Intracortical injection of immune checkpoint inhibitor promotes monocyte/macrophage infiltration and restores microglial function and neuronal activity in an AD mouse model

Taeyoung Park[1,2,3], Leechung Chang[4,5], Seung Won Chung[1,2,3], Seowoo Lee[1,2,3], Sungjun Bae[6], Carlo Condello[7,8], Yong Ho Kim[1,2,6,9,10,11,12], Jaecheol Lee[6,9,11,12,13,14,15], Han-Joo Kim[6], Ho-Keun Kwon[4,5,16,*] and Minah Suh[1,2,3,6,9,17,18,*]

[1]Department of Biomedical Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[2]Center for Neuroscience Imaging Research (CNIR), Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[3]Department of Intelligent Precision Healthcare Convergence (IPHC), Fourth Stage of Brain Korea 21 Project (BK 21), Suwon 16419, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea

[4]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea

[5]Institute for Immunology and Immunological Diseases, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea

[6]IMNEWRUN Inc., Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[7]Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[8]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

[9]Biomedical Institute for Convergence at SKKU (BICS), Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[10]SKKU Advanced Institute of Nanotechnology (SAINT), Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[11]Department of Nano Science and Technology, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[12]Department of Nano Engineering, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[13]School of Pharmacy, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[14]Department of Biopharmaceutical Convergence, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[15]Department of Biohealth Regulatory Science, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[16]Brain Korea 21 PLUS Project for Medical Sciences, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea

[17]KIST-SKKU Brain Research Center, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

[18]Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Science & Technology (SAIHST), Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Republic of Korea

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.K.K. (HK@yuhs.ac) or to M.S. (minahsuh@skku.edu).

Abstract

Understanding the intricate interplay among immune responses and homeostatic cell function
in Alzheimer's disease (AD) remains challenging. Here, we present a novel strategy to mitigate
AD pathology by directly modulating the immune checkpoint PD-1/PD-L1 signaling pathway
in the brain, where elevated levels of microglial PD-1 and astrocytic PD-L1 have been observed.
We found that a single intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody facilitates the infiltration
of peripheral immune cells into the brain, including IL-10-secreting $Ly6C^+$ monocytes.
Subsequently, this leads to the restoration of microglial homeostatic functions including an
increase in P2RY12 expression, which enhances microglial process extension. This cascade of
events following anti-PD-L1 injection is crucial for regulating abnormally hyperactive neurons
and reducing amyloid-beta plaques. These findings suggest that the direct application of
immune checkpoint blockade in the brain could offer a new approach to managing the delicate
cell-cell interactions among neurons, glial cells, and peripheral immune cells in the AD brain.

Keywords: Alzheimer's disease, immune checkpoint, immunotherapy, microglia, monocytes,
neuronal hyperactivity, in vivo two-photon imaging

Main

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the leading cause of dementia, characterized by the progressive accumulation of amyloid-beta (Aβ) plaques, neurofibrillary tangles, and chronic neuroinflammation, resulting in memory and cognitive decline[1]. Recent evidence suggests the beneficial impact of immunotherapy in AD treatment, particularly using immune checkpoint antibody targeting the programmed cell death protein-1/programmed death-ligand 1 pathway (PD-1/PD-L1) in the periphery[2, 3]. Studies indicate that systemic injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody leads to therapeutic effects in AD mouse models by activating the immune system outside the brain and enhancing the recruitment of infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages to the brain via the choroid plexus[3]. These infiltrating monocyte-derived macrophages participate in the phagocytosis of Aβ and tau, although the exact mechanisms by which they contribute to this process within the brain are not fully understood. Monocytes and macrophages play a multi-phasic role in the brain, responding to injury initially by aiding in pathogen clearance and debris removal, and subsequently promoting tissue repair and the resolution of inflammation[3, 4]. Under normal conditions, the blood-brain barrier (BBB) restricts peripheral immune cell entry into the central nervous system (CNS), and in several Aβ mouse models it remains mostly intact, limiting immune cell infiltration[5-7]. Studies of the role of monocytes infiltrating the brain in AD underscore their importance in the brain's response to disease and highlight them as potential targets for therapeutic interventions[3, 8-10]. Although recent research emphasizes the role of peripheral immune cells in AD pathology[11, 12], the intricate relationships among these peripheral immune cells, brain intrinsic cells, and the modulation of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis in the brain are yet to be fully elucidated.

Despite PD-1 and PD-L1 being primarily found in peripheral immune cells, emerging research reveals their upregulated expression in the brains of AD patients and AD mouse models[13]. This suggests that targeting PD-1/PD-L1 signaling in the brain could offer a novel therapeutic approach for AD, particularly within brain-resident immune cells like microglia, which express PD-1 and respond to PD-L1 from astrocytes. While the modulation of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway through the conditional loss of PD-1 in hippocampal excitatory neurons in aged mouse models has led to improved synaptic transmission and cognitive function[14], the specific role of PD-1/PD-L1 in glial cells during AD remains unclear. In AD, microglia can enter a stalled state, characterized by altered gene expression and impaired phagocytosis[15, 16]. An elevated level of PD-1/PD-L1 was also observed in microglia and astrocyte[13]. This state somewhat resembles the sustained expression of PD-1 and PD-L1 observed in exhausted peripheral immune cells, a condition commonly seen in chronic infections and tumors, where it plays a crucial role in suppressing excessive immunopathological responses and preventing tissue damage[17]. In the context of cancer, this chronic expression of PD-1 and PD-L1 contributes to immune escape from the immune cells by tumors, enabling them to persist and grow despite an active immune response[18]. Similarly, in the AD brain, we hypothesize that the chronic activation of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling in glial cells might contribute to the impaired immune surveillance and clearance of neurotoxic substances, such as Aβ plaques. Therefore, targeting microglial signaling pathways, particularly by inhibiting PD-1/PD-L1 signaling, may help treat neurodegenerative diseases by enhancing microglial homeostatic functions and mitigating neuroinflammatory responses.

Restoring the functions of both microglia and neurons is crucial in AD treatment, as it offers a

fundamental approach to address the cognitive decline that is a hallmark of AD. One of the
homeostatic functions of microglia is to modulate neuronal activity, including monitoring and
inhibiting abnormal neuronal hyperactivity[19-21]. Microglia sense neuronal activity by detecting
changes in ATP levels[19]. When ATP levels increase, microglia are attracted to active neurons
through the P2RY12 receptor. The subsequent conversion of ATP to ADP and adenosine
suppresses neuronal activity by reducing glutamate release and receptor response in a cyclic
AMP concentration-dependent manner[19]. In AD patients and several AD animal models,
abnormal hyperactivity of cortical and hippocampal neurons correlates with AD-related
network dysfunction and cognitive impairments[22-27]. Moreover, the expression of microglial
P2RY12 is reduced in AD[28-30], suggesting its potential as a marker for disrupted microglial
function in inhibiting hyperactive neurons. However, understanding how AD pathology affects
microglia-neuron crosstalk, and how to keep this interaction in a normal state in the context of
the complex and diverse mechanisms shaping abnormal neuronal hyperactivity in AD, is
challenging.

Here, we investigated the therapeutic effects of the immune checkpoint blockade through a
single intracortical injection in the brain of the 5xFAD AD model mice, employing cell
profiling and *in vivo* two-photon imaging of microglia and neurons. Targeting PD-1/PD-L1
signaling in the brain led to the evident infiltration of Ly6C$^+$ monocytes 1 day after intracortical
anti-PD-L1 injection. At 7 days post-injection, it effectively rescued microglial dysfunction
and restored neuronal baseline calcium activity in AD, with a significant reduction of Aβ
plaques along with increased plaque-associated microglia. Our results provide insights into the
therapeutic potential of immune checkpoint blockade within the brain for AD treatment,
emphasizing the importance of understanding the specific role of immune checkpoints in brain-
resident immune cells and their implications for neuroinflammatory disorders.

Results

Increased expression of glial PD-1 and PD-L1 in the brain of the 5xFAD mouse model

First, we examined the expression levels of PD-1 and PD-L1 in the brains of the 5xFAD and age-matched wild-type (WT) mice. Immunostaining analysis revealed elevated expression levels of PD-1 (Fig. 1a) and PD-L1 (Fig. 1b) throughout various brain regions of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice compared to WT mice. For this study, we focused our attention on measuring the assessed levels of PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in the cortex, a site vulnerable to AD pathology[31], and the subiculum of the hippocampus, an early site of Aβ plaque and tangle formation linked to cognitive decline[32].

Microglia in the 5xFAD mice exhibited a significant increase in PD-1 expression, particularly in the cortex and subiculum (Fig. 1a, c). Co-localization analysis with the microglial marker Iba-1 and the neuronal marker NeuN revealed that PD-1 expression was predominantly elevated in microglia (Fig. 1d, e). Additionally, astrocytes in 5xFAD mice exhibited a significant increase in PD-L1 expression, as indicated by the astrocytic marker glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) (Fig. 1b, f, g). Neurons showed relatively lower expression levels of PD-L1 compared to glial cells (Fig. 1g, h). Our longitudinal analysis of astrocytic PD-L1 expression in 5xFAD mice revealed an age-dependent increase (Fig. 1i, j, n). At 4 months of age, when Aβ levels were low (Fig. 1m), astrocytic PD-L1 and GFAP expression were barely detectable in the cortex (Fig. 1j, k, l, n). However, as disease progression continued, an increase in these markers was noticeable in the cortex (Fig. 1j, k, n), highlighting the significant correlation between disease severity and the rise in these glial markers. These findings emphasize the interconnected roles of microglia, astrocytes, and neurons in AD pathology, where microglia help the formation of neurotoxic reactive astrocytes, leading to further neuronal damage[33]. Our data show a strong link between PD-L1 and GFAP expression, suggesting that targeting PD-L1 in astrocytes could disrupt this harmful cycle, potentially restoring microglial and neuronal function.

Intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody binds to astrocytes and induces peripheral immune cell infiltration

To specifically examine the impact of anti-PD-L1 on the brain, we injected anti-PD-L1 antibody into the right primary somatosensory cortex of the forelimb region (S1FL) in 5xFAD mice to assess the extent of antibody distribution and identify the target cells (Fig. 2a). One day after the injection, immunostaining targeting the rat IgG revealed that the injected anti-PD-L1 was well distributed over the cortical region (approximately 1-1.5 mm³ in volume), with particularly strong binding to astrocytes expressing GFAP compared to control IgG-injected area (Fig. 2b, Extended Data Fig. 1).

Previous studies demonstrated that systemic anti-PD-L1 administration through intravenous injection activates peripheral immune responses in the choroid plexus and recruits myeloid cells to the CNS[2,3]. In contrast, we sought to investigate the effects of directly modulating the immune checkpoint of glial cells within the brain. Specifically, we used intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 to determine whether this approach could also promote immune cell infiltration and enhance the brain's local immune response. (Fig. 2c, g). Flow cytometry analysis of cells,

isolated from the injection site of the brain (approximately 1.5 mm³ in volume), 1 day post-injection, revealed a significant increase in peripherally derived immune cells (CD45^high CD11b^low lymphocytes and CD45^high CD11b^high myeloid cells) in the anti-PD-L1-treated area compared to the control IgG-treated area in the same 5xFAD mouse, indicating substantial immune cell infiltration following anti-PD-L1 treatment (Fig. 2d-f). In contrast, WT mice, which do not express high levels of PD-L1, showed no notable immune cell infiltration following anti-PD-L1 treatment (Fig. 2h-j). By 7 days post-injection, the earlier increase in infiltrating immune cells was no longer evident in the anti-PD-L1-treated area, indicating that the infiltration was transient (Extended Data Fig. 2a-c). Moreover, no detectable levels of the injected control IgG or anti-PD-L1 antibodies were found in the brains of 5xFAD mice at 7 days post-injection (Extended Data Fig. 2d). These results suggest that the administered anti-PD-L1 antibody has an immediate effect on local innate cells within the brain tissue but does not impact infiltrating immune cells. These findings underscore the critical role of PD-L1 expression and its modulation within the brain in regulating immune cell infiltration and shaping local immune responses in the 5xFAD mouse model.

Intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody increases chemokine expression and induces Ly6C⁺ monocyte/macrophage infiltration

To identify the immune cell types infiltrating the cortex following anti-PD-L1 treatment, we profiled the immune cell populations using multiparameter flow cytometry, comparing the effects of anti-PD-L1 to control IgG injections. We first employed an unbiased uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) analysis to visualize the overall changes in immune populations (Extended Data Fig. 3, Extended Data Fig. 4, Fig. 3a). This analysis revealed a notable increase in immune cells within the anti-PD-L1-treated hemisphere compared to the control IgG-treated hemisphere in the same 5xFAD mouse (Fig. 3b, c, Extended Data Fig. 5). Specifically, a proportional analysis showed a pronounced increase in Ly6C⁺ monocytes/macrophages (Mo/MΦ) in the anti-PD-L1-treated hemisphere (Fig. 3d, e). Notably, while the infiltration of peripheral monocytes and macrophages was most evident in the anti-PD-L1-treated hemisphere, we must also consider the possible proliferation or activation of brain-resident perivascular macrophages or other CNS-resident immune cells expressing Ly6C. Although the control IgG-injected hemisphere showed minimal monocyte or macrophage presence (Fig. 2d-f, Fig. 3b), the observed increase in Ly6C⁺ cells could comprise both infiltrating peripheral cells and locally proliferating or activated CNS macrophages. We observed increases in Ly6C⁺ Mo/MΦ, CD4⁺ conventional T cells (Tconv) and CD4⁺FOXP3⁺ regulatory T cells (Treg, a non-significant trend towards increase was observed), which play critical immunoregulatory roles (Fig. 3f). Further analysis showed that anti-PD-L1 treatment significantly upregulated chemokines and cytokines like CCL5, CXCL10, CCL22, and IL-12p40, which are linked to enhanced recruitment and infiltration of Mo/MΦ and T cell (Fig. 3g, Extended Data Fig. 6). We stained brain sections for the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 and found that it colocalized by infiltrating Ly6C/G⁺ monocytes or macrophages only in the brain area treated with anti-PD-L1 (Fig. 3h). These findings suggest that PD-L1 blockade facilitates the recruitment of immunoregulatory cells by enhancing chemokine production, thereby amplifying the immune response and altering the local immune milieu in the brain.

Anti-PD-L1 increases astrocytic TGF-β, microglial P2RY12 expression, and microglial process convergence in 5xFAD mice

To assess the effects of anti-PD-L1 treatment on microglial function, we evaluated P2RY12 levels, a key marker of microglial homeostasis. Immunostaining analysis confirmed a significant reduction in P2RY12 levels in 8-month-old 5xFAD mice compared to age-matched WT mice (Fig. 4a-c), consistent with previous reports in APP mouse models[29, 30]. This reduction was particularly pronounced in plaque-associated microglia, while non-plaque-associated microglia in 5xFAD mice exhibited a less marked reduction in P2RY12 levels compared to WT microglia. Notably, 7 days after anti-PD-L1 treatment, P2RY12 expression was significantly increased compared to control IgG treatment (Fig. 4a-c). Focusing on plaque-associated microglia, we observed that anti-PD-L1 treatment significantly increased the P2RY12-positive area surrounding plaques compared to the control IgG-treated 5xFAD mice (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. 7). This suggests that PD-L1 blockade enhances P2RY12 expression specifically in microglia near plaques, potentially promoting microglial homeostasis in regions heavily impacted by AD pathology. Further analysis using quantitative PCR on astrocytes and microglia isolated by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) from 8-month-old 5xFAD mice revealed that anti-PD-L1 treatment increased *Tgfβ1* mRNA levels in astrocytes (Fig. 4d, e). Given that TGF-β1 is essential for maintaining consistent P2RY12 expression in microglia[34], it is likely that astrocyte-derived TGF-β1 plays a key role in influencing microglia to restore P2RY12 expression.

To examine microglial homeostatic function utilizing *in vivo* two-photon imaging, we generated mice with fluorescence in microglia in AD brain by crossbreeding 5xFAD mice with CX3CR1-GFP mice (Fig. 4f). Following a focal laser brain tissue injury to induce ATP release, we observed microglial process convergence to the injury site in the cortex (Fig. 4f, g). No differences were found in microglial process convergence in the cortex between the WT group and the WT group with control IgG injection (Fig. 4g-i). However, a significant reduction in microglial process convergence was evident in 5xFAD mice with control IgG injection compared to WT mice (Fig. 4g-i), consistent with previous results[35, 36]. Surprisingly, anti-PD-L1 treatment significantly rescued decreased microglial process convergence in 5xFAD mice (Fig. 4g-i). Considering that microglial responses can vary depending on their proximity to Aβ plaques[37] and the number of plaque-associated microglia, we ensured consistency in experimental conditions by confirming that there was no difference in the number of microglia around the imaging area between the control IgG-treated 5xFAD group and the anti-PD-L1-treated 5xFAD group (Fig. 4j). This finding suggests that the homeostatic function of plaque-associated microglia is indeed restored following anti-PD-L1 injection.

Importantly, we confirmed the functional significance of restored microglial P2RY12 expression by demonstrating the recovery of microglial response to focal injury following anti-PD-L1 treatment in 5xFAD mice. These findings underscore the role of directly engaging PD-L1 blockade within the AD brain in restoring microglial homeostatic function, thereby offering novel insights into the therapeutic potential of anti-PD-L1 therapy in AD.

Anti-PD-L1 restores abnormal spontaneous neuronal hyperexcitability in 5xFAD mice

To assess whether PD-1/PD-L1 blockade affects microglia-neuron crosstalk, specifically microglial negative feedback controlling of neuronal activity in the AD brain[19], we utilized *in vivo* two-photon neuronal calcium imaging. We co-delivered AAV9-CamK2a-GCaMP6f for visualizing excitatory neuronal calcium activity, along with antibody into the cortex of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice (Fig. 5a). The expression of the virus in neurons was confirmed by immunostaining with anti-GFP (Fig. 5b). By imaging GCaMP-positive excitatory neurons, we monitored calcium dynamics and frequencies of spontaneous calcium transients at the single-neuron level.

No significant differences in spontaneous neuronal calcium transients in the WT were found between the sham group and the control IgG injection group indicating a lack of neuronal hyperactivity in these two groups (Fig. 5c-g). Consistent with previous studies[24, 26, 27], an increase in neuronal hyperactivity was observed in the 5xFAD mice. In contrast, anti-PD-L1 injection in the 5xFAD mice resulted in the recovery of hyperexcitable neuronal calcium transients. There was a significant decrease in both the fraction and mean frequency of hyperactive neurons in the 5xFAD mice with anti-PD-L1 injection. This observation suggests that PD-1/PD-L1 blockade may play a regulatory role in restoring microglial homeostasis, thereby reshaping microglia-neuron crosstalk and reducing neuronal hyperactivity, a hallmark of AD pathology.

Anti-PD-L1 promotes microglial phagocytosis of Aβ in 5xFAD mice

We investigated the effects of anti-PD-L1 intracortical injection on the clearance of Aβ plaques in 5xFAD mice. Isotype IgG was injected into the left hemisphere of S1FL as a control, while the right hemisphere of S1FL received anti-PD-L1 treatment in 5xFAD mice. Seven days after anti-PD-L1 injection, we evaluated the size of Aβ plaques in the injection areas (Fig. 6a). We observed a shift towards smaller Aβ plaques in the anti-PD-L1-injected area compared to control IgG-injected area (Fig. 6b). Remarkably, our findings revealed a significant decrease in the size of Aβ plaques compared to control IgG, indicating an augmented clearance of Aβ plaques facilitated by anti-PD-L1 intracortical injection (Fig. 6c).

To confirm that the reduction in Aβ plaque size occurred through microglia-mediated mechanisms, we examined the number of plaque-associated microglia, a subtype of microglial cells that are found near Aβ plaques in brain tissue[38, 39]. We observed an increase in the number of plaque-associated microglia in the cortex with anti-PD-L1 injection (Fig. 6d, e). Quantitative analysis of the co-localization area between CD68 and Aβ, indicating active microglial engulfment, phagocytosis, and clearance of Aβ, was performed in the regions injected with control IgG or anti-PD-L1. Our investigations unveiled a significant increase in the co-localization area of CD68 with Aβ plaques following anti-PD-L1 injection (Fig. 6f, g) which suggests an enhancement in microglial lysosomal activity. Thus, these results suggest that anti-PD-L1 treatment facilitates the efficient clearance of Aβ plaques in the brain, thereby contributing to the therapeutic efficacy in AD.

Discussion

In this study, we investigate the therapeutic potential of targeting the innate immune PD-1/PD-L1 pathway in the brain as a novel strategy for treating AD. We demonstrate that intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody can rescue both microglial and neuronal function, leading to improved Aβ pathology and restored neuronal calcium activity in the 5xFAD mouse model of AD. This effect is partially mediated through the recruitment of Ly6C$^+$ monocytes and macrophages, highlighting the critical role of the crosstalk between glial cells and infiltrated immune cells in the therapeutic process in AD. These results suggest that, in addition to previous research demonstrating that systemic administration of anti-PD-L1 antibody targeting peripheral immune cells could improve AD pathology[2, 3], direct modulation of glial PD-1/PD-L1 signaling within the brain offers a distinct and innovative therapeutic approach for AD.

Our study underscores the complex interplay between immune cells and glial cells in the brain's immune response and homeostatic function in chronically inflamed conditions like AD. In the previous study, increased expression of PD-1 and PD-L1 was reported in the brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of AD patients, as well as in the brain tissue of AD mouse models[13]. Consistent with these findings, our study observed elevated levels of microglial PD-1 and astrocytic PD-L1 in the cortex and hippocampal areas of the 5xFAD mouse model. While PD-1 and PD-L1 expression were also detected in neurons, their levels remained comparable to those observed in WT mice. Notably, longitudinal analysis of 5xFAD mice revealed that PD-L1 expression levels and astrocytic GFAP expression levels both increase as Aβ plaque deposition progresses, with a strong correlation between them. Importantly, given that GFAP has recently been recognized as a key biomarker in clinical guidelines for AD management[40-42], co-elevation of PD-L1 and GFAP may reflect critical neuroinflammatory processes in AD progression.

Following the direct intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody into the cortex 5xFAD mice, immunostaining confirmed that the administered antibody bound to astrocytes expressing GFAP, which suggesting GFAP$^+$ astrocytes are the main target of brain delivered anti-PD-L1 antibody. Interestingly, peripheral immune cell infiltration was observed only in the 5xFAD brains after anti-PD-L1 treatment, implying that modulating the PD-1/PD-L1 axis between microglia and astrocytes may drive this recruitment. While the mechanism by which anti-PD-L1 binding to astrocytes facilitates immune cell entry remains unclear, it is likely that reshaping astrocyte-microglia interactions leads to the secretion of chemotactic factors[43, 44]. Further research is needed to elucidate the signaling pathways and entry routes involved in this immune cell infiltration.

Peripheral immune cell infiltration in AD treatment presents a complex and double-edged sword, as studies reveal both detrimental and beneficial effects. For instance, studies on CD8$^+$ cytotoxic T cells indicate that they infiltrate the AD brain and may contribute to neurodegeneration by directly targeting neurons and promoting neuroinflammation[45, 46]. However, recent research suggests that CD8$^+$ T cells, through interactions with microglia, may also play a protective role by limiting disease progression and restraining AD pathology[12]. Monocytes, particularly those differentiating into macrophages, play a dual role by contributing to neuroinflammation as pro-inflammatory cells[47], while also aiding in clearing Aβ plaques through their phagocytic activity, helping to reduce the plaque burden[48]. Treg cells, on the other

hand, primarily exhibit beneficial effects in AD by reducing inflammation and providing
neuroprotection, with studies showing that increasing Treg populations in AD models can
lower neuroinflammation and AD-related pathological aggregates, thereby maintaining
immune balance and supporting brain health[11, 49, 50]. In our study, intracortical injection of anti-
PD-L1 facilitates the infiltration of various immune cells, including monocytes, Tregs, and
other inflammatory immune cells, into the brain. While this approach holds promise for
modulating immune responses in AD, the diverse and sometimes opposing roles of these cells
make the situation complex. Unlike acute inflammation-related brain disorders[51, 52], in our AD
model, blocking PD-1/PD-L1 signaling appears to rejuvenate microglia from their stalled state
without triggering a pathological inflammatory response in our model. Better understanding
and refining these immune mechanisms are essential to developing optimized therapeutic
strategies that effectively target peripheral immune infiltration without exacerbating AD
pathology, underscoring the need for further research.

In various mouse models of AD, studies have reported that the recruitment of peripheral
immune cells into the brain is beneficial, achieved through the transient activation of the
systemic immune system by blocking PD-1/PD-L1 or depleting Treg cells[2, 3, 53]. This approach
has been shown to enhance the clearance of Aβ plaques and improve cognitive function by
promoting the infiltration of monocyte-derived macrophages into the brain, which contributes
to a neuroprotective environment[2, 3]. Peripheral immune cells may reorganize the brain-
immune milieu by releasing various cytokines such as IL-10, which can help attenuate
neuroinflammation and support brain homeostasis[54]. $Ly6C^+$ monocytes are a subset of active
monocytes that can be recruited to sites of inflammation and subsequently differentiate into
macrophages. These macrophages are capable of producing IL-10, an anti-inflammatory
cytokine. The capacity of macrophages to produce IL-10 has been demonstrated in various
studies, particularly during bacterial infections or inflammatory models, highlighting their role
in the resolution of inflammation[55]. We observed IL-10 expression at both 1 and 7 days post-
injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody into the brain (Fig. 3h, Extended Data Fig. 8). While IL-10
was not detectable by multiplex chemokine and cytokine analysis on 1 day post-injection,
immunofluorescence staining revealed its presence and co-localization alongside infiltrated
$Ly6C^+$ monocytes.

Thus, our findings suggest that brain-infiltrated monocytes secrete IL-10, which subsequently
binds to its receptor on astrocytes. Astrocytes in AD express IL-10 receptor (IL-10R), and the
interaction of IL-10 with IL-10R on astrocytes helps modulate inflammatory responses in the
brain[56, 57] (Extended Data Fig. 8). Studies have shown that IL-10 signaling can have
neuroprotective effects by reducing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and other
neurotoxic factors[58]. This interaction stimulates astrocytes to secrete TGF-β, another key anti-
inflammatory cytokine[56]. Microglia responds to the increased levels of TGF-β by upregulating
P2RY12, a receptor involved in several signaling pathways related to immune response and
homeostasis within the brain[34]. Enhancing IL-10 or TGF-β signaling could offer
neuroprotective benefits, reducing inflammation and promoting a more balanced immune
environment within the brain[34, 56]. Our study clearly showed an increase in monocytic IL-10,
astrocytic TGF-β, and microglial P2RY12 following anti-PD-L1 injection. Therefore, these
results elucidate a critical immune regulatory pathway involving glial PD-1/PD-L1 axis,
monocytes/macrophages, IL-10, TGF-β, and microglial P2RY12. Recent findings have
demonstrated that microglia in the AD brain are locked in a stalled state, suggesting that

reprogramming these cells may be a novel therapeutic strategy for AD pathology[12]. Our data,
showing elevated levels of PD-1 in microglia and PD-L1 in astrocytes, align with this
hypothesis, as these molecules are well-known for suppressing immune responses in peripheral
immune cells[48-50].

Furthermore, the roles of microglia have been highlighted in maintaining homeostasis and
modulating neuronal activity. Their functions extend beyond traditional immune responses,
influencing various aspects of neuronal function and homeostasis. P2RY12 is essential for the
maintenance of microglial motility. The proper functioning of P2RY12 signaling allows
microglia to continuously monitor neuronal activity. The loss or dysfunction of P2RY12
impairs the chemotactic response of microglia to excessive ATP and ADP released from
hyperactive neurons, thereby hindering the regulation of neuronal activity[19]. Following anti-
PD-L1 injection, the abnormal hyperactivity in spontaneous neuronal calcium transients
observed in 5xFAD mice returned to baseline levels similar to those in WT mice. The restored
neuronal homeostasis following anti-PD-L1 injection appears to have repaired the disrupted
microglia-neuron crosstalk through astrocytic TGF-β-driven recovery of microglial P2RY12.
However, as neurons express TGF-β receptors[59], astrocyte-secreted TGF-β may not only
contribute to rescue microglial function to limit neuronal hyperactivity but also directly
influence neurons by modulating synaptic transmission, enhancing inhibitory signals, and
prevent excitotoxicity through the regulation of glutamatergic activity and intracellular calcium
levels, thereby restoring balanced spontaneous neuronal activity[60-62]. Moreover, our findings
align with previous research indicating that TGF-β plays a crucial role in promoting Aβ
clearance by modulating microglial activity and inflammatory pathways[63].

Our findings demonstrate that anti-PD-L1 treatment not only reduces Aβ plaque size (Fig. 6a-
c) but also increases plaque-associated microglia (Fig. 6d, e) and restores homeostatic function
in the plaque-associated region, as evidenced by increased P2RY12 levels (Fig. 4a-c).
Interestingly, the upregulation of P2RY12 near plaques of similar size (~150 μm²) suggests that
this effect is not merely a consequence of plaque reduction (Extended Data Fig. 7c). Rather,
we propose that infiltrating monocytes, following anti-PD-L1 treatment, secrete IL-10, which
promotes astrocytic production of TGF-β and restoration of microglial P2RY12. Given that Aβ
plaques themselves suppress P2RY12 expression[29, 64], impair microglial function[35], and
directly affect neuronal activity[24], it remains critical to distinguish whether these changes arises
from the proposed IL-10/TGF-β signaling pathway or are a secondary effect of enhanced
plaque clearance. Further studies are warranted to discern whether the observed therapeutic
effects following anti-PD-L1 injection are predominantly driven by monocyte-mediated
immune modulation with boosted IL-10/TGF-β signaling pathway or by the reduction of Aβ
plaque burden.

Current anti-Aβ immunotherapies primarily focus on the clearance of pathological proteins and
have shown limited success in fully addressing the complex nature of the disease. These
therapies often fail to effectively modulate the intricate peripheral-central immune crosstalk
that is crucial for maintaining brain immune system balance. To advance beyond these
limitations, there is a growing need for next-generation disease-modifying drugs that not only
target Aβ clearance but also rebalance the brain's immune system through novel mechanisms.
Targeting immune checkpoints, such as PD-1/PD-L1, restore microglial homeostasis and
improve neuronal function could be a promising therapeutic strategy for AD. Combining this

approach with innovative BBB transport technologies could further enhance the delivery and
efficacy of these treatments. Moreover, a combinatorial tandem therapy involving anti-Aβ
agents followed by PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade can present a promising strategy.
Such an approach could sequentially target Aβ pathology while subsequently modulating the
neuroinflammatory environment, potentially leading to more comprehensive therapeutic
outcomes. Further preclinical and clinical studies are needed to explore these possibilities and
to validate the therapeutic efficacy and safety of immune checkpoint blockade in AD.

Methods

Animal models

All experimental procedures received approval from the Institutional Animal Care and Use
Committee (IACUC) of Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU). The experiments were carried out
following the guidelines established by the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals,
in compliance with the Animal Protection Law and the Laboratory Animal Act, as regulated by
the Korea Animal and Plant Quarantine Agency and the Korea Ministry of Food and Drug
Safety. The mouse lines used in the study include: C57BL/6J mice, B6.Cg-
Tg(APPSwFlLon,PSEN1*M146L*L286V)6799Vas/Mmjax (5xFAD)[65] and B6.129P2(Cg)-
Cx3cr1[tm1Litt]/J (CX3CR1[GFP/GFP])[66] from the Jackson Laboratory. 6-to-10-month-old 5xFAD
mice and age-matched WT littermates were used in this study.

Intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody

Mice were initially anesthetized using 3% isoflurane for induction and maintained under 1%-
1.5% isoflurane throughout the surgery using an isoflurane vaporizer (VetEquip). They were
positioned in a stereotaxic frame on a temperature-controlled heating pad (FHC) set at 37°C to
maintain body temperature. The head skin of mouse was sanitized with an alcohol swab
(isopropyl alcohol 70%, BD) and then incised using surgical scissors. A small craniotomy was
performed over the primary somatosensory cortex of the forelimb region (S1FL) on both sides.
The left hemisphere was injected with a control isotype antibody, while the right hemisphere
was injected with an anti-PD-L1 antibody. To examine the immune cell population, we injected
five sites in each hemisphere, totaling ten sites, to ensure sufficient cell numbers for FACS
sorting. For *in vivo* two-photon experiments, the injection was administered only in the right
S1FL at a 40-degree angle to avoid major blood vessels and minimize potential tissue damage
above the injection site during an acute craniotomy for imaging.

Mouse PD-L1-blocking antibody (anti-PD-L1; cat# BE0101, rat IgG2b, κ, clone 10F.9G2, Bio
X cell, 1 µg in 1 µL) was delivered using a 10 µl Hamilton syringe equipped with a fine glass
pipette at a rate of 80 nl/min, connected to a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus). Isotype control
IgG antibody (anti-keyhole limpet hemocyanin; cat# BE0090, rat IgG2b, κ, clone LTF-2, Bio
X cell, 1 µg in 1 µL) was injected following the same procedure. The glass pipette remained in
place for 5 minutes post-injection to minimize backflow. Subsequently, the drilled holes were
sealed with bio-compatible surgical elastomer Kwik-Sil (WPI), the skin was sutured using
surgical sutures (B. Braun Surgical), and veterinary adhesive (Vetbond, Fisher Scientific) was
applied. The animals were then returned to their home cages. After injection of antibody, mice
were allowed to recover with the application of antibiotics (Baytril; 5 mg/kg, s.c. injection) and
analgesics (Meloxicam; 1 mg/kg, s.c. injection) and were closely monitored for any signs of
distress.

Multiparameter flow cytometry analysis and cell sorting

Mice were deeply anesthetized 2.5% avertin (400 mg/kg, i.p., cat# 240486, Sigma) and
perfused with 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline (PBS). After the brain were extracted, brain

tissue were mechanically minced and incubated in dissociation buffer for 30 min at 37 °C, containing 0.1 mg/mL DNase I (Roche), 1 mg/mL Collagenase type IV (Gibco), 2% FBS in DMEM Medium. Digested brain tissue was gently mashed through a 70 μm strainer and centrifuged at 750 g for 5 min at 4 °C in a swinging bucket rotor. Pellets were resuspended with 35% Percoll in PBS (Cytiva) and centrifuged in 1120 g for 20 min at room temperature (RT) to remove myelin debris. Pellets were resuspended in DMEM with 10% FBS for further analysis.

For the flow cytometry analysis, isolated cells were surface-stained with following antibodies: CD45-BUV395 (1:200 dilution, cat# 564279, clone 30-F11, BD), CD8-BUV805 (1:200 dilution, cat# 612898, clone 53-6.7, BD), CD62L-BUV737 (1:200 dilution, cat# 612833, clone MEL-14, BD), CD44-BUV615 (1:200 dilution, cat# 751414, clone IM7, BD), F4/80-BUV661 (1:200 dilution, cat# 750643, clone T45-2342, BD), MHCII-BUV496 (1:200 dilution, cat# 750281, clone M5/114.15.2, BD), NK1.1-BUV563 (1:200 dilution, cat# 741233, clone PK136, BD), TCRγδ-BV605 (1:200 dilution, cat# 107502, clone UC7-13D5, BioLegend), TCRβ-BV650 (1:200 dilution, cat# 109207, clone H57-597, BioLegend), Ly6C-BV785 (1:200 dilution, cat# 128041, clone HK1.4, BioLegend), CD127-PE-Cy5 (1:200 dilution, cat# 135015, clone A7R34, BioLegend), CD11b-PerCP-Cy5.5 (1:200 dilution, cat# 101227, clone M!/70, BioLegend), CD11c-PE-Cy7 (1:200 dilution, cat# 117317, clone N418, BioLegend), CD19-APC-R700 (1:200 dilution, cat# 565473, clone 1D3, BD), CD4-APC-Cy7 (1:200 dilution, cat# 100413, clone GK1.5, BioLegend), C-kit-PerCP (1:200 dilution, cat# 105801, clone 2B8, BioLegend), FcεRIα-AF647 (1:200 dilution, cat# 134309, clone MAR-1, BioLegend). LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Aqua (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was added to staining solution to discriminate dead cells. After incubation at 4 °C for 30 min, the cells were fixed and permeabilized with eBioscience™ Foxp3 / Transcription Factor Staining Buffer (Invitrogen, USA) and were stained with following antibodies at RT for 40 min: FOXP3-AF488 (1:100 dilution, cat# 53-5773-82, clone FJK-16s, Invitrogen), HELIOS-PB (1:100 dilution, cat# 137210, clone 22F6, BioLegend), RORγt-PE (1:100 dilution, cat# 12-6981-82, clone B2D, Invitrogen). After staining, cells were washed with PBS and data acquisition was performed by Sony ID7000 (Sony).

For the cell sorting, isolated cells were stained with the following antibodies: CD11b-FITC (1:200 dilution, cat# 101205, clone M1/70, BioLegend), ACSA-2-PE (1:200 dilution, cat# 130-123-284, clone IH3-18A3, Miltenyi Biotec), CD45-APC-Cy7 (1:200 dilution, cat# 103115, clone 30-F11, BioLegend). After staining, cells were washed with PBS and cell pellets were resuspended in DMEM with 10% FBS. DAPI was added to distinguish dead cells. Microglia and astrocytes were sorted on the Sony MA900 cell sorter (Sony).

The gating strategies for flow cytometric analysis and cell sorting are described in Extended Data Figure 3 and Extended Data Figure 4. Flow cytometric data were analyzed in FlowJo v10.9.0 (BD). UMAP algorithm for dimensionality reduction was applied using the UMAP plugin (v3.1) available on FlowJo.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR analysis

For quantification of mRNA, mRNA was extracted from sorted cells using RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen), and PrimeScript™ II 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara) was used for reverse

522 transcription. PowerUp™ SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher) and QuantStudio3
523 (Applied Biosystems) was used for quantitative PCR analysis with following primers targeting
524 *Tgfb1* (F: 5'- CTCCCGTGGCTTCTAGTGC-3', R: 5'- GCCTTAGTTTGGACAGGATCTG-
525 3').

Multiplex chemokine and cytokine analysis

For multiplex chemokine and cytokine analysis, anti-PD-L1 and control IgG injected cortical
region was dissected, and brain lysate was prepared with Cell lysis buffer (Cell signaling) by
homogenization and sonication. Chemokine and cytokine in plasma were measured using
LEGENDplex™ Mouse Proinflammatory Chemokine Panel (cat# 740007, BioLegend) for
CCL5 ,CCL20, CCL11, CCL17, CXCL1, CCL2, CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL3, CCL4, CXCL13,
CXCL5, CCL22, Mouse Proinflammatory Chemokine Panel 2 (cat# 741068, BioLegend) for
CCL24, CCL8, CXCL11, CX3CL1, CCL7, XCL1, CXCL2, CCL9, Mouse Th Cytokine Panel
(cat# 741044, BioLegend) for IFN-γ, IL-5, TNF-α, IL-2, IL-6, IL-4, IL-10, IL-9, IL-17A, IL17F,
IL-22, IL-13 and Mouse Cytokine Panel 2 (cat# 740134, BioLegend) for IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-3,
IL-7, IL-11, IL-12p40, IL-12p70, IL-23, IL-27, IL-33, IFN-β, GM-CSF, TSLP. For each sample,
more than 300 beads were recorded using BD FACSCelesta (BD) and analyzed using the Data
Analysis Software Suite for LEGENDplex, a free cloud-based program
(https://legendplex.qognit.com/).

Immunostaining and Histological Analysis

Mice were anesthetized with 2.5% avertin (400 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with 0.1 M PBS
followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) using a peristaltic pump (P-1500, Harvard apparatus)
after receiving intracortical injection on either day 1 or day 7. The perfused brains were placed
in 4% PFA for 24 hours at 4 °C, followed by immersion in 30% sucrose in PBS for 3 days.
40 µm-thick coronal brain tissue sections were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS
for 10 min at RT, blocked with 10% donkey serum, and then incubated with the primary
antibody overnight at 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-PD-1
(1:300 dilution, cat# PRS4065, Sigma), rat anti-PD-L1 (1:500 dilution, cat# BE0101, Bio X
Cell), rabbit anti-Iba1 (1:300 dilution, cat# 234-004, Wako), goat anti-Iba1 (1:300 dilution, cat#
ab5076, Abcam), mouse anti-GFAP (1:400 dilution, cat# MAB360, Millipore), goat anti-GFAP
(1:400 dilution, cat# ab53554, Abcam), mouse anti-NeuN (1:800 dilution, cat# ab104224,
Abcam), rabbit anti-NeuN (1:800 dilution, cat# 234009, Abcam), rat anti-IL-10 (1:100 dilution,
cat# ab189392, Abcam), rat anti-CD210 (IL-10 receptor) (1:500 dilution, cat# 112706,
BioLegend), rabbit anti-P2RY12 (1:1000 dilution, cat# ab300140, Abcam), rabbit anti-GFP
(1:800 dilution, cat# A-11122, Invitrogen), mouse anti-β-Amyloid 1-16 (6E10) (1:1000
dilution, cat# 803014, BioLegend), rat anti-CD68 (1:200 dilution, cat# MCA1957, Bio-Rad).
The following day, sections were washed in PBS and then incubated in secondary antibodies
for 2 h at RT. The following secondary antibodies were applied respectively; anti-rat IgG
Alexa488 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A21208, donkey, Invitrogen), anti-goat IgG Alexa555
(donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A21432, Invitrogen), anti-goat IgG Alexa633 (donkey, 1:400
dilution, cat# A21082, Invitrogen), anti-mouse IgG Alexa488 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat#

A21202, Invitrogen), anti-mouse IgG Alexa568 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A10037,
Invitrogen), anti-rabbit IgG Alexa488 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A21206, Invitrogen), anti-
rabbit IgG Alexa568 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A10042, Invitrogen), anti-rabbit IgG
Alexa647 (donkey, 1:400 dilution, cat# A31573, Invitrogen). For Thioflavin S staining (ThioS,
diluted in 50% ethanol, cat# T1892, Sigma), brain sections were incubated in 0.5% ThioS for
10 min at RT. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with 100 ng/ml DAPI solution (1:10,000
dilution, cat# D9542, Sigma) in PBS for 10 min.

Confocal microscopy (TCS SP8, Leica Microsystems) was used for fluorescence imaging, with
both Fiji[67] and IMARIS (Bitplane) software employed for quantitative analysis of staining
intensity and cellular localization. To analyze the cell-specific expression of PD-1 and PD-L1,
fluorescence images obtained using anti-Iba1, anti-GFAP, and anti-NeuN primary antibodies
were converted to binary, and the immunoreactivity of PD-1 and PD-L1 within the ROIs was
calculated. Assessment of microglial phagocytosis and Aβ clearance was conducted with
colocalization of microglia-specific lysosome marker (CD68) and Aβ (6E10) on confocal
optical sections using IMARIS with its module[68]. The brightest approximately 2% of pixels in
each channel were examined to determine the threshold for colocalization in an unbiased
manner, eliminating problems caused by inevitable imaging variations.

Cranial window surgery in mice

Initially, mice were anesthetized using 3% isoflurane for induction and maintained under 1%-
1.5% isoflurane throughout the surgery. Body temperature was carefully maintained at 37°C
using a temperature-controlled heating pad (FHC). A cranial window was surgically created
over the cortex to facilitate imaging, with the dura mater left intact. The exposed cortex was
hydrated with HEPES-buffered saline (135 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM
glucose, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2mM $MgSO_4$) or HEPES-buffered saline-soaked GelFoam sponges
(MS0005, Ethicon). After confirming the absence of microbleeding, a glass coverslip was
placed over the exposed cortex (4 mm diameter, Deckglaser). To stabilize the cranial window
and prevent animal head movements during imaging, a custom-made metal holding frame was
affixed to the skull. This frame also allowed for the adjustment of the cranial window angle to
ensure it remained perpendicular to the microscope objective axis. Throughout the experiments,
the physiological parameters such as heart rate, SpO2, and respiration rate were continuously
monitored using a paw sensor (PhysioSuite, Kent Scientific) to ensure they remained within
normal ranges. At the conclusion of all experimental procedures, the mice were euthanized by
CO_2 inhalation in a closed chamber.

In Vivo Two-Photon Imaging

In vivo two-photon imaging was performed to visualize microglial dynamics and spontaneous
neuronal activity in live mice. A two-photon microscope (TCS SP8 MP, Leica Microsystems)
equipped with a Ti:sapphire laser (Chameleon Vison II, Coherent) was used for brain imaging.
Imaging was performed using a 25× water-immersion objective lens (NA 0.95, Leica
Microsystems) and a green bandpass emission filter set at 520 ± 50 nm. Isoflurane anesthesia
can affect the homeostatic function of microglia and neuronal activity[69]. To minimize these

effects, the mice were kept under light isoflurane anesthesia, with the concentration carefully maintained at a low level (~0.8%) throughout the imaging process, as done in previous studies[24, 26, 27, 70, 71].

For *in vivo* two-photon imaging of microglia, we crossbred 5xFAD mice with CX3CR1-GFP mice, where microglia are genetically modified to express green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the CX3CR1 promoter. This crossbreeding produced offspring with fluorescence specifically in microglia, enabling targeted imaging and analysis of microglial dynamics within the 5xFAD model. Imaging was conducted at wavelengths tuned to 920 nm, focusing on regions deeper than 250 μm in the cortex, which had been injected with either anti-PD-L1 or isotype control antibodies. For laser-induced injury, the laser was focused at ~50 mW for 60 seconds. Microglial responses were calculated using the formula: $(I_f(t) - I_f(0)) / I_s(t)$, where I_f represents the intensity at the focus (with a diameter of 50 μm) and I_s represents the intensity of the surrounding area, forming a donut-like shape due to the subtraction of the focus[36].

For *in vivo* two-photon imaging of neurons, AAV9-CamKII-GCaMP6f virus (Plasmid #100834, titer: 3.1 x 10^{13} GC/ml) was co-delivered with either anti-PD-L1 or isotype control antibodies to visualize excitatory neuronal calcium activity. Spontaneous Ca^{2+} fluorescence signals from cortical layer 2/3 were recorded at a frequency of ~15 Hz. Imaging was conducted across multiple regions per mouse, with each region recorded for at least 2 minutes. Cell ROIs were drawn around individual neuronal somata, and then relative GCaMP6f fluorescence changes over time were generated for each ROI. Neurons were classified based on their activity rates, with hyperactive neurons defined as those exhibiting ≥4 transients per minute[24].

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism 9.5.1 (GraphPad Software, USA) or IBM SPSS Statistics 19 (SPSS Inc., USA). Mann-Whitney U-tests were employed for comparisons between two groups, with Wilcoxon signed-rank tests used for paired data. For comparisons involving more than three groups, Dunn's multiple comparisons test was utilized, with Bonferroni *post hoc* procedure applied for pairwise comparisons. Spearman's correlation coefficient was applied to assess the relationship between the two variables. Ordinary least squares (OLS) regression was used to fit a linear model to the data points. Statistical significance is indicated within the figures by * for $p < 0.05$, ** for $p < 0.01$, *** for $p < 0.001$, and **** for $p < 0.0001$.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Hyouna Yoo, Euna Lee, Jae Hoon Jeong, Hyojung Kim, and Bok-Man Kang of IMNEWRUN Inc. for their helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. We also thank Gyeong Eon Kim for proofreading the manuscript. This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Dementia Research Project through the Korea Dementia Research Center (KDRC), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare and Ministry of Science and ICT, Republic of Korea (No. RS-2024-00344426); the Institute for Basic Science (No. IBS-R015-

D1); the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Korea government
(MSIT) (No. 2017R1A6A1A03015642, 2023R1A2C1004318, RS-2023-00302458,
2022M3A9I2017587, 2022M3E5E8018388); Institute of Information & communications
Technology Planning & Evaluation (IITP) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No.
2020-0-00261); the Fourth Stage of Brain Korea 21 Project in Department of Intelligent
Precision Healthcare, Sungkyunkwan University (SKKU) (No. RS-2022-0608-000); a grant of
the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development
Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (RS-2024-
00438443). This research was also supported by KIST-SKKU Brain Research Center and
IMNEWRUN Inc.

Author contribution

T.P., H.-J.K., and M.S. conceptualized the study; T.P., and M.S. designed the experiments; L.C.,
and H.-K.K. performed cellular and molecular immunoassays; T.P. and S.B. performed
immunofluorescence staining experiments; T.P., S.W.C., and S.L. performed the stereotaxic
injections and histological analysis; T.P. performed *in vivo* two-photon imaging experiments
and analyzed data; T.P., L.C., H.-K.K. and M.S. wrote the manuscript; C.C., Y.H.K., J.L., and
H.-J.K., revised the manuscript; all authors edited and reviewed the final manuscript.

Corresponding authors

Correspondence to Ho-Keun Kwon or Minah Suh

Figure 1. Upregulated expression of microglial PD-1 and astrocytic PD-L1 is found in 8-month-old 5xFAD mice.

a, Representative confocal microscopy images of PD-1 immunoreactivity (magenta) stained with DAPI (blue) in control brains and 5xFAD mouse brains. Ctx indicates cortex. Sub indicates subiculum. **b**, Representative confocal microscopy images of PD-L1 immunoreactivity (green) stained with DAPI (blue) in control brains and 5xFAD mouse brains. **c**, Magnification images of white dashed box in **a**. Colocalization are shown in merged images. Brain slices were stained with DAPI (blue), PD-1 (magenta), microglial marker Iba1 (yellow), and neuronal marker NeuN (cyan). **d**, Quantification showing microglial PD-1 expression in the 5xFAD brain (WT; n = 3 mice, 5xFAD; n = 5 mice, Mann–Whitney U test; cortex; *p = 0.025, subiculum; *p = 0.025, mean ± s.e.m.). **e**, Quantification showing neuronal PD-1 expression in the 5xFAD brain (WT; n = 3 mice, 5xFAD; n = 5 mice, Mann–Whitney U test; cortex; p = 0.881, subiculum; p = 0.655, mean ± s.e.m., ns, not significant). **f**, Magnification images of white dashed box in **b**. Colocalization are shown in merged image. Brain slices were stained with DAPI (blue), PD-L1 (green), astrocytic marker GFAP (red) and NeuN (cyan). **g**, Quantification showing astrocytic PD-L1 expression in the 5xFAD brain (WT; n = 3 mice, 5xFAD; n = 5 mice, Mann–Whitney U test; cortex; *p = 0.025, subiculum; *p = 0.025, mean ± s.e.m.). **h**, Quantification showing neuronal PD-L1 expression in the 5xFAD brain (WT; n = 3 mice, 5xFAD; n = 5 mice, Mann–Whitney U test; cortex; p = 0.297, subiculum; p = 0.881, mean ± s.e.m., ns, not significant). **i**, Representative images showing age-dependent changes in PD-L1 (green), GFAP (red), and Thioflavin S (ThioS) (gray) in 5xFAD mouse brains at different disease stages. **j**, Magnification images of white dashed box in **i** (cortex). **k-m**, Quantification of PD-L1, GFAP, and Aβ (ThioS) expression levels across time points (4M = 4-month-old; n = 3 mice, 8M = 8-month-old; n = 4 mice, 12M = 12-month-old; n = 6 mice, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; PD-L1 4M vs 8M; p = 0.7179, PD-L1 4M vs 12M; **p = 0.0061, PD-L1 8M vs 12M; p = 0.1401; GFAP 4M vs 8M; p = 0.7179, GFAP 4M vs 12M; **p = 0.0061, GFAP 8M vs 12M; p = 0.1401; ThioS 4M vs 8M; p = 0.5361, ThioS 4M vs 12M; **p = 0.0091, ThioS 8M vs 12M; p = 0.2923, mean ± s.e.m.). **n**, Quantification showing age-dependent astrocytic PD-L1 expression in the 5xFAD brain (4M; n = 3 mice, 8M; n = 4 mice, 12M; n = 6 mice, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; 4M vs 8M; p = 0.5361, 4M vs 12M; **p = 0.0091, 8M vs 12M; p = 0.2923, mean ± s.e.m.).

Figure 2. Direct intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody binds to astrocytes and peripheral immune cells infiltrates 1 day post injection.

a, Experimental scheme of intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 antibody in 8-month-old 5xFAD mice. **b**, Representative immunofluorescence images showing astrocytes and injected materials. Immunostaining for GFAP (magenta) and DAPI (blue), tracking the distribution of the anti-PD-L1 antibody (green) within the somatosensory cortex forelimb region (S1FL; 0 mm AP, ±2.3 mm ML, −0.5 mm DV) of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice, 1 day after single intracortical injection (left, 1 μg/μl). The magnified images (right), displaying the anti-PD-L1 antibody (green) and GFAP (magenta). **c**, Experimental scheme of flow cytometry analysis of 5xFAD brain tissues by CD11b and CD45 staining in S1FL at 1 day after single intracortical injection. **d**, Representative flow cytometry plots showing peripheral immune cells isolated from the hemispheres of control IgG-injected area (left hemisphere) and anti-PD-L1-injected area (right hemisphere). **e**, Quantification of innate immune cell infiltration into the brain ($n = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *$p = 0.043$). **f**, Quantification of adaptive immune cell infiltration into the brain ($n = 5$ mice; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *$p = 0.043$). **g**, Experimental scheme of flow cytometry analysis of WT brain tissues by CD11b and CD45 staining in S1FL at 1 day after single intracortical injection. **h**, Representative flow cytometry plots showing peripheral immune cells isolated from the hemispheres of control IgG-injected area (left hemisphere) and anti-PD-L1-injected area (right hemisphere). **i**, Quantification of innate immune cell infiltration into the brain ($n = 5$ mice; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; $p = 0.893$, ns, not significant). **j**, Quantification of adaptive immune cell infiltration into the brain ($n = 5$ mice; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; $p = 1.000$, ns, not significant).

Figure 3. Characterization of infiltrated immune cell populations and chemokine/cytokine levels in the cortex of 5xFAD mice following intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1.

a, UMAP demonstrating cell types of infiltrated immune cells from the cortex of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice injected intracortically with control IgG and anti-PD-L1. **b**, Cell count plots. **c**, A graph showing the number of cells for each cell type, obtained by subtracting the number of cells in the control IgG injection group from the number of cells in the anti-PD-L1 injection group (n = 5 mice, mean ± s.e.m.). **d,e**, Cell proportion plots from single-cell analysis, down-sampled to 10,000 cells from the original dataset. **f**, Graphs showing number of infiltrated immune cells in the control IgG- and anti-PD-L1-injected cortex region (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Ly6C$^+$ Mo/MΦ; *p = 0.043, CD4 Tconv; *p = 0.043, CD4 Treg; p = 0.080, ns, not significant). **g**, Graphs showing levels of cytokines and chemokines in the control IgG- and anti-PD-L1-injected cortex region (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; CCL5; *p = 0.043, CXCL10; *p = 0.043, CCL22; *p = 0.043, IL-12p40; *p = 0.043). **h**, Representative confocal images, showing colocalization of Ly6C$^+$ (green) Iba1$^+$ (red) cells, and IL-10 (yellow) in the control IgG-injected cortex region (top) and anti-PD-L1-injected cortex region (bottom) of 5xFAD mice (line box; Ly6C/G$^+$ monocytes and macrophages, dashed box; microglia, arrow; IL-10$^+$ Ly6C/G$^+$ monocytes and macrophages). The magnified images (right), displaying microglia or infiltrated immune cells.

Figure 4. Blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling rescues P2RY12 expression and increases microglial process convergence in response to local brain injury in 5xFAD mice.

a, Representative images of P2RY12[+] (yellow) and Iba1^{+} (red) microglia at 7 days after single intracortical injection. **b,** Representative images of plaque-associated microglia after single intracortical injection. **c,** Quantitation of P2RY12-positive area (WT + sham; $n = 8$ mice, WT + control IgG; $n = 6$ mice, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 10$ mice, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 5$ mice, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; WT + sham vs. WT + control IgG; $p = 1.000$, WT + sham vs. AD + control IgG; ***$p = 0.0003$, WT + sham vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + control IgG; *$p = 0.0211$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, AD + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; *$p = 0.0198$, ns, not significant). **d,** Experimental scheme of FACS sorting of astrocytes and microglia at 7 days after single intracortical injection of control IgG and anti-PD-L1 in 8-month-old 5xFAD mice. **e,** Relative astrocytic and microglial mRNA levels of *Tgfβ1* ($n = 10$ mice; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; astrocytes; *$p = 0.017$, microglia; $p = 0.575$, ns, not significant). **f,** Experimental scheme of *in vivo* microglial time-lapse imaging with focal laser injury at 7 days after single intracortical injection of antibody in 5xFAD/CX3CR1[GFP] mice by crossing 5xFAD with CX3CR1[GFP/GFP] mice. **g,** Representative time-lapse imaging of laser injury targeted microglial process convergence. **h,** Time course of microglial process kinetics towards a laser-induced lesion (WT + sham; $n = 8$, WT + control IgG; $n = 13$, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 16$, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 17$). Microglial responses = $I_f(t)-I_f(0)/I_s(t)$; I_f indicates intensity of focus; I_s indicates intensity of surround (mean ± s.e.m.). **i,** Area under the curve (AUC) of the accumulated graphs in **h** (WT + sham; $n = 8$, WT + control IgG; $n = 13$, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 16$, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 17$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; WT + sham vs. WT + control IgG; $p = 0.8985$, WT + sham vs. AD + control IgG; ***$p = 0.0005$, WT + sham vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + control IgG; *$p = 0.0288$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, AD + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; ***$p = 0.0001$, ns, not significant). **j,** Number of microglia around the site of focal injury within 100 μm (WT + sham; $n = 8$, WT + control IgG; $n = 13$, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 16$, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 17$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; WT + sham vs. WT + control IgG; $p = 0.2956$, WT + sham vs. AD + control IgG; ****$p < 0.0001$, WT + sham vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; **$p = 0.0039$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + control IgG; **$p = 0.0096$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 0.7014$, AD + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 0.5063$, ns, not significant).

Figure 5. Blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling rescues neuronal activity in 5xFAD mice.

a, Experimental scheme of *in vivo* neuronal calcium imaging intracortical injection of the mixture of antibody and CamK2a-GCaMP6f virus in S1FL at 7 days after single intracortical injection. **b**, Confocal images displaying the expression of the injected virus (green: CamK2a-GCaMP6f, blue: DAPI) in the S1FL. **c**, Representative *in vivo* two-photon fluorescence images of GCaMP6f-expressing cortical neurons from layer 2/3 of control IgG or anti-PD-L1 antibody injected 7-9-month-old 5xFAD mice and age-matched WT mice. **d**, Example fluorescence images of spontaneous calcium transients of a single excitatory neuron in each group. **e**, Representative calcium fluorescent traces of neurons. **f**, Fractions of hyperactive neurons in sham or control IgG-injected WT mice and control IgG- or anti-PD-L1-injected AD mice (WT + sham; $n = 20$, WT + control IgG; $n = 15$, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 13$, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 18$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; WT + sham vs. WT + control IgG; $p = 0.1790$, WT + sham vs. AD + control IgG; **$p = 0.0039$, WT + sham vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + control IgG; ****$p < 0.0001$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, AD + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; ***$p = 0.0002$, ns, not significant). **g**, Mean frequency of neuronal calcium transients (WT + sham; $n = 20$, WT + control IgG; $n = 15$, 5xFAD + control IgG; $n = 13$, 5xFAD + anti-PD-L1; $n = 18$, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-hoc comparisons test; WT + sham vs. WT + control IgG; $p = 1.0000$, WT + sham vs. AD + control IgG; **$p = 0.0015$, WT + sham vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 1.000$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + control IgG; *$p = 0.0200$, WT + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; $p = 0.9558$, AD + control IgG vs. AD + anti-PD-L1; ****$p < 0.0001$, ns, not significant).

Figure 6. Anti-PD-L1 improves Aβ pathology and promotes microglial phagocytosis in 5xFAD mice.

a, Representative images of control IgG- or anti-PD-L1-injected cortex of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice stained with DAPI (blue) for nuclei and 6E10 (green) for Aβ, assessed at 7 days after single intracortical injection. **b**, Quantitation of the number of Aβ plaques with different sizes (control IgG group; 101 plaques/5 mice, anti-PD-L1 group; 93 plaques/5 mice). **c**, Mean Aβ plaque size in **b** ($n = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *$p = 0.043$). **d**, Representative images of antibody-injected 5xFAD mice stained with DAPI (blue) for nuclei, 6E10 (green) for Aβ, and Iba1 (red) for microglia. **e**, Quantitation of the number of plaque-associated microglia ($n = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *$p = 0.043$). **f**, Representative images of antibody-injected cortex of 5xFAD mice stained with 6E10 (green) for Aβ and CD68 (magenta) for a phagocytic phenotype of microglia. Aβ colocalized with CD68 was shown. To examine the colocalization of an Aβ plaque and CD68, z-stacks were acquired and 3D colocalization analysis was conducted using the IMARIS software (Bitplane). **g**, Quantitative assessment of the percentage area of colocalization of Aβ with CD68. Plaques above 300 μm² in size were selected for analysis ($n = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *$p = 0.043$).

Extended Data Figure 1. Distribution of injected control IgG in the brain of 5xFAD mice.

Representative immunofluorescence images showing astrocytes and injected materials. Immunostaining for GFAP (magenta), tracking the distribution of the control IgG (green) within the S1FL of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice, 1 day after a single intracortical injection (left, 1 μg/μl). The merged images (right), displaying the control IgG (green) and GFAP (magenta).

Extended Data Figure 2. Resolution of early immune cell infiltration and absence of injected antibody in the brain of 5xFAD mice by 7 days post-injection.

a, Experimental scheme of flow cytometry analysis of 5xFAD brain tissues by CD11b and CD45 staining in S1FL at 7 days after single intracortical injection. **b**, Representative flow cytometry plots showing peripheral immune cells isolated from the hemispheres of control IgG-injected area (left hemisphere) and anti-PD-L1-injected area (right hemisphere). **c**, Quantification of immune cell infiltration into the brain (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; p = 0.225, ns, not significant). **d**, Representative immunofluorescence images showing astrocytes and injected materials. Immunostaining for GFAP tracking the distribution of the control IgG (left hemisphere) and anti-PD-L1 (right hemisphere) within the S1FL of 8-month-old 5xFAD mice, 7 days after a single intracortical injection (1 μg/μl, DAPI; blue, rat IgG; green, GFAP; magenta).

Extended Data Figure 3. Flow cytometry gating strategy used to define cell populations from mouse brain.

a, Gating strategy for flow cytometry analysis of brain-infiltrated immune cells after anti-PD-L1 injection. **b**, Gating strategy for microglia and astrocytes cell sorting.

Extended Data Figure 4. UMAP plot showing expression levels of cell-type-specific markers used for flow cytometry analysis.

CD11C; expressed on dendritic cells. CD8; found on cytotoxic T cells. CD127; IL-7 receptor, identifying memory and naïve T cells. HELIOS; A transcription factor linked to regulatory T cells (Treg). NK1.1; a marker for natural killer (NK) cells. CD19; B cell-specific marker. CD45; pan-leukocyte marker, indicative of all hematopoietic cells. LY6C; marker for infiltrating monocytes, which can differentiate into macrophages that assist in tissue repair and debris clearance. RORγt; transcription factor specific to Th17 cells. C-KIT; marker of hematopoietic stem cells and progenitor cells. CD4; expressed on helper T cells, aids in coordinating immune responses. T-bet; transcription factor associated with Th1 cells and cytotoxic T cells. F4/80; a marker for identifying macrophages. TCRγδ; marker for gamma-delta T cells. FcεRI; high-affinity IgE receptor, indicating mast cells and basophils. GR-1; granulocyte marker, typically expressed on neutrophils and monocytes. CD62L; L-selectin, marks naïve and central memory T cells. MHCII; key in antigen presentation, expressed on antigen-presenting cells. CD44; adhesion molecule involved in cell activation and memory T cells. CD11B; expressed on monocytes, macrophages, and neutrophils. FOXP3; transcription factor identifying Treg cells. TCRβ; part of the T cell receptor complex, crucial for antigen recognition by T cells.

Extended Data Figure 5. Intracortical anti-PD-L1 injection increases the count of brain infiltrating cells in 5xFAD mice.

Counts of brain-infiltrated immune cells in control IgG-injected cortex and anti-PD-L1-injected cortex at 1 day after single intracortical injection (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; neutrophil; p = 0.138, Ly6C⁻ Mo/MΦ; p = 0.104, dendritic cell; p = 0.080, B cell; p = 0.138, γδ T cell; *p = 0.043, CD8 T cell; *p = 0.043, NK cell; *p = 0.043, ILC; p = 0.138, mast cell; p = 0.144, ns, not significant).

Extended Data Figure 6. Cytokine and chemokine levels at one day after single intracortical injection of control IgG and anti-PD-L1.

Quantification of cytokines and chemokines at 1 day after single intracortical injection of control IgG and anti-PD-L1 (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; CCL20; p = 0.225, CCL11; p = 0.080, CCL17; *p = 0.043, CXCL1; *p = 0.043, CXCL9; *p = 0.043, CCL3; *p = 0.043, CCL4; p = 0.080, CXCL13; *p = 0.043, CXCL5; p = 0.500, CCL8; *p = 0.043, CCL24; p = 0.050, CXCL11; p = 0.892, CX3CL1; *p = 0.043, CCL7; *p = 0.043, XCL1; p = 0.345, CXCL2; p = 0.345, CCL9; p = 0.225, IL-5; p = 0.500, TNF-α; p = 0.893, IL-2; *p = 0.043, IL-6; p = 0.345, IL-10; p = 0.893, IL-9; p = 0.080, IL-22; p = 0.893, IL-12p70; p = 0.225, IL-1α; *p = 0.043, IL-1β; p = 0.225, IL-7; p = 0.686, IL-11; p = 0.893, IL-27; p = 0.500, IL-33; *p = 0.043, IFN-β; p = 0.686, ns, not significant).

Extended Data Figure 7. Intracortical injection of anti-PD-L1 in 5xFAD mice increases P2RY12-positive area in plaque-associated microglia along with reduction in Aβ plaques.

a, Relationship of the P2RY12 positive area with the plaque size in control IgG-injected area in the 5xFAD mouse (207 plaques, Spearman's r; -0.3406, $p = 0.0524$). **b**, Relationship of the P2RY12 positive area with the plaque size in anti-PD-L1-injected area in the 5xFAD mouse (93 plaques, Spearman's r; -0.8276, ****$p < 0.0001$). **c**, Quantification of P2RY12 positive microglial area surrounding Aβ plaques, each smaller than 150 μm^2 (Control IgG; 10 plaques, anti-PD-L1; 17 plaques, Mann-Whitney U test; ***$p = 0.0003$).

Extended Data Figure 8. Blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 signaling increases astrocytic surface-bound IL-10 in 5xFAD mice brain.

a, Experimental scheme and representative IL-10 immunofluorescence images at 7 days after single intracortical injection (DAPI; blue, IL-
10; green, GFAP; magenta, Iba1; red). **b**, Quantitation of the co-localized area of IL-10 and GFAP (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; *p
= 0.043). **c**, Quantitation of the co-localized area of IL-10 and Iba1 (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank test; p = 0.080, ns, not significant). **d**,
Experimental scheme and representative IL-10 receptor (IL-10R) immunofluorescence images at 7 days after single intracortical injection
(DAPI; blue, IL-10R; green, GFAP; magenta, Iba1; red). **e**, Quantitation of the co-localized area of IL-10 and GFAP (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon
signed-rank test; p = 0.138, ns, not significant). **f**, Quantitation of the co-localized area of IL-10 and Iba1 (n = 5 mice, Wilcoxon signed-rank
test; p = 0.225, ns, not significant).

References

1. Long, J.M. & Holtzman, D.M. Alzheimer disease: an update on pathobiology and treatment strategies. *Cell* **179**, 312-339 (2019).

2. Baruch, K.*, et al.* PD-1 immune checkpoint blockade reduces pathology and improves memory in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nature medicine* **22**, 135-137 (2016).

3. Rosenzweig, N.*, et al.* PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint blockade harnesses monocyte-derived macrophages to combat cognitive impairment in a tauopathy mouse model. *Nature communications* **10**, 465 (2019).

4. Chen, S.*, et al.* Macrophages in immunoregulation and therapeutics. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* **8**, 207 (2023).

5. Prinz, M. & Priller, J. The role of peripheral immune cells in the CNS in steady state and disease. *Nature neuroscience* **20**, 136-144 (2017).

6. Bien-Ly, N.*, et al.* Lack of widespread BBB disruption in Alzheimer's disease models: focus on therapeutic antibodies. *Neuron* **88**, 289-297 (2015).

7. Gustafsson, S., Lindström, V., Ingelsson, M., Hammarlund-Udenaes, M. & Syvänen, S. Intact blood-brain barrier transport of small molecular drugs in animal models of amyloid beta and alpha-synuclein pathology. *Neuropharmacology* **128**, 482-491 (2018).

8. Koronyo, Y.*, et al.* Therapeutic effects of glatiramer acetate and grafted CD115+ monocytes in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* **138**, 2399-2422 (2015).

9. Lampron, A., Pimentel-Coelho, P.M. & Rivest, S. Migration of bone marrow-derived cells into the central nervous system in models of neurodegeneration. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **521**, 3863-3876 (2013).

10. Mildner, A.*, et al.* Distinct and non-redundant roles of microglia and myeloid subsets in mouse models of Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of Neuroscience* **31**, 11159-11171 (2011).

11. Chen, X.*, et al.* Microglia-mediated T cell infiltration drives neurodegeneration in tauopathy. *Nature* **615**, 668-677 (2023).

12. Su, W.*, et al.* CXCR6 orchestrates brain CD8+ T cell residency and limits mouse Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Nature immunology* **24**, 1735-1747 (2023).

13. Kummer, M.P.*, et al.* Microglial PD-1 stimulation by astrocytic PD-L1 suppresses neuroinflammation and Alzheimer's disease pathology. *The EMBO journal* **40**, e108662 (2021).

14. Zhao, J.*, et al.* PD-L1/PD-1 checkpoint pathway regulates hippocampal neuronal excitability and learning and memory behavior. *Neuron* **111**, 2709-2726. e2709 (2023).

15. Millet, A., Ledo, J.H. & Tavazoie, S.F. An exhausted-like microglial population accumulates in aged and APOE4 genotype Alzheimer's brains. *Immunity* **57**, 153-170. e156 (2024).

16. Keren-Shaul, H.*, et al.* A unique microglia type associated with restricting development of Alzheimer's disease. *Cell* **169**, 1276-1290. e1217 (2017).

17. Pardoll, D.M. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nature reviews cancer* **12**, 252-264 (2012).

18. Sakuishi, K.*, et al.* Targeting Tim-3 and PD-1 pathways to reverse T cell exhaustion and restore anti-tumor immunity. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **207**, 2187-2194 (2010).

19. Badimon, A.*, et al.* Negative feedback control of neuronal activity by microglia. *Nature* **586**, 417-423 (2020).

20. Haruwaka, K.*, et al.* Microglia enhance post-anesthesia neuronal activity by shielding inhibitory synapses. *Nature Neuroscience* **27**, 449-461 (2024).

21. Cserép, C.*, et al.* Microglia monitor and protect neuronal function through specialized somatic purinergic junctions. *Science* **367**, 528-537 (2020).

22. Corriveau-Lecavalier, N., Mellah, S., Clément, F. & Belleville, S. Evidence of parietal hyperactivation in individuals with mild cognitive impairment who progressed to dementia: A

longitudinal fMRI study. *NeuroImage: Clinical* **24**, 101958 (2019).

23. Dickerson, B*., et al.* Increased hippocampal activation in mild cognitive impairment compared to normal aging and AD. *Neurology* **65**, 404-411 (2005).

24. Busche, M.A*., et al.* Clusters of hyperactive neurons near amyloid plaques in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Science* **321**, 1686-1689 (2008).

25. Minkeviciene, R*., et al.* Amyloid β-induced neuronal hyperexcitability triggers progressive epilepsy. *Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 3453-3462 (2009).

26. Lerdkrai, C*., et al.* Intracellular Ca2+ stores control in vivo neuronal hyperactivity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E1279-E1288 (2018).

27. Yao, J*., et al.* Limiting RyR2 open time prevents Alzheimer's disease-related neuronal hyperactivity and memory loss but not β-amyloid accumulation. *Cell reports* **32** (2020).

28. Mildner, A., Huang, H., Radke, J., Stenzel, W. & Priller, J. P2Y12 receptor is expressed on human microglia under physiological conditions throughout development and is sensitive to neuroinflammatory diseases. *Glia* **65**, 375-387 (2017).

29. Kenkhuis, B*., et al.* Co-expression patterns of microglia markers Iba1, TMEM119 and P2RY12 in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of disease* **167**, 105684 (2022).

30. Maeda, J*., et al.* Distinct microglial response against Alzheimer's amyloid and tau pathologies characterized by P2Y12 receptor. *Brain Communications* **3**, fcab011 (2021).

31. Chen, C*., et al.* Early impairment of cortical circuit plasticity and connectivity in the 5XFAD Alzheimer's disease mouse model. *Translational Psychiatry* **12**, 371 (2022).

32. Carlesimo, G.A*., et al.* Atrophy of presubiculum and subiculum is the earliest hippocampal anatomical marker of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring* **1**, 24-32 (2015).

33. Liddelow, S.A*., et al.* Neurotoxic reactive astrocytes are induced by activated microglia. *Nature* **541**, 481-487 (2017).

34. Ma, W*., et al.* Absence of TGFβ signaling in retinal microglia induces retinal degeneration and exacerbates choroidal neovascularization. *Elife* **8**, e42049 (2019).

35. Krabbe, G*., et al.* Functional impairment of microglia coincides with Beta-amyloid deposition in mice with Alzheimer-like pathology. *PloS one* **8**, e60921 (2013).

36. Baik, S.H*., et al.* A breakdown in metabolic reprogramming causes microglia dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *Cell metabolism* **30**, 493-507. e496 (2019).

37. Gyoneva, S., Swanger, S.A., Zhang, J., Weinshenker, D. & Traynelis, S.F. Altered motility of plaque-associated microglia in a model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience* **330**, 410-420 (2016).

38. Condello, C., Yuan, P., Schain, A. & Grutzendler, J. Microglia constitute a barrier that prevents neurotoxic protofibrillar Aβ42 hotspots around plaques. *Nature communications* **6**, 6176 (2015).

39. Nagele, R.G*., et al.* Contribution of glial cells to the development of amyloid plaques in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging* **25**, 663-674 (2004).

40. Oeckl, P*., et al.* Glial fibrillary acidic protein in serum is increased in Alzheimer's disease and correlates with cognitive impairment. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease* **67**, 481-488 (2019).

41. Pontecorvo, M.J*., et al.* Association of donanemab treatment with exploratory plasma biomarkers in early symptomatic Alzheimer disease: a secondary analysis of the TRAILBLAZER-ALZ randomized clinical trial. *JAMA neurology* **79**, 1250-1259 (2022).

42. Association, A. Revised criteria for diagnosis and staging of Alzheimer's disease: Alzheimer's Association Workgroup. (AAIC, 2023).

43. Dionisio-Santos, D.A., Olschowka, J.A. & O'Banion, M.K. Exploiting microglial and

peripheral immune cell crosstalk to treat Alzheimer's disease. *Journal of neuroinflammation* **16**, 1-13 (2019).

44.   Han, R.T., Kim, R.D., Molofsky, A.V. & Liddelow, S.A. Astrocyte-immune cell interactions in physiology and pathology. *Immunity* **54**, 211-224 (2021).

45.   Jorfi, M.*, et al.* Infiltrating CD8+ T cells exacerbate Alzheimer's disease pathology in a 3D human neuroimmune axis model. *Nature neuroscience* **26**, 1489-1504 (2023).

46.   Groh, J.*, et al.* Accumulation of cytotoxic T cells in the aged CNS leads to axon degeneration and contributes to cognitive and motor decline. *Nature Aging* **1**, 357-367 (2021).

47.   Cunningham, C.*, et al.* Systemic inflammation induces acute behavioral and cognitive changes and accelerates neurodegenerative disease. *Biological psychiatry* **65**, 304-312 (2009).

48.   Yan, P.*, et al.* Peripheral monocyte–derived cells counter amyloid plaque pathogenesis in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **132** (2022).

49.   Baek, H.*, et al.* Neuroprotective effects of CD4+ CD25+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells in a 3xTg-AD Alzheimer's disease model. *Oncotarget* **7**, 69347 (2016).

50.   Dansokho, C.*, et al.* Regulatory T cells delay disease progression in Alzheimer-like pathology. *Brain* **139**, 1237-1251 (2016).

51.   Gao, X.*, et al.* PD-L1 signaling in reactive astrocytes counteracts neuroinflammation and ameliorates neuronal damage after traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neuroinflammation* **19**, 43 (2022).

52.   Linnerbauer, M.*, et al.* PD-L1 positive astrocytes attenuate inflammatory functions of PD-1 positive microglia in models of autoimmune neuroinflammation. *Nature Communications* **14**, 5555 (2023).

53.   Baruch, K.*, et al.* Breaking immune tolerance by targeting Foxp3+ regulatory T cells mitigates Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Nature communications* **6**, 7967 (2015).

54.   Heneka, M.T., Kummer, M.P. & Latz, E. Innate immune activation in neurodegenerative disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology* **14**, 463-477 (2014).

55.   Saraiva, M. & O'garra, A. The regulation of IL-10 production by immune cells. *Nature reviews immunology* **10**, 170-181 (2010).

56.   Norden, D.M., Fenn, A.M., Dugan, A. & Godbout, J.P. TGFβ produced by IL-10 redirected astrocytes attenuates microglial activation. *Glia* **62**, 881-895 (2014).

57.   Shanaki-Bavarsad, M., Almolda, B., González, B. & Castellano, B. Astrocyte-targeted overproduction of IL-10 reduces neurodegeneration after TBI. *Experimental Neurobiology* **31**, 173 (2022).

58.   Kwilasz, A., Grace, P., Serbedzija, P., Maier, S. & Watkins, L. The therapeutic potential of interleukin-10 in neuroimmune diseases. *Neuropharmacology* **96**, 55-69 (2015).

59.   Tesseur, I.*, et al.* Deficiency in neuronal TGF-β signaling promotes neurodegeneration and Alzheimer's pathology. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **116**, 3060-3069 (2006).

60.   Heupel, K.*, et al.* Loss of transforming growth factor-beta 2 leads to impairment of central synapse function. *Neural Development* **3**, 1-16 (2008).

61.   Zhong, H.*, et al.* Neonatal inflammation via persistent TGF-β1 downregulation decreases GABAAR expression in basolateral amygdala leading to the imbalance of the local excitation-inhibition circuits and anxiety-like phenotype in adult mice. *Neurobiology of Disease* **169**, 105745 (2022).

62.   Dobolyi, A., Vincze, C., Pál, G. & Lovas, G. The neuroprotective functions of transforming growth factor beta proteins. *International journal of molecular sciences* **13**, 8219-8258 (2012).

63.   Wyss-Coray, T.*, et al.* TGF-β1 promotes microglial amyloid-β clearance and reduces plaque burden in transgenic mice. *Nature medicine* **7**, 612-618 (2001).

64.   Jay, T.R.*, et al.* TREM2 deficiency eliminates TREM2+ inflammatory macrophages

and ameliorates pathology in Alzheimer's disease mouse models. *Journal of Experimental Medicine* **212**, 287-295 (2015).

65.   Oakley, H.*, et al.* Intraneuronal β-amyloid aggregates, neurodegeneration, and neuron loss in transgenic mice with five familial Alzheimer's disease mutations: potential factors in amyloid plaque formation. *Journal of Neuroscience* **26**, 10129-10140 (2006).

66.   Jung, S.*, et al.* Analysis of fractalkine receptor CX3CR1 function by targeted deletion and green fluorescent protein reporter gene insertion. *Molecular and cellular biology* (2000).

67.   Schindelin, J.*, et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature methods* **9**, 676-682 (2012).

68.   Lorenzen, A.*, et al.* Rapid and direct transport of cell surface APP to the lysosome defines a novel selective pathway. *Molecular brain* **3**, 1-13 (2010).

69.   Umpierre, A.D.*, et al.* Microglial calcium signaling is attuned to neuronal activity in awake mice. *Elife* **9**, e56502 (2020).

70.   Busche, M.A.*, et al.* Decreased amyloid-β and increased neuronal hyperactivity by immunotherapy in Alzheimer's models. *Nature neuroscience* **18**, 1725-1727 (2015).

71.   Busche, M.A.*, et al.* Tau impairs neural circuits, dominating amyloid-β effects, in Alzheimer models in vivo. *Nature neuroscience* **22**, 57-64 (2019).