Characterisation of cell cycle checkpoint kinases in *Toxoplasma gondii*

Monique K. Johnson[1], Sara Chelaghma[2], William H. Lewis[2], Ludek Koreny[2], Ross F. Waller[2],

Catherine J. Merrick[1#]

[1] Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, UK.

[2] Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QW,

UK.

Address correspondence to:

Department of Pathology, Cambridge University, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1QP, UK

Tel: (+44) 1223 333330

Email: cjm48@cam.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite in the apicomplexan phylum. Apicomplexan parasites

replicate using a variety of non-canonical cell division modes, distinct from binary fission, whose

molecular regulation is incompletely understood. *T. gondii* replicates by endodyogeny in its

intermediate hosts, and by endopolygeny in its definitive host. To improve our understanding of

how these unusual, flexible cell division modes are regulated, we characterised the *T. gondii*

homologues of the cell-cycle checkpoint kinases ATM and ATR. These phosphoinositol-3-kinase-like

kinases are entirely absent in some related parasites including *Plasmodium*; in *T. gondii* they are

present but their putative checkpoint roles were previously uncharacterised. Both *Tg*ATM and

*Tg*ATR were found to be dispersed throughout the parasite, rather than restricted to the nucleus,

and they did not detectably relocate to the nucleus after DNA damage. Nevertheless, they were

both required for checkpoint responses to DNA damage, including acute replication slowing and

phosphorylation of the DNA damage marker histone H2AX. Unusually, the two kinases seemed to

cooperate in the checkpoint response, with the loss of either one largely ablating the response,

regardless of the type of DNA damage. Thus, *T. gondii* clearly retains a DNA-damage-responsive

checkpoint, but some of its key features differ from the well-studied checkpoint in human cells.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Toxoplasma is one of the most widespread parasites of humans, with a large proportion of the

global population being infected. Infection usually causes no symptoms, but can have severe

consequences in pregnant women and immunocompromised people. The *Toxoplasma* parasite is a

single-celled organism, related to other parasites that cause important human diseases like malaria.

All these parasites are distinctive in having unusual modes of replication: unlike human cells, they do

not replicate by simply splitting in two. Furthermore, drugs to treat toxoplasmosis usually act to

suppress the parasite's replication. Therefore, it is important to understand how this unusual

replication is controlled, both for our fundamental understanding of cell division modes, and to

ensure effective drug treatment of toxoplasmosis. Here, we characterised for the first time the

proteins that control the *Toxoplasma* cell cycle by responding to DNA damage. We report that a

damage-responsive checkpoint exists, but has some unusual features.

KEYWORDS

Toxoplasma, cell cycle, checkpoint, ATM, ATR, PIKK

INTRODUCTION

The apicomplexan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* is a significant cause of disease in both animals and

humans. It is widespread in the global human population as a largely asymptomatic infection, but it

can cause lethal disease in immunocompromised patients, retinal scarring and blindness in

immunocompetent individuals, and severe birth defects if pregnant women are acutely infected.

Accordingly, effective drugs are required to treat acute toxoplasmosis, and concerns have recently

been raised about resistance to current therapies [1]. These therapies usually consist of anti-folate

regimes such as pyrimethamine/sulfadiazine [2], which act to suppress folate metabolism, starve the

parasite of nucleotide precursors and suppress its replication. A detailed understanding of the

parasite's mode of replication is therefore important – both to understand the action of anti-

parasite drugs, and also because *Toxoplasma* replication is fundamentally unusual. As such, it can

illuminate our understanding of the basic biology of eukaryotic cell division.

Toxoplasma lies in the apicomplexan phylum of protozoan parasites and a key feature of this

deeply-diverging phylum is that the species therein do not replicate by conventional binary fission –

the cell division mode used by most model cells from *S. cerevisiae* to human. Instead, they employ a

variety of syncytial division modes, such as endodyogeny in *Toxoplasma*, endopolygeny in

Sarcocystis and schizogony in the malaria parasite *Plasmodium* [3, 4]. Many of the regulatory

proteins that drive and control the *Toxoplasma* cell cycle are now being identified [5-9], and some

elements of this cell cycle are clearly unusual, such as the apparent separation between the nuclear

division and budding cycles [10, 11], but comparatively little is known about stress-responsive

checkpoints within this cycle.

Eukaryotic cells make highly conserved 'checkpoint' responses to DNA damage or stalled

replication, involving a kinase cascade that arrests the cell cycle and promotes DNA repair [12]. This

is initiated by one or more members of the phosphoinositol-3-kinase-like kinase (PIKK) family. These

kinases – Mitosis entry checkpoint 1 (Mec1) in budding yeast; Ataxia-Telangiectasia Mutated or

Rad3-Related (ATM and ATR) in humans – have a catalytic domain that is structurally related to PI3K

lipid kinases [13]. However, they act upon protein substrates instead, including the checkpoint-

transducing kinases Rad53/Chk1/Chk2 and the histone H2AX, which marks damaged DNA for repair.

As an obligate intracellular parasite, *Toxoplasma* can certainly suffer DNA damage through

inflammatory stress, oxidative and free-radical damage, etc. Like most eukaryotes, it encodes

pathways to repair DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs): homologous recombination and non-

homologous end-joining [14]. Therefore, it would make evolutionary sense to retain DNA-damage-

responsive checkpoints. Curiously, these may be absent in at least some apicomplexans:

Plasmodium species seem to entirely lack PIKK kinases [15], and may not arrest their cycle after

aberrant genome replication [16, 17]. *Toxoplasma*, by contrast, does encode PIKK homologues,

although the downstream kinases Chk1/2 have not been clearly identified and their DNA damage

checkpoint has not been thoroughly investigated, despite its potential importance as a target for

anti-parasite drugs [18].

Here, we characterised for the first time the two PIKKs in *T. gondii*, which are annotated

*Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR, according to their homology with human ATM and ATR. Both genes were

categorised as 'fitness conferring' in a Crispr-based gene knockout screen [19]. To our knowledge,

*Tg*ATR was entirely unstudied, while *Tg*ATM was first identified in 2010 [20] in a study of the

acetyltransferase *Tg*MYST. This found that *Tg*MYST may induce a putative ATM homologue, *Tg*ATM,

and also inferred that this homologue may phosphorylate *Tg*H2AX, although *Tg*ATM was not

knocked down or isolated to show this directly. A slow-growth phenotype when *Tg*MYST was over-

expressed was taken to represent *Tg*ATM-induced checkpoint activity, and this was ablated by an

inhibitor of human ATM, KU-55933, which was assumed (but not directly shown) to inhibit *Tg*ATM as

well. A subsequent study also used this inhibitor and showed that KU-55933 itself could slow *T.*

gondii growth, and also abolish H2AX phosphorylation after DNA damage [21].

Hence, there was circumstantial evidence that *Tg*ATM acts as DNA damage checkpoint

kinase, and could be essential for replication by endodyogeny, but neither *Tg*ATM nor *Tg*ATR had

been genetically manipulated or studied directly. Here, we report that *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR can both

be knocked down without any fitness defect in cultured parasites, but that both proteins – in a

largely overlapping manner – do enforce a DNA damage checkpoint in *T. gondii.*

RESULTS

T. gondii encodes homologues of cell cycle checkpoint kinases

To verify the presence of ATM and ATR orthologues in *T. gondii*, we performed a phylogenetic

analysis of known ATM/ATR proteins and their closest matches in *T. gondii* and throughout

apicomplexans and other major eukaryotic lineages (Figure 1, S1). This analysis also included the

related TOR proteins and the PI3K/PI4K kinases, which formed an outgroup. We identified single *T.*

gondii homologues of ATM, ATR and TOR, each of which was most closely related to the respective

human homologue. The *T. gondii* homologues of ATM, ATR and TOR were all annotated in ToxoDB

as putative forms of these three proteins; the single homologues of the lipid kinases PI3K and PI4K

were annotated in ToxoDB only as 'PI3/4 kinases' but one gene clearly grouped with PI3Ks, and the

other gene with PI4Ks, from both human and *Plasmodium* species.

The *T. gondii* proteins each grouped, with strong support, with those of other apicomplexans

and further related myzozoans, indicating that each of these molecules were present in the common

ancestor of all apicomplexans. Conspicuously, *Plasmodium* spp. lacked orthologues for ATM, ATR or

TOR, with the nearest orthologues found as outgroups to these PIKKs, i.e. PI3K and PI4K (Figure 1).

Similarly, there was independent loss of ATM from *Cryptosporidium* and the piroplasms *Babesia* and

Theileria. Thus, while both ATM and ATR occur in *T. gondii*, there is an apparent trend towards loss

or shift of function of these molecules in Apicomplexa.

In the PIKK family, ATM in human cells responds primarily to DNA DSBs, while ATR responds

primarily to stalled replication forks [22]. TOR has a different cell-cycle role, regulating cell growth

and protein production. Therefore, in *T. gondii*, homology would suggest that *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR

should be most directly involved in DNA-damage checkpoint responses to DNA DSBs and stalled

replication forks respectively. However, neither protein had yet been investigated, localised in the

parasite cell, characterised for kinase activity, or knocked out to determine essentiality.

<u>Inducible knockdown of *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR</u>

PIKKs are very large proteins: *Tg*ATM has a predicted size of ~250kDa, and *Tg*ATR, ~650kDa. Cloning

for overexpression or recombinant expression would be prohibitively difficult, as would biochemical

purification of these proteins. We therefore addressed the function of *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR by making

inducible knockdowns, since a genome-wide screen for essential genes in *T. gondii* had previously

suggested that both genes may be refractory to complete knockout [19] (Figure S2A, B).

The strategy for gene tagging and inducible knockdown is shown in Figure 2A and B: 5'

integration of a haemagglutinin (3xHA) tag and a tetracycline-repressible promoter [23, 24]. PCR

genotyping of the resultant mutants confirmed correct integration (Figure S2C, D). Upon induction

of knockdown with anhydrotetracycline (ATc), western blotting showed that all detectable HA-

tagged *Tg*ATM was lost within 24h and this depletion was stable through 72h (Figure S2E). A similar

assessment of the *Tg*ATR knockdown was not possible because the protein was too large for

effective western blotting. However, immunofluorescence assays (IFA) showed the near-total loss of

both proteins within 24h (Figure 2C, D) and this was confirmed by quantifying the

immunofluorescent signals. Residual fluorescence after knockdown was similar to the levels seen in

parental cells without any HA-tagged protein (Figure 2E, F).

Human ATM and ATR have a primarily nuclear location, consistent with their function [25].

Interestingly, however, the reporter-tagged forms of both *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR were detected

throughout the cell (Figure 2C, D), their diffuse appearance was inconsistent with localisation to any

subcellular organelle and confocal microscopy did not reveal further details beyond this generally

diffuse location. It is notable that *Tg*MYST, which has been proposed to acetylate *Tg*ATM, was also

reported to be cytoplasmic, contrary to its expected nuclear location [20]. Neither *Tg*ATM nor

*Tg*ATR appeared in the published subcellular atlas of the *Toxoplasma* proteome [23], and the

difficulty of handling such large, unstable proteins precluded subcellular fractionation for western

blotting to conclusively determine the proportions of *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR in nuclear and cytoplasmic

fractions.

<u>Knockdown of *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR imposes no growth defect or DNA-damage-sensitivity in culture</u>

Contrary to predictions from the genome-wide screen [19], neither gene knockdown showed an

overt growth phenotype in triplicate plaque assays (Figure 3A, B). We therefore concluded that

*Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR were either entirely dispensable for parasite growth in normal culture conditions,

or that these proteins were essential but that residual protein after knockdown, albeit undetectable

via western blot or immunofluorescence, could suffice under normal conditions. In either case,

challenging the parasites with DNA damage might reveal an effect on parasite growth. Therefore,

parasites were subjected to two DNA damaging agents, the alkylating agent methyl methane

sulphonate (MMS) or the topoisomerase inhibitor camptothecin (CPT) (previously validated to cause

H2AX phosphorylation in this system [21]).

Both agents caused a titratable defect in parasite growth, the nature of which appeared to

be different for MMS versus CPT. MMS primarily reduced the number of plaques, suggesting acute

parasite killing followed by a rapid decay of its alkylating activity, so that surviving parasites grew

quite normally over the subsequent 10 days. CPT reduced the number but also, primarily, the size of

plaques that grew after 10 days, suggesting ongoing growth-inhibitory activity as well. However,

none of these effects was clearly exacerbated by the knockdown of either PIKK (Figure 3C-F).

<u>Knockdown of *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR ablates acute checkpoint-like responses to DNA damage</u>

We then reasoned that long-term growth assays might not be a suitable read-out if the knockdowns

did, in fact, impede a DNA damage checkpoint. Parasites that failed to respond to DNA damage and

continued to replicate, rather than inducing DNA repair, might initially have a growth advantage but

might eventually die if their genome was irreparably damaged. Hence the outcome of a 10-day

plaque assay might appear similar whether a checkpoint was induced or not. Accordingly, we sought

more acute phenotypes in the knockdown parasites.

A 24h 'replication assay' quantifies parasite growth during just the first ~3 cycles of

endodyogeny after invasion, by counting the numbers of parasites in newly formed vacuoles in

individual host cells (Figure 4A). Notably, this does not establish whether the cells have all arrested

in a particular stage – pre-replication ('G1') or during S-phase – but simply tests for an acute slowing

of their overall cycling rate. This assay confirmed that replication rates were indeed normal in both

knockdown lines. DNA damage induced by either MMS or CPT could reduce the initial replication

rate and the knockdown of either PIKK ablated this (Figure 4B, C), implying that cell-cycle slowing

after DNA damage was indeed the result of checkpoint induction, and that knockdown parasites

failed to induce such a checkpoint. There was little difference between the TgATM and TgATR

knockdowns, suggesting substantial cooperation between the two proteins, with both being similarly

required for an effective checkpoint response to two types of DNA damage: alkylation or

topoisomerase inhibition. Of note, however,the TgATM knockdown only partially restored

replication to normal rates after CPT damage, but did fully restore it after MMS damage at 25µM,

and almost fully after 50µM, while the TgATR knockdown only partially restored replication to

normal rates after MMS damage, but did fully restore it after CPT damage. This suggests that there is

some degree of specialisation between the two proteins according to different types of DNA

damage, but both proteins were clearly required for a fully effective response.

We then measured a second acute read-out for the DNA damage checkpoint:

phosphorylation of histone H2AX. Foci of γH2AX appeared at substantial levels in normally

replicating parasites, as previously reported [26-28], suggesting a high endogenous level of

replication stress in cultured tachyzoites. Phosphorylation appeared to be induced even further by

DNA damage, particularly via CPT, with brighter and larger foci of γH2AX appearing (Figure 4D, E).

Both the individual knockdowns ablated the γH2AX signal almost entirely, and it could not be

induced even after DNA damage (Figure 4F). Again, both proteins were apparently required, since

either one of the individual knockdown affected H2AX phosphorylation equally severely.

<u>TgATR does not behave quantifiably differently in the presence or absence of TgATM</u>

We wished to investigate the extent and nature of cooperation between TgATM and TgATR.

Accordingly, we added a second, distinguishable tag (3x V5) to the ATR gene in the HA-tagged,

inducible knockdown line for *Tg*ATM (Figure 5A). Thus, we could follow the location and quantity of

*Tg*ATR in cells with *Tg*ATM either present or absent (the 250-650kDa size of these proteins

precluding co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting to test for a direct interaction).

Figure 5B shows that there was no substantial colocalisation of the two proteins: both

appeared in speckles throughout the parasite that were largely non-overlapping. There was also no

clear difference, detectable by immunofluorescence, in the location or amount of *Tg*ATR in the

presence or absence of *Tg*ATM. The assessment of representative immunofluorescence images is

inherently qualitative, so we also quantified the signals in cohorts of cells: both the amount of signal

across individual parasites, and the maximum brightness of foci per parasite. There was

considerable variation in both parameters, but ATR foci did not become reproducible fainter,

brighter or more abundant in the absence of *Tg*ATM (Figure 5C, D). Therefore, although the two

proteins were required in concert to induce checkpoint phenotypes (Figure 4), we could not detect

any direct interaction between them. Finally, we checked whether the distribution of either protein

changed after DNA damage, specifically to concentrate in the nucleus, as might be expected if a DNA

damage response was required there. When the proportion of signal in the nucleus versus

cytoplasm was quantified in cohorts of cells before or after DNA damage, no clear differences were

seen (Figure 5E, F).

<u>A specific inhibitor of human ATM does not phenocopy the knockdown of *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR</u>

Previous publications used the human ATM inhibitor KU-55933 on the assumption that it would be

equally specific for *Tg*ATM [20, 21]. We tested this by comparing the effect of KU-55933 with the

effect of knocking down *Tg*ATM. Figure 6A-C shows that the KU-55933 inhibitor caused a dose-

dependent growth defect in a 10-day plaque assay, in contrast with either individual knockdown,

which did not (Figure 3). Furthermore, the growth defect imposed by the inhibitor was similar

whether an individual PIKK was also knocked down or not (Figure 6C). This led to two potential

conclusions: either the effect of KU-55933 was 'off target' (via a pathway unrelated to

TgATM/TgATR), or alternatively, the inhibitor was targeting both PIKKs and this complete loss of

function was more deleterious for *T. gondii* than the loss of either one alone.

We checked whether treatment with KU-55933 could affect either the amount of *Tg*ATM or

*Tg*ATR in individual parasites, or the maximum brightness of their foci per parasite, by quantifying

IFA images as in figure 5. No consistent changes were detected in the foci of either protein (except,

as expected, when *Tg*ATM was knocked down) (Figure 6D). However, when the total quantity of

protein per parasite was measured, KU-55933 treatment did correlate with an overall increase in the

amount of *Tg*ATM, whereas there was no change in *Tg*ATR (Figure 6E). This implied that KU-55933

might indeed inhibit *Tg*ATM (and potentially also *Tg*ATR) and that the parasite might respond to this

by upregulating *Tg*ATM expression.

To further distinguish between the possibilities of off-target killing or pan-PIKK inhibition, we

checked the previously published result that cells treated with KU-55933 could not phosphorylate

H2AX [21]. This was confirmed: the inhibitor largely prevented γH2AX foci from forming, both in

endogenous replication and in response to DNA damage (Figure 6F). In this regard, inhibitor-

treatment was similar to the individual knockdown of *Tg*ATM (or *Tg*ATR). Since KU-55933 inhibits

human ATM as well, H2AX phosphorylation was equally inhibited in the human host cell nuclei, as

expected (Figure S3). Overall, it appeared likely that KU-55933 did in fact inhibit *Tg*ATM, but that it

additionally affected *Tg*ATR and/or other off-target proteins, resulting in a growth defect.

DISCUSSION

This work characterised the two PIKK homologues in *Toxoplasma gondii*, with the aim of establishing

whether or not they act as DNA damage checkpoint kinases. This was an important question

because toxoplasmosis is often treated with drugs that may cause DNA damage, and also because it

could help to establish how damage-responsive checkpoints have evolved across the apicomplexan

phylum. In this large phylum of early-diverging protists, some parasites, like *T. gondii*, retain PIKK

homologue(s) while other important parasites, like *Plasmodium* spp., appear to have lost them.

We established, phylogenetically, that *T. gondii* does encode orthologues of all the classes of

PIKKs defined in human cells. We then tagged and knocked down the orthologues of ATM and ATR.

The first striking observation was that neither protein was primarily nuclear, but appeared diffusely

throughout the cytoplasm, in largely non-overlapping speckles that were inconsistent with any

particular organelle. It is notable that another protein with a predicted nuclear role, the

acetyltransferase *Tg*MYST, was also reported thus [20], and proposed to shuttle to the nucleus. In

human cells, although ATM is nuclear, it can under some stimuli shuttle to the cytoplasm [29]. We,

however, found no clear evidence that either *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR shuttled between cytoplasm and

nucleus after DNA damage.

Both *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR were then inducibly knocked down, with near-100% efficiency as

seen by immunofluorescence, and also by western blotting in the case of *Tg*ATM. As with any

inducible gene regulation, some residual protein might remain. However, under severe-knockdown

conditions, we found no evidence that either protein was essential or had any effect on normal cell

growth. Nevertheless, after DNA damage, both knockdowns did ablate an acute reduction in cellular

replication, as well as another key marker of the DNA damage response: phosphorylation of histone

H2AX, which showed that a genuine kinase-driven checkpoint signal occurred, and that this required

*Tg*ATM/*Tg*ATR. Thus, there was strong evidence for a canonical checkpoint role for these proteins.

This was not initially detected in plaque assays simply because these assays occur over 10 days and

many lytic cycles. Any parasites that failed to respond to DNA damage and continued to replicate

would probably die from irreparable genome damage before a large plaque could be formed,

resulting in a similar outcome to that seen in parasites that sustained damage and did make a

checkpoint response, arresting or slowing their growth.

Our study did not address whether the DNA damage checkpoint in *T. gondii* is enforced

equally in all phases of the cell cycle. In human cells, damage such as DSBs can be detected and

repaired in G1 and G2 as well as S-phase, whereas other types of damage can be replication-

dependent – detected efficiently only when replication forks are active during S-phase. Further

dissection of this issue would require clear phase markers in *T. gondii:* for example, cells in S-phase

might be detected by bromodeoxyuridine labelling of nascent DNA or fluorescent labelling of

proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). These have both been achieved in *Plasmodium* [30, 31],

but have yet to be demonstrated in *Toxoplasma.*

In human cells, ATR responds primarily to stalled replication forks and ATM, to DNA DSBs

[32]. To establish whether *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR acted similarly, we used two different DNA damaging

agents, the alkylating agent MMS, well-established to cause fork stalling at alkylated bases, and the

topoisomerase inhibitor CPT, which can induce DNA breaks. (Of note, our knockdowns were made

in a background deficient for non-homologous end-joining, but still competent to repair DSBs by

homologous recombination.) Interestingly, we detected little 'division of labour' between *Tg*ATM

and *Tg*ATR: the phenotypes in H2AX phosphorylation and cellular replication were similarly affected

by both knockdowns. The only detectable difference was that high concentrations of MMS still

elicited some replication-slowing when *Tg*ATR was lost, but not when *Tg*ATM was lost, and the

converse was true of CPT, suggesting that *Tg*ATM may be more important in responding to alkylation

damage and *Tg*ATR, to DNA breakage. This would be the opposite specialisation of protein function

to that in human cells. Generally, however, both proteins were required to induce both types of

DNA-damage checkpoint.

Despite this, we found no evidence for their colocalization or reciprocal up/down-regulation,

so it is unlikely that the two proteins act in a stable complex. Mutual regulation, such as

transphosphorylation, may be more likely. In human cells, ATM exists as an inactive dimer and is

induced to autophosphorylate after DNA damage, whereupon it dissociates and its monomers act on

downstream substrates [33]. Perhaps in *T. gondii*, activating phosphorylation event(s) occur

primarily *in trans* and require both PIKKs, or perhaps both PIKKs act in mutually-essential ways on a

single transducer downstream. (In humans, ATM and ATR activate Chk2 and Chk1 respectively [32],

but neither of the Chk kinases has been identified in *T.gondii*). Biochemical experiments would be

required to interrogate these hypotheses, such as generating complete phosphoproteomes of

knockdown parasites, or co-immunoprecipitating the PIKKs to seek evidence for their cross-

phosphorylation. With proteins of 250-650kDa, and of low abundance (neither was detected in the

subcellular atlas of the *Toxoplasma* proteome [24]), such assays are non-trivial.

We attempted to further separate the activities of the two proteins using a 'specific' small-

molecule inhibitor of human ATM, KU-55933, but this did not phenocopy the *Tg*ATM knockdown.

The inhibitor severely affected cell growth, suggesting either off-target effects or an equal inhibition

of both PIKKs, with this being more deleterious than either single knockdown. Since the inhibitor

ablated H2AX phosphorylation, and had the same effect with or without either genetic knockdown,

we favoured the latter explanation. Although we were unable to generate a double genetic

knockdown, KU-55933 may itself generate this effect. If so, this implies that the complete loss of all

PIKK activity does affect cell growth and viability. This would be expected, since DNA damage occurs

during normal replication (as marked by γH2AX), and constant checkpoint activation is probably

required in replicating tachyzoites. Curiously, *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR seem to be mutually required for

this, but perhaps in each genetic knockdown, the single remaining protein achieves some level of

self-activation (or a very low residual level of either knocked-down protein can transphosphorylate

the other), thus yielding a low-level response and hence cell survival, whereas KU-55933-treated

cells make no response at all.

Overall, we conclude that *T. gondii* does have PIKK kinases that enforce a DNA-damage

checkpoint, slowing down cellular replication and phosphorylating the DNA damage marker H2AX.

Unusually, both *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR seem to be required for this, regardless of the type of DNA

damage. This could represent a step towards the tendency for reductive evolution of PIKKs in

apicomplexans: ATR is found broadly, although lost from *Plasmodium* spp., whereas ATM is

independently lost from *Cryptosporidium*, *Babesia* and *Theleria* (Figure 1). This might, in turn, help

to explain the apparently-unique situation in *Plasmodium*, where both PIKK homologues are entirely

lost. (Whether checkpoint activity is then lost in *Plasmodium*, or transferred to another protein,

remains to be explored.)

In terms of cell division, apicomplexan parasites are particularly interesting because they can

divide by different modes at different lifecycle stages. For example, *Plasmodium* uses schizogony in

the blood stage and something resembling endopolygeny in the oocyst [34]; *T. gondii* uses

endodyogeny in intermediate hosts and a division mode that is variously defined in the literature as

endopolygeny or schizogony in the definitive feline host [35-38]. Whether DNA damage checkpoints

are equally active in both stages cannot presently be investigated, because experimental access to

the feline stage in *T. gondii* is very limited. Nevertheless, further investigation of cell-cycle

checkpoints in *T. gondii* might usefully inform the study of checkpoints in other apicomplexans such

as *Plasmodium* as well.

METHODS

<u>Database sampling and phylogenetic analysis</u>

A custom database was collated that included data for relevant eukaryote species from UniProt [39]

and the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project [40], as well as data for

apicomplexans and close relatives from VEuPathDB [41] and two previous studies [42, 43]. This

custom database was then sampled for ATM, ATR and TOR homologues using the program blastp

(BLAST+ version 2.11.0 [44]), for which characterised homologues of these proteins from selected

model organisms, including *T. gondii*, were used as search queries. The dataset of possible ATM, ATR

and TOR homologues obtained was then clustered with CD-HIT [45] to remove highly similar

sequences, thereby reducing overall redundancy of the dataset. Iterative rounds of alignment were

then performed using mafft (MAFFT version 7.475 [46]), conserved site selection using trimal with

"gappyout" mode (trimAl version 1.4 [47]), and tree inference with FastTreeMP (FastTree version

2.1.11 [48]) using the default settings and manual removal of sequences to further reduce dataset

redundancy. Manual removal also identified and removed sequences that aligned poorly or were so

dissimilar from the majority of the sequences in the dataset that they were unlikely to be ATM, ATR

or TOR homologues, but rather false positives of the described sampling strategy. The final curated

dataset that these methods obtained was then aligned using mafft-linsi (MAFFT version 7.475 [46])

and conserved sites were selected using trimal with "gappyout" mode (trimAl version 1.4 [47]). A

phylogeny was then inferred from this dataset using the program iqtree2 (IQ-TREE version 2.1.2

[49]) with 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates (UFBoot2 [50]) and using the best-fitting model,

LG+F+I+G4 ([51]) that was chosen according to the Bayesian Information Criterion by ModelFinder

[52], all implemented within iqtree2. The protein sequences, alignment, and tree inference output

files used to generate the phylogeny are provided at [Journal preferred repository?].

<u>Parasite strains and growth</u>

Toxoplasma gondii (*T. gondii*) tachyzoites from the strain RH and derived strains, including

Δ*ku80*:TATi tachyzoites (a gift from Lilach Sheiner and Boris Striepen, University of Georgia) [53],

were grown on a confluent monolayer of human foreskin fibroblast (HFF) cells in 10 ml flasks of ED1

(Dulbecco's Modified Eagles Medium supplemented with 1% foetal bovine serum (FBS), 0.2 mM L-

glutamine, 0.25 μg ml^{-1} Fungizone (ThermoFisher), 10 unit ml^{-1} penicillin and 10 μg ml^{-1} streptomycin

(ThermoFisher)) and maintained at 37 °C with 10% CO_2, as previously described [54].

<u>Creation of transgenic *T. gondii*</u>

Gene tagging was performed as described by Waller and colleagues [23]. A CRISPR/Cas9-assisted,

PCR-mediated tagging strategy was used to perform endogenous gene tagging with epitope tags

and/or endogenous promoter replacement with an ATc-regulatable t7s4 promoter. The generation

of transgenic *T. gondii* involved a level M Cas9/sgRNA plasmid, P5, containing a specific protospacer

sequence for directing a locus-specific DNA break to facilitate homologous recombination-driven

insertion of the donor DNA fragment. Protospacer sequences were designed for insertion into the

N-terminus of genes encoding putative *T. gondii* homologues of ATR (TGGT1_283702) and ATM

(TGGT1_248530) (Figure S4). The donor DNA fragment was PCR amplified from one of the template

plasmid vectors (Figure S4A) using primers (Figure S4B) that included specific homology arms

directing the integration of this donor DNA construct into the target genetic locus for ATM and ATR.

Electroporation of these constructs was completed as in [23].

<u>Parasite genomic DNA extraction for PCR confirmation</u>

T25 flasks containing mostly extracellular *T. gondii* were scraped and host cell debris was pelleted at

100 *xg* for 5 min. A 1-1.5 ml aliquot was harvested from the supernatant, containing 0.5-5 x 10^6 fully

lysed *T. gondii* tachyzoites, and these were collected by centrifugation (7,000 *xg*/full speed, 2 min).

The cell pellet was resuspended in 20 µl proteinase K solution: 5% proteinase K (Thermo Scientific,

EO0491), 10% PCR buffer 10x (Roche, 11732650001), 85% dH$_2$O. This was incubated at 45 °C for 60

min. The proteinase was heat inactivated at 94 °C for 10 min. The remaining solution was used to

run PCR directly, 0.3 - 1.5 µl as DNA template for a 20 µl PCR reaction.

<u>Plaque assay</u>

Plaque assays required parasites derived from freshly lysed host cells, which were centrifuged at 100

xg for 5 min to remove host cell debris. The same number of parasites (around 500) was added to

each new T25 flask containing HFF monolayer. In experiments testing the knockdown (k/d) of a

target gene, a control of untreated *T. gondii* infected HFFs was used, as well as ATc-treated (k/d) *T.*

gondii infected HFFs. For the ATc treatment, 0.5 µg/ml of ATc was added. After 10 days of

incubation at 37 °C and with 10% CO$_2$, the media from flasks was aspirated and the cells were

washed once with PBS. Cells were fixed with 5 ml of 100% ice-cold methanol for 5 min and stained

with 5 ml of 1% crystal violet solution for 15 min. After staining, crystal violet solution was removed

and flasks were washed three times with PBS. Flasks were allowed to dry, then imaged for

qualitative analysis. Quantitative analysis was also performed on representative fields from three

biological replicates, calculating the percentage plaque area in each field of host cells via ImageJ.

 <u>Immunofluorescence</u>

T.gondii infected HFFs were grown on glass coverslips in 6 well plates. Cells were fixed to coverslips

with 2% PFA/PBS for 15 min at RT and permeabilised with 0.02% TritonX100 in PBS for 10 min. Cells

were blocked for 1 h in 2% BSA/PBS. Coverslips were incubated with primary antibody in blocking

solution for 1 h and then incubated in secondary antibodies in 2% BSA/PBS for 1 h in the dark. 120

μl of 2 μg/ml DAPI solution (Merck) was added for 10 min and then coverslips were mounted on

slides in ProLong® Diamond Antifade (ThermoFisher, P36961). Images were acquired using a Nikon

Eclipse Ti widefield microscope with a Nikon objective lens (Plan APO, 100x/1.45 oil), and a

Hamamatsu C11440, ORCA Flash 4.0 camera. Images were processed using the NIS-Elements

software and ImageJ (v.1.51w).

<u>Replication assay</u>

For the replication assay parasites were pre-treated with or without ATc for 48 h. Parasites derived

from freshly lysed host cells were counted and an equal number (1.6×10^6) was added to HFF

monolayers grown on coverslips in 6-well plates. Cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 $^{\circ}$C to allow

invasion. Parasites that had not invaded were washed off three times with warm ED1. Parasites

were then exposed to the DNA damaging agents CPT or MMS, allowed to grow for a further 24 h

(still with or without ATc), then fixed as per the protocol for immunofluorescence and mounted onto

slides using DAPI stain only. Images were acquired using a Nikon Eclipse Ti widefield microscope with

a Nikon objective lens (Plan APO, 100x/1.45 oil), and a Hamamatsu C11440, ORCA Flash 4.0 camera.

Images were processed using the NIS-Elements software and ImageJ (v.1.51w). Numbers of

parasites in each vacuole were counted for 250 vacuoles per condition.

<u>Immunoblotting</u>

Approximately 50×10^6 parasites were purified from the host cell debris by filtration through 3-μm-

pore-size polycarbonate film membrane filters (Nuclepore Track-Etch Membrane, Whatman). Cells

were collected and washed in PBS by centrifugation at $1,700 \times g$ for 10 min at RT, then pellets were

resuspended in 1x RIPA buffer (150 mM sodium chloride, 1.0% NP-40 or Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium

deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) and 50 mM Tris pH 8, added to 50 ml dH$_2$0).

Protease inhibitors (ROCHE, cOmplete Mini) were added to 10 ml aliquots directly before use).

Samples were freeze-thawed 3 times by placing tubes in dry ice / 100% ethanol and a heated

Eppendorf block at 37 °C. Cell debris was pelleted at 4 °C at 13,000 xg, then supernatant was

removed to a new Eppendorf. 1 x NuPage LDS Sample Buffer (ThermoFisher: NP0007),

supplemented with 0.1% 2-mercaptoethanol, was added to samples and boiled at 90 °C for 5 min.

Protein lysates were resolved on SDS-PAGE in a 4-15% TRIS-glycine gel (BIO-RAD Mini -PROTEAN®

TGX^{TM}) together with a molecular weight marker, Page Ruler Plus (ProteinTech). Gels were run for

1–2 h at 100 V until the ladder 10 kDa band was at the bottom of the gel. Nitrocellulose membrane

0.2-µM-pore-size (Amersham^{TM} Protran®, GE Healthcare) was cut to size and wetted in transfer

buffer. Wet electro-transfer was completed in transfer buffer at 60 V for 45 min.

Membrane was blocked with 3% BSA TBST for 1 h, washed once in TBST and incubated

overnight in primary antibody diluted in blocking buffer at 4 °C. The blot was washed 3x 5 min in

TBST and incubated in a secondary antibody diluted 1:5000 in blocking buffer for 1 h. Blots were

washed again 3x 5 min, TBST, developed using SuperSignal^{TM} West Pico Plus Chemiluminescent

Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaged on the gel imager (Azure, Q500).

<u>IFA quantification analysis</u>

IFA images were analysed by creating regions of interest (ROI) encompassing single parasites, or

nuclei, in Image J. ROIs were created in ImageJ using the 'threshold, analyse particles' function.

ROIs of between 500-5000 pixels were selected; overlapping cells and top-down cells were removed

visually. For nuclei vs whole cell analyses, ROIs for the nuclei were made using DAPI channel images

and then matched to whole cell ROIs using X, Y coordinates. Mean (average signal per cell), and Max

(maximum signal pixel per cell) gray values were measured from each channel. One biological

replicate was analysed for these analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon

2020 research and innovation programme (ERC-2016-COG 725126 to CJM); Wellcome

Award 214298/Z/18/Z to RFW; and a PhD studentship from the University of Cambridge Department

of Pathology to MJK. The funders had no role in study design, data collection, interpretation or the

decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1.   Montazeri M, Mehrzadi S, Sharif M, Sarvi S, Tanzifi A, Aghayan SA, et al. Drug Resistance in
Toxoplasma gondii. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:2587. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02587. PubMed PMID:
30420849; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6215853.
2.   Neville AJ, Zach SJ, Wang X, Larson JJ, Judge AK, Davis LA, et al. Clinically Available Medicines
Demonstrating Anti-Toxoplasma Activity. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy.
2015;59(12):7161-9. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02009-15. PubMed PMID: 26392504; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC4649158.
3.   Francia ME, Striepen B. Cell division in apicomplexan parasites. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2014;12(2):125-36. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3184. PubMed PMID: 24384598.
4.   Striepen B, Jordan CN, Reiff S, van Dooren GG. Building the perfect parasite: cell division in
apicomplexa. PLoS pathogens. 2007;3(6):e78. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.0030078. PubMed PMID:
17604449; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1904476.
5.   Sinai AP, Suvorova ES. The RESTRICTION checkpoint: a window of opportunity governing
developmental transitions in Toxoplasma gondii. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2020;58:99-105. doi:
10.1016/j.mib.2020.09.009. PubMed PMID: 33065371; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8019522.
6.   Hawkins LM, Naumov AV, Batra M, Wang C, Chaput D, Suvorova ES. Novel CRK-Cyclin
Complex Controls Spindle Assembly Checkpoint in Toxoplasma Endodyogeny. mBio.
2021;13(1):e0356121. doi: 10.1128/mbio.03561-21. PubMed PMID: 35130726; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC8822342.
7.   Alvarez CA, Suvorova ES. Checkpoints of apicomplexan cell division identified in Toxoplasma
gondii. PLoS pathogens. 2017;13(7):e1006483. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483. PubMed PMID:
28671988; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5510908.
8.   Suvorova ES, Francia M, Striepen B, White MW. A novel bipartite centrosome coordinates
the apicomplexan cell cycle. PLoS biology. 2015;13(3):e1002093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002093.
PubMed PMID: 25734885; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4348508.
9.   Gubbels MJ, Coppens I, Zarringhalam K, Duraisingh MT, Engelberg K. The Modular Circuitry
of Apicomplexan Cell Division Plasticity. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:670049. doi:
10.3389/fcimb.2021.670049. PubMed PMID: 33912479; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8072463.
10.   Tomasina R, Gonzalez FC, Martins-Duarte ES, Bastin P, Gissot M, Francia ME. Separate To
Operate: the Centriole-Free Inner Core of the Centrosome Regulates the Assembly of the
Intranuclear Spindle in Toxoplasma gondii. mBio. 2022;13(5):e0185922. doi: 10.1128/mbio.01859-
22. PubMed PMID: 36069445; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9600614.
11.   Khelifa AS, Bhaskaran M, Boissavy T, Mouveaux T, Silva TA, Chhuon C, et al. PP1 phosphatase
controls both daughter cell formation and amylopectin levels in Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS biology.

2024;22(9):e3002791. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.3002791. PubMed PMID: 39255306; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC11414933.
12.   Zhou BB, Elledge SJ. The DNA damage response: putting checkpoints in perspective. Nature.
2000;408(6811):433-9. doi: 10.1038/35044005. PubMed PMID: 11100718.
13.   Perry J, Kleckner N. The ATRs, ATMs, and TORs are giant HEAT repeat proteins. Cell.
2003;112(2):151-5. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00033-3. PubMed PMID: 12553904.
14.   Fenoy IM, Bogado SS, Contreras SM, Gottifredi V, Angel SO. The Knowns Unknowns:
Exploring the Homologous Recombination Repair Pathway in Toxoplasma gondii. Front Microbiol.
2016;7:627. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00627. PubMed PMID: 27199954; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4853372.
15.   Matthews H, Duffy CW, Merrick CJ. Checks and balances? DNA replication and the cell cycle
in Plasmodium. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11(1):216. doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-2800-1. PubMed PMID:
29587837; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5872521.
16.   Absalon S, Dvorin JD. Depletion of the mini-chromosome maintenance complex binding
protein allows the progression of cytokinesis despite abnormal karyokinesis during the asexual
development of Plasmodium falciparum. Cellular microbiology. 2021;23(3):e13284. doi:
10.1111/cmi.13284. PubMed PMID: 33124706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8058698.
17.   Matthews H, McDonald J, Totanes FIG, Merrick CJ. Dynamics of DNA replication during male
gametogenesis in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Cellular microbiology. 2022. doi:
doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.18.473304
18.   Angel SO, Vanagas L, Ruiz DM, Cristaldi C, Saldarriaga Cartagena AM, Sullivan WJ, Jr.
Emerging Therapeutic Targets Against Toxoplasma gondii: Update on DNA Repair Response
Inhibitors and Genotoxic Drugs. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10:289. doi:
10.3389/fcimb.2020.00289. PubMed PMID: 32656097; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7325978.
19.   Sidik SM, Huet D, Ganesan SM, Huynh MH, Wang T, Nasamu AS, et al. A Genome-wide
CRISPR Screen in Toxoplasma Identifies Essential Apicomplexan Genes. Cell. 2016;166(6):1423-35
e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.019. PubMed PMID: 27594426; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC5017925.
20.   Vonlaufen N, Naguleswaran A, Coppens I, Sullivan WJ, Jr. MYST family lysine
acetyltransferase facilitates ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) kinase-mediated DNA damage
response in Toxoplasma gondii. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2010;285(15):11154-61. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M109.066134. PubMed PMID: 20159970; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2856992.
21.   Munera Lopez J, Ganuza A, Bogado SS, Munoz D, Ruiz DM, Sullivan WJ, Jr., et al. Evaluation
of ATM Kinase Inhibitor KU-55933 as Potential Anti-Toxoplasma gondii Agent. Front Cell Infect
Microbiol. 2019;9:26. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00026. PubMed PMID: 30815397; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6381018.
22.   Helt CE, Cliby WA, Keng PC, Bambara RA, O'Reilly MA. Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)
and ATM and Rad3-related protein exhibit selective target specificities in response to different forms
of DNA damage. The Journal of biological chemistry. 2005;280(2):1186-92. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M410873200. PubMed PMID: 15533933.
23.   Barylyuk K, Koreny L, Ke H, Butterworth S, Crook OM, Lassadi I, et al. A Comprehensive
Subcellular Atlas of the Toxoplasma Proteome via hyperLOPIT Provides Spatial Context for Protein
Functions. Cell host & microbe. 2020;28(5):752-66 e9. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.09.011. PubMed
PMID: 33053376; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7670262.
24.   Meissner M, Brecht S, Bujard H, Soldati D. Modulation of myosin A expression by a newly
established tetracycline repressor-based inducible system in Toxoplasma gondii. Nucleic acids
research. 2001;29(22):E115. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.22.e115. PubMed PMID: 11713335; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC92585.
25.   Keegan KS, Holtzman DA, Plug AW, Christenson ER, Brainerd EE, Flaggs G, et al. The Atr and
Atm protein kinases associate with different sites along meiotically pairing chromosomes. Genes &
development. 1996;10(19):2423-37. doi: 10.1101/gad.10.19.2423. PubMed PMID: 8843195.

26.   Bogado SS, Dalmasso MC, Ganuza A, Kim K, Sullivan WJ, Jr., Angel SO, et al. Canonical
histone H2Ba and H2A.X dimerize in an opposite genomic localization to H2A.Z/H2B.Z dimers in
Toxoplasma gondii. Molecular and biochemical parasitology. 2014;197(1-2):36-42. doi:
10.1016/j.molbiopara.2014.09.009. PubMed PMID: 25286383; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC4254167.
27.   Dalmasso MC, Onyango DO, Naguleswaran A, Sullivan WJ, Jr., Angel SO. Toxoplasma H2A
variants reveal novel insights into nucleosome composition and functions for this histone family.
Journal of molecular biology. 2009;392(1):33-47. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.07.017. PubMed PMID:
19607843; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2734877.
28.   Nardelli SC, Che FY, Silmon de Monerri NC, Xiao H, Nieves E, Madrid-Aliste C, et al. The
histone code of Toxoplasma gondii comprises conserved and unique posttranslational modifications.
mBio. 2013;4(6):e00922-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00922-13. PubMed PMID: 24327343; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC3870261.
29.   Wu ZH, Shi Y, Tibbetts RS, Miyamoto S. Molecular linkage between the kinase ATM and NF-
kappaB signaling in response to genotoxic stimuli. Science (New York, NY. 2006;311(5764):1141-6.
doi: 10.1126/science.1121513. PubMed PMID: 16497931.
30.   Merrick CJ. Transfection with thymidine kinase permits bromodeoxyuridine labelling of DNA
replication in the human malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Malar J. 2015;14(490). doi:
10.1186/s12936-015-1014-7.
31.   Klaus S, Binder P, Kim J, Machado M, Funaya C, Schaaf V, et al. Asynchronous nuclear cycles
in multinucleated Plasmodium falciparum facilitate rapid proliferation. Sci Adv. 2022;8(13):eabj5362.
doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abj5362. PubMed PMID: 35353560.
32.   Falck J, Petrini JH, Williams BR, Lukas J, Bartek J. The DNA damage-dependent intra-S phase
checkpoint is regulated by parallel pathways. Nature genetics. 2002;30(3):290-4. doi:
10.1038/ng845. PubMed PMID: 11850621.
33.   Bakkenist CJ, Kastan MB. DNA damage activates ATM through intermolecular
autophosphorylation and dimer dissociation. Nature. 2003;421(6922):499-506. doi:
10.1038/nature01368. PubMed PMID: 12556884.
34.   Araki T, Kawai S, Kakuta S, Kobayashi H, Umeki Y, Saito-Nakano Y, et al. Three-dimensional
electron microscopy analysis reveals endopolygeny-like nuclear architecture segregation in
Plasmodium oocyst development. Parasitol Int. 2020;76:102034. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2019.102034.
PubMed PMID: 31805442.
35.   Gubbels MJ, Keroack CD, Dangoudoubiyam S, Worliczek HL, Paul AS, Bauwens C, et al.
Fussing About Fission: Defining Variety Among Mainstream and Exotic Apicomplexan Cell Division
Modes. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020;10:269. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00269. PubMed PMID:
32582569; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7289922.
36.   Hutchison WM, Dunachie JF, Siim JC, Work K. Coccidian-like nature of Toxoplasma gondii. Br
Med J. 1970;1(5689):142-4. doi: 10.1136/bmj.1.5689.142. PubMed PMID: 5413951; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC1699084.
37.   Dubey JP, Frenkel JK. Cyst-induced toxoplasmosis in cats. J Protozool. 1972;19(1):155-77.
doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.1972.tb03431.x. PubMed PMID: 5008846.
38.   Ferguson DJ, Hutchison WM, Dunachie JF, Siim JC. Ultrastructural study of early stages of
asexual multiplication and microgametogony of Toxoplasma gondii in the small intestine of the cat.
Acta Pathol Microbiol Scand B Microbiol Immunol. 1974;82(2):167-81. doi: 10.1111/j.1699-
0463.1974.tb02309.x. PubMed PMID: 4528099.
39.   UniProt C. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic acids research.
2023;51(D1):D523-D31. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1052. PubMed PMID: 36408920; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC9825514.
40.   Keeling PJ, Burki F, Wilcox HM, Allam B, Allen EE, Amaral-Zettler LA, et al. The Marine
Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP): illuminating the functional
diversity of eukaryotic life in the oceans through transcriptome sequencing. PLoS biology.

2014;12(6):e1001889. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889. PubMed PMID: 24959919; PubMed
Central PMCID: PMCPMC4068987.
41. Alvarez-Jarreta J, Amos B, Aurrecoechea C, Bah S, Barba M, Barreto A, et al. VEuPathDB: the
eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics resource center in 2023. Nucleic acids research.
2024;52(D1):D808-D16. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad1003. PubMed PMID: 37953350; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC10767879.
42. Mathur V, Kolisko M, Hehenberger E, Irwin NAT, Leander BS, Kristmundsson A, et al.
Multiple Independent Origins of Apicomplexan-Like Parasites. Curr Biol. 2019;29(17):2936-41 e5.
doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.019. PubMed PMID: 31422883.
43. Janouskovec J, Paskerova GG, Miroliubova TS, Mikhailov KV, Birley T, Aleoshin VV, et al.
Apicomplexan-like parasites are polyphyletic and widely but selectively dependent on cryptic plastid
organelles. Elife. 2019;8. doi: 10.7554/eLife.49662. PubMed PMID: 31418692; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC6733595.
44. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. BMC bioinformatics. 2009;10:421. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
PubMed PMID: 20003500; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2803857.
45. Fu L, Niu B, Zhu Z, Wu S, Li W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation
sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2012;28(23):3150-2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565. PubMed
PMID: 23060610; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3516142.
46. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772-80. doi:
10.1093/molbev/mst010. PubMed PMID: 23329690; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3603318.
47. Capella-Gutierrez S, Silla-Martinez JM, Gabaldon T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment
trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(15):1972-3. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348. PubMed PMID: 19505945; PubMed Central PMCID:
PMCPMC2712344.
48. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. FastTree 2--approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large
alignments. PloS one. 2010;5(3):e9490. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009490. PubMed PMID:
20224823; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2835736.
49. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-
TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. Mol Biol
Evol. 2020;37(5):1530-4. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msaa015. PubMed PMID: 32011700; PubMed Central
PMCID: PMCPMC7182206.
50. Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. UFBoot2: Improving the
Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35(2):518-22. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx281.
PubMed PMID: 29077904; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5850222.
51. Gu X, Fu YX, Li WH. Maximum likelihood estimation of the heterogeneity of substitution rate
among nucleotide sites. Mol Biol Evol. 1995;12(4):546-57. doi:
10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040235. PubMed PMID: 7659011.
52. Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. ModelFinder: fast
model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nature methods. 2017;14(6):587-9. doi:
10.1038/nmeth.4285. PubMed PMID: 28481363; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5453245.
53. Sheiner L, Demerly JL, Poulsen N, Beatty WL, Lucas O, Behnke MS, et al. A systematic screen
to discover and analyze apicoplast proteins identifies a conserved and essential protein import
factor. PLoS pathogens. 2011;7(12):e1002392. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002392. PubMed PMID:
22144892; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3228799.
54. Roos DS, Donald RG, Morrissette NS, Moulton AL. Molecular tools for genetic dissection of
the protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii. Methods Cell Biol. 1994;45:27-63. doi: 10.1016/s0091-
679x(08)61845-2. PubMed PMID: 7707991.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: *T. gondii* encodes homologues of cell cycle checkpoint kinases

Phylogeny inferred from amino acid sequences of ATR, ATM and TOR homologues from various

eukaryotes. The tree is rooted on PI3K and PI4K sequences, which are paralogues of ATR, ATM and

TOR, from Opisthokonts and Apicomplexa. Support values, displayed as percentages, were

generated from 1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates [50] and only support values ≥ 95, indicating that

strongly supported clades are shown. Strongly supported clades are highlighted for ATR (support

value = 100) and ATM (support value = 99) sequences (yellow and green, respectively). The scale bar

represents the number of amino acid substitutions per site. A fully-expanded version of this tree

with no collapsed clades and displaying sequence accessions is provided in Figure S1.

Figure 2: Inducible knockdown of *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR

A,B.   Constructs used for tagging the *Tg*ATM (A) and *Tg*ATR (B) genes in the *T. gondii* genome.

         DHFR*, dihydrofolate reductase (selectable marker gene).

C,D.   IFA showing HA-tagged *Tg*ATM (C) and *Tg*ATR (D) in intracellular tachyzoites: representative

         cells are shown from each tagged line, before and after addition of ATc for 24 h. Scale bar, 2

         μm.

E,F   Quantification of IFA signals in the parental control line (Δ*ku80*:TATi), each tagged line, and

         each knockdown (k/d, ATc treated for 24 h): *Tg*ATM (E) and *Tg*ATR (F). N = 50 parasites

         quantified per condition. Ordinary one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple

         comparisons tests of all samples; P values: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001.

         Comparisons not shown, ns.

Figure 3: Knockdown of *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR imposes no growth defect or sensitivity to DNA damage

A,B.   Images of three replicate plaque assays, either untreated (control) or treated with ATc to

         induce *Tg*ATM k/d (A) and *Tg*ATR k/d (B).

670   C,D.   Plaque assay images are shown with or without DNA damage, CPT or MMS, at the

671   concentrations stated for 10 days. *Tg*ATM k/d (C) or *Tg*ATR k/d (D) parasites were pre-

672   treated with ATc for 24 h and then additionally treated with DNA damaging agents for 10

673   days. Representative images from three biological replicates are shown.

674   E,F.   The percentage area of plaques in each background of host cells was quantified from

675   representative images shown in A, B, C and D: three biological replicates were quantified for

676   each condition. Ordinary one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests

677   were made of each condition vs PIKK k/d, and control vs all; P values: *<0.05, **<0.01,

678   ***<0.001, ****<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns.

680   Figure 4: <u>Knockdown of *Tg*ATM or *Tg*ATR ablates acute checkpoint-like responses to DNA damage</u>

681   A   Images of representative vacuoles containing 2, 4, 8 or 16 parasites, as counted for the

682   graphs in B and C after 24h growth. Scale bar 2 μm.

683   B,C.   Replication assays were conducted on *Tg*ATM k/d (B) and *Tg*ATR k/d (C) parasites, grown for

684   24h under DNA-damaging conditions. Numbers of parasites in 250 vacuoles were counted

685   per condition in each experiment; data from 2-3 biological replicates are shown. Ordinary

686   one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests were made of control vs

687   all other conditions, and of each drug treatment vs k/d with the same treatment. P values:

688   *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns. Also not shown on

689   the graph, for concision: the ATM control line differed from the same line under all DNA

690   damage conditions at p-value ****<0.0001; the ATR control also differed from all DNA

691   damage conditions at p-value ****<0.0001 except ***<0.001 for 2.5uM CPT.

692   D,E.   IFA of H2AX phosphorylation in *Tg*ATM k/d (D) and *Tg*ATR k/d (E) tachyzoites that were

693   treated for 2 h with DNA-damaging agents. Representative images from three biological

694   replicates are shown. Scale bar 2 μm.

695   F   Mean H2AX signal in nuclei was quantified from representative images in D and E using

696   ImageJ. 40 nuclei were quantified per condition for three biological replicates. Ordinary one-

697   way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests were performed for control vs

698   k/d and single drug treatment; and single drug treatment vs k/d plus drug; P values: *<0.05,

699   **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns.

701   Figure 5: *Tg*ATR does not behave quantifiably differently in the presence or absence of *Tg*ATM

702   A.   Construct used to tag the *TgATR* gene with V5, under the tubulin (Tub) promoter and

703   chloramphenicol resistance selectable marker (CAT). Also shown is the original construct

704   used to tag the *TgATM* gene with HA. Schematics show where PCR primers were located to

705   test correct integration of the two tags. The PCR results on the left shows V5-tagged *TgATR*

706   after transfection of this construct into the *Tg*ATM-HA line (no band appeared in the

707   parental control line ('Δ*ku80*:TATi') or in either single-gene-tagged line); the PCR on the right

708   shows that HA-tagged *TgATM* remained in the double-tagged line.

709   B.   IFA showing parasites with both ATR and ATM tagged, in either control or *Tg*ATM knockdown

710   conditions, treated with DNA damaging drug treatments for 2 h, 24 h after infection of fresh

711   host cells. Scale bar, 2 μm.

712   C.   Using IFA images as in (B), maximum brightness of *Tg*ATR and *Tg*ATM foci was measured in

713   both control and ATM-knockdown conditions, as well as in the parental line (Δ*ku80*:TATi).

714   One biological replicate was analysed; n = 50 parasites quantified per condition. Ordinary

715   one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests of control vs all conditions

716   for both *Tg*ATR and *Tg*ATM were completed; P values: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001,

717   ****<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns.

718   D.   Mean brightness of *Tg*ATR and *Tg*ATM signals throughout parasites, measured in both

719   control and ATM-knockdown conditions, as well as the parental line (Δ*ku80*:TATi). N = 50

720   parasites quantified per condition. Statistical analysis as in (C).

721   E,F.   Using IFA images as in (B), the amount of signal in the nucleus and in the whole cell

722   was quantified for both TgATR (E) and TgATM (F), with or without ATM k/d and addition of

723   50μM CPT or 500μM MMS. One biological replicate was analysed in this experiment; n = 50

724   parasites per condition. Ordinary one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple

725   comparisons tests of control vs all conditions for both TgATR and TgATM were completed; P

726   values: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns.

728   Figure 6: KU-55933, an inhibitor of human ATM, does not phenocopy knockdown of TgATM or

729   TgATR

730   A.   Images of plaque assays conducted in the TgATM and TgATR HA-tagged lines treated with

731   increasing concentrations of KU-55933.

732   B.   Images of plaque assays showing the same lines as in (A), treated with KU-55933, after

733   knockdown of TgATM or TgATR.

734   C.   The percentage area of plaques in each background of host cells was quantified from

735   representative images shown in A and B: three biological replicates were quantified for each

736   condition. Ordinary one-way ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests were

737   made of control vs all, KU-55933 treatments against each other, and KU-55933 treatment at

738   2.5μM with vz without PIKK k/d. P values: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001.

739   Comparisons not shown, ns.

740   D.   Using IFA images, the maximum brightness of TgATR and TgATM foci was measured in both

741   control and ATM-knockdown conditions, with or without KU-55933. One biological replicate

742   was analysed; n = 50 parasites quantified per condition. Ordinary one-way ANOVA and post

743   hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests of control vs all conditions for both TgATR and

744   TgATM were completed; P values: *<0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001, ****<0.0001. Comparisons

745   not shown, ns.

E.   Mean brightness of *Tg*ATR and *Tg*ATM signals throughout parasites, measured in both

control and ATM-knockdown conditions, with or without KU55933. N = 50 parasites

quantified per condition. Statistical analysis as in (D).

F.   IFA showing H2AX foci in the parental strain, treated or not treated with KU55933, with or

without DNA-damaging drugs MMS or CPT. Scale bar, 2 μm. Mean H2AX signals were then

quantified in 40 nuclei per condition, from three biological replicates, and these are shown

in the graph (control data are shown alongside, as in Figure 4). Ordinary one-way ANOVA

and post hoc Tukey's multiple comparisons tests were made; P values: *<0.05, **<0.01,

<0.001, *<0.0001. Comparisons not shown, ns.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1: Homologues of cell cycle checkpoint kinases in Apicomplexans and other eukaryotes

A fully expanded version of the phylogenetic tree shown in Figure 1.

Figure S2: Inducible knockdown of *Tg*ATM and *Tg*ATR

A.   The phenotype score for *Tg*ATM from a genome-wide CRISPR screen to identify essential

genes in *T. gondii* (Sidik et al., 2016).

B.   The phenotype score for *Tg*ATR from a genome-wide CRISPR screen to identify essential

genes in *T. gondii* (Sidik et al., 2016).

C.   The schematic shows where the primers were located for PCR-based testing of correct

integration of the HA tag on the *ATM* gene. The PCR shows the correct size band was

present in all ATM clones and not in the parental control line. Asterisks denote non-specific

bands that amplified from both the parental line ('Δ*ku80*:TATi') and HA-tagged clones.

D.   The schematic shows primers designed to test for correct integration of the HA tag on the

770   *ATR* gene. The PCR shows correct integration in several clones and the absence of a product

771   from the parental control line; an HA-tagged ATM control (as in C) is also shown.

772   *E.*   Anti-HA western blot of samples from an ATM tagged clone, taken at 24, 48 and 72 h after

773   the addition of ATc. Profilin was used as a control protein.

Figure S3: ATc has no effect on the DNA damage checkpoint response of the host cell of *T. gondii,*

whereas KU-55933 inhibits this response.

Immunofluorescence images showing that phosphorylation of H2AX in the host cell nucleus a) was

not affected by addition of ATc, in cells either uninfected or infected with the parental line of *T.*

gondii, Δ*ku80*:TATi; b) was inhibited upon addition of the KU-55933 inhibitor. Cells were treated

with 0.5mg/ml ATc, 50 μM CPT, 50μM KU-55933, or combinations of these. Representative images

from one biological replicate are shown. Scale bar 5 μm.

Figure S4: Resource table

A.   Table containing plasmid maps.

B.   Table containing protospacer and primer sequences.

C.   Table containing antibodies used.

Figure 1:

Figure 2:

Figure 3:

Figure 4:

Figure 5:

Figure 6: