The urinary microbiome in association with diabetes and diabetic
kidney disease: A systematic review

Tiscar Graells[1*], Yi-Ting Lin[1,2], Shafqat Ahmad[3,4,5], Tove Fall[3], and Johan Ärnlöv[1,6,7]

[1]Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Karolinska

Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

[2]Department of Family Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan

[3] Molecular Epidemiology, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[4] Preventive Medicine Division, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States

[5] Natural Sciences, Technology and Environmental Studies, Södertörn University, Huddinge

[6] Center for Clinical Research Dalarna, Falun, Uppsala University, Sweden

[7] School of Health and Welfare, Dalarna University, Falun, Sweden

*Corresponding author: tiscar.graells.fernandez@ki.se

Abstract: The urinary microbiome, or urobiome, is a novel area of research that has been gaining attention recently, as urine was thought to be sterile for years. There is limited information about the composition of the urobiome in health and disease. The urobiome may be affected by several factors and diseases such as diabetes, a disease that often leads to kidney damage. Thus, we need to understand the role of the urobiome to assess and monitor kidney disease related to diabetes over time. We conducted a systematic review to summarize knowledge about the urobiome in association with diabetes mellitus and diabetic kidney disease. The search, conducted in PubMed and Web of Science electronic databases until July 2024, resulted in the selection of 18 studies including cross-sectional case-control studies, cross-sectional surveys and one prospective longitudinal study. In total, the urobiome of 1,571 people was sequenced, of which 662 people had diabetes, and of these 36 had confirmed diabetic kidney disease; 609 were healthy individuals, 179 had prediabetes or were at risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and 121 did not have diabetes but had other comorbidities. Eight studies analysed data from females, one was focused on male data, and the other nine had mixed female-male data. Most of the studies had a small sample size, used voided midstream urine, and used 16S rRNA sequencing. This systematic review summarizes trends seen throughout published data available to have a first baseline knowledge of the urinary microbiome, and its microbiota, in association with diabetes.

Keywords: urinary microbiome; urinary microbiota; urobiome; albuminuria; diabetes; kidney disease

1. Introduction

Microbial communities living within us comprise viruses, bacteria, archaea, and small eukaryotes like fungi and protists (1). These communities are symbiotic microbial networks that can perform vital functions in the host and whose metabolites, or the lack of them, have influence in human health and diseases (2–4). The intricate structure of these microbial ecosystems within their ecological niche, their taxonomy and functional composition, are known as the microbiome (5). Similarly to our fingerprints, each microbiome in the gut, vagina, skin or urinary tract are highly unique and they are influenced by the environment, host genetics, lifestyle which are factors for which we have some understanding; but there may be other factors influencing the microbiome for which we currently lack understanding (4). Dysbiosis is a state where the microbiome composition or diversity are disrupted or altered, or both, impeding normal functionality or causing low-grade inflammation that may play a role in the onset and/or development of several diseases (5). Among the human microbiomes, the gut microbiome is the best studied as it is seen as a "hidden" metabolic organ for human wellbeing affecting immunity, neural, endocrinal or metabolic pathways (4,6). Gut dysbiosis has been related to several diseases but if it is cause or effect of these diseases is a question that remains unclear (4,7,8). While our understanding of the gut microbiome is evolving but limited, the knowledge about other microbiomes is even more limited. This is particularly true for the urinary tract microbiome, or urobiome, which was long believed to be sterile until molecular techniques revealed its presence about a decade ago (9,10). The urobiome is characterized by a low biomass relative to the gut microbiome, and its composition remains largely unexplored (9,11). Evidence suggests that the urobiome differs between males and females. It is often associated with lactobacilli in females, whereas in males is linked to *Corynebacterium* or *Staphylococcus* (9,11,12). The differences in the urobiome between males and females have been attributed to the hormone oestrogen, which plays a crucial role in glycogen production (11,13) and whose fluctuations with age and menopausal status may affect the female urobiome (14). Bacteria of the genus *Lactobacillus* metabolize glycogen, and as a byproduct of it there is lactic acid which acidifies the environment

modulating the growth of other bacteria (11). The acidic environment is assumed significant for the

protective role that lactobacilli play in both the vaginal and urinary microbiomes of women (9,13).

Diabetes, a disease characterized by elevated levels of glucose in the blood, is a leading cause of

cardiovascular disease, blindness or kidney damage (15). Diabetes, especially type 2 diabetes

mellitus (T2DM), has become a global health emergency whose prevalence have been rising for

decades affecting 537 million people globally in 2021, including 6.7 million related deaths (15,16).

The incidence of diagnosed diabetes in adults is stabilising in some high-income countries, but

incidence in children is still increasing (17–20). Impaired glycaemia contributes to enhanced risk of

infections, and it may explain why diabetic individuals have higher risk of urinary infections,

pyelonephritis and urosepsis (21). Not only individuals with diabetes have higher glucose, albumin

and other proteins in urine which may affect the urobiome composition, enhance bacterial growth

of some species and may influence the diversity of the urobiome; but also they have high glucose in

urine which compromises the immune response and uroepithelial integrity (21). However, we have

limited information about the composition of the urobiome related to diabetes. In addition, damage

in the kidney blood vessels, because of diabetes or other causes, may decline the estimated

glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) which impacts urinary functions as these organs are connected

through the ureters to the urinary bladder (22). Development of diabetic nephropathy may further

turn in chronic kidney disease (CKD) or end stage renal disease (ESRD), the former associated with

diabetes but also other comorbidities while the latter is mostly caused by diabetes (22).

As there is a clear knowledge gap regarding the role of the urobiome in diabetes and diabetic kidney

disease (DKD), the aim of this systematic review is to gather available data and synthetise the main

findings about the urobiome, particularly, the urinary microbiota in association with diabetes and

kidney conditions derived from diabetes such as CKD or ESRD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Search strategy

The comprehensive literature search was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (23). It was registered under accession number of 565545 in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) hosted by the National Institute for Health and Care Research of the University of York (United Kingdom). A comprehensive literature search on SCOPUS and PUBMED databases was conducted until July 2024. We used the 'TITLE-ABS-KEY' search in SCOPUS (Web of Science) database while 'ALL FIELDS' were used in PUBMED database. The search included the following terms [("urobiome" OR "urinary microbiome" OR "urinary microbiota" OR "urinary tract microb*" OR "urine microb*" OR "urogenital microbiome" OR "urogenital microbiota") AND ("kidney disease*" OR "chronic kidney disease" OR "diabetes" OR "albuminuria" OR "diabetic nephropathy" OR "end stage renal disease*" OR "renal disease*")]   and it wanted to identify relevant clinical urinary microbiome studies associated with diabetes and/or kidney impairment published from 1st January 2000 until 4th July 2024.

2.2 Study selection

We included studies that evaluated the urobiome sequencing any type of urine samples (urinary bladder, urinary kidney or urogenital samples (24)) in relation with diabetes and conditions that affect kidney functionality, and which can lead to chronic kidney disease or end stage renal disease. Studies were excluded if they were review articles, editorials, comments, systematic reviews, books, conference abstracts or any other type of article without full data available. The inclusion criteria were: (i) analyses studying the bacterial species of urine; (ii) analyses of individuals with diabetes and/or albuminuria and/or diabetic CKD and/or diabetic end-stage renal disease; (iii) human clinical samples; and (iv) perform DNA sequencing through 16S ribosomal DNA gene or shotgun next generation sequencing.

2.3 Data extraction, assessment of quality and risk of bias

Throughout this synthesis, we used the PRISMA and PROSPERO frameworks and we incorporated

the associated guidance at each stage. Following the removal of duplicates, the first step was the

screening of studies by article type. After removal of non-suitable studies, the second step included

a screening of studies by title and/or abstract for relevance. Those that seemed relevant were the

preliminary selected publications and they were finally screened based on full-text information.

Studies lacking full-text availability or with missing or unclear information were excluded from the

evaluation (**Fig. 1**). Two independent authors (T.G and Y.T.L) screened studies by title and abstract

and, if discrepancies arose, they were discussed until they reached a common agreement.

Preliminary selected publications were fully screened by T.G., reviewed by Y.T.L, and if they fulfilled

the inclusion criteria, they were selected for this systematic review. Studies quality and risk of bias

were assessed on participant selection, exclusion criteria, data measurements and analysis (25,26). A

determination of "low risk" or "high risk" bias according to the Cochrane and the National Heart,

Lung and Blood of the United States (NHLBI) guidelines was assessed by T.G. and independently

checked by Y.T.L and J.Ä. (25,27). Any disagreement was discussed and resolved between these

authors.

Figure 1: Flow diagram of selected studies. Diagram showing how studies in this systematic review about the urinary
microbiota and diabetes were selected.

3. Results

In total, eighteen studies were included including cross-sectional case-control studies, cross-

sectional surveys and one prospective longitudinal study (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 1**).

These studies altogether sequenced the urinary microbiome of 1,571 people, some participants

were part of the same cohort studied and were included multiple times (28–31). Of these individuals

662 had diabetes, 609 were healthy individuals, 179 had prediabetes or were at risk of diabetes, and

121 did not have diabetes but had other comorbidities. Among the 662 diabetic individuals, 36

individuals had diabetic kidney disease (32–34) while one studied CKD patients, most of them with

diabetes, but did not mention the primary cause of CKD (35). Sixteen of the studies used voided

midstream urine samples, or a modification of this collection technique, to avoid as much as possible

skin or genitalia microorganisms (**Supplementary Table 1**). The remaining two studies analysed

urinary bladder microbiota (catheterised urine) and, among these two, one was focused only on

males (**Table 1**). Eight studies included only female samples (four of these used the same cohort

data); and nine studies had mixed female-male data (**Table 1**). All studies except one used 16S

sequencing which included twelve studies with small sample sizes with less than 100 individuals,

including five studies with less than 50 participants (**Table 1**). The only one that used shotgun

sequencing had a small sample size (n = 29) (**Supplementary Table 1**). Participants of these 18

studies were adults; individuals with diabetes ranged between 50 and 72 years old on average, and

healthy controls were similar in age but slightly younger (if age was significantly different between

groups, it is stated in the comments in **Table 1**). Selected studies had comprehensive exclusion

criteria which are detailed in **Supplementary Table 1** and they were assessed for risk of bias in

Supplementary Table 2. Moreover, as articles considered confounding factors, such as age, BMI or

other relevant clinical factors; those that were statistically significant between groups are pointed up

in the comments of **Table 1.**

Among the 11 case-control studies, 9 reported richness and α-diversity analyses of which three of

the studies observed a decrease in richness and α-diversity, one a decrease in richness, two a

decrease in α-diversity, one observed an increase in α-diversity but this study assessed kidney stones

patients with and without diabetes, and two studies did not observe differences in richness or α-

diversity in the urobiome of the individuals with diabetes compared to those without diabetes

(**Table 1**). Among the studies assessing only diabetic individuals, one study observed lower α-

diversity in diabetic individuals with pyuria, one study observed lower richness in patients with

diabetic peripheral neuropathy, one study did not observed differences in α-diversity when

assessing urinary interleukin-8; and one study observed increased richness in diabetic individuals

compared to diabetic individuals with hyperlipidaemia but decreased richness if compared to

diabetic individuals with hypertension. Lastly, the two studies assessing CKD reported a decrease of

α-diversity as CKD worsened independently of the primary cause of CDK (diabetes or other). Indexes

calculated in each study are detailed in **Supplementary Table 3** and the main ones are detailed in

Table 1. Only seven studies reported β-diversity analyses with variable results (**Table 1**); 4 reported

differences in β-diversity, of which 2 described different urotypes (35–38); while 3 did not see

differences in β-diversity(29,39,40).

Significant results in microbial species for diabetic adults (statistical significance set to p< 0.05) of

each article are summarised in **Table 1** and all details can be found in **Supplementary Table 4**.

Comprising all studies, most of the bacterial species were identified at the genus level; few at species

level and some at higher taxonomy levels. The relative abundance of 69 different bacteria was

decreased, of 53 was increased in the urine of diabetic individuals while bacteria such as

Enterococcus, Stenotrophomonas, Klebsiella or Actinobacteriota had increased or decreased relative

abundance depending on studies (**Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Table 5** and **Table 1**).

Overall, we could observe that the genus *Lactobacillus,* and at species level *Lactobacillus iners*, had

increased relative abundance in diabetic individuals in 6 and 2 studies, respectively; and it was

related to poor glycaemic control and with presence of interleukin-8 in urine (29,30,36–38,41).

Three studies reported a decrease in relative abundance of the family *Bifidobacteriaceae* in urine of

individuals with diabetes which includes the genera *Bifidobacterium* and *Gardnerella;* while one

study reported the absence of the genus *Gardnerella* in individuals with diabetes and hyperlipidemia

(note: *Gardnerella* is included now in the genus *Bifidobacterium*)(29,30,32,42). In addition, depletion

of the family *Peptoniphilaceae* in urine of T2DM patients was reported in several selected studies. In

fact, studies reported decreased relative abundance of this family being relevant genera

Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia, Murdochiella and *Gallicola*, which were reported decreased

abundant in four, three, two, one and one article, respectively (28,29,37,38). The genus *Parvimonas,*

which belongs to this family as well, was increased in relative abundance in diabetic individuals

compared to non-diabetics only in the elderly cohort (41); while its relative abundance was

increased in the diabetic cohort compared to the diabetic plus hypertension cohort (30). Lastly,

three studies reported increased relative abundance of *Escherichia* (*Escherichia-Shigella*), a well-

known genus causing urinary tract infections (UTI), which was related to poor glycaemic control and

in two studies this genus was related to urinary symptoms or pyuria in urine (even though

individuals did not have an active UTI) (34,37,43).

Taxonomic classification of bacteria identified in selected studies was updated according to Genome

Taxonomy Database release 220 (https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/) at higher taxonomical levels (e.g.

phylum) but at lower levels was maintained to preserve clarity of the conclusions. For the most

accurate taxonomic annotation refer to details in **Supplementary Table 5**.

Article	Coun-try	Total adult	Healthy vs diabetic adults	Age: mean ± SD	Context	Indexes results in diabetic individuals	Main findings and/or differences in relative abundance of diabetic individuals in urine	Other significant details or relevant information
Case-control studies with controls and diabetic individuals								
(36)	China	30	0 vs 15	Kidney stones and T2DM: 56.20 ± 11.41 Kidney stones alone: 55.00 ± 11.69	Urinary microbiota in the renal pelvis of patients with kidney stones plus T2DM and those with kidney stones alone	No differences in richness (Chao index) ↑ α- diversity (Shannon index) β-diversity: the group with kidney stones alone and with kidney stones and T2DM clustered and could be differentiated	↑*Sphingomonas* ↑*Propionibacterium* ↑ *Corynebacterium* ↑ *Cellulosimicrobium* ↑ *Methylophilus* ↑ *Lactobacillus* ↑ *Enhydrobacter* ↑ *Chryseobacterium* ↑ *Haemophilus* ↑ *Allobaculum*	The relative abundance of *Enhydrobacter, Chryseobacterium,* and *Allobaculum* genera exhibited correlations with fasting blood glucose and HbA1c values.
(44)	Italy	75	25 vs 50	H males: 62 ± 7 H females: 57 ± 6 T2DM males: 65 ± 9 T2DM females: 64 ± 10		No differences in richness or α-diversity (observed species, Chao, and Shannon indexes)	NS [Statistical differences in prevalence (males and females): *Bifidobacterium breve, Corynebacterium pyroviciproducens, Lactobacillus gasseri ,* and *Streptococcus agalactiae* were more prevalent in healthy controls. *Campylobacter ureolyticus, Corynebacterium coyleae, Corynebacterium glucuronolyticum, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus iners, Peptoniphilus grossensis,* and *Veillonella atypica* were more prevalent in T2DM individuals]	Total bacterial load and the abundance of total Bacillota were found to be elevated in patients with diabetes compared with the healthy control group, this was also true for females but not males. *Actinotignum schaalii, Bifidobacterium scardovii, Facklamia hominis, Negativicoccus succinicivorans,* and *Peptoniphilus lacrimalis* were less prevalent in T2DM males. *Aerococcus christensenii, Anaerococcus hydrogenalis, Brevibacterium ravenspurgense, Corynebacterium aurimucosum, Gardnerella vaginalis, Mobiluncus curtisii, Prevotella buccalis, Prevotella colorans,* and *Veillonella montpellierensis* were more prevalent in T2DM females whereas *Facklamia ignava* and *Winkia neuii* were less prevalent in T2DM females.

(33) [Ω]	China	34	15 vs 19	H: 43.73 ± 7.54 T2DM: 59.95 ± 9.38	T2DM with diabetic kidney disease	↓ α - diversity in T2DM (Shannon and Simpson indexes)	↓Bacillota ↓ Bacteroidota ↑ Pseudomonadota ↑Acidobacteriota	Clinical characteristics between H and T2DM differed significantly in age; BMI; SBP; urea; uric acid; creatinine; natriuretic peptide; HbA1c (all ↓in H); and HDL and albumin (both ↑ in H). HbA1c was positively correlated with Acidobacteria and eGFR was positively correlated with Bacillota, Clostridiales, Clostridia, *Lactobacillaceae* and *Lactobacillus.*
(45) [£]	South Korea	691	368 vs 164	59.24 ± 6.13 (average of all groups and periods)	4-year longitudinal study of sequencing extracellular microbial vesicles. Also, 164 prediabetics and 35 people transitioned	↓ α - diversity (Shannon index) in all groups (possible effect of aging)	↓ unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* GU174097_g	Low abundance of GU174097_g was a risk factor for T2DM development, and it was associated with T2DM progression. GU174097_g decreased ketone bodies levels; and thus reduced HbA1c levels.
(39) [ ][Ω]	Czech Republic	58 [ ]	0 vs 14	65.2 ± 13.8 (all)	Catheterized urine samples from men collected under anesthesia from the bladder prior to urological surgery. 14 individuals had diabetes (36 did not have diabetes and for 8 it was unknown)	↓richness (OTUs, ACE and iChao2 indexes) ↓ α - diversity (Shannon and Simpson indexes) β - diversity was no different	No statistically differences of specific taxa between T2DM and non-T2DM individuals	Lower richness was also associated with: (1) ≥75 years; (2) High cholesterol and/or hyperlipidemia; (3) antibiotic prophylaxis. Smokers had higher α - diversity. No significant differences in α-diversity for hypertension or CKD. β – diversity was different between individuals with (1) CKD vs no CKD; (2) severe vs mild urinary symptoms; and (3) antibiotic prophylaxis vs without
(42) [¥]	Turkey	60	15 vs 15	H: 41.93 ± 11.3 Obese: 41.86 ± 8.53 Pre-DM: 49.6 ± 8.91 T2DM: 54 ± 7.76	T2DM, prediabetic (n=15), obese (n=15) and H groups	NS	↓ *Bifidobacterium* (same was observed for obese and prediabetics)	Clinical features statistically different: FBG between all groups; HbA1c between all groups except for H and obese individuals; BMI between all groups except for prediabetics and both obese and T2DM. Age was significantly lower in both H and obese vs T2DM individuals. Triglycerides and HDL were significantly lower in H vs both prediabetics and T2DM individuals
(38) [ ]	United States	136 [ ]	49 vs 87	H: 50.5 ±10.6 T2DM: 51.4 ± 10.9	Females with T2DM	β – diversity: Both H and T2DM clustered in 4-5 urotypes Urotypes in T2DM:	↑ *Lactobacillus* ↓ *Corynebacterium* ↓ *Staphylococcus* [T2DM differences in presence/absence:	H and T2DM groups differed significantly in FBG and HbA1c (both ↓in H). HbA1c was positively correlated with *Lactobacillus* and negatively associated with *Prevotella* and *Corynebacterium* in all cohort; and

						Lactobacillus and *Enterobacteriaceae* vs H females: *Gardnerella* and mixed	*Lactobacillus* was more present while *Corynebacterium, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia* and *Peptoniphilus* were less present]	negatively associated with *Prevotella* also in the T2DM group. Prevalence of *Lactobacillus* increased with weight; and most of participants were obese.
(37) ▯	China	58 ▯	26 vs 32	H: 57.62 ± 9.24

T2DM: 56.97 ± 8.01 | Females H and T2DM. T2DM microbiota were also assessed for lower urinary symptoms and levels of HbA1c | Richness and α − diversity were no different (OTUs, observed species, Chao, ACE, Shannon and Simpson indexes)

β - diversity was different between H and T2DM | ↑ *Escherichia-Shigella*
↑ *Klebsiella*
↑ *Aerococcus*
↑*Delftia*
↑*Enterococcus*
↑*Alistipes*
↑*Stenotrophomonas*
↑ *Micrococcus*
↑ *Deinococcus*
↓ *Gallicola*
↓*Arcobacter*
↓*Arcanobacterium*
↓*Kocuria*
↓ *Murdochiella*
↓ *Solitalea*
↓ *Peptoniphilus* | FBG was ↑ in T2DM compared to H group.
HbA1c was ↑ in T2DM with urinary symptoms vs T2DM without.

The diabetic group with urinary symptoms (compared to the group without) had lower richness, different β − diversity; and:
↑*Escherichia-Shigella*
↑ *Campylobacter*
↑ *Megasphera*
↓ *Prevotella*
↓ *Dialister*
↓ *Anaerococcus*
↓ *Fusobacterium*
↓ *Prevotella_6,*
↓ *Mycoplasma*
↓ *Peptoniphilus*
↓ *Porphyromonas*
↓ *Fodinicola*
↓ *Brevundimonas*

The diabetic group with high HbA1c compared to the diabetic low HbA1c group had lower α − diversity, different β − diversity, and:
↑*Escherichia-Shigella*
↑ *Lactobacillus*
↓ *Prevotella*
↓ *Campylobacter*
↓ *Dialister*
↓ *Anaerococcus*
↓ *Peptoniphilus*
↓ *Porphyromonas*
↓ *Fodinicola* |
| (41) ▯ | China | 100 ▯ | 50 vs 50 | Elderly: 71.86 ± 6.70
Non-old: 50.06 ± 7.51 | Elderly vs non-elderly cohorts. Half of the females of each cohort had T2DM | ↓ richness (OTU and Chao indexes) in elderly with T2DM
No differences in α - diversity (Shannon and Simpson indexes) between the | Elderly with T2DM (compared to elderly without):
↓ *Nitrospirae*
↓ *Odoribacter*
↓*Aeromonas* | T2DM females treated with metformin. *Lactobacillus* had a decreased trend in T2DM patients with FBG > 10 mmol/l. *Lactobacillus iners* was not associated |

							↓*Clostridium*	with FBG.
					elderly with and without T2DM		↓*Enterobacter*	Elderly related differences: (1) relative abundance of Bacillota (Firmicutes) increased with BMI; (2) relative abundance of Bifidobacteria was negatively correlated with age; (3) *Lactobacillus* decreased with age but was not associated with pH; (4) ↑ abundance of *Sphingobium* and *Bosea*; and (5) ↓ abundance of *Sneathia*; *Geobacillus*; *Shuttleworthia*; *Bacillus*; *Gemella*; *Bdellovibrio*; *Hydrogenophaga*; *Proteus*; *Novosphingobium*.
							↓*Butyricimonas*	
							↓*Enterococcus*	
							↓ *Erwinia*	
							↓ *Fusobacterium*	
							↓ *Klebsiella*	
							↓ *Lachnobacterium*	
							↓*Stenotrophomonas*	
							↓*Phascolarctobacterium*	
							↑*Eggerthella*	
							↑ *Parvimonas*	
							↑*Bdellovibrio*	
							↑*Hydrogenophaga*	
							↑**Lactobacillus iners**	
(28) ⱶ	China	140 ⱶ	70 vs 70	Matched H and T2DM individuals. 70% > 56 years or older; only 8% younger than 45 years	Authors matched individual characteristics between the H and the T2DM groups	↓ richness (number of OTUs, observed species, ACE and Chao1 indexes) ↓ α-diversity (Shannon index)	↑ Actinobacteria ↑ *Porphyromonas* ↑ *Flavobacteriales* ↑ *Collinsella* ↓ *Akkermansia muciniphila* ↓ *Bacteroidota* ↓ *Prevotellaceae* ↓ *Prevotella* ↓*Pseudomonadales* ↓*Peptoniphilus* ↓ *Citrobacter* ↓*Actinobacteriota* ↓ *Synergistota* ↓*Acinetobacter* ↓*Campylobacter* ↓*Campylobacterales* ↓ *Staphylococcus* ↓ *Anaerococcus* ↓ *Halomonas* ↓ *Finegoldia* ↓ *Streptococcus* ↓*Veillonella* ↓*Clostridium* ↓*Corynebacterium*	Actinobacteria increase was associated with higher BMI; increased FBG and urine glucose. *Akkermansia muciniphila* decrease was associated with FBG and urine glucose. Urine glucose, FBG, urine infections, hypertension and hyperlipidemia were statistically ↑ in T2DM compared to H group. Authors studied hypertension and hyperlipidemia in article by (30); inflammatory markers in article by (Ling et al. 2017) and the effect on diet in article 7 (31).
(34) Ω	United States	29	8 vs 6	H: 34.57 ESDR T1DM: 51.00 ESDR T2DM: 64.33 (all ESRD: 53.28)	Transplant ESRD patients. Kidney damage caused by 4 primary diagnoses: other, T1DM, T2DM, and hypertension. 15 individuals had	↓ α-diversity (Shannon and inverse Simpson indexes) ↓ richness (observed species) β-diversity: primary diagnoses had no significant differences in bacterial	↑ *Enterococcus faecalis* ↑ *Enterococcus faecium* ↑ *Enterococcus sp.* ↑ *Escherichia coli* ↑ *Escherichia sp.* ↓ *Cutibacterium acnes* ↓ *Corynebacterium*	↑ Bacillota and ↓Actinobacteria because *Enterococcus* belongs to the former phylum while as *Mobiluncus* belongs to the latter. Both groups had similar folate metabolism (which is the target of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole) but

| | | | | | | | ↓Mobiluncus curtisii | had differences in specific enzymes. Dihydrofolate synthase/folypolygluta-mate synthase was increased in transplant groups. |

					non-diabetic ESRD. Trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole was given prophylactically	composition; but all had with the H	↓Mobiluncus curtisii	had differences in specific enzymes. Dihydrofolate synthase/folypolygluta-mate synthase was increased in transplant groups.
Studies with diabetic individuals only								
	(43)	Taiwan	7	0 vs 7	T2DM No-pyuria: 59 ± 5.7 T2DM Pyuria: 59.66 ± 6.5	All 7 individuals with T2DM and SGLT2 therapy; 3 with pyuria in urine; 4 without	↓ α - diversity in T2DM with pyuria compared with T2DM without pyuria (Shannon index)	↑Escherichia-Shigella in individuals with pyuria	7 T2DM individuals with negative standard urine cultures. eGFR stage II (60–89 mL/min) mildly impaired kidney function
	(40) ⬜	China	30 ⬜	0 vs 30	T2DM with diabetic peripheral neuropathy: 55.82 ± 7.83 T2DM only: 54.85 ± 7.49	Among the 30 females with T2DM, 17 females also had diabetic peripheral neuropathy	↓richness in DPN group (OTUs, observed species, ACE and iChao2 indexes) α – diversity was no different (Shannon and Simpson indexes) β – diversity was no different	Group with DPN: ↑ Mycoplasmataceae ↓Propionibacteriaceae ↓ Pseudobdellovibrioinaceae ↓Sphingobacteriaceae	T2DM females: 17 with DPN and 13 without. Both groups did not differ in clinical characteristics.
* ⬜	(30)	China	70 ⬜	0 vs 70	T2DM: 56.28 ± 13.91 T2DM + hypertension: 70.42 ± 9.00 T2DM + hyperlipidemia: 54.43 ± 10.66 T2DM + both: 69.81 ± 9.64	Only analysis of the T2DM individuals from article 13 that had different clinical features. The T2DM cohort was divided: 28 had T2DM; 24 had T2DM + hypertension; 7 had T2DM + hyperlipidaemia; 11 had T2DM and both hypertension + hyperlipidaemia	↑ richness compared to the hyperlipidemia only group (number of reads and number of OTUs) ↓ richness compared to hypertension groups (number of reads and number of OTUs) No differences in α - diversity (Shannon and Simpson indexes) between the groups	Compared to T2DM + hypertension group, the group with only T2DM had: ↑ Lactobacillus iners ↑ Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae ↑ Acinetobacter schindleri ↑Lactobacillus ↑Enterobacter ↑Klebsiella ↑Shuttleworthia ↑Sneathia ↑ Parvimonas ↑Megasphera ↑Erwinia ↓ Kocuria palustris ↓ Aeromonas ↓ Roseburia ↓ Ruminococcus Compared to T2DM + hyperlipidemia, the group with only T2DM had: ↓ Faecalibacterium ↓ Collinsella ↓ Oscillospira (diagnostic factor to differentiate both groups)	The T2DM cohort was divided: 28 T2DM; 24 T2DM + hypertension; 7 T2DM + hyperlipidemia; 11 T2DM + hypertension + hyperlipidemia. The duration of T2DM was significantly shorter in the two groups without hypertension. The four most abundant bacteria in all groups were Proteobacteria, Bacillota, Bacteroidota and Actinobacteria. The fifth varied from Fusobacteria (inT2DM and T2DM with both conditions), Synergistota (group with hypertension) and Acidobacteria (group with hyperlipidemia). Predominant genera: in T2DM Lactobacillus, Prevotella, and Acinetobacter; in T2DM + hyperlipidemia Lactobacillus, Prevotella and Halomonas; in T2DM + hypertension Prevotella, Streptococcus, and Bacteroides; and in T2DM + hypertension + hyperlipidemia Prevotella, Lactobacillus and Bacillus.

| | | | | | | | | | Compared to T2DM + hypertension and hyperlipidemia, the group **with only T2DM** had: ↑*Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* ↑ *Shuttleworthia* ↓*Gemella* ↓*Prevotella*

Compared to T2DM + hypertension and hyperlipidemia, the group with T2DM + hyperlipidemia had: ↑*Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* ↑*Faecalibacterium*

Compared to T2DM + hypertension, the group with T2DM + hyperlipidemia had: ↑ *Klebsiella* ↑ *Novosphingobium* ↑ *Lactobacillus* ↑ *Enterobacter*

The two groups with hypertension did not show differences | The groups with hypertension had presence of *Eggerthella lenta* but this species was absent in the group with only diabetes; while *Gardnerella* was absent in the hyperlipidemia group but it was present in the other groups.

Several bacteria correlated with FBG, blood pressure and lipidic profiles. *Atopobium* was positively correlated with FBG in the T2DM group. *Allobaculum* and *Odoribacter* were negatively correlated with diastolic pressure in the hypertension and hypertension plus hyperlipidemia groups, respectively. *Rikenella* and *Dorea* were positively correlated with triglycerides in the hyperlipidemia group and with LDL in the hypertension plus hyperlipidemia group, respectively. |
| *◻ | (29) | China | 70 ◻ | 0 vs 70 | T2DM with IL-8 in urine: 65.78 ± 13.58

T2DM without IL-8 in urine: 59.25 ± 11.06 | T2DM females whose levels of IL-8 were evaluated. IL-8 is a potential biomarker for diagnosing of urinary infections | α – diversity was no different between T2DM females with and without IL-8 in urine (Shannon and Simpson indexes)

β-diversity: the group with Il-8 and without IL-8 in urine clustered together and could be differentiated | T2DM females without IL-8: ↓*Bifidobacteriaceae* ↓*Shuttleworthia* ↓ *Thermales* ↓ *Streptococcus anginosus* ↓ *Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* ↓ *Acinetobacter schindleri* ↓ *Lactobacillus iners* ↓ *Akkermansia muciniphila* ↓ *Mobiluncus* ↓ *Peptoniphilus* ↓ *Corynebacterium* ↓ *Gemella* ↓ *Enterococcus* ↓ *Geobacillus* ↑ *Prevotella copri* ↑ *Prevotella stercorea* ↑*Bacteroides uniformis* ↑*Coprococcus eutactus* ↑ *Faecalibacterium* | *Ruminococcus* was positively associated with urinary IL-8. Urinary IL-8 was high in T2DM patients with ↑ *Pseudomonas* and ↑ *Klebsiella*.

Clinical features between the T2DM with IL-8 and without IL-8 in urine differed in age, BMI and pH, white blood cells, leukocyte esterase, protein, glucose, and nitrites in urine (all characteristics ↑ in the group with IL-8) |

								↑ Megamonas ↑ Comamonas ↑ Pseudomonas ↑ Phascolarctobacterium	
* ⬜	(31)	China	140 ⬜	70 vs 70	Matched H and T2DM individuals. 70% > 56 years or older; only 8% younger than 45 years	T2DM association with urinary IL-8 and evaluation of the effect of diet on urinary microbiota	NS	NS	*Ruminococcus* was positively associated with urinary IL-8 (results from Ling et al. 2017). Water weakened the positive relationship between *Ruminococcus* and IL-8 in urine while fiber, vitamin B3 and vitamin E enhanced the positive relationship.
Case-control studies with individuals that have kidney or renal disease									
	(32)	Portu-gal	46	0 vs 14	56.9 ± 10.7	All individuals with CKD and on peritoneal dialysis; the rest had other comorbidities	↓ α - diversity (Shannon index) as CKD-PD worsened (independently of the cause)	↓ *Atopobium* ↓ *Dermabacter* ↓ *Gardnerella*	Apart from diabetes in CKD patients, significance was found for sex: *Lactobacillus* linked to females; *Staphylococcus* and *Anaerococcus* to males.
	(35)	United States	77	23 vs 54	Males: 76.6 ± 7.6 Females: 70.5 ± 8.2	All individuals with CKD but no dialysis (70% of them with diabetes)	↓ α - diversity (Shannon index) as CKD worsened (independently of cause). Richness and α – diversity were no different between diabetic and non-diabetic CKD individuals (Chao; Inverse Simpson and Shannon indexes).	NS	Richness and α – diversity were no different by age or obesity status. β – diversity: Different urotypes. Urinary incontinence and ↑ eGFR was associated with ↑ α-diversity.

4. Discussion

This study has assessed the urinary microbiota related to diabetes and diabetes-related kidney

diseases through a systematic review. This work has summarised statistically significant results

reported by selected studies with a threshold of p ≤ 0.05. The main findings of this study were: (1) a

decreased richness and α-diversity in urinary microbiota in individuals with diabetes compared to

healthy controls; (2) α-diversity was not different within diabetic individuals, except if they showed

urinary symptoms (e.g. urgency to pee) although they did not have a (diagnosed) urinary infection;

(3) α-diversity decreases with the evolution of kidney disease independently of the primary cause

(e.g. kidney disease primarily caused by diabetes or hypertension or other pathology); (4) β-diversity

urinary microbiota composition between healthy controls and diabetic individuals results were

conflicting between studies and may depend on the stage of the disease and/or the grade of kidney

damage; (5) patients with T2DM shared the depletion of *Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia*

and *Gallicola* (all formerly part of the genus *Peptostreptococcus* and inside the *Peptoniphilaceae*

family), or *Lachnospiraceae* that are butyrate-producing bacteria; (6) patients with diabetes shared

the enrichment of pro-inflammatory microbes such as Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria)

and of bacteria of order Lactobacillales such as *Lactobacillus* or *Enterococcus*; (7) cohort sizes were

small (from a few samples to more than one hundred) and the only one study that was large (nearly

seven hundred samples) had data restricted to extracellular microbial vesicles; (8) except in two

studies, voided midstream urine samples were analysed and those are often referred as urogenital

samples due to likely cross-contamination with skin or genitalia microbiota during collection; and, (9)

although data showed similar trends, some bacterial phyla, families, and genera had controversial

results, probably due to the lack of resolution of these studies using 16S sequencing.

One of the main findings of this systematic review is the depletion of the family *Peptoniphilaceae* in

urine of T2DM patients as a trend. The most relevant genera of this family for the urobiome being

Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia, Murdochiella, Parvimonas and *Gallicola*. These genera are

gram-positive obligate anaerobic cocci that have been described as producers of short-chain fatty

acids such as butyrate (*Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus,* and *Gallicola*) and acetate (*Peptoniphilus,*

Parvimonnas, Gallicola and *Finegoldia)* or lactate *(Murdochiella)* (46). *Parvimonas* increased in

relative abundance in diabetic individuals compared to non-diabetics only in the elderly cohort (41);

while its relative abundance was increased in the diabetic cohort compared to the diabetic plus

hypertension cohort (30). In the latter, if diabetes is accompanied by other factors such as

hypertension, there is decreased abundance of this genus pointing to a depletion as the health

status worsens. *Peptoniphilus* and *Anaerococcus* abundance may be associated to glycosylated

haemoglobin HbA1c as diabetic individuals with high HbA1c had decreased relative abundance of

these two genera in urine compared to diabetic individuals with low HbA1c (37).

The genus *Lactobacillus* seems to be more abundant in the urine of diabetic individuals according to

this systematic review. *Lactobacillus iners*, which has been described as a transitional species that

colonizes the vagina after an ecological disturbance (47), was found enriched in the urine of T2DM

females (30,41) and in the same cohort with higher levels of inflammatory interleukin-8 in urine (29).

Other species of this genus such as *Lactobacillus crispatus* and *Lactobacillus gasseri* have been

associated with lack of lower urinary tract symptoms and lack of urgency urinary incontinence,

respectively; and levels of *Lactobacillus* in the vagina are reported to be associated with menopausal

status and with reduced risk of UTI (38). Although lactobacilli have generally been considered

beneficial and the normal microbiota of the urobiome and vagina, there is increasing evidence that

some *Lactobacillus* spp. may be pathogenic or related to urinary symptoms (48,49). The association

between the different species of *Lactobacillus* and their role in T2DM needs further investigation,

considering that age, oestrogen, HbA1c and/or BMI could also be important factors affecting the

abundance of this genus. In this context, HbA1c was positively correlated with *Lactobacillus.*

Individuals with T2DM and high HbA1c had higher abundance of this genus compared to those with

T2DM and low HbA1c levels (37,38). Another point to consider is that the genus *Lactobacillus* is

naturally resistant to widely used antibiotics and T2DM individuals often have multiple infections

that require the use of them (37). Thus, we could hypothesize that antibiotic therapy may imbalance

the urobiome allowing *Lactobacillus* to grow excessively which may induce inflammation.

Diabetic, prediabetic and obese individuals had lower abundance of the genus *Bifidobacterium* in

urine; and the abundance of this genus was negatively correlated with age (41,42). In this line, T2DM

patients with hyperlipidemia lacked *Bifidobacterium* (former *Gardnerella*) (30). This was also true for

the former genus *Gardnerella*, that is now included in the genus *Bifidobacterium*, that have

decreased abundance in CKD patients with diabetes (32). *Bifidobacterium* (*Gardnerella*) was a

common urotype in healthy females compared to diabetic females that had *Lactobacillus* or

Enterobacteriaceae urotypes (38). *Bifidobacteriaceae* had decreased relative abundance in diabetic

females without interleukin-8 in urine in the study by Ling et al; but this group had also lower age

and BMI compared to the group with interleukin-8 (29). These results may imply that diabetes but

also age, BMI or levels of interleukin-8 could influence the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* in

urine (29).

The role of the genus *Prevotella* in the urinary microbiota is unclear. Although HbA1c was negatively

correlated with *Prevotella* in T2DM patients (38) and Chen et al. reported a decrease of this genus in

individuals with diabetes with high urinary symptoms (37), other studies have found that this genus

was more prevalent in T2DM (44) or that urotypes and enrichment of *Prevotella* was associated with

urinary incontinence patients (12,50). Therefore, similarly to findings with the genus *Lactobacillus*,

we will likely need higher resolution studies, preferably shotgun metagenomics, to identify which

species or strains of these genera may play different roles in the urobiome.

UTIs are common in diabetic patients and are associated with poor glycaemic control, which

compromises kidney function and impairs quality of life (51). That is why many selected articles also

examined urinary symptoms, as hospitalization for UTIs caused by diabetes is more than twice as

common as hospitalizations for UTIs caused by other factors. The use of sodium–glucose

cotransporter 2 inhibitors as therapy for diabetes may contribute as these drugs reduce glucose

reabsorption, thus lowering the level on blood, but causing glycosuria in urine which could increase

the risk of UTIs in those patients (52). Hyperglycaemia and diabetes has been shown to reduce

psoriasin and occludins levels in the urinary tract leading to be more prone to urinary tract infections

(21). Moreover, psoriasin is an antimicrobial peptide with a broad protective role against *Escherichia*

coli and *Enterococcus* urinary infections, two of the most common pathogens causing UTI in

diabetics (21). In addition, low-grade inflammation with higher concentration of proinflammatory

cytokines and the depletion of producers of short-chained fatty acids such as butyrate, which has

beneficial effects on the host (53), may affect mucosa integrity, bacterial translocation and host–

urine microbiota interactions in T2DM patients.

The results of this systematic review have also highlighted that urinary microbiota diversity seems

lower in CKD or ESRD and it drops as the disease progresses independently of the primary cause

(32,35). Diabetes progression to kidney damage reduces eGFR and as eGFR declines the excretion of

uromodulin follows the same trend. Uromodulin, an urinary antimicrobial peptide whose function is

to stick to bacteria forming larger particles which enhance their excretion by the kidney, is produced

exclusively in the renal tubules and is affected by the decline of renal function (35) which may also

influence bacterial growth, microbiota diversity and risk of UTI.

Generally, adults with diabetes show lower abundance of short-chain fatty acids producing bacteria

in the gut compared to healthy controls (4). This systematic review reveals that diabetic individuals

have similar trends but also differences in their urinary microbiota. Lower relative abundance of

short-fatty acid butyrate-producing bacteria of the family *Peptoniphilaceae* and a higher relative

abundance of *Lactobacillus* was a common trend of this systematic review.

Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this review represents the first comprehensive analysis of the current

understanding of the urobiome, specifically the urinary microbiota, in the context of diabetes. One

notable strength of this review is the identification of emerging trends within the urobiome across

multiple studies where the majority had low risk of bias assessment. For instance, this is the first

article to highlight the significance of the *Peptoniphilaceae* family within the urobiome of diabetic

individuals, which has frequently been reported as less prevalent or reduced in relative abundance

in urine of diabetic individuals. Additionally, this work is strengthened by its systematic approach,

adhering to PRISMA and PROSPERO guidelines, ensuring standardized and reproducible results.

However, we also recognize some limitations. First, we were unable to perform meta-analyses due

to the considerable heterogeneity of the included studies. Second, while two studies analysed

catheterized urine, reflecting the microbiota of the bladder or renal pelvis, most of the studies relied

in voided midstream collection techniques that may also capture microbiota from the genitalia or

skin (Linda Brubaker et al., 2021). Moreover, since most studies employed 16S sequencing, the

resolution of the results is limited. This limitation means that the same genera identified across

different studies could refer to distinct bacterial species, potentially explaining some discrepancies in

the findings. Another limitation is the evolving taxonomical classification of bacteria. Taxonomic

reclassification may have occurred since the original articles were published. Where possible, we

have updated higher-level classifications (e.g., from Firmicutes to Bacillota) while maintaining the

original nomenclature at lower levels to preserve the clarity of the conclusions (e.g., *Gardnerella*

instead of *Bifidobacterium*). For the most accurate taxonomic annotation, we refer readers to the

Genome Taxonomy Database release 220 (https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/), with detailed information

provided in **Supplementary Table 4**.

5. Future perspectives

There are significant knowledge gaps regarding the role and impact of the urobiome in both health

and disease. This an emerging field that has attracted increasing attention in recent years, but the

influence of the urobiome on conditions such as diabetes and diabetic kidney disease remains

largely unexplored. While this systematic review has identified common trends across studies—such

as the depletion of *Peptoniphilaceae* and the increased abundance of *Lactobacillus* in the urine of

diabetic adults—the evidence remains insufficient due to the small sample sizes of most studies and

the limited resolution provided by 16S sequencing.

To advance urobiome research, large-scale population-based studies are urgently needed, along

with the application of higher-resolution techniques like shotgun metagenomics to more precisely

characterize the urinary microbiome. Such approaches could help identify specific microbial species

that play critical roles in maintaining a healthy urobiome, as well as those involved in the onset and

progression of diseases such as diabetes and conditions affecting kidney function.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization TG, JA; methodology TG and YTL; data extraction and

curation TG; data revision YTL; writing original draft TG; writing—review and editing TG, YTL, SA, TF,

JA. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: We acknowledge funding from the Swedish research council (Vetenskapsrådet grant

number 2019-01015, 2019-01471 and 2020-0243), from the Swedish heart lung foundation (Hjärt-

Lungfonden grant number 2021-0357 and 2023-0687), and from Center of clinical research (CKF) in

Region Dalarna, Falun, Sweden. Dr Ahmad was supported by research grants from FORMAS –Early

Career Grant (no. 2020-00989), Swedish Research Council-Early Career Grant (no. 2022-01460),

EFSD/Novo Nordisk and EpiHealth.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data presented and gathered in this systematic review are fully shown

in this manuscript or in the supplementary information.

Conflict of Interest: Johan Ärnlöv has received lecture fees from AstraZeneca and Novartis and

served on advisory boards for AstraZeneca and Astella, all unrelated to the present paper. All other

authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

1.   Ramirez J, Guarner F, Bustos Fernandez L, Maruy A, Sdepanian VL, Cohen H. Antibiotics as Major
Disruptors of Gut Microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020 Nov 24;10:572912.

2.   Kirby TO, Hendrix EK, Ochoa-Repáraz J. The gut microbiota as a therapeutic approach for
obesity. In: Microbiome and Metabolome in Diagnosis, Therapy, and other Strategic
Applications. Elsevier; 2019. p. 227–34.

3.   Aasmets O, Krigul KL, Lüll K, Metspalu A, Org E. Gut metagenome associations with extensive
digital health data in a volunteer-based Estonian microbiome cohort. Nat Commun.
2022;13(1):1–11.

4.   Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2021 Jan 4;19(1):55–71.

5.   Berg G, Rybakova D, Fischer D, Cernava T, Vergès MCC, Charles T, et al. Microbiome definition
re-visited: old concepts and new challenges. Microbiome. 2020 Jun 30;8(1):1–22.

6.   Guinane CM, Cotter PD. Role of the gut microbiota in health and chronic gastrointestinal
disease: understanding a hidden metabolic organ. Ther Adv Gastroenterol. 2013;6(4):295.

7.   Stolfi C, Maresca C, Monteleone G, Laudisi F. Implication of Intestinal Barrier Dysfunction in Gut
Dysbiosis and Diseases. Biomedicines. 2022 Jan 27;10(2):289.

8.   Donaldson GP, Lee SM, Mazmanian SK. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nat Rev
Microbiol. 2016 Jan;14(1):20–32.

9.   Roth RS, Liden M, Huttner A. The urobiome in men and women: a clinical review. Clin Microbiol
Infect. 2022;🮠:1.

10. Hilt EE, McKinley K, Pearce MM, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Mueller ER, et al. Urine Is Not Sterile:
Use of Enhanced Urine Culture Techniques To Detect Resident Bacterial Flora in the Adult
Female Bladder. Munson E, editor. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Mar;52(3):871–6.

11. Brubaker L, Putonti C, Dong Q, Wolfe AJ. The human urobiome. Mamm Genome. 2021
Aug;32(4):232–8.

12. Carnes MU, Siddiqui NY, Karstens L, Gantz MG, Dinwiddie DL, Sung VW, et al. Urinary
microbiome community types associated with urinary incontinence severity in women. Am J
Obstet Gynecol. 2024 Mar;230(3):344.e1-344.e20.

13. Nunn KL, Forney LJ. Unraveling the Dynamics of the Human Vaginal Microbiome. Yale J Biol
Med. 2016 Sep;89(3):331–7.

14. Hugenholtz F, Van Der Veer C, Terpstra ML, Borgdorff H, Van Houdt R, Bruisten S, et al. Urine
and vaginal microbiota compositions of postmenopausal and premenopausal women differ
regardless of recurrent urinary tract infection and renal transplant status. Sci Rep. 2022 Feb
17;12(1):2698.

15. Reed J, Bain S, Kanamarlapudi V. A Review of Current Trends with Type 2 Diabetes
Epidemiology, Aetiology, Pathogenesis, Treatments and Future Perspectives. Diabetes Metab
Syndr Obes Targets Ther. 2021 Aug;Volume 14:3567–602.

16. Magliano D, Boyko EJ. IDF diabetes atlas. 10th edition. Brussels: International Diabetes
Federation; 2021.

17. Magliano DJ, Chen L, Islam RM, Carstensen B, Gregg EW, Pavkov ME, et al. Trends in the
incidence of diagnosed diabetes: a multicountry analysis of aggregate data from 22 million
diagnoses in high-income and middle-income settings. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021
Apr;9(4):203–11.

18. Zhang K, Kan C, Han F, Zhang J, Ding C, Guo Z, et al. Global, Regional, and National Epidemiology
of Diabetes in Children From 1990 to 2019. JAMA Pediatr. 2023 Aug 1;177(8):837.

19. Nagl K, Waldhör T, Hofer SE, Blauensteiner N, Fritsch M, Fröhlich-Reiterer E, et al. Ongoing
Increase in Incidence of Diabetes in Austrian Children and Adolescents (1989–2021): Results
from a Nationwide Registry. Habeb AM, editor. Pediatr Diabetes. 2023 Aug 18;2023:1–7.

20. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas:
Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for
2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022 Jan;183:109119.

21. Mohanty S, Kamolvit W, Scheffschick A, Björklund A, Tovi J, Espinosa A, et al. Diabetes
downregulates the antimicrobial peptide psoriasin and increases E. coli burden in the urinary
bladder. Nat Commun. 2022 Sep 20;13(1):4983.

22. Natesan V, Kim SJ. Diabetic Nephropathy - a Review of Risk Factors, Progression, Mechanism,
and Dietary Management. Biomol Ther. 2021 Jul 1;29(4):365–72.

23. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020
statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021 Mar 29;n71.

24. Brubaker L, Gourdine JPF, Siddiqui NY, Holland A, Halverson T, Limeria R, et al. Forming
Consensus To Advance Urobiome Research. mSystems. 2021;6(4).

25. Boutron I, Page M, Higgins J, Altman D, Lundh A, Hróbjartsson A. Chapter 7: Considering bias and
conflicts of interest among the included studies [last updated August 2022]. In: Cochrane
Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 65 Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J,
Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA [Internet]. Cochrane; 2024. Available from:
www.training.cochrane.org/handbook

26. Furuya-Kanamori L, Xu C, Hasan SS, Doi SA. Quality versus Risk-of-Bias assessment in clinical
research. J Clin Epidemiol. 2021 Jan;129:172–5.

27. NHLBI. Quality Assessment of Case-Control Studies and Quality Assessment Tool for
Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies [Internet]. Study of Quality Assessment Tools.
Available from: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/study-quality-assessment-tools

28. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Lv L, Yang Q, Wang B, et al. Dysbiosis of urinary microbiota is positively
correlated with Type 2 diabetes mellitus [Internet]. Vol. 8, Oncotarget. 2017 p. 3798–810.
Available from: www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

29. Ling Z, Liu F, Shao L, Cheng Y, Li L. Dysbiosis of the urinary microbiota associated with urine
levels of proinflammatory chemokine interleukin-8 in female type 2 diabetic patients. Front
Immunol. 2017 Aug 25;8(AUG).

30. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Yang Q, Wang B, Zheng L, et al. Alterations of urinary microbiota in type 2
diabetes mellitus with hypertension and/or hyperlipidemia. Front Physiol. 2017 Mar 3;8(MAR).

31. Liu F, Ling Z, Tang C, Yi F, Chen YQ. Moderation effects of food intake on the relationship
between urinary microbiota and urinary interleukin-8 in female type 2 diabetic patients. PeerJ.
2020;2020(1).

32. Araujo R, Merino-Ribas A, Pereira L, Campos J, Silva N, Alencastre IS, et al. The urogenital
microbiome in chronic kidney disease patients on peritoneal dialysis. Nefrología. 2023 Aug;

33. Yang Y, Ma C, Li S, Cai W, Dai W, Zhang X, et al. Urinary microbiota and serum metabolite
analysis in patients with diabetic kidney disease. Heliyon. 2023 Aug 1;9(8).

34. Rani A, Ranjan R, McGee HS, Andropolis KE, Panchal DV, Hajjiri Z, et al. Urinary microbiome of
kidney transplant patients reveals dysbiosis with potential for antibiotic resistance. Transl Res.
2017 Mar 1;181:59–70.

35. Kramer H, Kuffel G, Thomas-White K, Wolfe AJ, Vellanki K, Leehey DJ, et al. Diversity of the
midstream urine microbiome in adults with chronic kidney disease. Int Urol Nephrol. 2018 Jun
1;50(6):1123–30.

36. Li X, Tang Y, Xu Z, Lin H, Wei S, Sheng J, et al. Impact of coexisting type 2 diabetes mellitus on the
urinary microbiota of kidney stone patients. PeerJ. 2024 Feb 26;12:e16920.

37. Chen J, Zhao J, Cao Y, Zhang G, Chen Y, Zhong J, et al. Relationship between alterations of
urinary microbiota and cultured negative lower urinary tract symptoms in female type 2
diabetes patients. BMC Urol. 2019 Aug 22;19(1).

38. Penckofer S, Limeira R, Joyce C, Grzesiak M, Thomas-White K, Wolfe AJ. Characteristics of the
microbiota in the urine of women with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications. 2020 Jun
1;34(6).

39. Hrbacek J, Tlaskal V, Cermak P, Hanacek V, Zachoval R. Bladder Microbiota Are Associated with
Clinical Conditions That Extend beyond the Urinary Tract. Microorganisms. 2022 May 1;10(5).

40. Li Y, Gao F, Chen J, Fan X, Zhan W, Wu P, et al. Characteristics of urinary microflora in women
with type 2 diabetic peripheral neuropathy without lower urinary tract symptoms;
[无下尿路症状的女性2型糖尿病周围神经病变患者的尿液菌群特征]. Chin J Urol. 2020;

41. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Yang Q, Zheng L, Jiang P, et al. Characterization of the urinary microbiota of
elderly women and the effects of type 2 diabetes and urinary tract infections on the microbiota
[Internet]. Vol. 8, Oncotarget. 2017 p. 100678–90. Available from:
www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

42. Düzgün Ç, Dede S, Karakuş E, Adaş M, Bilen Ö. Biochemical analysis of microbiotas obtained
from healthy, prediabetic, type 2 diabetes, and obese individuals. Turk J Biochem. 2022;

43. Lin HJ, Chuang HN, Jhan PP, Ye HY, Lee IT, Hsiao TH, et al. Pyuria Is Associated with Dysbiosis of
the Urinary Microbiota in Type 2 Diabetes Patients Receiving Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2
Inhibitors. Microbiol Res. 2023 Mar 1;14(1):34–41.

44. Calvigioni M, Biancalana E, Mazzantini D, Celandroni F, Rossi C, Mengozzi A, et al. In-depth
Microbiological Characterization of Urine From Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol
Metab. 2024 Jun 13;dgae389.

45. Kim K, Lee S, Park SC, Kim NE, Shin C, Lee SK, et al. Role of an unclassified Lachnospiraceae in the
pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal study of the urine microbiome and metabolites.
Exp Mol Med. 2022 Aug 1;54(8):1125–32.

46. Patel NB, Obregón-Tito AJ, Tito RY, Trujillo-Villaroel O, Marin-Reyes L, Troncoso-Corzo L, et al.
Citroniella saccharovorans gen. nov. sp. nov., a member of the family Peptoniphilaceae isolated
from a human fecal sample from a coastal traditional community member. Int J Syst Evol
Microbiol. 2019 Apr 1;69(4):1142–8.

47. Zheng N, Guo R, Wang J, Zhou W, Ling Z. Contribution of Lactobacillus iners to Vaginal Health
and Diseases: A Systematic Review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021 Nov 22;11:792787.

48. Maillet F, Passeron A, Podglajen I, Ranque B, Pouchot J. Lactobacillus delbrueckii urinary tract
infection in a male patient. Médecine Mal Infect. 2019 May;49(3):226–8.

49. Hori E, Nakamura M, Tamura H. A diagnostically challenging case of pyelonephritis caused by
Lactobacillus. Clin Case Rep. 2022 Jun;10(6):e5999.

50. Pearce MM, Zilliox MJ, Rosenfeld AB, Thomas-White KJ, Richter HE, Nager CW, et al. The female
urinary microbiome in urgency urinary incontinence. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015
Sep;213(3):347.e1-347.e11.

51. Kuo IC, Lee JJ, Hwang DY, Lim LM, Lin HYH, Hwang SJ, et al. Pyuria, urinary tract infection and
renal outcome in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 3–5. Sci Rep. 2020 Nov
10;10(1):19460.

52. Zaidi SMJ, Kaneez M, Almas T, Fatima L, Safian HA, Jamal AM, et al. Gauging the Risk Factors for
Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Type-2 Diabetic Women: A Case-Control Study. Cureus [Internet].
2020 Jul 8 [cited 2024 Mar 5]; Available from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/35887-gauging-
the-risk-factors-for-asymptomatic-bacteriuria-in-type-2-diabetic-women-a-case-control-study

53. Yoo J, Groer M, Dutra S, Sarkar A, McSkimming D. Gut Microbiota and Immune System
Interactions. Microorganisms. 2020 Oct 15;8(10):1587.