MtvS Interacts With RNA Polymerase to Regulate the *Francisella* Type V-A CRISPR-
Cas System

Maj Brodmann[1], Christian F. Baca[1,2], Joshua Chandanani[3,4], Elizabeth A. Campbell[4] and
Luciano A. Marraffini[1,5]

[1] Laboratory of Bacteriology, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA

[2] Tri-Institutional PhD Program in Chemical Biology, Weill Cornell Medical College,
Rockefeller University and Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY 10065,
USA

[3] Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065,
USA

[4] Laboratory of Molecular Pathogenesis, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065,
USA

[5] Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Rockefeller University, New York, NY 10065, USA

Correspondence: mbrodmann@rockefeller.edu, marraffini@rockefeller.edu

Abstract

CRISPR-Cas systems endow bacteria and archaea with adaptive immunity against mobile
genetic elements, playing a fundamental role in shaping microbial communities. Many
organisms harbor more than one CRISPR-Cas system, and little is known about whether and
how they are differentially regulated, in many instances due to the impossibility of studying
CRISPR immunity in native hosts. Here we studied the regulation of endogenous type II-B
and type V-A CRISPR-Cas systems in opportunistic human pathogen *Francisella novicida*
U112. Fluorescence microscopy and transcriptomics experiments revealed that while the type
II-B system is constitutively expressed, the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system is differentially
expressed at stationary phase and high cell density. Using mass spectrometry and genetics we
identified MtvS as a factor required for the differential expression of the type V-A CRISPR-
Cas locus. Surprisingly, MtvS-dependent expression of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system at
high cell density is linked to a quorum sensing-like behavior. In addition, MtvS modulates
transcription of many genes in stationary phase, some of which are required for *Francisella*
virulence. Pull-down experiments revealed MtvS interacts with the β' subunit of the RNA
polymerase and therefore may constitute a noncanonical alternative sigma factor involved in
the regulation of the expression of CRISPR loci and other genes.

Introduction

CRISPR (Clustered regularly short interspaced palindromic repeats)-Cas (CRISPR associated genes) systems protect bacteria and archaea against foreign nucleic acids such as phages and genetic mobile elements[1,2]. CRISPR-Cas systems are adaptive immune systems that can store memories of previous infections in the form of short invader-derived sequences that are inserted in between the repeats of the CRISPR array during infection[3–5]. CRISPR arrays are transcribed into CRISPR RNAs (crRNAs) that guide Cas effectors to complementary sequences on the invading foreign genomes[6,7] to stop infection [8–13]. Prokaryotic organisms often encode multiple CRISPR-Cas loci, which may be differently regulated[14]. Previous studies have reported Cas proteins, crRNAs, transcriptional regulators, quorum sensing and two component signaling systems involved in the regulation CRISPR-Cas systems [15–35]. Detailed investigation of regulatory networks governing CRISPR expression, however, has been difficult due to the lack of natural hosts that can be used as experimental systems for CRISPR-Cas immunity.

Here we studied the endogenous type II-B and type V-A CRISPR-Cas systems in the opportunistic human pathogen *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *novicida* Utah 112 (here *F. novicida* U112) (Fig. S1A-B)[13,36,37]. It has been shown previously that both *F. novicida* systems are functional and capable of restricting foreign DNA[38,39]. Interestingly, *F. novicida* Cas9 is also required for virulence and enhances membrane stability by repressing an operon containing a lipoprotein with unknown function[40–43]. However, little is known about how these two systems are transcriptionally controlled, especially in the light of the limited sets of canonical regulatory proteins encoded by *Francisella*[44]. For example, *Francisella* possesses only two canonical sigma factors (σ^{70} and σ^{32}) to dictate promoter specificity and initiation of transcription[44,45]. *Francisella* also has a unique RNA polymerase that contains two heterologous α subunits (instead of two identical subunits) which may also impact promoter recognition[46–48].

Using fluorescence microscopy, we found that the two *F. novicida* CRISPR-Cas systems are differentially regulated and expressed in non-infection conditions. While the type II-B CRISPR-Cas system is constitutively expressed, the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system displays significantly higher expression during stationary phase. Using mass spectrometry and genetics we identified MtvS (Modulator of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system expression in stationary phase, FTN_1238), a protein with previously unknown function in *Francisella* species, as a factor required for the differential expression of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas locus.

Additionally, we showed that type V-A CRISPR-Cas system expression is induced upon

introduction to spent overnight medium suggesting that *F. novicida* is capable of quorum

sensing despite lacking canonical quorum sensing genes. Last, we demonstrated with pull-

downs that MtvS interacts with the β' subunit of RNA polymerase. Since MtvS does not only

affect the expression of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system but of several genes in stationary

phase, we hypothesize that MtvS may act as a noncanonical sigma factor.

Results

The F. novicida Type V-A CRISPR-Cas locus is upregulated during stationary phase

To investigate the expression pattern of the type II-B and type V-A CRISPR-Cas systems in

F. novicida U112, their RNA-guided nucleases were C-terminally tagged to generate Cas9-

msfGFP and Cas12-mCherry2, respectively. The fluorescent tags did not affect their nuclease

function, since plasmid interference assays confirmed that the tagged nucleases prevented

plasmid conjugation as well as the native ones (Fig. S1C). Fluorescence live-cell imaging of

exponentially growing bacteria and cells in stationary phase revealed that fluorescence

intensity was comparable in both growth phases for Cas9-msfGFP while fluorescent intensity

for Cas12-mCherry2 was higher in stationary phase (Fig. 1A). Relative quantification of

expressed proteins in the unlabeled parental strain revealed broad changes in protein

expression between exponential and stationary phase cells (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Data File,

tabs A-B). Gene set enrichment (GSE) analysis (Supplementary Data File, tab B) suggested

that proteins involved in DNA replication and cell envelope biogenesis were expressed at

significantly higher levels in exponential growing cells. On the other hand, DNA repair and

anti-stress proteins were more prominent in stationary phase cells. Furthermore, this

untargeted proteomics approach corroborated that Cas12 expression was increased about 1.8-

fold in stationary phase, and showed no phase variation for Cas9 (Fig. 1B, Supplementary

Data File, tab A). In addition to the two effector nucleases, only $Cas1_{type\ II-B}$ was detected in

the tested conditions (Supplementary Data File, tab A). To investigate whether these distinct

expression patterns of Cas9 and Cas12 originate from different transcription levels, we

performed RNA-seq. Similar to the proteomics data, comparison of RNA levels of genes

between exponentially growing and stationary phase bacteria revealed broad changes in gene

expression between the two growth conditions. Genes required for protein synthesis were

expressed higher in exponential phase growing cells and genes involved in metabolizing

different substrates were upregulated in stationary phase (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Data File,

tabs C-E). Importantly, *cas12* and *cas2*$_{type\ V\text{-}A}$ RNA levels were significantly higher during

stationary phase than in exponential growth phase (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Data File, tabs C-

D). In comparison, components from the type II-B CRISPR-Cas system, except *cas2*, had

comparable RNA levels in the two tested conditions (Fig. 1C, Supplementary Data File, tabs

C-D). Targeted measurement of RNA levels of *cas9* and *cas12* by RT-qPCR confirmed the

invariability of RNA levels of *cas9* in the two growth conditions and the distinct temporal

expression of *cas12* in stationary growth phase (Figs. 1D and 1E). Together these results

show that the two effector nucleases from the *Francisella* type II-B and type V-A CRISPR-

Cas systems have different expression patterns and that *cas12* expression levels significantly

increase in stationary phase.

MtvS is required for upregulation of the Type V-A CRISPR-Cas locus in stationary phase

Type V-A CRISPR-Cas systems have been shown to be autorepressed by Cas12 loaded with

cas-regulating RNAs[30]. Moreover, *F. novicida* U112 *cas12* promoter sequence contains a

predicted small repeat unit (SRU, with a similar but not identical sequence to the canonical

CRISPR repeat, Fig. S1B), which was proposed to have a regulatory function[29]. To test if

Cas12 is responsible for the upregulation of the type V-CRISPR-*Cas* locus we replaced *cas12*

with *mCherry2*. However, the fluorescence pattern did not change in absence of *cas12* (Fig.

2A). Next, we determined the exact transcription start site by 5' RACE to get a better

understanding of the promoter and its regulatory sequences. Interestingly, while we identified

a canonical -10 sequence (TATAAT), the putative -35 sequence is much less conserved

(AAGATT) (Fig. 2B). We then used this promoter sequence to perform *in vitro* transcription

assays with *E. coli* RNA polymerase holoenzyme. Using a fluorescence assay (see Methods),

we detected only basal levels of aptamer transcription with the *cas12* promoter, indicating that

it is not recognized by *E. coli* σ^{70} (Fig. 2C). In contrast, an aptamer with the *cas9* promoter

was transcribed (Fig. 2C). In conclusion, these results suggest that *Francisella cas12*

transcription requires additional, noncanonical factors.

To identify such factors, we performed a protein pull-down using as baits the *cas12* promoter

DNA sequence and an intragenic *cas12* sequence as a control. Lysates from exponentially

growing and stationary bacteria were probed and pull-down proteins were identified proteins

by LC-MS/MS. All identified proteins are listed in the Supplementary Data File, tabs F-G. An

enrichment score was calculated by comparing protein abundance in pull-downs from the

cas12 promoter sequence to the control sequence. In exponentially growing cells, one of the

most significantly enriched proteins was the LysR-family transcriptional regulator FTN_0392

(Fig. 3A, Supplementary Data File, tab F). However, neither Cas12-mCherry2 expression nor

type V-A-mediated plasmid targeting was decreased in a *FTN_0392* deletion mutant (Fig.

S2A-B). On the other hand, in the stationary growth sample, FTN_1238 was significantly

enriched (Fig. 3B, Supplementary Data File, tab G). Importantly, there was no enrichment for

FTN_1238 when using a lysate from exponentially growing bacteria (Fig. 3A). *FTN_1238* is

the first gene of an operon encoding for genes required for the respiratory chain (*ubiH*, *ubiF*),

a putative phage protein (*FTN_1235*) and a tRNA modification enzyme (*queA*) (Fig. S2C).

FTN_1238 has no assigned function in *Francisella* yet but is homologous to YgfB (Fig. S2D),

which is conserved in many γ-proteobacteria[49].

To test if FTN_1238 was involved in regulation of *cas12* expression, we made a non-sense

mutation by introducing three stop codons after aspartate at position 11 and analyzed the

mutant cultures via fluorescence microscopy. We found decreased fluorescence of Cas12-

mCherry2 in stationary phase for this mutant and the phenotype could be rescued by

introducing a wild-type copy of *FTN_1238* with its native promoter at the Tn7 introduction

site downstream of gene *glmS* (*FTN_0485*) (Fig. 3C). Importantly, the *FTN_1238* nonsense

mutant and parental strain grew in a comparable manner, suggesting that the disruption of

FTN_1238 did not have pleiotropic effects (Fig, S2E). In contrast, disruption of FTN_1238

significantly affected the RNA levels of all type V-A CRISPR-Cas system genes in stationary

phase as measured by RT-qPCR (Fig. 3D-G). RNA levels were restored with an additional

wild-type copy of *FTN_1238* except for *cas2*, the last gene of the operon (Fig. 3D-G).

Interestingly, while the disruption of *FTN_1238* had a detrimental effect on *cas12* RNA levels

in stationary phase, the targeting capability of Cas12 in plasmid interference assays was not

affected (Fig. S2F). Moreover, despite being only enriched in the pull-down with the *cas12*

promoter and stationary phase lysate, *FTN_1238* RNA levels were comparable in both growth

phases (Fig. S2G, table 12). Fluorescence microscopy suggests slightly higher proteins levels

of FTN_1238-mCherry2 in stationary phase, however no increase of protein levels in

stationary phase was observed with LC-MS/MS (Fig. S2H, Supplementary Data File, tab A).

In summary, our results indicate that FTN_1238 is a modulator of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas

system expression in stationary phase, and therefore we renamed it MtvS.

*Quorum sensing signals participate in the regulation of the Type V-A CRISPR-*Cas *locus*

During stationary phase the cell density is high. Interestingly, microscopy of exponentially

growing cells in microfluidic plates showed that Cas12-mCherry2 is also expressed in a MtvS

dependent manner in this growing phase within densely populated areas (Fig. 4A-B, Movies 1

and 3), but not in less dense regions belonging to the same flow chamber (Fig. 4A-B, Movies

2-4). These results led us to hypothesize that quorum sensing signals, which are normally

secreted to the medium when bacterial cultures reach high density, could play a role in

regulating the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system. Therefore, we tested if the addition of spent

overnight medium could increase *cas12* expression in exponential cultures of *F. novicida*

U112. We replaced the medium of exponentially growing cells with spent overnight medium

filtered with 0.2 μm filters in microfluidic plates and monitored individual cells by

microscopy. We observed drastic changes, with bacteria changing from rod to coccus shapes,

and an increased Cas12-mCherry2 fluorescence (Fig. 4C, Movie 5). Fresh medium reversed

these changes and cells resumed growth as rod-shaped and Cas12-mCherry2 expression

decreased (Fig. 4D, Movie 5). Heat-treatment of the spent overnight medium eliminated its

activity on exponentially growing bacteria (Fig. S3A, Movie 6). When exposed to overnight

spent medium, *mtvS* nonsense mutant cultures also suffered rod-to-coccus shape changes, but

displayed much lower Cas12-mCherry fluorescent signal, indicating that Cas12-mCherry2

was less expressed (Fig. 4E-F, Movie 7). This result was confirmed by RT-qPCR, using RNA

extracted from parental strain and *mtvS* nonsense mutant cells before, 0.5 h and 1 h after

switching to spent overnight medium and probed for *cas12* and *cas9* RNA levels. While

cas12 RNA levels increased over 1 h after the medium change, *cas9* RNA levels did not

significantly change (Fig. 4G and Fig. S3B). Together these results suggest that *F. novicida*

U112 may integrate quorum sensing signals into the MtvS dependent regulation of Cas12

expression.

MtvS modulates expression of several genes in stationary phase

To investigate if MtvS acts on more genes than only the type V-A operon, we performed

RNA-seq of the *mtvS* mutant, using RNA extracted from bacteria grown to exponential and

stationary phase (Supplementary Data File, tabs C-D). Comparison of RNA levels revealed

that expression of the type V-A CRISPR locus is comparable in both growth conditions in this

mutant confirming that MtvS is required for differential expression of this locus in stationary

phase (Fig. 5A, Supplementary Data File, tabs C-D). Intriguingly, principal component

analysis and clustering of genes with similar expression patterns revealed differences between

the parental strain and the *mtvS ns* mutant in particular in stationary phase samples (Fig. 5B,

S4A). In exponential phase, only a few genes with differential expression patterns between

the parental strain and teh *mtvS* mutant were observed (Supplementary Data File, tab C), GSE

analysis suggests that in the *mtvS* mutant, downregulated genes are involved in cell envelope

biogenesis and tRNA modification while genes involved in protein unfolding are upregulated

(Supplementary Data File, tabs C and E). Differences between the parental strain and the *mtvS*

mutant were more profound in stationary phase with more genes being significantly

differentially expressed (Supplementary Data File, tab C). Especially genes involved in

energy production and conversion as well as cell envelope biogenesis genes were affected

(Supplementary Data File, tabs C and E). Interestingly, many of these genes were affected

more severely than *cas12* (Supplementary Data File, tab C).

Analysis of promoter sequences of significantly downregulated operons (Supplementary Data

File, tab E) in both exponential and stationary phase in the *mtvS* mutant compared to the

parental strain revealed that 55 % of these promoters (34/62) encoded a TATAAT sequence

similar to the -10 element of the *cas12* promoter. In contrast, only 33 % of promoters (14/43)

of upregulated operons (Supplementary Data File, tab E) contained a TATAAT sequence. The

alignment of promoters of downregulated operons that encode a TATAAT sequence suggests

a putative -35 sequence starting with a highly conserved T (Fig. 5C). However, the *cas12*

promoter is one of the few examples which does not encode a T as first base pair in its

putative -35 element.

Absence of MtvS also led to changes in the proteome. Interestingly, Cas12 protein levels were

still higher in stationary phase, however the difference between the two growth phases was

less pronounced than for the parental strain (Fig. 5D, table 9). This result provides a possible

explanation for the high Cas12-dependent plasmid targeting levels detected for the *mtvS*

mutant strain (Fig. S2B). Some significant differences in protein expression between the

parental strain and the *mtvS* mutant were observed for both growth phases (Fig. 5E, S4B,

Supplementary Data File, tabs A-B). Most differences were observed for the exponential

growth phase with a decrease in the abundance of 30 proteins and an increase in the levels of

12 proteins in the *mtvS* mutant, when compared to the parental strain (Supplementary Data

File, tab A). Most of these proteins are involved in energy production and conversion

(Supplementary Data File, tab B). In stationary phase, fumarylacetoacetase (FTN_0910)

involved in phenylalanine and tyrosine degradation and RuvB (FTN_0891) involved in DNA

repair were less expressed while an acetyltransferase (FTN_1750) involved in

glycerophospholipid synthesis was expressed to higher levels in the *mtvS* mutant

(Supplementary Data File, tabs A-B). In summary, our results indicate that MtvS modulates

expression of several genes and its effects are most pronounced transcriptionally in stationary

phase.

MtvS interacts with the β' subunit of RNA polymerase

To investigate the mechanism by which MtvS modulates *cas12* transcription, we purified a His$_6$-tagged version of the protein and tested whether it binds directly to the *cas12* promoter. However, electrophoretic mobility shift assays indicated that his is not the case (data not shown). This suggested that MtvS might interact indirectly with DNA, possibly through interactions with another protein. Therefore, we used the purified His$_6$-MtvS as bait to pull down potential partners from lysates of *mtvS* mutant cells grown to stationary phase. Importantly, a strain encoding *mtvS-His$_6$* on the chromosome and the parental strain had comparable *cas12* RNA levels suggesting that the His$_6$-tag did not alter MtvS' function (Fig. S5A). In addition, both MtvS-His$_6$ purified from *E. coli* and chromosomal MtvS-His$_6$ in *F. novicida* U112 possessed a similar molecular weight that ran slightly lower on SDS-PAGE gels than predicted (calculated molecular weight including His$_6$-tag: 20.9 kDa) (Fig. S5B-C). LC-MS/MS analysis of the pull-down with MtvS-His$_6$ revealed that two DNA-binding proteins and a putative anti-anti sigma factor were among the significantly enriched proteins (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Data File, tab H). However, while deletion of *FTN_0565* (containing a Lambda repressor-like DNA binding domain) was not possible in our hands, deletion of *FTN_0324* (putative anti-anti sigma factor with STAS domain) and *FTN_1282* (LysR family transcriptional regulator) did not affect Cas12-mCherry2 expression (Fig. S5D).

Another protein that was significantly enriched in the pull-down was RpoC (FTN_1567), the β' subunit of RNA polymerase (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Data File, tab H). To probe for an interaction between MtvS and RNA polymerase (RNAP), a pull-down with purified MtvS-His$_6$ and commercially available *E. coli* RNAP core enzyme and holoenzyme (RNAP core enzyme in complex with σ^{70}) was performed. We found that *E. coli* RNAP core enzyme was pulled down with MtvS-His$_6$ regardless of whether σ^{70} was present or not (Fig. 6B). To understand how MtvS possibly interacts with the RNA polymerase, we modelled *Francisella* RNAP core enzyme together with MtvS in AlphaFold 3 (Fig. 6C, S5E)[50]. Intriguingly, AlphaFold 3 confidently predicted that MtvS primarily binds the coiled-coil domain of the β' subunit similar to σ^{70} (domain 2) and σ^{32} (Fig. 6C, S5E)[51,52]. A list of these predicted interactions between RpoC and MtvS can be found in table 7. Importantly, the β' coiled-coil domain is predicted to dock into a pocket of MtvS similar to what was found for σ^{70} (Fig. 6D, S5F)[53]. This pocket among other regions also contains several residues conserved between MtvS and *E. coli* homolog YgfB possibly explaining why MtvS can interact with *E. coli* RNA polymerase (Fig. S5G). In conclusion, our results show that MtvS binds to the β' subunit of RNAP likely at the β' coiled-coil domain. This would suggest that the binding of MtvS and the two known sigma factors of *Francisella* to RNAP are probably mutually exclusive. This

may also explain why the RNA polymerase holoenzyme was pulled down to a lesser extent
than the core enzyme (Fig. 6B).

Since MtvS likely binds the same β' coiled-coil domain as the 2.2 region of σ^{70} and modulates
expression of several genes, we hypothesized that MtvS may be a noncanonical alternative
sigma factor similar to the smaller extracytoplasmic function (ECF) and phage sigma
factors[54–56]. Because domain 2 of σ^{70} is also involved in recognition and melting of the -10
promoter element[57] it is possible that MtvS has a similar role. However, MtvS alone nor
together with σ^{70} did not promote transcription of the *cas12* promoter (Fig. 6F).

Discussion

Here we compared the expression of the two native type II-B and type V-A CRISPR-Cas
systems in *F. novicida* U112 in the absence of phage infection. We found that while the type
II-B CRISPR-Cas system is continuously expressed throughout growth in liquid culture, the
type V-A CRISPR-Cas system is significantly upregulated at high cell density and stationary
growth phase. We envision different possibilities behind this unique regulation. First, it is
known that bacteria enhance their anti-phage defenses in high cell density environments when
they are more vulnerable to phage predation[15,16,58–64]. Given that the type II-B system is
constitutively expressed, the upregulation of the type V-A system will equip *F. novicida* U112
with additional defenses in stationary phase. It is also possible that Cas12 or other
components of the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system have a role beyond immunity in stationary
growth phase, analogous to the function of *F. novicida* Cas9 in the regulation of lipoprotein
expression[40–43]. Our proteomics and RNA-seq experiments showed drastic changes in
transcription and translation in stationary phase, indicating the need to adapt to this growth
condition, an adaptation in which Cas12 could play a part that would justify its upregulation
in this growth phase.

