# The urinary microbiome in association with diabetes and diabetic kidney disease: A systematic review

Tiscar Graells[1*], Yi-Ting Lin[1,2], Shafqat Ahmad[3,4,5], Tove Fall[3], and Johan Ärnlöv[1,6,7]

[1]Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Division of Family Medicine and Primary Care, Karolinska Institute,

Stockholm, Sweden

[2]Department of Family Medicine, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Taiwan

[3] Molecular Epidemiology, Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

[4] Preventive Medicine Division, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, United States

[5] Natural Sciences, Technology and Environmental Studies, Södertörn University, Huddinge

[6] Center for Clinical Research Dalarna, Falun, Uppsala University, Sweden

[7] School of Health and Welfare, Dalarna University, Falun, Sweden

*Corresponding author: tiscar.graells.fernandez@ki.se

**Abstract:** The urinary microbiome, or urobiome, is a novel area of research that has been gaining attention recently. As urine was thought to be sterile for years, there is limited information about the composition of the urobiome in health and disease. The urobiome is reported affected by several factors and diseases such as diabetes, a disease that often leads to kidney damage. Thus, we need to understand the role of the urobiome to assess and monitor kidney disease related to diabetes over time. We conducted a systematic review to summarize knowledge about the urobiome in association with diabetes mellitus and diabetic kidney diseases. The search, conducted in PubMed and Web of Science electronic databases until July 2024, resulted in the selection of 18 studies including cross-sectional case-control studies, cross-sectional surveys and one prospective longitudinal study. In total, the urobiome of 1,571 people was sequenced, of which 662 people had diabetes, and of these 36 had confirmed diabetic kidney disease; 609 were healthy individuals, 179 had prediabetes or were at risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and 121 did not have diabetes but had other comorbidities. Eight studies analysed data from females, one was focused on male data, and the other nine had mixed female-male data. Most of the studies had a small sample size, used voided midstream urine, and used 16S rRNA sequencing. This systematic review summarizes trends seen throughout published data available to have a first baseline knowledge of the urinary microbiome, and its microbiota, in association with diabetes.

**Keywords:** urinary microbiome; urinary microbiota; urobiome; albuminuria; diabetes; kidney disease

## 1. Introduction

Microbial communities living within us comprise viruses, bacteria, archaea, and small eukaryotes like fungi and protists (1). These communities are symbiotic microbial networks that can perform vital functions in the host and whose metabolites, or the lack of them, have influence in human health and diseases (2–4). The intricate structure of these microbial ecosystems within their ecological niche, their taxonomy and functional composition, are known as the microbiome (5). Similarly to our fingerprints, each microbiome in the gut, vagina, skin or urinary tract are highly unique and they are influenced by the environment, host genetics, lifestyle which are factors for which we have some understanding; but there may be other factors influencing the microbiome for which we currently lack understanding (4). Dysbiosis is a state where the microbiome composition or diversity are disrupted or altered, or both, impeding normal functionality or causing low-grade inflammation that may play a role in the onset and/or development of several diseases (5). Among the human microbiomes, the gut microbiome is the best studied as it is seen as a "hidden" metabolic organ for human wellbeing affecting immunity, neural, endocrinal or metabolic pathways (4,6). Gut dysbiosis has been related to several diseases but if it is cause or effect of these diseases is a question that remains unclear (4,7,8). While our understanding of the gut microbiome is evolving but limited, the knowledge about other microbiomes is even more limited. This is particularly true for the urinary tract microbiome, or urobiome, which was long believed to be sterile until molecular techniques revealed its presence about a decade ago (9,10). The urobiome is characterized by a low biomass relative to the gut microbiome, and its composition remains largely unexplored (9,11). Evidence suggests that the urobiome differs between males and females. It is often associated with lactobacilli in females, whereas in males is linked to *Corynebacterium* or *Staphylococcus* (9,11,12). The differences in the urobiome between males and females have been attributed to the hormone oestrogen, which plays a crucial role in glycogen production (11,13) and whose fluctuations with age and menopausal status may affect the female urobiome (14). Bacteria of the genus *Lactobacillus* metabolize glycogen, and as a byproduct of it there is lactic acid which acidifies the environment modulating the growth of other

bacteria (11). The acidic environment is assumed significant for the protective role that lactobacilli

play in both the vaginal and urinary microbiomes of women (9,13).

Diabetes, a disease characterized by elevated levels of glucose in the blood, is a leading cause of

cardiovascular disease, blindness or kidney damage (15). Diabetes, especially type 2 diabetes mellitus

(T2DM), has become a global health emergency whose prevalence have been rising for decades

affecting 537 million people globally in 2021, including 6.7 million related deaths (15,16). The

incidence of diagnosed diabetes in adults is stabilising in some high-income countries, but incidence

in children is still increasing (17–20). Impaired glycaemia contributes to enhanced risk of infections,

and it may explain why diabetic individuals have higher risk of urinary infections, pyelonephritis and

urosepsis (21). Not only individuals with diabetes have higher glucose, albumin and other proteins in

urine which may affect the urobiome composition, enhance bacterial growth of some species and may

influence the diversity of the urobiome; but also they have high glucose in urine which compromises

the immune response and uroepithelial integrity (21). However, we have limited information about

the composition of the urobiome related to diabetes. In addition, damage in the kidney blood vessels,

because of diabetes or other causes, may decline the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) which

impacts urinary functions as these organs are connected through the ureters to the urinary bladder

(22). Development of diabetic nephropathy may further turn in chronic kidney disease (CKD) or end

stage renal disease (ESRD), the former associated with diabetes but also other comorbidities while the

latter is mostly caused by diabetes (22).

As there is a clear knowledge gap regarding the role of the urobiome in diabetes and diabetic kidney

disease (DKD), the aim of this systematic review is to gather available data and synthetise the main

findings about the urobiome, particularly, the urinary microbiota in association with diabetes and

kidney conditions derived from diabetes such as CKD or ESRD.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Search strategy

The comprehensive literature search was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (23). It was registered under accession number of 565545 in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) hosted by the National Institute for Health and Care Research of the University of York (United Kingdom). A comprehensive literature search on SCOPUS and PUBMED databases was conducted until July 2024. We used the 'TITLE-ABS-KEY' search in SCOPUS (Web of Science) database while 'ALL FIELDS' were used in PUBMED database. The search included the following terms [("urobiome" OR "urinary microbiome" OR "urinary microbiota" OR "urinary tract microb*" OR "urine microb*" OR "urogenital microbiome" OR "urogenital microbiota") AND ("kidney disease*" OR "chronic kidney disease" OR "diabetes" OR "albuminuria" OR "diabetic nephropathy" OR "end stage renal disease*" OR "renal disease*")] and it wanted to identify relevant clinical urinary microbiome studies associated with diabetes and/or kidney impairment published from 1st January 2000 until 4th July 2024.

### 2.2 Study selection

We included studies that evaluated the urobiome sequencing any type of urine samples (urinary bladder, urinary kidney or urogenital samples (24)) in relation with diabetes and conditions that affect kidney functionality, and which can lead to chronic kidney disease or end stage renal disease. Studies were excluded if they were review articles, editorials, comments, systematic reviews, books, conference abstracts or any other type of article without full data available. The inclusion criteria were: (i) analyses studying the bacterial species of urine; (ii) analyses of individuals with diabetes and/or albuminuria and/or diabetic CKD and/or diabetic end-stage renal disease; (iii) human clinical samples; and (iv) perform DNA sequencing through 16S ribosomal DNA gene or shotgun next generation sequencing.

