1

Climatic fluctuations modulate eco-evolutionary processes associated with pathogen dynamics and continue to fuel bacterial spot epidemics in tomato

Rishi Bhandari*[1], Amanpreet Kaur*[1], Ivory Russell[1], Ogonnaya Michael Romanus[2], Destiny Brokaw[1], Anthony P Keinath[3], Zachary Snipes[4], Philip Rollins[4], Nettie Baugher[5], Inga Meadows[5], Ty Nicholas Torrance[6], Bhabesh Dutta[7], Edward Sikora[1], Roberto Molinari[2], Samuel Soubeyrand[8], Neha Potnis[1]

Affiliations

[1] Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

[2] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA

[3] Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, Charleston, SC 29414, USA

[4] Clemson Cooperative Extension Service, Clemson University, Charleston, SC, 29401, USA

[5] NC State Cooperative Extension, Mountain Research Station, North Carolina State University, Waynesville, NC 28786, USA

[6] UGA Cooperative Extension, Tift County Extension, University of Georgia, Tifton, GA, 31793, USA

[7] Department of Plant Pathology, University of Georgia, Tifton CAES Campus, Tifton, GA, 31793, USA

[8] INRAE, BioSP, 84914, Avignon, France

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Short title: Risk factors for bacterial spot disease epidemics

Corresponding Author: Neha Potnis, nzp0024@auburn.edu

Abstract

Recurring outbreaks caused by endemic pathogens present a significant challenge to agricultural systems. Thus, understanding the risk factors involved in fueling the continued outbreaks and pathogen evolution is a priority. Isolate genome sequencing efforts have largely guided our past understanding of the pathogen population structure. However, this approach can overlook the importance of co-occurring pathogenic genera, species, or even lineages of the same pathogenic species in shaping disease dynamics. Here, we aimed to monitor pathogen population dynamics at a finer resolution, tap into the genetic variation existing and emerging within and across fields, and understand the determinants of this diversity in the *Xanthomonas*-tomato pathosystem. Using strain-resolved metagenomics, we found that pathogen heterogeneity with multiple co-occurring lineages is common, although accompanied by differential lineage dynamics and that higher disease severity is associated with higher pathogen diversity. Considering these observations, we used response-specific regression models to investigate the roles of environmental variables on driving these differential dynamics. We find that climatic fluctuations can modify the endemic disease risk and that the pathogen adapts to these climatic shifts by maintaining diversity of co-occurring lineages, each with a varying fitness contribution. We identified signatures of seasonal adaptation by monitoring genome-wide allele frequencies in pathogen. The observation of seasonal oscillations in allelic frequencies depicted evidence for fluctuating selection contributing to the patterns of genetic variation. We also identified positively selected loci under parallel evolution such as type VI secretion system genes and TonB-dependent receptors, which may explain the nature of selection pressures experienced by the pathogen. The findings from this study reveal fitness strategies adopted by endemic pathogens and how pathogens can evolve under the changing climate. Our high-resolution combinatorial approach exploiting a series of sequence data

and metadata types and analysis tools, is general enough to finely investigate eco-evolutionary

dynamics of pathogens at large scales in diverse case-studies concerning plant health, but also

animal and human health.

Introduction

Plants are engaged in an ongoing battle against quickly evolving pathogens, termed the 'co-

evolutionary arms race' (P. S. Teng *et al.*, 1984; Dixon, 2012; Savary *et al.*, 2012). This arms race

pushes the host plant to employ mechanisms of defense against pathogens that are simultaneously

co-evolving to evade or overpower those mechanisms (Kareiva, 1999; Anderson *et al.*, 2010).

Studies have shown a gene-for-gene link between pathogen virulence genes and corresponding

plant resistance genes, where selection imposed by the host plant can push a pathogenic population

to diversify linked virulence genes and vice versa (Ma *et al.*, 2006; Karasov *et al.*, 2014). Our view

of this arms race is heavily focused on selective pressures arising from binary interactions of the

pathogen with the host. However, along with the host selection pressure, pathogens must overcome

various biotic (competition with the resident microflora) and abiotic stressors (osmotic stress,

temperature, humidity, etc.). The resident microbiome members can mediate direct (synergistic or

antagonistic) or indirect interactions (host-mediated, i.e., via induction of host immunity) with the

pathogens. Co-occurring pathogens can also influence the disease dynamics through niche

competition with the pathogen or induced plant defenses (Sadhukhan *et al.*, 2024). While the

impact of pathogens on resident microbial community structure has been studied (Gao *et al.*, 2021;

Bhandari *et al.*, 2023a), how resident microbial communities influence pathogen population

dynamics during infection and evolution over time is still in question.

Epidemiological studies have identified a range of climatic factors involved in disease outbreaks.

However, we have little experimental evidence on how frequent shifts in these climatic factors can

impact disease dynamics and whether climate change has already led to a surge of plant disease

outbreaks over the past century. Furthermore, factors associated with modern agricultural

ecosystems that may alter the plant-pathogen arms race include changes in agronomic practices,

increased global trade, an intensified monoculture system, and heavy use of chemical control

strategies (McDonald & Stukenbrock, 2016). These practices have been hypothesized to

predispose the system to a significant challenge of emerging diseases and increased incidences of

endemic disease outbreaks. In the case of seed-borne pathogens, the global trade facilitating the

continuous input of different pathogen genotypes on seeds or plant materials circulated worldwide

has been a driving force in the pathogen diversity observed under field conditions (Elmer, 2001).

The evolution of chemical-resistant pathogens in response to chemical pesticides has been

extensively studied (Thompson & Burdon, 1992; Fones *et al.*, 2020; Castledine *et al.*, 2022). Yet,

the link between the cost of chemical resistance and compromise in the pathogen's virulence is

unclear. Similarly, how chemical overuse could alter host susceptibility towards pathogens is

poorly understood. Given this nuance and considering the complex interdependencies associated

with the disease pyramid, the host-pathogen arms race needs to be re-evaluated. Understanding

the variable contribution of different biotic and abiotic factors, individually and collectively,

towards pathogen diversity and disease severity is crucial to pinpoint how and why outbreaks

continue to occur. As pathogen genetic diversity enhances fitness, adaptability, and evolution,

allowing pathogens to evade management, studying pathogen diversity is crucial for understanding

infectivity, evolution, and adaptability in a changing environment, and for predicting pathogen and

plant response under future climatic conditions (Bartoli et al. 2016; Desaint et al. 2020; McDonald

and Linde 2002; Sundin and Wang 2018; Wichmann et al. 2005; Zhan et al. 2002).

The pathosystem of bacterial leaf spot (BLS) on tomatoes and peppers is an example of an endemic

disease where no known host resistance genes have been deployed in commercial tomato cultivars.

Yet, extensive shifts in the pathogen population, including species displacement and extensive

diversification have been observed in the past decades. For over a century, BLS has been

considered an endemic problem in the United States, particularly in the southeast (Jones *et al.*,

2004). Although *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* pv. *euvesicatoria* (*Xeu*, hereafter) was the dominant

pathogen on tomatoes until the mid-1990s, *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* pv. *perforans* (previously

known as *X. perforans*, *Xp*, hereafter) has been the predominant species in the southeastern US for

the past three decades (Potnis, 2021) . Over the past 32 years following its isolation in Florida, not

only has the *Xp* displaced the previously dominant *Xeu*, but studies have also shown a rapid change

in pathogen genotype and phenotype where sequencing of the isolates within farm fields routinely

discovers more diversity (Newberry *et al.*, 2019; Klein-Gordon *et al.*, 2021).The description of

this diversity has been changing since 2014, where the intraspecific diversity was first described

as 3 'groups' identified based on core genome phylogeny before being adapted to 6 different

'sequence clusters', referred to as 'SC' (synonymous to pathogen lineages based on core SNP-

based hierarchical clustering) in 2018 (Newberry *et al.*, 2019, 2020a). Newberry et al. (2019)

reported two new lineages, SC5 and SC6 in Alabama, not previously described in other

southeastern states. This study hinted at recent diversification events in the pathogen population

and unexplored diversity essentially just waiting to be identified. Multiple recombination and

horizontal transfer events were proposed to be responsible for the emergence of new *Xp* lineages

over the past three decades (Timilsina *et al.*, 2019; Newberry *et al.*, 2019).

Since isolate genome sequencing may present a bias of sequencing the dominant pathogen isolates,

we recently optimized the high-resolution metagenome sequencing approach on BLS-infected

phyllosphere field tomato and pepper samples. This approach allowed us to tap into the diversity

in an individual field at a given time, including low abundant pathogen genotypes. The finding of

multiple co-occurring pathogen genotypes in a single field was worth noting. It added another

variable to consider when studying pathogen dynamics and evolution, i.e., heterogeneity in

pathogen population (Newberry *et al.*, 2020a). This small-scale metagenome study in Alabama

fields and our previous research led to more questions surrounding pathosystem dynamics in farms

outside of Florida (1) Was the pathogen population heterogeneity common across southeastern US

tomato fields? (2) Are there persistent pathogen lineages that tend to exist in fields despite variable

factors of climate or cultural practices? And (3) whether shifts in pathogen lineages over the course

of the growing season could be linked to disease severity outcomes? We addressed these questions

in this study using a high-resolution metagenomics approach on phyllosphere tomato samples from

the fields across the southeastern states of Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina

for three consecutive growing seasons from 2020 to 2022. While efforts have been geared to keep

up with newfound diversity, a lack of apparent resistance within tomatoes has led to questions

surrounding the driving factor in this diversification and the continued disease outbreaks

worldwide (Newberry *et al.*, 2019; Potnis, 2021). Each biotic and abiotic factor, individually and

in combination, affects pathogen and disease dynamics at each step along the tomato production

chain, starting with seed-production areas, transplant houses, and farm fields (Potnis, 2021; Klein-

Gordon *et al.*, 2022). Production complexity with these steps during the production chain aids in

the continuous input of different pathogen genotypes on seeds or plant materials circulated

worldwide and increases opportunities for genetic exchange and diversification. Among these

factors, the tomato production system has partially credited its structure in shaping genetic

variation within *Xp* (Klein-Gordon *et al.*, 2022).