We identified MtvS, a protein with previously unknown function in *F. novicida* U112, as a
factor required for the transcriptional upregulation of the type V-A CRISPR-*cas* lucus in
stationary phase and upon addition of spent overnight medium to the exponentially growing
cultures (Fig. 3 and 4). MtvS belongs to the YecA/YgfB-like protein family and is conserved
in many γ-proteobacteria[49]. Besides the structure of *Haemophilus influenzae* YgfB, little is
known about the function of this family of proteins[65]. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, YgfB
increases β-lactam resistance through interactions with the antitermination factor AlpA that
lead to the upregulation of AmpC expression[66,67]. We believe that it is unlikely that MtvS
interacts with an anti-termination factor in *F. novicida* U112 since *cas12* transcription

decreases in the *mtvS* mutant (Fig. 3). Most importantly, we found that MtvS interacts with

the β' subunit of RNA polymerase in *Francisella* (Fig. 6). Intriguingly, MtvS is predicted to

bind the similar coiled coil domain of the β' subunit as σ^{70} (domain 2) and σ^{32} [51,53,68,69].

Domain 2 of σ^{70} is required for recognition and melting of the -10 promoter sequence [57].

Since *Francisella* only possesses two sigma factors[44], σ^{70} and σ^{32}, it is tempting to speculate

that MtvS has a similar role. The broad transcriptional changes, especially in stationary phase,

caused by the disruption of MtvS seem to support this hypothesis (Fig. 5). An analysis of

promoters of the downregulated operons in stationary phase in the *mtvS* mutant showed that

more than half of these promoters encode the same -10 sequence as the *cas12* promoter

(TATAAT). While it is possible that these genes that are directly regulated by MtvS, it is also

possible that they are up- or downregulated as part of a compensatory mechanism that takes

place in the absence of MtvS.

Although we hypothesize that MtvS may act as a noncanonical sigma factor, MtvS alone nor

together with σ^{70} is sufficient to promote transcription of the *cas12* promoter *in vitro*. We

noticed that MtvS is too small to fulfill the role of domain 4 of σ^{70}, which interacts with the β-

flap and recognizes the -35 promoter sequence[51,70]. Therefore, one possibility is MtvS might

be part of a split sigma factor together with a yet unidentified protein similar to Gp55 and

Gp33 in T4 phage. Gp55 and Gp33 together with the DNA clamp Gp45 initiate transcription

of late T4 genes by taking over the roles of domain 2 and domain 4 of σ^{70} , respectively[71–73]. It

is also possible that MtvS indirectly modulates gene transcription through one of the

canonical sigma factors. This is unlikely because first, in contrast to the *cas9* promoter, σ^{70}

does not lead to initiation of transcription of the *cas12* promoter in our *in vitro* transcription

assays and second, the *cas12* promoter does not contain a canonical σ^{32} motif[74]. Most

importantly, none of the sigma factors were significantly enriched in the MtvS-His$_6$ pull-down

(Fig. 6).

Last, *F. novicida* U112 cells reacted strongly to spent overnight medium, displaying a growth

arrest, morphological changes and an increase in Cas12 expression, the latter also dependent

on the presence of MtvS. Changes in gene expression as reaction to spent overnight medium

is a hallmark of quorum sensing[75]. Intriguingly, *F. novicida* U112 lacks canonical quorum

sensing genes[76]. Our results suggest that the type V-A CRISPR-Cas system and MtvS could

be part of a yet to be described quorum sensing circuit that monitors and responds to changes

in cell density. Future studies will investigate the quorum sensing network and the exact

mechanisms of how MtvS promotes transcription of *cas12* and other genes, relevant not only

for the understanding phage defense strategies but also for the pathogenesis of *Francisella*.

Since MtvS homologs are conserved, it will be also interesting to elucidate their roles in other

bacterial species as well.

Author Contributions. M.B. and L.A.M. conceived the study. C.F.B. carried out the MtvS-His$_6$ purification. J.C. expressed and purified the *E. coli* RNAP core enzyme and σ^{70} factor. E.A.C analyzed data and contributed to figures. M.B. performed all the other experiments. M.B. and L.A.M. wrote the manuscript with the help of E.A.C. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments. We thank D.M. Monack (Stanford University) for the conjugation plasmid pDMK3, A. Harms (ETH Zurich) and C. Dehio (Biozentrum, University of Basel) for the *E. coli* conjugation strain, M. Basler (Biozentrum, University of Basel) for plasmid pFNMB2 *msfGFP*, H. Molina and C. Peralta (Proteomics Resource Center, The Rockefeller University) for performing and analyzing the LC-MS/MS experiment. Proteomics data was generated by the Proteomics Resource Center at The Rockefeller University (RRID:SCR_017797) using instrumentation funded by the Sohn Conferences Foundation and the Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. We thank M. Lilic from the Campbell lab for advice on transcription assays. Thanks also go all members of the Marraffini lab (The Rockefeller University) for scientific discussions and feedback. M.B. was supported by the SNSF Early Postdoc.Mobility (P2BSP3_195673) and SNSF Postdoc.Mobility (P500PB_210998) fellowships. E.A.C is supported by the Rockefeller University and R35 GM151879. L.A.M. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Competing interests. LAM is a cofounder and Scientific Advisory Board member of Intellia Therapeutics, a cofounder of Eligo Biosciences and a Scientific Advisory Board member of Ancilia Biosciences.

Material and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Francisella novicida U112 (*F. novicida* U112) and associated mutants were grown aerobically in brain heart infection (BHI, BD Biosciences) broth or on BHI agar plates supplemented with 0.1 % L-cysteine (Sigma Alderich) and 50 µg/ml ampicillin (GoldBio). F. *novicida* strains carrying plasmids were grown with 15 µg/ml kanamycin (GoldBio).

To receive exponentially growing cultures, stationary phase cells were diluted 1:20 in fresh media and grown for 2-3 h until they reached an OD_{600} of 0.5-0.8 and had a rod-shaped morphology. Stationary phase cells were grown over night for at least 16 hours until they reached an OD_{600} of 3.5-4.5 and displayed a more coccus-like cell shape. Cell morphology was checked by microscopy.

Escherichia coli OneShotpir (*E. coli,* Thermo Fisher Scientific) and derivative strains were aerobically grown in Luria broth (LB, BD Biosciences) or on LB agar plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml kanamycin at 37 °C. For conjugation *E. coli* JKE201 1.2 mM 2,6-Diaminopimelic acid (DAP, Sigma Alderich) was added to all growth media since this strain is auxotrophic for mDAP.

E. coli Rosetta 2 (DE3) (Novagen) containing the pET21 overexpression plasmid was grown aerobically in LB with 100 µg/ml ampicillin.

E. coli BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) containing the pVS11 and pACYC-Duet1_*EcoRpoZ* expression plasmid was grown aerobically in LB with 100 µg/ml ampicillin and 34 µg/ml chloramphenicol (GoldBio) to overexpress *E. coli* RNAP core enzyme.

E. coli BL21 (DE3) containing the pSAD1403 expression plasmid was grown aerobically in LB with 50 µg/ml kanamycin to overexpress *E. coli* σ^{70} factor.

Strains used for this study are listed in table 1.

Bacterial mutagenesis

To generate chromosomal in-frame *F. novicida* U112 mutants, suicide vector pDMK3 was used [77]. For fluorescent tags, an Ala-Ala-Ala-Gly-Gly-Gly linker was added and after the stop codon the 30 bp sequence of the gene was repeated to avoid downstream polar effects. For the His-tags only a Gly linker was added. In-frame deletions yielded in peptide scars containing the first and last 10 amino acids. Mutagenesis and conjugation was carried out as reported previously [78,79]. For conjugation, donor *E. coli* JKE201 from A. Harms and C. Dehio [80] and recipient *F. novicida* strains were grown until a OD_{600} of 1 was reached. 1 ml of each culture was mixed in 20 µl of LB and spotted on a LB agar plate supplemented with 1.2 mM DAP and incubated over night at 25 °C. The mixture was plated on Mueller Hinton (BD Biosciences) agar plates supplemented with 0.1 % L-cysteine, 0.1 % D-glucose (Sigma Alderich), 0.1 % heat inactivated fetal calf serum (Gibco), 50 µg/ml ampicillin and 15 µg/ml kanamycin and incubated at 37 °C for 2 days. *F. novicida* colonies containing the suicide vector were restreaked on BHI agar plates supplemented with 15 µg/ml kanamycin. To select against pDMK3, individual colonies were restreaked on LB agar plates supplemented with 5 % sucrose (Sigma Alderich), 0.1 % L-cysteine and 50 µg/ml ampicillin and incubated at 25 °C until colonies lost the suicide vector. Each mutant was verified by colony PCR using primers binding outside of the recombined region and sequencing of these regions. In addition, they were restreaked on kanamycin again to check for loss of pDMK3.

All primers and plasmids are listed in table 2.

Fluorescence live cell imaging

A Nikon Ti-E inverted motorized microscope equipped with Perfect Focus System and a CFI Plan Apochromat DM Lambda 100X Oil objective lens (NA 1.4) was used for live-cell imaging. A SOLA Light Engine (Lumencor) set to 5 % power and C-FL GFP HC HISN Zero Shift filter (excitation: 470/40 nm (450–490 nm), emission: 525/50 nm (500–550 nm), dichroic mirror: 495 nm) and C-FL DS RED HC HISN Zero Shift filters (excitation: 545/30nm (530-560nm), emission: 620/60nm (590-630nm), dichroic mirror: 570nm), respectively, were used to excite and filter fluorescence from msfGFP and mCherry2. The exposure time for each channel was set to 500 ms and images were collected with a Zyla 4.2 sCMOS (Andor, pixel size 65 nm) or an ORCA-FusionBT sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu, pixel size 65 nm). Phase contrast was imaged with the SOLA light Engine set to 2% power and 10 ms excitation. The microscope was controlled by the software NIS-Elements AR version 5.21.03.

Exponentially grown (rod-shaped) and stationary phase (roundish) *F. novicida* were spun down and resuspended in phosphate saline buffer (PBS, Gibco) and spotted on pads consisting of 1% agarose in PBS. Snapshots of phase contrast, RFP and GFP channels were taken immediately after.

Long-term imaging experiments which include switching of media were carried out in CellASIC ONIX microfluidic plates (Millipore). Exponentially growing bacteria were resuspended in BHI supplemented with 0.2 % L-cysteine and loaded into the microfluidic plate along with fresh BHI supplemented with 0.2 % L-cysteine for initial growth and the tested medium as specified in the Fig. legend. For generating spent overnight medium, cells in stationary phase were pelleted for 1.5 minutes at 16000 g and supernatant was filtered with 0.22 µm filters (Millipore). For heat-treated spent overnight medium, filtered spent overnight medium was incubated at 95 °C for 10-20 minutes. After connecting the plate to the CellASIC ONIX2 Microfluidic System, the plates were incubated at 37 °C for 1 h using a Tokai HIT thermal box (Zeiss). Images of phase contrast, RFP and GFP channel were taken every 5 minutes after loading cells into the imaging chamber. First, fresh BHI was flown over for 1 h before switching to the tested medium for 4h. Afterwards, fresh BHI was flown over again for 3 h. For all media, the flow rate was set to 13.8 kPa.

Image analysis

Image analysis was carried out with Fiji software [81]. For comparison of fluorescent signal intensities, the contrast was set to same values for sets of compared images in same sub panel. If compared across sub panels, it is stated in Fig. legend which contrasts are set to same values.

For long-term imaging, images were aligned to the first image using NIS-Elements AR version 5.21.03.

RNA-seq

RNA was extracted from exponentially growing and stationary phase cells in three biological replicates for each condition. Cells yielding in a total OD_{600} of 3 were pelleted and resuspended in 80 µl of PBS and 20 µl of 10 % Sarcosyl (Sigma Alderich). Then, RNA was extracted with TRI Reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol (Zymo Research). An in-column DNA digest during RNA extraction was performed. A second DNA digestion was carried out with 10 µg of RNA using Turbo DNase (Invitrogen) for 2x 30 minutes. The DNA-free RNA was cleaned up with the RNA Clean & Concentrator-5 kit (Zymo Research) and

eluted in 15 µl of water. RNA quality was checked by running a bleach agarose gel[82]. RNA
concentrations were measured with a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen) using the Qubit RNA
Broad Range Assay Kit.

Ribosomal RNA was depleted with the NEBNext rRNA depletion (bacteria) kit (NEB). An
input of 1 µg of RNA was used. Then, the RNA was prepared for sequencing using the
TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina) according to manufacturer's instruction. For the first
strand synthesis, Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) was used with an annealing
temperature of 50 °C. Final DNA concentrations and lengths were measured with a Qubit 4
fluorometer (Invitrogen) using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay Kit and the
Tapestation 4200 bioanalyzer (Agilent) with the Agilent D500 ScreenTape Assay (Agilent).

The DNA libraries were diluted to 12 pM and mixed with 2.5% 20 pM PhiX and subjected to
single read sequencing with a 150-cycle MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 (Illumina) on the Illumina
MiSeq platform.

The reads were mapped to the *F. novicida* U112 genome (RefSeq NC_008601[83]) and RPKM
values for each gene was calculated using coordinates of coding genes (ptt files) and of
rRNAs and tRNAs (rtt files) with EDGE-Pro v1.3.1[84]. EDGE-Pro statistics for each sample
can be found in table 3. Significant changes in gene expression between used conditions were
calculated with the R (version 4.3.0) package DESeq2 (version 1.40.2)[85]. Results and
normalized counts are listed in tables 12-13. rRNAs (*FTN_0472, FTN_0475, FTN_0476,*
FTN_0501, FTN_1304, FTN_1305, FTN_1308, FTN_1720, FTN_1721, FTN_1724) are
marked in italic in the table and excluded in the graphs. *P*-values of 0.05 (Wald test) or
adjusted *p*-value (Benjamini-Hochberg) of 0.05 and log2 foldchanges of 2 were defined as
significant.

RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted as reported for RNA-seq. The RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA
with Superscript IV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) using 1 µg of RNA, random hexamer
primers, and a reaction temperature of 50 °C.

qPCR was performed in the QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems)
using the PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Reactions were set up in
a total volume of 20 µl containing 50 ng of cDNA template and 500 nM primers. Each
reaction was set up in three technical replicates. All primers used for RT-qPCR are found in
table 4. The annealing and amplification temperature was set to 60 °C.

The geometrical mean of the three technical replicates for each biological replicate was used
for further analysis. RNA levels of probed genes were normalized to the DNA 3'-5' helicase
gene *uvrD* (FTN_1594), which has been used previously for normalization and does not
change its expression in the used conditions as determined by RNA-seq[86]. The average value
of the three biological *uvrD* replicates was used to the ΔCt value for each gene. Relative RNA
abundance of probed genes was calculated as $2^{-\Delta Ct}$.

Statistical analysis for RT-qPCR data was performed with Prism10.2.3 (403) (GraphPad
Software). To test if relative RNA abundances determined by RT-qPCR are significantly
different, two-way ANOVA (α=0.05) with correction for multiple comparison (Tukey's
multiple comparisons test) was performed. Adjusted *p*-values are given in the figure. legends.

5' RACE

Rapid amplification of 5' cDNA ends (5' RACE) was carried out with RNA extracted as reported for RNA-seq. Parental strain RNA from both growth phases in three biological replicates was used. Sequences of template switch oligo (TSO) and primers are listed in table 5.

The manufacturer's protocol was followed. In short, 1 µg of RNA was annealed with the RT primer for the gene of interest (10 µM) and 10 mM dNTPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific in a 6 µl reaction at 70 °C for 5 minutes. Afterwards, the reaction was put on ice. Then the Template Switching RT Enzyme Mix (NEB) and the template switch oligo (3.75 µM) were added (final volume: 10 µl) and reverse transcription and template switching was performed in a thermocycler (BioRad) for 90 minutes at 42 °C, followed by 5 minutes at 85 °C and hold at 4 °C. The reaction was diluted 1:2 with H2O. The 5' region of transcripts was amplified with a touch down PCR using Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity polymerase (NEB), 4 µl of the diluted template and primers (10 µM) binding to the template switch oligo and to the gene of interest.

Whole cell lysate proteomics

Three biological replicates of exponentially growing and stationary phase parental strain cells yielding a total OD_{600} of 5 were washed once with PBS and resuspended in 500 µl lysis byffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 ml KCl, 2 % NP-40 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), mini cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail). Samples were lysed by 4 rounds of sonication on ice (40 % amplitude, 5 s pulses, 30 s breaks, Q500 sonicator). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 21000 g, 4 °C for 10 minutes. Protein concentrations were measured with a BCA protein assay (Pierce). Samples were stored at -80 °C until 50 µg of protein per sample was subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

Promoter sequence pull-down

Pulldown of proteins binding the *cas12* promoter and the intragenic *cas12* control sequence was carried out in three biological replicates as reported previously[87,88].

In short, primers with a 5' Biotin-TEG label were used to generate bait DNA sequences (table 6) from *F. novicida* gDNA. PCR products were checked by agarose gel and cleaned up with QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) and concentrated with Amicon Ultra-0.5 mL Centrifugal Filters (Millipore Sigma) to 200 ng/µl in water. Washed Dynabeads MyOne Streptavidin C1 (Invitrogen) were loaded with 40 µg of DNA for 20 minutes. DNA concentrations in the removed supernatants were measured to ensure that most DNA bound to the Dynabeads. Exponentially growing and stationary phase parental strain cells yielding a total OD_{600} of 800 were resuspended in 5 ml 1x FastBreak cell lysis buffer (Promega) in BS/THES buffer (10 mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher Scientific) pH 7.5, 5 mM $CaCl_2$ (Sigma_Aldrich), 50 mM KCl (Sigma-Aldrich), 12 % glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich), 22 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 (VWR, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 4 mM EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 9 % sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich), 62 mM NaCl (Sigma-Aldrich), mini cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) for 30 minutes on ice and then sonicated afterwards. 200 µl of precleared lysates was added to the resuspended beads supplemented with 0.03 µg/µl salmon sperm DNA (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and incubated for 1 h with agitation. The beads were washed 5x with BS/THES buffer and 2x with wash buffer (100 mM NaCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 and then switched to new tubes before a last wash. The dried beads were stored at 4 °C until they were subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis.

MtvS-His pull-down

Pull-down of proteins binding MtvS-His$_6$ was carried out by following the same protocol as
reported previously and used for the promoter sequence pull-down with changes indicated
below[87,88].

The *mtvS* nonsense mutant was grown to exponential and stationary phase in three biological
replicates yielding a total OD$_{600}$ of 450 each and resuspended in 5 ml 1x FastBreak cell lysis
buffer in BS/THES supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1 mg/ml
DNase I (Roche). Lysis buffer did not contain EDTA as it is not compatible with the
Dynabeads His-Tag Isolation and Pulldown beads (Invitrogen) according to the manual. For
cell lysis, the samples were incubated shaking at 4 °C for 1 h and subsequently sonicated
according to promoter sequence pull-down protocol. Cell debris was removed by
centrifugation and filtration of lysates through 0.45 μm filters (Cytiva). 50 μl of prewashed
Dynabeads His-Tag Isolation and Pulldown beads per sample were loaded with 80 μg purified
MtvS-His$_6$ according to instructions and incubated on a roller at 4 °C for 30 minutes. Empty
beads subjected to the same treatment as beads loaded with MtvS-His$_6$ served as controls for
non-specific binders. After three washes, 350 μl lysates and 350 μl BS/THES buffer were
added to the beads and incubated on a roller at 4 °C for 1 h. Beads were washed similarly to
promoter pull-down protocol and the dried beads were stored at -20 °C until subjected to LC-
MS/MS analysis.

LC-MS/MS analysis

For whole cell lysates, proteins were reduced and alkylated followed by acetone precipitation.
Precipitates were dissolved in 50 μl 1 μg of trypsin (Promega)/60 mM ammonium
bicarbonate and digested overnight. An additional 1 μg of trypsin was added following
heating to 45 °C. Digestions were halted by adding 10% trifluoroacetic acid, volumes were
spun (16,000 g) and two thirds of the samples were subjected to solid phase extraction
(Empore). Data was acquired on an Ascend orbitrap mass spectrometer using either DDA or
DIA, and peptides were separated using a 25 cm EasySprayer column. A 120 minutes and 90
minutes analytical gradient increasing from 1% B to 30% B (B: 80% ACN,0.1% formic acid)
was used.

For the promoter sequence and MtvS-His$_6$ pull-downs, beads were resuspended in 8 M urea
and proteins were reduced and alkylated on beads. The supernatants were extracted, and
proteins were digested with LysC (Wako/Fuji) with urea concentration lowered to less than 4
M, followed by trypsination (Promega) with urea concentration lowered to less than 2 M.
Digestion was stopped with 10 % trifluoroacetic acid. Generated peptides were desalted and
concentrated with solid-phase extraction prior to analysis by LC-MS/MS (promoter sequence
pull-down: 12 cm packed-in-emitter column, 70 minutes analytical gradient, high
resolution/high mass accuracy mode (Dionex 3000 and Q-Exactive HF, Thermo Fisher
Scientific), MtvS-His$_6$ pull-down: 25 cm EASYSprayer column, 70 minutes analytical
gradient, high resolution/ high mass accuracy mode (Ascend)).

For analysis, generated data was searched and quantified using ProteomeDiscoverer (v
1.4.1.14 and 3.2)/Mascot (v 2.8 and 3.1), MaxQuant (v 2.0.3.0 and 2.6.6.0) and Spectronault
(v 19.7) and queried against Uniprot database for *F. tularensis* subspecies *novicida* U112
(taxon ID: 401614) concatenated with common contaminants to generate iBAQ values.
Statistical analysis was carried out with Perseus software (v 1.6.15.0). The values were log2
transformed and contaminants and proteins that have been identified in less than two
biological replicates of a sample were removed. After filtering, the data was median
normalized and normalized iBAQ values were produced.

For whole lysate proteomes, the values were log2 transformed and contaminants and proteins that have not been detected in all three replicates for a least one condition were removed. Missing values were imputed. Log2 foldchanges were calculated for each strain and condition. An FDR-based (FDR = 0.05) two-sample t-test was used to determine which changes were significant. Results are listed in table 9. *P*-values of 0.05 or adj*p*-values of 0.05 and log2 foldchanges of 1 were defined as significant.

For the pull downs, the values were log2 transformed and contaminants and proteins that have been identified in less than two biological replicates of a sample were removed. After filtering, the data was median normalized and normalized iBAQ values were produced. he Log2 foldchange was calculated between proteins pulled-down with the *cas12* promoter sequence and proteins pulled-down with the intragenic *cas12* control sequence for both exponential and stationary conditions. An FDR-based (FDR = 0.05) two-sample t-test was used to determine which changes were significant. Results are listed in tables 16-18. *P*-values of 0.05 or adj*p*-values of 0.05 and log2 foldchanges of 2 were defined as significant.

Plasmid interference assay

Strains were generated with the native Type II-B or Type V-A CRISPR array replaced by a targeting or non-targeting spacer matching expression plasmid pFNMB2 *msfGFP*. These strains were grown exponentially together with the donor *E. coli* strain harboring pFNMB2 *msfGFP*. Cells yielding a total OD_{600} of 1 were pelleted and washed once with LB. Then, recipient *F. novicida* strains were mixed with donor *E. coli* in a final volume of 20 µl LB and spotted on nitrocellulose filter membranes with a 0.22 µm pore size (Millipore) located on LB agar plates supplemented with 1.2 mM DAP. The plates were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C before the bacteria were resuspended in a final volume of 100 µl MH broth (BD Biosciences). Serial dilutions in MH broth were carried out and 5 µl of each dilution was spotted in technical duplicates on MH agar plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml ampicillin for calculation of total number of colony forming units (CFUs) and on MH agar plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml ampicillin and 15 µg/ml kanamycin for calculation of transformants. The average number of CFUs of the two technical replicates was used for calculating CFU/ml. Three biological replicates for each strain were analyzed.

Growth curves

Strains were grown overnight in liquid cultures, diluted 1:20 the next day and grown until OD_{600} of ~1. For OD_{600} measurements with a plate reader (Agilent Synergy H1), OD_{600} was adjusted to 0.2 and cells were seeded in 150 µl per well in a 96-well plate (Greiner Cellstar, flat bottom with lid) in technical triplicates. Absorbance at 600 nm was measured every 10 minutes for 24 h while plate was incubated at 37 °C and shaking with 567 cpm (3 mm). Growth curves for three biological replicates per strain were measured. Means with standard deviation are shown.

SDS-PAGE

For protein analysis by SDS-PAGE, 4-20 % polyacrylamide gels (BioRad) were used and staining was performed with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (Biorad) in not indicated otherwise.

Western blot

A *F. novicida* U112 strain encoding mtvS-His$_6$ on the chromosome was grown exponentially
and to stationary phase yielding a total OD$_{600}$ of 200 and resuspended in 30 ml lysis buffer (50
mM HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, 1 mM Tris (2-
Carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride (GoldBio), mini cOmplete EDTA-free protease
inhibitor cocktail). The cells were lysed for 1 h at 4 °C shaking and sonicated for 15 rounds
on ice ice (70 % amplitude, 10 s pulses, 30 s breaks). 15 µl of samples were run on a SDS-
PAGE gel and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (0.2 µm, BioRad) using a Trans-
Blot Turbo transfer system (BioRad). After blocking the membrane in 5 % milk in TBST
(PBS, 0.05 % Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich)) for 1 h, MtvS-His$_6$ was probed with primary mouse
THE His Tag anti-His$_6$ antibody (GenScript, A00186) diluted 1:4000 in PBST at 4 °C
overnight. The membrane was incubated with the secondary goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) HRP
antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 31430) diluted 1:10000 in PBST for 1 h. HRP signal was
detected with Clarity Western ECL substrate (BioRad) and ImageQuant 800 (Amersham) in
SNOW imaging mode.

Expression and purification of *E. coli* RNA polymerase

Plasmid pVS11 (also called pEcrpoABC(-XH)Z) was used to overexpress each subunit of
RNA polymerase (full-length α, β, ω) as well as β'-PPX-His$_{10}$ (PPX; PreScission protease site,
LEVLFQGP, GE Healthcare), and co-transformed with a pACYCDuet-1 plasmid containing
E. col rpoZ into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) to ensure saturation of all RNA polymerases with *E. coli*
RpoZ. The cells were grown in the presence of 100µg/mL ampicillin and 34µg/mL
chloramphenicol to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 in a 37 °C shaker. Protein expression was induced with
1mM IPTG (final concentration) for 4h at 30 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and
resuspended in 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5% w/v glycerol, 10mM DTT, 1mM PMSF, and 1X
protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC - 0.174 mg/mL PMSF, 312 µg/mL benzamidine, 5 µg/mL
chymostatin, 5 µg/mL leupeptin, 1 µg/mL pepstatin A, 10 µg/mL aprotinin dissolved in
ethanol). After French Press (Avestin) lysis at 4 °C, the lysate was centrifuged twice for 30
min each. Polyethyleneimine (PEI, 10% (w/v) pH 8.0, Acros Organics – ThermoFisher
Scientific) was slowly added to the supernatant to a final concentration of ~0.6 % PEI with
continuous stirring. The mixture was stirred at 4 °C for an additional 25 min, then centrifuged
for 1.5h at 4 °C. The pellets were washed three times with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM
NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 5 % w/v glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 1x PIC. For each wash, the pellets were
homogenized, then centrifuged again. RNA polymerase was eluted by washing the pellets
three times with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 10 mM DTT, 5% w/v glycerol, 1x PIC,
1mM PMSF. The PEI elutions were combined and precipitated with ammonium sulfate, at a
final [(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$] of 35% w/v, overnight. The mixture was centrifuged, and the pellets were
resuspended in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 5% w/v glycerol, 1 mM 2-
mercaptoethanol (BME). The mixture was loaded onto two 5 mL HiTrap IMAC HP columns
(Cytiva) for a total column volume of 10 mL. RNA polymerase (β'-PPX-His$_{10}$) was eluted at
250 mM imidazole in column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 5% w/v glycerol, 1
mM BME). The eluted RNA polymerase fractions were combined and dialyzed to a final 10
mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 5% w/v glycerol, 5 mM DTT.
The sample was then loaded onto a 40 mL Bio-Rex-70 column (Bio-Rad), washed with 10
mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA, 5% w/v glycerol, 5 mM DTT in isocratic steps of
increasing NaCl concentration (elutes at 0.5M NaCl). The eluted fractions were combined,
concentrated by centrifugal filtration, then loaded onto a 320 mL HiLoad 26/600 Superdex
200 column (Cytiva) equilibrated in gel filtration buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM

EDTA pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 5 % w/v glycerol, 5 mM DTT). The eluted RNA polymerase was
concentrated to 8-10m g/mL by centrifugal concentration and supplemented with glycerol to
20% w/v, flash frozen in liquid N_2, and stored at $-80°C$.

Expression and purification of *E. coli* σ^{70}

Plasmid pSAD1403 was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3). The cells were grown in the
presence of 50 µg/mL kanamycin to an OD_{600} of 0.6 at 37°C. Protein expression was induced
with 1 mM IPTG for 1-1.5h at 30 °C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended
in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 5 mM imidazole, 5% w/v
glycerol, 0.5m M BME, 1 mM PMSF, 1x PIC (the same as used for the *E. coli* RNA
polymerase). After French Press, lysis at 4 °C, cell debris was removed by centrifugation twice.
The lysate was loaded onto two 5 mL HiTrap IMAC HP columns for a total column volume of
10mL. His_{10}-SUMO-σ^{70} was eluted at 250 mM imidazole in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM
NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 5% w/v glycerol, 0.5 mM BME. Peak fractions were combined,
cleaved with Ulp1, and dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM
EDTA pH 8.0, 5% w/v glycerol, 0.5 mM BME, resulting in a final imidazole concentration of
25 mM. The cleaved sample was loaded onto one 5 mL HiTrap IMAC HP to remove His_{10}-
SUMO-tag along with any remaining uncleaved σ^{70}. Untagged σ^{70} fractions were pooled and
diluted with 10mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 5% w/v glycerol, 1 mM DTT until
the conductivity corresponds to a NaCl concentration slightly below 200mM. The diluted
sample was injected on to three 5mL HiTrap Heparin HP columns (total column volume of 15
mL; Cytiva) equilibrated at the same diluent buffer but with 200 mM NaCl, and run a gradient
to 1 M NaCl, with the first major peak as the target peak. The target peak sample was pooled
and concentrated by centrifugal filtration before being loaded onto a HiLoad 16/60 Superdex
200 (Cytiva) in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 5% w/v glycerol, 1 mM DTT. Peak
fractions of σ^{70} were pooled, supplemented with glycerol to a final concentration of 20% w/v,
flash-frozen in liquid N_2, and stored at -80°C.

Expression and purification of MtvS

MtvS (FTN_1238) was cloned into pET21 with a C-terminal His_6-tag separated by a glycine
linker. MtvS overexpression was performed in *E. coli* Rosetta 2 (DE3). 2 l of bacteria were
grown to OD_{600} of 0.7 at 37 °C before the temperature was shifted to 16 °C and MtvS
expression was induced with 500 µM isopropyl β-d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, GoldBio)
over night. Cells were harvested in 50 ml lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl,
10 % glycerol, 0.5 mg/ml lysozyme, 10 mM imidazole (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mM TCEP-HCl,
mini cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail) and lysed for 1 h at 4 °C shaking
before 15 rounds of sonication on ice (70 % amplitude, 10 s pulses, 30 s breaks). Cell lysate
was centrifuged at 18000 g, 4 °C for 1 h and the supernatant was filtrated with 0.45 µm filters
to remove remaining cell debris. After loading the cell lysate on a Nickel resin (HisPur Ni-
NTA, Thermo Fisher Scientific), column equilibrated with lysis buffer, two washes with 50
ml wash buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 1 M NaCl, 10 % glycerol, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM
TCEP-HCl) were carried out. Then MtvS-His_6 was eluted with 30 ml elution buffer (50 mM
HEPES pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol, 300 mM imidazole, 1 mM TCEP-HCl).
Elution was concentrated with 10 kDA cut-off filter (Amicon) and loaded on a Superdex 200
10/300-increase column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in size-exclusion buffer (50 mM HEPES

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 10 % glycerol, 1 mM TCEP-HCl) for further purification by size-
exclusion chromatography. Purified MtvS-His$_6$ was stored at -80 °C.

Pull-down of *E. coli* RNA polymerase

Interaction of MtvS-His$_6$ or FTN_1235-His$_6$ with *E. coli* RNA polymerase was probed as
described previously[89]. In short, purified MtvS-His$_6$ or FTN_1235-His$_6$ (20 µM) was
incubated with *E. coli* RNA polymerase core enzyme or holoenzyme (400 units/ml, NEB) in
40 µl reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM Dithiothreitol (GoldBio))
at 37 °C for 40 minutes. Afterwards, 260 µl of reaction buffer H (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 50
mM KCl, 10 mM imidazole, 0.001 % Triton-X (Sigma-Aldrich)) supplemented with 0.1
mg/ml bovum serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the reactions. 50 µl of
Dynabeads His-Tag Isolation and Pulldown beads per sample were washed twice with 300 µl
of reaction buffer H supplemented with BSA before the samples were transferred to the
prewashed beads and incubated on a roller at room temperature for 5 minutes. The beads were
washed once with 300 µl reaction buffer H supplemented with BSA and subsequently washed
three times with reaction buffer H. Afterwards, the proteins were eluted from the beads in 30
µl reaction buffer H containing 300 mM imidazole by incubating on a roller at room
temperature for 5 minutes. 15 µl of eluted samples and individual controls (MtvS-His$_6$ or
FTN_1235-His$_6$: 20 µg, *E. coli* RNA polymerase core enzyme and holoenzyme: 400 units/ml)
were analyzed on a SDS-PAGE gel and subsequent Coomassie staining.

***In vitro* transcription assays**

In vitro transcription assays were based on a protocol reported previously[90]. Aptamer
sequences and primers are listed in table 8.

The spinach aptamer sequence flanked by first 32 base pairs of *cas12* and 32 base pairs of the
middle of *cas12* was ordered as gblock (Azenta). The gblock was cloned into an expression
vector flanked upstream by the amplified promoter sequence (400 bp upstream of gene of
interest) and the *E. coli rrnB T1* terminator. Linearized aptamers were amplified from
plasmid, cleaned up (Qiagen) and eluted in H$_2$O.

The storage buffer of recombinant *E. coli* RNA polymerase and σ^{70} as well as *F. novicida*
MtvS was exchanged to transcription buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH8, 150 mM KCl, 10 mM
MgCl$_2$, 1 mM Dithiothreitol, 0.1 mg/ml BSA) with desalting columns (Zeba, 7K MWCO, 0.5
ml). In short, the columns were centrifuged at 1500 g for 1 minute at 4 °C to expel the storage
buffer. Then the columns were washed two times with 300 µl transcription buffer
supplemented with 0.01 % Tween-20 (Millipore). The last wash was performed with 300 µl
transcription buffer only. The proteins resuspended in 50-100 µl transcription buffer were
added to the columns and recovered in fresh tubes.

Complexes of *E. coli* RNA polymerase (500 nM) with *E. coli* σ^{70} (2500 nM) or MtvS (2500
nM) were reconstituted by mixing them in a 1:5:5 ratio in transcription buffer followed by an
incubation at 37 ℃ for 40 minutes.

The *in vitro* transcription assays were carried out in black 384-well plates (Corning, low
volume black round bottom polystyrene NBS) in technical triplicates and "no NTP" controls.
First, 10 nM aptamer DNA, 0.4 U/µl RiboLock RNAse inhibitor (Thermo Fisher Scientific),
0.5 mM NTPs (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 20 µM 3,5-difluoro-4-hydroxybenzylidene
imidazolinone (DFHBI, Lucerna) in 15 µl transcription buffer was added to each wells. Then
the plate was incubated at 37 °C in the dark for 10 minutes before 50 nM RNA polymerase

complexes (5 µl of the reconstituted complexes) were added. The "no NTP" controls
contained everything except for the NTPs. Measurement of fluorescence (excitation: 470 nm,
emission: 528 nm) in a plate reader started immediately after adding the RNA polymerase
complexes. Measurements were taken every 30 seconds for 1 h.

Homology prediction with Foldseek

Foldseek was used to find structural homologs for the predicted structure of MtvS
(FTN_1238, Uniprot ID: A0A6I4RTR9)[91]. PyMol (v 2.5.7) was used to generate figures[92].

Heatmaps

The normalized counts and normalized log2 iBAQ values were used to create heatmaps for
genes and proteins which were deemed significant (adj*p*-value < 0.05) with heatmap2 on
usegalaxy.org[93,94]. Z-scores were computed on rows before clustering.

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA)

Gense set enrichment analysis was carried out with the FUNAGE-Pro[95] (v2) online tool using
the genbank file ASM1464v1. Only genes with an adj*p* value ≤ 0.05 were considered and
upregulated and downregulated genes were analyzed separately. For RNA-seq data sets,
ribosomal RNAs were excluded. For comparison of RNA levels between the two growth
conditions for the parental strain, the threshold values were set to $-1.5 > \log2FC > 1.5$. For
comparison of RNA levels between the parental strain and the *mtvS ns* mutant, the threshold
values were set to $-1 > \log2FC > 1$. Enriched pathways for each strain and condition are listed
in table 15. For comparison of protein levels between the two growth phases for the parental
strain, the threshold values were set to $-1 > \log2FC > 1$. For comparison of protein levels
between parental strain and *mtvS ns* mutant, the threshold values were set to $-0.5 > \log2FC >$
0.5. Enriched pathways for each strain and condition are listed in table 11.

Sequence alignments

Promoter sequences of operons significantly down regulated according to FUNAGE-Pro were
aligned with MEGA12 using the ClustalW algorithm[96]. The sequence logo plot of the putative
-35 consensus sequence was created using WebLogo[97].

Protein sequences of MtvS (A0A6I4RTR9) and *E. coli* YgfB (P0A8C4) were aligned with
MEGA12 using the ClustalW alogrithm.

Structural predictions with AlphaFold 3

Interactions between MtvS (AlphaFold ID: A0A6I4RTR9) and *F. novicida* U112 RNA
polymerase (AlphaFold IDs: RpoA1 - A0Q4K8, RpoA2 - A0Q7R6, RpoB - A0Q867, RpoC -
A0Q866, RpoZ - A0Q5J3) were predicted with the AlphaFold 3 web server
(https://alphafoldserver.com)[50].

Interactions between the coiled-coil domain of β' subunit (RpoC) and σ^{70} or MtvS were
compared using AlphaFold 3 predictions of *E. coli* RNA polymerase (AlphaFold ID: RpoA -
P0A7Z4, RpoB - P0A8V2, RpoC - P0A8T7, RpoZ - P0A800) with *E. coli* σ^{70} (AlphaFold ID:
RpoD - P00579) or MtvS (AlphaFold ID: A0A6I4RTR9. The magnesium ion was
superimposed from the solved *E. coli* RNA polymerase structure (6omf)[98].

For assessment of prediction accuracy, the PAE values and and ipTM and pTM scores were
taken into account according to the guidelines.

PyMol (v 2.5.7)[92] was used to generate figures and determine interactions under 3 Å between
MtvS and RpoC.

Data availability

Source data are provided in this paper.

References

1.   Marraffini, L.A., and Sontheimer, E.J. (2008). CRISPR interference limits horizontal
gene transfer in staphylococci by targeting DNA. Science *322*, 1843–1845.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165771.

2.   Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S.,
Romero, D.A., and Horvath, P. (2007). CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses
in prokaryotes. Science *315*, 1709–1712. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138140.

3.   Bolotin, A., Quinquis, B., Sorokin, A., and Ehrlich, S.D. 2005 Clustered regularly
interspaced short palindrome repeats (CRISPRs) have spacers of extrachromosomal origin.
Microbiology *151*, 2551–2561. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.28048-0.

4.   Mojica, F.J.M., Díez-Villaseñor, C., García-Martínez, J., and Soria, E. (2005).
Intervening Sequences of Regularly Spaced Prokaryotic Repeats Derive from Foreign Genetic
Elements. J. Mol. Evol. *60*, 174–182. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-004-0046-3.

5.   Pourcel, C., Salvignol, G., and Vergnaud, G.Y. 2005 CRISPR elements in Yersinia
pestis acquire new repeats by preferential uptake of bacteriophage DNA, and provide
additional tools for evolutionary studies. Microbiology *151*, 653–663.
https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.27437-0.

6.   Brouns, S.J.J., Jore, M.M., Lundgren, M., Westra, E.R., Slijkhuis, R.J.H., Snijders,
A.P.L., Dickman, M.J., Makarova, K.S., Koonin, E.V., and Oost, J. van der (2008). Small
CRISPR RNAs Guide Antiviral Defense in Prokaryotes. Science.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1159689.

7.   Hale, C., Kleppe, K., Terns, R.M., and Terns, M.P. (2008). Prokaryotic silencing
(psi)RNAs in Pyrococcus furiosus. RNA N. Y. N *14*, 2572–2579.
https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.1246808.

8.   Abudayyeh, O.O., Gootenberg, J.S., Konermann, S., Joung, J., Slaymaker, I.M., Cox,
D.B.T., Shmakov, S., Makarova, K.S., Semenova, E., Minakhin, L., et al. (2016). C2c2 is a
single-component programmable RNA-guided RNA-targeting CRISPR effector. Science *353*,
aaf5573. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5573.

9.   Gasiunas, G., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., and Siksnys, V. (2012). Cas9-crRNA
ribonucleoprotein complex mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in
bacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *109*, E2579-2586.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208507109.

10.   Hale, C.R., Zhao, P., Olson, S., Duff, M.O., Graveley, B.R., Wells, L., Terns, R.M.,
and Terns, M.P. (2009). RNA-Guided RNA Cleavage by a CRISPR RNA-Cas Protein
Complex. Cell *139*, 945–956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.040.

11.   Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J.A., and Charpentier, E.
(2012). A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial
immunity. Science *337*, 816–821. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225829.

12.   Westra, E.R., van Erp, P.B.G., Künne, T., Wong, S.P., Staals, R.H.J., Seegers, C.L.C.,
Bollen, S., Jore, M.M., Semenova, E., Severinov, K., et al. (2012). CRISPR immunity relies

on the consecutive binding and degradation of negatively supercoiled invader DNA by
Cascade and Cas3. Mol. Cell *46*, 595–605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2012.03.018.

13.   Zetsche, B., Gootenberg, J.S., Abudayyeh, O.O., Slaymaker, I.M., Makarova, K.S.,
Essletzbichler, P., Volz, S.E., Joung, J., van der Oost, J., Regev, A., et al. (2015). Cpf1 is a
single RNA-guided endonuclease of a class 2 CRISPR-Cas system. Cell *163*, 759–771.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.038.

14.   Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Iranzo, J., Shmakov, S.A., Alkhnbashi, O.S., Brouns,
S.J.J., Charpentier, E., Cheng, D., Haft, D.H., Horvath, P., et al. (2020). Evolutionary
classification of CRISPR-Cas systems: a burst of class 2 and derived variants. Nat. Rev.
Microbiol. *18*, 67–83. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0299-x.

15.   Patterson, A.G., Jackson, S.A., Taylor, C., Evans, G.B., Salmond, G.P.C., Przybilski,
R., Staals, R.H.J., and Fineran, P.C. (2016). Quorum Sensing Controls Adaptive Immunity
through the Regulation of Multiple CRISPR-Cas Systems. Mol. Cell *64*, 1102–1108.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.012.

16.   Høyland-Kroghsbo, N.M., Paczkowski, J., Mukherjee, S., Broniewski, J., Westra, E.,
Bondy-Denomy, J., and Bassler, B.L. (2017). Quorum sensing controls the Pseudomonas
aeruginosa CRISPR-Cas adaptive immune system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*, 131–
135. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1617415113.

17.   Lucas-Elío, P., Molina-Quintero, L.R., Xu, H., and Sánchez-Amat, A. (2021). A
histidine kinase and a response regulator provide phage resistance to Marinomonas
mediterranea via CRISPR-Cas regulation. Sci. Rep. *11*, 20564.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99740-9.

18.   Borges, A.L., Castro, B., Govindarajan, S., Solvik, T., Escalante, V., and Bondy-
Denomy, J. (2020). Bacterial alginate regulators and phage homologs repress CRISPR-Cas
immunity. Nat. Microbiol. *5*, 679–687. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0691-3.

19.   Perez-Rodriguez, R., Haitjema, C., Huang, Q., Nam, K.H., Bernardis, S., Ke, A., and
DeLisa, M.P. (2011). Envelope stress is a trigger of CRISPR RNA-mediated DNA silencing
in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. *79*, 584–599. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2010.07482.x.

20.   Pul, U., Wurm, R., Arslan, Z., Geissen, R., Hofmann, N., and Wagner, R. (2010).
Identification and characterization of E. coli CRISPR-cas promoters and their silencing by H-
NS. Mol. Microbiol. *75*, 1495–1512. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07073.x.

21.   Westra, E.R., Pul, U., Heidrich, N., Jore, M.M., Lundgren, M., Stratmann, T., Wurm,
R., Raine, A., Mescher, M., Van Heereveld, L., et al. (2010). H-NS-mediated repression of
CRISPR-based immunity in Escherichia coli K12 can be relieved by the transcription
activator LeuO. Mol. Microbiol. *77*, 1380–1393. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2010.07315.x.

22.   Kang, D.-Y., Kim, A., and Kim, J.N. (2023). CcpA and CodY Regulate CRISPR-Cas
System of Streptococcus mutans. Microbiol. Spectr., e0182623.
https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01826-23.

23.   Smith, L.M., Hampton, H.G., Yevstigneyeva, M.S., Mahler, M., Paquet, Z.S.M., and
Fineran, P.C. (2023). CRISPR-Cas immunity is repressed by the LysR-type transcriptional
regulator PigU. Nucleic Acids Res., gkad1165. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad1165.

24.   Zhang, Y., Yang, J., and Bai, G. (2018). Regulation of the CRISPR-Associated Genes
by Rv2837c (CnpB) via an Orn-Like Activity in Tuberculosis Complex Mycobacteria. J.
Bacteriol. *200*, e00743-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00743-17.

25.   Patterson, A.G., Chang, J.T., Taylor, C., and Fineran, P.C. (2015). Regulation of the
Type I-F CRISPR-Cas system by CRP-cAMP and GalM controls spacer acquisition and
interference. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, 6038–6048. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv517.

26.   Smith, L.M., Jackson, S.A., Malone, L.M., Ussher, J.E., Gardner, P.P., and Fineran,
P.C. (2021). The Rcs stress response inversely controls surface and CRISPR-Cas adaptive
immunity to discriminate plasmids and phages. Nat. Microbiol. *6*, 162–172.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00822-7.

27.   Medina-Aparicio, L., Rebollar-Flores, J.E., Gallego-Hernández, A.L., Vázquez, A.,
Olvera, L., Gutiérrez-Ríos, R.M., Calva, E., and Hernández-Lucas, I. (2011). The
CRISPR/Cas immune system is an operon regulated by LeuO, H-NS, and leucine-responsive
regulatory protein in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhi. J. Bacteriol. *193*, 2396–2407.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01480-10.

28.   He, F., Vestergaard, G., Peng, W., She, Q., and Peng, X. (2017). CRISPR-Cas type I-
A Cascade complex couples viral infection surveillance to host transcriptional regulation in
the dependence of Csa3b. Nucleic Acids Res. *45*, 1902–1913.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1265.

29.   Shmakov, S.A., Barth, Z.K., Makarova, K.S., Wolf, Y.I., Brover, V., Peters, J.E., and
Koonin, E.V. (2023). Widespread CRISPR repeat-like RNA regulatory elements in CRISPR-
Cas systems. Preprint at bioRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.03.530964
https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.03.530964.

30.   Liu, C., Wang, R., Li, J., Cheng, F., Shu, X., Zhao, H., Xue, Q., Yu, H., Wu, A.,
Wang, L., et al. (2023). Widespread RNA-based cas regulation monitors crRNA abundance
and anti-CRISPR proteins. Cell Host Microbe *31*, 1481-1493.e6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2023.08.005.

31.   Workman, R.E., Pammi, T., Nguyen, B.T.K., Graeff, L.W., Smith, E., Sebald, S.M.,
Stoltzfus, M.J., Euler, C.W., and Modell, J.W. (2021). A natural single-guide RNA
repurposes Cas9 to autoregulate CRISPR-Cas expression. Cell *184*, 675-688.e19.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.017.

32.   Liu, T., Li, Y., Wang, X., Ye, Q., Li, H., Liang, Y., She, Q., and Peng, N. (2015).
Transcriptional regulator-mediated activation of adaptation genes triggers CRISPR de novo
spacer acquisition. Nucleic Acids Res. *43*, 1044–1055. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1383.

33.   Maharajan, A.D., Hjerde, E., Hansen, H., and Willassen, N.P. (2022). Quorum
Sensing Controls the CRISPR and Type VI Secretion Systems in Aliivibrio wodanis
06/09/139. Front. Vet. Sci. *9*, 799414. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2022.799414.

34.   Bilger, R., Migur, A., Wulf, A., Steglich, C., Urlaub, H., and Hess, W.R. (2024). A
type III-Dv CRISPR-Cas system is controlled by the transcription factor RpaB and interacts

with the DEAD-box RNA helicase CrhR. Cell Rep. *43*, 114485.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114485.

35.   Kim, H., and Marraffini, L.A. (2024). Cas9 interaction with the tracrRNA nexus
modulates the repression of type II-A CRISPR-cas genes. Nucleic Acids Res., gkae597.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae597.

36.   Schunder, E., Rydzewski, K., Grunow, R., and Heuner, K. (2013). First indication for
a functional CRISPR/Cas system in Francisella tularensis. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. IJMM *303*,
51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2012.11.004.

37.   Fonfara, I., Richter, H., Bratovič, M., Le Rhun, A., and Charpentier, E. (2016). The
CRISPR-associated DNA-cleaving enzyme Cpf1 also processes precursor CRISPR RNA.
Nature *532*, 517–521. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17945.

38.   Ratner, H.K., and Weiss, D.S. (2020). F. novicida CRISPR-Cas systems can
functionally complement each other in DNA defense while providing target flexibility. J.
Bacteriol. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00670-19.

39.   Ratner, H.K., and Weiss, D.S. (2021). crRNA complementarity shifts endogenous
CRISPR-Cas systems between transcriptional repression and DNA defense. RNA Biol., 1–14.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2021.1878335.

40.   Sampson, T.R., Saroj, S.D., Llewellyn, A.C., Tzeng, Y.-L., and Weiss, D.S. (2013). A
CRISPR/Cas system mediates bacterial innate immune evasion and virulence. Nature *497*,
254–257. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12048.

41.   Sampson, T.R., Saroj, S.D., Llewellyn, A.C., Tzeng, Y.-L., and Weiss, D.S. (2019).
Author Correction: A CRISPR/Cas system mediates bacterial innate immune evasion and
virulence. Nature *570*, E30–E31. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1253-9.

42.   Sampson, T.R., Napier, B.A., Schroeder, M.R., Louwen, R., Zhao, J., Chin, C.-Y.,
Ratner, H.K., Llewellyn, A.C., Jones, C.L., Laroui, H., et al. (2014). A CRISPR-Cas system
enhances envelope integrity mediating antibiotic resistance and inflammasome evasion. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *111*, 11163–11168. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1323025111.

43.   Ratner, H.K., Escalera-Maurer, A., Le Rhun, A., Jaggavarapu, S., Wozniak, J.E.,
Crispell, E.K., Charpentier, E., and Weiss, D.S. (2019). Catalytically Active Cas9 Mediates
Transcriptional Interference to Facilitate Bacterial Virulence. Mol. Cell *75*, 498-510.e5.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.05.029.

44.   Dai, S., Mohapatra, N.P., Schlesinger, L.S., and Gunn, J.S. (2010). Regulation of
francisella tularensis virulence. Front. Microbiol. *1*, 144.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2010.00144.

45.   Feklístov, A., Sharon, B.D., Darst, S.A., and Gross, C.A. (2014). Bacterial sigma
factors: a historical, structural, and genomic perspective. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *68*, 357–376.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092412-155737.

46.   Charity, J.C., Costante-Hamm, M.M., Balon, E.L., Boyd, D.H., Rubin, E.J., and Dove,
S.L. (2007). Twin RNA polymerase-associated proteins control virulence gene expression in
Francisella tularensis. PLoS Pathog. *3*, e84. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0030084.

47.   Mukhamedyarov, D., Makarova, K.S., Severinov, K., and Kuznedelov, K. (2011).
Francisella RNA polymerase contains a heterodimer of non-identical α subunits. BMC Mol.
Biol. *12*, 50. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-12-50.

48.   Travis, B.A., Ramsey, K.M., Prezioso, S.M., Tallo, T., Wandzilak, J.M., Hsu, A.,
Borgnia, M., Bartesaghi, A., Dove, S.L., Brennan, R.G., et al. (2021). Structural Basis for
Virulence Activation of Francisella tularensis. Mol. Cell *81*, 139-152.e10.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2020.10.035.

49.   Bell, R.T., Wolf, Y.I., and Koonin, E.V. (2020). Modified base-binding EVE and
DCD domains: striking diversity of genomic contexts in prokaryotes and predicted
involvement in a variety of cellular processes. BMC Biol. *18*, 159.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00885-2.

50.   Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger, O.,
Willmore, L., Ballard, A.J., Bambrick, J., et al. (2024). Accurate structure prediction of
biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature *630*, 493–500.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

51.   Campbell, E.A., Muzzin, O., Chlenov, M., Sun, J.L., Olson, C.A., Weinman, O.,
Trester-Zedlitz, M.L., and Darst, S.A. (2002). Structure of the bacterial RNA polymerase
promoter specificity sigma subunit. Mol. Cell *9*, 527–539. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-
2765(02)00470-7.

52.   Feklistov, A., and Darst, S.A. (2011). Structural basis for promoter-10 element
recognition by the bacterial RNA polymerase σ subunit. Cell *147*, 1257–1269.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.10.041.

53.   Malhotra, A., Severinova, E., and Darst, S.A. (1996). Crystal structure of a sigma 70
subunit fragment from E. coli RNA polymerase. Cell *87*, 127–136.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81329-x.

54.   Lonetto, M.A., Brown, K.L., Rudd, K.E., and Buttner, M.J. (1994). Analysis of the
Streptomyces coelicolor sigE gene reveals the existence of a subfamily of eubacterial RNA
polymerase sigma factors involved in the regulation of extracytoplasmic functions. Proc. Natl.
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *91*, 7573–7577. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.16.7573.

55.   Léonetti, J.P., Wong, K., and Geiduschek, E.P. (1998). Core-sigma interaction:
probing the interaction of the bacteriophage T4 gene 55 promoter recognition protein with
E.coli RNA polymerase core. EMBO J. *17*, 1467–1475.
https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/17.5.1467.

56.   Helmann, J.D. (2016). *Bacillus subtilis* extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors
and defense of the cell envelope. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. *30*, 122–132.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.02.002.

57.   Lonetto, M., Gribskov, M., and Gross, C.A. (1992). The sigma 70 family: sequence
conservation and evolutionary relationships. J. Bacteriol. *174*, 3843–3849.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.174.12.3843-3849.1992.

58.   Tan, D., Svenningsen, S.L., and Middelboe, M. (2015). Quorum Sensing Determines
the Choice of Antiphage Defense Strategy in Vibrio anguillarum. mBio *6*, e00627.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00627-15.

59.   Gao, R., Krysciak, D., Petersen, K., Utpatel, C., Knapp, A., Schmeisser, C., Daniel, R., Voget, S., Jaeger, K.-E., and Streit, W.R. (2015). Genome-wide RNA sequencing analysis of quorum sensing-controlled regulons in the plant-associated Burkholderia glumae PG1 strain. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. *81*, 7993–8007. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-15.

60.   Dela Ahator, S., Liu, Y., Wang, J., and Zhang, L.-H. (2022). The virulence factor regulator and quorum sensing regulate the type I-F CRISPR-Cas mediated horizontal gene transfer in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front. Microbiol. *13*, 987656. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.987656.

61.   Nuñez, J.K., Bai, L., Harrington, L.B., Hinder, T.L., and Doudna, J.A. (2016). CRISPR Immunological Memory Requires a Host Factor for Specificity. Mol. Cell *62*, 824–833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.04.027.

62.   Ali Azam, T., Iwata, A., Nishimura, A., Ueda, S., and Ishihama, A. (1999). Growth phase-dependent variation in protein composition of the Escherichia coli nucleoid. J. Bacteriol. *181*, 6361–6370. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.20.6361-6370.1999.

63.   Kretz, J., Börner, J., Friedrich, T., McIntosh, M., Procida-Kowalski, T., Gerken, F., Wilhelm, J., and Klug, G. (2024). Function of the RNA-targeting class 2 type VI CRISPR Cas system of Rhodobacter capsulatus. Front. Microbiol. *15*, 1384543. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1384543.

64.   Høyland-Kroghsbo, N.M., Maerkedahl, R.B., and Svenningsen, S.L. (2013). A quorum-sensing-induced bacteriophage defense mechanism. mBio *4*, e00362-00312. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00362-12.

65.   Galkin, A., Sarikaya, E., Lehmann, C., Howard, A., and Herzberg, O. (2004). X-ray structure of HI0817 from Haemophilus influenzae: protein of unknown function with a novel fold. Proteins *57*, 874–877. https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.20260.

66.   Eggers, O., Renschler, F.A., Michalek, L.A., Wackler, N., Walter, E., Smollich, F., Klein, K., Sonnabend, M.S., Egle, V., Angelov, A., et al. (2023). YgfB increases β-lactam resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by counteracting AlpA-mediated ampDh3 expression. Commun. Biol. *6*, 254. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-04609-4.

67.   Sonnabend, M.S., Klein, K., Beier, S., Angelov, A., Kluj, R., Mayer, C., Groß, C., Hofmeister, K., Beuttner, A., Willmann, M., et al. (2020). Identification of Drug Resistance Determinants in a Clinical Isolate of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by High-Density Transposon Mutagenesis. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. *64*, e01771-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01771-19.

68.   Arthur, T.M., Anthony, L.C., and Burgess, R.R. (2000). Mutational Analysis of β′260–309, a ς70 Binding Site Located on Escherichia coliCore RNA Polymerase *. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 23113–23119. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M002040200.

69.   Young, B.A., Anthony, L.C., Gruber, T.M., Arthur, T.M., Heyduk, E., Lu, C.Z., Sharp, M.M., Heyduk, T., Burgess, R.R., and Gross, C.A. (2001). A coiled-coil from the RNA polymerase beta' subunit allosterically induces selective nontemplate strand binding by sigma(70). Cell *105*, 935–944. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(01)00398-1.

70. Murakami, K.S., Masuda, S., and Darst, S.A. (2002). Structural basis of transcription
initiation: RNA polymerase holoenzyme at 4 A resolution. Science *296*, 1280–1284.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1069594.

71. Twist, K.-A.F., Campbell, E.A., Deighan, P., Nechaev, S., Jain, V., Geiduschek, E.P.,
Hochschild, A., and Darst, S.A. (2011). Crystal structure of the bacteriophage T4 late-
transcription coactivator gp33 with the β-subunit flap domain of Escherichia coli RNA
polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *108*, 19961–19966.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1113328108.

72. Nechaev, S., Kamali-Moghaddam, M., André, E., Léonetti, J.-P., and Geiduschek, E.P.
(2004). The bacteriophage T4 late-transcription coactivator gp33 binds the flap domain of
Escherichia coli RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *101*, 17365–17370.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408028101.

73. Kassavetis, G.A., and Geiduschek, E.P. (1984). Defining a bacteriophage T4 late
promoter: bacteriophage T4 gene 55 protein suffices for directing late promoter recognition.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *81*, 5101–5105. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.81.16.5101.

74. Grall, N., Livny, J., Waldor, M., Barel, M., Charbit, A., and Meibom, K.L. (2009).
Pivotal role of the Francisella tularensis heat-shock sigma factor RpoH. Microbiol. Read.
Engl. *155*, 2560–2572. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.029058-0.

75. Surette, M.G., and Bassler, B.L. (1998). Quorum sensing in Escherichia coli and
Salmonella typhimurium. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *95*, 7046–7050.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.12.7046.

76. van Hoek, M.L., Hoang, K.V., and Gunn, J.S. (2019). Two-Component Systems in
Francisella Species. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. *9*, 198.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00198.

77. Lindgren, H., Shen, H., Zingmark, C., Golovliov, I., Conlan, W., and Sjöstedt, A.
(2007). Resistance of Francisella tularensis strains against reactive nitrogen and oxygen
species with special reference to the role of KatG. Infect. Immun. *75*, 1303–1309.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01717-06.

78. Brodmann, M., Dreier, R.F., Broz, P., and Basler, M. (2017). Francisella requires
dynamic type VI secretion system and ClpB to deliver effectors for phagosomal escape. Nat.
Commun. *8*, 15853. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15853.

79. Brodmann, M., Heilig, R., Broz, P., and Basler, M. (2018). Mobilizable Plasmids for
Tunable Gene Expression in Francisella novicida. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. *8*.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00284.

80. Harms, A., Segers, F.H.I.D., Quebatte, M., Mistl, C., Manfredi, P., Körner, J., Chomel,
B.B., Kosoy, M., Maruyama, S., Engel, P., et al. (2017). Evolutionary Dynamics of
Pathoadaptation Revealed by Three Independent Acquisitions of the VirB/D4 Type IV
Secretion System in Bartonella. Genome Biol. Evol. *9*, 761–776.
https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evx042.

81. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform
for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods *9*, 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.

82.   Aranda, P.S., LaJoie, D.M., and Jorcyk, C.L. (2012). Bleach gel: a simple agarose gel for analyzing RNA quality. Electrophoresis *33*, 366–369. https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.201100335.

83.   Rohmer, L., Fong, C., Abmayr, S., Wasnick, M., Larson Freeman, T.J., Radey, M., Guina, T., Svensson, K., Hayden, H.S., Jacobs, M., et al. (2007). Comparison of Francisella tularensis genomes reveals evolutionary events associated with the emergence of human pathogenic strains. Genome Biol. *8*, R102. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-6-r102.

84.   Magoc, T., Wood, D., and Salzberg, S.L. (2013). EDGE-pro: Estimated Degree of Gene Expression in Prokaryotic Genomes. Evol. Bioinforma. Online *9*, 127–136. https://doi.org/10.4137/EBO.S11250.

85.   Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8.

86.   Llewellyn, A.C., Jones, C.L., Napier, B.A., Bina, J.E., and Weiss, D.S. (2011). Macrophage replication screen identifies a novel Francisella hydroperoxide resistance protein involved in virulence. PloS One *6*, e24201. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024201.

87.   Chaparian, R.R., and van Kessel, J.C. (2021). Promoter Pull-Down Assay: A Biochemical Screen for DNA-Binding Proteins. Methods Mol. Biol. Clifton NJ *2346*, 165–172. https://doi.org/10.1007/7651_2020_307.

88.   Jutras, B.L., Verma, A., and Stevenson, B. (2012). Identification of novel DNA-binding proteins using DNA-affinity chromatography/pull down. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol. *Chapter 1*, Unit1F.1. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780471729259.mc01f01s24.

89.   Smalakyte, D., Ruksenaite, A., Sasnauskas, G., Tamulaitiene, G., and Tamulaitis, G. (2024). Filament formation activates protease and ring nuclease activities of CRISPR Lon-SAVED. Mol. Cell *84*, 4239-4255.e8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2024.09.002.

90.   Jensen, D., Ruiz Manzano, A., Rector, M., Tomko, E.J., Record, M.T., and Galburt, E.A. (2023). High-throughput, fluorescent-aptamer-based measurements of steady-state transcription rates for the Mycobacterium tuberculosis RNA polymerase. Nucleic Acids Res. *51*, e99. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad761.

91.   van Kempen, M., Kim, S.S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C.L.M., Söding, J., and Steinegger, M. (2023). Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. Nat. Biotechnol., 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0.

92.   Schrödinger, LLC (2015). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5.7.

93.   Galaxy Community (2024). The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible, and collaborative data analyses: 2024 update. Nucleic Acids Res. *52*, W83–W94. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae410.

94.   heatmap.2: Enhanced Heat Map. (n.d.).

95.   de Jong, A., Kuipers, O.P., and Kok, J. (2022). FUNAGE-Pro: comprehensive web server for gene set enrichment analysis of prokaryotes. Nucleic Acids Res. *50*, W330–W336. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac441.

1148 96. Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Suleski, M., Sanderford, M., Sharma, S., and Tamura, K.
1149 (2024). MEGA12: Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis version 12 for adaptive and
1150 green computing. Mol. Biol. Evol. *41*, msae263. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msae263.

1151 97. Crooks, G.E., Hon, G., Chandonia, J.-M., and Brenner, S.E. (2004). WebLogo: a
1152 sequence logo generator. Genome Res. *14*, 1188–1190. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.849004.

1153 98. Cartagena, A.J., Banta, A.B., Sathyan, N., Ross, W., Gourse, R.L., Campbell, E.A.,
1154 and Darst, S.A. (2019). Structural basis for transcription activation by Crl through tethering of
1155 σS and RNA polymerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *116*, 18923–18927.
1156 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910827116.