Premature upregulation of miR-92a's target RBFOX2 hijacks PTBP splicing and impairs cortical neuronal differentiation.

Stephan Weißbach[1,2], Hristo Todorov[2,3], Laura Schlichtholz[2], Sophia Mühlbauer[2], Lea Zografidou[2], Azza Soliman[2], Sarah Lor-Zade[2], Dewi Hartwich[2], Dennis Strand[4], Susanne Strand[4], Tanja Vogel[5], Martin Heine[1], Susanne Gerber[2], Jennifer Winter[2,*]

[1] Institute of Developmental Biology and Neurobiology (iDN), Johannes Gutenberg-University, 55128 Mainz, Germany

[2] Institute of Human Genetics, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg-University, 55131 Mainz, Germany

[3] Current affiliation: Institute of Immunology, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg-University, 55131 Mainz, Germany

[4] Department of Internal Medicine, University Medical Center, Johannes Gutenberg-University, 55131 Mainz, Germany

[5] Institute of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Department of Molecular Embryology, Medical Faculty, Albert-Ludwigs-University Freiburg, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

[*] Corresponding author: jewinter@uni-mainz.de

Abstract

RBFOX2 is an RNA-binding protein crucial for alternative splicing regulation and implicated in several neurodevelopmental disorders. Here, we show that while RBFOX2 is present only at low levels in neural progenitor cells (NPCs), it is upregulated in differentiating neurons of the mouse embryonic neocortex. *In-utero*-electroporation-induced overexpression resulted in a cellular phenotype characterized by impaired neuronal migration and differentiation. Genome-wide analysis at E15.5 revealed numerous alternative splicing events associated with a mixed NPC- and neuron-like splicing pattern and a significant deviation from the normal splicing developmental trajectory. Remarkably, premature *Rbfox2* overexpression induced the inclusion of validated target exons that are otherwise repressed by PTBP2, indicating an antagonistic splicing relationship between these two RNA-binding proteins and highlighting the necessity for strict temporal regulation of their expression during embryonic brain development. Consequently, we showed that the NPC-specific miRNA 92a-3p reduced the expression levels of *Rbfox2 in vitro*. Furthermore, silencing miR-92a-3p *in vivo* in the embryonic neocortex led to a significant increase in *Rbfox2* levels. Our results therefore demonstrate that RBFOX2 is a crucial player in the NPC-to-neuron splicing switch. Furthermore, we present a novel post-transcriptional mechanism for controlling RBFOX2 levels during early neuronal differentiation via miR-92a-3p silencing.

Introduction

Despite the presence of only approximately 22,000 protein-coding genes[1], the murine neocortex, and hippocampus exhibit a considerable diversity of neurons, amounting to 388 transcriptomic cell types, as reported by the Allen Brain Consortium[2]. A key factor enabling this diversity and complexity is alternative splicing (AS), a tightly regulated process that governs the production of multiple mRNA isoforms from a single gene. Intriguingly, nearly every multi-exon gene in the human genome can undergo alternative splicing, generating numerous protein isoforms with distinct structural and

functional properties[3]. This vast molecular diversity expands the proteome's complexity
without necessitating a proportional increase in the genome's size. Within the nervous
system, AS is a pervasive phenomenon, with various neural genes subject to this
regulation[4]. Overall, AS has a higher prevalence across different species and is more
conserved in the brain compared to other tissues[5,6].
Specifically, numerous changes in the splicing landscape occur in the developing brain
with high temporal precision[4,7]. Among the processes that require correct splicing are
neuronal migration, neuronal differentiation[8], axonogenesis[9], and synaptogenesis[10].
Notably, the significance of correct splicing is not confined to the developing brain
alone. In the adult brain, different neuronal types exhibit distinct splicing patterns,
further underscoring the importance of alternative splicing in maintaining the brain's
functional diversity[11,12].
During embryonic development, neural stem cell differentiation requires precise
extensive AS switches to create neuron-specific splicing patterns. Many of these
splicing events alter key protein domains, particularly in proteins of the cytoskeleton[8].
Various RNA binding proteins (RBPs) regulate the transition from neural stem and
progenitor cells to neurons by altering the splicing pattern of target genes, therefore
their temporal expression needs to be strictly regulated. Here, microRNAs (miRNAs)
that suppress gene expression post-transcriptionally by binding to the 3' untranslated
region of target mRNAs[13–16], represent one important mechanism for mediating stage
and cell-type specific levels of RBPs For instance, PTBP1 is expressed only in neural
stem and progenitor cells, however, it is strongly repressed by miR-124-3p in
neurons[17]. In contrast, other prominent RBPs, including NOVA, PTBP2, MBNL2, and
RBFOX are predominant in neurons. These RBPs act in both synergistic (e.g. NOVA
and RBFOX) and antagonistic relationships (e.g. PTBP1/2 and RBFOX)[18,4,8].
The RBFOX-family consists of three paralogs, namely, RBFOX1 (FOX-1 or A2B1),
RBFOX2 (FOX-2 or RBM9) and RBFOX3 (FOX-3, HRNBP3, or NeuN). The
association of mutations in human *RBFOX1* and *RBFOX2* with intellectual disability,
autism, and other neurodevelopmental disorders, as well as the specific neurological
phenotypes observed in *Rbfox* knockout mice, highlight the important functions of
these proteins during neurodevelopment[19–26]. All RBFOX-proteins have a single, highly
conserved RNA recognition motif (RRM) that binds to the target sequence (U)GCAUG
harbored in the premature mRNA and initiates position-dependent splicing. RBFOX
binding in the intronic region upstream of an alternatively spliced exon promotes its
exclusion from the mature mRNA whereas downstream binding leads to inclusion[27].
Moreover, in humans, all RBFOX-proteins share the position-dependent splicing
mechanism and the RRM which is identical in RBFOX1 and RBFOX2 and almost
identical in RBFOX3. Therefore, the RBFOX family can act in a functionally redundant
manner[28]. However, the temporal expression patterns of these proteins diverge
significantly, exhibiting variations across different tissues and cell types[2,11]. In the
developing brain, RBFOX proteins are either lowly expressed or not present in neural
stem cells, but they are highly expressed in neurons which is in agreement with their
role in promoting neuronal differentiation. Studies aiming at identifying splicing
changes during neural stem cell differentiation have compared alternative exon usage
between NPCs and neurons by RNA-sequencing[8,29]. While these studies have
identified numerous splicing changes that are presumably driven by RBFOX binding,
the exact role of RBFOX during neuronal differentiation in the embryonic brain has not
been elucidated yet.

In the current study, we identified extensive AS changes upon premature *Rbfox2*
overexpression in the embryonic neocortex, specifically related to genes that are
important for neuronal differentiation and localization. Remarkably, we observed a
mixed neuronal and NPC-like splicing pattern that significantly deviated from the
normal cortical splicing trajectory. In line with this, *Rbfox2* overexpression increased
the percentage of neural stem and progenitor cells and blocked differentiation into
neurons on the cellular level. Since a precise and tight control of RBFOX2 is essential
for proper neuronal differentiation in the embryonic neocortex, we investigated if
miRNAs might repress *Rbfox2* expression in NPCs. Indeed, we observed that the
NPC-specific miR-92a led to reduced RBFOX2 levels. Conversely, miR-92a silencing
in the embryonic neocortex was associated with a concomitant increase in *Rbfox2*
expression.
108
Results
<u>RBFOX2 is predominantly expressed in neurons</u>
The RBFOX protein family exhibits distinct temporal expression patterns, varying also
by cell type. For instance, RBFOX1 and RBFOX3 are expressed in mature neurons
and exclusively in the cortical plate in the embryonic cerebral cortex[30] RBFOX2 shows
early expression in Purkinje cells in the cerebellum[31]. To elucidate the composition of
RBFOX proteins in the mouse embryonic cerebral cortex, we co-stained sections for
RBFOX1 and RBFOX2. This confirmed RBFOX2's presence was not limited to the
neuron-specific cortical plate, but it was also expressed in the intermediate and
ventricular (VZ)/subventricular (SVZ) zones (Figure 1a). In contrast, RBFOX1
expression was restricted to the cortical plate/subplate. While this broader expression
pattern of RBFOX2 compared to RBFOX1 was evident, not all cells in the cerebral
cortex showed positive RBFOX2 staining. Especially in the VZ/SVZ where radial glia
cells and basal progenitors reside at this developmental stage, the majority of cells
were RBFOX2 negative (Figure 1a). This prompted us to investigate the temporal
expression of RBFOX2 using an *in vitro* neuronal differentiation model. Here, we
observed low *Rbfox2* mRNA expression in radial glia-like cells and early neural
progenitor cells (NPCs). However, *Rbfox2* expression increased significantly during
neuronal differentiation, peaking in late NPCs and young neurons (Figure 1b).
Likewise, *Rbfox2* was only weakly expressed in NPCs derived from the embryonic
cerebral cortex while it was strongly upregulated in cortical neurons at both RNA and
protein levels (Figure 1c, d).
To further corroborate these data, we analyzed RBFOX2 expression in the embryonic
cerebral cortex *in vivo* by immunostaining. To this end, we made use of a transgenic
mouse model (HES5::GFP) expressing GFP exclusively in Notch-signaling neural
progenitor cells. In agreement with the *in vitro* data, RBFOX2 expression was restricted
to GFP⁻ cells, that were presumably in a more differentiated state compared to HES5
positive NPCs (Figure 1e). Co-stainings with the basal progenitor marker TBR2
(EOMES) confirmed the absence of RBFOX2 from neural progenitor cells. Only cells
with a low TBR2 expression exhibited low RBFOX2 expression, suggesting that these
cells were currently differentiating into neurons (Figure 1f). In contrast, RBFOX2 was
highly expressed in newborn neurons in all zones as revealed by co-staining with the
neuron marker β-III-Tubulin (TUBB3) (Figure 1g). In summary, RBFOX2 was weakly
expressed in neural stem and progenitor cells and highly upregulated during neuronal
differentiation, highlighting its potential role in mediating the neuron-specific splicing
switch.

Figure 1 Rbfox2 expression was upregulated in newborn neurons. a) Cryosections of E17.5 cerebral cortex were co-stained with antibodies against RBFOX1 and RBFOX2. Expression of RBFOX1 was restricted to the cortical plate/subplate whereas RBFOX2 was additionally expressed in cells of the ventricular zone (VZ)/subventricular zone (SVZ) and intermediate zone (IZ). b) To determine the expression of Rbfox2 during neuronal differentiation, embryonic stem cells were differentiated into radial glia cells (RGCs), NPCs, and neurons and subjected to RT-qPCR with Rbfox2-specific primers. Rbfox2 expression increased strongly in late NPCs and early neurons and decreased again in late neurons. c) Western blot analysis with RBFOX2-specific antibodies illustrates the increase in RBFOX2 expression in neurons compared to NPCs. Note that due to alternative splicing, several RBFOX2

161 ## Premature *Rbfox*2 overexpression disrupts the splicing landscape of the
162 developing cortex

*Figure 2 Rbfox2 overexpression changed the splicing pattern of the developing cortex. a) Experimental timeline, Rbfox2 overexpression was induced in embryonic mouse neocortex at E13.5 by in-utero electroporation, and bulk RNA-sequencing was performed at E15.5 b) The electroporation of Rbfox2 constructs increased the mRNA abundance of Rbfox2 in the cortex significantly c) The volcano plot visualizes alternative splicing events. The x-axis shows delta percentage spliced in (ΔPSI), and the y-axis shows -log10 false discovery rate (FDR) values. Significantly spliced-in events are in orange, spliced-out events are in blue, and non-significant events are in grey. Shapes denote event types: circles for skipping exons, squares for mutually exclusive exons (MX), diamonds for retained introns (RI), and crosses for alternative A5 and A3 splice sites. d) UpSet plot depicts the overlap between 1) Differentially expressed genes, 2) Genes with significant alternatively spliced skipping exon events, 3) Genes with significant alternatively spliced mutually exclusive exon events, and 4) Genes with other splice events, including retained intron, A3', and A5' splice sites. e) The table provides an overview of significant alternative splicing events, totaling 2,208 events. Significance was defined as FDR < 0.01 and either ΔPSI > 0.1 or ΔPSI < -0.1. f) Frequency of induced frameshifts due to alternative splicing for different exon lengths. g) Normalized gene expression levels of key splicing factors important in the CNS, upon Rbfox2 overexpression compared to control. Values for each gene are normalized to the mean of the control group. Data are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean *** p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, Wald test from DESeq2 in g.*

To study RBFOX2's impact on splicing during embryonic corticogenesis, we used *in-utero* electroporation to overexpress *Rbfox2* in the mouse cerebral cortex at E13.5 and subsequently performed RNA-sequencing at E15.5 (Figure 2a). As expected, *in utero* electroporation resulted in a significant increase in *Rbfox2* gene expression ($p_{adj} < 0.0001$, log2fold = 5.754, Figure 2b). Furthermore, we detected 268 differentially expressed genes (Supplementary Figure S1a, Supplementary Data 1) and substantial changes in the alternative splicing landscape upon *Rbfox2* overexpression (*Rbfox2*-OE) compared to control samples (Figure 2c). However, most alternatively spliced genes did not show altered expression levels. Only 30 genes were alternatively spliced and differentially expressed at the same time (Figure 2d) with *Snhg5*, a lncRNA, having all five types of analyzed splicing events. 17 genes were alternatively spliced and upregulated, while the remaining 13 were downregulated. While frameshifts induced by alternative splicing can result in early stop codons and nonsense-mediated decay (Figure 2f), we did not find significant changes in gene expression related to this phenomenon in our data.

Overall, we detected 2,208 significant alternative splicing events (Figure 2e, Supplementary Figure S2) between the *Rbfox2*-OE and control samples using a stringent threshold of FDR < 0.01 and |ΔPSI| > 0.1. Skipped exons (or cassette exons) represented ~71% of these events. Changes in splicing could mostly be attributed directly to RBFOX2 and not to altered expression of other known splice factors relevant during cortical development (Figure 2g). In line with this, we observed a significant overlap between skipping exon events in our data with *Rbfox* triple knock-out (t*Rbfox*-KO) samples[32] (fold enrichment ≈ 9.833, p-value < 0.0001; Supplementary Figure 3b, Supplementary Data 2). Importantly, inclusion levels of cassette exons present in both data sets were strongly negatively correlated (R = -0.6624, p < 0.0001) indicating that *Rbfox2*-OE induced the opposite splicing regulation compared to t*Rbfox*-KO. (Supplementary Figure 3a). The strong *Rbfox2*-OE induced a significant reduction in the expression level of *Rbfox1* ($p_{adj} < 0.0001$, log2fold = -1.342). However, the expression of *Rbfox3* was unaltered ($p_{adj} ≈ 0.98$, log2fold = 0.121) compared to the control group. Remarkably, genes that were alternatively spliced in our analysis were significantly enriched for high-confidence neurodevelopmental disorder (NDD) genes[33] (224 out of 1317 genes, fold enrichment ≈ 1.358, p < 2e-07, Supplementary Data 6, Supplementary Figure S4).

Alternative splicing is a key mediator for the development of proper neuronal functioning. Over 20,000 splicing events have been reported throughout cortical development[34]. To gain a perspective on the splicing pattern induced upon *Rbfox2*-

OE, we integrated the previously published RNA-sequencing data from Weyn-
Vanhentenryck et al. obtained at different developmental stages of wildtype mouse
cerebral cortex (E14.5 to P30)[34] as well as RNA-sequencing from FACS-sorted NPCs
and neurons[29]. The hierarchical clustering of splicing events that were significant both
in our data and in the previous studies, revealed that our control group clustered with
the FACS-sorted neurons as well as with the E14.5 and E16.5 cortical samples. In
contrast, the *Rbfox2*-OE group did not closely cluster with any of the embryonic
samples or NPCs/neurons pointing to an abnormal splicing pattern (Supplementary
Figure S2).
To further investigate the impact of *Rbfox2*-OE on the splicing landscape during
neurogenesis, we applied a principal component analysis (PCA). We observed a bell-
shaped trajectory indicative of the expected splicing changes throughout embryonic
and postnatal cortical development (Figure 3a). Remarkably, the influence of batch
effects between the different studies was negligible, contrary to differential gene
expression analyses where batch effects are often prominent[35,36]. *Rbfox2*-OE resulted
in a substantial deviation from this expected developmental trajectory. Specifically, on
the first principal component (PC1), *Rbfox2*-OE exhibited a shift towards the splicing
pattern associated with mature neurons, while on the second principal component
(PC2), the shift was towards undifferentiated NPCs. To identify the main splicing
events that explained the observed splicing pattern, we calculated the loadings of the
original variables included in the PCA on both PC1 and PC2 (Figure 3b). This analysis
revealed numerous genes that are highly relevant for neuronal development.
Interestingly, some genes with the highest loadings were associated with
neurodevelopmental diseases. For instance, *Shank1* and *Dlgap4* are related to autism
spectrum disorder[37,38], whereas *Dlg2* has been linked with schizophrenia[39].
To gain insight into the biological processes regulated by the genes that were
correlated with each principal component, we performed a gene set enrichment
analysis (GSEA) of gene ontology (GO) terms. The loadings of the alternative splicing
events on each principal component were used as effect sizes in the GSEA (Figure
3c). PC1 was associated with higher-level GO terms such as "regulation of
transportation", "animal organ development", and "central nervous system
development". This association is plausible given that PC1 represented 55.61% of the
variability and separated the samples from early embryonic stage E14.5 to the
prepubescent stage P30. During this broad period, manifold biological processes
occur, shaping the development of the central nervous system. The higher-level GO
terms reflected this complexity as descriptors for the wide-ranging changes captured
by PC1. More specifically, transport and localization were significantly enriched for
PC1. Interestingly, some splicing events with high PC1 loadings were associated with
multiple enriched GO terms. For instance, *Cadps*, a calcium-dependent segregation
activator, that was associated with 7 GO terms (Supplementary Data 3), was previously
reported to be differentially spliced upon *Rbfox2*-knockout[23]. This gene was also
among the top correlated genes of PC1 (Figure 3b, left). Another important gene
associated with 6 GO terms was *Ap2a1*. While PTBP2 was previously reported to
induce exon skipping in *Ap2a1* (chr7:44,552,885-44,552,951, Supplementary Figure
S5a) during brain development[40], *Rbfox2*-OE led to the opposite effect of exon
inclusion (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.297) in our data.
Moreover, neuron differentiation was among the significant terms associated with PC2,
where the *Rbfox2*-OE samples were more similar to an NPC splicing pattern. When
analyzing the splicing events that significantly contributed to this GO term, we identified

several developmentally relevant genes. For instance, *Clasp2*, a protein involved in
microtubule dynamics, is known to play a role in neuronal migration and axonal growth
during cortical development[41]. We detected an alternatively spliced exon in *Clasp2*
(chr9:113,691,503-113,691,530, Supplementary Figure S5b) that showed a
significantly higher inclusion in the *Rbfox2* overexpression group (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI
= 0.118). Interestingly, we observed a similar increase of the same exon when
analyzing the splicing changes between NPCs and neurons (FDR < 0.01, ΔPSI =
0.118).

273
Figure 3 Developmental regulation of alternative splicing by RBFOX2. a) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of
splicing patterns using SplicePCA[42] across embryonic and postnatal brain development. Blue color dots represent
embryonic and red dots denote postnatal stages. Shapes indicate the source of the data used. Circles correspond
to E14.5 to P30 samples from Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al.[34], squares represent NPC and neuron samples from Liu
et al. [43]. Rbfox2 overexpression (OE) samples (triangle shape) noticeably deviate from the expected developmental
trajectory. b) Loading analysis for principal component (PC) 1 and PC2, highlighting the top 10 positively and top
10 negatively correlated splicing events for each PC. Color gradient represents the ΔPSI values for Rbfox2-OE
compared to control samples c) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) performed on splicing events for PC1 and
PC2 using loadings on each component as effect sizes. D) Chord plot showing the association between significant
splicing events in Rbfox2-OE vs. control samples compared with splicing events in neurons vs. NPCs obtained
using the data from Liu et al. [43].

The observed mixed phenotype upon *Rbfox2*-OE was not only characterized by the
deviation from the expected developmental trajectory but also by the type of splicing
events. When comparing the significant splicing events upon *Rbfox2*-OE with those of
neurons vs. NPCs from the Liu et al. data[43], we found an overlap of 283 significant
events. Strikingly, 70% of the events corresponded to a neuron-like splicing and 30%
of events represent NPC-like splicing patterns (Figure 3d). Several of the overlapping
genes have been reported previously to undergo alternative splicing during important
developmental processes associated with NPC proliferation, radial migration of
neurons, and neuronal differentiation[8]. Genes with a neuron-like splicing included
Clasp2, *Dctn1*, *Kif2a*, *Mast2*, and *Tpm1*. In contrast, *Add1*, *Clip1*, *Clasp1*, *Dync1i2*,
Gphn, and *Macf1* were spliced in an NPC-like way (Supplementary Data 4).
296

<u>RBFOX2 misexpression impairs neuronal differentiation in the embryonic</u>
<u>neocortex</u>
After ascertaining the dramatic effect of *Rbfox2* overexpression on the splicing
landscape of the developing neocortex, next we investigated whether this translates
into changes in neuronal differentiation and/or migration at the cellular level. Therefore,
we electroporated *Rbfox2* constructs into the E13.5 neocortex and analyzed brain
sections two days later by immunostaining experiments. We detected significantly
more RBFOX2-OE cells in VZ and lIZ. Conversely, we observed significantly fewer
RBFOX2-overexpressing cells in the uIZ and the CP (Figure 4a). In line with the
observed phenotype of cells detaching from the ventricular surface and subsequently
failing to migrate to the cortical plate and accumulating in the IIZ, *Rbfox2-OE* induced
alternative splicing of two key constituents of the Reelin pathway which plays an
important role during cortical development by guiding neurons to the correct position
in the CP[44]. Specifically, an exon of *Lrp8* encoding the murine-specific eighth LDL
receptor type A (chr4:107,705,477-107,705,600, Supplementary Figure S5f) was
abnormally included (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.275). Expression of this exon was
previously linked to neuronal migration[45]. Additionally, the exon (chr19:27,217,244-
27,217,370, Supplementary Figure S5g) of *Vldlr* encoding the calcium-binding EGF
domain was repressed following *Rbfox2*-OE (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = -0.201).
Repression of the EGF domain alters the binding affinity to ligands modulating the
sensitivity of the receptor and its interacting ligands[46]. Moreover, RBFOX2 could
synergistically act with NOVA2 which is known to induce alternative splicing changes
in *Dab1*. DAB1 constitutes a switch within the Reelin pathway that is required for
neuronal migration[47,48].
To investigate the impact of *Rbfox2* misexpression on neuronal differentiation, we
performed immunostainings with antibodies against the marker genes PAX6 (radial
glia cells), TBR2 (basal progenitors), and SATB2 (neurons). This revealed a significant
differentiation defect in the *Rbfox2* overexpressing neocortex. In particular, the
percentage of PAX6+ radial glial cells and TBR2+ basal progenitors was significantly
increased compared to the control group (Figure 4b,c) whereas the percentage of
SATB2+ neurons was reduced (Figure 4d). This indicates that a correct and fine-tuned
expression of RBFOX2 is essential for proper neuronal differentiation in the embryonic
neocortex.

330
Figure 4 Rbfox2 mis-expression disrupts neuronal differentiation in the neocortex. *Rbfox2 expression constructs*
were co-electroporated with *pCAG-mCherry at E13.5. The electroporated brains were analyzed 48 hours later.* a)
Distribution of the electroporated mCherry+ cells in the embryonic neocortex. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, **** p<0.0001,
two-way ANOVA followed by pairwise comparison of model means. b-d) Cryosections of the electroporated brains

<u>RBFOX2 canonical and non-canonical motifs are enriched around alternatively</u>
<u>spliced exons</u>

Previous studies have shown that RBFOX1, RBFOX2, and RBFOX3 preferably bind
to the conserved sequence (U)GCAUG[49,50]. RBFOX's effect is position-dependent –
an upstream intronic binding leads to exon repression, while downstream intronic
binding enhances exon inclusion (Figure 5b)[51]. Therefore, we expected to find the
canonical motif to be enriched at either location according to the type of alternative
splicing events. We screened for all possible pentameric motifs in the 150 nt intronic
region directly flanking alternatively spliced cassette exons, accounting for their
occurrence in three distinct categories: significantly spliced-in (inclusion), significantly
skipped (repression), and unregulated exons (background). To mitigate bias from
motifs with higher genome-wide occurrence, we adjusted motif counts of significant
splicing events by subtracting background counts and subsequently converted these
to z-scores (see Methods).

As expected, the canonical RBFOX motif was enriched downstream of included exons
and upstream of repressed exons (Figure 5a). The motif GCAUG was associated with
the strongest enrichment, both for upstream binding & exon repression (z-score: 6.8)
and downstream binding & exon inclusion (z-score: 9.4). Moreover, shifted motifs such
as UGCAU and CAUGC were also enriched and had a high correlation with GCAUG
($r > 0.8$; Supplementary Figure S6). Subsequently, we analyzed the position of the
canonical GCAUG for exon inclusion and repression events (Figure 5c). We
discovered motifs that promote exon skipping, located both within ~100 nt upstream of
the alternatively spliced exon and on the exon itself. Exon inclusion was related to
GCAUG occurrence within ~150 nt downstream of the exon. These findings are in line
with previous reports on the position-dependent splicing activity of RBFOX2[52,53].

However, we found additional motifs known to be bound by other splicing factors. For
instance, UGCUU (z-score 4.9, downstream & exon inclusion) is the preferred motif of
muscleblind-like splicing regulator 1 (MBNL1). MBNL1 and RBFOX2 have been found
to act synergistically in pluripotent stem cell differentiation[54] and mesenchymal-specific
splicing[55]. The relative abundance of MBNL1's motif UGCUU around alternatively
spliced exons was only weakly correlated with the abundance of the GCAUG motif
(r=0.27), therefore both motifs were likely not in close proximity to each other
(Supplementary Figure S6).

Surprisingly, we also detected highly enriched poly CU-motifs upstream of included
exons, although these are not primary binding sites for RBFOX2. The PTB proteins
are known to preferentially bind to these polypyrimidine-rich intronic sequences[56] and
to initiate exon exclusion upon binding[57]. Interestingly, these PTBP motifs were
enriched upstream of significantly included exons in our data.

To differentiate motifs that are merely abundant around RBFOX2-regulated exons, we
analyzed publicly available RBP-RNA interaction data (iClip)[52]. Most of the enriched
motifs around alternatively spliced exons upon *Rbfox2*-OE were also confirmed to be
bound by RBFOX2 in the iClip data (Figure 5d), specifically the known canonical
RBFOX motifs showed high positive z-scores for both data sets. Overall, there was a
high correlation between the abundance of motifs around alternatively spliced exons
and their presence in iClip peaks (Figure 5e).

RBFOX2 and PTBP antagonistically shape the transition from NPCs to neurons

The occurrence of the PTBP motifs upstream of significantly spliced-in exons upon *Rbfox2* overexpression hints at antagonistic splicing activities of RBFOX2 and PTBP (Figure 6a). Previous studies have indicated that PTBPs bind to pyrimidine-rich sequences located approximately up to 100 nt upstream of alternatively spliced exons[9,53]. Accordingly, we observed a prominent UCUCU peak in the ~100 nt intronic sequences upstream of exon inclusion events (Figure 6b). Notably, in experiments involving human brain tissue, cell lines, and the mouse cerebral cortex, the upstream occurrence of the PTBP motif was robustly correlated with exon inclusion events. In contrast, in other tissue types, the upstream enrichment of the PTBP motif was associated with exon-skipping[8,53].

These results raise the question of why a potential upstream PTBP binding leads to exon inclusion rather than the expected exclusion in cells of the nervous system.

While previous studies have highlighted an interaction between RBFOX and PTBP1 specifically[8,58–61], the co-regulation of these RBPs may be less relevant in the context of neurodevelopment, as PTBP1 is primarily expressed in non-neuronal cells[17]. We thus hypothesized that simultaneous downstream binding of RBFOX2 might antagonistically overrule the splicing outcome of PTBP and therefore favor exon inclusion. This process could contribute to guiding the transition from immature to mature neuronal splicing. Moreover, PTBP1 and PTBP2 are among the high-confidence protein interaction partners of RBFOX2 (Figure 6c). To investigate whether PTBP and RBFOX2 have antagonistic splicing targets, we first examined all significant cassette exon inclusion events (FDR < 0.01, ΔPSI > 0.1) for the co-occurrence of RBFOX and PTBP motifs. We screened for PTBP-motifs upstream and RBFOX-motifs downstream of alternatively spliced exons and for direct RNA-protein binding sites by reanalyzing iClip data from Vuong et al. for PTBP1 and PTBP2[40] and Jangi et al. for RBFOX2[52]. Roughly 45% of the significant inclusion events (320) had the combination of RBFOX-motif downstream with a PTBP-motif upstream, the majority of these were also highly conserved (Supplementary Figure S7). The cassette exons that were significantly included rarely contained only an RBFOX motif (3) or its binding site (0). The majority of included exons, making up 77.48% (547) of the alternatively spliced exons, harbored either a downstream RBFOX-motif and/or an RBFOX-binding site and simultaneously an upstream PTBP-motif or a PTBP-binding site (Figure 6d).

To corroborate the RBFOX2/PTBP2 antagonistic splicing regulation of cassette exons, we re-analyzed wildtype and *Ptbp2*-KO data of P1 mouse neocortices[40]. We detected 707 alternatively spliced exons in *Ptbp2*-KO (429 included, 187 excluded), with 128 events shared with *Rbfox2*-OE. This represented a 5.241-fold enrichment compared to the expected overlap (p < 0.0001%, Supplementary Figure 8a). Given the proposed antagonistic relationship between both RBPs, we expected *Ptbp2* knockout to induce

similar splicing changes as *Rbfox2* overexpression. Indeed, the majority of shared target exons were spliced in the same direction (85.2%, Supplementary Figure 8c). Furthermore, we observed a moderate positive correlation between exon inclusion levels in *Ptbp2*-KO and *Rbfox2*-OE samples (R = 0.2993, p < 0.001, Supplementary Figure 8b). To further investigate the neurodevelopmental relevance of antagonistic splicing, we analyzed the splicing pattern in our data of 230 PTBP2-repressed exons that were reported to be relevant specifically in the context of neocortical development[40]. *Rbfox2*-OE induced alternative splicing in 67 of these PTBP2 targets. Importantly, the majority of the 67 significant events showed exon inclusion (55) and not exon repression in the *Rbfox2*-OE group (Figure 6e, Supplementary Data 5). Among the antagonistically spliced genes was *Ncam1,* encoding for a cell adhesion protein*,* that showed an abnormal early exon inclusion (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.148) in the *Rbfox2*-OE condition which led to an increased presence of the exon18 (chr9:49,418,152-49,418,953, Supplementary Figure S5c) producing the NCAM-180 isoform. Interestingly, the production of alternative isoforms of NCAM occurs during cortical development between E16.5 and P0. In contrast, NCAM140 and NCAM180 are the predominant isoforms for neurons, unlike NPCs that express NCAM120 and NCAM140[62].

Another PTBP2-excluded[40] and RBFOX2-included cassette exon event was identified in *Kif21b* (chr1:136,101,209-136,101,389, Supplementary Figure S5d). KIF21B is an important regulator of microtubule dynamics. The overexpression of *Rbfox2* induced a highly significant inclusion event (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.305).

Furthermore, exonN (chrX:73,284,421-73,284,478) of the *Flna* transcript was significantly included (FDR < 0.05, ΔPSI = 0.213) upon *Rbfox2*-OE (Supplementary Figure S5e). ExonN was specifically repressed in NPCs and included in neurons (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.4) and the exon inclusion was strongly elevated from E14.5 to E16.5 (FDR < 0.0001, ΔPSI = 0.4205). The iClip[40,52] data also confirmed protein

binding for RBFOX2, PTBP1, and PTBP2 in flanking sequences of exonN. *Flna* is an
important regulator of neuronal migration[63] and its splicing by PTBP1 in NPCs is
associated with shaping the NPC-to-neuron transition during cortical development[8,40].
Taken together, our data strongly suggest that RBFOX2 and PTBP have antagonistic
roles in controlling alternative splicing, specifically affecting transcripts implicated in
neuronal differentiation during cortical development. We identified that a significant
portion of alternatively spliced cassette exons are excluded upon PTBP- but included
upon RBFOX2-binding in this developmental context.
484
<u>miR-92a-3p regulates the expression of *Rbfox*2</u>
As we ascertained, NPCs exhibit substantially different splicing patterns compared to
neurons (Supplementary Figure S2)[8,43]. Since RBFOX and PTB proteins seemingly
regulated antagonistically an overlapping set of developmentally relevant splice
targets, we hypothesized that a cell-type, spatially divergent, and time-specific
expression of these splice factors would be necessary for normal cortical development.
Indeed, *Ptbp1* and *Ptbp2* transcription peaked at E14.5, but contrary to *Ptbp2,* the
Ptbp1 mRNA abundance decreased in the following developmental stages (Figure 7a,
right box). Moreover, *Ptbp1* expression is decreased significantly during the transition
from NPCs to neurons (Figure 7a, left box) in accordance with previous reports[40,56,64].
Ptbp1 repression is mediated via miR-124-3p, which is a highly specific neuronal
miRNA (Figure 7c)[17,65]. Furthermore, miR-124-3p becomes significantly up-regulated
in the transition between E14 and E17 of cortical development (Figure 7c)[65].
In contrast to *Ptbp1* and *Ptbp2*, *Rbfox2* expression was nearly absent in NPCs and
increased significantly in neurons (Figure 1a-b, Figure 7a). In a previous study, we
identified 36 miRNAs that were expressed in the embryonic cerebral cortex and
predicted to target the 3' untranslated region (3' UTR) of *Rbfox2.* By further inspecting
these miRNAs, we observed that most of them were upregulated at E14 followed by a
significant reduction of expression levels at the subsequent developmental stages E17
and P0 (Supplementary Figure S9)[65]. This prompted us to hypothesize that miRNAs
might mediate the low expression levels of *Rbfox2* in NPCs. Specifically, miR-92a-3p
was identified as a hub microRNA at E14 during cortical development[65] and it has a
predicted binding site in the 3' UTR of *Rbfox2.* Furthermore, the expression of miR-
92a-3p was higher in NPCs compared to neurons and decreased gradually from E14
to P0 (Figure 7c). Together this data suggests that miRNA-92a-3p might contribute to
silencing *Rbfox2* in NPCs at early developmental stages.
To follow up on this hypothesis, we performed a luciferase assay using a fragment of
the 3' UTR of *Rbfox2* which contained the miR-92a-3p binding site downstream of the
Renilla luciferase gene. Co-transfection of this reporter plasmid into HEK293 cells
together with a miR-92a-3p mimic or a control revealed a reduced luciferase activity of
more than two-fold in cells transfected with miR-92a-3p compared to the control. This
finding confirmed the gene silencing effect of miR-92a-3p on *Rbfox2* (p < 0.01, Figure
7d). To investigate the mir-92a-3p-mediated suppression of *Rbfox2 in vivo,* we
electroplated mouse embryos at E14.5 with a miR-92a-3p-silencing construct.
Expression of miR-92a-3p was reduced more than 10-fold compared to control
samples (p < 0.0001, Figure 7e). Following miR-92a-3p reduction, we observed a
significantly increased *Rbfox2* expression at E16.5 in the miR-92a knock-down
samples compared to the control (p < 0.01, fold change = 1.571; Figure 7e). To further
corroborate these results, we overexpressed miR-92a-3p in an N2A cell culture. This
resulted in a significant increase of miR-92a-3p abundance, as measured by RT-qPCR

(p < 0.05, fold change = 2.442; Figure 7f). Importantly, both the mRNA expression (p < 0.05, fold change = 0.748 relative to control; Figure 7f) and the protein levels of RBFOX2 (p < 0.05, fold change = 0.707 relative to control; Figure 7g,h) were reduced significantly upon miR-92a-3p-overexpression.

Figure 7 a) Heatmap showing the gene expression of the RBFOX and PTBP protein families in our study, as well as in NPCs and neurons from Liu et al. [43] and E14.5 to P30 cortical samples obtained from Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al.[34].Values correspond to log10-transformed transcripts per million (TPM). b) Expression of mir-124-3p known to repress Ptbp1 c) Expression of mir-92a-3p predicted to target Rbfox2. Data on miRNA expression shown in c and d were obtained from Todorov et al.[65]d) Luciferase activity in lysates of HEK293 cells transfected with plasmids containing 3' UTR fragments of Rbfox2 and miR-92a. Fold change of luciferase activity was obtained by calculating the ratio of Renilla luciferase and firefly luciferase activity and then normalizing to the mean of the control. e) In vivo knock-down of miR-92a resulted in a more than 10-fold reduction in miR-92a-3p abundance (left side), and subsequently, Rbfox2 was 1.5-fold enriched (right side). f) Overexpression of miR-92a-3p in N2A cells led to >2-fold enrichment of miR-92a-3p (left side) and subsequently to a decreased abundance of Rbfox2 (right side), as measured by RT-qPCR. h) Overexpression of mir-92a-3p in N2A cells reduced the RBFOX2 protein level significantly. g) Representative image of the western blot for miR-92a overexpression in N2A cells. h) Schematic representation of the proposed splicing mechanism for the transition of immature NPC to mature neurons. Data are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean. *p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, ****p<0.0001, Wald z-test from DESeq2 in b,c; paired t-test or paired Wilcoxon test in d-g.

Discussion

RBFOX2 is a crucial component of the complex regulatory network that orchestrates cerebral cortical development. We revealed that misexpression leads to a global disruption of the alternative splicing landscape with a significant overrepresentation of high-confidence NDD risk genes. Consequently, aberrant RBFOX2 splicing activities could produce target gene isoforms that lead to impaired brain development and disorders of the central nervous system in humans[66,67]. For instance, a mutation in *RBFOX2* has been detected previously in a patient with hypoplastic left heart syndrome and NDD[21]. Surprisingly, however, limited research has been conducted on the clinical significance of RBFOX2 as most studies focus on RBFOX1. Mutations in Rbfox1 have been consistently linked with autism spectrum disorder[68–71] and susceptibility to epilepsy[72–74]. Interestingly, *Rbfox1* knock-out resulted in higher seizure susceptibility[75], whereas overexpression was also linked with epilepsy and cortex malformation[76]. Furthermore, reduced cytoplasmic RBFOX1 levels in the prefrontal cortex of individuals with schizophrenia[24] decrease the stability of *Vamp1*, a schizophrenia-associated gene, in PV[+] interneurons[25]. This reduction impairs inhibitory drive from PV[+] interneurons, contributing to schizophrenia pathogenesis[77].

Though RBFOX1 and RBFOX2 have largely overlapping alternative splicing targets[49], the divergent expression patterns we observed here and in previous research indicate that both proteins have common but also distinct functions[23,75,78,79]. To disentangle the specific role of RBFOX2 in the developing brain, we employed an overexpression model instead of a knock-down strategy, as the members of the RBFOX family can compensate for the absence of one of their paralogues[23]. Interestingly, early *Rbfox2*-OE caused a premature detachment and migration of neural cells to the IIZ but not further (Figure 4a). This was accompanied by significant splicing events in key components of the Reelin pathway[44,45], which plays a crucial role in the migration and localization of cortical neurons and Purkinje cells in the cerebellum. Gehman and colleagues previously showed that the Reelin-binding *Lrp8* gene was alternatively spliced upon *Rbfox2* knock-out. Consequently, Purkinje cells failed to detach and therefore remained near their origin in the VZ[23]. Together with our results, this indicates an important role of RBFOX2 in the initiation and control of cell migration in different parts of the developing nervous system.

Apart from the altered migration, we also observed impaired neuronal differentiation of *Rbfox2*-overexpressing cells (Figure 4b-d). RBFOX2 was specifically upregulated in the transition from NPCs to newly differentiated neurons (Figure 1e), highlighting its role in neural differentiation. Accordingly, we detected a strong alternative splicing pattern in *Numb* which is a key player in asymmetrical neural stem cell division, determining the cell fate of progenitor cells and neurons[80]. Different isoforms of *Numb* can either promote neuronal differentiation or enhance cell proliferation[81], giving a possible explanation for the observed phenotype of decreased neuronal differentiation in our study.

Interestingly, *Rbfox2* expression negatively correlates with expression patterns of the PTBP family. In particular, PTBP1 is a key regulator of non-neuronal splicing in progenitor cells and its repression is required to induce the transition to neurons[17]. In contrast, PTBP2, which is reported to be a weaker repressor of alternatively spliced exons than PTBP1[57,82], is down-regulated by PTBP1 via poison exon inclusion leading to nonsense-mediated decay[17,52,64,83]. Remarkably, our data provided compelling evidence for an antagonistic splicing relationship between RBFOX2 and PTBP.

Specifically, RBFOX2 and PTBP2 shared a set of targets that undergo alternative splicing during cerebral cortical development (Figure 6d, e). Although PTBP2 typically promotes the exclusion of these antagonistically spliced exons, RBFOX2 induced the opposite effect of exon inclusion. Therefore, the premature overexpression in our experimental set-up likely led to RBFOX2 overruling the splicing program of PTBP2 which could explain the aberrant cellular phenotype and altered splicing landscape we observed. Indeed, several targets that are spliced antagonistically between RBFOX2 and PTBP2 play crucial roles, for example, in regulating neuronal differentiation (*Flna*)[8], neurite outgrowth (*Ncam1*), and microtubule dynamics (*Kif21b*). Furthermore, PTBP-recognized motifs are reportedly enriched upstream of alternatively spliced exons that show high inclusion levels in the brain, heart, and skeletal muscles[53]. These tissues are known expression sites of RBFOX2[84] with a concurrent low expression of PTBP1[53]. Therefore, our results and existing reports highlight a complex antagonistic interplay between these proteins in regulating neuronal differentiation[4,8]. Supporting the concept of such dose-sensitive antagonistic interactions among RNA-binding proteins, Ellis and colleagues recently reported that even a modest increase in RBFOX expression levels was sufficient to alter splicing events dependent on another RBP, MBNL1[85]. Furthermore, RBFOX-concentration-dependent splicing regulation has been described in relation to binding to secondary motifs. Accordingly, high RBFOX2 protein levels during neuronal differentiation can mediate exon inclusion through binding to low-affinity motifs[86]. These findings illustrate how nuanced temporal and spatial RBP expression levels enable cell- and developmental-stage specific gene regulation during brain development.

Indeed, several post-transcriptional regulatory mechanisms controlling RBFOX levels have been reported already. For instance, sno-lncRNAs can act as sequestering sites for excess RBFOX2 proteins[78]. Furthermore, RBFOX3 can splice poison exons into *Rbfox2* leading to NMD[87]. Another potential layer of regulation is a putative *Rbfox1*- and *Rbfox2*-specific feedback loop. Accordingly, we observed significantly reduced levels of *Rbfox1* following the overexpression of *Rbfox2* (Figure 2g). Similarly, a knock-out of *Rbfox1* was previously reported to lead to an increased level of RBFOX2[75] and vice versa[23]. Furthermore, both RBFOX1 and RBFOX2 contain RBFOX binding sites near a highly conserved 93-nucleotide exon encoding the second half of the RRM. RBFOX binding leads to exon skipping, thereby resulting in a RbfoxΔRRM isoform with significantly reduced RNA binding affinity[88]. In line with this, the *Rbfox2* overexpression in early-stage cortical cells in our study did not result in a complete splicing switch with all regulated exons being either fully spliced in or spliced out.

miRNA binding to 3' UTRs represents another key post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism for controlling gene expression and protein levels. In a study investigating the expression patterns of miRNAs in the embryonic cerebral cortex, we recently highlighted targeting of RNA binding proteins as one of the major processes through which miRNAs impact brain development[65]. Similarly to the reported silencing of *Ptbp1* in neurons via miR-124-3p[17], here we showed for the first time that the NPC hub miRNA 92a-3p suppresses the expression of *Rbfox2* (Figure 7f-h). Conversely, silencing miR-92a-3p in the embryonic neocortex led to a significant *Rbfox2* upregulation (Figure 7e, i). In accordance with our results, miR-92a-3p was previously reported to be enriched in intermediate progenitor cells in humans[89,90] and, interestingly, differentially expressed in neurodevelopmental and mental disorders. For instance, levels were elevated in blood[91] and serum[92] of patients with schizophrenia. In contrast, miR-92a-3p was reported to be downregulated in individuals with

autism[93,94]. Given the overlapping splicing targets between RBFOX2 and its paralogue
RBFOX1, whose mutations have been consistently implicated in such disorders,
disruption of the miR-92a-3p/RBFOX2 axis represents an intriguing mechanism for the
emergence of diseases of the central nervous system as well as a potential therapeutic
target. In particular, future research should focus on elucidating the link between
altered miR-92a-3p expression levels and aberrant splicing activities that contribute to
disease pathogenesis.
Collectively, our results underscore the crucial role of RBFOX2 in the developing
cerebral cortex and shed light on the complex interplay with the PTBP family. In our
proposed model (Figure 7b), a miRNA-mediated gradual shift from high PTBP levels
to a subsequent up-regulation of RBFOX2 shapes neuronal differentiation through a
progressive splicing switch from exon exclusion to exon inclusion in commonly
regulated targets.
657

Methods
Animals
Hes5::GFP mice have been described previously[95]**.** For *in utero* electroporation, timed
mated c57BL6/JRj female mice were purchased on gestation day 12 from Janvier
(Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France), a certified international breeder. Mice
were housed in type II long filter top cages (Tecniplast, Buguggiate, Italy) and kept in
a 12 h light/dark cycle in a temperature and humidity-controlled animal room ($22 \pm 2°C$,
$55 \pm 5\%$). Water and food (ssniff M-Z Extrudat, ssniff, Soest, Germany) were supplied
ad libitum. Mice were treated according to the protocols approved by the local
authorities (Landesuntersuchungsamt Rheinland-Pfalz, license number 23 177-07/G
13-1-089).
669

Constructs
HA-tagged full-length *Rbfox2* was cloned into the XhoI and BglII sites of the pCAGGS-
mCherry vector using the following primer combinations: *Rbfox2* 1A full-length forward
5′-actgctcgaggccaccatgtacccatacgatgttccagattacgctgcggaaggcggccaggcg-3′, with
Rbfox2 reverse 5′-actgagatcttcacgtcacttcagtagg-3′. The miR-92a pre-miRNA
including approximately 150 bp of flanking genomic sequence was cloned into the
BamHI and EcoRI sites of the pcDNA3.1+ vector using the following primer
combinations: miR-92 forward 5′-agtcggatcctttagcgttggaaagtggcc-3′ with miR-92a
reverse 5′-agtcgaattctaagttgaggtgtgggtggg-3′. A fragment of the *Rbfox2* 3'UTR
containing the miR-92a binding site was cloned into the XbaI site of the pGL3P vector
using the following 5'-end phosphorylated oligonucleotides: Sense [Phos] 5′-
CTAGACCCCAGTTCATGAGGCCTGGCTATTGCAATATTTACTAGTAGAGGACTC
TATAGCT-3′, Antisense [Phos] 5′-CTAGAGCTATAGAGTCCTCTACTAGTAAAT
ATTGCAATAGCCAGGCCTCATGAACTGGGGT-3′. Sense and Antisense oligos
were annealed in annealing buffer (10mM Tris-HCL; pH 7.5; 0.1 M NaCl; 1 mM EDTA)
and cloned into the XbaI site of the pGL3P vector.
686

687 Cell Culture, transfections, and luciferase assays
688 N2A and HEK293 cells were cultured in Dulbecco´s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM)
689 with 10% fetal bovine serum as well as 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin. For Luciferase
690 assays, HEK293 cells were seeded on 12-well plates at a density of 90,000 cells per
691 well. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with 400 ng of the constructs

cloned into the pGL3P vector, 600 ng miRNA construct and 10 ng pRL-TK vector using the calcium phosphate method. After 48 hours, cells were lysed, and luciferase activity was measured with a CentroXS LB 960 luminometer (Berthold Technologies). N2A cells were transfected with Transfectin reagent (Biorad). The day before the experiment. For transfection, N2A cells were seeded at a density of 250,000 cells per well on 6-well plates. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with 4000 ng miRNA construct per well. The cells were lysed and processed for RT-qPCR and Westernblot analysis 48 hours after transfection.

RT-qPCR and Western blot

Small RNAs were isolated using the miRNeasy Kit (Qiagen), and total RNA was isolated using the High Pure RNA isolation kit (Roche). TaqMan MicroRNA Assays (Applied Biosystems) were used for miRNA RT-qPCR experiments. The miRNA quantities are relative to U6 snRNA. Total RNA was reverse transcribed using the RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Fermentas, Sankt Leon Rot, Germany). For qPCR, SYBR Green Mix was used (TB Green Premix Ex Taq II (RR82WR, Takara)).

For Western blot analysis, the cells were lysed, and the proteins were resolved by electrophoresis on SDS acrylamide gels. After transferring the proteins to PVDF membranes, Western blot analyses were performed with antibodies specific for RBFOX2 (rabbit-Anti Rbm9; Bethyl Laboratories) and GAPDH (mouse-Anti GAPDH ab8245, Abcam). Quantification of the bands was performed with ImageJ and normalized to GAPDH band intensity.

In utero Electroporation

For *in utero* electroporation, embryonic day E13.5 pregnant female mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, and the uterine horns were exposed. For overexpression, control or *Rbfox2* expression vectors (4 μg/μl) were injected with either pCAG-mCherry or pCAG-eGFP (0.5 μg/μl) into the lateral ventricle of each embryo. For electroporation 5 pulses of 40 V for a pulse length of 50 ms at 950-ms intervals were applied (Nepagene, Japan). Subsequently, the uterine horns were returned to the abdominal cavity. After 48 h, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The embryos were collected and sacrificed by decapitation. For immunostaining experiments, the brains were isolated, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, cryoprotected in 15% and 30% Sucrose solutions, embedded in Cryomatrix (Thermo Scientific), and cryosectioned into 20 μm-thick brain slices.

For RNA-sequencing, the brain's GFP+ area was dissected and digested with trypsin. After stopping the digestion by adding 20% FBS in DMEM and washing with PBS, cells were resuspended in PBS and passed through a 100 μm filter to achieve a single-cell suspension. GFP+ cells were then collected by fluorescent activated cell sorting (FACS).

Immunostaining and Imaging

For immunostaining, brain cryosections were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at room temperature (RT) for 10 minutes. After two washes with PBS, antigen retrieval was performed by incubating the slides in 10 mM sodium citrate with 0.5% Tween-20, pH 6, at 80°C for 20 minutes. Subsequently, the sections were blocked in PBS with

0.2% Tx-100 containing 2% sheep serum. The sections were then incubated with
primary antibody (rabbit anti-RBM9 (Bethyl), mouse anti-RBFOX1 (MABE985,
Merck/Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA), rabbit anti-beta III Tubulin (ab18207, Abcam),
rabbit Anti-PAX6 (901301, Biolegends), chicken Anti TBR2 (ab34735, Millipore), rabbit
Anti-SATB2 (ab34735, Abcam)) in blocking solution overnight at 4°C. The sections
were washed three times in PBS 0.2% Tx-100 and incubated in blocking solution
containing Alexa-488- or Alexa-594-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen) for 3
hours at RT. After three washes in PBS, the sections were embedded in Fluoromount-
G with DAPI (00-4959-52, Invitrogen).
The sections were viewed using a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM710), and
images were acquired with the zen software (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The
images were analyzed, and the cells were counted using ImageJ software[96].
751

<u>RNA-sequencing</u>
NGS library prep was performed with Clontech SMARTer Ultra Low Input RNA Kit for
cDNA generation, followed by NuGEN Ovation Ultralow v2 System for library
preparation. Libraries were profiled in a DNA 1000 chip on a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent
Technologies) and quantified using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit, in a Qubit 4.0
Fluorometer (Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific). All six samples were pooled in
equimolar ratio and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500/550 device.
759

<u>RNA-sequencing analysis</u>
The paired-end reads were trimmed using BBDuk (version 39.01)[97]. The trimmed
reads were mapped to the Gencode reference genome of Mus musculus mm39
(released 19.10.2022) using STAR (version 2.7.10b)[98] and the count per gene and
sample was determined using FeatureCounts provided by SubRead (version 2.0.6)[99].
We used DESeq2 (version 1.40.1)[100] in R (version 4.3.0)[101] to analyze differentially
expressed genes with default settings. Since *Rbfox2* was heavily overexpressed, it
was removed from the volcano plot for improved visualization. Genes with an adjusted
p-value (Benjamini-Hochberg method) < 0.05 were considered to be differentially
expressed.
rMATS (version 4.1.2)[102] with default settings was used to detect alternatively spliced
genes between the *Rbfox2*-OE and control samples. We did not include novel splice
events in our analysis. A splicing event with a false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-
value < 0.01 and an inclusion level difference I∆PSII > 0.1 was considered significant.
Data processing was done with numpy (version 1.23.5)[103] and pandas (version
2.0.1)[104] in Python (version 3.10.12)[105].
Plots were generated if not specified otherwise with Matplotlib (version 3.7.1)[106] and
seaborn (version 0.12.2)[107].
The overlap between significantly alternatively spliced genes and significantly
differentially expressed genes was visualized in a five-set Venn diagram with
ggVennDiagram (version 1.2.2)[108].
781

<u>Analysis of publicly available transcriptomic data sets</u>
To further characterize the alternative splicing landscape during cortical development,
we re-analyzed two publicly available data sets. The study by Weyn-Vanhentenryck et
al. contains RNA-sequencing of the mouse cortex from nine-time points (E14.5, E16.5,
P0, P4, P30, 4 months, and 21 months). Sequencing files were downloaded from the

NCBI Short Read Archive, accession number SRP055008. The study by Liu et al.
contains RNA-sequencing from NPCs and neurons purified from mouse cortices at
E15.5, sequencing files were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus, accession
number GSE96950. Furthermore, we re-analyzed transcriptomic data from *Ptbp2*
knockout mouse cortices at P1, obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus
(accession number GSE84803)[40], and triple knockout (*Rbfox*1/2/3) mouse embryonic
stem cells, retrieved from the Short Read Archive (accession number SRP128054)[32].
The sequencing data were processed according to the protocol specified under the
section "RNA-sequencing analysis". The developmental data from Weyn-
Vanhentenryck et al. did not allow a pairwise statistical test with rMATS, therefore we
conducted a pairwise Fisher's exact test in scipy (version 1.10.1)[109] for consecutive
time points and corrected p-values for multiple comparisons by using Benjamini
Hochberg's procedure. An event was considered significantly developmentally
alternatively spliced when two successive time points had an adjusted p-value < 0.01
and |ΔPSI| > 0.1.
We used a Monte Carlo simulation to statistically assess the overlap of alternative
splicing events (cassette exons) between *Rbfox2*-OE and either t*Rbfox*-KO[32] or *Ptbp2*-
KO[40] conditions (see Monte Carlo simulation for details).
805
<u>Analysis of publicly available iClip data sets</u>
To validate which significant splicing events could be attributable to direct RBFOX2
binding, we re-analyzed publicly available iClip data for RBFOX2 expressed in V6.5
mESCs. The data was downloaded from NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, accession
number GSE54794[52]. This dataset was supplemented by iClip data for PTBP1 and
PTBP2, downloaded from the NCBI short read archive, accession number
SRP080878[40].
The iClip data was analyzed in several steps. First, we extracted the unique molecular
identifiers from the reads using UMI tools (version 1.1.4)[110] and trimmed the adapters
with BBDuk (version 39.01)[97]. The trimmed reads were aligned to the reference
genome mm39 from Gencode (released 19.10.2022) using STAR (version 2.7.10b)[98].
Following the alignment, we removed PCR duplicates by leveraging the unique
molecular identifiers with UMI tools. Crosslinking sites were identified as peaks using
Clipper[111], which was run within a Docker container based on the image
brianyee/clipper:61d5456.
821
<u>Inferring the alternative splicing trajectory during cortical development</u>
To analyze the effect of *Rbfox2*-overexpression on the alternative splicing pattern
concerning normal cortical development, we used the SplicePCA tool[42] integrating our
data set with the developmental data of Weyn-Vanhentenryck et al.[4] and Liu et al.[29].
We selected events that did not have missing data for any sample and were
significantly alternatively spliced in our data and the publicly available data. To
investigate how splicing events are correlated with the principal components, we then
computed the loading matrix A

$$A = V\sqrt{L}$$

where V is the matrix of eigenvectors, L is a diagonal matrix with the corresponding
eigenvalues and loadings correspond to correlations between the principal
components and the original variables[112].
For each principal component, we then performed a gene set enrichment analysis
(GSEA) using the clusterProfiler package (version 4.8.1)[113,114] in R v4.2.2 with the

biological process ontology, miGSSize of 100, maxGSSize of 500, and an adjusted p-
value cut-off of 0.05. The loadings for each gene on the respective principal component
were used as input for the GSEA.
839

<u>Inferring the direction of exon inclusion</u>
To assess whether *Rbfox2*-OE is related to a mature (neuronal) or immature (NPC-
specific) splicing pattern, we leveraged the NPC and neuron RNA-sequencing data
from Liu et al.[43]. By intersecting our significant alternative splicing results with the Liu
et al. data set, we assigned the *Rbfox2*-OE splicing events to three categories: 1)
Neuronal direction when the exon inclusion level of the respective gene was
significantly higher in neurons than in NPCs in the Liu et al. data; 2) NPC direction
when the inclusion level was significantly lower in neurons than in NPC; 3) non-
regulated, when the inclusion level was not significantly altered, or the splicing event
was not detected in the Liu et al. data set. The results of this analysis were visualized
with a chord diagram using the circlize package (version 0.4.15)[115] in R.
851

<u>Motif enrichment analysis</u>
We performed a *de novo* motif enrichment analysis to identify enriched motifs in the
intronic regions located upstream and downstream of alternatively spliced exons. The
intronic sequences around alternatively spliced exons (enriched and suppressed
exons separately) and a set of background sequences that were not alternatively
spliced (FDR > 0.8 and |ΔPSI| < 0.1) were loaded from the mm39 reference genome
(Gencode released 19.10.2022) using pysam (version 0.21)[116].
All possible pentameric sequences and their occurrence in the up- and downstream
intronic sequences were counted in a sliding window of 50 nt. For each motif, we then
subtracted its occurrence in the respective background sequences. Next, motif
frequencies were transformed to z-scores using scipy. A motif was considered to be
enriched when it had a z-score > 4 ($p < 0.0001$, two-sided z-test).
The iClip peaks were used to validate these predicted motifs. We again screened for
the occurrence of every possible pentameric sequence within the peaks of RBFOX2
and the control, subsequently subtracted the respective values, and performed z-
scoring. Next, the z-scores of the iClip and RNA-Sequencing motif enrichment analysis
were correlated using scipy.
869

<u>Motif co-occurrence analysis</u>
The co-occurrence of motifs was analyzed similarly to the motif enrichment analysis.
400 nt of intronic sequence flanking the alternatively spliced exon or the exon itself
was loaded with pysam (version 0.21.0)[116] and screened for the presence of a PTBP-
associated CU-rich motifs (UCUCU, UUUCU, UCUUU, CUCUC, UCUCC, CUCUU,
UUCCU)[117] with a z-score > 4 upstream and RBFOX2 canonical motif downstream
(GCAUG, UGCAU, CAUGC). Moreover, we integrated confirmed binding sites from
the iClip data for RBFOX2[52], PTBP1, and PTBP2[40]. We visualized this using an upset
plot[118] and only included overlapping sets with a minimum size of 1.
Further, we examined evolutionarily conserved motif occurrences around these exons
by filtering for motifs with a phastcons 35-way[119] score > 0.8.
881

Motif localization map

Next, we mapped the localization of the enriched motifs identified in the previous analysis on the alternatively spliced exon and the flanking exons. To the end, we computed the motif density in a sliding window of 50 bp for all up- and downregulated events and background (non-regulated events). Motif density corresponds to the fraction of the 50 nt sliding window that is covered by the motif. The estimates were corrected by subtracting the background density for each motif. This analysis was limited to 150 nt of exonic and 400 nt of intronic sequences spanning up- and downstream from the intron/exon boundaries.

STRING network analysis

Potential interaction partners of RBFOX2 were identified with STRING using all potential interaction sources (version 12.0 beta)[120] allowing 20 interacting proteins and showing confidence as edges. Interactions to RBFOX2 with a confidence score higher than 0.7 were considered high confidence.

Statistical analysis of immunohistochemistry data

Two groups were compared statistically using a t-test or a Wilcoxon test when assumptions of the parametric test were violated, and a data transformation did not improve the model fit. More than two groups were analyzed using one-way or two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's post hoc test or post hoc comparison of model means. Data were transformed using a $\log_e$ or an arcsine square root transformation when assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance were violated. All p-values are two-tailed and a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using R v4.2.2 or Python (version 3.10.12)[105] using scipy (version 1.10.1)[109].

Overlap of alternatively spliced genes with NDD risk genes

Alternatively spliced genes were intersected with high-confidence NDD genes from the GeneTrek database v33[33] to calculate the observed overlap. To obtain a null distribution of the expected overlap, 1317 genes (equal to the total number of alternatively spliced genes upon *Rbfox2*-OE) were randomly sampled from the universe of genes with annotated splice events and the overlap with high-confidence NDD genes and the p-value computed (see Monte Carlo Simulation section for details).

Monte Carlo Simulation

To evaluate the statistical significance of overlaps for various splicing events and genes, Monte Carlo simulations were performed. In each simulation, a number of genes equal to the observed count of alternatively splicing events (t*Rbfox*-KO and *Ptbp2*-KO) or genes (NDD risk genes) were randomly sampled from the universe of genes or splicing events. The overlap with the gene set of interest (e.g., high-confidence NDD genes) was then calculated. This process was repeated 100,000 times using a seed of 42 to generate a null distribution of expected overlaps. The p-value was calculated as

$$p = 2 * (1 - CDF(zscore))$$

using Python (version 3.10.12)[105], numpy (version 1.23.5)[103], and scipy (version 1.10.1)[109]. CDF corresponds to the cumulative distribution function of the normal distribution.

Data availability

The RNA Sequencing data generated for this study are available on NCBI SRA (Sequence Read Archive) under the accession number PRJNA1013323.

References

1. Breschi, A., Gingeras, T. R. & Guigó, R. Comparative transcriptomics in human and mouse. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **18**, 425–440 (2017).

2. Yao, Z. *et al.* A taxonomy of transcriptomic cell types across the isocortex and hippocampal formation. *Cell* **184**, 3222-3241.e26 (2021).

3. Jiang, W. & Chen, L. Alternative splicing: Human disease and quantitative analysis from high-throughput sequencing. *Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J.* **19**, 183–195 (2021).

4. Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S. M. *et al.* Precise temporal regulation of alternative splicing during neural development. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2189 (2018).

5. Raj, B. & Blencowe, B. J. Alternative Splicing in the Mammalian Nervous System: Recent Insights into Mechanisms and Functional Roles. *Neuron* **87**, 14–27 (2015).

6. Barbosa-Morais, N. L. *et al.* The Evolutionary Landscape of Alternative Splicing in Vertebrate Species. *Science* **338**, 1587–1593 (2012).

7. Silbereis, J. C., Pochareddy, S., Zhu, Y., Li, M. & Sestan, N. The Cellular and Molecular Landscapes of the Developing Human Central Nervous System. *Neuron* **89**, 248–268 (2016).

8. Zhang, X. *et al.* Cell-Type-Specific Alternative Splicing Governs Cell Fate in the Developing Cerebral Cortex. *Cell* **166**, 1147-1162.e15 (2016).

9. Zhang, M. *et al.* Axonogenesis Is Coordinated by Neuron-Specific Alternative Splicing Programming and Splicing Regulator PTBP2. *Neuron* **101**, 690-706.e10 (2019).

10. Lin, Y.-S. *et al.* Neuronal Splicing Regulator RBFOX3 (NeuN) Regulates Adult Hippocampal Neurogenesis and Synaptogenesis. *PLOS ONE* (2016).

11. Furlanis, E., Traunmüller, L., Fucile, G. & Scheiffele, P. Landscape of ribosome-engaged transcript isoforms reveals extensive neuronal-cell-class-specific alternative splicing programs. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 1709–1717 (2019).

12. Heck, J. *et al.* More than a pore: How voltage-gated calcium channels act on different levels of neuronal communication regulation. *Channels* **15**, 322–338 (2021).

13. Cai, Y., Yu, X., Hu, S. & Yu, J. A Brief Review on the Mechanisms of miRNA Regulation. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics* **7**, 147–154 (2009).

14. Diederichs, S. & Haber, D. A. Dual Role for Argonautes in MicroRNA Processing and Posttranscriptional Regulation of MicroRNA Expression. *Cell* **131**, 1097–1108 (2007).

15. Lee, R. C., Feinbaum, R. L. & Ambros, V. The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. *Cell* **75**, 843–854 (1993).

16. Cho, K. H. T., Xu, B., Blenkiron, C. & Fraser, M. Emerging Roles of miRNAs in Brain Development and Perinatal Brain Injury. *Front. Physiol.* **10**, (2019).

17. Makeyev, E. V., Zhang, J., Carrasco, M. A. & Maniatis, T. The MicroRNA miR-124 Promotes Neuronal Differentiation by Triggering Brain-Specific Alternative Pre-

mRNA Splicing. *Mol. Cell* **27**, 435–448 (2007).

18.   Fisher, E. & Feng, J. RNA splicing regulators play critical roles in
neurogenesis. *WIREs RNA* **13**, e1728 (2022).

19.   Bhalla, K. *et al.* The de novo chromosome 16 translocations of two patients
with abnormal phenotypes (mental retardation and epilepsy) disrupt the A2BP1 gene.
J. Hum. Genet. **49**, 308–311 (2004).

20.   Sebat, J. *et al.* Strong Association of De Novo Copy Number Mutations with
Autism. *Science* **316**, 445–449 (2007).

21.   Homsy, J. *et al.* De novo mutations in congenital heart disease with
neurodevelopmental and other congenital anomalies. *Science* **350**, 1262–1266
(2015).

22.   Verma, S. K. *et al.* Rbfox2 function in RNA metabolism is impaired in
hypoplastic left heart syndrome patient hearts. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 30896 (2016).

23.   Gehman, L. T. *et al.* The splicing regulator Rbfox2 is required for both
cerebellar development and mature motor function. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 445–460 (2012).

24.   O'Leary, A. *et al.* Behavioural and functional evidence revealing the role of
RBFOX1 variation in multiple psychiatric disorders and traits. *Mol. Psychiatry* **27**,
4464–4473 (2022).

25.   Vuong, C. K. *et al.* Rbfox1 Regulates Synaptic Transmission through the
Inhibitory Neuron-Specific vSNARE Vamp1. *Neuron* **98**, 127-141.e7 (2018).

26.   Wamsley, B. *et al.* Rbfox1 Mediates Cell-type-Specific Splicing in Cortical
Interneurons. *Neuron* **100**, 846-859.e7 (2018).

27.   Zhang, C. *et al.* Defining the regulatory network of the tissue-specific splicing
factors Fox-1 and Fox-2. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 2550–2563 (2008).

28.   Choi, S. *et al.* Rbfox family proteins make the homo- and hetero-oligomeric
complexes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **495**, 1022–1027 (2018).

29.   Liu, J., Geng, A., Wu, X., Lin, R.-J. & Lu, Q. Alternative RNA Splicing
Associated With Mammalian Neuronal Differentiation. *Cereb. Cortex* **28**, 2810–2816
(2018).

30.   Mullen, R. J., Buck, C. R. & Smith, A. M. NeuN, a neuronal specific nuclear
protein in vertebratesxs. *Development* **116**, 201–211 (1992).

31.   Gehman, L. T. *et al.* The splicing regulator Rbfox2 is required for both
cerebellar development and mature motor function. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 445–460 (2012).

32.   Jacko, M. *et al.* Rbfox Splicing Factors Promote Neuronal Maturation and
Axon Initial Segment Assembly. *Neuron* **97**, 853-868.e6 (2018).

33.   Leblond, C. S. *et al.* Operative list of genes associated with autism and
neurodevelopmental disorders based on database review. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **113**,
103623 (2021).

34.   Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S. M. *et al.* Precise temporal regulation of alternative
splicing during neural development. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2189 (2018).

35.   Leek, J. T. *et al.* Tackling the widespread and critical impact of batch effects in
high-throughput data. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **11**, 733–739 (2010).

36.   Weißbach, S. *et al.* Reliability of genomic variants across different next-
generation sequencing platforms and bioinformatic processing pipelines. *BMC
Genomics* (2021).

37.   Qin, Y. *et al.* A recurrent SHANK1 mutation implicated in autism spectrum
disorder causes autistic-like core behaviors in mice via downregulation of mGluR1-
IP3R1-calcium signaling. *Mol. Psychiatry* **27**, 2985–2998 (2022).

38.   Schob, C. *et al.* Cognitive impairment and autistic-like behaviour in SAPAP4-

deficient mice. *Transl. Psychiatry* **9**, 1–19 (2019).

39.   Sanders, B. *et al.* Transcriptional programs regulating neuronal differentiation
are disrupted in DLG2 knockout human embryonic stem cells and enriched for
schizophrenia and related disorders risk variants. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 27 (2022).

40.   Vuong, J. K. *et al.* PTBP1 and PTBP2 Serve Both Specific and Redundant
Functions in Neuronal Pre-mRNA Splicing. *Cell Rep.* **17**, 2766–2775 (2016).

41.   Dillon, G. M. *et al.* CLASP2 Links Reelin to the Cytoskeleton during
Neocortical Development. *Neuron* **93**, 1344-1358.e5 (2017).

42.   Weißbach, S. *et al.* Cortexa – a comprehensive resource for studying gene
expression and alternative splicing in the murine brain. Preprint at
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.11.589045 (2024).

43.   Liu, J., Geng, A., Wu, X., Lin, R.-J. & Lu, Q. Alternative RNA Splicing
Associated With Mammalian Neuronal Differentiation. *Cereb. Cortex* **28**, 2810–2816
(2018).

44.   Tissir, F. & Goffinet, A. M. Reelin and brain development. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.*
4, 496–505 (2003).

45.   Gallo, C. M., Ho, A. & Beffert, U. ApoER2: Functional Tuning Through
Splicing. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* **13**, (2020).

46.   Reddy, S. S., Connor, T. E., Weeber, E. J. & Rebeck, W. Similarities and
differences in structure, expression, and functions of VLDLR and ApoER2. *Mol.*
Neurodegener. **6**, 30 (2011).

47.   Yano, M., Hayakawa-Yano, Y., Mele, A. & Darnell, R. B. Nova2 Regulates
Neuronal Migration through an RNA Switch in Disabled-1 Signaling. *Neuron* **66**, 848–
858 (2010).

48.   Saito, Y. *et al.* Differential NOVA2-Mediated Splicing in Excitatory and
Inhibitory Neurons Regulates Cortical Development and Cerebellar Function: Neuron.
Neuron **101**, 707-720.E5 (2019).

49.   Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S. M. *et al.* HITS-CLIP and Integrative Modeling Define
the Rbfox Splicing-Regulatory Network Linked to Brain Development and Autism.
Cell Rep. **6**, 1139–1152 (2014).

50.   Yeo, G. W. *et al.* An RNA code for the FOX2 splicing regulator revealed by
mapping RNA-protein interactions in stem cells. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **16**, 130–137
(2009).

51.   Zhang, C. *et al.* Defining the regulatory network of the tissue-specific splicing
factors Fox-1 and Fox-2. *Genes Dev.* **22**, 2550–2563 (2008).

52.   Jangi, M., Boutz, P. L., Paul, P. & Sharp, P. A. Rbfox2 controls autoregulation
in RNA-binding protein networks. *Genes Dev.* **28**, 637–651 (2014).

53.   Castle, J. C. *et al.* Expression of 24,426 human alternative splicing events and
predicted cis regulation in 48 tissues and cell lines. *Nat. Genet.* **40**, 1416–1425
(2008).

54.   Venables, J. P. *et al.* MBNL1 and RBFOX2 cooperate to establish a splicing
programme involved in pluripotent stem cell differentiation. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2480
(2013).

55.   Venables, J. P. *et al.* RBFOX2 Is an Important Regulator of Mesenchymal
Tissue-Specific Splicing in both Normal and Cancer Tissues. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **33**, 396–
405 (2013).

56.   Keppetipola, N., Sharma, S., Li, Q. & Black, D. L. Neuronal regulation of pre-
mRNA splicing by polypyrimidine tract binding proteins, PTBP1 and PTBP2. *Crit.*
Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. **47**, 360–378 (2012).

57. Ling, J. P. *et al.* PTBP1 and PTBP2 Repress Nonconserved Cryptic Exons.
Cell Rep. **17**, 104–113 (2016).
58. Li, Y. I., Sanchez-Pulido, L., Haerty, W. & Ponting, C. P. RBFOX and PTBP1
proteins regulate the alternative splicing of micro-exons in human brain transcripts.
Genome Res. **25**, 1–13 (2015).
59. Underwood, J. G., Boutz, P. L., Dougherty, J. D., Stoilov, P. & Black, D. L.
Homologues of the Caenorhabditis elegans Fox-1 Protein Are Neuronal Splicing
Regulators in Mammals. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**, 10005 (2005).
60. Jin, Y. *et al.* A vertebrate RNA-binding protein Fox-1 regulates tissue-specific
splicing via the pentanucleotide GCAUG. *EMBO J.* **22**, 905–912 (2003).
61. Bak, M. *et al.* MAPP unravels frequent co-regulation of splicing and
polyadenylation by RNA-binding proteins and their dysregulation in cancer. *Nat.*
Commun. **15**, 4110 (2024).
62. Huang, R. *et al.* NCAM regulates temporal specification of neural progenitor
cells via profilin2 during corticogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* **219**, e201902164 (2019).
63. Sarkisian, M. R., Bartley, C. M. & Rakic, P. Trouble making the first move:
interpreting arrested neuronal migration in the cerebral cortex. *Trends Neurosci.* **31**,
54–61 (2008).
64. Licatalosi, D. D. *et al.* Ptbp2 represses adult-specific splicing to regulate the
generation of neuronal precursors in the embryonic brain. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 1626–
1642 (2012).
65. Todorov, H. *et al.* Stage-specific expression patterns and co-targeting
relationships among miRNAs in the developing mouse cerebral cortex | bioRxiv.
Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.16.571081 (2023).
66. Yang, Y. *et al.* Single-cell long-read sequencing in human cerebral organoids
uncovers cell-type-specific and autism-associated exons. *Cell Rep.* **42**, (2023).
67. Chau, K. K. *et al.* Full-length isoform transcriptome of the developing human
brain provides further insights into autism. *Cell Rep.* **36**, (2021).
68. Turner, T. N. *et al.* Genome Sequencing of Autism-Affected Families Reveals
Disruption of Putative Noncoding Regulatory DNA. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **98**, 58–74
(2016).
69. Bacchelli, E. *et al.* An integrated analysis of rare CNV and exome variation in
Autism Spectrum Disorder using the Infinium PsychArray. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 3198 (2020).
70. Griswold, A. J. *et al.* Targeted massively parallel sequencing of autism
spectrum disorder-associated genes in a case control cohort reveals rare loss-of-
function risk variants. *Mol. Autism* **6**, 43 (2015).
71. Kanduri, C. *et al.* The landscape of copy number variations in Finnish families
with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism Res.* **9**, 9–16 (2016).
72. Fanciulli, M. *et al.* Copy number variations and susceptibility to lateral temporal
epilepsy: A study of 21 pedigrees. *Epilepsia* **55**, 1651–1658 (2014).
73. Lal, D. *et al.* Rare exonic deletions of the RBFOX1 gene increase risk of
idiopathic generalized epilepsy. *Epilepsia* **54**, 265–271 (2013).
74. Lal, D. *et al.* Extending the phenotypic spectrum of RBFOX1 deletions:
Sporadic focal epilepsy. *Epilepsia* **56**, e129–e133 (2015).
75. Gehman, L. T. *et al.* The splicing regulator Rbfox1 (A2BP1) controls neuronal
excitation in the mammalian brain. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 706–711 (2011).
76. Wen, M. *et al.* Upregulation of RBFOX1 in the malformed cortex of patients
with intractable epilepsy and in cultured rat neurons. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **35**, 597–606
(2015).

77. Chung, Y. *et al.* Altered Rbfox1-Vamp1 pathway and prefrontal cortical dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Mol. Psychiatry* 1–10 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02417-8.

78. Conboy, J. G. Developmental regulation of RNA processing by Rbfox proteins. *WIREs RNA* **8**, e1398 (2017).

79. Mukherjee, A. & Nongthomba, U. To RNA-binding and beyond: Emerging facets of the role of Rbfox proteins in development and disease. *WIREs RNA* **15**, e1813 (2023).

80. Cayouette, M. & Raff, M. Asymmetric segregation of Numb: a mechanism for neural specification from Drosophila to mammals. *Nat. Neurosci.* **5**, 1265–1269 (2002).

81. Gulino, A., Di Marcotullio, L. & Screpanti, I. The multiple functions of Numb. *Exp. Cell Res.* **316**, 900–906 (2010).

82. Keppetipola, N. M. *et al.* Multiple determinants of splicing repression activity in the polypyrimidine tract binding proteins, PTBP1 and PTBP2. *RNA* **22**, 1172–1180 (2016).

83. Linares, A. J. *et al.* The splicing regulator PTBP1 controls the activity of the transcription factor Pbx1 during neuronal differentiation. *eLife* **4**, e09268 (2015).

84. Uhlén, M. *et al.* Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* **347**, 1260419 (2015).

85. Ellis, J. A., Hale, M. A., Cleary, J. D., Wang, E. T. & Andrew Berglund, J. Alternative Splicing Outcomes Across an RNA-Binding Protein Concentration Gradient. *J. Mol. Biol.* **435**, 168156 (2023).

86. Begg, B. E., Jens, M., Wang, P. Y., Minor, C. M. & Burge, C. B. Concentration-dependent splicing is enabled by Rbfox motifs of intermediate affinity. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **27**, 901–912 (2020).

87. Dredge, B. K. & Jensen, K. B. NeuN/Rbfox3 Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Isoforms Differentially Regulate Alternative Splicing and Nonsense-Mediated Decay of Rbfox2. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e21585 (2011).

88. Damianov, A. & Black, D. L. Autoregulation of Fox protein expression to produce dominant negative splicing factors. *RNA* **16**, 405–416 (2010).

89. Nowakowski, T. J. *et al.* Regulation of cell-type-specific transcriptomes by microRNA networks during human brain development. *Nat. Neurosci.* **21**, 1784–1792 (2018).

90. Florio, M. *et al.* Human-specific gene ARHGAP11B promotes basal progenitor amplification and neocortex expansion. *Science* **347**, 1465–1470 (2015).

91. Ma, J. *et al.* Identification of miR-22-3p, miR-92a-3p, and miR-137 in peripheral blood as biomarker for schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* **265**, 70–76 (2018).

92. Shi, W. *et al.* Aberrant expression of serum miRNAs in schizophrenia. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* **46**, 198–204 (2012).

93. Talebizadeh, Z., Butler, M. G. & Theodoro, M. F. Feasibility and relevance of examining lymphoblastoid cell lines to study role of microRNAs in autism. *Autism Res.* **1**, 240–250 (2008).

94. Huang, F. *et al.* Investigation of Gene Regulatory Networks Associated with Autism Spectrum Disorder Based on MiRNA Expression in China. *PLOS ONE* **10**, e0129052 (2015).

95. Basak, O. & Taylor, V. Identification of self-replicating multipotent progenitors in the embryonic nervous system by high Notch activity and Hes5 expression. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **25**, 1006–1022 (2007).

96.   Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 676–682 (2012).

97.   BBMap. *SourceForge* https://sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/ (2022).

98.   Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

99.   Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K. & Shi, W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 923–930 (2014).

100.   Love, M. I., Huber, W. & Anders, S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

101.   R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2022).

102.   rMATS: Robust and flexible detection of differential alternative splicing from replicate RNA-Seq data | PNAS. https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1419161111.

103.   Array programming with NumPy | Nature. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2649-2.

104.   team, T. pandas development. pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8092754 (2023).

105.   The Python Language Reference — Python 3.10.12 documentation. https://docs.python.org/3.10/reference/.

106.   Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment | IEEE Journals & Magazine | IEEE Xplore. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4160265.

107.   Waskom, M. L. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *J. Open Source Softw.* **6**, 3021 (2021).

108.   Gao, C.-H., Yu, G. & Cai, P. ggVennDiagram: An Intuitive, Easy-to-Use, and Highly Customizable R Package to Generate Venn Diagram. *Front. Genet.* **12**, (2021).

109.   Virtanen, P. *et al.* SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).

110.   Smith, T., Heger, A. & Sudbery, I. UMI-tools: modeling sequencing errors in Unique Molecular Identifiers to improve quantification accuracy. *Genome Res.* **27**, 491–499 (2017).

111.   Lovci, M. T. *et al.* Rbfox proteins regulate alternative mRNA splicing through evolutionarily conserved RNA bridges. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 1434–1442 (2013).

112.   Todorov, H., Fournier, D. & Gerber, S. Principal components analysis: theory and application to gene expression data analysis. *Genomics Comput. Biol.* **4**, 100041 (2018).

113.   Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *The Innovation* **2**, 100141 (2021).

114.   Yu, Guangchuang, Wang, Li-Gen, & He, Qing-Yu. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS J. Integr. Biol.* **16**, 284–287 (2012).

115.   Gu, Z., Gu, L., Eils, R., Schlesner, M. & Brors, B. circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2811–2812 (2014).

116.   Pysam. pysam-developers (2023).

117.   Llorian, M. *et al.* Position-dependent alternative splicing activity revealed by global profiling of alternative splicing events regulated by PTB. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **17**, 1114 (2010).

118.	Conway, J. R., Lex, A. & Gehlenborg, N. UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their properties. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2938–2940 (2017).

119.	Siepel, A. *et al.* Evolutionarily conserved elements in vertebrate, insect, worm, and yeast genomes. *Genome Res.* **15**, 1034–1050 (2005).

120.	Szklarczyk, Damian *et al.* STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, (2019).

Acknowledgments

S.W acknowledges financial support from the Emergent Algorithmic Intelligence Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz funded by the Carl-Zeiss-Stiftung. H.T. and S.G. acknowledge financial support from the Landesinitiative Rheinland-Pfalz and the Resilience, Adaptation, and Longevity (ReALity) initiative of the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz. J.W. acknowledges funding from the DFG (WI 3837/10-1).

Author information

Contributions

S.W. performed the bioinformatics analyses, interpreted and visualized the results. H.T. contributed to interpreting the results and performed statistical analyses. L.S. performed in-utero electroporation experiments and analyzed the data. L.Z. and S.M. performed immunostainings and analyzed the data. A.S. performed cell culture, RT-qPCR, and Western blot experiments. S.L.Z. performed Luciferase Assays. D.H. performed bioinformatics analyses. T.V. provided the *in vitro* neuronal differentiation model. DS and SS analyzed data and revised the manuscript. M.H. and S.G. provided supervision and organized funding. J.W. designed the research, supervised the study, and organized funding. S.W., H.T., and J.W. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics declarations

Ethics approval

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the regulations of the local authorities (Landesuntersuchungsamt Rheinland-Pfalz, license number 23 177-07/G 13-1-089).

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest.