TITLE

Optimized CRISPR inhibition and activation opens key avenues for systematic biological

exploration in zebrafish.

AUTHORS

Nelson B. Barrientos[1], Elyse A. Shoppell[1], Rachel J. Boyd[1], Valeria C. Culotta[2], Andrew S.

McCallion[1,3*]

AFFILIATIONS

[1]McKusick-Nathans Department of Genetic Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of

Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Johns Hopkins University Bloomberg School

of Public Health, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

[3]Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205,

USA

[*]Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The application of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) and CRISPR activation (CRISPRa)

technologies in zebrafish has the potential to expand its capacity for the study of gene function

significantly. We have developed a codon optimized CRISPRi/a for zebrafish; here we provide

proof-of-principle data across established pigmentary and growth phenotypes. We established a

zebrafish codon-optimized *cas9* gene, harboring mutations D10A and D839A to render the protein

catalytically inactive (*dCas9*). Similarly, codon-optimized Krüppel associated box (KRAB) and

methylated CP2 (MeCP2) inactivating domains or VP64 activator domain were cloned

downstream from *dCas9* for CRISPRi and CRISPRa, respectively. To validate CRISPRi, we

targeted key genes in melanocyte differentiation (*sox10, mitfa,* and *mitfb*); and melanin production

(tyrosinase; *tyr*). Microinjection of CRISPRi mRNA and single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting the

tyr promoter or 5'-UTR resulted in larvae with hypopigmented epidermal melanocytes.

Transcription factors mitfa and mitfb similarly direct differentiation and pigmentation of epidermal

melanocytes (*mitfa*) and retinal pigment epithelium (RPE, *mitfb*). CRISPRi-mediated targeting of

their promoters or 5'-UTR also results in pronounced hypopigmentation of epidermal melanocytes

(*mitfa*), and RPE (*mitfb*). So too, targeting CRISPRi to the *sox10* promoter results in

hypopigmentation of both epidermal melanocytes and RPE consistent with its role upstream of

mitfa and *mitfb*, and *tyr*. Finally, we asked whether CRISPRi and CRISPRa could be used to

modulate a single gene, to yield hypomorphic and hypermorphic effects, selecting *mrap2a*, as our

target. This gene regulates energy homeostasis and somatic growth via inhibition of the

melanocortin 4 receptor gene (*mc4r*). We demonstrate that targeting the *mrap2a* 5'-UTR with

CRISPRa or CRISPRi significantly increases or decreases larval body length, respectively. We

demonstrate the utility of CRISPRi/a for modulating control of zebrafish gene expression,

facilitating efficient assay of candidate gene function and disease relevance.

KEYWORDS:

Zebrafish, CRISPR-activation, CRISPR-inhibition

INTRODUCTION

Zebrafish (*danio rerio*) are a powerful model system in which to study functional

components of vertebrate genomes. Comparative genomics analyses have shown that 61.5% of

mouse protein-coding genes and 71% of human protein-coding genes have at least one zebrafish

orthologue[1,2]. Additional features, including short generation time, high fecundity, external

fertilization, and embryonic transparency, have distinguished zebrafish as a desirable model

system in which to examine developmental processes. As such, zebrafish have been widely used

to study the biological relevance of regulatory elements[3–6], and human disease-associated

genes[7–14].

Emerging technologies for transgenesis and genome engineering, such as such as Gal4-

UAS[15]; Tol2-transposase transgenesis[3]; Zinc Finger Nucleases[16]; and transcription activator-like

effectors (TALENs)[17] have been developed and rapidly implemented in zebrafish. Over the last

decade, zebrafish have also been shown to be amenable to CRISPR-Cas-based genomic

engineering applications, including targeted mutagenesis, base editing, and generation of knock-

in and knockout models[18–22]. More recently, the emergence of CRISPR interference (CRISPRi)

and activation (CRISPRa) have presented additional opportunities to explore functional

components of vertebrate genomes. CRISPRi/a involves the fusion of transcriptional effectors to

a catalytically inactive, or "dead" Cas9 (dCas9). CRISPRi employs a transcriptional repressor,

such as a Krüppel associated box (KRAB) domain and methyl-CpG binding protein 2

(MeCP2)[23,24], while in the case of CRISPRa, a transcriptional activator, such as VP64, is fused to

the dCas9[25]. To date, the CRISPRi/a systems have seen limited application in zebrafish[26],

whereas they have been more rapidly employed in mammalian organisms to target human

disease-relevant genes[27–29].

Here, we report initial experiments in the development and evaluation of zebrafish codon-

optimized zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and zdCas9-VP64 CRISPR systems. We present a systematic

evaluation of these modified proteins in functional proof-of-concept experiments by targeting two

easily scored phenotypes: zebrafish pigmentation and somatic growth. In addition to providing

visual confirmation of the efficacy of both CRISPRi and CRISPRa, these two model phenotypes

and their corresponding pathways are well-characterized and are involved in human disease.

Specifically, we chose to test our CRISPRi system by targeting genes involved in

melanocyte differentiation and pigmentation, *sox10 (SRY-box transcription factor 10)*, *mitfa*

(melanocyte inducing transcription factor a), *mitfb*; and the gene for the rate determining enzyme

in pigmentation, *tyr (tyrosinase)*. At approximately 16 hours post fertilization (16 hpf), *sox10*

directly activates *mitfa* and *mitfb* promoters to stimulate downstream genes involved in

melanocyte differentiation; and activates *tyr*, to induce melanin production by 24 hpf[30–32]. In the

developing zebrafish embryo, *mitfa* and *mitfb* are co-expressed in the retinal pigment epithelium,

whereas only *mitfb* is expressed in the zebrafish epiphysis and olfactory bulb, and only *mitfa* is

expressed in neural crest cells[31,33,34]. We hypothesize that independently targeting each of these

four genes with functional CRISPRi will yield a visible reduction in zebrafish pigmentation. In the

case of *sox10,* we expect to see marked reduction in pigmentation globally due to its role in

melanocyte cell fate and activation of *mitfa/b* and *tyr* to promote melanin production in zebrafish

and other vertebrates[35,36]. Due to its role in multiple stages of melanocyte differentiation and its

function as a transcription factor, silencing *mitfa* is expected to result in a hypopigmentation

phenotype throughout the body[37]. Similarly, silencing *mitfb* is expected to delay melanocyte

differentiation in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and lead to areas of reduced

pigmentation[33,38]. Lastly, we hypothesize that targeting *tyr* will result in hypopigmentation of

melanocytes throughout the zebrafish body[30,35,39,40]. Zebrafish melanocytes have been

extensively studied by our group and others[4,6,41,42], and targeting genes in this pathway is highly

relevant to the study of human disease, including melanoma[43–45], Waardenburg Syndrome[46,47],

microphthalmia, and Hirschsprung's disease[48,49].

For our CRISPRa system, we chose to target *mrap2a* (*melanocortin receptor accessory*

protein 2a), which has been found to inhibit the transcription of the *melanocortin-4 receptor*

(*mc4r*)[50]. As a corollary, we hypothesize that CRISPRa targeting the *mrap2a* locus will amplify the

inhibition of *mc4r* and promote visible growth phenotypes in zebrafish larvae. This is supported

by evidence in human patients, wherein autosomal dominant loss of function mutations in *MCR4*

results in severe childhood-onset obesity[51,52]. By contrast, we hypothesize that effective CRISPRi

targeting of *mrap2a* will promote *mc4r* inhibition and result in diminished zebrafish growth similar

to previous knock-down experiments using morpholinos[50].

Ultimately, we outline the development of zebrafish-optimized zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and

zdCas9-VP64 CRISPR constructs. We establish their utility for efficient screening of candidate

gene function and disease relevance. Ongoing work in the lab will establish stable transgenic

lines expressing these proteins to facilitate rapid and systematic screening of gain and loss of

gene function targeting custom guide RNAs (gRNAs) to promoters, 5'-UTR, or cell-dependent cis-

regulatory elements of selected candidate genes.

METHODS

Generation of catalytically inactive Cas9 plasmid vector

The WT zebrafish optimized Cas9 within the plasmid vector pT3TS-nCas9n (Addgene

#46757) was mutated to produce a catalytically inactive dead Cas9 (dCas9). The DNA sequence

corresponding to the RuvC-like and HNH nuclease domains of the Cas9 protein were modified

by inducing a change from Aspartic acid to Alanine at position 10 (D10A), and a change from

Histidine to Alanine at position 839 (H839A). This was accomplished by implementing the

NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (Cat. # E5520S) protocol using oligonucleotides primers

(Supplemental Table 1) generated using the NEBuilder Assembly Tool (v.2.10.1). The modified

plasmid vector was then transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I) and

later mini-prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). The plasmid vector

generated was named pT3TS-ndCas9n.

Codon Optimization of KRAB-MeCP2 and VP64 domains for zebrafish usage

The DNA sequence for the KRAB-MeCP2 repressor was obtained from the dCas9-KRAB-

MeCP2 plasmid vector (Addgene #110821); while the DNA sequence for the VP64 activator was

obtained from the dCas9-VP64-GFP plasmid vector (Addgene #61422). The codon optimization

tool from IDT was used to optimize these sequences for zebrafish. The KRAB-MeCP2 repressor

sequence (1,263 bp) containing an SV40 NLS before and after KRAB was optimized and ordered

as a gBlocks HiFi Gene Fragment. The VP64 activator sequence (225 bp) containing an SV40

NLS before VP64 was optimized and ordered as a gBlocks Gene Fragment.

CRISPRi/a plasmid construct generation and *in vitro* transcription

The codon optimized KRAB-MeCP2 repressor and VP64 activator were cloned into the

pT3TS-ndCas9n plasmid vector containing a catalytically inactive dCas9 sequence. Cloning was

carried out by employing NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly (Cat. # E5520S) using oligonucleotide

primers (Supplemental Table 2) that overlapped both the target region of the plasmid vector and

the optimized sequences. Once the optimized sequences had been cloned in, the plasmid

constructs were transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I) and later mini-

prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). The plasmid constructs

generated were named zdCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and zdCas9-VP64 for CRISPRi and CRISPRa,

respectively.

The restriction enzyme XbaI (NEB Cat # R0145) was used to linearize the CRISPRi/a

plasmid constructs. Subsequently, *in vitro* transcription of the d*Cas9* gene plus the repressor or

activator was performed by employing the mMessage mMachine T3 Transcription kit (Cat. #

AM1348). RNA was recovered by using the RNA Clean-up protocol from the Qiagen RNeasy Mini

Kit (Cat. # 74104) and stored at -80°C.

Designing targeted gRNAs for CRISPRi/a

Multiple single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed to target the promoter or 5'-UTR of

the various genes in the zebrafish. All sgRNAs followed a core **5'-N18-3'**[53] sequence and were

designed using the online tool CRISPOR (PMID: 29762716). At the 5' end of the forward

oligonucleotide, the nucleotides 5'-TAGG-3' were added. At the 5' end of the reverse

oligonucleotide (reverse complement of N18 sequence), the nucleotides 5'-AAAC-3' were added.

The final form of the oligonucleotides was 5'-TAGG-N18-3' for the forward oligo; and 5'-AAAC-

N18RevCompl-3'. The oligo primers for each sgRNA were ordered from IDT (Supplemental Table

3). Oligonucleotide primers were first annealed and subsequently cloned into the pT7-gRNA

plasmid vector (Addgene #46759) containing a gRNA scaffold, according to restriction enzyme

cloning protocol specifications from previous studies[54]. After cloning, each plasmid construct

generated for each sgRNA was transformed into NEB 5-a competent *E. coli* cells (Cat. # C2987I)

and later mini-prepped using NEB Monarch Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Cat. # T1010L). Linearization

of these constructs was performed using the restriction enzyme BamHI-HF (NEB Cat # R3136).

The linear DNA was purified using the Zymo Research DNA Clean & Concentrator-5 protocol

(Cat. # D4013). Subsequently, *in vitro* transcription of linear DNA was performed using the

Thermo Fisher MEGAshortscript T7 Kit (Cat. # AM1354) or the NEB HiScribe T7 High Yield RNA

Synthesis Kit (Cat. #E2040S). After in vitro transcription, RNA was recovered by using the RNA

Clean-up protocol from the Qiagen RNeasy Mini Kit (Cat. # 74104) and stored at -80°C.

Zebrafish husbandry and microinjections of one-cell stage embryos

The day before injections, adult AB zebrafish (ZFIN ID: ZDB-GENO-960809-7) crosses were set up in tanks with dividers at a ratio of 2:1 or 3:2 females per male. The morning of injections and when the lights came on, the dividers were removed to allow for mating among zebrafish. Zebrafish embryos were injected during the first 15-20 mins after being collected to ensure injection occurred at the one-cell stage. The mixture injected to the embryos contain 300 ng of CRISPRi/a mRNA, 150 ng of sgRNA mRNA, 0.5 µl of Phenol Red, and Nuclease Free Water up to 6 µl. After microinjections, zebrafish embryos were incubated at 28 °C in E3 embryo medium provided by Johns Hopkins FinZ Zebrafish Core Center.

Zebrafish measurement and image collection

All zebrafish larvae used for measurements or image collection at 48 hpf or later were first anesthetized using ethyl-3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate salt (Tricane/MS-222; Sigma Aldrich #E10521) prepared at a concentration of 4 mg/mL using 1 M Tris (pH 9, 4% v/v). The Nikon AZ100 Multizoom fluorescent microscope was used for both measurements and image collection at a ratio of 3.22 µm/px. For all images, zebrafish larvae were suspended in 3% Methyl Cellulose (Sigma Aldrich #M0387) solution.

RESULTS

CRISPRi modulates tyrosinase expression up to 48 hours post fertilization.

To determine the functional capacity of zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi (Fig 1A), one-cell stage zebrafish embryos were injected with CRISPRi mRNA and target sgRNAs. A CRISPRi assay for zebrafish provides an opportunity to ask questions regarding the impact of titrating downwards the expression of target genes, in evaluation of their normal biological role. As part of a series of proof-of-concept experiments, we designed sgRNAs targeting the promoter region and

5'-UTR of the tyrosinase (*tyr*) gene, predicting that inhibition would lead to diminished larval

pigmentation, similar to established loss of function studies at this locus[30,32,40].

Initially, sgRNAs were designed to target the *tyr* promoter or 5'-UTR (Methods) and one-

cell embryos (n ≥ 200) were injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail of all five sgRNAs

(150 ng/each). Zebrafish larvae assayed at 48 hpf displayed marked reduction in pigmentation of

melanocytes (Fig 1B), consistent with phenotypes arising from loss of function alleles in

zebrafish[30] and other vertebrates[39]. By contrast, larvae receiving only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥

200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200), displayed no diminution in melanization at 48 hpf. As

expected, the observed suppression of tyr dissipated over time, and by 72 hpf melanization was

indistinguishable between controls and those larvae receiving CRISPRi and the cocktail of all

sgRNAs.

We next tested if delivery of each individual *tyr* sgRNAs could similarly silence

transcription of *tyr* and reduce melanin production. At least one of the five sgRNAs that we tested,

sgRNA4 targeting the promoter, efficiently modulated *tyr* expression (Supp Fig 1), resulting in

hypopigmentation at 48 hpf. We used this sgRNA to assess the optimal concentration of sgRNA

and injected n ≥ 200 larvae each with 100 ng/µl, 300 ng/µl, and 500 ng/µl of sgRNA4. Our data

suggests no advantage to injection of levels in excess of 300 ng/µl of sgRNA (Supp Fig 1).

These results demonstrate the effectiveness in modulating *tyr* by employ of our zebrafish

codon-optimized CRISPRi and selected individual or pooled sgRNA targeting the gene's

promoter. To build on these observations, we sought to confirm them through the manipulation of

other key pigment genes that are known to regulate *tyr*.

CRISPRi modulates melanin production upon targeting of *mitf* genes.

In light of our results modulating *tyr* expression, we next tested if we could induce its

silencing by targeting the genes encoding the transcription factors mitfa and mitfb. The *mitf* genes

play critical roles in pigmentation throughout vertebrates, targeting tyrosinase during early

development[35,55]. We designed sgRNAs to target the promoter and 5'-UTR regions of the *mitfa*

and *mitfb* genes, predicting that, as with known loss-of-function (LoF) alleles[34,55], titrating activity

of these transcriptions factors would result in reduced activation of tyrosinase in melanocytes

throughout the body (*mitfa*) and in the retinal pigment epithelium (*mitfa* and *mitfb*).

Four sgRNAs were designed to target the *mitfa* promoter (Methods). As before, zebrafish

one-cell embryos (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) and a cocktail of all *mitfa* sgRNAs

(150 ng/each) displayed marked reduction in pigmentation of melanocytes, assayed at 48 hpf (Fig

2a). This is consistent with prior *mitfa* LoF studies[56] where failure to express *mitfa* prevents the

production of melanocyte precursors, leading to reduced global pigmentation in zebrafish larvae.

In contrast, no reduction in pigmentation was observed in larvae receiving only the CRISPRi

mRNA (n ≥ 200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) (Fig 2A). Additionally, individual *mitfa* sgRNAs

targeting the promoter or 5'-UTR effectively silenced *mitfa,* resulting in marked reduction of

melanin production at 48 hpf (Supp Fig 2). Silencing of *mitfa* dissipated over time and at

approximately 72 hpf, melanization was similar between controls and injected larvae (Fig 2A,

Supp Fig 2A).

For *mitfb,* five sgRNAs were designed to target the promoter and 5'-UTR (Methods), and

one-cell zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) were injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) with or without a

cocktail of all *mitfb* sgRNAs (150 ng/each). Injected larvae were assayed at 48 and 72 hpf for

differences in melanization in the RPE, and compared with uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) at the

same developmental time. RPE morphogenesis starts at around 16 hpf and by 27 hpf, a single

monolayer RPE has formed and pigmentation is initiated[57]. At 48 hpf, although control larvae did

not show a fully pigmented RPE, larvae injected with CRISPRi and gRNAs showed a noticeable

hypopigmentation phenotype (Fig 2b). When these larvae were assayed at 72 hpf, pigmentation

patterns in control conditions showed a fully pigmented RPE. By contrast, injected larvae showed

a pigmentation pattern in the form of patches of diminished pigmentation (Fig 2b). Prior *mitfb* LoF

studies have indicated that a combination of *mitfa, mitfb* and the *otx* genes is necessary for proper

development of the RPE in zebrafish[33]. Therefore, silencing *mitfb* likely delays RPE pigmentation

as a consequence of delaying its development. Furthermore, individual *mitfb* sgRNAs, whether

they targeted the promoter or 5'-UTR, were capable of modulating *mitfb* expression and resulted

in patches of reduced pigmentation in the RPE (Supp Fig 3A). However, the level of modulation

induced by each sgRNA differed as some of them were presented with hypopigmented patches

as early at 48 hpf and their effect was still noticeable at 72 hpf (Supp Fig 3A). By contrast, other

sgRNAs were able to modulate *mitfb* by 48 hpf but their effect had dissipated by 72 hpf (Supp Fig

3A), confirming the transient effect of our CRISPRi system.

These results imply that our zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi is effective at modulating

expression of the *mitf* genes, which results in indirect modulation of *tyr* gene expression amongst

other genes, leading to melanocyte hypopigmentation.

CRISPRi targeting of transcription factor *sox10* silences pigmentation pathway in

zebrafish

Having established that *tyr*, and *mitfa/b* can be modulated via CRISPRi, we sought to

move our assays upstream of these factors, investigating whether *sox10*, a transcription factor

known to activate *mitfa/b* and to promote melanin production in zebrafish and other

vertebrates[35,36]. We designed sgRNAs to target the promoter of the *sox10* gene, predicting that

silencing it would lead to downregulation of *mitfa/b* and *tyr* and subsequent hypopigmentation in

the zebrafish.

Four gRNAs were designed to target the *sox10* promoter (Methods). Zebrafish larvae (n

≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/μl) and a cocktail of all *sox10* sgRNAs (150 ng/each)

displayed marked reduction in pigmentation of melanocytes, assayed at 48 hpf, consistent with

reduction of pigmentation when targeting downstream genes *mitfa/b,* and *tyr* (Fig 3A, Supp Fig

4A). Consistent with our results, no reduction in pigmentation was observed in larvae receiving

only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) (Fig 3A). Furthermore, we

observed that at least one sgRNAs (Fig 3A, 3B, Supp Fig 4A) was effective at reducing

pigmentation when injected individually. These results are in line with previous work that

demonstrated that *sox10* is important for melanocyte development and melanin production[35,36,58].

The effects of CRISPRi dissipated by 72 hpf as the pigmentation patterns between injected and

uninjected were indistinguishable (Fig 3A).

Because *sox10* also targets *mitfb* for activation, we investigated if silencing *sox10* would

have any effect on the RPE. We observed that silencing *sox10* leads to delay in pigmentation in

the RPE (Fig 3B). Consistent with our results, at 48 hpf, the RPE is not fully pigmented and no

differences are observed between controls and experimental conditions (Supp Fig 4A). At 72 hpf,

different pigmentation patterns in the form of patches of reduced pigmentation between conditions

were observed ventrally in injected larvae (Fig 3B). This is similar to the phenotype observed

when targeting *mitfb*, suggesting that silencing *sox10* leads to reduced transcription of *mitfb,*

which leads to subsequent delay in RPE development and pigmentation. By contrast, the RPE in

controls was fully pigmented at 72 hpf (Fig 3B).

These results imply that our zebrafish codon-optimized CRISPRi is effective at modulating

the expression of any particular gene and the effect of silencing any gene leads to downregulation

of downstream genes.

CRISPRi/a affects zebrafish length by modulating transcription of *mrap2a*

In light of being able to modulate zebrafish pigmentation using our CRISPRi system, we

wanted to test our CRISPRa system by modulating a more applicable phenotype. We targeted

the *mrap2a* gene which is known to regulate the expression of the melanocortin 4 receptor (*mc4r*)

gene by silencing its transcription[50,59]. Both of these genes have been shown to be involved in

energy homeostasis and somatic growth in mice and zebrafish, and are thought to be heavily

involved in familial early-onset obesity in humans[60]. Targeting *mrap2a* with CRISPRa and

increasing its transcription would result in a decrease in *mc4r* transcription, which would lead to

an increase in larval length. By contrast, silencing *mrap2a* with CRISPRi and decreasing its

transcription would lead to an increase in *mc4r* expression, resulting in larvae shorter in length[50,60].

While a multitude of loss-of-function experiments have been performed targeting

mrap2a[50,59,60], gain-of-function (GoF) experiments targeting *mrap2a* have not been explored. As

a proof-of-principle experiment, we designed four gRNAs to target the *mrap2a* promoter

(Methods) with our CRISPRa system. Zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRa (300

ng/µl) and a cocktail of all *mrap2a* sgRNAs (150 ng/each) displayed marked increase in body

length, assayed at 72 hpf (Fig 4A). Similarly, we tested if an individual sgRNA could guide the

dCas9-VP64 to modulate body length and found sgRNA3 (150 ng) capable of inducing an

observable phenotype (Fig 4A, 4B). By contrast, no increase in larval length was observed at 72

hpf in larvae receiving only the CRISPRa mRNA (n ≥ 200) or in uninjected controls (n ≥ 200).

Furthermore, we measured the length of larvae receiving CRISPRa+sgRNA3 (n = 50), sgRNA3-

only (n = 50), and uninjected controls (n = 50) and performed a Welch two-sample t-test to analyze

if the average length between these conditions was statistically different (Fig 4B). At 72 hpf, the

average length for these conditions was 3673.67 µm, 3468.86 µm, and 3466.28 µm (p-value =

8.58e-16; 1.83e-14, and 0.9181), respectively. The longer larval length phenotype due to

increased *mrap2a* transcription and decreased *mc4r* expression is consistent with increased size

and weight in mice that become obese due to *mc4r* haploinsufficiency[29], and with morbid obesity

cases in humans due to frameshift (loss of function) mutations in *mc4r*[61].

We used the same sgRNAs to target and silence *mrap2a* and increase *mc4r* expression.

Zebrafish larvae (n ≥ 200) injected with CRISPRi (300 ng/µl) plus all sgRNAs (150 ng/µl/each)

displayed a decrease in larval length at 72 hpf (Fig 4A, 4C), which is consistent with rescuing

obese mice with *mc4r* haploinsufficiency[29]. Additionally, sgRNA3 was able to guide the dCas9-

KRAB-MeCP2 to modulate silencing of *mrap2a* individually and led to a decrease in larval length,

observed at 72 hpf (Fig 4A. 4C). By contrast, larval length observed at 72 hpf for larvae receiving

only the CRISPRi mRNA (n ≥ 200) or in uninjected controls (n ≥ 200) was higher than our

experimental condition (Fig 4A). We also measured the larval length for the conditions

CRISPRi+sgRNA3 (n = 50), sgRNA3-only (n = 50), and uninjected controls (n = 50) and found

their average length to be 3481.98 μm, 3779.96 μm, and 3748.82 μm, respectively (Fig 4B). We

analyzed the statistical significance between these means with a Welch two-sample t-test and

found the difference in means between experimental and control conditions to be statistically

significant (p-values = 2.2e-16, 2.2e-16, and 0.0636). This further demonstrates that modulating

the regulation of one can elicit indirect regulation of other target genes, opening the door to a

better understanding gene regulatory networks and their exploration for potential therapeutic

interventions.

DISCUSSION

CRISPRi/a has emerged as a powerful tool in genetic research, offering the ability to

modulate gene expression both for exploration of fundamental mechanisms and for potential

therapeutics. It offers the capacity to modulate gene expression without inducing genetic

alterations which makes CRISPRi/a an appealing technology for the study of gene regulation and

for efficient exploration of both gain and loss of function phenotypes. Nevertheless, its

development and application in zebrafish has been slow over the past decade, with only one study

describing an effort to implement it[26]., while many others apply CRISPR-based editing

technologies[18,19,21,53,54,62].

In this study, we chose to fuse a catalytically inactive Cas9 with the transcriptional

repressor KRAB-MeCP2. Our choice to use dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 was guided by previous

studies that demonstrated its ability to induce gene silencing while outperforming dCas9-KRAB

systems[24,63], implemented in previous CRISPRi experiments in zebrafish[26]. In contrast, for our

CRISPRa system, we fused the dCas9 with the transcriptional activator VP64. Comparisons

between different Cas9 activators have demonstrated the VP64 to effectively induce gene

activation[25]. And while other Cas9 activators such as VPR, SAM, and Suntag have been shown

to outperform VP64, these systems have not been tested in zebrafish and/or require special

sgRNAs to induce their effect. By using a catalytically inactive Cas9 fused with the transcriptional

repressors KRAB-MeCP2 or the transcriptional activator VP64, we have achieved transcriptional

control over key genes involved in melanocyte differentiation (*sox10, mitfa, mitfb*), pigmentation

(*tyr*), and somatic growth (*mrap2a*) in zebrafish. Our results underscore the versatility and

efficiency of CRISPRi/a in zebrafish research. For instance, by silencing *sox10, mitfa, mitfb*, and

tyr, we successfully were able to obtain zebrafish larvae with marked reduction in pigmentation.

Similarly, by activating or silencing *mrap2a*, we successfully modulated larval length.

These proof-of-principle experiments underscore the potential of CRISPRi/a technology

to control gene expression by targeting gene promoters. CRISIPRi/a is relatively straightforward

to implement compared to other genetic editing technologies, which further increases its appeal.

In addition, implementing this technology in transparent one-cell zebrafish embryos makes it

easier to study the effects of gene silencing and activation during development. Further,

CRISPRi/a can also be implemented to study the effects of silencing or activating noncoding

regulatory sequences such as enhancers, repressors, and insulators. Taking advantage of the

fact that approximately 70% of human genes have a zebrafish gene homolog facilitates the study

of gene regulatory mechanisms and networks in yet another vertebrate system. The versatility to

study gene expression modulation by either targeting gene promoters or any potential noncoding

regulatory sequences in a vertebrate system can offer insights into disease pathogenesis and

therapeutic targets that may translate to clinical applications.

CRISPRi/a technology, just like CRISPR gene editing, can also be limited by off-target

effects and variability in efficiency across genomic loci. Additionally, the ethical implications of

gene expression modulation and the potential for unintended consequences underscore the

importance of careful consideration in the application of this technology. In this current study, the

primary limitation is the delivery of CRISPRi/a via mRNA microinjections, which is titrated as the

embryo develops and the larvae grow older. This results in a diminution of an observable

phenotype and hinders the potential to study long-term effects of gene expression modulation,

making it difficult to elucidate any potential disease relevance. To this end, we are actively

generating stable transgenic lines expressing CRISPRi/a to facilitate rapid and systematic

screening of gain or loss of gene function. Our ongoing work will expand the zebrafish toolkit,

establishing the utility of CRISPRi/a for evaluation of candidate gene function and disease

relevance.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: A.S.M., V.C.C, and N.B.B. conceptualized the study. N.B.B.

designed codon optimized dCas9-KRAB-MeCP2 and dCas9-VP64 constructs and custom single

guide RNAs. N.B.B., E.A.S., and R.J.B. injected RNA constructs into zebrafish embryos. N.B.B.

and E.A.S. imaged the fish. N.B.B. and R.J.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors edited and

approved the final manuscript for publication.

FUNDING: This research, undertaken at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, was

supported in part by awards from the National Institutes of Health to A.S.M. (R01HG010480 and

R21NS128604), V.C.C. (R35 GM136644), as well as N.B.B., E.A.S., and R.J.B. (T32 GM007814-

40); and by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (DFD-181599) to R.J.B.

DATA AVAILABILITY: N/A

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The authors would like to acknowledge the FlnZ Zebrafish Core

Center for assistance with zebrafish husbandry.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Giffen, K.P., Liu, H., Kramer, K.L., and He, D.Z. (2019). Expression of Protein-Coding
    Gene Orthologs in Zebrafish and Mouse Inner Ear Non-sensory Supporting Cells. Front
    Neurosci *13*. https://doi.org/10.3389/FNINS.2019.01117/FULL.

2. Howe, K., Clark, M.D., Torroja, C.F., Torrance, J., Berthelot, C., Muffato, M., Collins, J.E.,
    Humphray, S., McLaren, K., Matthews, L., et al. (2013). The zebrafish reference genome
    sequence and its relationship to the human genome. Nature *496*, 498–503.
    https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE12111.

3. Fisher, S., Grice, E.A., Vinton, R.M., Bessling, S.L., Urasaki, A., Kawakami, K., and
    McCallion, A.S. (2006). Evaluating the biological relevance of putative enhancers using
    Tol2 transposon-mediated transgenesis in zebrafish. Nature Protocols 2006 1:3 *1*, 1297–
    1305. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2006.230.

4. Gorkin, D.U., Lee, D., Reed, X., Fletez-Brant, C., Bessling, S.L., Loftus, S.K., Beer, M.A.,
    Pavan, W.J., and McCallion, A.S. (2012). Integration of ChIP-seq and machine learning
    reveals enhancers and a predictive regulatory sequence vocabulary in melanocytes.
    Genome Res *22*, 2290–2301. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.139360.112.

5. Fisher, S., Grice, E.A., Vinton, R.M., Bessling, S.L., and McCallion, A.S. (2006).
    Conservation of RET regulatory function from human to zebrafish without sequence
    similarity. Science *312*, 276–279. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1124070.

6. Antonellis, A., Huynh, J.L., Lee-Lin, S.Q., Vinton, R.M., Renaud, G., Loftus, S.K., Elliot,
    G., Wolfsberg, T.G., Green, E.D., McCallion, A.S., et al. (2008). Identification of neural
    crest and glial enhancers at the mouse Sox10 locus through transgenesis in zebrafish.
    PLoS Genet *4*. https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1000174.

7. Doyle, A.J., Doyle, J.J., Bessling, S.L., Maragh, S., Lindsay, M.E., Schepers, D., Gillis, E.,
    Mortier, G., Homfray, T., Sauls, K., et al. (2012). Mutations in the TGF-β repressor SKI
    cause Shprintzen-Goldberg syndrome with aortic aneurysm. Nat Genet *44*, 1249–1254.
    https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2421.

8. Gould, R.A., Aziz, H., Woods, C.E., Seman-Senderos, M.A., Sparks, E., Preuss, C.,
    Wünnemann, F., Bedja, D., Moats, C.R., McClymont, S.A., et al. (2019). ROBO4 variants
    predispose individuals to bicuspid aortic valve and thoracic aortic aneurysm. Nat Genet
    51, 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-018-0265-Y.

9. Golzio, C., Willer, J., Talkowski, M.E., Oh, E.C., Taniguchi, Y., Jacquemont, S.,
    Reymond, A., Sun, M., Sawa, A., Gusella, J.F., et al. (2012). KCTD13 is a major driver of
    mirrored neuroanatomical phenotypes of the 16p11.2 copy number variant. Nature *485*,
    363–367. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE11091.

10. Panizzi, J.R., Becker-Heck, A., Castleman, V.H., Al-Mutairi, D.A., Liu, Y., Loges, N.T.,
    Pathak, N., Austin-Tse, C., Sheridan, E., Schmidts, M., et al. (2012). CCDC103 mutations

450 cause primary ciliary dyskinesia by disrupting assembly of ciliary dynein arms. Nat Genet
451 *44*, 714–719. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2277.

11. Roscioli, T., Kamsteeg, E.J., Buysse, K., Maystadt, I., Van Reeuwijk, J., Van Den Elzen,
C., Van Beusekom, E., Riemersma, M., Pfundt, R., Vissers, L.E.L.M., et al. (2012).
Mutations in ISPD cause Walker-Warburg syndrome and defective glycosylation of α-
dystroglycan. Nat Genet *44*, 581–585. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.2253.

12. Tobin, D.M., Roca, F.J., Oh, S.F., McFarland, R., Vickery, T.W., Ray, J.P., Ko, D.C., Zou,
Y., Bang, N.D., Chau, T.T.H., et al. (2012). Host genotype-specific therapies can optimize
the inflammatory response to mycobacterial infections. Cell *148*, 434–446.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2011.12.023.

13. Maragh, S., Miller, R.A., Bessling, S.L., McGaughey, D.M., Wessels, M.W., De Graaf, B.,
Stone, E.A., Bertoli-Avella, A.M., Gearhart, J.D., Fisher, S., et al. (2011). Identification of
RNA binding motif proteins essential for cardiovascular development. BMC Dev Biol *11*.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-11-62.

14. Wahbeh, M.H., Boyd, R.J., Yovo, C., Rike, B., McCallion, A.S., and Avramopoulos, D.
(2024). A functional schizophrenia-associated genetic variant near the TSNARE1 and
ADGRB1 genes. HGG Adv *5*. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XHGG.2024.100303.

15. Asakawa, K., and Kawakami, K. (2008). Targeted gene expression by the Gal4-UAS
system in zebrafish. Dev Growth Differ *50*, 391–399. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1440-
169X.2008.01044.X.

16. Zhu, C., Smith, T., Mcnulty, J., Rayla, A.L., Lakshmanan, A., Siekmann, A.F., Buffardi,
M., Meng, X., Shin, J., Padmanabhan, A., et al. (2011). Evaluation and application of
modularly assembled zinc-finger nucleases in zebrafish. Development *138*, 4555–4564.
https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.066779.

17. Huang, P., Xiao, A., Zhou, M., Zhu, Z., Lin, S., and Zhang, B. (2011). Heritable gene
targeting in zebrafish using customized TALENs. Nature Biotechnology 2011 29:8 *29*,
699–700. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1939.

18. Hwang, W.Y., Fu, Y., Reyon, D., Maeder, M.L., Tsai, S.Q., Sander, J.D., Peterson, R.T.,
Yeh, J.R.J., and Joung, J.K. (2013). Efficient genome editing in zebrafish using a
CRISPR-Cas system. Nat Biotechnol *31*, 227–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/NBT.2501.

19. Shah, A.N., Davey, C.F., Whitebirch, A.C., Miller, A.C., and Moens, C.B. (2015). Rapid
reverse genetic screening using CRISPR in zebrafish. Nat Methods *12*, 535–540.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3360.

20. Varshney, G.K., Pei, W., Lafave, M.C., Idol, J., Xu, L., Gallardo, V., Carrington, B.,
Bishop, K., Jones, M., Li, M., et al. (2015). High-throughput gene targeting and
phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. Genome Res *25*, 1030–1042.
https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.186379.114.

21. Zhang, Y., Qin, W., Lu, X., Xu, J., Huang, H., Bai, H., Li, S., and Lin, S. (2017).
Programmable base editing of zebrafish genome using a modified CRISPR-Cas9 system.
Nat Commun *8*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-017-00175-6.

22.   Hisano, Y., Sakuma, T., Nakade, S., Ohga, R., Ota, S., Okamoto, H., Yamamoto, T., and
Kawahara, A. (2015). Precise in-frame integration of exogenous DNA mediated by
CRISPR/Cas9 system in zebrafish. Sci Rep *5*. https://doi.org/10.1038/SREP08841.

23.   Larson, M.H., Gilbert, L.A., Wang, X., Lim, W.A., Weissman, J.S., and Qi, L.S. (2013).
CRISPR interference (CRISPRi) for sequence-specific control of gene expression. Nature
Protocols 2013 8:11 *8*, 2180–2196. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2013.132.

24.   Yeo, N.C., Chavez, A., Lance-Byrne, A., Chan, Y., Menn, D., Milanova, D., Kuo, C.C.,
Guo, X., Sharma, S., Tung, A., et al. (2018). An enhanced CRISPR repressor for targeted
mammalian gene regulation. Nat Methods *15*, 611–616. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41592-
018-0048-5.

25.   Chavez, A., Tuttle, M., Pruitt, B.W., Ewen-Campen, B., Chari, R., Ter-Ovanesyan, D.,
Haque, S.J., Cecchi, R.J., Kowal, E.J.K., Buchthal, J., et al. (2016). Comparison of Cas9
activators in multiple species. Nat Methods *13*, 563–567.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.3871.

26.   Long, L., Guo, H., Yao, D., Xiong, K., Li, Y., Liu, P., Zhu, Z., and Liu, D. (2015).
Regulation of transcriptionally active genes via the catalytically inactive Cas9 in C.
elegans and D. rerio. Cell Research 2015 25:5 *25*, 638–641.
https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2015.35.

27.   Sastre, D., Zafar, F., Torres, C.A.M., Piper, D., Kirik, D., Sanders, L.H., Qi, L.S., and
Schüle, B. (2023). Inactive S. aureus Cas9 downregulates alpha-synuclein and reduces
mtDNA damage and oxidative stress levels in human stem cell model of Parkinson's
disease. Sci Rep *13*. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41598-023-45078-3.

28.   Matharu, N., and Ahituv, N. (2020). Modulating gene regulation to treat genetic disorders.
Nature Reviews Drug Discovery 2020 19:11 *19*, 757–775.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-020-0083-7.

29.   Matharu, N., Rattanasopha, S., Tamura, S., Maliskova, L., Wang, Y., Bernard, A., Hardin,
A., Eckalbar, W.L., Vaisse, C., and Ahituv, N. (2019). CRISPR-mediated activation of a
promoter or enhancer rescues obesity caused by haploinsufficiency. Science (1979) *363*.
https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAU0629/SUPPL_FILE/AAU0629_TABLE_S6.XLSX.

30.   Camp, E., and Lardelli, M. (2001). Tyrosinase gene expression in zebrafish embryos. Dev
Genes Evol *211*, 150–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/S004270000125/METRICS.

31.   Cooper, C.D., and Raible, D.W. (2009). Mechanisms for reaching the differentiated state:
Insights from neural crest-derived melanocytes. Semin Cell Dev Biol *20*, 105–110.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEMCDB.2008.09.008.

32.   Haffter, P., Odenthal, J., Mullins, M.C., Lin, S., Farrell, M.J., Vogelsang, E., Haas, F.,
Brand, M., Van Eeden, F.J.M., Furutani-Seiki, M., et al. (1996). Mutations affecting
pigmentation and shape of the adult zebrafish. Dev Genes Evol *206*, 260–276.
https://doi.org/10.1007/S004270050051/METRICS.

33.   Lane, B.M., and Lister, J.A. (2012). Otx but Not Mitf Transcription Factors Are Required
for Zebrafish Retinal Pigment Epithelium Development. PLoS One *7*, 49357.
https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0049357.

34. Lister, J.A., Close, J., and Raible, D.W. (2001). Duplicate mitf genes in zebrafish:
complementary expression and conservation of melanogenic potential. Dev Biol *237*,
333–344. https://doi.org/10.1006/DBIO.2001.0379.

35. Murisier, F., Guichard, S., and Beermann, F. (2007). The tyrosinase enhancer is
activated by Sox10 and Mitf in mouse melanocytes. Pigment Cell Res *20*, 173–184.
https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1600-0749.2007.00368.X.

36. Dutton, K.A., Pauliny, A., Lopes, S.S., Elworthy, S., Carney, T.J., Rauch, J., Geisler, R.,
Haffter, P., and Kelsh, R.N. (2001). Zebrafish colourless encodes sox10 and specifies
non-ectomesenchymal neural crest fates. Development *128*, 4113–4125.
https://doi.org/10.1242/DEV.128.21.4113.

37. Johnson, S.L., Nguyen, A.T.N., and Lister, J.A. (2011). mitfa is required at multiple stages
of melanocyte differentiation but not to establish the melanocyte stem cell. Dev Biol *350*,
405. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YDBIO.2010.12.004.

38. Wang, C., Kocher, T.D., Wu, J., Li, P., Liang, G., Lu, B., Xu, J., Chen, X., and Wang, D.
(2023). Knockout of microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (mitf) confers a red
and yellow tilapia with few pigmented melanophores. Aquaculture *565*, 739151.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQUACULTURE.2022.739151.

39. Wu, Y.C., and Wang, I.J. (2020). Heat-shock-induced tyrosinase gene ablation with
CRISPR in zebrafish. Molecular Genetics and Genomics *295*, 911–922.
https://doi.org/10.1007/S00438-020-01681-X/FIGURES/6.

40. Camp, E., Badhwar, P., Mann, G.J., and Lardelli, M. (2003). Expression Analysis of a
Tyrosinase Promoter Sequence in Zebrafish. Pigment Cell Res *16*, 117–126.
https://doi.org/10.1034/J.1600-0749.2003.00002.X.

41. Frantz, W.T., and Ceol, C.J. (2020). From Tank to Treatment: Modeling Melanoma in
Zebrafish. Cells *9*. https://doi.org/10.3390/CELLS9051289.

42. Praetorius, C., Grill, C., Stacey, S.N., Metcalf, A.M., Gorkin, D.U., Robinson, K.C., Van
Otterloo, E., Kim, R.S.Q., Bergsteinsdottir, K., Ogmundsdottir, M.H., et al. (2013). A
polymorphism in IRF4 affects human pigmentation through a tyrosinase-dependent
MITF/TFAP2A pathway. Cell *155*, 1022. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELL.2013.10.022.

43. Rosenbaum, S.R., Tiago, M., Caksa, S., Capparelli, C., Purwin, T.J., Kumar, G.,
Glasheen, M., Pomante, D., Kotas, D., Chervoneva, I., et al. (2021). SOX10 requirement
for melanoma tumor growth is due, in part, to immune-mediated effects. Cell Rep *37*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CELREP.2021.110085.

44. Gudbjartsson, D.F., Sulem, P., Stacey, S.N., Goldstein, A.M., Rafnar, T., Sigurgeirsson,
B., Benediktsdottir, K.R., Thorisdottir, K., Ragnarsson, R., Sveinsdottir, S.G., et al.
(2008). ASIP and TYR pigmentation variants associate with cutaneous melanoma and
basal cell carcinoma. Nat Genet *40*, 886–891. https://doi.org/10.1038/NG.161.

45. Garraway, L.A., Widlund, H.R., Rubin, M.A., Getz, G., Berger, A.J., Ramaswamy, S.,
Beroukhim, R., Milner, D.A., Granter, S.R., Du, J., et al. (2005). Integrative genomic
analyses identify MITF as a lineage survival oncogene amplified in malignant melanoma.
Nature *436*, 117–122. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE03664.

46.   Tassabehji, M., Newton, V.E., and Read, A.P. (1994). Waardenburg syndrome type 2
caused by mutations in the human microphthalmia (MITF) gene. Nat Genet *8*, 251–255.
https://doi.org/10.1038/NG1194-251.

47.   Bondurand, N., Pingault, V., Goerich, D.E., Lemort, N., Sock, E., Le Caignec, C.,
Wegner, M., and Goossens, M. (2000). Interaction among SOX10, PAX3 and MITF, three
genes altered in Waardenburg syndrome. Hum Mol Genet *9*, 1907–1917.
https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/9.13.1907.

48.   Paratore, C., Eichenberger, C., Suter, U., and Sommer, L. (2002). Sox10
haploinsufficiency affects maintenance of progenitor cells in a mouse model of
Hirschsprung disease. Hum Mol Genet *11*, 3075–3085.
https://doi.org/10.1093/HMG/11.24.3075.

49.   Mccallion, A.S., and Chakravarti, A. (2001). EDNRB/EDN3 and Hirschsprung disease
type II. Pigment Cell Res *14*, 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1034/J.1600-
0749.2001.140305.X.

50.   Sebag, J.A., Zhang, C., Hinkle, P.M., Bradshaw, A.M., and Cone, R.D. (2013).
Developmental Control of the Melanocortin-4 Receptor by MRAP2 Proteins in Zebrafish.
Science *341*, 278. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1232995.

51.   Yeo, G.S.H., Farooqi, I.S., Aminian, S., Halsall, D.J., Stanhope, R.G., and O'Rahilly, S.
(1998). A frameshift mutation in MC4R associated with dominantly inherited human
obesity. Nat Genet *20*, 111–112. https://doi.org/10.1038/2404.

52.   Wade, K.H., Lam, B.Y.H., Melvin, A., Pan, W., Corbin, L.J., Hughes, D.A., Rainbow, K.,
Chen, J.H., Duckett, K., Liu, X., et al. (2021). Loss-of-function mutations in the
melanocortin 4 receptor in a UK birth cohort. Nat Med *27*, 1088–1096.
https://doi.org/10.1038/S41591-021-01349-Y.

53.   Amorim, J.P., Bordeira-Carriço, R., Gali-Macedo, A., Perrod, C., and Bessa, J. (2020).
CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Genomic Deletions Protocol in Zebrafish. STAR Protoc *1*.
https://doi.org/10.1016/J.XPRO.2020.100208.

54.   Jao, L.E., Wente, S.R., and Chen, W. (2013). Efficient multiplex biallelic zebrafish
genome editing using a CRISPR nuclease system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *110*,
13904–13909. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1308335110.

55.   Kawakami, A., and Fisher, D.E. (2017). The master role of microphthalmia-associated
transcription factor in melanocyte and melanoma biology. Laboratory Investigation 2017
97:6 *97*, 649–656. https://doi.org/10.1038/labinvest.2017.9.

56.   Cooper, C.D. (2017). Insights from zebrafish on human pigment cell disease and
treatment. Developmental Dynamics *246*, 889–896. https://doi.org/10.1002/DVDY.24550.

57.   Moreno-Marmol, T., Cavodeassi, F., and Bovolenta, P. (2018). Setting eyes on the retinal
pigment epithelium. Front Cell Dev Biol *6*, 418922.
https://doi.org/10.3389/FCELL.2018.00145/BIBTEX.

58.   Cunningham, R.L., Kramer, E.T., DeGeorgia, S.K., Godoy, P.M., Zarov, A.P.,
Seneviratne, S., Grigura, V., and Kaufman, C.K. (2021). Functional in vivo

characterization of sox10 enhancers in neural crest and melanoma development.
Communications Biology 2021 4:1 *4*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02211-0.

59. Baron, M., Maillet, J., Huyvaert, M., Dechaume, A., Boutry, R., Loiselle, H., Durand, E.,
Toussaint, B., Vaillant, E., Philippe, J., et al. (2019). Loss-of-function mutations in MRAP2
are pathogenic in hyperphagic obesity with hyperglycemia and hypertension. Nature
Medicine 2019 25:11 *25*, 1733–1738. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0622-0.

60. Asai, M., Ramachandrappa, S., Joachim, M., Shen, Y., Zhang, R., Nuthalapati, N.,
Ramanathan, V., Strochlic, D.E., Ferket, P., Linhart, K., et al. (2013). Loss of function of
the melanocortin 2 receptor accessory protein 2 is associated with mammalian obesity.
Science (1979) *341*, 275–278.
https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.1233000/SUPPL_FILE/ASAI-SM.PDF.

61. Vaisse, C., Clement, K., Durand, E., Hercberg, S., Guy-Grand, B., and Froguel, P.
(2000). Melanocortin-4 receptor mutations are a frequent and heterogeneous cause of
morbid obesity. J Clin Invest *106*, 253–262. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI9238.

62. de Vrieze, E., de Bruijn, S.E., Reurink, J., Broekman, S., van de Riet, V., Aben, M.,
Kremer, H., and van Wijk, E. (2021). Efficient generation of knock-in zebrafish models for
inherited disorders using crispr-cas9 ribonucleoprotein complexes. Int J Mol Sci *22*, 9429.
https://doi.org/10.3390/IJMS22179429/S1.

63. Alerasool, N., Segal, D., Lee, H., and Taipale, M. (2020). An efficient KRAB domain for
CRISPRi applications in human cells. Nature Methods 2020 17:11 *17*, 1093–1096.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0966-x.

FIGURES

Figure 1: CRISPRi/a construct design. **A.** Zebrafish codon-optimized plasmids were linearized and *in vitro* transcribed before microinjection into one-cell embryos. **B.** Zebrafish embryos injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs targeting *tyr* assayed at 48 and 78 hpf for differences in pigmentation patterns. Larvae injected with CRISPRi and sgRNAs displayed reduced pigmentation at 48 hpf when compared to controls. The effect of CRISPRi dissipated by 72 hpf as observable pigmentation patterns where indistinguishable.

651   differences in pigmentation patterns in the RPE. Among conditions, the RPE was not fully pigmented at 48
652   hpf, with further delayed pigmentation in larvae injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs. At 72 hpf, the RPE was
653   fully pigmented among controls but not in the experimental condition as seen laterally, dorsally, and
654   ventrally.

A

B

Figure 3: CRISPRi targeting the *sox10* gene. **A.** Zebrafish embryos injected with CRISPRi + sgRNAs
targeting *sox10* assayed at 48 and 72 hpf for differences in pigmentation patterns. WT AB and injected
controls did not show reduced pigmentation at 48 hpf, whereas embryos injected with all sgRNAs or only
sgRNA3 were hypopigmented and delayed in development. The effect of CRISPRi dissipated by 72 hpf as
patterns of hypopigmentation were not observed between conditions. Scale bars: 600 μm. **B.** Silencing
sox10 creates patches of hypopigmentation in the RPE at 72 hpf. The RPE in controls is fully pigmented at
72 hpf. Scale bars 600 μm.

Figure 4: Targeting *mrap2a* with CRISPRa and CRISPRi. **A.** Length comparison at 3 and 6 dpf between
WT AB embryos and embryos injected with CRISPRa and CRISPRi. Length measured laterally from tip of
the head to end of fin tail (dashed lines). Red lines depict length differences between controls and
experimental conditions. Scale bars: 1000 μm. **B.** At 3 dpf, 50 larvae per condition were measured in
length and compared. The Welch two-sample t-test was used to compare the average length between
conditions.

671