotene, while the X chromosome is maintained transcriptionally silenced throughout

this entire period (Figure 3K-T). In the third step, transcription is once again greatly reduced

or entirely absent across all chromosomes during metaphase I. However, unlike in the first

step, the X chromosome can be distinguished from the others due to the presence of

H3K9me3 modification coating its entire length (Figure 3U-Y; Figure 4P-T; Supplementary

figure 4D-F). This enrichment of H3K9me3 modification on the X chromosome, compared to

the autosomes, was previously shown and quantified for *Timema* male meiosis using

H3K9me3-directed ChIP-seq analyses (24). The fourth step marks the presence of the

heteropycnotic body until the round spermatid stage and the initiation of the second wave of

autosomal transcription (Figure 3a-e'). This step is also marked by some variation in the

maintenance of H3K9me3 among *Timema* species (Figure 4a-e'; Supplementary figure 4A-C

and 4G-S), suggesting that this epigenetic modification is not essential for the maintenance

of X silencing at this stage. Moreover, we note that H3K9me3 signals in the autosomes vary

among species at this and earlier stages, with for instance the presence of intense foci in the

nuclei of *T. petita* or *T. cristinae* that might indicate clusters of heterochromatin regions

(Supplementary figure 4A-C and 4O-S). Finally, the last step involves the loss of MSCI and

transcriptional markers in all species as spermatids elongate and mature, as evidenced by

the absence of the heteropycnotic body, as well as the loss of RNA polymerase II and

H3K9me3 signals (Figure 3f-o; Figure 4f-o; Supplementary figure 4D-F).

Dynamics and assembly of centromere proteins during spermatogenesis

Interestingly, the first wave of transcription in *Timema* does not only coincide with the

initiation of MSCI but also with a change in chromosomal distribution of the centromere

histone variant CenH3, which we described previously (25). Specifically, *Timema* is a

monocentric species and its CenH3 is expected to localize to confined chromosomal regions,

where it recruits other centromere proteins to ensure proper chromosome segregation

during cell division (18, 25). However, we find that the absence of transcription from

autosomes and the X chromosome during leptotene and zygotene in meiosis I coincides with

the recruitment of CenH3 along the full length of all chromosomes (Figure 3A-J; Figure 5A-J

but also (25)). It is only during the transition from zygotene to pachytene that cells establish

the expected monocentric distribution of CenH3 on the autosomes but not on the X, which

we here show occurs in parallel to the activation of transcription from the autosomes and

silencing of the X (Figure 3K-O; Figure 5K-O; Supplementary figure 5).

Considering this parallel occurrence, we examined whether a broader correlation could exist

between transcription and the recruitment of centromere proteins in *Timema*. For this, we

extended our previous observations on CenH3 to include other centromere proteins and

additional stages of spermatogenesis (i.e., not examined in (25)). This allowed us to integrate

the recruitment dynamics of CenH3 and other centromere proteins with the progression of

spermatogenesis, which has generally been poorly assessed.

We first analyzed the recruitment dynamics of CenH3 on autosomes and the X chromosome

during prophase I and evaluated its conservation across *Timema* species, as our previous

observations focused on a single species at this stage (25). We found that once meiosis

initiates (i.e., lepto- to zygotene stage), CenH3 is recruited over the entire length of every

chromosome in all *Timema* species (Figure 5A-J; see Supplementary figure 6 for CenH3 signal

before meiosis; Supplementary figure 7A-I), confirming that the longitudinal recruitment is

evolutionarily conserved in this clade. However, the shift of CenH3 from a longitudinal

distribution to a single focus on the X occurs only after the first meiotic division, not during

prophase I as seen in autosomes (a detailed characterization of CenH3 dynamics on the

autosomes during prophase I was previously documented in (25), but can still be visualized in

Figure 5A-T; see Figure 5U-Zd for the shift of CenH3 on the X). Moreover, this shift is not

accompanied by an activation of transcription as the X remains silenced beyond the first

meiotic division (Figure 3U-Zd; Figure 5U-Zd). Thus, although the distribution of CenH3 and

transcriptional activity are correlated on the autosomes, this is not the case for the X, where

the loss of the longitudinal distribution of CenH3 from anaphase I onwards is not

accompanied by a transcriptional activation (Supplementary figure 5).

We then investigated whether the anti-correlation observed between transcription and

CenH3 recruitment on autosomes during early meiosis also applies to other centromere

proteins. We characterized the chromosomal distribution of two kinetochore proteins, one

from the inner (CenpC) and one from the outer region (Ndc80), as well as the Bub1 protein

from the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) (44-46). However, none of the three proteins are

present at early prophase stages (i.e., lepto- and zygotene stages) (Figure 6A-J; Figure 7A-O;

Figure 8A-J), indicating that lack of transcription does not support the recruitment of these

proteins at these stages. Instead, we observed that CenpC binds in a monocentric fashion on

all chromosomes from pachytene until the end of the first meiotic division (Figure 6K-Y;

Supplemental figure 8A-O), revealing that the recruitment of this inner kinetochore protein,

which directly interacts with CenH3 in other organisms (19), cannot be solely attributed to

CenH3 deposition during male meiosis in *Timema*. On the other hand, the outer kinetochore

protein Ndc80 and SAC protein Bub1 load in a monocentric fashion on every chromosome

only at pro-metaphase I, which corresponds to the stage of nuclear-envelope breakdown in

several organisms (Figure 7P-T; Figure 8K-T; Supplemental figure 9P-T; Supplemental figure

10A-E). The meiotic regulation of these two proteins and associated complexes have only

been studied in a handset of species (44, 47), yet our findings are consistent with the idea

that the assembly of the outer kinetochore region is tightly regulated in time, taking place

just before segregation to prevent premature microtubule–kinetochore interactions (48).

During the second meiotic division, all four centromeric proteins are found to localize to

centromeres (Figure 5Ze-Zi; Figure 6Z-Zi; Supplementary figure 8A-E; Supplementary figure

9F-J; Supplementary figure 10F-J). However, their timing of unloading differs. CenpC and Bub1

unload rapidly after the second meiotic division, as evidenced by the loss of signal from the

round spermatids onwards (Figure 6a-o; Figure 8a-o; Supplementary figure 8A-E). By

contrasts, the CenH3 signal is maintained until the elongated spermatid stage but absent from

all chromosomes in mature sperm cells (Figure 5a-o; Supplementary figure 7A-I), which is

either caused by an active removal of the protein as in *Drosophila virilis* and *Caenorhabditis*

elegans (49, 50), or because the chromatin is too compacted at this stage for antibody

accessibility. Finally, Ndc80 displays a surprising cellular pattern after meiosis, accumulating

in a few regions until the formation of mature sperm cells where it disappears (Figure 7a-o).

