1

Tension-induced suppression of allosteric conformational changes explains coordinated stepping of kinesin-1

Tsukasa Makino[1,2,3], Ryo Kanada[4], Teppei Mori[1], Ken-ichi Miyazono[2], Yuta Komori[3], Haruaki Yanagisawa[3], Shoji Takada[4], Masaru Tanokura[2], Masahide Kikkawa[3], and Michio Tomishige[1,5]

[1]*Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
[2]*Department of Applied Biological Chemistry, Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
[3]*Department of Cell Biology and Anatomy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan*
[4]*Department of Biophysics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan*
[5]*Department of Physical Sciences, College of Science and Engineering, Aoyama Gakuin University, Sagamihara, Japan*

Correspondence to Michio Tomishige: tomishige@phys.aoyama.ac.jp
R. Kanada's current affiliation is RIKEN Center for Computational Science, Kobe, Japan

Abstract

The dimeric motor protein kinesin-1 walks along microtubules by alternating ATP

hydrolysis and movement of its two motor domains ("head"). The detached head

preferentially binds to the forward tubulin-binding site after ATP binding, but the

mechanism preventing premature binding to the microtubule while awaiting ATP

remains unknown. Here, we examined the role of the neck linker connecting two heads

in this mechanism. High-resolution structural analyses of the nucleotide-free head

revealed a bulge just forward of the neck linker's base, creating an asymmetric

constraint on its mobility. While the neck linker can stretch freely backward, it must

navigate around the bulge to extend forward. Based on this finding, we hypothesized

that the tethered head's premature binding is suppressed due to an intolerable increase

in neck linker tension. Molecular dynamic simulations and single-molecule fluorescent

assays supported this model. These findings provide a universal tension-based

regulation mechanism in which off-pathway conformational transitions are

thermodynamically suppressed due to the entropy loss associated with neck linker

stretching.

Introduction

Kinesin-1 (hereafter referred to as kinesin) is a dimeric motor protein that transports cargo inside cells by moving toward the plus-end of the microtubule (Vale, 2003). Kinesin is a highly processive motor, capable of taking over 100 consecutive 8-nm steps before detaching from the microtubule. The unidirectional and processive motion of kinesin is powered by a hand-over-hand movement of two motor domains, also known as "heads" (Kaseda et al., 2003, Asbury et al., 2003; Yildiz et al., 2004). After the trailing head detaches from the microtubule, it surpasses the partner head and attaches to the forward-tubulin binding site located 16 nm ahead. The binding and dissociation of the head to and from the microtubule are tightly coupled to its ATP turnover; ADP release stabilizes the microtubule binding of the head, while ATP hydrolysis and phosphate release cause the head to detach from the microtubule (Cross, 2004; Cochran 2015). Consequently, for the kinesin to move hand-over-hand, the ATP hydrolysis cycles of the two heads must be out of phase.

In his elegant experiment, Hackney demonstrated that when a kinesin dimer encounters a microtubule, it releases ADP from only one of its heads (Hackney, 1994). Subsequent ATP binding to the microtubule-bound head is required to release ADP from the second head. This suggests that before ATP binding, one head is bound to the microtubule and is nucleotide-free, and the other head, still bound to ADP, is tethered by its partner. ATP binding to the microtubule-bound head then aids the tethered head in attaching to the microtubule.

To illustrate the ATP-induced stepping of the tethered head, a model involving a conformational change in the neck linker region, a 14 amino-acid stretch that connects the two heads, has been proposed (Vale and Milligan, 2000). The neck linker has been shown to undergo a disordered-to-ordered structural transition depending on the nucleotide state of the head (Rice et al., 1999). In the ATP or ADP·Pi state, the neck linker is docked onto the head, with its distal end pointing forward, whereas in the nucleotide-free or ADP state, it detaches from the head, becoming disordered. This discovery led to the model that docking of the neck linker to the microtubule-bound

head upon ATP-binding moves the tethered head forward, and the subsequent

diffusional search of the tethered head allows it to bind to the forward-tubulin binding

site. This mechanism explains how the tethered head preferentially binds to the forward-

binding site "after ATP binding". However, it does not clarify how the tethered head is

prevented from rebinding to the rear-tubulin binding site "before ATP binding".

The original neck linker docking model, therefore, hypothesized that the ADP-bound

rear head, after Pi release, is not away from the tubulin-binding site; instead, it's still

weakly bound to the microtubule, maintaining a two-head-bound state (Hancock and

Howard, 1999; Vale and Milligan, 2000; Shief et al., 2004). The neck linker docking of

the leading head facilitates the dissociation of the trailing head from the microtubule,

translating the head forward. However, three laboratories using different single-

molecule techniques led to the conclusion that under limited ATP concentrations, the

kinesin dimer stably assumes a one-head-bound state, in which the trailing head is

displaced from the rear-tubulin binding site while waiting for ATP binding (Mori et al.,

2007; Guydosh and Block, 2009; Asenjo et al., 2009). More recent studies with high

temporal resolution single-molecule observations of one of the kinesin heads further

demonstrated that kinesin waits for ATP-binding in the one-head-bound state under a

wide range of ATP concentrations, including saturating ATP conditions (Isojima et al.,

2016; Wolff et al., 2023).

These experiments provided in-depth information about the structural state of the ATP

waiting state; the tethered head is positioned on average to the right and slightly behind

the microtubule-bound head (Mori et al., 2007; Isojima et al., 2016; Wolff et al., 2023),

and has a significant translational and rotational freedom (Guydosh and Block 2009;

Asenjo et al., 2009; Isojima et al, 2016). These findings about the ATP-waiting state

provoke a question: How is the tethered head's rebinding to the rear-tubulin binding site

prevented before ATP-binding? When the neck linkers were artificially extended by

inserting spacers between the neck linker and the coiled-coil stalk, the kinesin dimer

could release both ADP rapidly, even without added ATP (Hackney et al., 2003;

Andreasson et al., 2015), and the tethered head could bind to rear and forward-tubulin

binding sites before ATP-binding to the microtubule-bound head (Yildiz et al., 2008;

Isojima et al., 2016). For the wild-type kinesin in the two-head-bound state, the neck

linkers of the front and rear heads are stretched in opposite directions due to the limited

size of the neck linker. Therefore, these results suggest the tension posed to the neck

linker plays a critical role in suppressing microtubule-binding of the tethered head.

To understand the mechanism that prevents premature binding of the tethered head to

the microtubule during ATP-waiting state, we first studied the neck linker conformation

in the nucleotide-free state using X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy

(cryo-EM). We found that the motility of the neck linker in the nucleotide-free state is

asymmetrically constrained due to a steric hindrance from the motor domain, which

impacts the neck linker's extensibility toward the plus-end of the microtubule. Using

this structural information, we built a model of dimeric kinesin in a two-head-bound

state where both heads are nucletodiee-free (termed T_{apo}-L_{apo} state). Comparing this

with the two-head-bound state with an ATP-bound trailing head and a nucleotide-free

leading head (termed T_{ATP}-L_{apo} state), we theorized that the transition to the T_{apo}-L_{apo}

state is deterred by an excessive increase in neck linker tension. We tested this

hypothesis using molecular dynamic (MD) simulation and single-molecule fluorescence

resonance energy transfer (smFRET). These results suggest a universal tension-based

mechanism that controls the transition from one-head-bound to two-head-bound states,

as well as transitions between two-head-bound states; among the possible

conformational transitions, only those leading to moderate neck-linker extension are

permitted.

Results

Structural analysis of the neck linker in nucleotide-free state

The crystal structure of the nucleotide-free kinesin-1 motor domain has been solved as a

complex with tubulin (Cao et al., 2014) and as mutant kinesins (Cao et al., 2017).

However, the neck linker was truncated in these constructs, leaving the high-resolution

structure of the kinesin-1 neck linker in the nucleotide-free state unclear. Here, we

analyzed the structure of a human kinesin-1 construct of 336 amino acids, which

includes the N-terminal motor domain (1-332 residues) and the subsequent neck linker

(323-336 residues), using X-ray crystallography. Throughout the study, we used a

cysteine-light mutant kinesin, where surface-exposed cysteines were replaced with

either Ala or Ser (Rice et al., 1999; Tomishige and Vale, 2000). Since kinesin in

solution tightly binds ADP and becomes unstable in the absence of both nucleotide and

microtubule (Cross, 2004), we used a G234A mutant kinesin, in which Gly234 residue

in the switch II loop was replaced with Ala. This mutant is known to rapidly release

ADP, even in the absence of microtubules (Rice et al., 1999).

First, we verified that the G234A and cysteine-light mutations did not alter the structure

of the kinesin motor domain in an ADP-bound state (Fig. 1 A). We then successfully

crystallized the G234A mutant kinesin under a nucleotide-free condition. The X-ray

crystal structure was determined at a 2.8 Å resolution (Fig. 1 B; and Table 1). The

electron density map of the nucleotide-binding pocket revealed the absence of a

nucleotide or an ion that mimics inorganic phosphate (Fig. S1). The overall structure of

the G234A motor domain was similar to that of the previously solved nucleotide-free

kinesin-1 (Cao et al., 2014) (Fig. 1 B). The neck linker, directly following the α6-helix

of the motor domain, was only visible for the initial two residues (K323 and T324) (Fig.

1 C); the rest of the neck linker was disordered, consistent with the previous reports

(Rice et al., 1999; Tomishige et al., 2006). The position of T324 is stabilized by a

hydrogen bond with the side chain of R321 residue of α6. In the ADP·AlF$_4$-bound state,

these residues fold into an extra turn of α6-helix (Fig. 1 D), while in the nucleotide-free

state, the α4 helix, which lies vertically to the α6 helix, sterically prevents the α-helix

formation of these residues. Consequently, the unfolded K323 and T324 residues are

excluded from the motor domain and point away from the core (Fig. 1 C). Similar

results were obtained using a crystal structure of G234V mutant (Fig. S2).

Next, we analyzed the structure of kinesin in complex with microtubule using cryo-EM

to elucidate how the microtubule influences the neck linker configuration. Cysteine-

light kinesin-1 construct of 349 amino acids was bound to microtubule under

nucleotide-free conditions, and its structure was reconstituted at a 3.48 Å resolution

(Fig. 2 A-C; Fig. S3), better than that of the previously reported cryo-EM structure of

nucleotide-free kinesin-1 (Shang et al., 2014). The atomic model was built using a

flexible fitting with the crystal structures of the nucleotide-free G234A and GMPPNP-

bound microtubule. The refined model closely resembles the previously reported crystal

structure of nucleotide-free kinesin-tubulin complex (Cao et al., 2014), except that the

N-terminus of α4, which extends upon microtubule-binding, along with L11, was nearly

straight in our structure but distorted toward the plus-end in the Cao et al.'s structure

(Fig. 2, D and E). The discrepancy can be explained by the fact that Cao et al.'s kinesin

is bound to curved tubulin, whereas our cryo-EM model is on the straight microtubule

and better describes the interactions in the kinesin-tubulin interface (Fig. 2; F-H). The

neck linker was mostly disordered, with only the first two residues, K323 and T324,

visible (Fig. 2, B and C), consistent with results from the G234A mutant's crystal

structure. These findings suggest that the neck linker doesn't interact specifically or

stably with either the motor domain or the microtubule surface in the nucleotide-free

state.

Structural feature of nucleotide-free motor domain peripheral to the neck linker

We then turned our attention to the structural feature of the kinesin motor domain

unique in the nucleotide-free state, specifically those parts adjacent to the neck linker,

and studied how these parts influence the configuration of the disordered neck linker. In

previous research, Grant et al. classified 114 distinct chains from 69 kinesin family

crystal structures using principal component analysis and found that they are segregated

into two major groups (termed ATP-like and ADP-like conformational states) along

with a minor cluster that includes exclusively inhibitor-bound kinesin-5 (Grant et al.,

2007; Scarabelli et al., 2013). Here, we performed the principal component analysis on

247 polypeptide chains from 137 kinesin family crystal structures deposited in the

Protein Data Bank, which includes the nucleotide-free crystal structures by Cao et al.,

plus our nucleotide-free structures of kinesin-1 (G234A and G234V crystal and wild-

type cryo-EM structures). The 2D conformer plot of the first two principal components

shows that our nucleotide-free structures and those of Cao et al. form a distinct cluster

separate from the ATP-like and ADP-like states (Fig. 3, A and B), demonstrating that

these nucleotide-free structures represent a third conformational state of kinesin

structure. Other crystal structures of kinesin family proteins without bound nucleotide

(but with an ion bound to P-loop that may mimic phosphate) were classified into ADP-

like or ATP-like states (Shipley et al., 2004; Morikawa et al., 2015; Guan et al., 2017;
Cao et al., 2017).
198

The correlation between the bound-nucleotide and the conformational state of kinesin
crystal structures is not evident in the absence of microtubule (e.g., the ATP-like class
primarily consists of ADP-bound crystal structures). Moreover, a recent cryo-EM
analysis of KIF14 on the microtubule revealed that the AMP-PNP-bound motor domain
could adopt a conformation similar to the nucleotide-free head when its neck linker is
truncated or detached from the head (Benoit et al., 2021). Therefore, we adopted the
nomenclature of kinesin's three conformational states proposed by Sosa's group, which
is based on the openness of the nucleotide-binding pocket rather than the bound-
nucleotide (Benoit et al., 2023); we termed these three classes as open (which includes
our nucleotide-free structures), semi-open (formally known as ADP-like), and closed
(previously known as ATP-like) states (Fig. 3, C-E). We chose the term "semi-open"
over "semi-closed" to describe the ADP-like state because the angle of the subdomain,
which influences the openness of the nucleotide pocket, in the semi-open state is rather
similar to that of the open state (will be described later).
213

The conformational changes from open to closed states in kinesin-1 can be
approximated as relative rigid-body movements of three distinct subdomains, as
demonstrated by Cao et al. (Cao et al., 2014), although this previous analysis did not
include the semi-open state. Here, we identified the subdomains of kinesin-1 by
comparing representative structures from the open, closed, and semi-open states using a
domain-search program (Fig. 4 A). The three subdomains we identified differ from
those identified by Cao et al.; one of the domains remains nearly stationary on the
microtubule during the conformational changes between three states (we refer to this as
Bottom-domain or B-domain), and the other two domains move relative to the
stationary B-domain during the conformational changes (Fig. 4 B), which are spatially
divided into front and rear halves of the motor domain, with the central α4 helix as a
border (Fig. 4, C and D); therefore, we termed Front- and Rear-domains, or F- and R-
domains.
227

Nucleotide-dependent subdomain motions affect the neck linker mobility

The transition from semi-open to open conformation, coupled with the release of ADP from the motor domain after microtubule-binding, results in anticlockwise rotational movements of the F-domain when viewed from the minus-end, while the R-domain rotates clockwise (Fig. 4B; Video 1). The rotational movement of the R-domain (containing P-loop and α6 helix; Fig. 4 A) relative to the B-domain (including α4 helix) leads to the opening of the nucleotide-binding pocket located on the left side, and a steric clash between the C-terminus of α4 on the right side and the distal end of α6 where the neck linker is linked (Fig. 4, B and C). This steric hindrance likely imposes an asymmetric constraint on the mobility of the disordered neck linker (Fig. 4 D; Video 2). Specifically, the neck linker can stretch freely backward (toward the microtubule's minus-end), while its forward mobility is restricted by the steric hindrance from the C-terminus of α4 helix and subsequent L12.

To explore the hypothesis that the neck linker's mobility is asymmetrically constrained in the nucleotide-free/open state, we visualized the position of the mobile neck linker in the nucleotide-free state by labeling it with a gold cluster (Rice et al., 1999; Kikkawa et al., 2000). To comprehend the influence of steric hindrance near the neck linker's proximal end, a maleimide-modified gold cluster with a diameter of 1.4 nm was attached to the thiol residue of T328C in a cysteine-light kinesin head, which is four amino acids away from the last ordered neck linker's residue T324. A cryo-EM density map with a resolution of 15 Å showed that the density corresponding to the gold cluster appeared as an ellipsoidal shape extending along the microtubule protofilament (Fig. 5 A). The centroid of the gold density is 2.37 nm away from the T324 residue, a distance consistent with the combined length of a 4 amino-acids peptide (approximately 1.5 nm), the maleimide linker, and the gold cluster's radius. The gold density is located rearward from the proximal end of the neck linker or the distal end of α6 helix and away from the microtubule surface. These observations support the hypothesis that, in the absence of a bound nucleotide, the mobility of the disordered neck linker is biased toward the minus end of the microtubule. This finding is also consistent with the right and rearward positioning of the tethered head relative to the microtubule-bound head in kinesin dimer

during the ATP-waiting (Fig. 5 B), as evidenced by single-molecule observations as

described in the Introduction (Mori et al., 2007; Isojima et al., 2016; Wolff et al., 2023).

When the kinesin motor domain transits from open to closed state after ATP-binding,

both the Front- and Rear-domains rotate anticlockwise relative to the Bottom-domain

(Fig. 4 B; Video 1). The R-domain, in particular, showcases a substantial rotational

movement that leads to the closure of the nucleotide pocket on the left side and

translates the distal end of α6 along with β1 away from α4 on the right side. As a result,

the distal end of the α6 helix has room to extend, and K323 and T324 residues,

previously excluded from the core in the nucleotide-free state, now contribute to an

additional half-turn of α6 (Figs. 1D and 4D; Video 2). Simultaneously, the rotation of

the F-domain unveils a hydrophobic pocket between α4 and β1. The formation of the α-

helix by K323 and T324 moves the neighboring I325 residue close to the hydrophobic

pocket and reorients its hydrophobic side chain, allowing the Ile side chain to bind

complementarily to the hydrophobic pocket—an essential step in initiating neck linker

docking (Vale and Milligan, 2000; Cao et al., 2014, Sindelar 2011). Finally, the rotation

of the F-domain exposes the groove where the remainder of the neck linker forms two

β-sheets and docks onto the head, completing the neck linker docking.

Structural basis to prevent rebinding of the tethered head while waiting for ATP

The asymmetric constraint on the neck linker in the nucleotide-free state provides

insights into the mechanism that prevents the transition to the T_{apo}-L_{apo} state while

waiting for ATP binding. Given that the contour length of one of the unfolded neck

linkers is about 5.3 nm (assuming a contour length of 3.8A per amino acid (Hyeon and

Onuchic, 2007; Hariharan and Hancock, 2009)), when both heads of kinesin dimer bind

to adjacent tubulin-binding sites separated by 8.2 nm, the neck linker in the leading

head stretches backward, while the one in the trailing head stretches forward. We

created a model of the kinesin dimer on a microtubule in the T_{apo}-L_{apo} state (or T_{open}-

L_{open}) state, where both heads are nucleotide-free and adopt the open conformation.

First, the atomic models of two nucleotide-free heads are placed on the adjacent tubulin-

binding sites and are connected by disordered neck linker chains (Fig. S4, A and B).

Then, a typical configuration of the disordered neck linker chains in the thermal

equilibrium state was estimated using MD simulations (Fig. S4 C; Video 3).

The neck linker of the leading nucleotide-free/open head stretches backward without

steric hindrance; however, that of the trailing open head cannot go straight toward the

plus-end of microtubule due to the steric hindrance posed by the $\alpha 4$ helix (Fig. 6 A,

left). In the equilibrium configuration, the neck linker in the trailing head detours

around to extend forward. To illustrate the difference between the neck linkers of

trailing head in the open and closed conformational states, we also modeled the kinesin

dimer in the two-head-bound state where the trailing head is ATP-bound and in the

closed conformation (T_{ATP}-L_{apo} or T_{close}-L_{open}; Fig. 6 A, right; Video 4). The distance

between T328 residue in the trailing head and I325 residue in the leading head increases

by 0.96 nm in T_{open}-L_{open} compared to T_{closed}-L_{open} (Fig. 6 A). Given that the disordered

polypeptide chain acts like an entropic spring, extending the end-to-end distance of the

disordered neck linker increases the tension applied to both ends. To estimate the

amount of this tension, we applied a harmonic potential to the Cα atoms at both ends of

the disordered neck linker region and calculated the tension from the average

displacement of the Cα atom from the potential minimum using MD simulations (Fig. 7,

A and B; Fig. S4 D). The calculated tension on the neck linker in T_{open}-L_{open} was 98 pN,

which is twice as much as in the T_{closed}-L_{open} state (39 pN, comparable to previous

calculations (Hariharan and Hancock, 2009)) (Fig. 7 C). Therefore, we hypothesized

that the transition from the one-head-bound state to the T_{open}-L_{open} two-head-bound state

is thermodynamically unfavorable because it would result in an intolerable increase in

the tension applied to the neck linker, while the transition to the T_{closed}-L_{open} state is

feasible, as the tension applied to the neck linker is lower and might be tolerable.

Effect of tension of the neck linker on the tethered head binding

The role of tension applied to the neck linker on processive motility of kinesin dimer

has been explored through the use of mutant kinesins with artificially extended neck

linkers. Past research found that the velocity of the mutant's processive motion

decreased, but the ATP turnover rate remained unchanged compared to the wild-type

(Yildiz et al., 2008; Clancy et al., 2011; Andreasson et al., 2015). These findings are

consistent with the above hypothesis, as it predicts that reduced tension on the neck

linker would facilitate the transition to the T_{apo}-L_{apo} state, and additional ATP

consumption is needed to return to the one-head-bound state, impairing the coupling

between ATP hydrolysis and the forward step. To gather more direct evidence for this

hypothesis, we systematically examined the relationship between the amount of tension

applied to the neck linker and the probability of transitioning to two-head-bound states

in the absence of ATP.

We used neck linker-extended mutants with varying levels of tension and observed their

impact on the transition to the T_{apo}-L_{apo} state. To increase the contour length of the

disordered neck linker, we inserted poly-Gly residues of different lengths between the

last two junctional residues of the neck linker (L335 and T336 residues; Fig. 8 A)

(Yildiz et al. 2008; Clancy et al., 2011; Isojima et al., 2016). Then, we used a single-

molecule FRET (smFRET) sensor to detect the transition between one-head-bound and

two-head-bound states of the neck-linker extended mutants. Cysteine residues were

introduced at the tip of one head (215Cys) and the base of another head (43Cys) on the

cysteine-light background and were labeled with donor and acceptor dyes (Fig. 8 A).

Previous studies showed that in the presence of a non-hydrolyzable ATP analogue,

kinesin with this smFRET sensor displayed low (10%) and high (90%) FRET

efficiencies, representing the two-head-bound state (Mori et al., 2007). In contrast, with

a low amount of ADP, kinesin exhibited a distinct median (~30%) FRET efficiency,

indicating a one-head-bound state. To occasionally revert the transition towards the one-

head-bound state and observe the ATP-independent transitions from one-head-bound to

two-head-bound state, the observations were carried out in the presence of 2 μM ADP

(ADP binds a few times per second, assuming ADP binding rate of 2 $\mu M^{-1}s^{-1}$ (Cross,

2004)).

SmFRET observations revealed that the kinesin mutant, which has its neck linkers

extended by inserting 3 poly-Gly residues (referred to as G3), frequently transitions to

two-head-bound states and then reverts back to a one-head-bound state triggered by

occasional ADP-binding (Fig. 8 B). Under the same condition, the wild-type kinesin,

without neck linker extension (termed G0), rarely shows transitions to the two-head-

bound state. When the neck linker was further extended by 5 poly-Gly insertions, the

duration of the one-head-bound state became negligible (Fig. 8 B), resulting in the

mutant spending most of its time in two-head-bound states. The distribution of FRET

efficiency shows that the G5 mutant occupies both high and low FRET states (i.e., two-

head-bound states where either the donor- or acceptor-labeled head is leading) with

nearly equal probability (Fig. 8 C), indicating that the detached head can bind to both

forward and rearward tubulin-binding sites. The population of the medium FRET state

(one-head-bound state) decreased as the number of inserted residues increased, and the

two-head-bound states dominated for mutants with more than 5 poly-Gly insertions

(Fig. 8 C). We reasoned that the G5 mutant could transition quickly from one-head-

bound to T_{apo}-L_{apo} or T_{open}-L_{open} states due to a reduced tension applied to the neck

linker compared to the wild-type (98 pN). We used MD simulation to estimate this

tension in the G5 mutant's T_{open}-L_{open} state and found that the tension was reduced to 19

pN (Fig. S5), which is now comparable to that in the T_{closed}-L_{open} state of wild-type (39

pN). These findings support the theory that the amount of tension applied to the neck

linker is critical in regulating the transition from one-head-bound to two-head-bound

states.

Discussion

In the present study, we investigate the role of the neck linker in preventing the

premature binding of the unbound head to the microtubule before ATP-binding triggers

the forward stepping of the head. High-resolution structural observations and the

principal component analysis of kinesin structures reveal that the nucleotide-free

structures belong to the distinct "open" conformational state (Fig. 3 A); in this state, the

bulge of the C-terminal end of α4 on the surface of the head is located just forward from

the C-terminus end of α6, to which the neck linker is attached (Fig. 4 D). This creates a

steric hindrance when the neck linker is pulled forward but does not interfere when it is

pulled backward (Fig. 6 A). MD simulation demonstrated that the tension applied to the

neck linker in the T_{open}-L_{open} state is over twice as high as that in the T_{close}-L_{open} state

(Fig. 7 C). While the steric hindrance is small (approximately a 0.8 nm hemisphere), the

tension increases significantly when the extension occurs during a rapidly increasing

phase of the nonlinear force-extension curve of the entropic spring (Hariharan and

Hancock, 2009). SmFRET observations of neck linker extended mutants further support

the notion that the transition from one-head-bound to T_{open}-L_{open} state is prohibited due

to an intolerable tension increase (Fig. 8).

Because the tension applied to the disordered neck linker is only effective during the

two-head-bound state, previous studies have mainly focused on how the tension of the

neck linker influences the transition from a two-head-bound to a one-head-bound state

(Block, 2007; Valentine and Gilbert, 2007; Gennerich and Vale, 2009). Here we show

that the tension applied to the neck linker is also essential in regulating the transition

from the one-head-bound to the two-head-bound state (Fig. 9, red rectangle). Since the

conformational state doesn't strictly correlate with the nucleotide state, in the following

section, we'll represent each state during the cycle as the nucleotide

state/conformational state. The tethered ADP-bound head is in the semi-open

conformational state and might transiently bind to the forward or rearward tubulin-

binding site relative to the microtubule-bound nucleotide-free/open head. We did not

estimate the tension in this two-head-bound state because the high-resolution structure

of a semi-open state bound to the microtubule is not available as this state is unstable

and transient (Benoit et al., 2021). Therefore, we can only speculate that the tension

would lie somewhere between that of the T_{close}-L_{open} and T_{open}-L_{open} states and that the

binding to the microtubule might be somewhat restricted due to an increase in tension.

Even when the tethered head managed to bind to the microtubule, the conformational

transition of the ADP-bound head from semi-open to open state is prohibited as it would

associate a significant increase in the tension on the neck linker, thereby maintaining the

one-head-bound state. ATP-binding to the microtubule-bound head then induces

rotational movement of the R-domain, moving the C-terminal of α6 upward from the

bulge of α4, diminishing the asymmetric constraint on the neck linker. Under this

condition, the tethered head binds to the forward tubulin-binding site and can transit to

an ADP-bound/open state because the neck linker tension in this state is tolerable,

followed by rapid ADP release from the head. Here, we highlight that the neck linker

tension influences the allosteric transitions among three conformational states, not the

nucleotide state directly.

The transition from semi-open to open conformation associated with ADP release is

thermodynamically favorable for a head without neck linker constraint upon

encountering the microtubule (Cross, 2004; Atherton et al., 2014; Benoit et al., 2023).

However, in the case of dimeric kinesin during processive movement, this transition is

also influenced by the level of neck linker tension; if the entropy reduction caused by

stretching the disordered neck linker region exceeds the free energy decrease associated

with the semi-open to open conformational transition of the head upon microtubule

binding, the transition would become thermodynamically unfavorable (i.e., the sum of

the free energy change of the head and the disordered neck linker increases). When the

neck linker tension is reduced by inserting more than 5 poly-Gly residues, the loss in

entropy decreases, making the transition to the T_{open}-L_{open} state favorable.

This tension-based regulation mechanism (suppression of the thermodynamically

favorable conformational transition by decreasing entropy) is universal and can be

applied to other allosteric conformational transitions that alter the extensibility of the

neck linker. For instance, when ATP binds to the leading head of the T_{close}-L_{open} state

(Fig. 9, purple rectangle), the transition of the leading head from open to closed

conformation (T_{close}-L_{close} state) increases the neck linker tension from 39 pN to 125 pN,

under the condition that only the initial segment (residues 323-325) of the neck linker is

docked onto the head (Figs. 6 B and 7). We included the I325 residue as it is crucial to

thermodynamically stabilize the hydrophobic pocket exposed to the solvent in the

closed state (Vale and Milligan, 2000; Sindelar, 2011, Cao et al, 2014). This excessive

increase in tension would even prevent the initial I325 residue from docking onto the

head. Therefore, even after ATP binding, the closed state is unstable in the leading

head, and the head remains in the ATP-bound/open state (Benoit et al., 2023), which

may follow by reversible ATP release. This sharply contrasts with the trailing head in

the T_{close}-L_{open} state, which is stabilized in the ATP-bound/closed state due to the

forward strain applied to the neck linker, because the reverse transition of the trailing

head from the ATP-bound/closed state to the ATP-bound/open state (from T_{close}-L_{open}

to T_{open}-L_{open} state) is restricted by tension increase, as previously explained. ATP-

hydrolysis and Pi release would then proceed in the stabilized closed nucleotide-pocket

(Parke et al., 2010). Our kinetic measurements, which distinguished between leading

and trailing heads, revealed that these heads are stabilized in low and high ATP-affinity

state, respectively, and the neck linker strain controls the equilibrium between these

states (Niitani et al., 2024). These findings further support the idea that neck linker

tension influences the allosteric conformational transition, rather than directly affecting

the nucleotide state. Hence, the tension-based regulation mechanism provides a

rationale for the alternating catalysis of two heads during processive movement.

Moreover, this tension-based regulation mechanism provides a distinct mechanism from

the neck linker docking model described earlier to explain the preferential forward

stepping of the unbound head (Fig. 9, green hexagonal box). Assuming the microtubule-

bound head binds ATP and transitioned to a closed state—while its neck linker remains

detached—the tethered head can freely diffuse to both forward and rearward tubulin-

binding sites. This assumption stems from the discovery of a relatively small free

energy change associated with neck linker docking (Rice et al., 2003). However, the

transition from semi-open to open state happens only at the forward binding site. We

created a model of the T_{open}-L_{close} dimer (after the tethered head binds to the rear

binding site) where the leading head is in the closed state, but its neck linker is mostly

detached from the head, leaving the first two amino acids to form an additional α6 helix

(Fig. 6 C). The estimated tension in this state, according to an MD simulation, is 116

pN, which is even higher than that in the T_{open}-L_{open} state (Fig. 7). Thus, this transition is

thermodynamically unfavorable, and the transition to the T_{close}-L_{open} state will likely

occur instead. In this model, neck linker docking is not necessary to account for the

differences in neck linker tension (or entropy reduction); instead, it relies on the

presence or absence of steric hindrance near the base of the neck linker in the trailing

head. This idea is consistent with the findings of Taniguchi et al. (2005), who observed

temperature-dependent stepping under load and demonstrated that the directionality of

the kinesin step primarily originated from an entropic asymmetry. This model also

suggests that the neck linker-docking is not necessary; rather, rotation of the R-domain

that diminishes the steric hindrance is sufficient to trigger the forward step after ATP

binding. The two mechanisms explaining preferential forward stepping are not mutually

exclusive. However, the tension-based mechanism may be crucial in preventing the

tethered head from rebinding to the rear binding site, even after ATP-induced

isomerization, especially under hindering load. Future studies are required to

experimentally verify these models and gain a better understanding of how neck linker

tension controls chemical and mechanical transitions during hand-over-hand motion.

Materials and Methods

DNA cloning and protein purification

Cysteines (S43C, E215C, T328C) or mutation in the switch II loop (G234A or G234V)

were introduced into a "cysteine-light" human ubiquitous kinesin-1 349-residure

monomer with C-terminal His$_6$ tag (K349CLM), 336-residure monomer with N-

terminal His$_6$ tag (K336CLM), or 490-residue heterodimer with C-terminal His$_6$ tag and

Strep-tag (Rice et al., 1999; Tomishige et al., 2006; Mori et al., 2007). For the neck

linker mutations, poly-glycine residues were inserted between L335 and T336 residues

(Isojima et al., 2016), by PCR cloning.

Monomers and heterodimers were purified as described previously (Tomishige et

al, 2000; Tomishige et al., 2006). For crystallization of nucleotide-free kinesin,

K336CLM with G234A or G234V mutation was purified as described (Tomishige and

Vale, 2000) and the elution from Ni-NTA resin was diluted in the buffer containing

20% (w/v) sucrose, 1 mM DTT, and 50 U/ml apyrase (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, the

purified kinesin supplemented with apyrase was dialyzed against 25 mM PIPES (pH

7.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl$_2$ and 1 mM DTT for 1-2 hours to

remove nucleotides in the solution, and further incubated with apyrase for 12-16 hours

at 4ºC to hydrolyze residual ATP and ADP. The sample was then purified using

MonoQ column as described (Case et al, 1997; Tomishige and Vale, 2000), except that

buffers without ATP were used and the column was equilibrated with 25 mM PIPES

(pH 6.8), 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl$_2$, 1 mM DTT and 50 mM NaCl. For

crystallization of ADP-bound kinesin, K349CLM with G234A mutation was used,

which was purified as describe above except that dialysis and incubation with apyrase

were omitted. For cryo-EM observation, K349CLM was used.

Crystallization, data collection and structural determination

Purified proteins with apyrase treatment were concentrated to 3-4 mg/ml in a

crystallization buffer (10 mM Mops-NaOH (pH 7.0), 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 1

mM DTT, 50 mM NaCl, and 20 % (w/v) sucrose). Crystallization was carried out at

4ºC by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method with a subsequent streak-seeding. Initial

crystals were obtained using a G234V mutant kinesin, which was purified with the same

procedures with the G234A mutant, under a reservoir solution conditions of 20-21%

PEG3350, 100 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.7-8.0, 200 mM ammonium acetate, 3% xylitol

(PS1). G234A mutant crystals were grown in the PS1 by streak-seeding method using a

crystal of G234V mutant as a crystal seed. Then, G234A crystal for diffraction was

obtained by additional streak-seeding using the crystals of G234A as a crystal nuclei, to

exclude the contamination of G234V protein in the crystal. For ADP-bound G234A,

protein was concentrated to ~15 mg/ml in the crystallization buffer. Crystals were

obtained under reservoir solution conditions of 23% PEG3350, 100 mM Bis-Tris pH 5.5

at 20ºC. The crystals were transferred into each reservoir solution supplemented with

24% glycerol as a cryoprotectant and flash-cooled at 95K. X-ray diffraction data of apo

and ADP-bound kinesin were collected on the BL-5A and NW-12 beamlines

(wavelength l = 1.00 Å) at the Photon Factory, respectively. All X-ray diffraction data

were integrated and scaled using the program XDS (Kabsch, 1993). The unit cell

parameters are described in Table 1.

The structures were determined by the molecular replacement method using the

coordinates of human kinesin-1 ADP-like structure (PDB# 1BG2; Kull et al., 1996) by

the program Phaser (McCoy et al., 2007). Each initial model was refined and rebuilt

using programs CNS (Brünger et al., 1998) and Coot (Emsley et al., 2010). In the final

refinement process, the program Refmac5 (Murshudov et al., 1997) was used. The data

collection and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 1. We expect that the

relatively high R-factor for nucleotide-free G234A (Table 1) is likely due to the weak

electron density (higher disorder) for the α0 and β2a region.

Cryo-EM sample preparation, image analysis and model building

For high resolution cryo-EM observation, frozen grids of kinesin-decorated

microtubules were prepared and imaged as described (Nishida et al., 2020) with some

modifications. GMPCPP-microtubule (0.03 mg/ml polymerized in BRB80 (80 mM

PIPES, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM $MgSO_4$)) was decorated with monomer kinesin K349CLM

described above (0.2 mg/ml) in BRB80 on glow-discharged, carbon-coated grid

(Quantifoil R 1.2/1.3, Cu/Rh, 300 mesh) supplemented apyrase (5 U/ml). Blotting and

vitrifying were done using Vitrobot (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cryo-EM data were

acquired on a 200-KeV Talos Arctica electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Data collection was done as described (Nishida et al., 2020). A total of 2,224 movie

stacks were recorded. Image of helical 14-protofilament microtubules were processed

with Relion-3 (Zivanov et al., 2018), PyFilamentPicker (Nishida et al., 2020) and

Frealign (Grigorieff, 2007), as described (Nishida et al., 2020), except that the CTF

parameters were estimated by CTFFIND 4 (Rohou and Grigorieff, 2015). After 3

cycles of pseudo-helical refinements, 74,859 particles (8 nm repeat of microtubule)

were selected for several cycles of 3D auto-refinement followed by CTF refinement and

Bayesian polishing in Relion-3. In total, data representing ~1,050,000 asymmetric units

from 2,438 microtubules were averaged. The overall gold-standard resolution was 3.48

Å. The local resolution was calculated with MonoRes (Vilas et al., 2018) and the

output resolution map was used for local sharpening with LocalDeblur (Ramírez-

Aportela et al., 2020).

To develop an atomic model for kinesin-microtubule complex, the atomic

structure of tubulin (PDB# 3JAT; Zhang et al., 2015) and our G234A apo crystal

structure were fit in the sharpened map using UCSF chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

For model refinement, we used the MDFF package (Trabuco et al., 2008) as described

(Nishida et al., 2020), and PHENIX (Adams et al., 2010). Refined model was used for

further sharpening of maps with LocScale (Jakobi et al., 2017).

Cryo-EM sample preparation, image analysis for gold-labeled kinesin

For gold-cluster labeling, purified K349CLM with T328C mutation was labeled with

monomaleimide-undecagold as described (Kikkawa et al., 2000). Free gold-cluster and

unlabeled kinesin were removed through RESOURCE S column (1 ml, GE Healthcare)

chromatography. The efficiency of labeling was ~80% as estimated using SDS-PAGE.

The eluted fraction of the gold-labeled protein was concentrated with Microcon-YM-3

(Millipore), and then dialyzed against a BRB12 solution (12 mM PIPES (pH6.8), 1 mM

EGTA and 2 mM MgCl$_2$) using micro-dialysis device with 10k MWCO dialysis

membrane (Spectrum Laboratories) for 1 hr immediately before the EM preparation.

Frozen grids of gold-labeled kinesin-decorated microtubules were prepared and

imaged as described (Kikkawa et al., 2000) with some modifications. Microtubule (0.8

mg/ml) was decorated with gold-labeled kinesin heads (0.6 mg/ml) in BRB12 buffer

supplemented 20 mM taxol and apyrase (5-10 U/ml). Cryo-EM images were recorded

with a JEM-3000SFF electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a

field emission gun and a super-fluid helium stage (Fujiyoshi et al., 1991) and operated

at 300 kV. Images were recorded on SO-163 (Kodak, USA) at a nominal magnification

of 40,000x, using a 2-second exposure and a total electron dose of 20 electrons /

$Å^2$. The micrographs were developed for 14 min at 20ºC using full-strength D19

developer. Selected films were digitized at a step size of 10 μm with a CCD film

scanner LeafScan 45 (Scitex), which corresponds to 2.5 Å on the samples.

Cryo-EM images were processed with a script system, Ruby-Helix (Metlagel et

al., 2007). Images of helical 15-protofilament microtubules were analyzed as

described. In total, data representing ~9,000 asymmetric units in 33 segments from four

microtubules were averaged.

Principal component analysis (PCA) of kinesin structures

PCA of kinesin structures were performed on Bio3D package as described (Grant et al.,

2007). Atomic coordinates for kinesin superfamily crystal structures (247 motor

domain chains extracted from 137 structures (until PDB# 6G6Z) deposited in PDB plus

our G234A and G234V apo crystal structures and cryo-EM apo structure were used.

Prior to the PCA, structures were superposed on the invariant "core" residues consisting

of 31 residues (see Fig. 3 C, colored in gray; residues 10-15, 48-49, 80-85, 228-231,

297-303, 311-316, which are part of structural elements β1, β2, β3, β7, β8 and α6).

Subdomains that are invariant in the semi-open, open and closed states were

identified with the program GeoStas (Romanowska et al., 2012), using PDB# 5LT1

(Cao et al., 2017) as semi-open state, our EM-apo structure as open and PDB# 4HNA as

closed states.

Modeling of kinesin-tubulin complex for semi-open and closed states

Kinesin-tubulin complex structures were constructed by fitting crystal structures on the

cryo-EM maps by UCSF Chimera. The crystal structures of semi-open (PDB# 5TL1;

Cao et al., 2017), and closed (#4HNA; Grant et al., 2013) kinesin-1 along with the

tubulin dimer model from our cryo-EM-apo structure were fit to the cryo-EM density

map of ADP-bound (EMD-5164) and ADP-AlF$_x$ bound (EMD-6188) kinesin-tubulin

complex (Sindelar and Downing, 2010; Shang et al., 2014).

Modeling of dimeric kinesin and refinement using molecular dynamic simulation

Modeling of dimeric kinesin and refinement using molecular dynamic simulation

For dimeric kinesin models, two kinesin head-tubulin complexes created as described

above were fitted to adjacent tubulin-dimer portions within single protofilament of the

cryo-EM density map (EMD-1340; Sindelar and Downing, 2007). The coordinates for

the disordered neck linker region and neck coiled-coil were modeled based on those of

dimeric kinesin (PDB#3KIN; Kozielski et al., 1997) and loop modeling by satisfaction

of spatial restraints were performed using MODELLER program (Fiser et al., 2000).

Then MD simulations were applied to the manually modeled neck linker to refine the

positions of the disordered neck linker region. The MD calculation refines the neck

linker configuration but does not significantly affect the end-to-end distance of the neck

linker.

The MD simulations of the disordered neck linker were performed by

GROMACS 4.0.2 (Berendsen et al., 1995; Lindahl et al., 2001) with the AMBER-99SB

for proteins (Lindahl et al., 2001; Sorin and Pande, 2005; DePaul et al., 2010) and the

TIP3P for waters. The simulation system contained the disordered neck linker, neck

coiled-coil, all the atoms of the kinesin motor domain and tubulin within 12 Å from the

neck linker, and a few additional residues for continuity, which was solvated with

waters and counter ions in a box with water layers at least 9 Å from the protein. The

position restraints were applied to all the non-H atoms except the disordered neck linker

and the neck coiled-coil, and to the main chain atoms at the boundary residues between

the head and the neck-linker; K323 residue in the leading head and E334 (T_{closed}) or

K323 residue (T_{open}) in the trailing head. To inhibit unzipping of the coiled-coil, we

added a harmonic potential between the Cα atoms of the residue 337 in both

chains. All the systems were first energy-minimized and waters and ions were then

equilibrated for 1 ns. The production runs were performed for 30 ns for T_{closed}-L_{open}, 20

ns for T_{closed}-L_{closed}, 16 ns for T_{open}-L_{open}, and 30 ns for T_{open}-L_{closed}, in which the

coordinates were saved every 2ps (every 1ps for the T_{open}-L_{open} state). The simulations

employed the NPT ensemble (Parrinello and Rahman, 1981; Berendsen et al., 1984)

with 300 K and 1 bar, the periodic boundary conditions, the particle mesh Ewald

(Darden et al., 1993) with cut-off 1.0 nm for the electrostatics, the van der Waals cutoff

1.4 nm, the LINCS for constraining bond lengths (Hess et al., 1997), and 1 fs and 2 fs

time step in the production run for the T_{open}-L_{open} and for the others, respectively. For

each simulation, convergence was assessed by a few measures.

Estimation of the tension applied to the neck linker

The MD simulations for the estimation of tension posed to the disordered neck linker in

the refined dimer models were performed by GROMACS 4.5.5 with the AMBER-99SB

for proteins and the TIP3P for waters. The simulation system contained the neck

coiled-coil and the disordered neck linker region of which two ends are E334 for T_{closed}

or T328 residue for T_{open} in the trailing head and I325 residue in the leading head

(Figure S4 D). These protein segments were solvated with waters and counter ions in a

box with water layers at least 15 Å from the protein. The initial structures were taken

from the refinement structures shown in Fig. 6. To evaluate the tension applied to the

neck linker in each conditions, the distance constraints were applied to the Cα atoms of

the edge residues in both ends of the neck-linker in the form of harmonic potential: k (R

- R_0)^2, where R is the distance between Cα atoms on two ends, spring-constant k is set

as 105 kJ/mol/nm^2, constraint distance R_0 is set as the corresponding average distance

for each system during the refinement MD. To inhibit unwinding of the neck coiled-

coil, we added a harmonic potential between the Cα atoms of the residue 337 in the two

polypeptides as described above. Starting from the refined structures, all the systems

were first energy-minimized and waters and ions were then equilibrated for 1ns. The

production runs for estimation of the tension were performed for 200 ns for T_{closed}-L_{open},

and 100 ns for the other systems, in which the deviation of the distance from the

constraint distance R_0 were saved every 0.2 ps. The neck linker tension was calculated

as the spring constant k multiplied by the deviation from the constraint distance R_0.

Other simulation protocols are the same as those described in the refinement-MD. For

each system, convergence of the tension was confirmed (see Fig. 7 A).

Single-molecule FRET observations

Single molecule FRET measurement and data analysis were carried out as described

previously (Tomishige et al., 2006; Mori et al., 2007) using Cy3- and Cy5-labeled

heterodimers (E215C in one chain and S43C in the other chain) with insertions of poly-

Gly between L335 and T336 residues. Dual-labeled heterodimer molecules bound to the

axoneme in the presence of 0.2-10 µM ADP and 5 U/ml hexokinase (which converts

contaminating ATP to ADP) were excited with 514 nm argon laser (35LAP321; Melles

Griot), and donor and acceptor fluorophores were simultaneously observed using a

Dual-View (Optical Insights) and EM-CCD camera (iXon DV860; Andor) at an

acquisition rate of 100 frames/s.

Online supplemental material

Supplemental data and legends relating to Figs. 1, 2, 6, and 7 can be found in Figs S1, S2, S3, S4, and S5.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request. Coordinates will be deposited to PDB and EMDB.

Acknowledgments

We thank M. Nakajima for assistance in the cloning of constructs, C. Sindelar for providing cryo-EM density maps, Y. Fujiyoshi for use of cryo-EM microscope for gold-labeled kinesin, R. Vale for helpful discussions. The synchrotron-radiation experiments were performed at the Photon Factory (2003S2-002 and 2008S2-001).

M. Tomishige, M. Kikkawa and T. Makino are supported by Research Grant for Young Investigators from Human Frontier Science Program. M. Tomishige is supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas from MEXT, and by the Asahi Glass Foundation. M. Tanokura is supported by the National Project on Protein Structural and Functional Analyses and the Targeted Proteins Research Program of MEXT.

Author contributions: M. Tomishige and T. Makino conceived and designed the experiments. T. Makino carried out purification, crystallization, structural determination and analysis with K. Miyazono and M. Tanokura. T. Makino and M. Kikkawa performed cryo-EM observation and analysis with Y. Komori and H. Yanagisawa. R. Kanada and S. Takada ran MD simulations. T. Mori and M. Tomishige carried out smFRET observations. T. Makino, R. Kanada and T. Mori prepared figures and M. Tomishige wrote the manuscript.

Disclosures: The authors declare no competing interests exist.

References

References

Adams, P. D. et al. 2010. PHENIX: A comprehensive Python-based system for

macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.*

66:213–221.

Andreasson, J.O.L., B. Milic, G-Y. Chen, N.R. Guydosh, W.O. Hancock, and S.M.

Block. 2015. Examining kinesin processivity within a general gating framework.

Elife. 4:e07403.

Atherton, J. et al. Conserved mechanisms of microtubule-stimulated ADP release, ATP

binding, and force generation in transport kinesins. *Elife* **3**, e03680 (2014).

Asbury, C.L., A.N. Fehr, and S.M. Block. 2003. Kinesin moves by an asymmetric hand-

over-hand mechanism. *Science.* 302:2130-2134.

Asenjo, A.B., and H. Sosa. 2009. A mobile kinesin-head intermediate during the ATP-

waiting state. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 106:5657–5662.

Benoit M.P.M.H. et al. 2021. Structural basis of mechano-chemical coupling by the

mitotic kinesin KIF14. *Nat. Commun.* 12:3637.

Benoit, M.P.M.H., B. Hunter, J.S. Allingham, and H. Sosa. 2023. New insights into the

mechanochemical coupling mechanism of kinesin-microtubule complexes from their

high-resolution structures. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 51:1505-1520.

Berendsen, H.J.C., J.P.M. Postma, W.F. van Gunsteren, A. DiNola, and J.R. Haak. 1984.

Molecular dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J. Chem. Phys.* 81:3684–3690.

Berendsen, H.J.C., D. van der Spoel, and R. van Drunen. 1995. GROMACS: A message-

passing parallel molecular dynamics implementation. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 91:43–

56.

Block, S.M. 2007. Kinesin motor mechanics: binding, stepping, tracking, gating, and

limping. *Biophys. J.* 92:2986-2995.

Brünger, A.T. et al. 1998. Crystallography & NMR system: A new software suite for

macromolecular structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.*

54:905–921.

Cao, L. et al. 2014. The structure of apo-kinesin bound to tubulin links the nucleotide

cycle to movement. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5364.

Cao, L., S. Cantos-Fernandes, and B. Gigant. 2017. The structural switch of nucleotide-

free kinesin. *Sci. Rep.* 7:42558.

Case, R.B., D.W. Pierce, N. Hom-Booher, C.L. Hart, and R.D. Vale. 1997. The

Directional Preference of Kinesin Motors Is Specified by an Element outside of the

Motor Catalytic Domain. *Cell.* 90:959–966.

Clancy, B.E., W.M. Behnke-Parks, J.O.L. Andreasson, S.S. Rosenfeld, and S.M. Block.

2011. A universal pathway for kinesin stepping. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 18:1020–

1027.

Cross, R.A. 2004. The kinetic mechanism of kinesin. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 29:301–309.

Cochran, J.C. 2015. Kinesin motor enzymology: chemistry, structure, and physics of

nanoscale molecular machines. *Biophys. Rev.* 7:269-299.

Darden, T., D. York, and L. Pedersen. 1993. Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method

for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* 98:10089–10092.

DePaul, A.J., E.J. Thompson, S.S. Patel, K. Haldeman, and E.J. Sorin. 2010. Equilibrium

conformational dynamics in an RNA tetraloop from massively parallel molecular

dynamics. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38:4856–4867.

Emsley, P., B. Lohkamp, W.G. Scott, and K. Cowtan. 2010. Features and development

of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr.* 66:486–501.

Fiser, A., R.K. Do, A. Sali. 2000. Modeling of loops in protein structures. *Protein Sci.*

9:1753–1773.

Fujiyoshi, Y. et al. 1991. Development of a superfluid helium stage for high-resolution

electron microscopy. *Ultramicroscopy.* 38:241–251.

Gennerich, A., and R.D. Vale. 2009. Walking the walk: how kinesin and dynein

coordinate their steps. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 21:59–67.

Gigant, B. et al. 2013. Structure of a kinesin-tubulin complex and implications for

kinesin motility. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 20:1001–1007.

Grant, B.J., J.A. McCammon, L.S.D. Caves, and R.A. Cross. 2007. Multivariate

analysis of conserved sequence-structure relationships in kinesins: coupling of the

active site and a tubulin-binding sub-domain. *J. Mol. Biol.* 368:1231–1248.

Grigorieff, N. 2007. FREALIGN: High-resolution refinement of single particle structures.

J. Struct. Biol. 157:117–125.

Guan, R. et al. 2017. Crystal structure of Zen4 in the apo state reveals a missing

conformation of kinesin. *Nat. Commun.* 8:14951.

Guydosh, N.R., and S.M. Block. 2009. Direct observation of the binding state of the
kinesin head to the microtubule. *Nature*. 461:125–128.

Hackney, D.D. 1994. Evidence for alternating head catalysis by kinesin during
microtubule-stimulated ATP hydrolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 91:6865–6869.

Hackney, D.D., M.F. Stock, J. Moore, and R.A. Patterson. 2003. Modulation of kinesin
half-site ADP release and kinetic processivity by a spacer between the head groups.
Biochemistry. 42:12011–12018.

Hancock, W.O., and J. Howard. 1999. Kinesin's processivity results from mechanical
and chemical coordination between the ATP hydrolysis cycles of the two motor
domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 96:13147-13152.

Hariharan, V. & S, W. O. Insights into the Mechanical Properties of the Kinesin Neck
Linker Domain from Sequence Analysis and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Cell.*
Mol. Bioeng. **2**, 177–189 (2009).

Hess, B., H. Bekker, H.J.C. Berendsen, J.G.E.M. Fraaije. 1997. LINCS: A linear
constraint solver for molecular simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.* 18:1463–1472.

Isojima, H., R. Iino, Y. Niitani, H. Noji, and M. Tomishige. 2016. Direct observation of
intermediate states during the stepping motion of kinesin-1. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*
12:290–297.

Jakobi, A.J., M. Wilmanns, C. Sachse. 2017. Model-based local density sharpening of
cryo-EM maps. *Elife.* 6:e27131.

Kabsch, W. 1993. Automatic processing of rotation diffraction data from crystals of
initially unknown symmetry and cell constants. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 26:795–800.

Kaseda, K., H. Higuchi, and K. Hirose. 2003. Alternate fast and slow stepping of a
heterodimeric kinesin molecule. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 5:1079-1082.

Kikkawa, M., Y. Okada, and N. Hirokawa. 2000. 15 Å resolution model of the
monomeric kinesin motor, KIF1A. *Cell*. 100:241–252.

Kozielski, F. et al. 1997. The crystal structure of dimeric kinesin and implications for
microtubule-dependent motility. *Cell.* 91:985–994.

Kull, F.J., E.P. Sablin, R. Lau, R.J. Fletterick, R.D. Vale. 1996. Crystal structure of the
kinesin motor domain reveals a structural similarity to myosin. *Nature*. 380:550–555.

Lindahl, E., B. Hess, and D. van der Spoel. 2001. GROMACS 3.0: a package for
molecular simulation and trajectory analysis. *J. Mol. Model.* 7:306–317.

McCoy, A.J. et al. 2007. Phaser crystallographic software. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* 40:658–
674.

Metlagel, Z., Y.S. Kikkawa, M. Kikkawa. 2007. Ruby-Helix: an implementation of
helical image processing based on object-oriented scripting language. *J. Struct. Biol.*
157:95–105.

Mori, T., R.D. Vale, and M. Tomishige. 2007. How kinesin waits between steps.
Nature. 450:750–754.

Morikawa, M. et al. 2015. X-ray and cryo-EM structures reveal mutual conformational
changes of kinesin and GTP-state microtubules upon binding. *EMBO J.* 34:1270.

Murshudov, G.N., A.A. Vagin, and E.J. Dodson. 1997. Refinement of macromolecular
structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol.*
Crystallogr. 53:240–255.

Niitani, Y., K. Matsuzaki, E. Jonsson, R.D. Vale, and M. Tomishige. 2024. Kinetic
regulation of kinesin's two motor domains coordinates its stepping along
microtubules. *bioRxiv* ???.

Nishida, N. et al. 2020. Structural basis for two-way communication between dynein and
microtubules. *Nat. Commun.* 11:1–8.

Parke, C.L., E.J. Wojcik, S. Kim, and D.K. Worthylake. 2010. ATP hydrolysis in Eg5
kinesin involves a catalytic two-water mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285:5859-5867.

Parrinello, M., and A. Rahman. 1981. Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new
molecular dynamics method. *J. Appl. Phys.* 52:7182–7190.

Pettersen, E.F. et al. 2004. UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory
research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25:1605–1612.

Ramírez-Aportela, E. et al. 2020. Automatic local resolution-based sharpening of cryo-
EM maps. *Bioinformatics.* 36:765–772.

Rice, S. et al. 1999. A structural change in the kinesin motor protein that drives motility.
Nature. 402:778–784.

Rice S. et al., 2003. Thermodynamic properties of the kinesin neck-region docking to
the catalytic core. *Biophys. J.* 84:1844-1854.

Rohou, A., and N. Grigorieff. 2015. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation
from electron micrographs. *J. Struct. Biol.* 192:216–221.

Romanowska, J., K.S. Nowiński, and J. Trylska. 2012. Determining geometrically stable

domains in molecular conformation sets. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* 8:2588–2599.

Scarabelli, G., and B.J. Grant. 2013. Mapping the structural and dynamical features of

kinesin motor domains. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 9:e1003329.

Schief, W.R., R.H. Clark, A.H. Crevenna, and J. Howard. 2004. Inhibition of kinesin

motility by ADP and phosphate supports a hand-over-hand mechanism. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. USA. 101:1183-1188.

Shang, Z. et al. 2014. High-resolution structures of kinesin on microtubules provide a

basis for nucleotide-gated force-generation. *Elife*. 3:e04686.

Shipley, K. et al. 2004. Structure of a kinesin microtubule depolymerization machine.

EMBO J. 23:1422.

Sindelar, C.V., and K.H. Downing. 2007. The beginning of kinesin's force-generating

cycle visualized at 9-Å resolution. *J. Cell Biol.* 177:377–385.

Sindelar, C.V., and K.H. Downing. 2010. An atomic-level mechanism for activation of

the kinesin molecular motors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 107:4111–4116.

Sindelar, C.V. 2011. A seesaw model for intermolecular gating in the kinesin motor

protein. *Biophys. Rev.* 3:85-100.

Sorin, E.J., and V.S. Pande. 2005. Exploring the helix-coil transition via all-atom

equilibrium ensemble simulations. *Biophys. J.* 88:2472–2493.

Taniguchi, Y., M. Nishiyama, Y. Ishii, and T. Yanagida. 2005. Entropy rectifies the

brownian steps of kinesin. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 1:342.

Tomishige, M., and R.D. Vale. 2000. Controlling kinesin by reversible disulfide cross-

linking: Identifying the motility-producing conformational change. *J. Cell Biol.*

151:1081–1092.

Tomishige, M., N. Stuurman, and R.D. Vale. 2006. Single-molecule observations of

neck linker conformational changes in the kinesin motor protein. *Nat. Struct. Mol.*

Biol. 13:887–894.

Trabuco, L.G., E. Villa, K. Mitra, J. Frank, and K. Schulten. 2008. Flexible Fitting of

Atomic Structures into Electron Microscopy Maps Using Molecular Dynamics.

Structure 16:673–683.

Vale, R.D., R.A. Milligan. 2000. The way things move: looking under the hood of

molecular motor proteins. *Science*. 288:88–95.

Vale, R.D. 2003. The molecular motor toolbox for intracellular transport. *Cell*. 112:467-

480.

Valentine, M.T., and S.P. Gilbert. 2007. To step or not to step? How biochemistry and

mechanics influence processivity in kinesin and Eg5. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 19:75-

81.

Vilas, J. L. et al. 2018. MonoRes: automatic and accurate estimation of local resolution

for electron microscopy maps. *Structure.* 26:337-344.

Wolff, J.O. et al. 2023. MINFLUX dissects the unimpeded walking of kinesin-1.

Science. 379:1004-1010.

Yildiz, A., M. Tomishige, R.D. Vale, and P.R. Selvin. 2004. Kinesin walks hand-over-

hand. *Science.* 303:676–678.

Yildiz, A., M. Tomishige, A. Gennerich, and R.D. Vale. 2008. Intramolecular strain

coordinates kinesin stepping behavior along microtubules. *Cell.* 134:1030–1041.

Zhang, R., G.M. Alushin, A. Brown, and E. Nogales. 2015. Mechanistic origin of

microtubule dynamic instability and its modulation by EB proteins. *Cell.* 162:849–859.

Zivanov, J. et al. 2018. New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure

determination in RELION-3. *Elife.* 7:e42166.

Figure 1. **Crystal structures of G234A mutant kinesin-1 motor domain. (A)** 2.4 Å crystal structure of Cys-light mutant kinesin-1 (336 amino-acids) with G234A mutation complexed with ADP (yellow), aligned with the ADP-bound structure of wild-type human kinesin-1 (blue; PDB# 1BG2 (Kull et al., 1996)). The root mean square deviations (RMSD) of backbone atoms between these structures was 0.819 Å. The G234A residue is highlighted as a green sphere, and cysteine substitutions are shown as red spheres. **(B)** 2.8 Å crystal structure of nucleotide-free G234A mutant kinesin-1 motor domain (yellow), aligned with the nucleotide-free motor domain from the kinesin-tubulin complex crystal structure (blue; #4LNU (Cao et al., 2014)). The RMSD of backbone atoms between these structures was 1.259 Å. L11 is disordered in our crystal structure but ordered in 4LNU, suggesting that microtubule binding is necessary for L11 folding. **(C and D)** Close-up views of the α4 and α6 helices in nucleotide-free and ADP·AlF$_4$-bound (green; #4HNA (Gigant et al., 2013)) states. The initial residues of the neck linker (K323, T324, and I325) are highlighted as ball and stick models.

	G234A (nucleotide-free)	G234A (ADP)	G234V (nucleotide-free)
Data collection			
Space group	$P2_1$	$P2_1$	$P2_1$
Cell dimensions			
a, b, c (Å)	44.2, 156.9, 53.0*	49.4, 67.9, 55.5	44.1, 162.4, 53.2*
β (°)	114.6	96.1	114.5
Resolution (Å)	20-2.82 (2.82-2.90) [#]	20-2.40 (2.40-2.46)	20-2.81 (2.91-2.81)
R_{merge}	0.062 (0.098)	0.052 (0.412)	0.228 (0.088)
$I/\sigma I$	16.13 (4.96)	17.90 (3.73)	23.56 (2.16)
Completeness (%)	95.5 (57.5)	99.7 (100)	96.6 (68.45)
Redundancy	3.4 (1.3)	4.2 (4.2)	6.9 (1.8)
Refinement			
Resolution (Å)	20-2.82	20-2.40	20-2.81
No. reflections	15129	14330	15924
R_{work}/ R_{free} (%)	20.0/ 28.1	19.2/ 25.2	24.4/ 29.2
No. atoms			
Protein	4761	2363	4832
ADP/ion	0/0	27/1	0/0
Water	30	53	61
B-factors (Å^2)			
Protein	20.7	45.0	21.0
ADP/ion		33.6/27.4	
Water	12.7	45.0	17.4
R.m.s deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.013	0.019	0.006
Bond angles (º)	1.49	1.86	0.88

Table 1. **Data collection and refinement statistics of the crystal structures.** *Nucleotide-free G234A and G234V crystal contains two chains in an asymmetric unit. [#]Numbers in parenthesis show resolution in the highest resolution shell.

Figure 2. **Cryo-EM density map and atomic model of nucleotide-free kinesin on the microtubule. (A-C)** Cryo-EM reconstructed map of the nucleotide-free kinesin-microtubule complex (light yellow) and its refined atomic model (kinesin; pink, tubulin; gray) are shown in cartoon representation (A and B) and stick representation (C). The cryo-EM map of α4 and α6 helices are highlighted in green and orange, respectively. Panels B and C provide close-up views of α4 and α6. (**D and E**) The atomic model derived from the cryo-EM map was aligned with the previously solved nucleotide-free structure of human kinesin-1 motor domain bound to tubulin-DARPin complex (green; #4LNU (Cao et al., 2014)). These structures were similar except that the N-terminus of α4 of 4LNU deviated from the cryo-EM density map, as shown by the arrowhead in panel E. (**F-H**) Close-up views of the cryo-EM map and its atomic model of the kinesin-microtubule interface. The interface of kinesin with β-tubulin (F) and α-tubulin (G and H) is shown. Hydrogen bonds and salt bridges are marked as blue dashed lines.

Figure 3. **Structural classification of kinesin motor domain using PCA. (A)** Principal component analysis (PCA) classified kinesin crystal structures based on their conformational similarity. We projected kinesin structures from the Protein Data Bank—including our crystal and cryo-EM apo structure—onto principal planes defined by the two most significant principal components (PC1 and PC2). Each dot's color represents one of three classes obtained from hierarchical clustering of the projected structures in the PC1 to PC4 planes. Representative structures of kinesin-1 for each class (1BG2, 1MKJ, 4HNA, 4LNU) and previously reported nucleotide-free structures (1RY6, 3WRD, 5LT1, 5X3E), are labeled with their PDB code. **(B)** The contribution of each residue (as for human kinesin-1) to the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2). Shaded rectangles indicate the corresponding secondary structures. **(C-E)** Comparison of representative structures from three classes. 5LT1 was chosen to represent the semi-open state, as the N-terminus of its α4 helix extends similarly to the microtubule-bound states (cryoEM apo and 4HNA). These three structures are superposed on the invariant "core" (gray in C) or the B-domain (gray in D and E). These structures were used to identify subdomains (see Fig. 4).

Figure 4. **Subdomain motions associated with the allosteric conformational changes of kinesin motor domain on the microtubule. (A)** The residues classified into three subdomains are colored in magenta (R-domain), cyan (F-domain) and green (B-domain). **(B-D)** Three classes of conformational state of the kinesin motor domain (Fig. 3) bound to the microtubule, colored by subdomain. Unassigned residues, which are disordered in the semi-open state, are yellow and the neck linker is red. The kinesin-microtubule complex models of semi-open and closed states were prepared by fitting 5LT1 and 4HNA onto the cryo-EM density maps of ADP-bound (Sindelar and Downing, 2010) and ADP·AlF$_x$-bound (Shang et al., 2014) states, respectively. For clarity, we depicted the semi-open state with MgADP bound by incorporating the MgADP from the 1BG2 structure into the 5LT1 (nucleotide-free) structure. The rotational movements of R- and F-domains relative to the microtubule—from semi-open to open and from open to closed—are represented by angular changes in the long axes of α6 and α2 helices (arrowheads), respectively.

Figure 5. **Cryo-EM observation of the proximal end of the neck linker in the nucleotide-free state. (A)** 15 Å cryo-EM density map (σ =1.0) of gold-labeled kinesin-microtubule complex under nucleotide-free condition. A gold cluster was specifically attached to the Cys328 residue on the neck linker. α4 and α6 helices are shown in green and orange, respectively. Red spheres indicate the last ordered residue of the neck linker in the nucleotide-free state (T324). Nucleotide-free kinesin and tubulin crystal structures have been fit to the density map. Extra density corresponding to the attached gold is highlighted in light yellow. The centroid of the gold cluster, identified as the maximum density region (σ =2.7), is shown as yellow spheres. **(B)** Structural model of kinesin dimer in the one-head-bound state: the microtubule-bound head is nucleotide-free and in the open state, while the unbound head is in the semi-open state, tethered by disordered neck linkers. The neck linker and the neck coiled-coil are shown in red and blue, respectively.

Figure 6. **Structural models of dimeric kinesin on microtubule in all four combinations of open and closed heads. (A)** Atomic models of kinesin dimer on microtubule in the two-head-bound state (top view): one with both heads nucleotide-free and in open state (left; termed T_{open}-L_{open}) and another with the trailing head ATP-bound and in closed state, while the leading head remains the nucleotide-free/open state (right; termed T_{closed}-L_{open}). Thermally equilibrium conformations of the disordered neck linkers were determined by running MD simulations (Fig. S4). The lower panel shows close-ups of the neck linkers (red), α4 (green) and α6 (orange) helices. Numbers indicate the distance between the T328 residue in the trailing head and the I325 residue in the leading head. **(B)** Close-up view (side view) of the atomic model of two-head-bound state with both heads in closed state (upper; T_{closed}-L_{closed}), compared with the T_{closed}-L_{open} model (lower). We modeled the T_{closed}-L_{closed} such that the initial segment of the neck linker (323-325 residues) of the leading head docks onto the head, while the rest remains detached. Even this partial docking leads to a considerable increase in neck linker extension, prohibiting the open-to-closed conformational change of the leading head (Fig. 9, purple rectangle). **(C)** Atomic model of T_{open}-L_{closed} state (upper), compared with the T_{closed}-L_{open} model (lower). This model represents the off-pathway transition where the tethered head binds to the rear-tubulin binding site after ATP-induced isomerization of the microtubule bound head (Fig. 9, green hexagonal box). In this model, the I325 residue of the leading head is detached from the head, but its position is translated toward the plus-end of microtubule due to the rotational movement and extension of the α6 helix (orange rectangle).

Figure 7. **Estimation of tension in the disordered neck linker region using MD simulations of refined dimer models. (A)** Time trajectories of the trends of tension during 100-ns simulations, using model systems (Fig. S4 D) derived from refined dimer models with various open and closed head combinations (Fig. 6). Tensions were calculated by multiplying the deviation of the Cα atoms at both ends of the disordered neck linkers from the potential minimum. The trend at each time t was calculated by averaging raw tension values within the time range [t-0.5: t+0.5] ns. Trends converged at ~35 ns for the T_{closed}-L_{closed} model and within 2 ns for the other three models. **(B)** Distributions of tension in disordered neck linkers, obtained from MD simulations. Green vertical lines indicate time-averaged tension for each state after convergence. **(C)** Time-average tensions and statistical errors for each state: 38.7 ± 0.8 pN for T_{closed}-L_{open}, 124.9 ± 1.5 pN for T_{closed}-L_{closed}, 98.1 ± 1.2 pN for T_{open}-L_{open}, 115.7 ± 1.2 pN for T_{open}-L_{closed}.

Figure 8. **Single-molecule FRET observations of conformational transitions of kinesin dimer with reduced neck linker tension.** (**A**) Schematic depicting off-pathway transitions from the two-head-bound state to one-head-bound states of a neck-linker extended mutant (5 poly-Gly insertion; green) without ATP. Positions of cysteine residues for labeling with FRET probe (215Cys on one head and 43Cys on the other head) are shown in orange spheres. (**B**) Typical FRET efficiency traces of Cy3/Cy5-labeled wild-type (G0) and neck linker extended mutants (3- and 5-Gly insertions; G3 and G5) bound to axonemes in the presence of 2 µM ADP. Blue and red dotted lines show previously reported peak FRET efficiencies for two-head-bound and one-head-bound states respectively (Mori et al., 2007). (**C**) Histograms of FRET efficiencies (from each frame of images) of Cy3/Cy5-labeled kinesin with varied neck linker lengths bound to axonemes in the presence of 0.2, 2 or 10 µM ADP. Occasional ADP binding to kinesin in the two-head-bound state reverts to one-head-bound state, and this frequency increases with higher ADP concentrations. Parentheses show the numbers of molecules analyzed. Dotted lines highlight peaks characteristic of putative two-head-bound (blue) and one-head-bound (red) states.

Figure 9. **Tension-based regulation mechanism explaining the coordinated stepping of kinesin-1 along the microtubule.** This model proposes that thermodynamically favorable transitions between a head's conformational states (semi-open to open, and open to closed) are regulated by entropy loss due to neck linker stretching in dimeric kinesin. The semi-open, open and closed conformational states of the head are indicated in orange, blue and red, respectively, with the α6 helix, which connects to the neck linker, highlighted as a rod. The neck linker is shown in green, while the α4 helix, which directly interacts with the microtubule, and the neck coiled-coil are yellow. Premature binding of the tethered semi-open head to the microtubule in the ATP-waiting state is prevented by an intolerable increase in neck linker tension, as it causes substantial entropy reduction (red rectangle). This contrasts with a monomeric head with a disordered neck linker, where the semi-open-to-open transition is thermodynamically favorable (right). Even after ATP-induced isomerization of the microtubule-bound head, increasing tension prohibits rebinding of the tethered head to the rear-binding site (green hexagonal box), enabling preferential binding to the forward site. In the two-head-bound state, the trailing and leading heads stabilize in closed and open conformations, respectively, explaining why the trailing head hydrolyzes ATP and detaches from the microtubule before the leading head (purple rectangle).

Figure S1. **Related to Fig. 1B. (A, B)** Stereo-views of the $2F_o$-F_c maps ($\sigma = 1.0$) around the nucleotide-binding P-loop (**A**: G234A kinesin complexed with ADP, **B**: G234A kinesin crystallized under nucleotide-free condition). ADP and Mg^{2+} ion are shown in yellow and lime green, respectively. **(C)** F_o-F_c difference map of the nucleotide-binding P-loop of nucleotide-free G234A mutant. Positive ($\sigma = 2.0$) and negative ($\sigma = -2.0$) densities are shown in green and red, respectively. No residual density was observed around the putative water molecule (blue). **(D)** F_o-F_c map ($\sigma = \pm 2.0$) obtained by one round of refinement after replacing the putative water molecule with MgADP in the nucleotide-free G234A model. The negative density (red) further clarifies the absence of MgADP in the nucleotide-binding pocket.

Figure S2. **2.8 Å crystal structure of nucleotide-free G234V mutant kinesin. (A)** The crystal packing of nucleotide-free G234V mutant. $2F_o$-F_c map is shown in mesh (dark-blue) and the densities around the asymmetric unit are colored in pink. Two neck linkers (blue) from each polypeptide chain formed an antiparallel β-sheet. This suggests that neck linker peptides have the ability to form antiparallel β-sheets, although such a conformation is inaccessible for the two neck linkers in dimeric kinesin connected by a coiled-coil. **(B)** Comparison of the overall structures of nucleotide-free G234A (cyan) and G234V (yellow) crystal structures. G234V kinesin structure is nearly identical to that of G234A, except for the difference in the P-loop. **(C)** Close-up view of the nucleotide-binding pocket of G234A and G234V mutants (dotted rectangle in panel B). The side chains of residue 234 are shown as space-filling. The P-loop (pink) of G234V displayed a closed conformation, distinct from previously solved kinesin structures, indicating that the P-loop can adopt different configurations without bound phosphate. **(D)** Close-up view of the α4/α6 helices of nucleotide-free G234A (blue) and G234V (yellow) (the G234 structure is included from Fig. 1 C). The first two residues of the neck linker, K323 and T324, are highlighted as ball-and-stick models (G234A in green, G234V in red). In the G234V mutant, the T324 residue of the neck linker was visible and shifted toward the minus-end of microtubule compared to that of G234A.

Figure S3. **Related to Fig. 2**. **(A)** Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve for global resolution estimation, using a FSC 0.143 criterion. **(B and C)** Local resolution estimation from MonoRes (Vilas et al., 2018) with colors according to the color scale of left-side view (B) and right-side view (C). This local resolution map was used in local map sharpening (LocalDeblur (Ramírez-Aportela et al., 2020)).

Figure S4. **Related to Fig. 7. (A)** Kinesin-microtubule complex models were initially created by fitting crystal structures to cryo-EM density maps (left: T_{closed}-L_{open}, right: T_{open}-L_{open}). Disordered regions—those invisible in the crystal structures—were excluded from this model. **(B)** The model systems used to estimate the thermally equilibrated conformation of the disordered neck linker region. Molecular dynamic (MD) simulations moved the disordered neck linkers (shown in red), while kinesin and tubulin residues within 12 Å from the neck linker (cyan, green) were position-constrained to act as steric hindrance. **(C)** Time trajectories of total potential energy, principal components (pc1 and pc2) from standard PCA, and end-to-end distances of the disordered neck linker, during MD calculation of four kinesin dimer models. End-to-end distances converged after 2-15 ns, indicating that the disordered neck linkers reached near-thermal equilibrium by the end of the simulations. Representative snapshots of equilibrium states shown in Fig. 6 were selected from structures after extended simulation periods. These structures had end-to-end distance and pc1/pc2 values nearly identical to the average values (< 0.01 nm deviation): $t = 29.870$ ns for T_{closed}-L_{open}, 19.920 ns for T_{closed}-L_{closed}, 12.758 ns for T_{open}-L_{open}, and 29.696 ns for T_{open}-L_{closed}. **(D)** Model systems used to estimate the tension on disordered neck linkers (Fig. 7). MD simulations were performed using the refined neck linker and neck coiled-coil (Fig. 6). Harmonic potentials were applied to the Cα atoms at both ends of the disordered neck linkers (yellow spheres; E334 for T_{closed} (left) and T328 for T_{open} (right), and I325 for L_{open}). Tension was calculated by multiplying the deviation of the Cα atoms from the potential minimum by the spring constant. Time trajectories are shown in Fig. 7 A.

Figure S5. **Refinement structure of disordered neck linkers and its estimated tension of neck linker-extended mutant in T_{open}-L_{open} state.** (A) Time trajectories of total potential energy, pc1 and pc2 from standard PCA, and end-to-end distance of the disordered neck linker during MD calculation of G5 mutant in T_{open}-L_{open} model. (B) T_{open}-L_{open} model of G5 mutant compared with the T_{open}-L_{open} model without neck linker extension (G0). Thermally equilibrated conformation of the disordered neck linker was determined by MD simulation. Disordered neck linkers were isolated from the refined model, and harmonic potentials were applied to Cα atoms of residues at both ends (T328 in trailing head and I325 in leading head) to estimate tension. (C) Time trajectory of tension trends during 100-ns simulations. Trend at each time t was calculated by averaging raw tension values within the time range [t-0.5: t+0.5] ns. (D) Distribution of tension in disordered neck linkers from MD simulation. Green vertical line indicates time-averaged tension after convergence. Average tension, calculated using the data after the convergence, was 19.0 ± 1.2 pN.

Video 1. **Subdomain motions associated with the allosteric conformational changes of kinesin on microtubule (back-view).** The morph movie between semi-open, open and closed states, with subdomains color-coded. Related to Fig. 4 B.

Video 2. **Subdomain motions associated with the allosteric conformational changes of kinesin on microtubule (side-view).** The morph movie between semi-open, open and closed states, with subdomains color-coded. Related to Fig. 4 D.

Video 3. **Thermal motion of the disordered neck linkers in T_{open}-L_{open} or T_{apo}-L_{apo} state.** The movie shows molecular dynamic simulation for 16 ns. The disordered neck linker regions are shown in stick representation. Related to Fig. 6 A (left).

Video 4. **Thermal motion of the disordered neck linkers in T_{closed}-L_{open} or T_{ATP}-L_{apo} state.** The movie shows molecular dynamic simulation for 30 ns. The disordered neck linker regions are shown in stick representation. Related to Fig. 6 A (right).