In this study, we focused on identifying the drivers of pathogen population dynamics and

disease dynamics at the field level, assuming that multiple pathogen genotypes are introduced into

the field. We specifically focused on the contribution of climatic factors, production scale, and

pathogen intraspecies diversity in explaining a broad spectrum of disease severities observed for

this endemic disease across neighboring states. These factors were also evaluated as drivers of

pathogen dynamics, explaining the temporal shifts observed in the pathogen lineages across fields.

We find that climatic fluctuations can modify the endemic disease risk. The diversity of *Xp* found

globally is circulating in tomato fields of the southeastern US, and multiple co-occurring lineages

are common. We found evidence for different ecological processes involved in pathogen dynamics

such as strain fluctuation, strain invasion, and differential strain turnover across neighboring fields.

Our findings demonstrate that the variable fitness levels of pathogen lineages and the climatic

anomalies in combination can drive differential pathogen dynamics and disease severities observed

across the fields. The seasonal oscillations in allelic frequencies observed across spatio-temporal

field samples suggest adaptive dynamics where different pathogen variants with variable fitness

levels across different climatic conditions are maintained in the population. The evidence of

positive selection at certain loci in the pathogen genome despite variability in field environments,

host genotypes, or geographical regions, indicates the role of these loci in pathogen fitness. This

study demonstrates the eco-evolutionary processes involved in within-field disease dynamics and

emphasizes the relevance of evaluating the role of climatic shifts in influencing plant-pathogen

interactions.

Results

Varying levels of BLS disease pressure exist within the Southeastern US

A total of 66 tomato phyllosphere samples were gathered over three years (2020-2022) from

Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina (Fig 1A, Table S1A, S1B). The sampling

method was determined based on the field size and the amount of disease present (Fig 1B).

Metagenomic DNA from the leaf samples was subjected to shotgun metagenomics to obtain a

high-resolution pathogen population structure in the southeastern US. Apart from six samples

showing no BLS symptoms, the sampled fields showed variable levels of disease severity across

consistently sampled individual fields (Fig. S1), across the season and within and across states (Fig

1C, Fig. S2A). We observed that average disease severity in samples from Alabama was lower

(2.7) during mid-season compared to other states. But the average disease severity during the end

season was higher in Alabama (6) compared to other states (Fig. 1C, Fig. S2A). However, disease

severity was not consistently higher as the season progressed, as seen with samples from Georgia

and South Carolina (Fig 1C, Fig. S2A). We used the SNV profiling method, strainEST, to detect

pathogen lineages at finer resolution including those with low abundance levels. For this, we

screened metagenomic reads for the presence of BLS pathogenic species, *Xp*, *Xeu*, and X*eu*-related

pathovars (*Xeu* sister clades). We observed the absence of *Xeu* in sampled tomato fields suggesting

that *Xp* is the dominant pathogen of BLS in tomatoes in the southeastern United States. Using

correlation analysis as an exploratory tool, we found that the absolute abundance of *Xp* (Fig. 1D,

Fig. S2B) positively correlated with disease severity (mid-season: $R^2 = 0.381$, $p < 0.001$, end-

season: $R^2 = 0.283$, $p < 0.01$). A similar observation was made with the relative abundance of *Xp*

(mid-season: $R^2 = 0.508$, $p < 0.001$, end-season: $R^2 = 0.378$, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. S2C). The pathogen

population was significantly associated with disease severity during the early season compared to

the late season based on R^2 value. Although commercial-scale farms showed slightly higher

disease severity, this difference compared to small-scale farms was not statistically significant (*p*

= 0.45, Fig. S3A).

Figure 1: *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* pv. *perforans* (*Xp*) continues to be a significant pathogen in the tomato fields across *the* southeastern US, although with variable disease pressure in the region. (A) Map of the study area from Alabama, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia from Southeast United States. The dots in the figures represent the sampled farm, and the size of the dot represents the number of samples collected during the years 2020, 2021, and 2022. (B) Sampling methods varied by field size. In small farms, stratified random sampling was used to collect representative leaflets (left). In large fields, samples were taken along an X (mid) or W (right) pattern. Split-violin plots showing (C) the average disease severity and (D) the absolute abundance of *Xp* collected from different states during 2020, 2021, and 2022.

***Xanthomonas* abundance reveals a heterogeneous spatial distribution of pathogen lineages, and the increased diversity was associated with higher disease pressure.**

Given our observation of varying levels of epidemics, we hypothesized that pathogen heterogeneity is responsible for varying disease dynamics. Thus, we assessed the heterogeneity in the pathogen population by assessing the extent of diversity of *Xp* in individual fields. Our approach involved mapping the metagenome reads to the SNP matrices of known lineages or sequence clusters (SC) of *Xp* and calculating abundance for each SC. To understand the current global population structure of *Xp*, we leveraged the availability of extensive genome resources for *Xp* collected worldwide over the last three decades (473 genomes as of March 2023). Based on the phylogeny of these publicly available global *Xp* collections, determined by core genome single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), eight distinct lineages were identified within *Xp* strains referred to here as sequence clusters (SC) or lineages (Fig. 2A). This SNP-level resolution into different lineages was a baseline for our analysis to identify diversity and co-occurrence of pathogen lineages across field metagenomes (Fig. 2B). Surprisingly, we identified the presence of eight lineages in the field samples. We found that three lineages, SC3, SC4, and SC6, were in higher abundance in these fields, although their abundance varied from field to field, and their dynamics varied across neighboring fields. Notably, SC1, SC7, and SC8 were in lower abundance, appearing in only eight samples (Fig. 2B, Fig. S4). The co-occurrence of different *Xp* lineages were observed in the samples, as noted in our previous limited metagenome survey (Newberry et

al. 2020). Next, we investigated whether multiple co-occurring lineages of *Xp* within individual

fields influenced disease severity. We estimated the Shannon diversity index that captures

evenness indicative of the extent of diversity of *Xp*. Correlation analysis between the Shannon

diversity index of the pathogen population and disease severity revealed a positive association (R^2

= 0.52, $p < 0.001$) (Fig. S5). This suggests that *Xp* population diversity is positively associated

with disease severity. Looking at the diversity of *Xp* lineages across the states, fields had a

minimum of 1 to a maximum of 5 lineages present at a given sampling time, and this diversity of

co-occurring lineages was different across different years, and samples from neighboring states

(Fig. 2B). Changes in strain composition were not only evident across different fields but also

within individual fields throughout the growing season, spanning three years. These dynamics in

strain composition were marked by strain fluctuations, where the abundance of lineages shifted

from one season to the other, strain invasion where a new lineage likely infected the plants during

the growing season, and differential strain dynamics (Fig. 2B). Commercial and small-scale farm

types differed in the Shannon diversity, with commercial farms showing higher pathogen diversity

($p = 0.008$) and higher number of SCs compared to small scale farms (Fig. S3B, S3C). Overall,

the diversity of *Xp* found globally is circulating in Southeastern US tomato fields, and co-

occurrence of multiple *Xp* lineages is common in this region.

Figure 2: Co-occurrence of multiple lineages of the BLS pathogen observed in the southeastern United States, which leads to increased BLS disease severity. A) Midpoint-rooted maximum-likelihood phylogeny based on a concatenated alignment of core genome SNPs from 473 *Xp* strains. The tips are color-coded according to the lineages identified in the first level of the HierBAPS hierarchy. SC9 is a single-strain lineage exclusively found in Nigeria. B) Stacked bar plot depicting the co-occurrence of multiple *Xp* lineages, spatial and temporal variations, the introduction of new lineages, turnover, and dominance shifts in individual fields across various states during the mid and end of summer seasons and fall seasons for the years 2020, 2021, and 2022. Representative fields are shown in this figure. A figure showing data for all fields is included in the supplementary file Fig. S4.

Climatic shifts and extremes explain variation in BLS epidemics across the Southeastern United States

Given the findings of variable disease dynamics across neighboring states, we assessed the contribution of climatic factors and their spatial and temporal variations as drivers of disease epidemics. We used regression models to analyze how disease severity and pathogen abundance (response variables) are related to predictor variables such as climatic factors, sampling time, year, and farm scale (treating the latter three as categorical variables). In addition to routine climatic variables and their mean or median values, we also considered other measures, such as standard deviation or kurtosis, for example, allowing to account for other characteristics of climatic predictors using indexes that capture the spread (standard deviation), asymmetries, and tail-heaviness (skewness and kurtosis). Considering the sample size, this approach, however, considerably increased the number of predictors so, to achieve reasonable statistical conclusions, for all regressions we ran a preliminary predictor-selection method (i.e. Lasso) and successively applied the appropriate regression on the selected predictors for each response (see Statistical analysis section). Having selected the relevant predictors for each response, we ran the logistic ordinal regression model to understand drivers for disease severity which indicated that the Shannon diversity of various lineages of *Xp* present in the field (t value = 4.15, $p < 0.05$) strongly influenced BLS disease severity as suggested in the earlier result (Fig. S4). Among the climatic factors, the standard deviation of Wet Bulb Temperature at 2 meters (t-value = 2.06, $p < 0.05$) and

the standard deviation of the average of the wind direction at 10 meters above the surface of the

earth (t-value = 2.73, $p < 0.05$) significantly increases BLS disease severity (Table 1A, Table S3A),

indicating that extreme values of wet bulb temperature (adiabetic saturation temperature) and

frequent changes in wind direction led to higher disease severity. In contrast, the skewness of clear

sky surface photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) total (t-value = -2.57, $p < 0.05$, negative

skewness indicative of more data points accumulating in the upper range of clear sky PAR) and

kurtosis of Temperature at 2 Meters Range (t-value = -2.12, $p < 0.05$, negative kurtosis indicative

of when tail min temperature relatively close to typical minimum temperature) decrease the BLS

disease severity (Table 1A, Table S3A). More specifically, for the skewness predictor, this implies

that as the majority of clear sky PAR measurements accumulate towards the lower range (and

hence have fewer extremes in this range), the less the BLS disease severity occurs; for the kurtosis

predictor we also see that when the temperatures at 2 meters range have more extreme behaviors,

the less is the BLS disease severity.

