Title: Identification of new genes on a whole genome scale using saturated reporter

transposon mutagenesis

Emily C. A. Goodall[1]*, Freya Hodges[1], Weine Kok[1], Budi Permana[1], Thom Cuddihy[1], Zihao

Yang[1], Nicole Kahler[2], Kenneth Shires III[2], Karthik Pullela[1], Von Vergel L. Torres[1], Jessica

L. Rooke[1], Antoine Delhaye[3], Jean-François Collet[3], Jack A. Bryant[4], Brian Forde[1], Matthew

Hemm[2], Ian R. Henderson[1]

[1] Institute for Molecular Bioscience, University of Queensland, Brisbane, 4072, Australia

[2] Department of Biological Sciences, Towson University, Towson, USA

[3] Institut de Duve, UC Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

[4] Biodiscovery Institute, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

*Corresponding author: e.goodall@imb.uq.edu.au

Abstract

Small or overlapping genes are prevalent across all domains of life but are often overlooked

for annotation and function because of challenges in their detection. The advent of high-density

mutagenesis and data-mining studies suggest the existence of further coding potential within

bacterial genomes. To overcome limitations in existing protein detection methods, we applied

a genetics-based approach. We combined transposon insertion sequencing with a translation

reporter to identify translated open reading frames throughout the genome at scale, independent

of genome annotation. We applied our method to the well characterised species *Escherichia*

coli and identified ~200 putative novel protein coding sequences (CDS). These are mostly short

CDSs (<50 amino acids) and in some cases highly conserved. We validate the expression of

selected CDSs demonstrating the utility of this approach. Despite the extensive study of *E. coli*,

this method revealed proteins that have not been previously described, including proteins that

are conserved and neighbouring functionally important genes, suggesting significant functional

roles of small proteins that are still overlooked. We present this as a complementary method to

whole cell proteomics and ribosome trapping for condition-dependent identification of protein

CDSs. We anticipate this technique will be a starting point for future high-throughput genetics

investigations to determine the existence of unannotated genes in multiple bacterial species.

Introduction

The last two decades have seen an substantial increase in sequenced bacterial genomes, coupled

with an increase in the associated pan-genome of any given organism. However, in recent years

there has been a growing body of work to suggest that our annotation of bacterial genomes is

incomplete. First, smaller proteins have been historically overlooked in all domains of life [1–4].

Early definitions of a gene or coding sequence applied a size cut-off for annotation of a gene,

ranging from 50-100 codons. However, several translated open reading frames (ORFs) as small

as two or three codons have been identified in *Escherichia coli* and other species [5–7]. A small

protein, as defined by Storz and colleagues, is directly translated from an ORF (as opposed to

processed from a larger protein), and composed of fewer than 50 amino acids [4]. Small proteins

(also referred to as "short ORF-encoded proteins" (SEPs), "microproteins" or "sproteins") have

been identified across the bacterial kingdom (reviewed extensively elsewhere [8–10]), with roles

in stress response (e.g. Prli42, TisB [11–13]), cell division (e.g. MciZ, SidA, Blr [14–17]), metabolism

(e.g. SgrT, MgtS, MntS [18,19]), respiration (e.g. CcoM, CydX [20,21]), signal transduction (e.g. Sda,

MgrB [22,23]), modulating antibiotic resistance (e.g. Blr, AcrZ, Prli53 [6,24]), defence and

toxin/antitoxin systems (PepA1, TisB, Fst [12]), or mediating host-interaction (e.g. rio3 [25]) and

survival inside host cells (KdpF [26]). Given their functional importance, a number of studies

have sought to identify these previously overlooked small proteins at scale [27], but this has not

been without its challenges. Small proteins are harder to predict bioinformatically as the short

sequence length makes it difficult to distinguish true coding sequences from random in-frame

sequences between a start and stop codons [28]. Furthermore, they are difficult to predict through

sequence conservation analysis as some small proteins have species-specific function and

therefore are genus or lineage-restricted.

Another class of overlooked genes are nested genes. Nested genes are defined as those

encoded within another gene. They can be encoded within the same orientation as the primary

coding sequence or anti-sense to the primary transcript. A subset of nested genes are isoforms[29],

which are encoded from an alternate start codon within the primary transcript, such as *cheA*(L)

and *cheA*(S) in *E. coli* [30], or the three isoforms of translation initiation factor 2 encoded by *infB*

[31,32]. Nested genes are prevalent in viruses and eukaryotes due to their compact genomes or

coding complexity, respectively, but considered rare anomalies in bacterial genomes [33]. As

such, many automated bacterial genome annotation tools discard putative coding sequences

that are within larger genes. However, well documented examples of (non-isoform) nested

genes include the *Shigella* enterotoxin (ShET) 1 gene encoded antisense to the Pic

autotransporter [34], both virulence factors of diarrhoeagenic *E. coli* isolates, and *comS* encoded

in the same sense but out of frame (OOF) to *srfA* in *Bacillus subtilis,* both of which have

complementary but independent functions in natural competency and surfactin synthesis and

secretion, respectively [35]. More recent discoveries of overlapping genes (*olg1* and *olg2*

respectively) as large as 957 and 1728 nucleotides have been demonstrated in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa [36], suggesting overlapping protein coding is not limited to just small proteins.

Due to the limitations outlined above, high-throughput methods to identify protein

coding sequences are needed to fully elucidate the genetics and biology of a given organism.

Specialist methods that trap mRNA-bound ribosomes (Ribo-seq) have been developed to

identify translated mRNA and therefore protein coding sequences [29,37,38]. Although these

studies highlight the remarkable extent to which small proteins have been overlooked, the data

can however pose challenges for interpretation [39]. Ribo-seq methods have been further

optimised through the use of antibiotics that trap translating ribosomes at specific positions

along translated mRNA[40]; one example termed 'Ribo-Ret' uses retapamulin, which traps

initiating ribosomes resulting in enrichment of ribosomes localised near the initiating start

codon. Such adaptations improve the resolution of the output data, but pose other challenges

such as differentiating true coding sequence from 'pervasive translation' [41]. Small proteins are

also challenging to detect via mass spectrometry (discussed in detail by Ahrens *et al.* [42]). They

might be transiently expressed, expressed only under specific conditions [43], low in abundance,

have few sites for trypsin digestion, or highly hydrophobic membrane-associated proteins.

Taken together, it is clear that complementary methods are needed if we are to fully realise the

coding potential of any given organism.

Translation reporters are one tool for identification of protein coding sequences. Such

assays involve the fusion of the C-terminus of the target protein to the N-terminus of an enzyme

or protein with a measurable read out, such as *β*-galactosidase, alkaline phosphatase or a fluorescent protein. Most translation reporter assays have been applied to defined target sequences, often in isolation. However, an early transposon-based random mutagenesis approach introduced the *phoA* gene, encoding alkaline phosphatase, into a Tn*5* transposon derivative 'Tn*phoA*'. The transposon was designed such that the alkaline phosphatase, which is only functional in the periplasm, lacked its signal peptide sequence and therefore would only be functional if fused to sequences that promote its export [44], thereby identifying secreted proteins and periplasmically located portions of integral membrane proteins. This approach pre-dated the high-throughput amplicon-sequencing technology available today but demonstrates the utility of a transposon-based reporter system. Here, we combined a mini-Tn*5* translation reporter with ultra-dense transposon mutagenesis and sequencing to query the *E. coli* K-12 genome for new protein coding sequences independently of existing genome annotation at scale. By using this approach, we identified previously uncharacterised protein coding genes. We also document their conservation among >200,000 *E. coli* genomes. The capacity to discern an organism's coding potential in a single experiment promises to be a powerful strategy for unveiling genotype-phenotype relationships.

Results

Construction of a reporter-transposon library

In our previous research[45], the resolution afforded from ultra-dense transposon mutagenesis highlighted the presence of newly annotated small genes that contribute to cell fitness. We hypothesised that there might be additional as yet unannotated coding sequences in the *E. coli* genome, and that a transposon mutagenesis approach could be leveraged for their detection. A reporter transposon was constructed by introducing a kanamycin resistance gene upstream of the chloramphenicol resistance cassette of the transposon. However, the transposon was

designed such that the aminoglycoside-3'-phospotransferase (*aph*) gene that confers kanamycin

resistance was introduced immediately after the mini-Tn5 inverted repeat without a promoter,

ribosome binding site (RBS) or start codon (Fig. 1). Expression of the *aph* gene is dependent

upon in-frame insertion of the transposon into an actively translated gene. We first confirmed

that the intact antibiotic selection cassettes did not confer any cross resistance (S. Fig. 1). We

next verified that the *aph* gene could confer kanamycin resistance with an N-terminal fusion

of the transposon inverted repeat at the 5' end of the *aph* gene. The transposon was cloned in-

frame into LacZα in a pUC19 vector such that expression of *aph* was dependent upon Plac and

the start codon of LacZα. The LacZα-Tn fusion was sufficient to confer kanamycin resistance

and this resistance was lost if the LacZα start codon is mutated from ATG to AGG (S. Fig. 2).

Having confirmed the functionality of the transposon, we introduced the transposon via

transposition into the *E. coli* K-12 strain BW25113 genome at random aiming for 1 transposon

insertion event per cell. Transposon mutants were selected on LB agar plates supplemented

with chloramphenicol and were pooled to form the library. The transposon-genomic DNA

(gDNA) junctions were sequenced to identify the transposon insertion site. We designed our

sequencing primers to sequence from the kanamycin resistance gene into the gDNA upstream

to directly identify CDS translation fusion sites (S. Fig. 3). We sequenced two aliquots of the

library and obtained 4.2 M and 2.7 M sequencing reads per replicate respectively. Comparison

of the insertion density per gene between technical replicates showed sequencing the

transposon junction was reproducible between replicates with a Pearson correlation coefficient

of 0.99 (S. Fig. 4A), therefore we pooled the replicate data resulting in a total of ~6.9 M mapped

reads. Sub-sampling of our transposon junction sequences confirmed we had obtained

sufficient sequencing for full coverage of our library (S. Fig. 4B). We identified 185,709 and

184,405 unique insertions for each orientation of the transposon respectively representing a

total of 370,114 translational reporter mutants. When we further delineated the data by reading

frame there was an equivalent number of mutants for each frame (S. Fig. 4C), distributed

evenly throughout the genome (S. Fig.4D).

Identification of putative new genes

To identify expressed protein coding sequences, we repeated selection of the library on agar

plates supplemented with an inhibitory concentration of kanamycin, with the expectation that

kanamycin resistance will only be conferred if the transposon has inserted in frame into an

expressed protein coding sequence. For selection of kanamycin resistant mutants, 25 µg/ml of

kanamycin was identified as the minimum concentration of kanamycin that inhibits growth of

E. coli BW25113 on supplemented LB agar plates while selecting for transposon mutants (S.

Fig. 5A-B). We chose to screen the library at this concentration to minimise the selection stress

and maximise the number of translation-fusion mutants recovered. The library was screened

twice, on separate days. We sequenced the transposon-gDNA junctions as before and recovered

>150,000 reads per sample, which mapped to ~8,000 unique insertion sites per replicate. The

number of recovered unique insertions was lower than the number of fusion mutants we

expected to recover. This may be a result of disrupted mRNA stability when endogenous CDSs

are disrupted mid-sequence: indeed, review of the relative position of insertion sites found they

were predominantly within the extreme 5' or 3' of each CDS (S. Fig. 6B). The biological

replicates of mutants selected on kanamycin plates had a strong Pearson correlation coefficient

($r = 0.81$) between identified insertion sites (S. Fig. 6A). To account for the possibility that

individual mutants might grow if they acquire spontaneous kanamycin resistance, we filtered

the data to include hits that were identified in both independent screens, resulting in a final

number of 6,219 transposon insertion sites. We then reviewed the functionality of the screen:

we split the insertion data by reading frame and confirmed the bp level of resolution for

identification of translated reading frames. For example, within the *tig-clpP-clpX-lon-hupB*

operon the transposon insertions were each consistent with the appropriate reading frame for

translation fusion with the kan^R *aph* gene (Fig. 2), demonstrating the functionality of the screen.

Moreover, this level of resolution can reveal putative CDSs that do not coincide with annotated

genes, for example three unannotated putative novel CDSs within the *tig* operon (Fig. 2).

Of the conservative 6,219 insertion sites, 5,754 insertions (92.52%) were in-frame

within 1,139 annotated genes, 272 insertions (4.37%) were within annotated genes but out of

frame and 193 insertions (3.10%) were intergenic (between annotated protein coding

sequences; Fig. 3A). We used the well curated GenBank sequence (accession CP009273) as a

reference for protein coding genes, however, to account for more recently annotated genes we

also cross referenced our data against the RefSeq annotation (annotated by the NCBI

prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline [PGAP], accession NZ_CP009273, annotated 24-

APR-2023). This led to the positive identification of an additional 7 genes, 5 of which (*mgtT*,

pssL, *ynfU*, *yqgH* and *ysgD*) were identified in a targeted Ribo-Ret screen in *E. coli* K-12 strain

MG1655, further validating the utility of our approach to identify small coding sequences [38].

Of the remaining two, *rseD* and *nadS*, *rseD* has been previously validated by chromosomal

integration of a *lacZ* translation reporter [46]. While *nadS* (RS26030), although not currently

listed in Ecocyc, is annotated in the PGAP reference genome as a hypothetical protein, our data

presents the first lab-based evidence for its expression and suggests it is expressed under

normal laboratory growth conditions. Overall, the revised total of known genes that were

identified in the screen was 1,146. We cross-referenced our data against other whole-cell

protein-identification datasets, including a proteomic mass-spectrometry dataset and a Ribo-

Ret dataset [29,47], chosen for using a comparable strain (*E. coli* BW25113 or derivative) and

growth condition (LB +/- supplements; S. Table 1). The largest cohort of proteins were shared

by all three methods (S. Fig. 7), however there were clearly some method-dependent

differences in the proteins identified (discussed extensively elsewhere [39,48,49]). Transposon

mutagenesis screens are inherently limited by their inability to screen regions of the genome

that cannot viably be disrupted, such as essential genes. However, we were able to detect some

essential genes, such as within the *mur* operon (S. Fig. 8), where the transposon is in-frame

within the extreme 5' or 3' end of the protein CDS, the former due to translational read-out

from the chloramphenicol resistance marker maintaining downstream expression [45]. Thus,

detecting essential proteins is possible with transposon mutagenesis, but requires saturating

mutagenesis.

To identify putative new genes, we reviewed the remaining translation-fusion sites that

were either within genes but out-of-frame, suggestive of a nested gene, or intergenic and

therefore candidate new coding sequences. While the translation-fusion data can reveal open

reading frames that are translated, it cannot identify which codon is initiating translation.

Although the most common start codon in *E. coli* is AUG, one study found 47 (out of 64)

codons are capable of initiating translation, albeit at varying strengths [50]. To be conservative

we focused on the strongest 7 codons that can initiate translation: AUG, GUG, UUG, CUG,

AUA, AUU and AUC, which all displayed expression levels >100-fold higher than the control

cells [50]. We reviewed both the nested 'out-of-frame' and intergenic reading frames identified

in our translation screen for these start codons and identified a total of 215 unique putative

protein coding sequences (S. Table 2); 125 were nested gene candidates while 90 were

intergenic. Most annotated genes containing a predicted nested gene had only 1 internal nested

gene (S. Fig. 9), however, there were a handful with multiple predicted nested genes. Although

multiple nested genes within a parent gene has been previously documented in *E. coli,* such as

within *waaL* which contains 3 nested genes [38], another possible explanation for multiple nested

candidates within the TIS data is that these arise from ribosome slippage during translation of

the primary transcript, as *E. coli* K-12 strains are prone to higher rates of ribosome slippage

than other *E. coli* lineages [51], and the genes with ≥5 nested genes are some of the most highly

expressed proteins [47].

The predominant predicted start codon in the 215 candidate CDSs was AUG (43.72%)

followed by GUG (24.65%) and UUG (11.16%) (Fig. 3B). Although the initial aim of this

screen was to identify protein coding sequences irrespective of genome annotation, we

observed that most of the new CDSs were small proteins <50 amino acids (aa; median 25 aa,

smallest 1 aa, largest 138 aa; Fig. 3C). We did not apply a lower limit threshold for the size of

putative CDS as (1) translation of 2 codon sequences is known for *E. coli*, although these

sequences often represent translation-control mechanisms rather than a functional protein and

(2) the calculated sizes are derived from an estimated start codon and therefore might not be

accurate. The finding that most putative new CDSs were <50 aa is not entirely surprising and

is consistent with the hypothesis that small proteins are prevalent yet have been systematically

overlooked during bacterial genome annotation. Surprisingly, comparison of the candidate 215

CDSs with the 68 candidate small proteins identified by Ribo-seq methods by Weaver *et al.* [38]

revealed only 9 genes that were identified by both methods. Of note, many of the small proteins

identified uniquely by Ribo-Ret appear recalcitrant to transposition, precluding them from our

screen. This raises the possibility that small genes have specific regulatory mechanisms that

limit their disruption or represent an overlooked class of essential genes. However, many of

the small genes detected using both methods have additional neighbouring putative small genes

within our data, suggesting organised transcriptional units of small genes. For example, our

data is in agreement with the Ribo-Ret data for the identification of small genes *mgtT*, *mdtU*

and *ysgD*, but we also detected translation in alternate reading frames neighbouring these genes

(Fig. 3D). For *mgtT* and *mdtU*, we identified translation upstream of these genes, which

coincided with putative CDSs with a AUG start codon (CDS58 and CDS61 respectively), yet

these were not detected by Ribo-seq. Moreover, within *mdtU* we observed translation earlier

within the reading frame than the given start codon for *mdtU*, suggesting an alternate start

codon further upstream. For *ysgD*, we detected translation both within this reading frame and

within an overlapping reading frame, consistent with CDS177, which also has a putative start

codon (AUC). Interestingly, Weaver *et al.* [38] observed translation extending beyond the stop

codon of *ysgD*, which would be consistent with expression of CDS177, but the absence of an

AUG, GUG or UUG start codon filtered CDS177 from their analysis.

Validation of new genes

To assess the validity of our method, we selected 17 putative new CDSs identified by this

method for verification by western blot analysis. We chose candidates with a cross-section of

genomic neighbourhoods (start-, mid- and end-operon), in addition to some nested genes for

proof of principle, and focused on these 17 because of their gene-neighbourhood with some

well-known and extensively studied genes (Fig. 4A). Of the 17 putative CDSs, 12 are

intergenic and candidate operonic genes, while the remaining 5 are nested genes but in a

different reading frame to the 'parent' annotated gene; 1 of the nested genes (within *damX*)

was only identified in one of the kanamycin-selection replicate datasets, but because of its

unusual transposon insertion profile we included it for follow-up investigation. Using lambda-

red mediated recombination, a dual epitope "sequential peptide affinity" (SPA) tag, comprising

a calmodulin binding peptide and 3×FLAG tags, was inserted into the genome immediately

upstream of the native stop codon. Constructs were checked by PCR (S. Fig. 10) and confirmed

by Sanger sequencing. This approach has been demonstrated previously for the detection of

small proteins [52,53], as the large size of the tag (~8 kDa) can enable detection of proteins as

small as 1 kDa. Strains were grown in LB broth to both exponential and stationary phase, cells

were harvested and the whole cell lysates probed with anti-FLAG antibody for the detection of

endogenously expressed small proteins. We detected expression for 6/12 intergenic CDS, and

3/5 of the nested CDSs (Fig. 4B). Small proteins in *E. coli* vary in their detection levels by

western blot analysis [52], and there were visible differences in the levels of protein detected here.

CDS58, encoded upstream of *mgtST*, had the weakest signal suggesting this protein is not stable

or is present at very low levels. We observed that the number of reads for each small protein

did not correlate with the strength of western blot detection, for example, CDS58, which is the

faintest on a western blot, had 93 reads, whereas CDS119 and CDS147 were very prominent

by western yet only represented by 9 and 12 reads respectively. As such, we did not set a

minimum read threshold for CDS detection provided the CDS was detected in two independent

kanamycin-selection screens. It's important to note that western blot detection of the C-

terminal FLAG tag can validate endogenous translation of a given reading frame, but cannot

confirm a predicted start codon for initiating translation. For example, we detected the

expression of tagged CDS66, however, the migration of this protein was indicative of a larger

protein than expected. We confirmed by Sanger sequencing that the correct reading frame was

targeted, and the SPA tag is out-of-frame with the *ompC* start codon. Two possible explanations

are (1) a homomultimeric complex that is not reduced by the denaturing conditions when

separating the proteins or (2) translation is initiated from the *ompC* start codon and a +1

frameshift enables expression of the SPA tag, however the predicted size of this construct (with

tag) is ~34.5 kDa.

Of the CDSs that we did not detect, it's possible that they are only transiently expressed,

are susceptible to rapid degradation, are expressed at levels below the threshold for western

blot detection, or are destabilised by C-terminal location of the tag. Indeed, a study validating

small proteins identified by Ribo-seq methods found that some could not be detected by

western blot analysis until the addition of a ClpP protease inhibitor, bortezomib [41]. Another

possibility is that some of these proteins might be secreted, and therefore missed during our

cell harvesting steps prior to lysing the cells for western blot analysis. However, none of the

putative CDSs contained any predicted signal sequences [54].

We next predicted the secondary and tertiary structures of the small proteins using

PSIPRED and AlphaFold2, including transmembrane (TM) domain prediction using

MEMSAT-SVM. CDS42, CDS43, CDS58, CDS61, CDS146, CDS201 and CDS57 were all

too short for analysis by PSIPRED (minimum size is 30 residues). All proteins that passed the

size threshold for PSIPRED and MEMSAT analysis were predicted to contain a single TM

helix, with 4 of these (CDS118, 119, 147 and 87) predicted to be pore-lining (S. Fig. 11). The

AlphaFold2 predictions, generated by the ColabFold pipeline, largely corroborated the

secondary structure predictions of PSIPRED, with many helical domains predicted for these

small proteins (S. Fig. 12). Although the confidence metrics (pLDDT and pTM) of these

predicted structures are low, this likely stems from the limited depth and diversity in the

multiple sequence alignments (MSA) generated by the ColabFold pipeline (S. Fig. 13). The

AlphaFold2 system relies on deep and diverse alignments to generate high-confidence models

[55,56], however, newly identified proteins may have small sequence families or few homologs in

the ColabFoldDB database through which the MSA are generated [55,56]. Although small proteins

are notoriously challenging to classify, the data from three independent structural prediction

tools suggest some of these small proteins may be small helical proteins and possibly

membrane proteins. Previous studies have reported the prevalence of helical proteins in small

protein screens [53], with functions ranging from toxin systems that promote persister cell

formation, to accessory subunits of larger membrane protein complexes [57,58].

Conservation of new genes

Finally, we evaluated the conservation of these genes within *E. coli*. To investigate the

prevalence and distribution of the 17 candidate CDSs among *E. coli* strains, we screened a

collection of 227,873 globally distributed *E. coli* genomes (S. Fig. 14). Using tblastn analysis,

we identified that most of the CDS were conserved in most (>95%) *E. coli* genomes (Fig. 5A);

with the exceptions of CDS146 (92.8%), CDS66 (in-*ompC*; 89.8%), CDS57 (in-*marR*; 87.1%)

and CDS87 (in-*ypjA*, 44.8%). Among these data, most CDS had blast coverage and identity

scores >80%, suggesting these CDS are conserved. To establish whether the new small genes

are co-conserved with their gene neighbours, we reviewed whether the genetic synteny of the

CDSs was preserved across the different *E. coli* isolates. A 4 kb window (2 kb up- and down-

stream) surrounding each CDS was extracted from the *E. coli* BW25113 genome as a reference

and compared by blastn with the *E. coli* database to identify whether local gene

neighbourhoods were maintained across the genus. Most CDS were conserved across the 4 kb

region indicating the newly identified CDS are highly co-conserved with their gene neighbours

(Fig. 6). For some genes, e.g. CDS43 neighbouring *fldA*, or CDS119 neighbouring *ptsN* and

yhbJ, gene synteny was preserved along the full 4 kb region. Whereas CDS58 was more

strongly co-conserved with the neighbouring *mgtST* operon than neighbouring *ydeE*, and

CDS115 was more strongly co-conserved with *rimP* than divergently transcribed *argG*,

suggesting novel leader sequences for the *mgtST* and *rimP-nusA* operons, respectively. Genes

are often co-localised with functionally related genes and the level of conserved organisation

might hint at the potential functions of these newly identified genes. Altogether the new small

genes were co-conserved with genes with a range of biological functions, highlighting the wide

degree of biological potential that may be overlooked by excluding small proteins.

Discussion

The *E. coli* genome has been highly scrutinised for the identification of novel small proteins

[9,41,52], yet this method still revealed additional new proteins with the detection of >200 putative

new coding sequences. We validated the endogenous expression of small proteins detected

exclusively by this approach using western blot analysis, and confirmed detection of 9/17 of

these CDSs, three of which are encoded within overlapping genes. Many of the newly

identified genes were conserved throughout *E. coli*, and often co-conserved with their genetic

neighbours. Small proteins are increasingly being recognised for their diverse biological roles,

which often includes the modulation and regulation of larger protein complexes [59]. Our

identification and detection of small proteins co-conserved with genes involved in electron

transfer (*fldA*), magnesium transport (*mgtST* [60]), ribosome maturation (*rimP* [61]), spermidine

efflux (*mdtUJI* [62]), LPS export (*lptB* [63]), nitrogen-responsive gene regulation (*rpoN*), potassium

transport (*ptsN* [64]), regulatory RNA stability (*rapZ* [65]), queuosine precursor transport (*yhhQ* [66])

NAD+ biosynthesis (*nadR*) and tRNA methyltransferase (*trmI*), among others, suggests further

functional contributions of small proteins and highlights the functional importance of small

proteins that are still being overlooked. We present this as a high-throughput method

complementary to Ribosome-trapping or mass spectrometry-based approaches for detecting

expressed proteins.

Our gene neighbourhood conservation data and comparison with Ribo-seq data

revealed conserved clusters of small genes organised into transcriptional units of small genes,

e.g. CDS58-*mgtST*, CDS61-*mdtUJI,* or CDS177-*ysgD*. The detection of additional genes not

previously identified by Ribo-seq might be due to detection sensitivity (discussed below) but

was in part due to our wider acceptance of putative start codons: where Weaver *et al*. used a

conservative shortlist of AUG, GUG and CUG codons [38], we allowed for 7 putative start

codons, which enabled the positive identification of CDS177 overlapping *ysgD*. However,

these data are likely still an underestimate of the total proteome as earlier work using a GFP

reporter has shown as many as 47 of the 64 codons (including one canonical stop codon) are

able to initiate translation [50]. Ribo-Ret analyses that allow for a wider selection of start codons

observe more varied start codon usage [29], although this in part has been attributed to decreased

ribosome selectivity due to increased 30S subunit availability. However, consistent with the

hypothesis that non-canonical start codons can initiate translation, prior to filtering our data we

did observe translation within intergenic regions with no obvious start codon. One recent study

combining the analysis of stalled ribosomes at start and stop codons respectively identified

almost 400 putative CDSs, however they attribute this high number in part to pervasive

translation [41]. While pervasive translation, described as the spurious translation of a non-

functional coding sequences, could contribute to false positive translation-detection in our

screen, we observed that the detection rate of expected functional translation-fusions was quite

low (27.5%: the number of detected correct-RF insertions within annotated genes/the total

number of correct-RF insertions for those genes) suggesting active translation alone is

insufficient for positive translation-fusion detection, and that a degree of mRNA stability is

required for successful aminoglycoside phosphotransferase expression.

It's also important to note we don't yet know the detection limits of western blot

detection. For example, for two of the CDS we could not validate by western blot analysis, we

did detect faint bands at the expected migration site with excessive blot contrast enhancement,

although deemed these insufficient for validation and excluded them from our data. It is

possible that a transposon mutagenesis approach can offer an improved detection resolution as

compared to detection by standard western blot, especially for small proteins that are too

transiently or weakly expressed to be detected by standard western blot approaches without

purification. As both the transposon translation reporter and SPA-tag detection by western blot

inherently rely on the same principles of C-terminal tagging of endogenous proteins, an

exciting option for future method-development here might be the introduction of a transposon-

based SPA tag for whole-cell purification of small proteins.

One limitation of this method is that essential genes, or genes that are not easily

disrupted, e.g. those bound by DNA-binding proteins, will be less well-represented within the

transposon mutant pool, limiting their opportunities for detection. Secondly, this approach is

inherently biased against the detection of small proteins, as shorter genes will also be

represented by fewer translation-fusion mutants. Our data suggests that both of these

limitations may at least in part be overcome using a mini-Tn*5* system that can achieve near

saturated mutagenesis. Another strength of this method is its bp level of resolution for

identification of the precise reading frame where translation is occurring. However, as this

method identifies functional translation fusion sites, there is always the possibility that an out-

of-frame insertion is not evidence of a new gene, but evidence of ribosome slippage and a shift

in the translation reading frame (programmed or otherwise).

Besides predicting new proteins, this method can also validate whether annotated genes

are translated. One example of this in our data was within the 'pseudogene' *ilvG*. Curiously,

our data suggests both that the N-terminal fragment of the pseudogene is still expressed, and

that a second reading frame (+1) downstream is also expressed. We also detected translation

within other unexpected genomic regions, including within a handful of repetitive extragenic

palindromic (REP) elements, consistent with another study measuring transcriptomics and

proteomics in *E. coli* O157:H7 [67].

Although the method of coupling random mutagenesis with reporter systems is not

novel [44], it is still surprisingly in its infancy when applied at scale, with only one other

saturating mutagenesis reporter study ('ProTinSeq') published earlier this year in the model

system *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* [68]. As a method it is applicable to any organism that is

genetically tractable and the scope of application is much broader than the system presented

here. One obvious adaptation of this method is to replace the reporter marker with a non-lethal

selection marker, such as a fluorescent protein, which, coupled with fluorescence activated cell

sorting, would enable rapid *in vivo* screening for condition-dependent changes in expression.

This would expand the capabilities for small protein detection as one often cited limitation is

that small proteins might only be expressed transiently, at low levels, or under specific conditions. With many of these tools still in their relative infancy, and focusing on a limited range of growth conditions, it is likely there are still more small proteins to be discovered in the *E. coli* genome. In particular, nested genes have been gaining increasing interest and traction within the field [69–71]; with data presented here adding another line of evidence in support of their wider existence.

Finally, this work highlights that a standardized approach to genome annotation is needed [72,73], and this has been recognised with the implementation of the NCBI Prokaryote Genome Annotation Pipeline applied to all newly submitted sequence data. However, we found that even the most "up to date" annotation of *E. coli* K-12 strain BW25113 (re-annotated 24-APR-2023) did not include many of the small proteins identified and validated in Ribo-seq experiments from 5 years ago within the same (or closely related) strains [9]. As such, it's worth noting that even for one of the best curated strains, *E. coli* K-12, many high-throughput functional genetics screens that rely on genome annotations for genotype-phenotype results are still overlooking small proteins.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

E. coli K-12 strain BW25113 (*rrnB*$_{T14}$ Δ*lacZ*$_{WJ16}$ *hsdR514* Δ*araBAD*$_{AH33}$ Δ*rhaBAD*$_{LD78}$) was routinely used [74]. Cell cultures were grown overnight in LB broth (Tryptone 10g/L, Yeast extract 5g/L, Sodium Chloride 10g/L) at 37°C supplemented with 100 µg/ml carbenicillin (Sigma) for plasmid selection, and 35 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Merck) or 25 µg/ml kanamycin (Sigma) for transposon selection.

Cloning

The transposon sequence was constructed by stitch PCR using the commercially available EZ-

Tn5 Kan^R transposon (Lucigen), and a previously published Cm^R mini-Tn5 transposon[45] as a

template and cloned into the pUC19 vector. The transposon was inserted between the *Hin*dIII

and *Bam*HI restriction sites, resulting in the plasmid "pUC19-KC-Tn", which contains the dual

selection transposon inserted in-frame in the LacZa gene. Mutations of the LacZa start codon

were introduced via site-directed mutagenesis. Briefly, the plasmid was amplified by PCR

using phusion polymerase (NEB) and overlapping forward and reverse primers that contain the

desired mutation. The vector template was digested by DpnI (NEB) following the supplier's

instructions. Newly amplified vector was transformed into competent DH5alpha cells (NEB)

and correct mutations were confirmed by Sanger sequencing before transferring to *E. coli* strain

BW25113. Oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 3.

Construction of a transposon library

To make the transposome (transposon coupled with transposase), the pUC19-KC-Tn plasmid

was linearised by restriction digest using *Nde*I (New England Biolabs) following the supplier's

instructions. The mini-Tn5 transposon was amplified by PCR using primers with a

phosphorylated 5' end and containing the inverted repeat mosaic ends for efficient Tn5

transposase-mediated transposition. The transposon was amplified using the phusion

polymerase (NEB) and the linearised plasmid as a template with the following conditions: 30

s 98°C; (10 s 98°C, 20 s 60°C, 1 min 72°C) x30; 5 min 72°C; 4°C hold. The PCR product was

purified via gel extraction (Qiagen) following the supplier's instructions. The Transposon was

eluted in TE buffer (pH 8.0; ThermoFisher Scientific), quantified by Qubit dsDNA HS Assay

kit (ThermoFisher Scientific) and adjusted to a final concentration of 100 ng/μl. To prepare the

transposome, a ratio of 1:2:1 of 100 ng/μl transposon DNA in TE buffer, EZ-Tn5 Transposase

(TNP92110; Gene Target Solutions) and 100% glycerol were incubated at RT for 1 h then

frozen at -20°C for storage. The transposome was introduced into *E. coli* K-12 strain BW25113

by electrotransformation. Briefly, cells were grown to mid-exponential phase in 800 ml LB at

37°C with aeration, harvested by centrifugation at 2000 xg for 10 min at RT and washed 4x in

10% glycerol at the same volume. The final cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 10%

glycerol. 1 µl transposome was incubated with 100 µl cells before shocking at 25 kV. 1 ml LB

was added per reaction, and cells were incubated a 37°C with shaking for 2 h for recovery.

Successful transformants were selected on LB agar plates supplemented with 35 µg/ml

chloramphenicol and incubated overnight at 37°C for 18 h. Colonies were scraped from plates

to form the transposon library of pooled mutants and resuspended in 30% glycerol in LB for

storage at -80°C. For selection of kanamycin resistant mutants, 25 µg/ml of kanamycin was

identified as the minimum concentration of kanamycin that inhibits growth of *E. coli* BW25113

on supplemented LB agar plates (S. Fig. 5A) and was therefore selected as the concentration

for selection of kanamycin-resistant isolates. For identification of mutants expressing the

translation reporter, the transposon library was selected on LB agar plates supplemented with

25 µg/ml kanamycin, to achieve a density of ~2,000 colonies per plate. A total of ~1x10^7 CFUs,

of the input library were screened in total (per replicate), ensuring sufficient coverage of the

library. Colonies were scraped from plates, resuspended in 30% glycerol-LB, pooled and stored

at -80°C.

Transposon sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted, fragmented by ultrasonication to an average size of ~250 bp and

prepared for sequencing using the NEB Next Ultra I kit (E7370L, NEB), with some

modifications. Following end repair, adapter ligation and a size-selection purification step with

SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter) following the kit instructions, a PCR step was introduced to

enrich for Transposon-gDNA junctions, using a forward primer specific for the transposon and

a reverse primer specific for the adapter. 15 µl DNA fragments in sterile, nuclease free water,

25 µl Q5 polymerase, 2.5 µl 10 µM each primer and 5 µl nuclease free water to a final volume

of 50 µl were mixed and amplified in a thermocycler with the following profile: 3 min 98°C;

(15 s 98°C, 30 s 65°C, 30 s 72°C) x10; 1 min 72°C; hold 4°C. The sample was purified using

SPRI beads at a ratio of 0.9:1 beads to sample. A second PCR step then prepared the

transposon-gDNA junctions for sequencing using custom forward primers specific for the

transposon, which incorporated a barcode for sample identification as well as staggering the

start of the transposon sequence during sequencing. The reverse primers, NEBNext Multiplex

Oligos for Illumina (E7335, NEB), have homology to the adapter. The purpose of this step is

to introduce barcode sequences and further adapters to enable fragment binding to the

sequencing flow cell; the fragments were prepared as before with the following conditions: 3

min 98°C; (15 s 98°C, 30 s 65°C, 30 s 72°C) x20; 1 min 72°C; hold 4°C. The final product was

purified again using SPRI beads and then quantified by qPCR following the kit instructions

(KAPA Library Quantification Kit, Roche). Fragments were sequenced using an Illumina

MiSeq using v3 150 cycle cartridges (MS-102-3001, Illumina), with 5% PhiX loading control

(Illumina).

Data analysis

Sequencing data were processed using a previously described approach [75]. Briefly, FASTQ

data were first demultiplexed by the internal barcodes (introduced by the forward primer of

PCR2) using the FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/index.html).

Samples were then checked for the transposon sequence in 2 steps allowing for a total of 4 bp

mismatches. Following identification of transposon-containing reads, the transposon sequence

was trimmed and the remaining read mapped using bwa mem (https://bio-

bwa.sourceforge.net/bwa.shtml#13), to the *E. coli* BW25113 reference genome (available from

the NCBI database, accession CP009273.1, unless otherwise stated). The first nucleotide of a

given read was used as the transposon insertion site to generate insertion plot files, which were

viewed in Artemis [76]. Insertion data were cross-referenced with annotated genome features

using intersectBed [77]. We used bbcountunique.sh of BBTools for sub-sampling of FASTQ data

(https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/).

Construction of SPA-tagged strains

A sequential peptide affinity (SPA) tag (containing the calmodulin binding peptide (CBP) and

3×FLAG sequences separated by a TEV Protease cleavage site) was introduced in-frame into

the chromosome immediately upstream of the target CDS stop codon, resulting in a C-terminal

tag [53,78]; a tag routinely used for the identification of small proteins [38,53,79]. The SPA tag was

synthesised by GenScript and stitched by PCR [80], with a kanamycin resistance cassette flanked

by two FRT sites amplified from the *clsA* Keio mutant [81]. The SPA-Kan^R fragment was

subcloned into vector pJET1.2 (ThermoFisher Scientific), and the vector used as a template for

PCR amplification of the SPA-tag and kanamycin resistance cassette using primers with 50 bp

flanking regions homologous to the intended chromosomal insertion site. PCR profile: 98ºC 1

min; (98ºC 10 s, 62ºC 10 s, 72ºC 2 min)x34; 72ºC 2 min. Gel purified linear fragments were

electroporated into BW25114/pKD46 via the Datsenko-Wanner method of chromosomal

mutation [74]. All mutants were verified first by PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Western blot analysis

Immunoblot assays to determine small protein levels were conducted as described previously

with minor modification [53]. In brief, overnight cultures were sub-cultured 1:500 into LB and

grown at 37°C with aeration to either mid-exponential phase (OD_{600} = 0.3-0.6) or stationary

phase (OD_{600} = 4.0-5.0). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 50 mM

sodium phosphate buffer (pH 8). Re-suspended whole cells were mixed with 4× sample buffer

(1× stacking buffer, 2% SDS, 0.025 mg bromophenol blue, 52% glycerol), heated at 95°C for

10 min and centrifuged for 10 min. Samples were separated on Novex 16% Tricine gels

(ThermoFisher Scientific) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (ThermoFisher

Scientific). The membranes were blocked with 2% milk and then probed with anti-FLAG M2-

HRP monoclonal antibody (A8592, MilliporeSigma) in PBS-T. Signals were visualized using

SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific). Equal loading

of lanes in each gel was confirmed by examining the relative intensity of background bands in

the test samples as compared to the untagged, wild-type control samples.

Protein structure predictions

Protein secondary structure were predicted using PSIPRED (4.0) with MEMSAT-SVM for

TM-domain prediction[82,83]. Protein structures were predicted using ColabFold (v1.5.5):

AlphaFold2 using MMseqs2[55].

Conservation analysis

To investigate the prevalence and distribution of short open reading frames (sORFs) among

Escherichia coli strains, we screened a collection of globally distributed *E. coli* genomes. We

downloaded 227,873 *E. coli* genome assemblies, along with all available metadata, from

Enterobase (accessed on 14 March 2024)[84]. The presence of CDSs was determined using

tBLASTn (version 2.12.0+). The tBLASTn analysis was conducted with default settings, but

adjustments were made to account for the short length of the query sequences: -matrix PAM30

and -word_size 5.

Figures

Figure 1. Method overview. (1) Construction of a transposon mutant library via introduction of a transposon with a dual selection mechanism. Successful transformants are isolated via selection on LB agar supplemented with chloramphenicol. (2) Screening of the transposon library on LB agar supplemented with kanamycin enriches for mutants that contain a transposon inserted in-frame in an expressed protein coding sequence. (3) Sequencing of the input and output transposon mutant pools reveals fusion mutants that resulted in the expression of the kanamycin resistance cassette, and therefore identifies protein coding sequences.

Figure 2. The bp resolution of the translation reporter reveals the reading frame of protein coding sequences. The initial construction of the transposon library was selected on agar plates supplemented with chloramphenicol (top panel). The vertical bars represent both the frequency and position of identified transposon insertion sites. The data are coloured according to the reading frame (RF) at the site of insertion. A secondary selection on agar plates supplemented with kanamycin selected for mutants where the transposon is inserted in frame of a protein coding sequence. The data are coloured according to the reading frame at the point of insertion and are consistent with the annotated genes (bottom panel). Annotated genes are indicated by black arrows while putative new protein coding genes are indicated by grey arrows.

Figure 3. Identification of protein coding sequences. (A) The identified transposon-insertion site (and therefore translation fusion junction) was mapped to the reference genome and cross-references with annotation information. 92.52% of insertions were within annotated genes and 'inframe' consistent with the reading frame of the annotated gene. 4.37% of insertions were within annotated genes but in a different reading frame to the annotated gene ("out of frame [OOF]) and 3.10% of insertions were not within annotated protein coding sequences. (B)(i) The start codon frequency of 215 putative CDSs, and (ii) the CDS sizes in amino acids, with the median shown by a horizontal line. (C) Small CDSs identified by reporter TIS neighbouring small genes identified by Ribo-Ret[38]. The transposon insertion sites are those identified following selection with kanamycin (representing translation-fusion events) and are coloured according to the reading frame (RF) at the site of translation-fusion. Putative new genes are shown in grey.

601
Figure 4. Validation of new proteins. (A) The genetic neighbourhoods of putative protein
coding sequences (CDS) identified by reporter transposon-insertion sequencing selected for
validation. Putative CDSs are shown in grey. (B) Representative western blots (of 3 repeats):
whole cell lysates probed with anti-FLAG antibody, detected proteins are indicated by *.
BW=BW25113 control (untagged); E = Exponential phase; S = Stationary phase. Blots are
separated into three panels according to the exposure time used for protein detection (i) short
(ii) medium (iii) overnight.

609
610 **Figure 5. CDS carriage within the 227,873 genome database.** tblastn results of CDS
611 homologs within *E. coli* gnomes, coloured by % coverage and % identity accordingly. CDS
612 validated by western blot analysis are indicated with a star.
613

Figure 6. Blastn %identity plots of gene neighbourhoods
Nucleotide sequence similarity of 2 kb up- and down-stream of each CDS from the *E. coli*
BW25113 reference genome compared with the *E. coli* genomes database. Each plot shows the
% of genomes (y-axis) that have coverage along the reference genome query sequence (x-axis)
with the BW25113 reference sequence indicated below. Annotated genes are shown in grey,
candidate new genes are highlighted in blue, the *mgrR* RNA (downstream *mgtST*) is coloured
in green. CDS additionally detected by western blot are indicated with a star.

622   **Supplementary Tables**
623
624   **Supplementary Table 1. Comparison between datasets**
625   **Supplementary Table 2. Putative protein coding sequences**
626   **Supplementary Table 3. Oligonucleotides used in this study**

 Supplementary Figures

629
Supplementary Figure 1. Antibiotic cassette cross-resistance
Growth of *E. coli* BW25113 or *E. coli* BW25113 mutagenized at random with a mini-Tn*5*
transposon carrying either a kanamycin (KanR) or chloramphenicol (CmR) resistance cassette
on LB agar plates supplemented with antibiotic. The bottom row shows the same selection
plates with all strains inoculated: (1) *E. coli* strain BW25113, (2) BW25113::Tn-CmR, (3)
BW25113::Tn-Kan^R. These transposons formed the basis of the dual selection transposon,
stitched together by PCR.

A

B (i) KC-Tn   mutATG   KC-Tn   mutATG   KC-Tn   mutATG

Carb 100 µg/ml   Chlor 35 µg/ml   Kan 25 µg/ml

(ii)   pUC19-KC-Tn   TTTCACA**CAGGAA**ACAGCT**ATG**ACCATGATTACGCCAAGCTTG<u>CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT</u>

RBS   LacZα   Tn IR

mutATG   TTTCACA**CAGGAA**ACAGCT<u>A**GG**</u>ACCA**G**GATTACGCCAAGCTTG<u>CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT</u>

638
639
Supplementary Figure 2. Translational fusion and expression of the kanamycin
resistance gene.
(A) *E. coli* BW25113 was transformed with either the pUC19 plasmid or pUC19-KC, which
contained the kanamycin-chloramphenicol dual selection transposon cloned in-frame into the
LacZa fragment. Transformants were selected on LB agar supplemented with Carbenicillin,
Chloramphenicol or Kanamycin. (B) Single nucleotide polymorphisms were introduced by site
directed mutagenesis into the start codon(s) of LacZα, replacing ATG with AGG, to make the
pUC19-KC-Tn-mutATG vector. *E. coli* BW25113 with either pUC19-KC-Tn or pUC19-KC-
Tn-mutATG were inoculated onto LB agar plates supplemented with Carbenicillin,
Chloramphenicol or Kanamycin.

Supplementary Figure 3. Schematic for identification of the transposon insertion site
The transposon inserts into the genome at random. For identification of the transposon insertion
site, the transposon-genomic DNA junction is sequenced by sequencing out from the
kanamycin resistance gene into the neighboring genomic DNA. The nucleotide immediately
adjacent to the 19 bp inverted repeat of the transposon (indicated by an asterisk) is identified
as the transposon insertion site. Abbreviations: IR, inverted repeat; Kan^R, kanamycin
resistance; Cm^R, chloramphenicol resistance.

658
Supplementary Figure 4. Analysis of the reporter transposon library
(A) Comparison of insertion index scores (IIS; the number of insertions per gene normalised
by gene length) between sequenced replicates of the input transposon library. (B) Rarefaction
plot of the input transposon library. Sub-sampling of transposon-trimmed fastq data, using
BBTools, shows the percentage of unique reads with increasing sampling of sequencing data.
(C) Proportion of insertions per reading frame (RF) throughout the genome. (D) Chromosomal
position of each identified insertion site around the *E. coli* BW25113 chromosome, and
therefore translation reporter position, coloured by reading frame (RF). The two outermost
tracks in light blue correspond with sense and antisense CDS respectively, with pseudogenes
highlighted in light blue inside.

A

Kanamycin µg/ml

0   1.56   3.125   6.25   12.5   25

1x10⁹

Cells

1x10²

B (i)   **(i)**

BW25113

BW25113::KC-Tn

25 µg/ml Kanamycin

669
Supplementary Figure 5. Antibiotic selection screening
(A) Identification of a suitable concentration of kanamycin for agar plate selection. *E. coli*
BW25113 was grown in triplicate overnight at 37˚C. Samples were normalized to an $OD_{600} =$
1.00, equivalent to $1x10^9$ cells. Cells were 10-fold serially diluted in LB broth and 5 µl of each
dilution was inoculated onto LB agar plates with and without kanamycin at 2-fold decreasing
concentrations. Concentrations of 50 and 100 µg/ml kanamycin not shown. Plates were
incubated overnight at 37˚C and imaged the following day. (B)(i) Cell cultures of *E. coli*
BW25113 (in triplicate) and *E. coli* BW25113::kan^R-Cm^R-Tn (KC-Tn) were normalized to an
$OD_{600} = 0.01$ in LB; 500 µl of this cell culture was inoculated onto square LB agar plates
supplemented with 25 µg/ml kanamycin and grown overnight at 37˚C. (ii) In addition, 10-fold
serial dilutions of these cultures were inoculated on LB only plates to enumerate the input
colony forming units (CFUs) for each sample.

682
683 **Supplementary Figure 6. Analysis of kanamycin selection mutants**
684 (A) Comparison of the number of reads per nucleotide between replicates of the
685 BW25113::KC-Tn library plated on LB supplemented with kanamycin. (B) The position of
686 each insertion within a protein coding sequence relative to the gene start and end taken as a
687 percentage.
688

Supplementary Figure 7. Dataset comparison
Comparison of the annotated genes positively identified by different high-throughput methods
for the identification of proteins/protein-coding genes on a whole cell scale. All datasets are
reported for the *E. coli* K-12 stain BW25113 grown in LB, with the caveat that the transposon-
insertion sequencing (TIS) data was exposed to kanamycin, while the Ribo-Ret dataset was a
BW25113 derivative with the genotype BW25113Δ*tolC* and grown in LB supplemented with
0.2% glucose prior to exposure to 12.5 µg/ml Retapamulin. TIS method with a Ribo-Ret dataset
(Meydan *et al.* 2019) and a Proteomics dataset (Schmidt *et al.* 2016).

699
Supplementary Figure 8. Expression of essential genes detected by the translation-
reporter transposon
Viable translation-fusion events within 5/9 (*murF, murD, ftsW, murG* and *murC*) essential
genes of the mur operon following selection of the transposon library on kanamycin. Insertions
are at the extreme 5' end of each CDS, coloured according the reading-frame (RF). The
presence of 5' transposon insertion events suggests translational read-out from the transposon
to maintain expression of downstream essential genes.

No. of nested CDS/annotated gene

707
708   **Supplementary Figure 9. Frequency of out-of-frame nested genes within annotated genes**

709
Supplementary Figure 10. Construction of SPA-tagged mutants.
PCR amplification of stop codon/fusion junction in the parent strain (BW25113) and each
SPA-tagged mutant.

713
Supplementary Figure 11. Predicted protein structure using PSIPRED
PSIPRED protein structure predictions, and associated membrane helix prediction using
MEMSAT-SVM. CDS42, CDS43, CDS58, CDS61, CDS146, CDS201 and CDS57 were all
too short for analysis (minimum size is 30 residues).

718
Supplementary Figure 12. Predicted protein structures
Protein structures predicted using AlphaFold. All structures are shown with the N-terminus on
the left and coloured by pLDDT using Chimera.

723
724 **Supplementary Figure 13. Multisequence alignment plots from the AlphaFold**
725 **predictions**
726

728
729 **Supplementary Figure 14. Distribution of reference genomes by Continent, Country**
730 **and Phylogroup.**
731

References

1. Ruiz-Orera, J. & Albà, M. M. Translation of Small Open Reading Frames: Roles in

Regulation and Evolutionary Innovation. *Trends Genet.* **35**, 186–198 (2019).

2. Makarewich, C. A. & Olson, E. N. Mining for Micropeptides. *Trends Cell Biol.* **27**, 685–696

(2017).

3. Hellens, R. P., Brown, C. M., Chisnall, M. A. W., Waterhouse, P. M. & Macknight, R. C.

The Emerging World of Small ORFs. *Trends Plant Sci.* **21**, 317–328 (2016).

4. Storz, G., Wolf, Y. I. & Ramamurthi, K. S. Small Proteins Can No Longer Be Ignored. *Annu.*

Rev. Biochem. **83**, 753–777 (2014).

5. Islam, M. S., Shaw, R. K., Frankel, G., Pallen, M. J. & Busby, S. J. W. Translation of a

minigene in the 5' leader sequence of the enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli LEE1

transcription unit affects expression of the neighbouring downstream gene. *Biochem. J.*

441, 247–53 (2012).

6. Dar, D. *et al.* Term-seq reveals abundant ribo-regulation of antibiotics resistance in

bacteria. *Science* **352**, aad9822–aad9822 (2016).

7. Kędzierska, B. & Potrykus, K. Minigene as a Novel Regulatory Element in Toxin-Antitoxin

Systems. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **22**, 13389 (2021).

8. Duval, M. & Cossart, P. Small bacterial and phagic proteins: an updated view on a rapidly

moving field. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **39**, 81–88 (2017).

9. Hemm, M. R., Weaver, J. & Storz, G. Escherichia coli small proteome. *EcoSal Plus* **9**,

(2020).

10. Gray, T., Storz, G. & Papenfort, K. Small Proteins; Big Questions. *J. Bacteriol.* **204**, (2022).

11. Impens, F. *et al.* N-terminomics identifies Prli42 as a membrane miniprotein conserved

in Firmicutes and critical for stressosome activation in Listeria monocytogenes. *Nat.*

Microbiol. **2**, 17005 (2017).

12. Unoson, C. & Wagner, E. G. H. A small SOS-induced toxin is targeted against the inner

membrane in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Microbiol.* **70**, 258–270 (2008).

13. Su, W.-L. *et al.* TisB Protein Protects Escherichia coli Cells Suffering Massive DNA

Damage from Environmental Toxic Compounds. *mBio* **13**, (2022).

14. Karimova, G., Davi, M. & Ladant, D. The β-Lactam Resistance Protein Blr, a Small

Membrane Polypeptide, Is a Component of the Escherichia coli Cell Division Machinery.

J. Bacteriol. **194**, 5576–5588 (2012).

15. Wong, R. S. Y., McMurry, L. M. & Levy, S. B. 'Intergenic' *blr* gene in *Escherichia coli*

encodes a 41-residue membrane protein affecting intrinsic susceptibility to certain

inhibitors of peptidoglycan synthesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **37**, 364–370 (2000).

16. Handler, A. A., Lim, J. E. & Losick, R. Peptide inhibitor of cytokinesis during sporulation in

Bacillus subtilis. *Mol. Microbiol.* **68**, 588–599 (2008).

17. Modell, J. W., Hopkins, A. C. & Laub, M. T. A DNA damage checkpoint in *Caulobacter*

crescentus inhibits cell division through a direct interaction with FtsW. *Genes Dev.* **25**,

1328–1343 (2011).

18. Lloyd, C. R., Park, S., Fei, J. & Vanderpool, C. K. The Small Protein SgrT Controls Transport

Activity of the Glucose-Specific Phosphotransferase System. *J. Bacteriol.* **199**, (2017).

19. Martin, J. E., Waters, L. S., Storz, G. & Imlay, J. A. The Escherichia coli Small Protein MntS

and Exporter MntP Optimize the Intracellular Concentration of Manganese. *PLOS Genet.*

11, e1004977 (2015).

20. Kohlstaedt, M. *et al.* Identification and Characterization of the Novel Subunit CcoM in

the *cbb* $_3$ -Cytochrome *c* Oxidase from Pseudomonas stutzeri ZoBell. *mBio* **7**, (2016).

21. VanOrsdel, C. E. *et al.* The Escherichia coli CydX protein is a member of the CydAB

cytochrome bd oxidase complex and is required for cytochrome bd oxidase activity. *J.*

Bacteriol. **195**, 3640–50 (2013).

22. Lippa, A. M. & Goulian, M. Feedback Inhibition in the PhoQ/PhoP Signaling System by a

Membrane Peptide. *PLoS Genet.* **5**, e1000788 (2009).

23. Rowland, S. L. *et al.* Structure and mechanism of action of Sda, an inhibitor of the

histidine kinases that regulate initiation of sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. *Mol. Cell* **13**,

689–701 (2004).

24. Hobbs, E. C., Yin, X., Paul, B. J., Astarita, J. L. & Storz, G. Conserved small protein

associates with the multidrug efflux pump AcrB and differentially affects antibiotic

resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 16696–16701 (2012).

25. Laczkovich, I. *et al.* Discovery of Unannotated Small Open Reading Frames in

Streptococcus pneumoniae D39 Involved in Quorum Sensing and Virulence Using

Ribosome Profiling. *mBio* **13**, e01247-22 (2022).

26. Gannoun-Zaki, L., Alibaud, L., Carrère-Kremer, S., Kremer, L. & Blanc-Potard, A.-B.

Overexpression of the KdpF Membrane Peptide in Mycobacterium bovis BCG Results in

Reduced Intramacrophage Growth and Altered Cording Morphology. *PLoS ONE* **8**,

e60379 (2013).

27. Miravet-Verde, S. *et al.* Unraveling the hidden universe of small proteins in bacterial

genomes. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **15**, (2019).

28. Crappé, J. *et al.* Combining in silico prediction and ribosome profiling in a genome-wide

search for novel putatively coding sORFs. *BMC Genomics* **14**, 648 (2013).

29. Meydan, S. *et al.* Retapamulin-assisted ribosome profiling reveals the alternative

bacterial proteome. *Mol. Cell* **74**, 481 (2019).

30. Smith, R. A. & Parkinson, J. S. Overlapping genes at the cheA locus of Escherichia coli.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **77**, 5370–5374 (1980).

31. Nyengaard, N. R., Mortensen, K. K., Lassen, S. F., Hershey, J. W. & Sperling-Petersen, H.

U. Tandem translation of E. coli initiation factor IF2 beta: purification and

characterization in vitro of two active forms. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **181**,

1572–1579 (1991).

32. Miller, M. J. & Wahba, A. J. Chain initiation factor 2. Purification and properties of two

species from Escherichia coli MRE 600. *J. Biol. Chem.* **248**, 1084–1090 (1973).

33. DiMaio, D. Viral miniproteins. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **68**, 21–43 (2014).

34. Vila, J., Vargas, M., Henderson, I. R., Gascón, J. & Nataro, J. P. Enteroaggregative

Escherichia coli Virulence Factors in Traveler's Diarrhea Strains. *J. Infect. Dis.* **182**, 1780–

1783 (2000).

35. D'Souza, C., Nakano, M. M. & Zuber, P. Identification of comS, a gene of the srfA operon

that regulates the establishment of genetic competence in Bacillus subtilis. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. **91**, 9397–9401 (1994).

36. Kreitmeier, M. *et al.* Spotlight on alternative frame coding: Two long overlapping genes

in Pseudomonas aeruginosa are translated and under purifying selection. *iScience* **25**,

103844 (2022).

37. Ingolia, N. T., Ghaemmaghami, S., Newman, J. R. S. & Weissman, J. S. Genome-Wide

Analysis in Vivo of Translation with Nucleotide Resolution Using Ribosome Profiling.

Science **324**, 218–223 (2009).

38. Weaver, J., Mohammad, F., Buskirk, A. R. & Storz, G. Identifying Small Proteins by

Ribosome Profiling with Stalled Initiation Complexes. *mBio* **10**, (2019).

39. Mohammad, F., Green, R. & Buskirk, A. R. A systematically-revised ribosome profiling

method for bacteria reveals pauses at single-codon resolution. *eLife* **8**, (2019).

40. Vazquez-Laslop, N., Sharma, C. M., Mankin, A. & Buskirk, A. R. Identifying Small Open

Reading Frames in Prokaryotes with Ribosome Profiling. *J. Bacteriol.* **204**, e00294-21

(2022).

41. Stringer, A., Smith, C., Mangano, K. & Wade, J. T. Identification of Novel Translated Small

Open Reading Frames in *Escherichia coli* Using Complementary Ribosome Profiling

Approaches. *J. Bacteriol.* **204**, (2022).

42. Ahrens, C. H., Wade, J. T., Champion, M. M. & Langer, J. D. A Practical Guide to Small

Protein Discovery and Characterization Using Mass Spectrometry. *J. Bacteriol.* **204**,

(2022).

43. Hemm, M. R. *et al.* Small Stress Response Proteins in Escherichia coli: Proteins Missed by

Classical Proteomic Studies. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 46–58 (2010).

44. Manoil, C. & Beckwith, J. TnphoA: a transposon probe for protein export signals. *Proc.*

Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **82**, 8129–33 (1985).

45. Goodall, E. C. A. *et al.* The essential genome of Escherichia coli K-12. *mBio* **9**, (2018).

46. Yakhnin, H., Aichele, R., Ades, S. E., Romeo, T. & Babitzke, P. Circuitry Linking the Global

Csr- and σ^E -Dependent Cell Envelope Stress Response Systems. *J. Bacteriol.* **199**, (2017).

47. Schmidt, A. *et al.* The quantitative and condition-dependent *Escherichia coli* proteome.

Nat. Biotechnol. **34**, 104–110 (2016).

48. Fuchs, S. & Engelmann, S. Small proteins in bacteria - Big challenges in prediction and

identification. *Proteomics* **23**, e2200421 (2023).

49. Fijalkowski, I., Willems, P., Jonckheere, V., Simoens, L. & Van Damme, P. Hidden in plain

sight: challenges in proteomics detection of small ORF-encoded polypeptides. *microLife*

3, uqac005 (2022).

50. Hecht, A. *et al.* Measurements of translation initiation from all 64 codons in E. coli.

Nucleic Acids Res. **45**, 3615–3626 (2017).

51. Baggett, N. E., Zhang, Y. & Gross, C. A. Global analysis of translation termination in E.

coli. *PLOS Genet.* **13**, e1006676 (2017).

52. VanOrsdel, C. E. *et al.* Identifying New Small Proteins in *Escherichia coli*. PROTEOMICS

18, (2018).

53. Hemm, M. R., Paul, B. J., Schneider, T. D., Storz, G. & Rudd, K. E. Small membrane

proteins found by comparative genomics and ribosome binding site models. *Mol.*

Microbiol. **70**, 1487–501 (2008).

54. Teufel, F. *et al.* SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein

language models. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **40**, 1023–1025 (2022).

55. Mirdita, M. *et al.* ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **19**,

679–682 (2022).

56. Li, E. H. *et al.* Blind assessment of monomeric AlphaFold2 protein structure models with

experimental NMR data. *J. Magn. Reson. San Diego Calif 1997* **352**, 107481 (2023).

57. Steinberg, R. & Koch, H.-G. The largely unexplored biology of small proteins in pro- and

eukaryotes. *FEBS J.* **288**, 7002–7024 (2021).

58. Garai, P. & Blanc-Potard, A. Uncovering small membrane proteins in pathogenic

bacteria: Regulatory functions and therapeutic potential. *Mol. Microbiol.* **114**, 710–720

(2020).

59. Burton, A. T., Zeinert, R. & Storz, G. Large Roles of Small Proteins. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.*

(2024) doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-112723-083001.

60. Wang, H. *et al.* Increasing intracellular magnesium levels with the 31-amino acid MgtS

protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **114**, 5689–5694 (2017).

61. Nord, S., Bylund, G. O., Lövgren, J. M. & Wikström, P. M. The RimP protein is important

for maturation of the 30S ribosomal subunit. *J. Mol. Biol.* **386**, 742–753 (2009).

62. Higashi, K. *et al.* Identification of a spermidine excretion protein complex (MdtJI) in

Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 872–878 (2008).

63. Sperandeo, P. *et al.* Characterization of lptA and lptB, two essential genes implicated in

lipopolysaccharide transport to the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.*

189, 244–253 (2007).

64. Lee, C.-R., Cho, S.-H., Yoon, M.-J., Peterkofsky, A. & Seok, Y.-J. Escherichia coli enzyme

IIANtr regulates the K+ transporter TrkA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 4124–4129

(2007).

65. Kalamorz, F., Reichenbach, B., März, W., Rak, B. & Görke, B. Feedback control of

glucosamine-6-phosphate synthase GlmS expression depends on the small RNA GlmZ

and involves the novel protein YhbJ in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* **65**, 1518–1533

(2007).

66. Zallot, R., Yuan, Y. & de Crécy-Lagard, V. The Escherichia coli COG1738 Member YhhQ Is

Involved in 7-Cyanodeazaguanine (preQ$_0$) Transport. *Biomolecules* **7**, (2017).

67. Neuhaus, K. *et al.* Translatomics combined with transcriptomics and proteomics reveals

novel functional, recently evolved orphan genes in Escherichia coli O157:H7 (EHEC).

BMC Genomics **17**, 133 (2016).

68. Miravet-Verde, S. *et al.* ProTInSeq: transposon insertion tracking by ultra-deep DNA

sequencing to identify translated large and small ORFs. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 2091 (2024).

69. Ardern, Z., Neuhaus, K. & Scherer, S. Are Antisense Proteins in Prokaryotes Functional?

Front. Mol. Biosci. **7**, (2020).

70. Meydan, S., Vázquez-Laslop, N. & Mankin, A. S. Genes within Genes in Bacterial

Genomes. *Microbiol. Spectr.* **6**, 10.1128/microbiolspec.rwr-0020–2018 (2018).

71. Lawaetz, A. C., Cowley, L. A. & Denham, E. L. Genome-wide annotation of transcript

boundaries using bacterial Rend-seq datasets. *Microb. Genomics* **10**, 001239 (2024).

72. Tatusova, T. *et al.* NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**,

6614–6624 (2016).

73. Angiuoli, S. V. *et al.* Toward an Online Repository of Standard Operating Procedures

(SOPs) for (Meta)genomic Annotation. *OMICS J. Integr. Biol.* **12**, 137–141 (2008).

74. Datsenko, K. A. & Wanner, B. L. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in

Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 6640–5

(2000).

75. Goodall, E. C. A. *et al.* A multiomic approach to defining the essential genome of the

globally important pathogen Corynebacterium diphtheriae. *PLOS Genet.* **19**, e1010737

(2023).

76. Rutherford, K. *et al.* Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. *Bioinforma. Oxf.*

Engl. **16**, 944–5 (2000).

77. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

78. Zeghouf, M. *et al.* Sequential Peptide Affinity (SPA) System for the Identification of

Mammalian and Bacterial Protein Complexes. *J. Proteome Res.* **3**, 463–468 (2004).

79. Venturini, E. *et al.* A global data-driven census of *Salmonella* small proteins and their

potential functions in bacterial virulence. *microLife* **1**, (2020).

80. Hilgarth, R. S. & Lanigan, T. M. Optimization of overlap extension PCR for efficient

transgene construction. *MethodsX* **7**, 100759 (2020).

81. Baba, T. *et al.* Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, single-gene knockout

mutants: the Keio collection. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **2**, 2006.0008 (2006).

82. Buchan, D. W. A. & Jones, D. T. The PSIPRED Protein Analysis Workbench: 20 years on.

Nucleic Acids Res. **47**, (2019).

83. Nugent, T. & Jones, D. T. Transmembrane protein topology prediction using support

vector machines. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 159 (2009).

84. Zhou, Z. *et al.* The EnteroBase user's guide, with case studies on Salmonella

transmissions, Yersinia pestis phylogeny, and Escherichia core genomic diversity.

Genome Res. **30**, 138–152 (2020).

931