1

Droplet Sequencing Reveals Virulence Gene Clusters in Oral Biofilm Extracellular Vesicles

Sotaro Takano[1], Naradasu Divya[1], Satoshi Takenawa[1], Yan Kangmin[1], Tomoko Maehara[1], Nobuhiko Nomura[2,3], Nozomu Obana[3,4], Masanori Toyofuku[2,3], Michihiko Usui[5], Wataru Ariyoshi[6], Akihiro Okamoto[1,7,8,9,*]

[1] Research Center for Macromolecules and Biomaterials, National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Japan, 305-0044
[2] Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan, 305-8577
[3] Microbiology Research Center for Sustainability, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan, 305-8577
[4] Transborder Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, 305-8577
[5] Division of Periodontology, Department of Oral Function, Kyushu Dental University, Kitakyushu, Japan, 803-8580
[6] Division of Infection and Molecular Biology, Department of Health Promotion, Kyushu Dental University, Kokura, Kitakyushu, Japan, 803-8580
[7] Graduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan, 060-8628
[8] Graduate School of Science and Engineering, College of Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan, 305-8577
[9] Institute of Innovation for Future Earth (IRFE) and Laboratory for Integrated Science and Materials (LiSM), Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama, Japan, 226-8503

*Akihiro Okamoto
Email: OKAMOTO.Akihiro@nims.go.jp

Competing Interest Statement: The authors, Sotaro Takano and Akihiro Okamoto, applied for patents for the single-particle DNA sequencing method. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

This PDF file includes:
    Main Text
    Figures 1 to 4
    Table 1

Abstract

Bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEVs), produced by a broad spectrum of bacteria, play a crucial role in enhancing intercellular communication through DNA transfer. A vital determinant of their gene transfer efficiency is the gene content diversity within BEVs, an aspect that conventional metagenomics fails to capture. Our study bridges this gap with a novel microdroplet-based sequencing technique that precisely details DNA content within hundreds of individual BEVs. This technique revealed a unique DNA profile in BEVs from the oral pathogen *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, pinpointing specific genomic regions related to DNA integration (e.g., DNA transposition and CRISPR-Cas systems). These enriched genes, overlooked by standard analyses that aggregate total read counts, indicate that our method offers a more focused view into the genetic contents of BEVs. Applying our technique to dental plaque-derived BEVs, we discovered a hundredfold higher prevalence of DNA encapsulation than previously estimated, with over 30% of BEVs containing DNA. Specifically, we identified a substantial presence of O-antigen biosynthesis genes, prominent hotspots of frequent horizontal gene transfer, from *Alcaligenes faecalis*. Given that O-antigens mediate host-bacterial interactions, this gene enrichment in the large fraction of BEVs suggests a potential novel pathway by which BEVs could influence pathogenicity within oral biofilms. Our research unveils critical insights into the potential functions of vesicular DNA in microbial communities, establishing a powerful platform for studying vesicular DNA in microbiomes. This technical breakthrough provides a foundational basis for future research in microbial communication and the development of potential therapeutic or diagnosis strategies.

Significance Statement

BEVs have been studied for decades, yet their roles in nature and disease are just beginning to be appreciated. Our study makes a significant leap in understanding the roles of BEVs as gene transfer vehicles. By developing a microdroplet-based sequencing technique, we have uncovered detailed DNA profiles within individual BEVs, a task beyond the capabilities of conventional metagenomic methods. This breakthrough highlights specific genomic regions enriched in BEVs from pure culture and human dental plaque. Furthermore, the high prevalence of biofilm BEVs enriched in O-antigen biosynthesis genes, suggests a potential impact on the pathogenicity of oral biofilms.

68 This research establishes a new methodological platform for exploring the intricacies of BEV-
69 mediated interactions in a complex microbial community.
70
Main Text
Introduction
Horizontal gene transfer (HGT), the exchange of genetic materials across different organisms,
represents a fundamental mechanism in microbial evolution (1). This process enables bacterial
cells to acquire novel phenotypic traits (e.g., antibiotic resistance), fostering rapid adaptations to
environmental challenges (2-4). HGT's role extends beyond individual survival, significantly
influencing the genetic diversification within microbial populations (5). It allows the sharing and
integration of beneficial genes across species barriers (6, 7), catalyzing the emergence of novel
capabilities within bacterial communities (2, 8). Bacterial extracellular vesicles (BEVs) have
emerged as critical facilitators of HGT (9, 10). These vesicles are produced by both Gram-positive
and Gram-negative bacteria, encapsulate and condense diverse biomolecules including DNA (11),
and are prevalent in the various habitats (12, 13). The internal molecules in BEVs are generally
condensed, secreted and protected from the risks of degradation (14), hence the success rate of
gene transfer increases in BEV-mediated transfer (15). BEVs have the potential to transfer genetic
material not only within a species but also across phylogenetically distant species (16-18). These
characteristics are either superior or complement to other molecular mechanisms (e.g., conjugation
and natural transformation), expanding the genetic repertoire and adaptability in microbial
communities. However, the specific nature of the genetic material within BEVs has remained
largely underexplored, obscured by technical limitations in current genomic analysis methods.
A critical factor determining the effectiveness of HGT is the quantity of BEVs containing
specific genes (16), underscoring the need to understand which genes are present and the diversity
of DNA encapsulated in individual BEVs. However, identifying and characterizing the DNA
within BEVs poses significant challenges, as conventional metagenomic methods do not
adequately differentiate DNA variations among individual vesicles. BEVs selectively enrich
certain DNA pieces (19-21) but vesicles from the same bacterial culture can contain different DNA
(22). To address this, we apply a novel single-nanoparticle droplet DNA sequencing (NP-DS)
technique, adapted from single-cell genomic methodologies (23-25). Applied to BEVs from
Porphyromonas gingivalis and human dental plaque, genetic contents in individual vesicles were

profiled. Given this organism and its BEVs have been implicated in periodontitis and systemic

diseases (26, 27), our study points to specific aspects of BEV-derived DNA that may play

important functional roles in microbial interactions, particularly in the context of oral biofilms and

infection.

Results

Enrichment of specific genomic regions in BEVs produced by an oral pathogenic bacterium

We first explored the characteristics of DNA sequences in BEVs produced by *P. gingivalis,* a

prominent pathogen of periodontitis (28, 29). Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) of the isolated

samples detected $> 10^9$ particles (/mL) stained by lipophilic dye (Fig. S1A), indicating the presence

of BEVs in bacterial cell cultures. To understand the composition of the DNA housed within these

vesicles, we performed shotgun sequencing for the bulk BEV samples (Fig. 1A), after treating the

BEVs with DNase I to remove any external DNA. The sequence reads were widely mapped to the

original bacterial genome, but several genomic regions were over-detected (Fig. 1B), suggesting a

selective DNA packaging within the BEV population.

To test this possibility, we performed whole genome amplification (WGA) for those particles by

droplet-based method (23). Each nanoparticle was isolated within a gel bead, facilitating in-gel

lysis and WGA (see Materials and Methods). The sample was mixed with agarose so that $\approx 40 \%$

of droplets contained a single nanoparticle (Table 1, Fig. S2, presented as R_t). This process

harbored 4.6 % of the droplets contained amplified DNA (stained by SYBR-Green-I, Table 1, Fig.

S2, presented as Ro). Given that nearly 20 % of the total nanoparticles were lipid-stained (Table

1, Fig. S1 and Fig S2, presented as R_b), we could estimate that $\approx 60 \%$ of lipid-stained nanoparticles

(BEVs) harbored DNA (Table 1). This substantial population underscores a prevalent DNA

packaging process within the BEVs of *P. gingivalis*, highly surpassing the low DNA packaging

frequency in BEVs (<1%) previously estimated (22). Using SYBR-Green-I (the dye for nucleic

acid) directly to BEVs as a conventional method, we detected only less than 1% of positively

stained BEVs by NTA (Fig. S1), which is consistent with previous pure culture study (22).

Considering that fluorescence staining sensitivity is contingent on DNA length (30), it was

conceivable that most of BEVs might contain DNA segments too short to be detected by this

technique, and there would be considerable variations in DNA length among BEV particles.

To elucidate the DNA content within individual BEV particles, we performed whole-genome

sequencing for the DNA amplified by multiple displacement analysis (MDA). We examined the

distribution of sequence reads from 96 droplets and found that wide regions of the host

chromosome were detected in at least one of the droplets same as bulk-BEV sequencing (Fig. 1B).

However, there were variations in the detected genomic regions among BEV-population in *P.*

gingivalis (Fig. 1B) and the averagely 51 ± 35 kbp of genomic regions were detected in each

droplet (Fig. 1C and Table. S1).

While the mapped regions by read sequences from *P. gingivalis*-BEVs were not uniformly

distributed among 96 droplets (Fig. 1B), we found a few genomic regions that were significantly

over-detected among BEV population (binominal test, $p < 0.01$, see Supporting Materials and

Methods) (Fig. 1B). Although there were commonly enriched regions between the bulk-BEV-

sequencing and the droplet sequencing of BEVs in *P. gingivalis* (Fig. 1B), some of the frequently

mapped regions in bulk-BEV sequencing were not classified as enriched in the criterion of the

detected droplets. The deviation between the two approaches was also observed in the prevalence

of each protein coding sequences (CDSs) among BEVs. The abundance distribution for 1873

CDSs estimated by mapped sequence reads (FPKM) in the bulk-BEV-sequencing is longer-tailed

than that estimated by the detected droplets in the NP-DS (Fig. 1D). Consequently, the bulk-BEV-

sequencing harbored ≈ 7 times more CDSs regarded as enriched (i.e., outliers in the distribution,

see Data S1) than the NP-DS (Fig. 1E). Additionally, 16S rRNA sequence was rarely detected in

BEVs of *P. gingivalis* (≈ 2 %, Fig. 1F), suggesting that detecting BEVs by this marker gene is less

efficient compared to the other enriched genomic regions in this bacterium. Of note, MDA usually

harbors chimeric sequences during the amplification process (31), but we confirmed that such

chimeric reads did not harbor qualitative difference in the mapping profiles (Fig. S3 and see

Supporting Materials and Methods for details).

Enrichment of functional genes in BEVs from oral pathogen

We next probed the functional implications of DNA enrichment within *Porphyromonas*

gingivalis BEVs. To explore the genes loaded into a large fraction of BEV population, we

statistically extracted the over-detected CDSs among 96 droplets in the NP-DS, revealing 39

significantly over-represented CDSs out of the 1873 present on the genome (Table S1). Around

20 % of the enriched CDSs are related to genome arrangements such as transposase, site-specific
integrase, and CRISPR-Cas system (9/39).
To further dissect their function, we performed homology search of those enriched CDSs against
the UniprotKB/Swiss-Prot database (32), categorized them by Gene Ontology terms (GO terms),
and statistically screened the enriched GO terms in BEVs (Fig. 2A and Table S2). Among 497
categories, 5 categories were identified as statistically enriched (hypergeometric test, p-value <
0.05, see Supporting Materials and Methods). We found that "maintenance of CRISPR repeat
elements (GO:0004803)" and "DNA transposition (GO:0006313)" emerged as statistically
significant categories, indicating the predominance of genome-arrangement functions in the BEV-
derived DNA. The "maintenance of CRISPR repeat elements" category, which is also linked to
the "defense response to virus (GO:0051607)", was particularly highlighted by the packaging of
an entire CRISPR-Cas gene cluster within an enriched genomic region (Fig. 2B). Also, "cobalamin
biosynthetic process (GO: 0009236)", was identified as the enriched functional category (Fig. 2A).
All four genes associated with this metabolism are not distributed across the genome but are
clustered on the specific locus (Fig. 2C).
We also performed the same analysis of genes in bulk-BEV sequencing and confirmed that the
same or similar GO terms such as "cobalamin biosynthetic process (GO: 0009236)" and
"threonine-phosphate decarboxylase activity (GO:0048472)" were identified (Table. S3). Totally,
$\approx$ 3 times more categories were identified in the bulk-BEV sequencing, including various
metabolic, stress-response, and enzymatic categories (Table. S3). The discrepancy between bulk-
BEV sequencing and NP-DS results may indicate a significant difference between genes abundant
in quantity and those consistently present in a large proportion of BEVs, highlighting the
heterogeneity in DNA encapsulation within BEVs.
182

DNA profiling of nanoparticles from human oral biofilm by microdroplet sequencing
We next attempted to characterize DNA content in BEVs produced in the dental plaque biofilm
of periodontal patients, the natural habitat of oral pathogenic bacteria. Transmission electron
micrographs of the isolated samples revealed that there were spherical particles with diameters $\approx$
of 100 to 200 nm (Fig. S4A). NTA also detected the particles stained by lipophilic fluorescent dye
or double-stranded DNA dye (Fig. S4A), but the number of DNA-staining positive particles was
greater than those stained by lipid dye (Fig. S4B), implying the presence of nanoparticles with

DNA that does not consist of a lipid layer such as viruses. However, the amount of extracted DNA
from those treated samples was below the minimum amount required for conventional
metagenome sequencing (< 1 ng per sample).

Remarkably, our droplet sequencing was successful for the collected BEVs with such low amount
of DNA. As with the NP-DS for *P. gingivalis*, the sample was mixed with agarose and lipid
staining and SYBR-Green-I were used for the number quantification of BEVs and BEVs with
amplified DNA (Fig. S2). We could then estimate the fraction of nanoparticles with DNA is ≈
10 % (Fig. S2BC), which is almost identical to the rate of stained particles by DNA-dye in NTA
(Fig. S4B). We next sequenced those 384 positive droplets in total (Fig. 3B). Sequence reads from
each droplet were computationally assembled and divided into CDS unit and searched against the
nr database (see Materials and Methods). This method detected an average of 52 ± 29 CDSs in one
droplet (Fig. 3C), most of which were classified as a virus (bacteriophage)-derived CDS (we call
this vCDS hereafter). Of 384 droplets we analyzed, ≈ 20 % of droplets (68/384) contained ≥ 5
bacterial CDSs (we call these bCDSs hereafter) (Fig. 3D), and those droplets were regarded as
BEV-containing droplets, and the rest of the droplets contained mostly vCDSs (295/384). When
we take into account the fraction of viral particles estimated by the NP-DS, the ratio of BEVs with
DNA is estimated as ≈ 30% (Table 1, Fig. S2B, presented as *r*), and thus a significant fraction of
BEVs contained DNA in the oral biofilm. We observe particles with the morphology of tailed
bacteriophages in TEM (Fig. S5A), all the vCDSs were assigned to *Caudovirales* (Fig. S5B), and
thus the origin of the most of viral DNA would be those phage particles. However, we also
observed several droplets containing both bCDSs and vCDSs, and the origin of the viral DNA in
such cases will be discussed later.

We compared the DNA content in a BEV to that of a bacterial cell by droplet sequencing of
bacterial cells (cell-DS) isolated from the same dental plaque samples (Fig. 3B). In cell-DS,
averagely 1204 ± 542 CDSs and 790 ± 490 kbp of CDS region was detected (Fig. 3C and Fig.
S6A), and full-length 16S rRNA sequences were observed in over 90 % of the droplets (Fig. 3E).
In contrast, the CDS regions detected in BEV-containing droplets were mostly around 9 kbp in
each droplet (8.6 ± 6.5 kbp, Fig. S6B), corresponding to ≈ 1 % of those detected in cell-DS. Also,
full-length 16S rRNA sequences were detected only in 4% (3/68) of BEV-containing droplets (Fig.
3E). The length and prevalence of DNA in BEVs from oral plaque biofilms are consistent with
those observed in *P. gingivalis*.

Enrichment of specific genomic regions in BEVs from the oral cavity

222
We next taxonomically annotated the detected bCDSs in each droplet by homology search against
the GTDB database (Fig. S7). We found that most of the detected bCDSs in each BEV-containing
droplet derived from a single phylum, family, and genus-group (around 70 ~ 90% of purity, Fig.
4A and Fig. S8), and we assumed that the most frequently detected bacterial taxon (MFT) for each
droplet reflects an originating bacterium of a BEV. Mapping of the detected sequence reads to the
assembly genome of the MFT (Fig. S7) revealed that most of the BEV-containing droplets
contained around 0.5 ~ 1 % of the genomic regions of the MFT, while the droplets in cell-DS
reasonably covered an average of 40 ~ 60 % of the genomic regions of MFT (Fig. 4B).
The enrichment of specific genomic regions was also observed in BEVs from the dental plaque
biofilm as with pure culture system. We focused on droplets whose MFT is *Alcaligenes faecalis*
(GCF_002443155.1), which is most frequently observed taxon as MFT in the BEV-containing
droplets (Fig. 4C). The mapped regions by sequence reads differed among droplets and distributed
to the wide range of the host chromosomal region (Fig. 4C). However, there were commonly
detected regions among the droplet (Fig. 4C, binominal test, p-value < 0.01). Among the 46 CDSs
located on the enriched regions, many of the genes related to lipopolysaccharide (LPS)
biosynthesis (Table S4), and most of those are clustered in one genomic locus (Fig. 4D). A
statistical screening of enriched functional categories (GO terms) in those genes revealed that most
of the screened GO terms are associated with biosynthesis of bacterial antigen (LPS), such as "O
antigen biosynthetic process (GO:0009243)" and "LPS biosynthetic process (GO:0009103)" (Fig.
4E and Table S5). "O-antigen biosynthesis genes" were encapsulated in ≈ 14 % of BEVs of
Alcaligenes faecalis (≈ 10 % of all analyzed DNA-containing BEVs in the oral biofilm), suggesting
the prevalence of these gene in the biofilm BEVs.

Origins of BEV-derived DNA in dental plaque

246
Taxonomic profiles of bCDSs detected in NP-DS showed quite different compositions from
those detected in cell-DS. In phylum-level, abundantly detected groups in BEV-containing
droplets such as *Proteobacteria* were barely detected in cell-DS (Fig. 4A), and thus most of the
DNA sequences detected in BEVs derived from bacterial species with very low abundance in
dental plaque biofilm. Indeed, *Actinomyces, Peptidiphaga,* and *Streptococcus* were the most

frequently detected taxa and MFTs in ≈ 90 % of droplets in cell-DS, while those CDSs were barely

detected in NP-DS (only in 6 droplets in total) (Fig. 4A). On the other hand, CDSs from

Alcaligenes (*Proteobacteria*), the most abundant genus detected in BEV-derived DNA, were quite

low in frequency in cell-DS (Fig. 4A). In addition to *Alcaligenes*, we also found the bCDSs from

several minor bacterial genus in cell-DS, such as *Prevoteolla, Capnocytophaga, Campylobacter_B,*

Leptotrichia, and Pseudomonas_E, in NP-DS. Those results suggest that the detected BEV-

derived DNA by our method could reveal the distribution of functional genes among particles, but

also highlight the taxonomically minor but active producer strains of BEVs in microbiome.

Discussion

Understanding the diversity of DNA cargo within BEVs and identifying genes consistently

present is crucial for comprehending the role of HGT at the microbiota level. Traditional

metagenomic approaches, however, have struggled to accurately characterize such properties. Our

study addresses this limitation by employing droplet sequencing analysis, a method that reveals

the overlooked complexity of DNA content in BEVs. We found that BEVs typically harbor

substantial DNA fragments (ranging from 10 to 50 kb) from the host genome, with certain regions

being prevalent across the BEV population, and those enriched genomic regions contain

functionally related gene clusters (Fig. 2, and Fig. 4). Our analysis demonstrates a substantial

refinement in identifying functionally relevant genes within BEVs using NP-DS, compared to

bulk-BEV sequencing (Fig. 1). The latter tends to capture a broader array of miscellaneous gene

sets (as detailed in Data S1) and is susceptible to amplification biases during library preparation.

It is possible that DNA amplification within individual droplets, combined with count-based

statistical analysis, mitigates these biases, leading to a more precise identification of functionally

enriched genes within BEVs. Furthermore, the sensitivity of our technique to detect minute

quantities of DNA expands the potential of NP-DS for broader application across a variety of

microbiome samples.

Enrichment profiles and prevalence of DNA content suggest their potential ecological impacts

on the microbial community via HGT. The enrichment of a specific group of metabolic or

virulence-associated genes was found in both bacterial cases (Fig. 2A and Fig. 4E), which is

reminiscent of similarities with other mobile genetic elements (e.g., plasmid and integrative

conjugative element) (33, 34). For both cases, clustered gene sets are found to be 3 - 12 % of BEVs,

which is a hundred times greater than what was detected in the natural environment using
traditional DNA dye staining techniques (22), revealing DNA-packaging BEVs are far more
prevalent than previously estimated.

"O-anitgen biosynthesis genes" were quite prevalent in the BEVs derived from the oral plaque
biofilm. This gene sets are generally clustered on the genome of gram-negative bacteria (35, 36),
and high sequence diversity and unique GC content of that genomic region suggests that this gene
cluster is a frequent site of HGT (37, 38). Given that divergence in O-antigen have an potential
impact on the host-bacterial interactions (39), one possibility is that the enriched genes in BEVs
could modulate pathogenicity of the oral biofilm via HGT.

In BEVs from *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, we observed the enrichment of genes associated with
DNA integration, such as those involved in DNA transposition and CRISPR-Cas systems. The
interspecies exchange and transposition of insertion sequences (IS) are believed to contribute to
genome rearrangements in this pathogen (40). Intriguingly, the CRISPR spacer sequences are
highly homologous to regions of IS on the *P. gingivalis* genome, and those spacer sequences are
possibly responsible for preventing IS transposition and recombination (40). The enrichment of
both IS and CRISPR-Cas elements suggests that BEVs in this bacterium play an important role in
the interspecies recombination of IS and its control by the CRISPR-Cas system by mediating the
HGT. While the frequency and extent to which these genes are transferred among bacteria warrant
further investigation, our study lays the groundwork by identifying transferable gene candidates
via BEVs and their potential effects on microbial community dynamics.

Our findings not only highlight the enrichment of the specific genomic locus in BEVs but also
revealed considerable variation in the profiles of BEV-containing droplets (Fig. 1B and Fig. 4D),
suggesting that the packaging process influenced by both probabilistic and deterministic factors.
For *P. gingivalis,* the BEV DNA is notably rich in transposable elements, suggesting that these
DNA regions tend to be excised and loaded into the BEVs via certain mechanisms. While the
excision of the IS element itself is generally considered as a stochastic event, it can be influenced
by environmental stressors or specific genetic factors (41, 42), which may alter the frequency of
their incorporation into BEVs (43).

Conversely, in other genomic loci where we did not detect signatures of excision, the inclusion of
specific DNA fragments into BEVs might not be driven by site-specific excision. In such a case,
fragmentation of chromosomal DNA upon explosive cell lysis would be one plausible mechanism

for generating small pieces of DNA. The mechanism underlying selective DNA packaging into

BEVs may vary; for instance, *Janas et al.* proposed the difference in affinity of an RNA molecule

to the membrane as a mechanism for a high abundance of the specific RNA (44). A similar

principle could apply to DNA, where molecular affinities and interactions influence the selection

process for vesicle encapsulation.

While prior research has attempted to identify BEV producers using 16S rRNA sequencing, our

findings underscore the rarity of 16S rRNA sequences within BEVs (refer to Fig. 1E and Fig. 3E),

highlighting the limitations of 16S rRNA-based taxonomic identification, such as amplicon

sequencing. Instead, our droplet-based sequencing method provides a more comprehensive view

of the taxonomic composition of BEV-derived DNA, revealing substantial taxonomic difference

between the profiles obtained from cell-DS and NP-DS (Fig. 4A). This discrepancy suggests that

the minor members more actively produce BEVs or package DNA into BEVs than the major

bacterial group in the human dental plaque. NP-DS identified DNA from several other pathogens

(e.g., *Capnocytophaga* and *Leptotrichia*), which were quite minor bacterial groups in our cell-DS

data (Fig. 4A), but some of those were prominent pathogens in periodontitis (45). Assuming

controlled DNA encapsulation into BEV is the reflection of microbial activity, these enriched

DNA regions may serve as biomarkers for assessing the activity of such pathogens. Therefore, the

unique characteristics of BEV-derived DNA imply their potential applicability for biopsy in

diagnosis.

Our analysis also detected much of phage-derived DNA in NP-DS. Although the origin of those

viral DNA fragments would be mostly phage themselves, around 20 % of droplets contained both

bacterial and viral CDSs (i.e., more than 5 bCDSs and vCDSs). Given that the samples were treated

with DNase I to remove externally existing DNA, it's plausible that these viral fragments were

incorporated into BEVs during phage infection or induction, (21, 46) or by phages targeting BEVs

as 'decoy' cells (47). Upon identifying key virulent BEV producers and associated phages, such

DNA insertion into BEVs has the potential to be harnessed for regulating HGT in phage therapy

applications. While further experimental investigation is necessary to understand the coexistence

of bacterial and phage DNA, the droplet sequencing would be a potential tool to explore potential

phage-BEV interactions in nature.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and purification of BEVs from *P. gingivalis*

P. gingivalis W83 was grown in Gifu anaerobic medium at 37°C. To maintain anaerobic conditions, 20 min of N_2/CO_2 (80:20 v/v) gas sparging was performed prior to culture inoculation. The culture was grown at 37 °C until the OD_{600} reached 1.0. Then, the culture was centrifuged for 10 min at 7800 rpm and 4 °C. The resulting supernatant was passed through 0.22 µm filters to remove any cell debris. The filtered supernatant was ultracentrifuged for 2 h at 126,000 × g at 4 °C and the resulting pellets were re-suspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

DNase I treatment

DNase I (13 units (U) / µL) (NIPPON GENE) was added to a final concentration of 2U to treat the external DNA of nanoparticles. This sample was stored at 37 °C for 30 minutes, followed by DNase I deactivation by heating the sample at 80 °C for 10 minutes.

DNA sequencing of bulk BEV samples

We purified DNA from DNase I-treated BEV samples using the Isoplant-II DNA extraction kit (NIPPON GENE) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the QIAseq FX DNA Library Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer's protocols and sequenced using the Illumina Nextseq 2000 with 2 × 150 bp configuration. The obtained fastq files were processed using fastp 0.19.5 (48), and the sequence reads were aligned to the assembly genomes of the host bacterium (*P. gingivalis*: GCF_000007585.1) by bowtie2 (49) with option (--sensitive-local).

Nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA)

NTA was performed by ZetaView (Particle Metrix) for the quantification of nanoparticles. Samples were measured by scanning 11 cell positions and capturing 30 frames per second. For measurements using fluorescent signals, 488/500 nm and 660/680 nm laser-filter units were used with the camera setting: Sensitivity: 70 ~ 80; Shutter: 200; Minimum trace length: 15. For measurements in scatter mode, we used the following settings: Sensitivity: 65; Shutter: 200; Minimum trace length: 15. Captured video images were further analyzed using the ZetaView Software.

Droplet genome sequencing

Droplet genome sequencing was performed by bitBiome (Japan) as previously described (50), and details were described in Supporting Materials and Methods. Briefly, the cell or nanoparticle suspensions were mixed with 1.5 % agarose solutions so that the expected percentage of positive droplets was $\approx$ 40 % (23). In the case of cell-DS, the encapsulated cells were further lysed by the lysis solutions in gel beads. The droplets in cell-DS and NP-DS were processed for multiple displacement amplification (MDA) by REPLI-g Single cell Kit (QIAGEN), and the beads were stained with 1× SYBR Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Green fluorescence-positive beads were sorted by the FACSMelody cell sorter (BD Bioscience). The collected positive droplets were proceeded to the second round of WGA with the REPLI-g Single Cell Kit. The whole-genome amplified samples with enough DNA were further subjected to the whole-genome sequencing analysis using the Nextera XT DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocols and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq.

Acquisition of transmission electron micrographs (TEM)

Purified BEV samples were placed onto formvar coated copper grids and negatively stained with 2% phosphotungstate acid solution (pH = 7.0) for 60 sec. The grids were analyzed and visualized using JEM-1400 microscope (JEOL Ltd.) operated at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV and imaged by EM-14830RUBY2 CCD camera (JEOL Ltd.).

Subjects and dental plaque sample collection for droplet sequencing

We recruited patients with periodontitis, who were classified as generalized stage III-grade C (51). These patients had not taken antimicrobials within 3 months, were non-smokers, and did not have diabetes or any serious systemic disease. The present study was approved by the ethical committee at Kyushu Dental University (ethical approval number: 26-28) and conducted in accordance with the approved guidelines. All participants signed informed consent.

The collection of dental plaque biofilm samples (n = 3) was performed based as follows. Rolled cotton was placed next to the teeth to prevent saliva contamination. Supra- and subgingival plaque was collected by a periodontist using a curette without bleeding. The collected dental plaque biofilm sample was immediately resuspended into 1 mL PBS. Then the biofilm was treated with

100 µg/mL Proteinase K (QIAGEN) for 1 hour at 37 ºC with vortexing at 20-minutes intervals to

degrade extracellular matrices, and the treated sample was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 6000 g at

room temperature. The resulting pellet was resuspended into OMNIgene®-ORAL OM-501 (DNA

Genotek) and used for cell-DS. The supernatant was passed through 0.22 µm filters to remove any

extracellular substrates. The filtered supernatant was ultracentrifuged for 2 h at 200,000 × g at 4 °C,

and the resulting pellets were re-suspended in 10 mM HEPES with 0.85 % NaCl.

Data analysis in NP-DS and cell-DS

The paired-end read sequences from each droplet were first processed by fastp 0.19.5 and then

assembled by SPAdes 3.12.0. The viral contigs were extracted by VIBRANT 1.2.1 (52) to extract

viral contigs. Then coding sequences (CDSs), rRNAs, and tRNAs were extracted from the contigs

by Prokka 1.14.6 (53). The extracted CDSs were grouped together and clustered by CD-HIT. The

clustered CDSs were first annotated by homology search against the National Center for

Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant (nr) database (downloaded on July 1st, 2021)

by DIAMOND 2.0.8 (54). For each CDS, the Genbank accession number of the best-hit protein

sequence was further used for taxonomic annotation by BASTA (55). The CDSs classified as

Bacteria in the kingdom-level were further annotated by homology search against protein

sequences in the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (56) (version r202) by DIAMOND for

more strict taxonomic annotation of bacterial CDSs with a threshold in a percent identity ≥ 80 %.

Then we eliminated bacterial taxa that were classified as potential contaminants in low-biomass

human samples in previous studies (57, 58) (see Data S2). For droplets determined to BEV-

containing, we first grouped CDSs by GTDB accession number and calculated the total CDS

length assigned to each GTDB taxonomy. Then the most abundantly detected GTDB taxonomy is

designated to the "most frequently detected taxon" (MFT) for each droplet. For the details of

computational analysis, see the Supporting Materials and Methods.

Supporting Information

Supporting Materials and Methods

Figs. S1-S8

Tables S1-S5

Datasets S1-S2

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by JST, PRESTO (JPMJPR19H1 to A.O.), a Grant-in-Aid
for Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI (grant numbers
22H02265 to A.O.), and the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
(19gm6010002h0004 to A.O. and 21ae0121044h0001 to A.O. and So.T.).

Author contributions

So.T. and A.O. designed the research; So.T. developed analysis pipelines and analyzed the data;
N.D., Sa.T., Y.K., T.M., M.U., and A. W. performed the experiments; So.T. and A.O. wrote the
final version of the paper; All authors contributed to data interpretation and the writing of the
manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors, Sotaro Takano and Akihiro Okamoto, applied for patents for the single-particle DNA
sequencing method. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data availability

All sequence raw data used in this study were deposited in the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ)
with the accession ID PRJDB17260 and PRJDB17266.

Figures and Tables

Figure 1 Enrichment of DNA at specific loci of the host genome in *P. gingivalis* BEVs. (A) A schematic of the analysis. For the isolated BEVs from the bacterial culture, we performed nanoparticle droplet sequencing (NP-DS) by DNA amplification of encapsulated droplets or bulk-BEV sequencing by directly extracting DNA from the whole BEV particles for shotgun sequencing. In NP-DS, the collected sequence reads in each droplet was mapped to the original bacterial genome to obtain mapping profiles of individual droplets. In bulk-BEV sequencing, all sequence reads were mapped to the genome collectively. (B) Loci of mapped regions by sequence reads in BEVs on the originating bacterial chromosomes. The number of mapped reads in the next-generation sequencing of the bulk-BEV sample was displayed in a top panel. In the second top panel, the frequency of positive droplets in 96 across each bacterial genome is shown. In the third top panel, the positions on the genome where the sequence reads were mapped in each droplet

were filled with black. The genomic regions determined as significantly enriched in bulk-BEVs
(more than 75 percentile + 2*IQR (interquartile range)) or droplet sequencing (binominal test, p <
0.01) were filled with red and blue in the bottom panels, respectively. The enriched chromosomal
regions in both the droplet and bulk-BEVs sequence analysis were filled with purple (in the
bottom-most panel). (C) The distributions of total length of detected CDSs. (D) The abundance
distribution of 1873 CDSs in BEVs. In the bulk-BEV sequencing, the abundance was estimated
by the frequency of mapped reads (RPKM). In the case of the NP-DS, the number of droplets
detected was used as a metric of the abundance of each CDS. Blue dashed lines indicate the
threshold for enriched CDSs (i.e., outliers, 75 percentile + 2*IQR (interquartile range)). (E) Venn
diagram for the number of enriched CDSs in the bulk-BEV sequencing and the NP-DS. (F) The
percentage of BEV-containing droplets in which 16S rRNA sequence was detected and not
detected. The DNA sample is the mixture of BEVs collected from three independent cultures.

Figure 2 Functional enrichment of genes in *P. gingivalis* BEVs. (A) Enriched gene categories
in CDSs detected in BEVs of *P. gingivalis*. Frequently detected CDSs in BEVs were statistically
extracted (hypergeometric test, p-value < 0.05, see Supporting Materials and Methods). Gene
ontology terms (GO terms) were used for the classification of those frequently detected CDSs.
Heatmap indicates the statistical significance level of enrichment. (B), (C) Clustered functional
genes located on the enriched genomic regions in *P. gingivalis* BEVs. Detection profiles in 96
droplets where (B) CRISPR-Cas gene cluster or (C) cobalamin biosynthetic genes located.

Figure 3 Distinct characteristics of DNA in BEVs compared to bacterial cells. (A) The typical TEM micrographs of BEVs isolated from the dental plaque of periodontal patients. (B) Schematic of microbial cell-DS and NP-DS analysis for the dental plaque biofilm samples from periodontal patients. The separated nanoparticles and bacterial cells in dental biofilms were encapsulated into droplets, and the internal DNA fragments were amplified (see Materials and Methods). In NP-DS, the positive amplification droplets (colored green) were classified by the number of detected bCDSs and vCDSs as BEV-containing, viral DNA-containing, or both. (C) The total length of detected CDSs in NP-DS and cell-DS analysis. The results of all positive droplets from the biofilm samples were analyzed and shown as boxplots. Medians and outliers were shown as bold lines and circles each. (D) Kingdom-level classification of detected CDSs in NP-DS and cell-DS. In each droplet, the length of CDSs assigned to bacteria, viruses, or unknown (i.e., not assigned to any taxon in the database) was normalized by the total length of detected CDSs and shown as a heatmap. The droplets where less than 5 CDSs were detected were eliminated. (E) The percentage of BEV- or bacterial cell-containing droplets in which 16S rRNA sequence was detected. The DNA sample is the mixture of BEVs collected from the biofilm of three patients.

Figure 4 Enriched DNA sequences in BEV-containing droplets. (A) Genus-level taxonomic classification of bCDSs detected in BEV-containing droplets in NP-DS and bacterial cell-containing droplets in cell-DS. The length of CDSs assigned to each bacterial genus group was normalized by the total detected bCDSs and shown as a heatmap. We only showed genera whose maximum frequency of detected CDSs in a single droplet is more than 0.4. (B) The percentage of the genomic region covered by the sequence reads in a BEV- or bacterial cell-containing droplet. For each droplet, sequence reads were aligned to the assembled genome of the MFT. Medians and outliers were shown as bold lines and circles each in box plots. (C) Genomic regions in *Alcaligenes faecalis* (GCF_000745965.1) mapped by sequence reads in BEV-containing droplets. The frequency of droplets including each genomic region (top). The positions on the genome where the sequence reads were mapped in each droplet were filled with black (middle), The genomic regions which were significantly enriched in BEV-containing droplets were filled with red (bottom). We analyzed sequence data of 53 BEV-containing droplets whose MFT was determined as *Alcaligenes faecalis* (GCF_000745965.1). (D) Detection profiles of the LPS biosynthesis gene cluster (encircled by magenta in panel C) in 53 droplets. Green gene arrows correspond to genes

associated with LPS (O-antigen) biosynthesis. Yellow gene arrows correspond to glycosyl transferase genes, which are also possibly related to O-antigen biosynthesis process. (E) Screened functional categories (GO terms) as that were significantly overrepresented in the enriched genomic region of BEVs from *Alcaligenes faecalis*. Same as Fig. 1F, we statistically extracted the GO terms (hypergeometric test, p-value < 0.05, see Supporting Materials and Methods). The statistical significance level is shown as a heatmap.

Table 1 The percentage of droplets containing amplified DNA fragments after processing cells or nanoparticles. The ratio of droplets to particles with DNA (R_o). The theoretical ratio of droplets to total particles (R_t) was controlled to a fixed value in our analysis. R_o and R_{vb} are estimated by NTA and taxonomic annotation, we calculated the percentage of BEVs with DNA, r. For calculation details, see Supporting Materials and Methods and Figure S2.

	R_o	R_t	R_{vb}	R_b	r
P. gingivalis	0.0462	0.361	0	0.206	0.622
Biofilm	0.0352	0.361	4.34	0.0553	0.330

References

1. M. F. Polz, E. J. Alm, W. P. Hanage, Horizontal gene transfer and the evolution of bacterial and archaeal population structure. *Trends in Genetics* **29**, 170-175 (2013).
2. J. J. Power *et al.*, Adaptive evolution of hybrid bacteria by horizontal gene transfer. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **118** (2021).
3. G. L. Peabody, H. Li, K. C. Kao, Sexual recombination and increased mutation rate expedite evolution of in varied fitness landscapes. *Nature Communications* **8** (2017).
4. T. F. Cooper, Recombination speeds adaptation by reducing competition between beneficial mutations in populations of *Escherichia coli*. *Plos Biol* **5**, 1899-1905 (2007).
5. B. J. Shapiro *et al.*, Population Genomics of Early Events in the Ecological Differentiation of Bacteria. *Science* **336**, 48-51 (2012).
6. C. S. Smillie *et al.*, Ecology drives a global network of gene exchange connecting the human microbiome. *Nature* **480**, 241-244 (2011).
7. G. Schönknecht *et al.*, Gene Transfer from Bacteria and Archaea Facilitated Evolution of an Extremophilic Eukaryote. *Science* **339**, 1207-1210 (2013).
8. T. Y. Pang, M. J. Lercher, Each of 3,323 metabolic innovations in the evolution of arose through the horizontal transfer of a single DNA segment. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **116**, 187-192 (2019).

9.   C. Schwechheimer, M. J. Kuehn, Outer-membrane vesicles from Gram-negative bacteria:
biogenesis and functions. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **13**, 605-619 (2015).
10. I. L. Brito, Examining horizontal gene transfer in microbial communities. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **19**, 442-453 (2021).
11. M. Toyofuku, N. Nomura, L. Eberl, Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles.
Nat Rev Microbiol **17**, 13-24 (2019).
12. J. Tulkens, O. De Wever, A. Hendrix, Analyzing bacterial extracellular vesicles in human
body fluids by orthogonal biophysical separation and biochemical characterization. *Nat*
Protoc **15**, 40-67 (2020).
13. S. J. Biller *et al.*, Bacterial vesicles in marine ecosystems. *Science* **343**, 183-186 (2014).
14. M. Toyofuku, S. Schild, M. Kaparakis-Liaskos, L. Eberl, Composition and functions of
bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **21**, 415-430 (2023).
15. M. Renelli, V. Matias, R. Y. Lo, T. J. Beveridge, DNA-containing membrane vesicles of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 and their genetic transformation potential. *Microbiology*
(Reading) **150**, 2161-2169 (2004).
16. F. Tran, J. Q. Boedicker, Genetic cargo and bacterial species set the rate of vesicle-
mediated horizontal gene transfer. *Sci Rep* **7**, 8813 (2017).
17. S. Fulsundar *et al.*, Gene transfer potential of outer membrane vesicles of Acinetobacter
baylyi and effects of stress on vesiculation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **80**, 3469-3483
(2014).
18. V. Schaar, T. Nordstrom, M. Morgelin, K. Riesbeck, Moraxella catarrhalis outer
membrane vesicles carry beta-lactamase and promote survival of Streptococcus
pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae by inactivating amoxicillin. *Antimicrob Agents*
Chemother **55**, 3845-3853 (2011).
19. M. V. Surve *et al.*, Membrane Vesicles of Group B Streptococcus Disrupt Feto-Maternal
Barrier Leading to Preterm Birth. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005816 (2016).
20. N. J. Bitto *et al.*, Bacterial membrane vesicles transport their DNA cargo into host cells.
Sci Rep **7**, 7072 (2017).
21. M. Yasuda *et al.*, Phage Genes Induce Quorum Sensing Signal Release through
Membrane Vesicle Formation. *Microbes Environ* **37** (2022).
22. S. J. Biller *et al.*, Membrane vesicles in sea water: heterogeneous DNA content and
implications for viral abundance estimates. *ISME J* **11**, 394-404 (2017).
23. M. Hosokawa, Y. Nishikawa, M. Kogawa, H. Takeyama, Massively parallel whole
genome amplification for single-cell sequencing using droplet microfluidics. *Sci Rep* **7**,
5199 (2017).
24. J. Gole *et al.*, Massively parallel polymerase cloning and genome sequencing of single
cells using nanoliter microwells. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**, 1126-1132 (2013).
25. E. Z. Macosko *et al.*, Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual
Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202-1214 (2015).
26. J. D. Cecil, N. Sirisaengtaksin, N. M. O'Brien-Simpson, A. M. Krachler, Outer
Membrane Vesicle-Host Cell Interactions. *Microbiol Spectr* **7** (2019).
27. Z. Zhang, D. Liu, S. Liu, S. Zhang, Y. Pan, The Role of Porphyromonas gingivalis Outer
Membrane Vesicles in Periodontal Disease and Related Systemic Diseases. *Front Cell*
Infect Microbiol **10**, 585917 (2020).
28. M. H. Ho, C. H. Chen, J. S. Goodwin, B. Y. Wang, H. Xie, Functional Advantages of
Porphyromonas gingivalis Vesicles. *PLoS One* **10**, e0123448 (2015).

29.   R. Nakao *et al.*, Effect of Porphyromonas gingivalis outer membrane vesicles on
607   gingipain-mediated detachment of cultured oral epithelial cells and immune responses.
608   *Microbes Infect* **16**, 6-16 (2014).
609   30.   Y. Tomaru, K. Nagasaki, Flow cytometric detection and enumeration of DNA and RNA
610   viruses infecting marine eukaryotic microalgae. *J Oceanogr* **63**, 215-221 (2007).
611   31.   R. S. Lasken, T. B. Stockwell, Mechanism of chimera formation during the Multiple
612   Displacement Amplification reaction. *BMC Biotechnol* **7**, 19 (2007).
613   32.   E. Boutet, D. Lieberherr, M. Tognolli, M. Schneider, A. Bairoch, UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot.
614   *Methods Mol Biol* **406**, 89-112 (2007).
615   33.   M. Gaillard *et al.*, The clc element of Pseudomonas sp. strain B13, a genomic island with
616   various catabolic properties. *J Bacteriol* **188**, 1999-2013 (2006).
617   34.   M. G. Durrant, M. M. Li, B. A. Siranosian, S. B. Montgomery, A. S. Bhatt, A
618   Bioinformatic Analysis of Integrative Mobile Genetic Elements Highlights Their Role in
619   Bacterial Adaptation. *Cell Host Microbe* **27**, 140-153 e149 (2020).
620   35.   A. Iguchi *et al.*, A complete view of the genetic diversity of the Escherichia coli O-
621   antigen biosynthesis gene cluster. *DNA Res* **22**, 101-107 (2015).
622   36.   H. L. Rocchetta, L. L. Burrows, J. S. Lam, Genetics of O-antigen biosynthesis in
623   Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **63**, 523-553 (1999).
624   37.   P. Reeves, Evolution of Salmonella O antigen variation by interspecific gene transfer on
625   a large scale. *Trends Genet* **9**, 17-22 (1993).
626   38.   S. E. Hester *et al.*, Horizontally acquired divergent O-antigen contributes to escape from
627   cross-immunity in the classical bordetellae. *BMC Evol Biol* **13**, 209 (2013).
628   39.   I. Lerouge, J. Vanderleyden, O-antigen structural variation: mechanisms and possible
629   roles in animal/plant-microbe interactions. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **26**, 17-47 (2002).
630   40.   T. Watanabe *et al.*, CRISPR regulation of intraspecies diversification by limiting IS
631   transposition and intercellular recombination. *Genome Biol Evol* **5**, 1099-1114 (2013).
632   41.   P. Siguier, E. Gourbeyre, M. Chandler, Bacterial insertion sequences: their genomic
633   impact and diversity. *Fems Microbiology Reviews* **38**, 865-891 (2014).
634   42.   M. Kusumoto *et al.*, Insertion sequence-excision enhancer removes transposable elements
635   from bacterial genomes and induces various genomic deletions. *Nature Communications*
636   **2** (2011).
637   43.   N. Orench-Rivera, M. J. Kuehn, Differential Packaging Into Outer Membrane Vesicles
638   Upon Oxidative Stress Reveals a General Mechanism for Cargo Selectivity. *Front*
639   *Microbiol* **12**, 561863 (2021).
640   44.   T. Janas, M. M. Janas, K. Sapon, T. Janas, Mechanisms of RNA loading into exosomes.
641   *FEBS Lett* **589**, 1391-1398 (2015).
642   45.   E. Kononen, D. Fteita, U. K. Gursoy, M. Gursoy, Prevotella species as oral residents and
643   infectious agents with potential impact on systemic conditions. *J Oral Microbiol* **14**
644   (2022).
645   46.   L. Turnbull *et al.*, Explosive cell lysis as a mechanism for the biogenesis of bacterial
646   membrane vesicles and biofilms. *Nat Commun* **7**, 11220 (2016).
647   47.   A. J. Manning, M. J. Kuehn, Contribution of bacterial outer membrane vesicles to innate
648   bacterial defense. *BMC Microbiol* **11**, 258 (2011).
649   48.   S. Chen, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Gu, fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
650   *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884-i890 (2018).

49. B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature*
Methods **9**, 357-U354 (2012).
50. K. Arikawa *et al.*, Recovery of strain-resolved genomes from human microbiome through
an integration framework of single-cell genomics and metagenomics. *Microbiome* **9**, 202
(2021).
51. J. G. Caton *et al.*, A new classification scheme for periodontal and peri-implant diseases
and conditions - Introduction and key changes from the 1999 classification. *J Clin*
Periodontol **45 Suppl 20**, S1-S8 (2018).
52. K. Kieft, Z. Zhou, K. Anantharaman, VIBRANT: automated recovery, annotation and
curation of microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic
sequences. *Microbiome* **8**, 90 (2020).
53. T. Seemann, Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068-2069
(2014).
54. B. Buchfink, C. Xie, D. H. Huson, Fast and sensitive protein alignment using
DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* **12**, 59-60 (2015).
55. T. Kahlke, P. J. Ralph, BASTA - Taxonomic classification of sequences and sequence
bins using last common ancestor estimations. *Methods Ecol Evol* **10**, 100-103 (2019).
56. D. H. Parks *et al.*, A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996-1004 (2018).
57. G. D. Poore *et al.*, Microbiome analyses of blood and tissues suggest cancer diagnostic
approach. *Nature* **579**, 567-574 (2020).
58. S. J. Salter *et al.*, Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-
based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biol* **12**, 87 (2014).
674
675

Supporting Information for

Droplet Sequencing Reveals Virulence Gene Clusters in Oral Biofilm
Extracellular Vesicles

Sotaro Takano[1], Naradasu Divya[1], Satoshi Takenawa[1], Yan Kangmin[1], Tomoko Maehara[1], Nobuhiko Nomura[2,3], Nozomu Obana[3,4], Masanori Toyofuku[2,3], Michihiko Usui[5], Wataru Ariyoshi[6], Akihiro Okamoto[1,7,8,9]

[1] Research Center for Macromolecules and Biomaterials, National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Japan
[2] Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
[3] Microbiology Research Center for Sustainability, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
[4] Transborder Medical Research Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba
[5] Division of Periodontology, Department of Oral Function, Kyushu Dental University
[6] Division of Infection and Molecular Biology, Department of Health Promotion, Kyushu Dental University.
[7] Graduate School of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
[8] Graduate School of Science and Engineering, College of Science and Engineering, University of Tsukuba
[9] Institute of Innovation for Future Earth (IRFE) and Laboratory for Integrated Science and Materials (LiSM), Tokyo Institute of Technology

Akihiro Okamoto
Email: OKAMOTO.Akihiro@nims.go.jp

707
708
709

Supporting Materials and Methods

Droplet genome sequencing.

Droplet genome sequencing was performed by bitBiome (Japan) as previously described (1). Briefly, in the cell-DS, the cell suspensions from biofilm samples were mixed with 1.5 % agarose solutions in Dulbecco's PBS (DPBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific) so that the expected percentage of positive droplets was ≈ 40 % (i.e. cells/droplets ratio become ≈ 0.5) based on the previous study (2). In the case of nanoparticle genome sequencing, the suspensions of nanoparticles were mixed with the agarose solutions so that the expected percentage of positive droplets was less than 30 %. Bacterial cells or nanoparticles were encapsulated in droplets using fabricated microfluidic droplet generators (3) and further used for in-bead bacterial genome amplification sequencing. Collected droplets were incubated on ice for 15 min for solidification. The solidified gel droplets were broken with 1H,1H,2H,2H-perfluoro-1-octanol (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, the gel beads were washed with acetone (Sigma-Aldrich), and the solution was mixed vigorously and centrifuged. The gel beads were washed three times each with DPBS, followed by isopropanol. In the case of cell-DS, the encapsulated cells were further lysed by the lysis solutions in gel beads. First, 50 U/ µL Ready-Lyse Lysozyme Solution (Epicentre), 2 U/ mL Zymolyase (Zymo Research), 22 U/mL lysostaphin (MERCK), and 250 U/mL mutanolysin (MERCK) were added and incubated in DPBS at 37 °C overnight. Then gel beads were washed three times and 0.5 mg/mL achromopeptidase (FUJIFILM Wako Chemicals) was added into DPBS at 37 °C for 8 hours. The gel beads were washed with DPBS three times again and 1 mg/mL Proteinase K (Promega) with 0.5% SDS in PBS was added and incubated overnight at 40 °C. Following lysis, the gel beads were washed with DPBS five times. Then, the droplets in cell-DS and NP-DS were processed for multiple displacement amplification (MDA) by REPLI-g Single cell Kit (QIAGEN). The droplets were suspended by Buffer D2 from a REPLI-g Single Cell Kit and incubated for 2 hours or 8 hours for cell-DS or NP-DS, respectively. Following whole-genome amplification (WGA), gel beads were washed three times with 500 µL DPBS. Thereafter, beads were stained with 1× SYBR Green (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in DPBS. Following the confirmation of DNA amplification by the presence of green fluorescence in the gel, fluorescence-positive beads were sorted into 0.8 µL DPBS in 96-well plates using the FACSMelody cell sorter (BD Bioscience) equipped with a 488-nm excitation laser. Following droplet sorting, 96-well plates were proceeded to the second round of WGA. Second-round MDA was performed with the REPLI-g Single Cell Kit. The 96-well plates were incubated at 65 °C for 10 min after the addition of Buffer D2 (0.6 µL) to each well. Thereafter, 8.6 µL of MDA mixture was added to each well, and the plates were incubated at 30 °C for 2 hours for cell-DS and 8 hours for NP-DS, followed by the incubation at 65 °C for 3 minutes. Following second-round amplification, aliquots of the 96 well-plates were transferred to replica plates for DNA yield quantification using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For cell-DS, 16S rRNA gene Sanger sequencing (FASMAC) with the V3-V4 primers (Forward, 5′-TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAA GAGACAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG-3′; reverse, 5′-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGAC AGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC-3′) was also performed using the replica plates. The whole-genome amplified samples with enough DNA were further

subjected to the whole-genome sequencing analysis. In the case of cell-DS, the whole-genome amplified
samples were further screened using the Geneious software (Biomatters, Ltd.) based on the quality of 16S
rRNA with the criteria that more than 400 bp in length and HQ 40%. For whole-genome sequencing
analysis, sequencing libraries were prepared from second-round MDA products using the Nextera XT DNA
Library Prep Kit (Illumina) according to the manufacturer's protocols and sequenced using the Illumina
HiSeq 2 × 150 bp configuration for the biofilm samples or 2 × 75 bp configuration for *P. gingivalis* BEVs.

Estimation of the percentage of particles with DNA in cell-DS and NP-DS.

The theoretical ratio of droplets to particles in the encapsulation step is denoted as R_t and described as
follows:

$$R_t = \frac{N}{t}$$

758 Here N is the total number of nanoparticles (cells) and t is the number of droplets. We used the fixed R_t for
759 cell-DS or NP-DS as described above. Here, the nanoparticle samples subjected to the gel-lysis are
760 considered as a mixture of viruses, BEVs, and other nanoparticles (extracellular proteins), and thus N can
761 be described as follows:

$$N = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta$$

763 Here, α, β, γ, and δ are the total numbers of viruses, BEVs with DNA, BEVs without DNA, and other
764 nanoparticles. The observed ratio of droplets to particles with DNA in the encapsulation step is denoted as
765 R_o and described as follows:

$$R_o = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{t}$$

767 For estimating R_o, the percentage of DNA-containing droplets (we call this parameter as P_o hereafter) after
768 processing cells or nanoparticles were quantified by counting the number of droplets with green
769 fluorescence in microscopic images. From P_o, we further estimated the ratio of droplets to particles with
770 DNA (R_o) using the empirical curve in a previous study (2). We can also estimate the ratio of BEVs (R_b) by
771 positive particles by lipid-staining dye using NTA.

$$R_b = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}$$

773 The ratio of droplets containing viruses to those containing BEVs with DNA was estimated based on the
774 taxonomic profiles (Fig. 3).

$$R_{vb} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$

776 Then the percentage of BEVs with DNA (r) was calculated as follows.

$$r = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} = \frac{R_o}{R_t \cdot R_b (1 + R_{vb})}$$

Pre-processing of sequence reads and extraction of CDSs in droplet sequencing

The paired-end read sequences from each droplet were first processed by fastp 0.19.5 (4) for quality

control and adapter trimming. The processed sequence reads were assembled by SPAdes 3.12.0 (5) with

options (-k auto –disable-rr --careful). The generated contig was used for further processing by VIBRANT

1.2.1 (6) to extract viral contigs. Then CDSs, rRNAs, and tRNAs were extracted from the contigs by Prokka

1.14.6 (7).

Taxonomic annotation of CDSs in droplet sequencing

The extracted CDSs from droplets derived from the same sample were grouped together and clustered

by CD-HIT (8) with options (-c 0.98 -s 0.5 -aS 0.9). The clustered CDSs were first annotated by homology

search against the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant (nr) database

(downloaded on July 1st, 2021) by DIAMOND 2.0.8 (9) with options (--evalue 1e-10 –outfmt 6 –sensitive).

For each CDS, the Genbank accession number of the best-hit protein sequence was further used for

taxonomic annotation by BASTA (10) with options (sequence prot -l 100 -I 80 -b). At this step, hits whose

percent identity is less than 80 % and whose matched length is less than 100 bp were discarded. Genbank

accession numbers that were not mapped to the default BASTA database were further mapped to the NCBI

database using custom python code implemented in the original BASTA pipeline. Briefly, taxonomic

information of each protein sequence in DIAMOND hits was obtained by Bio.Entrez module in Biopython

package (11) and formatted by TaxonKit (12).

The CDSs classified as Bacteria in the kingdom-level were further annotated by homology search against

protein sequences in the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) (13) (version r202) by DIAMOND for more

strict taxonomic annotation of bacterial CDSs.　If a top-hit of CDS to the GTDB protein database with a

percent identity ≥ 80 %, the assembly accession number registered in GTDB was assigned. We eliminated

bacterial taxa that were classified as potential contaminants in low-biomass human samples in previous

studies (14, 15). The list of eliminated GTDB taxa is shown in Data. S2.

Screening of most frequently detected taxon in BEV-containing droplets

For droplets determined to BEV-containing, we first grouped CDSs by their taxonomic information (GTDB

accession number) and calculated the total CDS length assigned to each GTDB taxonomy. Then the most

abundantly detected GTDB taxonomy in the total CDS length is designated to the "most frequently detected

taxon" (MFT) for each droplet.

Screening of enriched genomic regions in BEVs from dental plaque biofilm

We first aligned sequence reads obtained from each droplet to the assembly genomes of host bacteria by

bowtie2 (16) with option (--sensitive-local). We analyzed BEV-containing droplets from the *P. gingivalis* and

mapped the sequence reads to the host bacterial genome (*P. gingivalis*: GCF_000007585.1). It is well

known that MDA usually harbors chimeric sequences during the amplification process (17) and it is possible

that presence of those chimeric reads affect the mapping profiles. We checked this possibility by BWA (18)

and found that only 1.41 ± 0.34 % of reads were classified as chimeric in 96 droplets. We also confirmed

that cleaning of those chimeric reads by recently developed algorithm (19) did not harbor qualitative

difference in the mapping profiles compared to those generated by the original read sequences (Fig. S3).

In the case of BEV-containing droplets in the dental biofilm samples, we focused on *Alcaligenes faecalis*

(GCF_002443155.1), which were frequently detected as MFT in droplets. Then, the assembly genome

sequences for each bacterium were separated into 1000 bp sections, and significantly enriched genomic

regions in the droplets were screened by a binominal test with the following formula:

824
$$p = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p_0^{k} (1 - p_0)^{n-k}$$

Where *n* is the total number of droplets examined, *k* is the number of positive droplets (i.e. droplets in which

the targeted 1000 bp section was detected), and p_0 is the average frequency of positive droplets for the

overall genomic region. If *p* (p-value) is less than 0.01, that 1000 bp section is regarded as an enriched

genomic region in BEV-containing droplets.

Gene enrichment analysis in BEV-containing droplets

The significantly enriched genomic regions were divided into CDS-unit by Prokka and functionally

annotated by homology search using DIAMOND against UniprotKB/Swiss-Prot database (20) (Downloaded

on April 21, 2021). To see the enrichment of specific functional categories in BEV-derived CDSs, the gene

ontology (GO) annotations (21) are attributed to a top hit in UniprotKB/Swiss-Prot database assigned to

each CDS. Then enriched GO annotations in BEV-derived CDSs were screened by a hypergeometric test

with the following formula:

837
$$p = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \frac{\binom{G}{i}\binom{G-g}{n-i}}{\binom{G}{n}}$$

838   Where *n* is the total number of enriched CDSs, *G* is the total number of CDSs in the genome, and *g* is the

839   total number of CDSs in a GO annotation category of interest. The probability that more than *k* CDSs were

840   found in each GO annotation category is denoted as *p*. If *p* is less than 0.05, that GO annotation is regarded

841   as an enriched functional category in BEV-containing droplets.

Supporting Figures

Figure S1. NTA (nanoparticle tracking analysis) of BEVs isolated from pure cultures of *P. gingivalis*.
(A) The typical size distribution of nanoparticles isolated from pure cultures of *P.gingivalis* by NTA
(nanoparticle tracking analysis). We stained the isolated nanoparticles with the lipid-staining dye, 33.3 µM
DiD (1,1'-Dioctadecyl-3,3,3',3'-Tetramethylindodicarbocyanine), and DNA-staining dye, 2.67 µg/mL
1000×SYBR-Green I. We detected the scattered light signals (Scatter, black), lipid dye fluorescence (red,
detected in 660/680 nm laser-filter unit), or DNA dye fluorescence (green, detected in 488/500 nm laser-
filter unit). A blue line is the fitted curve of the normal distribution to the experimental data. (B) The total
concentration of nanoparticles detected by each signal with 50 ~ 500 nm in size. Error bars indicate the
standard deviations in triplicate experiments.

A

P. gingivalis   Biofilm

100 μm   100 μm

B

α : Viruses

β : BEVs with DNA

γ : BEVs without DNA

δ : nanoparticles without DNA

Processing

$$R_t = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}{t}$$ Theoretical ratio of DNA-positive particles/gel beads

$$R_o = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{t}$$ Observed ratio of DNA-positive particles/gel beads

$$R_{vb} = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$$ Ratio of Viruses/BEVs

$$R_b = \frac{\beta + \gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta}$$ Estimated ratio of BEVs/nanoparticles (by NTA)

$$r = \frac{\beta}{\beta + \gamma} \leftrightarrow \frac{R_o}{R_t \cdot R_b (1 + R_{vb})}$$ Estimated ratio of BEVs with DNA

C

	R_o	R_t	R_{vb}	R_b	r
P. gingivalis	0.0462	0.361	0	0.206	0.622
Biofilm	0.0352	0.361	4.34	0.0553	0.330

Figure S2. The percentage of droplets containing amplified DNA fragments after processing cells
or nanoparticles. (A) Representative microscopic fluorescence images of gel beads after in-gel lysis and
amplification. The beads were stained by SYBR-Green-I to check the number of positive droplets (i.e.,
internal DNA was successfully amplified). (B) Schematic of estimating the percentage of nanoparticles with
DNA. The nanoparticles expected to contain DNA, BEVs with DNA or phages, were colored by purple and
red, respectively. Gel beads expected to contain amplified DNA fragments were colored green. The
theoretical ratio of droplets to total particles (R_t) was controlled to a fixed value in our analysis (see
Supporting Materials and Methods). The ratio of droplets to particles with DNA (R_o) was estimated by the
percentage of positive droplets in a microscopic image and an empirical curve in a previous study. Based
on those two parameters and other two parameters estimated by NTA and taxonomic annotation (see
Supporting Materials and Methods), we calculated the percentage of BEVs with DNA, *r*. (C) The observed
percentage of positive droplets and estimated percentage of BEVs with DNA.

Figure S3. Mapping profiles of sequence reads from *P. gingivalis* BEVs after cleaning of chimeric reads. The sequence reads were mapped after the cleaning process of chimeric reads by bwa. Same as Fig. 1B, the genomic positions where the sequence reads were mapped in each droplet were filled with black.

Figure S4. Characterization of isolated nanoparticles from the dental plaque biofilm. (A) The size distribution of nanoparticles isolated from the dental plaque sample. The samples were stained by 5 µg/mL lipid staining FM4-64 for and 1 µg/mL of 1000×SYBR Green I. We detected the scattered light signal (black),

lipid dye fluorescence (red, detected in 660/680 nm laser-filter unit), or DNA dye fluorescence (green,

detected in 488/500 nm laser-filter unit) by NTA. A blue line is the fitted curve of the normal distribution to

the experimental data. (B) The total concentration of particles detected by each signal with 50 ~ 500 nm in

size.

Figure S5. Phage particles in an isolated nanoparticle sample from the dental plaque. (A) The typical

TEM micrographs of phage-like particles isolated from the dental plaque of periodontal patients. (B)

Taxonomic profiles of phage-derived CDSs detected in NP-DS. Class-level taxonomic classification of viral

CDSs (vCDSs) detected in NP-DS was shown as a heatmap. The color of the heatmaps showed the length

of CDSs assigned to each taxonomy relative to the total length of vCDS detected in each droplet. We used

NCBI taxonomy for classification. Phage species whose maximum frequency of detected CDS length in

each droplet is less than 0.4 were not displayed.

Figure S6. Total detected CDS length in BEV-containing droplets. (A) The distribution of total length

of detected bCDS region in BEV-containing droplets. (B) Box plots of total bCDS length in BEV-containing

droplets (NP-DS) and bacterial cells containing droplets (cell-DS). Medians and outliers were shown as

gray lines and diamonds each.

Figure S7. A schematic of the analysis for identifying MFT and mapping on the reference genome.

For each droplet, the most frequently detected bacterial taxon (MFT) was identified in GTDB taxonomy

based on the annotated information of bCDSs (for details, see Materials and Methods). Then the read

sequences were mapped to the corresponding assembly genome of the MFT.

Figure S8. Mapping status of sequence reads in BEV-containing droplets. (A) The distribution of the
covered rate of the genome of MFTs by sequence reads in each BEV-containing droplet. (B) Taxonomic
purity of detected CDSs in BEVs isolated from the dental plaque. Here, the most frequently detected taxon
(MFT) to which BEV-derived CDSs were assigned was first determined, and then the percentage of CDSs
length attributed to the MFT in each droplet was calculated and plotted as a boxplot (we call this parameter
"purity" of CDSs). We quantified this parameter for the GTDB taxonomic profile in phylum, class, and genus
levels. Medians and outliers were shown as gray lines and diamonds each.

913 **Supporting Tables**

Protein id	description	start position (bp)	end position (bp)
WP_013815260.1	hypothetical protein	2062054	2063016
WP_005874812.1	tetratricopeptide repeat protein	2057308	2058195
WP_005874932.1	hypothetical protein	2064777	2066783
WP_230456087.1	2TM domain-containing protein	2058359	2058541
WP_005874833.1	mechanosensitive ion channel	2056208	2057206
WP_230456086.1	T9SS type A sorting domain-containing protein	2058703	2059659
WP_005874913.1	hypothetical protein	2066813	2067403
WP_004583626.1	DNA-binding protein	2051440	2052066
WP_005874827.1	L-threonylcarbamoyladenylate synthase	2052478	2053053
WP_005874926.1	CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas2	2069305	2069595
WP_005874927.1	hypothetical protein	2073529	2073972
WP_005874822.1	sugar transferase	2053050	2054456
WP_005874906.1	type III-B CRISPR module RAMP protein Cmr6	2072771	2073532
WP_005874912.1	type III-B CRISPR module-associated protein Cmr3	2074684	2075874
WP_010955945.1	IS5 family transposase	216542	217627
WP_005874916.1	CRISPR-associated endonuclease Cas1	2069615	2072710
WP_010956463.1	type III-B CRISPR module RAMP protein Cmr4	2073969	2074670
WP_010955941.1	IS4-like element IS1598 family transposase	199227	200384
WP_005873516.1	threonine-phosphate decarboxylase CobD	1239071	1240078
WP_005873512.1	cob(I)yrinic acid a,c-diamide adenosyltransferase	1241563	1242129
WP_005873514.1	cobyrinate a,c-diamide synthase	1242152	1243471
WP_012458603.1	DUF6261 family protein	2060211	2061263
WP_010956459.1	DUF6261 family protein	2063358	2064410
WP_010956028.1	IS5-like element ISPg8 family transposase	502077	503162
WP_005874030.1	MULTISPECIES: histidinol phosphate phosphatase	611806	612324
WP_005874035.1	purine nucleoside phosphorylase I, inosine and guanosine-specific	615600	616421
WP_005873715.1	histidinol-phosphate aminotransferase	623025	623531
WP_005874530.1	thiamine-phosphate kinase	689154	690194
WP_005873566.1	adenosylcobinamide amidohydrolase	696973	698136
WP_004342769.1	site-specific integrase	878721	879923
WP_004342772.1	hypothetical protein	879930	880292
WP_014709482.1	helix-turn-helix transcriptional regulator	881003	881272
WP_004584269.1	ABC transporter permease	1095538	1096284
WP_005873460.1	ATP-binding cassette domain-containing protein	1096303	1097037
WP_005873451.1	T9SS C-terminal target domain-containing protein	1097132	1098505
WP_004584372.1	S4 domain-containing protein	1236875	1237327
WP_010956235.1	adenosylcobinamide-phosphate synthase CbiB	1238047	1239057
WP_005874841.1	PH domain-containing protein	1374934	1375389
WP_004342775.1	nuclear transport factor 2 family protein	1534837	1535244

915 **Table S1. Enriched CDSs in NP-DS of *P. gingivalis* BEVs.** Enriched CDSs of *P. gingivalis* BEVs, which

916 were screened by binominal test (p-value < 0.01). Those screened genes were identical to those screened

917 by the threshold of 75 percentile + 2*IQR (interquartile range).

GO id	category	name	Members in original genome	Members in BEVs	p-value
GO:0009236	P	cobalamin biosynthetic process	13	4	5.02E-05
GO:0048472	F	threonine-phosphate decarboxylase activity	2	2	0.000314
GO:0043571	P	maintenance of CRISPR repeat elements	4	2	0.00185
GO:0051607	P	defense response to virus	5	2	0.00304
GO:0006313	P	DNA transposition	11	2	0.0157

919 **Table S2. Enriched gene categories in NP-DS of *P. gingivalis* BEVs.** Enriched GO terms in the enriched

920 CDSs in the BEVs were statistically screened (hypergeometric distribution test, p-value < 0.05). GO terms

921 were grouped into three categories: M: Molecular functions; B: Biological processes; and C: Cellular

922 components.

GO id	category	name	Members in original genome	Members in BEVs	p-value
GO:0009236	P	cobalamin biosynthetic process	15	10	1.28E-06
GO:0015420	F	ABC-type vitamin B12 transporter activity	4	4	0.000243
GO:0043171	P	peptide catabolic process	6	4	0.00297
GO:0032259	P	methylation	10	5	0.00442
GO:0042026	P	protein refolding	4	3	0.00715
GO:0042351	P	'de novo' GDP-L-fucose biosynthetic process	2	2	0.0158
GO:0048472	F	threonine-phosphate decarboxylase activity	2	2	0.0158
GO:0000156	F	phosphorelay response regulator activity	2	2	0.0158
GO:0070009	F	serine-type aminopeptidase activity	2	2	0.0158
GO:0008641	F	ubiquitin-like modifier activating enzyme activity	2	2	0.0158
GO:0022857	F	transmembrane transporter activity	13	5	0.0164
GO:0006541	P	glutamine metabolic process	10	4	0.0276
GO:0009399	P	nitrogen fixation	3	2	0.0435
GO:0009408	P	response to heat	3	2	0.0435

925 **Table S3. Enriched gene categories in bulk-BEV sequencing of *P. gingivalis*.** Enriched GO terms

926 screened in the bulk-BEV sequencing data (hypergeometric distribution test, p-value < 0.05).

Protein id	description	start position (bp)	end position (bp)
WP_042487686.1	glycolate oxidase subunit GlcF	981833	983053
WP_042487683.1	hypothetical protein	983361	984668
WP_009454582.1	zeta toxin family protein	1484000	1484545
WP_009454580.1	hypothetical protein	1484541	1484726
WP_042480022.1	helix-turn-helix transcriptional regulator	1484954	1485787
WP_042480881.1	efflux RND transporter periplasmic adaptor subunit	1896312	1897547
WP_042480884.1	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	1897858	1898649
WP_042487215.1	3-(3-hydroxy-phenyl)propionate transporter MhpT	2690924	2692165
WP_042487212.1	hypothetical protein	2692500	2693114
WP_042486227.1	chlorohydrolase family protein	2981930	2983414
WP_042486230.1	tripartite tricarboxylate transporter substrate-binding protein	2983444	2984421
WP_042486802.1	aspartate/glutamate racemase family protein	3284430	3285188
WP_042486928.1	LysR substrate-binding domain-containing protein	3285492	3286376
WP_226791390.1	ABC transporter permease	3288210	3289034
WP_042486807.1	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein	3289037	3290047
WP_157766853.1	acyltransferase family protein	3290206	3292086
WP_054513292.1	Wzz/FepE/Etk N-terminal domain-containing protein	3292644	3293687
WP_042486816.1	exopolysaccharide biosynthesis polyprenyl glycosylphosphotransferase	3293751	3295019
WP_042486818.1	glycosyltransferase	3295032	3295835
WP_123794683.1	hypothetical protein	3295838	3296524
WP_054513305.1	IS5 family transposase	3296656	3297621
WP_054513289.1	hypothetical protein	3297683	3298210
WP_042486050.1	glycosyltransferase	3298210	3299310
WP_080723785.1	N-acetyl sugar amidotransferase	3299357	3300478
WP_042486045.1	AglZ/HisF2 family acetamidino modification protein	3300478	3301236
WP_042486042.1	imidazole glycerol phosphate synthase subunit HisH	3301236	3301850
WP_052362964.1	lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis protein	3301850	3303094
WP_042486039.1	DegT/DnrJ/EryC1/StrS family aminotransferase	3303137	3304228
WP_042486036.1	UDP-2-acetamido-3-amino-2,3-dideoxy-D-glucuronate N-acetyltransferase	3304228	3304815
WP_042486033.1	Gfo/Idh/MocA family oxidoreductase	3304815	3305759
WP_080723784.1	O-antigen ligase family protein	3305990	3307249
WP_042485889.1	LysR substrate-binding domain-containing protein	3379553	3380554
WP_042485886.1	tRNA 2-selenouridine(34) synthase MnmH	3380547	3381638
WP_042485881.1	NosR/NirI family protein	3381813	3384071
WP_042485877.1	TAT-dependent nitrous-oxide reductase	3384137	3386038

WP_226791393.1	nitrous oxide reductase family maturation protein NosD	3386049	3387386
WP_042485874.1	ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	3387373	3388281
WP_042485871.1	ABC transporter permease	3388288	3389115
WP_042485868.1	nitrous oxide reductase accessory protein NosL	3389115	3389627
WP_042485865.1	FAD:protein FMN transferase	3389663	3390667
WP_042485861.1	glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	3390760	3392328
WP_042485854.1	LTA synthase family protein	3393190	3394734
WP_042485851.1	SDR family NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase	3394727	3395497
WP_042485848.1	glycosyltransferase	3395487	3396956
WP_042485845.1	glycosyltransferase	3396975	3398057
WP_042485842.1	glycosyltransferase family 4 protein	3398057	3399370

930 **Table S4. Enriched CDSs of _Alcaligenes faecalis_ BEVs.** CDSs detected in the enriched genomic region

931 of _Alcaligenes faecalis_ BEVs (p-value < 0.01, binominal test). CDS regions were extracted by Prokka and

932 searched against the reference protein fasta file.

GO id	category	name	Members in original genome	Members in BEVs	p-value
GO:0009243	P	O antigen biosynthetic process	9	5	9.30E-10
GO:0000271	P	polysaccharide biosynthetic process	4	4	1.44E-09
GO:0006065	P	UDP-glucuronate biosynthetic process	3	3	2.59E-07
GO:0009103	P	lipopolysaccharide biosynthetic process	15	3	0.000112
GO:0071555	P	cell wall organization	46	4	0.000198
GO:0000107	F	imidazoleglycerol-phosphate synthase activity	4	2	0.000258
GO:0000105	P	histidine biosynthetic process	14	2	0.00375
GO:0016829	F	lyase activity	18	2	0.0062

935 **Table S5. Enriched gene categories of _Alcaligenes faecalis_ BEVs.** Enriched GO terms in the enriched

936 CDSs in the BEVs of _Alcaligenes faecalis_ were statistically screened (hypergeometric distribution test, p-

937 value < 0.05).

Dataset S1 (separate file .xlsx format). Enriched CDSs in bulk BEV-sequencing of *P. gingivalis* BEVs. We screened genes whose FPKM were larger than the threshold (75 percentile + 2*IQR (interquartile range).

Dataset S2 (separate file .xlsx format). A list of all possible sources of contaminant DNA. All the species information in GTDB and NCBI formats were based on the listed taxa in either of Salter *et al.,* 2014 or Poore *et al.,* 2020 (14, 15). The DNA fragments that were taxonomically assigned to one of the listed accession numbers were eliminated.

SI References

1. K. Arikawa *et al.*, Recovery of strain-resolved genomes from human microbiome through an integration framework of single-cell genomics and metagenomics. *Microbiome* **9**, 202 (2021).
2. M. Hosokawa, Y. Nishikawa, M. Kogawa, H. Takeyama, Massively parallel whole genome amplification for single-cell sequencing using droplet microfluidics. *Sci Rep* **7**, 5199 (2017).
3. M. Hosokawa *et al.*, Strain-level profiling of viable microbial community by selective single-cell genome sequencing. *Sci Rep* **12**, 4443 (2022).
4. S. Chen, Y. Zhou, Y. Chen, J. Gu, fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i884-i890 (2018).
5. A. Bankevich *et al.*, SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**, 455-477 (2012).
6. K. Kieft, Z. Zhou, K. Anantharaman, VIBRANT: automated recovery, annotation and curation of microbial viruses, and evaluation of viral community function from genomic sequences. *Microbiome* **8**, 90 (2020).
7. T. Seemann, Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068-2069 (2014).
8. L. Fu, B. Niu, Z. Zhu, S. Wu, W. Li, CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150-3152 (2012).
9. B. Buchfink, C. Xie, D. H. Huson, Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat Methods* **12**, 59-60 (2015).
10. T. Kahlke, P. J. Ralph, BASTA - Taxonomic classification of sequences and sequence bins using last common ancestor estimations. *Methods Ecol Evol* **10**, 100-103 (2019).
11. P. J. Cock *et al.*, Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1422-1423 (2009).
12. W. Shen, H. Ren, TaxonKit: A practical and efficient NCBI taxonomy toolkit. *J Genet Genomics* **48**, 844-850 (2021).
13. D. H. Parks *et al.*, A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996-1004 (2018).
14. G. D. Poore *et al.*, Microbiome analyses of blood and tissues suggest cancer diagnostic approach. *Nature* **579**, 567-574 (2020).
15. S. J. Salter *et al.*, Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biol* **12**, 87 (2014).
16. B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* **9**, 357-U354 (2012).
17. R. S. Lasken, T. B. Stockwell, Mechanism of chimera formation during the Multiple Displacement Amplification reaction. *BMC Biotechnol* **7**, 19 (2007).
18. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589-595 (2010).
19. M. Kogawa, M. Hosokawa, Y. Nishikawa, K. Mori, H. Takeyama, Obtaining high-quality draft genomes from uncultured microbes by cleaning and co-assembly of single-cell amplified genomes. *Sci Rep-Uk* **8** (2018).
20. E. Boutet, D. Lieberherr, M. Tognolli, M. Schneider, A. Bairoch, UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot. *Methods Mol Biol* **406**, 89-112 (2007).
21. M. Ashburner *et al.*, Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. *Nat Genet* **25**, 25-29 (2000).