### 2.3 Data extraction

Throughout this synthesis, we used the PRISMA and PROSPERO frameworks and we incorporated the associated guidance at each stage. Following the removal of duplicates, the first step was the screening of studies by article type. After removal of non-suitable studies, the second step included a screening of studies by title and/or abstract for relevance. Those that seemed relevant were the preliminary selected publications and they were finally screened based on full-text information. Studies lacking full-text availability or with missing or unclear information were excluded from the evaluation (**Fig. 1**). Two independent authors (T.G and Y.T.L) screened studies by title and abstract and, if discrepancies arose, they were discussed until they reached a common agreement. Preliminary selected publications were fully screened by T.G., reviewed by Y.T.L, and if they fulfilled the inclusion criteria, they were selected for this systematic review.

**Figure 1: Flow diagram of selected studies**. Diagram showing how studies in this systematic review about the urinary microbiota and diabetes were selected.

## 3. Results

In total, eighteen studies were included including cross-sectional case-control studies, cross-sectional surveys and one prospective longitudinal study (**Table 1** and **Supplementary Table 1**). These studies altogether sequenced the urinary microbiome of 1,571 people, some participants were part of the same cohort studied and were included multiple times (25–28). Of these individuals 662 had diabetes, 609 were healthy individuals, 179 had prediabetes or were at risk of diabetes, and 121 did not have diabetes but had other comorbidities. Among the 662 diabetic individuals, 36 individuals had diabetic kidney disease (29–31) while one studied CKD patients, most of them with diabetes, but did not mention the primary cause of CKD (32). Sixteen of the studies used voided midstream urine samples, or a modification of this collection technique, to avoid as much as possible skin or genitalia microorganisms (**Supplementary Table 1**). The remaining two studies analysed urinary bladder microbiota (catheterised urine) and, among these two, one was focused only on males (**Table 1**). Eight studies included only female samples (four of these used the same cohort data); and nine studies had

mixed female-male data (**Table 1**). All studies except one used 16S sequencing which included twelve

studies with small sample sizes with less than 100 individuals, including five studies with less than 50

participants (**Table 1**). The only one that used shotgun sequencing had a small sample size (n = 29)

(**Supplementary Table 1**). Participants of these 18 studies were adults; individuals with diabetes

ranged between 50 and 72 years old on average, and healthy controls were similar in age but slightly

younger (if age was significantly different between groups, it is stated in the comments in **Table 1**). All

selected studies were carefully planned and to avoid bias they had strict exclusion criteria that are

explicitly detailed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Moreover, articles considered confounding factors such

as age or BMI and other relevant clinical factors, and if those were statistically significant between

compared groups, they are pointed up in the comments of **Table 1.**

Among the 11 case-control studies, 9 reported richness and α-diversity analyses of which three of the

studies observed a decrease in richness and α-diversity, one a decrease in richness, two a decrease in

α-diversity, one observed an increase in α-diversity but this study assessed kidney stones patients with

and without diabetes, and two studies did not observe differences in richness or α-diversity in the

urobiome of the individuals with diabetes compared to those without diabetes (**Table 1**). Among the

studies assessing only diabetic individuals, one study observed lower α-diversity in diabetic individuals

with pyuria, one study observed lower richness in patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy, one

study did not observed differences in α-diversity when assessing urinary interleukin-8; and one study

observed increased richness in diabetic individuals compared to diabetic individuals with

hyperlipidaemia but decreased richness if compared to diabetic individuals with hypertension. Lastly,

the two studies assessing CKD reported a decrease of α-diversity as CKD worsened independently of

the primary cause of CDK (diabetes or other). Indexes calculated in each study are detailed in

**Supplementary Table 2** and the main ones are detailed in **Table 1.** Only seven studies reported β-

diversity analyses with variable results (**Table 1**); 4 reported differences in β-diversity, of which 2

described different urotypes (32–35); while 3 did not see differences in β-diversity(26,36,37).

Significant results in microbial species for diabetic adults (statistical significance set to p< 0.05) of each

article are summarised in **Table 1** and all details can be found in **Supplementary Table 3**. Comprising

all studies, most of the bacterial species were identified at the genus level; few at species level and

some at higher taxonomy levels. The relative abundance of 69 different bacteria was decreased, of 53

was increased in the urine of diabetic individuals while bacteria such as *Enterococcus,*

*Stenotrophomonas, Klebsiella* or Actinobacteriota had increased or decreased relative abundance

depending on studies (**Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Table 4** and **Table 1**).

Overall, we could observe that the genus *Lactobacillus,* and at species level *Lactobacillus iners*, had

increased relative abundance in diabetic individuals in 6 and 2 studies, respectively; and it was related

to poor glycaemic control and with presence of interleukin-8 in urine (26,27,33–35,38). Three studies

reported a decrease in relative abundance of the family *Bifidobacteriaceae* in urine of individuals with

diabetes which includes the genera *Bifidobacterium* and *Gardnerella;* while one study reported the

absence of the genus *Gardnerella* in individuals with diabetes and hyperlipidemia *(*note: *Gardnerella*

is included now in the genus *Bifidobacterium*)(26,27,29,39). In addition, depletion of the family

*Peptoniphilaceae* in urine of T2DM patients was reported in several selected studies. In fact, studies

reported decreased relative abundance of this family being relevant genera *Peptoniphilus*,

*Anaerococcus, Finegoldia, Murdochiella* and *Gallicola*, which were reported decreased abundant in

four, three, two, one and one article, respectively (25,26,34,35). The genus *Parvimonas,* which belongs

to this family as well, was increased in relative abundance in diabetic individuals compared to non-

diabetics only in the elderly cohort (38); while its relative abundance was increased in the diabetic

cohort compared to the diabetic plus hypertension cohort (27). Lastly, three studies reported

increased relative abundance of *Escherichia* (*Escherichia-Shigella),* a well-known genus causing urinary

tract infections (UTI), which was related to poor glycaemic control and in two studies this genus was

related to urinary symptoms or pyuria in urine (even though individuals did not have an active UTI)

(31,34,40).

Taxonomic classification of bacteria identified in selected studies was updated according to Genome

Taxonomy Database release 220 (https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/) at higher taxonomical levels (e.g.

phylum) but at lower levels was maintained to preserve clarity of the conclusions. For the most

accurate taxonomic annotation refer to details in **Supplementary Table 4**.

Table 1: Characteristics and results of selected studies. BMI = body mass index; CKD = chronic kidney disease; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESRD = end stage renal disease; FBG = fasting blood glucose; H = healthy controls; HbA1c = glycosylated haemoglobin; IL-8= interleukin 8; NS = Not stated; OTU = operational taxonomic unit; PD = peritoneal dialysis; SBP = systolic blood pressure; (TX)DM = (type X = 1 or X = 2) Diabetes mellitus; SD = standard deviation; vs = versus; * = studies that used data from participants/cohort of article 13 authored by Liu et al. 2017 (25); £ = sequencing of microbiota extracellular vesicles; ¥ = primers for phylum Firmicutes; and genera *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium;* Ω = some or all individuals used antibiotic prophylaxis. ♀ = only females; ♂ = only males; ↓ = lower; ↑ = higher.

| Article | Coun-try | Total adult | Healthy vs diabetic adults | Age: mean ± SD | Context | Indexes results in diabetic individuals | Main findings and/or differences in relative abundance of diabetic individuals in urine | Other significant details or relevant information |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case-control studies with controls and diabetic individuals | | | | | | | | |
| (33) | China | 30 | 0 vs 15 | Kidney stones and T2DM: 56.20 ± 11.41<br><br>Kidney stones alone: 55.00 ± 11.69 | Urinary microbiota in the renal pelvis of patients with kidney stones plus T2DM and those with kidney stones alone | No differences in richness (Chao index) ↑ α - diversity (Shannon index)<br><br>β-diversity: the group with kidney stones alone and with kidney stones and T2DM clustered and could be differentiated | ↑*Sphingomonas* ↑*Propionibacterium* ↑ *Corynebacterium* ↑ *Cellulosimicrobium* ↑ *Methylophilus* ↑ *Lactobacillus* ↑ *Enhydrobacter* ↑ *Chryseobacterium* ↑ *Haemophilus* ↑ *Allobaculum* | The relative abundance of *Enhydrobacter, Chryseobacterium,* and *Allobaculum* genera exhibited correlations with fasting blood glucose and HbA1c values. |
| (41) | Italy | 75 | 25 vs 50 | H males: 62 ± 7<br><br>H females: 57 ± 6<br><br>T2DM males: 65 ± 9<br><br>T2DM females: 64 ± 10 | | No differences in richness or α - diversity (observed species, Chao, and Shannon indexes) | NS<br><br>[Statistical differences in prevalence (males and females): *Bifidobacterium breve, Corynebacterium pyroviciproducens, Lactobacillus gasseri* , and *Streptococcus agalactiae* were more prevalent in healthy controls. *Campylobacter ureolyticus, Corynebacterium coyleae, Corynebacterium glucuronolyticum, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Lactobacillus iners, Peptoniphilus grossensis,* and *Veillonella atypica* were more prevalent in T2DM individuals] | Total bacterial load and the abundance of total Bacillota were found to be elevated in patients with diabetes compared with the healthy control group, this was also true for females but not males. *Actinotignum schaalii, Bifidobacterium scardovii, Facklamia hominis, Negativicoccus succinicivorans,* and *Peptoniphilus lacrimalis* were less prevalent in T2DM males. *Aerococcus christensenii, Anaerococcus hydrogenalis, Brevibacterium ravenspurgense, Corynebacterium aurimucosum, Gardnerella vaginalis, Mobiluncus curtisii, Prevotella buccalis, Prevotella colorans,* and *Veillonella montpellierensis* were more prevalent in T2DM females whereas *Facklamia ignava* and *Winkia neuii* were less prevalent in T2DM females. |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (30) | China | 34 | 15 vs 19 | H: 43.73 ± 7.54<br><br>T2DM:<br>59.95 ± 9.38 | T2DM with diabetic kidney disease | ↓ α - diversity<br>in T2DM<br>(Shannon and Simpson indexes) | ↓Bacillota<br>↓ Bacteroidota<br>↑ Pseudomonadota<br>↑Acidobacteriota | Clinical characteristics between H and T2DM differed significantly in age; BMI; SBP; urea; uric acid; creatinine; natriuretic peptide; HbA1c (all ↓in H); and HDL and albumin (both ↑ in H). HbA1c was positively correlated with Acidobacteria and eGFR was positively correlated with Bacillota, Clostridiales, Clostridia, *Lactobacillaceae* and *Lactobacillus*. |
| (42) £ | South Korea | 691 | 368 vs 164 | 59.24 ± 6.13 (average of all groups and periods) | 4-year longitudinal study of sequencing extracellular microbial vesicles. Also, 164 prediabetics and 35 people transitioned | ↓ α - diversity<br>(Shannon index) in all groups<br>(possible effect of aging) | ↓ unclassified *Lachnospiraceae* GU174097_g | Low abundance of GU174097_g was a risk factor for T2DM development, and it was associated with T2DM progression. GU174097_g decreased ketone bodies levels; and thus reduced HbA1c levels. |
| (36) ♂ Ω | Czech Republic | 58 ♂ | 0 vs 14 | 65.2 ± 13.8 (all) | Catheterized urine samples from men collected under anesthesia from the bladder prior to urological surgery. 14 individuals had diabetes (36 did not have diabetes and for 8 it was unknown) | ↓richness<br>(OTUs, ACE and iChao2 indexes)<br><br>↓ α - diversity<br>(Shannon and Simpson indexes)<br><br>β - diversity<br>was no different | No statistically differences of specific taxa between T2DM and non-T2DM individuals | Lower richness was also associated with: (1) ≥75 years; (2) High cholesterol and/or hyperlipidemia; (3) antibiotic prophylaxis. Smokers had higher α - diversity. No significant differences in α - diversity for hypertension or CKD.<br><br>β – diversity was different between individuals with (1) CKD vs no CKD; (2) severe vs mild urinary symptoms; and (3) antibiotic prophylaxis vs without |
| (39) ¥ | Turkey | 60 | 15 vs 15 | H: 41.93 ± 11.3<br><br>Obese:<br>41.86 ± 8.53<br><br>Pre-DM:<br>49.6 ± 8.91<br><br>T2DM:<br>54 ± 7.76 | T2DM, prediabetic (n=15), obese (n=15) and H groups | NS | ↓ *Bifidobacterium* (same was observed for obese and prediabetics) | Clinical features statistically different: FBG between all groups; HbA1c between all groups except for H and obese individuals; BMI between all groups except for prediabetics and both obese and T2DM. Age was significantly lower in both H and obese vs T2DM individuals. Triglycerides and HDL were significantly lower in H vs both prediabetics and T2DM individuals |
| (35) ♀ | United States | 136 ♀ | 49 vs 87 | H: 50.5 ±10.6<br><br>T2DM:<br>51.4 ± 10.9 | Females with T2DM | β – diversity:<br>Both H and T2DM clustered in 4-5 urotypes | ↑ *Lactobacillus*<br>↓ *Corynebacterium*<br>↓ *Staphylococcus* | H and T2DM groups differed significantly in FBG and HbA1c (both ↓in H). HbA1c was positively correlated with *Lactobacillus* and negatively associated with *Prevotella* |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Urotypes in T2DM: *Lactobacillus* and *Enterobacteriaceae* vs H females: *Gardnerella* and mixed | [T2DM differences in presence/absence: *Lactobacillus* was more present while *Corynebacterium, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia* and *Peptoniphilus* were less present] | and *Corynebacterium* in all cohort; and negatively associated with *Prevotella* also in the T2DM group. Prevalence of *Lactobacillus* increased with weight; and most of participants were obese. |
| (34) ♀ | China | 58 ♀ | 26 vs 32 | H: 57.62 ± 9.24  T2DM: 56.97 ± 8.01 | Females H and T2DM. T2DM microbiota were also assessed for lower urinary symptoms and levels of HbA1c | Richness and α – diversity were no different (OTUs, observed species, Chao, ACE, Shannon and Simpson indexes)  β - diversity was different between H and T2DM | ↑ *Escherichia-Shigella*  ↑ *Klebsiella*  ↑ *Aerococcus*  ↑*Delftia*  ↑*Enterococcus*  ↑*Alistipes*  ↑*Stenotrophomonas*  ↑ *Micrococcus*  ↑ *Deinococcus*  ↓ *Gallicola*  ↓*Arcobacter*  ↓*Arcanobacterium*  ↓*Kocuria*  ↓ *Murdochiella*  ↓ *Solitalea*  ↓ *Peptoniphilus* | FBG was ↑ in T2DM compared to H group. HbA1c was ↑ in T2DM with urinary symptoms vs T2DM without.  The diabetic group with urinary symptoms (compared to the group without) had lower richness, different β – diversity; and: ↑*Escherichia-Shigella*  ↑ *Campylobacter*  ↑ *Megasphera*  ↓ *Prevotella*  ↓ *Dialister*  ↓ *Anaerococcus*  ↓ *Fusobacterium*  ↓ *Prevotella_6,*  ↓ *Mycoplasma*  ↓ *Peptoniphilus*  ↓ *Porphyromonas*  ↓ *Fodinicola*  ↓ *Brevundimonas*  The diabetic group with high HbA1c compared to the diabetic low HbA1c group had lower α – diversity, different β – diversity, and: ↑*Escherichia-Shigella*  ↑ *Lactobacillus*  ↓ *Prevotella*  ↓ *Campylobacter*  ↓ *Dialister*  ↓ *Anaerococcus*  ↓ *Peptoniphilus*  ↓ *Porphyromonas*  ↓ *Fodinicola* |
| (38) ♀ | China | 100 ♀ | 50 vs 50 | Elderly: 71.86 ± 6.70 Non-old: 50.06 ± 7.51 | Elderly vs non-elderly cohorts. Half of the females of each cohort had T2DM | ↓ richness (OTU and Chao indexes) in elderly with T2DM | Elderly with T2DM (compared to elderly without): ↓ *Nitrospirae*  ↓ *Odoribacter* | T2DM females treated with metformin. *Lactobacillus* had a decreased trend in T2DM patients with FBG > 10 mmol/l. |

| Ref | Country | N | Groups | Values | Description | α-diversity | Taxa changes | Notes |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | No differences in α - diversity (Shannon and Simpson indexes) between the elderly with and without T2DM | ↓*Aeromonas*<br>↓*Clostridium*<br>↓*Enterobacter*<br>↓*Butyricimonas*<br>↓*Enterococcus*<br>↓ *Erwinia*<br>↓ *Fusobacterium*<br>↓ *Klebsiella*<br>↓ *Lachnobacterium*<br>↓*Stenotrophomonas*<br>↓*Phascolarctobacterium*<br>↑*Eggerthella*<br>↑ *Parvimonas*<br>↑*Bdellovibrio*<br>↑*Hydrogenophaga*<br>↑***Lactobacillus iners*** | *Lactobacillus iners* was not associated with FBG.<br>Elderly related differences: (1) relative abundance of Bacillota (Firmicutes) increased with BMI; (2) relative abundance of Bifidobacteria was negatively correlated with age; (3) *Lactobacillus* decreased with age but was not associated with pH; (4) ↑ abundance of *Sphingobium* and *Bosea;* and (5) ↓ abundance of *Sneathia; Geobacillus; Shuttleworthia; Bacillus; Gemella; Bdellovibrio; Hydrogenophaga; Proteus; Novosphingobium.* |
| (25) ♀ | China | 140 ♀ | 70 vs 70 | Matched H and T2DM individuals.<br><br>70% > 56 years or older;  only 8% younger than 45 years | Authors matched individual characteristics between the H and the T2DM groups | ↓ richness<br>(number of OTUs, observed species, ACE and Chao1 indexes)<br><br>↓ α-diversity (Shannon index) | ↑ Actinobacteria<br>↑ *Porphyromonas*<br>↑ *Flavobacteriales*<br>↑ *Collinsella*<br>↓ *Akkermansia muciniphila*<br>↓ Bacteroidota<br>↓ *Prevotellaceae*<br>↓ *Prevotella*<br>↓*Pseudomonadales*<br>↓*Peptoniphilus*<br>↓ *Citrobacter*<br>↓Actinobacteriota<br>↓ *Synergistota*<br>↓*Acinetobacter*<br>↓*Campylobacter*<br>↓*Campylobacterales*<br>↓ *Staphylococcus*<br>↓ *Anaerococcus*<br>↓ *Halomonas*<br>↓ *Finegoldia*<br>↓ *Streptococcus*<br>↓*Veillonella*<br>↓*Clostridium*<br>↓*Corynebacterium* | Actinobacteria increase was associated with higher BMI; increased FBG and urine glucose.<br><br>*Akkermansia muciniphila* decrease was associated with FBG and urine glucose.<br><br>Urine glucose, FBG, urine infections, hypertension and hyperlipidemia were statistically ↑ in T2DM compared to H group.<br><br>Authors studied hypertension and hyperlipidemia in article by (27); inflammatory markers in article by (Ling et al. 2017) and the effect on diet in article 7 (28). |
| (31) ᵠ | United States | 29 | 8 vs 6 | H: 34.57<br><br>ESDR T1DM: 51.00 | Transplant ESRD patients. Kidney damage caused by 4 primary diagnoses: other, T1DM, T2DM, and hypertension. | ↓ α-diversity (Shannon and inverse Simpson indexes)<br><br>↓ richness (observed species) | ↑ *Enterococcus faecalis*<br>↑ *Enterococcus faecium*<br>↑ *Enterococcus sp.*<br>↑ *Escherichia coli*<br>↑ *Escherichia sp.*<br>↓ *Cutibacterium acnes* | ↑ Bacillota and ↓Actinobacteria because *Enterococcus* belongs to the former phylum while as *Mobiluncus* belongs to the latter.<br>Both groups had similar folate metabolism (which is the target of |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | ESDR T2DM: 64.33 (all ESRD: 53.28) | 15 individuals had non-diabetic ESRD. Trimethoprim - sulfamethoxazole was given prophylactically | β-diversity: primary diagnoses had no significant differences in bacterial composition; but all had with the H | ↓ *Corynebacterium* ↓*Mobiluncus curtisii* | trimethoprim -sulfamethoxazole) but had differences in specific enzymes. Dihydrofolate synthase/folypolygluta-mate synthase was increased in transplant groups. |
| Studies with diabetic individuals only | | | | | | | | | |
| | (40) | Taiwan | 7 | 0 vs 7 | T2DM No-pyuria: 59 ± 5.7 T2DM Pyuria: 59.66 ± 6.5 | All 7 individuals with T2DM and SGLT2 therapy; 3 with pyuria in urine; 4 without | ↓ α - diversity in T2DM with pyuria compared with T2DM without pyuria (Shannon index) | ↑*Escherichia-Shigella* in individuals with pyuria | 7 T2DM individuals with negative standard urine cultures. eGFR stage II (60–89 mL/min) mildly impaired kidney function |
| | (37) ♀ | China | 30 ♀ | 0 vs 30 | T2DM with diabetic peripheral neuropathy: 55.82 ± 7.83 T2DM only: 54.85 ± 7.49 | Among the 30 females with T2DM, 17 females also had diabetic peripheral neuropathy | ↓richness in DPN group (OTUs, observed species, ACE and iChao2 indexes) α – diversity was no different (Shannon and Simpson indexes) β – diversity was no different | Group with DPN: ↑ *Mycoplasmataceae* ↓Propionibacteriaceae ↓ Pseudobdellovibrioinaceae ↓Sphingobacteriaceae | T2DM females: 17 with DPN and 13 without. Both groups did not differ in clinical characteristics. |
| *♀ | (27) | China | 70 ♀ | 0 vs 70 | T2DM: 56.28 ± 13.91 T2DM + hypertension: 70.42 ± 9.00 T2DM + hyperlipidaemia: 54.43 ± 10.66 T2DM + both: 69.81 ± 9.64 | Only analysis of the T2DM individuals from article 13 that had different clinical features. The T2DM cohort was divided: 28 had T2DM; 24 had T2DM + hypertension; 7 had T2DM + hyperlipidaemia; 11 had T2DM and both hypertension + hyperlipidaemia | ↑ richness compared to the hyperlipidemia only group (number of reads and number of OTUs) ↓ richness compared to hypertension groups (number of reads and number of OTUs) No differences in α - diversity (Shannon and Simpson indexes) between the groups | Compared to T2DM + hypertension group, the group with only T2DM had: ↑ *Lactobacillus iners* ↑ *Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* ↑ *Acinetobacter schindleri* ↑*Lactobacillus* ↑*Enterobacter* ↑*Klebsiella* ↑*Shuttleworthia* ↑*Sneathia* ↑ *Parvimonas* ↑*Megasphera* ↑*Erwinia* ↓ *Kocuria palustris* ↓ *Aeromonas* ↓ *Roseburia* ↓ *Ruminococcus* Compared to T2DM + hyperlipidemia, the group with only T2DM had: ↓ *Faecalibacterium* ↓ *Collinsella* | The T2DM cohort was divided: 28 T2DM; 24 T2DM + hypertension; 7 T2DM + hyperlipidemia; 11 T2DM + hypertension + hyperlipidemia. The duration of T2DM was significantly shorter in the two groups without hypertension. The four most abundant bacteria in all groups were Proteobacteria, Bacillota, Bacteroidota and Actinobacteria. The fifth varied from Fusobacteria (inT2DM and T2DM with both conditions), Synergistota (group with hypertension) and Acidobacteria (group with hyperlipidemia). Predominant genera: in T2DM *Lactobacillus, Prevotella,* and *Acinetobacter;* in T2DM + hyperlipidemia *Lactobacillus, Prevotella* and *Halomonas*; in T2DM + hypertension *Prevotella, Streptococcus*, and *Bacteroides*; and in T2DM + hypertension + |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | ↓ *Oscillospira* ( diagnostic factor to differentiate both groups)<br><br>Compared to T2DM + hypertension and hyperlipidemia, the group **with only** T2DM had:<br>↑*Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae*<br>↑ *Shuttleworthia*<br>↓*Gemella*<br>↓*Prevotella*<br><br>Compared to T2DM + hypertension and hyperlipidemia, the group with T2DM + hyperlipidemia had:<br>↑*Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae*<br>↑*Faecalibacterium*<br><br>Compared to T2DM + hypertension, the group with T2DM + hyperlipidemia had:<br>↑ *Klebsiella*<br>↑ *Novosphingobium*<br>↑ *Lactobacillus*<br>↑ *Enterobacter*<br><br>The two groups with hypertension did not show differences | hyperlipidemia *Prevotella*, *Lactobacillus* and *Bacillus*.<br><br>The groups with hypertension had presence of *Eggerthella lenta* but this species was absent in the group with only diabetes; while *Gardnerella* was absent in the hyperlipidemia group but it was present in the other groups.<br><br>Several bacteria correlated with FBG, blood pressure and lipidic profiles. *Atopobium* was positively correlated with FBG in the T2DM group. *Allobaculum* and *Odoribacter* were negatively correlated with diastolic pressure in the hypertension and hypertension plus hyperlipidemia groups, respectively. *Rikenella* and *Dorea* were positively correlated with triglycerides in the hyperlipidemia group and with LDL in the hypertension plus hyperlipidemia group, respectively. |
| *♀ | (26) | China | 70 ♀ | 0 vs 70 | T2DM with IL-8 in urine:<br>65.78 ± 13.58<br><br>T2DM without IL-8 in urine:<br>59.25 ± 11.06 | T2DM females whose levels of IL-8 were evaluated. IL-8 is a potential biomarker for diagnosing of urinary infections | α – diversity was no different between T2DM females with and without IL-8 in urine (Shannon and Simpson indexes)<br><br>β-diversity: the group with Il-8 and without IL-8 in urine clustered together and could be differentiated | T2DM females without IL-8:<br>↓*Bifidobacteriaceae*<br>↓*Shuttleworthia*<br>↓ *Thermales*<br>↓ *Streptococcus anginosus*<br>↓ *Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae*<br>↓ *Acinetobacter schindleri*<br>↓ *Lactobacillus iners*<br>↓ *Akkermansia muciniphila*<br>↓ *Mobiluncus*<br>↓ *Peptoniphilus*<br>↓ *Corynebacterium*<br>↓ *Gemella*<br>↓ *Enterococcus*<br>↓ *Geobacillus*<br>↑ *Prevotella copri* | *Ruminococcus* was positively associated with urinary IL-8. Urinary IL-8 was high in T2DM patients with ↑ *Pseudomonas* and ↑ *Klebsiella*.<br><br>Clinical features between the T2DM with IL-8 and without IL-8 in urine differed in age, BMI and pH, white blood cells, leukocyte esterase, protein, glucose, and nitrites in urine ( all characteristics ↑ in the group with IL-8) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | ↑ *Prevotella stercorea*<br>↑*Bacteroides uniformis*<br>↑*Coprococcus eutactus*<br>↑ *Faecalibacterium*<br>↑ *Megamonas*<br>↑ *Comamonas*<br>↑*Pseudomonas*<br>↑*Phascolarctobacterium* | |
| *♀ | (28) | China | 140 ♀ | 70 vs 70 | 70% > 56 years or older; only 8% younger than 45 years | Matched H and T2DM individuals.<br><br>T2DM association with urinary IL-8 and evaluation of the effect of diet on urinary microbiota | NS | NS | *Ruminococcus* was positively associated with urinary IL-8 (results from Ling et al. 2017). Water weakened the positive relationship between *Ruminococcus* and IL-8 in urine while fiber, vitamin B3 and vitamin E enhanced the positive relationship. |
| Studies with individuals that have kidney or renal disease | | | | | | | | | |
| | (29) | Portu-gal | 46 | 0 vs 14 | 56.9 ± 10.7 | All individuals with CKD and on peritoneal dialysis; the rest had other comorbidities | ↓ α - diversity (Shannon index) as CKD-PD worsened (independently of the cause) | ↓*Atopobium*<br>↓ *Dermabacter*<br>↓*Gardnerella* | Apart from diabetes in CKD patients, significance was found for sex: *Lactobacillus* linked to females; *Staphylococcus* and *Anaerococcus* to males. |
| | (32) | United States | 77 | 23 vs 54 | Males: 76.6 ± 7.6<br>Females: 70.5 ± 8.2 | All individuals with CKD but no dialysis (70% of them with diabetes) | ↓ α - diversity (Shannon index) as CKD worsened (independently of cause). Richness and α – diversity were no different between diabetic and non-diabetic CKD individuals (Chao; Inverse Simpson and Shannon indexes). | NS | Richness and α – diversity were no different by age or obesity status. β – diversity: Different urotypes.<br><br>Urinary incontinence and ↑ eGFR was associated with ↑ α-diversity. |

**4. Discussion**

This study has assessed the urinary microbiota related to diabetes and diabetes-related kidney diseases through a systematic review. This work has summarised statistically significant results reported by selected studies with a threshold of $p \leq 0.05$. The main findings of this study were: (1) a decreased richness and α-diversity in urinary microbiota in individuals with diabetes compared to healthy controls; (2) α-diversity was not different within diabetic individuals, except if they showed urinary symptoms (e.g. urgency to pee) although they did not have a (diagnosed) urinary infection; (3) α-diversity decreases with the evolution of kidney disease independently of the primary cause (e.g. kidney disease primarily caused by diabetes or hypertension or other pathology); (4) β-diversity urinary microbiota composition between healthy controls and diabetic individuals results were conflicting between studies and may depend on the stage of the disease and/or the grade of kidney damage; (5) patients with T2DM shared the depletion of *Peptoniphilus, Anaerococcus, Finegoldia* and *Gallicola* (all formerly part of the genus *Peptostreptococcus* and inside the *Peptoniphilaceae* family), or *Lachnospiraceae* that are butyrate-producing bacteria; (6) patients with diabetes shared the enrichment of pro-inflammatory microbes such as Pseudomonadota (formerly Proteobacteria) and of bacteria of order Lactobacillales such as *Lactobacillus* or *Enterococcus*; (7) cohort sizes were small (from a few samples to more than one hundred) and the only one study that was large (nearly seven hundred samples) had data restricted to extracellular microbial vesicles; (8) except in two studies, voided midstream urine samples were analysed and those are often referred as urogenital samples due to likely cross-contamination with skin or genitalia microbiota during collection; and, (9) although data showed similar trends, some bacterial phyla, families, and genera had controversial results, probably due to the lack of resolution of these studies using 16S sequencing.

One of the main findings of this systematic review is the depletion of the family *Peptoniphilaceae* in urine of T2DM patients as a trend. The most relevant genera of this family for the urobiome being *Peptoniphilus*, *Anaerococcus, Finegoldia, Murdochiella, Parvimonas* and *Gallicola*. These genera are gram-positive obligate anaerobic cocci that have been described as producers of short-chain fatty

acids such as butyrate (*Peptoniphilus*, *Anaerococcus,* and *Gallicola*) and acetate (*Peptoniphilus,*

*Parvimonnas, Gallicola* and *Finegoldia)* or lactate *(Murdochiella)* (43)*. Parvimonas* increased in relative

abundance in diabetic individuals compared to non-diabetics only in the elderly cohort (38); while its

relative abundance was increased in the diabetic cohort compared to the diabetic plus hypertension

cohort (27). In the latter, if diabetes is accompanied by other factors such as hypertension, there is

decreased abundance of this genus pointing to a depletion as the health status worsens. *Peptoniphilus*

and *Anaerococcus* abundance may be associated to glycosylated haemoglobin HbA1c as diabetic

individuals with high HbA1c had decreased relative abundance of these two genera in urine compared

to diabetic individuals with low HbA1c (34).

The genus *Lactobacillus* seems to be more abundant in the urine of diabetic individuals according to

this systematic review. *Lactobacillus iners*, which has been described as a transitional species that

colonizes the vagina after an ecological disturbance (44), was found enriched in the urine of T2DM

females (27,38) and in the same cohort with higher levels of inflammatory interleukin-8 in urine (26).

Other species of this genus such as *Lactobacillus crispatus* and *Lactobacillus gasseri* have been

associated with lack of lower urinary tract symptoms and lack of urgency urinary incontinence,

respectively; and levels of *Lactobacillus* in the vagina are reported to be associated with menopausal

status and with reduced risk of UTI (35). Although lactobacilli have generally been considered

beneficial and the normal microbiota of the urobiome and vagina, there is increasing evidence that

some *Lactobacillus* spp. may be pathogenic or related to urinary symptoms (45,46). The association

between the different species of *Lactobacillus* and their role in T2DM needs further investigation,

considering that age, oestrogen, HbA1c and/or BMI could also be important factors affecting the

abundance of this genus. In this context, HbA1c was positively correlated with *Lactobacillus.*

Individuals with T2DM and high HbA1c had higher abundance of this genus compared to those with

T2DM and low HbA1c levels (34,35). Another point to consider is that the genus *Lactobacillus* is

naturally resistant to widely used antibiotics and T2DM individuals often have multiple infections that

require the use of them (34). Thus, we could hypothesize that antibiotic therapy may imbalance the

urobiome allowing *Lactobacillus* to grow excessively which may induce inflammation.

Diabetic, prediabetic and obese individuals had lower abundance of the genus *Bifidobacterium* in

urine; and the abundance of this genus was negatively correlated with age (38,39). In this line, T2DM

patients with hyperlipidemia lacked *Bifidobacterium* (former *Gardnerella*) (27). This was also true for

the former genus *Gardnerella,* that is now included in the genus *Bifidobacterium,* that have decreased

abundance in CKD patients with diabetes (29). *Bifidobacterium* (*Gardnerella*) was a common urotype

in healthy females compared to diabetic females that had *Lactobacillus* or *Enterobacteriaceae*

urotypes (35). *Bifidobacteriaceae* had decreased relative abundance in diabetic females without

interleukin-8 in urine in the study by Ling et al; but this group had also lower age and BMI compared

to the group with interleukin-8 (26). These results may imply that diabetes but also age, BMI or levels

of interleukin-8 could influence the relative abundance of *Bifidobacterium* in urine (26).

The role of the genus *Prevotella* in the urinary microbiota is unclear. Although HbA1c was negatively

correlated with *Prevotella* in T2DM patients (35) and Chen et al. reported a decrease of this genus in

individuals with diabetes with high urinary symptoms (34), other studies have found that this genus

was more prevalent in T2DM (41) or that urotypes and enrichment of *Prevotella* was associated with

urinary incontinence patients (12,47). Therefore, similarly to findings with the genus *Lactobacillus,* we

will likely need higher resolution studies, preferably shotgun metagenomics, to identify which species

or strains of these genera may play different roles in the urobiome.

UTIs are common in diabetic patients and are associated with poor glycaemic control, which

compromises kidney function and impairs quality of life (48). That is why many selected articles also

examined urinary symptoms, as hospitalization for UTIs caused by diabetes is more than twice as

common as hospitalizations for UTIs caused by other factors. The use of sodium–glucose cotransporter

2 inhibitors as therapy for diabetes may contribute as these drugs reduce glucose reabsorption, thus

lowering the level on blood, but causing glycosuria in urine which could increase the risk of UTIs in

those patients (49). Hyperglycaemia and diabetes has been shown to reduce psoriasin and occludins

levels in the urinary tract leading to be more prone to urinary tract infections (21). Moreover, psoriasin

is an antimicrobial peptide with a broad protective role against *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus*

urinary infections, two of the most common pathogens causing UTI in diabetics (21). In addition, low-

grade inflammation with higher concentration of proinflammatory cytokines and the depletion of

producers of short-chained fatty acids such as butyrate, which has beneficial effects on the host (50),

may affect mucosa integrity, bacterial translocation and host–urine microbiota interactions in T2DM

patients.

The results of this systematic review have also highlighted that urinary microbiota diversity seems

lower in CKD or ESRD and it drops as the disease progresses independently of the primary cause

(29,32). Diabetes progression to kidney damage reduces eGFR and as eGFR declines the excretion of

uromodulin follows the same trend. Uromodulin, an urinary antimicrobial peptide whose function is

to stick to bacteria forming larger particles which enhance their excretion by the kidney, is produced

exclusively in the renal tubules and is affected by the decline of renal function (32) which may also

influence bacterial growth, microbiota diversity and risk of UTI.

Generally, adults with diabetes show lower abundance of short-chain fatty acids producing bacteria

in the gut compared to healthy controls (4). This systematic review reveals that diabetic individuals

have similar trends but also differences in their urinary microbiota. Lower relative abundance of short-

fatty acid butyrate-producing bacteria of the family *Peptoniphilaceae* and a higher relative abundance

of *Lactobacillus* was a common trend of this systematic review.

<u>Strengths and limitations</u>

To our knowledge, this review represents the first comprehensive analysis of the current

understanding of the urobiome, specifically the urinary microbiota, in the context of diabetes. One

notable strength of this review is the identification of emerging trends within the urobiome across

multiple studies. For instance, this is the first article to highlight the significance of the

*Peptoniphilaceae* family within the urobiome of diabetic individuals, which has frequently been

reported as less prevalent or reduced in relative abundance in urine of diabetic individuals.

Additionally, this work is strengthened by its systematic approach, adhering to PRISMA and PROSPERO

guidelines, ensuring standardized and reproducible results.

However, we also recognize some limitations. First, we were unable to perform meta-analyses due to

the considerable heterogeneity of the included studies. Second, while two studies analysed

catheterized urine, reflecting the microbiota of the bladder or renal pelvis, most of the studies relied

in voided midstream collection techniques that may also capture microbiota from the genitalia or skin

(Linda Brubaker et al., 2021). Moreover, since most studies employed 16S sequencing, the resolution

of the results is limited. This limitation means that the same genera identified across different studies

could refer to distinct bacterial species, potentially explaining some discrepancies in the findings.

Another limitation is the evolving taxonomical classification of bacteria. Taxonomic reclassification

may have occurred since the original articles were published. Where possible, we have updated

higher-level classifications (e.g., from Firmicutes to Bacillota) while maintaining the original

nomenclature at lower levels to preserve the clarity of the conclusions (e.g., Gardnerella instead of

Bifidobacterium). For the most accurate taxonomic annotation, we refer readers to the Genome

Taxonomy Database release 220 ([https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/](https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/)), with detailed information provided

in **Supplementary Table 4**.

**5. Future perspectives**

There are significant knowledge gaps regarding the role and impact of the urobiome in both health

and disease. This an emerging field that has attracted increasing attention in recent years, but the

influence of the urobiome on conditions such as diabetes and diabetic kidney disease remains largely

unexplored. While this systematic review has identified common trends across studies—such as the

depletion of *Peptoniphilaceae* and the increased abundance of *Lactobacillus* in the urine of diabetic

adults—the evidence remains insufficient due to the small sample sizes of most studies and the limited

resolution provided by 16S sequencing.

To advance urobiome research, large-scale population-based studies are urgently needed, along with

the application of higher-resolution techniques like shotgun metagenomics to more precisely

characterize the urinary microbiome. Such approaches could help identify specific microbial species

that play critical roles in maintaining a healthy urobiome, as well as those involved in the onset and

progression of diseases such as diabetes and conditions affecting kidney function.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization TG, JA; methodology TG and YTL; data extraction and

curation TG; data revision YTL; writing original draft TG; writing—review and editing TG, YTL, SA, TF,

JA. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** We acknowledge funding from the Swedish research council (Vetenskapsrådet grant number

2019-01015, 2019-01471 and 2020-0243), from the Swedish heart lung foundation (Hjärt-Lungfonden

grant number 2021-0357 and 2023-0687), and from Center of clinical research (CKF) in Region Dalarna,

Falun, Sweden. Dr Ahmad was supported by research grants from FORMAS –Early Career Grant (no.

2020-00989), Swedish Research Council-Early Career Grant (no. 2022-01460), EFSD/Novo Nordisk and

EpiHealth.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Data presented and gathered in this systematic review are fully shown

in this manuscript or in the supplementary information.

**Conflict of Interest:** Johan Ärnlöv has received lecture fees from AstraZeneca and Novartis and served

on advisory boards for AstraZeneca and Astella, all unrelated to the present paper. All other authors

declare no conflict of interest.

**References:**

1. Ramirez J, Guarner F, Bustos Fernandez L, Maruy A, Sdepanian VL, Cohen H. Antibiotics as Major Disruptors of Gut Microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2020 Nov 24;10:572912.

2. Kirby TO, Hendrix EK, Ochoa-Repáraz J. The gut microbiota as a therapeutic approach for obesity. In: Microbiome and Metabolome in Diagnosis, Therapy, and other Strategic Applications. Elsevier; 2019. p. 227–34.

3. Aasmets O, Krigul KL, Lüll K, Metspalu A, Org E. Gut metagenome associations with extensive digital health data in a volunteer-based Estonian microbiome cohort. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):1–11.

4. Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021 Jan 4;19(1):55–71.

5. Berg G, Rybakova D, Fischer D, Cernava T, Vergès MCC, Charles T, et al. Microbiome definition re-visited: old concepts and new challenges. Microbiome. 2020 Jun 30;8(1):1–22.

6. Guinane CM, Cotter PD. Role of the gut microbiota in health and chronic gastrointestinal disease: understanding a hidden metabolic organ. Ther Adv Gastroenterol. 2013;6(4):295.

7. Stolfi C, Maresca C, Monteleone G, Laudisi F. Implication of Intestinal Barrier Dysfunction in Gut Dysbiosis and Diseases. Biomedicines. 2022 Jan 27;10(2):289.

8. Donaldson GP, Lee SM, Mazmanian SK. Gut biogeography of the bacterial microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016 Jan;14(1):20–32.

9. Roth RS, Liden M, Huttner A. The urobiome in men and women: a clinical review. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2022;▪:1.

10. Hilt EE, McKinley K, Pearce MM, Rosenfeld AB, Zilliox MJ, Mueller ER, et al. Urine Is Not Sterile: Use of Enhanced Urine Culture Techniques To Detect Resident Bacterial Flora in the Adult Female Bladder. Munson E, editor. J Clin Microbiol. 2014 Mar;52(3):871–6.

11. Brubaker L, Putonti C, Dong Q, Wolfe AJ. The human urobiome. Mamm Genome. 2021 Aug;32(4):232–8.

12. Carnes MU, Siddiqui NY, Karstens L, Gantz MG, Dinwiddie DL, Sung VW, et al. Urinary microbiome community types associated with urinary incontinence severity in women. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2024 Mar;230(3):344.e1-344.e20.

13. Nunn KL, Forney LJ. Unraveling the Dynamics of the Human Vaginal Microbiome. Yale J Biol Med. 2016 Sep;89(3):331–7.

14. Hugenholtz F, Van Der Veer C, Terpstra ML, Borgdorff H, Van Houdt R, Bruisten S, et al. Urine and vaginal microbiota compositions of postmenopausal and premenopausal women differ regardless of recurrent urinary tract infection and renal transplant status. Sci Rep. 2022 Feb 17;12(1):2698.

15. Reed J, Bain S, Kanamarlapudi V. A Review of Current Trends with Type 2 Diabetes Epidemiology, Aetiology, Pathogenesis, Treatments and Future Perspectives. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther. 2021 Aug;Volume 14:3567–602.

16. Magliano D, Boyko EJ. IDF diabetes atlas. 10th edition. Brussels: International Diabetes
Federation; 2021.

17. Magliano DJ, Chen L, Islam RM, Carstensen B, Gregg EW, Pavkov ME, et al. Trends in the
incidence of diagnosed diabetes: a multicountry analysis of aggregate data from 22 million
diagnoses in high-income and middle-income settings. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2021
Apr;9(4):203–11.

18. Zhang K, Kan C, Han F, Zhang J, Ding C, Guo Z, et al. Global, Regional, and National Epidemiology
of Diabetes in Children From 1990 to 2019. JAMA Pediatr. 2023 Aug 1;177(8):837.

19. Nagl K, Waldhör T, Hofer SE, Blauensteiner N, Fritsch M, Fröhlich-Reiterer E, et al. Ongoing
Increase in Incidence of Diabetes in Austrian Children and Adolescents (1989–2021): Results
from a Nationwide Registry. Habeb AM, editor. Pediatr Diabetes. 2023 Aug 18;2023:1–7.

20. Sun H, Saeedi P, Karuranga S, Pinkepank M, Ogurtsova K, Duncan BB, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas:
Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for 2021 and projections for
2045. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022 Jan;183:109119.

21. Mohanty S, Kamolvit W, Scheffschick A, Björklund A, Tovi J, Espinosa A, et al. Diabetes
downregulates the antimicrobial peptide psoriasin and increases E. coli burden in the urinary
bladder. Nat Commun. 2022 Sep 20;13(1):4983.

22. Natesan V, Kim SJ. Diabetic Nephropathy - a Review of Risk Factors, Progression, Mechanism,
and Dietary Management. Biomol Ther. 2021 Jul 1;29(4):365–72.

23. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020
statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021 Mar 29;n71.

24. Brubaker L, Gourdine JPF, Siddiqui NY, Holland A, Halverson T, Limeria R, et al. Forming
Consensus To Advance Urobiome Research. mSystems. 2021;6(4).

25. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Lv L, Yang Q, Wang B, et al. Dysbiosis of urinary microbiota is positively
correlated with Type 2 diabetes mellitus [Internet]. Vol. 8, Oncotarget. 2017 p. 3798–810.
Available from: www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

26. Ling Z, Liu F, Shao L, Cheng Y, Li L. Dysbiosis of the urinary microbiota associated with urine
levels of proinflammatory chemokine interleukin-8 in female type 2 diabetic patients. Front
Immunol. 2017 Aug 25;8(AUG).

27. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Yang Q, Wang B, Zheng L, et al. Alterations of urinary microbiota in type 2
diabetes mellitus with hypertension and/or hyperlipidemia. Front Physiol. 2017 Mar 3;8(MAR).

28. Liu F, Ling Z, Tang C, Yi F, Chen YQ. Moderation effects of food intake on the relationship
between urinary microbiota and urinary interleukin-8 in female type 2 diabetic patients. PeerJ.
2020;2020(1).

29. Araujo R, Merino-Ribas A, Pereira L, Campos J, Silva N, Alencastre IS, et al. The urogenital
microbiome in chronic kidney disease patients on peritoneal dialysis. Nefrología. 2023 Aug;

30. Yang Y, Ma C, Li S, Cai W, Dai W, Zhang X, et al. Urinary microbiota and serum metabolite
analysis in patients with diabetic kidney disease. Heliyon. 2023 Aug 1;9(8).

31. Rani A, Ranjan R, McGee HS, Andropolis KE, Panchal DV, Hajjiri Z, et al. Urinary microbiome of
kidney transplant patients reveals dysbiosis with potential for antibiotic resistance. Transl Res.
2017 Mar 1;181:59–70.

32. Kramer H, Kuffel G, Thomas-White K, Wolfe AJ, Vellanki K, Leehey DJ, et al. Diversity of the
midstream urine microbiome in adults with chronic kidney disease. Int Urol Nephrol. 2018 Jun
1;50(6):1123–30.

33. Li X, Tang Y, Xu Z, Lin H, Wei S, Sheng J, et al. Impact of coexisting type 2 diabetes mellitus on the
urinary microbiota of kidney stone patients. PeerJ. 2024 Feb 26;12:e16920.

34. Chen J, Zhao J, Cao Y, Zhang G, Chen Y, Zhong J, et al. Relationship between alterations of
urinary microbiota and cultured negative lower urinary tract symptoms in female type 2
diabetes patients. BMC Urol. 2019 Aug 22;19(1).

35. Penckofer S, Limeira R, Joyce C, Grzesiak M, Thomas-White K, Wolfe AJ. Characteristics of the
microbiota in the urine of women with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Complications. 2020 Jun
1;34(6).

36. Hrbacek J, Tlaskal V, Cermak P, Hanacek V, Zachoval R. Bladder Microbiota Are Associated with
Clinical Conditions That Extend beyond the Urinary Tract. Microorganisms. 2022 May 1;10(5).

37. Li Y, Gao F, Chen J, Fan X, Zhan W, Wu P, et al. Characteristics of urinary microflora in women
with type 2 diabetic peripheral neuropathy without lower urinary tract symptoms; [无下尿路症
状的女性2型糖尿病周围神经病变患者的尿液菌群特征]. Chin J Urol. 2020;

38. Liu F, Ling Z, Xiao Y, Yang Q, Zheng L, Jiang P, et al. Characterization of the urinary microbiota of
elderly women and the effects of type 2 diabetes and urinary tract infections on the microbiota
[Internet]. Vol. 8, Oncotarget. 2017 p. 100678–90. Available from:
www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

39. Düzgün Ç, Dede S, Karakuş E, Adaş M, Bilen Ö. Biochemical analysis of microbiotas obtained
from healthy, prediabetic, type 2 diabetes, and obese individuals. Turk J Biochem. 2022;

40. Lin HJ, Chuang HN, Jhan PP, Ye HY, Lee IT, Hsiao TH, et al. Pyuria Is Associated with Dysbiosis of
the Urinary Microbiota in Type 2 Diabetes Patients Receiving Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2
Inhibitors. Microbiol Res. 2023 Mar 1;14(1):34–41.

41. Calvigioni M, Biancalana E, Mazzantini D, Celandroni F, Rossi C, Mengozzi A, et al. In-depth
Microbiological Characterization of Urine From Subjects With Type 2 Diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol
Metab. 2024 Jun 13;dgae389.

42. Kim K, Lee S, Park SC, Kim NE, Shin C, Lee SK, et al. Role of an unclassified Lachnospiraceae in the
pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes: a longitudinal study of the urine microbiome and metabolites.
Exp Mol Med. 2022 Aug 1;54(8):1125–32.

43. Patel NB, Obregón-Tito AJ, Tito RY, Trujillo-Villaroel O, Marin-Reyes L, Troncoso-Corzo L, et al.
Citroniella saccharovorans gen. nov. sp. nov., a member of the family Peptoniphilaceae isolated
from a human fecal sample from a coastal traditional community member. Int J Syst Evol
Microbiol. 2019 Apr 1;69(4):1142–8.

44. Zheng N, Guo R, Wang J, Zhou W, Ling Z. Contribution of Lactobacillus iners to Vaginal Health
and Diseases: A Systematic Review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2021 Nov 22;11:792787.

45. Maillet F, Passeron A, Podglajen I, Ranque B, Pouchot J. Lactobacillus delbrueckii urinary tract
infection in a male patient. Médecine Mal Infect. 2019 May;49(3):226–8.

46. Hori E, Nakamura M, Tamura H. A diagnostically challenging case of pyelonephritis caused by
*Lactobacillus*. Clin Case Rep. 2022 Jun;10(6):e5999.

47. Pearce MM, Zilliox MJ, Rosenfeld AB, Thomas-White KJ, Richter HE, Nager CW, et al. The female
urinary microbiome in urgency urinary incontinence. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015
Sep;213(3):347.e1-347.e11.

48. Kuo IC, Lee JJ, Hwang DY, Lim LM, Lin HYH, Hwang SJ, et al. Pyuria, urinary tract infection and
renal outcome in patients with chronic kidney disease stage 3–5. Sci Rep. 2020 Nov
10;10(1):19460.

49. Zaidi SMJ, Kaneez M, Almas T, Fatima L, Safian HA, Jamal AM, et al. Gauging the Risk Factors for
Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Type-2 Diabetic Women: A Case-Control Study. Cureus [Internet].
2020 Jul 8 [cited 2024 Mar 5]; Available from: https://www.cureus.com/articles/35887-gauging-
the-risk-factors-for-asymptomatic-bacteriuria-in-type-2-diabetic-women-a-case-control-study

50. Yoo J, Groer M, Dutra S, Sarkar A, McSkimming D. Gut Microbiota and Immune System
Interactions. Microorganisms. 2020 Oct 15;8(10):1587.

Figure