Next, a beta regression model predicting the drivers of Xp abundance identified one key predictor:

the standard deviation of wind direction at 10 meters above the earth's surface. This variable has

positive association with the absolute (z-value = 2.08, $p < 0.05$)) and relative (z-value = 2.69, $p <$

0.05) abundance of Xp, contributing to disease severity. In addition to this, the skewness of surface

pressure (z value$_{abs}$ = -3.261, z value$_{rel}$ = -2.949, $p < 0.05$) and kurtosis of relative humidity at 2

meters (z value$_{abs}$ = -2.524, z value$_{rel}$ = -2.744, $p < 0.05$) showed a significant negative effect both

on the relative and absolute abundance of Xp (Table 1B, Table S3B, S3C). Thus, frequent shifts in

wind direction and extreme changes in surface pressure and relative humidity all appear to

influence Xp abundance. Other significant predictors of Xp relative abundance included farm scale,

with commercial farms having a larger abundance (z = 2.875, $p < 0.05$) (Table S3C).

Finally, we used Dirichlet compositional regression to evaluate the influence of climatic factors in

explaining the differential dynamics of pathogen lineages across different fields. This type of

regression ensures that dependence between cluster abundance is accounted for (i..e. the proportion

of clusters must sum to one). We found that the variables that appeared to be significant across

pathogen lineages were the standard deviation of specific humidity at 2 meters and mid-season

(compared to end-season). In particular, these appeared to diminish abundance across all clusters

except for SC6. Also, kurtosis of relative humidity appears to have a negative impact on abundance

in clusters SC1 to SC4 and SC8. In general, the contribution of the variables appears to be more

significant in clusters SC3 and SC4 (and to a lesser extent SC2). The standard deviation of relative

humidity appears to increase SC3 abundance. In contrast, variables standard deviation of minimum

to the maximum temperature range at 2 meters, skewness of temperature at 2 meters, skewness of

wind speed at 10 meters, kurtosis of relative humidity, and kurtosis of all-sky PAR appears to

decrease the abundance of SC3. The variables, standard deviation of relative humidity, skewness

of temperature at 2m, and Commercial scale farms (compared to small farms), appear to increase

the abundance of SC4 (Table S4).

Table 1: Various climatic factors influence disease dynamics and *Xp* abundance. A) Significant
climatic variables from the ordinal regression model predict the degree of correlation between various
environmental factors and BLS disease severity. B) Significant climatic variables from the beta regression
model, showing the degree of correlation between various environmental variables and the absolute
abundance of *Xp*. The Value represents the estimated increase in the log odds of the outcome for a one-
unit increase in the predictor variable. The Std. Error measures the precision of this estimate. The t/z-
value indicates that the effect of the predictor variable is statistically significant if the values exceed 2 or
fall below -2.

Table 1A			
Factors	**Value**	**Std. Error**	**t-value**
Shannon diversity	2.37409	0.57175	4.1523
Standard deviation of Wet Bulb Temperature at 2 Meters	0.96797	0.46843	2.0664
Standard deviation of Wind Direction at 10 Meters	0.09547	0.03492	2.7338

Skewness of Clear Sky Surface PAR Total	-0.94701	0.36778	-2.5749
Kurtosis of Temperature at 2 Meters Range (C)	-1.25559	0.59055	-2.1262

Table 1B

Factors	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr(>|z|)
Standard deviation of Wind Direction at 10 Meters	0.03934	0.01885	2.087	0.0368
Skewness of Surface Pressure	-1.70069	0.52146	-3.261	0.0011
Kurtosis of Relative humidity at 2 Meters (%)	-0.66357	0.26293	-2.524	0.0116

Pathogen heterogeneity and variable fitness levels influence disease dynamics.

We observed differential strain dynamics with spatial and temporal variations in dominating

lineages (Fig. 2B). The variation in strain dynamics can result from variability in fitness levels of

different pathogen lineages and/or variable effects of environment on host-pathogen genotype

interactions. This variation in fitness levels can stem from differential virulence and transmission

capabilities or direct or indirect (host-mediated) competition among co-occurring pathogen

lineages. We asked how pathogen heterogeneity and variable dynamics could contribute to the

explanation of fluctuating disease pressures during outbreaks. We used complementary data from

the greenhouse experiment and field data provided here to evaluate the hypothesis that different

disease pressures are caused by different fitness levels of co-existing pathogen lineages. The

greenhouse experiment involved infecting tomato plants with individual strains representative of

different lineages or in mixed infections. Overall, mixed infections led to higher disease severity

than individual infections (Fig. S6A). Individual lineages differed in fitness levels (AUDPC) (Fig.

S6B). For example, fitness contributions towards disease severity of mixed infection of SC3 and

4 were significantly higher than that of individual infections (Fig. S6B).

Next, we used field metagenome data with the relative abundance of pathogen lineages and disease

severity data to assess pathogen fitness under the field condition. We used StrainRanking, a

regression-based method for ranking of pathogen strains with respect to their contribution to

epidemics. This allowed us to combine pathogen genetic data with epidemiological monitoring of

disease progression to investigate differences among pathogen lineages in their performance in the

field. Lineages SC4 and SC6 tend to have a higher fitness than SC3 and other low frequency SCs

with respect to their contribution to the field epidemics, as indicated by their positive contribution

towards disease severity from mid to end season in the theoretical epidemic curves (Fig 3A). Equal

weighted means of the genetic frequencies observed during the mid- and end-seasons (p=0.5 for

each) were taken into consideration for this analysis. Even considering the weightage of genetic

frequencies high (p=0.9) in the mid-season (Fig. S7A) or high (p=0.9) at the end of the season,

(Fig. S8A), SC6 and SC4 contributed significantly in terms of fitness towards the growth of disease

severity. However, SC6 was not predominant lineage across our samples, so we did not consider

SC6 for further analysis.

When strain interactions were evaluated for their fitness contributions, lineages SC4 (>20%

abundance) and SC3 (≤20% abundance) combination showed positive fitness, whereas interaction

corresponding to SC4 (≤20% abundance) whatever SC3 frequency led to negative fitness

contribution. When SC4 (>20% abundance) and SC3 (>20%) coexisted, we observed near zero

fitness contribution (Fig 3B, Fig. S7B, Fig S8B). Next, we evaluated fitness levels of individual

SCs when strain-environment interactions were considered. It's interesting to note that we found

that various contextual factors significantly impacted SC4 fitness levels but less in SC3. When

average surface pressure and standard deviation of wind speed were less than mean values, but

average temperature and skewness of wet bulb temperature were more than mean values, SC4

demonstrated a larger positive fitness contribution (Fig. 3C). We found that specific environmental

conditions that are observed in the middle or end of the season have different effects on the fitness

contributions of SC3 and SC4, particularly when taking into account genetic frequencies that are

reported at the end of the season (Fig. S8C). While lower values of the parameters promote better

fitness of SC3, higher values of the clear sky PAR standard deviation, kurtosis of surface pressure,

and standard deviation of temperature at 2 meters support higher fitness of SC4. Conversely, lower

levels of average clear sky PAR favor SC4 fitness (Fig. S8C), whereas higher values enhance SC3

fitness. However, when genetic frequencies which are reported in the mid of the season were taken

into account, SC4 was more fit in the across different environmental parameters than SC3 (Fig.

S7C).

Figure 3. Different lineages have variable fitness levels and resilience towards different climatic factors and competition with co-occurring lineages. Using StrainRanking: A) Comparisons of different SCs with fitness estimates and their confidence intervals under main effects were made. These estimates focused on SC3, SC4, and SC6 (which were more abundant in samples), and a group of SCs (SC1, SC2, SC5, SC7, and SC8) that were categorized as low-frequency (due to their lower abundance). The bottom plot illustrates the growth curves of these SCs, estimating their contributions to the progression of disease severity from mid and end of summer. B) Pathogen-pathogen interactions, specifically between the two dominant SCs (SC3 and SC4), were analyzed based on their combined frequencies being either below or above 20%. Fitness estimates with confidence intervals were compared when the summed frequencies of SC3 and SC4 were taken into account. Different interaction scenarios were considered, such as SC3 > 20% & SC4 < 20%, SC4 > 20% & SC3 < 20%, and both SC3 & SC4 at equal frequencies, along with SC6 and the low-frequency SCs. The growth curves in the bottom plot highlight how these interactions contributed to the progression of disease during the season. C) Pathogen-environment interactions were examined by applying the frequencies of SC3 or SC4 to different environmental variables, depending on whether each

375   variable was above or below its mean at the sampling point. The analysis assumed an equal-weighted mean
376   of genetic frequencies to calculate fitness levels: p x mid-frequency + (1 - p) x end-frequency, where (p =
377   0.5).
378
379
380
Seasonal preference for alleles indicative of conditional fitness for certain alleles was

observed.

The influence of season and year on pathogen diversity led us to identify variants selected across

pathogen populations over time and seasons. We looked for alleles whose frequencies changed

within and across seasons and parallel across fields. For this analysis, we grouped all samples

from each respective sampling time (i.e., summer or fall of a specific year) into a single

metapopulation (Fig. 4A). We then tracked the major and minor allele frequencies across three

sampling points over three years. We observed a strong influence of seasonality on the allelic

frequencies. Allelic frequencies at most sites differed across the mid/end of the summer and fall

seasons. For example, a significant change in frequency (with $f <= 0.2$) was observed throughout

the fall season for several of the main alleles ($f >= 0.8$) found in the middle or end of the summer

growing season (Fig. 4B). Similarly, the alleles that ranged in frequencies between 0.2 to 0.8

during mid-2020 remained in the population at intermediate frequencies during end seasons or

mid-seasons in the subsequent years but changed to either 1 or 0 during the fall seasons of the

subsequent years (Fig. 4C, Fig. S9). These polymorphisms that undergo dramatic rapid shifts in

allelic frequency and oscillate between seasons repeatedly over subsequent years can be defined

as conditional or seasonal SNPs (Fig. S9). These seasonal SNPs would most likely be adaptively

responding to selection pressures that vary across seasons.