Although this cellular localization remains unexplained, it is very unlikely to reflect clustering

of centromeres as they appear distinctly visible when marked with the CenH3 protein at this

stage (Figure 5a-j).

Taken together, this study represents one of the rare instances describing the cellular

dynamics of essential centromere proteins during spermatogenesis, revealing that the

regulation of chromosomal loading and unloading of these proteins is tightly regulated in

space and time. Initially, CenH3 distributes along the entire length of all chromosomes while

the other centromere proteins are absent (Figure 5A-J; Figure 6A-J; Figure 7A-J; Figure 8A-J).

This is then followed by focal restriction of CenH3 on each autosome and monocentric

recruitment of CenpC on all chromosomes (autosomes and the X chromosome) during

synapsis (Figure 5K-O and Figure 6K-O). Just before the first cellular division, the last

components of the kinetochore and SAC proteins are recruited in a monocentric fashion,

forming the active centromeres that attach to spindle microtubules during metaphase I

(Figure 7P-T; Figure 8K-T; Supplementary figure 9A-E and K-T; Supplementary figure 10A-E;

see also (25)). Finally, by the end of the second meiotic division, kinetochore and SAC

complexes disassemble, leaving only the CenH3 proteins within the centromere regions

(Figure 5a-j; Figure 6a-j; Figure 7a-j; Figure 8a-j).

In addition to linking centromere protein loading with the progression of spermatogenesis,

our study builds upon previous research on univalent chromosome segregation in praying

mantis and grasshoppers, where kinetochore and SAC proteins are differently regulated (51-

53). In *Timema*, no differences in kinetochore or SAC proteins were identified between the X

chromosome and autosomes, although expanding this comparison to other centromere

proteins will be necessary in the future to draw conclusions on their actual involvement.

DISCUSSION

The regulation of chromosome dynamics during spermatogenesis is essential for male

reproductive success. Yet, the cellular processes underlying this regulation and how they

evolve across species remains poorly understood. Our data offer new insights in this regard,

by first revealing that chromosome synapsis in *Timema* follows recombination. This pattern

may represent the ancestral state in eukaryotes as it is present in yeast, *Arabidopsis,* jellyfish

and mouse (34-37) but absent in only *D. melanogaster* and *C. elegans* (54, 55). It may also

reflect the ancestral state in insects. Indeed, only in female meiosis of *Drosophila* (Diptera

order) is synapsis known to precede recombination (7, 8). In species of three additional insect

orders, male meiotic progressions mirror our descriptions in *Timema* (Orthoptera:

Eyprepocnemis plorans (9, 16); Hemiptera: *Graphosoma italicum* (56); Lepidoptera: *Bombyx*

mori (57)). Nevertheless, the discrepancy in timing of recombination between *Drosophila* and

other insect lineages may also originate from differences in meiotic prophase I between males

and females (58, 59). Thus, further studies on female meiosis in additional insect orders will

be required before drawing firm conclusions on the evolution of recombination and synapsis

in insects.

We also reveal that the lack of synapsis in *Timema* male meiosis is associated with the

initiation of MSCI on the X chromosome, as shown in several organisms, including mammal

and insect species (11, 16). However, the persistence of a complete transcriptional inactivity

of the X chromosome throughout *Timema* spermatogenesis questions the driving forces

behind the origin of the MSCI process. The evolution and key function of MSCI continues to

be a source of theories and speculations, with different proximate and ultimate hypotheses

proposed.

A first hypothesis posits that MSCI primarily operates to shelter unsynapsed region(s) on the

sex chromosomes during the first meiotic division. Indeed, different factors generate a need

for such sheltering, including damaging effects of unrepaired DSBs or ectopic recombination

as well as circumventing cellular checkpoints that are active during prophase I (40, 60, 61).

Our findings allow us to exclude at least two such factors. First, MSCI does not appear

necessary to shelter the X from the induction of DSBs during meiosis in *Timema*. Indeed, MSCI

begins at a later stage than the initiation of DSBs (illustrated by the phosphorylation of H2AX

in leptotene), meaning that MSCI cannot protect the X from the induction of DSBs. This

contrasts with findings in other species such as the grasshopper *Eyprepocnemis plorans* where

MSCI precedes the γH2AX signal on the X (16). Secondly, MSCI does not solely serve to

circumvent checkpoints during meiosis. This is revealed by the fact that the *Timema* X

chromosome maintains its condensation state and transcriptional inactivation long after the

first meiotic division (i.e., until spermiogenesis and significantly past putative checkpoints),

suggesting that other factors are involved in maintaining the X transcriptionally silenced.

A second, mutually non-exclusive hypothesis postulates that MSCI functions to

transcriptionally silence some or all genes on the sex chromosomes that could impact cell

survival during various stages of spermatogenesis and post-spermatogenesis (11, 60). Our

investigation of MSCI in *Timema* supports this hypothesis, revealing that the X chromosome

remains silent throughout meiosis and MSCI markers emerge only when meiotic transcription

activates in pachytene. Similarly, we identified in the literature that MSCI markers are

typically found in species where transcription activates during meiosis (this is notably the case

in mice, opossum or grasshoppers) (14-16), while MSCI markers appear to be absent in

species such as platypus or chicken, where global transcription is low during meiosis (12, 13).

In *Timema*, we further show that a transcriptional activity post-meiosis would be sufficient to

explain the maintenance of the X-silencing status until the round spermatid stage. Indeed,

during this cell stage, a transcription of X-linked genes would be expected to result in major

expression differences between nullo-X and X-containing spermatids. Our interpretations are

again supported by findings in mice, opossum or grasshoppers, which are also characterized

by transcriptional repression of sex chromosomes post-meiosis (also termed postmeiotic sex

chromatin (PMSC)) (11, 14, 16, 62).

Overall, the cell biology of MSCI in *Timema* indicates that a hypothesis based on the sheltering

of unsynapsed chromosomes during meiosis I is not sufficient to fully explain MSCI and that

active repression of X-linked genes throughout spermatogenesis likely plays an important

role.

Finally, we show that the *Timema* X chromosome differs not only from autosomes by its

transcriptional activity but also chromatin organization. Indeed, the histone variant CenH3

binds to the X along its entire length from pachytene to metaphase I, while autosomes have

CenH3 only located at their centromeres during these meiotic stages (Figure 5K-Y and

Supplementary figure 7 but also (25)). These distinct distribution patterns raise important

questions about the mechanisms regulating the deposition and removal of CenH3, which are

known to be complex and variable among species (63).

Taken together, our findings allow us to examine three proposed mechanisms for CenH3

deposition, which involve kinetochore proteins, transcriptional activity, or SMC proteins (50,

63, 64). While the first two mechanisms appear to be either incompatible or only partially

applicable to *Timema*, the third mechanism aligns well with our observations. Specifically, the

kinetochore-mediated recruitment of CenH3 has been identified in monocentric lineages,

where specific centromere proteins—such as the kinetochore protein CenpC—play a key role

in recruiting CenH3 during both mitosis and meiosis, as observed in chicken, humans, and

Drosophila (19, 65). However, our findings exclude this hypothesis in *Timema*: we observe

CenH3 recruitment first, followed by the subsequent appearance of three other centromere

proteins (i.e., CenpC, Ndc80, and Bub1), whereas this order should be reversed under a

kinetochore-driven model. The transcription-based mechanism has been described in *C.*

elegans, where CenH3 deposition occurs in regions with low or no transcriptional activity (50).

This low transcriptional activity (or lack thereof) may account for CenH3 deposition along all

chromosomes in leptotene and its removal from autosomes in pachytene. However, it fails to

explain the removal of Cenh3 along the X in anaphase I (Figure 3A-Zd; Figure 5A-Zd;

Supplementary figure 5). Instead, our data strongly support a third mechanism based on SMC

proteins, as proposed in a recent model, where these proteins block and anchor interactions

with centromeric nucleosomes during loop extrusion and yield a holocentric-like

chromosome via chromatin condensation (64). The observed dynamics of CenH3 in *Timema*

male gonadal cells closely match these model predictions. While CenH3 filaments form when

SMC3 proteins appear and run along the length of every chromosome during leptotene, the

CenH3 filament on the X chromosome disappears when SMC3 retires from chromosomal axes

in anaphase I. Interestingly, similar dynamics may also support this mechanism in certain

holocentric lineages, where centromere proteins first localize between homologs, in

association with axis proteins such as the cohesin complex, before relocating to more

poleward-facing positions (66-68).

Overall, this study highlights the importance of exploring beyond traditional model systems

in cell biology to better understand the diverse processes governing male gamete formation

and chromosomal inheritance. Moreover, it offers essential insights to reconstruct the

evolutionary steps that have shaped this diversity.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Timema Sample Collection

We used wild-collected individuals of the species *T. douglasi* (38°57'24.9"N 123°32'10.0"W),

T. knulli (35°50'10.3"N 121°23'29.3"W), *T. petita* (36°21'32.0"N 121°54'01.3"W), *T. californicum*

(37°20'35.4"N 121°38'11.3"W), *T. cristinae* (34°32'25.4"N 119°14'20.5"W), *T. monikensis*

(34°06'53.6"N 118°51'11.3"W), *T. bartmani* (34°09'48.1"N 116°51'43.5"W) and *T. chumash*

(34°06'53.6"N 118°51'11.3"W).

Design, selection and validation of antibodies

A combination of custom and commercially available antibodies was employed for the

immunostaining assays (Supplementary table 2). A polyclonal rabbit anti-SMC3 antibody

(Chemicon International) raised against a synthetic peptide from human SMC3 was used to

detect SMC3. To detect γ-H2AX we used a monoclonal mouse antibody (Upstate) raised

against amino acids 134–142 of human histone γ-H2AX (69). This sequence has 8 identical

amino acids in yeast and mouse (70). A polyclonal rabbit anti-Rad51 antibody (Ab-1; PC130;

Oncogene Research Products), generated against recombinant HsRad51 protein, was used to

detect Rad51. All three antibodies exhibited expected and similar cytological patterns to

those observed in grasshoppers (9, 41, 71), with notably the presence of SMC3 in filaments

as well as γ-H2AX and Rad51 in foci at the nuclear portion where chromosome ends clustered

during prophase I (i.e., bouquet stage).

The presence of X heterochromatization and transcriptional activity in *Timema* male gonads

has previously been tested in (24), and similarly determined in the present study, using

antibodies against either H3K9me3 (#AB8898, AbCam; which labels silencing

heterochromatin marks) or RNA polymerase II phosphorylated at serine 2 (p-RNApol-II

(ab193468, AbCam); an indicator of transcription).

Details of the custom antibody designs for *Timema* CenH3 and kinetochore proteins can be

found in (25) and in the Supplementary table 2. For the SAC protein Bub1, we first used the

protein sequence of *Drosophila melanogaster* to identify orthologs in stick insects via Protein

BLAST against the NCBI database. A blast hit was already annotated as Bub1 in the stick insect

Bacillus rossius redtenbacheri (XP_063234342.1) and was further used to identify orthologs

in *Timema* via Protein BLAST against the NCBI database. The best hits of nine *Timema* species

corresponded to the Bub1 ortholog as revealed by Protein BLAST against the non-redundant

NCBI database. We then used the protein sequence from *T. poppense* (CAD7396045.1) to

outsource to Covalab (Lyon, France) the design of three distinct peptide sequences

(Supplementary table 2). For all custom antibodies, Covalab developed polyclonal rabbit

antibodies from the designed peptides, purified them via a sepharose column, and tested

their specificity and immunoreactivity using ELISA assays. Moreover, all custom antibodies

displayed confined signals in *Timema* male chromosomes at metaphase I, where spindle

microtubules attach, consistent with the expectations for monocentric species (72).

Immunostaining procedure with SMC3 antibody

Male gonads display a morphology resembling grapes, comprising a shoot housing mature

sperm cells and an oval structure encapsulating cells at various meiotic stages (see (25)).

Gonads from alive adults were dissected in 1X PBS, fixed in a solution containing 2%

paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 minutes, followed by gentle squashing of 4-

5 "grapes" onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides, then rapidly immersed in liquid nitrogen

(according to (73)). After a 20-minute incubation in 1X PBS, tissues on slides were subjected

to blocking with 3% BSA (in 1X PBS (w/v)) blocking buffer for a minimum of 30 minutes.

Immunostaining started by incubating slides with diluted primary antibodies in 3% BSA

overnight at 4°C within a humid chamber. Exception was made for the already fluorescently

labeled SMC3 antibody that was added after the following blocking step (see below). Detailed

information for all antibodies used, including working concentrations, are provided in

Supplementary table 2. Subsequently, slides underwent three 5-minutes washes in 1X PBS,

followed by a 1-hour incubation with the secondary antibody, diluted at a ratio of 1:150 in 3%

BSA, at room temperature. Slides were then washed thrice for 5 minutes each, followed by a

10-minute wash in 1X PBS.

As most primary antibodies were developed from the same host (i.e., rabbit), a blocking step

was performed using diluted Normal Rabbit Serum (NRS 5%) for 30 minutes at room

temperature, followed by a 10-minute wash in 1X PBS. Subsequently, slides underwent a 1-

hour incubation at room temperature with the already fluorescently labeled SMC3 antibody

diluted at 1:100. Slides were washed thrice in 1X PBS, stained with DAPI for 3 minutes, washed

one last time 5 minutes in 1X PBS, and mounted in Vectashield media.

Immunostaining procedure without SMC3 antibody

For this procedure, no blocking steps were performed (i.e. without 3% BSA and NRS).

Therefore, after a 20-minute incubation in PBS, tissues on slides were directly incubated with

diluted primary antibodies in 1X PBS overnight at 4°C within a humid chamber. Exception was

made for the already fluorescently labeled α-tubulin antibody that was used in the following

step (with the secondary antibody). Slides were then washed thrice for 5 minutes each in 1X

PBS, followed by a 1-hour incubation with the secondary antibody or the already fluorescently

labeled α-tubulin antibody (when needed), diluted at a ratio of 1:150 in 1X PBS, at room

temperature. Slides were washed thrice in 1X PBS, stained with DAPI for 3 minutes, washed

one last time 5 minutes in 1X PBS, and mounted in Vectashield media.

Image acquisition

All acquisitions were performed using the Zeiss LSM 880 airyscan confocal microscope

equipped with a 60x/oil immersion objective. All acquisitions were produced by the

superimposition of focal planes. Post-processing, including cropping and pseudocoloring, was

carried out using Fiji (74).

Characterization of spermatogenetic stages and X chromosome identification

All prophase I stages could be determined based on the cellular patterns observed for SMC3.

Specifically, the entry of meiosis is characterized by numerous and thin SMC3 filaments that

mark the chromosomal axes of each chromosome before homologs have paired

(Supplementary figure 3A; (71)). The bouquet stage is evidenced by the cluster of

chromosome ends forming SMC3 loops, as well as the appearance of DNA strands with DAPI

staining (Supplementary figure 3C-D). The progression of synapsis during zygotene is

evidenced by the combination of thin and thick SMC3 filaments within the same nucleus

(Supplementary figure 3E-H). The pachytene stage is marked by thick SMC3 filaments, except

on the X chromosome where SMC3 remains thin and the DAPI staining becomes intense (see

below for details on X chromosome identification; Supplementary figure 3I-J). Metaphase I

and anaphase I differ by the distribution of SMC3 proteins, which stain either along

chromosome axes or near centromeres, respectively (Supplementary figure 3O-R). Moreover,

metaphase I is characterized by a monopolar orientation of the X chromosome that does not

align on the metaphase plate, contrary to autosomes (Supplementary figure 3O-P).

Prophase II is characterized by round nuclei with SMC3 foci that stain again near centromeres

(Figure 5Ze-Zi). Metaphase II keeps the SMC3 foci but has no longer the X chromosome

segregating as unipolar as in meta-/anaphase I (Supplementary figure 3S-T), while cells in

anaphase II loss the SMC3 foci and the number of DAPI-stained chromosomes is reduced

(Supplementary figure 3U-V).

Spermatids have several distinct features that involve the absence of SMC3 staining

(Supplementary figure 3a-f), a developing flagellum visible with α-tubulin staining

(Supplementary figure 3A''-C''), and a smaller nucleus size for the round spermatids as

compared to meiotic cells (Supplementary figure 3b and 3b').

The cytological identification of the X chromosome was previously characterized by joint

analyses between cytological observations of CenH3 and H3K9me3, as well as ChIP-seq data

on these same proteins (24, 25). This allowed us to further characterize the X chromosome as

the chromosome with intense DAPI staining in the nucleus.

Limitations of the study

Timema are non-model organisms with a one-year generation time, reaching sexual maturity

at different times during the spring depending on geographical location, and with adult

tissues only available for a brief period. Additionally, *Timema* are difficult to rear under

laboratory conditions, and each individual produces a limited number of meiotic cells at

various stages, with the majority being in the pachytene stage. These constraints limit the

ability to perform consistent staining across all species and stages. However, the evolutionary

dynamics of various cellular processes, within this insect group, can still be inferred by

sampling a subset of *Timema* species representing the different clades ((75); Supplementary

table 3). When inter-species variation is observed (e.g., for γH2AX and H3K9me3 signals on

the X chromosome; see Supplementary table 1 and 3), its reliability was assessed by sampling

multiple individuals from the same species and confirming consistent phenotypes in at least

two different species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank current and previous members of the Schwander lab for discussions. We also thank

Rocío Gómez Ortega for help in developing the immunostaining protocol in *Timema*, and

Arnaud Paradis from Cell Imaging Facility (CIF, Lausanne University, Switzerland) for help with

image acquisitions. **Funding**: We would like to acknowledge funding from the European

Research Council Consolidator Grant (No Sex No Conflict to T.S.) and Swiss FNS grant

31003A_182495 (T.S.). **Author contributions**: Z.D., W.T. and T.S. designed the study. Z.D. and

W.T. performed molecular work. Z.D. developed methods with input from M.D.. Z.D. and W.T.

analyzed the data with input from T.S. and M.D.. W.T. and T.S. wrote the paper with input

from all authors.

FIGURES

Figure 1: γH2AX distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images

acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–T) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages

indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and γH2AX

(magenta). γH2AX labeling is intense and granular in one nuclear pole, then gradually homogenizes

and decreases as synapsis progresses, except on the X chromosome where three patches form in

pachytene. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with arrowheads or dashed circles in

pachytene (see materials and methods for X vs autosome identification). Scale bar: 10 μm. See

Supplementary figure 1 for screens in males of other *Timema* species.

Supplementary figure 1: γH2AX distribution at various stages of spermatogenesis for three additional

Timema species, acquired through the projection of stack images. Focal cells at the zygotene stage are

labeled with the letter *Z*, cells at the pachytene stage with the letter *P*, elongated spermatid cells with

the letters ES, while yellow arrows indicate the X chromosome. Note that at the zygotene stage, the

γH2AX signal is observed at a polarized region of the nucleus, whereas at the pachytene stage, the X

chromosome in *T. petita* displays γH2AX patches as in *T. californicum*, but no signal was detected in *T.*

cristinae and *T. chumash*. A close-up view of the X chromosome is also shown within dashed squares

for each species. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 2: Rad51 distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images

acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–Zd) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages

indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and Rad51

(magenta). During the early to mid-zygotene transition, there is an increase in the number of Rad51

foci and a localisation in one nuclear pole, as for γH2AX. As synapsis progresses, the number of Rad51

foci decreases and a few remain on SMC3 axes in pachytene. An accumulation of Rad51 foci on the X

chromosome is also observed in pachytene. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with

arrowheads and the associated Rad51 signal along its SMC3 axis with dashed circles in pachytene.

Scale bar: 10 μm. See Supplementary figure 2 for screens in males of other *Timema* species.

Supplementary figure 2: Rad51 distribution at various stages of spermatogenesis for three additional

Timema species, acquired through the projection of stack images. Focal cells at the zygotene stage are

labeled with the letter *Z*, cells at the pachytene stage with the letter *P*, cells in metaphase I with the

letters MI, and elongated spermatid cells with the letters ES. Inset zooms on the X chromosome in

  pachytene are shown for *T. petita*, while in *T. chumash*, dashed circles indicate the position of the sex

643 (X) chromosome and the associated Rad51 signal along its SMC3 axis in pachytene. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Supplementary figure 3: Characterization of spermatogenetic stages and X chromosome identification. (A-f) illustrate SMC3 and DAPI stainings throughout *T. californicum* spermatogenesis. While forming thin filaments along chromosomal axes at the onset of meiosis, SMC3 filaments appear thicker as synapsis progresses between homologs (A-J). At metaphase I, SMC3 forms cross-shaped or ring-shaped signals corresponding to rod and ring bivalents, respectively (O-P). As homologs segregate during anaphase I, SMC3 concentrates into foci near centromeres until metaphase II (Q-T). SMC3 is then lost from anaphase II onwards (U-f). Note that during the round spermatid stage, nullo-X and X-containing spermatids are represented (a-b'). (A'-L') illustrate rod and ring bivalents across 4 *Timema* species at metaphase I. Dashed squares indicate zooms of individual chromosomes illustrating rod and ring bivalents with interrupted SMC3 signal near chromosomal ends (white arrowheads in D' and G'). Note that across many metaphases I analyzed, we observed consistent formation of a single, large "ring" bivalent in *T. californicum* and *T. douglasi* but not in *T. podura* and *T. bartmani*. (A''-C'') illustrate

658   the formation of the flagellum during spermiogenesis as evidenced by α-tubulin staining in round

659   spermatids. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with yellow arrowheads. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Figure 3: RNApol-II distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–Zi) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and RNApol-II (magenta). RNApol-II labeling is intense from pachytene to diplotene, and in the round spermatid stage. During all spermatogenesis stages, the X chromosome is extremely reduced in RNApol-II labeling. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with arrowheads. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 4: H3K9me3 distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes. Projections of stack images acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–T) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and H3K9me3 (magenta). H3K9me3 labeling is particularly intense, coating the X chromosome from the pachytene stage. During

spermiogenesis, H3K9me3 signal is absent on the X chromosome. The position of the X chromosome

and the associated H3K9me3 signal is indicated with dashed circles in pachytene/diplotene and with

arrowheads in metaphase I/round spermatids. Scale bar: 10 µm. See Supplementary figure 4 for

screens in males of other *Timema* species.

Supplementary figure 4: H3K9me3 distribution at various stages of spermatogenesis for three

additional *Timema* species, acquired through the projection of stack images. Focal cells at the

pachytene stage are labeled with the letter *P*, cells in metaphase I with the letters MI, round spermatid

cells with the letters RS, and mature sperm cells with the letters MS. Note the presence of H3K9me3

coating the X chromosome in round spermatids in *T. petita* and *T. chumash* but not in *T. cristinae*.

Arrowheads indicate the location of the X chromosome. Scale bar: 10 µm.

Figure 5: CenH3 distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images

acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–Zi) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages

indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and CenH3

(magenta). While CenH3 is distributed along chromosomal axes at the onset of meiosis (early

zygotene), it becomes restricted to foci, first in autosomes as synapsis progresses (from mid-zygotene

to metaphase I), then also in X chromosome from anaphase I. The position of the X chromosome is

indicated with arrowheads. Scale bar: 10 μm. See Supplementary figure 7 for screens in males of other

Timema species.

Supplementary figure 5: Schematic representation of CenH3 and RNApol-II distributions across

different stages of spermatogenesis in *Timema*. The first activation of transcription in autosomes

coinsides with the shift from a longitudinal to a focal distribution of CenH3 along these same

chromosomes. However, the shift of CenH3 along the X chromosome is not associated with its

transcriptional activation in anaphase I. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Supplementary figure 6: CenH3 distribution in germ cells of *T. californicum* male gonads, stained with

DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and CenH3 (magenta). The acquisition

contrasts the distribution of CenH3 for cells in meiosis ("P" for Pachytene) and before entering meiosis

("DGC" for Diploid Germ Cell and "A" for Anaphase). Scale bar: 5 µm.

Supplementary figure 7: Projections of stack images acquired across various stages of spermatogenesis for three additional species, stained with DAPI (blue) and immunolabeled for CenH3 (magenta). Focal cells in zygotene are labeled with letter Z, cells at the pachytene stage with the letter *P*, cells in metaphase I with the letters MI, round spermatid cells with the letters RS, and mature sperm cells with the letters MS. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 6: CenpC distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images

acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–Zi) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages

indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and CenpC

(magenta). The CenpC signal is not detected until the pachytene stage where it is distributed in foci

on every chromosome until anaphase II. The CenpC signal is then lost at the onset of spermiogenesis.

The position of the X chromosome is indicated with arrowheads. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Supplementary figure 8: CenpC distribution at various stages of spermatogenesis for two additional

species, acquired through the projection of stack images. Focal cells in leptotene are labeled with the

letter L, cells at the pachytene stage with the letter *P*, cells in metaphase I with the letters MI, cells in

prophase II with the letters PII, and round spermatid cells with the letters RS. Note that the lower

signal intensity in the upper panel is caused by a different objective and does not reflect species

variation. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 7: Ndc80 distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images

acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–T) and spermatids (a–o), at the stages

indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and Ndc80

(magenta). Ndc80 signal is not detected until the pro-metaphase I stage (see Supplemental figure 9)

where it is distributed in a single focus on all chromosomes until anaphase II (see Supplemental figure

9). The Ndc80 signal is then lost from centromeres at the onset of spermiogenesis, although two to

three regions (likely non-centromeric, see main text) appear positive for Ndc80 in round and

elongated spermatids. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with arrowheads. Scale bar: 10

μm.

Supplementary figure 9: Ndc80 distribution in various stages of spermatogenesis for two additional

species, acquired through the projection of stack images. Focal cells at the pachytene stage are labeled

with the letter *P*, cells in diplotene with the letter D, cells in pro-metaphase I with letters PMI, cells in

metaphase I with the letters MI, cells in prophase II with the letters PII, cells in anaphase II with letters

AII, cells in telophase II with letters TII, round spermatid cells with the letters RS, elongated spermatid

cells with letters ES, and mature sperm cells with letters RS. Note that at the diplotene stage,

chromosomes are already condensed but spindle microtubules (immunolabeled with **α**-tubulin) are

not yet polymerized as compared to the pro-metaphase I stage. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Figure 8: Bub1 distribution in *T. californicum* meiocytes and spermatids. Projections of stack images acquired across *T. californicum* squashed meiocytes (A–T) and spermatids (a–o), in the stages indicated, stained with DAPI (blue) and double immunolabeled for SMC3 (green) and Bub1 (magenta). Bub1 signal is not detected until the pro-metaphase I stage where it is distributed in foci on every chromosome until the second meiotic division (see Supplemental figure 10). The Bub1 signal is then lost during spermiogenesis. The position of the X chromosome is indicated with arrowheads. Scale bar: 10 μm.

764 **Supplementary figure 10:** Bub1 distribution at prometaphase I and prophase II stages in *T. petita*,

765 acquired through the projection of stack images. Scale bar: 10 μm.

Species	Specimen	γH2AX at zygotene	γH2AX at pachytene on X
T. califonicum	A*	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Positive
	B	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Positive
	C	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Positive
T. petita	A**	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Positive
T. cristinae	A**	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Negative
	B	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Negative
T. chumach	A**	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Negative
	B	Cloud of foci at one nuclear pole	Negative

* See Figure 1

** See Supplemental figure 1

768 **Supplementary table 1:** γH2AX distribution across species and individuals during the zygotene

769 (bouquet) stage as well as on the X chromosome during pachytene (i.e., the initiation of

770 MSCI).

List of primary custom antibodies

Short Name	Company	Clonality	Host	Peptide sequence
Bub1	Covalab	Polyclonal	Rabbit	C-EADNPIVYQELIKEQQRYE-coNH2 C-EFSLEELRAIIYNKKYEEK-coNH2 C-HPAIPSRPEEKSGPLQPST-coNH2
CenH3 (see (24) for details)				
CenpC (see (24) for details)				
Ndc80 (see (24) for details)				

List of primary commercial antibodies

Short Name	Ref	Company	Final Concentration	Conjugated/Host
SMC3	ab201542	AbCam	1:100	Alexa Fluor 488/Rabbit
RNA Pol II	ab193468	AbCam	1:100	No/Rabbit
H3K9me3	ab8898	AbCam	1:100	No/Rabbit
RAD51	PC130	Sigma-Aldrich	1:70	No/Rabbit
γH2AX	05-636	Sigma-Aldrich	1:200	No/Rabbit
α_Tubulin	#F2168	Sigma-Aldrich	1:150	FITC/Mouse

List of secondary antibodies

Short Name	Ref	Company	Final Concentration	Conjugated
anti-Rabbit-Alexa 594	711-585-152	Jackson	1:150	Alexa Fluor 594

Chemicals used for immuno-staining

Name	Ref	Company	Working Solution
DAPI	D9542	Sigma-Aldrich	10ug/mL
Normal Rabbit Serum (NRS)	X090210-8	Agilent Technonoly	5% in PBS 1x (v/v)
Vectashield Mounting Medium	H1000	ReactoLab	

Supplementary table 2: Antibodies and chemicals used. A combination of custom and commercially

available antibodies were employed for the immunostaining assays.

Species	γH2AX	Rad51	RNA Polymerase II	H3K9me3	CenH3	CenpC	Ndc80	Bub1
T. califonicum	3 individuals	3 individuals	1 individual	2 individuals	3 individuals	3 individuals	2 individuals	1 individual
T. popensis			1 individual					
T. douglasi					3 individuals	2 individuals	2 individuals	
T. petita	1 individual	1 individual		1 individual	2 individuals			1 individual
T. knulli					1 individual	1 individual	1 individual	
T. monikensis					1 individual			
T. cristinae	1 individual			2 individuals				
T. bartmani		1 individual						
T. podura					2 individuals			
T. chumach	2 individuals	1 individual		2 individuals				

Supplementary table 3: Inter- and intra-species replication of the cellular patterns described in

this study as well as in (24, 25).

REFERENCES

1.   Zickler D, Kleckner N. Meiosis: Dances Between Homologs. Annu Rev Genet.
2023;57:1-63.
2.   Hao SL, Ni FD, Yang WX. The dynamics and regulation of chromatin remodeling during
spermiogenesis. Gene. 2019;706:201-10.
3.   Lenormand T, Engelstädter J, Johnston SE, Wijnker E, Haag CR. Evolutionary mysteries
in meiosis. Philos T R Soc B. 2016;371(1706).
4.   Loidl J. Conservation and Variability of Meiosis Across the Eukaryotes. Annual Review
of Genetics, Vol 50. 2016;50:293-316.
5.   White-Cooper H, Bausek N. Evolution and spermatogenesis. Philos T R Soc B.
2010;365(1546):1465-80.
6.   Gerton JL, Hawley RS. Homologous chromosome interactions in meiosis: Diversity
amidst conservation. Nat Rev Genet. 2005;6(6):477-87.
7.   T. SKONE. Achiasmy and sex chromosome evolution. Ecological Genetics and
Genomics. 2019.
8.   Jang JK, Sherizen DE, Bhagat R, Manheim EA, McKim KS. Relationship of DNA double-
strand breaks to synapsis in Drosophila. J Cell Sci. 2003;116(15):3069-77.
9.   Viera A, Santos JL, Page J, Parra MT, Calvente A, Cifuentes M, et al. DNA double-strand
breaks, recombination and synapsis:: the timing of meiosis differs in grasshoppers and flies.
Embo Rep. 2004;5(4):385-91.
10.   Page J, de la Fuente R, Gómez R, Calvente A, Viera A, Parra MT, et al. Sex
chromosomes, synapsis, and cohesins: a complex affair. Chromosoma. 2006;115(3):250-9.
11.   Turner JMA. Meiotic sex chromosome inactivation. Development. 2007;134(10):1823-
31.
12.   Daish TJ, Casey AE, Grutzner F. Lack of sex chromosome specific meiotic silencing in
platypus reveals origin of MSCI in therian mammals. Bmc Biol. 2015;13.
13.   Guioli S, Lovell-Badge R, Turner JMA. Error-Prone ZW Pairing and No Evidence for
Meiotic Sex Chromosome Inactivation in the Chicken Germ Line. Plos Genet. 2012;8(3).
14.   Namekawa SH, VandeBerg JL, McCarrey JR, Lee JT. Sex chromosome silencing in the
marsupial male germ line. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(23):9730-5.
15.   Page J, de la Fuente R, Manterola M, Parra MT, Viera A, Berríos S, et al. Inactivation or
non-reactivation: what accounts better for the silence of sex chromosomes during
mammalian male meiosis? Chromosoma. 2012;121(3):307-26.
16.   Viera A, Parra MT, Arevalo S, de la Vega CG, Santos JL, Page J. X Chromosome
Inactivation during Grasshopper Spermatogenesis. Genes-Basel. 2021;12(12).
17.   Cloutier JM, Turner JMA. Meiotic sex chromosome inactivation. Curr Biol.
2010;20(22):R962-R3.
18.   McKinley KL, Cheeseman IM. The molecular basis for centromere identity and
function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio. 2016;17(1).
19.   Mellone BG, Fachinetti D. Diverse mechanisms of centromere specification. Curr Biol.
2021;31(22):R1491-R504.
20.   Cleveland DW, Mao YH, Sullivan KF. Centromeres and kinetochores: From epigenetics
to mitotic checkpoint signaling. Cell. 2003;112(4):407-21.
21.   Senaratne AP, Cortes-Silva N, Drinnenberg IA. Evolution of holocentric chromosomes:
Drivers, diversity, and deterrents. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2022;127:90-9.

22.   Neumann P, Oliveira L, Jang TS, Novák P, Koblizková A, Schubert V, et al. Disruption of
the standard kinetochore in holocentric Cuscuta species. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2023;120(21).
23.   Kitaoka M, Yamashita YM. Running the gauntlet: challenges to genome integrity in
spermiogenesis. Nucleus-Phila. 2024;15(1).
24.   Djordjevic J, Tran Van P, Toubiana W, Labédan M, Dumas Z, Aury J, et al. Dynamics of
X chromosome hyper-expression and inactivation in male tissues during stick insect
development. Plos Genet. 2025;21(3).
25.   Toubiana W, Dumas Z, Tran Van P, Parker DJ, Mérel V, Schubert V, et al. Functional
monocentricity with holocentric characteristics and chromosome-specific centromeres in a
stick insect. Sci Adv. 2025;11(1).
26.   Riesch R, Muschick M, Lindtke D, Villoutreix R, Comeault AA, Farkas TE, et al.
Transitions between phases of genomic differentiation during stick-insect speciation. Nat Ecol
Evol. 2017;1(4).
27.   Brown MS, Bishop DK. DNA Strand Exchange and RecA Homologs in Meiosis. Csh
Perspect Biol. 2015;7(1).
28.   Rogakou EP, Boon C, Redon C, Bonner WM. Megabase chromatin domains involved in
DNA double-strand breaks in vivo. J Cell Biol. 1999;146(5):905-15.
29.   Parker DJ, Jaron KS, Dumas Z, Robinson-Rechavi M, Schwander T. X chromosomes
show relaxed selection and complete somatic dosage compensation across Timema stick
insect species. J Evolution Biol. 2022;35(12).
30.   Viera A, Santos JL, Parra MT, Calvente A, Gómez R, de la Fuente R, et al. Incomplete
Synapsis and Chiasma Localization: The Chicken or the Egg? Cytogenet Genome Res.
2010;128(1-3):139-51.
31.   Revenkova E, Jessberger R. Keeping sister chromatids together: cohesins in meiosis.
Reproduction. 2005;130(6):783-90.
32.   Scherthan H. A bouquet makes ends meet. Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio. 2001;2(8):621-7.
33.   Miyazaki WY, Orrweaver TL. Sister-Chromatid Cohesion in Mitosis and Meiosis. Annu
Rev Genet. 1994;28:167-87.
34.   Mahadevaiah SK, Turner JMA, Baudat F, Rogakou EP, de Boer P, Blanco-Rodríguez J,
et al. Recombinational DNA double-strand breaks in mice precede synapsis. Nat Genet.
2001;27(3):271-6.
35.   Kleckner N. Meiosis: How could it work? P Natl Acad Sci USA. 1996;93(16):8167-74.
36.   Grelon M, Vezon D, Gendrot G, Pelletier G. AtSPO11-1 is necessary for efficient meiotic
recombination in plants. Embo J. 2001;20(3):589-600.
37.   Munro C, Cadis H, Pagnotta S, Houliston E, Huynh JR. Conserved meiotic mechanisms
in the cnidarian Clytia hemisphaerica revealed by Spo11 knockout. Sci Adv.
2023;9(4):eadd2873.
38.   Schwander T, Crespi BJ. Multiple Direct Transitions from Sexual Reproduction to
Apomictic Parthenogenesis in Stick Insects. Evolution. 2009;63(1):84-103.
39.   Handel MA. The XY body: a specialized meiotic chromatin domain. Exp Cell Res.
2004;296(1):57-63.
40.   Ichijima Y, Sin HS, Namekawa SH. Sex chromosome inactivation in germ cells:
emerging roles of DNA damage response pathways. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2012;69(15):2559-72.
41.   Viera A, Calvente A, Page J, Parra MT, Gómez R, Suja JA, et al. X and B chromosomes
display similar meiotic characteristics in male grasshoppers. Cytogenet Genome Res.
2004;106(2-4):302-8.

42.   Viera A, Parra MT, Rufas JS, Page J. Transcription reactivation during the first meiotic
prophase in bugs is not dependent on synapsis. Chromosoma. 2017;126(1):179-94.
43.   Bowman EA, Kelly WG. RNA Polymerase II transcription elongation and Pol II CTD Ser2
phosphorylation A tail of two kinases. Nucleus-Phila. 2014;5(3):224-36.
44.   Gorbsky GJ. The spindle checkpoint and chromosome segregation in meiosis. Febs J.
2015;282(13):2458-74.
45.   Musacchio A, Desai A. A Molecular View of Kinetochore Assembly and Function.
Biology-Basel. 2017;6(1).
46.   Musacchio A, Salmon ED. The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time. Nat Rev
Mol Cell Bio. 2007;8(5):379-93.
47.   Chen JX, Ünal E. Meiotic regulation of the Ndc80 complex composition and function.
Curr Genet. 2021;67(4):511-8.
48.   Miller MP, Ünal E, Brar GA, Amon A. Meiosis I chromosome segregation is established
through regulation of microtubule-kinetochore interactions. Elife. 2012;1.
49.   Kursel LE, McConnell H, de la Cruz AFA, Malik HS. Gametic specialization of
centromeric histone paralogs in Drosophila virilis. Life Sci Alliance. 2021;4(7).
50.   Gassmann R, Rechtsteiner A, Yuen KW, Muroyama A, Egelhofer T, Gaydos L, et al. An
inverse relationship to germline transcription defines centromeric chromatin in C. elegans.
Nature. 2012;484(7395):534-U166.
51.   Li XT, Nicklas RB. Tension-sensitive kinetochore phosphorylation and the chromosome
distribution checkpoint in praying mantid spermatocytes. J Cell Sci. 1997;110:537-45.
52.   Nicklas RB, Ward SC, Gorbsky GJ. Kinetochore Chemistry Is Sensitive to Tension and
May Link Mitotic Forces to a Cell-Cycle Checkpoint. J Cell Biol. 1995;130(4):929-39.
53.   Nicklas RB, Waters JC, Salmon ED, Ward SC. Checkpoint signals in grasshopper meiosis
are sensitive to microtubule attachment, but tension is still essential. J Cell Sci.
2001;114(23):4173-83.
54.   McKim KS, Hayashi-Hagihara A. Mei-W68 in Drosophila melanogaster encodes a
Spo11 homolog:: evidence that the mechanism for initiating meiotic recombination is
conserved. Gene Dev. 1998;12(18):2932-42.
55.   Dernburg AF, McDonald K, Moulder G, Barstead R, Dresser M, Villeneuve AM. Meiotic
recombination in C. elegans initiates by a conserved mechanism and is dispensable for
homologous chromosome synapsis. Cell. 1998;94(3):387-98.
56.   Viera A, Santos JL, Parra MT, Calvente A, Gómez R, de la Fuente R, et al. Cohesin axis
maturation and presence of RAD51 during first meiotic prophase in a true bug. Chromosoma.
2009;118(5):575-89.
57.   Holm PB, Rasmussen SW. Chromosome-Pairing, Recombination Nodules and Chiasma
Formation in Diploid Bombyx Males. Carlsberg Res Commun. 1980;45(6):483-548.
58.   Cahoon CK, Libuda DE. Leagues of their own: sexually dimorphic features of meiotic
prophase I. Chromosoma. 2019;128(3):199-214.
59.   Ishiguro KI. Sexually Dimorphic Properties in Meiotic Chromosome. Sex Dev.
2023;16(5-6):423-33.
60.   Turner JMA. Meiotic Silencing in Mammals. Annual Review of Genetics, Vol 49.
2015;49:395-412.
61.   MacQueen AJ, Hochwagen A. Checkpoint mechanisms: the puppet masters of meiotic
prophase. Trends Cell Biol. 2011;21(7):393-400.
62.   Namekawa SH, Park PJ, Zhang LF, Shima JE, McCarrey JR, Griswold MD, et al.
Postmeiotic sex chromatin in the male germline of mice. Curr Biol. 2006;16(7):660-7.

63. Müller S, Almouzni G. A network of players in H3 histone variant deposition and maintenance at centromeres. Bba-Gene Regul Mech. 2014;1839(3):241-50.

64. Câmara AS, Schubert V, Mascher M, Houben A. A simple model explains the cell cycle-dependent assembly of centromeric nucleosomes in holocentric species. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(16):9053-65.

65. Fellmeth JE, McKim KS. Meiotic CENP-C is a shepherd: bridging the space between the centromere and the kinetochore in time and space. Essays Biochem. 2020;64(2):251-61.

66. Hockens C, Lorenzi H, Wang TT, Lei EP, Rosin LF. Chromosome segregation during spermatogenesis occurs through a unique center-kinetic mechanism in holocentric moth species. Plos Genet. 2024;20(6).

67. Xiang YB, Tsuchiya D, Yu ZL, Zhao X, McKinney S, Unruh J, et al. Multiple reorganizations of the lateral elements of the synaptonemal complex facilitate homolog segregation in Bombyx mori oocytes. Curr Biol. 2024;34(2).

68. Ma W, Schubert V, Martis MM, Hause G, Liu ZJ, Shen Y, et al. The distribution of α-kleisin during meiosis in the holocentromeric plant Luzula elegans. Chromosome Res. 2016;24(3):393-405.

69. Paull TT, Rogakou EP, Yamazaki V, Kirchgessner CU, Gellert M, Bonner WM. A critical role for histone H2AX in recruitment of repair factors to nuclear foci after DNA damage. Curr Biol. 2000;10(15):886-95.

70. Redon C, Pilch D, Rogakou E, Sedelnikova O, Newrock K, Bonner W. Histone H2A variants H2AX and H2AZ. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2002;12(2):162-9.

71. Calvente A, Viera A, Parra MT, de la Fuente R, Suja JA, Page J, et al. Dynamics of cohesin subunits in grasshopper meiotic divisions. Chromosoma. 2013;122(1-2):77-91.

72. Talbert PB, Henikoff S. What makes a centromere? Exp Cell Res. 2020;389(2).

73. Page J, Suja JA, Santos JL, Rufas JS. Squash procedure for protein immunolocalization in meiotic cells. Chromosome Res. 1998;6(8):639-42.

74. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):676-82.

75. Nosil P, Gompert Z, Funk DJ. Divergent dynamics of sexual and habitat isolation at the transition between stick insect populations and species. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1).