Myosin light chain proteins cooperatively promote sarcomere growth in fast-twitch muscle

Authors:
Tayo E Adekeye[1], Troy E Hupper[1], Teresa E Easterbrooks[1], Emily M Teets[2], Emily A Tomak[1], Sadie L Waterman[1], Kailee A Sprague[1], Angelina White[1], Maddison L Coffin[1], Daniel K Tanaka[1], Sabrina M Varga[1], Mason T Soares[1], Sarah J Shepherd[2], Jared D Austin[1], Dmitrii Krivorotko[1], Emma S Perry[3], Sharon L Amacher[4], Joshua B Kelley[5], and Jared C Talbot[1]*

[1] School of Biology and Ecology, The University of Maine, 04469, USA, [2] Molecular Genetics, The Ohio State University, 43210, USA, [3] Core Electron Microscopy Laboratory, The University of Maine, 04469, USA, [4] Departments of Molecular Genetics and Biological Chemistry and Pharmacology, The Ohio State University, 43210, USA, [5] Molecular and Biomedical Sciences, The University of Maine, 04469, USA.

* Corresponding author
Phone: 207-581-2835
Email: jared.talbot@maine.edu

Abstract

Muscle becomes stronger by expanding sarcomeres from the cell's edge to its center. Here we investigate how Mylpf (Myosin Light Chain Phosphorylatable Fast) abundance impacts sarcomere growth. The two zebrafish Mylpf genes (*mylpfa* and *mylpfb*) are exclusively expressed in fast-twitch muscle, with *mylpfa* expressed more abundantly than *mylpfb*. Mutations in both genes cause full mRNA and protein loss, and loss of sarcomere formation. The degree of sarcomere reduction in fast-twitch muscle is predicted by Mylpf protein dosage using a high-slope Hill equation. The sarcomere defect may be driven by thick filament localization, which is impaired in *mylpfa*^{-/-} and obliterated in *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-}. Slow-twitch muscle is spared, becoming larger and more active in the mutants. The *mylpfa*^{-/-} defects can be rescued by Mylpfa-GFP*,* Mylpfb-GFP*,* and by human MYLPF-GFP with equivalent efficiency, but not by a MYLPF protein variant that causes Distal Arthrogryposis. These effects indicate that Mylpf dosage strengthens muscle by mediating myofilament localization, and thereby sarcomere and myofibril growth.

Introduction

Sarcomeres grow from the edge to the center of muscle cells (the 'width axis') and this growth is what strengthens the muscle. Despite the importance of the sarcomere's width to muscle strength, it remains unclear how its growth is regulated. One way to control a sarcomere's growth is to regulate the abundance of its structural proteins. Overall protein levels ('protein dose') are influenced by many factors including a gene's copy number ('gene dosage'), the amount of steady-state transcript produced by each gene ('mRNA abundance'), the measured amount of protein encoded by these genes ('protein abundance'), the activity of each encoded protein, and the protein's localization within a cell. In biochemical settings, the relationship between protein dose and its effect can be modeled using four-parameter logistic regressions, such as the Hill equation [1]. The same equation is often used to assess drug efficiency *in vivo*, though it is not commonly applied to investigate how native protein impacts muscle formation. In this study, we investigate how the abundance of one key sarcomeric component, Mylpf (Myosin Light Chain Phosphorylatable Fast), impacts sarcomere formation. We find that the protein is essential to an early step in sarcomere formation and its effects can be efficiently modeled as a Hill response.

Sarcomere growth is best understood in the context of its organelle, the myofibril, which contains chains of sarcomeres that stretch along the length axis of muscle. Myofibril formation is initially directed by actin-rich thin filaments aligned along the edge of the muscle cell [2–4]. These thin filaments contain F-actin strands bundled with nebulin and linked to actinin-rich Z-disks, producing I-Z-I bodies. The ends of I-Z-I bodies are temporarily connected to one another by non-muscle myosin to form pre-myofibrils, which act as a template for further growth [4–6]. Growth is also facilitated by integration of enormous contractile proteins titin and nebulin, which set the sarcomere's length [7]. Once pre-myofibrils have formed, the non-muscle myosin is quickly replaced by contractile myosin heavy chain (MyHC), bundled into double-headed thick filaments. These added thick filaments increase tension across the muscle cell, leading to myofibrillar growth [8–10]. Myofibrils grow to only around 2 μm wide, but multilayered arrays of myofibrils (myofibril bundles) continue this growth until they extend deep into

the central cytoplasm [6,10,11]. The myofibril bundles in vertebrate muscle are aligned and

tightly interlinked along the width axis of a sarcomere, such that the sarcomere and

myofibril bundle have matched width (Figure 1A). In this work, we refer to the

sarcomere when examining localization of thick/thin filament components and the

myofibril bundle when viewing the structure at a larger scale.

The class II MyHC in thick filaments is stabilized close to its force-generating head by a

Regulatory Light Chain (RLC) and an Essential Light Chain (ELC) [12,13] (Figure 1B).

These light chains regulate myosin movement and force generation but do not consume

ATP nor produce force on their own [12]. Point mutations in the RLCs can reduce myosin

step size [14], and outright removal of these light chains *in vitro* causes MyHC

aggregation and partially reduces MyHC activity [15,16]. Light chains also have critical

roles *in vivo,* as first shown in the *Drosophila* RLC mutant, which lacks skeletal muscle

[17]. Similarly, the ELC gene *Myl1* is required for normal myogenesis in chicken,

zebrafish, mouse, and human [18–20]. Because the primary effect of these light chains is to

regulate and stabilize MyHC, we hypothesize that myosin light chain proteins influence

the rate of cytoskeletal organization, leading to myofibril growth.

The only RLC with prominent expression in embryonic muscle fibers and postnatal fast-

twitch skeletal muscle of mouse is Mylpf [21]. All skeletal muscle fibers are absent from

the mouse *Mylpf* knockout at birth [22]. This defect is so dramatic that it is unclear what

phase of myogenesis is disrupted by the mutation. *MYLPF* function is also critical for

human development. Missense alleles in *MYLPF* cause Distal Arthrogryposis (DA), a

congenital musculoskeletal disease characterized by inherited distal limb contractures

[23]. DA is often caused by mutations in genes that encode contractile proteins [24,25].

However, neither muscle strength nor structure were examined in human patients with

the *MYLPF* variants, and the impact of those variants has not been tested in animal

models. Therefore, the impact of *MYLPF* missense alleles on muscle formation remains

unresolved.

Muscle formation in vertebrates begins in segments of mesoderm called somites, which

eventually produce most of the muscles in the body. Zebrafish somites produce two

fiber types, fast-twitch and slow-twitch, within the first day post fertilization (dpf) [26].

Whereas slow-twitch fibers contract and fatigue slowly, the zebrafish fast-twitch fibers

contract with such speed and power that they strain muscle cells nearly to the point of

snapping [27,28]. By 24 hours post fertilization (hpf), zebrafish fast-twitch muscle fibers are

positioned medial to a thin layer of slow-twitch fibers [26], enabling us to identify fiber

types by position in addition to molecular markers of fiber type. Embryonic muscle fibers

grow rapidly, more than doubling in size by the time of hatching (3 dpf) [29]. Hatched

larvae continue growing while subsisting on their yolk until 6 dpf, enabling study of early

larval growth independent of feeding. In zebrafish, Mylpf gene function is distributed

across two paralogs, *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* [30–32]. We previously showed that the zebrafish

mylpfa$^{-/-}$ mutant can form multinucleate muscle cells but have weakened muscle that

eventually deteriorates [33]. This mutant has severe physiological defects including

impaired escape response and 90% loss in muscle strength, suggesting that there may

be effects on the myofibril itself [33]. However, the impact of *mylpfa* on sarcomere

formation was untested and the requirements for *mylpfb* function in embryonic

development remained unexplored.

Here, we investigate the effect of Mylpf activity on sarcomere growth along the width

axis of muscle fibers. We generated a frameshifting *mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ mutant, which has no overt

muscle defect alone, but dramatically enhances the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant phenotype. The

mylpfa$^{-/-}$ mutant has a severe reduction in myofilament overlap in fast-twitch muscle,

leading to impaired sarcomere growth and thereby less myofibril bundle growth within

that fiber type. Actin-myosin colocalization fails entirely in the fast-twitch muscle of the

mylpfa$^{-/-}$;*mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant, causing a complete absence of fast-twitch contractile

structures. Both mutants are null for protein production due to nonsense mediated

decay. We find no evidence for compensation for Mylpf loss of function among *mylpfa*

and *mylpfb* genes, though the mutant animals do compensate in slow-twitch myofibril

bundle size and slow movement. Transgenic expression experiments in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$

mutant suggest that function and efficiency is conserved among the Mylpfa, Mylpfb, and

human MYLPF proteins. By contrast, a transgene that expresses a protein variant found

in Distal Arthrogryposis patients does not rescue muscle structure. Dose analysis in

knockout and transgenic animals suggests that Mylpf binding has a cooperative effect

on myofibril bundle growth, with initial binding enabling a more-than-additive

subsequent increase until saturation is approached. Together, these findings show a

critical impact of Mylpf dosage on sarcomere and myofibril production during early fast-

twitch myogenesis.

Results

Mylpfa is more abundant than Mylpfb during embryonic development

Although *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* expression patterns have been described individually [34],

their overlap and relative abundance have not been clarified. Each gene produces one

detectable transcript exclusively in fast-twitch muscle fibers (Figures S1, S2).

Expression begins by 20 hpf in medial fast-twitch fibers (Figure S2). Neither gene is

expressed in slow-twitch muscle, which expresses a different regulatory light chain,

myl10 (Figure 1C-C‴) [35]. In both HCR and qPCR, *mylpfa* is expressed more abundantly

than *mylpfb*; the ratio between these genes is roughly 7:1 at 1 dpf and diminishes to 2:1

by 48 hpf, largely because of rising *mylpfb* mRNA abundance (Figure 1D-F). These

ratios can also be found in publicly available RNA-seq data [33]. These zebrafish

transcripts encode Mylpf proteins that are 94% identical to one another and each is

around 80% identical to human MYLPF. Using newly generated antibodies we can

distinguish the two proteins on western blot, which differ in size by 2 kilodaltons (kD).

The relative protein abundance correlates with transcript levels (Figure 1G-I). In

summary, the two zebrafish Mylpf genes are expressed in fast-twitch muscle, producing

Mylpfa protein more abundantly than Mylpfb during embryonic stages.

The *mylpfa^oz30^* and *mylpfb^oz39^* alleles are effectively null

We had previously made an allele of *mylpfa* (*oz30*) [33], and here provide evidence that it

causes severe sarcomere and myofibril bundle defects (Figure 2A-G). These myofibril

bundle defects are also apparent in confocal stack of phalloidin-labeled *mylpfa^-/-^* mutant

(Video 1) and in multiple quantification methods (Figures 2I-M S3, S4). Although the

*mylpfa^-/-^* mutant has severe myofibril reduction at all timepoints, it forms differentiated

somite muscle, which has wild-type size at 2 dpf and a modest growth defect by 6 dpf

(Figure 2H). These effects are confirmed by a second allele in the same gene (Figure

S5) [33]. Both *mylpfa* mutants can at least partially form myofibrils in fast-twitch muscle

(Figure S5) so we hypothesized that *mylpfb* may also contribute to function. We

mirrored the *mylpfa^oz30* mutant by generating a 5 bp deletion in *mylpfb* (allele *oz39*) that

frameshifts the *mylpfb* transcript at AA 78 out of 170 (Figure 3A-C). Using RT-qPCR we

find that mRNA levels for *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* are approximately halved in heterozygotes

and essentially undetectable in each mutant (Figure 3D-G), suggesting that both

mutations lose transcript through nonsense mediated decay. Any protein made by this

frameshifting allele should be nonfunctional because the encoded peptide has at most

only a short stretch capable of binding to MyHC (Figure 3H). However, we find no

Mylpfa protein produced in the *mylpfa^-/-* mutant nor any Mylpfb in the *mylpfb^-/-* mutant,

and neither protein in the *mylpfa^-/-;mylpfb^-/-* double mutant at 48 hpf (Figure 3I-J).

Examination of all nine genotypes in western blot shows that overall Mylpf dosage

(Mylpfa & Mylpfb) corresponds closely to predictions made using the 2:1 dosage seen

at 48 hpf, suggesting that there is little intragenic compensation (Figure 3K, Table S1).

For instance, the *mylpfa^+/-* and *mylpfb^+/-* heterozygotes express around half the normal

protein dose (67% for *mylpfa^+/-*, 49% for *mylpfb^+/-*, Figure 3J). These findings indicate

that the mutants used in this study are essentially null for the single product of the

mylpfa and *mylpfb* genes, respectively.

Together, *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* are essential to sarcomere formation

Even though the Mylpfb protein sequence is very similar to Mylpfa, the two mutants

have strikingly different phenotypes. The *mylpfb^-/-* mutant looks entirely wild-type on the

electron microscope (Figure 3L-M') and in every other assay we have tested thus far. By

contrast, the *mylpfa^-/-* mutant has narrowed myofibrils, with poor myofilament alignment

on the sarcomere level (Figure 3N, N'). In contrast to the partial defects seen in the

mylpfa^-/- mutant, the *mylpfa^-/-;mylpfb^-/-* double mutant lacks sarcomeres entirely (Figure

3O, O'). This double mutant shows a catastrophic loss of striation in fast-twitch muscle,

more severe than either of the single mutants, suggesting that both genes contribute to

muscle structure (Figure 3O-Q). In this double mutant, the fast-twitch muscle shows no

true sarcomeres or myofibrils, only a scattering of thick filaments and I-Z-I bodies

(Figure 3O, O'). We observe equivalent effects on myofibril width (measured between

membrane triads) and myofibril bundle size (measured across interconnected

myofibrils) (Figure 3P, Q). Even in the double mutant, somite muscle is present and the

abundance of contractile MyHC is unchanged (Figure 3I, P>0.3 in ANOVA), suggesting

that muscle differentiation proceeds despite the loss of organized myofibrils. These

findings suggest that the two Mylpf genes are collectively essential to sarcomere

formation and therefore to myofibril bundle formation, with *mylpfa* playing a larger role.

The *mylpf* genes are essential to myofilament colocalization

Because Mylpf binds to MyHC, we hypothesized that the sarcomere defect may arise

through an initial failure in MyHC localization, independent of initial F-actin ordering. We

examined muscle structure in Mylpf mutants at an early stage of myofibril bundling (26

hpf). We find profound organizational defects in fast-twitch muscles, but not slow-twitch

fibers (Figure 4A-D). The *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant fails to properly localize MyHC to the fast-

twitch muscle cell's periphery where pre-myofibrils are forming, with stronger defects in

the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$*;mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant (Figure 4C-D'). Consistent with this localization

defect, the correlation between F-actin and MyHC label is reduced in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$

mutant and worsened in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$*;mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant, to a degree that parallels

reductions in myofibril bundle width (Figure 4E-F). The confocal images suggested that

sarcomere and myofibril formation may fail in the mutant because F-actin and MyHC

are found in different portions of the cell. At this early stage, the pre-myofibrils form

rings around cells even in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$*;mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant (Figure 4A-D), consistent

with findings that actin localization precedes thick filament localization [36]. Using these

rings of F-actin label, we marked cell boundaries and then measured how far each

marker spreads from that boundary to the cell center in fast-twitch muscle cells (Figure

4G). By contrast, each genotype has a similar distribution of F-actin and myonuclei

along this cell edge-center axis (Figure 4H, I). MyHC is also localized at cell peripheries

in the wild-type embryo, but it spreads evenly through the cytoplasm of the *mylpfa*$^{-}$

$^{/-}$*;mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant (Figure 4J). These findings suggest that Mylpfa and Mylpfb

together are essential to localize MyHC to the fast muscle cell's periphery where the

thick filaments interdigitate with pre-myofibrils to initiate myofibril growth (Figure 4K).

Mylpf dosage shows a cooperative effect on myofibril phenotypes

The Mylpf phenotypes appear to arise in a dose sensitive fashion. To test how well

dosage predicts phenotype, we calculated dose predictions in mutants using the wild-

type ratio of Mylpfa and Mylpfb, ranging from zero in completely null $mylpfa^{-/-};mylpfb^{-/-}$ to

a dose of one in WT $mylpfa^{+/+};mylpfb^{+/+}$ (Table S1). We then fit gene dosage and the

associated phenotypic outcomes to the Hill equation (Figure 5A), a standard approach

for assessing dose-response relationships, which allows us to find the half-maximal

dose and measure cooperativity of the response. The 26 hpf myofibril bundle widths

show a close correspondence (R^2=0.84) to dosage at that stage (7:1, 7 Mylpfa per 1

Mylpfb). We then measured muscle phenotype in animals fixed at 2, 3, and 6 dpf and

modeled the dose response using the 2:1 Mylpfa to Mylpfb ratio found at later

timepoints (Table S1, Figure 5B-D). At all timepoints we found a sigmoidal gradient of

fast-twitch myofibril bundle widths from the double mutant (narrowest/none) to wild-type

(widest) genotypes, including the 6 dpf timepoint where we analyzed all nine genotypes

of the $mylpfa;mylpfb$ mutant series (Figure 5A-E). The degree to which myofibrils fill

muscle changes more dramatically than the somite muscle's size (Figure 5D-F). The

changes in myofibril bundle width can be explained by the underlying loss of localization

between F-actin and MyHC, which by 48 hpf both localize poorly with one another and

to the sarcomere in the $mylpfa^{-/-};mylpfb^{-/-}$ double mutant (Figure 5G-J). Across all

genotypes, timepoints, and assays, mRNA and protein dose are better predictors of

phenotype than gene dose (Table S2). Early Mylpf dosage has long-lasting impact,

since the four genotypes with lowest Mylpf dose in embryos are inviable by adulthood,

whereas animals showing high embryonic Mylpf levels (such as the $mylpfb^{-/-}$ mutant)

can grow to a normal adult size (Figure S6). The response curves show a half-maximal

protein dose (EC50) of 0.38 on average, suggesting that the Mylpf protein is over

halfway to its maximal effect in the double heterozygote ($mylpfa^{+/-};mylpfb^{+/-}$, Mylpf

dose=0.5). Furthermore, by 48 hpf the response curves consistently have high Hill

slopes (>4), indicating that Mylpf levels have cooperative effects in the muscle (Figure

5K). This cooperativity suggests that the presence of Mylpf enhances the impact of

246   additional Mylpf levels on sarcomere growth and thereby on myofibril bundle size, with
247   lasting effects on animal health.
248
Slow-twitch muscle compensates for impaired fast-twitch muscle
Zebrafish movement is driven largely by force generated in somite muscle, which is
primarily fast-twitch [26,27]. Consistent with its fast-twitch impairment, our prior work
showed that *mylpfa* is essential to muscle strength and fish movement, leading to a
severely impaired escape response in the *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant [33]. In further support, high-
speed imaging shows that the sharp flexure driving initial escape response (the 'C-
bend') is consistently absent from this mutant (Figure 6A-C, Video 2). To learn how
behaviors change through time, we assessed voluntary movement by imaging larvae in
25-minute sessions daily from 2 to 6 dpf. Swimming became more frequent in both
genotypes through this developmental interval. Surprisingly, by 6 dpf the *mylpfa*^{-/-}
mutant moves further than their wild-type siblings (Figure 6D). As expected, the *mylpfa*^{-/-}
mutant cannot swim quickly at any of the timepoints, but by 5 dpf it increases the rate of
slow movement compared to its wild-type sibling (Figure 6E-F). To test whether the
remaining muscle function came from *mylpfb*, we also examined animals carrying all
nine combinations of *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* zygosity at 6 dpf (Figure 6G-J). Consistent with
the *mylpfa*^{-/-} single mutant, the overall speed is not decreased in the *mylpfa*^{-/-};*mylpfb*^{-/-}
double mutant, which travels at least as far as wild-type siblings during the imaging
period (Figure 6G). However, the highest movement speed is reduced in the *mylpfa*^{-/-}
animals and also in the *mylpfa*^{-/-};*mylpfb*^{-/-} double mutant, which do not swim faster than
70 mm/sec (Figure 6H), consistent with the escape response defect. The genotypes
with severe loss of Mylpf function increase the percent of time that they swim slowly
(20-40 mm/sec), enough to compensate for the loss of movement at high speed (>70
mm/sec) (Figures 6I, J, S7). This increased frequency of slow movement may be
explained in part by increased slow-twitch myofibril bundle size, which is unchanged
across the mutant series at 2 dpf but expands in the severe Mylpf mutants by 6 dpf
(Figures 6K-M, S8). We also find increased movement in the *mylpfa*^{+/-};*mylpfb*^{+/-} double
heterozygote, which moves faster on average (and therefore further) than wild-type
(Figure 6G, I, J Pink), by swimming more often at slow and rapid speeds. This double

heterozygote has a modest fast-twitch deficit and no slow-twitch hypertrophy at 6 dpf

(Figure 5D, 6M Pink). Together, these findings suggest that slow-twitch muscle

utilization and size compensate proportionately with fast-twitch muscle impairment

(Figure 6N).

Mylpfa-GFP and Mylpfb-GFP restore *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ muscle in proportion to dosage

To further test parallels between Mylpf expression levels and myofibril width, we made a

mylpfa-GFP transgenic line, *Tg(mylpfa:mylpfa-GFP)mai102,* and found that it can

restore myofibril formation in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant (Figure 7A-H). The degree of rescue

(Figure 7G, H) and the brightness of Mylpfa-GFP (Figure 7B) expression varies among

individuals, and we reasoned that Mylpf dosage may impact the degree of rescue. This

transgene uses the *mylpfa* promoter and drives GFP-tagged Mylpfa protein at around

the same average abundance as the native wild-type Mylpfa (Figure 7C, D). The native

Mylpfa protein also varies in expression, though not as widely as Mylpfa-GFP (Figure

7D). The transgene's fluorescent signal corresponds with protein abundance as

measured in western blot, so we used fluorescence as a proxy for protein levels (Figure

7E). Both the myofibril bundle width and the sarcomeric fraction for F-actin correlate

closely with Mylpfa-GFP abundance within the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ genotype; the dimmer fish have

widths that are similar to their non-transgenic siblings and the brightest fish overlap with

the wild-type range (Figure 7I, J). However, in zebrafish that are wild-type for *mylpfa*,

the transgene causes no significant improvement in fast-twitch myofibril bundle size. We

found a similar restoration of myofibril bundle formation in the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant animals

that express Mylpfb-GFP from transgene *Tg(mylpfa:mylpfb-GFP)mai103* (Figures 7F-J,

S9)*.* The equivalent rescue by Mylpfb-GFP supports our proposal that gene expression

levels dictate the difference in *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* requirements, rather than protein

sequence. Likewise, we found comparable effects when we examined the effects of

Mylpfa-GFP at 6 dpf (Figure S10). Not only does the transgene increase the fast-twitch

myofibril bundle width in *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant, but it also suppresses the compensatory

hypertrophy of slow-twitch myofibrils in the same animals, restoring both fiber types to

their wild-type state (Figures 7, S10). Together, these findings support a model where

Mylpfa and Mylpfb are required in proportion to their wild-type dosage, but neither is

sufficient to promote excess myofibril bundle growth in a wild-type animal.

Mylpf activity is conserved between zebrafish and human

Since Mylpfa and Mylpfb have 80-81% amino acid identity with human MYLPF, we

reasoned that Mylpf protein function may be conserved in these two vertebrate species.

To test the compatibility of human MYLPF with zebrafish genetics, we generated a

transgene that expresses GFP-fused MYLPF under the *mylpfa* promoter,

Tg(mylpfa:MYLPF-GFP)mai104 (Figure 8A). We find that this transgene is capable of

restoring myofibril bundle size in the *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant, to a degree that is linearly

proportionate with GFP fluorescence, up to the wild-type level (Figure 8B-E). To

compare rescue experiments, we scaled each to the non-transgenic wild-type mean for

that experiment (2 or 6 dpf). Across timepoints, we find no significant difference in slope

between animals expressing MYLPF-GFP, Mylpfa-GFP, and Mylpfb-GFP on scaled

myofibril bundle width (Figure 8F). This analysis shows that human MYLPF protein can

replace zebrafish Mylpfa and suggests that it acts with an efficiency that is similar to the

zebrafish Mylpfa and Mylpfb proteins.

A MYLPF allele found in DA does not support myofibril formation

We tested whether a DA-causing MYLPF allele can rescue muscle structure as

efficiently as its wild-type counterpart. This allele, encoding protein variant G163S, is

inherited heterozygously in two individuals with DA, suggesting that partial loss of

function may lead to the disease [33]. Analysis using F0 transient mosaic animals

suggests that the G163S MYLPF variant does not promote myofibril bundle formation in

the *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant (Figure 9A-E). Likewise, this did not rescue the F-actin sarcomeric

fraction, GFP sarcomeric fraction, nor the correlation between actin and MyHC labels

(Figures 9F-H, S11). We confirmed the failure to rescue by live imaging the *mylpfa⁻/⁻*

mutant expressing a stable transgenic, *Tg(mylpfa:MYLPF^{G163S}-GFP)mai119* alongside

the actin transgenic label *TgBAC(six1b:Lifeact- mTurquoise2)mai121* (Figure 9I-I").

These findings suggest that a nonfunctional MYLPF allele can cause Distal

Arthrogryposis when heterozygous.

Mylpf shows consistent effects on muscle structure from 2 to 6 dpf

We hypothesized that Mylpf dosage may regulate sarcomere assembly with equal

potency throughout early development. To understand elements of Mylpf function that

may be constant through early development, we scaled our *mylpfa;mylpfb* mutant

datasets to the wild-type value at each timepoint and then overlaid measurements,

yielding a unified regression model with upper asymptote around 1, EC50 near 0.5, and

Hill slope >4 (Figure 10A). We then tested this model by comparing it to findings from

animals that express Mylpfa-GFP, Mylpfb-GFP, or MYLPF-GFP at 2 or 6 dpf. To model

dosage in individual animals, we converted GFP brightness in each animal to a

predicted Mylpf dosage, scaled using the 2:1 ratio of Mylpfa to Mylpfb protein (Figures

10B, S12). The transgenic dataset does not form a lower asymptote because it was

generated using the *mylpfa$^{-/-}$* mutant line. We supplied a lower asymptote from the

mylpfa;mylpfb mutant series, to generate a sigmoidal curve suitable for testing the other

three Hill parameters (Figures 10B, S12). The transgenic regression shows an upper

asymptote of 1, supporting our claim that Mylpf is insufficient to expand myofibril widths

(Figure 10B). In support of our claim that 50% Mylpf dosage impacts phenotype, the

transgenic regression shows an EC50 that is close to 0.5. Myofibril bundle widths show

a higher EC50 and lower Hs value in transgenic analysis compared to knockout

analysis, suggesting that the GFP tag impedes Mylpf efficiency (Figure 10A, B), though

we do not detect this difference using the sarcomeric fraction measure (Figure S12).

Across all analyses, we find a Hill slope that is considerably larger than one, supporting

our claim that Mylpf proteins cooperatively promote the cytoskeletal arrangements that

strengthen muscle throughout the first week of development in zebrafish, including

myofilament localization, sarcomere formation, myofibril widening, and myofibril bundle

growth.

Discussion

Our central finding is that Mylpf function is essential for the defining feature of striated

muscle, the overlap of sliding filaments [37,38]. We show that the protein is necessary but

not sufficient for myofibril formation in fast-twitch muscle; however, this binary language

does not fully capture the sensitivity of muscle development to Mylpf loss. Our work was

initiated by the intriguing finding that *mylpfa* is required for muscle structure, but *mylpfb*

seems not to be. This difference could be explained by the timing of gene expression,

since *mylpfa* mRNA abundance climbs faster than *mylpfb,* with a 7:1 ratio at 1 dpf and

2:1 ratio by 2 dpf. However, the large discrepancy at 1 dpf does not explain why the

phenotypic differences between *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ and *mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ persist so long after *mylpfb* levels

increase. Another simple explanation is that Mylpfa protein has some function that

Mylpfb is lacking; however, that model would not explain our observation that both

Mylpfa-GFP and Mylpfb-GFP rescue the *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$ mutant with similar efficiency. Instead,

we propose that Mylpf protein dosage can explain the phenotypes we observed across

all Mylpf genotypes and timepoints, when modeled using a Hill regression (Figure 10A,

B). This regression model is a popular tool for biochemical analysis and has also been

used previously to investigate the dose sensitivity of gene promoters *in vivo* [1,39]. This

model suggests that the *mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ mutant expresses total Mylpf protein just slightly above

its functional saturation point, around two thirds the wild-type level. For Mylpf, we find an

overtly sigmoidal relationship between dosage and phenotype from the null to wild-type

genotypes; however, some Hill models can seem nearly binary or nearly linear in the

range between null and wild-type (Figure 10C). An overtly sigmoidal relationship could

arise because Mylpf is a structural protein that functions stoichiometrically in proportion

to other sarcomeric proteins, consistent with recent proteomic work in cardiac muscle [40].

These findings raise the intriguing possibility that many other muscle proteins have

stoichiometric dose-dependency, because they function together across entire myofibril

bundles during sarcomere formation and force production.

The relationship between Mylpf protein dosage and sarcomeric phenotype has a large

Hill slope, suggesting that Mylpf proteins function cooperatively during sarcomere

formation [41]. The Hill equation is traditionally used to describe cooperativity in ligand-

substrate binding, though later steps may also be synergistic (Figure 10D). For

instance, each Mylpf protein should help to stabilize MyHC during thick filament

production (step 2). We think that the core step regulated by Mylpf may be myofilament

localization (step 3), since we see poor colocalization of F-actin with MyHC across

multiple timepoints of development. Alternatively, the myofilaments may be returned to

the cytoplasm after briefly localizing. Both scenarios result in mislocalized thick

filaments, which cannot interdigitate with thin filaments (step 4) [4], leading to loss of the

tension-based feedback loops shown to regulate sarcomere growth (steps 5, 6) [6,42].

These feedback loops may be disrupted by impaired Mylpf stability, since point

mutations in RLCs are known to affect step size [14]. Prior work indicated that Mylpf also

controls overall muscle growth, since the mouse *Mylpf*^{-/-} knockout is born without

skeletal muscle [22] and myosin heavy chains are needed to support myofibril

organization and muscle growth [43–45]. The *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant shows reduction in somite

growth by 6 dpf, when assessed in live animals. We find similar average measurements

using phalloidin on fixed samples, though here the difference in size between genotypes

does not rise to statistical significance. The *mylpfa*^{-/-} muscle growth defect is consistent

with prior work showing that MyHC itself is required for myofiber growth and the known

feedback loops between strength and growth [43,44]. Furthermore, the effects on myofibril

bundle growth are consistently larger than those on somite growth. We think that the

primary role for Mylpf is in sarcomere formation, because this function occurs

immediately and has cascading effects.

Given its complete sarcomere loss in fast-twitch muscle (over 95% of total muscle), we

were surprised to find the *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double mutant moving as far as its wild-type

siblings. The *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant and *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double mutant have classic signs of

fast-twitch deficiency including an absence of C-bends and impaired escape response,

consistent with previous work on zebrafish showing loss of function in fast-twitch muscle

[33,46,47]. We propose that the *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double mutant swims so far because they

increase the frequency of slow-twitch movements, which arise independently of fast-

twitch activity [48]. Some genotypes, such as the *mylpfa*^{+/-}*;mylpfb*^{+/-} double heterozygote

upregulate these slower movements and have functional fast-twitch muscle, allowing

them to outpace their wild-type siblings. Consistent with our model, zebrafish lacking

fast-twitch acetylcholine receptors upregulate slow-twitch synapses and behaviors by

adult stages [46]. Alternatively, the behavioral compensation in Mylpf-deficient animals

may be caused by excess exercise of the slow-twitch muscle fibers [28]. The importance

of muscle hypertrophy and neural activity could be resolved by investigating muscle

strength, calcium signaling, and synapse morphology through time in Mylpf mutants.

Investigating this compensatory mechanism may provide crucial insights for human

health, since many muscle diseases disproportionately affect the fast-twitch fiber type

[28].

The impact of Mylpf dosage on muscle formation may not be restricted to zebrafish. Our

G163S findings suggest that the allele has little or no activity, so a person heterozygous

for this variant may have dominantly inherited DA because they have around half the

normal MYLPF activity. Likewise, we show that zebrafish with 50% Mylpf dose (the

mylpfa^{+/-}*;mylpfb*^{+/-} double heterozygote) have impaired myofibril bundle assembly.

Similarly, a heterozygous effect is also found in *Drosophila,* wherein RLC^{+/-} flight

muscles (fast-twitch) show impaired myofibril assembly [17]. No defects were reported in

a study of the mouse *Mylpf*^{+/-} heterozygote, although embryonic myofibril bundle widths

were not measured [22]. However, dosage appears to be broadly relevant in mammals,

since studies of pigs and buffalo show that Mylpf mRNA levels can predict meat quality

[49,50]. Myosin light chain dosage also appears to impact heart muscles, since activation

of cardiac myosin light chain kinase is necessary and sufficient to initiate the formation

of sarcomeres in cultured heart cells [51]. We propose that the relationship between

sarcomeric protein dosage and myofibril expansion may have applications in many

contexts where muscle strength is desired including farming, exercise physiology, and

human health.

Acknowledgements

The fish facilities at the University of Maine and The Ohio State University (OSU) provided excellent animal care. Dr. Joy-El Talbot from Iris Data Solutions developed a FIJI macro for sarcomere fraction quantification. Dr. April DeLaurier provided feedback in the writing process. Dr. Clarissa Henry generously provided mentorship and resources to the Talbot lab. Dr. Thomas Gallagher and Collin Whitlock facilitated data sharing between OSU and the University of Maine. Gursimran Dhillon provided training on technique and data analysis for RT-qPCR. Paula Monsma and Dr. Sarah Cole supported imaging on the electron and confocal microscopes at OSU. Dr. Zhengxin Ma supported training and usage of the Zeiss LSM980 confocal in the Microscopy and Image Analysis Core facility at the University of Maine (RRID:SCR_025784). Antibodies mMac [52] and A4.1025 [53] were provided by DSHB at the University of Iowa. This project was supported by a University of Maine Institute of Medicine Seed Grant, and NIH grant R15AR081019 to JCT, NIH R15GM140409 to JBK, by NIH grants GM088041 and GM117964 to SLA, and COBRE 1P20GM144265 to JBK and JCT.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish husbandry and established strains

Fish strains were maintained using standard methods [54]. All animal protocols used in

this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at The

Ohio State University (2012A00000113) and the University of Maine (A2022-09-05,

A2025-10-01). Zebrafish staging followed established metrics [55]. We genotyped the

mylpfa[oz30] 20 bp deletion allele [33] using primers (F 5'-

TCTCTACAGGCCAGCTGAATG'3', R 5'-ACCCTTCAACTTCTCTCCGAAC'3') that

amplify a 116 bp product in wild-type fish and a 96 bp product in the *mylpfa*[oz30]

homozygote. We genotyped the *mylpfa*[oz43] +1 bp insertion allele [33], (primers 5'-

GCTTCATTGCTGTCAGGATAGAG-3' and 5'- ACCCTTCAACTTCTCTCCGAAC-3'),

followed by digestion with BsmFI restriction enzyme (NEB, New England Biolabs) which

cuts the wild-type but not mutant amplicon. Homozygotes for both *mylpfa*[oz30] and

mylpfa[oz43] can be consistently sorted from wild-type siblings based on pectoral fin

immobility, a slowed escape response, and reduced birefringence [33]. The *mylpfa*[oz30]

allele was used for all *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant analysis in this study, except for Figure S5E.

Zebrafish lines in this study were maintained on the AB wild-type background.

Transgene construction

The four Mylpf-containing plasmids constructed for mosaic analysis in this study were

pMylpfa:mylpfa-GFP, *pMylpfa:mylpfb-GFP*, *pMylpfa:WT-MYLPF-GFP*, and

pMylpfa:G163S-MYLPF-GFP. Briefly, we obtained GeneArt-strings (Invitrogen) of the

sequence encoding Mylpfa, Mylpfb, wild-type human MYLPF or MYLPF p.Gly163Ser

(G163S), proteins. These coding sequences were inserted into a plasmid containing the

mylpfa promoter flanked by TOL2 sites [34,56,57]; GFP was linked to the 5' end of Mylpf

genes, connected via a sequence encoding a short flexible peptide (GGGGSGAT). The

LifeAct transgene was made by modifying a previously described six1b BAC [58] by

integrating coding sequence for LifeAct-mTurquoise2 (LifeAct-mTq) protein after the

six1b start codon. Plasmid and BAC injection was accompanied by co-injection of

zebrafish-optimized nuclear transposase ZT2TP [59,60]. For transient analysis, animals

with high levels of mosaicism were selected for imaging and all experiments were

repeated on at least two independent injection days. Stable transgenic lines were

generated for five transgenes, *Tg(mylpfa:mylpfa-GFP)mai102*, *Tg(mylpfa:mylpfb-*

GFP)mai103, Tg(mylpfa:MYLPF-GFP)mai104, Tg(mylpfa:MYLPF^{G163S}-GFP)mai119,

and *TgBAC(six1b:Lifeact- mTurquoise2)mai121*. For stable transgenic analysis,

progeny from one founder (*Lifeact- mTurquoise2*), two founders (*mylpfa-GFP, MYLPF-*

GFP) or four founders (*mylpfb-GFP, MYLPF^{G163S}-GFP*) were analyzed.

Construction of *mylpfb^{oz39}*

The *mylpfb^{oz39}* mutation was generated using established CRISPR-Cas9 protocols [61].

One-cell embryos were co-injected with Cas9 mRNA and guide RNA targeting

sequences in exon 2 (5'- GGAAAACAGTAAAGTTGATG -3'), raised to adulthood, and

outcrossed to identify germline-transmitting founders. F1 progeny were screened using

High-Resolution Melting Analysis (HRMA) (primers 5'-

CCCTCTCTAAAACAAACAGGCTTTC-3' and 5'-GGTAAGTGAAGATTTGGACAACTC-

3') to identify founders carrying the frameshifting -5 bp deletion *mylpfb^{oz39}* allele. The

allele sequence was confirmed via Sanger sequencing of homozygotes and the founder

was outcrossed for at least two generations before conducting experiments. We identify

the *mylpfb^{oz39}* lesion via TaqMan real-time PCR genotyping assays. The TaqMan real-

time PCR method employs custom primers and TaqMan probes to distinguish between

wild-type and *mylpfb* mutant amplicons. The forward and reverse primers used are 5'-

AAGGATGATTTGAGGGATGTG-3' and 5'-TTTCACCAAACATGGTAAGGAA-3',

respectively; fluorogenic probes are (56-FAM/CC +CA+T +C+AA +CT+T TAC

/3IABkFQ/) for wild-type amplicons and (5SUN/CC C+CA +T+T+T A+CT G+TT

/3IABkFQ/) for mutant.

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

We determined absolute mRNA expression levels across developmental stages (24–72

hours post-fertilization, hpf) using total RNA isolated from AB wild-type embryos.

Embryo pools of 40 (24 hpf), 30 (36 hpf), 20 (48 hpf), and 10 (72 hpf) were

homogenized in Trizol using 0.5 mm zirconium beads (Laboratory Supply Network), and

RNA was subsequently purified using the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research).

We assessed the relative mRNA expression in the *mylpfa;mylpfb* mutant series using

decapitated 48 hpf embryos obtained from incross of adult *mylpfa*^{+/-};*mylpfb*^{+/-} double

heterozygotes. The 'head' DNA was used for genotyping, while bodies were

immediately snap-frozen at −80°C in individual tubes. After genotyping, RNA was

extracted from pools of three embryos per genotype. Purified total RNA (1 µg for AB

wild-type or 100 ng of the *mylpfa;mylpfb* mutant series) was reverse transcribed using

the SuperScript IV Reverse Transcriptase Kit (Invitrogen), along with oligodT$_{20}$ primers

(Invitrogen) and murine RNase inhibitor (NEB), according to the manufacturer's

protocol.

RT-qPCR

RT-qPCR was performed using SYBR Green master mix (Bio-Rad) on CFX384

thermocycler (Bio-Rad), with 60°C annealing and 30 second extension. Each reaction

used 15 ng of cDNA in an 10 µl volume, performed in technical triplicate. The *mylpfa*

qPCR generates an 80 bp amplicon using the Forward primer, 5'-

TCAATGGGCCAGCTGAATGT -3′ and Reverse primer, 5′ -

ACGGTGAAGTTGATTGGGCC -3′. The *mylpfb* qPCR generates an 83 bp amplicon

using the Forward primer, 5'- AGGAATACAAGGAGGCTTTCACA -3′ and Reverse

primer, 5′ - TGCCAGCACATCCCTCAAAT - 3′. The *rpl13a* transcript was selected as

the reference gene due to its stable expression during zebrafish embryonic

development [62]. The *rpl13a* qPCR uses primers: Forward 5'-

TCTGGAGGACTGTAAGAGGTATGC-3′ and Reverse 5'-

AGACGCACAATCTTGAGAGCAG-3′. Relative mRNA quantification was performed

using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method [63] after normalization to the mean of all wild-type groups for

each target gene. Each experiment was repeated on at least three biological replicates

before statistical analysis.

Absolute quantification of *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* mRNA

For absolute quantification of mRNA copy number, we generated *mylpfa* and *mylpfb*

DNA templates (called "standard DNA") from 72 hpf cDNA. For *mylpfa* standard DNA, a

563 bp was amplified from the zebrafish *mylpfa* gene using the Forward primer, 5'-

ACTACGCGGCTTCAGACTTC -3′ and Reverse primer, 5′ -

TCCTTCTCCTCTCCGTGTGT - 3′. For *mylpfb* standard DNA, a 555 bp was amplified

from the zebrafish *mylpfb* gene using the Forward primer, 5'-

TGTCAGTCACATCAACGTGGA -3′ and Reverse primer, 5′ -

CCTCTCCATGTGTGATGACGT - 3′. DNA standards were purified using a gel

extraction kit (Thermo Fisher) and sequence was confirmed. To calibrate for copy

number, we amplified a series of standard DNA concentrations spread across ten four-

fold dilutions on each qPCR plate, with predicted concentration ranging from 4.5

copies/reaction to 4.92×10^6 copies/reaction. The graph of the curve was used to

calculate the number of cDNA molecules tested in the same reaction plate as the

standard, and a ratio between *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* copies is presented.

Immunohistochemistry and HCR ISH

Whole mount immunohistochemistry used established markers and techniques [64].

Briefly, the larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed in PBST (1X PBS,

0.1% Tween-20) before immunolabel. Embryos older than 1 dpf were gently

permeabilized using a brief treatment with 0.001% Proteinase-K, washed repeatedly in

PBST, then blocked for at least two hours before overnight incubation at 4°C with

primary antibodies diluted in blocking solution. We used primary antibodies for the

myonuclei (1:500, Rbfox1l) [65]; α-Actinin (1:500, A7732, Sigma); Myomesin [1:30, mMac,

Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB)] [52], MyHC (1:1000, A4.1025, DSHB)

[53]. F-actin labeling uses Alexa Fluor-568 conjugated phalloidin, added during the

secondary antibody step (1:50, Thermo Fisher). HCR ISH procedures use established

protocols with probes and amplifiers supplied by Molecular Instruments [66]. Our HCR

mixtures included nine unique *mylpfa* probes, five *mylpfb* probes, and twenty *myl10*

probes. To compare HCR ISH signal intensity, separate fish were labeled with *mylpfa*

and *mylpfb* probes, both in the 488 nm excitation channel, and then imaged with

matched settings on a dissecting microscope before analysis in FIJI.

Protein modeling

591   Mylpf proteins were modeled in complex with the binding region of zebrafish Myhz1.3

592   (zebrafish) or MYH3 (human) using Robetta [67] and the models were visualized using

593   PyMol (Schrödinger).

Antibody production, validation on western blot

The zebrafish Mylpf antibody was generated by Boster Bio, using zebrafish Mylpfa (AA

14 to 167 of NP_571263.1) in complex with a Mylpf-binding region that is found in

several MyHC proteins (RRESIYTIQYNIRSFMNVKHWPWMKVYYKIKPL). Western blot

in the Talbot Lab showed only one band each for Mylpfa (16 kD) and Mylpfb (18 kD)

and no label in the MyHC size (252 kD). For western blotting, animals were raised to the

desired stage, then pools or single animal were homogenized in 100 µl (pools) or 20 µl

(single) 1X LDS sample buffer solution (Bolt; Invitrogen) using 0.5 mm zirconium beads

(Laboratory Supply Network). In the western blot showing Mylpfb and Mylpfa protein

abundance in wildtype (AB) animals, we used pools of 40, 30, 20 and 10 animals at 24,

36, 48, and 72 hpf respectively to normalize for zebrafish growth. Prior to western blot,

animals were decapitated and deyolked to enrich for somite muscle and provide

genotyping materials. For the *mylpfa;mylpfb* mutant series, we ran the protein from one

animal per genotype across the nine genotypes generated by incross of

mylpfa^{+/-}*;mylpfb*^{+/-} double heterozygotes. Homogenized samples (20 µl) were run on a 4-

20% gradient acrylamide gel (Bio-Rad). The protein samples were transferred to

Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore Sigma) which were blocked (5%

nonfat dry milk), then replaced with primary antibody solution and then secondary

antibody solution. Primary antibodies included mouse polyclonal anti-MyHC (A4.1025,

DSHB, 1:1000) to detect MyHC protein while rabbit polyclonal anti-Mylpf (Boster,

1:1000) detected both Mylpfa and Mylpfb protein. The secondary antibodies used were

donkey-anti-mouse-800 (1:10000 dilution) and donkey-anti-rabbit-680 (1:10000

dilution). The resulting fluorescence was measured by scanning the blot with an

Odyssey Infrared Imager (LICOR). The Mylpfa to Mylpfb ratio was quantified using

GelBox software [68] and FIJI [69].

Confocal imaging

Confocal images in Figure S5 were collected using an inverted Nikon TiE microscope

equipped with an Andor Revolution WD spinning disk confocal system. Confocal images

in Figure 2A-D' and S8 were collected using a Zeiss LSM980 confocal microscope. All

other confocal images were acquired using a Leica TCS Sp8 confocal microscope and

processed with Lightning in LasX software. Myotomes were imaged over the mid yolk

tube region of embryos and larvae. Image settings including laser power, gain, and

confocal export protocols were standardized for each experiment. The channels tool is

used to generate colorblind friendly images, such as converting red to magenta.

GFP brightness analysis

We evaluated the GFP brightness using the mean grayscale value of the GFP channel

in FIJI. For stable transgenic lines, we drew ROI around the fast-twitch region of the

somite. In mosaic animals, we drew ROI around each muscle fiber because there was

often a high level of variation within a single image. Muscle was considered non-

transgenic if the GFP brightness is <10 (0-255) and considered transgenic when in the

10-255 range. MATLAB was used to calculate the average of each mean grayscale

value per image followed by statistical comparisons in JMP software.

Sarcomeric fraction calculation

We developed a metric to quantify the degree to which muscle proteins localize to

sarcomeres, termed the sarcomeric fraction (Figure S4). Grayscale intensities were

calculated across Region of Interest (ROI) lines along the length of a myofiber in FIJI,

with ten to thirty ROIs measured per image. The periodicity of these intensities was

determined using the 'distance between peaks' function in MATLAB. Peak-to-peak

distances per intensity were determined per image, and bootstrap confidence intervals

were calculated using variation between images, shown via histogram to represent the

Fraction of Peaks/micron (μm). Signal was considered 'sarcomeric' in different intervals

('bin sets') for markers with full-sarcomere (F-actin, Myomesin, Actinin) or half-

sarcomere repeat (MyHC, Mylpf-GFP), or an even blend of the two patterns (LifeAct)

(Figure S3). Sarcomeric LifeAct shows peak signals in the 0.7-1.1 μm and 1.55-2.30 μm

range. Sarcomeric phalloidin (F-actin) also labels Z-disks and thin filaments, showing

peak signals in the 0.7-1.0 μm range and 1.55-2.15 μm range. For consistency, we

used the F-actin bin set for Myomesin and Actinin (Figure S4). Sarcomeric MyHC and

Mylpf-GFP show two peak signals in bins 0.6-1.2 μm and 1.75-2.05 μm (Figure S4).

These bins were determined by examining histogram plots of the localization of

sarcomeric signals during preliminary analysis (examples in Figure S4) and then applied

evenly to subsequent analyses. The sarcomeric bins occupy 0.9 μm of the 4 μm

sampled, so a uniformly distributed label would score 0.225 (shown with a brown line in

graphs) whereas a 1.0 indicates full localization of signal to sarcomeres. The

sarcomeric fraction per animal represents the ratio of image periodicity within the

sarcomeric bin to the overall periodicity of that marker, averaged across all ROIs (Figure

S4).

Colocalization

The extent of colocalization between confocal channels was determined using the

COLOC 2 tool in FIJI. Briefly, we identified large regions of interest (ROI) areas where

labeling for the markers compared was homogenously bright. This selection avoided

penetration issues, because the MyHC label is brighter at the surface of somites than it

is deeper. Typically, the area fills at least half of the fast-twitch muscle region of a

somite. We recorded the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, Mander's tM1, and

Mander's tM2 for all images. Measurement was repeated if a large disconnect was

found between tM1 and tM2 suggesting that an ROI extended to a region of poor

labeling; slight ROI adjustments brought the Mander's measures into alignment and

produced a trustworthy Spearman's correlation. These Spearman's correlations were

tabulated and subjected to our standard statistical analysis.

Cross-sectional analysis

To analyze muscle size in cross-sectional view, confocal stacks were acquired with 0.5

µm step size, then orthogonal views were exported to FIJI. Somite area is the total area

enclosed within ROIs drawn around the somite. Based on cell position and markers we

can also identify fiber types, with the superficial layer being slow-twitch and all deeper

layers being fast-twitch [26].To measure the cross-sectional area of myofibrils within slow-

twitch fibers, we drew ROI encircling the desired fiber type and then performed auto

local thresholding with the Bernsend method, setting radius to 15 and the T parameter

to 7.5, before measuring area within threshold. To determine protein positions within

fast-twitch muscle fibers (Figure 4G-J), a mask was drawn manually, circling the

borders of each cell. A custom MATLAB script determined image brightness at each

pixel, moving from the periphery of the cells to the center. These edge-center distances

were scaled to micron lengths, averaged, and bootstrap confidence intervals were

calculated across samples of a given genotype. Graphs with confidence intervals were

then overlaid for each genotype.

Myofibril bundle width measurements

To measure myofibril width, we drew ROI lines across the narrow axis of the myofibril in

FIJI. We typically measured thirty myofibrils bundles per image. Each measurement

was made at a widened point of each myofibril, with measurements distributed evenly

across the fast-twitch muscle region. For mosaic animals we measured both GFP+ and

GFP-negative myofibril bundles within the same confocal slice. Images were included

only if they contained at least ten GFP+ myofibril bundles. For stable transgenic lines,

we compared measurements from non-transgenic and transgenic siblings. We

generated a custom FIJI macro to simplify measurement and compile all measures from

a given image. These measurements were averaged per image using MATLAB and

then imported to JMP software for statistical analysis.

Transmission electron microscopy

For TEM, embryos were raised to 72 hpf, fixed in phosphate-buffered glutaraldehyde,

resin embedded, thin sectioned, and contrasted using uranyl acetate and Reynold's

lead citrate before imaging an initial dataset on a Tecnai 30 G2 TWIN microscope at the

Ohio State University and later dataset on a Tecnai T12 BioTwin microscope at the

University of Maine. The two datasets were then merged. In total, we imaged 6 wild-

type animals, 6 *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$, 3 *mylpfb*$^{-/-}$, and 6 *mylpfa*$^{-/-}$;*mylpfb*$^{-/-}$ double mutant animals,

each with multiple sections. We set the mean of measurements within an animal as the

single N for statistical comparisons. Myofibril widths were measured between triads and

myofibril bundle widths were measured as in light microscopy; both were measured on

low-magnification TEM images to sample variation across several myofibers.

Behavioral analysis

For tail curvature assessment, individual larvae were placed in a petri dish and prodded

with a piece of fishing line, imaged using a GoPro Hero camera with a mounting ring

(H12Pro, Back-Bone) set to 240 frames/sec. The frame with highest curvature was

transferred to FIJI and curvature was measured along the length of the trunk using the

Kappa plugin [70]. For speed analysis, larvae were placed one per well into a 12-well

plate with 3 ml of facility water at 6 dpf. Then, they were imaged in a DanioVision

system (Noldus) equipped with a magnifier for improved resolution. The plates were

incubated at 28°C in the preheated chamber. The larvae were imaged using the infrared

wavelength every 0.033 seconds for a total of 25 minutes, cycling lights off and on every

five minutes. Larvae were tracked using EthoVision XT Version 15.0 video tracking

software by Noldus. 'Distance traveled' is the sum of distances in this 25-minute time

interval. It is important to use software that includes low-speed movement, such as

Ethovision. Analysis was limited to speeds under 200 mm/sec because video

examination shows that higher-speed measurements are indications of tracking

glitches. The 'highest speed' was calculated by sorting data numerically and recording

the highest number beneath 200 mm/sec. Average speed was the average of all

momentary speeds. Speed is calculated per 0.033 second time interval. Movement is

summarized using the Microsoft Excel Histogram function, as the percentage of time

that fish swim in a wide range of speed 'bins'. The first bin is set to 1 mm/sec, then 1.09

mm/sec, then bins continue using the formula $Y=X+Ln(X)$, where Y is the current bin

value and X is the previous bin value. The larvae swim exponentially less frequently at

high speeds than low speeds, so this natural-log based formula allows comparable

statistical sampling of the whole speed range (Figure S7). Shown plots integrate data

collected from the $mylpfb^{+/-}$ heterozygous incross, $mylpfa^{+/-};mylpfb^{+/-}$ double

heterozygous incross, and outcrosses of $mylpfa^{+/-};mylpfb^{+/-}$ to AB (Figure 6D-G) or from

$mylpfa^{+/-}$ incrosses (Figure 6H-J). Each set of crosses was replicated at least three

times with consistent results across experiments.

Statistical analysis

We begin multiple comparisons using ANOVA and then use Tukey-Kramer's post-hoc

comparison of levels. Pairwise comparisons use a two-sided Student's T-test and

matching results are found with the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis exact test. In all

figures, * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$. Not significant (n.s.) is $P>0.1$ or $P>0.05$ as

defined in legend. For box plots, the central line shows the median, the upper bound

shows the upper quartile, the lower bound shows the lower quartile, and whiskers show

1.5x the interquartile range. Each N represents a different animal or in some cases a

pool of animals and are listed explicitly in the source data file. For mosaic animals, the

N represents the number of animals scored for GFP+ or GFP-negative cells,

respectively. Repeated measures of the same animal are averaged and included as a

single N. Statistical comparisons were made in Jmp Pro, GraphPad, or MATLAB

software. Logistic regression models used the four-parameter Hill equation in GraphPad

with formula y=L+((U-L)*X^Hs)/(X^Hc+EC50^Hs). Variables are defined as phenotypic

response (y), dosage (x), upper asymptote (U), lower asymptote (L), EC50, and Hill

slope (Hs). Dose modeling in transgenic animals uses the formula

WT=1+0.667*(GFP/57), heterozygote=0.667+0.667*(GFP/57), and *mylpfa*^{-/-}

=0.333+0.667*(GFP/57), with 57 being the average fluorescence in our images.

Data availability

The data leading to conclusions in this paper are shown in the main text figures and

supplementary figures, with source data provided. We are happy to accommodate

requests for additional source files.

Code availability

Code used for data analysis in this manuscript is available upon request and from our

GitHub account, https://github.com/MuscleZebrafish/MyofibrilQuant.

Competing Interest Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Citations

777
778
1. Noviello, G. Transcriptional regulation as a dose-dependent process: insights from

transcription factor tuning. *Open Biol.* **15**, 240328 (2025).

2. Luis, N. M. & Schnorrer, F. Mechanobiology of muscle and myofibril morphogenesis. *Cells &*

Development **168**, 203760 (2021).

3. Berger, J., Berger, S. & Currie, P. D. Mob4-dependent STRIPAK involves the chaperonin TRiC

to coordinate myofibril and microtubule network growth. *PLoS Genet* **18**, e1010287 (2022).

4. Sanger, J. W. *et al.* Assembly and Maintenance of Myofibrils in Striated Muscle. in *The Actin*

Cytoskeleton (ed. Jockusch, B. M.) vol. 235 39–75 (Springer International Publishing, Cham,

2016).

5. Holtzer, H. *et al.* Independent assembly of 1.6 microns long bipolar MHC filaments and I-Z-I

bodies. *Cell Struct Funct* **22**, 83–93 (1997).

6. Weitkunat, M., Brasse, M., Bausch, A. R. & Schnorrer, F. Mechanical tension and

spontaneous muscle twitching precede the formation of cross-striated muscle *in vivo*.

Development **144**, 1261–1272 (2017).

7. Tskhovrebova, L. & Trinick, J. Titin and Nebulin in Thick and Thin Filament Length

Regulation. in *Fibrous Proteins: Structures and Mechanisms* (eds. Parry, D. A. D. & Squire, J.

M.) vol. 82 285–318 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017).

8. Loison, O. *et al.* Polarization-resolved microscopy reveals a muscle myosin motor-

independent mechanism of molecular actin ordering during sarcomere maturation. *PLOS*

Biology **16**, e2004718 (2018).

9.   Lemke, S. B. & Schnorrer, F. Mechanical forces during muscle development. *Mechanisms of*

Development **144**, 92–101 (2017).

10. Hall, T. E. *et al.* In vivo cell biological screening identifies an endocytic capture mechanism

for T-tubule formation. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3711 (2020).

11. Mao, Q. *et al.* Tension-driven multi-scale self-organisation in human iPSC-derived muscle

fibers. *eLife* **11**, e76649 (2022).

12. Lowey, S. & Trybus, K. M. Role of Skeletal and Smooth Muscle Myosin Light Chains.

Biophysical Journal **68**, 7 (1995).

13. Heissler, S. M. & Sellers, J. R. Myosin light chains: Teaching old dogs new tricks.

BioArchitecture **4**, 169–188 (2014).

14. Sherwood, J. J., Waller, G. S., Warshaw, D. M. & Lowey, S. A point mutation in the

regulatory light chain reduces the step size of skeletal muscle myosin. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **101**, 10973–10978 (2004).

15. VanBuren, P. *et al.* The essential light chain is required for full force production by skeletal

muscle myosin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **91**, 12403–12407 (1994).

16. Pastra-Landis, S. C. & Lowey, S. Myosin subunit interactions. Properties of the 19,000-dalton

light chain-deficient myosin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **261**, 14811–14816 (1986).

17. Warmke, J., Yamakawa, M., Molloy, J., Falkenthal, S. & Maughan, D. Myosin light chain-2

mutation affects flight, wing beat frequency, and indirect flight muscle contraction kinetics

in Drosophila. *The Journal of cell biology* **119**, 1523–1539 (1992).

18. Ravenscroft, G. *et al.* Bi-allelic mutations in MYL1 cause a severe congenital myopathy.

Human Molecular Genetics https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddy320 (2018)

doi:10.1093/hmg/ddy320.

19. Nawrotzki, R., Fischman, D. A. & Mikawa, T. Antisense suppression of skeletal muscle

myosin light chain-1 biosynthesis impairs myofibrillogenesis in cultured myotubes. *J Muscle*

Res Cell Motil **16**, 45–56 (1995).

20. Lossie, J. *et al.* Mutations of ventricular essential myosin light chain disturb myosin binding

and sarcomeric sorting. *Cardiovascular Research* **93**, 390–396 (2012).

21. Cao, J. *et al.* The single-cell transcriptional landscape of mammalian organogenesis. *Nature*

566, 496–502 (2019).

22. Wang, Y. *et al.* Fast skeletal muscle regulatory light chain is required for fast and slow

skeletal muscle development. *The FASEB Journal* **21**, 2205–2214 (2007).

23. Hall, J. G. Arthrogryposis (multiple congenital contractures): Diagnostic approach to

etiology, classification, genetics, and general principles. *European Journal of Medical*

Genetics **57**, 464–472 (2014).

24. Bamshad, M., Van Heest, A. E. & Pleasure, D. Arthrogryposis: A Review and Update: *The*

Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-American Volume **91**, 40–46 (2009).

25. Whittle, J., Johnson, A., Dobbs, M. B. & Gurnett, C. A. Models of Distal Arthrogryposis and

Lethal Congenital Contracture Syndrome. *Genes* **12**, 943 (2021).

26. Devoto, S. H., Melançon, E., Eisen, J. S. & Westerfield, M. Identification of separate slow and

fast muscle precursor cells in vivo, prior to somite formation. *Development* **122**, 3371–3380

(1996).

27. Mead, A. F., Kennedy, G. G., Palmer, B. M., Ebert, A. M. & Warshaw, D. M. Mechanical

Characteristics of Ultrafast Zebrafish Larval Swimming Muscles. *Biophysical Journal* **119**,

806–820 (2020).

28. Talbot, J. & Maves, L. Skeletal muscle fiber type: using insights from muscle developmental

biology to dissect targets for susceptibility and resistance to muscle disease. *Wiley*

Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol **5**, 518–534 (2016).

29. Roy, S. D. *et al.* Myotome adaptability confers developmental robustness to somitic

myogenesis in response to fibre number alteration. *Developmental Biology* **431**, 321–335

(2017).

30. Farnsworth, D. R., Saunders, L. M. & Miller, A. C. A single-cell transcriptome atlas for

zebrafish development. *Developmental Biology* **459**, 100–108 (2020).

31. Thisse, B. *et al.* Spatial and temporal expression of the zebrafish genome by large-scale in

situ hybridization screening. *Methods Cell Biol* **77**, 505–519 (2004).

32. Xu, Y., He, J., Wang, X., Lim, T. M. & Gong, Z. Asynchronous activation of 10 muscle-specific

protein (MSP) genes during zebrafish somitogenesis. *Developmental Dynamics* **219**, 201–

215 (2000).

33. Chong, J. X. *et al.* Mutations in MYLPF Cause a Novel Segmental Amyoplasia that Manifests

as Distal Arthrogryposis. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **107**, 293–310 (2020).

34. Ju, B. *et al.* Recapitulation of fast skeletal muscle development in zebrafish by transgenic

expression of GFP under the mylz2 promoter. *Developmental Dynamics* **227**, 14–26 (2003).

35. Jackson, H. E. *et al.* The role of Sox6 in zebrafish muscle fiber type specification. *Skeletal*

Muscle **5**, 2 (2015).

36. Rhee, D., Sanger, J. M. & Sanger, J. W. The premyofibril: Evidence for its role in

myofibrillogenesis. *Cell Motility* **28**, 1–24 (1994).

37. Huxley, H. & Hanson, J. Changes in the Cross-Striations of Muscle during Contraction and

Stretch and their Structural Interpretation. *Nature* **173**, 973–976 (1954).

38. Huxley, A. F. & Niedergerke, R. Structural Changes in Muscle During Contraction:

Interference Microscopy of Living Muscle Fibres. *Nature* **173**, 971–973 (1954).

39. Noviello, G., Gjaltema, R. A. F. & Schulz, E. G. CasTuner is a degron and CRISPR/Cas-based

toolkit for analog tuning of endogenous gene expression. *Nat Commun* **14**, 3225 (2023).

40. Wood, N. B., Kelly, C. M., O'Leary, T. S., Martin, J. L. & Previs, M. J. Cardiac Myosin Filaments

are Maintained by Stochastic Protein Replacement. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* **21**,

100274 (2022).

41. Stefan, M. I. & Le Novère, N. Cooperative Binding. *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**, e1003106 (2013).

42. Kaya-Çopur, A. *et al.* The Hippo pathway controls myofibril assembly and muscle fiber

growth by regulating sarcomeric gene expression. *eLife* **10**, e63726 (2021).

43. Agarwal, M. *et al.* Myosin heavy chain-embryonic regulates skeletal muscle differentiation

during mammalian development. *Development* **147**, dev184507 (2020).

44. Hau, H.-T. A., Kelu, J. J., Ochala, J. & Hughes, S. M. Slow myosin heavy chain 1 is required for

slow myofibril and muscle fibre growth but not for myofibril initiation. *Developmental*

Biology **499**, 47–58 (2023).

45. Li, S., Wen, H. & Du, S. Defective sarcomere organization and reduced larval locomotion and

fish survival in slow muscle heavy chain 1 (*smyhc1*) mutants. *FASEB j.* **34**, 1378–1397

(2020).

46. Zempo, B., Yamamoto, Y., Williams, T. & Ono, F. Synaptic silencing of fast muscle is

compensated by rewired innervation of slow muscle. *Sci. Adv.* **6**, eaax8382 (2020).

47. Naganawa, Y. & Hirata, H. Developmental transition of touch response from slow muscle-

mediated coilings to fast muscle-mediated burst swimming in zebrafish. *Developmental*

Biology **355**, 194–204 (2011).

48. Shimizu, S. *et al.* Spatially ordered recruitment of fast muscles in accordance with

movement strengths in larval zebrafish. *Zoological Lett* **11**, 1 (2025).

49. Lobjois, V. *et al.* A muscle transcriptome analysis identifies positional candidate genes for a

complex trait in pig. *Animal Genetics* **39**, 147–162 (2008).

50. Zhang, R. *et al.* The Expression Profiles of mRNAs and lncRNAs in Buffalo Muscle Stem Cells

Driving Myogenic Differentiation. *Frontiers in Genetics* **12**, (2021).

51. Aoki, H., Sadoshima, J. & Izumo, S. Myosin light chain kinase mediates sarcomere

organization during cardiac hypertrophy in vitro. *Nat Med* **6**, 183–188 (2000).

52. Grove, B. K. *et al.* A new 185,000-dalton skeletal muscle protein detected by monoclonal

antibodies. *J Cell Biol* **98**, 518–524 (1984).

53. Webster, C., Silberstein, L., Hays, A. P. & Blau, H. M. Fast muscle fibers are preferentially

affected in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Cell* **52**, 503–513 (1988).

54. Westerfield, M. *The Zebrafish Book: A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish (Danio*

Rerio). (University of Oregon Press, Eugene, 2007).

55. Kimmel, C. B., Ballard, W. W., Kimmel, S. R., Ullmann, B. & Schilling, T. F. Stages of

embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Developmental dynamics* **203**, 253–310 (1995).

56. Kawakami, K. Transgenesis and Gene Trap Methods in Zebrafish by Using the Tol2

Transposable Element. in *Methods in Cell Biology* vol. 77 201–222 (Academic Press, 2004).

57. Ignatius, M. S. *et al.* In vivo imaging of tumor-propagating cells, regional tumor

heterogeneity, and dynamic cell movements in embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. *Cancer Cell*

21, 680–693 (2012).

58. Talbot, J. C. *et al.* Muscle precursor cell movements in zebrafish are dynamic and require Six

family genes. *Development* **146**, dev171421 (2019).

59. Kwan, K. M. *et al.* The Tol2kit: a multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2

transposon transgenesis constructs. *Dev Dyn* **236**, 3088–3099 (2007).

60. Suster, M. L., Abe, G., Schouw, A. & Kawakami, K. Transposon-mediated BAC transgenesis in

zebrafish. *Nature Protocols* **6**, 1998–2021 (2011).

61. Talbot, J. C. & Amacher, S. L. A streamlined CRISPR pipeline to reliably generate zebrafish

frameshifting alleles. *Zebrafish* **11**, 583–585 (2014).

62. Tang, R., Dodd, A., Lai, D., McNabb, W. C. & Love, D. R. Validation of zebrafish (Danio rerio)

reference genes for quantitative real-time RT-PCR normalization. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin*

(Shanghai) **39**, 384–390 (2007).

63. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time

Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT Method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408 (2001).

64. Bird, N. C., Windner, S. E. & Devoto, S. H. Immunocytochemistry to Study Myogenesis in

Zebrafish. in *Myogenesis* (ed. DiMario, J. X.) vol. 798 153–169 (Humana Press, Totowa, NJ,

2012).

65. Berberoglu, M. A. *et al.* Satellite-like cells contribute to pax7 -dependent skeletal muscle

repair in adult zebrafish. *Developmental Biology* **424**, 162–180 (2017).

66. Choi, H. M. T., Schwarzkopf, M. & Pierce, N. A. Multiplexed Quantitative In Situ

Hybridization with Subcellular or Single-Molecule Resolution Within Whole-Mount

Vertebrate Embryos: qHCR and dHCR Imaging (v3.0). in *In Situ Hybridization Protocols* (eds.

Nielsen, B. S. & Jones, J.) 159–178 (Springer US, New York, NY, 2020). doi:10.1007/978-1-

0716-0623-0_10.

67. Baek, M. *et al.* Accurate prediction of protein structures and interactions using a three-track

neural network. *Science* **373**, 871–876 (2021).

68. Gulbulak, U., Wellette-Hunsucker, A. G., Kampourakis, T. & Campbell, K. S. GelBox: Open-

source software to improve rigor and reproducibility when analyzing gels and immunoblots.

American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology

https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00144.2024 (2024) doi:10.1152/ajpheart.00144.2024.

69. Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*

9, 676–682 (2012).

70. Mary, H. & Brouhard, G. J. *Kappa (κ): Analysis of Curvature in Biological Image Data Using*

B-Splines. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/852772 (2019) doi:10.1101/852772.

Figures and legends

Figure 1: <u>The *mylpfa* gene is expressed more abundantly than *mylpfb* in fast-twitch muscle.</u> **(A)** Images illustrating myofibril and sarcomere structure. The m.b. width represents axis of measurements for myofibril bundle width. **(B)** Illustration of Mylpf localization within a thick filament. **(C-C''')** *In situ* hybridization chain reaction (HCR ISH) imaged in somites over the mid-yolk tube of a 36 hpf embryo. Shown as a single channel for *mylpfa* (C), *mylpfb* (C') or the slow muscle marker *myl10* (C''), and as a merged image (C'''). **(D)** Plot showing the brightness of *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* in the HCR ISH images. **(E)** Copy number of *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* per microgram of total RNA at 24, 36, 48, and 72 hpf as measured by qPCR and normalized using a standard curve–based normalization method. **(F)** The ratio of *mylpfa* to *mylpfb* transcript abundance calculated from the absolute copy numbers shown in (E). **(G)** Image of a western blot showing Mylpfa and Mylpfb protein abundance at these timepoints. Examples of Mylpfa and Mylpfb bands quantified in GelBox are shown beneath the image. **(H)** Ratio of Mylpfa to Mylpfb band intensity in western blot. **(I)** Tabular summary of means from D, F, H. The points represent statistical N, either pools of animals (E, F, H), or individual animals (D). Significance threshold determined by Tukey-Kramer comparison after one-way ANOVA; ** P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

I	24 hpf	36 hpf	48 hpf	72 hpf
mylpfa:mylpfb ratio				
HCR ISH	6.6	2.9	2.6	2.9
qPCR	7.5	2.5	2.1	1.9
Mylpfa:Mylpfb ratio				
Western Blot	7.0	2.9	2.2	1.8

Figure 2: <u>Zebrafish *mylpfa* is necessary for fast-twitch myofibril formation</u>. **(A-D)** Zoomed region of somite muscle, with myofibril bundles marked using *six1b:LifeAct-mTurquoise2* transgene (LifeAct-mTq protein) at 2 dpf and the same animal at 6 dpf in wild-type (A, B) or *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant siblings (C, D). **(A'-D')** Cross-sectional views of whole somites from the same animals. **(E)** Myofibril bundle widths were measured using the LifeAct images in the sagittal plane. Olive-colored lines connect the same individuals through time. **(F)** Plot of signal periodicity shows that the *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant has less LifeAct periodicity in sarcomeric intervals (gray bars). Lightly colored regions indicate bootstrap confidence intervals. **(G)** This readout is simplified as a 'sarcomeric fraction', which shows the fraction of signal in sarcomeric (1.85 μm) or half-sarcomeric (0.9 μm) lengths out of the total periodicity; further explanation is provided in Figure S4. The sarcomeric fraction remains constant through development but differs by genotype. **(H)** Somite area, measured from the cross-sectional views. The *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant somite is smaller than in wild-type or heterozygous siblings at 6 dpf, though not at 2 dpf. **(I-J''')** Fast muscle myofibers, labeled at 48 hpf, showing co-label for MyHC (A4.1025), the Z-disk protein Actinin (A7732), and F-actin (phalloidin), shown as single channel or overlays. The axes of width and length measurements are shown in I', J'. A representative confocal stack of this type of label is shown in Video 1. **(K)** The correlation between F-actin and MyHC label is reduced in the *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant, as assessed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient (Spearman's correlation). **(L, M)** A similar pattern is seen in animals labeled for the M-line protein Myomesin (mMac), F-actin, and MyHC. Scalebar in A is for A-D, in A' is for A'-D', in I is for I-J''', in L is for L, M. Each point shown represents the average of measurements taken within one image of one animal. Significance thresholds for multiple comparisons determined by Tukey-Kramer HSD comparisons after one-way ANOVA (E, F, H); pairwise comparisons (K) use the Kruskal-Wallis exact test. Not significant (n.s.) is P>0.05, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001.

Figure 3: <u>Mutations in *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* cause strong loss of function</u>. **(A)** Illustration of *mylpfa* and *mylpfb*
gene structure. Color code: 5' UTR (brown), coding sequence (purple), 3' UTR (gray), and frameshift
locations (green arrowheads). **(B)** Chromatogram showing the gRNA target in wild-type sequence (top) and
the 5 bp *mylpfb^oz39* lesion sequenced from a homozygous mutant (bottom). **(C)** Illustration of Mylpfa and
Mylpfb proteins, with arrowheads marking frameshift locations. **(D-E)** Quantitative RT-PCR results from the
mylpfa;mylpfb mutant series showing *mylpfa* expression per genotype (gen.) normalized to the average
mylpfa abundance in *mylpfa^+/+* genotypes. Result shown as individual genotypes (D) or as a merger of
mylpfa genotypes (E). **(F-G)** Quantitative RT-PCR results for *mylpfb* expression, normalized to the average
mylpfb abundance in *mylpfb^+/+* genotypes, shown for individual genotypes (F) or as a merger of *mylpfb*
genotypes (G). **(H)** Predicted protein structure generated using Robetta. Color code indicates the Mylpf
peptides lost after *mylpfb^oz39* frameshift (black), those retained even in the mutant (green), and the MyHC
(purple). **(I)** Western blot showing MyHC, Mylpfb, and Mylpfa bands from individual animals across the nine
genotypes of *mylpfa^+/-;mylpfb^+/-* incross. Three wild-type controls are loaded to increase sampling of the
wild-type mean. **(J)** Plot showing the abundance of each protein in animals wild-type, heterozygous, or
mutant for that gene. **(K)** Plot showing a strong correspondence between combined Mylpfa and Mylpfb band
intensity and predicted dosage using a 2 to 1 ratio between Mylpfa and Mylpfb (Table S1). **(L-O)** TEM
images of fast-twitch muscle showing myofibrils in wild-type and their defects in the single and double
mutants. Lines illustrate measurements of muscle structure. **(L'-O')** Higher magnification views of different
animals. **(P)** Measurements made between triads, showing myofibril width. **(Q)** Measurements of myofibril
bundle widths. Each point (statistical N) in J, K, P, Q represent individual animals; in D-G, each dot
represents a pool of three animals. Color code below D is for D-G, I-K, P-Q. Significance thresholds are
determined by Tukey-Kramer comparison after one-way ANOVA; n.s. P>0.1, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, ***
P<0.001. Scalebar in L is for L-O, in L' is for L'-O'.

1012

Figure 4: <u>*mylpfa* and *mylpfb* are required for myosin heavy chain localization in fast-twitch muscle of young</u>
<u>myofibers.</u> **(A-D)** Immunolabel of fast muscle fibers at 26 hpf shown as orthogonal views of Z-stacks of wild-
type (A), *mylpfb*^{-/-} (B), *mylpfa*^{-/-} (C), and *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double mutant zebrafish (D). **(A'-D')** Zoomed
sagittal views of the same animals. **(E)** Box plots showing Spearman's correlation between the F-actin and
MyHC label for the genotypes examined. **(F)** Plots showing the width of myofibril bundles in each genotype.
(G) Overview of protocol to measure image brightness from edge-to-center. The fast-twitch muscle cells are
(1) manually outlined, (2) segmented using these outlines, then (3) the outlines are used to determine
edges when calculating the brightness of each channel from edge to center of the drawn cells. **(H-J)**
Localization at 26 hpf of the F-actin marker phalloidin (H), the muscle nuclei label anti-Rbfox1l (I), and
MyHC antibody A4.1025 (J). Data is plotted as percent of total image brightness from edge to center of
segments, with bootstrapped 95% confidence intervals in shaded lines. Inset in J shows the stark difference
between MyHC localization in the *mylpfa*^{+/-}*;mylpfb*^{+/-} double heterozygote and the *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double
mutant, unobscured by other genotypes. Color code in H is for H-J. **(K)** Illustration of the proposed
localization defect. Points in E-F represent measurements from individual animals. Measurements for E-J
were taken on 5 wild-type, 8 *mylpfb*^{-/-}, 9 *mylpfa*^{+/-}*;mylpfb*^{+/-}, 5 *mylpfa*^{-/-}, and 7 *mylpfa*^{-/-}*;mylpfb*^{-/-} double
mutants. Significance thresholds are determined by Bootstrap comparison (H-J) or by Tukey-Kramer
comparison after one-way ANOVA (E, F); ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001. Scalebar in A is for A-D, in A' is for A'-D'.
1030

1031

Figure 5: <u>Levels of myofibril formation correspond to dosages predicted by *mylpfa* and *mylpfb* loss of function</u>. **(A)** Scatterplot of myofibril bundle widths in all genotypes tested at 26 hpf, with the X-axis representing Mylpf dosage as predicted by a 7:1 ratio between Mylpfa and Mylpfb. This 26 hpf width data is also shown in Figure 4F, for Tukey-Kramer comparisons. **(B-D)** Scatterplots of myofibril bundle widths in all genotypes tested at 48 hpf, 72 hpf, and 6 dpf, with the X-axis representing Mylpf doses predicted by a 2:1 ratio between Mylpfa and Mylpfb. **(E)** Cross-sectional area measurements of the same somites at 6 dpf. The somite sizes do not differ significantly across genotypes. **(F)** Examples of fast-twitch muscle structure, labeled with phalloidin, in the nine genotypes arising from *mylpfa+/-;mylpfb+/-* incross, viewed at high magnification. **(G)** Fast-twitch myofibril bundles at 48 hpf, labeled for the myonuclei (Rbfox1l, blue), F-actin (phalloidin, red), and MyHC (A4.1025, green). **(H)** The colocalization between F-actin and MyHC label corresponds well with modeled protein dosage, as measured using Spearman's correlation, and dose determined with a 2:1 Mylpfa to Mylpfb ratio. **(I-J)** Graph showing how the sarcomeric fraction of MyHC (I) or F-actin (J) corresponds with protein dosage in fast-twitch muscle, again calculated using a 2 to 1 skew. **(K)** Overlay of the 7 regression models with explanation of the Hill equation, scaled to have matching upper and lower limits. Overlay colors in K use regression color code from panels in A (brown), B-D (gray), H (gray), and I, J (blue). Formula abbreviations: Hill slope (Hs), dose of half-maximal effectiveness (EC50), upper asymptote (U), and lower asymptote (L). Each point represents a statistical N, the mean of measurements from one animal. R^2 and Hs plotted in each panel. The genotypic color code shown above F is used for panels A-E, H-J.

Figure 6: The *mylpfa^-/-* and the *mylpfa^-/-;mylpfb^-/-* double mutant animals do not swim at high speed but
increase the frequency of slower movements. **(A-C)** Escape response in wild-type or in *mylpfa^-/-* animals,
showing images captured 1/60th of a second apart (A, B). Blue line shows maximal tail bend, quantified in
(C). **(D)** Box plot of distances traveled when the same fish were imaged 25-minutes per day from 2 to 6 dpf.
(E-F) A logarithmic plot of the proportion of time that select genotypes swim at different speeds, with
bootstrap confidence intervals shown for each genotype (E). The inset region highlights differences in the
mylpfa^-/- mutant behaviors that become apparent by 5 dpf (E'). These differences are more striking when
normalized to wild-type siblings (F). **(G, H)** Box plots show total distance traveled (G) or highest movement
speed (H) of the *mylpfa;mylpfb* mutant series at 6 dpf. **(I)** A logarithmic plot of the proportion of time that
select genotypes swim at different speeds, with bootstrap confidence intervals shown for each genotype. **(J)**
The same data is shown after normalization to wild-type behaviors, plotted on a linear scale. **(K)**
Quantification of slow-twitch myofibril size. **(L)** By 6 dpf the slow-twitch myofibrils bundles are wider in the
non-transgenic mutant than their wild-type siblings, seen in cross sectional views, with inset showing the
measured region. **(M)** Quantification of the myofibril bundle CSA within the selected slow-twitch regions. No
significant difference is seen among high-dose Mylpf genotypes, but at low dose, there is a significant
increase in myofibril bundle size, only within slow-twitch fibers. **(N)** Illustration summarizing the effects of
Mylpf loss on slow and fast-twitch muscle. Data in (K-M) comes from datasets examined in Figure 5 for
other measures. Scalebar in A is for A-B. Significance is determined using the Kruskal-Wallis exact test (C),
comparison of bootstrap confidence intervals (E-F, I-J) or Tukey-Kramer comparisons after one-way
ANOVA (D, G, H, K, M). Significance shown in comparison to wild-type siblings, with n.s. of P>0.1, *
P<0.05, ** P<0.01 and *** P<0.001. Genotypic color code is matched across panels G-J, K, and M.
1075

1076

Figure 7: <u>Expression of either *mylpfb-GFP* or *mylpfa-GFP* can rescue *mylpfa*^{-/-} myofibrils to a degree</u>
<u>proportionate with GFP abundance.</u> **(A)** Schematic illustrating the *Tg(mylpfa:mylpfa-GFP)mai102* and
Tg(mylpfa:mylpfb-GFP)mai103 transgenes. **(B)** Box plot showing the variation of fluorescence brightness in
the *mylpfa-GFP* transgenic animals and their non-transgenic siblings. The animals imaged for B were
pooled into groups of 10 and run on acrylamide gels for western blotting. **(C)** Example of a western blot of
Mylpf protein from the wild-type fish and their Mylpfa-GFP+ siblings at 3 dpf shows that the transgene
produces one thin band that is shifted upwards by the addition of GFP. **(D)** Plot showing quantification of the
Mylpfa, and Mylpfa-GFP bands, normalized to the Mylpfa. **(E)** Correlation plot showing that average
fluorescence intensity from these pools corresponds precisely with band intensity in the western blots. **(F)**
Confocal slices through fast-twitch muscle with green GFP and magenta phalloidin (F-actin). Zoomed inset
shows the F-actin channel at 48 hpf. Panels show representative wild-type and *mylpfa*^{-/-} animals that are
non-transgenic (top), express the *mylpfa-GFP* transgene (middle), or the *mylpfb-GFP* transgene (bottom).
(G) Statistical comparisons of myofibril bundle (m.b.) widths in 2 dpf animals. **(H)** Statistical comparisons of
the F-actin sarcomeric fraction for these same samples. **(I)** Scatterplot showing correlations between GFP
brightness and myofibril bundle width at 2 dpf. **(J)** Scatterplot shows correlations between GFP brightness
and the F-actin sarcomeric fraction in the same samples. Points in these panels represent statistical N,
which is the individual animal (B, G-J) or pool of animals (C-E). Significance thresholds are determined by
Tukey-Kramer HSD comparisons after one-way ANOVA: n.s. P>0.1, * indicates P<0.05, *** P<0.001.

1095
1096

1097

1098
Figure 8: Expression of GFP-fused human MYLPF is sufficient to restore fast-twitch myofibril formation in
the *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant. **(A)** Schematic illustrating the *Tg(mylpfa:MYLPF-GFP)mai104* transgene. **(B)**
Scatterplot showing correlations between GFP brightness and the fast-twitch myofibril bundle width from an
intercross of *mylpfa*^{-/+} heterozygotes segregating this transgene. **(C-E)** Examples of confocal images of
mylpfa^{-/-} mutant animals that have no GFP expression (C), dim GFP expression (D), and bright GFP
expression (E). Quantification of these individuals is shown in B. **(F)** Scatterplot of m.b. width data from all
mylpfa-GFP, *mylpfb-GFP*, and *MYLPF-GFP* transgenic experiments in this study (Figure S12), normalized
to the mean wild-type value per experiment. We find similar slopes in the *mylpfa*^{-/-} mutant animals bearing
these transgenes, suggesting that these three Mylpf genes act with equivalent efficiency. None of these
three transgenic lines significantly influence the widths of myofibrils in wild-type (*mylpfa*^{+/+}) animals. Points
in panels B and F represent individual animals.
1110

1111
1112
1113

Figure 9: <u>A MYLPF protein variant found in Distal Arthrogryposis fails to rescue the *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant.</u> **(A)**
Predicted MYLPF structure, with pink color and filled space highlighting the position of Glycine at residue
163. **(B)** Illustration of a construct that expresses GFP-fused human MYLPF or the G163S variant MYLPF.
(C-D) Representative images of *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutants that were injected with plasmid expressing MYLPF-GFP
protein (C) or the G163S variant (D), resulting in mosaic GFP expression. Asterisks indicate balls of highly
disordered GFP fluorescence signal, which are only seen in the G163S MYLPF protein variant. **(E)**
Scatterplot showing correlates between myofibril width and GFP fluorescence for both injected constructs in
the *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant and their WT/het siblings. **(F)** Scatterplot showing the correspondence between F-actin
sarcomeric fraction and GFP fluorescence in the *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant and their WT/het siblings. **(G)** Box plots
showing the degree to which wild-type MYLPF-GFP or the G163S MYLPF-GFP localizes to a sarcomeric
pattern. **(H)** Plot of Spearman's correlation between GFP and F-actin in these GFP-positive animals. **(I-I")**
Representative myofibers from a *mylpfa⁻/⁻* mutant expressing the G163S MYLPF variant from the stable
transgenic allele *Tg(mylpfa:MYLPFG163S-GFP)mai119* alongside the live actin marker
TgBAC(six1b:LifeAct-mTq)mai121. The GFP signal appears mildly sarcomeric but it is not ordered into
myofibrils, despite expression at a high level (I'). The LifeAct-mTq signal also appears disordered (I").
Modest bleed-through from GFP into the mTq channel precludes colocalization analysis. Each point in E-H
represents a statistical N, which is the mean of measurements from one animal. Graphs E and F show
remeasurements of GFP-negative cells (GFP<10) and GFP-positive cells (GFP>10) within the same plot,
divided by a dotted brown line. Significance thresholds in G and H are determined by Tukey-Kramer HSD
comparisons after ANOVA: n.s. is P>0.1, ** indicates P<0.01, *** indicates P<0.001. Scalebar in C is for C-
D, scalebar in I is for I-I".

1135

mylpfa⁻/⁻;mylpfa:MYLPF^G163S-GFP;six1b:LifeAct-mTq

1136

Figure 10: <u>Modeling the impact of Mylpf on muscle structure.</u> Scatterplot graphs, with Y-axis showing the
myofibril bundle (m.b.) widths scaled to the non-transgenic wild-type level per experiment, and the X axis
showing the Mylpf dosage as calculated using formulas shown in Figure S12. **(A)** A plot of data from the
mylpfa;mylpfb mutant series shows strong overlap at each timepoint examined (1, 2, 3, and 6 dpf) using
datapoints presented in Figure 5B-D. The Hill regression model shows a high correlate across timepoints.
(B) A plot of the transgenic data, excluding GFP <10, alongside the most severe Mylpf loss of function
alleles from the mutant lines (*mylpfa⁻/⁻;mylpfb⁺/⁻* and *mylpfa⁻/⁻;mylpfb⁻/⁻*, gray dots). This dataset also produces
a Hill regression with a high R^2, high Hill slope and moderate EC50. Asterisks on Hs and EC50 values
reflect fit of the dataset in panel B for transgenic animals to the regression curve calculated in A for the
mylpfa;mylpfb mutant series; significance threshold. Asterisks, *** indicates P<0.001. **(C)** Examples of three
potential types of phenotypic responses to protein dose. Mylpf appears to have a sigmoidal response curve
with a steep slope at inflection and an EC50 value somewhat below 50% protein dosage. **(D)** A model for
how Mylpf may cooperatively promote sarcomere and myofibril bundle assembly. Our findings support a
role for Mylpf in MyHC localization and Mylpf may cooperatively promote other steps in this process.
1151
1152

1153