Given the influence of seasonality on oscillating patterns of polymorphisms, we focused on

identifying alleles that were seen in parallel across at least 50% of farms with $f <= 0.2$ (called a

minor) during the first season and became $f >= 0.8$ (considered a major) in the following season

(Fig. 4A, 4C). We found that 237 of the alleles that were minor/low-frequency alleles in mid-2020

turned major (i.e., reached high frequency) during fall 2020, with 157 of those alleles reappearing

as major during fall 2022 but were not observed as high-frequency alleles in any other season of

the following years. Similarly, 1234 minor alleles that existed at the end of 2020 reached high

frequency (i.e., major alleles) in the fall of 2020. Of these, 138 alleles were observed at high

frequency in the subsequent fall season of 2022 (Fig. S10A). Furthermore, we observed 3598

allelic sites with allele frequency less than 0.2 during the fall of 2020 became major during mid-

season (summer) of the year 2021 and most of the sites (1025) appeared back as major alleles in

the mid-season of the year 2022 (Fig. S10B).

Figure 4. Seasonal oscillations of allelic frequencies with the signature of parallel evolution. A) A graphical representation of seasonal variation, where during mid-season (of summer), allele A was the major allele (allele frequency > 0.8) in the population. By the end of the season (of summer), the A was replaced with T, and allele A became minor in population. However, by the fall season, allele G became a major allele, and the rest were present in low abundance in the pathogen population. B) The plot shows alleles with average frequencies of >= 0.8 in the pathogen population during mid-season (of summer) of year 2020, were oscillating to the following seasons with changes in their average frequencies over three years. C) The plot shows alleles with average frequencies of <= 0.8 in the pathogen population during mid-season 2020 (of summer), were changing in their average frequencies in the next seasons over three years. D) The Sankey plot shows all the alleles present in parallel in at least 50% of the farms sampled during the mid-season (of summer) of 2020 with frequency <= 0.2 (with read depth of at least 10) and became major allele in the population in the next season and how many of them stayed as major during the next seasons of following years.

427 Despite variability in disease dynamics, signatures of selection and parallel evolution were

428 evident in the pathogen population.

Given the varying disease pressure observed across different farms, we sought to determine if

any genes in the pathogen genome were consistently under selection across these locations.

Using Metapop package, we estimated the pN/pS (non-synonymous to synonymous ratios) of

different genes of *Xp* and pooled out the genes with pN/pS > 1 values. We filtered out the genes

if they were present in at least 50 % of farms in a particular sampling point to provide evidence

for parallel evolution. We found eight genes under selection were parallel across farms (at least

50 % of farms of specific sampling time) and seasons. These genes comprised type VI secretion

system (T6SS) protein ImpG, ClpV1 family T6SS ATPase, S9 family peptidase,

aminotransferase, tonB-dependent receptors, S9 family proteins (Fig. S11, Table S5). However,

none of these eight genes were clustered and present at distinct locations based on the reference

genome of *Xp* LH3. Interestingly, two proteins associated with the T6SS were under positive

selection, suggesting the microbiome's potential role in selection pressure and shaping the

pathogen genome. We also identified a gene, class 1 fructose-bisphosphatase, under positive

selection in both populations during the fall seasons (Table S5). Overall, these results suggest

evidence of parallel evolution across farms and seasons in the *Xp* pathogen population.

Figure 5. Integrated workflow to study pathogen outbreaks incorporating large scale field survey data, high-resolution metagenomics data and subsequent analyses to understand eco-evolutionary pathogen dynamics.

Discussion

This study investigated the factors contributing to continued disease outbreaks caused by an endemic pathogen, identified eco-evolutionary processes involved in shaping the within-field disease dynamics, inferred the extent to which these processes are linked to environmental variables to predict health/disease state of the host (Fig. 5). We addressed this goal by conducting a spatio-temporal survey of tomato fields in four neighboring states of the Southeastern US and by applying combinatorial pathogen population genomics, strain-resolved metagenomics, and epidemiological modeling approaches. Field surveys revealed variable disease pressure across seasons and fields and *Xanthomonas* abundance levels alone could not explain this variability (Fig. 1). This variability could stem from host pressure, interactions among different pathogen lineages, environmental factors, or a combination thereof. Here we systematically evaluated contribution of pathogen-pathogen interactions and pathogen-environment interactions towards continued disease outbreaks. While host genotype would be an important factor to consider in explaining disease dynamics, the lack of known bacterial spot resistance genes in tomato cultivars and the difficulty in obtaining this metadata during the survey did not provide enough information to systematically evaluate the role of host genotypes in driving disease dynamics. However, age-related host resistance (Whalen, 2005; Sharabani *et al.*, 2013; Hu & Yang, 2019) or the prevalence of other diseases later in the season might contribute towards seasonal disease pressure. Epidemiological modeling using high-resolution NASA POWER data for each sampling site coupled with disease severity and pathogen population genomics data enabled evaluation of individual climatic variables and the role of seasonal variation, sudden shifts in climate, and climatic extremes in explaining disease outbreaks. We observed that sudden and abrupt changes in climatic variables, such as wind direction, relative humidity, temperature, and surface pressure, can significantly

impact disease severity levels. Next, we characterized fine scale microdiversity patterns within

pathogen population to understand the ecological and evolutionary processes that drive the disease

dynamics. In contrast to the traditional view of agricultural systems selecting for a single dominant

pathogenic strain/lineage, we found that the coexistence of multiple lineages is common within

the current population structure and variable fitness contributions of different pathogen genotypes

can explain differential disease dynamics. We quantified the effect of intraspecific diversity in

pathogen populations on epidemic rates and found a strong positive correlation between pathogen

diversity and disease severity. To explain the within-host strain dynamics and their contribution to

the epidemic, we evaluated field-level fitness contributions of pathogen lineages individually and

in combination and observed that within-field disease dynamics are driven by variable fitness

levels of pathogens and climatic shifts (deviation in climatic variables from the ambient). Tracing

allelic variants over three years revealed a considerable influence of seasonality on pathogen

dynamics, with distinct seasonal alleles strongly favored throughout specific seasons. This

observation shows genetic heterogeneity comprising of co-existing lineages with differential and

environmentally dependent fitness contributions towards epidemic as an adaptation strategy that

enables pathogen to dynamically respond to environmental fluctuations within seasonal

timescales. These complex interdependent interactions complicate the selective pressures during a

host-pathogen arms race in case of endemic diseases in agricultural systems. Our study highlights

the value of high-resolution shotgun metagenomics in understanding within-host and across-host

pathogen dynamics. Identifying the drivers of the disease dynamics and pathogen diversification

will be critical in reducing the frequency of pathogen outbreaks (Fig. 5).

Regression models applied to link environmental data, disease outcomes and pathogen

diversity revealed that extreme climatic events were significant drivers of disease dynamics and

pathogen diversity across different farms. Frequent extreme events such as changes in wind

direction, photosynthetically active radiation, temperature, humidity, and surface pressure (Table

1) can directly influence pathogen dispersal (El Jarroudi *et al.*, 2020; Myers *et al.*, 2021; Lahlali

et al., 2024) and plant host physiology (Janda *et al.*, 2019; Desaint *et al.*, 2021; Chaloner *et al.*,

2021), or indirectly alter host-pathogen, strain-strain, and pathogen-microbiome interactions

(Bilgin *et al.*, 2008; Bhandari *et al.*, 2023b; Kaur *et al.*, 2024). While empirical evidence for how

recent climatic shifts have contributed to disease outbreaks is limited, studies on the impact of

extreme weather events on the virulence of fungal pathogens like *Hemileia vastatrix* (coffee rust),

Puccinia striiformis (wheat stripe rust)*,* and *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (Mediterranean oak decline)

have highlighted the need for these studies (Scherm,H. & Yang, X. B., 1995; Brasier, 1996;

Corredor-Moreno & Saunders, 2020). Our approach of capturing the climatic extremes using

measures such as standard deviation, kurtosis, skewness of climatic variables observed for each

farm across seasonal timescales allowed us to assess the extent to which pathogen genetic

divergence correlates with climatic shifts. The findings from these models highlighted the

importance of capturing these climatic extremes in the epidemiological modeling, rather than

average climatic variables.

Our findings of co-existence also challenge the traditional view that a single lineage of an

abundant pathogen dominates the host population in agricultural systems. However, unlike natural

ecosystems where multiple sub-lineages can persist at low levels over long periods (Karasov *et*

al., 2014), we observed that a handful of pathogen lineages impart strong positive fitness

contributions towards disease epidemics. Interestingly, two lineages, SC3 and SC4 co-existed,

both at large densities, but neither showed a strong dominance across different fields in all three

examined growing seasons. First, this observation is intriguing, because it certainly challenges the

competitive exclusion principle, which states that two species occupying the same ecological niche

cannot coexist indefinitely (Gause, 1934). Second, it reveals an apparent paradox because, based

on our analyses, SC4 seems to be fitter than SC3. SC6 also seems to be as fit as SC4 but is present

at a lower level with distribution limited to only certain fields in Alabama than SC3 and SC4, thus,

its contribution in the lineage dynamics could not be systematically assessed. Here, we sought

explanations for this apparently unexpected co-existence of SC3 and SC4 and their ecological

dynamics. Prior studies on *E. coli* have demonstrated the prevalence and persistence of season-

specific strains due to fluctuating temperatures throughout the year (Byappanahalli *et al.*, 2006;

Jang *et al.*, 2011, 2014). However, we have yet to observe any clear patterns of early or late-season

specialization of individual SCs, indicating that time partitioning is unlikely to explain their co-

existence. Since we did not sample outside the host, current data cannot explain whether these

lineages have high fitness outside the host or neighboring plant species (non-host). In addition,

lack of data on host genotypes or field landscapes do now allow to evaluate whether host

heterogeneity and landscape heterogeneity in the field supports their co-existence (Papaix *et al.*,

2024). Another limitation of our approach which used pooled samples representative of a field for

metagenome sequencing, was that we couldn't exclude spatial partitioning or microhabitat

difference (for example, stem vs leaf vs fruit, leaves of different ages, or patchy distributions within

individual fields) that can support co-existence of two or more pathogen lineages. Resource

specialization cannot be ruled out either because the dominant lineages coexisting in the fields,

SC3 and SC4, differ in type III effector content and may differ in within-host multiplication rate

and transmission rate, or they may prefer different niches, such as leaf surface vs apoplast. Our

finding that sudden seasonal events or climatic fluctuations had a significant impact on defining

individual lineages' fitness contributions may potentially explain their coexistence. Two

independent approaches assessing compositional dynamics and fitness dynamics highlighted the

influence of environmental parameters on individual lineages, specifically SC3 and SC4,

specifically climatic fluctuations as indicated by skewness, kurtosis and standard deviation of

different parameters, being significant in dictating abundance of SC3 or SC4. We observed that

field-level fitness contribution by SC4 seemed to be impacted by other biotic (SC3) and abiotic

factors, whereas that by SC3 did not. The varying performance of SC4 depending on different

environmental conditions (from very fit to intermediately fit: fitness = 0) may contribute to the

similarity of its overall frequency with the SC3 frequency (more generalist with respect to the

values of tested factors and the presence of other SC). Thus, maintaining co-existence of lineages

that show overall environmental resilience as well as environmentally dependent fitness might be

a strategy that pathogen adopts to cope up with the sudden climatic shifts. All the arguments made

above that may contribute to explain the co-existence of SC3 and SC4 at relatively high and similar

levels, and the estimation of their relative fitness, can be summarized by making a parallel with

the effective reproduction number, Rt, classically considered in human and animal epidemiology.

Rt is the average number of new infections caused by a single infected individual at time t in the

partially susceptible population. Rt varies in time because of the variation of the number of

susceptible individuals but may also vary because of temporal heterogeneity in the eco-evo-

determinants of the transmission (e.g., because of lockdown measures during the covid-19

pandemic; (Roques *et al.*, 2020)). Rt can obviously be considered at the lineage level. Broadly, the

epidemic or the lineage dynamics tends to keep going on if Rt $\geq$ 1. In terms of fitness, as estimated

by the StrainRanking analysis in this study, it is equivalent to a fitness value $\geq$ 0 (the fitness here

is an indicator that integrates diverse processes and variables and is therefore an aggregated

measurement of the capacity of the lineage to "multiply" in real conditions). Thus, SC4 with fitness

>0 and SC3 with fitness of around 0 indicate Rt ≥ 1, suggesting that both SC3 and SC4 are fit to

keep epidemic going or to expand. As Rt evolves in time, the fitness (viewed as an aggregated

measurement) may also evolve in time, and an interesting research area would be to finely

characterize the eventual variation in time of the SC's fitness, evaluation of lineage-specific genes

in contribution towards fitness, and to determine how the possible processes evoked above

contribute to this variation. This is because possibility of transitory state with co-existence as

opposed to equilibrium state cannot be ruled out, especially, given the limited geographical region

studied here (Soubeyrand *et al.*, 2017) and that genetic divergence has been observed in this

pathosystem (Potnis et al. 2021). Thus, continuing such high-resolution lineage fitness tracking

across tomato producing regions and disease outcomes will be important outputs to predict future

outbreaks, and to design disease management strategies that are tailored to concurrent pathogen

population structure (i.e. breeding for disease resistance and climate resilience).

Although seasonality was not evident at the individual lineage level, analyzing the data via

variant tracing indicated the presence of season-specific allelic pathogen variants, particularly

unique to the summer vs fall growing season over the three consecutive years of sampling (Fig 5).

These seasonal variants might be indicative of a trade-off between in-season within-host

multiplication, in-season transmission, and inter-season survival, where variants that contribute as

primary inoculum (the form under which pathogen survives host absence) in fall vs summer might

differ due to the variable inter-season survival periods experienced (Van Den Berg *et al.*, 2010).

One approach to test this notion is to see if there is a trade-off between survival outside the host

(with varied overwintering durations) and infection efficiency (Penczykowski *et al.*, 2015).

Periodic host absence has been claimed to cause evolutionary divergence (also known as

evolutionary branching), however, contradictory evidence exists (van den Berg *et al.*, 2010, 2011;

Hamelin *et al.*, 2011). The absence of year-round production in Alabama, South Carolina, North

Carolina, and Georgia may drive evolutionary divergence. The seasonality could also mean

differing day lengths altering host physiological responses to the pathogen, thus impacting the

fitness contributions of different variants towards epidemics (Ballaré, 2014) or differing light

intensities impacting pathogen behavior and virulence, as seen with differing motilities and

differing epiphytic fitness in the case of *Pseudomonas syringae* strains (Beattie *et al.*, 2018). The

findings of seasonal oscillations of genome-wide allelic frequencies may suggest evidence of

fluctuation selection contributing towards the genetic variation observed in this population.

595     We also looked at signatures of parallel evolution by identifying loci under positive

selection across > 50% of the fields. Notably, we identified certain genes under positive selection

over three years, including the TonB-dependent receptor gene located adjacent to the PepSy

domain-containing protein. The widespread use of heavy fertilizers and pesticides in agricultural

systems (such as mancozeb applications to manage bacterial spot contain Mn and Zn) may have

been responsible for the selection of this TonB-dependent receptor likely involved in heavy metal

transport (Yeats *et al.*, 2004; Gallois *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, multiple genes belonging to two

separate Type VI secretion system clusters were found to be under selection, suggesting that the

microbiome may play a significant role in driving the selection within the pathogen genome

(Coyne & Comstock, 2019; Allsopp *et al.*, 2020; Serapio-Palacios *et al.*, 2022).

605     Taken together, we find that plant-pathogen interactions in agricultural environments are

much more complex than previously thought. Our study revealed that maintaining pathogen

heterogeneity and variable fitness with seasonal allele fluctuations in response to extreme weather

events are the strategies employed by the pathogen during arms race. This work also presents

empirical evidence on the influence of recent climatic changes on altering disease outcomes. We

suggest that breeding programs need to consider multiple pathogen lineages that show positive

fitness contributions based on large scale field surveys. Tracing these evolutionary changes can

predict which lineages will be a better fit in the long run, especially in the face of sudden climatic

shifts, aiding in developing more effective breeding strategies. Future work based on the results of

this study should focus on identifying the roles of specific fitness factors in pathogen biology, as

this could provide valuable insights into pathogen adaptation strategies and the selection pressures

they face. Finally, we present an integrated workflow utilizing metapangenomics to infer fine scale

microdiversity patterns in the pathogen population, coupled with epidemiological and statistical

modeling to link climatic variables to the eco-evolutionary processes impacting disease risk and

to study disease epidemics at large scales (Fig. 5). This approach can allow disentangling targets

of long-term eco-evolutionary processes and for studying fundamental principles involved in

response of microbes in dynamically responding to environmental shifts. Such approach can be

applied to different case studies in plant, animal, and human health.

Materials and Methods

Reconstruction of the *X. perforans* core genome using publicly available Xp genomes from

NCBI

Sequencing reads for 467 *Xp* strains downloaded from NCBI (as of 08/15/2023) were used

to study the global diversity of *Xp* isolate genomes. To ensure high-quality and minimally biased

genomes, the genomes were de-replicated using dRep (v3.2.2) (Olm *et al.*, 2017) using a 95%

minimum genome completeness and 5% maximum contamination. We manually discarded the

genomes with more than 400 contigs to ensure better alignment with the reference genome and

avoid bias while creating the SNV profile. Four hundred sixty-seven quality-controlled genomes

collected from tomato and pepper (with one eggplant isolate) around the globe were individually

aligned against the completed genome of *Xp* strain LH3. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)

common to all genomes were extracted to generate a concatenated set of high-quality core-genome

SNPs using Parsnp (Treangen *et al.*, 2014). The phylogenetic tree was visualized and annotated

using iTol (v.6.7.5) (Letunic & Bork, 2007)

Sample collection and disease severity estimation

To study the diversity of BLS *Xanthomonas* in the southeastern United States, we collected

66 samples from 22 fields, designated as either commercial-scale or small-scale (Table S1). These

samples were collected from symptomatic and asymptomatic tomato plants grown in Alabama,

Georgia, South Carolina, and North Carolina over 2020, 2021, and 2022 (Fig. 1A). Samples were

taken during mid-season, end-season of the summer growing season, and when available, in the

fall growing season (Table S1A) to capture the temporal dynamics of the pathogen population.

While sampling, two to three leaflets were randomly taken from individual plants in the field using

variable sampling methods based on the scale of the field (Fig. 2B). Multiple plants were sampled

across the field to obtain a representative 50-100 grams leaf tissue sample. Assessments of the

disease were made by estimating the percent of disease symptoms and defoliation caused by

bacterial spots (Table S1B) using the Horsfall-Barratt scale, which ranges from 1 to 12, with scale

of 1 being no disease and 12 being 100% defoliation (Horsfall & Barratt, 1945).

DNA extraction and sequencing

Metagenomic DNA extraction, quantification, and sequencing of the samples were done

as described earlier (Newberry *et al.*, 2020b). Approximately 40 grams of the leaf sample was

taken in a Ziploc bag (Ziploc®) with 50 ml 0.1% wash buffer (0.05M PBS, 8.5 g NaCl, and 0.2

ml tween 20 per liter of water), sonicated for 20 minutes, followed by transfer of the buffer to a 50

ml falcon tube with the help of a sterile plastic serological pipette. The tubes were centrifuged at

4000 rpm, 4°C for 20 minutes (Eppendorf® 5418 R) to collect all the cells. The cells were then

washed twice with sterile deionized water, and the metagenomic DNA extraction was done using

Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) as per the manufacturer's instructions with

the addition of a phenol-chloroform step to remove protein contamination. The extracted DNA

was quantified using a Qubit® fluorometer and dsDNA high-sensitivity assay kit (Life

Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and kept at -80^{0}C until further processing. Sequencing was

performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. The raw reads were processed and trimmed for

the adapter using BBDuk (Bushnell, 2014). DynamicTrim command of SolexaQa was used to trim

the reads based on quality values with Qphred less than 20, followed by filtering the reads shorter

than 50bp (Cox et al. 2010). Host reads were separated and removed using tomato cv. Heinz 1706

as a reference (GCA_022405115.1) from the metagenomic samples using KneadData tools

(https://bitbucket.org/biobakery/kneaddata/wiki/Home) as a reference.

Taxonomic profiling and diversity estimation

The relative abundance of *Xanthomonas* sp. in quality-controlled and host-decontaminated

reads was performed using Kraken2 (v2.1.2) (Wood *et al.*, 2019) against a standard Kraken2

standard database. The database contained RefSeq libraries of archaeal, bacterial, human, and viral

sequences as of March 1, 2022 (O'Leary *et al.*, 2016). The resulting Kraken2 report files were

used as inputs for Bayesian re-estimation of abundance using Kraken (Bracken) (v2.6.2) (Lu *et*

al., 2017). We also calculated the absolute abundance estimates for *Xp* by combining their relative

abundance with the total DNA content in each sample. Microbiota density, expressed as total DNA

(ng) per mg of fresh sample, was computed for each sample. This information was utilized to

determine the absolute abundance of *Xp* by multiplying the amount of DNA per mg of the sample

with its relative abundance.

To explore the intraspecific diversity and dynamics of *Xp* in the metagenomic samples, we

employed the StrainEst pipeline (Albanese & Donati, 2017). StrainEst is a reference-based method

that utilizes single-nucleotide variant (SNV) profiles from carefully selected reference genomes.

This approach enables us to estimate strains' presence and relative abundance within a given

sample. Initially, we conducted SNV profiling of representative strains from *Xp*, *Xeu*, and other

closely related pathovars belonging to *Xeu* species complex to determine their presence. A second

round of profiling was done to identify the diversity of Xp strains. A representative genome from

each *Xp* SC (Fig. 2) was selected and aligned against the completed reference genome of *Xp* strain

91-118 using the MUMmer algorithm (Kurtz *et al.*, 2004). This genome alignment was used to

construct a SNV matrix, where each row corresponded to a variable position in the reference

genome, and columns contained allelic variants present in the reference strains. This matrix was

used as a reference in modeling strain level abundance.

To assess the diversity of *Xp* SCs within the samples, we computed the Shannon diversity

index (Shannon-Wiener diversity index) using the "diversity()" function from the R package *vegan*

(v2.6-4) (Dixon, 2003). The index considers the count of SCs present (richness) and their

proportional distribution (evenness). A higher index value indicates greater species diversity in the

habitat. When the Shannon diversity index of a sample is equal to 0, it implies that only a single

SC is detected in that sample.

Weather data

Daily climate data spanning three years for all 22 fields were compiled from the NASA

Power database using the nasapower (v4.0.12) package (Sparks, 2018) in R. Data were collected

during mid-season, end-season of summer, and fall samples as per the sampling time in Table S1.

The gridded weather data used in this analysis are based on satellite observations and models and

are offered globally at a horizontal resolution of 0.5° X 0.625° latitude–longitude grid cell.

Weather variables, such as temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, relative humidity, wind, and

others (Table S2), were consolidated over time and space to generate data points for each sampling

time, resulting in an average value. The average for mid-season was calculated as an average from

the time of planting to mid-season sampling time, which is usually 60 days after transplanting, and

the average for end-season is calculated as the average from the time of planting to end-of-season

sampling time, which is generally 30 days after mid-season sampling.

Variability among the weather parameters was measured using descriptive statistics

(standard deviation, skewness, entropy, and kurtosis) for all the climatic variables to see if the

extreme temperature events during the growing season impact disease severity, pathogen diversity,

and abundance. Standard deviation measures the deviation from the mean value. A higher standard

deviation indicates greater weather parameter variability, while a lower standard deviation

suggests a more consistent pattern. Skewness measures the degree and direction of asymmetry. A

positive skewness implies more extreme high weather parameters, while a negative skewness

suggests more extreme low, with zero suggesting a symmetric distribution. Kurtosis is a measure

of tail extremity reflecting the presence of outliers in a distribution (Westfall, 2014). Higher

positive kurtosis implies extreme weather values or outliers, while negative kurtosis indicates a

flatter distribution with less extreme weather values. Similarly, entropy quantifies the level of

unpredictability in the weather values. The higher the entropy, the more unpredictability in the

weather fluctuations will be.

Statistical analysis via regression models

We quantified the relative abundance of various *Xp* lineages across the samples and analyzed their distribution patterns in relation to different climatic factors. This analysis was designed to explain three different types of responses based on climatic and other variables: (i) relative abundance, (ii) absolute abundance, and (iii) disease severity. Before running these regressions, we chose to run a predictor-selection procedure for each of these (given the high number of predictors we considered for this work) by using Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (Lasso) regression, which jointly aims at correctly predicting the response and selecting the most associated variables (Tibshirani, 1996). As no implemented or stable versions of the Lasso directly address the types of responses we have considered in our work, we decided to use proxy responses to run the Lasso and then use the selected variables from this step to run the specific regressions and inference described above. More specifically, we use a logistic Lasso to select the variables for the (relative abundance) where we classify low and high abundance based on the 50% threshold. In contrast, we use standard Lasso regression on the severity scales (assuming they can be considered as continuous) and the Shannon entropy (a continuous scale proxy for the distribution of abundance between clusters). Predictor-selection was performed on the variables that still have non-zero coefficients after the shrinkage process using the "cv.glmnet" function to prevent the overfitting of the model. This study determined the tuning parameter for regularization using 10-fold cross-validation. The path length (min_lambda/max-lambda) was chosen to be 0.001, and 100 default values along the regularization path were tested to find the best lambda value. Once a selection was run for all responses (proxies) of interest, we ran the appropriate regressions for each response. More specifically, since disease severity is measured on a discrete ordered scale in the 0-12 range, we used an ordinal logistic regression, which accounts for the order of severity and the discrete

scale of the response (McCullagh, 1980). On the other hand, as the abundance (both absolute and

relative) of *Xp* is measured on a 0-1 range, we employed a Beta regression (Ferrari & Cribari-Neto,

2004) using the *betareg* package (Cribari-Neto & Zeileis, 2010). The beta regression model is

employed for non-normally distributed data with a range between 0 and 1, addressing

heteroskedasticity and asymmetry issues (Ferrari & Cribari-Neto, 2004), and is specifically chosen

here due to the non-normal distribution of *Xp* relative abundance in the sample, which conforms

to a beta distribution. To analyze the distribution of abundance among different Xp lineages, we

used a compositional regression approach, which ensures that the regression model explains and

preserves the property that the total abundance among the clusters should sum to one (indeed, a

separate regression for each cluster would not consider the dependence between clusters). We used

the Dirichlet regression approach, using the *DirichletReg* package in R, to describe how the

distribution of various *Xp* lineages changes according to changes in these potential predictors

(Hijazi & Jernigan, 2009). As for the previous response variables, the use of the Lasso for this type

of regression is computationally unstable. Therefore, we chose to use the Shannon diversity of *Xp*

as the response when using the Lasso: this is a reasonable proxy since Shannon diversity is related

to how the data is distributed (in this case, across the lineages). Once this was obtained, 18

variables were selected to run the Dirichlet regression.

Greenhouse Experiment

We evaluated the effects of different SCs of *Xp* on 4-5 week old tomato cultivar FL47 plants grown

under greenhouse conditions. Plants were dip-inoculated for approximately 30 seconds in bacterial

suspensions containing 10^6 cfu/ml of representative strains from different SCs (SC3 (Xp2010), SC4

(AL57), SC5 (AL37), and SC6 (AL65)). These SCs were chosen based on their observed

abundance in samples from our previous study (Newberry et al., 2020). Treatments included a

control (0.01M MgSO$_4$), individual inoculations with SC3, SC4, SC5, and SC6, as well as mixed

co-infections of SC4 + SC3, SC5 + SC6, SC3 + SC6, SC3 + SC5, and SC3 + SC5 + SC6. All

treatments were supplemented with 0.00025% Swilvet and replicated five times, with plants

randomly arranged in the greenhouse. Plants were watered daily, and disease severity was assessed

on days 7 and 14, with additional evaluations on days 9 and 12 for plants exhibiting delayed

symptoms. Disease severity was measured using the Horsfall-Barratt scale (Hollis, 1984). To

minimize bias, two observers recorded the disease severity, and the average score was used. Raw

disease severity indices were then used to calculate the area under the disease progress curve

(AUDPC), which correlates multiple observations of disease progression into a single value

(Simko & Piepho, 2012). AUDPC values were plotted for each treatment across seven

experimental batches using the "dplyr" package in R Studio and built linear and linear mixed-

effects models using "lme4" and "lmerTest" (Bates, D et al., 2007; Kuznetsova et al., 2017). For

individual strains a generalized linear hypothesis test was used while for multiple comparisons

Tukey's method was used. Linear mixed effect models were used to assess the development of

disease severity slopes across treatments.

Strain Ranking

StrainRanking was used to estimate and compare fitness differences between SCs (main effects),

between SCs considered alone or in association (pathogen-pathogen interaction), and between SCs

under specific environmental conditions (pathogen-environment interaction). StrainRanking

requires input data consisting of the frequencies of the SCs and the measurement of disease growth

or decline for each sampling location (i.e., fields), and estimates the fitness as the relative

contributions of SCs to the growth or the decline of disease severity between two sampling times

(i.e., Mid and End sampling times). SC frequencies were computed as a weighted mean of Mid

and End SC frequencies: $p \times$ Mid frequencies $+ (1 - p) \times$ End frequencies, where p is equal to

0.1, 0.5 or 0.9 to consider different representativeness of Mid and End frequencies in terms of

disease dynamics between Mid and End sampling times of summer season. (Because of low

sample size, we did not include Fall season samples in this analysis.) Significance tests performed

in StrainRanking are based on randomization, which adequately accounts for the relatively small

sample sizes encountered when distributing data at the resolution of SCs or even at a higher

resolution (when one considers pathogen-pathogen or pathogen-environment interactions). To

account for the specificity of the severity measurement in the present study, which is a mark

ranging between 0 and 8, we added to the StrainRanking method a saturation level of the growth

and the decline at +8 and -8, respectively. In addition, we developed a function providing

confidence intervals for estimated fitness in addition to the pairwise comparison tests embedded

in the original StrainRanking R package.

For the study of main effects, StrainRanking was applied to SC3, SC4, SC6 and the group of SCs

with low frequencies (SC1, 2, 5, 7, and 8), say SCLowFreq.

For the study of pathogen-pathogen interaction, only the interaction between the two dominant

SCs was accounted for, namely SC3 and SC4. We consider SC3xSC4 interaction depending on

whether SC3 and SC4 are lower or larger than 20%. Thus, StrainRanking was applied to the

summed frequency freq(SC3)+freq(SC4) when freq(SC3)>20% and freq(SC4)>20%, and to the

frequencies of SC3 when freq(SC3)≤20% or freq(SC4)≤20%, SC4 when freq(SC4)≤20% or

freq(SC3)≤20%, SC6 and SCLowFreq. Not that the interaction has to be understood at the field

level here since we do not have information indicating whether or not SC3 and SC4 were collected

on the same plants.

For the study of pathogen-environment interaction, only the interaction with the two dominant SCs

was accounted for. This step incorporated only the significant environmental parameters identified

through statistical analyses using the regression model, along with their standard deviation,

skewness, entropy, and kurtosis. Hence, for each factor X (e.g., average temperature, kurtosis of

wind speed, etc.), StrainRanking was applied to the frequencies of SC3factor+, i.e. the frequency

of SC3 when $X > \bar{X}(SC3)$ (where $\bar{X}(SC3)$ is the mean of X at sampling points where SC3 is present,

i.e. freq(SC3)>0), SC3factor- (when $X \leq \bar{X}(SC3)$), SC4factor+ (when $X > \bar{X}(SC3)$), SC4factor-

(when $X \leq \bar{X}(SC4)$), SC6 and SCLowFreq. The value of X is the weighted mean of X observed at

Mid and End sampling times: $p \times [X \text{ at Mid point}] + (1 - p) \times [X \text{ at End point}]$, where p takes

the same value as for the weighted average of genetic frequencies.

Construction of *Xanthomonas* pan-genome

Initially, we downloaded 287 strains of *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* pv. *perforans (Xp)* and

Xanthomonas euvesicatoria pv. *euvesicatoria (Xeu)* from the NCBI RefSeq database (as of

February 16th, 2022). Using SuperPang (v0.9.4 beta1) (Puente-Sánchez *et al.*, 2022), we

constructed a non-redundant pangenome as a reference for the subsequent analyses.

To avoid read stealing and donating of *Xanthomonas* with other microbiome species, we

implemented an additional filter to remove genes with more than 97% similarity in our

metagenomic reads. These genes were identified similarly to the method described by Kaur et al.,

2024. We used the "run_midas.py species" command to identify the predominant species in our

samples, utilizing the MIDAS (v1.3.2) default database as a reference, which consists of 31,007

bacterial reference genomes organized into 5,952 species groups (Nayfach, 2022). We then built

a custom database of non-redundant pangenomes (*Xp* and *Xeu*) using MIDAS and subjected it to

BLAST (blast+) with the concatenated gene sequences from species with a mean abundance > 0

from the previous step. Genes with 97% similarity to those in the database were removed from all

samples using BBDuk (v37.36) (Bushnell, 2020). These reads were used for our subsequent

analyses.

Genes under selection

We discarded the samples with zero *Xp* abundance in the following evolutionary analyses. To

calculate the genetic variation in our samples, we first mapped the samples to the non-redundant

pangenome using BWA-MEM (v0.7.12) (Li, 2013). Next, we removed low-quality alignments

and duplicate reads using samtools (v1.11) (Li *et al.*, 2009) and picard (v1.79)

(https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), respectively. The resulting bam files were then processed

with MetaPop (v1.0) (Gregory *et al.*, 2022) to calculate the ratio of non-synonymous to

synonymous polymorphisms (pN/pS) for each gene. In order to find the genes under positive

selection, we chose the genes with pN/pS ratios >1 and present in at least 50% of the samples in a

particular season.

Seasonal variants

To calculate the allele frequencies of SNV sites across the pangenome, we used the MIDAS

"midas.py snps" script (Nayfach, 2022). We treated all samples from different states in the

southeastern USA as a single population for each season. To increase the accuracy of results, we

had additional filters for removing SNV sites with a read depth of less than 10. For seasonal

oscillations, we averaged the allele frequencies of major alleles during the season. To identify

parallel variants in the population, we first extracted SNV sites where the frequency of minor

alleles was less than 0.2 (considered minor alleles) and changed to greater than 0.8 (considered

861 major alleles) in the following season and remained major in subsequent seasons. For example, we

862 identified sites with minor alleles ($f <= 0.2$) during mid-season 2020 that became major alleles

863 ($f >= 0.8$) by the end of the 2020 season. We retained only those SNVs that exhibited this trend in

864 at least 50% of the samples in a given season.

867 **Acknowledgments**

868 The climatic data were obtained from the NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) POWER

869 Project funded through the NASA Earth Science/Applied Science Program. This work was made

870 possible in part by a grant of high-performance computing resources and technical support from

871 the Alabama Supercomputer Authority. We thank growers in the southeastern US for supporting

872 this project, allowing sampling their fields, providing metadata and communicating with us their

873 concerns in tomato production. This helped us better understand the nature of the outbreaks. We

874 thank the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, and Auburn University for providing

875 resources for conducting this work.

878 **Data availability**

879 Sequence data generated from this work have been deposited in the SRA (Sequencing Read

880 Achieve) database under the BioProject accessions number PRJNA1129844. All other data and

881 code used in this study are publicly and freely available through GitHub

882 (https://github.com/Potnislab/pathogendiversity).

Funding

885   This work was funded by the Foundation for Food and Agricultural Research (FFAR) New

886   Innovator award to NP (NIA19 0050), USDA-NIFA hatch project funding to NP (7005375), and

887   BEYOND project (Grant ANR-20-PCPA-0002; French National Research Agency (ANR)) to SS.

889   **Author contributions**

References

Albanese D, Donati C. **2017**. Strain profiling and epidemiology of bacterial species from
metagenomic sequencing. *Nature Communications* **8**: 2260.

Allsopp LP, Bernal P, Nolan LM, Filloux A. **2020**. Causalities of war: The connection between
type VI secretion system and microbiota. *Cellular Microbiology* **22**: e13153.

Anderson JP, Gleason CA, Foley RC, Thrall PH, Burdon JB, Singh KB. **2010**. Plants versus
pathogens: an evolutionary arms race. *Functional plant biology : FPB* **37**: 499–512.

Ballaré CL. **2014**. Light regulation of plant defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **65**: 335–363.

Bartoli C, Roux F, Lamichhane JR. **2016**. Molecular mechanisms underlying the emergence of
bacterial pathogens: an ecological perspective. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **17**: 303–310.

Beattie GA, Hatfield BM, Dong H, McGrane RS. **2018**. Seeing the Light: The Roles of Red- and
Blue-Light Sensing in Plant Microbes. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **56**: 41–66.

van den Berg F, Bacaer N, Metz JAJ, Lannou C, van den Bosch F. **2011**. Periodic host absence
can select for higher or lower parasite transmission rates. *Evolutionary Ecology* **25**: 121–137.

van den Berg F, Gilligan CA, Bailey DJ, van den Bosch F. **2010**. Periodicity in host availability
does not account for evolutionary branching as observed in many plant pathogens: an
application to Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici. *Phytopathology* **100**: 1169–1175.

Bhandari R, Sanz-Saez A, Leisner CP, Potnis N. **2023a**. Xanthomonas infection and ozone stress
distinctly influence the microbial community structure and interactions in the pepper
phyllosphere. *ISME Communications* **3**: 1–13.

Bhandari R, Sanz-Saez A, Leisner CP, Potnis N. **2023b**. Xanthomonas infection and ozone stress
distinctly influence the microbial community structure and interactions in the pepper
phyllosphere. *ISME Communications* **3**: 1–13.

Bilgin DD, Aldea M, O'Neill BF, Benitez M, Li M, Clough SJ, DeLucia EH. **2008**. Elevated Ozone
Alters Soybean-Virus Interaction. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions®* **21**: 1297–1308.

Brasier CM. **1996**. Phytophthora cinnamomi and oak decline in southern Europe. Environmental
constraints including climate change. *Annales des Sciences Forestières* **53**: 347–358.

Bushnell B. **2020**. BBDuk. *Jt Genome Inst. Available online: https://jgi. doe. gov/data-and-
tools/bbtools/bb-tools-userguide/bbduk-guide/(accessed on 25 June 2020)*.

Byappanahalli MN, Whitman RL, Shively DA, Sadowsky MJ, Ishii S. **2006**. Population structure,
persistence, and seasonality of autochthonous Escherichia coli in temperate, coastal forest soil
from a Great Lakes watershed. *Environmental Microbiology* **8**: 504–513.

Castledine M, Padfield D, Sierocinski P, Soria Pascual J, Hughes A, Mäkinen L, Friman V-P,
Pirnay J-P, Merabishvili M, de Vos D, *et al.* 2022. Parallel evolution of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
phage resistance and virulence loss in response to phage treatment in vivo and in vitro. *eLife*
11: e73679.

Chaloner TM, Gurr SJ, Bebber DP. 2021. Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop yields
under climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **11**: 710–715.

Corredor-Moreno P, Saunders DGO. 2020. Expecting the unexpected: factors influencing the
emergence of fungal and oomycete plant pathogens. *New Phytologist* **225**: 118–125.

Coyne MJ, Comstock LE. 2019. Type VI Secretion Systems and the Gut Microbiota. *Microbiology*
Spectrum **7**: 10.1128/microbiolspec.psib-0009–2018.

Cribari-Neto F, Zeileis A. 2010. Beta Regression in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* **34**: 1–24.

Desaint H, Aoun N, Deslandes L, Vailleau F, Roux F, Berthomé R. 2021. Fight hard or die trying:
when plants face pathogens under heat stress. *New Phytologist* **229**: 712–734.

Dixon P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation*
Science **14**: 927–930.

Dixon GR. 2012. Climate change – impact on crop growth and food production, and plant
pathogens. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* **34**: 362–379.

El Jarroudi M, Karjoun H, Kouadio L, El Jarroudi M. 2020. Mathematical modelling of non-local
spore dispersion of wind-borne pathogens causing fungal diseases. *Applied Mathematics and*
Computation **376**: 125107.

Elmer WH. 2001. Seeds as vehicles for pathogen importation. *Biological Invasions* **3**: 263–271.

Ferrari S, Cribari-Neto F. 2004. Beta Regression for Modelling Rates and Proportions. *Journal of*
Applied Statistics **31**: 799–815.

Fones HN, Bebber DP, Chaloner TM, Kay WT, Steinberg G, Gurr SJ. 2020. Threats to global food
security from emerging fungal and oomycete crop pathogens. *Nature Food* **1**: 332–342.

Gallois N, Alpha-Bazin B, Bremond N, Ortet P, Barakat M, Piette L, Mohamad Ali A, Lemaire D,
Legrand P, Theodorakopoulos N, *et al.* 2022. Discovery and characterization of UipA, a
uranium- and iron-binding PepSY protein involved in uranium tolerance by soil bacteria. *The*
ISME journal **16**: 705–716.

Gao M, Xiong C, Gao C, Tsui CKM, Wang M-M, Zhou X, Zhang A-M, Cai L. 2021. Disease-
induced changes in plant microbiome assembly and functional adaptation. *Microbiome* **9**: 187.

Gause GF. **1934**. Experimental Analysis of Vito Volterra's Mathematical Theory of the Struggle
for Existence. *Science* **79**: 16–17.

Gregory AC, Gerhardt K, Zhong Z-P, Bolduc B, Temperton B, Konstantinidis KT, Sullivan MB.
2022. MetaPop: a pipeline for macro- and microdiversity analyses and visualization of microbial
and viral metagenome-derived populations. *Microbiome* **10**: 49.

Hamelin FM, Castel M, Poggi S, Andrivon D, Mailleret L. **2011**. Seasonality and the evolutionary
divergence of plant parasites. *Ecology* **92**: 2159–2166.

Hijazi RH, Jernigan RW. **2009**. Modelling Compositional Data Using Dirichlet Regression Models.
4: 77–91.

Horsfall JG, Barratt RW. **1945**. An improved grading system for measuring plant diseases.
Phytopathology **35**: 655.

Hu L, Yang L. **2019**. Time to Fight: Molecular Mechanisms of Age-Related Resistance.
Phytopathology® **109**: 1500–1508.

Janda M, Lamparová L, Zubíková A, Burketová L, Martinec J, Krčková Z. **2019**. Temporary heat
stress suppresses PAMP-triggered immunity and resistance to bacteria in Arabidopsis thaliana.
Molecular Plant Pathology **20**: 1005–1012.

Jang J, Di DYW, Lee A, Unno T, Sadowsky MJ, Hur H-G. **2014**. Seasonal and Genotypic Changes
in Escherichia coli Phylogenetic Groups in the Yeongsan River Basin of South Korea. *PLOS ONE* **9**:
e100585.

Jang J, Unno T, Lee SW, Cho KH, Sadowsky MJ, Ko G, Kim JH, Hur H-G. **2011**. Prevalence of
season-specific Escherichia coli strains in the Yeongsan River Basin of South Korea.
Environmental Microbiology **13**: 3103–3113.

Jones JB, Lacy GH, Bouzar H, Stall RE, Schaad NW. **2004**. Reclassification of the Xanthomonads
Associated with Bacterial Spot Disease of Tomato and Pepper. *Systematic and Applied*
Microbiology **27**: 755–762.

Karasov TL, Horton MW, Bergelson J. **2014**. Genomic variability as a driver of plant-pathogen
coevolution? *Current opinion in plant biology* **18**: 24–30.

Kareiva P. **1999**. Coevolutionary arms races: Is victory possible? *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **96**: 8–10.

Kaur A, Russell I, Liu R, Holland A, Bhandari R, Potnis N. **2024**. Within-host adaptation of a
foliar pathogen, Xanthomonas, on pepper in presence of quantitative resistance and ozone
stress. : 2024.02.29.582737.

Klein-Gordon JM, Timilsina S, Xing Y, Abrahamian P, Garrett KA, Jones JB, Vallad GE, Goss EM.
2022. Whole genome sequences reveal the Xanthomonas perforans population is shaped by
the tomato production system. *The ISME journal* **16**: 591–601.

Klein-Gordon JM, Xing Y, Garrett KA, Abrahamian P, Paret ML, Minsavage GV, Strayer-Scherer
AL, Fulton JC, Timilsina S, Jones JB, *et al.* 2021. Assessing Changes and Associations in the
Xanthomonas perforans Population Across Florida Commercial Tomato Fields Via a Statewide
Survey. *Phytopathology* **111**: 1029–1041.

Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. **2004**.
Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome biology* **5**: R12.

Lahlali R, Taoussi M, Laasli S-E, Gachara G, Ezzouggari R, Belabess Z, Aberkani K, Assouguem
A, Meddich A, El Jarroudi M, *et al.* 2024. Effects of climate change on plant pathogens and
host-pathogen interactions. *Crop and Environment* **3**: 159–170.

Letunic I, Bork P. **2007**. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **23**: 127–128.

Li H. **2013**. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. **2009**. The Sequence Alignment/Map format
and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Lu J, Breitwieser FP, Thielen P, Salzberg SL. **2017**. Bracken: estimating species abundance in
metagenomics data. *PeerJ Computer Science* **3**: e104.

Ma W, Dong FFT, Stavrinides J, Guttman DS. **2006**. Type III Effector Diversification via Both
Pathoadaptation and Horizontal Transfer in Response to a Coevolutionary Arms Race. *PLOS*
Genetics **2**: e209.

McCullagh P. **1980**. Regression Models for Ordinal Data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society:*
Series B (Methodological) **42**: 109–127.

McDonald BA, Linde C. **2002**. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary potential, and
durable resistance. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **40**: 349–379.

McDonald BA, Stukenbrock EH. **2016**. Rapid emergence of pathogens in agro-ecosystems:
global threats to agricultural sustainability and food security. *Philosophical transactions of the*
Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences **371**.

Myers TA, Scott RC, Zelinka MD, Klein SA, Norris JR, Caldwell PM. 2021. Observational
constraints on low cloud feedback reduce uncertainty of climate sensitivity. *Nature Climate*
Change **11**: 501–507.

Nayfach S. **2022**. Metagenomic Intra-Species Diversity Analysis System (MIDAS).

Newberry E, Bhandari R, Kemble J, Sikora E, Potnis N. **2020a**. Genome-resolved metagenomics
to study co-occurrence patterns and intraspecific heterogeneity among plant pathogen
metapopulations. *Environmental Microbiology* **22**: 2693–2708.

Newberry E, Bhandari R, Kemble J, Sikora E, Potnis N. **2020b**. Genome-resolved metagenomics
to study co-occurrence patterns and intraspecific heterogeneity among plant pathogen
metapopulations. *Environmental Microbiology* **22**: 2693–2708.

Newberry EA, Bhandari R, Minsavage GV, Timilsina S, Jibrin MO, Kemble J, Sikora EJ, Jones JB,
Potnis N. **2019**. Independent Evolution with the Gene Flux Originating from Multiple
Xanthomonas Species Explains Genomic Heterogeneity in Xanthomonas perforans. *Applied and*
Environmental Microbiology **85**: e00885-19.

O'Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, Ciufo S, Haddad D, McVeigh R, Rajput B, Robbertse B,
Smith-White B, Ako-Adjei D, *et al.* 2016. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI:
current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**:
D733-745.

Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. **2017**. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic
comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-
replication. *The ISME Journal* **11**: 2864.

P. S. Teng, W.W. Shane, David R. MacKenzie. **1984**. Crop losses due to plant pathogens: Critical
Reviews in Plant Sciences: Vol 2, No 1.

Papaix J, Carpentier F, Monod H, Lannou C. **2024**. The role of spatial heterogeneity in shaping
pathogen populations in agricultural landscapes.

Penczykowski RM, Walker E, Soubeyrand S, Laine A-L. **2015**. Linking winter conditions to
regional disease dynamics in a wild plant–pathogen metapopulation. *New Phytologist* **205**:
1142–1152.

Potnis N. **2021**. Harnessing Eco-Evolutionary Dynamics of Xanthomonads on Tomato and
Pepper to Tackle New Problems of an Old Disease. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **59**: 289–
310.

Puente-Sánchez F, Hoetzinger M, Buck M, Bertilsson S. **2022**. Exploring intra-species diversity
through non-redundant pangenome assemblies. : 2022.03.25.485477.

Roques L, Klein EK, Papaïx J, Sar A, Soubeyrand S. **2020**. Impact of Lockdown on the Epidemic
Dynamics of COVID-19 in France. *Frontiers in Medicine* **7**.

Sadhukhan S, Jacques M-A, Potnis N. **2024**. Influence of Co-occurring Weakly Pathogenic
Bacterial Species on Bacterial Spot Disease Dynamics on Tomato. *Plant Disease* **108**: 190–199.

Savary S, Ficke A, Aubertot J-N, Hollier C. **2012**. Crop losses due to diseases and their
implications for global food production losses and food security. *Food Security* **4**: 519–537.

Scherm,H., Yang, X. B. 1995. Interannual variations in wheat rust development in China and the
United States in relation to the El Niño/Southern Oscillation. *Phytopathology, (970–976)*.

Serapio-Palacios A, Woodward SE, Vogt SL, Deng W, Creus-Cuadros A, Huus KE, Cirstea M,
Gerrie M, Barcik W, