TITLE

Proof of concept study deploying CRISPR inhibition and activation opens key avenues for

systematic biological exploration in zebrafish.

AUTHORS

Nelson B. Barrientos[1], Elyse A. Shoppell[1], Rachel J. Boyd[1], Valeria C. Culotta[2], Andrew S.

McCallion[1,3*]

AFFILIATIONS

[1]McKusick-Nathans Department of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School

of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[3]Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205,

USA

[*] Corresponding author

Corresponding author's email: andy@jhmi.edu

ABSTRACT

The application of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and CRISPR activation (CRISPRa)

technologies in zebrafish has the potential to expand its capacity for the study of gene function

significantly. We report proof-of-principle data evaluating transient expression of a codon

optimized CRISPRi/a system for zebrafish across established pigmentary and growth

phenotypes. A codon-optimized and catalytically inactive *cas9* gene (*dcas9*) was cloned upstream

of codon-optimized Krüppel associated box (KRAB) and methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2)

for CRISPRi, and VP64 for CRISPRa. To validate CRISPRi, we targeted key genes in melanocyte

differentiation (*sox10, mitfa,* and *mitfb)*; and melanin production (tyrosinase; *tyr*). Microinjection of

CRISPRi mRNA and single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting the *tyr* promoter or 5'-UTR resulted

in larvae with hypopigmented epidermal melanocytes. CRISPRi-mediated targeting of the

promoters or 5'-UTR of transcription factors mitfa and mitfb also results in pronounced

hypopigmentation of epidermal melanocytes (*mitfa*), and RPE (*mitfb*). Also, CRISPRi targeting of

the *sox10* promoter results in hypopigmentation of both epidermal melanocytes and RPE

consistent with its role upstream of *mitfa* and *mitfb*, and *tyr*. Finally, we tested both CRISPRi/a to

modulate a single gene to yield hypomorphic and hypermorphic effects, selecting *mrap2a* as our

target. This gene regulates energy homeostasis and somatic growth via inhibition of the

melanocortin 4 receptor gene (*mc4r*). We demonstrate that inactivating or activating *mrap2a* with

CRISPRi/a significantly decreases or increases larval body length, respectively. We demonstrate

that CRISPRi/a can modulate control of zebrafish gene expression, facilitating efficient assay of

candidate gene function and disease relevance.

KEYWORDS:

Zebrafish, CRISPR-activation, CRISPR-inhibition

INTRODUCTION

Zebrafish (*danio rerio*) are a powerful model system in which to study functional components of vertebrate genomes. Comparative genomics analyses have shown that 61.5% of mouse protein-coding genes and 71% of human protein-coding genes have at least one zebrafish orthologue[1,2]. Additional features, including short generation time, high fecundity, external fertilization, and embryonic transparency, have distinguished zebrafish as a desirable model system in which to examine developmental processes. As such, zebrafish have been widely used to study the biological relevance of regulatory elements[3–6], and human disease-associated genes[7–14].

Emerging technologies for transgenesis and genome engineering, such as such as Gal4-UAS[15]; Tol2-transposase transgenesis[3]; Zinc Finger Nucleases[16]; and transcription activator-like effectors (TALENs)[17] have been developed and rapidly implemented in zebrafish. Over the last decade, zebrafish have also been shown to be amenable to CRISPR-Cas-based genomic engineering applications, including targeted mutagenesis, base editing, and generation of knock-in and knockout models[18–22]. More recently, the emergence of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and activation (CRISPRa) have presented additional opportunities to explore functional components of vertebrate genomes. CRISPRi/a involves the fusion of transcriptional effectors to a catalytically inactive, or "dead" Cas9 (dCas9). CRISPRi employs a transcriptional repressor, such as a Krüppel associated box (KRAB) domain and methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (MeCP2)[23,24], while in the case of CRISPRa, a transcriptional activator, such as VP64, is fused to the dCas9[25]. To date, the CRISPRi/a systems have seen limited application in zebrafish[26], whereas they have been more rapidly employed in mammalian organisms to target human disease-relevant genes[27–29].

Here, we report initial experiments in the development and evaluation of zebrafish codon-optimized zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and zdCas9-VP64 CRISPR systems. We present a systematic

evaluation of these modified proteins in functional proof-of-concept experiments by targeting two easily scored phenotypes: zebrafish pigmentation and somatic growth. In addition to providing visual confirmation of the efficacy of both CRISPRi and CRISPRa, these two model phenotypes and their corresponding pathways are well-characterized and are involved in human disease.

Specifically, we chose to test our CRISPRi system by targeting genes involved in melanocyte differentiation and pigmentation, *sox10 (SRY-box transcription factor 10)*, *mitfa (melanocyte inducing transcription factor a)*, *mitfb*; and the gene for the rate determining enzyme in pigmentation, *tyr (tyrosinase)*. At approximately 16 hours post fertilization (16 hpf), *sox10* directly activates *mitfa* and *mitfb* promoters to stimulate downstream genes involved in melanocyte differentiation; and activates *tyr*, to induce melanin production by 24 hpf[30–32]. In the developing zebrafish embryo, *mitfa* and *mitfb* are co-expressed in the retinal pigment epithelium, whereas only *mitfb* is expressed in the zebrafish epiphysis and olfactory bulb, and only *mitfa* is expressed in neural crest cells[31,33,34]. We hypothesize that independently targeting each of these four genes with functional CRISPRi will yield a visible reduction in zebrafish pigmentation. In the case of *sox10,* we expect to see marked reduction in pigmentation globally due to its role in melanocyte cell fate and activation of *mitfa/b* and *tyr* to promote melanin production in zebrafish and other vertebrates[35,36]. Due to its role in multiple stages of melanocyte differentiation and its function as a transcription factor, silencing *mitfa* is expected to result in a hypopigmentation phenotype throughout the body[37]. Similarly, silencing *mitfb* is expected to delay melanocyte differentiation in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and lead to areas of reduced pigmentation[33,38]. Lastly, we hypothesize that targeting *tyr* will result in hypopigmentation of melanocytes throughout the zebrafish body[30,35,39,40]. Zebrafish melanocytes have been extensively studied by our group and others[4,6,41,42], and targeting genes in this pathway is highly relevant to the study of human disease, including melanoma[43–45], Waardenburg Syndrome[46,47], microphthalmia, and Hirschsprung's disease[48,49].

For our CRISPRa system, we chose to target *mrap2a* (*melanocortin receptor accessory*

protein 2a), which has been found to inhibit the transcription of the *melanocortin-4 receptor*

(*mc4r*)[50]. As a corollary, we hypothesize that CRISPRa targeting the *mrap2a* locus will amplify the

inhibition of *mc4r* and promote visible growth phenotypes in zebrafish larvae. This is supported

by evidence in human patients, wherein autosomal dominant loss of function mutations in *MCR4*

results in severe childhood-onset obesity[51,52]. By contrast, we hypothesize that effective CRISPRi

targeting of *mrap2a* will promote *mc4r* inhibition and result in diminished zebrafish growth

consistent with reported morpholino-based knock-down experiments [50].

Ultimately, we outline the development of zebrafish-optimized zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and

zdCas9-VP64 CRISPR constructs. We establish their utility for efficient screening of candidate

gene function and disease relevance. Ongoing work in the lab will establish stable transgenic

lines expressing these proteins to facilitate rapid and systematic screening of gain and loss of

gene function targeting custom guide RNAs (gRNAs) to promoters, 5'-UTR, or cell-dependent cis-

regulatory elements of selected candidate genes.

METHODS

Generation of catalytically inactive Cas9 plasmid vector

The WT zebrafish optimized Cas9 within the plasmid vector pT3TS-nCas9n (Addgene

#46757) was mutated to produce a catalytically inactive dead Cas9 (dCas9). The DNA sequence

corresponding to the RuvC-like and HNH nuclease domains of the Cas9 protein were modified

by inducing a change from Aspartic acid to Alanine at position 10 (D10A), and a change from

Histidine to Alanine at position 839 (H839A). This was accomplished by implementing the

NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (Cat. # E5520S) protocol using oligonucleotides primers

(Supplemental Table 1) generated using the NEBuilder Assembly Tool (v.2.10.1). The modified

plasmid vector was then transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I) and

later mini-prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). The plasmid vector

generated was named pT3TS-ndCas9n.

Codon Optimization of KRAB-MeCP2 and VP64 domains for zebrafish usage

The DNA sequence for the KRAB-MeCP2 repressor was obtained from the dCas9-KRAB-

MeCP2 plasmid vector (Addgene #110821); while the DNA sequence for the VP64 activator was

obtained from the dCas9-VP64-GFP plasmid vector (Addgene #61422). The codon optimization

tool from IDT was used to optimize these sequences for zebrafish. The KRAB-MeCP2 repressor

sequence (1,263 bp) containing an SV40 NLS before and after KRAB was optimized and ordered

as a gBlocks HiFi Gene Fragment. The VP64 activator sequence (225 bp) containing an SV40

NLS before VP64 was optimized and ordered as a gBlocks Gene Fragment.

CRISPRi/a plasmid construct generation and *in vitro* transcription

The codon optimized KRAB-MeCP2 repressor and VP64 activator were cloned into the

pT3TS-ndCas9n plasmid vector containing a catalytically inactive dCas9 sequence. Cloning was

carried out by employing NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (Cat. # E5520S) using oligonucleotide

primers (Supplemental Table 2) that overlapped both the target region of the plasmid vector and

the optimized sequences. Once the optimized sequences had been cloned in, the plasmid

constructs were transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I) and later mini-

prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). The plasmid constructs

generated were named zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and zdCas9-VP64 for CRISPRi and CRISPRa,

respectively.

The restriction enzyme XbaI (NEB Cat # R0145) was used to linearize the CRISPRi/a

plasmid constructs. Subsequently, *in vitro* transcription of the d*Cas9* gene plus the repressor or

activator was performed by employing the mMessage mMachine T3 Transcription kit (Cat. #

AM1348). RNA was recovered by using the RNA Clean-up protocol from the Qiagen RNeasy Mini

Kit (Cat. # 74104) and stored at -80°C.

Designing targeted gRNAs for CRISPRi/a

Multiple single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed to target the promoter or 5'-UTR of

the various genes in the zebrafish. All sgRNAs followed a core **5'-N18-3'**[53] sequence and were

designed using the online tool CRISPOR (PMID: 29762716). At the 5' end of the forward

oligonucleotide, the nucleotides 5'-TAGG-3' were added. At the 5' end of the reverse

oligonucleotide (reverse complement of N18 sequence), the nucleotides 5'-AAAC-3' were added.

The final form of the oligonucleotides was 5'-TAGG-N18-3' for the forward oligo; and 5'-AAAC-

N18RevCompl-3'. The oligo primers for each sgRNA were ordered from IDT (Supplemental Table

3). Oligonucleotide primers were first annealed and subsequently cloned into the pT7-gRNA

plasmid vector (Addgene #46759) containing a gRNA scaffold, according to restriction enzyme

cloning protocol specifications from previous studies[54]. After cloning, each plasmid construct

generated for each sgRNA was transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I)

and later mini-prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). Linearization

of these constructs was performed using the restriction enzyme BamHI-HF (NEB Cat # R3136).

The linear DNA was purified using the Zymo Research DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 protocol

(Cat. # D4013). Subsequently, *in vitro* transcription of linear DNA was performed using the

Thermo Fisher MEGAshortscript T7 Kit (Cat. # AM1354) or the NEB HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA

Synthesis Kit (Cat. #E2040S). After in vitro transcription, RNA was recovered by using the RNA

Clean-up protocol from the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Cat. # 74104) and stored at -80°C.

Zebrafish husbandry and microinjections of one-cell stage embryos

The day before injections, adult AB zebrafish (ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENO-960809-7) crosses

were set up in tanks with dividers at a ratio of 2:1 or 3:2 females per male. The morning of

injections and when the lights came on, the dividers were removed to allow for mating among

zebrafish. Zebrafish embryos were injected during the first 15-20 mins after being collected to

ensure injection occurred at the one-cell stage. The mixture injected to the embryos contain 300

ng of CRISPRi/a mRNA, 150 ng of sgRNA mRNA, 0.5 µl of Phenol Red, and Nuclease Free Water

up to 6 µl. After microinjections, zebrafish embryos were incubated at 25 °C in E3 embryo medium

provided by Johns Hopkins FinZ Zebrafish Core Center.

Zebrafish measurement and image collection

All zebrafish larvae used for measurements or image collection were staged according to

anatomical hallmarks described in Kimmel et al [55]. Larvae were anesthetized using ethyl-3-

aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt (Tricane/MS-222; Sigma Aldrich #E10521) prepared at a

concentration of 4 mg/mL using 1 M Tris (pH 9, 4% v/v). The Nikon AZ100 Multizoom fluorescent

microscope was used for both measurements and image collection at a ratio of 3.22 µm/px. For

all images, zebrafish larvae were suspended in 3% Methyl Cellulose (Sigma Aldrich #M0387)

solution.

RESULTS
194

CRISPRi modulates tyrosinase expression and its effect is observable between the prim

20-25 stages.

To determine the functional capacity of zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi (Fig 1A), one-

cell stage zebrafish embryos were injected with CRISPRi mRNA and target sgRNAs. A CRISPRi

assay for zebrafish provides an opportunity to ask questions regarding the impact of titrating

downwards the expression of target genes, in evaluation of their normal biological role. As part of

a series of proof-of-concept experiments, we designed sgRNAs targeting the promoter region and

5'-UTR of the tyrosinase (*tyr*) gene, predicting that inhibition would lead to diminished larval

pigmentation, similar to established loss of function studies at this locus[30,32,40].

Initially, sgRNAs were designed to target the *tyr* promoter or 5'-UTR (Methods) and one-

cell embryos (n ≥ 200) were injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail of all five sgRNAs

(150 ng/each). Zebrafish larvae assayed within the prim 20-25 stages (33-36 hpf) displayed

marked reduction in pigmentation of melanocytes (Fig 1B), consistent with phenotypes arising

from loss of function alleles in zebrafish[30] and other vertebrates[39]. By contrast, larvae receiving

only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200), displayed no diminution in

melanization at these stages. As expected, the observed suppression of tyr dissipated over time,

and by the pec-fin stage (60 hpf), melanization was indistinguishable between controls and those

larvae receiving CRISPRi and the cocktail of all sgRNAs.

We next tested if delivery of each individual *tyr* sgRNAs could similarly silence

transcription of *tyr* and reduce melanin production. At least one of the five sgRNAs that we tested,

sgRNA4 targeting the promoter, efficiently modulated *tyr* expression (Supp Fig 1), resulting in

hypopigmentation within prim 20-25 (33-36 hpf). We used this sgRNA to assess the optimal

concentration of CRISPRi + sgRNA and injected n ≥ 200 larvae each with 100 ng/µl, 300 ng/µl,

and 500 ng/µl of sgRNA4. Our data suggests no advantage to injection of levels in excess of 300

ng/µl of sgRNA (Supp Fig 1).

These results demonstrate the effectiveness in modulating *tyr* by employ of our zebrafish

codon-optimized CRISPRi and selected individual or pooled sgRNA targeting the gene's

promoter. To build on these observations, we sought to confirm them through the manipulation of

other key pigment genes that are known to regulate *tyr*.

CRISPRi modulates melanin production upon targeting of *mitf* genes.

In light of our results modulating *tyr* expression, we next tested if we could induce its

silencing by targeting the genes encoding the transcription factors mitfa and mitfb. The *mitf* genes

play critical roles in pigmentation throughout vertebrates, targeting tyrosinase during early

development[35,56]. We designed sgRNAs to target the promoter and 5'-UTR regions of the *mitfa*

and *mitfb* genes, predicting that, as with known loss-of-function (LoF) alleles[34,56], titrating activity

of these transcriptions factors would result in reduced activation of tyrosinase in melanocytes

throughout the body (*mitfa*) and in the retinal pigment epithelium (*mitfa* and *mitfb*).

Four sgRNAs were designed to target the *mitfa* promoter (Methods). As before, zebrafish

one-cell embryos (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail of all *mitfa* sgRNAs

(150 ng/each) displayed marked reduction in pigmentation of melanocytes, assayed within prim

20-25 (33-36 hpf) (Fig 2a). This is consistent with prior *mitfa* LoF studies[57] where failure to

express *mitfa* prevents the production of melanocyte precursors, leading to reduced global

pigmentation in zebrafish larvae. In contrast, no reduction in pigmentation was observed in larvae

receiving only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) (Fig 2A). Additionally,

individual *mitfa* sgRNAs targeting the promoter or 5'-UTR effectively silenced *mitfa,* resulting in

marked reduction of melanin production within prim 20-25 (33-36 hpf) (Supp Fig 2). Silencing of

mitfa dissipated over time and by the pec-fin stage (60 hpf), melanization was similar between

controls and injected larvae (Fig 2A, Supp Fig 2).

For *mitfb,* five sgRNAs were designed to target the promoter and 5'-UTR (Methods), and

one-cell zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) were injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) with or without a

cocktail of all *mitfb* sgRNAs (150 ng/each). Injected larvae were assayed between prim 20 (33

hpf) and the pec-fin (60 hpf) stages for differences in melanization in the RPE and compared with

uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) at the same developmental time. RPE morphogenesis starts at

around the 14-16 somite stage (16 hpf) and by the prim 15 stage (30 hpf), a single monolayer

RPE has formed and pigmentation is initiated[58]. Between prim 20-25 (33-36 hpf), although control

larvae did not show a fully pigmented RPE, larvae injected with CRISPRi and gRNAs showed a

noticeable hypopigmentation phenotype (Fig 2b). When these larvae were assayed around the

pec-fin stage (60 hpf), pigmentation patterns in control conditions showed a fully pigmented RPE.

By contrast, injected larvae showed a pigmentation pattern in the form of patches of diminished

pigmentation (Fig 2b). Prior *mitfb* LoF studies have indicated that a combination of *mitfa, mitfb*

and the *otx* genes is necessary for proper development of the RPE in zebrafish[33]. Therefore,

silencing *mitfb* likely delays RPE pigmentation as a consequence of delaying its development.

Furthermore, individual *mitfb* sgRNAs, whether they targeted the promoter or 5'-UTR, were

capable of modulating *mitfb* expression and resulted in patches of reduced pigmentation in the

RPE (Supp Fig 3). However, the level of modulation induced by each sgRNA differed as some of

them presented with hypopigmented patches around the prim 20-25 stages (33-36 hpf) and their

effect was still noticeable at the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) (Supp Fig 3). By contrast, other sgRNAs

were able to modulate *mitfb* around the prim 20-25 stages (33-36 hpf) but their effect had

dissipated by the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) (Supp Fig 3), confirming the transient effect of our

CRISPRi system.

These results imply that our zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi is effective at modulating

expression of the *mitf* genes, which results in indirect modulation of *tyr* gene expression amongst

other genes, leading to melanocyte hypopigmentation.

CRISPRi targeting of transcription factor *sox10* silences pigmentation pathway in

zebrafish

Having established that *tyr*, and *mitfa/b* can be modulated via CRISPRi, we sought to

move our assays upstream of these factors, investigating whether *sox10*, a transcription factor

known to activate *mitfa/b* and to promote melanin production in zebrafish and other

vertebrates[35,36]. We designed sgRNAs to target the promoter of the *sox10* gene, predicting that

silencing it would lead to downregulation of *mitfa/b* and *tyr* and subsequent hypopigmentation in

the zebrafish.

Four gRNAs were designed to target the *sox10* promoter (Methods). Zebrafish larvae (n

≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail of all *sox10* sgRNAs (150 ng/each)

displayed marked reduction in pigmentation of melanocytes, assayed wihtin prim 20-25 (33-36

hpf), consistent with reduction of pigmentation when targeting downstream genes *mitfa/b,* and *tyr*

(Fig 3A, Supp Fig 4). Consistent with our results, no reduction in pigmentation was observed in

larvae receiving only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) (Fig 3A).

Furthermore, we observed that at least one sgRNAs (Fig 3A, 3B, Supp Fig 4) was effective at

reducing pigmentation when injected individually. These results are in line with previous work that

demonstrated that *sox10* is important for melanocyte development and melanin production[35,36,59].

The effects of CRISPRi dissipated by the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) as the pigmentation patterns

between injected and uninjected were indistinguishable (Fig 3A).

Because *sox10* also targets *mitfb* for activation, we investigated if silencing *sox10* would

have any effect on the RPE. We observed that silencing *sox10* leads to delay in pigmentation in

the RPE (Fig 3B). Consistent with our results, around the prim 20-25 stages (33-36 hpf), the RPE

is not fully pigmented, and no differences are observed between controls and experimental

conditions (Supp Fig 4). At the pec-fin stage (60 hpf), different pigmentation patterns in the form

of patches of hypopigmentation between conditions were observed ventrally in injected larvae

(Fig 3B). This is similar to the phenotype observed when targeting *mitfb*, suggesting that silencing

sox10 leads to reduced transcription of *mitfb,* which leads to subsequent delay in RPE

development and pigmentation. By contrast, the RPE in controls was fully pigmented at the pec-

fin stage (60 hpf) (Fig 3B).

These results imply that our zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi is effective at modulating

the expression of any particular gene and the effect of silencing any gene leads to downregulation

of downstream genes.

CRISPRi/a affects zebrafish length by modulating transcription of *mrap2a*

Considering the successful demonstration of CRISPRi-mediated modulation of zebrafish

pigmentation, we then set out to test our CRISPRa system focusing on growth, a potentially more

informative phenotype for this test. We targeted the *mrap2a* gene which is known to regulate the

expression of the melanocortin 4 receptor (*mc4r*) gene by silencing its transcription[50,60]. Both

genes have been shown to be involved in energy homeostasis and somatic growth in mice and

zebrafish and are thought to be heavily involved in familial early-onset obesity in humans[61].

Targeting *mrap2a* with CRISPRa and increasing its transcription would result in a decrease in

mc4r transcription, which would lead to an increase in larval length. By contrast, silencing *mrap2a*

with CRISPRi and decreasing its transcription would lead to an increase in *mc4r* expression,

resulting in larvae shorter in length[50,61].

While many loss-of-function experiments have been performed targeting *mrap2a*[50,60,61],

gain-of-function (GoF) experiments targeting *mrap2a* have not been explored.   As a proof-of-

principle experiment, we designed four sgRNAs to target the *mrap2a* promoter (Methods) with

our CRISPRa system. Zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRa (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail

of all *mrap2a* sgRNAs (150 ng/each) displayed marked increase in body length, assayed at the

pec-fin stage (60 hpf) (Fig 4A). Similarly, we tested if an individual sgRNA could guide the dCas9-

VP64 to modulate body length and found sgRNA3 (150 ng) capable of inducing an observable

phenotype (Fig 4A, 4B). By contrast, no increase in larval length was observed at the pec-fin

stage (60 hpf) in larvae receiving only the CRISPRa mRNA (n ≥ 200) or in uninjected controls (n

≥ 200). Moreover, the effects of CRISPRa were still noticeable at the day 5 stage (120 hpf) and

within the day 7-13 stage (>168 hpf), as the length of these larvae was still longer than control

conditions (Fig 4A, Supp Fig 5). Furthermore, we measured the length of larvae receiving

CRISPRa+sgRNA3 (n = 50), sgRNA3-only (n = 50), and uninjected controls (n = 50) and

performed a Welch two-sample t-test to analyze if the average length between these conditions

was statistically different (Fig 4B). At the pec-fin stage (60 hpf), the average length for these

conditions was 3673.67 µm, 3468.86 µm, and 3466.28 µm (p-value = 8.58e-16; 1.83e-14, and

0.9181), respectively. The longer larval length phenotype due to increased *mrap2a* transcription

and decreased *mc4r* expression is consistent with increased size and weight in mice that become

obese due to *mc4r* haploinsufficiency[29], and with morbid obesity cases in humans due to

frameshift (loss of function) mutations in *mc4r*[62].

We used the same sgRNAs to target and silence *mrap2a* and increase *mc4r* expression.

Zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) plus all sgRNAs (150 ng/µl/each)

displayed a decrease in larval length at the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) (Fig 4A, 4C), which is consistent

with rescuing obese mice with *mc4r* haploinsufficiency[29]. Additionally, sgRNA3 was able to guide

the dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 to modulate silencing of *mrap2a* individually and led to a decrease in

larval length, observed at the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) (Fig 4A. 4C). By contrast, larval length

observed at the pec-fin stage (60 hpf) for larvae receiving only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or

in uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) was higher than our experimental condition (Fig 4A). Further,

larvae injected with CRISPRi still displayed a shorter length around the day 5 stage (120 hpf), but

their length was similar to controls within day 7-13 (>168 hpf) (Fig 4A, Supp Fig 5). We also

measured the larval length for the conditions CRISPRi+sgRNA3 (n = 50), sgRNA3-only (n = 50),

and uninjected controls (n = 50) and found their average length to be 3481.98 µm, 3779.96 µm,

and 3748.82 µm, respectively (Fig 4B). We analyzed the statistical significance between these

means with a Welch two-sample t-test and found the difference in means between experimental

and control conditions to be statistically significant (p-values = 2.2e-16, 2.2e-16, and 0.0636). This

further demonstrates that modulating the regulation of one can elicit indirect regulation of other

target genes, opening the door to a better understanding of gene regulatory networks and their

exploration for potential therapeutic interventions.

DISCUSSION

CRISPRi/a has emerged as a powerful tool in genetic research, offering the ability to

modulate gene expression both for exploration of fundamental mechanisms and for potential

therapeutics. It offers the capacity to modulate gene expression without inducing genetic
alterations which makes CRISPRi/a avaluable technology for the study of gene regulation and for
efficient exploration of both gain and loss of function phenotypes. Nevertheless, its development
and application in zebrafish has been slow over the past decade, with only one study describing
an effort to implement it[26]., while many others apply CRISPR-based editing
technologies[18,19,21,53,54,63].

In this study, we chose to fuse a catalytically inactive Cas9 with the transcriptional
repressor KRAB-MeCP2. Our choice to use dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 was guided by previous
studies that demonstrated its ability to induce gene silencing while outperforming dCas9-KRAB
systems[24,64], implemented in previous CRISPRi experiments in zebrafish[26]. In contrast, for our
CRISPRa system, we fused the dCas9 with the transcriptional activator VP64. Comparisons
between different Cas9 activators have demonstrated the VP64 to effectively induce gene
activation[25]. And while other Cas9 activators such as VPR, SAM, and Suntag have been shown
to outperform VP64, these systems have not been tested in zebrafish and/or require special
sgRNAs to induce their effect. By using a catalytically inactive Cas9 fused with the transcriptional
repressors KRAB-MeCP2 or the transcriptional activator VP64, we have achieved transcriptional
control over key genes involved in melanocyte differentiation (*sox10, mitfa, mitfb*), pigmentation
(*tyr*), and somatic growth (*mrap2a*) in zebrafish. Our results underscore the versatility and
efficiency of CRISPRi/a in zebrafish research. For instance, by silencing *sox10, mitfa, mitfb*, and
tyr, we successfully were able to obtain zebrafish larvae with marked reduction in pigmentation.
Similarly, by activating or silencing *mrap2a*, we successfully modulated larval length.

These proof-of-principle experiments underscore the potential of CRISPRi/a technology
to control gene expression by targeting gene promoters. CRISIPRi/a is relatively straightforward
to implement compared to other genetic editing technologies, which further increases its appeal.
In addition, implementing this technology in transparent one-cell zebrafish embryos makes it
easier to study the effects of gene silencing and activation during development. Further,

CRISPRi/a can also be implemented to study the effects of silencing or activating noncoding

regulatory sequences such as enhancers, repressors, and insulators. Taking advantage of the

fact that approximately 70% of human genes have a zebrafish gene homolog facilitates the study

of gene regulatory mechanisms and networks in yet another vertebrate system. The versatility to

study gene expression modulation by either targeting gene promoters or any potential noncoding

regulatory sequences in a vertebrate system can offer insights into disease pathogenesis and

therapeutic targets that may translate to clinical applications.

CRISPRi/a technology, just like CRISPR gene editing, can also be limited by off-target

effects and variability in efficiency across genomic loci. Additionally, the ethical implications of

gene expression modulation and the potential for unintended consequences underscore the

importance of careful consideration in the application of this technology. In this current study, the

primary limitation is the delivery of CRISPRi/a via mRNA microinjections, which is titrated as the

embryo develops and the larvae grow older. This results in a diminution of an observable

phenotype and hinders the potential to study long-term effects of gene expression modulation,

making it difficult to elucidate any potential disease relevance. To this end, we are actively

generating stable transgenic lines expressing CRISPRi/a to facilitate rapid and systematic

screening of gain or loss of gene function. Our ongoing work will expand the zebrafish toolkit,

establishing the utility of CRISPRi/a for evaluation of candidate gene function and disease

relevance.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: A.S.M., V.C.C, and N.B.B. conceptualized the study. N.B.B.

designed codon optimized dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and dCas9-VP64 constructs and custom single

guide RNAs. N.B.B., E.A.S., and R.J.B. injected RNA constructs into zebrafish embryos. N.B.B.

and E.A.S. imaged the fish. N.B.B. and R.J.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited and

approved the final manuscript for publication.

409 FUNDING: This research, undertaken at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, was

410 supported in part by awards from the National Institutes of Health to A.S.M. (R01HG010480 and

411 R21NS128604), V.C.C. (R35 GM136644), as well as N.B.B., E.A.S., and R.J.B. (T32 GM007814-

412 40); and by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (DFD-181599) to R.J.B.

414 DATA AVAILABILITY: N/A

416 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The authors would like to acknowledge the FInZ Zebrafish Core

417 Center for assistance with zebrafish husbandry.

419 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: The authors declare no competing interests.

423
424

REFERENCES

1.   Giffen, K.P., Liu, H., Kramer, K.L., and He, D.Z. (2019). Expression of Protein-Coding Gene
Orthologs in Zebrafish and Mouse Inner Ear Non-sensory Supporting Cells. Front Neurosci
13. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2019.01117/FULL.

2.   Howe, K., Clark, M.D., Torroja, C.F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., Collins, J.E.,
Humphray, S., McLaren, K., Matthews, L., et al. (2013). The zebrafish reference genome
sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature *496*, 498–503.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12111.

3.   Fisher, S., Grice, E.A., Vinton, R.M., Bessling, S.L., Urasaki, A., Kawakami, K., and McCallion,
A.S. (2006). Evaluating the biological relevance of putative enhancers using Tol2
transposon-mediated transgenesis in zebrafish. Nature Protocols 2006 1:3 *1*, 1297–1305.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.230.

4.   Gorkin, D.U., Lee, D., Reed, X., Fletez-Brant, C., Bessling, S.L., Loftus, S.K., Beer, M.A.,
Pavan, W.J., and McCallion, A.S. (2012). Integration of ChIP-seq and machine learning
reveals enhancers and a predictive regulatory sequence vocabulary in melanocytes.
Genome Res *22*, 2290–2301. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.139360.112.

5.   Fisher, S., Grice, E.A., Vinton, R.M., Bessling, S.L., and McCallion, A.S. (2006). Conservation
of RET regulatory function from human to zebrafish without sequence similarity. Science
312, 276–279. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1124070.

6.   Antonellis, A., Huynh, J.L., Lee-Lin, S.Q., Vinton, R.M., Renaud, G., Loftus, S.K., Elliot, G.,
Wolfsberg, T.G., Green, E.D., McCallion, A.S., et al. (2008). Identification of neural crest and
glial enhancers at the mouse Sox10 locus through transgenesis in zebrafish. PLoS Genet *4*.
https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1000174.

7.   Doyle, A.J., Doyle, J.J., Bessling, S.L., Maragh, S., Lindsay, M.E., Schepers, D., Gillis, E.,
Mortier, G., Homfray, T., Sauls, K., et al. (2012). Mutations in the TGF-β repressor SKI cause
Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome with aortic aneurysm. Nat Genet *44*, 1249–1254.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2421.

8.   Gould, R.A., Aziz, H., Woods, C.E., Seman-Senderos, M.A., Sparks, E., Preuss, C.,
Wünnemann, F., Bedja, D., Moats, C.R., McClymont, S.A., et al. (2019). ROBO4 variants
predispose individuals to bicuspid aortic valve and thoracic aortic aneurysm. Nat Genet *51*,
42–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-018-0265-Y.

9.   Golzio, C., Willer, J., Talkowski, M.E., Oh, E.C., Taniguchi, Y., Jacquemont, S., Reymond, A.,
Sun, M., Sawa, A., Gusella, J.F., et al. (2012). KCTD13 is a major driver of mirrored
neuroanatomical phenotypes of the 16p11.2 copy number variant. Nature *485*, 363–367.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE11091.

10.   Panizzi, J.R., Becker-Heck, A., Castleman, V.H., Al-Mutairi, D.A., Liu, Y., Loges, N.T., Pathak,
N., Austin-Tse, C., Sheridan, E., Schmidts, M., et al. (2012). CCDC103 mutations cause

primary ciliary dyskinesia by disrupting assembly of ciliary dynein arms. Nat Genet *44*, 714–
719. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2277.

11. Roscioli, T., Kamsteeg, E.J., Buysse, K., Maystadt, I., Van Reeuwijk, J., Van Den Elzen, C., Van
Beusekom, E., Riemersma, M., Pfundt, R., Vissers, L.E.L.M., et al. (2012). Mutations in ISPD
cause Walker-Warburg syndrome and defective glycosylation of α-dystroglycan. Nat Genet
44, 581–585. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2253.

12. Tobin, D.M., Roca, F.J., Oh, S.F., McFarland, R., Vickery, T.W., Ray, J.P., Ko, D.C., Zou, Y.,
Bang, N.D., Chau, T.T.H., et al. (2012). Host genotype-specific therapies can optimize the
inflammatory response to mycobacterial infections. Cell *148*, 434–446.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2011.12.023.

13. Maragh, S., Miller, R.A., Bessling, S.L., McGaughey, D.M., Wessels, M.W., De Graaf, B.,
Stone, E.A., Bertoli-Avella, A.M., Gearhart, J.D., Fisher, S., et al. (2011). Identification of RNA
binding motif proteins essential for cardiovascular development. BMC Dev Biol *11*.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-11-62.

14. Wahbeh, M.H., Boyd, R.J., Yovo, C., Rike, B., McCallion, A.S., and Avramopoulos, D. (2024).
A functional schizophrenia-associated genetic variant near the TSNARE1 and ADGRB1
genes. HGG Adv *5*. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XHGG.2024.100303.

15. Asakawa, K., and Kawakami, K. (2008). Targeted gene expression by the Gal4-UAS system in
zebrafish. Dev Growth Differ *50*, 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1440-
169X.2008.01044.X.

16. Zhu, C., Smith, T., Mcnulty, J., Rayla, A.L., Lakshmanan, A., Siekmann, A.F., Buffardi, M.,
Meng, X., Shin, J., Padmanabhan, A., et al. (2011). Evaluation and application of modularly
assembled zinc-finger nucleases in zebrafish. Development *138*, 4555–4564.
https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.066779.

17. Huang, P., Xiao, A., Zhou, M., Zhu, Z., Lin, S., and Zhang, B. (2011). Heritable gene targeting
in zebrafish using customized TALENs. Nature Biotechnology 2011 29:8 *29*, 699–700.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1939.

18. Hwang, W.Y., Fu, Y., Reyon, D., Maeder, M.L., Tsai, S.Q., Sander, J.D., Peterson, R.T., Yeh,
J.R.J., and Joung, J.K. (2013). Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a CRISPR-Cas
system. Nat Biotechnol *31*, 227–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/NBT.2501.

19. Shah, A.N., Davey, C.F., Whitebirch, A.C., Miller, A.C., and Moens, C.B. (2015). Rapid
reverse genetic screening using CRISPR in zebrafish. Nat Methods *12*, 535–540.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3360.

20. Varshney, G.K., Pei, W., Lafave, M.C., Idol, J., Xu, L., Gallardo, V., Carrington, B., Bishop, K.,
Jones, M., Li, M., et al. (2015). High-throughput gene targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish
using CRISPR/Cas9. Genome Res *25*, 1030–1042. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.186379.114.

21.   Zhang, Y., Qin, W., Lu, X., Xu, J., Huang, H., Bai, H., Li, S., and Lin, S. (2017). Programmable
base editing of zebrafish genome using a modified CRISPR-Cas9 system. Nat Commun *8*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-017-00175-6.

22.   Hisano, Y., Sakuma, T., Nakade, S., Ohga, R., Ota, S., Okamoto, H., Yamamoto, T., and
Kawahara, A. (2015). Precise in-frame integration of exogenous DNA mediated by
CRISPR/Cas9 system in zebrafish. Sci Rep *5*. https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP08841.

23.   Larson, M.H., Gilbert, L.A., Wang, X., Lim, W.A., Weissman, J.S., and Qi, L.S. (2013). CRISPR
interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Nature Protocols
2013 8:11 *8*, 2180–2196. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.132.

24.   Yeo, N.C., Chavez, A., Lance-Byrne, A., Chan, Y., Menn, D., Milanova, D., Kuo, C.C., Guo, X.,
Sharma, S., Tung, A., et al. (2018). An enhanced CRISPR repressor for targeted mammalian
gene regulation. Nat Methods *15*, 611–616. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41592-018-0048-5.

25.   Chavez, A., Tuttle, M., Pruitt, B.W., Ewen-Campen, B., Chari, R., Ter-Ovanesyan, D., Haque,
S.J., Cecchi, R.J., Kowal, E.J.K., Buchthal, J., et al. (2016). Comparison of Cas9 activators in
multiple species. Nat Methods *13*, 563–567. https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3871.

26.   Long, L., Guo, H., Yao, D., Xiong, K., Li, Y., Liu, P., Zhu, Z., and Liu, D. (2015). Regulation of
transcriptionally active genes via the catalytically inactive Cas9 in C. elegans and D. rerio.
Cell Research 2015 25:5 *25*, 638–641. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2015.35.

27.   Sastre, D., Zafar, F., Torres, C.A.M., Piper, D., Kirik, D., Sanders, L.H., Qi, L.S., and Schüle, B.
(2023). Inactive S. aureus Cas9 downregulates alpha-synuclein and reduces mtDNA
damage and oxidative stress levels in human stem cell model of Parkinson's disease. Sci
Rep *13*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-023-45078-3.

28.   Matharu, N., and Ahituv, N. (2020). Modulating gene regulation to treat genetic disorders.
Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 2020 19:11 *19*, 757–775. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-
020-0083-7.

29.   Matharu, N., Rattanasopha, S., Tamura, S., Maliskova, L., Wang, Y., Bernard, A., Hardin, A.,
Eckalbar, W.L., Vaisse, C., and Ahituv, N. (2019). CRISPR-mediated activation of a promoter
or enhancer rescues obesity caused by haploinsufficiency. Science (1979) *363*.
https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAU0629/SUPPL_FILE/AAU0629_TABLE_S6.XLSX.

30.   Camp, E., and Lardelli, M. (2001). Tyrosinase gene expression in zebrafish embryos. Dev
Genes Evol *211*, 150–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/S004270000125/METRICS.

31.   Cooper, C.D., and Raible, D.W. (2009). Mechanisms for reaching the differentiated state:
Insights from neural crest-derived melanocytes. Semin Cell Dev Biol *20*, 105–110.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEMCDB.2008.09.008.

32.   Haffter, P., Odenthal, J., Mullins, M.C., Lin, S., Farrell, M.J., Vogelsang, E., Haas, F., Brand,
M., Van Eeden, F.J.M., Furutani-Seiki, M., et al. (1996). Mutations affecting pigmentation and
shape of the adult zebrafish. Dev Genes Evol *206*, 260–276.
https://doi.org/10.1007/S004270050051/METRICS.

33. Lane, B.M., and Lister, J.A. (2012). Otx but Not Mitf Transcription Factors Are Required for
Zebrafish Retinal Pigment Epithelium Development. PLoS One *7*, 49357.
https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0049357.

34. Lister, J.A., Close, J., and Raible, D.W. (2001). Duplicate mitf genes in zebrafish:
complementary expression and conservation of melanogenic potential. Dev Biol *237*, 333–
344. https://doi.org/10.1006/DBIO.2001.0379.

35. Murisier, F., Guichard, S., and Beermann, F. (2007). The tyrosinase enhancer is activated by
Sox10 and Mitf in mouse melanocytes. Pigment Cell Res *20*, 173–184.
https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1600-0749.2007.00368.X.

36. Dutton, K.A., Pauliny, A., Lopes, S.S., Elworthy, S., Carney, T.J., Rauch, J., Geisler, R.,
Haffter, P., and Kelsh, R.N. (2001). Zebrafish colourless encodes sox10 and specifies non-
ectomesenchymal neural crest fates. Development *128*, 4113–4125.
https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.128.21.4113.

37. Johnson, S.L., Nguyen, A.T.N., and Lister, J.A. (2011). mitfa is required at multiple stages of
melanocyte differentiation but not to establish the melanocyte stem cell. Dev Biol *350*, 405.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YDBIO.2010.12.004.

38. Wang, C., Kocher, T.D., Wu, J., Li, P., Liang, G., Lu, B., Xu, J., Chen, X., and Wang, D. (2023).
Knockout of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (mitf) confers a red and yellow
tilapia with few pigmented melanophores. Aquaculture *565*, 739151.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2022.739151.

39. Wu, Y.C., and Wang, I.J. (2020). Heat-shock-induced tyrosinase gene ablation with CRISPR
in zebrafish. Molecular Genetics and Genomics *295*, 911–922.
https://doi.org/10.1007/S00438-020-01681-X/FIGURES/6.

40. Camp, E., Badhwar, P., Mann, G.J., and Lardelli, M. (2003). Expression Analysis of a
Tyrosinase Promoter Sequence in Zebrafish. Pigment Cell Res *16*, 117–126.
https://doi.org/10.1034/J.1600-0749.2003.00002.X.

41. Frantz, W.T., and Ceol, C.J. (2020). From Tank to Treatment: Modeling Melanoma in
Zebrafish. Cells *9*. https://doi.org/10.3390/CELLS9051289.

42. Praetorius, C., Grill, C., Stacey, S.N., Metcalf, A.M., Gorkin, D.U., Robinson, K.C., Van
Otterloo, E., Kim, R.S.Q., Bergsteinsdottir, K., Ogmundsdottir, M.H., et al. (2013). A
polymorphism in IRF4 affects human pigmentation through a tyrosinase-dependent
MITF/TFAP2A pathway. Cell *155*, 1022. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2013.10.022.

43. Rosenbaum, S.R., Tiago, M., Caksa, S., Capparelli, C., Purwin, T.J., Kumar, G., Glasheen, M.,
Pomante, D., Kotas, D., Chervoneva, I., et al. (2021). SOX10 requirement for melanoma
tumor growth is due, in part, to immune-mediated effects. Cell Rep *37*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2021.110085.

44. Gudbjartsson, D.F., Sulem, P., Stacey, S.N., Goldstein, A.M., Rafnar, T., Sigurgeirsson, B.,
Benediktsdottir, K.R., Thorisdottir, K., Ragnarsson, R., Sveinsdottir, S.G., et al. (2008). ASIP

and TYR pigmentation variants associate with cutaneous melanoma and basal cell
carcinoma. Nat Genet *40*, 886–891. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.161.

45. Garraway, L.A., Widlund, H.R., Rubin, M.A., Getz, G., Berger, A.J., Ramaswamy, S.,
Beroukhim, R., Milner, D.A., Granter, S.R., Du, J., et al. (2005). Integrative genomic analyses
identify MITF as a lineage survival oncogene amplified in malignant melanoma. Nature *436*,
117–122. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE03664.

46. Tassabehji, M., Newton, V.E., and Read, A.P. (1994). Waardenburg syndrome type 2 caused
by mutations in the human microphthalmia (MITF) gene. Nat Genet *8*, 251–255.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NG1194-251.

47. Bondurand, N., Pingault, V., Goerich, D.E., Lemort, N., Sock, E., Le Caignec, C., Wegner, M.,
and Goossens, M. (2000). Interaction among SOX10, PAX3 and MITF, three genes altered in
Waardenburg syndrome. Hum Mol Genet *9*, 1907–1917.
https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/9.13.1907.

48. Paratore, C., Eichenberger, C., Suter, U., and Sommer, L. (2002). Sox10 haploinsufficiency
affects maintenance of progenitor cells in a mouse model of Hirschsprung disease. Hum
Mol Genet *11*, 3075–3085. https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/11.24.3075.

49. Mccallion, A.S., and Chakravarti, A. (2001). EDNRB/EDN3 and Hirschsprung disease type II.
Pigment Cell Res *14*, 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1034/J.1600-0749.2001.140305.X.

50. Sebag, J.A., Zhang, C., Hinkle, P.M., Bradshaw, A.M., and Cone, R.D. (2013). Developmental
Control of the Melanocortin-4 Receptor by MRAP2 Proteins in Zebrafish. Science *341*, 278.
https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1232995.

51. Yeo, G.S.H., Farooqi, I.S., Aminian, S., Halsall, D.J., Stanhope, R.G., and O'Rahilly, S. (1998).
A frameshift mutation in MC4R associated with dominantly inherited human obesity. Nat
Genet *20*, 111–112. https://doi.org/10.1038/2404.

52. Wade, K.H., Lam, B.Y.H., Melvin, A., Pan, W., Corbin, L.J., Hughes, D.A., Rainbow, K., Chen,
J.H., Duckett, K., Liu, X., et al. (2021). Loss-of-function mutations in the melanocortin 4
receptor in a UK birth cohort. Nat Med *27*, 1088–1096. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41591-021-
01349-Y.

53. Amorim, J.P., Bordeira-Carriço, R., Gali-Macedo, A., Perrod, C., and Bessa, J. (2020).
CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Genomic Deletions Protocol in Zebrafish. STAR Protoc *1*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XPRO.2020.100208.

54. Jao, L.E., Wente, S.R., and Chen, W. (2013). Efficient multiplex biallelic zebrafish genome
editing using a CRISPR nuclease system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*, 13904–13909.
https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1308335110.

55. Kimmel, C.B., Ballard, W.W., Kimmel, S.R., Ullmann, B., and Schilling, T.F. (1995). Stages of
embryonic development of the zebrafish. Developmental Dynamics *203*, 253–310.
https://doi.org/10.1002/aja.1002030302.

56. Kawakami, A., and Fisher, D.E. (2017). The master role of microphthalmia-associated
transcription factor in melanocyte and melanoma biology. Laboratory Investigation 2017
97:6 *97*, 649–656. https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.2017.9.

57. Cooper, C.D. (2017). Insights from zebrafish on human pigment cell disease and treatment.
Developmental Dynamics *246*, 889–896. https://doi.org/10.1002/DVDY.24550.

58. Moreno-Marmol, T., Cavodeassi, F., and Bovolenta, P. (2018). Setting eyes on the retinal
pigment epithelium. Front Cell Dev Biol *6*, 418922.
https://doi.org/10.3389/FCELL.2018.00145/BIBTEX.

59. Cunningham, R.L., Kramer, E.T., DeGeorgia, S.K., Godoy, P.M., Zarov, A.P., Seneviratne, S.,
Grigura, V., and Kaufman, C.K. (2021). Functional in vivo characterization of sox10
enhancers in neural crest and melanoma development. Communications Biology 2021 4:1
4, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02211-0.

60. Baron, M., Maillet, J., Huyvaert, M., Dechaume, A., Boutry, R., Loiselle, H., Durand, E.,
Toussaint, B., Vaillant, E., Philippe, J., et al. (2019). Loss-of-function mutations in MRAP2 are
pathogenic in hyperphagic obesity with hyperglycemia and hypertension. Nature Medicine
2019 25:11 *25*, 1733–1738. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0622-0.

61. Asai, M., Ramachandrappa, S., Joachim, M., Shen, Y., Zhang, R., Nuthalapati, N.,
Ramanathan, V., Strochlic, D.E., Ferket, P., Linhart, K., et al. (2013). Loss of function of the
melanocortin 2 receptor accessory protein 2 is associated with mammalian obesity.
Science (1979) *341*, 275–278. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1233000/SUPPL_FILE/ASAI-
SM.PDF.

62. Vaisse, C., Clement, K., Durand, E., Hercberg, S., Guy-Grand, B., and Froguel, P. (2000).
Melanocortin-4 receptor mutations are a frequent and heterogeneous cause of morbid
obesity. J Clin Invest *106*, 253–262. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI9238.

63. de Vrieze, E., de Bruijn, S.E., Reurink, J., Broekman, S., van de Riet, V., Aben, M., Kremer, H.,
and van Wijk, E. (2021). Efficient generation of knock-in zebrafish models for inherited
disorders using crispr-cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. Int J Mol Sci *22*, 9429.
https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS22179429/S1.

64. Alerasool, N., Segal, D., Lee, H., and Taipale, M. (2020). An efficient KRAB domain for
CRISPRi applications in human cells. Nature Methods 2020 17:11 *17*, 1093–1096.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0966-x.

FIGURES

Figure 1: CRISPRi/a construct design. **A.** Zebrafish codon-optimized plasmids were linearized and *in vitro*
transcribed before microinjection into one-cell embryos. **B.** Zebrafish embryos injected with CRISPRi +
sgRNAs targeting *tyr* assayed at prim 20-25 and pec-fin stages for differences in pigmentation patterns.
The larvae injected with CRISPRi and sgRNAs displayed reduced pigmentation at prim 20-25 stage when
compared to controls. The effect of CRISPRi dissipated by the pec-find stage as observable pigmentation
patterns were indistinguishable.

Figure 2: CRISPRi targeting the promoter of the *mitf* genes. **A.** Zebrafish embryos injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs targeting *mitfa* assayed between prim 20 and pec-fin stages for differences in pigmentation patterns. WT AB and injected controls did not show reduced pigmentation around the prim 20-25 stages,

whereas embryos injected with all sgRNAs or only sgRNA3 displayed the hypopigmentation phenotype
around the prim 20-25 stages. The effect of CRISPRi dissipated by the pec-fin stage as patterns of
hypopigmentation were not observed between conditions. Scale bars: 500 or 1000 μm. **B.** Zebrafish
embryos injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs targeting *mitfb* assayed between prim 20 and pec-fin stages for
differences in pigmentation patterns in the RPE. Among conditions, the RPE was not fully pigmented at
around the prim 20-25 stages. At the pec-fin stage, the RPE was fully pigmented among controls but not in
the experimental condition as seen laterally and ventrally.

Figure 3: CRISPRi targeting the promoter of the *sox10* gene. **A.** Zebrafish embryos injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs targeting *sox10* assayed between prim 20 and pec-fin stages for differences in pigmentation patterns. WT AB and injected controls did not show reduced pigmentation around the prim 20-25 stages, whereas embryos injected with CRISPRi + all sgRNAs or only sgRNA3 were hypopigmented and delayed in development (prim 20 stage). The effect of CRISPRi dissipated by the pec-fin stage as patterns of hypopigmentation were not observed between conditions. Scale bars: 600 μm. **B.** Silencing *sox10* creates patches of hypopigmentation in the RPE at the pec-fin stage. The RPE in controls is fully pigmented at the pec-fin stage. Scale bars 600 μm.

Figure 4: Targeting the promoter of *mrap2a* with CRISPRa and CRISPRi. **A.** Length comparison between
the pec-fin and day-5 stages between WT AB embryos and embryos injected with CRISPRa and
CRISPRi. Length measured laterally from tip of the head to end of fin tail (dashed lines). Red lines depict
length differences between controls and experimental conditions. Scale bars: 1000 μm. **B.** At the pec-fin
stage, 50 larvae per condition were measured in length and compared. The Welch two-sample t-test was
used to compare the average length between conditions.