Bridging the gap between grey-matter microstructural and functional connectomes: A multi-modal MRI study in preterm and full-term infants

Gondová Andrea[1,2*], Neumane Sara[1,2,3], Arichi Tomoki[3,4], Dubois Jessica[1,2]

1. *Université Paris Cité, Inserm, NeuroDiderot, F-75019 Paris, France*
2. *Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, NeuroSpin, UNIACT, F-91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France*
3. *Research Department of Early Life Imaging, School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London SE1 7EH, United Kingdom*
4. *Paediatric Neurosciences, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London SE1 7EH, United Kingdom*

* Corresponding Author: Gondova.Andrea@outlook.com

Abstract

Functional networks characterised by synchronous neural activity across distributed brain regions have been observed to emerge early in neurodevelopment. However, how the maturation of regional specialization and functional organization relates to developmental changes in structure is poorly understood. The covariation of regional microstructural properties, or 'microstructural connectivity' (MC), could partially reflect synchronized maturation across regions that relate to functional connectivity (FC) during the early neurodevelopmental period. In this study, we investigated the evolution of MC and FC postnatally across a set of cortical and subcortical regions, focusing on 45 preterm infants scanned longitudinally, and compared to 45 matched full-term neonates as part of the developing Human Connectome Project (dHCP). Our findings revealed a global reinforcement of both MC and FC with age, with connection-specific variability dependent on the connection maturational stage and confirmed the impact of prematurity at term-equivalent age. We also reported a significant relationship between MC and FC during the preterm period. This relationship seemed to decrease with development when directly comparing the two modalities. However, evaluation of overlaps between MC- and FC-derived networks suggested increasing relationships with age, potentially due to a shared network structure underlying changes of microstructural and functional properties. Thus, our study offers novel insights on the complex interplay of functional and microstructural development and highlights the potential utility of MC as a complementary descriptor for characterizing the brain network development and alterations due to perinatal insults such as premature birth.

Introduction

Brain development during the third trimester of pregnancy and the perinatal period is characterized by a series of complex inter-related mechanisms. The resulting macro- and microstructural changes are crucial for establishing the structural and functional brain networks that support neurodevelopment and optimal outcomes (Gilmore et al., 2018). In recent years, significant advances in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have provided unprecedented access to study the brain during the critical neonatal period *in vivo* (Dubois et al., 2021).

In particular, resting-state functional MRI (rs-fMRI) has been instrumental in exploring functional connectivity (FC) across cortical and subcortical brain regions during the perinatal period. Studies have revealed a strengthening of cortico-subcortical and cortico-cortical connections with distinct developmental patterns across various functional networks leading to complex regional relationships (Doria et al., 2010; Fransson et al., 2009; Jakab et al., 2014; Smyser et al., 2010; Taymourtash et al., 2023; van den Heuvel et al., 2015; Williams et al.,

2023). By term-equivalent age (TEA), the topological architecture of FC partly resembles that of adults, with connectivity hubs first observed in the earlier developing primary sensory and motor regions (Dall'Orso et al., 2022; Eyre et al., 2021; Fransson et al., 2009; Toulmin et al., 2015; Turk et al., 2019; van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010). Postnatally, FC maturation seems to progress asynchronously, following a primary-to-higher function order from sensorimotor/auditory to associative and default-mode networks (Cao et al., 2017; Eyre et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2015; Hoff et al., 2013).

This maturational progression is, at least superficially, consistent with the reported sequence of spatiotemporal maturation of grey matter (GM) microstructure described by measures derived from quantitative structural MRI, where primary sensorimotor regions show earlier maturation than association areas and prefrontal cortices (Ball et al., 2013; Lebenberg et al., 2019; Monson et al., 2018; Neil & Smyser, 2018; Yu et al., 2016). In addition, microstructural maturational changes in diffusion MRI (dMRI) measures during the preterm period show a marked heterogeneity between regions in terms of their spatiotemporal profile in neonates and infants (Ball et al., 2013; Batalle et al., 2019; Ouyang, Jeon, et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2023). Nevertheless, as some synchrony across cortical and subcortical regions belonging to the same developing functional network is expected (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2013), investigating the covariation of microstructural connectivity (MC) across GM regions and its relationship to emerging patterns of functional connectivity could provide additional insights into the underlying coordinated maturational changes within and between networks.

By describing functionally relevant units based on cortical areas defined cytoarchitectonically from histological assessments, foundational studies proposed the idea that the covariation of cortical GM features can be interpreted as biologically meaningful brain networks that capture a meso-scale organisation of brain structure across the cortex (Brodmann, 1908; von Economo & Koskinas, 1925). More recent anatomical MRI studies have supported the notion of structural covariance that models the degree to which some morphometric markers of cortical regions (such as cortical thickness that indirectly reflects the underlying microstructure) statistically co-vary across GM areas (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2013; King & Wood, 2020). Higher likelihood of anatomical connectivity between morphologically similar brain regions was also highlighted (Barbas, 2015; Goulas et al., 2016, 2017; Seidlitz et al., 2018), as well as similarities in their genetic and transcriptomic profiles (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2013; Yee et al., 2018). Importantly, these regional covariations are sensitive to neurodevelopmental and age-related changes (Khundrakpam et al., 2013, 2016; Romero-Garcia et al., 2018; Váša et al., 2018), with regions that show similar developmental trajectories being more similar in their morphometric profiles (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2013). A few studies focusing on the first 2 postnatal years have also explored regional covariation based on markers such as GM volume (Fan et al., 2011), cortical thickness (Geng et al., 2016; Nie et al., 2014), cortical folding (Nie et al., 2014), and fibre density (Fan et al., 2011; Nie et al., 2014). However, employing single descriptors with specific spatial and temporal developmental patterns could influence estimated covariance relationships, leading to heterogenous results across studies (Gilmore et al., 2012; Lyall et al., 2015; Nie et al., 2014; Seidlitz et al., 2018).

Using dMRI, the microstructural organization of cortical and subcortical regions can be characterized using complementary diffusion models such as Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI) (Basser et al., 1994) and Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging (NODDI) (Zhang et al., 2012). These models provide complementary measures that can represent developmental changes in neuronal and glial density, neurite complexity, synaptic overproduction and pruning, and reduction in brain water content (Ouyang, Dubois, et al., 2019). Recent multiparametric approaches have integrated multiple morphological and microstructural descriptors to characterize structural covariance in the neonatal brain. Such descriptions were used to delineate modules consistent with known cytoarchitectonic tissue

classes and functional systems (Fenchel et al., 2020), and to allow prediction of social-
emotional performance at 18 months in full-term (FT) newborns (Fenchel et al., 2022) and the
discrimination of preterm (PT) and FT individuals at TEA (Galdi et al., 2020). However, the
potential relationship of the microstructural similarities across cortical and subcortical regions
with the development of FC, especially in the preterm period, remains unexplored.
In this study, we thus aimed to evaluate the early developmental changes in functional
(FC) and microstructural connectivity (MC) relationships within emerging brain networks,
across various cortical and subcortical GM regions of interest (ROIs). Analysing multimodal
(anatomical, diffusion, and resting-state functional) MRI data from the developing Human
Connectome Project (dHCP) (Edwards et al., 2022), we considered 45 preterm-born infants
scanned twice (near birth: PT:ses1, median postmenstrual age (PMA) at scan 34.9 weeks, range
[28.3w–36.9w]; and close to term-equivalent age (TEA): PT:ses2, median PMA at scan 41.3
weeks, range [38.4w–44.9w]), and 45 full-term control neonates matched for PMA at scan
(with PT:ses2) and sex. Specific subsets of ROI connections, expected to show distinct
maturational profiles and dynamics in the perinatal period as previously described for
functional (van den Heuvel et al., 2015) and white matter structural (Kostović et al., 2019)
connectivity, were considered: cortico-subcortical connections (including thalamo-cortical
connections that were also highlighted separately given their crucial role in the preterm period
(Kostović et al., 2021)); cortico-cortical connections (grouped as intra-hemispheric and inter-
hemispheric homotopic and non-homotopic connections), anticipating potentially similar
meaningful grouping at the level of MC. Connections involving the primary sensorimotor and
visual ROIs were also highlighted given the early and intense development of these functions
in the neonatal period. Groups of infants were compared within each FC and MC modality
separately before describing the relationship between MC-FC with age (PT:ses1 vs. PT:ses2).
Since disruptions of normal gestation, such as preterm birth, can lead to significant
heterogenous and region-specific alterations in both GM microstructural maturation (Ball et
al., 2013; Batalle et al., 2019; Dimitrova et al., 2021; Eaton-Rosen et al., 2015, 2017;
Mukherjee et al., 2001; Ouyang, Jeon, et al., 2019; Smyser et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2016) and
functional connectivity (Ball et al., 2016; Brenner et al., 2021; Keunen et al., 2017; Smyser et
al., 2010), we also assessed deviations related to prematurity (PT:ses2 vs FT) in both
modalities. In addition, we attempted to investigate the potential directionality of early MC-FC
relationship in a longitudinal manner in the preterm group, hypothesizing that the early
developing microstructural clusters and connections likely serve as the foundation for
synchronised maturation across brain areas and thus efficient functionality of brain
networks (Alexander-Bloch et al., 2013). Alternative hypotheses were also tested while
considering the different connection subsets expected to show distinct maturational dynamics
over the preterm period.
At the methodological level, in contrast to previous subject-level multiparametric
studies (Fenchel et al., 2020; Galdi et al., 2020), we employed a group-wise approach to
account for the reduced number of metrics we employed for microstructural similarity
estimation and the need of corrections for confounders such as gestational age (GA) at birth
required for the group comparisons. We further complemented our analyses using a network-
based approach involving hierarchical clustering of group MC and FC matrices to extend our
analyses from direct comparisons to connectivity networks (*Figure 1*).
129

Figure 1. General pipeline of the presented analyses.

Results

Regional pair-wise analyses of grey matter microstructure and function

Evaluating microstructural connectivity (MC) in infant groups

Univariate analyses of diffusion metrics resulting from DTI and NODDI models revealed regionally-dependent differences between groups of infants (*Supp. Materials: Univariate analyses of GM microstructure*). We then investigated MC across cortical and subcortical ROIs by calculating the pairwise Pearson's correlation coefficient for 6 diffusion metrics (axial diffusivity – AD, radial diffusivity – RD, median diffusivity – MD, fractional anisotropy – FA, neurite density index – NDI, orientation dispersion index – ODI) (*Figure 1*). This was done for all subjects within each of the three groups after correcting for confounding variables (GA at birth, PMA at scan, and a residual of the respective global median GM diffusion metric corrected for GA at birth and PMA at scan).

Circos plots of the resulting MC matrices for each infant group (*Figure 2a*, after grouping cortical ROIs into 6 lobes, and sub-cortical ROIs together, and thresholding to the 25% strongest correlation coefficients) suggested that increasing PMA is associated with global reinforcement of microstructural connectivity (i.e., higher correlation across ROIs), with development across most ROI connections when comparing the MC in the preterm period

(PT:ses1) and TEA (PT:ses2 and FT). MC correlations were not only weaker but also more
widely distributed across ROI connections within and between lobes in the preterm period.
Some cortico-subcortical relationships seen in the preterm timepoint (e.g., between the
cingulate and subcortical ROIs) were no longer amongst the strongest connections close to
TEA, and were replaced by inter-hemispheric connections. Also, strong negative correlations,
predominately involving cortico-subcortical connectivity (frontal lobe with brainstem and
bilateral thalamus), were observed in PT:ses2 and FT groups but not in PT:ses1 group. While
challenging to attribute these findings to a single diffusion metric, these diverging profiles are
likely due to the crossing of white matter fibres in subcortical structures that give rise to
opposed relationships of the related FA and ODI values at TEA (*Supp. Figure 1.2*). This is
consistent with previously described microstructural dissimilarity characterised by distinct
maturational trends of diffusion metrics observed in thalamus and other subcortical ROIs
compared to cortical GM (Eaton-Rosen et al., 2015, Galdi et al., 2020). To increase the
comparability across groups, we thus considered absolute MC correlation coefficients in the
subsequent analyses.

165
Figure 2. Microstructural connectome (MC) in infant groups. **a,** Circos plots representing correlation
matrices and visualizing top 25 % MC connections for each infant group using a common MC threshold
of 0.786. For the ease of visualization, cortical ROIs were grouped into 6 lobes (frontal in light blue,
parietal in brown, temporal in yellow, occipital in pink, cingulate in red, and insular in green) and
subcortical ROIs (in grey) (see *Supp. Table 1.* for ROI naming conventions and assignment to lobes).
Connections with positive correlations are shown in red, negative in blue. **b,** Distribution of ROI
connection correlations (Pearson coefficient R) across infant groups (PT-ses1 / ses2 in light/dark

magenta, FT in green) (NB: throughout the manuscript, histograms, scatter plots, and statistical comparisons include only the upper triangle of symmetric matrices). **c,** Absolute changes of MC for subsets of ROI connections between PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2 (top) and FT vs PT:ses2 (bottom). The dotted black line shows the significant* relationships determined by robust linear regression, while the grey line represents the identity relationship. Connections involving primary sensorimotor and visual regions are highlighted as scatter points. ***Legend:*** cc-interH-homo – cortico-cortical interhemispheric homotopic, cc-interH-non-homo – cortico-cortical interhemispheric nonhomotopic, cc-intraH – cortico-cortical intrahemispheric, cor.p – p value after Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery rate correction, MC – microstructural connectivity, SM – sensorimotor, VIS – visual. *after Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery rate correction.

Comparing ROI connection strengths between groups (*Figure 2b*) confirmed significant changes of MC with age, with significant differences between PT and FT infants at TEA (paired Wilcoxon test across absolute correlation values for ROI connections, corrected for multiple comparisons*: PT:ses2 > PT:ses1, W=915823 p<0.001; PT:ses2 > FT, W=1521116 p<0.001. *NB: across the manuscript, this refers to Benjamini-Hochberg false-discovery rate correction; all histograms, scatter plots, and statistical comparisons include only the upper triangle of symmetric connectivity matrices).

A weaker relationship (although significant as assessed with robust linear regression) between the PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 suggested that the MC profile is far from developed in preterm neonates before TEA. As expected, much stronger relationships were observed between the PT:ses2 and FT groups (*Supp. Figure 2*).

Considering subsets of ROI connections allowed us to further explore specific differences in MC group profiles (*Figure 2c*). For cortico-subcortical connections, the MC strengths were initially mostly low (PT:ses1), and strengthened with development (PT:ses1 < PT:ses2, FT). In particular, thalamo-cortical connections showed overall high variability at all timepoints, except for those involving the primary sensorimotor (SM) and visual (VIS) areas which already showed strong connectivity at the earlier timepoint. Within cortico-cortical connections, inter-hemispheric homotopic connections showed strong MC across all groups, suggesting a limited maturation effect over the studied developmental period. Other inter- and intra-hemispheric connections showed intermediate MC profiles and changes compared to cortico-subcortical and cortico-cortical homotopic connections. In particular, ROIs involved in the primary SM system showed higher MC connectivity strengths than those involved in the primary VIS system in the PT:ses1, with a comparatively lower increase in connectivity strength in the TEA timepoint.

Evaluating functional connectivity (FC) in infant groups

FC matrices were also defined for each infant group, as the average of the temporal correlations of median functional signal between pairs of ROIs (*Figure 1*) (note that the median was used to decrease the bias of the FC estimates for regions with high signal variability across voxels (*Supp. Figure 8.3*)). Visualizing the strongest 25% connections, we observed a global trend towards reinforcement of the correlations across ROI connections with development (PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2 and FT) (*Figure 3a*). This was prominent for cortico-subcortical connections, maybe due to the higher cortico-cortical FC in the preterm period compared to later ages when synchronization of subcortical and cortical activity becomes more important, but it might also relate to methodological considerations (see Discussion).

As for MC, significant differences were observed in terms of correlation strengths across ROI connections with age suggesting ongoing development of FC during the preterm period (paired Wilcoxon test corrected for multiple comparisons: PT:ses2 > PT:ses1, W= 562652 p<0.001). Additionally, we observed a significant lowering of FC at TEA related to

prematurity (PT:ses2 < FT, W= 125 p<0.001) (*Figure 3b*). Correlation strength was again highly related between PT:ses2 and FT across ROI connections (*Supp. Figure 3*). In general, FC similarity between PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 groups was higher in comparison to MC (MC vs FC: Z=-22.22, p<0.001). This could suggest that early immature patterns of FC evolve during this period in a global and non-specific manner, while more specific changes in FC then take place at later stages.

Figure 3. Functional connectome (FC) in infant groups. **a,** Circos plots representing correlation matrices and visualizing top 25 % FC connections for each infant group using a common FC threshold of 0.448. **b,** Distribution of ROI connection correlations (Pearson coefficient R) across infant groups. **c,** Changes of FC for subsets of ROI pairs between PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2 (top) and FT vs PT:ses2 (bottom). See *Figure 2* and *Supp. Table* 1 for legend and colour codes.

To decipher potential FC differences related to maturational asynchrony, we again considered specific subsets of connections (*Figure 3c*). Cortico-subcortical FC showed globally lower strengths at PT:ses1, although it was increased at PT:ses2. Across cortico-cortical pairs, inter-hemispheric homotopic connections showed variable but globally higher FC strengths than cortico-subcortical connections at PT:ses1 and a rather homogenous increase in PT:ses2. Other cortico-cortical connections showed relatively low FC connectivity at PT:ses1 and limited change in PT:ses2. As with MC, FC in the primary SM system (and to a lesser extent the VIS system) showed higher values in all subsets of connections. A systematic reduction in FC

246 strengths between PT:ses2 and FT was observed for all types of connections, suggesting a
247 general decrease in FC linked to prematurity.
248

Relating microstructural and functional connectivity

We further compared the two connectivity modalities in each group to investigate
potential MC-FC relationships during development. Across ROI connections, MC and FC
showed strong relationships at an early age (PT:ses1 – slope=0.257, permuted p=0.001) but
this relationship decreased with development (PT:ses1 – slope=0.100, permuted p=0.013; FT
– slope=0.046, permuted p=0.134) *(Supp. Figure 4a)*, with statistically-different slopes
between the 2 PT sessions (PT-ses1 vs PT-ses2: Z=14.02, p<0.001) and between the 2 TEA
sessions (PT:ses2 vs FT: Z-score=4.29, p<0.001) (similar results were obtained when
considering raw instead of absolute MC values: *Supp. Figure 4b*; and when analysing ROI
connections with negative and positive MC values separately: *Supp. Figure 4c*). Considering
different subsets of connections allowed us to specify these observations: MC-FC relationships
were strong for all subsets in PT-ses1, whereas such relationships were observed in PT-ses2
only in connections that could be expected to be less mature at the structural and functional
levels over this period (i.e., cortico-cortical inter-hemispheric non-homotopic and intra-
hemispheric connections). In FT neonates, negative MC-FC relationships were observed for
cortico-subcortical connections (*Figure 4, Supp. Figure 4d*).

	cortico-subcortical		cc-interH-homo		cc-interH-non-homo		cc-intraH	
	robust LR	cor. p	robust LR	cor. p	robust LR	cor. p	robust LR	cor. p
PT:ses1	0.03x + 0.18	0.001	1.13x + 0.51	0.014	0.27x + 0.17	<0.001	0.29x + 0.18	p<0.001
PT:ses2	-0.01x + 0.27	0.697	-0.07x + 0.64	0.757	0.07x + 0.30	<0.001	0.09x + 0.31	p<0.001
FT	-0.05x + 0.40	0.001	-0.18x + 0.87	0.409	0.02x + 0.47	0.409	0.04x + 0.46	0.014

Figure 4. Relationship between MC and FC for different subsets of ROI connections. The table summarizes features of the robust linear relationship (LR) between the two. See *Figure 2* for legend and colour codes.

Evaluating the longitudinal evolution of MC and FC connectomes

We further aimed to investigate the evolution of MC-FC relationships by taking advantage of the longitudinal evaluations of PT infants. We first computed the matrices of developmental change between PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 separately for MC (considering absolute values at each age) and FC (referred to as ΔMC and ΔFC, respectively) (*Figure 5a*). Both increases and decreases of the connection strengths from the preterm period to TEA were observed for both modalities. As seen from group-wise comparisons, on the ΔMC level, most connections increased in strength. Among others, the most pronounced decreases in connections involved the cingulate cortex and some subcortical ROIs (e.g., cerebellum and amygdala). For ΔFC, connections involving subcortical ROIs globally increased in strength while several cortico-cortical connections decreased in strength in a more pronounced way than for ΔMC. Inter-hemispheric connections involving homotopic cortical ROIs showed different profiles compared to other cortico-cortical connections (inter-hemispheric non-homotopic and

283 intra-hemispheric connections) which were further merged in the subsequent analyses given
284 their overall similarity.

c,

Figure 5. a, Longitudinal evolution of MC (top left) and FC (bottom left) in preterm infants. Circos plots visualize top 25 % connections for ΔMC and ΔFC modalities in PT infants (respective thresholds: 0.458 and 0.037). Connections with increasing strength of relationships with age are shown in red, decreasing in blue. Density plots show the distribution of changes in connectivity strength with age for different subsets of connections for the two modalities (right). **b,** Figure summarizing 3 possible hypotheses on the developing causal relationships between microstructural and functional connectivity: we colour coded the subplots by hypothesis tested by the given comparison: MC and FC co-evolve (grey), MC drives FC (cyan, dark cyan), and FC drives MC (orange, dark orange). **c,** Directionality of MC-FC relationships for different connection subsets (colour-coded by the three hypotheses). See *Figure 1* and *Supp. Table* 1 for legend and colour codes.

To further investigate the potential directionality of relationships between the developing MC and FC in preterm infants, we hypothesized three possible MC-FC relationships in connections (*Figure 5b*): 1) if FC and MC co-evolve, ΔFC and ΔMC should be strongly correlated; 2) if MC relies on FC, FC at ses1 should drive ΔMC while ΔFC should drive MC at ses2; 3) conversely, if FC relies on MC, MC at ses1 should drive ΔFC while ΔMC should drive FC at ses2. The hypotheses and results for all ROI connections are summarized in *Supp. Figure 5b,c*, while here we focused on the subsets of these connections (*Figure 5c*) since different relationships may emerge at various developmental stages, and these subsets are expected to show distinct maturational profiles and dynamics during the preterm period.

For cortico-subcortical connections, significant relationships were observed between ΔFC and MC at ses1 but also between ΔFC and MC at ses2. Among cortico-cortical connections, inter-hemispheric homotopic connections showed no significant associations across the comparisons, which could be related to their relatively mature state compared to other cortico-cortical connections during the considered age range or by methodological limitations due to reduced number of connections compared to other subsets. For the other cortico-cortical connections (inter-hemispheric non-homotopic and intra-hemispheric), ΔFC and ΔMC were positively related, while ΔMC and FC at ses1 as well as ΔFC and MC at ses1 showed negative relationships. This latter result suggests that not only there are synchronized changes in MC and FC, but also lower changes in one connection modality if the other already showed high connectivity strength during the preterm period. Surprisingly, negative relationships were also observed between ΔMC and FC at ses2, suggesting a reverse pattern (e.g., larger developmental increases in MC "leading" to lower FC at ses2).

Network-based comparisons of MC and FC development

To extend the direct comparisons of connectivity strength across ROI connections between infant groups, we further used the connectivity matrices to define 'microstructural networks' for MC and 'functional networks' for FC modality. Using ward clustering, we defined hierarchical trees of regional similarity based on MC or FC for each infant group. The resulting dendrograms, as well as examples of clustering for selected cluster numbers are presented in *Supp. Figures 6.1 and 6.2*. The dynamic evolution of differences in ROI connection correlation strengths prevented selection of a final cluster number that would appropriately reflect the studied relationships across the infant groups (and modalities). Thus, to compare the networks, we instead evaluated the mutual information (MI) between hierarchical trees, for all possible cluster numbers from 2 to 75 (corresponding to a cluster size =1). Only the MI values that survived permutation testing (described in the *Materials and Methods: Group-wise networks*) were further summarized, as these would approximate the non-random overlap of the infant group-derived networks.

