Thermosensory behaviors of the free-living life stages of *Strongyloides* species support

parasitism in tropical environments

Ben T. Gregory[1], Mariam Desouky[1], Jaidyn Slaughter[2], Elissa A. Hallem[3,4], Astra S. Bryant[1*]

[1] Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[2] BRIGHT-UP Summer Research Program, University of Washington School of Medicine,

Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[3] Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los

Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

[4] Molecular Biology Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

* corresponding author

Abstract (250-300 words)

Soil-transmitted parasitic nematodes infect over 1 billion people worldwide and are a common source of neglected disease. *Strongyloides stercoralis* is a potentially fatal skin-penetrating human parasite that is endemic to tropical and subtropical regions around the world. The complex life cycle of *Strongyloides* species is unique among human-parasitic nematodes in that it includes a single free-living generation featuring soil-dwelling, bacterivorous adults whose progeny all develop into infective larvae. The sensory behaviors that enable free-living *Strongyloides* adults to navigate and survive soil environments are unknown. *S. stercoralis* infective larvae display parasite-specific sensory-driven behaviors, including robust attraction to mammalian body heat. In contrast, the free-living model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* displays thermosensory behaviors that guide adult worms to stay within a physiologically permissive range of environmental temperatures. Do *S. stercoralis* and *C. elegans* free-living adults, which experience similar environmental stressors, display common thermal preferences? Here, we characterize the thermosensory behaviors of the free-living adults of *S. stercoralis* as well as those of the closely related rat parasite, *Strongyloides ratti*. We find that *Strongyloides* free-living adults are exclusively attracted to near-tropical temperatures, despite their inability to infect mammalian hosts. We further show that lifespan is shorter at higher temperatures for free-living *Strongyloides* adults, similar to the effect of temperature on *C. elegans* lifespan. However, we also find that the reproductive potential of the free-living life stage is enhanced at warmer temperatures, particularly for *S. stercoralis*. Together, our results reveal a novel role for thermotaxis to maximize the infectious capacity of obligate parasites and provide insight into the biological adaptations that may contribute to their endemicity in tropical climates.

Author Summary (150-200 words)

Soil-transmitted parasitic nematodes infect over 1 billion people and are a major source of

neglected disease, particularly in the world's most resource-limited communities. For most

parasitic nematode species, reproductive adults exclusively reside within host animals. Species

in the genus *Strongyloides* have a unique step in their life cycle that features soil-dwelling, non-

parasitic adults. Previous studies of the free-living model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*

have identified temperature as an important factor in ability of free-living nematodes to survive

and reproduce in the environment. Our study investigates how the thermosensory behaviors of

Strongyloides free-living adults contribute to their survival as well as their role in amplifying the

quantity of infective larvae in the soil. We show that *Strongyloides* free-living adults display broad

thermophilic preferences that are highly distinct from those of *C. elegans* adults. We also present

the first evidence that thermotaxis acts as a robust mechanism for maximizing the infectious

capacity of *Strongyloides* species located in tropical climates.

Introduction

Soil-transmitted parasitic nematodes are estimated to infect over 1 billion people worldwide and can cause a range of debilitating symptoms, including chronic gastrointestinal distress, anemia, malnutrition, and impaired growth in children [1–3]. The skin-penetrating human parasite *Strongyloides stercoralis* is estimated to infect at least 600 million people [4–7]. Chronic *S. stercoralis* infections, which arise due to the unique capacity of *S. stercoralis* to autoinfect hosts, can go undetected for many decades before evolving into a life-threatening systemic hyperinfection if an individual becomes immunocompromised [2,8,9]. Soil-transmitted parasitic worms primarily affect impoverished communities and places that lack adequate sewage management [6,7,10,11]. Current treatments only target ongoing infections and can be inadequate; no medical treatment exists to prevent infection or reinfection [12–14]. Additionally, there are currently a limited number of anthelminthic drugs, and anthelminthic resistance is already widespread among livestock parasites due to repeated dosage with anthelminthic drugs as part of regular deworming schedules [15,16]. Identifying novel therapeutic strategies, before drug resistance develops in humans, is therefore an important global health priority.

Many soil-transmitted parasitic nematodes have a life cycle that starts with developmentally arrested third-stage infective larvae (iL3s) finding and infiltrating a host animal [17]. Once inside a host, iL3s resume development and migrate through various host tissues to the small intestine, where they take up residence as parasitic adults. Parasitic adults produce offspring that are then voided from the host in feces. These offspring develop into iL3s and restart the cycle. Species in the genus *Strongyloides* have an additional, unique step in their life cycle, in which a fraction of the offspring of parasitic adults can alternatively develop into morphologically distinct free-living adults instead of infective larvae (Fig. S1) [8,18,19]. The offspring of these free-living adults

exclusively develop into iL3s that then must find and invade host animals. The molecular

mechanisms that drive the choice between homogonic development (post-parasitic larvae

become iL3s) and heterogonic development (post-parasitic larvae become free-living adults) is

poorly understood; however, the developmental decision depends on species identity, strain

genetics, host immunocompetency, and environmental temperatures [20–25]. For example,

exposure to temperatures above 34°C promotes direct development into iL3s for *S. stercoralis*,

presumably to allow larvae to develop into iL3s while still inside the host; these iL3s re-penetrate

host tissues to establish an autoinfection [2,9,21]. The free-living generation of *Strongyloides*

spp. allows these species to sexually reproduce; unlike hookworms, *Strongyloides* parasitic

adults are all genetically female and produce clonal progeny via parthenogenesis [8,20,26–29].

Furthermore, the *Strongyloides* free-living generation has been proposed to act as a means of

amplifying the number of infective larvae that a single parasitic female can produce [30]. Despite

its role in the *Strongyloides* life cycle, the *Strongyloides* free-living generation is generally

understudied [31]. A better understanding of the sensory physiology and behavioral repertoires

displayed by free-living *Strongyloides* adults could enable the development of novel strategies

for predicting and controlling the infectious capacity of a neglected source of human disease.

The free-living adults of *Strongyloides* spp. live in the soil and experience similar environmental

stressors to *Caenorhabditis elegans*, a nematode that is free-living throughout its entire life cycle.

Furthermore, *Strongyloides* free-living adults share many morphological similarities with

C. elegans adults [30,32,33]. Thus, to understand how sensory behaviors enable *Strongyloides*

free-living adults to survive in the environment, we can compare their behaviors to those of

C. elegans. One of the most ethologically significant and well-documented sensory behaviors

exhibited by *C. elegans* is thermosensory navigation. *C. elegans* uses a complex set of

thermosensory behaviors to stay within a physiologically and reproductively permissible

temperature range (15-25°C) [34–41]. In brief, *C. elegans* will utilize both positive and negative

thermotaxis to migrate towards a "remembered" cultivation temperature; at its cultivation

temperature, *C. elegans* will engage in isothermal tracking [35,38]. At noxious temperatures

≥27°C and ≤5°C, *C. elegans* engage in noxious temperature responses, including reorientation

behaviors and accelerated migration towards physiological temperatures [40,42]. These

behavioral strategies are a critical mechanism for thermoregulation by a non-parasitic ectotherm:

exposure to noxious heat stress causes dramatic drops in *C. elegans* fertility and longevity, in a

manner partially dependent on strain and experience [34,43–50].

In comparison to *C. elegans*, the sensory behaviors and physiological requirements of soil-

transmitted parasitic nematodes are far less documented. The few studies that have quantified

the sensory behaviors of parasitic nematodes have demonstrated that the worms can detect a

range of sensory cues, including chemosensory and thermosensory stimuli [51–54]. For

thermosensation, recent work has shown that the iL3s of multiple mammalian-parasitic

nematode species, including *S. stercoralis*, display highly robust parasite-specific

thermosensory behaviors [55,56]. These include positive thermotaxis towards mammalian body

heat and negative thermotaxis towards below-ambient temperatures; the switch between these

two behavioral regimes is dictated by the ambient cultivation temperature, such that worms

exposed to temperatures above ambient will engage in positive thermotaxis (and vice versa)

[55]. As opposed to thermosensory behaviors being primarily used for physiological

thermoregulation (as with *C. elegans*), the positive thermotaxis behaviors of iL3s are thought to

reflect active host seeking; negative thermotaxis behaviors are potentially a mechanism enabling

iL3s to either disperse from host feces or disengage from accidental heat seeking towards a

non-host heat source [55,56]. Chemosensory behaviors also contribute to host-seeking and

host-invasion behaviors by soil-transmitted parasites [52,53,57]. Indeed, life-stage-specific

chemosensory preferences have previously been proposed to contribute to the restriction of

host-seeking behaviors to iL3s. For example, although *Strongyloides* iL3s and free-living adults

are both attracted to a variety of host odorants, only free-living larvae and adults are attracted to

fecal odors [53,57]. These findings suggest that downregulation of attraction to fecal odors

enables iL3s to disperse from feces in search of hosts [53,57]. Finally, although the impact of

multisensory cues on parasite behavior has not been thoroughly investigated, initial work has

indicated a sensory hierarchy in which strong thermal drive can override attraction to host-

associated odorants in iL3s [55]. Importantly, this hierarchy depends on the specific thermal

context in which an odorant is located. At temperatures below human skin temperature (~34°C),

the infective larvae appear to ignore attractive odorants in favor of warmer temperatures [55]. In

contrast, if an odorant is placed at temperatures closer to ~34°C, the infective larvae will

decrease thermotaxis behaviors and increase local search behaviors [55]. Together, these

findings suggest a sensory-dependent host-seeking strategy in which soil-transmitted iL3s first

navigate towards a heat source, then determine whether that heat source is a potential host.

The thermosensory preferences of *Strongyloides* free-living adults are completely unknown. Do

the physiological and behavioral responses of *Strongyloides* free-living adults to different

environmental temperatures mirror those exhibited by *C. elegans* adults? To answer this

question, we conducted a quantitative analysis of the thermal preferences and physiology of the

free-living adults of two *Strongyloides* species: the human parasite *S. stercoralis* and the closely

related rodent parasite *Strongyloides ratti.* We found that the thermosensory behaviors of

Strongyloides free-living adults are distinct from both *Strongyloides* infective larvae and

C. elegans. Across a broad range of thermal gradients, including those that trigger negative

thermotaxis in iL3s and noxious heat escape in *C. elegans*, *Strongyloides* free-living adults

display thermophilic migration. In addition, *S. stercoralis* free-living adults show a shifted

multisensory hierarchy, such that chemosensory attractants influence behavior at temperatures

below mammalian body heat. Finally, we discover that although attraction to warm temperatures

shortens the lifespan of *S. stercoralis* free-living adults, their reproductive potential is enhanced.

Our results suggest that *Strongyloides* free-living adults, whose progeny exclusively develop into

infective larvae, use thermotaxis navigation as a mechanism to enhance the number of infective

larvae in the soil. Together, these findings reveal biological adaptations that likely enhance the

transmission of a potentially fatal human-parasitic nematode in tropical and subtropical climates.

METHODS

All protocols and procedures involving vertebrate animals were approved by the UCLA Office of

Animal Research Oversight (Protocol ARC-2011-060) and by the UW Office of Animal Welfare

(Protocol 4570-01), which adhere to the standards of the AAALAC and the *Guide for the Care*

and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Maintenance of *Strongyloides stercoralis*

S. stercoralis UPD strain was serially passaged through male Mongolian gerbils (Charles River

Laboratories) and maintained on fecal-charcoal plates as previously described [18]. Gerbils were

inoculated with ~2,250 iL3s in 200 µL sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) via inguinal

subcutaneous injection, under isoflurane anesthesia. Feces containing *S. stercoralis* were

collected by placing infected gerbils on wire-bottomed cages overnight, with damp Techboard

liners (Shepard Specialty Papers) on the cage bottoms. Fecal pellets were collected in the

morning, mixed with autoclaved charcoal granules (Ebonex), and stored in 10-cm-diameter Petri

dishes lined with Whatman filter paper. Fecal charcoal plates were stored at either 20°C for 48

hours or 25°C for 24 hours, then used in behavioral assays (free-living adults) or transferred to

23°C for 5-14 days until use (iL3s). *S. stercoralis* free-living adults and iL3s were collected from

fecal-charcoal plates using a Baermann apparatus, as previously described [58]. For brood size,

survival, and hatching assays, free-living adults were briefly suspended in BU worm saline [59]

in a watch glass; individual worms were then pipetted onto assay plates using a dissecting

microscope (Leica S9E).

Maintenance of *Strongyloides ratti*

S. ratti ED321 strain was serially passaged through female Sprague Dawley rats (Envigo) and

maintained on fecal-charcoal plates as for *S. stercoralis*. Rats were inoculated with ~700 iL3s in

200 μL sterile PBS via inguinal subcutaneous injection, under isoflurane anesthesia. Collection

and storage of feces containing *S. ratti* was performed as described for *S. stercoralis*.

Maintenance of *C. elegans*

C. elegans N2 strain (*Caenorhabditis* Genome Center, CGC) was maintained using standard

methods [60]. In brief, young adult hermaphrodites used for maintenance and thermotaxis

assays were raised on 2% Nematode Growth Media (NGM) plates seeded with a lawn of

Escherichia coli OP50 bacteria (CGC; maintenance plates). To generate age-synchronized

young adults for behavioral assays, adult hermaphrodites were allowed to lay eggs on

maintenance plates for 4 hours; adults were then removed, and the plates were stored at either

20°C or 23°C until progeny reached the desired life stage.

Thermotaxis assays

Thermotaxis assays were performed on a custom thermoelectric behavioral arena, as previously described [49]. For some experiments, the thermoelectric control circuit included updated electrical components; a full parts list and wiring diagram are archived in a dedicated GitHub repository (https://github.com/BryantLabUW/Strongyloides-Free-Living-Thermosensory-Behaviors). Worm migrations were visualized using a 20 MP CMOS camera (Basler Ace acA5472-17um, Basler) at a frame rate of 0.2 frames per second for 45 minutes (adults) or 0.5 frames per second for 15 minutes (iL3s) using the pylon Viewer camera software suite (v7.4.0, Basler). Thermotaxis experiments were carried out on age-synchronized young adult hermaphrodites (*C. elegans*) and free-living adult males and females (*S. stercoralis*, *S. ratti*) that were incubated for at least 2 hours at the desired cultivation temperature (15°C, 20°C, or 23°C). For iL3 assays, worms were collected with a Baermann apparatus and suspended in ~1 mL BU saline in a watch glass, then incubated for at least 2 hours at the appropriate temperature. For multisensory assays, 5 µL of undiluted 3-methyl-1-butanol was placed on the thermotaxis plate immediately before worms were deposited. For *post-hoc* measurements of individual worm movements, x/y coordinates were generated using the Manual Tracking plugin for Fiji, then quantified and plotted using custom MATLAB scripts (MathWorks), as previously described [55,56,61]. All custom code and hardware specifications are archived on GitHub (https://github.com/BryantLabUW/Strongyloides-Free-Living-Thermosensory-Behaviors).

Brood size and survival assays

For *C. elegans*, age-synchronized adult hermaphrodites with 1-5 eggs were picked from maintenance plates onto treatment plates (2% NGM plates seeded with 50 µL HB101 bacteria)

and allowed to grow for 1-3 days. For *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti*, free-living adult females with

fewer than five eggs and 3 free-living males were pipetted from a BU-filled watch glass onto

treatment plates. Plates were randomly divided among the treatment temperatures (23°C, 30°C,

or 37°C) and moved to their respective incubators. Experiments were performed in batches of

at least two temperature conditions. Worms were checked for survival (movement or pharyngeal

pumping) and brood size (number of eggs and larvae on plate) every 24 hours. If the worm was

still alive, it was moved to a new treatment plate and returned to its treatment incubator for

another 24 hours. This process was repeated until all worms had died. On the day each worm

died, the number of eggs/larvae present when each plate was checked was recorded.

Hatching viability assays

Age-synchronized adult hermaphrodites (*C. elegans*) and free-living adult females

(*S. stercoralis*, *S. ratti*) with greater than five eggs were transferred from maintenance plates

(*C. elegans*) or a BU-filled watch glass (*S. stercoralis*, *S. ratti*) onto treatment plates. All

treatment plates were moved to a 23°C incubator for four hours to allow worms to lay eggs. After

four hours, adult worms were removed, and the number of eggs laid on each plate was recorded

(E_0). Plates with fewer than eight eggs were excluded from the experiment. Plates were

randomly divided among the treatment temperatures (23°C, 30°C, or 37°C) and moved to their

respective incubators. Experiments were done in batches of at least two temperatures at a time.

After 24 hours, the number of eggs remaining on treatment plates was recorded (E_1). Hatching

viability was then calculated using the following formula:

$$(E_0 - E_1)/E_0 * 100$$

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses except mean survival were conducted using GraphPad Prism 10

(Dotmatics). Mean survival values were calculate using OASIS 2 [62] Power analyses to

determine appropriate sample sizes were performed using G*Power 3.1 [63]. Statistical details

for experiments are provided in figure legends and Supplemental Data File 1.

Results

The free-living adults of *Strongyloides* species prefer temperatures warmer than their

cultivation temperature.

To begin characterizing the temperature preferences of *Strongyloides* free-living adults, we first

looked to see if they display behaviors similar to those of the constitutively free-living model

nematode *C. elegans*. One well-documented behavior of *C. elegans* is that well-fed adult

hermaphrodites migrate towards a remembered cultivation temperature when placed on a

thermal gradient within their physiological temperature range (15-25°C) [34–36,39,40]. To test if

Strongyloides free-living adults display similar thermal preferences, we generated post-parasitic

free-living adults by cultivating feces containing post-parasitic larvae at 20°C for two days. Next,

we placed isolated free-living females (FLFs) at 23°C in a large-format thermotaxis arena in

which we established a ~21-25°C temperature gradient. Under these conditions, *C. elegans*

adult hermaphrodites that were raised at 20°C displayed negative thermotaxis towards their

cultivation temperature, as expected (Fig. 1 A, D). In contrast, we observed that *S. stercoralis*

FLFs were more likely to engage in positive thermotaxis (Fig. 1B, D, E). *S. ratti* free-living

females also did not migrate towards their cultivation temperature, instead displaying attraction

to above-ambient temperatures, similar to *S. stercoralis* (Fig. 1C-E). We also tested the thermal

preferences of *Strongyloides* free-living males (FLMs). *S. stercoralis* FLFs and FLMs displayed

similar behaviors, while *S. ratti* FLFs traveled slightly further up the gradient than *S. ratti* FLMs

(Fig. S2). The difference between *S. ratti* FLFs and FLMs was not due to systemic differences

in velocity, as we observed no significant difference in mean speed (mm/s) between sexes (Fig.

S2C).

***S. stercoralis* free-living females show positive thermotaxis in conditions that elicit**

noxious temperature escape behavior in *C. elegans* adults.

Another critical aspect of the *C. elegans* free-living thermosensory repertoire is the avoidance of

noxious temperatures [37,64–66]. Experimentally, escape from noxious heat can be observed

by placing adult hermaphrodites above 26°C, triggering migration down the thermal gradient

towards physiologically permissive temperatures [37,38,40]. Notably, the behavioral strategies

and cellular mechanisms underlying noxious temperature responses are partially distinct from

those driving innocuous temperature responses [37,50,66–68]. To determine if thermal escape

behaviors are present in *Strongyloides* FLFs, we compared the migration of *C. elegans* adult

hermaphrodites and *Strongyloides* FLFs placed at 30°C in a ~21-35°C gradient (cultivation

temperature, T_C = 23°C). As expected, *C. elegans* hermaphrodites showed robust migration

towards cooler temperatures (Fig. 2A, D, E). Surprisingly, neither *Strongyloides* species

displayed noxious temperature avoidance behaviors (Fig. 2B-E). *S. stercoralis* FLFs continued

to show positive thermotaxis towards 34°C (Fig. 2B). In contrast, *S. ratti* FLFs did not significantly

disperse from their starting temperature of 30°C (Fig. 2C-E). Finally, we tested whether

S. stercoralis FLFs would display noxious temperature responses when exposed to mammalian

core body temperatures. We found that *S. stercoralis* FLFs placed at 37°C in a ~32-38°C

gradient did not migrate down the gradient (T_C = 23°C; Fig. S3). Together, these results indicate

that the thermal preferences of *Strongyloides* free-living adults are distinct from those of

C. elegans adult hermaphrodites, despite the similarities in their ecological niches (*i.e.*, they are

both non-parasitic bacterivores). Furthermore, it suggests that the cellular mechanisms that

produce robust behavioral responses to noxious heat in *C. elegans* are either not present in

Strongyloides spp., are tuned for temperatures much higher than mammalian body heat or have

been co-opted for other functions.

Free-living adults display thermal preferences that are distinct from iL3s.

The iL3s of multiple mammalian-parasitic nematode species, including *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti,*

engage in negative thermotaxis towards cooler temperatures when placed near or below their

ambient cultivation temperature [55,56]. This preference for cooler temperatures may act as a

mechanism to disperse iL3s into cooler soil environments where discrimination between host-

emitted heat and environmental temperatures is maximized. What are the behaviors of

Strongyloides FLFs in cooler gradients? We first tested the preferences of *S. stercoralis* FLFs in

a ~12-22°C gradient, conditions in which *S. stercoralis* iL3s engage in negative thermotaxis and

accumulate at ~16°C (Fig. S5) [56]. Surprisingly, we found that *S. stercoralis* FLFs displayed

relatively robust positive thermotaxis in these conditions (Fig. S5B, F). To test whether *S. ratti*

display similar life-stage-specific differences in negative thermotaxis, we first tested the

preferences of *S. ratti* iL3s in the ~12-22°C gradient. We found that *S. ratti* iL3s stayed closer to

their starting temperature than *S. stercoralis* iL3s (Fig. S5C, E). Nevertheless, *S. ratti* FLFs also

engaged in positive thermotaxis when placed at 20°C (Fig. SD, F). Together with our earlier

experiments at above-ambient temperatures, these results indicate that *Strongyloides* FLFs are

biased towards performing positive thermotaxis across a broad range of environmental

temperatures.

Strongyloides spp. FLFs respond to host-associated odorants in near-ambient temperature gradients.

Like *C. elegans*, *Strongyloides* spp. can detect a range of non-thermosensory stimuli, including chemosensory cues [51,53,57,69]. In near-ambient temperature gradients, *S. stercoralis* iL3s prioritize thermosensory behaviors over chemosensory responses, such that exposure to temperature gradients below host body heat can override attraction to a host-associated odorant [49]. Do *S. stercoralis* FLFs display a similar sensory hierarchy? To test this question, we evaluated the impact of the attractive odorant 3-methyl-1-butanol (3m1b) on the behavior of *S. stercoralis* FLFs in a ~20-25°C gradient. In the absence of an odorant, *S. stercoralis* FLFs placed at 23°C displayed positive thermotaxis, traveling towards warmer temperatures (Fig. 1B-E; Fig. 3). In the presence of an odorant placed either near to the starting position of the worms (odorant location: 22.5°C, ~2.7 cm from T_{start}), or further away (odorant location: 22°C, ~5.5 cm from T_{start}), we observed a decrease in the distance individual worms traveled up the gradient when compared to worms in a pure thermotaxis (*i.e.*, no odorant) gradient (Fig. 3; Fig. S6). The reduction partially reflects an increase in the number of worms that initially traveled down the temperature gradient towards the odorant before traveling back up the gradient (Fig. S6). This shifted migratory pattern was particularly noticeable when the odorant was placed in the "far" location (Fig. 3C; Fig. S6B). These results suggest a difference in the sensory hierarchy underlying the behaviors of *S. stercoralis* FLFs and iL3s, such that the FLF sensory hierarchy is less dominated by temperature cues (Fig. 4).

Increasing cultivation temperature decreases the lifespan of *Strongyloides* FLFs.

The multifaceted repertoire of thermosensory behaviors exhibited by *C. elegans* free-living adults (*i.e.*, experience-dependent migration towards ambient cultivation temperature,

isothermal tracking of cultivation temperature, and robust avoidance behaviors associated with noxious temperatures) are thought to be methods of thermoregulation in support of survival and reproduction [34–38,40,67,68]. Thus, our observation that the free-living adults of *Strongyloides* species almost exclusively engage in migration to above-ambient temperatures is highly intriguing. To begin searching for an explanation for the thermophilic preferences of *Strongyloides* free-living adults, we tested the impact of different constant environmental temperatures on the survival of free-living females (Fig. 5, Fig. S7). Individual *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti* FLFs, as well as *C. elegans* hermaphrodites, were placed on NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* HB101 at either 23°C, 30°C, or 37°C and their survival was checked daily (Fig. 5A). As expected, increasing the cultivation temperature of *C. elegans* adults resulted in a dramatic decrease in lifespan (Fig. 5B, E). When the cultivation temperature was increased for *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti* FLFs, the lifespan of both *Strongyloides* species also decreased (Fig. 5C, D, E). Notably, this decreased survival at warmer temperatures appears to conflict with the thermal preferences of the free-living adults. This raises the question of why *Strongyloides* FLFs are attracted to temperatures that decrease their longevity.

S. stercoralis FLFs show increased reproductive success at near-tropical temperatures

One possibility is that the thermal preferences of *Strongyloides* FLFs support other critical biological processes – in particular, the reproductive capacity of this life stage. To test the hypothesis that exposure to warmer temperatures positively impacts *Strongyloides* reproduction, we counted the number of offspring produced by individual FLFs cultivated at different temperatures (Fig. 6A). Similar to previous studies, we found that exposure to warm temperatures rapidly and dramatically depresses *C. elegans* total brood size (Fig. 6B, Fig. S8) [45,46]. In contrast, *S. stercoralis* FLFs showed a significant increase in total brood size at 30°C

(Fig. 6B). When the temperature was increased further to 37°C, we observed a decrease in total

brood size such that the total numbers of eggs and larvae produced at 23°C and 37°C are not

statistically different (Fig. 6B). This decrease in total brood size primarily reflects the decreased

lifespan of *S. stercoralis* FLFs at 37°C. When we examined the number of eggs and larvae laid

each day, we observed an increase in *S. stercoralis* daily brood size at 37°C compared to 23°C

on the first experimental day (Fig. S8). Thus, the mechanisms that yield enhanced total brood

sizes at 30°C are likely also active at 37°C; however, those increases are offset by decreased

longevity of the FLFs. We also tested the impact of warm temperatures on *S. ratti* total brood

sizes and found that they exhibited no change in total brood size between 23°C and 30°C and a

decreased total brood size at 37°C (Fig. 6B). When we compared the *S. ratti* day-by-day brood

sizes, we observed that exposure to 30°C did drive an initial increase in daily brood size

compared to 23°C, similar to *S. stercoralis* (Fig. S8). These results suggest that *S. stercoralis* is

physiologically adapted for warmer climates than *S. ratti*, which mirrors the geographical

distributions of these two species: *S. ratti* is widely distributed throughout the world while

S. stercoralis is most prevalent in tropical and subtropical climates [10,11,70].

In *C. elegans*, exposure to noxious warmth not only decreases brood size but also decreases

hatching viability [48,49,71,72]. The effect of increased temperature on *Strongyloides* FLF's egg

hatching viability is unknown and is particularly interesting because of our finding that

Strongyloides brood sizes can be enhanced at warmer temperatures. Increased brood sizes

could reflect compensatory mechanisms if the hatching viability of *Strongyloides* spp. is

decreased at warmer temperatures, similar to *C. elegans*. Alternatively, hatching viabilities that

are largely unaffected by warmer temperatures could indicate that parasites are generally

adapted to warmer environmental temperatures. To determine hatching viability, we collected

synchronized eggs by letting individual *C. elegans* adult hermaphrodites and *Strongyloides* adult FLFs lay eggs onto NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* HB101 for 4 hours at 23°C. After removing the adults, we counted the number of eggs on each plate, then incubated plates at either 23°C, 30°C, or 37°C for 24 hours (*C. elegans*) or 48 hours (*Strongyloides* spp.) before re-counting any unhatched eggs (Fig. 6C). As expected, we observed that *C. elegans* median hatching viability progressively decreased as a function of increased temperature (Fig. 6D). In contrast, *S. stercoralis* did not show any significant change in hatching viability as cultivation temperature increased up to 37°C (Fig. 6D). *S. ratti* also exhibited no significant change in hatching viability from 23°C to 30°C; however, we did observe a small, but significant, decrease in hatching viability when cultivated at 37°C (Fig. 6D). Notably, this decreased *S. ratti* hatching viability was still significantly higher than the *C. elegans* hatching viability at 37°C ($p<0.0001$, 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test). Together, these experiments indicate that the range of reproductively and developmentally permissive temperatures is shifted towards warmer temperatures for *Strongyloides* spp. compared to *C. elegans*.

Discussion

Here, we present the first characterization of thermosensory behaviors by *Strongyloides* free-living adults and provide evidence to link life-stage-specific thermal preferences to physiological and reproductive consequences. We found that the free-living adults of *S. stercoralis* are attracted to above-ambient temperatures in a wide range of thermal conditions, including temperature gradients that trigger noxious heat escape behaviors in *C. elegans*, negative thermotaxis towards cultivation temperature in *C. elegans*, and negative thermotaxis towards below-ambient temperatures in *S. stercoralis* iL3s (Fig. 7). We showed that unlike iL3s, *S. stercoralis* free-living females can respond to chemosensory attractants in thermal gradients

below human body temperature. Impressively, we saw that some worms can even migrate against their thermal preferences in favor of moving towards the odorant. We also performed the first systematic evaluation of the impact of environmental temperatures on the physiological and reproductive potential of *S. stercoralis* free-living adults. We found that exposure to 30°C drives an unexpected increase in the brood size of *S. stercoralis* free-living adults, even at the expense of their longevity. Finally, comparisons between *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti* reveal that *S. stercoralis* is adapted to warmer temperatures than *S. ratti*, a difference that aligns with their narrower geographic distribution [10,11,70].

Free-living nematodes can experience a range of environmental stressors; as ectotherms, environmental temperature is a critical source of potential stress that can significantly impact their individual fitness. The free-living model nematode *C. elegans* displays a complex thermoregulatory process that, in response to thermal stress, prioritizes individual survival and future fecundity over immediate production of offspring [73,74]. Major elements of the *C. elegans* thermoregulatory response include thermotaxis navigation towards physiologically permissive temperatures, inhibition of reproduction, and altering a range of other physiological processes [34,43,45,48,73,74]. Until now, it has been unclear whether this thermoregulatory strategy was a ubiquitous feature of ectothermic, bacterivorous nematodes. Our results demonstrate that the free-living adults of two nematode species, *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti*, display an alternative thermoregulatory strategy, one that prioritizes immediate expansion of infective larval populations over individual adult survival. These findings demonstrate that despite their similarities to *C. elegans*, *Strongyloides* free-living adults display distinct thermosensory responses and physiological characteristics, underscoring the potential for diversity in the behaviors of ectotherms inhabiting ostensibly similar ecological niches.

Previous studies have found large differences in thermal preferences between *C. elegans* adults

and host-seeking *Strongyloides* iL3s [55,56]. Our current results expand these differences to

Strongyloides free-living adults. The specialized thermotaxis behaviors of *S. stercoralis* iL3s are

thought to arise from adaptations to a neural circuit that is conserved with *C. elegans*. Our

findings suggest that these adaptations are a persistent feature of the *Strongyloides*

thermosensory circuit across life stages. However, our data also reveals plasticity in the

thermosensory behaviors of *Strongyloides* as a function of life stage, specifically, the failure of

Strongyloides FLFs to perform negative thermotaxis, a behavior that is robustly present in

Strongyloides iL3s. These differences mirror the life-stage-specific differences in chemosensory

preferences previously observed with *Strongyloides* species [52,53,57]. In *C. elegans*, changes

in the behavioral valence of sensory stimuli across life stages can arise from plasticity in the

functional architecture of sensory neural microcircuits [67,75,76]. Similar life-stage-specific

changes in functional connectivity could account for the behavioral differences we see between

Strongyloides iL3s and free-living adults. Taken together, our results emphasize the need for

future studies that pinpoint the neural mechanisms that drive parasite-specific and life-stage-

specific thermotaxis behaviors in *Strongyloides* species.

Our experiments demonstrate that soil-resident *Strongyloides* free-living adults cannot survive

prolonged exposure to temperatures approximating mammalian body heat. This physiological

inability contrasts strongly with the ability of host-resident *Strongyloides* parasitic adults to

survive for many months within host bodies, as well as the ability of soil-resident iL3s to survive

the thermal transition associated with host invasion [77]. Thus, ectothermic species that

parasitize warm-blooded animals are not innately resistant to the negative impacts of thermal

stress. What are the molecular and cellular mechanisms that not only enable *Strongyloides*

parasitic adults to survive and reproduce within the host environment, but also produce a

remarkable increase in maximum lifespan compared to free-living adults [30,77,78]? If these

mechanisms are actively acquired or maintained following host infection, they may represent an

exciting source of molecular targets for novel anthelmintic drugs. In the future, we hope that

research leveraging our mechanistic understanding of *C. elegans* thermal physiology and the

growing *Strongyloides* genetic toolkit will provide insight into the remarkable physiological

abilities of parasitic adults [69,79].

Here, we have focused on understanding the thermotaxis behaviors and thermal physiology of

Strongyloides free-living adults, a life stage observed only in *Strongyloides* and the closely

related *Parastrongyloides* genera [80]. Although other medically important parasitic species,

such as human hookworms, lack a free-living generation, they do deposit eggs and larvae into

the environment that must also survive environmental temperatures long enough to mature into

infective larvae that will locate and infect host animals. Is the ability of *Strongyloides* post-

parasitic eggs to maintain high hatching viability in warm temperatures a common feature of

parasitic nematodes that are endemic to tropical and sub-tropical regions? Recent experimental

evidence is limited and potentially determined by experimental conditions: whereas one study

reports that the eggs of *Necator americanus*, a human hookworm, display low rates of hatching

at temperatures above 35°C, another reports that the eggs of both *N. americanus* and the human

hookworm *Ancylostoma duodenale* are able to hatch (albeit with increasing mortality) at

temperatures up to 40°C [81,82]. The eggs of other parasitic nematode species, including the

pig large roundworm *Ascaris suum*, also display remarkable resistance to elevated temperatures

[83–87]. For behavioral preferences, previous studies have found that *S. stercoralis* iL3s prefer

temperatures warmer than the human hookworm *Ancylostoma ceylanicum* and other mammalian-parasitic nematodes [55]. Thus, the ability of *S. stercoralis* FLFs to maintain high hatching viability at 37°C may indicate a particularly high thermal tolerance, even among human-parasitic nematodes. Nevertheless, mammalian parasites must all survive and reproduce at body heat, suggesting the possibility of common molecular mechanisms for avoiding decreased longevity and diminished fecundity due to heat stress.

Our investigation of the impact of environmental temperatures on the effective fecundity of the *Strongyloides* free-living generation has intriguing implications for the role of this life stage in promoting infections across diverse climates. Our experiments show that the reproductive potential of *Strongyloides* free-living adults is relatively impervious to prolonged exposure to 30°C. Human infections with *S. stercoralis* predominantly occur in tropical and sub-tropical climates [10,11]. Our results suggest that the specialized physiology of *S. stercoralis* can contribute to the maintenance of infectious populations within these climates. Specifically, our findings deepen our understanding of the complex relationship between temperature, the choice between the heterogonic and homogonic developmental pathways, and the production of infective larvae. Previous work has shown that *S. stercoralis* post-parasitic L1 larvae that experience temperatures below 34°C are driven to develop into free-living adults [21]. Now, we show that after reaching adulthood, *S. stercoralis* adults are attracted to warm temperatures, potentially enhancing their reproductive output at the expense of individual longevity. Furthermore, even in cases where total reproductive output is only maintained, rather than enhanced (*e.g.*, *S. stercoralis* at 37°C), exposure to warmer temperatures will shorten the time required to generate large numbers of iL3s, which may influence the functional infectivity of the soil-dwelling population [88–90].

Together, our results suggest that the thermotaxis behaviors and thermal physiology of

S. stercoralis are particularly adapted for tropical climates. These findings are especially

concerning in the context of anthropogenic climate change, where increases in global

temperatures could shift the geographic ranges capable of supporting *S. stercoralis* transmission

while also improving reproductive capacity and functional infectivity of these parasites [91,92].

Ultimately, our work emphasizes the importance of developing a deeper understanding of the

complex behaviors and physiology of *S. stercoralis*, a highly neglected source of human disease.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Neil E. Warren and the University of Washington Instrumentation

Services. We thank Dr. Dana Miller and the Hot Buttered Mice group for their help regarding

survival assays and OASIS 2. We thank Yi Zhang, Kyle Thieringer, Rachel Oaks-Leaf, and Dr.

Dana Miller for insightful comments on the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health DP2AI184544 (A.S.B.), funds provided

by the University of Washington School of Medicine (A.S.B), National Institutes of Health

R01AI136976 (E.A.H), and funds provided by AstraZenica and an anonymous donor (J.S.).

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Thermotaxis behaviors of *Strongyloides* free-living females near ambient

temperatures are distinct from those of *C. elegans* adults.

For panels A-C, worms were placed at 23°C in a ~21-25°C gradient and allowed to migrate for

45 min. Cultivation temperature (T_C) = 20°C. Starting temperature (T_{start}) = 23°C (grey line). Black

crosses show the starting position of the worms. Representative tracks of *C. elegans* adult

hermaphrodites (A), *S. stercoralis* free-living females (FLFs) (B), and *S. ratti* FLFs (C). *C.*

elegans hermaphrodites are seen engaging in negative thermotaxis toward their cultivation

temperature, while *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti* FLFs engage in positive thermotaxis.

D) Quantification of the change in temperature experienced by *C. elegans* adults, *S. stercoralis*

FLFs, and *S. ratti* FLFs. Values are the final temperature – starting temperature for each worm.

Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges,

and whiskers show min and max values.

n = 54 worms for *C. elegans* hermaphrodites (5 assays across 4 days), n = 76 worms for

S. stercoralis free-living females (7 assays across 5 days), n = 59 worms for *S. ratti* FLFs (6

assays across 4 days). ns = not significant, ****$p<0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test.

E) Categorical distribution of thermotaxis behaviors in a ~20-25°C gradient across species. For

each species, individual worms were considered to have engaged in positive or negative

thermotaxis if their position at the end of the assay was outside of a 1 cm neutral exclusion zone

centered on the starting position of each individual worm. Individuals that finished the assay

within this zone were considered non-responding. ***$p<0.001$, Fisher's exact test with

Bonferroni-Dunn correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure 2. *Strongyloides* free-living females do not display noxious heat avoidance near

human skin temperature.

Worms were placed at 30°C in a ~21-35°C gradient and allowed to migrate for 45 min. T_C =

23°C. Colored tracks represent the path of individual worms. Grey line represents T_{start} = 30°C.

Black crosses show the starting position of the worms. Representative tracks of *C. elegans* adult

hermaphrodites (A), *S. stercoralis* free-living females (B), and *S. ratti* free-living females (C).

C. elegans hermaphrodites are seen engaging in noxious heat escape behaviors while

S. stercoralis FLFs engage in positive thermotaxis and *S. ratti* FLFs engage in neither positive

nor negative thermotaxis.

D) Quantification of the change in temperature for *C. elegans* adults, *S. stercoralis* FLFs, and *S.*

ratti FLFs. Values are the final temperature – starting temperature for each worm. Icons indicate

responses of individual worms; boxes show medians and interquartile ranges; whiskers show

min and max values. n = 50 worms for *C. elegans* hermaphrodites (5 assays across 3 days), n

= 65 worms for *S. stercoralis* FLFs (5 assays across 3 days), n = 47 worms for *S. ratti* FLFs (6

assays across 4 days). ****$p<0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

E) Categorical distribution of thermotaxis behaviors in a ~21-35°C gradient across species.

Individuals were considered to have engaged in positive or negative thermotaxis if their position

at the end of the assay was outside of a 1 cm neutral exclusion zone centered on the starting

position of each individual worm. Individuals that finished the assay within this zone were

considered non-responding. ***$p<0.001$, Fisher's exact test with Bonferroni-Dunn correction for

multiple comparisons.

Figure 3. *S. stercoralis* FLF's thermotaxis behavior is disrupted by the presence of an

attractive odorant.

A) Diagram of the attractive odorant and temperature gradient experimental setup. Worms were

placed at 23°C in a ~21-25°C temperature gradient. An attractive odorant (3m1b) was either not

introduced, placed near the worm starting location (at 22.5°C), or placed far from the worm

starting location (at 22°C). Assay duration: 45 minutes. Temp only data is reproduced from Fig.

1.

B) Heat map showing the temperature experienced by individual worms throughout the 45-

minute assay. Cooler temperatures are represented by darker colors while warmer temperatures

are represented by warmer colors. Heatmap rows are ordered by hierarchical cluster analysis.

Triangles on the right of the heat maps indicate the individual worms chosen as representative

tracks in Fig. 3C.

C) Example tracks of individual worms from each experimental condition. Tracks are color coded

by time in the assay as seen in the scale on the right. Gray line = 23°C (T_{start}); black dots indicate

worm starting position.

D) Quantification of the change in temperature experienced by worms (final temperature –

starting temperature). Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and

interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values. n = 76 worms for temperature only,

n = 65 worms for odorant near (6 assays over 3 days), and n = 66 worms for odorant far (6

assays over 3 days). ns = not significant, **$p<0.01$, ****$p<0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test.

Figure 4. Comparison of the sensory hierarchy across *Strongyloides* life stages.

Similar sensory cues result in different behaviors based on the sensory hierarchy of a specific

life stage (indicated by direction of scale icon). At near-ambient temperatures, iL3s prioritize

performing thermotaxis behaviors over responding to an attractive odorant [55]. At temperatures

near host body heat, iL3 migration is influenced by attractive host odorants [55]. In contrast, free-

living adults perform both thermotaxis and chemotaxis at near ambient temperatures, likely due

to a sensory hierarchy that is less dominated by temperature cues.

Figure 5. Exposure to high temperatures decreases the lifespan of *Strongyloides* spp.

FLFs.

A) Diagram of survival assay. Individual adults were placed on NGM plates seeded with *E. coli*

HB101. Individual worms were added to plates, then incubated at either 23°C, 30°C, or 37°C.

Plates were checked every 24 hours for survival. Black circles represent assays run at 23°C,

gold diamonds represent assays run at 30°C, and red triangles represent assays run at 37°C.

For all experiments, animals that were not found on the plate were censored. For *C. elegans*

and one *S. stercoralis* experiment, the dates of censoring were not recorded; these animals (3

for *C. elegans*, 2 for *S. ratti*) have been excluded from survival analyses. Number of censored

animals included in survival analyses = *C. elegans*: none; *S. stercoralis*: 5 (23°C), 0 (30°C), 0

(37°C); *S. ratti*: 4 (23°C), 5 (30°C), 6 (37°C). Survival curves reflect the combined survival of all

worms across 5 (*C. elegans*, *S. ratti*) or 6 (*S. stercoralis*) independent experiments for each

species. Survival curves for individual experiments are shown in Fig. S7.

B) Probability of survival over time for *C. elegans* adult hermaphrodites.

C) Probability of survival over time for *S. stercoralis* FLFs.

D) Probability of survival over time for *S. ratti* FLFs.

E) Table reporting average survival times for all species and temperature conditions. Values are

mean ± standard error (number of animals). *p*-values are pairwise comparison of survival curves

(23°C vs 30°C, 30°C vs 37°C, 23°C vs 37°C): *p*<0.001, Mantel-Cox test with Bonferroni-Dunn

correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure 6. Impact of near-tropical temperatures on *S. stercoralis* FLF brood size.

A) Diagram of the brood size assay. Individual adults were placed on NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* HB101. Plates were incubated at either 23°C, 30°C, or 37°C and checked every 24 hours for the number of eggs and larvae.

B) Quantification of the impact of environmental temperature on brood size for *C. elegans* hermaphrodites (n = 36-47 adult worms), *S. stercoralis* free-living females (n = 32-54 adult worms), and *S. ratti* free-living females (n = 29-38 adult worms). Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values. ns = not significant, **$p<0.01$, ****$p<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

C) Diagram of the hatching assay. Individual adults were placed on NGM plates seeded with *E. coli* HB101. Plates were incubated for 4 hours at 20°C. After 4 hours, females were removed from plates and eggs were counted. Plates were then incubated at 23°C, 30°C, or 37°C. After 24-48 hours, unhatched eggs were counted.

D) Quantification of hatching viability for *C. elegans* (n = 50-53 plates), *S. stercoralis* (n = 23-35 plates), and *S. ratti* (n = 22-27 plates). Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values. ns = not significant, **$p<0.01$, ****$p<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

Figure 7. Species- and life-stage-specific thermosensory behaviors and thermal physiology

A) Summary of *Strongyloides* iL3 thermotaxis behaviors. *Strongyloides* iL3s display two modes of thermotaxis behavior: positive thermotaxis towards host body heat and negative thermotaxis towards below-ambient temperatures [55,56]. If a temperature gradient ends below ~30°C,

Strongyloides iL3s are able to reverse an initial attraction to warmth, performing a "U-turn"

behavior that triggers sustained negative thermotaxis towards below-ambient temperatures [56].

B) Summary of *Strongyloides* FLF thermotaxis behaviors and physiological response to

increased temperatures. *Strongyloides* FLFs are attracted to temperatures above ambient.

Sustained exposure to above-ambient temperatures drives reductions in adult lifespan; in

contrast, brood size and hatching viability are enhanced (or stable) at near-tropical

temperatures.

C) Summary of *C. elegans* free-living hermaphrodite thermotaxis behaviors and physiological

response to increased temperatures. Between 15-25°C, *C. elegans* adults show attraction to a

"remembered" cultivation temperature and will undergo positive and negative thermotaxis

towards that temperature [34–36,39,40] . At temperatures greater than 26°C, *C. elegans* adults

display a noxious heat escape response and have a very decreased lifespan, brood size, and

hatching viability [34,37–39,42,43,50,64].

Supplemental Information

Figure S1: Life cycles of *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti*

A) The life cycle of *S. stercoralis* in a human host [8]. 1) Infection starts when soil-dwelling

infective larvae (iL3s) locate a host and skin penetrate. 2) Inside the host, iL3s migrate to the

small intestine and develop into reproductively active parasitic females. The eggs and larvae of

parasitic females are voided from the host in feces, where they can either develop directly into

iL3s (3a) or into non-parasitic "free-living" adults that engage in sexual reproduction (3b). The

offspring of the free-living adults all develop into iL3s. Autoinfection can occur when eggs hatch

within the host and develop into iL3s.

B) The life cycle of *S. ratti* in a rat host [19]. The stages of infection are similar to *S. stercoralis*,

with the following differences: a) the progeny of parasitic females leave the host exclusively as

unhatched eggs; b) the lack of precocious hatching precludes autoinfection of original hosts.

Figure S2: *Strongyloides* free-living males display thermal preferences similar to free-

living females

A) Individual tracks of *S. stercoralis* free-living males responding to a 20-25°C temperature

gradient (T_{start} = 23°C). T_C = 20°C. Each colored line is the track of an individual *S. stercoralis*

male's path throughout the 45-minute assay. Black crosses represent the starting positions of

each worm. The grey line represents T_{start} = 23°C.

B) Individual tracks of *S. ratti* free-living males responding to a temperature gradient from 20°C

to 25°C when placed at 23°C. Each colored line is the track of an individual *S. stercoralis* male's

path throughout the 45-minute assay. Black crosses represent the starting positions of each

worm. The grey bar represents a 1°C-wide starting zone of the assay and is centered on 23°C.

C) Quantification of the change in temperature (left) and mean speed (right) for free-living males

(FLMs) vs. free-living females (FLFs) of *S. stercoralis* (purple) or *S. ratti* (teal). Icons indicate

responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers

show min and max values. *S. stercoralis* FLMs and FLFs migrated similarly in the temperature

gradient; *S. ratti* FLMs showed reduced positive thermotaxis relative to *S. ratti* FLFs. The mean

speed of worms in the gradient was not significant between the sexes. n = 76 worms for

S. stercoralis FLFs (7 assays over 5 days), n = 49 worms for *S. stercoralis* FLMs (5 assays over

3 days), n = 59 for *S. ratti* FLFs (6 assays over 4 days), and n = 49 for *S. ratti* FLMs (5 assays

over 4 days). Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and

interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values. ns = not significant, ***$p<0.001$,

two-way ANOVA with Šídák's multiple comparisons test.

D) Categorical distribution of thermotaxis behaviors in a ~21-35°C gradient across species.

Individuals were considered to have engaged in positive or negative thermotaxis if their position

at the end of the assay was outside of a 1 cm neutral exclusion zone centered on the starting

position of each individual worm. Individuals that finished the assay within this zone were

considered non-responding. ns = not significant, *$p<0.05$, Fisher's exact test with Bonferroni-

Dunn correction for multiple comparisons.

Figure S3: *S. stercoralis* FLFs fail to display noxious heat escape behaviors at host body

temperatures.

A) Individual tracks of *S. stercoralis* free-living females responding to a ~32-40°C temperature

gradient (T_{start} = 38°C). T_C = 23°C. Each colored line is the trajectory of an individual worm during

the 45-minute assay. Black crosses represent the starting positions of each worm. The grey line

represents T_{start} = 38°C.

B) Quantification of the change in temperature for *S. stercoralis* free-living females. Values are

final temperature – starting temperature for each worm. Icons indicate responses of individual

worms; boxes show medians and interquartile ranges; whiskers show min and max values. ns

= not significantly different from a hypothetical value of 0, Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

C) Categorical distribution of *S. stercoralis* thermotaxis behavior in a ~32-40°C gradient.

Individuals were considered to have engaged in positive or negative thermotaxis if their position

at the end of the assay was outside of a 1 cm neutral exclusion zone centered on the starting

position of each individual worm. Individuals that finished the assay within this zone were

considered non-responding.

Figure S4: Differences in thermotaxis navigation between *Strongyloides* iL3s and FLFs

A) Tracks of *S. stercoralis* and *S. ratti* iL3s engaging in positive thermotaxis in a ~21-34°C

temperature gradient (T_{start} = 30°C, T_C = 23°C). Each colored line is a track of an individual

worm's path throughout the 15-minute assay. Black crosses represent the starting positions of

each worm. The grey line represents T_{start} = 30°C.

B) Quantification of the change in temperature (left), mean speed (center), and distance ratio

(right) for *S. stercoralis* iL3s and FLFs (purple), and *S. ratti* iL3s and FLFs (teal). Distance ratio

was calculated by dividing the total path length by the distance from starting position to ending

position of the assay. A larger distance ratio represents a more circuitous path; a distance ratio

of 1 is a completely straight path. Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show

medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values. n=30-60 worms from

3-6 assays. ns = not significant, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test.

Figure S5: Conditions that elicit negative thermotaxis in *Strongyloides* iL3s do not elicit

negative thermotaxis in *Strongyloides* FLFs.

A-D) Tracks of *S. stercoralis* iL3s (A), *S. stercoralis* FLFs (B), *S. ratti* iL3s (C), and *S. ratti* FLFs

(D) in a ~13-23°C gradient (T_{start} = 20°C, T_C = 23°C). Each colored line is an individual worm's

path throughout the 15-minute assay. Black crosses represent the starting positions of each

worm. The grey line represents T_{start} = 20°C. Assay duration: 45 minutes (adults) or 15 minutes

(iL3s).

E) Quantification of the change in temperature experienced by worms in the ~13-23°C gradient.

Icons indicate responses of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges,

and whiskers show min and max values. n = 30-50 worms from 3-5 assays. ***$p<0.001$,

****$p<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

F) Categorical distribution of thermotaxis behaviors in a ~13°C-23°C gradient across species.

Individuals were considered to have engaged in positive or negative thermotaxis if their position

at the end of the assay was above or below 0.5°C of their starting position respectively.

Individuals that finished the assay within 0.5°C above or below T_{start} were considered non-

responding. ***$p<0.001$, Fisher's exact test with Bonferroni-Dunn correction for multiple tests.

Figure S6: Expanded quantifications of the impact of an attractive odorant on the

thermotaxis behaviors of *S. stercoralis* FLFs.

A) Tracks of worms in a ~21-25°C pure thermotaxis gradient or a thermal gradient with an

attractive odorant (3m1b, pure) placed near (at 22.5°C) or far (at 22°C) from T_{start} (23°C). Assay

duration: 45 minutes. Colored tracks represent the path of individual worms. Black crosses

represent the starting location of individual worms. The grey line represents T_{start} = 23°C.

B) Representative tracks of individual *S. stercoralis* FLFs in each experimental condition from

panel A. Tracks are color-coded by time. Vertical red hash lines represent the position of the

odorant. Black crosses show the starting location of individual worms.

C) Quantification of the minimum temperature experienced by *S. stercoralis* FLFs in a ~21-25°C

gradient with and without 3m1b. The minimum temperature experienced in the presence of an

odorant was significantly lower than in the temperature-only condition. Icons indicate responses

of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and

max values. ns = not significant, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's

multiple comparisons test.

D) Quantification of the maximum temperature experienced by *S. stercoralis* FLFs in a ~21-25°C gradient with and without 3m1b. The maximum temperature experienced in the presence of an odorant was significantly lower than in the temperature-only condition. n = 76 worms for temperature only (7 assays over 5 days), n = 65 worms for odorant near (6 assays over 3 days), and n = 66 worms for odorant far (6 assays over 3 days). *p<0.05, ****p<0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

E) Quantification of the starting temperature experienced by *S. stercoralis* FLFs *stercoralis* a ~21-25°C gradient with and without 3m1b. The starting temperatures of worms were not significantly different between the experimental conditions. ns = not significant, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test.

Figure S7: Kaplan-Meier survival curves for individual experiments.

Probability of survival over time for *C. elegans* adult hermaphrodites, *S. stercoralis* FLFs, and *S. ratti* FLFs. Plots show survival curves for independent experiments. n = number of events (number of censored worms). When the number of censored worms is marked with an asterisk (*), the date of censoring is unknown, and the censored worms are excluded from plots and statistical analyses.

Figure S8: Impact of environmental temperature on daily brood sizes across species.

A-C) Brood size (eggs + larvae) recorded each day for *C. elegans* adults (A), *S. stercoralis* FLFs (B), and *S. ratti* FLFs (C) as a function of incubation temperature. Grey circles = 23°C; yellow diamonds = 30°C; red triangles = 37°C. Icons indicate brood sizes of individual worms, boxes show medians and interquartile ranges, and whiskers show min and max values.

D) Day one brood size across species. *S. stercoralis* FLFs showed a significant increase in brood

size on day 1 of the brood size assay at 30°C and 37°C. *S. ratti* FLFs showed a significant

increase in brood size on day 1 of the brood size assay at only 30°C. In contrast, *C. elegans*

adults showed a significant decrease in brood size on day 1. n = 31-54 adult worms. ns = not

significant, ****p<0.0001, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons test.

Supplemental File 1: This file includes all data used for statistical analyses and the results of

statistical tests, including exact p values.

References

1. Bethony J, Brooker S, Albonico M, Geiger SM, Loukas A, Diemert D, et al. Soil-transmitted
helminth infections: ascariasis, trichuriasis, and hookworm. Lancet. 2006;367: 1521–1532.
doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68653-4

2. Buonfrate D, Requena-Mendez A, Angheben A, Muñoz J, Gobbi F, Van Den Ende J, et al.
Severe strongyloidiasis: a systematic review of case reports. BMC Infect Dis. 2013;13: 78.
doi:10.1186/1471-2334-13-78

3. Gordon CA, Utzinger J, Muhi S, Becker SL, Keiser J, Khieu V, et al. Strongyloidiasis. Nat
Rev Dis Primers. 2024;10: 1–16. doi:10.1038/s41572-023-00490-x

4. Schär F, Trostdorf U, Giardina F, Khieu V, Muth S, Marti H, et al. *Strongyloides stercoralis*:
global distribution and risk factors. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7: e2288.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002288

5. Nutman TB. Human infection with *Strongyloides stercoralis* and other related *Strongyloides*
species. Parasitology. 2017;144: 263–273. doi:10.1017/S0031182016000834

6. Crudo Blackburn C, Yan SM, McCormick D, Herrera LN, Iordanov RB, Bailey MD, et al.
Parasitic contamination of soil in the Southern United States. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2024;
tpmd240075. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.24-0075

7. Tamarozzi F, Martello E, Giorli G, Fittipaldo A, Staffolani S, Montresor A, et al. Morbidity
associated with chronic *Strongyloides stercoralis* infection: a systematic review and meta-
analysis. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019;100: 1305–1311. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.18-0895

8. Viney ME, Lok JB. *Strongyloides* spp. WormBook. 2007; 1–15.
doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.141.1

9.   Page W, Judd JA, Bradbury RS. The unique life cycle of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and
826   implications for public health action. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2018;3.
827   doi:10.3390/tropicalmed3020053

828   10.   Beknazarova M, Whiley H, Ross K. Strongyloidiasis: a disease of socioeconomic
829   disadvantage. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016;13: 517. doi:10.3390/ijerph13050517

830   11.   Fleitas PE, Kehl SD, Lopez W, Travacio M, Nieves E, Gil JF, et al. Mapping the global
831   distribution of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and hookworms by ecological niche modeling.
832   Parasit Vectors. 2022;15: 197. doi:10.1186/s13071-022-05284-w

833   12.   Jia T-W, Melville S, Utzinger J, King CH, Zhou X-N. Soil-transmitted helminth reinfection
834   after drug treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cooper PJ, editor. PLoS
835   Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6: e1621. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001621

836   13.   Diawara A, Schwenkenbecher JM, Kaplan RM, Prichard RK. Molecular and biological
837   diagnostic tests for monitoring benzimidazole resistance in human soil-transmitted
838   helminths. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013;88: 1052–1061. doi:10.4269/ajtmh.12-0484

839   14.   Keiser J, Utzinger J. Efficacy of current drugs against soil-transmitted helminth infections:
840   systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2008;299: 1937–1948.
841   doi:10.1001/jama.299.16.1937

842   15.   Kumar N, Rao TKS, Varghese A, Rathor VS. Internal parasite management in grazing
843   livestock. J Parasit Dis. 2013;37: 151–157. doi:10.1007/s12639-012-0215-z

844   16.   Learmount J, Stephens N, Boughtflower V, Barrecheguren A, Rickell K. The development
845   of anthelmintic resistance with best practice control of nematodes on commercial sheep
846   farms in the UK. Vet Parasitol. 2016;229: 9–14. doi:10.1016/j.vetpar.2016.09.006

847   17.   Schafer TW, Skopic A. Parasites of the small intestine. Curr Gastroenterol Rep. 2006;8:
848   312–320. doi:10.1007/s11894-006-0052-2

849   18.   Lok JB. *Strongyloides stercoralis*: a model for translational research on parasitic
850   nematode biology. WormBook. 2007; 1–18. doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.134.1

851   19.   Viney M, Kikuchi T. *Strongyloides ratti* and *S. venezuelensis* - rodent models of
852   *Strongyloides* infection. Parasitology. 2017;144: 285–294.
853   doi:10.1017/S0031182016000020

854   20.   Viney ME. Developmental switching in the parasitic nematode *Strongyloides ratti*. Proc
855   Biol Sci. 1996;263: 201–8. doi:10.1098/rspb.1996.0032

856   21.   Nolan TJ, Brenes M, Ashton FT, Zhu X, Forbes WM, Boston R, et al. The amphidial
857   neuron pair ALD controls the temperature-sensitive choice of alternative developmental
858   pathways in the parasitic nematode, *Strongyloides stercoralis*. Parasitology. 2004;129:
859   753–759. doi:10.1017/S0031182004006092

22. Viney ME, Brown M, Omoding NE, Bailey JW, Gardner MP, Roberts E, et al. Why does
HIV infection not lead to disseminated strongyloidiasis? J Infect Dis. 2004;190: 2175–
2180. doi:10.1086/425935

23. Faust EC, Wells JW, Adams C, Beach TD. The fecundity of parasitic female
Strongyloides. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1934;31: 1041–1043. doi:10.3181/00379727-31-
7431P

24. Viney ME, Matthews BE, Walliker D. On the biological and biochemical nature of cloned
populations of *Strongyloides ratti*. J Helminthol. 1992;66: 45–52.
doi:10.1017/s0022149x00012554

25. Cole R, Holroyd N, Tracey A, Berriman M, Viney M. The parasitic nematode *Strongyloides*
ratti exists predominantly as populations of long-lived asexual lineages. Nat Commun.
2023;14: 6427. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42250-1

26. Eberhardt AG, Mayer WE, Streit A. The free-living generation of the nematode
Strongyloides papillosus undergoes sexual reproduction. Int J Parasitol. 2007;37: 989–
1000. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.01.010

27. Grove DI. Human strongyloidiasis. Adv Parasitol. 1996;38: 251–309. doi:10.1016/s0065-
308x(08)60036-6

28. Streit A. Reproduction in *Strongyloides* (Nematoda): a life between sex and
parthenogenesis. Parasitology. 2008;135: 285–294. doi:10.1017/S003118200700399X

29. De Ree V, Nath TC, Barua P, Harbecke D, Lee D, Rödelsperger C, et al. Genomic
analysis of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and *Strongyloides fuelleborni* in Bangladesh. PLoS
Negl Trop Dis. 2024;18: e0012440. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0012440

30. Schad GA. Morphology and life history of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. In: Grove DI, editor.
Strongyloidiasis: a major roundworm infection of man. Taylor & Francis: London, UK;
1989. pp. 85–104.

31. Al-Jawabreh R, Anderson R, Atkinson LE, Bickford-Smith J, Bradbury RS, Breloer M, et
al. *Strongyloides* questions-a research agenda for the future. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B
Biol Sci. 2024;379: 20230004. doi:10.1098/rstb.2023.0004

32. Hammond MP, Robinson RD. Chromosome complement, gametogenesis, and
development of *Strongyloides stercoralis*. J Parasitol. 1994;80: 689. doi:10.2307/3283247

33. Castelletto ML, Akimori D, Patel R, Schroeder NE, Hallem EA. Introduction to
Strongyloides stercoralis anatomy. J Nematol. 2024;56: 20240019. doi:10.2478/jofnem-
2024-0019

34. Ramot D, MacInnis BL, Lee H-C, Goodman MB. Thermotaxis is a robust mechanism for
thermoregulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans* nematodes. J Neurosci. 2008;28: 12546–
12557. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2857-08.2008

35. Hedgecock EM, Russell RL. Normal and mutant thermotaxis in the nematode
897   *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1975;72: 4061–4065.
898   doi:10.1073/pnas.72.10.4061

899   36. Garrity PA, Goodman MB, Samuel AD, Sengupta P. Running hot and cold: behavioral
900   strategies, neural circuits, and the molecular machinery for thermotaxis in *C. elegans* and
901   *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. 2010;24: 2365–2382. doi:10.1101/gad.1953710

902   37. Wittenburg N, Baumeister R. Thermal avoidance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: an approach
903   to the study of nociception. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999;96: 10477–10482.
904   doi:10.1073/pnas.96.18.10477

905   38. Glauser DA, Chen WC, Agin R, Macinnis BL, Hellman AB, Garrity PA, et al. Heat
906   avoidance is regulated by transient receptor potential (TRP) channels and a neuropeptide
907   signaling pathway in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics. 2011;188: 91–103.
908   doi:10.1534/genetics.111.127100

909   39. Goodman MB. Thermotaxis navigation behavior. WormBook. 2014; 1–10.
910   doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.168.1

911   40. Glauser DA. Temperature sensing and context-dependent thermal behavior in
912   nematodes. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2022;73: 102525. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2022.102525

913   41. Jurado P, Kodama E, Tanizawa Y, Mori I. Distinct thermal migration behaviors in
914   response to different thermal gradients in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genes, Brain and
915   Behavior. 2010;9: 120–127. doi:10.1111/j.1601-183X.2009.00549.x

916   42. Mohammadi A, Byrne Rodgers J, Kotera I, Ryu WS. Behavioral response of
917   *Caenorhabditis elegans* to localized thermal stimuli. BMC Neurosci. 2013;14: 66.
918   doi:10.1186/1471-2202-14-66

919   43. Klass MR. Aging in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*: major biological and
920   environmental factors influencing life span. Mech Ageing Dev. 1977;6: 413–429.
921   doi:10.1016/0047-6374(77)90043-4

922   44. Lithgow GJ, White TM, Hinerfeld DA, Johnson TE. Thermotolerance of a long-lived mutant
923   of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J Gerontol. 1994;49: B270–B276.
924   doi:10.1093/geronj/49.6.B270

925   45. Hirsh D, Oppenheim D, Klass M. Development of the reproductive system of
926   *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol. 1976;49: 200–219. doi:10.1016/0012-1606(76)90267-0

927   46. Petrella LN. Natural variants of *C. elegans* demonstrate defects in both sperm function
928   and oogenesis at elevated temperatures. PLOS ONE. 2014;9: e112377.
929   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0112377

930   47. McMullen PD, Aprison EZ, Winter PB, Amaral LAN, Morimoto RI, Ruvinsky I. Macro-level
931   modeling of the response of *C. elegans* reproduction to chronic heat stress. PLoS Comput
932   Biol. 2012;8: e1002338. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002338

48. Harvey SC, Viney ME. Thermal variation reveals natural variation between isolates of
Caenorhabditis elegans. J Exp Zool Pt B Mol Dev Evol. 2007;308B: 409–416.
doi:10.1002/jez.b.21161

49. Begasse ML, Leaver M, Vazquez F, Grill SW, Hyman AA. Temperature dependence of
cell division timing accounts for a shift in the thermal limits of *C. elegans* and *C. briggsae*.
Cell Rep. 2015;10: 647–653. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.01.006

50. Lee S-J, Kenyon C. Regulation of the longevity response to temperature by
thermosensory neurons in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Curr Biol. 2009;19: 715–722.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.041

51. Bryant AS, Hallem EA. Terror in the dirt: Sensory determinants of host seeking in soil-
transmitted mammalian-parasitic nematodes. Int J Parasitol Drugs Drug Resist. 2018;8:
496–510. doi:10.1016/j.ijpddr.2018.10.008

52. Banerjee N, Gang SS, Castelletto ML, Ruiz F, Hallem EA. Carbon dioxide shapes
parasite-host interactions in a human-infective nematode. bioRxiv; 2024.
doi:10.1101/2024.03.28.587273

53. Gang SS, Castelletto ML, Yang E, Ruiz F, Brown TM, Bryant AS, et al. Chemosensory
mechanisms of host seeking and infectivity in skin-penetrating nematodes. Proc Natl Acad
Sci USA. 2020;117: 17913–17923. doi:10.1073/pnas.1909710117

54. Bryant AS, Hallem EA. Temperature-dependent behaviors of parasitic helminths. Neurosci
Lett. 2018;687: 290–303. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2018.10.023

55. Bryant AS, Ruiz F, Gang SS, Castelletto ML, Lopez JB, Hallem EA. A critical role for
thermosensation in host seeking by skin-penetrating nematodes. Curr Biol. 2018;28:
2338–2347. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.063

56. Bryant AS, Ruiz F, Lee JH, Hallem EA. The neural basis of heat seeking in a human-
infective parasitic worm. Curr Biol. 2022;32: 2206-2221.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.04.010

57. Castelletto ML, Gang SS, Okubo RP, Tselikova AA, Nolan TJ, Platzer EG, et al. Diverse
host-seeking behaviors of skin-penetrating nematodes. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10:
e1004305. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004305

58. Castelletto ML, Hallem EA. Generating transgenics and knockouts in *Strongyloides*
species by microinjection. JoVE. 2021; 63023. doi:10.3791/63023

59. Hawdon JM, Schad GA. Long-term storage of hookworm infective larvae in buffered
saline solution maintains larval responsiveness to host signals. J Helm Soc Wash.
1991;58: 140–142.

60. Stiernagle T. Maintenance of *C. elegans*. WormBook. 2006; 1–11,
http://www.wormbook.org. doi:10.1895/wormbook.1.101.1

61. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et al. Fiji: an
open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9: 676–682.
doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019

62. Han SK, Lee D, Lee H, Kim D, Son HG, Yang J-S, et al. OASIS 2: online application for
survival analysis 2 with features for the analysis of maximal lifespan and healthspan in
aging research. Oncotarget. 2016;7: 56147–56152. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.11269

63. Kang H. Sample size determination and power analysis using the G*Power software. J
Educ Eval Health Prof. 2021;18: 17. doi:10.3352/jeehp.2021.18.17

64. Glauser DA. How and why *Caenorhabditis elegans* uses distinct escape and avoidance
regimes to minimize exposure to noxious heat. Worm. 2013;2: e27285.
doi:10.4161/worm.27285

65. Schild LC, Glauser DA. Dynamic switching between escape and avoidance regimes
reduces *Caenorhabditis elegans* exposure to noxious heat. Nat Commun. 2013;4: 2198.
doi:10.1038/ncomms3198

66. Ghosh R, Mohammadi A, Kruglyak L, Ryu WS. Multiparameter behavioral profiling reveals
distinct thermal response regimes in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. BMC Biology. 2012;10: 85.
doi:10.1186/1741-7007-10-85

67. Takeishi A, Takagaki N, Kuhara A. Temperature signaling underlying thermotaxis and cold
tolerance in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J Neurogenet. 2020;34: 351–362.
doi:10.1080/01677063.2020.1734001

68. Xiao R, Xu XZS. Temperature sensation: from molecular thermosensors to neural circuits
and coding principles. Annu Rev Physiol. 2021;83: 205–230. doi:10.1146/annurev-
physiol-031220-095215

69. Mendez P, Walsh B, Hallem EA. Using newly optimized genetic tools to probe
Strongyloides sensory behaviors. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2022;250: 111491.
doi:10.1016/j.molbiopara.2022.111491

70. Fisher MC, Viney ME. The population genetic structure of the facultatively sexual parasitic
nematode *Strongyloides ratti* in wild rats. Proc Biol Sci. 1998;265: 703–709.
doi:10.1098/rspb.1998.0350

71. Richards JL, Zacharias AL, Walton T, Burdick JT, Murray JI. A quantitative model of
normal *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryogenesis and its disruption after stress. Dev Biol.
2013;374: 12–23. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.11.034

72. Neves A, Busso C, Gönczy P. Cellular hallmarks reveal restricted aerobic metabolism at
thermal limits. eLife. 2024;4: e04810. doi:10.7554/eLife.04810

73. Plagens RN, Mossiah I, Kim Guisbert KS, Guisbert E. Chronic temperature stress inhibits
reproduction and disrupts endocytosis via chaperone titration in *Caenorhabditis elegans*.
BMC Biol. 2021;19: 75. doi:10.1186/s12915-021-01008-1

74. Aprison EZ, Ruvinsky I. Balanced trade-offs between alternative strategies shape the
response of *C. elegans* reproduction to chronic heat stress. PLoS One. 2014;9: e105513.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105513

75. Banerjee N, Shih P-Y, Rojas Palato EJ, Sternberg PW, Hallem EA. Differential processing
of a chemosensory cue across life stages sharing the same valence state in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2023;120: e2218023120.
doi:10.1073/pnas.2218023120

76. Rengarajan S, Yankura KA, Guillermin ML, Fung W, Hallem EA. Feeding state sculpts a
circuit for sensory valence in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2019;116: 1776–1781. doi:10.1073/pnas.1807454116

77. Grove DI, Heenan PJ, Northern C. Persistent and disseminated infections with
Strongyloides stercoralis in immunosuppressed dogs. Int J Parasitol. 1983;13: 483–490.
doi:10.1016/S0020-7519(83)80012-5

78. Gardner MP, Gems D, Viney ME. Extraordinary plasticity in aging in *Strongyloides ratti*
implies a gene-regulatory mechanism of lifespan evolution. Aging Cell. 2006;5: 315–323.
doi:10.1111/j.1474-9726.2006.00226.x

79. Patel R, Bryant AS, Castelletto ML, Walsh B, Akimori D, Hallem EA. The generation of
stable transgenic lines in the human-infective nematode *Strongyloides stercoralis*. G3
(Bethesda). 2024; jkae122. doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkae122

80. Grant WN, Stasiuk S, Newton-Howes J, Ralston M, Bisset SA, Heath DD, et al.
Parastrongyloides trichosuri, a nematode parasite of mammals that is uniquely suited to
genetic analysis. Int J Parasitol. 2006;36: 453–466. doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2005.11.009

81. Udonsi JK, Atata G. *Necator americanus*: temperature, pH, light, and larval development,
longevity, and desiccation tolerance. Exp Parasitol. 1987;63: 136–142. doi:10.1016/0014-
4894(87)90154-8

82. Smith G, Schad GA. *Ancylostoma duodenale* and *Necator americanus*: effect of
temperature on egg development and mortality. Parasitology. 1989;99: 127–132.
doi:10.1017/s0031182000061102

83. Arene FOI. *Ascaris suum*: Influence of embryonation temperature on the viability of the
infective larva. Journal of Thermal Biology. 1986;11: 9–15. doi:10.1016/0306-
4565(86)90011-2

84. Senecal J, Nordin A, Vinnerås B. Fate of *Ascaris* at various pH, temperature and moisture
levels. J Water Health. 2020;18: 375–382. doi:10.2166/wh.2020.264

85. Naidoo D, Foutch GL. The time-temperature relationship for the inactivation of *Ascaris*
eggs. J Water Sanit Hyg Dev. 2017;8: 123–126. doi:10.2166/washdev.2017.102

86. Harroff LA, Liotta JL, Bowman DD, Angenent LT. Current time-temperature relationships
for thermal inactivation of *Ascaris* eggs at mesophilic temperatures are too conservative

and may hamper development of simple, but effective sanitation. Water Res X. 2019;5:
100036. doi:10.1016/j.wroa.2019.100036

87. Maya C, Torner-Morales FJ, Lucario ES, Hernández E, Jiménez B. Viability of six species
of larval and non-larval helminth eggs for different conditions of temperature, pH and
dryness. Water Res. 2012;46: 4770–4782. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.014

88. Barrett J. The effect of temperature on the development and survival of the infective
larvae of *Strongyloides ratti* Sandground, 1925. Parasitology. 1968;58: 641–651.
doi:10.1017/S0031182000028936

89. Fenton A, Paterson S, Viney ME, Gardner MP. Determining the optimal developmental
route of *Strongyloides ratti*: an evolutionarily stable strategy approach. Evolution. 2004;58:
989–1000. doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2004.tb00433.x

90. Vanalli C, Mari L, Casagrandi R, Gatto M, Cattadori IM. Helminth ecological requirements
shape the impact of climate change on the hazard of infection. Ecol Lett. 2024;27:
e14386. doi:10.1111/ele.14386

91. Blum AJ, Hotez PJ. Global "worming": Climate change and its projected general impact on
human helminth infections. Liang S, editor. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12: e0006370.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0006370

92. Okulewicz A. The impact of global climate change on the spread of parasitic nematodes.
Ann Parasitol. 2017;63: 15–20. doi:10.17420/ap6301.79

1061

Figure 1

A *C. elegans* adults
20°C 25°C
+ 45 min

B *S. stercoralis* FLFs
20°C 25°C
+ 45 min

C *S. ratti* FLFs
20°C 25°C
+ 45 min

D
Change in temperature (°C)
**** ns
****
C. elegans *S. stercoralis* *S. ratti*

E
Positive thermotaxis
Negative thermotaxis
Non-responding

Ce
Ss
Sr
0 25 50 75 100
% Worms
***
***
ns

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Strongyloides stercoralis sensory hierarchy across life stages

Infective larvae

Free-living adults

Figure 5

A

Survival assays
Grow worms at
23°C, 30°C, or 37°C

+24h

Test adult survival

E

Mean Survival (days)

Growth Temperature	*C. elegans* mean ± SE (n)	*S. stercoralis* mean ± SE (n)	*S. ratti* mean ± SE (n)
23°C	9.2 ± 0.68 (35)	4.47 ± 0.26 (51)	3.84 ± 0.14 (35)
30°C	3.89 ± 0.11 (46)***	3.25 ± 0.15 (56)***	2.59 ± 0.13 (34)***
37°C	1 ± 0.0 (40)***	1.96 ± 0.11 (51)***	1.71 ± 0.11 (44)***

Figure 6

Figure 7

A

Strongyloides **infective larvae**

Ambient
temperature

Migration to
below-ambient temp
(~16°C)

Attraction to
body heat
(~38°C)

Rapid reversal of
erroneous attraction to
non-host heat

B

Strongyloides **free-living adults**

Ambient
temperature

Attraction to
above-ambient
temp

Decreased lifespan
Increased/stable brood size (30°C)
Stable hatch rate (30°C)

C

C. elegans **free-living adults**

Ambient
temperature

Attraction to
"remembered"
cultivation
temperature
(15-25°C)

Avoidance of
noxious heat
(>26°C)

Very decreased lifespan
Decreased brood size
Decreased hatch rate

Figure S1

A   *Strongyloides stercoralis*
life cycle

② Parasitic adults

① iL3s

③a

③b Non-parasitic
"free-living" adults

B   *Strongyloides ratti*
life cycle

② Parasitic adults

① iL3s

③a

③b Non-parasitic
"free-living" adults

A *S. stercoralis* FLFs

32°C   40°C

+ 45 min

B

Change in temperature (°C)

ns

S. stercoralis FLFs

C

S. stercoralis FLFs

0   50   100
% Worms

■ Positive thermotaxis
■ Negative thermotaxis
□ Non-responding

Figure S4

Figure S5

A *S. stercoralis* iL3s
13°C   23°C
+ 15 min

B *S. ratti* iL3s
13°C   23°C
+ 15 min

C *S. stercoralis* FLFs
13°C   23°C
+ 45 min

D *S. ratti* FLFs
13°C   23°C
+ 45 min

E
Change in temperature (°C)

***
****
****
****

FLFs   iL3s   FLFs   iL3s

■ *S. stercoralis*
■ *S. ratti*

F
S. stercoralis FLFs
iL3s   ***
S. ratti FLFs
iL3s   ***

■ Positive thermotaxis
■ Negative thermotaxis
■ Non-responding

0   50   100

Figure S6

Figure S7