Heritability of movie-evoked brain activity and connectivity

David C. Gruskin [1] ✉, **Daniel J. Vieira** [2], **Jessica K. Lee** [2], **Gaurav H. Patel** [2,3]

[1]Medical Scientist Training Program, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York 10032, USA; [2]Division of Experimental Therapeutics, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York, New York 10032, USA; [3]Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York 10032, USA

✉ **For correspondence:**
david.gruskin@columbia.edu

Data and code availability: The raw HCP data used for this project can be downloaded from ConnectomeDB. Code for all analyses will be posted on Github upon publication.

Funding: See Acknowledgments.

Abstract

The neural bases of sensory processing are conserved across people, but no two individuals experience the same stimulus in exactly the same way. Recent work has established that the idiosyncratic nature of subjective experience is underpinned by individual variability in brain responses to sensory information. However, the fundamental origins of this individual variability have yet to be systematically investigated. Here, we establish a genetic basis for individual differences in sensory processing by quantifying (1) the heritability of high-dimensional brain responses to movies and (2) the extent to which this heritability is grounded in lower-level aspects of brain function. Specifically, we leverage 7T fMRI data collected from a twin sample to first show that movie-evoked brain activity and connectivity patterns are heritable across the cortex. Next, we use hyperalignment to decompose this heritability into genetic similarity in *where* vs. *how* sensory information is processed. Finally, we show that the heritability of brain activity patterns can be partially explained by the heritability of the neural timescale, a one-dimensional measure of local circuit functioning. These results demonstrate that brain responses to complex stimuli are heritable, and that this heritability is due, in part, to genetic control over stable aspects of brain function.

Keywords: fMRI, heritability, movie-watching, functional connectivity, intersubject correlation

Introduction

Although the neural machinery that allows us to process sensory information is broadly conserved across people, no two individuals experience the same sensory stimulus in exactly the same way. What underlying factors give rise to this person-to-person variability in subjective experience? Recent work has established that the idiosyncratic nature of subjective experience is reflected in idiosyncratic brain responses to sensory information, and that how an individual processes a stimulus is shaped by their psychosocial background and previous experiences. For example, individuals who share more similar personality traits (Finn et al., 2018) and political orientations (van Baar et al., 2021) exhibit more similar interpretations of, and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) responses to, relevant audiovisual stimuli, as do individuals who are primed with more similar contextual information before listening to an ambiguous narrative (Yeshurun et al., 2017). Here, we extend this work by investigating a more fundamental source of variability in sensory-evoked brain responses and the experiences they represent: our genes.

Whether individual variability in a given trait is due to environmental or genetic factors is a central question in biology, and the extent to which this variability is underpinned by variation in

genetics is captured by heritability (or h^2). Recent studies have quantified the heritability of various aspects of sensory brain function, revealing a genetic basis for patterns of brain activity elicited by auditory tones and visual gratings in sensory cortices (Alvarez et al., 2021; Pelt et al., 2012; Renvall et al., 2012). However, the unimodal and low-dimensional nature of these stimuli may not capture the full complexity of real-life sensory experiences and the brain responses they evoke. Consequently, the extent to which genetic factors influence brain responses to more naturalistic stimuli, especially in higher-level association areas that are less responsive to simple stimuli, remains unclear.

In addition to activity patterns within individual brain areas, information can also be encoded in the functional connectivity (FC) between multiple areas or networks (Chen et al., 2014; Kohn et al., 2016). Research into the heritability of FC and related measures has largely focused on data acquired while subjects are at rest, during which an individual's unique FC profile (i.e., pattern of pairwise FC strengths), describes their brain's intrinsic functional architecture (Anderson et al., 2021; Burger et al., 2022; Busch et al., 2023; Dworetsky et al., 2024; Glahn et al., 2010; Sinclair et al., 2015; van den Heuvel & Hulshoff Pol, 2010). These studies have demonstrated that a range of resting state FC (rest FC)-derived measures are moderately heritable, and similar findings have resulted from work characterizing the heritability of FC during task performance, which additionally reflects the processing of information relevant to the task at hand (Cole et al., 2021; Elliott et al., 2019; Korgaonkar et al., 2014). Although this work has shed significant light on the genetic basis of FC during rest and cognition, the heritability of sensory-evoked FC patterns, which are known to encode stimulus features (Chen et al., 2014) and track individual differences in behavior (Finn & Bandettini, 2021), has yet to be investigated.

Finally, brain activity and connectivity patterns are complex phenomena that arise from a variety of physiological processes. Although the heritability estimates established by previous work could reflect emergent aspects of brain function, it might instead be possible to reduce them to genetic control over lower-level neural, vascular, and metabolic processes. For example, although the human cortex is topographically organized into areas that are specialized for processing specific kinds of information (e.g., facial features), the locations of these areas and the tuning patterns within them vary widely across individuals (Gordon et al., 2017; Haxby et al., 2020; Petersen et al., 2024). As such, these cortical topographies, or individual-specific maps of *where* stimulus features are processed, emerge over the course of development and constrain the activity and connectivity patterns an individual will exhibit during sensory processing. Independent of *where* stimuli are processed, stable aspects of brain function also shape high-dimensional activity and connectivity patterns by influencing *how* information is processed. For example, recent work from Shinn et al. (Shinn et al., 2023) showed that individual variability in higher-order aspects of brain function like FC profiles can be traced back to variability in simpler, low-level phenomena like temporal autocorrelation of the BOLD signal. More specifically, a measure of temporal autocorrelation known as the neural timescale (NT) is thought to reflect the strength of local recurrent excitation (Cavanagh et al., 2020) but is also closely tied to the organization of brain-wide FC profiles (Shinn et al., 2023).Given that lower-level properties like functional topography (Alvarez et al., 2021; Anderson et al., 2021; Dworetsky et al., 2024) and BOLD temporal autocorrelation (Christova et al., 2022) are themselves heritable, it remains unclear (1) to what extent high-dimensional brain responses to naturalistic stimuli are heritable and (2) how much of this heritability can be reduced to genetic control over these stable spatial and temporal aspects of brain function.

In the present work, we address these questions by analyzing 7T fMRI recordings of a twin sample acquired by the Human Connectome Project (Van Essen et al., 2013) to quantify the heritability of stimulus-evoked brain activity and connectivity across the cortex. Here, we focus on fMRI data acquired during movie-watching, as the rich and multimodal nature of movies engages multiple sensory and associative regions as well as the connections between them, making them well-suited for broadly assessing individual differences in sensory processing. Leveraging a multi-dimensional estimator of heritability (Anderson et al., 2021), we first show that individuals who are more genetically similar exhibit more similar blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) time courses

during movie-watching, and that the relative heritability of BOLD time courses across the cortex is stable across different movies/days of data collection. We also demonstrate that FC profiles during movie-watching are similarly heritable, and that FC profile heritability in sensory networks is higher during movie-watching than at rest. Finally, we ground the heritability of high-dimensional BOLD time courses and FC profiles in genetic control over stable spatial (e.g., functional cortical topography) and temporal (e.g., neural timescale) aspects of brain function. Taken together, these results characterize the degree to which mesoscale sensory processing is controlled by genetics and illustrate the benefits of a reductionist approach to studying the heritability of complex neurobiological phenomena, providing a foundation for future multi-scale studies of the mechanisms that underlie heritable differences in brain function.

Methods

Participants

Data used for this project come from the 178 subjects in the Human Connectome Project (HCP) Young Adult 7T release who completed every movie-watching run (Van Essen et al., 2013). All participants were healthy individuals between the ages of 22 and 36 (mean age = 29.4 years, standard deviation = 3.3) and provided informed written consent as part of their participation in the study. Self-reported racial identity in this sample was 87.6% White, 7.3% Black or African American, 3.9% Asian/Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, and 1.1% unknown/not reported, and 1.7% of the sample identified as Hispanic/Latino. HCP twin zygosity was determined by genotyping (168 subjects) or self-report (4 subjects), which identified 51 monozygotic twin pairs and 34 dizygotic twin pairs in the present sample, as well as 2 pairs of non-twin siblings and 4 singletons. All sibling pairs shared the same gender. Out of these 178 subjects, we identified 690 unrelated dyads who were matched in gender and age in years. Because two of these participants (from two separate MZ twin pairs) did not complete every resting state run, analyses involving resting state data use a sample size of n = 176.

fMRI data

All fMRI data were collected on a 7T Siemens Magnetom scanner across four sessions spanning multiple days. Each day involved two resting state (900 volumes) and two movie-watching scans (variable durations) across two sessions, all with the following sequence: time of repetition (TR) = 1000 ms, echo time (TE) = 22.2 ms, number of slices = 85, flip angle = 45 degrees, spatial resolution = 1.6 mm^3. During movie runs, subjects passively watched short clips from either independent films or major motion pictures as well as a montage of brief videos. More information on these clips can be found at https://db.humanconnectome.org. Each video clip was preceded by 20 seconds of rest, so we discarded all volumes that took place during these rest blocks as well as the first 20 volumes of each clip to prevent rest data and onset transients from biasing our intersubject correlation (ISC) measurements. Rest and movie data from the same day were normalized and concatenated, yielding one rest run (1800 volumes) and one movie run (1432 volumes Day 1, 1409 volumes Day 2) for each day of data collection.

Preprocessing and parcellation

The fMRI data used here were preprocessed as described in a previous publication (Gruskin & Patel, 2022). Briefly, we used ICA-FIX denoised data from which the global signal and its temporal derivative were removed. To examine the effects of parcellation resolution, data were parcellated using the 10 resolutions of the Schaefer atlas (100 to 1000 parcels; Schaefer et al., 2018). The ICA-FIX data downloaded here were aligned across subjects using the HCP's MSMAll (henceforth "MSM") method, which registers data based on several multimodal properties in a topology-preserving manner (Feilong et al., 2021; Robinson et al., 2014).

Intersubject correlation (ISC)

Dyadic ISC analyses were used to quantify BOLD time course similarity between all pairs of participants. For each vertex or parcel, each participant's BOLD signal time course from a given day's movie-watching scan was normalized and (Pearson) correlated with the corresponding BOLD signal time courses from all other participants to yield an ISC matrix. We used non-parametric permutation testing to quantify average differences in ISC for each parcel in the Schaefer 400 atlas for each day of data collection across three groups: MZ dyads, DZ dyads, and unrelated (UR) dyads, where all UR dyads were matched for gender and age in years. All Pearson *r* values in this and all other analyses were Fisher *z*-transformed before averaging (and converted back to Pearson *r* for visualization). Because some participants contributed to ISC values for multiple dyads (thus violating independence assumptions), we shuffled dyad labels 10,000 times to generate a null distribution against which we compared the empirical group difference using the following two-sided test (which we used for all other permutation tests):

$$P = \frac{1 + \text{Number of |null values| >= |empirical value|}}{\text{Number of permutations} + 1}$$

The resulting 2,400 p-values (400 parcels x 3 group differences x 2 days) were then false discovery rate (FDR) corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). For this and subsequent analyses, we performed FDR correction separately for each day of data collection and report the number of parcels (or vertices, networks, etc.) that were significant at FDR-corrected *P* < .05 on both days.

Functional connectivity (FC)

We constructed resting state functional connectivity (rest FC) and movie-watching functional connectivity (movie FC) matrices by (Pearson) correlating the time courses of all parcel pairings from the 400-parcel Schaefer atlas, using data from the two concatenated rest scans. These matrices were produced for each subject and for each day of data collection. We applied the same procedure to the movie-watching data, enabling us to compare the heritability of rest and movie FC profile similarities and strengths.

To evaluate the similarity of FC profiles for each combination of the 17 networks defined by Yeo et al. (Yeo et al., 2011), we first vectorized the FC matrices of each subject. We then extracted the correlation coefficients corresponding to the parcel-level connections comprising each Yeo network combination and computed correlations for these vectorized profiles across all subject pairs. We also assessed subject-level FC strengths for each network combination by averaging the correlation coefficients for all parcel-level connections within a network combination. Significance testing for the group (MZ, DZ, and UR) differences in FC profile similarity was performed with the non-parametric permutation method used to test group differences in ISC in the previous section.

ISC and FC profile heritability analyses

BOLD time courses and FC profiles are high-dimensional variables, and reducing their dimensionality in order to use classical heritability analyses would sacrifice both statistical power and interpretability. As such, we quantified their heritability with a multidimensional estimator that has been used in several similar studies (Anderson et al., 2021; Busch et al., 2023; Ge et al., 2016). This model (detailed in Anderson et al., 2021) takes as input a Subjects x Subjects kinship matrix describing the degree of genetic relatedness between individuals (1 for MZ twins, 0.5 for non-MZ siblings, 0 for all other pairs) as well as a Subjects x Subjects phenotypic similarity matrix. Here, each value of the phenotypic similarity matrix corresponded to a Pearson correlation coefficient describing either BOLD time course (per parcel or voxel) or FC profile similarity (per network combination) for a given subject pair. To estimate heritability, the variance in phenotypic similarity is then partitioned into a component attributable to genetic factors, represented by the kinship matrix, with age, gender, and per-scan head motion included as covariates. Significance testing

of individual multidimensional heritability values was performed by shuffling the kinship matrix 10,000 times to generate a null distribution against which the observed value could be compared, and the resulting p-values were FDR corrected. Standard errors (SEs) were derived through a block jackknife method in which heritability was recalculated 90 times after leaving out all members of one of the 90 families in the dataset on each iteration. We then used these SEs to generate 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

To compare the heritability of movie and rest FC profiles, we used the following non-parametric permutation approach. For each day of data collection, we randomly shuffled each subject's movie and rest FC matrices (and their corresponding FD covariates) and re-calculated FC profile heritability using the shuffled FC matrices. We then subtracted the two resulting heritability values for each of the 153 unique network combinations and averaged these across networks to obtain 17 values reflecting the null difference in FC profile heritability for each network. We repeated this procedure 10,000 times and then used the two-sided test described above to generate a p-value for each network, and these 34 p-values (17 networks x 2 days) were then FDR corrected. Because complete resting state datasets were not available for 2/178 movie-watching subjects, we only used movie-watching data from the 176 subjects who also had complete resting state data for this permutation test.

FC strength heritability analysis

Because FC strengths are inherently one-dimensional traits, their heritability was quantified with SOLAR (Almasy & Blangero, 1998), which generates estimates using variance-component linkage analyses. Age, gender, and head motion were used as covariates in all FC strength analyses, and SEs were calculated using the block jackknife procedure described above. To test the significance of differences in rest vs. movie FC strength heritability, we compared the observed differences to null distributions generated by 1,000 permutations of the same procedure described above for FC profiles.

Hyperalignment

We used piecewise response and connectivity hyperalignment (RHA and CHA, respectively), two complementary methods for aligning data into a topography-independent common space, to functionally align BOLD time courses across subjects. We then repeated our BOLD time course and FC profile heritability analyses using these hyperaligned datasets to quantify the extent to which brain response heritability reflects genetic control over cortical topography. *RHA:* For each Schaefer atlas parcel and day of data collection, we used iterative Procrustes transformations to align vertex-level BOLD time courses to a common model information space. This yielded one invertible transformation matrix per parcel and per subject, which we then used to project data from the other day of data collection (which was not used to generate the transformation matrices) into the common information space. *CHA:* The same iterative Procrustes approach was used for CHA, but here the input data consisted of rest FC profiles for each vertex within a given parcel. Each vertex's functional connectivity profile consisted of the Pearson correlation coefficients between that vertex's time course and the average time courses from all other parcels, the number of which varied with different parcellation resolutions. After training a model whose dimensions correspond to shared rest FC properties (instead of the shared response properties in RHA), we used the corresponding transformation matrices to align movie-watching timeseries data from the other day of data collection. To quantify the spatial scale at which cortical topographies contribute to brain response heritability, we repeated the RHA and CHA procedures described above for each of the 10 Schaefer atlas resolutions to yield 21 datasets per subject (10 RHA-aligned datasets, 10 CHA-aligned datasets, and the original MSM-aligned dataset). We chose piecewise hyperalignment over searchlight hyperalignment because it has been shown to be both more accurate and more efficient (Bazeille et al., 2021).

Relationships between parcel area and heritability

We used power law modeling to characterize the relationship between cortex-level heritability and hyperalignment area. To calculate parcel areas, we first generated vertex-level areas using the *-surface-vertex-areas* function in wb_command and then summed the areas of all vertices included in each parcel. Next, for each hyperalignment method and each day of data collection, we used nonlinear least squares regression to fit a power law model ($y = a \cdot x^b + c$) to the 11 heritability values calculated from the 10 Schaefer atlas resolutions and one from MSM-only aligned data and the 10 average Schaefer atlas parcel areas as well as 0 (corresponding to no hyperalignment in the MSM-only data).

Neural timescale (NT) analyses

To determine the extent to which BOLD time course heritability reflects genetic control over NTs, we took an approach used in numerous studies to calculate NT at rest (known as intrinsic neural timescale, or INT; Watanabe et al., 2019; Wengler et al., 2020) and applied it to movie-watching data. NTs were calculated separately for each day of data collection as the sum of the autocorrelation coefficients from the first lag until the first lagged timepoint with a non-positive autocorrelation coefficient (Wengler et al., 2020) for each vertex.

Because time courses that are themselves more temporally autocorrelated will have a higher variance in their correlations with each other (Shinn et al., 2023), and because stimulus-evoked BOLD time courses tend to be positively correlated across subjects, we reasoned that pairs of individuals with longer collective NTs would have more correlated BOLD time courses. To test this directly, we (Spearman) correlated ISC values from one day of data collection with NTs calculated using the other day's data across all possible subject pairs. We tested the significance of the resulting vertex-wise correlation coefficients by randomly pairing the ISC values from one subject pair with the summed NTs from another and repeated the process 1,000 times to generate a null distribution against which we performed the aforementioned two-sided test.

We then averaged NT values across all vertices to get a single, cortex-wide NT measure for each subject and each day of data collection. Dyadic NT similarity was quantified as the absolute value of the difference between each subject pair's cortex-wide NT values. This differencing approach yielded several extreme values. We thus used the 1.5*IQR method to identify 4% of dyadic NT values as outliers and excluded them from the group differences analyses. We then used the permutation procedure described in section 2.4 to test the significance of these group differences in NT similarity.

We also included subject-level NTs as covariates in some multidimensional heritability analyses. When calculating heritability at a given vertex for one day of data collection, the NTs for that vertex from the other day of data collection were used as covariates. To evaluate whether including NTs as covariates decreased BOLD time course heritability, we generated a null distribution of 1,000 heritability values by randomly shuffling vertex-level NT vectors such that the NTs for one subject were paired with the ISC values and covariates from another subject. We then calculated two-sided permutation p-values using the formula described above.

Permutation testing of heritability map reliability

We used Spearman correlations to quantify the reliability of ISC and FC heritability maps across days. Because cortical spatial maps are significantly autocorrelated, we used the variogram matching approach from BrainSMASH (Brain Surrogate Maps with Autocorrelated Spatial Heterogeneity; (Burt et al., 2020) to assess the significance of these correlations. Briefly, this test works by generating autocorrelation-matched surrogates for one of the empirical maps from each correlation, calculating Spearman correlations between these surrogates and the other empirical map, and then comparing these null correlations to the correlation between both empirical maps. We performed independent tests using 1,000 unique surrogates for each hemisphere and averaged the two p-values to get the whole-cortex p-values we report in this manuscript. Because values in FC

heritability matrices are similarly not independent of each other, we used Mantel tests with 10,000 permutations to test their reliability.

Analyses were performed in MATLAB (R2023b), Python, and R. All cortical surface visualizations were performed with Connectome Workbench (Marcus et al., 2011).

Results

Similarity in movie-evoked brain activity and connectivity increases with genetic relatedness

To characterize the heritability of brain responses to complex stimuli, we used 7T fMRI data from 178 HCP Young Adult subjects to (1) quantify the heritability of brain activity and connectivity patterns during movie-watching and (2) determine the extent to which the heritability of these dynamic, high-dimensional brain responses is grounded in stable and fundamental aspects of brain function like cortical topographies and neural timescales. We first aimed to determine how closely movie-evoked brain responses were shared among pairs of individuals, and whether this similarity was influenced by their genetic relationship. Using inter-subject correlation (ISC) of parcellated BOLD time courses to index brain activity similarity, we found that identical (or monozygotic, MZ), fraternal (or dizygotic, DZ) and age- and gender-matched unrelated (UR) dyads differed significantly in their level of brain activity similarity in a manner consistent with their relative degrees of genetic relatedness (although spatial distributions of ISC were consistent across groups, Fig. S1A). More specifically, identical twins' BOLD time courses were 59% more similar than those from pairs of unrelated individuals, with this finding being statistically significant in 95% of brain parcels after correcting for multiple comparisons ($P < .05$, FDR-corrected). When comparing identical twins to fraternal twins, the identical twins' brain activity was still more similar, but by a smaller margin of 24%, and with fewer brain parcels showing a significant effect (10% of parcels significant at $P < .05$, FDR-corrected). Fraternal twins also had more similar time courses than unrelated pairs, but again, this was less pronounced than the identical/unrelated comparison (29% higher ISC, with 20% of parcels significant at $P < .05$, FDR-corrected). We observed the greatest group differences in parcels with medium levels of ISC (separation between the three traces in Fig. 1B), suggesting that floor and ceiling effects may limit the degree to which genetic relatedness impacts brain activity in regions that are not driven by audiovisual stimuli and regions that exhibit highly stereotyped activity across all subjects, respectively.

Previous work has shown that sensory information is encoded not just in the activities of single regions but also in functional connectivity (FC) patterns between multiple regions (Chen et al., 2014). As such, we hypothesized that more genetically related pairs would exhibit more similar patterns of functional connectivity during movie-watching. To test this hypothesis, we repeated the group differences analysis described in the previous paragraph using movie-watching FC (movie FC) profile similarity instead of BOLD time course similarity. Here, a given dyad's movie FC profile similarities were calculated for each pair of 17 Yeo networks as the correlation between each subject's set of movie FC values for all connections between parcels in that pair of networks. Once again, we observed that for all comparisons, the more genetically related the dyads, the more similar their movie FC patterns (Fig. 1C, MZ>UR / MZ>DZ / DZ>UR: 49% / 26% / 18% greater similarity across network combinations, 100% / 97% / 92% of combinations significant at FDR-corrected $P < .05$ on both days). Compared to group differences in ISC, movie FC profile similarities showed greater separation between groups (Fig. 1D, group average FC profile similarity shown in Fig. S1B), likely because movie FC patterns integrate information over larger spatial and temporal scales compared to ISC measures, potentially reducing the impact of noise and subject-specific responses that do not relate to shared genetic factors.

Figure 1. BOLD time course and FC profile similarity scales with genetic relatedness across the cortex. (A) Group differences in average BOLD time course similarity (indexed by ISC) show that BOLD time course similarity is greater among dyads who are more genetically related (51 MZ dyads, 34 DZ dyads, 690 UR dyads). (B) Group-average ISC values used to create the difference maps in A, plotted in order of average ISC across all subject pairs, show that group differences are most pronounced in parcels with medium to high ISC (shading = SEM). (C–D) Same as (A–B) for FC profile similarity.

Patterns of brain activity and connectivity during movie-watching are heritable

After establishing that more genetically similar individuals share more similar movie-evoked BOLD time courses and FC profiles, we next sought to quantify the extent to which these two aspects of brain function are heritable. To do this, we leveraged a multidimensional estimator that has been used to assess the heritability of similar brain phenotypes (Anderson et al., 2021; Busch et al., 2023; Ge et al., 2016). Controlling for age, gender, and head motion, we found that movie-evoked BOLD time courses were heritable across almost all of cortex on both days of data collection (Fig. 2A, Day 1 mean h^2 = .064 ± .034, Day 2 mean h^2 = .068 ± .036, 99% of parcels significant on both days at FDR-corrected P < .05), and the spatial pattern of heritability across the cortex was very consistent across days of data collection (Spearman ρ = .96, $P_{brainSMASH}$ < .001).

Unsurprisingly, the spatial pattern of BOLD time course heritability was closely related to the spatial pattern of ISC (Day 1: Spearman ρ = .88, $P_{brainSMASH}$ < .001, Day 1: Spearman ρ = .86, $P_{brainSMASH}$ < .001), likely reflecting the presence of floor effects. To identify parcels in which BOLD time course heritability was higher (or lower) than we would expect based on their ISC alone, we regressed sample-average ISC values from heritability values across parcels and plotted the residuals in Fig. 2B. Here, we observed that BOLD time courses were disproportionately heritable in parcels specialized for the processing of visual information and eye movements (e.g., ventral occipito-temporal cortex and posterior superior temporal sulci, and superior parietal and prefrontal oculomotor control areas), while BOLD responses in auditory and language-related areas were less heritable than would be expected given their ISC.

We chose to initially analyze BOLD time courses parcellated using the Schaefer 400 atlas because parcellation reduces multiple comparisons, noise, and computational burden, as well as because these results could be more directly compared to our FC results (where vertex-level analyses would not be computationally feasible). However, we repeated our heritability analyses using data parcellated with 9 other resolutions of the Schaefer atlas and found that heritability reliably increased with average parcel size (Fig. 2C). Moreover, the interpretation of parcellated BOLD time

course heritability is complicated by the fact that macroscale areal boundaries are known to be heritable (Xu et al., 2016). As such, we use vertex-level data in our subsequent BOLD time course analyses.

Given the greater between-group separation in FC profile similarity (vs. BOLD time course similarity) in Fig. 1C-D, we expected movie FC profiles to be more heritable than movie-evoked BOLD time courses. Applying the same multidimensional heritability analysis to movie FC profiles, we indeed found that FC profiles were around six times as heritable as BOLD time courses (Fig. 3, left column, Day 1 mean h^2 = .36 ± .035, Day 2 mean h^2 = .37 ± .038, all network combinations significant on both days at FDR-corrected P < .05), and the pattern of heritability values across network combinations was very consistent between days (Spearman ρ = .92, P_{Perm} < .001).

Although we are unaware of any previous studies that have investigated FC heritability during movie watching, the heritability of resting state FC (rest FC) measures has been well established (Anderson et al., 2021; Busch et al., 2023; Glahn et al., 2010; Miranda-Dominguez et al., 2018). Compared to rest FC, movie FC profiles serve as better identifiers of individuals (Vanderwal et al., 2017) and better predict individual differences in behavior (Finn & Bandettini, 2021). Given movie FC's increased sensitivity to individual variability, we reasoned that movie FC profiles would be more heritable than rest FC profiles. Using resting state data collected from the same subjects and on the same days of data collection, we calculated rest FC profile heritability using the approach described above and found that heritability was indeed lower than for movie FC profiles (Figure 3, right column), but this effect was limited to more sensory-oriented networks (Language, Auditory, Somatomotor A and B, Visual A, B, and C, and Dorsal Attention B networks significant at FDR-corrected P_{perm} < .05 on both days). Across these networks, movie (vs. rest) FC profiles were 20% more heritable

Figure 2. BOLD time courses are heritable across the cortex in a resolution-dependent manner. (A) Cortical surfaces show heritability of BOLD time courses parcellated using the Schaefer 400 atlas, controlling for age, gender, and head motion (mean h^2 Day 1 / Day 2 = .064 ± .034/ .068 ± .036). (B) Residuals after regressing parcel-level ISC from parcel-level heritability estimates show that BOLD time courses in auditory/superior temporal cortices are less heritable than would be expected based on ISC, whereas the opposite is true for parcels in the temporo-occipito-parietal junction. (C) Scatter plots show that average BOLD time course heritability across all parcels is higher for coarser Schaefer parcellation resolutions (shading = SEM).

on Day 1 (min. = 11%, max. = 30%) and 30% more heritable on Day 2 (min. = 19%, max. = 44%). Rest FC profiles were not significantly more heritable than movie FC profiles in any network. Because subjects tend to move more during resting state vs. movie-watching scans, and because this could explain the higher heritability of movie FC profiles, we repeated our analyses after censoring all frames with FD > 0.2 mm and found the with- and without-censoring results to be nearly identical

(data not shown).

Our connectivity analyses thus far have focused on FC profiles (i.e., correlations across FC values) instead of FC strengths (i.e., the average of FC values), as the low power afforded by our sample size precludes us from measuring the heritability of one-dimensional phenotypes with high precision (Benson et al., 2022; Busch et al., 2023). However, FC strengths are clinically and behaviorally relevant, and measuring how task conditions and alignment approaches affect FC strength heritability across the cortex requires substantially less power than resolving FC strength heritabilities for individual network combinations. With this in mind, we used SOLAR to estimate the heritability of FC strength in this and subsequent sections.

Figure 3. FC profiles are heritable across network combinations. Heatmaps show heritability of FC profiles for all unique within- and between-network combinations of the 17 Yeo networks after controlling for age, gender, and head motion. FC profiles during movie-watching (left column) were more heritable than resting state FC profiles (middle column) for more sensory-oriented networks (red rows in the right column).

Heritable movie-evoked brain responses reflect heritable cortical topographies

Our analyses of anatomically aligned data have thus far shown that patterns of movie-evoked brain activity and connectivity are heritable. However, these patterns are the product of at least two separate fundamental aspects of brain function: *how* stimuli are processed and *where* stimuli are processed. For example, when analyzing brain responses from the more dorsal brain region shown in Fig. 4A, two twins (left and center) may appear to process stimulus information more similarly than a third, unrelated individual (right) based on ISC in that region. However, in this example the disparity is purely due to spatial differences in where the same information is processed across individuals, as the unrelated individual exhibits the same brain responses as the twins (i.e., the green and purple time courses) but in different cortical locations.

The idiosyncratic maps that describe how shared brain functions are spatially distributed across individual brains are known as cortical topographies (Haxby et al., 2011, 2020), and recent work has shown that cortical topographies at rest are influenced by genetic factors (Anderson et al., 2021; Burger et al., 2022; Busch et al., 2023). As such, we hypothesized that a portion of the heritability we

measured in the previous section might reflect genetic control over cortical topography in addition to information encoding and processing.

To parse brain response heritability into topography-dependent and topography-independent components, we used hyperalignment to project our data into a common functional space, thereby eliminating topographic variability across subjects, and repeated our heritability analyses. Here, the degree to which hyperalignment decreases brain response heritability reflects the extent to which functional topographies are under genetic control. The idiosyncratic transformation matrices used to align each subject's movie-watching data to the common functional space for a given day of data collection were learned from either the other day's movie activity time courses (response hyperalignment, or RHA) or from rest FC profiles calculated from the other day's scans (connectivity hyperalignment, or CHA). Although RHA and CHA align fMRI data with similar fidelity (Guntupalli et al., 2018; Haxby et al., 2020), using both methods allows us to evaluate whether heritable functional topographies reflect the brain's intrinsic functional architecture or movie watching-specific response functions. We performed both RHA and CHA in a piecewise fashion (Bazeille et al., 2021), aligning data independently within each Schaefer parcel. By eliminating the topography-dependent component of heritability and increasing response similarity more in unrelated dyads than in twin pairs, we predicted that hyperalignment would decrease heritability across the cortex.

Starting with the coarsest Schaefer atlas resolution (100 parcels, mean parcel area = 1,013 mm^2), we found that RHA and CHA significantly decreased BOLD time course heritability to similar degrees across the cortex. Compared to MSM-aligned data (Fig. 4C, left column), hyperalignment reduced BOLD time course heritability across the cortex by 33% on Day 1 (95% CI = [25–40%]) and 31% on Day 2 [22–39%] for RHA (Fig. 4C, middle column), and by 30% [21–39%] and 25% [18–33%] for CHA (Fig. 4C, right column). These decreases were most apparent in visual cortex, but were also prominent in associative areas like the right temporoparietal junction and bilateral area 55b (Fig. 4D), and the spatial pattern of this effect was consistent across days (RHA: Spearman ρ = .66, $P_{\text{brainSMASH}}$ < .001, CHA: Spearman ρ = .69, $P_{\text{brainSMASH}}$ < .001) and hyperalignment methods (Day 1: Spearman ρ = .76, $P_{\text{brainSMASH}}$ < .001, Day 2: Spearman ρ = .74, $P_{\text{brainSMASH}}$ < .001).

To quantify the spatial scale at which cortical topography influences BOLD time course heritability, we then repeated RHA and CHA using the 9 other Schaefer atlas resolutions (200 to 1000 parcels). Because hyperalignment can eliminate more heritable differences in cortical topography when it is performed in larger parcels, we predicted that hyperalignment across larger parcels would decrease BOLD time course and FC profile heritability to a greater extent. As expected, the magnitude of these reductions decreased as hyperalignment was performed across smaller areas (Fig. 4E). To quantify this relationship, we fit power law models of the form $y = a \cdot x^b + c$ to the 11 hyperalignment resolutions (corresponding to the average parcel areas for the 10 Schaefer atlases as well as 0 for no hyperalignment) and their corresponding average h^2 values. We found that these power law models accurately characterized how heritability scaled with hyperalignment resolution for both RHA and CHA on Day 1 (RHA: $y = -0.0008 \cdot x^{0.32} + 0.023$, $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ = .99, CHA: $y = -0.0004 \cdot x^{0.41} + 0.023$, $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ = .99) and Day 2 (RHA: $y = -0.0007 \cdot x^{0.34} + 0.023$, $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ = .99, CHA: $y = -0.0003 \cdot x^{0.43} + 0.023$, $R^2_{\text{adj.}}$ = .99).

We next repeated our FC profile (and strength) analyses using the hyperaligned data. Similar to the effects of RHA and CHA on BOLD time course heritability, hyperalignment within the Schaefer 100 parcels significantly reduced FC profile heritability across network combinations by 39% on Day 1 (95% CI = [32–46%]) and 41% on Day 2 [34–48%] for RHA, and by 20% [13–28%] and 18% [12–25%] for CHA (Fig. 5A). However, we did observe some consistent differences between effects of hyperalignment on FC profile vs. BOLD time course heritability. First, RHA decreased FC profile heritability to a significantly greater extent than did CHA, seen in the separation between purple and orange traces in Fig. 5B. Second, hyperalignment's effects on FC profile (vs. BOLD time course) heritability were less variable across the different parcellation resolutions, seen in the relatively flat slope between orange/purple dots. This is reflected in the lower power law b coefficient values for FC profile (0.08–0.11, Fig. 5B) vs. BOLD time course heritability (0.32–0.43, Fig. 4E). We observed a

Figure 4. Hyperalignment reduces BOLD time course heritability. (A) Cartoon illustrates the difference between shared cortical topographies and shared (topography-independent) information content. (B) Diagrams illustrate the inputs to response and connectivity hyperalignment (RHA and CHA, respectively) using the Schaefer 100 atlas. RHA topographies were learned using BOLD time course data from the other day's movie-watching scans, while CHA topographies were learned from vertex-level FC profiles (i.e., correlations between one vertex's BOLD time course and the average time course from each of the 99 other parcels) calculated from the other day's resting state scans. (C) Vertex-level BOLD time course heritability is highest for data aligned via MSM (multimodal surface matching) and lower for data hyperaligned within 100 Schaefer atlas parcels using both response hyperalignment (RHA) and connectivity hyperalignment (CHA). (D) Differences between the MSM-only and hyperaligned heritability maps shown in (C) are distributed across the cortex but are most apparent in visual areas. (E) BOLD time course heritability decreases as a function of hyperalignment parcel size according to a power law (purple and orange lines); each dot corresponds to average cortex-wide heritability for data hyperaligned using one of the 10 Schaefer atlas resolutions (shading = jackknife SEM).

Figure 5. Hyperalignment reduces FC profile heritability. (A) Heatmaps show decreased FC profile heritability for most combinations of 17 Yeo networks following RHA (left) and CHA (right) compared to the MSM-only baseline. (B) Scatter plots show that hyperalignment, especially with RHA, decreases FC profile heritability according to a power law function; each dot corresponds to average cortex-wide heritability for data hyperaligned using one of the 10 Schaefer atlas resolutions (or MSM-only alignment, shading = jackknife SEM).

similar area-independent pattern of results for our FC strength analyses, although here only RHA (and not CHA) significantly decreased FC strength heritability (Fig. S3).

Heritability of BOLD time courses is related to neural timescales

In the previous section, we found that individual differences in a stable aspect of brain function (cortical topography) accounted for 30–40% of the heritability of movie-evoked brain responses. Importantly, cortical topography is a largely spatial trait. Although it captures significant inter-individual variability in how brain function varies over space, it is not directly related to how brain responses evolve over time. As such, we reasoned that the heritability of high-dimensional brain responses might additionally be grounded in the heritability of stable, temporal properties of brain function.

One such property is the neural timescale (NT), which is thought to index the duration of information storage in a given circuit or region such that individuals with longer NTs integrate sensory information across longer periods of time (Wengler et al., 2020). NTs are commonly operationalized as the area under the curve of the autocorrelation function (ACF) until the lag preceding the first negative ACF value (Wengler et al., 2020). Because stimulus-evoked time courses that are more autocorrelated will tend to be more correlated with each other (see Methods), we suspected that NTs could be an important determinant of ISC. To test this, we correlated the sum of each dyad's NTs from one day's movie watching scan with their ISC from the other movie watching scan and found that we could explain a considerable portion of the variability in pairwise ISC from NTs alone (max/mean correlation Day 1: ρ = 0.56/0.10, Day 2: ρ = 0.65/0.11, 44% of vertices significant at FDR-corrected P_{perm} < .05 on both days, Fig. S4). Given this relationship between NTs and ISC as well as the fact that a similar measure of BOLD autocorrelation was recently shown to be heritable (Christova, Uğurbil, and Georgopoulos 2022), we hypothesized that some of the BOLD time course heritability not accounted for by topography is underpinned by heritability of NTs.

Before testing this hypothesis directly, we first sought to establish that more genetically similar individuals have more similar NTs. After calculating NTs at each vertex from each day's movie-watching data, we averaged these values to generate a single cortex-wide NT for each subject and each day of data collection. We then examined pairwise differences in NT across the three groups

introduced in section 3.1: MZ twins, DZ twins, and age- and gender-matched unrelated (UR) dyads. As expected, we found that differences in MZ twins' NTs were significantly smaller than in unrelated individuals' NTs on both days (Fig. 6A, Day 1: ΔNT_{MZ} = 0.15 ± .016, ΔNT_{UR} = 0.24 ± .007, P_{perm} = .0005, Day 2: ΔNT_{MZ} = 0.15 ± .014, ΔNT_{UR} = 0.27 ± .008, P_{perm} < .0001, and although the other comparisons also went in the expected direction (MZ < DZ and DZ < UR), these differences were not statistically significant (FDR-corrected P_{perm} > .05).

To quantify the extent to which BOLD time course heritability reflects genetic control over NTs, we repeated the heritability analyses from earlier for each day of data collection, this time including vertex-level NTs calculated from the other day's data as covariates (in addition to age, gender, and head motion). We observed that controlling for NTs significantly reduced BOLD time course heritability on both days in 5.7% of vertices (Fig. 6B upper row, FDR-corrected P_{perm} < .05), most prominently in speech and language areas (e.g., auditory/superior temporal cortices, area 55b) and motion-sensitive visual areas (e.g., medial temporal and medial superior temporal cortices). Although these reductions in heritability were more focal than those observed following hyperalignment, they reached similar strengths, with decreases of 20–30% observed throughout the superior temporal gyri on both days of data collection.

After establishing that cortical topographies and neural timescales both contribute to the heritability of high-dimensional brain responses, we next sought to determine if these contributions are independent of one another. To test this, we re-calculated BOLD time course heritability following RHA using the Schaefer 100 parcellation (the most aggressive hyperalignment approach from the previous section), this time controlling for NTs calculated from these RHA-aligned data. If cortical topography and neural timescale constitute separable processes through which genetics shapes brain responses, we would expect controlling for NTs in the RHA- and MSM-aligned data to reduce brain response heritability to similar degrees. Instead, we observed a mixed result: although controlling for NTs after hyperalignment further reduced BOLD time course heritability on both days in 1.5% of vertices (FDR-corrected P_{perm} < .05, Fig. 6B, lower row), the average magnitude of this decrease across the cortex was 40% smaller than for the MSM-aligned data. This suggests that cortical topography and neural timescale each account for some unique variance in brain response heritability, but their contributions are not entirely independent.

Figure 6. Controlling for neural timescales (NTs) reduces heritability of BOLD time courses. (A) Bar plots show average pairwise differences in cortex-wide NT across MZ, DZ, and unrelated dyads on both days of data collection, where only MZ and UR group means differed significantly on both days (MZ Day 1/Day 2 means = 0.15/0.15, UR = 0.24/0.27, FDR-corrected P_{perm} < .05). (B) Cortical surfaces show decreases in BOLD time course heritability after NTs calculated from the other day of data collection were included as covariates in the multidimensional heritability analyses for MSM-aligned and RHA-aligned (using the Schaefer 100 parcellation) data, most prominently in mid-level auditory and visual regions. These maps are thresholded at Δh^2 = ±0.01 to aid comparisons of MSM- and RHA-aligned results. The maximum differences in h^2 after controlling for NTs were -0.025 for MSM-aligned data and -0.007 for RHA-aligned data, respectively.

Discussion

In this study, we examined the heritability of movie-evoked BOLD activity and connectivity. First, we showed that BOLD time courses and FC profiles are heritable across the cortex, especially in and between the sensory and associative regions that are most reliably activated by the stimuli. Second, we showed that this heritability is underpinned by genetic control over fundamental spatial and temporal characteristics of brain function that reflect both *where* and *how* individuals process sensory information. More specifically, our findings demonstrate that genetics influences cortical topography as a power law function of cortical area, and that a key property of brain function—the neural timescale—is responsible for an additional portion of BOLD time course heritability, especially in auditory and speech-sensitive areas. Just as importantly, these results suggest a modest ceiling for how much of this stimulus-driven activity and connectivity is under genetic control, leaving the rest to non-genetic individual variation.

Studies using ISC to examine similarity of movie-evoked BOLD activity typically find highly conserved responses in auditory and visual areas. We found that more genetically related individuals exhibited greater ISC not only in these sensory areas, but also across most of cortex. This increased ISC could reflect more similar stimulus processing in a number of ways. For example, high-level attentional effects, such as twins attending to more similar aspects of the stimulus, could account for this increase (Ki et al., 2016; Song et al., 2021). Such an attentional effect would explain our finding that BOLD time courses in auditory cortex (vs. visual and eye-movement related areas) were less (vs. more) heritable than would be expected based on their overall ISC (Fig. 2B), as eye movements gate incoming visual information but no analogous mechanism exists in the auditory system, and eye movement patterns during complex scene viewing are themselves moderately heritable (Kennedy et al., 2017). In addition, low-level stimulus processing effects, such as twins having more similar population tuning than non-twins, could also lead to greater ISC. Future work will be necessary to determine the specific aspects of sensory processing that these shared activity patterns represent.

Our approach differs from previous studies of stimulus- or task-driven brain activity heritability in that our ISC-based analyses don't require assumptions about the nature of neural responses that, if inaccurate, could decrease the sensitivity of heritability estimates. Furthermore, instead of collapsing brain activity measurements across trials or epochs, our analyses exploit the high-dimensional nature of BOLD time courses by considering the unique information present at each timepoint. This multi-dimensional aspect of our analyses enabled us to detect small ($h^2 \leq .15$) but reliable (spatial $\rho > .9$ for heritability maps across days) effects at the level of individual vertices. Still, this and more standard approaches are not mutually exclusive, and future work using model-based analyses would offer additional insight into the heritability of stimulus-evoked brain activity patterns.

Compared to our activity-based analyses, our FC analyses were more in line with previous work. Indeed, the heritability of FC profiles has been investigated on multiple occasions, sometimes with the same multidimensional estimator of heritability used here (Busch et al., 2023; Elliott et al., 2019; Miranda-Dominguez et al., 2018). Still, several aspects of our study allowed us to reveal novel results and add new context to established findings. For example, by analyzing resting state and movie-watching data from the same subjects, we were able to show that FC profiles involving sensory-oriented networks were significantly more heritable during movie-watching than at rest. This finding is consistent with reports that movie FC profiles better identify individuals (Vanderwal et al., 2017) and predict variability in behavioral traits (Finn & Bandettini, 2021) than resting state FC profiles, and that including task data increases estimates of FC profile heritability (Elliott et al., 2019).

Although BOLD time courses and FC profiles often serve as the fundamental brain phenomena to be studied in fMRI experiments, they are complex entities that are underpinned by a variety of lower-level biological processes (Hillman, 2014). As such, the heritability of movie-evoked brain

responses established here likely reflects genetic control over more basic aspects of brain function. We demonstrated how two of these aspects, cortical topography and neural timescale, contribute to the heritability of stimulus-driven BOLD activity.

First, we found that controlling for idiosyncratic cortical topographies via response and connectivity hyperalignment (RHA and CHA) decreased activity heritability across the cortex. This decrease was bigger when data were aligned across larger parcels, but the rate of this decrease slowed as a power law function of parcel area, illustrating that genetic control of cortical topography is greatest at the fine scale. In addition to decreasing BOLD time course heritability, we found that RHA and CHA also decreased FC profile heritability, echoing recent work showing that CHA decreases rest FC profile heritability in a developmental population (Busch et al., 2023). Compared to our activity-based analyses, we noticed a far weaker effect of hyperalignment resolution on FC profile heritability, likely because this analysis was performed at the parcel level and across spatially distributed brain networks (thereby reducing the impact of local functional alignment). Although hyperalignment served to reduce heritable individual variability across our analyses, the residual post-hyperalignment heritability might be more behaviorally relevant, as hyperalignment has been shown to increase associations between FC profiles and cognitive test scores (Feilong et al., 2021). With this in mind, future studies that investigate genetic correlations between brain function and behavioral variables may benefit from hyperalignment in spite of the deleterious effects it had on heritability here.

Just as cortical topographies spatially constrain individual responses to incoming stimuli, neural timescales (NTs) are stable temporal features of brain function that shape high-dimensional activity and connectivity patterns (Shinn et al., 2023; Wengler et al., 2020). More specifically, longer NTs are thought to reflect greater recurrent excitation at the micro-circuit level and yield more stable integration of sensory information (Cavanagh et al., 2020; Watanabe et al., 2019). Here, we found that MZ twins had more similar NTs than unrelated dyads, and the fact that NTs measured by fMRI track electrophysiological activity (Watanabe et al., 2019) suggests that this reflects similarities in how movie stimuli were neurally encoded. We next showed that this genetic similarity in NT magnitude contributes to genetic similarity in movie-evoked BOLD time courses, such that controlling for vertex-level NTs accounted for up to ~30% of BOLD time course heritability, an effect that was strongest in speech-related areas like the superior temporal gyri. Importantly, controlling for NTs had a weaker effect on BOLD time course heritability after hyperalignment. This is evidence that the topographic distribution of NTs, over and above their magnitude, is under genetic control. Beyond genetics, our finding that subjects with longer NTs had more correlated movie-evoked BOLD time courses suggests that decreased ISC in patients with schizophrenia (Patel et al., 2021; Tu et al., 2019), autism (Salmi et al., 2013), and depression (Gruskin et al., 2020) may be underpinned by shorter NTs in these same populations (Watanabe et al., 2019; Wengler et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2024).

Our work should be considered in light of an important demographic limitation. Almost 90% of subjects in the present sample identified as White, and all subjects were between the ages of 22 and 36. As heritability estimates are known to differ across populations and age groups (Schmitt et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2023), the generalizability of our findings is limited by the demographic characteristics of the HCP Young Adult sample used here (Ricard et al., 2023). In spite of this limitation, this work constitutes an important first link between the growing fields of neuroimaging genetics and "naturalistic" neuroscience. By considering BOLD time courses and FC profiles alongside cortical topographies and neural timescales derived from independent data, we reveal a multi-layered genetic influence that extends from basic features of brain function to complex, individual-specific sensory processing patterns. This comprehensive approach paves the way for future research to dissect the biological mechanisms that link genetics with sensory processing in both typical and atypical populations. Finally, we note that less than half of the inter-individual variability we observed in movie-evoked BOLD time courses and FC profiles was heritable, leaving the majority of the variability to be explained by non-genetic factors such as differences in an individual's ex-

periences and current context. As such, additional work will be necessary to characterize these non-genetic factors.

Acknowledgments

We thank Avram Holmes and Erica Busch for helpful conversations regarding this project. Author D.C.G. was supported by a NIH MSTP training grant (T32GM007367). Author G.H.P. was supported by the NIMH (K23MH108711, R01MH121790, and R01MH123639) and by a David Mahoney Neuroimaging Grant from the DANA Foundation. Data were provided by the Human Connectome Project, WU-Minn Consortium (Principal Investigators: David Van Essen and Kamil Ugurbil, 1U54MH091657) funded by the 16 NIH Institutes and Centers that support the NIH Blueprint for Neuroscience Research; and by the McDonnell Center for Systems Neuroscience at Washington University. Author G.H.P. receives income and equity from Pfizer, Inc through family. Authors D.C.G. and D.J.V. have no competing interests to declare. This preprint was created using the LaPreprint template (https://github.com/roaldarbol/lapreprint) by Mikkel Roald-Arbøl [●].

Citation diversity statement

Recent work in several fields of science has identified a bias in citation practices such that papers from women and other minority scholars are under-cited relative to the number of such papers in the field (Bertolero et al., 2020; Caplar et al., 2017; Chatterjee & Werner, 2021; Dion et al., 2018; Dworkin et al., 2020; Fulvio et al., 2021; Maliniak et al., 2013; Mitchell et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2021). Here we sought to proactively consider choosing references that reflect the diversity of the field in thought, form of contribution, gender, race, ethnicity, and other factors. First, we obtained the predicted gender of the first and last author of each reference by using databases that store the probability of a first name being carried by a woman (Dworkin et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). By this measure and excluding self-citations to the first and last authors of our current paper, our references contain 9.26% woman(first)/woman(last), 5.56% man/woman, 25.93% woman/man, and 59.26% man/man. This method is limited in that a) names, pronouns, and social media profiles used to construct the databases may not, in every case, be indicative of gender identity and b) it cannot account for intersex, non-binary, or transgender people. Second, we obtained predicted racial/ethnic category of the first and last author of each reference by databases that store the probability of a first and last name being carried by an author of color (Ambekar et al., 2009; Chintalapati et al., 2023). By this measure (and excluding self-citations), our references contain 14.59% author of color (first)/author of color(last), 17.17% white author/author of color, 22.27% author of color/white author, and 45.97% white author/white author. This method is limited in that a) names and Florida Voter Data to make the predictions may not be indicative of racial/ethnic identity, and b) it cannot account for Indigenous and mixed-race authors, or those who may face differential biases due to the ambiguous racialization or ethnicization of their names. We look forward to future work that could help us to better understand how to support equitable practices in science.

Author contributions

David C. Gruskin: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Visualization, Writing–Original Draft, Writing–Review & Editing
Daniel J. Vieira: Code review
Jessica K. Lee: Supervision
Gaurav H. Patel: Conceptualization, Writing–Review & Editing, Supervision

References

Almasy, L., & Blangero, J. (1998). Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *62*(5), 1198–1211. https://doi.org/10.1086/301844

Alvarez, I., Finlayson, N. J., Ei, S., de Haas, B., Greenwood, J. A., & Schwarzkopf, S. (2021). Heritable functional architecture in human visual cortex. *Neuroimage*, *239*, 118286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118286

Ambekar, A., Ward, C., Mohammed, J., Male, S., & Skiena, S. (2009). Name-ethnicity classification from open sources. *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, 49–58. https://doi.org/10.1145/1557019.1557032

Anderson, K. M., Ge, T., Kong, R., Patrick, L. M., Spreng, R. N., Sabuncu, M. R., Yeo, B. T. T., & Holmes, A. J. (2021). Heritability of individualized cortical network topography. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(9), e2016271118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2016271118

Bazeille, T., DuPre, E., Richard, H., Poline, J.-B., & Thirion, B. (2021). An empirical evaluation of functional alignment using inter-subject decoding. *NeuroImage*, *245*, 118683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118683

Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, *57*(1), 289–300.

Benson, N. C., Yoon, J. M. D., Forenzo, D., Engel, S. A., Kay, K. N., & Winawer, J. (2022). Variability of the surface area of the V1, V2, and V3 maps in a large sample of human observers. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *42*(46), 8629–8646. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0690-21.2022

Bertolero, M. A., Dworkin, J. D., David, S. U., Lloreda, C. L., Srivastava, P., Stiso, J., Zhou, D., Dzirasa, K., Fair, D. A., Kaczkurkin, A. N., Marlin, B. J., Shohamy, D., Uddin, L. Q., Zurn, P., & Bassett, D. S. (2020). Racial and ethnic imbalance in neuroscience reference lists and intersections with gender. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.12.336230

Burger, B., Nenning, K.-H., Schwartz, E., Margulies, D. S., Goulas, A., Liu, H., Neubauer, S., Dauwels, J., Prayer, D., & Langs, G. (2022). Disentangling cortical functional connectivity strength and topography reveals divergent roles of genes and environment. *NeuroImage*, *247*, 118770. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118770

Burt, J. B., Helmer, M., Shinn, M., Anticevic, A., & Murray, J. D. (2020). Generative modeling of brain maps with spatial autocorrelation. *NeuroImage*, *220*, 117038. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117038

Busch, E. L., Rapuano, K. M., Anderson, K. M., Rosenberg, M. D., Watts, R., Casey, B. J., Haxby, J. V., & Feilong, M. (2023). Dissociation of reliability, heritability, and predictivity in coarse- and fine-scale functional connectomes during development. *Journal of Neuroscience*. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0735-23.2023

Caplar, N., Tacchella, S., & Birrer, S. (2017). Quantitative evaluation of gender bias in astronomical publications from citation counts. *Nature Astronomy*, *1*(6), 0141. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-017-0141

Cavanagh, S. E., Hunt, L. T., & Kennerley, S. W. (2020). A diversity of intrinsic timescales underlie neural computations. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, *14*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2020.615626

Chatterjee, P., & Werner, R. M. (2021). Gender disparity in citations in high-impact journal articles. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(7), e2114509. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.14509

Chen, M., Han, J., Hu, X., Jiang, X., Guo, L., & Liu, T. (2014). Survey of encoding and decoding of visual stimulus via FMRI: An image analysis perspective. *Brain imaging and behavior*, *8*(1), 7–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-013-9238-z

Chintalapati, R., Laohaprapanon, S., & Sood, G. (2023). Predicting race and ethnicity from the sequence of characters in a name. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1805.02109

Christova, P., Uğurbil, K., & Georgopoulos, A. P. (2022). Heritability of brain neurovascular coupling. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *128*(5), 1307–1311. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00402.2022

Cole, M. W., Ito, T., Cocuzza, C., & Sanchez-Romero, R. (2021). The functional relevance of task-state functional connectivity. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *41*(12), 2684–2702. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1713-20.2021

Dion, M. L., Sumner, J. L., & Mitchell, S. M. (2018). Gendered citation patterns across political science and social science methodology fields. *Political Analysis*, *26*(3), 312–327. https://doi.org/10.1017/pan.2018.12

Dworetsky, A., Seitzman, B. A., Adeyemo, B., Nielsen, A. N., Hatoum, A. S., Smith, D. M., Nichols, T. E., Neta, M., Petersen, S. E., & Gratton, C. (2024). Two common and distinct forms of variation in human functional brain networks. *Nature Neuroscience*, *27*(6), 1187–1198. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-024-01618-2

Dworkin, J. D., Linn, K. A., Teich, E. G., Zurn, P., Shinohara, R. T., & Bassett, D. S. (2020). The extent and drivers of gender imbalance in neuroscience reference lists. *Nature Neuroscience*, *23*(8), 918–926. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-020-0658-y

Elliott, M. L., Knodt, A. R., Cooke, M., Kim, M. J., Melzer, T. R., Keenan, R., Ireland, D., Ramrakha, S., Poulton, R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., & Hariri, A. R. (2019). General functional connectivity: Shared features of resting-state and task fMRI drive reliable and heritable individual differences in functional brain networks. *NeuroImage*, *189*, 516–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.068

Feilong, M., Guntupalli, J. S., & Haxby, J. V. (2021). The neural basis of intelligence in fine-grained cortical topographies (F. P. de Lange, T. Yeo, J. D. Bijsterbosch, & E. Gordon, Eds.). *eLife*, *10*, e64058. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64058

Finn, E. S., & Bandettini, P. A. (2021). Movie-watching outperforms rest for functional connectivity-based prediction of behavior. *NeuroImage*, *235*, 117963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.117963

Finn, E. S., Corlett, P. R., Chen, G., Bandettini, P. A., & Constable, T. (2018). Trait paranoia shapes inter-subject synchrony in brain activity during an ambiguous social narrative. *Nature Communications*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04387-2

Fulvio, J. M., Akinnola, I., & Postle, B. R. (2021). Gender (im)balance in citation practices in cognitive neuroscience. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, *33*(1), 3–7. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn_a_01643

Ge, T., Reuter, M., Winkler, A. M., Holmes, A. J., Lee, P. H., Tirrell, L. S., Roffman, J. L., Buckner, R. L., Smoller, J. W., & Sabuncu, M. R. (2016). Multidimensional heritability analysis of neuroanatomical shape. *Nature Communications*, *7*(1), 13291. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13291

Glahn, D. C., Winkler, A. M., Kochunov, P., Almasy, L., Duggirala, R., Carless, M. A., Curran, J. C., Olvera, R. L., Laird, A. R., Smith, S. M., Beckmann, C. F., Fox, P. T., & Blangero, J. (2010). Genetic control over the resting brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *107*(3), 1223–1228. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0909969107

Gordon, E. M., Laumann, T. O., Gilmore, A. W., Newbold, D. J., Greene, D. J., Berg, J. J., Ortega, M., Hoyt-Drazen, C., Gratton, C., Sun, H., Hampton, J. M., Coalson, R. S., Nguyen, A. L., McDermott, K. B., Shimony, J. S., Snyder, A. Z., Schlaggar, B. L., Petersen, S. E., Nelson, S. M., & Dosenbach, N. U. F. (2017). Precision functional mapping of individual human brains. *Neuron*, *95*(4), 791–807.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.07.011

Gruskin, D. C., & Patel, G. H. (2022). Brain connectivity at rest predicts individual differences in normative activity during movie watching. *NeuroImage*, *253*, 119100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119100

Gruskin, D. C., Rosenberg, M. D., & Holmes, A. J. (2020). Relationships between depressive symptoms and brain responses during emotional movie viewing emerge in adolescence. *NeuroImage*, *216*, 116217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116217

Guntupalli, J. S., Feilong, M., & Haxby, J. (2018). A computational model of shared fine-scale structure in the human connectome. *PLOS Computational Biology*, *14*(4), e1006120. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006120

Haxby, J. V., Guntupalli, J. S., Connolly, A. C., Halchenko, Y. O., Conroy, B. R., Gobbini, M. I., Hanke, M., & Ramadge, P. J. (2011). A common, high-dimensional model of the representational space in human ventral temporal cortex. *Neuron*, *72*(2), 404–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.08.026

Haxby, J. V., Guntupalli, J. S., Nastase, S. A., & Feilong, M. (2020). Hyperalignment: Modeling shared information encoded in idiosyncratic cortical topographies (C. I. Baker & F. P. de Lange, Eds.). *eLife*, *9*, e56601. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56601

Hillman, E. M. (2014). Coupling mechanism and significance of the BOLD signal: A status report. *Annual review of neuroscience*, *37*, 161–181. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071013-014111

Kennedy, D. P., D'Onofrio, B. M., Quinn, P. D., Bölte, S., Lichtenstein, P., & Falck-Ytter, T. (2017). Genetic influence on eye movements to complex scenes at short timescales. *Current biology*, *27*(22), 3554–3560.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.007

Ki, J. J., Kelly, S. P., & Parra, L. C. (2016). Attention strongly modulates reliability of neural responses to naturalistic narrative stimuli. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *36*(10), 3092–3101. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2942-15.2016

Kohn, A., Coen-Cagli, R., Kanitscheider, I., & Pouget, A. (2016). Correlations and neuronal population information. *Annual review of neuroscience*, *39*, 237–256. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-070815-013851

Korgaonkar, M. S., Ram, K., Williams, L. M., Gatt, J. M., & Grieve, S. M. (2014). Establishing the resting state default mode network derived from functional magnetic resonance imaging tasks as an endophenotype: A twins study. *Human Brain Mapping*, *35*(8), 3893–3902. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22446

Maliniak, D., Powers, R., & Walter, B. F. (2013). The gender citation gap in international relations. *International Organization*, *67*(4), 889–922. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818313000209

Marcus, D. S., Harwell, J., Olsen, T., Hodge, M., Glasser, M. F., Prior, F., Jenkinson, M., Laumann, T., Curtiss, S. W., & Van Essen, D. C. (2011). Informatics and data mining tools and strategies for the human connectome project. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*, *5*, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2011.00004

Miranda-Dominguez, O., Feczko, E., Grayson, D. S., Walum, H., Nigg, J. T., & Fair, D. A. (2018). Heritability of the human connectome: A connectotyping study. *Network Neuroscience (Cambridge, Mass.)*, *2*(2), 175–199. https://doi.org/10.1162/netn_a_00029

Mitchell, S. M., Lange, S., & Brus, H. (2013). Gendered citation patterns in international relations journals. *International Studies Perspectives*, *14*(4), 485–492. https://doi.org/10.1111/insp.12026

Patel, G. H., Arkin, S. C., Ruiz-Betancourt, D. R., Plaza, F. I., Mirza, S. A., Vieira, D. J., Strauss, N. E., Klim, C. C., Sanchez-Peña, J. P., & Bartel, L. P. (2021). Failure to engage the temporoparietal junction/posterior superior temporal sulcus predicts impaired naturalistic social cognition in schizophrenia. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, *144*(6), 1898–1910.

Pelt, v. S., Boomsma, D. I., & Fries, P. (2012). Magnetoencephalography in twins reveals a strong genetic determination of the peak frequency of visually induced gamma-band synchronization. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*(10), 3388–3392. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5592-11.2012

Petersen, S. E., Seitzman, B. A., Nelson, S. M., Wig, G. S., & Gordon, E. M. (2024). Principles of cortical areas and their implications for neuroimaging. *Neuron*, *0*(0). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2024.05.008

Renvall, H., Salmela, E., Vihla, M., Illman, M., Leinonen, E., Kere, J., & Salmelin, R. (2012). Genome-wide linkage analysis of human auditory cortical activation suggests distinct loci on chromosomes 2, 3, and 8. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *32*(42), 14511–14518. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1483-12.2012

Ricard, J. A., Parker, T. C., Dhamala, E., Kwasa, J., Allsop, A., & Holmes, A. J. (2023). Confronting racially exclusionary practices in the acquisition and analyses of neuroimaging data. *Nature Neuroscience*, *26*(1), 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-022-01218-y

Robinson, E. C., Jbabdi, S., Glasser, M. F., Andersson, J., Burgess, G. C., Harms, M. P., Smith, S. M., Van Essen, D. C., & Jenkinson, M. (2014). MSM: A new flexible framework for multimodal surface matching. *NeuroImage*, *100*, 414–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.05.069

Salmi, J., Roine, U., Glerean, E., Lahnakoski, J., Nieminen-von Wendt, T., Tani, P., Leppämäki, S., Nummenmaa, L., Jääskeläinen, I., Carlson, S., Rintahaka, P., & Sams, M. (2013). The brains of high functioning autistic individuals do not synchronize with those of others. *NeuroImage : Clinical*, *3*, 489–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.10.011

Schaefer, A., Kong, R., Gordon, E. M., Laumann, T. O., Zuo, X.-N., Holmes, A. J., Eickhoff, S. B., & Yeo, B. T. T. (2018). Local-global parcellation of the human cerebral cortex from intrinsic functional connectivity mri. *Cerebral Cortex (New York, N.Y.: 1991)*, *28*(9), 3095–3114. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx179

Schmitt, J. E., Neale, M. C., Fassassi, B., Perez, J., Lenroot, R. K., Wells, E. M., & Giedd, J. N. (2014). The dynamic role of genetics on cortical patterning during childhood and adolescence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(18), 6774–6779. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1311630111

Shinn, M., Hu, A., Turner, L., Noble, S., Preller, K. H., Ji, J. L., Moujaes, F., Achard, S., Scheinost, D., Constable, R. T., Krystal, J. H., Vollenweider, F. X., Lee, D., Anticevic, A., Bullmore, E. T., & Murray, J. D. (2023). Functional brain networks reflect spatial and temporal autocorrelation. *Nature Neuroscience*, *26*(5), 867–878. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01299-3

Sinclair, B., Hansell, N. K., Blokland, G. A., Martin, N. G., Thompson, P. M., Breakspear, M., de Zubicaray, G. I., Wright, M. J., & McMahon, K. L. (2015). Heritability of the network architecture of intrinsic brain functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*, *121*, 243–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.048

Song, H., Finn, E. S., & Rosenberg, M. D. (2021). Neural signatures of attentional engagement during narratives and its consequences for event memory. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(33), e2021905118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2021905118

Tu, P.-C., Su, T.-P., Lin, W.-C., Chang, W.-C., Bai, Y.-M., Li, C.-T., & Lin, F.-H. (2019). Reduced synchronized brain activity in schizophrenia during viewing of comedy movies. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 12738. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-48957-w

van Baar, J. M., Halpern, D. J., & FeldmanHall, O. (2021). Intolerance of uncertainty modulates brain-to-brain synchrony during politically polarized perception. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(20), e2022491118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2022491118

van den Heuvel, M. P., & Hulshoff Pol, H. E. (2010). Exploring the brain network: A review on resting-state fMRI functional connectivity. *European Neuropsychopharmacology*, *20*(8), 519–534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2010.03.008

Van Essen, D. C., Smith, S. M., Barch, D. M., Behrens, T. E., Yacoub, E., & Ugurbil, K. (2013). The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An overview. *NeuroImage*, *80*, 62–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.041

Vanderwal, T., Eilbott, J., Finn, E. S., Craddock, R. C., Turnbull, A., & Castellanos, F. X. (2017). Individual differences in functional connectivity during naturalistic viewing conditions. *NeuroImage*, *157*, 521–530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.06.027

Wang, X., Dworkin, J. D., Zhou, D., Stiso, J., Falk, E. B., Bassett, D. S., Zurn, P., & Lydon-Staley, D. M. (2021). Gendered citation practices in the field of communication. *Annals of the International Communication Association*, *45*(2), 134–153. https://doi.org/10.1080/23808985.2021.1960180

Watanabe, T., Rees, G., & Masuda, N. (2019). Atypical intrinsic neural timescale in autism (J. I. Gold, M. Breakspear, & L. L Gollo, Eds.). *eLife*, *8*, e42256. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42256

Wengler, K., Goldberg, A. T., Chahine, G., & Horga, G. (2020). Distinct hierarchical alterations of intrinsic neural timescales account for different manifestations of psychosis (M. J. Frank, C. M. Gillan, & P. R. Corlett, Eds.). *eLife*, *9*, e56151. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.56151

Xu, T., Opitz, A., Craddock, R. C., Wright, M. J., Zuo, X.-N., & Milham, M. P. (2016). Assessing variations in areal organization for the intrinsic brain: From fingerprints to reliability. *Cerebral Cortex*, *26*(11), 4192–4211. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw241

Yeo, T., Krienen, F. M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M., Roffman, J. L., Smoller, J. W., Zöllei, L., Polimeni, J. R., Fischl, B., Liu, H., & Buckner, R. L. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, *106*(3), 1125–1165. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00338.2011

Yeshurun, Y., Swanson, S., Simony, E., Chen, J., Lazaridi, C., Honey, C. J., & Hasson, U. (2017). Same story, different story. *Psychological Science*, *28*(3), 307–319. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616682029

Zhang, J., Zhang, S., Qiao, J., Wang, T., & Zeng, P. (2023). Similarity and diversity of genetic architecture for complex traits between East Asian and European populations. *BMC Genomics*, *24*(1), 314. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-023-09434-x

Zheng, R., Bu, C., Chen, Y., Wei, Y., Zhou, B., Jiang, Y., Zhu, C., Wang, K., Wang, C., Li, S., Han, S., Zhang, Y., & Cheng, J. (2024). Decreased intrinsic neural timescale in treatment-naïve adolescent depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *348*, 389–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.12.048

Zhou, D., Cornblath, E. J., Stiso, J., Teich, E. G., Dworkin, J. D., Blevins, A. S., & Bassett, D. S. (2020). Gender diversity statement and code notebook v1.0. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3672110