In agreement with previous observations, the comparison of clustering results between infant groups for either MC or FC showed higher, although imperfect, overlap between PT:ses2 and FT subjects than between the 2 PT sessions (*Figure 6.a*), while differences between PT:ses2 and FT subjects supported potential effects of prematurity on microstructural connectivity across ROI connections. Interestingly, the network overlap was very similar in FC and MC modalities, whereas a stronger relationship between PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 groups in terms of FC than of MC was previously observed across ROI connections. This suggested that network structures observed at TEA are already in place in the preterm period to a certain extent for both FC and MC.

a,

MC		
	MI max	MI mean (std)
PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2	0.39	0.19 (0.09)
FT vs PT:ses2	0.69	0.35 (0.18)

FC		
	MI max	MI mean (std)
PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2	0.75	0.18 (0.08)
FT vs PT:ses2	1.00	0.31 (0.15)

b,

MC *vs* FC		
	MI max	MI mean (std)
PT:ses1	0.28	0.09 (0.04)
PT:ses2	0.25	0.12 (0.05)
FT	0.31	0.14 (0.06)

c,

Longitudinal		
	MI max	MI mean (std)
ΔMC vs ΔFC	0.31	0.11 (0.06)
ΔFC vs MC-PT: ses1	0.30	0.08 (0.07)
ΔMC vs FC-PT:ses2	0.31	0.10 (0.07)
ΔMC vs FC-PT:ses1	0.27	0.05 (0.06)
ΔFC vs MC-PT:ses2	0.24	0.08 (0.06)

Figure 6. Network-based analyses. **a,** Table and histogram summarising the distribution of mutual information (MI) of clustering results within MC (blue) and FC (red) modalities between groups (PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2 in light colour, FT vs PT:ses2 in deep colour). **b,** Results for the network overlap comparisons between MC and FC modalities. Distribution of MI values within PT:ses1 group in light magenta, PT:ses2 dark magenta, and FT in green. **c,** Mutual information between clustering results derived from longitudinal ΔMC and ΔFC matrices in preterm infants as well as comparison to networks derived from the opposite modality at ses1 or ses2. As in *Figure 6*, we colour coded the columns by hypothesis tested by the given comparison: MC and FC co-evolve (grey), MC drives FC (cyan), and FC drives MC (orange).

Using the clustering-based approach, we also evaluated the similarity between MC and FC at the network level in each group using the clustering approach. A trend of low but progressively increasing mutual information was observed between MC- and FC-derived networks (i.e., lower mean values in PT:ses1 than in FT, *Figure 6.b*) which contrasted with direct ROI connection comparisons where the MC-FC relationship tended to globally decrease with development. This might suggest a potential emergence of the shared underlying network structures between MC and FC throughout development.

Finally, as in previous sections, we performed analysis of hierarchical clustering separately on ΔMC and ΔFC to characterise longitudinal networks. Derived ΔMC and ΔFC dendrograms and examples of clustering results for selected number of clusters are presented in *Supp. Figure 6.3,* displaying some visual similarities but also some dissimilarities between ΔMC and ΔFC. The mutual information between clustering results from longitudinal ΔMC and ΔFC was further compared to the networks at each PT session to test the relationship hypotheses proposed in *Figure 5.b*. Evaluation of MI between ΔMC and ΔFC derived networks suggested significant overlap, which tended to be higher than for all the other comparisons between each longitudinal network and the opposite modality at a given session (*Figure 6.c*). The lower MI were observed for network comparisons of ΔFC vs MC-ses2 and FC-ses1 vs ΔMC, suggesting a lower dependence of MC on FC in the network space than reverse relationship (*Figure 6c*). Nevertheless, results across the comparisons were fairly similar, making it difficult to confidently ascertain which of the three hypotheses about MC-FC co-evolution is the most probable on the network level.

Discussion

Studying early postnatal developmental changes in *microstructural* and *functional* brain connectivity, we observed a global reinforcement of connections across cortical and subcortical regions in the preterm period, but with marked variability depending on the assumed connection maturation stage and with a systematic impact of prematurity. We further showed a developmental decrease in direct relationships between microstructural and functional connectivities whereas the overlap between derived networks seemed to increase, suggesting a progressive refinement and potential convergence of network structures.

Early maturation of grey matter connectivity: microstructural and functional analysis

Evaluating early microstructural connectivity (MC) with multi-shell dMRI

By considering microstructural features, our study provides new insight into early neurodevelopment through an alternative approach that evaluates connectivity across GM regions based on inter-regional maturational relationships and that complements insights about the development of functional synchronicity in the temporal domain. Covariation of GM features during the first two years after birth have been studied in the past, primarily relying on single morphometric markers (Fan et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2016; Nie et al., 2014). While informative, such approaches offer only an indirect view of the underlying microstructural processes occurring during the complex period of early brain development (consisting of several diverse processes including dendritic arborization and the growth of axonal extensions, synaptogenesis and subsequent pruning, myelination of intracortical fibres, proliferation of glial cells, which together lead to a dramatic decrease in water content and an increase in tissue density) (Bystron et al., 2008). Additionally, observations based on single descriptors are potentially biased by specific spatial and temporal patterns of changes of the given descriptor with development (Gilmore et al., 2012; Lyall et al., 2015; Nie et al., 2014; Seidlitz et al.,

2018). We thus aimed to achieve a more comprehensive view of the inter-regional GM connectivity by leveraging the complementary microstructural information provided by DTI- and NODDI-derived metrics. Both dMRI models present different trade-offs between complexity, biological plausibility and limitations, such as those due to the DTI model inability to accurately represent microstructure in regions with complex voxel properties (Batalle et al., 2019) or the potential suboptimal estimation of NODDI parameters in infant GM due to its initial optimisation for adult WM (Guerrero et al., 2019). However, both models have been widely used in developmental studies because of their relevance as GM microstructure descriptors (Ball et al., 2013; Eaton-Rosen et al., 2017; Smyser et al., 2016). To maintain consistency with the literature and thus aid interpretation, we opted for similar settings as previous dMRI studies of cortical maturation for NODDI estimation (Batalle et al., 2019; Fenchel et al., 2020), and extracted metrics over the cortical surface (Lebenberg et al., 2019), while considering median values over ROIs (Gondová et al., 2023) for a reliable evaluation of GM microstructure as confirmed by the observation of expected diffusion metric changes as a function of age (*Supp. Figure 1.1. & 1.2.*).

Combining multiple morphological and microstructural descriptors of cortical development into a multi-parametric approach has been previously proposed in FT infants (Fenchel et al., 2020; Galdi et al., 2020), allowing the identification of modules and similarity networks consistent with known cytoarchitecturally defined brain areas and functional systems. However, morphological features from anatomical MRI (e.g. local cortical surface and thickness, folding) are expected to be less specific markers of maturation synchronization than microstructural features that we focused on in this study with dMRI. The limited descriptor set (6 microstructural metrics) led us to focus on group-wise connectivity matrices that included corrections for confounding factors (i.e. GA at birth, PMA at scan). Extracted matrices remained globally consistent across groups, suggesting that this approach had good reliability. Future work could potentially benefit from increasing the feature sets by incorporating additional microstructural descriptors including diffusion kurtosis metrics (Jelescu et al., 2015) for computation of individual matrices.

In terms of MC, the observed global reinforcement of connectivity across regions with increasing age is in line with previous descriptions of complex age-related changes of microstructural similarity in FT and PT infants at TEA, including increases across occipital, parietal and temporal areas, and decreases in limbic and cingulate regions (Fenchel et al., 2020; Galdi et al., 2020). Observations in frontal regions differed across studies, perhaps because of diffuse alterations of brain maturation in the subjects born preterm (Ball et al., 2017). The age-related increase in absolute connectivity observed between thalamus/brainstem and cortical regions is also consistent with rapid developmental changes to afferent and efferent deep GM connectivity reported in the preterm period (Batalle et al., 2017).

Evaluating functional connectivity (FC) with rs-fMRI

Several studies have highlighted the organisation and evolution of FC and related networks during early brain development (Dall'Orso et al., 2022; Eyre et al., 2021; Taymourtash et al., 2023; Williams et al., 2023). While we noted effects of PMA at scan were noted across individual FC matrices, we did not correct for it when computing group-wise matrices, as corrections that would be appropriate at the level of individual connections were difficult to perform. Nevertheless, we attempted to mitigate the effects when deriving 'weighted' longitudinal matrices between the preterm period and TEA (see *Materials and methods: Longitudinal analysis of MC and FC modalities*). Globally, the resulting FC matrices showed similar profiles to previous studies including stronger connectivity between homotopic inter-hemispheric regions relative to connectivity between other regions (Taymourtash et al., 2023; Williams et al., 2023).

FC, similarly to MC, showed a global reinforcement of connectivity strengths with age, which,
consistent with previous studies, particularly involved cortico-subcortical but also cortico-
cortical connections (Taymourtash et al., 2023; Thomason et al., 2015). Nevertheless, the
detection of early cortico-subcortical FC at the earlier timepoint might be limited by age-
dependent effects on signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) (Denisova, 2019) that may notably impact the
subcortical regions (Maugeri et al., 2018; Risk et al., 2021). While it is interesting to note that
FC between the two PT sessions showed a higher correlation than MC, interpretation in terms
of maturation progression would require comparison to MC and FC mature states to disentangle
whether functional connections are established earlier than microstructural ones, or if the
refinement of FC relationships occurs after the studied period.

Evolution of MC-FC relationships in the late preterm period.
In our work, we expanded on previous literature and for the first-time investigated
changes in MC and its relationship with the coinciding FC development in the preterm period.
Of note, to provide a common framework for the analyses, we used a set of 31 bilateral
cortical and 13 subcortical ROIs, derived from anatomical parcellations optimized for neonates,
for both MC and FC modalities. Nevertheless, this anatomically driven parcellation can
introduce bias to resulting connectivity estimates, particularly in the case of FC, as thus defined
ROIs might not accurately represent individual functional regions especially in the context of
dynamic development (Smith & Beckmann, 2017; *Supp. Figure 8.3*). Additionally, although
the parcellation quality was satisfactory for all subjects at all timepoints, it was lower for the
earliest ages (i.e., when the infant's age moved away from TEA – age used to define the cortical
parcellation atlas) (*Supp. Figure 8.2*), with errors occurring mostly in the regions where
anatomical landmarks, such as secondary and tertiary sulci, were not yet present to guide the
delineations. Extracting median ROI descriptors in both MC and FC modalities was performed
to partially mitigate such parcellation errors. Future work could use different parcellation
schemes (e.g., random parcellation (Fenchel et al., 2020; Gondová et al., 2023)) to confirm our
findings.
Interestingly, while MC-FC relationships appeared significant in the preterm period,
coupling seemed to decrease with development at the whole brain level. Comparison between
developmental matrices across all connections in the preterm period (ΔMC and ΔFC) suggested
a low but significant positive relationship, followed by a progressive but coordinated
decoupling of MC and FC with maturation. This contrasts with previous studies linking
structure and function through white matter connectivity (Grayson et al., 2014; Hagmann et
al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2022) which described increasing coupling in PT infants (van den
Heuvel et al., 2015) and synchrony between the maturation of grey matter regions and
underlying white matter tracts (Friedrichs-Maeder et al., 2017; Smyser et al., 2016). The
approach we proposed with MC could thus provide an alternative and complementary view for
investigations into function-structure relationships even in absence of identified structural
links, but this requires considering the connection maturational profiles and dynamics.

Considering connection subsets: different MC-FC relationships depending on expected
maturational stage
Any evaluations of MC-FC relationships are intrinsically influenced by the considered
developmental period relative to the maturation stage of the different connections and
networks. Thus, whole-brain evaluations are likely obscured by asynchronicity in maturation
observed in brain areas including observations of GM microstructure (Fukutomi et al., 2018;
Lebenberg et al., 2019) and FC (Cao et al., 2017; Eyre et al., 2021; Larivière et al., 2020). To
address this, more targeted evaluation of MC-FC relationships was necessary, and we opted
for considering subsets of connections that might reflect different connectivity categories (i.e.

cortico-subcortical vs cortico-cortical connections, with distinctions between intra- and interhemispheric ones, the latter being split into homotopic vs non-homotopic subsets). The maturation sequences of these subsets were shown to differ based on previous studies of functional (van den Heuvel et al., 2015) and white matter structural (Kostović et al., 2019; Kulikova et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2012; Vasung et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2021, 2023) connectivity, and we might anticipate similar meaningful grouping also at the MC level.

As expected, we observed different patterns of MC, FC and their relationships across these connection subsets. Across cortico-cortical connections, inter-hemispheric homotopic ones showed similar profiles of strong MC between the two PT sessions, indicating limited maturation effects over the studied period, while the other connections showed more varied MC changes. Connections involving primary sensorimotor (SM) and visual (VIS) ROIs both showed strong MC at PT:ses1 with minimal developmental changes across the studied age range, in line with reports of early maturation of primary sensory areas (Ball et al., 2013; Lebenberg et al., 2019). SM regions exhibited slightly higher initial MC and a smaller increase by TEA compared to VIS regions, that might indicate earlier maturation of sensorimotor functions. Qualitatively similar trends were observed for FC, with inter-hemispheric homotopic connections being generally similar between sessions. Cortico-subcortical connections seemed to display greater heterogeneity in age-related changes in both MC and FC strength with age, suggesting a need for more specific analysis across different deep GM structures) but this was out of the scope of this first study). Similar to MC, SM connections showed strong FC with minimal developmental change over the studied period, consistent with previous observations of functional organisation of primary sensory networks by TEA (Eyre et al., 2021; Dall'Orso et al., 2022). This was to a lesser degree similar for VIS connections, in line with previous findings suggesting the early presence of sensory cortical hubs with a later transition to the visual system (Fransson et al., 2009; van den Heuvel et al., 2015).

