PIP5K-Ras bistability initiates plasma membrane symmetry breaking to regulate cell polarity and migration

Yu Deng[1,2], Tatsat Banerjee[1,2,6], Dhiman Sankar Pal[1,6], Parijat Banerjee[3], Huiwang Zhan[1], Jane Borleis[1], Pablo A. Igleias[1,4], Peter N. Devreotes[1,5]*

[1]Department of Cell Biology and Center for Cell Dynamics, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[2]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Whiting School of Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[3]Department of Physics & Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[4]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Whiting School of Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[5]Department of Biological Chemistry, School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

[6]These authors contributed equally to this work

*Email for correspondence: pnd@jhmi.edu

Abstract

Symmetry breaking, polarity establishment, and spontaneous cell protrusion formation are fundamental but poorly explained cell behaviors. Here, we demonstrate that a biochemical network, where the mutually inhibitory localization of PIP5K and Ras activities plays a central role, governs these processes. First, in resting cells devoid of cytoskeletal activity, PIP5K is uniformly elevated on the plasma membrane, while Ras activity remains minimal. Symmetry is broken by spontaneous local displacements of PIP5K, coupled with simultaneous activations of Ras and downstream signaling events, including PI3K activation. Second, knockout of PIP5K dramatically increases both the incidence and size of Ras-PI3K activation patches, accompanied by branched F-actin assembly. This leads to enhanced cortical wave formation, increased protrusive activity, and a shift in migration mode. Third, high inducible overexpression of PIP5K virtually eliminates Ras-PI3K signaling, cytoskeletal activity, and cell migration, while acute recruitment of cytosolic PIP5K to the membrane induces contraction and blebs in cancer cells. These arrested phenotypes are reversed by reducing myosin II activity, indicating myosin's involvement in the PIP5K-Ras-centered regulatory network. Remarkably, low inducible overexpression of PIP5K unexpectedly facilitates polarity establishment, highlighting PIP5K as a highly sensitive master regulator of these processes. Simulations of a computational model combining an excitable system, cytoskeletal loops, and dynamic partitioning of PIP5K recreates the experimental observations. Taken together, our results reveal that a bistable, mutually exclusive localization of PIP5K and active Ras on the plasma membrane triggers the initial symmetry breaking. Coupled actomyosin reduction and increased actin polymerization lead to intermittently extended protrusions and, with

feedback from the cytoskeleton, self-organizing, complementary gradients of PIP5K versus Ras steepen, raising the threshold of the networks at the rear and lowering it at the front to generate polarity for cell migration.

Introduction

The diverse morphological behaviors displayed by cells all depend on the ability to achieve an asymmetric form spontaneously or in response to an external or internal cue [1-6]. During migration, for example, a cell must generate and maintain a distinct front and back [7-10]. Signaling and cytoskeletal components and activities self-organize precisely into specific front or back regions of the cortex/plasma membrane [2, 6, 11-20]. This complementary organization is conserved across various processes, including macropinocytosis, phagocytosis, cytokinesis, and apical-basal polarity in epithelial cells [21-25]. Our studies focus on the role of PIP5K in regulating this elegant spatiotemporal organization during migration. Still, the insights gained should apply broadly to symmetry-breaking processes.

Symmetry-breaking is a critical initial step, but further events must follow to achieve effective cell migration [6, 21, 26-33]. In human leukocytes and epithelial cells, as well as *Dictyostelium* amoebae, protrusions underlying cell movement are driven by spontaneously triggered waves of Ras, PI3K, which couple to cytoskeletal events at the front, while PTEN, RhoA, and myosin II assembly retreat from these active areas [22, 26, 34-42]. Additional important aspects of migration are directional sensing and polarity, where cells consistently display the same front-back complementary relationship of all these molecular events [36]. Uncovering the relationship that links the downstream processes to the initial symmetry-breaking events would provide a deep understanding of the basis of dynamic cellular morphology.

The initial symmetry-breaking event in migration, which can occur even in the absence of cytoskeletal activities, is the spontaneous local activation of Ras [35, 43-45]; however, the mechanism that controls Ras activation at specific membrane regions remains obscure. Whatever the mechanism, it must amplify the signal locally and simultaneously restrict it to prevent the activation elsewhere. Recent studies show that PIP2 levels are depleted on the spontaneous protrusions [19]. Globally lowering PI(4,5)P2 activates Ras and increases the size of protrusions in *Dictyostelium* [46], and causes spreading in human cells [34]. Reasoning that PIP5K could be the central regulator of PIP2 production [47-50], we focused on the roles of this enzyme in fine-tuning Ras activation.

Here, we report remarkably conserved localizations and outsized roles of PIP5Ks in cell migration in amoebae, neutrophils, macrophages, and cancer cells and delineate the function of these enzymes in cellular behavior. A central finding is a bistable, mutually exclusive localization of PIP5Ks and active Ras on the plasma membrane, leading to symmetry-breaking and locally regulated signal transduction network activities. These activities are coupled to and amplified by interactions and feedback from the cytoskeleton. The dramatic effects of deletion or overexpression of the enzymes on protrusions, cell migration, and polarity demonstrate the significance of the spatial-temporal regulation of

the PIP5Ks. These findings are incorporated into a new model that seamlessly links symmetry-breaking, cell polarity, and migration.

Results

Lowering PIP2 increases signal transduction, cytoskeletal, and protrusive activities

The inconsistency of a report that PIP5K had little effect on random cell migration with our previous reports of strong phenotypes caused by lowering PI(4,5)P2, prompted us to reexamine this issue [51]. More detailed observation of *pi5k- (piki-)* cells revealed a heterogeneous spectrum of migratory patterns (Figure 1a-h). While a minority of cells retained wild-type amoeboid behavior, most exhibited fan-shaped, keratocyte-like movements or oscillatory spreading/contracting movements, consistent with the previously reported phenotype induced by abrupt reduction of PI(4,5)P2 in wild-type cells [46]. These observations were supported by color-coded temporal overlay profiles (Figure 1a-c). Tracking experiments showed that fan-shaped cells migrate rapidly, with speeds reaching 16.9 ± 2.2 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (Figure 1e, 1g), in contrast to the 6.8 ± 2.8 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ observed in WT cells (Figure 1d, 1g). Since the spreading phases of the oscillatory cells were asymmetric, these cells also displayed increased mobility, moving at a rate of around 11.2 ± 3 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (Figure 1f-g). Quantification of cell area of the entire population (Figure 1h) revealed an overall 4-fold increase, primarily due to flattening, although some of the increase was due to a multinuclear phenotype of *pi5k-* cells (Figure S1c-d). These defects in migration probably explain the inability of *pi5k-* cells to aggregate and form multicellular structures in a timely fashion (Figure S1e). Most of these studies were carried out on the original *pi5k-* line generated by homologous recombination [51]. To validate that the phenotypes were attributable to loss of PI5K activity, we used CRISPR-mediated disruption of PIP5K to create an independent loss-of-function cell line. These cells displayed a consistent heterogeneous phenotype, primarily consisting of fan-shaped and oscillatory cell populations, with cells more spread than wild-type cells (Figure S1a-b).

To further understand the basis of these phenotypes, we examined a series of signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities using biosensors. As expected, PH-PLCδ was mainly found on the membrane of WT cells, suggesting a significant level of PI(4,5)P2 [19], but there was no apparent membrane association of the biosensor in most of the *pi5k-* cells (Figure 1i, 1k, Video S1). Cell boundary-to-cytosol quantification showed an apparent 78% decrease of PH-PLCδ on the membrane in *pi5k-* (Figure 1k). Curiously, a few outlier cells showed a bright signal comparable to WT cells (Figure 1k); these cells are under investigation. Next, we examined the spatial distribution of Ras activity using a Ras binding domain (RBD) biosensor in WT and *pi5k-* cells. Compared with WT cells, which have characteristic patches at the cell protrusions, *pi5k-* cells have much broader RBD patches, nearly a 2.9-fold increase (Figure 1j, 1l, Video S2). These observations were supported by membrane kymograph analyses (Figure 1m-n). Similar results were observed using the PHcrac biosensor to examine PIP3 accumulation between *pi5k-* cells and WT cells, with a 2.1-fold increase in *pi5k-* cells (Figure S2a, 2c, Video S2). LimE, a biosensor reflecting newly formed F-actin, also displayed much broader patches in *pi5k-* cells, with a 3-fold increase in *pi5k-* cells (Figure S2b, 2d, Video S2). The dynamic

behavior of cytoskeletal activities was captured in membrane kymographs (Figure S2h-i), which also revealed that the signaling activities oscillate in oscillatory cells (Figure S1f-g). When cells spread, the signaling activities increase, while they disappear upon cell shrinking. The three biosensors examined are typically associated with the active, "front-state" of the cell, and the elevated levels suggest that *pi5k-* cells are vastly more activated than wild-type cells.

Next, we examined signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities typically associated with the "back-state" of cells. CynA is a biosensor that reports the level of PI(3,4)P2 [52]. Strikingly, there generally was no detectable signal from CynA biosensor in *pi5k-* cells. This reduction is indirect since PI(3,4)P2 is not a product of PI5K. (Figure S2f, Video S1). However, in oscillatory cells, CynA did display a transient patch when cells contracted, and this activity disappeared upon cell spreading (Figure S1h), indicating that signaling activities at the cell back can also oscillate. Similar results were observed when we examined cytoskeletal activities at the cell back with Myosin II (Figure S2e, Video S1). These results suggested that cell activities are low at the cell back.

We also observed the same biosensors within ventral waves in the *pi5k-* cells. As explained above, cellular protrusions consist of spontaneously initiated waves of signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities. The waves are more conveniently observed along the basal surface than in confocal slices. On the ventral surface, biosensors for Ras and PI3K activity and F-actin appear as broad propagating regions, while "back-state" sensors, CynA and myosin II, leave these zones, creating traveling "shadow waves." As shown in Figure S2n-o, ventral waves are not apparent in single WT cells and are typically visualized in electro-fused giant cells. However, they are readily apparent without electrofusion in the flat, multinucleated *pi5k-* cells [41, 46, 53-55] (Figure S2j-k, Video S3).

Since the phenotypes of *pi5k-* cells were similar to those induced by reduction of PI(4,5)P2 in *Dictyostelium* cells [46], we used recruitment of Inp54p to extend our studies to differentiated HL-60 neutrophils and macrophages. We developed a recruitable Inp54p by fusing it with CRY2PHR-mCherry, which enabled light-induced association with membrane anchor CIBN-CAAX (Figure 1r). Globally recruiting Inp54p to the plasma membrane induced fan-shaped cells and increased F-actin activities at the protrusions reported by the LifeAct biosensor in both differentiated HL-60 neutrophils (Figure 1o, Video S4) and macrophages (Figure S3a, Video S4). Recruiting an empty vector did not cause a cell shape change (Figure S3e, Video S4) [44]. These observations were supported by membrane kymograph analyses (Figure S3j, S3l) and color-coded temporal overlay profiles (Figure S3k, S3m). Across the population, upon Inp54p recruitment, differentiated HL-60 neutrophils and macrophages induced a 1.43-fold and 1.5-fold increase in the cell area and aspect ratio, respectively (Figure 1p, Figure S3b). Cell migration speed also increased from 4.0 ± 1.4 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (488 nm OFF) to 6.3 ± 2.2 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (488 nm ON) for differentiated HL-60 neutrophils (Figure 1q, S3f-g) and 4.0 ± 1.4 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (488 nm OFF) to 6.3 ± 2.2 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (488 nm ON) for differentiated HL-60 macrophages (Figure S3c, S3h-i). Although cells became fan-shaped with one broad lamellipodia structure at the cell front, cell polarity quantified by aspect ratio did not change significantly (Figure S2g, S3d).

In summary, lowering PI(4,5)P2 levels by depleting PIP5K or recruiting Inp54p has profound effects on the overall state of cells, generally causing *Dictyostelium* cells, neutrophils, and macrophages to spread with broader protrusions and increased speed (Figure 1s). Increased “front-state” and reduced “back-state” signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities all suggest that cells are highly activated. These results imply that PI5K, by regulating its product, PI(4,5)P2, is a key negative regulator of cell behavior.

PIP5Ks localize to back-state regions of the membrane

To investigate the localization of PIP5K and whether it could shed light the decreases in PI(4,5)P2 at protrusions [19], we co-expressed PIP5K with a typical front-state biosensor PHcrac in *Dictyostelium* cells. The distribution of PIP5K was complementary to PHcrac and was depleted from protrusions in migrating single cells (Figure 2a, Video S5). The back-state localization of PIP5Ks was conserved in HL60-differentiated neutrophils. Both PIP5K1B and PIP5K1C isomers displayed a higher signal on the back-state of the membrane in neutrophils (Figure 2b-c, Video S5) during random migration, which was consistent with previously reported PIP5K1B and PIP5K1C back localization during chemotaxis to N-formyl-met-leu-phe (fMLP) [49, 56].

We then examined ventral wave activities of the same cell lines in Figure 2a and found, consistently, that PI5K was depleted in the front-state regions marked by high PIP3 and high Ras (Figure 2d, S4a, Video S6). The complementary pattern of PIP5K and PIP3 or PIP5K and Ras was maintained dynamically as the waves traveled across the basal surface of the cells. Line scans showed about 80% less PIP5K in the front-state regions compared with the back-state regions in *Dictyostelium* cells. Next, we co-expressed PIP5K and PI(4,5)P2 biosensor PH-PLCδ and observed ventral wave activities. PIP5K and PH-PLCδ travel together as “shadow” waves; they co-localize at the back-state regions of the membrane (Figure 2e, Video S6). Line scans showed that PIP5K and PH-PLCδ are largely co-localized, suggesting that the redistribution of PIP5K is the basis PI(4,5)P2 is localized at the back-state of the membrane. Reciprocal patterns of PIP5K1B and LifeAct were observed during frustrated phagocytosis in HL-60-differentiated macrophages (Figure 2g, Video S6) and in RAW 264.7 macrophages, as previously reported [54]. These results suggest that the localization of PIP5K to the rear and back-state of the cells is a highly conserved phenomenon.

Previous studies have shown that signal transduction events can be triggered, and the membrane can be spontaneously segregated into front- and back-states in the absence of F-actin [40, 46, 57-59]. To test whether the spatiotemporal separation of PIP5K depends on the existence of actin barrier between front and back states, we treated *Dictyostelium* cells with Latrunculin A. We observed that on the ventral surface of these cytoskeleton-impaired cells, the asymmetric waves of PI5K can propagate, maintaining consistent complementarity to Ras-rich domains (Figure 2f, Videos S6). Furthermore, the data in *Dictyostelium* indicates that the localization of PIP5K is independent of the cytoskeletal network.

Typically, when cells are activated with global chemoattractant stimulation, the front-state biosensors, such as PHcrac, transiently translocate to the membrane while back-state proteins, such as PTEN, dissociate [60-64]. We therefore anticipated that,

211 based on its back-state localization, PIP5K would transiently move to the cytosol during
212 stimulation. However, upon adding cAMP to differentiated cells, PIP5K remained on the
213 membrane even as the front-state indicator PHcrac displayed its typical transient
214 translocation to the membrane, demonstrating robust receptor activation. (Figure S4b-c,
215 Video S7). PH-PLCδ biosensor also did not dramatically translocate to the cytosol,
216 although there was a 5% shift upon global stimulation (Figure S4d-e, Video S7).

217 When PIP5K is depleted in the front region as protrusions form, it remains on the
218 membrane, suggesting that it must redistribute to higher levels elsewhere. To more
219 definitively test this, we designed a KikGR-tagged PIP5K to photo convert a specific
220 region on the plasma membrane. As Figure 2h and Video S8 shows, PIP5K remains on
221 the membrane where the region was converted and gradually diffused along the
222 membrane. The red fluorescence intensity on the membrane increased in three steps
223 corresponding to three conversions in different regions (Figure 2i). Eventually the red
224 fluorescence on the membrane was uniformly distributed. At no time, the red fluorescence
225 was redistributed to the cytosol. This suggests that the amount of PIP5K on the
226 membrane is conserved, and depletion in one region must produce an increase in another
227 region. Since PIP5K controls signaling activities, its redistribution provides a powerful
228 mechanism for symmetry breaking.

229 To seek regions of PIP5K that control membrane binding and trailing edge
230 accumulation in *Dictyostelium* cells, we generated a series of truncation constructs to
231 examine their localization (Figure S4f). Despite its robust membrane association, PIP5K
232 does not contain a typical membrane association or transmembrane domain. $PIP5K_{301-}$
233 $_{718aa}$ was still localized as WT cells, although a small fraction of cells showed a relatively
234 smaller localization at the back-state. (Figure S4g, Video S9). $PIP5K_{316-718aa}$ appeared
235 significantly in the cytosol, and the protein remaining on the membrane was not localized
236 at the back. Upon recruitment to a uniformly localized protein cAR1-iLiD, this fragment
237 also did not relocalize cAR1-iLiD to the back (Figure S4h, Video S8). N-terminal 315aa
238 was not on the membrane and did not relocate cAR1-FKBP-FKBP to the back (Figure
239 S4i, Video S8). These results indicate that a region between 301-316 aa is important for
240 localization to the membrane, but the back localization region was not identified. Back
241 localization may require a coincidental association of two independent sequences within
242 the protein. Future experiments will address these possibilities.

243 **Expressing PIP5Ks suppresses cell protrusions and alters migration**

244 In previous experiments (Figure 2), where PIP5K was expressed from a
245 constitutive vector and the expression level was relatively low, we noticed a slight
246 impairment of migration. Reasoning that the highly expressing cells were selected against
247 and lost before observation, we developed a conditional doxycycline-inducible version of
248 PIP5K. Without doxycycline (DOX) induction, *Dictyostelium* cells show their normal
249 amoeboid migration behavior (Figure 3a, Video S10). Upon overnight DOX induction, two
250 striking phenotypes appeared. About 60% of the cells became much rounder, lacked
251 protrusions, and failed to migrate (Figure 3b, Video S10). About 40% of the cells displayed
252 many tiny, broken protrusions, resembling short filopodia, along the cell perimeter and
253 migration was also impaired (Figure S5a, Video S10). These observations were
254 supported by color-coded temporal overlay profiles (Figure 3i-l, S5l). Combining data from

255 the “rounded” and “spiky” cells, tracking experiments showed that the migration speed of
256 was reduced to 1.52 ± 0.7 μm min^{-1} (Figure 3g, S5b), in contrast to the 8.8 ± 2.3 μm min^{-1}
257 observed in cells without DOX incubation (Figure 3g, S5c). The cells were flatter and
258 occupied about 1.7-fold more surface area (Figure S5d). Z-stack imaging of cells showed
259 that upon PIP5K expression, the z-axis values drop from 12 μm to 4.87 μm (Figure S5g).
260 In addition, FITC-dextran uptake measurements showed that macropinocytosis was
261 significantly reduced, consistent with the nearly absent or tiny protrusions (Figure S5h-j)
262 [37, 65, 66].

263 We could titrate PIP5K activity in several ways. Protein expression levels varied
264 with doxycycline incubation times (Figure S5e). Surprisingly, cells with low PIP5K
265 expression after 2h DOX incubation became very polarized (Figure S5k), with a 1.32-fold
266 increase in aspect ratio (Figure S5f). Tracking experiments showed that these cells
267 migrated much faster than uninduced cells, with speeds reaching 19.2 ± 3 μm min^{-1}
268 (Figure 3g, S5o). These observations were supported by color-coded temporal overlay
269 profiles (Figure S5m). A similar increased speed was observed in cells expressing a
270 PIP5K mutant (K681N, K682N) predicted to have impaired kinase activity, with speeds
271 reaching 12.5 ± 3.3 μm min^{-1} (Figure 3g, S5n, S5r, Video S10) [67].

