More than fruity scents: floral biology, scent and spectral reflectance

of Annonaceae species

Ming-Fai Liu[1,2], Junhao Chen[3,4], Chun-Chiu Pang[5], Tanya Scharaschkin[6], Richard M.

K. Saunders[2]

1: Flora Conservation Department, Kadoorie Farm & Botanic Garden, Lam Kam

Road, Lam Tsuen, Hong Kong.

2: Area of Ecology & Biodiversity, School of Biological Sciences, The University

of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

3: Singapore Botanic Gardens, National Parks Board, 1 Cluny Road, Singapore

259569, Singapore.

4: Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, 16

Science Drive 4, Singapore 117558, Singapore

5: Coalition for Research on Ecology and Wildlife Limited, Room F, 25/F, 18

Farm Road, Tokwawan, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

6: Botanical Research, Art and Training, 54 Mill Road, Collinsvale, TAS, 7012,

Australia.

Correspondence

1: Ming-Fai Liu, Flora Conservation Department, Kadoorie Farm & Botanic

Garden, Lam Kam Road, Lam Tsuen, Hong Kong;

Email: nedlmf0@gmail.com

2: Richard M.K. Saunders, Area of Ecology & Biodiversity, School of Biological

Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong.

Email: saunders@hku.hk

ORCIDs

Ming-Fai Liu: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4732-2512

Junhao Chen: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8822-169X

Chun-Chiu Pang: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5286-1238

Tanya Scharaschkin: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3081-0066

Richard M. K. Saunders: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8104-7761

ABSTRACT

Premise

The family Annonaceae possesses a broad array of floral phenotypes and pollination

specialisations, and are important in the plant-pollinator interactions of tropical rainforests.

Although there has been considerable effort to assess their interactions with pollinators,

attempts to characterise their visual and olfactory communication channels are scarce.

Methods

Here, we investigated the pollination biology of 12 Annonaceae species from five genera,

viz. *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*, *Polyalthia*, *Pseuduvaria*, and *Uvaria*. Furthermore, their floral

colour was characterised by reflectance spectroscopy and floral odour chemistry was assessed

using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Floral scent was further compared across the

whole family using non-metric dimensional scaling plots to identify specialisation in floral

odour.

Results

The *Meiogyne* species are likely pollinated by small beetles; the *Polyalthia* and *Pseuduvaria*

species are likely pollinated by beetles and flies; and the *Uvaria* species is likely pollinated

by beetles and bees. Flowers of most species are UV non-reflective, and have various spectral

reflectance profile across the remaining visible spectra. Multiple species produce floral odour

resembling ripe fruits. The flowers of *Meiogyne* species and *Polyalthia xanthocarpa* emitted

mostly branched-chain esters, while flowers of *Uvaria* released mainly straight-chain esters.

The *Pseuduvaria* species instead emitted scent reminiscent of rotten fruits, largely consisting

of 2,3-butanediol. The inner petal corrugation in *Meiogyne* functions as a food reward, and

the inner petal growth serves as a nectary gland for *Pseuduvaria*.

Conclusions

Our study identifies the visual and olfactory cues of multiple Annonaceae species and

provides insights into how Annonaceae flowers attract different guilds of pollinators.

Key words: Annonaceae; floral scent; magnoliid; pollination; spectral reflectance

INTRODUCTION

The pantropical family Annonaceae is an early-divergent angiosperm lineage of trees and lianas, comprising ca. 2500 species from 110 genera (Couvreur et al., 2019). Annonaceae species are one of the major components of tropical lowland to lower montane rainforests (Sosef et al., 2017). Almost all Annonaceae species possess a basic floral architecture of one whorl of three sepals, two whorls of three petals, numerous spirally arranged stamens and often free carpels (van Heusden, 1992). These flowers are largely pollinated by small beetle pollinators from Curculionidae, Nitidulidae, Staphylinidae and Chrysomelidae (Gottsberger, 2012; Saunders, 2012). Because beetle pollinators spend considerable time in the flowers and can often inflict damage to floral tissues, Annonaceae flowers have evolved protective structures like fleshy corolla and shield-like stamen connectives (Gottsberger, 1999). These adaptations are particularly pronounced in Neotropical species that are pollinated by large scarab beetles from Cetoniinae, Dynastinae, Rutelinae and Trichiinae (Gottsberger, 1999). While small beetles pollinate the majority of Annonaceae species, some are reported to be pollinated by thrips (Gottsberger, 1999) or small flies (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2003) and more rarely, flowers can be pollinated by bees (Teichert et al., 2009) or cockroaches (Nagamitsu et al., 1997). Pollinators are often rewarded with pollen, stigmatic exudates, floral nectar and thickened nutritious floral tissues (Gottsberger and Webber, 2018; Saunders, 2020). The floral chamber created by the corolla often additionally serves as tryst sites, and more rarely as oviposition sites (Saunders, 2020). Highly specialised pollination systems have been previously identified in Annonaceae, such as floral mimicry of fruits (Goodrich and Jürgens, 2018, and references therein), fermentation substrates (Goodrich et al., 2006), mushrooms (Teichert et al., 2012) and aerial litter (Liu et al., 2024). Other derived pollination strategies, including private channel communication with beetles (Maia et al., 2012) and euglossine bees (Teichert et al., 2009) have also been reported. Among these floral specialisations, mimicry of fruits was proposed to be the ancestral condition for the family (Johnson and Schiestl, 2016), and was likely pervasive, as around half of the recorded species emit fruity floral aromas (Goodrich, 2012).

How flowers achieve specialised pollination is a research interest for pollination ecologists. In particular, visual and olfactory cues have been recognised for their roles in mediating these interactions (Schaefer and Ruxton, 2011). Annonaceae flowers display diverse combinations of colour and odour, which might have enabled the evolution of specialisation in pollinators.

Strong floral fragrance is often noticeable in Annonaceae flowers. Based on a review by

Goodrich (2012), there appears to be a myriad of floral odour reported in the family based on

textual description. Although delineation of the chemical components of floral aromas is rare

for Annonaceae, volatiles from over 12 chemical classes have been reported so far (Goodrich,

2012). The type of pollination adaptation seems to be associated with molecules of specific

classes. For instance, proposed fruit mimics appear to be associated with aliphatic esters

(Jürgens, 2000; Johnson and Schiestl, 2016); flowers mimicking fermenting substates

reportedly emit fermentation by-products, including short-chain alcohols and ketones

(Goodrich et al., 2006); and monoterpenoids are associated with aerial litter mimics (Liu et

al., 2024) and flowers pollinated by Euglossine bees (Teichert et al., 2009).

Floral coloration is also diverse within the family, and is more frequently documented in the

literature. Floral colours, including yellow, red, pink, green, orange, white and dark maroon

or indigo flowers have been recorded in Annonaceae (van Heusden, 1992). It has been

reported that colour can shape the choice decision of one of the major pollinating beetle

family Nitidulidae (Döring et al., 2012; Vuts et al., 2022), highlighting the importance of

floral visual cues. Surprisingly, virtually no attempt has been made to characterise

Annonaceae floral colour based on spectral reflectance with only one exception (Liu et al.,

2024). Given the diversity of pollination strategies, floral colour is an overlooked area which

can potentially help elucidate how Annonaceae flowers interact with their pollinators.

Previous studies have revealed that Annonaceae species in Australia display a variety of

floral adaptations to small beetle, fly or bee pollinators (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2003).

For instance, *Meiogyne* and *Polyalthia* (as *Haplostichanthus*), both generally with yellowish

corolla, are reportedly pollinated by small beetles from the family Nitidulidae and

Curculionidae, respectively. *Pseuduvaria* is reportedly pollinated by flies, and *Uvaria* is

reportedly pollinated by stingless bees. The aim of the current study is to characterise the

phenotypic adaptations for various types of pollination systems in Annonaceae. We selected

12 species from the genera *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*, *Polyalthia*, *Pseudvaria* and *Uvaria* in

Australia. These systems offer arenas for studying specialised bee pollination and potential

floral mimicry of fruit and fermenting substrates. Their floral biology, floral spectral

reflectance and floral odour are characterised here, laying a foundation for the future study of

floral traits and pollination ecology of specialised pollination systems. Furthermore,

magnoliids including Annonaceae preserve many architectural elements of ancestral

angiosperm flowers (Sauquet et al., 2017). Characterisation of the pollination strategies

employed by early-divergent angiosperms like magnoliids may therefore offer insights into

the pollination system of the ancestral flower.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study species and study sites

We examined three *Meiogyne* Miq. species (*Meiogyne cylindrocarpa* (Burck) Heusden,

Meiogyne trichocarpa (Jessup) D.C.Thomas & R.M.K. Saunders, *Meiogyne stenopetala*

(F.Muell.) Heusden), one *Monoon* Miq. species (*Monoon patinatum* (Jessup) B.Xue &

R.M.K.Saunders), two *Polyalthia* Blume species, (*Polyalthia hispida* B.Xue &

R.M.K.Saunders, *Polyalthia xanthocarpa* B.Xue & R.M.K.Saunders), four *Pseuduvaria* Miq.

species (*Pseuduvaria hylandii* Jessup, *Pseuduvaria glabrescens* (Jessup) Y.C.F.Su &

R.M.K.Saunders, *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana* Jessup, *Pseuduvaria villosa* Jessup) and two

Uvaria species (*Uvaria concava* Teijsm. & Binn. and *Uvaria rufa* Blume) (See Table 1 for

detailed information on the studied plants. Observations were conducted in the wild for

individuals of *Me. stenopetala* in Mount Tamborine in 2013 (permit number:

WITK08297010). All other observations were made on cultivated individuals at various sites:

Gardens by the Bay, Singapore, in 2018; Garry and Nada Sankowsky Arboretum, Tolga,

Australia, in 2019 and 2023; Cairns Botanic Gardens, Australia, in 2023; and Graham Wood

Arboretum, Wondecla, Australia, in 2023 (see Table 1 for details).

Phenology

To assess floral ontology, 10 flowers for each species were tagged and observed for seven

consecutive days. Observations were made daily to assess the duration of the pistillate and

staminate phase. Stigmatic peroxidase activity has been widely used as a gauge for stigma

receptivity (Dafni and Maués, 1998). However, the stigmas of some species, especially

Meiogyne spp., displayed peroxidase activity even during bud stage based on our preliminary

assessment with Peroxtesmo KO paper (MacheryNagel, Düren, Germany). The onset of

stigmatic receptivity was therefore defined by stigmatic exudate secretion, while the end of

stigmatic receptivity was determined by the abscission of stigmas. Staminate activity was

similarly determined by anther dehiscence and the abscission of petals. A pistillate phase is

defined here as the period that the flower has only the pistillate function, and likewise a

staminate phase is defined as the period that the flower only exhibits the staminate function.

An overlap phase describes the period that the flower has both the pistillate and staminate

functions, while an interim phase refers to the non-receptive period between the pistillate and

staminate phase. Floral thermogenesis was assessed using a handheld digital thermometer

every two hours throughout the day in the floral chamber, with additional readings ca. 10 cm

away from the flower to measure ambient temperature.

Floral visitors

Floral visitors were recorded during the entire observation period. A representative number of

floral visitors were collected and either preserved in 50% isopropanol for identification or

frozen at -20°C and silica-dried for inspection of pollen deposition under a dissecting

microscope. Probable pollinators were assessed by these criteria: 1) visitation to flowers of

both sexes or sexual phases; 2) contact with floral sexual organs; and 3) presence of pollen

grains on visitors in pistillate-phase flowers. Since the pistillate phase precedes the staminate

phase, the presence of these pollen-laden insects provide evidence for inter-floral transfer of

pollen grains.

Spectral reflectance of the petals

Pollinators often access Annonaceae floral reproductive organs via apical and basal apertures

created by the inner petals (Saunders, 2012). For genera with similar colour and morphology

between the inner and outer petals, namely *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*, *Polyalthia* and *Uvaria*, petal

spectral reflectance was represented by that of the inner petals. The abaxial surface of the

inner petals was measured for genera with drooping inner petals, viz. *Meiogyne*, *Monoon* and

Polyalthia, whereas the adaxial surface of the inner petals were measured for *Uvaria*, which

has spreading inner petals. *Pseuduvaria* has varying coloration among different parts of the

petals, and therefore three perianth areas were measured: outer petal adaxial surface, inner

petal abaxial surface, and inner petal gland. Differences between sexes and sexual phases

were not assessed due to logistic constraints. Spectral reflectance of the flowers at 300–700

nm was measured using an Ocean Optics FLAME-S-UV-VIS-ES spectrometer (Dunedin,

Florida, USA), a DH-mini UV-VIS-NIR light source and an R200-7-UV-VIS probe

positioned at 45°. For each species, individual flowers (n=1–8) selected randomly from one

to five biologically distinct individuals were used as replicates.

Floral odour

To sample the floral volatiles, up to 10 intact flowers were enclosed in a "Toppits" oven bag

for each sample. To control for ambient contaminants, an empty bag was likewise sampled.

Two slits were made in the headspace bag, with one end connecting to a charcoal cartridge

(ORBO 32, Supelco, Pennsylvania, USA), supplying filtered air, and the other connected to a

PAS 500 air pump (Spectrex, California, USA). Floral volatiles were collected in a Porapak-

Q cartridge (ORBO 1103, 50/80, Supelco, Pennsylvania, USA) at a flow rate of 100 m/min

for 4 h. The porapak-Q cartridge was then sealed and transported to the laboratory at The

University of Hong Kong. The floral volatiles then were eluted in 1 ml hexane (HPLC-grade,

Sigma-Aldrich, Massachusetts, USA). The eluents were then concentrated to 200 µl by

nitrogen blowdown. For each species, technical replicates were made. The internal standard

toluene was added to each sample at 34.68 ng/µl.

Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry was performed on an Agilent 6890N/5973 gas

chromatograph-mass selective detector to characterise floral headspace. For each sample, 1

µl eluent was injected into the inlet at 230 °C for 1 min, and then injected into a DB-WAX

column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm thick film; J&W) in splitless mode. Helium was supplied

at a flow rate of 1 ml/min as the carrier gas. Oven temperatures were held at 60 °C for 3 min,

followed by a ramp up to 250 °C at 10 °C/min, and finally held for 7 min. Peaks were

manually integrated and identified tentatively using the Wiley and National Institute of

Standards and Technology mass spectral libraries (NIST, Maryland, USA; 2020), with an

85% quality threshold. Compound identities were verified by published standardised

retention index values or by co-injecting with commercially available standards. Molecules

were quantified with the internal standard toluene (see also Kantsa et al., 2018). The relative

abundance of each molecule was calculated. Volatiles recovered in the ambient control

sample were excluded in other samples.

To assess the similarity of the floral odour among Annonaceae species, non-metric dimension

scaling (NMDS) analysis was performed, expanding on the sampling of a previous family-

wide NMDS study (Jürgens, 2009). The floral odour data generated in the current study were

supplemented by published records of floral odour in the family (Jürgens, 2000; Goodrich et

al., 2006; Goodrich and Raguso, 2009; Teichert et al., 2009, 2012; Gottsberger et al., 2018;

Chen et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2024). Unknown molecules were excluded. When

stereochemistry is unclear, enantiomers were added together in the matrix. The data were log-

transformed and Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was calculated, which was then used to

construct an NMDS plot with the R package vegan. The floral odours were assigned with the

type of pollination systems based on the findings of the current study and the literature

(Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2003; Jürgens, 2009; Goodrich et al., 2006; Goodrich &

Raguso, 2009; Teichert et al., 2009; 2012; Gottsberger et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020; Liu et

al., 2024). Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) assesses if similarity between groups are

statistically different from within groups.

RESULTS

240   The floral biology of the studied species is summarised in Table 1. Most species assessed

241   displayed strong levels of protogyny and none were thermogenic. All *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*,

242   *Polyalthia* and *Uvaria* species assessed here bear hermaphroditic flowers, whereas the

243   *Pseuduvaria* species bear unisexual flowers (*Ps. hylandii* and *Ps. glabrescens*) or a

244   combination of staminate and hermaphroditic flowers (*Ps. mulgraveana*).

Floral biology and pollinator activities—*Meiogyne* species

The three *Meiogyne* species (Fig. 1a–c) produced yellow flowers with longitudinally grooved

basal outgrowths on the adaxial surface of the inner petals (Fig. 1c, Fig. 2a, c) and emitted a

banana-like scent. Their pistillate phase lasted two days, while the duration of staminate

phase differs among species (1–3 days) (Table 1). They exhibited a short overlap between the

pistillate and staminate phases that lasts ca. 0–5 hours. Small beetles were frequently

observed visiting the flowers of *Me. cylindrocarpa* (Curculionidae and Nitidulidae), *Me.*

trichocarpa (Curculionidae and Nitidulidae), and *Me. stenopetala* (Nitidulidae and

Staphylinidae) in both staminate and pistillate phase, during which they contacted the

stamens and stigmas. Pollen-laden curculionid beetles from the tribe Ochyromerini and

pollen-laden nitidulid beetles from the subfamily nitidulinae observed in pistillate-phase

flowers were likely the pollinators of both *Me. cylindrocarpa* and *Me. trichocarpa*. The

staphylinid beetles from the subfamily Omaliinae and the nitidulid beetles from the subfamily

Epuraeinae were likely the pollinators of *Me. stenopetala*. These floral pollinators consumed

pollen grains on the flowers where the beetles also sought shelter and copulated. Stigmas of

all three *Meiogyne* species produced a thin film of stigmatic exudate, which became more

copious towards the end of the pistillate phase. Consumption of stigmatic exudate was

invariably observed in curculionid, nitidulid and staphylinid beetle visitors. Consumption of

floral tissues, specifically petals and stigmas, were observed, primarily by curculionid

beetles, and less frequently by nitidulid and staphylinid beetles, leaving gnaw marks on the

floral tissues of the three *Meiogyne* species. However, consumption of the inner petal

corrugation was particularly pronounced compared to the surrounding floral tissues (Fig. 2).

Nectar secretion was not observed on the inner petal corrugation of *Me. cylindrocarpa*, *Me.*

trichocarpa and *Me. stenopetala*, thus they likely functioned as a food body reward for the

floral visitors.

Floral biology and pollinator activities—*Polyalthia* species

Polyalthia xanthocarpa (Fig. 1f) exhibited a 2–3-day pistillate phase followed by a 1-day

staminate phase (Table 1). The transition between pistillate and staminate phase was not

observed directly and could have occurred at night: it is therefore unclear whether an interim

or overlap phase was present. As with *Meiogyne*, *Po. xanthocarpa* bore yellow flowers with a

banana-like odour (Fig. 1f) and were visited by curculionid beetles that accessed the floral

sexual organs in both the staminate and pistillate phases (Table 1). Pollen grains were not

observed on the limited number of pistillate-phase visiting beetles, however. The curculionid

beetles were observed to consume pollen and utilise the flower for shelter. Secretion of

stigmatic exudate in *Po. xanthocarpa* was weak and the consumption of stigmatic exudate

was not observed. In contrast, *Polyalthia hispida* bore yellow flowers without detectable

odour (Fig. 1e). Its anthesis comprised a three-day pistillate phase followed by a two-day

staminate phase. No floral visitors were observed.

Floral biology and pollinator activities—*Uvaria* species

Uvaria concava bore flowers with red petals and emitted a fruity odour (Fig. 1k). It had a 2–

3-day pistillate phase, followed by a prolonged overlap phase (1–2 days), in which stigmas

remained attached to the ovaries as the anthers dehisce. The drying and abscission of stigmas

usually occurred one day after the overlap phase and were sometimes synchronised with petal

abscission. In most flower, petal abscission occurred two days after the staminate function

initiated. Because of the variation in the timing of stigma drying, the duration of staminate

phase varied between 0–1 day. Nitidulid beetles (subfamily Nitidulinae) were the primary

floral visitors observed: they accessed the floral sexual organs and were present throughout

anthesis. They were probable pollinators since pollen-laden individuals were found in

pistillate-phase flowers. We observed the visitation of a single honeybee (*Apis* sp.) during the

overlap phase; although the bee contacted the floral sexual organs while collecting pollen, we

failed to capture it and were unable to assess pollen deposition.

Uvaria rufa flowers bore orange petals with tints of red and green (Fig. 1l). The flower

emitted a fruity odour and had a one-day pistillate phase, followed by a one-day interim

phase and a four-day staminate phase. Only one unidentified fly was observed, which visited

during the interim phase. It is unclear whether pollen grains were deposited onto this fly

visitor.

Floral biology and pollinator activities—*Pseuduvaria*

Pseuduvaria species (Fig. 1g–j) displayed an array of sexual systems (Table 1). *Pseuduvaria*

hylandii was assessed to be dioecious, while *Ps. mulgraveana* and *Ps. glabrescens* were

assessed to be structurally andromonoecious, in which the same individuals bear staminate

flowers that lack carpels, as well as bisexual flowers with a few stamens. *Pseuduvaria*

hylandii had prolonged anthesis for both pistillate and staminate flowers (> 6 days), but we

were unable to assess the anthesis duration (and floral phenology) for *Ps. glabrescens* due to

logistic limitations. Anthesis in staminate flowers of *Ps. mulgraveana* lasts two days, whereas

in hermaphroditic flowers the pistillate phase lasts for two days, followed immediately by

petal abscission, with anthers dehiscing two days after petal abscission. We were only able to

assess one staminate individual of *Ps. villosa* and were therefore unable to determine its

sexual system.

The flowers of all four *Pseuduvaria* species possessed white outer petals (Fig. 1g–j). They

also possessed white to reddish inner petals with dark maroon inner petal glands on their

adaxial surface (Fig. 2d). These flowers emitted a strong fermentation odour that resembled

rotten fruits. They had a mitriform corolla, with large basal apertures that allowed larger

insects to access the floral chamber. The inner petals were apically connivent and the three

pairs of glands on the inner petals form a platform in the floral chamber (Fig. 1h, Fig. 2d–f).

Nectar secretion was observed on the inner petal glands and surrounding dark red petal

tissues of the four *Pseuduvaria* species (Fig. 2e). Drosophilid flies and nitidulid beetles were

observed to be the primary floral visitors of *Ps. glabrescens*, *Ps. hylandii* and *Ps.*

mulgraveana (Table 1). These insects were observed accessing the floral chamber and

consuming nectar, often positioning themselves underneath the sexual organs to access the

nectary. Pollen grains were observed to be deposited either onto the back of the insects (Fig.

2f), or onto the inner petal glands where the pollen grains were then picked up secondarily by

the flies and beetles on their legs. The drosophilid flies and nitidulid beetles were observed

moving across the stigmas and stamens with their legs touching the sexual organs, which may

assist pollen transfer. Some drosophilid flies are large enough to brush the back of their

thorax or abdomen against the sexual organs, which may additionally deposit pollen grains

onto the stigmas. Both drosophilid flies and nitidulid beetles were observed to access the

sexual organs of pistillate and staminate flowers for *Ps. glabrescens*, *Ps. hylandii* and *Ps.*

mulgraveana. While pollen grains were observed on the drosophilid flies and nitidulid beetles

found in pistillate-phase flowers of *Ps. hylandii*, pollen deposition was observed only on

nitidulid beetles from pistillate-phase flowers of *Ps. glabrescens* and *Ps. mulgraveana*. Other

dipteran visitors, namely lauxaniid and neriid flies, were also observed in *Ps. hylandii*, but

they are too large to access the floral chamber and thus unlikely to be pollinators.

Unfortunately, we failed to observe any floral visitors for *Ps. villosa*, though it has similar

floral colour and odour to the other *Pseuduvaria* species (Fig. 1j).

Floral biology and pollinator activities—*Monoon*

Monoon patinatum produced flowers with greenish petals (Fig. 1d). Only two staphylinid

beetle visitors from the subfamily Tachyporinae were observed during the pistillate phase

(Table 1). Pollen grains were not observed on their bodies.

Floral Colour

Various types of floral coloration were observed in the studied species, including red (*Uvaria*

concava), orange (*U. rufa*), yellow (*Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*, *Me. trichocarpa*, *Me.*

stenopetala, *Polyalthia hispida* and *Po. xanthocarpa*), green (*Monoon patinatum*), and a

mixture of white and maroon (*Pseuduvaria hylandii*, *Ps. glabrescens*, *Ps. mulgraveana* and

Ps. villosa). The spectral reflectance of the petals of 12 Annonaceae species is shown in Fig.

3. The petals of all species are not UV-reflective from 300–350 nm; most of the reflectance

was observed in the visible spectrum (400–700 nm). Note that while yellow-flowered species

possess similar coloration to human perception, their spectral reflectance can be quite varied,

highlighting the importance of quantification of reflective spectra.

Floral Odour

The floral headspace composition of 11 Annonaceae species is summarised in Table 2. We

detected a total of 97 volatiles from seven classes of compounds. We did not detect any major

volatiles in the headspace of *Polyalthia hispida* against the ambient air control, and therefore

did not present the headspace data here.

Aliphatic ester was the most abundant class of volatiles in *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*, *Me.*
trichocarpa, *Me. stenopetala*, *Polyalthia xanthocarpa*, *Uvaria concava* and *U. rufa*. The
headspaces of all *Meiogyne* species and *Po. xanthocarpa* contained a varying degree of
esters, including isobutyl acetate (*Me. cylindrocarpa*: 7.87 ± 2.16%; *Me. trichocarpa*: 11.86
± 4.37%; *Me. stenopetala*: 56.71 ± 1.5%) and isoamyl acetate (*Me. cylindrocarpa*: 79.58 ±
7.65%; *Me. trichocarpa*: 84.07 ± 4.01%; *Me. stenopetala*: 28.17 ± 4.13%; *Po. xanthocarpa*:
99.28 ± 0.02%). For *U. concava*, the floral headspaces were dominated by two esters, namely
methyl-(*Z*)-4-octenoate (25.36 ± 0.32%), and methyl hexanoate (54.69 ± 0.32%), while for *U.*
rufa, the most abundant volatiles were hexyl acetate (21.27 ± 0.25%), butyl acetate (20.65 ±
0.21%), and ethyl octanoate (20.04 ± 0.22%). In *Meiogyne* and *Polyalthia*, branched-chain
aliphatic esters dominated the floral odour, while in *Uvaria*, the floral odours primarily
consisted of straight-chain the aliphatic esters. For *Monoon patinatum*, the headspace mainly
comprised the monoterpenes β-ocimene (45.50 ± 0.61%) and allo-ocimene (11.89 ± 0.59%),
and 2-methyl-butanoic acid (16.48 ± 0.53%). The oxygenated aliphatic compound was the
most abundant volatile class for *Pseuduvaria hylandii*, *Ps. glabrescens*, *Ps. mulgraveana* and
Ps. villosa, consisting of the molecule 2,3-butanediol (84.83–96.98% of the headspaces).

The Annonaceae floral odours detected in this study and those derived from the literature
were visualised in an NMDS plot (Fig. 4), based on 315 volatiles from 40 species, in which
11 was newly generated in this study. The 2D stress level of the NMDS was 0.140. The
global ANOSIM statistic R based on the type of pollination type was 0.4528, at *p*-value of
0.00001***, suggesting floral scents are significantly correlated to the type of pollination
system. The floral odours of small-beetle pollinated species in the literature cluster with the
small-beetle pollinated species identified in this study, including *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*,
Me. trichocarpa, *Me. stenopetala*, and *Po. xanthocarpa*. The species with unknown
pollinator, *Monoon patinatum*, was also retrieved nested inside this clusters. Similarly, the
Pseuduvaria species pollinated by flies and beetles identified in the current study occupied a
similar space with *Asimina* species that are pollinated by flies and beetles, suggesting there is
a convergence in floral odour for species pollinated by a mixture of flies and beetles. The
bee- and beetle-pollinated species *Uvaria concava* did not cluster with other bee-pollinated
Annonaceae species, but instead occupied a distinct olfactory space.

DISCUSSION

Floral phenology

Most species assessed in this study exhibited a 3–5 day anthesis period and none exhibited circadian trapping, a time-dependent trapping mechanism in which pollinators are trapped and released in accordance to the insects' peak circadian activities (Lau et al., 2017). The duration of anthesis for most species in the current study is similar to the typical non-trapping Annonaceae species (≥ 2 days) (Pang and Saunders, 2014; Lau et al., 2017). As the family is self-compatible (Pang and Saunders, 2014), temporal separation of sexual phases is an important adaptation to reduce autogamy. An interim phase was observed in *Uvaria rufa*, during which the stigmas are no longer receptive and anther dehiscence has not begun, potentially offering additional assurance to prevent autogamy (Pang and Saunders, 2014). However, in some of the other species, including *Meiogyne* spp. and *U. concava*, an overlap phase was observed, during which carpels and stamens are simultaneously functional within a flower. This overlap phase could offer reproductive assurance by autogamy when pollinator availability is scarce. It was previously reported that *U. concava* was pollinated by stingless bees (Meliponinae) in its natural population (50 km from our site of study) (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2003). Because bee visitors to Annonaceae often collect pollen only as a food reward, and seldom visit pistillate-phase flowers, the overlap phase has been suggested to be essential for bee pollination (Gottsberger, 2014). It was, however, reported that meliponine visitors also collect stigmatic exudate as a reward (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2013), suggesting the flower offers reward to the meliponine visitors even during pistillate phase. The role of the prolonged overlap phase for *U. concava* is therefore contentious.

Floral phenology also played a role in the sexual function of the flower, especially for *Pseuduvaria* species. Previous studies have shown that *Ps. mulgraveana* is structurally andromonoecious (Pang et al., 2013), meaning that staminate flowers and bisexual flowers are borne on the same plant. The stamens of bisexual flowers, however, release functional pollen grains only after petal abscission (Pang et al., 2013), rendering the plant functionally monoecious, which is corroborated by our observations.

Inner petal corrugation and glands

Inner petal outgrowth was observed in *Meiogyne* spp. and *Pseuduvaria* spp. in the current study. This outgrowth has independently evolved in around 20 genera, including *Annona* L.,

Alphonsea Hook.f. & Thomson, Asimina Adans., *Asteranthe* Engl. & Diels, *Duguetia* A.St.-

Hil., *Maasia* Mols, Kessler & Rogstad, *Meiogyne* Miq., Miliusa Lesch. ex A.DC., *Orophea*

Blume, *Porcelia* Ruiz & Pav., *Pseuduvaria* Miq., *Sapranthus* Seem., *Stenanona* Standl.,

Tetrameranthus R.E.Fr., *Tridimeris* Baill., *Uvaria* L., *Wangia* X.Guo & R.M.K.Saunders,

and *Xylopia* L. (van Heusden, 1992; Schatz and Maas, 2010; Xue et al., 2017). In

Pseuduvaria, the inner petal outgrowth has been reported to be a nectary gland (Silberbauer-

Gottsberger et al., 2003). In the current study, the four *Pseuduvaria* species also possessed

this floral tissue, which invariably functioned as nectary, corroborating the previous study.

Likewise, all three *Meiogyne* species assessed in our study possessed weakly folded to

longitudinally grooved basal growths on the adaxial surface of the inner petals. Although this

structure has previously been interpreted as a gland (van Heusden, 1992, 1994), histological

studies have failed to identify secretory ducts or hairs (Xue et al., 2021). The inner petal

corrugation may potentially offer tactile cues for the floral mimicry of aerial litter in

Meiogyne heteropetala (Liu et al., 2024), but the role of this tissue remains enigmatic for

most *Meiogyne* species. Our observations indicate that pollinators preferentially consume the

inner petals over other floral structures (Fig. 2), suggesting that the corrugation likely

functions as a food body in *Me. cylindrocarpa*, *Me. trichocarpa* and *Me. stenopetala*. In

Annonaceae, specialised nutritious petal tissues are common in species that are pollinated by

scarab beetles in the neotropics, including *Annona*, *Cymbopetalum*, *Duguetia*, and *Malmea*

species (Gottsberger and Webber, 2018). Among these lineages with specialised food body,

there is potential convergence in tissue structure. The inner petal corrugation of these species

is anatomically similar to those found in *Meiogyne*, lacking secretory openings, ducts or

trichromes, and comprising a mixture of cells full of starch granules and cells enriched with

tannins (Gottsberger and Webber, 2018; Xue et al., 2021).

Exploitation of pollinators associated with fruits

The fruity floral aromas and beetle pollination of Annonaceae have led some authors to

propose some Annonaceae flowers mimic fruits (Johnson and Schiestl, 2016; Goodrich and

Jürgens, 2018). In particular, fruit mimicry has been postulated in Anaxagorea, Annona,

Artabotrys, Duguetia and Xylopia and is likely to be prevalent in the Annonaceae (Johnson

and Schiestl, 2016; Goodrich and Jürgens, 2018; Chen et al., 2020). These flowers are

pollinated by small beetles such as Curculionidae, Nitidulidae and Staphylinidae that are

known to use fruits as a food source or oviposition site (Phelan and Lin, 1991; Racette et al.,

1992; Frank and Thomas, 1999; Mutinelli et al., 2015).

Small beetle pollinators (families Nitidulidae, Curculionidae and Staphylinidae) were

observed as floral visitor of *Me. cylindrocarpa*, *Me. trichocarpa*, *Me. stenopetala* and *Po.*

xanthocarpa (Table 1), which are largely congruent with existing literature. Previous studies

have recorded small beetle visitors in *Polyalthia xanthocarpa* flowers (as "*Haplostichanthus*

spec. ("Cape tribulation")"; Morawetz, 1988), and curculionid beetles have been observed

visiting the flowers in Cape Tribulation, Australia (pers. comm., Dr David Tng). For

Meiogyne, nitidulid and curculionid beetles have been reported to be the pollinators of *Me.*

cylindrocarpa in tropical rainforests in West Malesia (Momose, 2005; Roubik et al, 2005).

Beetles from these families were also observed in the current study.

The spectral reflectance of *Meiogyne* species, especially *Me. cylindrocarpa* and *Me.*

trichocarpa, exhibited low UV reflectance, with stronger reflectance from 500–700 nm (Fig

3). The UV-absorbing yellow spectral profile is a characteristic for pollen (Dötterl et al.,

2014) and was also reported in fruits such as bananas (Xie et al., 2018). Some nitidulid and

curculionid beetles are known to associate with fruits (Phelan and Lin, 1991; Racette et al.,

1992; Mutinelli et al., 2015), and nitidulidae has previously been demonstrated to be attracted

to various shade of yellow (Döring et al., 2012; Vuts et al., 2022). Floral aromas resembling

ripe fruits were recorded in *Me. cylindrocarpa*, *Me. trichocarpa*, *Me. stenopetala*, *Po.*

xanthocarpa. Their floral odour resembled strong banana-like scent to human perception, and

is largely composed of either isoamyl acetate or isobutyl acetate (Table 2). These two

molecules have been reported in various fruits, including banana (Jordán et al., 2001), papaya

(Katague and Kirch, 1965), pear (Zhang et al., 2023) and wild soursop (Pino et al., 2002), and

is implicated as the semiochemicals of Curculionidae and Nitidulidae (Rochat et al., 2000;

Torto et al., 2007). The NMDS revealed that all these small-beetle pollinated species

clustered with other Annonaceae species that are also pollinated by small insects, such as

nitidulid, curculionid and staphylinid beetles (Fig. 4; Jürgens, 2000; Chen et al., 2020). These

flowers also emit floral odour largely composed of aliphatic esters (Jürgens, 2000; Chen et

al., 2020), suggesting there might be a specialisation in floral odour for Annonaceae species

that utilise this guild of pollinators. The current evidence suggests that *Me. cylindrocarpa*,

Me. trichocarpa, *Me. stenopetala* and *Po. xanthocarpa* likely attract their pollinators at least

by exploiting visual and olfactory cues that indicate fruits.

Exploitation of pollinators associated with fermented substrates

Records of floral visitors to *Pseuduvaria* are more comprehensive than for most other

Annonaceae genera in Australia. In a previous pollination study on another Australian

Pseuduvaria species, *Ps. froggattii*, it was shown that the flowers are primarily pollinated by

drosophilid flies (Silberbauer-Gottsberger et al., 2003). Similarly, *Ps. glabrescens* was

previously reported to be visited primarily by small flies (as *Pseuduvaria* spec. ("Davies

Creek"); Morawetz, 1988). *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*, on the other hand, was reported to be

pollinated by the nitidulid beetle, *Aethina australis*, and was only infrequently visited by

flies. Our observations are largely congruent with these studies: *Ps. hylandii*, *Ps. glabrescens*

and *Ps. mulgraveana* were observed to be visited by both nitidulid beetles and drosophilid

flies. Pollen deposition on the nitidulid beetles was observed in the pistillate-phase flowers of

all three species, while drosophilid flies appeared to be the pollinator only for *Ps. hylandii*. It

was previously postulated that flies could pollinate *Ps. villosa*, but floral visitors were not

observed in our study.

Floral coloration in the *Pseuduvaria* species varies across different areas of petal tissues

(Figs. 1 & 3). The abaxial surface of the inner petals in most species demonstrate largely

similar reflectance spectra with the adaxial surface of the outer petals, except for *Ps. hylandii*.

In contrast to these areas, the inner petal glands of all four *Pseuduvaria* species studied are

burgundy red with generally lower reflectance across all wavelengths. Additionally, all four

Pseuduvaria species emit an odour reminiscent of rotten fruits. Rotting fruits have been

reported to display a reduction in overall reflectance across the visible spectrum under fungal

infection (Liu et al., 2020). The contrast between the dark burgundy inner petal gland and the

remaining bright floral tissues may have evolved to develop similar visual cues as rotten

patches on fruits. Interestingly, in *Pseuduvaria*, nectar secretion is localised in the darkened

area (Fig. 2), suggesting there is co-localisation of gustatory cue and visual cue, which may

help position the pollinators underneath the reproductive organs and facilitate pollen transfer.

The floral odours of all four *Pseuduvaria* species are largely composed of 2,3-butanediol

(Table 2), which is a common volatile emitted by yeast and fermenting substrates (Goodrich

et al., 2006, 2023). This molecule is one of the major volatiles of *Asimina triloba* (Goodrich

et al., 2006), a maroon-petalled floral mimic of fermentation substrates (Goodrich et al.,

2023). As with *Ps. glabrescens*, *Ps. hylandii* and *Ps. mulgraveana*, *Asimina triloba* is

pollinated by small flies and small beetles (Martin, 2021; Goodrich et al., 2023). This

suggests convergence in floral colour and odour between *Asimina* and *Pseuduvaria* for

attracting the same pollinator guilds, with the NMDS showing that these taxa share a similar

olfactory space (Fig. 4). The four *Pseuduvaria* species may therefore be potential candidates

for floral mimics of fermentation substrates.

Exploitation of bee and beetle pollinators

Uvaria concava was reported to be pollinated by stingless bees in the wild (Silberbauer-

Gottsberger et al., 2003) but is here inferred to be pollinated by nitidulid beetles in cultivation

(Table 1). However, the cultivated environment is only *ca.* 50 km from the wild population

where the previous study was conducted. This species possesses bright-red petals, reflecting

the visible spectrum mostly at 600–700 nm (Fig. 3). However, neither the tribe Meliponini

(stingless bees) nor the family Apidae have photoreceptors within this range (van der Kooi et

al., 2021). Though the photosensitivity of Nitidulidae is unknown, most coleopteran families

with known visual systems are unable to detect this part of the visible spectrum (van der Kooi

et al., 2021). It is therefore unclear how floral visual cues assist pollinator attraction in *U.*

concava. The floral odour of *U. concava* is largely composed of methyl hexanoate and

methyl (*Z*)-4-octenoate. While there is little documentation how meliponine bees and

nitidulid beetles respond to these molecules, methyl hexanoate is reported to be a pheromone

for male bumblebees (Valterová et al., 2001). Among Annonaceae species that emit esters,

branched-chain aliphatic esters are typically produced (Table 2; Jürgens et al, 2000), but

Uvaria spp. primarily produce straight-chain aliphatic esters instead. Interestingly, the

branched-chain aliphatic ester isoamyl acetate (the dominant floral scent component of

Meiogyne spp. and *Polyalthia xanthocarpa*) is a bee alarm pheromone that reduces foraging

in the honeybee species *Apis mellifera* and *Apis cerana* (Gong et al., 2017). Nonetheless,

whether this structural difference in floral esters contributes to the shift to bee pollination

remains to be investigated. Interestingly, *U. concava* occupied a distinct locus in the odour

space from other bee-pollinated Annonaceae species, but instead is more closely positioned

with small-beetle pollinated Annonaceae species. It might be attributed to the different

lineage of the pollinator. *Unonopsis* spp. were pollinated by XXXXXXX, instead of Apidae.

Future studies using colour-based and scent-based bioassays could help assess the role played

by visual and olfactory cues in bee-pollinated Annonaceae species.

Conclusions

The basic floral biology, petal spectral reflectance and floral scent of selected species in five

Annonaceae genera are characterised here in detail. Our study has identified multiple species

that have floral traits resembling fruits (*Meiogyne* spp. and *Po. xanthocarpa*) and fermented
substrates (*Meiogyne* spp. and *Po. xanthocarpa*). The specialised floral cues and adaptations
identified in this study provide preliminary evidence for potential floral mimicry of fruits and
fermented substrates, and offer insights into how floral cues may attract floral visitors. These
findings serve as a prelude to future studies on specialised pollination systems, and provide
baseline information on floral traits in the pantropical family Annonaceae. Future studies
involving the characterisation of colour and odour of co-occurring fruits and fermenting
substrates would be important to assess whether these species exploit their pollinators
through floral mimicry.
577

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
The authors thank Garry and Nada Sankowsky, Graham Wood, Charles Clarke (Cairns
Botanic Gardens), and Janelle Jung (Gardens by the Bay) for allowing us to work on the
living collections of Annonaceae species in their arboreta or botanic gardens. The authors
also thank Frank Zich from Australian Tropical Herbarium, and the staff from Mount
Tamborine National Park and the Queensland Department of Environment, Science and
Innovation for their assistance, and Jessie Lai and Laura Wong for their technical support.
This research is funded by the Hong Kong Research Grants Council (HKU17112616),
awarded to R.M.K.S.
587

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION
Ming-Fai Liu, Junhao Chen and Richard M. K. Saunders planned the project. Ming-Fai Liu,
Junhao Chen, Chun-Chiu Pang and Tanya Scharaschkin conducted the field work. Ming-Fai
Liu conducted the lab experiments, performed the analysis and wrote the manuscript. Ming-
Fai Liu, and Richard M. K. Saunders provided interpretation. All authors edited the
manuscript.
594

595 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**
596 All data generated in this study is included in the main text of this article.
597

REFERENCES

Chen, J. Liu, M.-F., and Saunders, R M. K. (2020). Contrasting floral biology of *Artabotrys* species (Annonaceae): Implications for the evolution of pollinator trapping. *Plant Species Biology*, *35*, 210–223.

Couvreur, T. L. P., Helmstetter, A. J., Koenen, E. J. M., Bethune, K., Brandão, R. D., Little, S. A., Sauquet, H. and Erkens, R. H. J. (2019). Phylogenomics of the major tropical plant family Annonaceae using targeted enrichment of nuclear genes. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*, 431757.

Dafni, A., and Maués, M. M. (1998). A rapid and simple procedure to determine stigma receptivity. *Sexual Plant Reproduction*, *11*(3), 177–180.

Döring, T. F., Skellern, M., Watts, N., and Cook, S. M. (2012). Colour choice behaviour in the pollen beetle *Meligethes aeneus* (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). *Physiological Entomology*, *37*(4), 360–378.

Dötterl, S., Glück, U., Jürgens, A., Woodring, J., and Aas, G. (2014). Floral reward, advertisement and attractiveness to honey bees in dioecious *Salix caprea*. *PloS One*, *9*(3), e93421.

Frank, J. H., and Thomas, M. C. (1999). Rove Beetles of Florida, Staphylinidae (Insecta: Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *DPI Entomology Circulars*, *343*, 1–11.

Gong, Z., Wang, C., Dong, S., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., Hu, Z., and Tan, K. (2017). High concentrations of the alarm pheromone component, isopentyl acetate, reduces foraging and dancing in *Apis mellifera* Ligustica and *Apis cerana* Cerana. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, *30*, 188–198.

Goodrich, K. R., and Jürgens, A. (2018). Pollination systems involving floral mimicry of fruit: aspects of their ecology and evolution. *New Phytologist*, *217*(1), 74–81.

630 Goodrich, K. R., Zjhra, M. L., Ley, C. A., and Raguso, R. A. (2006). When flowers smell

631 fermented: the chemistry and ontogeny of yeasty floral scent in pawpaw (*Asimina triloba*:

632 Annonaceae). *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, *167*(1), 33–46.

634 Goodrich, K. R., Ellis, I., DeHaas, A., Senski, R., and Savage, J. (2023). False advertising

635 with fermented scents: floral mimicry in pawpaw (*Asimina* triloba: Annonaceae)

636 pollination. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, *184*(6), 485–497.

638 Gottsberger, G. (1999). Pollination and evolution in neotropical Annonaceae. *Plant Species*

639 *Biology*, *14*(2), 143–152.

641 Gottsberger, G. (2012). How diverse are Annonaceae with regard to pollination? *Botanical*

642 *Journal of the Linnean Society*, *169*(1), 245–261.

644 Gottsberger, G. (2014). Evolutionary steps in the reproductive biology of

645 Annonaceae. *Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura*, *36*, 32–43.

647 Gottsberger, G., and Webber, A. C. (2018). Nutritious tissue in petals of Annonaceae and its

648 function in pollination by scarab beetles. *Acta Botanica Brasilica*, *32*, 279–286.

650 Gottsberger, G., Silberbauer-Gottsberger, I., Webber, A. C., & Dötterl, S. (2018). Populations

651 of *Unonopsis guatterioides* (Annonaceae) in Amazonas and Minas Gerais, Brazil, potentially

652 represent different species: Floral scent, flower characters and pollinators

653 revisited. *Biochemical Systematics and Ecology*, *78*, 17–20.

655 Johnson, S. D., and Schiestl, F. P. (2016). *Floral mimicry*. Oxford University Press.

657 Jordán, M. J., Tandon, K., Shaw, P. E., and Goodner, K. L. (2001). Aromatic profile of

658 aqueous banana essence and banana fruit by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-

659 MS) and gas chromatography-olfactometry (GC-O). *Journal of Agricultural and Food*

660 *Chemistry*, *49*(10), 4813–4817.

Jürgens, A., Webber, A. C., and Gottsberger, G. (2000). Floral scent compounds of

Amazonian Annonaceae species pollinated by small beetles and

thrips. *Phytochemistry*, *55*(6), 551–558.

Jürgens, A. (2009). The hidden language of flowering plants: floral odours as a key for

understanding angiosperm evolution? *New Phytologist*, 240–243.

Kantsa, A., Raguso, R. A., Dyer, A. G., Olesen, J. M., Tscheulin, T., and Petanidou, T.

(2018). Disentangling the role of floral sensory stimuli in pollination networks. *Nature*

Communications, *9*(1), 1041.

Katague, D.B. and Kirch, E.R. (1965), Chromatographic analysis of the volatile components

of papaya fruit. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *54*(6), 891–894.

Lau, J. Y., Guo, X., Pang, C. C., Tang, C. C., Thomas, D. C., and Saunders, R. M. K. (2017).

Time-dependent trapping of pollinators driven by the alignment of floral phenology with

insect circadian rhythms. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*, 1119.

Liu, Q., Zhou, D., Tu, S., Xiao, H., Zhang, B., Sun, Y., Pan., L., and Tu, K. (2020).

Quantitative visualization of fungal contamination in peach fruit using hyperspectral

imaging. *Food Analytical Methods*, *13*, 1262–1270.

Liu, M. F., Chen, J., Goodrich, K. R., Chiu, S. K., Pang, C. C., Scharaschkin, T., and

Saunders, R. M. K. (2024). Aerial litter mimicry: a novel form of floral deception mediated

by a monoterpene synthase. bioRxiv, 2024.06.12.596753; doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.12.596753

Maia, A. C. D., Dötterl, S., Kaiser, R., Silberbauer-Gottsberger, I., Teichert, H., Gibernau,

M., do Amaral Ferraz Navarro, D. M., Schlindwein, C., and Gottsberger, G. (2012). The key

role of 4-methyl-5-vinylthiazole in the attraction of scarab beetle pollinators: a unique

olfactory floral signal shared by Annonaceae and Araceae. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, *38*,

1072–1080.

Martin, K. R. (2021). *When flowers play Dead: microbes as architects of a 'deceptive' floral*
phenotype (Doctoral dissertation, Cornell University).

Momose, K. 2005. Beetle pollination in tropical rain forests. In Roubik, D.W., Sakai, S. AND
Karim, A.A.H. (Eds.), *Pollination ecology and the rain forest* (pp. 104–110). New York:
Springer.

Morawetz, W. (1988). Karyosystematics and evolution of Australian Annonaceae as
compared with Eupomatiaceae, Himantandraceae, and Austrobaileyaceae. *Plant Systematics*
and Evolution, *159*, 49–79.

Mutinelli, F., Federico, G., Carlin, S., Montarsi, F., and Audisio, P. (2015). Preliminary
investigation on other Nitidulidae beetles species occurring on rotten fruit in Reggio Calabria
province (south-western Italy) infested with small hive beetle (*Aethina tumida*). *Journal of*
Apicultural Research, 54(3), 233–235.

Nagamitsu, T., and Inoue, T. (1997). Cockroach pollination and breeding system of *Uvaria*
elmeri (Annonaceae) in a lowland mixed☐dipterocarp forest in Sarawak. *American Journal*
of Botany, *84*(2), 208–213.

Pang, C. C., Scharaschkin, T., Su, Y. C., and Saunders, R. M. K. (2013). Functional monoecy
due to delayed anther dehiscence: a novel mechanism in *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*
(Annonaceae). *PLoS One*, *8*(3), e59951.

Pang, C. C., and Saunders, R. M. K. (2014). The evolution of alternative mechanisms that
promote outcrossing in Annonaceae, a self-compatible family of early-divergent
angiosperms. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *174*(1), 93–109.

Phelan, P. L., and Lin, H. (1991). Chemical characterization of fruit and fungal volatiles
attractive to dried-fruit beetle, *Carpophilus hemipterus* (L.)(Coleoptera: Nitidulidae). *Journal*
of Chemical Ecology, *17*, 1253–1272.

Pino, J. A., Marbot, R., and Agüero, J. (2002). Volatile components of wild soursop (*Annona*
montana Macf.) fruit. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, *14*(4), 257–258.

Racette, G., Chouinard, G., Vincent, C., and Hill, S. B. (1992). Ecology and management of

plum curculio, *Conotrachelus nenuphar* [Coleoptera: Curculionidae], in apple

orchards. *Phytoprotection*, *73*(3), 85–100.

Rochat, D., Meillour, P. N. L., Esteban-Duran, J. R., Malosse, C., Perthuis, B., Morin, J. P.,

and Descoins, C. (2000). Identification of pheromone synergists in American palm weevil,

Rhynchophorus palmarum, and attraction of related *Dynamis borassi*. *Journal of Chemical*

Ecology, *26*, 155–187.

Roubik, D. W., Sakai, S. AND Karim, A. A. H. (2005). Appendix A. In Roubik, D. W.,

Sakai, S. and Karim, A. A. H. (Eds.), *Pollination ecology and the rain forest*: *Sarawak*

studies (pp. 223–245). New York: Springer.

Saunders, R. M. K. (2012). The diversity and evolution of pollination systems in

Annonaceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *169*(1), 222–244.

Saunders, R. M. K. (2020). The evolution of key functional floral traits in the early divergent

angiosperm family Annonaceae. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution*, *58*(4), 369–392.

Sauquet, H., Von Balthazar, M., Magallón, S., Doyle, J. A., Endress, P. K., Bailes, E. J., de

Morais, E. B. et al. (2017). The ancestral flower of angiosperms and its early

diversification. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), 16047.

Schaefer, H. M., and Ruxton, G. D. (2011). Plant-animal communication. OUP Oxford.

Schatz, G. E., and Maas, P. J. M. (2010). Synoptic revision of *Stenanona*

(Annonaceae). *Blumea*, *55*(3), 205–223.

Silberbauer-Gottsberger, I., Gottsberger, G., and Webber, A. C. (2003). Morphological and

functional flower characteristics of new and old world Annonaceae with respect to their mode

of pollination. *Taxon*, *52*(4), 701–718.

Sletvold, N., Trunschke, J., Smit, M., Verbeek, J., and Ågren, J. (2016). Strong pollinator-
mediated selection for increased flower brightness and contrast in a deceptive
orchid. *Evolution*, *70*(3), 716–724.

Sosef, M. S., Dauby, G., Blach-Overgaard, A., van Der Burgt, X., Catarino, L., Damen, T.,
Deblauwe, V., Dessein, S., Dransfield, J., Droissart, V., Duarte, M. C., Engledow, H., Fadeur,
G., Figueira, R., Gereau, R. E., Hardy, O. J., Harris, D. J., de Heij, J., Janssens, S., Klomberg,
Y., Ley, A. C., Mackinder, B. A., Meerts, P., van de Poel, J. L., Sonké, B., Stévart, T.,
Stoffelen, P., Svenning, J.-C., Sepulchre, P., Zaiss, R., Wieringa, J. J. and Couvreur, T. L.
(2017). Exploring the floristic diversity of tropical Africa. *BMC Biology*, *15*, 1–23.

Teichert, H., Dötterl, S., Zimma, B., Ayasse, M., and Gottsberger, G. (2009).
Perfume collecting male euglossine bees as pollinators of a basal angiosperm: the case of
Unonopsis stipitata (Annonaceae). *Plant Biology*, *11*(1), 29–37.

Teichert, H., Dötterl, S., Frame, D., Kirejtshuk, A., and Gottsberger, G. (2012). A novel
pollination mode, saprocantharophily, in *Duguetia cadaverica* (Annonaceae): a stinkhorn
(Phallales) flower mimic. *Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of*
Plants, *207*(7), 522–529.

Torto, B., Arbogast, R. T., Alborn, H., Suazo, A., Van Engelsdorp, D., Boucias, D.,
Tumlinson, J. H., and Teal, P. E. (2007). Composition of volatiles from fermenting pollen
dough and attractiveness to the small hive beetle *Aethina tumida*, a parasite of the honeybee
Apis mellifera. *Apidologie*, *38*(4), 380–389.

Valterová, I., Urbanová, K., Hovorka, O., and Kindl, J. (2001). Composition of the labial
gland secretion of the bumblebee males *Bombus pomorum*. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*
C, *56*(5–6), 430–436.

Van Heusden, E. C. H. (1992). Flowers of Annonaceae: morphology, classification, and
evolution. *Blumea. Supplement*, *7*(1), 1–218.

Van Heusden, E. C. H. (1994). Revision of *Meiogyne* (Annonaceae). *Blumea: Biodiversity,*
Evolution and Biogeography of Plants, *38*(2), 487–511.

Van Der Kooi, C. J., Stavenga, D. G., Arikawa, K., Belušič, G., and Kelber, A. (2021).

Evolution of insect color vision: from spectral sensitivity to visual ecology. *Annual Review of*

Entomology, *66*, 43–5461.

Vuts, J., Szarukán, I., Marczali, Z., Csonka, É. B., Szilágyi, A., Imrei, Z., Nagy, A. and Tóth,

M. (2022). Differences in colour preference among pollen beetle species (Coleoptera:

Nitidulidae). *Journal of Applied Entomology*, *146*(3), 301–309.

Xue, B., Shao, Y. Y., Saunders, R. M. K., and Tan, Y. H. (2017). *Alphonsea glandulosa*

(Annonaceae), a new species from Yunnan, China. *PLoS One*, *12*(2), e0170107.

Xue, B., Shao, Y. Y., Xiao, C. F., Liu, M. F., Li, Y., and Tan, Y. H. (2021). *Meiogyne*

oligocarpa (Annonaceae), a new species from Yunnan, China. *PeerJ*, *9*, e10999.

Xie, C., Chu, B., and He, Y. (2018). Prediction of banana color and firmness using a novel

wavelengths selection method of hyperspectral imaging. *Food Chemistry*, *245*, 132–140.

Zhang, W., Yan, M., Zheng, X., Chen, Z., Li, H., Mao, J., Qin, H., Zhu, C., Du, H., and Abd

El-Aty, A. M. (2023). Exploring the aroma fingerprint of various Chinese pear cultivars

through qualitative and quantitative analysis of volatile compounds using HS-SPME and

GC× GC-TOFMS. *Molecules*, *28*(12), 4794.

Fig. 1. Flowers of Annonaceae species studied. (a) *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*. (b) *Meiogyne*

trichocarpa with curculionid and nitidulid visitors. (c) *Meiogyne stenopetala* with a

staphylinid visitor. (d) *Monoon patinatum*. (e) *Polyalthia hispida*. (f) *Polyalthia xanthocarpa*.

(g) *Pseuduvaria glabrescens* with nitidulid visitors. (h) *Pseuduvaria hylandii* with

drosophilid visitors. (i) *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*. (j) *Pseuduvaria villosa*. (k) *Uvaria*

concava. (l) *Uvaria rufa* at interim phase with a fly visitor. Photo credit: Photo credits: (a, b,

d, e, g–l): Ming-Fai Liu; (c): Chun-Chiu Pang; (f): Garry & Nada Sankowsky.

Fig. 2. Inner petal outgrowths. (a) Adaxial surface of inner petal of *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*.
(b) Gnaw marks (magenta arrows) created by curculionid beetles on the inner petals of
Meiogyne trichocarpa. (c) Gnaw marks (magenta arrows) created by staphylinid beetles on
the inner petals of *Meiogyne stenopetala*: longitudinal ridges of the basal corrugation have
been gnawed away. (d) Pair of nectary glands on the adaxial surface of the inner petal of
Pseuduvaria hylandii. (e) Nectar secreted by *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana* (yellow arrows). (f)
Drosophilid fly consuming nectar in a *Pseuduvaria hylandii* flower. Photo credit: (a–f):
Ming-Fai Liu.

Fig. 3. Spectral reflectance of 12 Annonaceae species. (a) *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*. (b) *Meiogyne trichocarpa*. (c) *Meiogyne stenopetala*. (d) *Monoon patinatum*. (e) *Polyalthia hispida*. (f) *Polyalthia xanthocarpa*. (g) *Pseuduvaria glabrescens*. (h) *Pseuduvaria hylandii*. (i) *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*. (j) *Pseuduvaria villosa*. (k) *Uvaria concava*. (l) *Uvaria rufa*. For species with drooping inner petals and no colour differentiation between outer and inner petal whorls, the inner petal abaxial surface was measured (a–f; i.e. *Meiogyne*, *Monoon* and *Polyalthia*). For species with spreading inner petals and no colour differentiation between outer and inner petal whorls, the inner petal adaxial surface (k–l; i.e. *Uvaria*) was measured. For species with dissimilar petal whorls (g–j; i.e. *Pseuduvaria*), three perianth areas were measured (solid line: inner petal abaxial surface; dotted line: outer petal adaxial surface; dashed line: inner petal gland); the sample size (*n*) for these species refer to the sample size for these three perianth areas in the aforementioned order. Photo credits: (a, b, d, e, g–l): Ming-Fai Liu; (c): Chun-Chiu Pang; (f): Garry & Nada Sankowsky.

Fig. 4. Non-metric dimensional scaling (NMDS) plot of Annonaceae floral scents constructed based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. Species with newly obtained floral odour was indicated by bold font. (2D stress: 0.140; global ANOSIM statistic R: 0.4528, *p*-value: 0.0001***) (*Ana. brevipes*: *Anaxagorea brevipes*; *Ana. dolichocarpa*: *Anaxagorea dolichocarpa*; *Ann. montana*: *Annona montana*; *Ann. coriacea: Annona coriacea*; *Ann. crassiflora*: *Annona crassiflora*; *Ann. dioica*: *Annona dioica*; *Ann. neoinsignis*: *Annona neoinsignis*; *Ann.* glabra: *Annona glabra*; *Ar. blumei*: *Artabotrys blumei*; *Ar. brachypetalus*: *Artabotrys brachypetalus*; *D. asterotricha*: *Duguetia asterotricha*; *D. cadavarica*: *Duguetia cadavarica*; *Me. cylindrocarpa*: *Meiogyne cylindrocarpa*; *Me. trichocarpa*: *Meiogyne trichocarpa*; *Me. stenopetala*: *Meiogyne stenopetala*; *Me. verrucosa*: *Meiogyne verrucosa*; *Mo. patinatum*: *Monoon patinatum*; *Po. xanthocarpa*: *Polyalthia xanthocarpa*; *Ps. glabrescens*: *Pseuduvaria glabrescens*; *Ps. hylandii*: *Pseuduvaria hylandii*; *Ps. mulgraveana*: *Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*; *Ps. villosa*: *Pseuduvaria villosa*; *Un. guatterioides* (Ma.): *Unonopsis guatterioides* (Manaus); *Un. guatterioides* (Ub.): *Unonopsis guatterioides* (Uberlandia); *Un. stipitata*: *Unonopsis stipitata*; *Uv. concava*: *Uvaria concava*; *Uv. rufa*: *Uvaria rufa*; *X. aromatica*: *Xylopia aromatica*; *X. benthamii*: *Xylopia benthamii*.

Table 1. Floral biology of *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*, *Polyalthia*, *Pseuduvaria* and *Uvaria* species. Abbreviations: CBG: Cairns Botanic Gardens, NE Queensland, Australia; GBB: Gardens by the Bay, Singapore; MT: Mt. Tamborine, SE Queensland, Australia; SA: Sankowsky Arboretum, Tolga, NE Queensland, Australia; WA: Graham Wood Arboretum, Wondecla, NE Queensland, Australia; +: present, -: absent, [a]: presence on both pistillate-phase and staminate-phase flowers, [b]: contact with reproductive organs, [c]: pistillate-phase visitors were pollen-laden.

	Meiogyne cylindrocarpa	*Meiogyne trichocarpa*	*Meiogyne stenopetala*	*Monoon patinatum*	*Polyalthia hispida*	*Polyalthia xanthocarpa*	*Pseuduvaria hylandii*	*Pseuduvaria glabrescens*	*Pseuduvaria mulgraveana*	*Pseuduvaria villosa*	*Uvaria concava*	*Uvaria rufa*
Site of study (n= individual studied)	SA (n=8), GBB (n=3), WA (n=4)	SA (n=8)	SA (n=1), WA (n=1), MT (n=20)	SA (n=1)	SA (n=2)	SA (n=1)	SA (n=5)	SA (n=1), CBG (n=1)	SA (n=3)	SA (n=1)	SA (n=2)	SA (n=2)
Habit	treelet/shrub	Treelet	treelet	tree	treelet	treelet	treelet	treelet	treelet	treelet	climber	climber
Flower position	axillary/apical	axillary/apical	axillary/apical	ramiflorous	axillary/apical	axillary/apical/ramiflorous	cauliflorous	axillary/apical	axillary/apical	ramiflorous	axillary/apical	axillary/apical/ramiflorous
Sexual system	bisexual flower	bisexual flower	bisexual flower	bisexual flower	bisexual flower	bisexual flower	unisexual flower, dioecious	andromonoecious	andromonoecious	? (only seen staminate treelet)	bisexual flower	bisexual flower
Pistillate phase duration	2d	2d	2d	not assessed	3d	2–3d	>6d	not assessed	2d	not assessed	2–3d	1d
Staminate phase duration	2d	1d	3d	not assessed	2d	1d	>6d	not assessed	2d	not assessed	0–1d	4d
Overlap phase duration	ca. 0–3h	ca. 5h	ca. 1–3h	not assessed	?	?	not applicable	not applicable	-	not assessed	1–2d	-
Interim phase duration	-	-	-	not assessed	?	?	not applicable	not applicable	2d	not assessed	-	1d
Protogyny	+	+	+	not assessed	+	+	not applicable	not applicable	+	not assessed	+	+
Pollinator trapping	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thermogenesis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Floral odour	banana-like	banana-like	banana-like	odorous	odourless	banana-like	rotten fruit	rotten fruit	rotten fruit	rotten fruit	fruity	fruity
Inner petal growth/gland	+, smooth–weakly longitudinally grooved	+, smooth–weakly longitudinally grooved	+, longitudinally grooved	-	-	-	+, smooth & raised	+, smooth & raised	+, smooth & raised	+, smooth & raised	-	-
Inner petal corrugation function	food body	food body	food body	corrugation absent	corrugation absent	corrugation absent	nectary	nectary	nectary	nectary	corrugation absent	corrugation absent
Floral visitors	n=42 (sites: SA+WA) **Coleoptera:** Curculionidae: Ochyromerini (14.3%)[a,b,c] Curculionidae: *Carpophilus* sp. (4.8%)[a,b] Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (81%)[a,b,c]	n=29 **Coleoptera:** Curculionidae: Ochyromerini (17.2%)[a,b,c] Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (82.8%)[a,b,c]	n=14 (site: MT+WA) **Coleoptera:** Staphylinidae: Omaliinae (64.3%)[a,b,c] Nitidulidae: Epuraeinae (35.7%)[a,b,c]	n=2 **Coleoptera:** Staphylinidae: Tachyporinae (100%)[b]	not observed	n=8 **Coleoptera:** Curculionidae: Ochyromerini (100%)[a,b]	n=58 **Diptera:** Drosophilidae: Drosophilinae (60.3%)[a,b,c] Lauxaniidae (3.4%)[a] Neriidae (3.4%)[a] **Coleoptera:** Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (31.0%)[a,b,c] **Hymenoptera:** Formicidae (1.7%)	n=19 (site: CBG) **Diptera:** Drosophilidae: Drosophilinae (21%)[a,b] **Coleoptera:** Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (79%)[a,b,c]	n=33 **Diptera:** Drosophilidae: Drosophilinae (21.2%)[a,b] **Coleoptera:** Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (72.7%)[a,b,c] **Hymenoptera:** Formicidae (6.1%)	not observed	n=13 **Coleoptera:** Nitidulidae: Nitidulinae (92.3%)[a,b,c] **Hymenoptera:** *Apis* sp. (7.7%)[f,b]	n=1 **Diptera:** unknown sp. (100%)

873 Table 2. Floral scent composition of *Meiogyne*, *Monoon*, *Polyalthia*, *Pseuduvaria* and *Uvaria* species. The average percentage of the relative

874 peak area for each volatile and its standard error are presented below. Italicised molecules were verified by co-injecting with commercially

875 available standards. Unknown molecules were denoted by the top ten most abundant ion fragments, followed by their relative intensity in

876 parentheses. *n* refers to the number of technical replicates performed, followed by the number of individuals the odour was collected from.

	standard retention index (DB-WAX)	Relative content (%)										
		Meiogyne cylindrocarpa (*n*=15;15)	*Meiogyne trichocarpa* (*n*=8;8)	*Meiogyne stenopetala* (*n*=5;2)	*Monoon patinatum* (*n*=3;1)	*Polyalthia xanthocarpa* (*n*=5;1)	*Pseuduvaria hylandii.* (*n*=3;3)	*Pseuduvaria glabrescens* (*n*=4;2)	*Pseuduvaria mulgraveana* (*n*=4;3)	*Pseuduvaria villosa* (*n*=4;1)	*Uvaria concava* (*n*=3;2)	*Uvaria rufa* (*n*=4;2)
Aliphatic hydrocarbon												
1-Tetradecene	1348	—	0.19 ± 0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tetradecane	1401	—	0.33 ± 0.22	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Oxygenated Aliphatic Compound												
2,3-Butanediol	1589	—	—	—	—	—	95.97 ± 0.91	84.83 ± 0.80	96.98 ± 0.21	95.32 ± 0.15	—	—
2-Methyl-butanoic acid	1678	—	—	—	16.48 ± 0.53	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-Methyl-(E)-7-hexadecene	1725	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05 ± 0.00
Ester												
Isobutyl acetate	1014	7.87 ± 2.16	11.86 ± 4.37	56.71 ± 1.50	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ethyl butanoate	1039	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	7.35 ± 0.38
Butyl acetate	1073	0.22 ± 0.24	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.65 ± 0.21
Isoamyl acetate	1109	79.58 ± 7.65	84.07 ± 4.01	28.17 ± 4.13	—	99.28 ± 0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—
Methyl hexanoate	1191	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	54.69 ± 0.32	4.70 ± 0.08
Butyl butanoate	1223	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.94 ± 0.07
2-methyl-(2E)-butenyl acetate	1225	0.82 ± 0.70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Ethyl hexanoate	1238	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	12.58 ± 0.29
2-methyl-(2Z)-butenyl acetate	1254	1.16 ± 0.70	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hexyl acetate	1277	0.07 ± 0.87	—	0.9 ± 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	21.27 ± 0.25
Isoamyl isovalerate	1316	1.09 ± 0.85	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Methyl octanoate	1396	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.30 ± 0.29	0.56 ± 0.02
Hexyl butanoate	1423	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.38 ± 0.10
Methyl (Z)-4-octenoate	1438	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	25.36 ± 0.32	—

	RI											
Ethyl octanoate	1442	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20.04 ± 0.22
Hexyl 2-methylbutanoate	1452	—	—	0.44 ± 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Octyl acetate	1482	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.48 ± 0.06
trans-2-Hexenyl isovalerate	1508	—	—	2.34 ± 0.1	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2,3-Butanediyl diacetate	1530	1.26 ± 1.37	—	0.09 ± 0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Linalyl anthranilate	1565	—	0.01 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hexyl hexanoate	1618	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.86 ± 0.02
Octyl butanoate	1625	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.66 ± 0.03
Hexyl tiglate	1632	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.16 ± 0.02
Ethyl decanoate	1646	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.23 ± 0.01
Ethyl trans-4-decenoate	1675	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.09 ± 0.00
6-Methyl-4-heptenyl pentanoate	1678	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.20 ± 0.0
Ethyl benzoate	1685	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.08 ± 0.01
(E)-Methylgeranate	1706	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.30 ± 0.00
Geranyl 2-methylbutanoate	1735	—	0.03 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Geranyl acetate	1766	1.56 ± 1.97	1.24 ± 0.59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2-Phenylethyl acetate	1835	—	—	0.52 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Phenylethyl isovalerate	2011	—	—	0.54 ± 0.27	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
hexyl benzoate	2098	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05 ± 0.01
Phenylpropanoid												
Methyl eugenol	2026	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.06 ± 0.09	—	—	—	—
Monoterpene												
β-Myrcene	1170	—	0.15 ± 0.11	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
D-Limonene	1205	—	1.24 ± 0.61	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1,8-cineole	1210	—	0.01 ± 0.01	—	—	—	2.42 ± 1.22	11.78 ± 0.38	—	—	—	—
trans-β-Ocimene	1241	—	0.06 ± 0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
cis-β-Ocimene	1259	—	0.14 ± 0.10	—	45.50 ± 0.61	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
terpinolene	1283	—	0.05 ± 0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
allo-Ocimene	1384	—	0.18 ± 0.13	—	11.89 ± 0.59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Menthone	1456	—	0.03 ± 0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.04 ± 0.07	—
α-Terpineol	1711	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
camphor	1532	—	0.02 ± 0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
menthacamphor	1654	—	0.06 ± 0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
geranylacetone	1868	—	—	0.18 ± 0.04	3.01 ± 0.12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sesquiterpene												
α-Cubebene	1471	1.11 ± 1.31	—	0.06 ± 0.06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
δ-elemene	1485	1.14 ± 1.36	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Compound	RI											
Copaene	1511	—	—	0.8 ± 0.3	—	0.32 ±0.01	0.26 ± 0.26	1.12 ± 0.05	0.65 ± 0.05	1.05 ± 0.10	—	—
β-bourbonene	1518	1.25 ± 1.45	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
β-Cubebene	1554	1.25 ± 1.50	—	—	—	0.04 ± 0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cyperene	1549	—	—	—	—	—	0.42 ± 0.42	—	—	—	—	—
β-ylangene	1578	1.32 ± 1.57	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Calarene	1602	1.48 ± 1.60	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
(E)-β-caryophyllene	1608	2.87 ± 1.51	—	—	6.08 ± 0.16	0.14 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	1.86 ± 0.05	—
γ-elemene	1647	1.42 ± 1.72	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
cis-β-Farnesene	1672	—	0.02 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.39 ± 0.00	—
humulene	1681	2.26 ± 1.70	—	—	2.83 ± 0.27	0.04 ± 0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—
γ-Muurolene	1709	—	—	—	—	0.07 ± 0.00	—	—	—	—	—	—
δ-Selinene	1724	—	—	0.1 ± 0.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Germacrene D	1732	1.86 ± 1.83	—	0.1 ± 0.0	3.87 ± 0.14	0.05 ± 0.00	—	—	—	—	0.31 ± 0.16	—
α-Muurolene	1744	—	—	0.1 ± 0.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.79 ± 0.02	—
α-Farnesene	1756	—	—	—	7.40 ± 0.07	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.03 ± 0.00
Bicylogermacrene	1758	1.59 ± 1.91	—	0.1 ± 0.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
δ-Cadinene	1777	1.65 ± 1.96	—	0.4 ± 0.0	1.83 ± 0.18	0.06 ± 0.00	—	—	—	—	—	0.04 ± 0.01
Cada-1,4-diene	1805	—	—	0.1 ± 0.0	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Miscellaneous Compound												
Naphthalene	1768	—	—	0.06 ± 0.02	1.11 ± 0.09	—	—	—	—	—	0.21 ± 0.11	0.02 ± 0.00
β-Ionene	1872	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.49 ± 0.03	—
Unknown												
43(24), 57(20), 41(14), 56(11), 42(8), 70(7), 55(6), 86(4), 61(3), 69(3)	1176	0.56 ± 0.51	—	0.09 ± 0.06	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
57(26), 43(19), 41(12), 56(11), 70(7), 42(7), 71(6), 55(5), 85(4), 86(3)	1201	0.16 ± 0.72	—	1.95 ± 0.54	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
57(23), 43(22), 41(13), 56(12), 71(8), 42(6), 85(5), 69(4), 55(4), 68(4)	1244	—	—	1.55 ± 0.33	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
57(20), 70(15), 43(14), 85(12), 41(10), 71(9), 56(7), 55(6), 42(5), 86(3)	1299	—	—	1.17 ± 0.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
73(29), 57(17), 41(11), 43(11), 56(9), 147(7), 42(5), 32(5), 86(4), 207(3)	1316	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.61 ± 0.21	—	—	—	—
43(24), 67(16), 57(15), 82(12),	1319	—	—	0.37 ± 0.14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

41(11), 56(7), 29(4), 42(4), 55(3), 39(3)												
57(19), 43(18), 41(15), 56(11), 105.1(8), 42(7), 119(7), 29(6), 32(6), 86(4)	1344	—	—	—	—	—	0.47 ± 0.24	—	1.09 ± 0.08	1.90 ± 0.10	—	—
43(21), 57(18), 56(15), 41(13), 70(7), 42(6), 55(6), 32(5), 69(4), 86(4)	1381	—	—	0.01 ± 0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
43(52), 87(11), 57(9), 70(6), 41(5), 56(5), 42(3), 55(3), 86(3), 45(3)	1387	—	—	2.11 ± 0.46	—	—	0.12 ± 0.06	—	—	—	—	—
57(28), 43(15), 41(13), 56(12), 85(6), 71(6), 42(6), 55(6), 32(4), 86(3)	1405	0.19 ± 0.85	—	0.61 ± 0.15	—	—	0.19 ± 0.10	0.59 ± 0.20	1.12 ± 0.05	1.74 ± 0.07	—	—
57(20), 82(15), 67(14), 41(11), 43(10), 85(9), 56(7), 55(5), 32(4), 42(4)	1475	1.66 ± 1.25	—	0.08 ± 0.08	—	—	0.09 ± 0.05	—	0.17 ± 0.10	—	1.09 ± 0.19	0.02 ± 0.02
57(20), 43(15), 41(15), 56(10), 80(9), 32(8), 29(6), 79.1(6), 42(6), 71(5)	1493	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.48 ± 0.11	—
57(21),43(17),56(12),85(11),41(11),103(9),84(6),42(5),55(5),32(5)	1499	1.81 ± 1.36	—	0.27 ± 0.05	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.44 ± 0.10	—
57(22), 43(16), 41(16), 56(12), 32(8), 55(7), 42(6), 71(5), 119(5), 69(5)	1510	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1.34 ± 0.11	—
57(23), 85(14), 43(14), 41(11), 70(10), 56(8), 71(5), 112(5), 42(5), 55(5)	1555	—	—	0.5 ± 0.08	—	—	0.06 ± 0.06	—	—	—	—	—
111(26), 81(13), 154(12), 95(10), 112(8), 123(7), 79(7), 41(6), 94(6), 53(5)	1607	0.14 ± 1.73	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.59 ± 0.07	—
84(15), 57(13), 123(13), 41(12), 43(11), 42(8), 56(8), 81(8), 95(6), 32(6)	1614	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.05 ± 0.0
79(16), 108(13), 57(13), 41(12), 43(11), 150(8), 67(8), 56(7), 32(7), 29(6)	1736	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.39 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.00
57(19), 43(16), 41(12), 32(11), 56(11), 108(8), 42(6), 45(6),	1745	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.21 ± 0.12	0.01 ± 0.00

91(6), 44(5)											
43(16), 57.1(13), 56(11), 41(11), 117.1(10), 84.1(10), 71(8), 55(8), 145.1(7), 69(7)	1821	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.15 ± 0.01