Tomato cystatin SlCYS8 as a trigger of drought tolerance and tuber yield in potato

Maude Dorval[1,2], Jonathan Tremblay[1,2], Gabrielle Veillet[1,2], Marc-Antoine Chiasson[1,3], Marie-Claire Goulet[1,2], Thiago Gumiere[1,3], Steeve Pepin[1,3], Charles Goulet[1,2], Dominique Michaud[1,2*]

[1] Centre de recherche et d'innovation sur les végétaux, Université Laval, Québec QC, Canada

[2] Département de phytologie, Université Laval, Québec QC, Canada

[3] Département des sols et de génie agroalimentaire, Université Laval, Québec QC, Canada

* Author for correspondence

Running title

Cystatins as triggers of yield and drought resilience in potato

Keywords

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), tomato cystatin SlCYS8, drought tolerance, tuber yield

Abbreviations

ANOVA, analysis of variance; CCII, corn cystatin II; DDA, data-dependent acquisition; DTI, drought tolerance index; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry; PEG, polyethylene glycol; SlCYS8, eighth inhibitory domain of tomato multicystatin; YTI, yield performance index

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Discovery grant from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada to D.M.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abstract

Current climate change scenarios predict an increased incidence of drought episodes likely to affect potato crops worldwide. Potato exhibits a low-density, shallow root system that makes it particularly vulnerable to water shortage and any successful attempt to implement drought tolerance in cultivated potato varieties is potentially relevant from an agronomic standpoint. In this study, we assessed the potential of tomato cystatin SlCYS8 to promote drought tolerance in SlCYS8-expressing potato lines by induction of stress-related pleiotropy. Up to now, protease inhibitors of the cystatin protein superfamily have been mostly considered as biotechnological tools to engineer pest or pathogen resistance in crops, but several recent studies have also revealed a possible link between abiotic stress tolerance and these regulatory proteins in leaf tissue. SlCYS8-expressing plantlets grown on culture medium containing the drought mimic polyethylene glycol (PEG) exhibited an elevated root-to-shoot ratio, an indicator of drought tolerance in potato. A similar conclusion could be drawn with greenhouse-grown acclimated plants, confirming a relative root growth-promoting effect for the recombinant inhibitor upon water deficit. SlCYS8-potato lines also showed a high tuber yield compared to the control line under both limiting and non-limiting water regimes, suggesting an improved efficiency of the primary metabolism and the avoidance of a growth–stress response tradeoff in the modified lines. Accordingly, SlCYS8 expression was associated with a stress response-oriented proteome in leaves likely explained by pleiotropic effects of the recombinant cystatin driving the constitutive expression of stress-related proteins and the upregulation of primary metabolism-associated proteins. Overall, these data suggest the potential of cystatins as molecular triggers of tuber biomass production and drought resilience in potato. Complementary studies will be warranted to assess tuber yield of the SlCYS8-lines under different water regimes in field conditions.

Introduction

Potatoes are an important source of nutrients for humans, with more than 350 million tons of tubers produced worldwide each year (FAO, 2023). At the global scale, potato ranks no. 6 among major crops after sugarcane, corn, wheat, rice and palm oil (FAO, 2022), and no. 1 among non-cereal food crops (Dahal et al., 2019). This crop grown on various soil types is now

considered as a key player in the fight against hunger and malnutrition by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2009). Potato tubers present a high nutritional value and provide an array of essential nutrients including carbohydrates, proteins, amino acids, fibers, vitamins and minerals (Beals, 2019). From a socioeconomic standpoint, farming and trading potatoes at the local scale promote food and economic security for human families and populations in many developing countries (FAO, 2009).

Despite interesting attributes, potato as a global source of energy and nutrients presents obvious challenges in the current context of a changing climate. This plant exhibits a low-density, shallow root system that makes it particularly susceptible to rising temperatures and a growing number of drought episodes every year (Dahal et al., 2019; Hill et al., 2021). Early models were already estimating, two decades ago, that potato crops might face a ~20–30 % yield loss due to global warming by 2050 (Hijmans, 2003). Modern potato varieties are ill prepared for future water deficits compared to their native counterparts bearing drought tolerance traits (Monneveux et al., 2013). Unfortunately, wild potato cultivars and Andean landraces are predominantly adapted to low latitude climates and are less suitable for farming on a global scale. Breeding efforts to transfer tolerance genes from native potato varieties to modern cultivars is an option for drought tolerance introgression, but this often results in undesirable outcomes such as a lower tuber yield or a high content of toxic alkaloids in tuber flesh (Monneveux et al., 2013).

Alternative strategies to classical breeding have been proposed for potato improvement, involving transgene addition by genetic transformation or specific, targeted alterations of the genome sequence by gene editing approaches (Hofvander et al., 2022; del Mar Martinez-Prada et al., 2021). In this study, we assessed the potential of plant cystatins as recombinant triggers of drought tolerance in cultivated potato. Members of the cystatin protein superfamily behave as pseudosubstrates to inhibit peptide bond hydrolysis in the active site cleft of cysteine (Cys) proteases (Benchabane et al., 2010; Martínez et al., 2012). In plants, cystatins and their Cys protease targets are involved in the regulation of key physiological processes including programmed cell death, protein deposition in storage organs and amino acid recycling in senescent leaves (Benchabane et al., 2010). Cystatins are also induced in leaves under various biotic or abiotic stress conditions and their practical potential in plant

protection against herbivorous pests and pathogens has been discussed extensively over the past decades (reviewed in Tremblay et al., 2019). More recently, research efforts have been deployed to explore the usefulness of these proteins in alleviating abiotic stress-induced symptoms under adverse cultural or environmental conditions like soil salinity (Liu et al., 2020), soil alkalinity (Sun et al., 2014), drought (Quain et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2017a; Tan et al., 2015) and suboptimal temperatures (Je et al., 2014; Van der Vyver et al., 2003).

At this point, the mode of action of inhibitory cystatins is well characterized at the biochemical scale but the physiological effects of plant cystatins remain elusive for the most part. A useful, generic model to explain the action of these proteins in plants is the establishment of a high cystatin–Cys protease stoichiometric ratio upon cystatin induction or heterologous expression, which in turn influences Cys protease-dependent physiological functions *in planta* (Benchabane et al., 2010). In potato, the hypothesis of a stoichiometric ratio-driven impact for cystatins is well supported by an elevated multicystatin–Cys protease ratio in growing tubers accumulating storage proteins (Weeda et al., 2009) and, conversely, a low cystatin–Cys protease ratio in germinating tubers hydrolyzing storage proteins for amino acid mobilization (Weeda et al., 2010). The physiological impacts of a high cystatin content in potato were also illustrated by reduced Cys protease activities leading to delayed sprouting in stored tubers expressing rice cystatin I (Munger et al., 2015), or by the induction of stress proteins and Cys protease-independent resistance to the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* in potato lines engineered to express corn cystatin II (Munger et al., 2012). In this study, we assessed the potential of SlCYS8, a model cystatin from tomato (Goulet et al., 2008), to promote drought tolerance in potato via the induction of stress-related pleiotropic effects in leaf tissue.

Results and Discussion

SlCYS8-potato lines present a stress protein-enriched leaf proteome

Transgenic potato lines expressing tomato SlCYS8 and the antibiotic selection marker neomycin phosphotransferase II were regenerated *in vitro* on kanamycin-containing medium following *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation with a synthetic version of the SlCYS8 DNA coding sequence (**Fig. 1A**; **Supplementary Fig. S1**). *In vitro* clones obtained from independent

calli were acclimated in the greenhouse and PCR-tested for the selection marker gene using appropriate DNA primers. A ~500-base-long 'nptii' amplicon was amplified from the genomic DNA of all tested plants, confirming that all clones regenerated on kanamycin-medium had been genetically transformed by the bacterial vector. Immunodetections were performed with rabbit anti-SlCYS8 polyclonal IgG to compare SlCYS8 levels in leaves among the regenerated clones. As expected, given the random site insertion of transgenes in the genome of *Agrobacterium*-infected cells, the immunoblot signals for SlCYS8 at ~10 kDa differed from one clone to another, from null [undetectable] (e.g., clones C54, C55 and C57) or very weak (clones C44 and C53) to moderate (clones C3, C6, C56 and C58) or strong (clones C41, C43 and C51) (**Fig. 1B**). A liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis was performed on leaf protein extracts of control line K and transgenic clones C41, C43 and C51 to confirm an increased amount of cystatin peptides in the SlCYS8-expressing clones (**Fig. 1C**). Potato leaves naturally produce a stress-inducible, insoluble 88-kDa multidomain cystatin, potato multicystatin, that can be found at some level in healthy leaves (Munger et al., 2012). Accordingly, cystatin peptides presumably derived from the endogenous inhibitor domains were detected in leaves of control line K. By comparison, cystatin peptide intensities in lines C41, C43 and C51 were two to three times greater, in line with SlCYS8 heterologous expression in the modified lines leading to an increased cystatin–Cys protease stoichiometric ratio in leaf tissue.

We conducted a detailed analysis of our LC-MS/MS dataset to assess the possible impacts of SlCYS8 expression on the host plant defense proteome. Several studies have reported endogenous protein alterations in plants expressing a recombinant cystatin (Tremblay et al., 2019). In potato, heterologous expression of corn cystatin II (CCII) was shown to upregulate normally inducible biotic stress-related (defense) proteins in leaves, including pathogenesis-related (PR) protein PR-1, PR-2 proteins (ß-glucanases), PR-3 proteins (chitinases) and protease inhibitors (Munger et al., 2012). CCII heterologous expression was also associated with the upregulation of abiotic stress-related proteins involved in osmotic and oxidative stress mitigation, such as osmotin and secretory peroxidases (Munger et al., 2012). Supporting these previous observations and confirming the successful expression of tomato SlCYS8 under an active form in potato cells, numerous alterations of the leaf proteome were noted in lines

C41, C43 and C51 compared to control line K. Out of 3,141 proteins detected overall (**Supplementary Dataset S1**), 175 proteins were differentially regulated in the transgenic lines, including 122 upregulated proteins and 53 downregulated proteins (**Fig. 2**). A Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was conducted for those 151 proteins among the 175 differentially expressed proteins that were significantly regulated in at least one, and detected in at least two, of the three tested lines. Of these 151 proteins, 52 were identified as being likely associated with biotic stress (defense) or abiotic stress responses (**Fig. 3**).

Upregulated defense-related proteins included several salicylic acid-inducible PR proteins, protease inhibitors and key enzymes of the phytoalexin and coumarin biosynthetic pathways including acetyl-CoA synthetase, coumarate ligase, 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase and cinnamoyl-CoA reductase. Proteins known to alleviate the detrimental effects of abiotic stresses were also found in the upregulated fraction (**Fig. 3**), including xenobiotics-metabolizing enzymes and oxidative stress-mitigating enzymes such as secretory peroxidases, a cytochrome P450 monooxygenase and several enzymes of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway (Kidwai et al., 2020; Pandian et al., 2020; Shomali et al., 2022). An ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter and a peptidyl-prolyl isomerase catalyzing proline biosynthesis were also upregulated, in line with the well-documented protective functions of proline and ABC transporters in adverse conditions (Dahuja et al., 2021; Ghosh et al., 2021). These observations suggesting the onset of a stress protein-enriched proteome in the SlCYS8-potato lines were in agreement with previous studies reporting increased antioxidant enzyme activities and reduced accumulation of reactive oxygen species, including hydrogen peroxide, in Arabidopsis, tobacco and apple engineered to express a recombinant cystatin (Demirevska et al., 2010; Tan et al., 2017a,b, 2016, 2015).

Unexpectedly, several defense proteins were downregulated in the SlCYS8-lines, including protease inhibitors, acidic endochitinases and ß-glucanases naturally induced by the defense elicitor jasmonic acid (**Fig. 3**). This observation, together with the upregulation of salicylic acid-inducible proteins in the modified lines (see above), prompted us to look for a possible impact of SlCYS8 expression on the relative concentrations of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid in leaf tissue. These two defense elicitors present an antagonistic relationship *in planta* and it is well established that an increase in salicylic acid cellular content generally compromises the

expression of jasmonic acid-inducible genes (Van der Does et al., 2013). To address this question, an ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-MS/MS procedure was followed to compare the hormone profiles of SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51 with the hormone profile of control line K (**Supplementary Fig. S2**). In brief, the basic levels of auxin, cytokinin, abscisic acid and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), the precursor of ethylene, were roughly comparable in the four tested lines, suggesting a limited impact of SlCYS8 expression on the content of these hormones in leaf tissue. By comparison, jasmonic acid content was slightly lower in the SlCYS8-expressing lines, possibly explaining in part the observed downregulation of jasmonic acid-inducible proteins in leaves. Salicylic acid and its conjugated forms were also found at slightly lower levels in the SlCYS8-potato lines, ruling out the possibility of a salicylic acid-dependent repression of the jasmonate-inducible proteins, and the possibility of a salicylic acid-dependent upregulation of salicylic acid-inducible proteins upon SlCYS8 expression. Together, these observations suggested the onset of hormone-independent pleiotropic effects for the recombinant cystatin likely involving the sequential inhibition of (a) [still to be identified] Cys protease target(s) in leaves and a subsequent hormone-independent up- or downregulation of several components of the stress/defense-related leaf proteome.

SlCYS8-potato and control K lines show contrasting phenotypes under well-watered and water deficit treatments

Our MS/MS data showing the onset of a stress protein-enriched proteome in the SlCYS8-expressing lines supported the idea of an eventual beneficial impact for the recombinant cystatin under water deficit conditions. This assumption was also suggested by previous transcriptomic studies highlighting the preferential expression of stress-related proteins in drought-tolerant potato genotypes (Watkinson et al., 2006; Aliche et al., 2022). Stress proteins in these lines included oxidation-mitigating enzymes, cytochromes P450 and different enzymes of the flavonoid and phenylpropanoid biosynthetic pathways, similar to the population of stress proteins here identified as upregulated in our proteome dataset for the SlCYS8-expressing lines.

A water deficit assay was performed with *in vitro*-grown potato plantlets as a first step to assess the drought tolerance status of lines C41, C43 and C51, using the osmotic stress agent polyethylene glycol (PEG) as a mimic for water stress (Anithakumari et al., 2011) (**Fig. 4**, **Supplementary Table S1**). As expected, given the well-documented negative impact of water deficit on leaf cell growth, increasing concentrations of PEG in the growth medium, from 0 to 40 mM, induced a gradual decline of plantlet height and shoot (aboveground) biomass, easily observed after 4 weeks for all tested lines (*see* **Fig. 4A** for control line K). Despite a reduced growth rate, SlCYC8-expressing plantlets grown on 20 mM PEG medium exhibited a substantially increased root-*to*-shoot dry biomass ratio (**Fig. 4B**), a positive marker of drought tolerance in potato (Schafleitner et al., 2007). Variable, non-conclusive effects were observed at 30 mM and 40 mM PEG for lines C43 and C51 (not shown), likely indicative of severe stress effects above the host plant's ability to tolerate the osmotic agent stressor. By comparison, control line K showed a low root-*to*-shoot biomass ratio at 20 mM compared to the SlCYS8-potato lines, roughly comparable to its root-*to*-shoot biomass ratio at 0 mM, 30 mM or 40 mM PEG.

A complementary experiment was conducted in greenhouse with fully-grown, acclimated plants to confirm the apparent ability of the SlCYS8-potato lines to better sustain the relative biomass of their root system on a whole plant basis and to assess the ability of these lines to grow and produce tubers under water deficit conditions over a complete production cycle. Acclimated plantlets were placed in 0.14 m^3 units filled with a handmade blend of sand and organic soil assembled to present good drainage and a propensity to generate water deficit conditions. The plants were grown to full maturity (i.e., up to the flowering stage) under well-irrigated conditions. Three water treatments were then applied until tuber harvest, in such a way as to generate well-watered (–5 kPa) and water deficit (–10 kPa, –15 kPa) conditions. The substrate matric potential was monitored without interruption to ensure stable water conditions throughout the experiment. Several above- and belowground measurements were taken at harvest, as summarized in **Table 1**.

Significant differences were observed among treatments after 90 d for most of the traits considered, thereby confirming the validity of our water deficit treatments. Transgenic lines differed from the control line in terms of aboveground biomass distribution, with the control

plants presenting longer stems and SlCYS8-expressing lines harbouring a larger number of shoots (**Table 1**). In a previous study, Quain et al. (2014) reported a shoot branching phenotype for soybean lines expressing rice cystatin I, reminiscent of the shoot branching phenotype of an Arabidopsis mutant for CCD7 and CCD8, two key enzymes of the strigolactone biosynthetic pathway. Here, the underlying cause of an increased shoot branching in the SlCYS8-expressing lines remains to be determined. A physiological effect implicating strigolactone deficiency upon SlCYS8 heterologous expression cannot be ruled out at this stage but this scenario appears unlikely considering the hormone analyses. The net impact of strigolactones on shoot branching is generally explained by a positive effect on auxin biosynthesis and/or a concomitant negative effect on cytokinin biosynthesis (Barbier et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). Based on current models, any change in shoot branching pattern implicating strigolactones would be associated with an altered auxin/cytokinin balance *in planta* that does not match the unchanged concentrations of auxins and cytokinins observed above in the SlCYS8-expressing lines (**Supplementary Fig. S2**).

As expected, given the well-described negative impact of water deficit on plant cell growth, plant canopy biomass decreased under water stress for both the SlCYS8-expressing and control lines (**Table 1**). More specifically, the four lines showed a similar aboveground fresh biomass for both the well-irrigated and water deficit treatments. Minor differences were observed among the lines for the dry aboveground biomass but the differences were marginal, as indicated by a non-significant post-hoc Tukey test on the average biomass values (**Supplementary Table S2**). As for the aboveground biomass, dry root biomass decreased with water deficit intensity, but the length of the root system remained unchanged among the lines under the different water regimes (**Table 1**). By contrast, and as observed above with the *in vitro* plantlets, the SlCYS8-expressing lines exhibited an increased root-*to*-shoot biomass ratio under water deficit, greater overall than the root-*to*-shoot ratio determined for the control line, especially for the most intense water deficit treatment at −15 kPa. Considering the stress protein enrichment effects of SlCYS8 on the leaf proteome, the ability of the SlCYS8-expressing lines to sustain a greater root-*to*-shoot dry biomass ratio under water deficit, either *in vitro* or *ex vitro*, raised the possibility of an improved tuber yield for

these lines compared to the control line, eventually associated with an improved cellular machinery for stress mitigation under adverse conditions.

SlCYS8-potatoes show higher tuber yields under both well-irrigated and water deficit treatments

In accordance with the negative impact of water deficit on plant canopy biomass, total tuber biomass was negatively correlated with water deficit intensity for all tested lines (**Fig. 5A**). The total number of tubers per plant remained unaltered upon water stress (**Fig. 5B**), thus suggesting no direct effect of SlCYS8 expression on tuber initiation. As expected, given the proteomic and phenotypic inferences above, total tuber biomass produced by the SlCYS8 lines was greater than the control line under water deficit treatments (**Fig. 5A**). Much interestingly, total tuber biomass was ~50% greater for the SlCYS8 lines under the well-watered treatment, indeed confirming a positive impact of SlCYS8 expression not only on the stress response but also on basic, yield-associated primary metabolic functions.

We revisited our MS/MS data to look for leaf proteome alterations eventually supporting the idea of a strengthened primary metabolism machinery in lines C41, C43 and C51 (**Fig. 6**). Of the 122 proteins identified above as upregulated in the SlCYS8-expressing lines compared to control line K (**Supplementary Dataset S1**), almost 60 proteins could be assigned to GO functional categories directly or indirectly related to cellular metabolism, plant growth and/or tuber yield. Twenty-six (26) proteins involved in protein biosynthesis and maturation, including several ribosomal proteins and eukaryotic translation factors, and 11 proteins involved in protein transport or trafficking were enriched in the transgenic lines (**Fig. 6A,B**), suggesting a general amplification of the protein biosynthetic machinery upon recombinant cystatin expression. Seven (7) starch-/sugar-metabolizing enzymes and 13 photosynthesis-associated proteins, including chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins, ferredoxin and thioredoxin, were also found at higher levels in the transgenic lines (**Fig. 6C,D**), in accordance with greater tuber biomass for the SlCYS8-expressing lines under non-limiting water conditions (**Fig. 5A**) and the previously reported preferential expression of starch- and carbohydrate-processing genes in drought-tolerant potato varieties (Aliche et al., 2022).

We calculated drought tolerance (DTI) and yield performance (YTI) indices to determine whether higher tuber yields for the SlCYS8 lines upon water deficit were associated with an actual drought tolerance phenotype or, alternatively, with an improved metabolic status sustaining higher yields in water-limiting conditions as a result of upstream yield-promoting pleiotropic effects already giving the plant an advantage in non-limiting conditions (**Table 2**). DTI measures the drought tolerance status of a given genotype, as defined in terms of yield preservation under limiting water conditions compared to the average DTI index of all considered genotypes, while YTI discriminates a given genotype based on its yield potential compared to the other genotypes under all tested conditions (Ober et al., 2004). In brief, no significant difference was observed between the lines or the irrigation treatments for the DTI indices, suggesting a general, drought-independent yield-promoting effect of the recombinant cystatin in lines C41, C43 and C51 under water deficit conditions. This conclusion was supported by greater YTI indices for the transgenic lines, estimated at about two times the YTI indices calculated for control line K under the water deficit treatments.

Conclusion

Several papers over the last decade highlighted a possible link between plant abiotic stress tolerance (or resilience) and the occurrence of cystatins in leaf tissue. Our goal in this study was to assess the potential of a well-characterized plant cystatin, tomato SlCYS8 (Goulet et al., 2008), to trigger water deficit tolerance in cultivated potato by the induction of stress-related pleiotropic effects. Supporting this eventuality, transgenic potato lines expressing SlCYS8 presented a stress protein-enriched leaf proteome and a significantly increased root-*to*-shoot biomass ratio under water deficit conditions, either *in vitro* with non-acclimated plantlets and *ex vitro* after plant acclimation. Unexpectedly, given the usual growth–defense tradeoffs observed in plants coping with stressful environments (Claeys and Inzé, 2013) and the often poor agronomic performance of potato accessions presenting abiotic stress tolerance traits (Monneveux et al., 2013), SlCYS8 expression also had a positive impact on tuber yield, associated with the upregulation of growth-, photosynthesis- and yield-associated proteins in leaves. Overall, these observations confirmed the manifestation of multiple SlCYS8-induced

pleiotropic effects in potato promoting its general performance under both favorable and less favorable water regimes.

Studies will be warranted in coming years to validate the observed positive effects of SlCYS8 on tuber yield and drought tolerance (or resilience) in field conditions, and to assess the potential of alternative cystatin candidates for the improvement of potato cultivars presenting different degrees of drought tolerance. Studies will also be welcome to further characterize the *in planta* physiological effects of SlCSY8, from the identification of its putative protease target(s) to the elucidation of the cellular and/or gene regulatory processes driving the observed alterations of the leaf proteome. Work is now planned to identify the protease target(s) of SlCYS8 in potato leaves, as a first step toward the design of a genome editing alternative to the SlCYS8 transgene, 'GM-based' strategy relying on post-expression protease inhibition.

Materials and methods

SlCYS8 transgene construct

Transgenic potato lines expressing a codon-optimized version of the SlCYS8 DNA sequence were used for the experiments to prevent silencing in leaves expressing potato multicystatin, a close homologue of the tomato cystatin. The codon-optimized sequence, *slcys8**, was designed *in silico* by the inclusion of synonymous mutations, in such a way as to preserve the original amino acid sequence (**Supplementary Fig. S1**). A DNA template for the synthetic transgene was synthesized as a DNA g-block™ (Integrated DNA Technologies, inc.) including AttB1.1 and AttB2.1 cloning sites for Gateway™ cloning in the pDONR plasmid (Reece-Hoyes & Walhout, 2018). The synthetic transgene included a CaMV35S terminator sequence in 3' position of the cystatin coding sequence and a CaMV35S promoter for constitutive expression in 5' position (**Fig. 1A**).

Transgenic lines

Transgenic potato lines were produced by *A. tumefaciens*-mediated transformation using 1-cm^2 leaf explants from potato line K (*Solanum tuberosum*, cv. Kennebec) as source material

(Badri et al., 2009). Transgenic cells were selected using the neomycin phosphotransferase II selection marker for kanamycin resistance and regenerated *in vitro* as described earlier (Michaud and Vrain, 1998). The regenerated plantlets were acclimated for 14 d in a growth chamber under a 24℃/21℃ day/night temperature cycle, a 12:12 h light/dark photoperiod, a light intensity of 175 µmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} and a relative humidity of 60 %, before their transfer in greenhouse for multiplication and further analysis. Integration of the *nptii* selection transgene in kanamycin-resistant plants was confirmed by PCR using DNA extracted from the leaves of acclimated plants, according to Edwards *et al*. (1991). The following primers were used for amplification: 5'–ACTGAAGCGGGAAGGGACTGGCTGCTATTG and 3'–GATACCGTAAAGCACGAG-GAAGCGGTCAG, to give a DNA amplicon visualized as a ~500-base band after electrophoretic separation in 1% (w/v) agarose gels.

Protein extraction and immunoblotting

Recombinant SlCYS8 was immunodetected in total soluble protein extracts from the third fully expanded leaf of ~30 cm-tall plants, down from the apex. Leaf proteins were extracted in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, containing 1 mM sodium metabisulfite and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride as described earlier (Rivard et al., 2006). The protein extracts were resolved by 12% (w/v) SDS-PAGE using a Bio-Rad Mini PROTEAN 3™ electrophoresis unit (Bio-Rad) and electrotransferred onto Hybond ECL nitrocellulose sheets (GE Healthcare) with a Mini-Trans-Blot Electrophoretic Transfer Cell™ (Bio-Rad), according to the supplier's instructions. SlCYS8 was immunodetected with commissioned polyclonal IgG raised in rabbits against SlCYS8 (AgriSera) and goat anti-rabbit secondary IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. Protein–antibody complexes were visualized using the alkaline phosphatase substrate 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate and nitro blue tetrazolium for color development (Life Technologies).

Leaf proteome analysis

Leaf proteomes were analyzed by nanoscale liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (nanoLC-MS/MS) at the Plateforme protéomique du Centre hospitalier universitaire de Québec, Québec QC, Canada. Leaf protein samples from four biological

replicates were analyzed for each tested line. Protein extracts (see above) were precipitated overnight at –20°C with five volumes of cold acetone, centrifuged and resuspended by sonication in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate containing 1% (w/v) sodium deoxycholate. The resuspended proteins (50 μg) were reduced, alkylated and digested with sequence grade-trypsin (Promega) at a protease/protein ratio of 1:30. The resulting peptides were desalted using Empore StageTips pipette tips (CDS Analytical) prior to LC-MS/MS analysis. The nanoLC-MS/MS system comprised a U3000 NanoRSLC LC system (ThermoScientific Dionex Softron GmbH) in line with an Orbitrap Fusion Tribid-ETD mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific) driven by the Orbitrap Fusion Tune Application 3.3.2782.34 and equipped with a Nanospray Flex ion source. An equivalent of 2.0 μg protein of each sample diluted in 5 μL was injected into the system. The peptides were trapped for 5 min at 20 μL/min in loading solvent (2% ACN/0.05% TFA) on a 300mm i.d. x 5 mm, C18 PepMap100, 5 mm, 100 Å precolumn cartridge (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The precolumn was switched in line with a PepMap100 RSLC, C18 3 mm, 100 Å, 75 μm i.d. x 50 cm-long column (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The peptides were eluted along a 5%-40% linear gradient of solvent B (A: 0.1% FA; B: 80% ACN/0.1% FA) at 300 nL/min over a period of 90 min.

DATA-DEPENDENT ACQUISITION (DDA) Peptide spectra were acquired using the Thermo XCalibur software, v. 4.3.73.11, with lock mass on the *m/z* 445.12003 siloxane ion for internal calibration. Full-scan spectra (350-1800 *m/z*) were acquired in the Orbitrap using an AGC target of 4e5, a maximum injection time of 50 ms and a mass resolution of 120,000. Each MS scan was followed by the acquisition of fragmentation MS/MS spectra on the most intense ions for a total cycle time of 3 s (top speed mode). The selected ions were isolated using the quadrupole analyzer in a window of 1.6 m/z and fragmented by higher energy collision-induced dissociation with 35% collision energy. The resulting fragments were detected in the ion trap with a normalized AGC target of 33% and a maximal injection time of 50 ms. Dynamic exclusion of previously fragmented peptides was set to a period of 30 s and a tolerance of 10 ppm.

DATABASE SEARCHING Raw DDA data were searched against a UniProt Reference Proteome Database for *Solanum tuberosum* (ID UP000011115) using the MaxQuant software, with the "match between runs" function set and the following search parameters: 'trypsin/P enzyme

parameter' enabled; carbamidomethylation of cysteine residues as a fixed modification; methionine oxidation and N-ter protein acetylation as variable modifications; mass search tolerances of 7 ppm and 0.5 Da for MS and MS/MS, respectively; and the peptide and protein identifications filtered at a False Discovery Rate of 1%. Proteins with a |z-score| ± 1.96 and a p-value < 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed in the assessed SlCYS8-expressing line compared to control line K.

Hormone profile analysis

Hormones were quantified by high performance-liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization (HPLC-ESI)-MS/MS at the plant hormone profiling facility of the Canadian National Research Council Aquatic and Crop Resource Development Research Center, Saskatoon SK, Canada. Abscisic acid and catabolites, cytokinins, auxins and gibberellins were quantified as described by Lulsdorf et al. (2013). ACC was quantified according to Chavaux et al. (1997). The procedure for salicylic acid and jasmonic acid quantification was adapted from Murmu et al. (2014), and the procedure for salicylic acid hydrolysis of conjugated SA from Malamy et al. (1992). Analyses were monitored using the Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) function of the MassLynx v. 4.1 control software (Waters). The resulting chromatographic traces were quantified off-line using the QuanLynx v4.1 software (Waters), wherein each trace is integrated and the resulting ratio of signals (nondeuterated/internal standard) compared with a previously constructed calibration curve to yield the amount of analyte present, in ng per sample. Calibration curves were generated with MRM signals obtained from standard solutions, based on the ratio of the chromatographic peak area for each analyte to that of the corresponding internal standard. QC samples, internal standard blanks and solvent blanks were also prepared and analyzed along each batch of tissue samples.

Osmotic stress experiment *in vitro*

The osmotic stress experiment was performed *in vitro* with control line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51, using 15 plantlets per line. The plantlets were grown on solid Murashige and Skoog medium containing increasing concentrations of PEG (0 mM, 20 mM, 30 mM, 40 mM) to mimic drought conditions, according to the procedure of Anithakumari et al. (2011). The experimental setup followed a completely randomized

factorial design, with plant lines and PEG concentrations as experimental variables. The plantlets were kept in glass tubes and let to grow for 28 d in a TCR60™ tissue culture room (Conviron, Winnipeg MB, Canada). Environmental conditions in the room were as follows: an ambient temperature of 20℃, a 16:8 h light/dark photoperiod, a light intensity of 175 μmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} and a relative humidity of 60%. Stem, leaf and root fresh and dry biomasses were measured at the end of the growing period. Root-*to*-shoot biomass ratios were calculated based on the dry biomass data.

Water deficit stress experiment in greenhouse

The greenhouse water deficit experiment was conducted with acclimated plants of control line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and 51 at the High Performance Greenhouse Complex of Laval University (Québec QC, Canada), from September 2022 to January 2023. The experiment was arranged as a randomized complete block design with four repetitions of three soil matric potential (SMP) thresholds (−5 kPa, −10 kPa, −15 kPa). SMP thresholds were selected based on water retention curves, where SMP values and volumetric soil water data in the substrate were fitted to a PDI-variant of the bimodal unconstrained van Genuchten model. The soil substrate, constituted of two parts of sand (Saravia, #CH3386) for one part of organic soil (Fafard, SBG-55), was saturated with water before planting. Potato plants were grown in 0.14 m^3 (L: 60 cm x H: 40 cm x W: 40 cm) plastic experimental units according to Matteau et al. (2021). For each experimental unit, two plants previously acclimated in the controlled environment chamber (see above) were planted at 7.5 cm under the soil surface. A tensiometer was installed at 10-cm depth in each experimental unit and tensiometer data were collected at two-min intervals. These measurements were used to calculate a mean SMP for each irrigation threshold to trigger automatic watering as needed, applied using drip irrigation emitters placed on the soil surface. Uniform irrigation across treatments was applied during the first 50 days following planting to ensure well-watered conditions during canopy growth. The water deficit experiment began at floral emergence and continued over 40 days. Canopy biomass was collected by cutting the stems off 15 cm above the soil. Tubers and roots were collected following senescence of the remaining plant parts for a period of 14 days.

Tuber yield indices and statistical analyses

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were computed using RStudio, v. 0.98.1103 to assess data generated *in vitro* for the PEG treatments and *ex vitro* with the greenhouse-grown acclimated plants. *Post-hoc* Tukey's tests were performed for mean value comparisons on those ANOVA indicating (a) significant difference(s) among plant lines or stress treatments, using an alpha significance threshold of 5%. Drought tolerance indices (DTI) and yield performance indices (YTI) were calculated for total tuber biomass data as described in Ober et al. (2004), using the following formulas:

$$ \mathrm{DTI} = (Y_D \setminus Y_I) / (\overline{Y}_D \setminus \overline{Y}_I) $$

$$ \mathrm{YTI} = (Y_D \times Y_I) / (\overline{Y}_I)^2 $$

where Y_D is the tuber yield of a given genotype under a given water stress treatment, Y_I the tuber yield of this genotype in well-irrigated conditions, $\overline{Y}_D$ the average yield of all genotypes under a water stress treatment, and $\overline{Y}_I$ the average yield of all genotypes under well-irrigated conditions.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Figure S1. Optimization of the SlCYS8 codon sequence for heterologous expression in potato cells.

Supplementary Figure S2. Phytohormone contents in leaves of control line K and SlCYS8 lines C41, C43 and C51.

Supplementary Table S1. Growth parameters of *in vitro*-grown control K and SlCYS8-expressing potato plantlets under increasing concentrations of PEG used as an osmotic stress agent in the culture medium.

Supplementary Table S2. Mean separation of growth parameter data from control line K and SlCYS8-expressing potato lines under well-irrigated or water stress regimes.

Supplementary Dataset S1. Raw and processed data from the LC-MS/MS analysis.

References

Aliche EB, Gengler T, Hoendervangers I, Oortwijn M, Bachem CW, Borm T, Visser RG, van der Linden CG. Transcriptomic responses of potato to drought stress. *Potato Res.* 2022;**65**:1–17

Anithakumari A, Dolstra O, Vosman B, Visser RG, van der Linden CG. *In vitro* screening and QTL analysis for drought tolerance in diploid potato. *Euphytica*. 2011;**181**:357–69

Badri MA, Rivard D, Coenen K, Vaillancourt LP, Goulet C, Michaud D. A SELDI-TOF MS procedure for the detection, quantitation, and preliminary characterization of low-molecular-weight recombinant proteins expressed in transgenic plants. *Proteomics.* 2009;**9**:233–41

Barbier FF, Dun EA, Kerr SC, Chabikwa TG, Beveridge CA. An update on the signals controlling shoot branching. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2019;24:220–36

Beals KA. Potatoes, nutrition and health. *Am J Potato Res.* 2019;**96**:102–10

Benchabane M, Schlüter U, Vorster J, Goulet MC, Michaud D. Plant cystatins. *Biochimie*. 2010;**92**:1657–66

Chauvaux N, Van Dongen W, Esmans EL, Van Onckelen HA. Quantitative analysis of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid by liquid chromatography coupled to electrospray tandem mass spectrometry. *J Chromatogr. A* 1997;**775**:143–150

Claeys H, Inzé D. The agony of choice: how plants balance growth and survival under water-limiting conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 2013;**162**:1768–79

Dahal K, Li XQ, Tai H, Creelman A, Bizimungu B. Improving potato stress tolerance and tuber yield under a climate change scenario – A current overview. *Front Plant Sci.* 2019;**10**:563

Dahuja A, Kumar RR, Sakhare A, Watts A, Singh B, Goswami S, Sachdev A, Praveen S (2021) Role of ATP-binding cassette transporters in maintaining plant homeostasis under abiotic and biotic stresses. *Physiol. Plant.* 2021;**171**:785–801

del Mar Martinez-Prada M, Curtin SJ, Guttiérez-González JJ. Potato improvement through genetic engineering. *GM Crops & Food.* 2021;**12**:479–96

Demirevska K, Simova-Stoilova L, Fedina I, Georgieva K, Kunert K. Response of oryzacystatin I transformed tobacco plants to drought, heat and light stress. *J Agron Crop Sci.* 2010;**196**:90-9

Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson C. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. *Nucl Acids Res.* 1991;**19**:1349

FAO (2009) International Year of the Potato 2008 | New Light on a Hidden Treasure – An end-of-year review. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome (Italy). ISBN 978-92-5-106142-8.

FAO (2022) World Food and Agriculture – FAO Statistical Yearbook 2022. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome (Italy), 382 pp. ISBN 978-92-5-136930-2.

FAO (2024) Crops and livestock products [World + (Total), Production quantity, Potatoes, (2022)]. FAOSTAT Database. https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL.

Ghosh UK, Islam MN, Siddiqui MN, Cao X, Khan MAR. Proline, a multifaceted signalling molecule in plant responses to abiotic stress: understanding the physiological mechanisms. *Plant Biol.* 2021;**24**:227–39

Goulet MC, Dallaire C, Vaillancourt LP, --- Michaud D Tailoring the specificity of a plant cystatin toward herbivorous insect digestive cysteine proteases by single mutations at positively selected amino acid sites. *Plant Physiol.* 2008;**146**:1010–19

Hijmans RJ. The effect of climate change on global potato production. *Am J Potato Res.* 2003;**80**:271-9s

Hill D, Nelson D, Hammond J, Bell L. Morphophysiology of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) in response to drought stress : Paving the way forward. *Front Plant Sci.* 2021;**11**: 597554

Hofvander P, Andreasson E, Andersson M. Potato trait development going fast-forward with genome editing. *Trends Genet.* 2022;**38**:218–21

Je J, Song C, Hwang JE, Chung WS, Lim CO. DREB2C acts as a transcriptional activator of the thermo tolerance-related phytocystatin 4 (AtCYS4) gene. *Transg Res.* 2014;**23**:109–23

Kidway M, Ahmad IZ, Chakrabarty D. Class III peroxidase: an indispensable enzyme for biotic-abiotic stress tolerance and a potent candidate for crop improvement. *Plant Cell Rep.* 2020;**39**:1381–93

Liu MM, Li Yl, Li GC, Dong TT, Liu SY, Pei L, Wang QG. Overexpression of StCYS1 gene enhances tolerance to salt stress in the transgenic potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) plant. *J Integr. Agric.* 2020;**19**:2239–46

Lulsdorf MM, Yuan HY, Slater SMH, Vandenberg A, Han X, Zaharia LI, Abrams SR. Endogenous hormone profiles during early seed development of *C. arietinum* and *C. anatolicum*. *J. Plant Growth Regul*. 2013;**71**:191–198

Malamy J, Hennig J, Klessig DF. Temperature-dependent induction of salicylic acid and its conjugates during the resistance response to tobacco mosaic virus infection. *Plant Cell*. 1992;**4**:359–66

Martinez M, Cambra I, González-Melendi P, Santamaria ME, Diaz I. C1A cysteine-proteases and their inhibitors in plants. *Physiol. Plant.* 2012;**145**:85–94

Matteau JP, Célicourt P, Létourneau G, Gumiere T, Gumiere SJ. Potato varieties response to soil matric potential based irrigation. *Agronomy.* 2021;**11**:352

Michaud D, Vrain TC. Expression of recombinant proteinase inhibitors in plants. *Meth Biotechnol.* 1998;**3**:49–64

Monneveux P, Ramirez DA, Pino MT. Drought tolerance in potato (*S. tuberosum* L.): Can we learn from drought tolerance research in cereals? *Plant Sci.* 2013;**205**:76–86

Munger A, Coenen K, Cantin L, Goulet C, Vaillancourt LP, Goulet MC, Tweddell R, Sainsbury F, Michaud D. Beneficial 'unintended effects' of a cereal cystatin in transgenic lines of potato, *Solanum tuberosum*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2012;**12**:198

Munger A, Simon, MA, Khalf M, Goulet MC, Michaud D. Cereal cystatins delay sprouting and nutrient loss in tubers of potato, *Solanum tuberosum*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2015;**15**:296

Murmu J, Wilton M, Allard G, Pandeya R, Desveaux D, Singh J, Subramanian R. Arabidopsis GOLDEN2-LIKE (GLK) transcription factors activate jasmonic acid (JA)-dependent disease susceptibility to the biotrophic pathogen *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis*, as well as JA-independent plant immunity against the necrotrophic pathogen *Botrytis cinerea*. *Mol Plant Pathol.* 2014;**15**:174–84

Ober ES, Clark CJ, Le Bloa M, Royal A, Jaggard KW, Pidgeon JD. Assessing the genetic resources to improve drought tolerance in sugar beet: agronomic traits of diverse genotypes under droughted and irrigated conditions. *Field Crops Res.* 2004;**90**:213–34

Pandian BA, Sathishraj R, Djanaguiraman M, Prasad PVV, Jugulam M. Role of cytochrome P450 enzymes in plant stress response. *Antioxidants* 2020;**9**:454

Quain MD, Makgopa ME, Marquez-Garcia B, Comadira G, Fernandez-Garcia N, Olmos E, Schnaubelt D, Kunert KJ, Foyer CH. Ectopic phytocystatin expression leads to enhanced drought stress tolerance in soybean (G lycine max) and Arabidopsis thaliana through effects on strigolactone pathways and can also result in improved seed traits. *Plant Biotechnol J.* 2014;**12**: 903-13

Reece-Hoyes JS, Walhout AJM. Gateway recombinatorial cloning. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2018; doi:10.1101/pdb.top094912

Rivard D, Anguenot R, Brunelle F. *et al.* An in-built proteinase inhibitor system for the protection of recombinant proteins recovered from transgenic plants. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2006;**4**:359–68

Schafleitner R, Gutiérrez R, Espino R, Gaudin A, Pérez J, Martinez M, Dominguez A, Tincopa L, Alvarado C, Numberto G. et al. Field screening for variation of drought tolerance in *Solanum tuberosum* L. by agronomical, physiological and genetic analysis. *Potato Res.* 2007;**50**:71

Shomali A, Das S, Arif N. *et al.* Diverse physiological roles of flavonoids in plant environmental stress responses and tolerance. *Plants*. 2022;**11**:3158

Sun X, Yang S, Sun M, Wang S, Ding X, Zhu D, Ji W, Cai H, Zhao C, Wang X. *et al.* A novel *Glycine soja* cysteine proteinase inhibitor GsCPI14, interacting with the calcium/calmodulin-binding receptor-like kinase GsCBRLK, regulated plant tolerance to alkali stress. *Plant Mol Biol.* 2014;**85**:33–48

Tan Y, Li M, Yang Y, Sun X, Wang N, Liang B, Ma F. Overexpression of MpCYS4, a phytocystatin gene from *Malus prunifolia* (Willd.) Borkh., enhances stomatal closure to confer drought tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis and Apple. *Front Plant Sci.* 2017;**8** :33.

Tan Y, Yang Y, Li C, Liang B, Li M, Ma F. Overexpression of MpCYS4, a phytocystatin gene from *Malus prunifolia* (Willd.) Borkh., delays natural and stress-induced leaf senescence in apple. *Plant Physiol Biochem*. 2017;**115**:219–28

Tan Y, Wei X, Wang P, Sun X, Li M, Ma F. A Phytocystatin gene from *Malus prunifolia* (Willd.) Borkh., MpCYS5, confers salt stress tolerance and functions in endoplasmic reticulum stress response in Arabidopsis. *Plant Mol Biol Rep.* 2016;**34**:62–75

Tan Y, Li M, Ma F. Overexpression of MpCYS2, a phytocystatin gene from *Malus prunifolia* (Willd.) Borkh., confers drought tolerance and protects against oxidative stress in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult.* 2015;**123**:15–27

Tremblay J, Goulet MC, Michaud D. Recombinant cystatins in plants. *Biochimie.* 2019;**166**:184–93

Van der Does D, Leon-Reyes A, Koornneef A, Van Verk MC, Rodenburg N, Pauwels L, Goossens A, Körbes AP, Memelink J, Ritsema T. Salicylic acid suppresses jasmonic acid signaling downstream of SCFCOI1-JAZ by targeting GCC promoter motifs via transcription factor ORA59. *Plant Cell.* 2013;**25**:744–61

Van der Vyver C, Schneidereit J, Driscoll S, Turner J, Kunert K, Foyer CH. Oryzacystatin I expression in transformed tobacco produces a conditional growth phenotype and enhances chilling tolerance. *Plant Biotechnol J.* 2003;**1**:101–12

Wang M, Le Moigne MA, Bertheloot J, Crespel L, Perez-Garcia MD, Ogé L. *et al.* BRANCHED1: A key hub of shoot branching. *Front Plant Sci.* 2019;**10**:76

Watkinson JI, Hendricks L, Sioson AA, Vasquez-Robinet C, Stromberg V, Heath LS, Schuler M, Bohnert HJ, Bonierbale M, Grene R. Accessions of *Solanum tuberosum* ssp. *andigena* show differences in photosynthetic recovery after drought stress as reflected in gene expression profiles. *Plant Sci.* 2006;**171**:745–58

Weeda SM, Kumar GMN, Knowles NR. Developmentally linked cshanges in proteases and protease inhibitors suggest a role for potato multicystatin in regulating protein content of potato tubers. *Planta*. 2009;**230**:73–84

Weeda SM, Kumar GNM, Knowles NR. Correlative changes in proteases and protease inhibitors during mobilisation of protein from potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) seed tubers. *Funct Plant Biol.* 2010;**37**:32–42

Table 1. Growth parameters of greenhouse-grown control and SlCYS8-expressing potato lines under well-irrigated or water stress regimes. Data were collected after 90 d in greenhouse, i.e. 40 d after initiating the water stress treatments. Values are the mean of 4 biological replicate values ± SEM.

Irrigation threshold (kPa)	Potato line	Stem length (cm)	Stem number	Fresh shoot biomass (g)	Dry shoot biomass (g)	Root length (cm)	Dry root biomass (g)	Dry root-to-shoot ratio
− 5	K	103.0 ± 2.6	5.1 ± 0.4	369 ± 14	38.2 ± 1.5	57.5 ± 6.1	1.6 ± 0.08	4.6 ± 0.39
	C41	82.4 ± 8.2	6.9 ± 0.3	327 ± 17	30.7 ± 2.0	46.4 ± 4.4	1.4 ± 0.16	4.7 ± 0.45
	C43	89.1 ± 5.0	8.1 ± 1.2	366 ± 15	35.9 ± 1.0	52.4 ± 4.2	1.5 ± 0.08	4.3 ± 0.32
	C51	86.6 ± 4.2	6.9 ± 0.7	350 ± 28	32.1 ± 2.5	56.9 ± 9.3	1.6 ± 0.07	5.1 ± 0.40
− 10	K	96.8 ± 6.8	4.8 ± 0.1	317 ± 18	34.4 ± 1.0	45.1 ± 4.0	1.5 ± 0.12	4.3 ± 0.25
	C41	84.0 ± 5.6	5.6 ± 0.6	316 ± 22	32.4 ± 1.7	47.4 ± 3.1	1.3 ± 0.06	4.2 ± 0.09
	C43	85.6 ± 3.0	6.8 ± 0.3	319 ± 22	29.8 ± 3.3	48.4 ± 3.6	1.4 ± 0.10	4.9 ± 0.22
	C51	92.1 ± 5.9	7.1 ± 0.,4	331 ± 28	32.5 ± 2.5	57.3 ± 2.6	1.5 ± 0.14	4.7 ± 0.38
− 15	K	89.6 ± 4.8	5.6 ± 0.4	256 ± 12	27.2 ± 1.0	45.4 ± 3.0	1.3 ± 0.06	4.7 ± 0.24
	C41	73.8 ± 2.2	7.8 ± 0.3	256 ± 10	25.1 ± 0.4	47.3 ± 2.7	1.4 ± 0.06	5.6 ± 0.32
	C43	74.6 ± 3.9	7.6 ± 0.2	231 ± 20	23.2 ± 1.0	49.8 ± 2.4	1.4 ± 0.04	6.3 ± 0.17
	C51	78.6 ± 3.2	7.5 ± 0.7	252 ± 8	23.7 ± 0.7	44.6 ± 4.2	1.4 ± 0.04	6.0 ± 0.34
Block		**	*	n.s.	n.s.	***	**	*
Threshold		**	*	***	***	n.s.	*	***
Potato line		***	***	n.s.	*	n.s.	n.s.	*
Threshold x Potato line		n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

***, $P < 0.001$; **, $P < 0.01$; *, $P < 0.05$; n.s., not significant (two-way ANOVA; $\alpha = 0.05$).

Table 2. Drought tolerance (DTI) and Yield performance (YTI) indices of control line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51 grown under water stress regimes. Values are the mean of 4 biological replicate values ± SEM.

Matric potential	Line	DTI	YTI
−10 kPa	K	1.08 ± 0.23	0.42 ± 0.10
	C41	0.82 ± 0.05	0.84 ± 0.13 **
	C43	1.14 ± 0.07	1.05 ± 0.10 ***
	C51	1.05 ± 0.07	0.85 ± 0.07 **
−15 kPa	K	1.07 ± 0.12	0.36 ± 0.05
	C41	0.82 ± 0.06	0.69 ± 0.08 **
	C43	1.09 ± 0.05	0.86 ± 0.12 ***
	C51	1.09 ± 0.09	0.73 ± 0.06 **

**, $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$ (two-way ANOVA; $\alpha = 0.05$).

Figure legends

Figure 1. SlCYS8 expression in potato. (**A**) Gene construct elements for the cytosol-targeted expression of SlCYS8 in potato cells. The construct included an optimized version of the slcys8 sequence (slcys8*; see **Supplementary Fig. S1**), a duplicated version of the Cauliflower mosaic virus 35S (CaMV35S) promoter for constitutive expression, a tobacco etch virus enhancer sequence (ES) in upstream position of the cystatin sequence and a CaMV35S terminator sequence (TS) in downstream position. (**B**) Immunoblot signals for recombinant SlCYS8 in selected kanamycin-resistant lines. Immunodetections were performed using polyclonal IgG raised in rabbit against the tomato cystatin. (**C**) Cystatin peptide numbers in control (parental) line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51, as determined following nanoLC-MS/MS. Each bar is the mean of 4 biological replicate values ± SD. Bars with different letters are significantly different (post-ANOVA Tukey's test; α = 0.05)

Figure 2. Venn diagrams for up- and/or downregulated proteins in leaf tissue of SlYCS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51. Control (parent) line K was used as a baseline for the comparisons. Numbers in overlapping areas indicate numbers of proteins regulated in two or all three transgenic lines compared to the control line, out of 175 regulated proteins overall (|z-score| ≥ 1.96l *p*-value < 0.05) (see **Supplementary Dataset S1**).

Figure 3. Defense-stress-related proteins up- or downregulated in SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51. Data are expressed as log2 value peptide ratios compared to parent line K (relative log2 value of 0.00). Proteins on this figure were significantly up- or downregulated in at least one, and detected in at least two, of the three tested lines. Upregulated proteins are shown in red, downregulated proteins in blue. A blank area indicates no detection of the protein.

Figure 4. Growth and root-to-shoot ratio of parent line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51 grown *in vitro* under increasing PEG concentrations. (**A**) Visual assessment of line K *in vitro* plantlets grown for 28 d under increasing PEG concentrations. (**B**) Dry root-*to*-shoot weight ratio of control and SlCYS8-expressing plantlets grown for 28 d under 0 mM (no-stress control) or 20 mM PEG. Each bar on panel B is the mean of 4 biological replicate values ± SEM. For each PEG concentration, bars with different letters are significantly different (post-ANOVA Tukey's test; α = 0.05).

Figure 5. Tuber yield of parent line K and SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51 grown in greenhouse under well-watered or water stress conditions. (**A**) Total tuber biomass per plant. (**B**) Number of tubers per plant. Each bar is the mean of 4 biological replicates ± SEM. Different letters on a given panel indicate significant differences among the lines (lowercase letters) or water stress treatments (uppercase letters) (post two-way ANOVA Tukey's test; $P < 0.05$).

Figure 6. Primary metabolism-associated proteins up- or downregulated in SlCYS8-expressing lines C41, C43 and C51. (**A**) Proteins involved in protein biosynthesis, maturation or processing. (**B**) Proteins involved in protein transport or cellular trafficking. (**C**) Proteins involved in photosynthesis or photosystems assembly/maintenance. (**D**) Enzymes involved in carbon and sugar metabolism. Data are expressed as log2 value peptide ratios compared to parent line K (relative log2 value of 0.00). Proteins on this figure were significantly up- or downregulated in at least one, and detected in at least two, of the three tested lines. Upregulated proteins are shown in red, downregulated proteins in blue. A blank area indicates no detection of the protein.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

| UNIPROT | SlCYS8 line | | | Protein |
	C41	C43	C51	
A M1B8A5	3,44	4,29	3,01	Plastid RNA-binding protein
M0ZNI9	3,11	-1,07	2,31	RNA polymerase
M0ZUP2	1,28	-0,26	2,40	Zuotin
M1BMW6	1,96		2,15	Ribosome biogenesis regulatory protein
M0ZVC8	1,59		1,96	RNA binding protein
M1AI35	-0,08	0,99	1,90	ATP-dependent RNA helicase
M1BST4	1,71		1,86	26S proteasome regulatory subunit S3
M1ATE5	0,96	1,15	1,70	Hsp90 co-chaperone AHA1
M1CLR3	0,63	0,60	1,63	Translation factor GUF1 homolog
M1CBP6		1,38	1,61	Nuclear proteasome inhibitor UBLCP1
M1D118	0,95	0,19	1,52	U5 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein component
M1AXT4	1,16	1,22	1,51	Phospho-2-dehydro-3-deoxyheptonate aldolase
M1AEF9	1,45	0,91	1,49	Transcription initiation factor IIB-2
M1AIE7	0,87	0,64	1,40	Pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein
M1AEB0	0,82	0,59	1,42	RUVb-like helicase
M1BK14	0,77	0,02	1,39	Histidyl-tRNA synthetase
M0ZPC7	1,36	0,72	1,36	DnaJ
M1B5V8	1,07	0,57	1,22	Glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase
M1BNH3	1,11	1,03	0,76	Xylem serine proteinase 1
P54778	0,98	1,08	0,91	26S proteasome regulatory subunit 6B
M1BED0	1,06	0,78	0,88	Poly-A binding protein
M1BPT0	0,92	1,01	-0,53	NFU domain protein 4
M1C1B5	0,73	1,01	0,66	Eukaryotic translation factor
M0ZHY5	-0,32	0,91	-0,69	Carboxypeptidase
M1AZL5	-0,92	0,13	-0,37	Plastid-specific 30S ribosomal protein 3
M1BUM3	-1,30	-0,47	-0,47	Ribosomal protein L5
B M0ZRH9	2,89		2,46	Small GTPase Rab2
M1BAR8	2,78	1,10	1,61	Importin (EMB2734)
P30172	1,65	1,10	0,74	Actin ACT-100
M1CSF3	1,08	0,95	1,63	Co-atomer subunit beta
M1AH97	0,73	0,87	1,53	Short-chain dehydrogenase TIC32
M1BSD0	1,26		1,12	Protein transport protein Sec24C
M1AW83	1,08	0,81	1,16	Beta-adaptin
M1BAA4	1,07	0,44	0,94	Protein transport protein SEC23
P08454	-1,14	-0,93	-0,58	RAB6-interacting protein
M0ZNQ6	-1,24	-0,16	-0,47	RAB6-interacting protein
M1C7M2	-1,34	-0,65	0,24	Sorting nexin-4
C M1A8E9	0,87	1,37	2,17	ATAB2
M1AD01	1,24	1,22	1,89	Chlorophyl a-b binding protein
M1AJ37	1,00		1,80	Tetratricopeptide repeat protein
M1APW9	0,48	0,48	1,71	Pheophorbide A oxygenase
M0ZIF6	0,71	0,93	1,59	Calcium homeostasis regulator CHoR1
M0ZXL9	1,07	1,10	1,56	Fibrillin / Plastid-lipid-assoc. protein 4
M1ABC6	1,18	0,72	1,55	PTAC14
M1CNC4	1,23	1,51		Ferredoxin
M1ACM0	1,23	1,43	1,10	Dihydroflavonal-4-reductase
M1AFT5	0,77	0,43	1,36	Chlorophyll a-b binding protein
M1D586	1,12	0,78	1,26	PLASTID-LIPID-ASSOCIATED PROTEIN 4
M1BUJ0	0,80	1,07	0,34	Ferredoxin
M1C0J5	0,93	1,06	0,52	Thioredoxin HCF164, chloroplastic
D M1CH70	2,29	0,73	1,65	ß-amylase
P30924	0,99	1,26	2,15	1,4-alpha-glucan-branching enzyme
M1AKM2	0,73	0,79	2,12	Xylulose kinase
M1BFJ3	1,10	1,61	1,94	Galactokinase
M1D1P2	1,09	0,06	1,40	O-glycosyl compounds hydrolase
M0ZJU5	1,30		1,03	AMP-activated protein kinase
M1AJ27	-0,57	-1,26	0,04	Beta-galactosidase

Figure 6