272 Next, we extended our studies to differentiated HL-60 neutrophils and
273 macrophages to examine whether overexpressing PIP5Ks inhibits cell migration in
274 leukocytes. We first overexpressed either PIP5K1B or PIP5K1C in differentiated HL-60
275 neutrophils, as these two isomers, according to previous literature, are important to
276 neutrophil chemotaxis and directed migration [49, 56]. Like *Dictyostelium* cells,
277 differentiated HL60 WT neutrophils showed a typical flat and polar phenotype (Figure 3c,
278 Video S11). Upon overexpressing either PIP5K1B or PIP5K1C, two phenotypes existed
279 in the basal state populations: round cells with PIP5Ks broadly distributed on the
280 membrane (Figure 3d, S6a, Video S11) or polarized cells with PIP5Ks enriched on the tail
281 (Figure S6b-c, Video S11). These observations were supported by color-coded temporal
282 overlay profiles (Figure S6d-e, S6i-j). Tracking experiments showed that the migration
283 speed of cells overexpressing PIP5Ks is impaired, with speeds reaching 2.92 ±
284 1.3 μm min^{-1} for PIP5K1B and 1.23 ± 0.6 μm min^{-1} for PIP5K1C (Figure 3h, S5q, S6h), in
285 contrast to the 9.0 ± 2.4 μm min^{-1} observed in WT cells (Figure 3h, S6g). The overlay
286 suggested that even though the polarized cells were active at the leading edge, they did
287 not move well because the tail appeared to adhere more strongly to the surface (Figure
288 S6d-e). We also measured the mean membrane intensity between the polarized and
289 round phenotype cells. Cells with rounder shapes have higher PIP5K expression levels,
290 consistent with the *Dictyostelium* results (Figure S6n-o), although the round-shaped cells
291 are not as flat as *Dictyostelium* cells. Cell areas were about 33% and 40% reduced for
292 PIP5K1B and PIP5K1C cells, respectively (Figure S6f). We also overexpressed PIP5K1B
293 and PIP5K1C in differentiated HL-60 macrophages. This led to a very flat and round-
294 shaped phenotype, abolishing protrusions compared with WT macrophages (Figure 3e-f,
295 Figure S6p, Video S12). These observations were supported by color-coded temporal
296 overlay profiles (Figure S6k-m).

297 Next, we extended our inquiry to epithelial-derived cancer cells by studying MDA-
298 MB-231 cells. Since these cells are very heterogeneous, we developed a system to
299 immediately observe the effects caused by activating PIP5K in an individual cell. We

300 subcloned a previously developed recruitable PIP5K1C [68] into an optogenetic system
301 by fusing it with crimson-SspB, which enabled light-induced association with membrane
302 anchor iLiD-CAAX [69] (Figure S6q). Globally recruiting cytosolic PIP5K1C to the plasma
303 membrane in MDA-MB-231 cells induced cell retraction with retraction fibers at the cell
304 rear, possibly due to the increased contractility (Figure 3k, Video S13). About 5 min after
305 recruitment, cells started to display retraction fibers. Contraction was formed after about
306 20 minutes (Figure 3k, S7a). Recruiting an empty vector did not cause a cell shape
307 change (Figure S7e, Video S13). Across the population, upon PIP5K1C recruitment,
308 MDA-MB-231 cells induced a 25% and 59% reduction in the cell area and aspect ratio,
309 respectively (Figure 3l-m), while recruiting an empty vector did not induce any cell area
310 or polarity change (Figure S7f-g). These observations were supported by color-coded
311 temporal overlay profiles (Figure S7b-d). In some recruited cells, blebbing was induced
312 in addition to retraction (Figure 3k), indicating these cells potentially had even higher
313 contractility upon PIP5K1C recruitment.

314 In summary, the phenotypes of overexpressing PIP5Ks are generally conserved
315 across *Dictyostelium,* leukocytes, and epithelial cells. Cells show different migratory
316 behaviors upon different PIP5K expression levels. We suggest that moderate expression
317 of PIP5Ks tends to polarize cells by quenching extraneous protrusions, while strongly
318 expressing PIP5Ks eliminates protrusive activities and severely impairs cell migration.

319 **Expressing PIP5Ks suppresses signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities**

320 To further understand the basis of the overexpression phenotype, we examined a
321 series of signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities using biosensors. We first
322 examined the spatial distribution of Ras activity using a Ras binding domain (RBD)
323 biosensor in *Dictyostelium* cells with different DOX incubation times. As expected, cells
324 showed characteristic patches at the cell protrusions without DOX incubation (Figure 4a,
325 Video S14), whereas cells incubated with DOX overnight had much fewer RBD patches.
326 Notably, there were no RBD patches in rounded, non-moving cells (Figure 4b, Video S14).
327 In the “spiky” cells, there were small, narrow RBD patches (Figure S8e, Video S14),
328 although these were increased in number (Figure 4e). These observations were
329 supported by membrane kymograph analyses (Figure S8a-b). There was an inverse
330 correlation between patch size and number (Figure 4g). Combining data from “rounded”
331 and “spiky” cells, there was an overall 44% reduction in total Ras patches (Figure 4b,
332 S8e, 4e). Similar results were observed using the PHcrac biosensor to examine PIP3
333 activities in cells with different DOX incubation times, with an overall 58% reduction in
334 cells with overnight DOX incubation (Figure 4c-d, 4f, S8f, Video S14). These observations
335 were supported by membrane kymograph analyses (Figure S8c-d). We also observed
336 the ventral wave differences for cells with or without DOX incubation. We found that
337 uninduced cells displayed broad, sustained waves, and cells with overnight DOX
338 incubation showed no waves or smaller, slower-moving waves, indicating low Ras
339 signaling activities (Figure 4h, Video S15).

340 We further examined cytoskeletal activities in the PIP5K overexpressing cells.
341 LimE displayed much smaller or no patches in cells following overnight DOX induction,
342 with a 60% reduction in these cells (Figure S8g-i, S9a, Video S16). The dynamic behavior
343 of these activities was captured in t-stack kymographs (Figure S9b-c), indicating that the

344 tiny LimE patches in cells expressing PIP5K are more transient than patches in untreated
345 cells. Since branched actin is activated by a Rac1/Arp2/3 complex/branched actin
346 pathway, we used a Pak1-GBD and ArpC biosensors to examine these activities. The
347 Rac1 activity paralleled localization of LimE (Figure S9d-f, Video S16). Induced cells
348 showed a 70% reduction in Rac1 patch size (Figure S9g). The ArpC patches were also
349 much smaller in PI5K-expressing cells (Figure S9h-i, Video S16).

350 We have previously reported that cells lacking actin-sequestering actobindins
351 A,B,C (*abnABC*-) have increased Ras and PI3K activity, suggesting that branched actin
352 is in a positive feedback loop with the these STEN activities [70]. We examined the extent
353 to which the inhibitory effect of PIP5K expression can interrupt this feedback loop by
354 overexpressing PIP5K in *abnABC*- cells [70]. Without DOX incubation, cells showed
355 broad RBD patches as expected (Figure S10j, Video S17). The RBD patches decreased
356 in length and increased in numbers dramatically upon overnight DOX incubation (Figure
357 S10k, Video S17), indicating competition between the positive feedback from branched
358 actin and inhibition by PIP5K. However, unlike WT, in the *abnABC*- background there
359 were no completely round cells containing no RBD patches, again suggesting a balance
360 between activation by positive feedback from F-actin and inhibition by PIP5K.

361 In previous experiments (Figure 3), we showed that cells showed a much more
362 polarized phenotype and migrated faster when incubated only 2h with DOX than without
363 DOX incubation. We examined both Ras and PIP3 signaling activities in these cells and
364 found the Ras and PIP3 signaling activities were confined to tiny patches at the leading
365 edge or undetectable (Figure 4f, S10a-b, Video S14). Similar Ras signaling activities were
366 low or undetectable in fast-moving cells expressing mutant PIP5K (K681N, K682N)
367 (Figure S10c, Video S18). The LimE patches were smaller and confined at the front of
368 these cells (Figure S10d, Video S18). Our lab previously reported that a RasGAP
369 C2GAPB in *Dictyostelium* cells can also inhibit Ras activities but increase polarity and
370 migration speed [43], which showed a similar phenotype compared to the cells expressing
371 a low level of PI5K activity. To assess whether C2GAPB and PI5K are acting in the same
372 pathway, we co-expressed PIP5K and C2GAPB and found that both cell shape and
373 migration speed mimic expressing PIP5K alone (Figure S11 a-b, Video S19). To
374 investigate whether attenuating Ras activity in a highly activated cell could restore polarity
375 and migration, we overexpressed C2GAPB in *pi5k*- cells. Indeed, upon expressing
376 C2GAPB, the *pi5k*- cells changed from fan-shaped or oscillatory cells to more polarized
377 cells, with migration speed increase from 5.1 ± 2.2 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (-C2GAPB) to 22 ±
378 7 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (+ C2GAPB) (Figure S11 c-e, Video S19).

379 PI(4,5)P2 has been considered to promote cell migration by interacting with many
380 actin-binding proteins [71-75]. To assess whether the inhibitory role of PIP5K in our
381 studies is dependent of cytoskeletal dynamics, we treated cells with Latrunculin A to
382 remove all F-actin activities and caffeine to induce the crescent patches of RBD or
383 PHcrac. Without DOX incubation, cells displayed the characteristic crescent RBD or
384 PHcrac patches (Figure 4i, 4K, Video S20). However, with overnight DOX incubation,
385 60% cells did not have any patches, while the rest, showed a 31% or 45% reduction in
386 the RBD or PHcrac crescent length, respectively (Figure 4j-l, 4m-n). These observations
387 were supported by membrane kymograph analyses (Figure S10e-h). These responses of
388 the STEN patches to PIP5K-mediated inhibition parallel the PI5K-induced conversion of

amoeboid cells to “rounded” or “spiky” cells seen in the absence of latrunculin A. These observations suggest that the PIP5K-induced cell shape, migration speed, and cytoskeletal phenotypes reported above can be traced back to the inhibitory effects of PIP5K expression on STEN activities (Figure 4o), although there may also be additional effects on feedback from the cytoskeleton.

Expressing PIP5Ks increases the threshold for STEN activation

In previous experiments (Figure 4), we showed that expressing PIP5Ks inhibits Ras and PI3K activities and that cells expressing PIP5Ks showed smaller, shorter-lived waves. Reduced spontaneous signaling and curtailed wave activity in an excitable system indicate an increased threshold [20, 31, 34, 40, 41, 46]. To assess the threshold of the network more directly, we monitored the accumulation of PIP3 with biosensor PH-Akt in response to chemoattractant C5a in RAW 264.7 cells with or without PIP5K expression (Figure 5a-c, Video 21). In WT macrophages stimulated with 1 μM C5a, PIP3 transiently increased and cells extended protrusions. In contrast, at this dose, there was no PIP3 increase in cells expressing PIP5K1C; cells stayed rounded and inactivated (Figure 5a-b, Video 21). Compared with WT cells, the dose-response spanning 0.1 μM to 100 μM C5a for PIP5KIC-expressing cells was shifted to higher concentrations (Figure 5c, Video 21). The PH-Akt translocation responses at each C5a concentration are shown in Figure S13. The PH-Akt translocation in cells expressing PIP5K1C was evident only at 100 μM C5a (Figure S12h, Video 21).

Similar results were observed in *Dictyostelium* cells stimulated with folic acid (FA). At 1 nM FA, untreated cells showed about 25% RBD translocation response (Figure 5d). Following induction of PIP5K by overnight incubation, there was no RBD translocation response at 1 nM (Figure 5e). The line scan results support these observations (Figure S13a-b). Compared to untreated cells, the dose-response curve from 0.1 nM to 100 nM FA for PI5K-expressing cells was shifted to higher concentrations (Figure 5f). Figure S12c-d shows typical images of the 5% and 20% PH-Akt or RBD responses. Dividing the cells into < 5% or > 20% response groups showed clearly that there many fewer PIP5K-expressing cells in the > 20% response set even with the highest concentrations of C5aR and FA (Figure S13e-f).

Since both the C5a receptor (C5aR) in macrophages and the folic acid receptor (fAR1) in *Dictyostelium* are G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) [76, 77], we wondered whether the inhibitory effects of PIP5Ks are still evident when GPCR is uncoupled from the signal transduction network. In *Dictyostelium* cells lacking Gβ, conditional expression of PIP5K, spontaneous LimE patches still decreased in size and increased in numbers as previously observed in WT cells (Figure 5g-h, Video S22, and see Figure S8g-i). Considering that LimE is a downstream indicator of network activity, these data indicate the inhibitory role of PI5K can act downstream of GPCR signaling.

Since expressing PI5K caused severe impairment of random migration and shifted the dose-response curve to higher concentrations, we expected that directional migration would also be inhibited. Indeed, untreated *Dictyostelium* cells mounted a chemotactic response towards folic acid, while the insensitive, rounded PIP5K-expressing cells largely failed to migrate towards higher concentrations of (Figure S13g, S14a, Video 23). There

was a weak response in the “spiky” or less affected cells. Overall, cells expressing PIP5K have less directional migration speed and polarity (Figure S14a-c).

In summary, expressing PIP5Ks inhibits signal transduction activities in response to chemoattractant stimulation, but this inhibitory role does not require G-protein, indicating that it acts at a point downstream. We suggest that the PIP5K inhibitory effect raises the threshold of the network and reduces its response to internal spontaneous activation as well as receptor inputs. We might reasonably expect responses to other external cues, such as electric fields or shear forces, to also be attenuated.

Inhibiting myosin II activity counteracts PIP5K-induced phenotypes

In previous experiments (Figures 3 and 4), we showed that expressing PIP5Ks can eliminate signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities and cell migration. We hypothesized that the inhibitory effects of PIP5Ks on cell morphology and migration might be related to increased Myosin II activities [78] and asked the extent to which lowering Myosin II would counteract PIP5K expression and restore migration. To test this, we co-expressed PIP5K and a recruitable Myosin heavy chain kinase C (MHCKC) previously shown to abruptly decrease Myosin II bipolar thick filament assembly [70] (Figure 6a). In PIP5K-expressing “rounded” cells, global recruitment of MHCKC to the plasma membrane caused cells to polarize and migrate faster (Figure 6b, Video S24). These observations were illustrated by color-coded temporal overlay profiles and line scans (Figure 6c, S15a-b). Across the population, upon MHCKC recruitment, migration speed increased from 1.6 ± 0.6 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ to 5.4 ± 0.9 $\mu m\ min^{-1}$ (Figure 6h, S15c-d). The aspect ratio also increased by 1.23-fold (Figure 6i). A heat map of individual cell migration speed at each time illustrates the increase in migration speed after MHCKC recruitment, which took about 10 mins to establish (Figure 6d).

Corresponding results were observed in propagating waves of Ras activity on the ventral surface of fused giant cells. As shown in Figure 6e and Video S25, in this example, expressing PIP5K completely suppressed waves of Ras activity. Strikingly, upon adding Myosin II inhibitor, Blebbistatin, the RBD waves started to burst and gradually increased until the entire surface displayed activity. Activity then decreased and reappeared. These results suggest that the mutual antagonism between Ras and PIP5K activities can be modulated by Myosin II. These results are consistent with an independent study from our laboratory demonstrating negative feedback from Myosin II to Ras [70].

We then extended these studies to differentiated HL-60 neutrophils and RAW 264.7 macrophage-like cells and assessed the effects of Myosin II reduction caused by recruitment of protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 12A, Mypt1 in cells expressing PIP5Ks. We took advantage of a recruitable Mypt169, which enabled light-induced association with the membrane (Figure 6f) [79]. Globally recruiting Mypt169 to the plasma membrane in WT cells as the control induced cell spreading and polarity in differentiated HL-60 macrophages (Figure S15e-f, Video S26). Differentiated HL-60 neutrophils co-expressing PIP5K1B and the recruitable Mypt169 in initially displayed the same rounded phenotype as those expressing PIP5K1B alone (Figure 6g, Video S26). Globally recruiting Mypt169 to the plasma membrane, combined with Rock inhibitor Y27632, induced cell spreading and a polarized cell shape (Figure 6g, Video S26). These

observations were supported by color-coded temporal overlay profiles (Figure 6l). Cell migration did not show a significant change (Figure 6l). Across the population, upon Mypt169 recruitment, differentiated HL-60 neutrophils induced a 1.53-fold and 1.23-fold increase in the cell area and polarity, respectively (Figure 6j-k). Similarly, globally recruiting Mypt169 to the plasma membrane in RAW 264.7 cells co-expressing PIP5K1C induced cell spreading and protrusion formation (Figure S15g, Video S26). These observations were supported by color-coded temporal overlay profiles (Figure S15h).

Since there is a shift from front towards “back” activities in PIP5K overexpressing cells, we reasoned that there might be a selective inhibition of branched actin relative to linear, cortical actin. ABD120 is a protein that labels total F-actin in *Dictyostelium* cells [80], while LimE selectively labels branched actin. We expressed both constructs in a PIP5K-overexpressing cell line and used the ABD120-LimE patch ratio to indicate the relative level of inhibition. Before inducing PIP5K, ABD120 is primarily labeled uniformly on the plasma membrane, with some enrichment at the protrusions, whereas LimE is localized almost exclusively to protrusions (Figure S16a, Video S27). Upon inducing PIP5K, cells changed to a rounder shape, and LimE patches disappeared (Figure S16b, Video S27). However, ABD120 was still uniformly distributed on the plasma membrane, resulting in a higher ABD120-LimE ratio (Figure S16e), suggesting a selective inhibition of branched actin. Consistent results were observed when staining cells with phalloidin. In uninduced cells, phalloidin mainly stained at the protrusions, while in cells expressing PIP5K, phalloidin staining colocalized with PIP5K around the perimeter (Figure S16c-d). Note that earlier (Figure 4), we found that PIP5K-expressing cells that showed the “spiky” phenotype changed to the “rounded” phenotype with CK666, further indicating that round-shaped cells have less branched actin (Figure S16f, Video S28).

The stochastic, reaction-diffusion model recreates experimental observations

We next sought a simple mathematical model that could account for the extensive observations of the effects loss- and gain-of-function of PIP5Ks on cell migration and polarity and explain the observed symmetry breaking in the absence of cytoskeletal activity (Methods). The previously reported core of the model included interactions between Ras, PIP2, and PKBA, which gave rise to excitable behavior [36, 46]. Based on our new findings, we adjusted the inhibitory interaction from Ras to PIP2 to act through PIP5K. This, together with the negative regulation of Ras by PI(4,5)P2, closed a double-negative feedback loop, functionally equivalent to a positive feedback loop as in the original core model (Fig. 7A). However, based on the new findings, we made a critical change by implementing this loop with a dynamic partitioning scheme, where PIP5K remained on the membrane and was pushed away from regions of high Ras ([18]; Methods). We included two further feedback loops representing the interactions of the cytoskeleton with Ras activity, which were supported by an independently published study as well as the current findings. The first involved the positive contribution of actin to Ras activity, mediated through PKBA [40]. The second was the contribution of myosin, regulated by PI(4,5)P2, also in a double-negative feedback motif [70]. Simulations of this system on a one-dimensional periodic domain, representative of the cell boundary, gave rise to long-lived periods of localized, elevated Ras activity (Fig. 7B). Kymographs of the other model components showed that most signaling events either colocalized with Ras (e.g., Actin and PKBA) or formed a corresponding “shadow wave” where the signaling

molecule was absent (e.g., PI(4,5)P2, PIP5K, and myosin). Notably, because of the dynamic partitioning, PIP5K was highest at the boundary between the wave and shadow wave (red arrow in Fig. 7B). In this case, the enzyme could not stay in the region of high Ras, but could not leave the membrane and it was elevated elsewhere. As shown the total PIP5K across the perimeter remained constant over time. As PIP5K regulated PI(4,5)P2 and subsequently myosin, we observed these bands of high activity in both markers.

We then used the model to recreate several perturbation experiments. Following the elimination of PIP5K, we observed Ras and actin activity increase greatly, transitioning from localized streaks to high levels that extended almost throughout the cell perimeter (Fig. 7C). In contrast, modeling PIP5K overexpression by increasing the PIP5K levels eliminated the Ras streaks completely (Fig. 7D). Simulations of latrunculin treatment showed that although the duration and persistence of the streak diminished, the model could still generate persistent streaks (Fig. 7E). Overall, the simulations strongly agreed with the experiments.

Discussion

Our studies reveal that spatiotemporal regulation of PIP5K on the membrane is a primary determinant of polarity, protrusion formation, and resulting mode of migration (Figure 8). The phenotypes we observed are consistent with the kymographs generated in the computational simulations. In the absence of cytoskeletal activities (Figure 8a), cells are largely in a “back” state where PIP5K is elevated and Ras activity is low. Local spontaneous depletions of PIP5K and coordinated activations of Ras build up, breaking symmetry (Figure 8b). Coupled myosin reduction and increased actin polymerization lead to intermittently extended protrusions of relatively defined size and cell polarity (Figure 8c and S17). When PIP5K is absent in *pi5k-* cells, Ras is highly activated and signal transduction events spread across most of the cortex. Myosin is depleted, actin is polymerized, protrusions are wide, and cells switch their migratory behaviors from amoeboid to fan-shaped and oscillatory phenotypes (Figure 8d and S17). When PIP5K is slightly overexpressed in WT, signal transduction activities and protrusions are curtailed and cells, can polarize and migrate more rapidly (Figure 8e and S17). When PIP5K is increased further, Ras, PI3K, and F-actin activities are confined to small patches, or extinguished, and the entire cortex is shifted toward the back state. Protrusions become smaller and spikier, or disappear entirely and cells stop migration. (Figure 8f and S17). In summary, different phenotypes can be explained as lying along a spectrum from low-to-high PIP5K expression levels, which are inversely related to high-to-low signal transduction network activities (Figure S17).

As indicated in Figure 8, our results suggested that PIP5K is a *negative* regulator of signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities, which was not previously recognized. We propose that the chemotaxis defects previously reported in *pi5k-* cells can be traced to removal of the normal PIP5K-mediated constraint on Ras, leading to elevated, unregulated signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities which interfere with the cell’s ability to selectively direct protrusions towards chemoattractants. We found that although the overall speed of the *pi5k-* cells on average does not decrease, the actual behaviors of the cells as fans and oscillators are very defective, which was overlooked in the

564 previous report [51]. In neutrophils and macrophages, we found that lowering PIP2 by
565 recruiting Inp54p induced more protrusions, fan-shaped movement, and increased actin
566 polymerization, consistent with the phenotype of the *pi5k*- cells and previous results in
567 *Dictyostelium* [46]. Furthermore, previous reports that a dominant negative PIP5K1C
568 interferes with the uropod in neutrophils and disrupts chemotaxis may also have been
569 related to the loss of PIP5K function, leading to excessive Ras and associated protrusive
570 activity [56]. The effects of PIP5K overexpression or recruitment in *Dictyostelium*,
571 neutrophils, macrophages, and cancer-derived MDA-MB-231 cells provided further
572 evidence that the enzyme is a negative regulator: 1) Cell protrusions and migration speed
573 are severely suppressed; 2) Ras, PI3K, and F-actin activities are strongly inhibited. 3)
574 The dose-response curves for Ras and PI3K activation by chemoattractant are shifted to
575 higher concentrations. The effects of overexpression of PIP5K could be due to elevating
576 PIP2 levels or preventing it from going down, as it and other back localized components
577 normally do as protrusions form.

578 PIP5K plays a crucial role in symmetry breaking and polarity establishment. As
579 migrating *Dictyostelium* cells and human neutrophils migrate, they occasionally shift
580 between more circular and polarized profiles whereupon PIP5K shifts between a uniform
581 localization and a back-to-front gradient. Since the enzyme is tightly associated with the
582 membrane (confirmed in centrifugation assay), it must diffuse along the membrane to the
583 back [18]. Protrusions are formed at the nascent front as PIP5K moves away from a local
584 region. As it does not shuttle to the cytosol, PIP5K leaving the front accumulates at the
585 rear, suppressing Ras, PI3K, and branched actin polymerization activities at the back and
586 promoting a shift toward actomyosin. Thus, the spatiotemporal relocalization of PIP5K
587 provides a way to activate the cell front and suppress the rear simultaneously, an elegant
588 mechanism for symmetry breaking and self-organizing polarity. The regulation of signal
589 transduction by PIP5K can occur without cytoskeletal activities in the presence of
590 latrunculin, but there are significant interactions with actomyosin. In untreated cells,
591 feedback from actomyosin is an essential part of the suppressive mechanism at the back
592 since inhibiting myosin II can overcome the PIP5K-mediated suppression and reactivate
593 the signal transduction activities.

594 Various models have been put forth suggesting that cell polarity arises from the
595 competition of local positive and global negative feedback loops. Whereas positive
596 feedback helps to amplify initial cellular asymmetries, negative feedback prevents other
597 sites from developing, ensuring that the cell has a singular region of high activity, thus
598 enabling persistent directional motion. Most of these models suggest that the positive
599 feedback loop incorporates actin-mediated activation of various signaling molecules [81,
600 82]. The origin of the negative feedback is less clear, though mechanisms by which
601 membrane or cortical tension inhibit protrusions have been suggested [17, 29, 83].
602 Computational models of these complementary motifs generate simulations of cell motility
603 that closely resemble the shape and tracks of real cells [84, 85]. The dynamic partitioning
604 of PIP5K reported here suggests an alternative actin-independent mechanism by which
605 the same molecule can provide both localized positive feedback and global negative
606 feedback. At the regions of high Ras activity, the removal of PIP5K lowers the levels of
607 the Ras-inhibiting PIP2. This double-negative feedback loop provides localized positive
608 feedback. Additionally, the dispersal of this membrane-bound PIP5K to regions away

609 from high Ras activity, increases PIP2 levels and lowers the probability that Ras
610 excitability is triggered elsewhere – thus completing a global negative feedback.

611 There are numerous reported interactions of PIP2 with various actin-binding
612 proteins, but as some are reported to be activators and others are inhibitors, the overall
613 effects of altering PIP2 in cells are challenging to understand. Some of the studies
614 suggest that PIP2 sequesters critical actin-binding proteins. This mechanism would align
615 with our proposal that PIP5K is a negative regulator: *Van Rheenen et al.* reported that
616 EGF-induces PIP2 reduction in metastatic tumor cells and promotes actin polymerization
617 [86]; *Sengelaub et al.* reported that in metastatic breast cancer cells, lowering PI(4,5)P2
618 levels releases cofilin to enhance actin turnover and cell migration [87]. Alternative studies
619 suggested that PIP2 is an activator: It can directly interact with WASP to promote Arp2/3
620 complex nucleation [74] and it can bind to proteins like talin, vinculin, and focal adhesion
621 kinases (FAK), to promote focal adhesion formation [88-93]. Our study indicates that
622 inhibition to Ras by PIP5K, transmitted downstream, shifts the balance from branched F-
623 actin to actomyosin and that the inhibitory role of PIP5Ks occurs in cells that do not form
624 stable focal adhesions. Thus, the overall direction of the behavior in living cells favors
625 PIP5K as a negative regulator regardless of potential direct interactions of PI(4,5)P2 with
626 individual actin-binding proteins.

627 The counterintuitive effects of PIP5K inhibition on cell migration can be explained
628 by the Ras-PIP5K mutual inhibitory feedback loop [46], with additional feedback loops
629 from the cytoskeletal network, shown in Figure 7 and 8, but further studies are needed to
630 sort out the detailed mechanism. Even without the cytoskeletal network, there is a strong
631 reciprocal connection between Ras and PIP5K. It has been reported that Ras can directly
632 interact with PIP5K [94]; if that is the case, the interaction must push Ras towards the
633 inactive form to be consistent with our observations. It is possible that PIP5K or PIP2
634 interacts with Ras GAPs or competes with Ras GEFs to inhibit Ras activities. We are
635 currently testing these possibilities. In the presence of the cytoskeletal network, PIP2 may
636 regulate the interaction between the membrane and the actomyosin cortex [38]. While
637 raising PIP2 would increase the interaction, lowering PIP2 may loosen the linkage, and
638 could initiate a shift from actomyosin to branched actin. Such a mechanism would be
639 consistent with the model of front and back-promoting feedback loops we recently
640 reported [46, 70].

641 Our results suggest that phenotypes resulting from loss-of-function or gain-of-
642 function alterations of PIP5K in tissue will likely depend on the initial state of the affected
643 cells and may be difficult to predict. Without this study, PIP5K might be considered an
644 oncogene since it is the substrate for producing second messengers, such as PIP3, DAG,
645 and IP3, which are classic tumor promoters. The depletion of PIP5K and its product PIP2
646 from the front of the cells is puzzling since these messengers are localized at the front.
647 However, even when PIP2 is lowered by as much as 90%, PIP3 is more elevated, and
648 when PIP5K is overexpressed, Ras and PI3K activity are severely inhibited. Based on
649 this, one might expect PIP5K to act like a tumor suppressor and consider activating or
650 increasing PIP5K as a therapeutic mechanism to suppress activated oncogenic Ras. Our
651 results show that a slight increase in PIP5K and a decrease in PIP3 activity can enhance
652 polarity, while a large rise in PIP5K and elimination in PIP3 completely shut down the

movement. Therefore, if PIP5K were to be considered a deterrent to Ras-mediated growth, caution would be needed to monitor the effects on cell migration.

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of the Devreotes, Iglesias, and Robinson laboratories (Schools of Medicine and Engineering, JHU) for helpful discussions and for providing resources. We thank Jonathan Kuhn and Yiyan Lin (Devreotes Lab) for providing constructs. We acknowledge Orion Weiner (UCSF) for providing HL-60 cell line. We thank Sean Collins (UC Davis) for providing transposon plasmids. We thank Stephen Gould (School of Medicine, JHU) for help with instrumentation. We appreciate services of DictyBase and Addgene for providing plasmids. This work was supported by NIH grant R35 GM118177 (to P.N.D.), DARPA HR0011-16-C-0139 (to P.A.I. and P.N.D.), AFOSR MURI FA95501610052 (to P.N.D.), as well as NIH grant S10OD016374 (to S. Kuo of the JHU Microscope Facility).

Author Contributions

Y.D. and P.N.D. conceived and designed the project with inputs from T.B., D.S.P., and P.A.I.; Y.D. engineered constructs/stable cell lines, designed and executed experiments, and performed the majority of data analyses with input from other authors; P.B. and P.A.I. devised and conducted computational simulations, and deposited codes. T.B. executed kymograph analyses; D.S.P. performed neutrophils and macrophages Inp54p recruitment experiments and provided some stable cell lines; H.Z. generated heatmap analysis; J.B. made *pi5k-* cell line and some constructs; P.N.D. and Y.D. prepared initial drafts, and wrote and revised the final version of manuscript with help from T.B., H.Z., and P.A.I.; PND supervised the study.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Statistics and reproducibility

Statistical analyses were executed using unpaired or paired two-tailed nonparametric tests on GraphPad Prism 10. Results are expressed as mean ± s.d. from at least three independent experiments. NS, $P > 0.05$, $^{*}P \leq 0.05$, $^{**}P \leq 0.01$, $^{***}P \leq 0.001$, $^{***}P \leq 0.0001$. Tukey's convention was used to plot box-and-whisker plots. Statistical test details are indicated in the figure legends. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications4,35,79. Each representative image or image series is from $n > 3$ independent experiments. Any variations observed between treatment groups are not attributed to sampling bias. No data were excluded from the analyses.

Data availability

All data are provided in the main or supplementary text. Requests for additional information on this work are to be made to the corresponding author.

692 # Methods

693 ## Preparation of reagents and inhibitors

694 Rapamycin (Millipore Sigma, 553210) was dissolved in DMSO to prepare the 5
695 mM stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots were diluted 1:100 in the development buffer (DB)
696 to a 10x concentration (50 µM). CK666 (Millipore Sigma, 182515) was dissolved in DMSO
697 to prepare the 100 mM stock solution. Then, 0.5 µl aliquots were diluted 1:100 in the warm
698 development buffer (DB) to a 10x concentration (1 mM). 237 µM latrunculin A in ethanol
699 (Cayman, 10010630) was diluted in the development buffer (DB) to prepare the 50 µM
700 (10x) stock solution. Folic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, 329823065) was dissolved in sterile water,
701 with the addition of 2 M NaOH, to prepare the 1.25 mM stock solution. Caffeine (Millipore-
702 Sigma,1085003) was dissolved to 1 M in sterile water and then diluted to a 10x
703 concentration (40 mM) in the development buffer (DB). Fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich,
704 F4759-2MG) was dissolved in 2ml sterile water, followed by dilution in 8ml PBS to prepare
705 the 200 µg ml^{-1} stock solution. N-Formyl-Met-Leu-Phe (fMLP; Sigma-Aldrich, 47729) was
706 dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, D2650) to prepare the 50 mM
707 stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots were diluted in RPMI to a 10x concentration (2 µM).
708 Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA; Sigma-Aldrich, P8139) was dissolved in DMSO
709 to prepare the 1 mM stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots were diluted in RPMI to a 10x
710 concentration (320 nM). FKP-(D-Cha)-Cha-r (ChaCha peptide, Anaspec; 65121) was
711 dissolved in PBS to prepare the 2.5 mM stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots were diluted
712 in DMEM to a 10x concentration (320 nM). A stock solution of EGF (Sigma-Aldrich,
713 E9644) was prepared by dissolving it in 10 mM acetic acid to a final concentration of
714 1 mg ml^{-1}. . The anti-BSA mouse monoclonal antibody was acquired from Sigma-Aldrich
715 (SAB4200688, clone BSA-33). Blebbistatin (Peprotech, 8567182) was dissolved in
716 DMSO to prepare the 50mM stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots were diluted 1:100 in the
717 development buffer (DB) to a 10x concentration (500 µM). 5 mM Y-27632 (Sigma-Aldrich,
718 688001) was dissolved in DMSO to prepare the 5 mM stock solution. Then, 1 µl aliquots
719 were diluted in RPMI to a 10x concentration (100 µM). Hygromycin B (Thermo Fisher
720 Scientific, 10687010) or G418 sulfate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 10131035) was
721 purchased as 50 mg ml^{-1} stock solution. Then, 10 µl Hygromycin B or G418 sulfate was
722 added in a 10ml cell culture. Blasticidin S (Sigma-Aldrich, 15205) or puromycin (Sigma-
723 Aldrich, P8833) was dissolved in sterile water to prepare the stock solutions of 10 mg ml^{-1}
724 or 2.5 mg ml^{-1}, respectively. Then, 10 µl Blasticidin S or 4 µl puromycin was added in a
725 10ml cell culture. Doxycycline hyclate (Sigma, D9891-1G) was dissolved in sterile water
726 to prepare the stock solution of 5 mg ml^{-1}. Then, 100 µl Doxycycline hyclate was added
727 in a 10ml cell culture before the experiment. TRITC-dextran (Sigma-Aldrich, T1162) was
728 dissolved in sterile water to prepare the 50 mg ml^{-1} stock solution. Then, the stock solution
729 was further diluted to 2 mg ml^{-1} in the HL5 culture medium before the experiment. All
730 stock solutions were aliquoted and stored at −20 °C.

731 ## Cells and plasmids

732 WT *Dictyostelium discoideum* cells of the AX2 strain (dictyBase, strain ID
733 DBS0235521) were obtained from the Kay laboratory (MRC Laboratory of Molecular
734 Biology) and cultured in HL5 medium (laboratory stock) at 22 °C [95] for a maximum of 2

735 months after thawing from frozen stock. *Pi5k-* (piki-) cells were purchased from Dictybase
736 (strain ID DBS0350270). pikI− cells were grown on a Klebsiella aerogenes lawn on an
737 SM plate and transferred to HL5 medium supplemented with heat-killed Klebsiella
738 aerogenes before the experiment. Gβ- cells were generated in the Devreotes Lab
739 previously [96]. abnABC- cells were generated previously in the Devreotes Lab [97].
740 Growth-stage cells were used for all the experiments. GFP-arpC cells were purchased
741 from Dictybase (strain ID DBS2036065).

742 The human HL-60 cell line (ATCC CCL-240; RRID:CVCL_0002) was obtained
743 from the Weiner laboratory (UCSF) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, 22400-
744 089) supplemented with 15% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher,
745 16140071)4,75. To obtain migration-competent neutrophils, WT or stable lines were
746 differentiated in the presence of 1.3% DMSO with a total of 1.5 million cells over 5-7 days
747 [98]. Differentiated cells are an effective model to study human neutrophils [99]. To
748 differentiate HL-60 cells into macrophages, cells were incubated with 32 nM PMA for 48-
749 72h [100]. Cells were grown in humidified conditions at 5% CO2 and 37 °C.

750 RAW 264.7 macrophage-like cells were obtained from the N. Gautam laboratory
751 (Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis). RAW 264.7 cells were cultured
752 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) containing 4,500 mg l^{-1} glucose, l-
753 glutamine, sodium pyruvate and sodium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich, D6429),
754 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher Scientific,
755 16140071) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140122). Cells
756 were grown in humidified conditions at 5% CO2 and 37 °C.

757 All DNA oligonucleotides were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. *Dictyostelium*
758 PIP5K (below named PI5K) was PCR amplified from genome DNA and cloned in pCV5-
759 GFP expression plasmid to generate PI5K-GFP (pCV5). Two-point mutations K681N and
760 K582N were introduced to PI5K-GFP (pCV5) to generate PI5K (K581N, K682N)-GFP
761 (pCV5). PI5K-GFP was then PCR amplified and cloned into doxycycline-inducible
762 pDM335 plasmid (dictyBase, ID no. 523) using BglII/SpeI restriction digestion to generate
763 mRFPmars-PI5K (pDM335). mRFPmars-PI5K was than PCR amplified and cloned into
764 doxycycline-inducible pDM359 plasmid (dictyBase, ID no. 518) using BglII/SpeI restriction
765 digestion to generate mRFPmars-PI5K (pDM359). mRFPmars was then replaced with
766 EGFP to generate EGFP-PI5K (pDM359). EGFP was then replaced with KikGR to
767 generate KikGR-PI5K (pDM359). DNA sequences encoding the 1-315aa, or 316-718aa,
768 or 369-718aa or 1-718aa amino acids of PI5K, were PCR-amplified from PI5K-GFP
769 (pCV5) and cloned into mCherry-FRB-MCS (pCV5) expression plasmid previously
770 created in the Devreotes Lab using NheI/XhoI restriction digestion to generate mCherry–
771 FRB-PI5K (1-315aa) (pCV5), mCherry–FRB- PI5K (316-718aa) (pCV5), mCherry–FRB-
772 PI5K (369-718aa) (pCV5) and mCherry–FRB-PI5K (1-718aa) (pCV5). DNA sequences
773 encoding the 316-718aa amino acids of PI5K, were PCR amplified and cloned into
774 mRFPmars-SspBR73Q-MCS (pCV5) using NheI/NotI restriction digestion to generate
775 mRFPmara-SspBR73Q-PI5K (316-718aa). DNA sequences encoding the 301-718aa
776 amino acids of PI5K, were PCR amplified and cloned into pCV5-GFP expression plasmid
777 to generate PI5K (301-718aa)-GFP (pCV5). PH-PLCδ-YFP (pCV5), CynA-KikGR (KF2),
778 RBD-EGFP (pDM358), PHcrac-RFP (pDRH), LimEΔcoil-mCherry (pDM181), PHcrac-
779 YFP (pDM358), LimEΔcoil-RFP (pDRH), cAR1-FKBP-FKBP (pDM358 or pCV5), LimE-

780 GFP-FKBP-FKBP (pDM358), mCherry-FRB-MHCKC (pCV5), and PKBR1$_{N150}$-iLiD
781 (pDM358) were previously created in the Devreotes Lab. Myosin II-GFP (pDM181) was
782 obtained from the Robinson laboratory (School of Medicine, JHU). PAK1(GBD)-YFP
783 (pDEXH) was a gift from the C. Huang lab (JHU). RBD-RFP (pDM358) GFP-ABD120
784 (pDXA) was purchased from Dictybase (ID no. 472).

785 For transit transfection in RAW 264.7 macrophage-like cells and MDA-MB-231
786 cells, PHAkt-mCherry and CIBN-CAAX (Addgene #79574) were from Devreotes Lab.
787 EGFP-PIP5K1B (Addgene #202722), GFP-PIP5K1gama90 (Addgene #22299) , CRY2-
788 mCherry-MYPT169 (Addgene #178526), TagBFP-FKBP-PIP5K1C (Addgene #220090)
789 (recruitable PIP5K1C), and mCherry-NES-SSPB-MCS-iLiD-CAAX (Addgene #173869)
790 were purchased from Addgene. mCherry was replaced with Crismon fluorescent protein,
791 and a point mutation R73Q was introduced to SapB to generate Crismon-NES-
792 SapBR73Q-MCS-iLiD-CAAX. Recruitable PIP5K1C was PCR amplified and cloned into
793 the XhoI/EcoRI sites of the Crismon-NES-SSPBR73Q-MCS-iLiD-CAAX expression
794 plasmid to generate Crismon-NES-SspBR73Q-PIP5K1C-iLiD-CAAX.

795 For stable lines in HL-60 cells, stable lines co-expressing LifeAct–miRFP703
796 (pLJM1) (Addgene #201750) and CIBN-CAAX (pLJM1) (Addgene #201749) or
797 expressing RFP-PHAkt (pFUW2) were previously created in the Devreotes Lab [44].
798 EGFP-PIP5K1B and EGFP-PIP5K1C were PCR amplified and cloned into the BspEI/SalI
799 sites of the PiggyBac transposon plasmid to generate EGFP-PIP5K1B (pPB) and EGFP-
800 PIP5K1C (pPB). Two-point mutations K359N, K260K or K407N, K408N were introduced
801 to EGFP-PIP5K1B (pPB) or EGFP-PIP5K1C (pPB), to generate EGFP-PIP5K1B (K359N,
802 K360N) and EGFP-PIP5K1C (K407N, K408N), respectively. Recruitable PIP5K1C were
803 PCR amplified and cloned into the BspEI/NotI sites of the PiggyBac transposon plasmid
804 to generate CRY2PHR-mCherry-PIP5K1C (pPB). MYPT169 was PCR amplified and
805 cloned into the BsiWI/NotI sites of the mCherry-CRY2PHR (pHR) expression plasmid ,
806 which was previously modified from construct mCherry-CRY2PHR (pHR) (Addgene
807 #201750) by introducing two restriction enzyme sites BstZ17I and BsiWI, to generate
808 mCherryCRY2PHR (pHR). Constructs were verified by diagnostic restriction digestion
809 and sequenced at the JHMI Synthesis and Sequencing Facility.

810 **Transfection**

811 *Dictyostelium* AX2 cells were transfected using a standard electroporation
812 protocol. Briefly, for each transfection, 5×10^6 cells were pelleted, washed twice with ice-
813 cold H-50 buffer (20 mM HEPES, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO4, 5 mM
814 NaHCO3, 1 mM NaH2PO4, pH adjusted to 7.0) and subsequently resuspended in 100 µl
815 ice-cold H-50 buffer. 2 µg of total DNA was mixed with the cell suspension, which was
816 then transferred to an ice-cold 0.1-cm-gap cuvette (Bio-Rad, 1652089) for two rounds of
817 electroporation at 850 V and 25 µF with an interval of 5 s (Bio-Rad Gene Pulser Xcell
818 Electroporation Systems). After a 10 min incubation on ice, the electroporated cells were
819 transferred to a 10-cm Petri dish containing HL-5 medium. The cells were selected by the
820 addition of hygromycin B (50 µg ml−1) and/or G418 (20–30 µg ml−1) after 24h as per the
821 antibiotic resistance of the vectors.

822 RAW 264.7 cells and MDA-MB-231 cells were transitly transfected by
823 nucleofection in an Amaxa Nucleofector II device using Amaxa Cell line kit V (Lonza,
824 VACA-1003) following a pre-existing protocol [101]. For each transfection, 3×10^6 cells
825 were pelleted, resuspended in 100 µl supplemented Nucleofector Solution V. A total of 2-
826 3 µg of DNA mixture was added and immediately transferred to a Lonza cuvette for
827 electroporation using the program setting D-032 and X-013 for RAW 264.7 cells and
828 MDA-MB-231 cells, respectively. Pre-warmed pH-adjusted culture medium (500 µl) was
829 added to the electroporated cells in the cuvette. The cell suspension was then transferred
830 to a 1.5 ml vial and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO2 for 10 min and 30min for RAW 264.7
831 cella and MDA-MB-231 cells, respectively. Next, 50–100 µl solution containing cells was
832 transferred to a coverslip chamber and allowed to adhere for 1 h. Finally, approximately
833 400 µl of pre-warmed pH-adjusted culture medium was added to each chamber and the
834 cells were further incubated for 4–6 h before imaging for RAW 264.7 cells. MDA-MB-231
835 cells were imaged the next day.

836 Stable expression lines in HL-60 cells were generated by a combination of
837 3rdgeneration lentiviral- and PiggyBac™ transposon-integration based approaches [44,
838 102]. For transposon integration in HL-60 cell line, 5 µg transposon plasmid was co-
839 electroporated with an equal amount of transposase expression plasmid into two million
840 cells using Neon™ transfection kit (Invitrogen; MPK10025B). Cells and DNA mix were
841 resuspended in buffer 'R' before electroporation in 100 µl pipettes at 1350V for 35 ms in
842 Neon™ electroporation system (Invitrogen; MPK5000). Cells were resuspended in mixed
843 culture medium in a 6-well plate and allowed to recover for 24 hours. Post-recovery,
844 transfected cells were selected in presence of 10 mg/mL blasticidine S for 5-6 days. Once
845 blasticidine S was removed, resistant cells were transferred to 48-well cell culture plate
846 (Sarstedt; 83.3923), and further grown over 3-4 weeks into stable cell lines. Stable cells
847 were maintained throughout in Blasticidine S.

848 For virus transfection, 2nd generation virus was prepared in HEK293T cells grown
849 to about 80% confluency in 10 cm cell culture dish (Greiner Bio-One; 664160). For each
850 reaction, a mixture of 2 µg pMD2.G (Addgene #12259), 8 µg psPAX2 (Addgene #12260),
851 and 10 µg mCherry-CRY2PHR-MYPT19 (pHR) construct were transfected using
852 Lipofectamine 3000 as per manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen; L3000-008). After 96
853 hours, virus containing culture medium was harvested at 3000 rpm for 20 mins at 4°C. In
854 a 6-well plate (Greiner Bio-One; 657160), entire viral medium was added to 4×10^6 HL-
855 60 cells (seeded at a density of 0.25×10^6 cells/mL) in presence of 10 µg /mL polybrene
856 (Sigma; TR1003). After 24 hours, viral medium was removed, and cells were introduced
857 to a mix of fresh and conditioned (mixed) culture medium. For selecting expressors,
858 infected cells were sorted after 5 days, and subsequently, grown to confluency. For high-
859 speeding sorting, we used 561 nm excitation laser to sort for mCherry expression using
860 SH800S cell sorter (Sony). Briefly, cells were pelleted, resuspended in sorting buffer (1x
861 PBS, Ca2+/Mg2+ free; 0.9% heat-inactivated FBS; 2% penicillin-streptomycin) at a
862 density of 15×10^6 cells/ml, and sorted using 100 mm microfluidic sorting chip. High
863 expressors (top 1%-5%) were collected in fresh culture medium (containing 2% penicillin-
864 streptomycin) and grown to confluency.

865 **Confocal microscopy and Live-cell imaging**

All *Dictyostelium* experiments were performed on a 22 °C stage. All RAW 264.7, MDA-MB-231 and neutrophil experiments were performed inside a 37 °C chamber with 5% CO2 supply. All time-lapse live-cell imaging experiments were performed using one of the following microscopes: (1) Zeiss LSM 780-FCS Single-point, laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer with 780-Quasar; 34-channel spectral, high-sensitivity gallium arsenide phosphide detectors), (2) Zeiss LSM800 GaAsP Single-point, laser scanning confocal microscope with wide-field camera, (3) Nikon Eclipse Ti-E dSTROM total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope (Photometrics Evolve EMCCD camera). The Zeiss 780 confocal microscope was controlled using ZEN Black software; Zeiss 800 confocal microscope was controlled using ZEN Blue software; the Nikon TIRF was controlled using Nikon NIS-Element software. The 40X/1.30 or 63X/1.4 Plan-Neofluar oil objective (with proper digital zoom) was used in all microscopes. The 488 nm (Ar laser) excitation was used for GFP and YFP, 561 nm (solid-state) excitation was used for RFP and mCherry, and 633nm (diode laser) excitation was used for miRFP703 in Zeiss 780 and 800 confocal microscopes. The 488nm (Ar laser) excitation was used for GFP and 561 nm (0.5W fiber laser) excitation was used for mCherry and RFP in Nikon TIRF.

To prepare imaging, vegetative *Dictyostelium* or differentiated macrophages were adhered on an eight-well coverslip chamber for 40 min. Differentiated neutrophils, pre-treated with heat-killed Klebsiella aerogenes, were adhered to fibronectin-coated chambers for 40 min. Next, fresh DB (5 mM Na2HPO4 and 5 mM KH2PO4 supplemented with 2 mM MgSO4 and 0.2 mM CaCl2) or RPMI 1640 medium was added to attached cells and used for imaging. To induce PI5K expression in *Dictyostelium*, doxycycline (50 μg ml^{-1}) was added 8 h before imaging. For macropinocytosis assay, cells were incubated with 2 mg/ml TRITC-dextran for 10 min, washed twice with DB and imaged subsequently. All live- or fix- cell imaging was acquired with 0.3–0.8% (*Dictyostelium*) or 1–8% (HL-60) laser intensity. In single cells, confocal imaging was performed at a middle plane of the cells. To visualize cortical/ventral waves in *Dictyostelium* and RAW 264.7 cells, confocal microscopes were focused on the very bottom of the cell to capture the substrate-attached ventral surface of the cell. For the inhibitor experiments, neutrophils were treated with 10 μM Y-27632 for at least 10 min before imaging. In *Dictyostelium,* 50 μM blebbistatin or 100 μM CK666 was added during imaging, while 5 μM latrunculin A and 4 mM caffeine were added at least 20 min before imaging.

Chemically induced dimerization

The plasmids and experimental details of the chemically induced dimerization system have mostly been previously described [40, 46]. Here, to generate Figure S4i, S5a-c, and 6a-d data, the two following combinations were used to express the systems: (1) cAR1-FKBP-FKBP (pDM358) as the membrane anchor, mCherry–FRB-PI5K (1-315aa) (pCV5) as the recruitee; (2) LimE-GFP-FKBP-FKBP (pDM358) as the membrane anchor, mCherry–FRB- PI5K (1-718aa) (pCV5), or mCherry–FRB- PI5K (316-718aa) (pCV5), or mCherry–FRB- PI5K (369-718aa) (pCV5), as the recruitee; (3) cAR1-FKBP-FKBP (pCV5) as the membrane anchor, mCherry–FRB-MHCKC (pCV5) as the recruitee. *Dictyostelium* cells in the growth phase were transferred to an eight-well coverslip chamber and incubated for 10–15 min to allow them to adhere well. The HL-5 medium was then replaced with 450 μl DB buffer. Image acquisition was started 15–20 min after

911 the medium change. After imaging a certain number of frames, rapamycin was added
912 gently to the chamber (to a final concentration of 5 μM) during image acquisition to
913 facilitate the recruitment of Inp54p to the plasma membrane.

914 **Optogenetics**

915 Optogenetics experiments were performed using slightly modified protocols [103]
916 for *Dictyostelium*, HL-60 cells or MDA-MB-231 cells. Throughout image acquisition, a
917 solid-state laser (561 nm excitation and 579–632 nm emission) was used for visualizing
918 proteins or recruitable effectors fused to an mCherry, mRFPmars, or crismon tag,
919 whereas a diode laser (633 nm excitation and 659–709 nm emission) was used to capture
920 miRFP703 expression. Images were acquired for 5–10 min, before which a 450/488 nm
921 excitation laser was switched on globally to activate recruitment and 10-20 min after.
922 Image acquisition and photoactivation were performed at 7s intervals. Using the T-PMT
923 associated with the red channel, we acquired DIC images. For recruiting MYPT169 or
924 PIP5K1C in RAW264.7, MDA-MB-231, and HL-60 cells, 10 μM C5aR agonist, 10 ng/ml
925 EGF, and 200 nM fMLP were added at least 15mins before imaging, respectively.

926 **Frustrated phagocytosis and osmotic shock**

927 We slightly modified a pre-existing protocol to visualize ventral waves in PMA-
928 differentiated macrophages [54]. Briefly, Nunc Lab-Tek eight-well coverslip chambers
929 were pre-washed with 30% nitric acid, coated with 1 mg ml^{-1} BSA for 3 h, washed with
930 PBS and finally incubated with 5 μg ml^{-1} anti-BSA (1:200 dilution) for 2 h. The chambers
931 were finally washed twice with PBS to remove excess antibodies. Before imaging,
932 transfected PMA-differentiated macrophage cells were starved in suspension in
933 1×Ringer's buffer (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 20 mM HEPES
934 and 2 g l^{-1} glucose, pH 7.4) for 30 min. Next, these cells were introduced to the opsonized
935 chambers and allowed to spread on the antibody-coated surface for 5–10 min, and then
936 hypotonic shock was applied using 0.5×Ringer's solution before imaging.

937 For development on non-nutrient agar, cells were washed with developmental
938 buffer (DB) and plated on solidified DB agar at a density of 5.2×10^5 cells/cm^2. For most
939 experiments, development in suspension was carried out by pulsing cells resuspended in
940 DB at 2×10^7 cells/ml with 50 to 100 nM cAMP every 6 min starting from 1 hour. For the
941 experiments presented in Fig. 6 (A to D) and fig. S10 (A to C), *crac*⁻ or GFP-
942 GtaC/*crac*⁻ cells were pulsed with different concentrations of cAMP or at different intervals
943 starting from 2 hours. For development in the presence of sp-cAMPS, 1 μM was included
944 in DB or DB agar.

945 **Cell differentiation**

946 For *Dictyostelium* cell development, 1×10^8 cells in the exponential growth phase
947 were collected from suspension culture and pelleted as previously described [104, 105].
948 After washing with DB twice, the cells were resuspended in 4 ml DB and shaken at
949 105 r.p.m. for 1 h. The cells were then pulsed with 100 nM cAMP (5s pulse every 6 min)
950 using a time-controlled peristaltic pump for 5-6 h with continual shaking. This allowed the
951 cells to develop and polarize. After development, Around 10–15 μL of differentiated cells
952 (in DB media) was collected were transferred from the shaker to an eight-well coverslip

953 chamber, resuspended thoroughly in 450 µl DB and incubated for 20–30 min before
954 imaging. For development on non-nutrient agar, cells were washed with developmental
955 buffer (DB) and plated on solidified DB agar at a density of 5.2×10^5 cells/cm^2.

956 **Global receptor activation assay**

957 Cells were first differentiated as described in cell differentiation protocol. Cells were
958 subsequently incubated with 5 µM Latrunculin A for around 20 min before imaging. Using
959 a confocal laser scanning microscope, 10-20 frames were first acquired to record the
960 basal activity of the proteins, then cAMP was added to the chamber (to a final
961 concentration of 1 µM) to activate all the cAR1 receptors, and the image acquisition was
962 continued. An imaging frequency of 3 s/frame was maintained throughout the experiment.

963 For vegetative *Dictyostelium* cells, cells were seeded on the eight-well coverslip
964 chamber and incubated for 20–30 min. Then, the HL5 medium was replaced with DB and
965 incubated cells with an additional 20 minutes before the imaging. Cells were subsequently
966 incubated with 5 µM Latrunculin A for around 20 min before imaging. Using a confocal
967 laser scanning microscope, 10-20 frames were first acquired to record the basal activity
968 of the proteins, then folic acid was added to the chamber (to a final concentration of 0.1,
969 1, 10, or 100 nM) to activate all the fAR1 receptors, and the image acquisition was
970 continued. An imaging frequency of 3 s/frame was maintained throughout the experiment.

971 For RAW 264.7 cells, transfected cells were previously incubated on the eight-well
972 coverslip chamber for 4-6h. The culture medium was replaced with HBSS
973 buffer supplemented with 1 g/L glucose and incubate with another 30 min before the
974 imaging. Using a confocal laser scanning microscope, 10-20 frames were first acquired
975 to record the basal activity of the proteins, then C5aR agonist was added to the chamber
976 (to a final concentration of 0.1, 1, 10, or 100 µM) to activate all the C5a receptors, and
977 the image acquisition was continued. An imaging frequency of 15 s/frame was maintained
978 throughout the experiment.

979 **2D Chemotaxis Assay**

980 We slightly modified a pre-existing protocol to perform chemotaxis assay for
981 vegetative *Dictyostelium* cells [106]. Briefly, cells were seeded on the 1-well Nunc Lab-
982 Tek chamber as a drop at the center and incubated for 20–30 min. Then 2 mL DB buffer
983 was added to the chamber and cells were dispersed by pipetting multiple times. A
984 Femtotip microinjection needle (Eppendorf) was loaded with 10 µM of filtered folic acid
985 solution and then connected to a FemtoJet microinjector (Eppendorf). The microinjector
986 was operated in continuous injection mode with a compensation pressure of 15 hPa. To
987 initiate the chemotaxis, the micropipette was brought to the (x,y,z) coordinate of cells
988 using a programmed micromanipulator. The imaging was continued with an acquisition
989 frequency of 30 s/frame.

990 **CRISPR/Cas9 to generate *pi5k*- cell line**

991 We slightly modified a pre-existing protocol for using CRISPR/Cas9 system to
992 target specific genes and generate KO mutants in Dictyostelium cells [107]. gRNA guide
993 sequences targeting pi5k gene were designed using Cas-Designer. Customized gRNA

oligos were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. This selected gRNA sequence AAACAACTCATTGGATTCAGATGC (forward) was then cloned into pTM1285 plasmid obtained from the Robinson laboratory (School of Medicine, JHU). AX2 cells were transformed with pTM1285 plasmid containing pi5k gRNA using electroporation, using the transfection protocol described above. After 8–16 h of transformation, we replaced the media with HL-5 media containing G418 (20–30 μg ml−1) and cultured the transformed cells for 3 more days. After that, floating dead cells were washed off with HL-5 medium. Remaining live cells were plated on SM plates with cultured Klebsiella aerogenes and incubated at room temperature for 3–4 d until visible, isolated plaques formed. These individual plaques were separately transferred to 96-well plates containing HL-5 medium in the absence of G418. After approximately 2–3 d when colonies grew, genomic DNA was extracted for PCR verification and then the rest cells were transferred to 6-well and then 10-cm Petri dishes and grown to confluency.

Multinucleation assay

Cells that have been in suspension at 200 rpm for 2–3 d were seeded at ~70% confluency on glass coverslips in HL-5 medium and allowed to adhere for 20 min. Next, HL-5 medium was replaced with ice-cold fixative solution (2% PFA + 0.01% Triton X-100 in 1.5× HL-5 medium) for 10 min. Cells were then washed quickly with 1× PBS, and the nuclei were stained with 10 μg/ml Hoechst for 10 min. Afterwards, cells were washed three times, 10 min each time, with 1× PBT (1× PBS + 0.01% Triton X-100). Before visualization, cells were mounted on coverslips using Invitrogen SlowFade™ Gold antifade reagent. Cells were imaged using Zeiss LSM 780-FCS Single-point, laser scanning confocal microscope with 405 nm laser.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were seeded on glass coverslips in HL-5 medium and allowed to adhere for 20 min. Next, HL-5 medium was replaced with fixative solution (2% buffered paraformaldehyde with 0.25% Glutaraldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 in HL-5 medium) for 10min at room temperature (RT), then quenched in 1mg/mL Sodium Borohydride for 10min, then washed three times with 1x PBT (1x PBD supplemented with 0.5% BSA and 0.05% Triton X-100), each time for 3min. Cells were then blocked in blocking buffer (3% BSA in 1× PBT) for 1 h at room temperature. Blocking buffer was removed and cells were washed with 1x PBT quicky then incubated with Invitrogen Alexa Fluor ™ 647 phalloidin (1: 200 dilution) for 1h at RT. Cells were then washed three times with 1x PBT, each time for 3min. Before visualization, cells were mounted on coverslips using Invitrogen SlowFade™ Gold antifade reagent. Cells were imaged using Zeiss LSM 780-FCS Single-point, laser scanning confocal microscope with 405 nm laser.

Image Analysis

All images were analysed with Fiji/ImageJ 1.52i (NIH), Python v.3.10, and MATLAB 2019b (MathWorks) software. We utilized GraphPad Prism 10 (GraphPad), OriginPro v.9.0 (Originlab Corporation) and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft) for plotting our results.

Kymographs. For the membrane kymographs, the cells were segmented against the background following standard image-processing steps with custom code written in

MATLAB 2019b. The kymographs were created from the segmented cells as previously described, where consecutive lines over time were aligned by minimizing the sum of the Euclidean distances between the coordinates in two consecutive frames using a custom-written MATLAB function [19]. A linear colourmap was used for the normalized intensities in the kymographs. In coloured kymographs, the lowest intensity is indicated by blue and the highest by yellow. Line kymographs that accompanied ventral waves were generated in Fiji/ImageJ by drawing a thick line with a line width of 8–12 pixels and processing the entire stack in the 'KymographBuilder' plugin.

t-stacks. The ImageJ (NIH) software was used for image processing and analysis. For t-stacks, TIRF time-lapse videos were opened and converted to greyscale in ImageJ. The ImageJ 3D Viewer plugin was then used to stack the frames from the video. The resampling factor is set to 1 to avoid blurring of activities between frames.

Line-scan intensity profile. Line scans were performed in Fiji/ImageJ (NIH) by drawing a straight-line segment (using line tool) inside the cells with a line width of 8–12 pixels to obtain an average intensity value. The intensity values along that particular line were obtained in the green and red channels using the 'Plot Profile' option. The values were saved and normalized in OriginPro 9.0 (OriginLab). The intensity profiles were graphed and finally smoothened using the adjacent-averaging method, using proper boundary conditions. The plots were then normalized by dividing by the maximum value. For a particular line scan, the green and red profiles were smoothed and processed using the exact same parameters to maintain consistency.

Membrane to cytosol ratio quantification. The cytosol of cells was first outlined with freehand selection tool in Fiji/ImageJ (NIH). The mean intensity of the cytosol was measured using analyze>>measure function. Then make band function was applied to the outline and proper band size was applied according to the cells. Then mean membrane intensity was measured using the same method. Using mean membrane to cytosol intensity to divide mean cytosol intensity, we can get the membrane to cytosol ratio for each biosensor. Each data was then plotted as box-and-whisker graphs in GraphPad Prism 10.

Cell migration analysis Analysis was performed by segmenting *Dictyostelium* or neutrophil cells in Fiji/ImageJ 1.52i software. For this, image stack was thresholded using the 'Threshold' option. 'Calculate threshold for each image' box was unchecked, and range was not reset. Next, cell masks were created by size-based thresholding using the 'Analyzed particles' option. To optimize binarized masks, 'Fill holes', 'Dilate', and 'Erode' were done several times. For creating temporal color-coded cell outlines, 'Outline' was applied on binarized masks, followed by 'Temporal-Color Code' option. Next, 'Centroid' and 'Shape descriptors' boxes were checked in 'Set Measurements' option under 'Analyze' tab. This provided us with values for centroid coordinates and aspect ratio. Mean and SEM from replicates of aspect ratio values were determined and plotted in GraphPad Prism 10. The starting point for centroid values was set to zero for each track, and these new coordinates were plotted in Microsoft Excel to generate migration tracks. Velocity was calculated by computing displacement between two consecutive frames. Displacement was then divided by time interval to obtain speed for each cell. These speed

values were then time-averaged over all frames to produce data points for cell speed which were plotted as box-and-whisker graphs in GraphPad Prism 10.

Reaction-diffusion model

Core excitable network. The simulations are based on a model, whose core consists of three interacting species, Ras, PI(4,5)P2, and PKB, that together form an excitable network [46]. The concentrations of each of these molecules is described by stochastic, reaction-diffusion partial differential equations (RD-PDEs):

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{Ras}]}{\partial t} = -(a_1 + a_2[\mathrm{PKB}])[\mathrm{Ras}] + \frac{a_3}{1 + a_4^2[\mathrm{PIP2}]^2} + a_5 + w_{\mathrm{Ras}} + D_{\mathrm{Ras}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{Ras}]$$

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PIP2}]}{\partial t} = -(b_1 + b_2[\mathrm{Ras}])[\mathrm{PIP2}] + b_3 + w_{\mathrm{PIP2}} + D_{\mathrm{PIP2}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{PIP2}]$$

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PKB}]}{\partial t} = -c_1[\mathrm{PKB}] + c_2[\mathrm{Ras}] + w_{\mathrm{PKB}} + D_{\mathrm{PKB}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{PKB}]$$

In each of these equations, the final term represents the diffusion of the species, where D_* is the respective diffusion coefficient and ∇^2 is the spatial Laplacian (in one dimension). The second-to-last terms represent the molecular noise. Our model assumes a Langevin approximation in which the size of the noise is based on the reaction terms [108]. For example, in the case of PKB, the noise is given by

$$w_{\mathrm{PKB}}(t) = \alpha\sqrt{c_1[\mathrm{PKB}] + c_2[\mathrm{Ras}]} \times w(t)$$

where $w(t)$ is a zero mean, unit variance, Gaussian, white noise process. In the simulations, the size of this noise was adjusted with the empirical parameter α.

PIP5K incorporation through dynamic partitioning. To this model we include the contribution of PIP5K, and base it on a dynamic partition principle [18]. In this case, PI5PK exists on the membrane in two states with corresponding low and high diffusivities ($\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}$ and $\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}$). The conversion from low to high diffusivity is mediated by Ras. Together, they obey the following two RD-PDEs:

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}]}{\partial t} = -\left(\frac{[\mathrm{Ras}]^2}{d_1 + [\mathrm{Ras}]^2}\right)[\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}] + d_2[\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}] + D_{\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}]$$

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}]}{\partial t} = -d_2[\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}] + \left(\frac{[\mathrm{Ras}]^2}{d_1 + [\mathrm{Ras}]^2}\right)[\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}] + D_{\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{PI5K_{glo}}]$$

Note that we do not include noise in these equations. That the total concentration is conserved can be seen by noting that the sum of the reaction terms is equal to zero. Thus, the only changes in concentration appear through the diffusion terms. Integrating over the complete domain and using the fact that boundary conditions are periodic guarantee that the total concentration of PI5PK is constant. The main effect of PI5PK is to increase the production of PIP2:

$$b_1 \mapsto b_1 \times (1 + d_3[\mathrm{PI5K_{loc}}])$$

Cytoskeleton feedback loops. Finally, we incorporated two other terms. The first represents feedback from branched actin onto Ras. Since the actin cytoskeleton is not directly modeled, we model the origin of this feedback from PKB, which is upstream to the cytoskeleton [40] and incorporated one actin term:

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{P_{Actin}}]}{\partial t} = -p_1[\mathrm{P_{Actin}}] + p_2[\mathrm{PKB}] + D_{P_{\mathrm{Actin}}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{P_{Actin}}]$$

The second feedback loop accounts for the inhibitory regulation by actomyosin on Ras that originates from PIP2:

$$\frac{\partial[\mathrm{P_{Myosin}}]}{\partial t} = -p_3[\mathrm{P_{Myosin}}] + p_4[\mathrm{PIP2}] + D_{P_{\mathrm{Actin}}}\nabla^2[\mathrm{P_{Actin}}]$$

Together they modify the equations for Ras:

$$(a_1 + a_2[\mathrm{PKB}])[\mathrm{Ras}] \mapsto (a_1 + a_2[\mathrm{PKB}])[\mathrm{Ras}] \times \left(1 + p_m\left[\mathrm{P_{Myosin}}\right]\right)$$

$$\frac{a_3}{1 + a_4^2[\mathrm{PIP2}]^2} + a_5 \mapsto \left(\frac{a_3}{1 + a_4^2[\mathrm{PIP2}]^2} + a_5\right) \times (1 + p_a[\mathrm{P_{Actin}}])$$

Modeling perturbations. The perturbations in Fig. 7c-d were done by modifying the decay and production rates of the relevant species during the simulation. Specifically:

a. Eliminating PIP5K: $\left(\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathrm{old}} - 0.2[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]$, for both types of PIP5K
b. Increasing PIP5K: $\left(\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathrm{new}} = \left(\frac{\partial[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]}{\partial t}\right)_{\mathrm{old}} + 0.001 - 0.2[\mathrm{PI5K_*}]$, for both types of PIP5K.
c. Eliminating myosin: $p_4 = 0$ and $p_m = 0$.
d. Eliminating actin: $p_2 = 0$ and $p_a = 0$.

Implementing the simulations. Simulations were run on MATLAB 2023b (MathWorks, Natick, MA) on custom-code based on the Itô solution in the Stochastic Differential Equation toolbox (http://sdetoolbox.sourceforge.net). The simulations aim to recreate the membrane fluorescence observed in single-cell confocal images. The dimension is therefore smaller, assuming a cell radius of 5 μm and a spacing of 0.25 μm, resulting in $2\pi \times 5/0.25 \approx 126$ points along the perimeter, and periodic boundary conditions. Initial conditions were set by solving the steady-state value of the core model. The PIP5K values are normalized. All plots shown are after a 200 second interval so that the effect of the initial values is minimized. For the kymographs, contrast for each species was done through MATLAB's imadjust command.

Parameter	Value	Units	Parameter	Value	Units
a_1	0.122	s^{-1}	a_2	2.85	$\mu M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$
a_3	1.14	s^{-1}	a_4	440	μM^{-1}

a_5	1.02×10^{-3}	s^{-1}	b_1	0.0144	s^{-1}
b_2	2134	$\mu M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	b_3	1.75	$\mu M\ s^{-1}$
c_1	0.0744	$\mu M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$	c_2	0.864	$\mu M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$
d_1	0.025	μM^{-1}	d_2	0.05	$\mu M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$
d_3	160	μM^{-1}			
p_1	0.05	s^{-1}	p_2	0.05	s^{-1}
p_3	0.05	s^{-1}	p_4	0.05	s^{-1}
D_{Ras}	0.03	$\mu m^2/s$	D_{PIP2}	0.03	$\mu m^2/s$
D_{PKB}	0.09	$\mu m^2/s$			
$D_{P_{\mathrm{Myosin}}}$	0.005	$\mu m^2/s$	$D_{P_{\mathrm{Actin}}}$	0.0025	$\mu m^2/s$
$D_{PI5K_{local}}$	0.0025	$\mu m2/s$	$D_{PI5K_{global}}$	0.5	$\mu m^2/s$
α	0.0833				

Statistics and reproducibility

Statistical analyses were executed using unpaired or paired two-tailed nonparametric tests on GraphPad Prism 10. Results are expressed as mean ± s.d. from at least three independent experiments. NS, $P > 0.05$, *$P \leq 0.05$, **$P \leq 0.01$, ***$P \leq 0.001$, ***$P \leq 0.0001$. Tukey's convention was used to plot box-and-whisker plots. Statistical test details are indicated in the figure legends. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications4,35,79. Each representative image or image series is from $n > 3$ independent experiments. Any variations observed between treatment groups are not attributed to sampling bias. No data were excluded from the analyses.

Data availability

All data are provided in the main or supplementary text. Requests for additional information on this work are to be made to the corresponding author.

Reference

1. Sasaki, A.T., et al., *G protein-independent Ras/PI3K/F-actin circuit regulates basic cell motility*. J Cell Biol, 2007. **178**(2): p. 185-91.
2. Weiner, O.D., et al., *Spatial control of actin polymerization during neutrophil chemotaxis*. Nat Cell Biol, 1999. **1**(2): p. 75-81.
3. Cai, H. and P.N. Devreotes, *Moving in the right direction: how eukaryotic cells migrate along chemical gradients*. Semin Cell Dev Biol, 2011. **22**(8): p. 834-41.
4. Wang, Y., et al., *Identifying network motifs that buffer front-to-back signaling in polarized neutrophils*. Cell Rep, 2013. **3**(5): p. 1607-16.
5. Swaney, K.F., C.H. Huang, and P.N. Devreotes, *Eukaryotic chemotaxis: a network of signaling pathways controls motility, directional sensing, and polarity*. Annu Rev Biophys, 2010. **39**: p. 265-89.
6. Parent, C.A., *Making all the right moves: chemotaxis in neutrophils and Dictyostelium*. Curr Opin Cell Biol, 2004. **16**(1): p. 4-13.
7. SenGupta, S., C.A. Parent, and J.E. Bear, *The principles of directed cell migration*. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2021. **22**(8): p. 529-547.
8. Saha, S., T.L. Nagy, and O.D. Weiner, *Joining forces: crosstalk between biochemical signalling and physical forces orchestrates cellular polarity and dynamics*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 2018. **373**(1747).
9. Shellard, A. and R. Mayor, *All Roads Lead to Directional Cell Migration*. Trends Cell Biol, 2020. **30**(11): p. 852-868.
10. Ridley, A.J., et al., *Cell migration: integrating signals from front to back*. Science, 2003. **302**(5651): p. 1704-9.
11. Hadjitheodorou, A., et al., *Directional reorientation of migrating neutrophils is limited by suppression of receptor input signaling at the cell rear through myosin II activity*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 6619.
12. Ghabache, E., et al., *Coupling traction force patterns and actomyosin wave dynamics reveals mechanics of cell motion*. Mol Syst Biol, 2021. **17**(12): p. e10505.
13. Li, X., et al., *Excitable networks controlling cell migration during development and disease*. Semin Cell Dev Biol, 2020. **100**: p. 133-142.
14. Ladoux, B., R.M. Mege, and X. Trepat, *Front-Rear Polarization by Mechanical Cues: From Single Cells to Tissues*. Trends Cell Biol, 2016. **26**(6): p. 420-433.
15. Bagorda, A. and C.A. Parent, *Eukaryotic chemotaxis at a glance*. J Cell Sci, 2008. **121**(Pt 16): p. 2621-4.
16. Rickert, P., et al., *Leukocytes navigate by compass: roles of PI3Kgamma and its lipid products*. Trends Cell Biol, 2000. **10**(11): p. 466-73.
17. Houk, A.R., et al., *Membrane tension maintains cell polarity by confining signals to the leading edge during neutrophil migration*. Cell, 2012. **148**(1-2): p. 175-88.
18. Banerjee, T., et al., *A dynamic partitioning mechanism polarizes membrane protein distribution*. Nat Commun, 2023. **14**(1): p. 7909.
19. Banerjee, T., et al., *Spatiotemporal dynamics of membrane surface charge regulates cell polarity and migration*. Nature Cell Biology, 2022. **24**(10): p. 1499-1515.

20. Huang, C.H., et al., *An excitable signal integrator couples to an idling cytoskeletal oscillator to drive cell migration*. Nat Cell Biol, 2013. **15**(11): p. 1307-16.
21. Ghose, D., T. Elston, and D. Lew, *Orientation of Cell Polarity by Chemical Gradients*. Annu Rev Biophys, 2022. **51**: p. 431-451.
22. Pal, D.S., et al., *The excitable signal transduction networks: movers and shapers of eukaryotic cell migration*. The International Journal of Developmental Biology, 2019. **63**(8-9-10): p. 407-416.
23. Schink, K.O., K.W. Tan, and H. Stenmark, *Phosphoinositides in Control of Membrane Dynamics*. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol, 2016. **32**: p. 143-171.
24. Shewan, A., D.J. Eastburn, and K. Mostov, *Phosphoinositides in cell architecture*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2011. **3**(8): p. a004796.
25. Carrasco-Rando, M., et al., *An acytokinetic cell division creates PIP2-enriched membrane asymmetries leading to slit diaphragm assembly in Drosophila nephrocytes*. Development, 2023. **150**(18).
26. Wu, M. and J. Liu, *Mechanobiology in cortical waves and oscillations*. Curr Opin Cell Biol, 2021. **68**: p. 45-54.
27. Cramer, L.P., *Forming the cell rear first: breaking cell symmetry to trigger directed cell migration*. Nat Cell Biol, 2010. **12**(7): p. 628-32.
28. Teruel, M.N. and T. Meyer, *Translocation and reversible localization of signaling proteins: a dynamic future for signal transduction*. Cell, 2000. **103**(2): p. 181-4.
29. De Belly, H., et al., *Cell protrusions and contractions generate long-range membrane tension propagation*. Cell, 2023. **186**(14): p. 3049-3061 e15.
30. Carvalho, K., et al., *Actin polymerization or myosin contraction: two ways to build up cortical tension for symmetry breaking*. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 2013. **368**(1629): p. 20130005.
31. Xiong, Y., et al., *Cells navigate with a local-excitation, global-inhibition-biased excitable network*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. **107**(40): p. 17079-86.
32. Wang, X. and A. Harrison, *A general principle for spontaneous genetic symmetry breaking and pattern formation within cell populations*. J Theor Biol, 2021. **526**: p. 110809.
33. van Haastert, P.J.M., *Symmetry Breaking during Cell Movement in the Context of Excitability, Kinetic Fine-Tuning and Memory of Pseudopod Formation*. Cells, 2020. **9**(8).
34. Zhan, H., et al., *An Excitable Ras/PI3K/ERK Signaling Network Controls Migration and Oncogenic Transformation in Epithelial Cells*. Developmental Cell, 2020. **54**(5): p. 608-623.e5.
35. Li, X., et al., *Mutually inhibitory Ras-PI(3,4)P(2) feedback loops mediate cell migration*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2018. **115**(39): p. E9125-E9134.
36. Devreotes, P.N., et al., *Excitable Signal Transduction Networks in Directed Cell Migration*. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology, 2017. **33**(1): p. 103-125.
37. Buckley, C.M., et al., *Coordinated Ras and Rac Activity Shapes Macropinocytic Cups and Enables Phagocytosis of Geometrically Diverse Bacteria*. Curr Biol, 2020. **30**(15): p. 2912-2926 e5.

1251 38. Bisaria, A., et al., *Membrane-proximal F-actin restricts local membrane protrusions*
1252 *and directs cell migration*. Science, 2020. **368**(6496): p. 1205-1210.
1253 39. Tsai, T.Y., et al., *Efficient Front-Rear Coupling in Neutrophil Chemotaxis by Dynamic*
1254 *Myosin II Localization*. Dev Cell, 2019. **49**(2): p. 189-205 e6.
1255 40. Miao, Y., et al., *Wave patterns organize cellular protrusions and control cortical*
1256 *dynamics*. Mol Syst Biol, 2019. **15**(3): p. e8585.
1257 41. Gerhardt, M., et al., *Actin and PIP3 waves in giant cells reveal the inherent length*
1258 *scale of an excited state*. J Cell Sci, 2014. **127**(Pt 20): p. 4507-17.
1259 42. Matsuoka, S. and M. Ueda, *Mutual inhibition between PTEN and PIP3 generates*
1260 *bistability for polarity in motile cells*. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 4481.
1261 43. Lin, Y., et al., *Ras suppression potentiates rear actomyosin contractility-driven cell*
1262 *polarization and migration*. Nat Cell Biol, 2024.
1263 44. Pal, D.S., et al., *Actuation of single downstream nodes in growth factor network steers*
1264 *immune cell migration*. Dev Cell, 2023. **58**(13): p. 1170-1188 e7.
1265 45. Kolch, W., D. Berta, and E. Rosta, *Dynamic regulation of RAS and RAS signaling*.
1266 Biochem J, 2023. **480**(1): p. 1-23.
1267 46. Miao, Y., et al., *Altering the threshold of an excitable signal transduction network*
1268 *changes cell migratory modes*. Nature Cell Biology, 2017. **19**(4): p. 329-340.
1269 47. van den Bout, I. and N. Divecha, *PIP5K-driven PtdIns(4,5)P2 synthesis: regulation and*
1270 *cellular functions*. J Cell Sci, 2009. **122**(Pt 21): p. 3837-50.
1271 48. Ling, K., et al., *Type I gamma phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinase modulates*
1272 *adherens junction and E-cadherin trafficking via a direct interaction with mu 1B*
1273 *adaptin*. J Cell Biol, 2007. **176**(3): p. 343-53.
1274 49. Lacalle, R.A., et al., *Type I phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate 5-kinase controls*
1275 *neutrophil polarity and directional movement*. J Cell Biol, 2007. **179**(7): p. 1539-53.
1276 50. El Sayegh, T.Y., et al., *Phosphatidylinositol-4,5 bisphosphate produced by*
1277 *PIP5KIgamma regulates gelsolin, actin assembly, and adhesion strength of N-*
1278 *cadherin junctions*. Mol Biol Cell, 2007. **18**(8): p. 3026-38.
1279 51. Fets, L., J.M. Nichols, and R.R. Kay, *A PIP5 kinase essential for efficient chemotactic*
1280 *signaling*. Curr Biol, 2014. **24**(4): p. 415-21.
1281 52. Swaney, K.F., et al., *Novel protein Callipygian defines the back of migrating cells*. Proc
1282 Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2015. **112**(29): p. E3845-54.
1283 53. Flemming, S., et al., *How cortical waves drive fission of motile cells*. Proc Natl Acad
1284 Sci U S A, 2020. **117**(12): p. 6330-6338.
1285 54. Masters, T.A., M.P. Sheetz, and N.C. Gauthier, *F-actin waves, actin cortex*
1286 *disassembly and focal exocytosis driven by actin-phosphoinositide positive*
1287 *feedback*. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken), 2016. **73**(4): p. 180-96.
1288 55. Zhan, H., et al., *Self-organizing glycolytic waves fuel cell migration and cancer*
1289 *progression*. bioRxiv, 2024.
1290 56. Lokuta, M.A., et al., *Type Igamma PIP kinase is a novel uropod component that*
1291 *regulates rear retraction during neutrophil chemotaxis*. Mol Biol Cell, 2007. **18**(12): p.
1292 5069-80.
1293 57. Shin, D.Y., et al., *Sphingomyelin metabolism underlies Ras excitability for efficient cell*
1294 *migration and chemotaxis*. Cell Struct Funct, 2023. **48**(2): p. 145-160.

58. Fukushima, S., S. Matsuoka, and M. Ueda, *Excitable dynamics of Ras triggers spontaneous symmetry breaking of PIP3 signaling in motile cells*. J Cell Sci, 2019. **132**(5).
59. Arai, Y., et al., *Self-organization of the phosphatidylinositol lipids signaling system for random cell migration*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. **107**(27): p. 12399-404.
60. Sobczyk, G.J., J. Wang, and C.J. Weijer, *SILAC-based proteomic quantification of chemoattractant-induced cytoskeleton dynamics on a second to minute timescale*. Nat Commun, 2014. **5**: p. 3319.
61. Sasaki, A.T., et al., *Localized Ras signaling at the leading edge regulates PI3K, cell polarity, and directional cell movement*. J Cell Biol, 2004. **167**(3): p. 505-18.
62. Iijima, M. and P. Devreotes, *Tumor suppressor PTEN mediates sensing of chemoattractant gradients*. Cell, 2002. **109**(5): p. 599-610.
63. Parent, C.A., et al., *G protein signaling events are activated at the leading edge of chemotactic cells*. Cell, 1998. **95**(1): p. 81-91.
64. Janetopoulos, C., et al., *Chemoattractant-induced phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate accumulation is spatially amplified and adapts, independent of the actin cytoskeleton*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2004. **101**(24): p. 8951-6.
65. Li, X., et al., *Reverse fountain flow of phosphatidylinositol-3,4-bisphosphate polarizes migrating cells*. EMBO J, 2021. **40**(4): p. e105094.
66. Veltman, D.M., et al., *A plasma membrane template for macropinocytic cups*. Elife, 2016. **5**.
67. Kunz, J., et al., *The activation loop of phosphatidylinositol phosphate kinases determines signaling specificity*. Mol Cell, 2000. **5**(1): p. 1-11.
68. Weckerly, C.C., et al., *Nir1-LNS2 is a novel phosphatidic acid biosensor that reveals mechanisms of lipid production*. bioRxiv, 2024.
69. Inaba, H., Q. Miao, and T. Nakata, *Optogenetic control of small GTPases reveals RhoA mediates intracellular calcium signaling*. J Biol Chem, 2021. **296**: p. 100290.
70. Kuhn, J., et al., *Complementary Cytoskeletal Feedback Loops Control Signal Transduction Excitability and Cell Polarity*. bioRxiv, 2024.
71. Zhao, H., M. Hakala, and P. Lappalainen, *ADF/cofilin binds phosphoinositides in a multivalent manner to act as a PIP(2)-density sensor*. Biophys J, 2010. **98**(10): p. 2327-36.
72. Bae, Y.H., et al., *Profilin1 regulates PI(3,4)P2 and lamellipodin accumulation at the leading edge thus influencing motility of MDA-MB-231 cells*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2010. **107**(50): p. 21547-52.
73. Papayannopoulos, V., et al., *A polybasic motif allows N-WASP to act as a sensor of PIP(2) density*. Mol Cell, 2005. **17**(2): p. 181-91.
74. Rozelle, A.L., et al., *Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate induces actin-based movement of raft-enriched vesicles through WASP-Arp2/3*. Curr Biol, 2000. **10**(6): p. 311-20.
75. Janmey, P.A. and T.P. Stossel, *Modulation of gelsolin function by phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate*. Nature, 1987. **325**(6102): p. 362-4.
76. Pan, M., et al., *A G-protein-coupled chemoattractant receptor recognizes lipopolysaccharide for bacterial phagocytosis*. PLoS Biol, 2018. **16**(5): p. e2005754.

1339 77. Li, X.X., R.J. Clark, and T.M. Woodruff, *C5aR2 Activation Broadly Modulates the*
1340 *Signaling and Function of Primary Human Macrophages*. J Immunol, 2020. **205**(4): p.
1341 1102-1112.
1342 78. Ren, Y., et al., *Mechanosensing through cooperative interactions between myosin II*
1343 *and the actin crosslinker cortexillin I*. Curr Biol, 2009. **19**(17): p. 1421-8.
1344 79. Yamamoto, K., et al., *Optogenetic relaxation of actomyosin contractility uncovers*
1345 *mechanistic roles of cortical tension during cytokinesis*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1):
1346 p. 7145.
1347 80. Shibata, K., et al., *Actin binding domain of filamin distinguishes posterior from*
1348 *anterior actin filaments in migrating Dictyostelium cells*. Biophys Physicobiol, 2016.
1349 **13**: p. 321-331.
1350 81. Inoue, T. and T. Meyer, *Synthetic activation of endogenous PI3K and Rac identifies an*
1351 *AND-gate switch for cell polarization and migration*. PLoS One, 2008. **3**(8): p. e3068.
1352 82. Srinivasan, S., et al., *Rac and Cdc42 play distinct roles in regulating PI(3,4,5)P3 and*
1353 *polarity during neutrophil chemotaxis*. J Cell Biol, 2003. **160**(3): p. 375-85.
1354 83. Kabacoff, C., et al., *Dynacortin facilitates polarization of chemotaxing cells*. BMC
1355 Biol, 2007. **5**: p. 53.
1356 84. Shi, C., et al., *Interaction of motility, directional sensing, and polarity modules*
1357 *recreates the behaviors of chemotaxing cells*. PLoS Comput Biol, 2013. **9**(7): p.
1358 e1003122.
1359 85. Neilson, M.P., et al., *Chemotaxis: a feedback-based computational model robustly*
1360 *predicts multiple aspects of real cell behaviour*. PLoS Biol, 2011. **9**(5): p. e1000618.
1361 86. van Rheenen, J., et al., *EGF-induced PIP2 hydrolysis releases and activates cofilin*
1362 *locally in carcinoma cells*. J Cell Biol, 2007. **179**(6): p. 1247-59.
1363 87. Sengelaub, C.A., et al., *PTPRN2 and PLCbeta1 promote metastatic breast cancer cell*
1364 *migration through PI(4,5)P2-dependent actin remodeling*. EMBO J, 2016. **35**(1): p. 62-
1365 76.
1366 88. Chinthalapudi, K., E.S. Rangarajan, and T. Izard, *The interaction of talin with the cell*
1367 *membrane is essential for integrin activation and focal adhesion formation*. Proc Natl
1368 Acad Sci U S A, 2018. **115**(41): p. 10339-10344.
1369 89. Thapa, N., et al., *PIPKIgamma and talin couple phosphoinositide and adhesion*
1370 *signaling to control the epithelial to mesenchymal transition*. Oncogene, 2017. **36**(7):
1371 p. 899-911.
1372 90. Goni, G.M., et al., *Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate triggers activation of focal*
1373 *adhesion kinase by inducing clustering and conformational changes*. Proc Natl Acad
1374 Sci U S A, 2014. **111**(31): p. E3177-86.
1375 91. Cai, X., et al., *Spatial and temporal regulation of focal adhesion kinase activity in living*
1376 *cells*. Mol Cell Biol, 2008. **28**(1): p. 201-14.
1377 92. Gilmore, A.P. and K. Burridge, *Regulation of vinculin binding to talin and actin by*
1378 *phosphatidyl-inositol-4-5-bisphosphate*. Nature, 1996. **381**(6582): p. 531-5.
1379 93. Fukami, K., et al., *alpha-Actinin and vinculin are PIP2-binding proteins involved in*
1380 *signaling by tyrosine kinase*. J Biol Chem, 1994. **269**(2): p. 1518-22.
1381 94. Adhikari, H. and C.M. Counter, *Interrogating the protein interactomes of RAS isoforms*
1382 *identifies PIP5K1A as a KRAS-specific vulnerability*. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 3646.

95. Watts, D.J. and J.M. Ashworth, *Growth of myxameobae of the cellular slime mould Dictyostelium discoideum in axenic culture*. Biochem J, 1970. **119**(2): p. 171-4.
96. Lilly, P., et al., *A G-protein beta-subunit is essential for Dictyostelium development*. Genes Dev, 1993. **7**(6): p. 986-95.
97. Lampert, T.J., et al., *Shear force-based genetic screen reveals negative regulators of cell adhesion and protrusive activity*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2017. **114**(37): p. E7727-E7736.
98. Millius, A. and O.D. Weiner, *Manipulation of neutrophil-like HL-60 cells for the study of directed cell migration*. Methods Mol Biol, 2010. **591**: p. 147-58.
99. Rincon, E., B.L. Rocha-Gregg, and S.R. Collins, *A map of gene expression in neutrophil-like cell lines*. BMC Genomics, 2018. **19**(1): p. 573.
100. Rovera, G., D. Santoli, and C. Damsky, *Human promyelocytic leukemia cells in culture differentiate into macrophage-like cells when treated with a phorbol diester*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1979. **76**(6): p. 2779-83.
101. Meshik, X., P.R. O'Neill, and N. Gautam, *Optogenetic Control of Cell Migration*. Methods Mol Biol, 2018. **1749**: p. 313-324.
102. Bell, G.R.R., et al., *Optogenetic control of receptors reveals distinct roles for actin- and Cdc42-dependent negative signals in chemotactic signal processing*. Nat Commun, 2021. **12**(1): p. 6148.
103. Pal, D.S., et al., *Optogenetic modulation of guanine nucleotide exchange factors of Ras superfamily proteins directly controls cell shape and movement*. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology, 2023. **11**.
104. Kamimura, Y., M. Tang, and P. Devreotes, *Assays for chemotaxis and chemoattractant-stimulated TorC2 activation and PKB substrate phosphorylation in Dictyostelium*. Methods Mol Biol, 2009. **571**: p. 255-70.
105. Cai, H., et al., *Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of a GATA transcription factor functions as a development timer*. Science, 2014. **343**(6177): p. 1249531.
106. Aufderheide, K.J. and C. Janetopoulos, *Migration of Dictyostelium discoideum to the Chemoattractant Folic Acid*. Methods Mol Biol, 2016. **1407**: p. 25-39.
107. Sekine, R., T. Kawata, and T. Muramoto, *CRISPR/Cas9 mediated targeting of multiple genes in Dictyostelium*. Sci Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 8471.
108. Gillespie, D.T., *The chemical Langevin equation*. Journal of Chemical Physics, 2000. **113**(1): p. 297-306.

Main Figures


a
AX2
15 min
0
b
PI5K-null Fan
15 min
0
c
PI5K-null Oscillator
15 min
0
d
AX2
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
e
PI5K-null Fan
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
f
PI5K-null Oscillator
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
g
Cell migration speed (μm/min)
****
****
Fan-shaped
Oscillator
AX2
h
Cell Area (μm²)
****
PI5K-null
AX2
i
AX2
PI5K-null
PH-PLCδ
j
AX2
PI5K-null
RBD
k
PHPLCδ1 membrane to cytosol ratio
****
PI5K-null
AX2
l
RBD patch length/Cell Perimeter
****
PI5K-null
AX2
m
High
Low
20 μm
60s
n
High
Low
20 μm
60s
p
Cell Area (μm²)
**
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
o
488 nm ON
00:00
03:09
03:37
04:33
05:08
CRY2-Inp54p
LifeAct
q
Cell migration speed (μm/min)
**
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
r
Inp54p
488 nm ON
CIBN-CAAX
CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p (488 nm OFF)
CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p (488 nm ON)
s
PIP5K
Amoeboid
Fan-shaped
Oscillatory

Figure 1. Lowering PIP2 increases signal transduction, cytoskeletal, and protrusive activities (a-c) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images (left, DIC) and color-coded temporal overlay profiles (right) of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells **(a)**, *pi5k-* fan-shaped **(b)**, and *pi5k-* oscillatory cells **(c)**. A linear color map shows that green corresponds to 0 min and red corresponds to 15 min. The yellow outline corresponds to the frame of time-lapse images and cell boundaries. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(d-f**) Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=22) showing random motility in AX2 (WT) cells **(d)**, *pi5k-* fan-shaped **(e)**, and *pi5k-* oscillatory cells **(f)**. Each track lasted at least 15 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(g-h**) Box-and-whisker plots of **(g)** cell migration speed, **(h)** cell area. n_c=22 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(i-j**) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells (left), and *pi5k-* cells (right) expressing PHPLCδ-YFP (biosensor for PI(4,5)P2) **(i)** or RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) **(j)**. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(k-l**) Box-and-whisker plots of **(k)** PHPLCd1 membrane-to-cytosol ratio, **(l)** RBD patch length/Cell Perimeter. n_c=22 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(m-n)** Representative membrane kymograph of RBD intensity in AX2 **(m)** or *pi5k-* **(n)** cells, respectively. A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest RBD intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. **(o)** Time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophil expressing CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p (magenta; upper panel) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (cyan; lower panel), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(p-q)** Box-and-whisker plots of **(p)** cell area, **(q)** cell migration speed correspond to (o). n_c=10 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(r)** Cartoon illustrating mechanism of opto-Inp54p global recruitment on differentiated HL-60 neutrophil membrane with the help of CRY2-CIBN optogenetic system. **(s)** Cartoon illustrating signal transduction activities in amoeboid, fan-shaped and oscillatory cells.


a
PIP5K
PHcrac
Merged
0
160s
260s
b
PIP5K1C
LifeAct
Merged
02 : 55
08 : 00
13 : 07
c
PIP5K1B
00: 00
02 : 30
08 : 31
d
PIP5K
PHcrac
Merged
01 : 31
07 : 07
12 : 15
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
e
PIP5K
PH-PLCδ
Merged
00 : 00
09 : 18
19 : 35
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
f
PIP5K
RBD
Merged
0
275s
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
g
PIP5K1B
LifeAct
Merged
03 : 00
05 : 05
07 : 31
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
h
01 : 50
02 : 34
04 : 24
i
convert1
convert2
convert3
Red Fluorescence Intensity
t/s
j
Protrusion/ Macropinosome
Ventral Waves
Front/Protrusion
Back/Basal State

Figure 2 PIP5Ks localize to the back-state regions of the membrane. (a) Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PI5K-GFP and PHcrac–RFP (biosensor for PIP3) during migration showing PIP5K dynamically moves away from protrusions in migrating cells. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(b-c)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils coexpressing PIP5K1C-GFP and LifeAct-iRFP703 (biosensor for F-actin) **(b)** or expressing PIP5K1B-GFP **(c)** during migration showing PIP5Ks dynamically moves away from protrusions in migrating cells. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(d-e)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PIP5K-GFP and PHcrac-RFP (biosensor for PIP3) **(d)** or PIP5K-mCherry and PHPLCδ–GFP (biosensor for PI(4,5)P2) **(e)** during ventral wave propagation, showing PI5K dynamically localizes to the back-state regions in ventral waves. Line-scan intensity profiles are shown in the bottommost panels. The red line represents PHcrac and PI5K in (d) and (e). The green line represents PIP5K and PHPLCδ. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(f)** Representative live-cell images of Dictyostelium cell co-expressing PIP5K-mCherry and RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras), where the substrate-attached ventral surface was imaged, showing consistent complementarity between PIP5K and RBD during 2D wave propagation, even in the absence of actin cytoskeleton. Cells were pre-treated with actin polymerization inhibitor Latrunculin A (final concentration 5μM) for 20min. Line-scan intensity profiles are shown in the bottommost panels. Red line and green line represent PIP5K and RBD, respectively. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(g)** Live-cell images of a differentiated HL-60 macrophage coexpressing PIP5K1B and LifeAct demonstrating dynamic complementary distribution in its ventral waves. Line-scan intensity profiles are shown in the bottommost panels. Green line and Cyan line represent PIP5K1B and LifeAct, respectively. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. (h) Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells expressing KikGR-PIP5K. Yellow box indicates where the photo conversion happened. Top panel is GFP channel (488nm), while bottom panel is RFP channel (561nm). Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(i)** Scatter plot of RFP channel fluorescence intensity vs. time. The red line indicated when the conversions happened. **(j)** Cartoon illustrating the front-back complementarity in migrating cell protrusions and ventral wave propagation.


a
PI5K -DOX
0
148s
356s
b
PI5K +DOX overnight
0
353s
731s
c
Neutrophil WT
00 : 00
05 : 25
13 : 10
d
Neutrophil PIP5K1B
00 : 00
05 : 40
13 : 00
e
Macrophage WT
00 : 00
03 : 44
f
Macrophage PIP5K1B
00 : 00
03 : 45
07 : 30
g
Cell migration speed (µm/min)
***
****
ns
****
- DOX
2h dox
4h dox
dox overnight
PI5K (K681N, K682N)
h
Cell migration speed (µm/min)
****
****
WT
PIP5K1B
PIP5K1C
i
PI5K -DOX
6 min
0
j
PI5K +DOX overnight
6 min
0
l
Cell Area (µm²)
****
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
k
488 nm ON
MDA-MB-231
-09: 44
-01: 08
00: 22
19: 17
26: 13
SspB-PIP5K1C
m
Aspect Ratio
****
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON

Figure 3 Expressing PIP5Ks suppresses cell protrusions and alters migration. (a-b) Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PIP5K without DOX induction **(a)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(b).** Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(c-d)** Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils (WT) **(c)** and expressing PIP5K1B (rounded) **(d).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(e-f)** Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 macrophages (WT) **(e)** and expressing PIP5K1B **(f).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(g-h)** Box-and-whisker plots of cell migration speed of Dictyostelium cells expressing PIP5K at different DOX incubation time **(g)**, or differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5Ks **(h)**. n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Pris 10). **(i-j)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of Dictyostelium cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PIP5K without DOX incubation **(i)** or with overnight DOX incubation **(j). (k)** Time-lapse confocal images of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing crimson-SspB-PIP5K1C-P2A-iLiD-CAAX, before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 μm. Blue arrows indicate where retraction fibers or blebs are formed. Cells are pretreated with 10 ng/ml EGF for 10 mins. **(l-m)** Box-and-whisker plots of **(l)** cell area, **(m)** aspect ratio correspond to (m-n). n_c=10 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10).


a
-DOX
18s
555s
795s
RBD
b
+DOX overnight
0
170s
314s
PI5K
RBD
c
-DOX
0
108s
307s
PHcrac
d
+DOX overnight
9s
138s
287s
PI5K
PHcrac
e
RBD patch length/Cell Perimeter
RBD Patch Number/Cell
****
ns
no dox
dox overnight
no dox
dox overnight
f
PHcrac Patch Length/Cell Perimeter
PHcrac Patch Number/Cell
****
ns
no dox
2h dox
dox overnight
no dox
2h dox
dox overnight
g
Individual RBD Patch Size
Patch Number/Cell
***
**
ns
ns
***
m
RBD Crescent Length/Cell Perimeter
****
no dox
dox overnight
n
PHcrac Crescent Length/Cell Perimeter
****
no dox
dox overnight
h
-DOX
00: 00
03: 16
09: 20
+DOX overnight
00: 00
07: 30
11: 13
RBD
k
-DOX
0
64s
119s
159s
PHcrac
l
+DOX overnight
0
47s
105s
158s
PI5K
PHcrac
i
-DOX
0
69s
109s
144s
RBD
j
+DOX overnight
0
62s
130s
199s
PI5K
RBD
o
2h
DOX
24h
DOX

Figure 4 Expressing PIP5Ks suppresses signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities. (a-b) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(a)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(b)**. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(c-d)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing PHcrac-YFP (biosensor for PIP3) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(c)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(d)**. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm**. (e-f)** Box-and-whisker plots of RBD patch size (left axis) and RBD patch number (right axis) **(e),** or PHcrac patch size (left axis) and PHcrac patch number (right axis) **(f).** n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(g)** Box-and-whisker plots individual RBD patch size at different patch numbers. Data is from Figure e. n_c=10 for column 1, n_c=26 for column 2, n_c=42 for column 3, n_c=45 for column 4, n_c=5 for column 5, and n_c=6 for column 6. All results are from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(h)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction (upper panel) or with overnight DOX induction (lower panel) during ventral wave propagation. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 mm. **(i-j)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(i)** or with overnight DOX induction **(j),** even in the absence of actin cytoskeleton. Cells were pre-treated with actin polymerization inhibitor Latrunculin A (final concentration 5μM) and caffeine (final concentration 4mM) for 20min. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(k-l)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing PHcrac-YFP (biosensor for PIP3) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(k)** or with overnight DOX induction **(l),** even in the absence of actin cytoskeleton. Cells were pre-treated with actin polymerization inhibitor Latrunculin A (final concentration 5μM) and caffeine (final concentration 4mM) for 20min. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(m-n)** Box-and-whisker plots of RBD crescent size **(m),** or PHcrac crescent size **(n).** n_c=35 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(o)** Cartoon illustrating the localization of front signaling components in cell protrusions and cell morphology at different doxycycline-inducible time points.


a
+ 1 μM C5a agonist
PH-Akt
00: 00
08: 12
26: 12
b
+ 1 μM C5a agonist
PIP5K1C
PH-Akt
00: 00
07: 45
24: 45
c
WT
PIP5K1C
Normalized PH-Akt Response
C5a Concentration (μM), Log-axis
ns
****
****
***
d
+ 1 nM folic acid
RBD
0
65s
85s
e
+ 1 nM folic acid
PI5K
RBD
0
68s
134s
f
- DOX
+ DOX
Normalized RBD Response
Folic Acid Concentration (nM), Log-axis
ns
***
**
****
g
(Gβ-) - DOX
LimE
00: 00
02: 20
04: 54
h
(Gβ-) + DOX overnight
PI5K
LimE
00: 23
03: 10
09: 07

Figure 5 Expressing PIP5Ks increases the threshold for STEN activation (a-b) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of responses of PH-Akt-mCherry to global simulation C5a agonist at 1 μM in RAW 264.7 WT cells **(a)** or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C **(b).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(c)** Normalized PH-Akt responses (a drop of cytosolic intensity) to different doses of C5a agonist for WT (blue) and PIP5K1C cells (magenta). From the lowest to highest concentration, n_c=15 for each column from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001, ***P ≤ 0.001, ^{ns}P = 0.0408, (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(d-e)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of responses of RBD-GFP to global simulation folic acid at 1 nM in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(d)** or with overnight DOX induction **(e)**. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(f)** Normalized RBD responses (a drop of cytosolic intensity) to different doses of folic acid for WT (blue) and PIP5K1C cells (magenta). From the lowest to highest concentration, n_c=15 for each column from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001, ***P ≤ 0.001, **P ≤ 0.01, ^{ns}P = 0.2854, (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(g-h)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* Gb- cells co-expressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(g)** or with overnight DOX induction **(h).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm.


a
+ Rapamycin
CAR1-FKBP-FKBP
mCherry-FRB-MHCKC
d
+ Rapa
Cell Number
Time (min)
-7
0
9
18
18
9
0
b
+ Rapa
06: 23
16: 36
30: 40
35: 47
PI5K
MHCKC
+DOX overnight
c
37min
+ Rapa
0
e
+ 50 μM Blebbistatin
00: 00
06: 33
13: 20
17: 24
21: 42
26: 45
RBD
PI5K
f
488 nm ON
CIBN-CAAX
CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (488 nm OFF)
CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (488 nm ON)
g
488 nm ON and + 10 μM Y27632
-17: 10
-11: 51
00: 00
25: 30
54: 30
CRY2-Mypt169
PIP5K1B
h
Cell migration speed (μm/min)
****
- Rapa
+ Rapa
i
Aspect Ratio
***
- Rapa
+ Rapa
j
Aspect Ratio
**
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
k
Cell Area (μm²)
****
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
l
70 min
488 nm ON
0

Figure 6 Inhibiting myosin II activity counteracts PIP5K-induced phenotypes (a) Cartoon illustrating mechanism of MHCKC global recruitment on *Dictyostelium* membrane with the help of FKBP-FRB CID system in cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX incubation. **(b)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing CAR1-FKBP-FKBP, mCherry-FRB-MHCKC, and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction before and after 5 μM rapamycin treatment. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(c)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile corresponds to (b). **(d)** Heatmap quantification of cell migration speed at individual time points (time frame 1min/frame) of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing CAR1-FKBP-FKBP, mCherry-FRB-MHCKC, and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction before and after 5 μM rapamycin treatment. n_c=13 from at least 3 independent experiments. **(e)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction during ventral wave propagation, before and after 50 μM blebbistatin treatment. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm. **(f)** Cartoon illustrating mechanism of opto-Mypt169 global recruitment on differentiated HL-60 neutrophil membrane with the help of CRY2-CIBN optogenetic system in cells expressing PIP5K1B. **(g)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (magenta) and PIP5K1B-GFP (green), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. Cells are pretreated with 10 μM Y27632 for 10 mins. **(h-i)** Box-and-whisker plots of cell migration speed **(h)** or aspect ratio **(i)** correspond to Figure 6b. n_c=15 (e) or n_c=12 (f) from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001, ***P = 0.0005 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(j-k)** Box-and-whisker plots of aspect ratio **(j)** or cell area **(k)** correspond to Figure 6g. n_c=16 (g-h) from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001, **P = 0.0049 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(l)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile corresponds to Figure 6g.


A
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
Actin
B
Ras
PIP2
PIP5K
Ras/PIP5K/PIP2
Actin
Myosin
PKBA
100 s
perimeter
time
Total PI5K
1.01
1
0.99
0
100
200
300
Time (s)
C
Ras
-PIP5K
PKBA
PIP2
PIP5K
Actin
Myosin
D
+PIP5K OE
E
+Lat
perimeter
time
100 s

Figure 7 Stochastic, reaction-diffusion model recreates experimental observations (a) Schematic demonstrating the interactions modeled. **(b)** Kymographs of each of the six model elements for a wild-type 300 second simulation. The red arrows in the three “rear” signals (PIP2, PI5K and myosin) show the accumulation of these elements at the boundary between front and back. The bottom right panel shows the sum over the complete perimeter of PIP5K as a function of time. **(c-e)** Kymographs of simulations involving perturbations. In all cases, the simulations started with WT parameters, and the perturbation was made after 150 s (marked by the white, dotted lines). Specifically, PIP5K levels were lowered **(c)** or increased **(d)**; actin was eliminated **(e).** As in b, all kymographs show 300 s of simulated time.


PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
a
+ Lat A
b
Symmetry
Breaking
e
- LatA
Low PIP5K
Myosin II
d
pi5k-
c
WT
f
- PIP5K
High PIP5K

Figure 8 Schematic illustration showing the effects of PIP5Ks on symmetry-breaking and cell morphology. Schematic demonstrating the interactions modeled. Each cell morphology's front components and front localizations are highlighted in magenta, while back components and back localizations are highlighted in green. At rest state, back components, such as PIP5K will be uniformly distributed on plasma membrane in Lat A treated cell (a). Symmetry breaking will happen at one spot on the membrane and PIP5K moves away from the spot (b). Upon removing Lat A, the cytoskeletal activities will be restored and cell polarizes, becoming WT (c). Deleting PIP5K in WT cells will induce fan-shaped cells (d). Expressing low level PIP5K will promote cell polarity (e). Expressing high-level PIP5K will either induce fiopodias or completely shut down cells (f). This phenotype can be reversed to (e) through lowering myosin II activities.

a

```
Query  436  CCAAA--CATTGGATTCAGATGCACCAGAGGAAACATCAAATTTAAATAAAAAATCTGAA  493
            |||||  ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Sbjct  521  CCAAACTCATTGGATTCAGATGCACCAGAGGAAACATCAAATTTAAATAAAAAATCTGAA  580
```

b



c



d



e



f



g



h



1718

1719

1720

1721

1722

1723 ## Supplementary Information

1724 ## Supplementary Figures

1725 **Figure S1 *pi5k-* cells display cytokinesis and development defects. (a-b)** Verification of
1726 CRISPR-mediated disruption of PI5K. **(a)** Genomic PCR sequence analysis confirmed mutations
1727 on the *PI5K* coding genes of *PI5K* mutant clone. Sequences of WT (Sbjct) and mutation clone
1728 (Query) are presented. 2 bp deletions are highlighted in the green box, and induced stop Condon
1729 TAA is highlighted with a red box. **(b)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images (DIC)
1730 of CRISPR-mediated *pi5k-* fan-shaped (left), and *pi5k-* oscillatory cells (right). **(c)** Images of DIC
1731 channel and nuclear staining by Hoescht (merged) reveal a big increase in number of nuclei in
1732 each cell in *pi5k-* cells. **(d)** Histogram quantification of normalized cell number at different nuclei
1733 numbers for (*pi5k-*) PI5K and *pi5k-* after 65h in suspension. **(e)** WT (top) and *pi5k*$^{-}$ cells (bottom)
1734 were plated on development buffer (DB) medium agar for starvation at 6h, 18h, and 24h. WT cells
1735 aggregate normally, while *pi5k-* cells fail to aggregate. **(f-h)** Representative live-cell time-lapse
1736 confocal images of *Dictyostelium pi5k-* oscillatory cells expressing PHcrac-RFP (biosensor for
1737 PIP3) **(f)** or LimE-mCherry (biosensor for F-actin polymerization) **(g)** or CynA-GFP (biosensor for
1738 PI(3,4)P2) **(h).** Scale bars represent 5 mm.

1739

1740

1741

1742

1743

1744

1745

1746

1747

1748


a
AX2
PI5K-null
PHcrac
b
AX2
PI5K-null
LimE
c
PHcrac Patch Length/Cell Perimeter
****
PI5K-null
AX2
d
LimE Patch Length/Cell Perimeter
****
PI5K-null
AX2
e
AX2
PI5K-null
Myosin
f
AX2
PI5K-null
CynA
g
Aspect Ratio
ns
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
h
20 µm
60s
High
Low
i
20 µm
60s
High
Low
j
AX2
00:00
03:20
04:28
Myosin II
LimE
k
Myosin II
LimE
Merged
PI5K-null
00:00
00:50
05:00

Figure S2 *pi5k*- cells display less Myosin and PI(3,4)P2 activities (a-b) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells (left), and *pi5k*- cells (right) expressing PHcrac-RFP (biosensor for PIP3) **(a)** or LimE-mCherry (biosensor for F-actin polymerization) **(b)**. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(c-d**) Box-and-whisker plots of **(c)** PHcrac patch length/Cell Perimeter, **(d)** LimE patch length/Cell Perimeter. n_c=22 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(e-f**) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells (left), and *pi5k*- cells (right) expressing Myosin II-GFP **(e)** or CynA-GFP (biosensor for PI(3,4)P2) **(f)**. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(g)** Box-and-whisker plot of aspect ratio corresponds to Figure 1o, 488 nm OFF or 488 nm ON. n_c=10 from at least 3 independent experiments; 'ns' indicates non-significant difference, ns denotes P>0.05 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(h-i)** Representative membrane kymograph of LimE intensity in AX2(WT) cells **(h)** and *pi5k*- cells **(i)** respectively. A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest LimE or Myosin II intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. **(j-k)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells **(j),** or *pi5k*- cells **(k)** expressing Myosin II-GFP and LimE-mCherry (biosensor for F-actin polymerization). Cells show ventral wave propagation in the substrate-attached surface of the cell in **(k).** Scale bars represent 5 μm.


a
488 nm ON
00:21
03:37
06:11
08:38
13:46
CRY2-Inp54p
LifeAct
b
****
Cell Area (μm²)
300
200
100
0
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
c
***
Cell migration speed (μm/min)
8
6
4
2
0
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
d
ns
Aspect Ratio
3
2
1
0
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
e
488 nm ON
00:00
03:23
04:05
04:54
07:07
CRY2-CTRL
LifeAct
f
488 nm OFF
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
g
488 nm ON
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
h
488 nm OFF
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
i
488 nm ON
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
j
488 nm ON
High
Low
20 μm
60s
k
0
6 min
488 nm ON
l
488 nm ON
High
Low
20 μm
60s
m
0
17 min
488 nm ON

Figure S3 Globally recruiting Inp54p in neutrophil and macrophage induced fan-shaped phenotype (a) Time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 macrophage expressing CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p (magenta; upper panel) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (cyan; lower panel), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(b-d)** Box-and-whisker plots of **(b)** cell area, **(c)** cell migration speed, and **(d)** aspect ratio correspond to (a), 488 nm OFF or 488 nm ON. n_c=10 from at least 3 independent experiments; ****P ≤ 0.0001, 'ns' indicates non-significant difference, ns denotes P>0.05 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(e)** Time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 macrophage expressing CIBN-CAAX, empty vector CRY2PHR-mCherry-CTRL (magenta; upper panel) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (cyan; lower panel), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(f-i)** Centroid tracks of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils **(f-g)** or macrophage **(h-i)** (n_c=10) showing random motility at 488 nm OFF **(f, h)**, or 488 nm ON **(g, i)**. Each track lasted at least 5 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(j-k)** Representative kymograph of cortical LifeAct intensity in Inp54p-expressing neutrophil **(j)** or macrophage **(k)** before or after 488 nm laser was turned on. A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest LifeAct intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. Duration of the kymograph is 5 mins. **(l-m)** color-coded temporal overlay profiles of differentiated HL-60 neutrophil **(l)** and macrophage **(m)** expressing CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p. Square brackets indicate the range of recruitment.


a
PIP5K
RBD
Merged
00: 00
07: 27
13: 41
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
b
+ cAMP
PIP5K
PHcrac
-53s
0
12s
53s
116s
c
+ cAMP
PHcrac-RFP
PI5K-GFP
Normalized cytosolic intensity
t/s
d
+ cAMP
PH-PLCδ
PHcrac
-78s
0
9s
24s
225s
e
+ cAMP
PH-RFP
PHPLCd1-GFP
Normalized cytosolic intensity
t/s
f
1
316
718
301
718
316
718
1
315
mRFP
Kinase domain
g
PIP5K (301-718aa)
00: 00
09: 06
15: 36
h
488nm ON
PIP5K (316-718aa)
0
72s
80s
360s
i
+ Rapa
PIP5K (1-315aa)
00: 00
12: 50
22: 00
26: 52

Figure S4 PI5K displays dynamic partitioning upon cAMP global stimulation **(a)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PI5K-mCherry and RBD-GFP during ventral wave propagation, showing PI5K dynamically localizes to the back-state regions in ventral waves. Line-scan intensity profiles are shown in the bottommost panels. Red line and green line represent PI5K and RBD, respectively. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(b-e)** Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells co-expressing PI5K-GFP and PHcrac-RFP **(b)** or PHPLCδ–GFP and PHcrac-RFP **(d)** upon global cAMP stimulation, demonstrating that upon transient global activation of cAR1 receptors, PHcrac gets uniformly recruited to membrane whereas PI5K and PHPLCδ remained steadily membrane-bound throughout the entire time course of the experiment. PHPLCδ had about 5% response. At time t=53s or 78s, 1 μM (final concentration) cAMP was added. Time series plot of normalized cytosolic intensities of PI5K and PHCrac **(c)** or PHPLCδ and PHcrac **(e),** showing the kinetics of the response upon global stimulation with cAMP In all these figures, vertical dashed lines are used to indicate the time of stimulation. Mean ± SEM are shown for n_c=18 cells. **(f)** Schematic representation of PI5K and the derived truncations. **(g)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells expressing PI5K-GFP (301-718aa) during migration showing PI5K (301-718aa) dynamically localizes at the trailing edge in migrating cells. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(h)** Time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing mRFPmars-SspBR73Q-PI5K(316-718aa), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(i)** Time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing mCherry-FRB-PI5K(1-315aa), before or after 5 μM Rapamycin was added. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.


a
0
296s
617s
PIP5K +DOX overnight
b
- DOX
Y-Distance (µm)
X-Distance (µm)
c
+ DOX overnight
Y-Distance (µm)
X-Distance (µm)
g
PIP5K -DOX
PIP5K +DOX overnight
d
Cell Area (µm²)
no dox
2h dox
dox overnight
e
Intensity/Cell Area
2h dox
4h dox
dox overnight
f
Aspect Ratio
no dox
2h dox
dox overnight
k
0
254s
713s
PIP5K + 2h DOX
h
PIP5K -DOX
j
FITC Intensity/Cell Area
no dox
dox overnight
i
PIP5K +DOX overnight
PI5K
l
PIP5K +DOX overnight
11 min
0
m
PIP5K + 2h DOX
6 min
0
n
PIP5K (K681N, K682N)
6 min
0
r
226s
352s
PIP5K (K681N, K682N)
o
+ 2h DOX
Y-Distance (µm)
X-Distance (µm)
p
PIP5K1C
35 min
0
q
PIP5K1B
Y-Distance (µm)
X-Distance (µm)

Figure S5 Expressing PI5K induces macropinocytosis defects (a) Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction (spiky). Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(b-c)** Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=20) showing random motility in cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(b)** or with overnight DOX induction **(c)**. Each track lasted at least 10 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(d-f)** Box-and-whisker plots cell area **(d),** mean intensity **(e),** and aspect ratio **(f).** n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(g)** Representative z-stack imaging showing the height of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction (left) or with overnight DOX induction (right). Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(h-i)** Representative confocal images of Dictyostelium cells without DOX induction **(h)** or with overnight DOX induction **(i).** Cells were treated with FITC-dextran (green) for 10mins before imaging. The yellow outline corresponds cell area. Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(j)** Quantification of macropinocytosis uptake. n_c=58 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(k, r)** Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with 2h DOX induction **(k)** or PI5K (K681N, K682N) **(r).** Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(l-n)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction (spiky) **(l),** or doxycycline-inducible PI5K with 2h DOX induction **(m),** or PI5K (K681N, K682N) **(n). (o)** Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=20) showing random motility in cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with 2h DOX induction. Each track lasted at least 10 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(p)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5K1C (rounded). **(q)** Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=15) showing random motility in differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5K1B. Each track lasted at least 10 minutes and was reset to the same origin.


a
PIP5K1C
00 : 00
07 : 17
b
PIP5K1B
00 : 00
04 : 20
13 : 00
c
PIP5K1C
00 : 00
08 : 45
16 : 46
d
PIP5K1B
13 min
0
e
PIP5K1C
19 min
0
f
****
****
Cell Area (μm²)
400
300
200
100
0
WT
PIP5K1B
PIP5K1C
g
HL60 WT
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
h
PIP5K1C
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
i
HL60 WT
17 min
0
j
PIP5K1B
13 min
0
n
PIP5K1B
****
Mean Membrane Intensity
60000
40000
20000
0
Polarized
Round
o
PIP5K1C
****
Mean Membrane Intensity
15000
10000
5000
0
Polarized
Round
k
WT +PMA 48h
20 min
0
l
PIP5K1B +PMA 48h
21 min
0
m
PIP5K1C +PMA 48h
9 min
0
p
PIP5K1C +PMA 48h
00 : 00
03 : 58
q
488 nm ON
iLiD-CAAX
(488 nm OFF)
iLiD-CAAX
(488 nm ON)
Crismon-
SspB R73Q-
PIP5K1C

Figure S6 Expressing PIP5Ks impairs cell migration and induces cell contraction (a-c) Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5K1C (rounded) **(a),** PIP5K1C (polarized) **(b)** , or PIP5K1C (polarized) **(c).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(d-e)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5K1B (polarized) **(d),** or PIP5K1C (polarized) **(e). (f)** Box-and-whisker plots of cell migration speed. n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(g-h)** Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=15) showing random motility in differentiated HL-60 neutrophils WT **(g),** or expressing PIP5K1C **(h).** Each track lasted at least 10 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(i-j)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils (WT) **(i),** or expressing PIP5K1B **(j). (k-m)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of differentiated HL-60 macrophages (WT) **(k),** or expressing PIP5K1B **(l),** or expressing PIP5K1C **(m). (n-o)** Box-and-whisker plots of mean membrane intensity for differentiated HL-60 neutrophils expressing PIP5K1B **(n)** or PIP5K1C **(o).** n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(p)** Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 macrophages expressing PIP5K1C. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(q)** Cartoon illustrating mechanism of PIP5K1C global recruitment on MDA-MB-231 cell membrane with the help of iLiD-SspB optogenetic system.


a
488 nm ON
-12: 21
-06: 26
01: 00
12: 06
28: 53
42: 30
SspB-PIP5K1C
b
55 min
488 nm ON
0
c
0
40 min
488 nm ON
d
0
488 nm ON
32 min
f
ns
Cell Area (μm²)
2000
1500
1000
500
0
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
g
ns
Aspect Ratio
6
4
2
0
488 nm OFF
488 nm ON
e
488 nm ON
-05: 05
00: 10
13: 18
27: 45
SspB-CTRL

Figure S7 Globally recruiting empty vector in MDA-MB-231 cells do not induce cell contraction (a) Time-lapse confocal images of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing crimson-SspB-PIP5K1C-P2A-iLiD-CAAX, before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 μm. Blue arrows indicate where retraction fibers or blebs are formed. Cells are pretreated with 10 ng/ml EGF for 10 mins**. (b-d)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile corresponds to Figure S7a, 3k, and S7e. (e) Time-lapse confocal images of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing empty vector crimson-SspB-MCS-P2A-iLiD-CAAX, before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 μm. **(f-g)** Box-and-whisker plots of **(f)** cell area, **(g)** aspect ratio correspond to (m-n). n_c=10 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, 'ns' indicates non-significant difference, ns denotes P>0.05 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10).


a
20 µm
60s
High
Low
b
20 µm
60s
High
Low
c
20 µm
60s
High
Low
d
20 µm
60s
High
Low
e
+DOX overnight
11s
170s
352s
PIP5K
RBD
f
+DOX overnight
22s
289s
591s
PIP5K
PHcrac
g
-DOX
0
222s
346s
h
+DOX overnight
0
143s
288s
PIP5K
LimE
i
+DOX overnight
0
146s
325s
PIP5K
LimE

Figure S8 Expressing PI5K can induce spiky filopods and suppresses signal transduction and cytoskeletal activities (a-b) Representative membrane kymograph of RBD intensity in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(a)** or with overnight DOX induction (spiky) **(b).** A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest RBD intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. **(c-d)** Representative membrane kymograph of PHcrac intensity in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(c)** or with overnight DOX induction (spiky) **(d).** A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest RBD intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. **(e-f)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction (spiky) and RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) **(e)** or PHcrac-YFP (biosensor for PIP3) **(f)**. Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(g-i)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing LimE-mCherry (biosensor for F-actin) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(g)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(h),** or with overnight DOX induction (spiky) **(i).** Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.


a
****
****
LimE Patch Length/Cell Perimeter
LimE Patch Number/Cell
0.0
0.1
0.2
0.3
0
5
10
no dox
dox overnight
no dox
dox overnight
b
LimE -DOX
152s
c
LimE +DOX overnight
227s
d
-DOX
00: 00
02: 32
07: 08
Pak1
e
+DOX overnight
00: 00
02: 07
06: 00
PIP5K
Pak1
f
+DOX overnight
00: 00
02: 07
06: 00
PIP5K
Pak1
g
****
****
Rac1 Patch Length/Cell Perimeter
Rac1 Patch Number/Cell
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
0
5
10
no dox
dox overnight
no dox
dox overnight
h
-DOX
02: 44
04: 53
07: 00
ArpC
i
+DOX overnight
04: 07
06: 10
09: 28
PIP5K
ArpC
j
(abnABC-) -DOX
00: 53
02: 56
06: 11
RBD
k
(abnABC-) +DOX overnight
00: 29
02: 28
03: 37
PIP5K
RBD

217s

Figure S9 Expressing PI5K inhibits Rac1/Arp2/3 complex/F-actin axis (a) Box-and-whisker plots of LimE patch size (left axis) and LimE patch number (right axis). n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(b-c)** *t*-stacks from a cell co-expressing LimE-mCherry and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(b)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(c).** The white arrow corresponds to the time duration of the *t*-stack kymograph. **(d-f)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing Pak1-GFP (biosensor for Rac1) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(d)** or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) **(e),** or with overnight DOX induction (spiky) **(f).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(g)** Box-and-whisker plots of Pak1 patch size (left axis) and Pak1 patch number (right axis). n_c=20 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(h-i)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing ArpC-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(h)** or with overnight DOX induction **(i).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(j-k)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* abnABC- cells co-expressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(j)** or with overnight DOX induction **(k).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.


a
0
282s
681s
PIP5K
+ 2h DOX
RBD
b
0
280s
280s
PIP5K
+ 2h DOX
PHcrac
c
00: 34
08: 08
13: 58
PIP5K (K681N, K682N)
RBD
d
00: 00
03: 30
11: 36
PIP5K (K681N, K682N)
LimE
e
High
Low
20 µm
60s
f
High
Low
20 µm
60s
g
High
Low
20 µm
60s
h
High
Low
20 µm
60s

217s

Figure S10 Expressing less PI5K increases cell polarity but inhibits signal transduction activities (a-b) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP **(a)** or PHcrac-YFP **(b)** and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with 2h DOX induction. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(c-d)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-RFP **(c)** or LimE-RFP **(d)** and PI5K (K681N, K682N). Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(e-f)** Representative membrane kymograph of RBD intensity in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(e)** or with overnight DOX induction **(f),** even in the absence of actin cytoskeleton. Cells were pre-treated with actin polymerization inhibitor Latrunculin A (final concentration 5μM) and caffeine (final concentration 4mM) for 20min. A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest RBD intensity, whereas yellow has the highest. **(g-h)** Representative membrane kymograph of PHcrac intensity in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(g)** or with overnight DOX induction **(h),** even in the absence of actin cytoskeleton. Cells were pre-treated with actin polymerization inhibitor Latrunculin A (final concentration 5μM) and caffeine (final concentration 4mM) for 20min. A linear color map shows that blue has the lowest PHcrac intensity, whereas yellow has the highest.


a
00: 00
08: 12
16: 50
C2GAPB
PI5K
b
+ C2GAPB
17 min
0
c
- C2GAPB
+ C2GAPB
14 min
0
14 min
0
d
- C2GAPB
+ C2GAPB
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
e
Cell migration speed (μm/min)
****
- DOX
+ DOX

Figure S11 Expressing C2GAPB in *pi5k-* cell increases cell polarity and migration (a) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K C2GAPB and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(b)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile of the cell corresponds to **(a). (c)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of *Dictyostelium pi5k-* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible C2GAPB without DOX induction (left) or with overnight DOX induction (right). **(d)** Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=16) showing random motility in *pi5k-* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible C2GAPB without DOX induction (left) or with overnight DOX induction (right). Each track lasted at least 10 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(e)** Box-and-whisker plot of cell migration speed corresponds to (c). n_c=16 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****$P \leq 0.0001$ (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10).


a
+ 0.1 μM C5a agonist
PH-Akt
00: 00
03: 58
17: 45
b
+ 0.1 μM C5a agonist
PIP5K1C
PH-Akt
00: 00
07: 37
29: 00
c
+ 1 μM C5a agonist
PH-Akt
00: 00
08: 12
26: 12
d
+ 1 μM C5a agonist
PIP5K1C
PH-Akt
00: 00
07: 45
24: 45
e
+ 10 μM C5a agonist
PH-Akt
00: 00
07: 48
26: 38
f
+ 10 μM C5a agonist
PIP5K1C
PH-Akt
00: 00
07: 20
21: 22
g
+ 100 μM C5a agonist
PH-Akt
00: 00
13: 31
25: 06
h
+ 100 μM C5a agonist
PIP5K1C
PH-Akt
00: 00
18: 00
26: 20

Figure S12 Expressing PIP5Ks in RAW 264.7 cells increases the threshold for PI3K activation (a-h) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of responses of PH-Akt-mCherry to global simulation C5aR agonist at 0.1 μM in RAW 264.7 WT cells **(a)** or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C **(b)**; or at 1 μM in RAW 264.7 WT cells **(c)** or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C **(d);** or at 10 μM in RAW 264.7 WT cells **(e)** or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C **(f);** or at 100 μM in RAW 264.7 WT cells **(g)** or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C **(h).** Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.


a
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
b
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
c
+ 100 μM C5a
+ 100 μM C5a
PH-Akt
PIP5K1C
d
+ 100 nM FA
+ 100 nM FA
RBD
PI5K + DOX
e
Normalized Cell Number
0.1
1
10
100
< 0.05 (PIP5K1C)
> 0.2 (PIP5K1C)
< 0.05 (control)
> 0.2 (control)
C5a concentration (μM), Log-axis
f
Normalized Cell Number
< 0.05 (+ DOX)
> 0.2 (+ DOX)
< 0.05 (- DOX)
> 0.2 (- DOX)
FA concentration (nM), Log-axis
g
PI5K -DOX
PI5K +DOX overnight polarized shape
PI5K +DOX overnight rounder shape

Figure S13 Expressing PI5K induces chemotaxis defects (a-b) Line-scan intensity profiles correspond to Figure 5d-e. **(c)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of 5% (left) or 20% (right) responses of PH-Akt-mCherry to global simulation C5a agonist at 100 μM in RAW 264.7 cells overexpressing PIP5K1C. **(d)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of 5% (left) or 20% (right) responses of RBD-GFP to global simulation folic acid (FA) at 100 nM in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction. **(e)** Histogram quantification of normalized cell number at different doses of C5a agonist in RAW 264.7 WT cells or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C**.** Gray columns represent PIP5K1C cells that have < 5% responses. Yellow columns represent PIP5K1C cells that have > 20% responses. Blue columns represent WT cells that have < 5% responses. Orange columns represent WT cells that have > 20% responses. **(f)** Histogram quantification of normalized cell number at different doses of folic acid (FA) in in *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction (- DOX) or with overnight DOX induction (+ DOX). Green columns represent + DOX cells that have < 5% responses. Cyan columns represent + DOX cells that have > 20% responses. Dark blue columns represent - DOX cells that have < 5% responses. Orange columns represent - DOX cells that have > 20% responses. **(g)** Color-coded temporal overlay profiles of vegetative *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX (left), or polarized cells with overnight DOX induction (middle), or rounded cells with overnight DOX induction (right), chemotaxing to 10 μM folic acid. The green box is where the center of the chemoattractant source.


a
PI5K -DOX
PI5K +DOX overnight
Y-Distance (µm)
X-Distance (µm)
150
100
50
0
-50
-100
-150
b
Directional migration speed (µm/min)
***
- DOX
+ DOX
c
Aspect Ratio
****
- DOX
+ DOX

Figure S14 Quantifications of directed migration speed with or without PI5K expression (a) Centroid tracks of cells (n_c=13) showing chemotaxis motility in cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction (left) or with overnight DOX induction (right). Each track lasted 30 minutes, and the center of the chemoattractant source was reset to origin. **(b-c)** Scatter dot plots of directed cell migration speed (b) and aspect ratio (c) corresponds to Figure S12e. n_c=18 from at least 2 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001, ***P ≤ 0.001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10).


a
b
c
d
Norm. Intensity
Distance (μm)
- Rapa
+ Rapa
Y-Distance (μm)
X-Distance (μm)
e
488 nm ON
00: 00
03: 19
08: 30
17: 00
CRY2-Mypt169
LifeAct
f
18 min
488 nm ON
0
g
488 nm ON
00: 00
05: 19
08: 11
08: 11
SspB-Mypt169
02: 35
PIP5K1C
h
9 min
488 nm ON
0

Figure S15 Globally recruiting Mypt169 in neutrophil and macrophage induces cell polarity and protrusive activities (a-b) Line-scan intensity profiles correspond to Figure 6b time point 30: 40 **(a)** or 35: 47 **(b). (c-d)** Centroid tracks of cells correspond to Figure 6b (n_c=15), showing random motility before **(c)** and after **(d)** 5 μM rapamycin treatment. Each track lasted at least 15 minutes and was reset to the same origin. **(e)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 macrophage expressing CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (magenta) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (Cyan), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Blue arrows indicate where protrusions are formed. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. **(f)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile corresponds to (j). **(g)** Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of RAW 264.7 cells expressing CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (magenta) and PIP5K1C-GFP (green), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Blue arrows indicate where protrusions are formed. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm. Cells are pretreated with 10 μM C5a agonist for 10 mins. **(h)** Color-coded temporal overlay profile corresponds to (l).


a
-DOX
69s
89s
178s
ABD120
LimE
b
+DOX overnight
0s
120s
249s
PI5K
ABD120
LimE
c
- DOX
phalloidin
d
+DOX overnight
phalloidin
PI5K
e
ABD120-LimE ratio
****
- DOX
+ DOX
f
+ 100 μM CK666
+DOX overnight
03: 50
08: 06
11: 57
17: 56
27: 45
LimE
PI5K

Figure S16 Expressing PI5K induces a shift to cortical actin at the cell rear (a-b) Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing ABD120-GFP (green), LimE-Halo (magenta), and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(l)** or with overnight DOX induction **(m).** Time in sec format. Scale bars represent 5 µm. **(c-d)** Phalloidin stain of *Dictyostelium* AX2 doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction **(c)** or with overnight DOX induction **(d). (e)** Box-and-whisker plot of ABD120-LimE ratio corresponds to (a-b). n_c=17 from at least 3 independent experiments; asterisks indicate significant difference, ****P ≤ 0.0001 (Mann-Whitney test. Compare ranks). The median is at the center, and whiskers and outliers are graphed according to Tukey's convention (GraphPad Prism 10). **(f)** Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing LimE-mCherry and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction, before and after 100 µM CK666 treatment. Time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 µm.


pi5k-
Extracellular Fluid
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
Cytosol
WT
Extracellular Fluid
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
Cytosol
low PIP5K
expression
Extracellular Fluid
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
Cytosol
High PIP5K
expression
Extracellular Fluid
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
Cytosol
High PIP5K
expression
Extracellular Fluid
PI(4,5)P2
PIP5K
Myosin II
Ras
Akt/
PKB
actin
Cytosol
Increased Signal Transduction Network Activity

Figure S17 Schematic illustration showing the effect of PIP5Ks on cell morphology, signal transduction, and cytoskeletal dynamics. Cells display different morphology at different PIP5Ks expression levels as shown on the left of this figure. The front region of the cell at each cell morphology is shown in yellow, while the back region of the cell is shown in green. The blue box on each cell represents the zoom-in region on the right side of the figure. The yellow or green-shaded lipid head groups at each zoomed-in box represent the inner leaflet membrane. The headgroups of the inner leaflet lipid molecules that are enriched in front-state are shown in yellow, while the headgroups that are enriched in back-state are shown in green. Actin or actomyosin structures are shown at the front-state or bac-state of the cell, respectively. The black box on each lipid bilayer represents the zoom-in region of the lipid bilayer and the signaling pathways within this region. In each condition, the lighter color icons represent the depleted signaling components. Green arrow represents the increased change of this signaling molecular, while orange arrow represents the decreased change.

Supplementary Video Legends

Video S1

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells and *pi5k*- cells expressing PHPLCδ-YFP (biosensor for PI(4,5)P2), CynA-GFP (biosensor for PI(3,4)P2), and mhcA-GFP. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bar represents 10 μm.

Video S2

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells and *pi5k*- cells expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras), PHcrac-RFP (biosensor for PIP3), and LimE-mCherry (biosensor for actin polymerization). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bar represents 10 μm.

Video S3

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 (WT) cells and *pi5k*- cells expressing mhcA-GFP (green) and LimE-mCherry (magenta). In *pi5k*- cells, ventral wave activities of mhcA and LimE can be observed. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bar represents 10 μm in *pi5k*- cells and 5 μm in AX2 cells, respectively.

Video S4

Time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophil and macrophage expressing CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Inp54p (magenta) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (cyan), or differentiated HL60 macrophage expressing untagged CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-empty vector (magenta) and LifeAct-miRFP703 (cyan), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. To start recruitment (magenta), the laser was switched on at '03:09', or '03:51', or '03:30', once '488 nm ON' appears at the top of the video. Cell was not exposed to chemoattractant during the experiment. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Video S5

Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PI5K-GFP and PHcrac–RFP (biosensor for PIP3), differentiated HL-60 neutrophil expressing PIP5K1B, or PIP5K1C and LifeAct (Cyna) during migration showing PI5K dynamically moves away from protrusions in migrating cells. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm.

Video S6

Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PI5K-GFP and PHcrac-RFP (biosensor for PIP3), PI5K-mCherry and RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras), PI5K-mCherry and PHPLCδ–GFP (biosensor for PI(4,5)P2), differentiated HL-60 macrophage expressing PIP5K1B and LifeAct, and Dictyostelium cells coexpressing PI5K-mRFP and RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) upon Latrunculin A treatment, during ventral wave propagation, showing PI5K dynamically localizes to the back-state regions in ventral waves. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm.

Video S7

Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells co-expressing PI5K-GFP and PHcrac-RFP, or PHPLCδ–GFP and PHcrac-RFP upon global cAMP stimulation. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. To start global stimulation, cAMP was added at ‘00:53’, or ’01:17’, once ‘+ cAMP’ appears at the top of the video. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Video S8

Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline inducible KikGR-PI5K with overnight DOX incubation. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Photo conversion happened at ‘01:50’, ’05:30’, or ’11:34’. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Video S9

Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells expressing PI5K-GFP (301-718aa) during migration showing PI5K (301-718aa) dynamically localizes at the trailing edge in migrating cells, or expressing mRFPmars-SspBR73Q-PI5K(316-718aa), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally, or expressing mCherry-FRB-PI5K(1-315aa), before or after 5 μM Rapamycin was added. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. To start recruitment, the laser was switched on at ‘01:20’, once ‘488 nm ON’ appears at the top of the video, or Rapamycin was added at ‘12:51’, once ‘+ Rapamycin’ appears at the top of the video. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S10

Representative live-cell images of *Dictyostelium* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with 2h DOX incubation, or with overnight DOX induction (rounded), or with overnight DOX induction (spiky), or expressing PI5K(K681N, K682N). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 mm for first 3 videos and 10 mm for last video.

Video S11

Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 neutrophils (WT) expressing LifeAct as the biosensor, or expressing PIP5K1B (rounded), or expressing PIP5K1B (polarized), or expressing PIP5K1C (rounded), or expressing PIP5K1C (polarized). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S12

Representative live-cell images of differentiated HL-60 macrophage (WT) expressing PH-Akt as the biosensor, or expressing PIP5K1B (rounded), or expressing PIP5K1C (rounded). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S13

Time-lapse confocal images of MDA-MB-231 cells expressing crimson-SspB-PIP5K1C-P2A-iLiD-CAAX or expressing crimson-SspB-empty vector-P2A-iLiD-CAAX, before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Top left corner shows time in hour:min:sec or min:sec format. To start recruitment, the laser was switched on at ’00:12:21’, or ’09:44’, or ’04:55’, once ‘488 nm ON’ appears at the top of the video. Scale bar represents 10 μm.

Video S14

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) or PHcrac-YFP (biosensor for PIP3) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with 2h DOX incubation, or with overnight DOX induction

(rounded), or with overnight DOX induction (spiky). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S15

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction during ventral wave propagation. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

Video S16

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing Pak1-GFP (biosensor for Rac1), or ArpC-GFP, or LimE-mCherry and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction (rounded) or with overnight DOX induction (spiky). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S17

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium abnABC-* cells co-expressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S18

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-RFP, or LimE-RFP and PI5K (K681N, K682N). Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S19

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of Dictyostelium *pi5k-* cells expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K C2GAPB without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction, or *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K C2GAPB and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 10 μm for first 2 videos, and 5 μm for last video.

Video S20

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing RBD-GFP (biosensor for activated Ras), or PHcrac-YFP (biosensor for PIP3) and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction upon Latrunculin A treatment. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S21

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of responses of PH-Akt-mCherry to global simulation C5aR agonist in RAW 264.7 WT cells, or cells overexpressing PIP5K1C at at 0.1 μM-100 μM. To start global stimulation, C5a agonist was added at ‘00:53’, or ’06:09’, or ’05:45’, or ’06:40’, or ’16:42’, or ’03:42’, or ’06:21’, or ‘05:55’, or ’12:52’, once ‘+ C5a’ appears at the top of the video. Scale bar represents 5 μm.

Video S22

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium Gb-* cells co-expressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S23

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of vegetative *Dictyostelium* AX2 expressing doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX, or with overnight DOX induction, chemotaxing to 10 mM folic acid-filled micropipette. The white box is where the center of the chemoattractant source. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 20 μm.

Video S24

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing CAR1-FKBP-FKBP, mCherry-FRB-MHCKC, and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction before and after 5 μM rapamycin treatment. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. To start recruitment, Rapamycin was added at '14:03', once '+ Rapamycin' appears at the top of the video. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

Videos S25

(e) Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing RBD-GFP and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction during ventral wave propagation, before and after 50 μM blebbistatin treatment. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Blebbistatin was added at '06:33', once '+ Blebbistatin' appears at the top of the video. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

Videos S26

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of differentiated HL-60 macrophage expressing untagged CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (magenta), or differentiated HL-60 neutrophil and macrophage expressing untagged CIBN-CAAX, CRY2PHR-mCherry-Mypt169 (magenta), and PIP5K1B-GFP (green), before or after 488 nm laser was switched on globally. Top left corner shows time in min:sec or hour:min:sec format. To start recruitment (magenta), the laser was switched on at '03:41', or '00:05:55', or '02:51', once '488 nm ON' appears at the top of the video. Cell was not exposed to chemoattractant during the experiment. Scale bar represents 10 μm for the frist video, and 5 μm for the rest two videos.

Video S27

Representative live-cell time-lapse confocal images of *Dictyostelium* AX2 co-expressing ABD120-GFP (green), LimE-Halo (magenta), and doxycycline-inducible PI5K without DOX induction, or with overnight DOX induction. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. Scale bars represent 5 μm.

Video S28

Representative live-cell time-lapse images of Dictyostelium cells coexpressing LimE-mCherry and doxycycline-inducible PI5K with overnight DOX induction, before and after 100 μM CK666 treatment. Top left corner shows time in min:sec format. CK666 was added at '12:23', once '+ CK666' appears at the top of the video. Scale bars represent 5 μm.