Comparing the two MC and FC modalities within each group (*Figure 4*), different connection subsets revealed diverse patterns of MC-FC relationships with age. Cortico-subcortical connections exhibited negative relationships at TEA, while inter-hemispheric homotopic and non-homotopic connections showed decreasing coupling, and intra-hemispheric connections maintained significant relationships across all age timepoints. This observation might be coherent with the developmental sequence described in terms of white matter connectivity and FC across different connection subsets, with cortico-subcortical connections being the most mature over the perinatal period, followed by inter-hemispheric homotopic cortico-cortical connections, non-homotopic inter-hemispheric connections, then the remaining intra-hemispheric cortico-cortical connections. Progressive loss of MC-FC coupling could then reflect the connectivity maturation on the microstructural level which might underlie progressive functional specialisation and diversification of FC (Allievi et al., 2016; Dall'Orso, 2022). However, if the interpretation of progressive functional specialization is correct, the decoupling between MC and FC likely stems from the measure to which FC matrices derived from a given set of ROIs capture the underlying biological functional connectivity changes with age. Thus, while the loss of relationships might indicate developmental changes that occur during the studied age range between the two modalities, distinguishing between biological and 'second-order' methodological artefacts is challenging. Future work would benefit from adapting parcellation schemes to better reflect functional (and microstructural) specialization with age or attempting the analysis of spatial distribution of developmental changes across cortical and subcortical structures in a parcellation-independent manner.

Furthermore, the observation of negative relationships between MC and FC in potentially the most mature cortico-subcortical connections at TEA suggests a complex structure-function relationship in mature systems that warrants further exploration. As we

suspected that negative MC values at later ages (resulting from microstructural differences
between cortical and subcortical ROIs) might complicate these comparisons, we also analysed
the MC-FC relationships separately for positive and negative MC connections and observed
differences suggesting different characteristics for cortico-subcortical vs cortico-cortical
connectivity (*Supp. Figure 4d*). Future MC analyses might be reserved to the cortico-cortical
assessment, while targeted white-matter structural connectivity evaluations might be more
appropriate to consider cortico-subcortical connectivity (Neumane et al., 2022).
While our goal was to explore the directionality of MC and FC co-development based
on matrices of developmental change in PT infants (ΔMC and ΔFC), results did not allow us
to distinctly differentiate between the three possible hypotheses: FC-MC co-evolution; MC
relying on FC; FC relying on MC. Nevertheless, the observation of significant relationships in
the latest developing inter/intra-hemispheric non-homotopic subsets but not in the others might
suggest a concurrence of MC-FC changes in developing connections. Further investigation
focusing on selected networks with well characterised maturational sequences, such as primary
sensorimotor and visual networks may help to further elucidate the developing interactions
between MC and FC, although their limited developmental changes across the period studied
might make the assessments challenging.
570
Impact of prematurity on microstructural and functional connectivity
Additionally, we also investigated how prematurity impacts MC and FC at TEA, as
previous studies have reported that PT infants showed spatially heterogenous alterations in GM
microstructure (Batalle et al., 2019; Dimitrova et al., 2021; Eaton-Rosen et al., 2015, 2017;
Ouyang, Jeon, et al., 2019; Smyser et al., 2016) and altered inter-regional similarity (Galdi et
al., 2020). We observed different relative patterns of MC between the two groups (PT:ses2 vs
FT), affecting diverse ROI connections including the bilateral thalamus and hippocampus, as
well as widespread intra- and inter-hemispheric connections across the cortex. Interestingly,
PT infants showed globally higher MC strengths at TEA compared to FT infants, particularly
in inter-hemispheric homotopic cortical connections. This suggests a more mature profile in
PT born subjects for these connections, maybe relating to their maturation acceleration due to
the earlier onset of experience-dependent developmental mechanisms in the extra-uterine
environment.
In terms of FC, we also observed a significant effect of prematurity characterised by a
global decrease in FC connectivity strength, consistently with previous studies (Ball et al.,
2016; Brenner et al., 2021; Chiarelli et al., 2021; Eyre et al., 2021; Keunen et al., 2017;
Scheinost et al., 2016; Smyser et al., 2010). This suggests a diffuse and complex effect of
prematurity on FC, rather than more focal effects on intrinsic brain network connectivity.
When directly comparing MC and FC, differences between PT infants at TEA and FT
neonates were observed only in cortico-subcortical and inter-hemispheric non-homotopic
connections. This suggests that the impact of prematurity on MC-FC relationships may depend
on the maturation stage and dynamics of the connection subtypes according to the timing of
the prematurity, among other complex developmental factors. While out study focused on the
preterm period in preterm infants, prematurity-related alterations to microstructural and
functional modalities make it difficult to dissociate maturation from prematurity effects. Since
little is known about the developmental relationships between the structure and function of
emerging neuronal networks, future longitudinal research in typical populations might be
warranted to better understand these physiological dynamics and their differences in pathology.
Previous studies have further highlighted the significant role of postnatal experiences
in brain network maturation. While we attempted to control for GA at birth and PMA at MRI
scan in each group, the time between birth and MRI (days *ex-utero*) could still impact MC, FC,
and their assessed relationship. While it would be difficult to fully assess these effects due to

our limited sample size compared with GA and PMA variability, future work might investigate
the effects of days *ex-utero* to better distinguish between environmental and intrinsic
developmental influences.
606

Network approach: sub-setting links with similar connectivity profiles and developmental trajectories

To expand our analyses beyond direct comparisons of MC and FC strengths, we aimed
to assess the potential overlap of the spatial organisation of networks across infant groups and
modalities. This involved defining networks based on connectivity profiles across different
regions. To do so, we used hierarchical Ward clustering due to its ability to capture potential
hierarchical structure of regional relationships and to retain interrelations at different levels of
description in the resulting dendrograms. However, this method has limitations, among which
the irreversibility of the cluster assignment, which makes the resulting clusters sensitive to local
effects and errors that might be propagated through dendrograms (Moreno-Dominguez et al.,
2014). Despite this, Ward clustering was previously shown to perform well compared to
alternative methods, even for a large number of clusters, in both simulated and real rs-fMRI
data (Thirion et al., 2014). Nevertheless, given the potential spatial overlap between brain
networks, future research could explore other clustering methods such as overlapping
communities (de Reus et al., 2014) or those accommodating varying spatial network
configurations (Bijsterbosch et al., 2018). Additionally, more granular analyses with larger
number of smaller ROIs could be beneficial, especially in the case of FC based on random or
functionally based parcellations.

Determining an appropriate number of clusters also posed challenges, especially
because the network specialization might differ throughout development and between MC and
FC modalities (Allievi et al., 2016; Dall'Orso et al., 2022). To address this, we compared all
possible cluster number pairs to approximate general overlap across different network solutions
using the mutual information metric. Although this approach provided only a broad measure
of network (di)similarity, visual inspection of resulting hierarchies suggested informativeness
within the clustering solutions, with some expected patterns such as regions within primary
sensorimotor networks tending to belong to the same clusters across solutions.

Such a network-based approach might thus offer alternative and complementary
information to previous connection subset-based descriptions. Simplifying the heterogeneity
across connections by clustering regions with similar connectivity profiles (for group-specific
clustering) or similar developmental trajectories (for longitudinal networks between PT:Ses1
and PT:Ses2) to derive connectivity clusters, i.e., 'networks', might lead to more robust
comparisons of MC and FC connectivities.

At the network level, we observed significant but not extensive overlap between MC-
derived networks in preterms (PT:ses1 vs PT:ses2) and at TEA (PT-ses2 vs FT), suggesting
both convergences and divergences over the preterm and term periods. Nevertheless, some
patterns of microstructural connectivity reflecting potential network properties appear to be
established during the preterm period and further refined with development. Similarly, FC-
derived networks showed some overlap between the two PT sessions, suggesting that some
functional properties are discernible in the preterm period and continue to develop as suggested
by previous studies (Doria et al., 2010; Smyser et al., 2010; van den Heuvel et al.,
2015). Besides, the observation that the network overlap remains consistent between the two
PT sessions for both MC and FC modalities contrasts with the direct connectivity comparisons
indicating higher similarity of FC than MC, and this further underscores the value of the
network-level analysis to describe inter-regional patterns that might be inaccessible in the
whole-brain analysis due to heterogenous maturation of different connection subtypes.

Interestingly, while direct connectivity comparisons indicated decreasing MC-FC relationships with maturation, comparisons of extracted networks revealed an opposite trend since network overlap observed in the early session appeared to increase with age. We hypothesize that this implies a shared underlying network organization between MC and FC established early on in the preterm period, similarly to later ages (Geng et al., 2016), that progressively aligns MC and FC with development as networks refine on both microstructural and functional levels. Network-based comparisons derived from developmental changes (ΔMC and ΔFC) reached similar overlaps, further suggesting commonalities in network development between MC and FC modalities. Nevertheless, as for direct MC-FC comparisons, MC-FC network patterns seemed to be altered in their typical organisation by prematurity, as indicated by a lower overlap in PT:ses2 infants than in FT neonates.

Regarding the question about MC-FC co-evolution and directionality, we observed generally lower network-based overlap for the comparisons ΔMC vs FC-PT:ses1 and ΔFC vs MC-PT:ses2, recusing the hypothesis of dependence of MC on FC during the preterm period. The two alternative hypotheses (co-evolution or dependence of FC on MC) might be better supported by such network-based observations. Nevertheless, given the complex and bi-directional influences between microstructural and functional development underlying brain maturation in this period (Cadwell et al., 2019), further investigations focusing on specific systems/networks might be necessary to reduce analytical noise and clarify the complex picture of MC-FC relationships. Additionally, previous studies suggested that the relationship between white-matter structural and functional connectivity might diminish as structure stabilizes into a more permanent foundation for the adaptations of functional connectome to new demands and environment (Baum et al., 2020; Ciarrusta et al., 2022; Yeo et al., 2011). Extending our evaluations of MC-FC relationships to later developmental periods, when the interplay between microstructure and function may evolve differently, might be useful to complement these observations.

Conclusion

In the present study, we explored the complex nature of grey matter connectivity through interplay between microstructural and functional brain features during early development. Focusing on 45 preterm infants scanned longitudinally, evaluation of MC and FC revealed a global reinforcement of their connectivity with age, with strong dependence on the different connection subsets and the network maturational dynamics. Prematurity had a diffuse and heterogeneous impact on both modalities when comparing MC and FC to matched full-term neonates at term-equivalent age. We found a significant relationship between MC and FC during the preterm period, which decreased with development. In contrast, overlaps between MC- and FC-derived networks increased with age, suggesting a shared network structure underlying changes in microstructural and functional properties and a complex interplay between functional and microstructural development. Our findings highlight the potential utility of MC as a complementary descriptor for characterising brain network development and alterations due to perinatal insults. While MC biological significance on synchronized maturation across brain regions is open to discussion, future comparisons with white matter structural connectivity, as well as the exploration of its relationships to intrinsic developmental triggers (e.g. conserved genetic or evolutionary patterns), extrinsic environmental influences and subsequent behavioural acquisitions may provide additional insights. Finally, it will be interesting to investigate how particular MC-FC alterations depend on the level of prematurity and progress later in development, as well as to relate the modification to later neurodevelopmental outcomes in individual infants.

Materials and methods

Data presentation

Subjects

This study included a sample of preterm and full-term neonates from the developing Human Connectome Project (dHCP) cohort (Edwards et al., 2022), collected at St Thomas' Hospital London, UK from 2015 to 2020. This project received UK NHS research ethics committee approval (14/LO/1169, IRAS 138070), and written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all participant infants.

From the overall cohort, we identified 45 PT infants (26 males, median GA at birth 32.3 weeks, range [25.6w–36.0w]) who were scanned at two time points and whose dMRI and rs-fMRI data passed the quality control as described in 3rd dHCP release notes. For the session 1, the infants were scanned in the preterm period at median postmenstrual age at scan of 34.9 weeks, range [28.3w–36.9w]; median birth-scan delay: 1.7 weeks, range [0.1w–9.3w]. For the session 2, infants were scanned close to TEA (median PMA at scan 41.3 weeks, range [38.4w–44.9w]; median birth-scan delay: 9.1 weeks, range [3.6w–15.6w]; median Ses1-Ses2 delay 7.3 weeks, range [2.7w–11.9w]). Note that PMA at Ses1 vs Ses2 were not correlated (Pearson's r=0.18, p=0.17). Additionally, we considered a group of 45 FT infants matched to the preterm population on sex and age at MRI at TEA (GA at birth: median 40.1w, range [37.4w–42.3w]; median birth-scan delay: 0.4 weeks, range [0.1w–3.9w]). All included infants were without major brain focal lesions or any overt abnormality of clinical significance on anatomical MRI as evaluated by an expert paediatric neuroradiologist, (i.e., dHCP radiological scores were in the range [1-3]). More subject details for all three groups are available in *Supplementary Figure 7.*

Acquisition and preprocessing of MRI data

MRI data were acquired using a Philips 3 Tesla Achieva scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Best, Netherlands). All infants were scanned during natural sleep using a neonatal head coil and imaging system optimized for the dHCP study as previously described (Hughes et al., 2017). In this study, we considered anatomical, diffusion, and resting-state functional MRI data available in its pre-processed state from the dHCP database (3rd release) (Edwards et al., 2022).

The *anatomical data* resulted from acquisition and reconstruction using optimized protocols (Cordero-Grande et al., 2019), leading to super-resolved T2w images with an isotropic spatial voxel size of 0.5 mm. Processing followed a dedicated pipeline for segmentation and cortical surface extraction for T2w images of neonatal brains (Makropoulos et al., 2018), with bias-correction, brain extraction, volumetric segmentation using Draw-EM (Developing brain Region Annotation with Expectation Maximization) algorithm (Makropoulos et al., 2014), and reconstruction of white matter surface (inner cortical surface) meshes. These anatomical data were used for the extraction of GM ROIs (see section *Delineation of ROIs*).

Acquisition and reconstruction of the *diffusion data* (dMRI) followed a multi-shell high angular resolution diffusion imaging (HARDI) protocol with 4 b-shells (b = 0 s/mm2: 20 repeats; and b = 400, 1,000, 2,600 s/mm2: 64, 88, and 128 directions, respectively) (Hutter et al., 2018) and was pre-processed with correction for motion artifacts and slice-to-volume reconstruction using the SHARD approach, leading to an isotropic voxel size of 1.5 mm (Christiaens et al., 2021). Pre-processed data were used for the fitting of diffusion models and the measure of GM microstructure (see section *GM microstructural connectivity*).

Resting state functional data (rs-fMRI) was acquired for 15 minutes using a high temporal resolution multiband EPI protocol (TE=38 ms; TR=392 ms; MB factor=9x; 2.15 mm

748 isotropic) (Price, 2015) and was processed following an automated processing framework
749 specifically developed for neonates (Fitzgibbon et al., 2020). Available data was used for the
750 estimation of the whole-brain functional connectivity (see section *Functional connectivity*).
751   More information on quality of the employed dMRI and rs-fMRI data can be found in
752 the *Supp. Figure 8.1.*
753

Estimation of connectivity matrices

Delineation of ROIs

Firstly, ROIs were defined as subregions of the cortical and subcortical grey matter to
provide a framework for a focused and potentially interpretable assessment of the brain
connectivity. Anatomically-driven parcellation strategy was used to provide a more
comparable region correspondence between subjects and to allow the direct comparison of
results between dMRI and rs-fMRI modalities. To define the ROIs, pre-processed anatomical
data was used to parcellate the GM. Cortical parcels were defined on the cortical surface of
each hemisphere using the M-CRIB-S surface-based parcellation tool optimized for the term-
born neonates (Adamson et al., 2020) whose labelling scheme replicates the Desikan-Killiany-
Tourville (DKT) atlas (Klein & Tourville, 2012). The subcortical ROIs were defined using a
volumetric GM parcellation based on Draw-EM algorithm segmentation (Makropoulos et al.,
2014), and included medial brainstem (bstem), and for each hemisphere: thalamus (thal, fusing
high and low intensity regions), caudate nucleus (caud), lenticular nucleus (lenti), amygdala
(amyg), hippocampus (hippo), and cerebellum (cereb). The 75 cortical and subcortical ROIs
were combined and aligned to the subject diffusion and functional space with FSL 6.0's FLIRT
using precomputed warps provided within the dHCP database. The list of ROIs used in this
work is detailed in *Supp. Table 1.* and visualised in *Figure 1*.
Because the M-CRIB-S approach was developed for full-term neonates, visual
inspection of the ROI segmentation quality was performed on the 25 youngest PT infants at
scan. While we observed an expected trend of an increase in the segmentation quality with
PMA at scan (errors for the youngest subjects could be explained by the landmarks missing or
being less pronounced, i.e., for example secondary and tertiary sulci), the parcellations
remained satisfactory enough so as not to exclude any additional infants. Examples of the ROI
longitudinal segmentations are shown in *Supp. Figure 8.2.*

Microstructural connectivity (MC)

The DTI model was fitted to the diffusion data using a single shell (b = 1,000 s/mm2)
and calculated with FSL's DTIFIT to estimate metric maps for 4 metrics: fractional anisotropy
(FA), axial diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD), and mean diffusivity (MD). Additionally,
multi-shell diffusion data was used to derive the neurite density index (NDI) and orientation
dispersion index (ODI) maps from the NODDI model (Zhang et al., 2012) using the CUDA
9.1 Diffusion Modelling Toolbox (cuDIMOT) NODDI Watson model implementation for
GPUs (Hernandez-Fernandez et al., 2019). Derived NODDI maps were then corrected as
described in (Neumane et al., 2022).
To create subject-specific regional microstructural fingerprints, we extracted median
diffusion metrics for each cortical and subcortical ROI. Beforehand, volumetric parcellations
for subcortical ROIs underwent 1-voxel erosion to address potential border parcellation errors
with surrounding white matter and cerebro-spinal fluid. For cortical ROIs, diffusion metrics
were projected to the white-grey matter surface using a cylindrical approach guided by the
minimum of AD, as described in (Lebenberg et al., 2019) and (Gondová et al., 2023). Four

hemispheres with locally imperfect projections in the superior frontal gyrus were identified,
but the error impact on median computations within such a large region was minimal.

To focus on the microstructural variability between ROIs, we aimed to correct for
potential confounding factors influencing dMRI metrics and the resulting correlations between
pairs of ROIs before computing the group connectivity matrices. Regional metric values were
corrected independently over the 3 infant groups for PMA at scan, GA at birth, and a residual
of the global median diffusion metric corrected for PMA and GA (see (Gondová et al., 2023)).
Metric values were then scaled between [0,1] for each region and for each subject group
(PT:ses1, PT:ses2, FT). Group-specific microstructural connectivity matrices were then
computed using Pearson's correlation across the individual microstructural fingerprints
including all 6 diffusion metrics together (4 DTI, 2 NODDI) and considering all pairs of grey
matter ROIs.

Functional connectivity (FC)

Based on pre-processed rs-fMRI individual data, we computed median BOLD activity
over labelled ROIs, applied low-pass filtering (0.1 Hz), and standardized the time-series into
Z-scores. Data was smoothed (full-width at half maximum of 3.225 mm) and trimmed (first
and last 50 time-points). For each subject, Pearson's correlation was used to compute a region-
based connectivity matrix from the time series of each region pair. Group-level connectivity
matrices were then obtained by averaging individual matrices within each infant group
(PT:ses1, PT:ses2, FT). Even though the anatomically-driven parcellation might not be
completely adequate for delineating the functional ROIs in the developing brain (*Supp. Figure
8.3.*) we decided to keep this common framework to reduce the dimensionality of the
connectomes and to allow for direct comparisons with the MC.

Evaluation of group-wise connectivity matrices

For all analyses, we took only the upper triangle of the connectivity matrix into account
(because of matrix symmetry).

Analyses were performed either considering all pairs of ROI connections or grouping
the pairs into different subtypes: cortico-subcortical, cortico-cortical connections considering
inter-hemispheric homotopic and non-homotopic, and intra-hemispheric connections. Given
the importance of thalamo-cortical connectivity and the development of primary sensorimotor
and visual networks during the preterm period, we further highlighted connectivity that
included thalamo-cortical pairs, as well as connections involving primary cortical sensorimotor
ROIs (precentral and postcentral gyri, paracentral lobule), and visual ROIs (pericalcarine
cortex, lateral occipital cortex, cuneus) whose delineations were available in the current
parcellation scheme.
829

Analysis of group-wise microstructural connectivity (MC)

On the MC level, we investigated the differences of the ROI connections in terms of
their microstructural profile between groups (comparing PT:ses2 vs FT and PT:ses1 vs
PT:ses2) and compared the distribution of the correlations between groups. As the correlation
coefficients were not distributed normally in each group according to the Shapiro-Wilk test,
and were considered as paired measures between groups, we used a non-parametric Wilcoxon
signed-rank test to assess the differences of distributions across the group pairs. Distribution
of connectivity strength between infant groups was also assessed using a robust linear
regression to describe potential relationships in the patterns of MC connectivity. We
represented the MC connectomes as circos plots connecting ROIs. To ease the visualisation,
the MC matrices were thresholded to show only the strongest 25% connection with: *i*, a

common threshold across all three infant groups to uncover potential global changes of MC with age and prematurity (MC threshold r of 0.786), and *ii*, with threshold adapted to each infant group to visualise potential changes in the relative connectivity strengths between groups (adapted threshold of 0.657 for PT:ses1, 0.856 for PT:ses2, and 0.833 for FT) (presented in *Supp. Figure 2*).

Analysis of group-wise functional connectomes (FC)

We performed similar analyses as in the case of MC to evaluate the differences in FC between the infant groups. For the creation of the circos plots from the FC connectomes, the strongest 25% connections corresponded to a common FC threshold of 0.448, and to adapted thresholds of 0.349 for PT:ses1, 0.409 for PT:ses2, and 0.537 for FT (*Supp. Figure 3*).

Relating MC and FC modalities

The relationship between group-wise MC and FC was evaluated by robust linear regression for each infant group. The reported p-value for the slope of the described relationship was obtained by permutation testing during which the null distribution was generated by randomly shuffling the MC and FC inputs to the linear regressor. The final value was then computed as the proportion of observations more extreme than the one observed for the unshuffled inputs after 1000 random runs. The slopes were also compared using Z-scores (*Supp. Figure 4*).

Longitudinal analysis of MC and FC modalities

We leveraged the longitudinal aspect of our dataset to evaluate potential similarities between evolution of MC and FC connectomes with age. We first computed the matrices of developmental change between PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 for MC and FC separately (referred to as ΔMC and ΔFC, respectively).

For ΔMC, as the diffusion metrics were corrected for within-group age effects before the computation of the connectome, the change between connectomic strengths with age was computed as a simple difference of absolute MC values between sessions. The direction of the change then indicated an increase or decrease of the microstructural connectivity of the given ROI connection between Ses1 and Ses2.

For ΔFC, the computation of matrices of developmental change between both timepoints was similar but included an additional step to account for the variance across the individual FC matrices within PT:ses1 and PT:ses2 groups due to the association between ROI median correlations and the infants' PMA at scan across subjects. As an attempt to remedy this, we first computed group-wise confidence intervals for each ROI connection using the standard deviations of connections' connectivity strength across subjects within the considered infant group. The absolute FC differences between the 2 sessions were then weighted by the overlap of the confidence interval (meaning that a high overlap leads to a decreased difference). The sign of the resulting matrices of developmental change (like for ΔMC) indicates the direction (i.e., increase or decrease) of the ROI connections' evolution with age.

The relationship between ΔMC and ΔFC in preterm infants was then evaluated by a robust linear regression applied to the components of the upper triangle of the matrices. Additionally, we compared ΔMC and ΔFC to the connectivity matrices of the opposite modality derived at two sessions (i.e., ΔMC vs FC-PT:ses1 or FC-PT:ses2, and ΔFC vs MC-PT:ses1 or MC-PT:ses2). We believed such analysis might allow us to assess hypotheses regarding the potential co-evolution of the MC and FC connectivity, in case such co-evolution exists in the age-ranges of the subjects available in this study. Specifically, if the MC and FC co-evolve, their ΔMC and ΔFC networks should be highly similar. In case of stronger effect of

MC on FC in the early period, we would expect ΔFC to depend on MC in the PT:ses1 group while FC at PT:ses2 would depend on ΔMC. The opposite would be true if MC is based on FC. To decipher if one of these hypotheses is more relevant than the others in our PT group, we compared the regression slopes of the evaluated relationships using a Z-scores like before.

Delineation and assessment of MC and FC networks

Group-wise networks

To extend our analyses beyond the direct comparisons of ROIs connection correlations, we aimed to evaluate the similarities between the inter-regional relationships to compare either the groups within each connectomic modality or between modalities. With this aim, we extracted 'networks' for each group and each modality separately, i.e., clusters that would regroup ROIs with similar connectivity profiles, by computing Euclidean distances from the correlation coefficients to create group-wise MC and FC distance matrices using cosine theorem (for the MC, the absolute values of the connectivity strengths were considered). We then performed hierarchical clustering with the ward linkage to group ROIs with similar connectivity patterns. As deciding the ideal number of clusters that would appropriately reflect the studied relationships across modalities and infant groups is difficult, especially given the dynamic evolution of developing infant brain on both structural and functional level, we decided to split the derived hierarchical trees (dendrograms) into all possible cluster sizes from 2 to 75 (max number where cluster size is 1 because of the number of parcels) instead (*Supp. Figure 6*).

The commonalities between clustering results defining MC/FC networks were then compared (either between groups for a single modality, or between modalities for a single group) using mutual information (MI) between all possible cluster pairs as this measure is expected to approximate to a certain extent the overlap of the networks. Similarly to previous MC-FC comparisons, we performed permutation testing to define a threshold for the individual values of the mutual information (MI derived for a given cluster pair) by randomly shuffling the inputs (i.e., randomly re-labelling the cluster assignments) to obtain the null distribution (100 times) for each comparison. Only the mutual information scores that were higher than 95% of the null distribution scores were retained when reporting means and standard deviations of the obtained MI results.

Evolution of longitudinal MC and FC networks

Based on the idea that ROIs that participate in the same networks might show similar developmental dynamics, we further aimed to regroup ROIs based on their changing connectivity profiles, i.e., the matrices of developmental change ΔMC and ΔFC, into structural and functional 'longitudinal networks' in preterm infants and compare these longitudinal MC and FC-derived networks in terms of their overlap with MI. To get a proxy of longitudinal MC and FC networks, the ΔMC and ΔFC matrices were used to cluster ROIs with similar developmental connectivity modifications. As previously done for within-group connectivity matrices, we used the ward hierarchical clustering and created all possible cluster sizes from 2 to 75 and evaluated the MI between the clustering pairs considering different combinations. Additionally, we compared the networks derived from the ΔMC and ΔFC to the networks from the respective opposite modality derived at both sessions (i.e., ΔMC vs FC-PT:ses1 or FC-PT:ses2, and ΔFC vs MC-PT:ses1 or MC-PT:ses2) to assess the potential co-evolution of the MC and FC networks (*Figure 6*).

Acknowledgments

The developing Human Connectome Project was funded by the European Research Council under the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007–2013)/ERC Grant Agreement no. 319456. We thank infants and their families for their participation in this study.

AG was supported by the CEA NUMERICS program. This project received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 800945 — NUMERICS — H2020-MSCA-COFUND-2017.

SN was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Bettencourt Schueller Foundation (www.fondationbs.org).

TA is supported by a MRC Clinical Fellowship [MR/Y009665/1] and by the Medical Research Council Centre for Neurodevelopmental Disorders, King's College London [MR/N026063/1].

JD received support from the Fondation Médisite (research prize under the aegis of the Fondation de France FdF-18-00092867), the IdEx Université de Paris (DevMap project ANR-18-IDEX-0001), the Fondation de France (BodyBrain project FdF-20-00111908), the Fondation Paralysie Cérébrale (ENSEMBLE project), and the French National Agency for Research (BabyTouch project ANR-22-CE37-0028; grant for the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Robert-Debré du Cerveau de l'Enfant in the context of the France 2030 program ANR-23-IAIIU-0010).

References

Adamson, C. L., Alexander, B., Ball, G., Beare, R., Cheong, J. L. Y., Spittle, A. J., Doyle, L. W., Anderson, P. J., Seal, M. L., & Thompson, D. K. (2020). Parcellation of the neonatal cortex using Surface-based Melbourne Children's Regional Infant Brain atlases (M-CRIB-S). *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61326-2

Alexander-Bloch, A., Giedd, J. N., & Bullmore, E. (2013). Imaging structural co-variance between human brain regions. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *14*(5), 322–336. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3465

Allievi, A. G., Arichi, T., Tusor, N., Kimpton, J., Arulkumaran, S., Counsell, S. J., Edwards, A. D., & Burdet, E. (2016). Maturation of Sensori-Motor Functional Responses in the Preterm Brain. *Cerebral Cortex*, *26*(1), 402–413. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv203

Ball, G., Aljabar, P., Arichi, T., Tusor, N., Cox, D., Merchant, N., Nongena, P., Hajnal, J. V., Edwards, A. D., & Counsell, S. J. (2016). Machine-learning to characterise neonatal functional connectivity in the preterm brain. *NeuroImage*, *124*, 267–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.08.055

Ball, G., Aljabar, P., Nongena, P., Kennea, N., Gonzalez-Cinca, N., Falconer, S., Chew, A. T. M., Harper, N., Wurie, J., Rutherford, M. A., Counsell, S. J., & Edwards, A. D. (2017). Multimodal image analysis of clinical influences on preterm brain development. *Annals of Neurology*, *82*(2), 233–246. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24995

Ball, G., Srinivasan, L., Aljabar, P., Counsell, S. J., Durighel, G., Hajnal, J. V., Rutherford, M. A., & Edwards, A. D. (2013). Development of cortical microstructure in the preterm human brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *110*(23), 9541–9546. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1301652110

Barbas, H. (2015). General Cortical and Special Prefrontal Connections: Principles from Structure to Function. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *38*(1), 269–289. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-033936

Basser, P. J., Mattiello, J., & Lebihan, D. (1994). Estimation of the Effective Self-Diffusion Tensor
from the NMR Spin Echo. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance, Series B*, *103*(3), 247–254.
https://doi.org/10.1006/jmrb.1994.1037

Batalle, D., Hughes, E. J., Zhang, H., Tournier, J.-D., Tusor, N., Aljabar, P., Wali, L., Alexander, D.
C., Hajnal, J. V., Nosarti, C., Edwards, A. D., & Counsell, S. J. (2017). Early development of
structural networks and the impact of prematurity on brain connectivity. *NeuroImage*, *149*, 379–
392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.065

Batalle, D., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Makropoulos, A., Kelly, C. J., Dimitrova, R., Hughes, E. J., Hajnal,
J. V., Zhang, H., Alexander, D. C., Edwards, A. D., & Counsell, S. J. (2019). Different patterns
of cortical maturation before and after 38 weeks gestational age demonstrated by diffusion MRI
in vivo. *NeuroImage*, *185*, 764–775. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.046

Baum, G. L., Cui, Z., Roalf, D. R., Ciric, R., Betzel, R. F., Larsen, B., Cieslak, M., Cook, P. A., Xia,
C. H., Moore, T. M., Ruparel, K., Oathes, D. J., Alexander-Bloch, A. F., Shinohara, R. T.,
Raznahan, A., Gur, R. E., Gur, R. C., Bassett, D. S., & Satterthwaite, T. D. (2020). Development
of structure–function coupling in human brain networks during youth. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(1), 771–778. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912034117

Brenner, R. G., Wheelock, M. D., Neil, J. J., & Smyser, C. D. (2021). Structural and functional
connectivity in premature neonates. *Seminars in Perinatology*, *45*(7), 151473.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semperi.2021.151473

Brodmann, K. (1908). Beiträge zur histologischen Lokalisation der Grosshirnrinde. VI. Mitteilung:
Die Cortexgliederung des Menschen. *Journal Für Psychologie Und Neurologie*, *10*, 231–246.

Bystron, I., Blakemore, C., & Rakic, P. (2008). Development of the human cerebral cortex: Boulder
Committee revisited. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *9*(2), 110–122.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2252

Cadwell, C. R., Bhaduri, A., Mostajo-Radji, M. A., Keefe, M. G., & Nowakowski, T. J. (2019).
Development and Arealization of the Cerebral Cortex. *Neuron*, *103*(6), 980–1004.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.07.009

Cao, M., Huang, H., & He, Y. (2017). Developmental Connectomics from Infancy through Early
Childhood. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *40*(8), 494–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.06.003

Chiarelli, A. M., Sestieri, C., Navarra, R., Wise, R. G., & Caulo, M. (2021). Distinct effects of
prematurity on MRI metrics of brain functional connectivity, activity, and structure: Univariate
and multivariate analyses. *Human Brain Mapping*, *42*(11), 3593–3607.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.25456

Christiaens, D., Cordero-Grande, L., Pietsch, M., Hutter, J., Price, A. N., Hughes, E. J., Vecchiato, K.,
Deprez, M., Edwards, A. D., Hajnal, J. V., & Tournier, J.-D. (2021). Scattered slice SHARD
reconstruction for motion correction in multi-shell diffusion MRI. *NeuroImage*, *225*, 117437.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117437

Ciarrusta, J., Christiaens, D., Fitzgibbon, S. P., Dimitrova, R., Hutter, J., Hughes, E., Duff, E., Price,
A. N., Cordero-Grande, L., Tournier, J.-D., Rueckert, D., Hajnal, J. V., Arichi, T., McAlonan,
G., Edwards, A. D., & Batalle, D. (2022). The developing brain structural and functional
connectome fingerprint. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *55*, 101117.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2022.101117

Cordero-Grande, L., Christiaens, D., Hutter, J., Price, A. N., & Hajnal, J. V. (2019). Complex
diffusion-weighted image estimation via matrix recovery under general noise models.
NeuroImage, *200*, 391–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.06.039

Dall'Orso, S., Arichi, T., Fitzgibbon, S. P., Edwards, A. D., Burdet, E., & Muceli, S. (2022).
Development of functional organization within the sensorimotor network across the perinatal
period. *Human Brain Mapping*, *43*(7), 2249–2261. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.25785

de Reus, M. A., Saenger, V. M., Kahn, R. S., & van den Heuvel, M. P. (2014). An edge-centric
perspective on the human connectome: link communities in the brain. *Philosophical
Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *369*(1653), 20130527.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0527

Denisova, K. (2019). Age attenuates noise and increases symmetry of head movements during sleep
resting-state fMRI in healthy neonates, infants, and toddlers. *Infant Behavior and Development*,
57, 101317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2019.03.008

Dimitrova, R., Pietsch, M., Ciarrusta, J., Fitzgibbon, S. P., Williams, L. Z. J., Christiaens, D.,
Cordero-Grande, L., Batalle, D., Makropoulos, A., Schuh, A., Price, A. N., Hutter, J., Teixeira,
R. P., Hughes, E., Chew, A., Falconer, S., Carney, O., Egloff, A., Tournier, J.-D., …
O'Muircheartaigh, J. (2021). Preterm birth alters the development of cortical microstructure and
morphology at term-equivalent age. *NeuroImage*, *243*, 118488.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118488

Doria, V., Beckmann, C. F., Arichi, T., Merchant, N., Groppo, M., Turkheimer, F. E., Counsell, S. J.,
Murgasova, M., Aljabar, P., Nunes, R. G., Larkman, D. J., Rees, G., & Edwards, A. D. (2010).
Emergence of resting state networks in the preterm human brain. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences*, *107*(46), 20015–20020. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007921107

Dubois, J., Alison, M., Counsell, S. J., Hertz-Pannier, L., Hüppi, P. S., & Benders, M. J. N. L. (2021).
MRI of the Neonatal Brain: A Review of Methodological Challenges and Neuroscientific
Advances. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *53*(5), 1318–1343.
https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.27192

Eaton-Rosen, Z., Melbourne, A., Orasanu, E., Cardoso, M. J., Modat, M., Bainbridge, A., Kendall, G.
S., Robertson, N. J., Marlow, N., & Ourselin, S. (2015). Longitudinal measurement of the
developing grey matter in preterm subjects using multi-modal MRI. *NeuroImage*, *111*, 580–589.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.02.010

Eaton-Rosen, Z., Scherrer, B., Melbourne, A., Ourselin, S., Neil, J. J., & Warfield, S. K. (2017).
Investigating the maturation of microstructure and radial orientation in the preterm human cortex
with diffusion MRI. *NeuroImage*, *162*, 65–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.08.013

Edwards, A. D., Rueckert, D., Smith, S. M., Abo Seada, S., Alansary, A., Almalbis, J., Allsop, J.,
Andersson, J., Arichi, T., Arulkumaran, S., Bastiani, M., Batalle, D., Baxter, L., Bozek, J.,
Braithwaite, E., Brandon, J., Carney, O., Chew, A., Christiaens, D., … Hajnal, J. V. (2022). The
Developing Human Connectome Project Neonatal Data Release. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *16*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.886772

Eyre, M., Fitzgibbon, S. P., Ciarrusta, J., Cordero-Grande, L., Price, A. N., Poppe, T., Schuh, A.,
Hughes, E., O'Keeffe, C., Brandon, J., Cromb, D., Vecchiato, K., Andersson, J., Duff, E. P.,
Counsell, S. J., Smith, S. M., Rueckert, D., Hajnal, J. V, Arichi, T., … Edwards, A. D. (2021).
The Developing Human Connectome Project: typical and disrupted perinatal functional
connectivity. *Brain*, *144*(7), 2199–2213. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab118

Fan, Y., Shi, F., Smith, J. K., Lin, W., Gilmore, J. H., & Shen, D. (2011). Brain anatomical networks
in early human brain development. *NeuroImage*, *54*(3), 1862–1871.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.07.025

Fenchel, D., Dimitrova, R., Robinson, E. C., Batalle, D., Chew, A., Falconer, S., Kyriakopoulou, V.,
Nosarti, C., Hutter, J., Christiaens, D., Pietsch, M., Brandon, J., Hughes, E. J., Allsop, J.,
O'Keeffe, C., Price, A. N., Cordero-Grande, L., Schuh, A., Makropoulos, A., …
O'Muircheartaigh, J. (2022). Neonatal multi-modal cortical profiles predict 18-month
developmental outcomes. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *54*, 101103.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2022.101103

Fenchel, D., Dimitrova, R., Seidlitz, J., Robinson, E. C., Batalle, D., Hutter, J., Christiaens, D.,
Pietsch, M., Brandon, J., Hughes, E. J., Allsop, J., O'Keeffe, C., Price, A. N., Cordero-Grande,
L., Schuh, A., Makropoulos, A., Passerat-Palmbach, J., Bozek, J., Rueckert, D., …
O'Muircheartaigh, J. (2020). Fench et Development of Microstructural and Morphological
Cortical Profiles in the Neonatal Brain. *Cerebral Cortex*, *30*(11), 5767–5779.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhaa150

Fitzgibbon, S. P., Harrison, S. J., Jenkinson, M., Baxter, L., Robinson, E. C., Bastiani, M., Bozek, J.,
Karolis, V., Cordero Grande, L., Price, A. N., Hughes, E., Makropoulos, A., Passerat-Palmbach,
J., Schuh, A., Gao, J., Farahibozorg, S. R., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Ciarrusta, J., O'Keeffe, C., …
Andersson, J. (2020). The developing Human Connectome Project (dHCP) automated resting-
state functional processing framework for newborn infants. *NeuroImage*, *223*(August), 117303.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117303

Fransson, P., Skiöld, B., Engström, M., Hallberg, B., Mosskin, M., Åden, U., Lagercrantz, H., &
Blennow, M. (2009). Spontaneous Brain Activity in the Newborn Brain During Natural Sleep—
An fMRI Study in Infants Born at Full Term. *Pediatric Research*, *66*(3), 301–305.
https://doi.org/10.1203/PDR.0b013e3181b1bd84

Friedrichs-Maeder, C. L., Griffa, A., Schneider, J., Hüppi, P. S., Truttmann, A., & Hagmann, P.
(2017). Exploring the role of white matter connectivity in cortex maturation. *PLOS ONE*, *12*(5),
e0177466. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177466

Fukutomi, H., Glasser, M. F., Zhang, H., Autio, J. A., Coalson, T. S., Okada, T., Togashi, K., Van
Essen, D. C., & Hayashi, T. (2018). Neurite imaging reveals microstructural variations in human
cerebral cortical gray matter. *NeuroImage*, *182*, 488–499.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.02.017

Galdi, P., Blesa, M., Stoye, D. Q., Sullivan, G., Lamb, G. J., Quigley, A. J., Thrippleton, M. J., Bastin,
M. E., & Boardman, J. P. (2020). Neonatal morphometric similarity mapping for predicting
brain age and characterizing neuroanatomic variation associated with preterm birth.
NeuroImage: Clinical, *25*, 102195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102195

Gao, W., Alcauter, S., Elton, A., Hernandez-Castillo, C. R., Smith, J. K., Ramirez, J., & Lin, W.
(2015). Functional Network Development During the First Year: Relative Sequence and
Socioeconomic Correlations. *Cerebral Cortex*, *25*(9), 2919–2928.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu088

Geng, X., Li, G., Lu, Z., Gao, W., Wang, L., Shen, D., Zhu, H., & Gilmore, J. H. (2016). Structural
and Maturational Covariance in Early Childhood Brain Development. *Cerebral Cortex*, bhw022.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw022

Gilmore, J. H., Knickmeyer, R. C., & Gao, W. (2018). Imaging structural and functional brain development in early childhood. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *19*(3), 123–137. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2018.1

Gilmore, J. H., Shi, F., Woolson, S. L., Knickmeyer, R. C., Short, S. J., Lin, W., Zhu, H., Hamer, R. M., Styner, M., & Shen, D. (2012). Longitudinal Development of Cortical and Subcortical Gray Matter from Birth to 2 Years. *Cerebral Cortex*, *22*(11), 2478–2485. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr327

Gondová, A., Neumane, S., Leprince, Y., Mangin, J.-F., Arichi, T., & Dubois, J. (2023). Predicting neurodevelopmental outcomes from neonatal cortical microstructure: A conceptual replication study. *Neuroimage: Reports*, *3*(2), 100170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynirp.2023.100170

Goulas, A., Uylings, H. B. M., & Hilgetag, C. C. (2017). Principles of ipsilateral and contralateral cortico-cortical connectivity in the mouse. *Brain Structure and Function*, *222*(3), 1281–1295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-016-1277-y

Goulas, A., Werner, R., Beul, S. F., Saering, D., Heuvel, M. P., Triarhou, L. C., & Hilgetag, C. C. (2016). Cytoarchitectonic similarity is a wiring principle of the human connectome. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1101/068254

Grayson, D. S., Ray, S., Carpenter, S., Iyer, S., Dias, T. G. C., Stevens, C., Nigg, J. T., & Fair, D. A. (2014). Structural and Functional Rich Club Organization of the Brain in Children and Adults. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(2), e88297. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088297

Guerrero, J. M., Adluru, N., Bendlin, B. B., Goldsmith, H. H., Schaefer, S. M., Davidson, R. J., Kecskemeti, S. R., Zhang, H., & Alexander, A. L. (2019). Optimizing the intrinsic parallel diffusivity in NODDI: An extensive empirical evaluation. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(9), e0217118. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217118

Hagmann, P., Sporns, O., Madan, N., Cammoun, L., Pienaar, R., Wedeen, V. J., Meuli, R., Thiran, J.-P., & Grant, P. E. (2010). White matter maturation reshapes structural connectivity in the late developing human brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(44), 19067–19072. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1009073107

Hernandez-Fernandez, M., Reguly, I., Jbabdi, S., Giles, M., Smith, S., & Sotiropoulos, S. N. (2019). Using GPUs to accelerate computational diffusion MRI: From microstructure estimation to tractography and connectomes. *NeuroImage*, *188*, 598–615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.12.015

Hoff, G. E. A.-J., Van den Heuvel, M. P., Benders, M. J. N. L., Kersbergen, K. J., & De Vries, L. S. (2013). On development of functional brain connectivity in the young brain. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00650

Hughes, E. J., Winchman, T., Padormo, F., Teixeira, R., Wurie, J., Sharma, M., Fox, M., Hutter, J., Cordero-Grande, L., Price, A. N., Allsop, J., Bueno-Conde, J., Tusor, N., Arichi, T., Edwards, A. D., Rutherford, M. A., Counsell, S. J., & Hajnal, J. V. (2017). A dedicated neonatal brain imaging system. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *78*(2), 794–804. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26462

Hutter, J., Tournier, J. D., Price, A. N., Cordero-Grande, L., Hughes, E. J., Malik, S., Steinweg, J., Bastiani, M., Sotiropoulos, S. N., Jbabdi, S., Andersson, J., Edwards, A. D., & Hajnal, J. V. (2018). Time-efficient and flexible design of optimized multishell HARDI diffusion. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *79*(3), 1276–1292. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26765

Jakab, A., Schwartz, E., Kasprian, G., Gruber, G. M., Prayer, D., Schöpf, V., & Langs, G. (2014).
Fetal functional imaging portrays heterogeneous development of emerging human brain
networks. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2014.00852

Jelescu, I. O., Veraart, J., Adisetiyo, V., Milla, S. S., Novikov, D. S., & Fieremans, E. (2015). One
diffusion acquisition and different white matter models: How does microstructure change in
human early development based on WMTI and NODDI? *NeuroImage*, *107*, 242–256.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.12.009

Keunen, K., Counsell, S. J., & Benders, M. J. N. L. (2017). The emergence of functional architecture
during early brain development. *NeuroImage*, *160*, 2–14.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.01.047

Khundrakpam, B. S., Lewis, J. D., Zhao, L., Chouinard-Decorte, F., & Evans, A. C. (2016). Brain
connectivity in normally developing children and adolescents. *NeuroImage*, *134*, 192–203.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.03.062

Khundrakpam, B. S., Reid, A., Brauer, J., Carbonell, F., Lewis, J., Ameis, S., Karama, S., Lee, J.,
Chen, Z., Das, S., Evans, A. C., Ball, W. S., Byars, A. W., Schapiro, M., Bommer, W., Carr, A.,
German, A., Dunn, S., Rivkin, M. J., … O'Neill, J. (2013). Developmental Changes in
Organization of Structural Brain Networks. *Cerebral Cortex*, *23*(9), 2072–2085.
https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhs187

King, D. J., & Wood, A. G. (2020). Clinically feasible brain morphometric similarity network
construction approaches with restricted magnetic resonance imaging acquisitions. *Network
Neuroscience*, *4*(1), 274–291. https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00123

Klein, A., & Tourville, J. (2012). 101 Labeled Brain Images and a Consistent Human Cortical
Labeling Protocol. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2012.00171

Kostović, I., Radoš, M., Kostović-Srzentić, M., & Krsnik, Ž. (2021). Fundamentals of the
Development of Connectivity in the Human Fetal Brain in Late Gestation: From 24 Weeks
Gestational Age to Term. *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology*, *80*(5), 393–
414. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/nlab024

Kostović, I., Sedmak, G., & Judaš, M. (2019). Neural histology and neurogenesis of the human fetal
and infant brain. *NeuroImage*, *188*, 743–773. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.12.043

Kulikova, S., Hertz-Pannier, L., Dehaene-Lambertz, G., Buzmakov, A., Poupon, C., & Dubois, J.
(2015). Multi-parametric evaluation of the white matter maturation. *Brain Structure and
Function*, *220*(6), 3657–3672. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-014-0881-y

Larivière, S., Vos de Wael, R., Hong, S.-J., Paquola, C., Tavakol, S., Lowe, A. J., Schrader, D. V, &
Bernhardt, B. C. (2020). Multiscale Structure–Function Gradients in the Neonatal Connectome.
Cerebral Cortex, *30*(1), 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhz069

Lebenberg, J., Mangin, J.-F., Thirion, B., Poupon, C., Hertz-Pannier, L., Leroy, F., Adibpour, P.,
Dehaene-Lambertz, G., & Dubois, J. (2019). Mapping the asynchrony of cortical maturation in
the infant brain: A MRI multi-parametric clustering approach. *NeuroImage*, *185*, 641–653.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.07.022

Lyall, A. E., Shi, F., Geng, X., Woolson, S., Li, G., Wang, L., Hamer, R. M., Shen, D., & Gilmore, J.
H. (2015). Dynamic Development of Regional Cortical Thickness and Surface Area in Early
Childhood. *Cerebral Cortex*, *25*(8), 2204–2212. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu027

Makropoulos, A., Gousias, I. S., Ledig, C., Aljabar, P., Serag, A., Hajnal, J. V., Edwards, A. D.,
Counsell, S. J., & Rueckert, D. (2014). Automatic Whole Brain MRI Segmentation of the
Developing Neonatal Brain. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, *33*(9), 1818–1831.
https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2014.2322280

Makropoulos, A., Robinson, E. C., Schuh, A., Wright, R., Fitzgibbon, S., Bozek, J., Counsell, S. J.,
Steinweg, J., Vecchiato, K., Passerat-Palmbach, J., Lenz, G., Mortari, F., Tenev, T., Duff, E. P.,
Bastiani, M., Cordero-Grande, L., Hughes, E., Tusor, N., Tournier, J. D., … Rueckert, D.
(2018). The developing human connectome project: A minimal processing pipeline for neonatal
cortical surface reconstruction. *NeuroImage*, *173*(April 2017), 88–112.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.01.054

Maugeri, L., Moraschi, M., Summers, P., Favilla, S., Mascali, D., Cedola, A., Porro, C. A., Giove, F.,
& Fratini, M. (2018). Assessing denoising strategies to increase signal to noise ratio in spinal
cord and in brain cortical and subcortical regions. *Journal of Instrumentation*, *13*(02), C02028–
C02028. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/13/02/C02028

Monson, B. B., Eaton-Rosen, Z., Kapur, K., Liebenthal, E., Brownell, A., Smyser, C. D., Rogers, C.
E., Inder, T. E., Warfield, S. K., & Neil, J. J. (2018). Differential Rates of Perinatal Maturation
of Human Primary and Nonprimary Auditory Cortex. *Eneuro*, *5*(1), ENEURO.0380-17.2017.
https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0380-17.2017

Moreno-Dominguez, D., Anwander, A., & Knösche, T. R. (2014). A hierarchical method for whole-
brain connectivity-based parcellation. *Human Brain Mapping*, *35*(10), 5000–5025.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22528

Mukherjee, P., Miller, J. H., Shimony, J. S., Conturo, T. E., Lee, B. C. P., Almli, C. R., & McKinstry,
R. C. (2001). Normal Brain Maturation during Childhood: Developmental Trends Characterized
with Diffusion-Tensor MR Imaging. *Radiology*, *221*(2), 349–358.
https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2212001702

Neil, J. J., & Smyser, C. D. (2018). Recent advances in the use of MRI to assess early human cortical
development. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, *293*, 56–69.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2018.05.013

Neumane, S., Gondova, A., Leprince, Y., Hertz-Pannier, L., Arichi, T., & Dubois, J. (2022). Early
structural connectivity within the sensorimotor network: Deviations related to prematurity and
association to neurodevelopmental outcome. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *16*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.932386

Nie, J., Li, G., Wang, L., Shi, F., Lin, W., Gilmore, J. H., & Shen, D. (2014). Longitudinal
development of cortical thickness, folding, and fiber density networks in the first 2 years of life.
Human Brain Mapping, *35*(8), 3726–3737. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22432

Ouyang, M., Dubois, J., Yu, Q., Mukherjee, P., & Huang, H. (2019). Delineation of early brain
development from fetuses to infants with diffusion MRI and beyond. *NeuroImage*, *185*, 836–
850. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.04.017

Ouyang, M., Jeon, T., Sotiras, A., Peng, Q., Mishra, V., Halovanic, C., Chen, M., Chalak, L., Rollins,
N., Roberts, T. P. L., Davatzikos, C., & Huang, H. (2019). Differential cortical microstructural
maturation in the preterm human brain with diffusion kurtosis and tensor imaging. *Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(10), 4681–4688.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1812156116

Price, A. N. (2015). *Accelerated Neonatal fMRI Using Multiband EPI*.

Risk, B. B., Murden, R. J., Wu, J., Nebel, M. B., Venkataraman, A., Zhang, Z., & Qiu, D. (2021).
Which multiband factor should you choose for your resting-state fMRI study? *NeuroImage*, *234*,
117965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.117965

Romero-Garcia, R., Whitaker, K. J., Váša, F., Seidlitz, J., Shinn, M., Fonagy, P., Dolan, R. J., Jones,
P. B., Goodyer, I. M., Bullmore, E. T., & Vértes, P. E. (2018). Structural covariance networks
are coupled to expression of genes enriched in supragranular layers of the human cortex.
NeuroImage, *171*, 256–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.060

Scheinost, D., Kwon, S. H., Shen, X., Lacadie, C., Schneider, K. C., Dai, F., Ment, L. R., &
Constable, R. T. (2016). Preterm birth alters neonatal, functional rich club organization. *Brain
Structure and Function*, *221*(6), 3211–3222. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-015-1096-6

Seidlitz, J., Váša, F., Shinn, M., Romero-Garcia, R., Whitaker, K. J., Vértes, P. E., Wagstyl, K.,
Kirkpatrick Reardon, P., Clasen, L., Liu, S., Messinger, A., Leopold, D. A., Fonagy, P., Dolan,
R. J., Jones, P. B., Goodyer, I. M., Raznahan, A., & Bullmore, E. T. (2018). Morphometric
Similarity Networks Detect Microscale Cortical Organization and Predict Inter-Individual
Cognitive Variation. *Neuron*, *97*(1), 231-247.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.11.039

Smith, S. M., & Beckmann, C. F. (2017). *Introduction to Resting State fMRI Functional Connectivity*.

Smyser, C. D., Inder, T. E., Shimony, J. S., Hill, J. E., Degnan, A. J., Snyder, A. Z., & Neil, J. J.
(2010). Longitudinal Analysis of Neural Network Development in Preterm Infants. *Cerebral
Cortex*, *20*(12), 2852–2862. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhq035

Smyser, T. A., Smyser, C. D., Rogers, C. E., Gillespie, S. K., Inder, T. E., & Neil, J. J. (2016).
Cortical Gray and Adjacent White Matter Demonstrate Synchronous Maturation in Very
Preterm Infants. *Cerebral Cortex*, *26*(8), 3370–3378. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv164

Takahashi, E., Folkerth, R. D., Galaburda, A. M., & Grant, P. E. (2012). Emerging Cerebral
Connectivity in the Human Fetal Brain: An MR Tractography Study. *Cerebral Cortex*, *22*(2),
455–464. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr126

Taymourtash, A., Schwartz, E., Nenning, K.-H., Sobotka, D., Licandro, R., Glatter, S., Diogo, M. C.,
Golland, P., Grant, E., Prayer, D., Kasprian, G., & Langs, G. (2023). Fetal development of
functional thalamocortical and cortico–cortical connectivity. *Cerebral Cortex*, *33*(9), 5613–
5624. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac446

Thirion, B., Varoquaux, G., Dohmatob, E., & Poline, J.-B. (2014). Which fMRI clustering gives good
brain parcellations? *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2014.00167

Thomason, M. E., Grove, L. E., Lozon, T. A., Vila, A. M., Ye, Y., Nye, M. J., Manning, J. H.,
Pappas, A., Hernandez-Andrade, E., Yeo, L., Mody, S., Berman, S., Hassan, S. S., & Romero,
R. (2015). Age-related increases in long-range connectivity in fetal functional neural
connectivity networks in utero. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, *11*, 96–104.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2014.09.001

Toulmin, H., Beckmann, C. F., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Ball, G., Nongena, P., Makropoulos, A.,
Ederies, A., Counsell, S. J., Kennea, N., Arichi, T., Tusor, N., Rutherford, M. A., Azzopardi, D.,
Gonzalez-Cinca, N., Hajnal, J. V., & Edwards, A. D. (2015). Specialization and integration of
functional thalamocortical connectivity in the human infant. *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences*, *112*(20), 6485–6490. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422638112

Turk, E., van den Heuvel, M. I., Benders, M. J., de Heus, R., Franx, A., Manning, J. H., Hect, J. L.,
Hernandez-Andrade, E., Hassan, S. S., Romero, R., Kahn, R. S., Thomason, M. E., & van den

Heuvel, M. P. (2019). Functional Connectome of the Fetal Brain. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *39*(49), 9716–9724. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2891-18.2019

van den Heuvel, M. P., & Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2010). Exploring the brain network: A review on resting-state fMRI functional connectivity. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, *20*(8), 519–534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2010.03.008

van den Heuvel, M. P., Kersbergen, K. J., de Reus, M. A., Keunen, K., Kahn, R. S., Groenendaal, F., de Vries, L. S., & Benders, M. J. N. L. (2015). The Neonatal Connectome During Preterm Brain Development. *Cerebral Cortex*, *25*(9), 3000–3013. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhu095

Váša, F., Seidlitz, J., Romero-Garcia, R., Whitaker, K. J., Rosenthal, G., Vértes, P. E., Shinn, M., Alexander-Bloch, A., Fonagy, P., Dolan, R. J., Jones, P. B., Goodyer, I. M., Sporns, O., & Bullmore, E. T. (2018). Adolescent Tuning of Association Cortex in Human Structural Brain Networks. *Cerebral Cortex*, *28*(1), 281–294. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx249

Vasung, L., Raguz, M., Kostovic, I., & Takahashi, E. (2017). Spatiotemporal Relationship of Brain Pathways during Human Fetal Development Using High-Angular Resolution Diffusion MR Imaging and Histology. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2017.00348

von Economo, C. F., & Koskinas, G. N. (1925). Die cytoarchitektonik der hirnrinde des erwachsenen menschen. . *J. Springer.*

Williams, L. Z. J., Fitzgibbon, S. P., Bozek, J., Winkler, A. M., Dimitrova, R., Poppe, T., Schuh, A., Makropoulos, A., Cupitt, J., O'Muircheartaigh, J., Duff, E. P., Cordero-Grande, L., Price, A. N., Hajnal, J. V., Rueckert, D., Smith, S. M., Edwards, A. D., & Robinson, E. C. (2023). Structural and functional asymmetry of the neonatal cerebral cortex. *Nature Human Behaviour*, *7*(6), 942–955. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-023-01542-8

Wilson, S., Pietsch, M., Cordero-Grande, L., Christiaens, D., Uus, A., Karolis, V. R., Kyriakopoulou, V., Colford, K., Price, A. N., Hutter, J., Rutherford, M. A., Hughes, E. J., Counsell, S. J., Tournier, J.-D., Hajnal, J. V, Edwards, A. D., O'Muircheartaigh, J., & Arichi, T. (2023). Spatiotemporal tissue maturation of thalamocortical pathways in the human fetal brain. *ELife*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.83727

Wilson, S., Pietsch, M., Cordero-Grande, L., Price, A. N., Hutter, J., Xiao, J., McCabe, L., Rutherford, M. A., Hughes, E. J., Counsell, S. J., Tournier, J.-D., Arichi, T., Hajnal, J. V., Edwards, A. D., Christiaens, D., & O'Muircheartaigh, J. (2021). Development of human white matter pathways in utero over the second and third trimester. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(20). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023598118

Yee, Y., Fernandes, D. J., French, L., Ellegood, J., Cahill, L. S., Vousden, D. A., Spencer Noakes, L., Scholz, J., van Eede, M. C., Nieman, B. J., Sled, J. G., & Lerch, J. P. (2018). Structural covariance of brain region volumes is associated with both structural connectivity and transcriptomic similarity. *NeuroImage*, *179*, 357–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.05.028

Yeo, B. T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M., Roffman, J. L., Smoller, J. W., Zöllei, L., Polimeni, J. R., Fischl, B., Liu, H., & Buckner, R. L. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *106*(3), 1125–1165. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00338.2011

Yu, Q., Ouyang, A., Chalak, L., Jeon, T., Chia, J., Mishra, V., Sivarajan, M., Jackson, G., Rollins, N., Liu, S., & Huang, H. (2016). Structural Development of Human Fetal and Preterm Brain

1319   Cortical Plate Based on Population-Averaged Templates. *Cerebral Cortex*, *26*(11), 4381–4391.
1320   https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv201

1321 Yuan, S., Liu, M., Kim, S., Yang, J., Barkovich, A. J., Xu, D., & Kim, H. (2023).
1322   Cyto/myeloarchitecture of cortical gray matter and superficial white matter in early
1323   neurodevelopment: multimodal MRI study in preterm neonates. *Cerebral Cortex*, *33*(2), 357–
1324   373. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac071

1325 Zhang, H., Schneider, T., Wheeler-Kingshott, C. A., & Alexander, D. C. (2012). NODDI: Practical in
1326   vivo neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging of the human brain. *NeuroImage*, *61*(4),
1327   1000–1016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.072

1328 Zhang, L., Wang, L., & Zhu, D. (2022). Predicting brain structural network using functional
1329   connectivity. *Medical Image Analysis*, *79*, 102463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2022.102463

1330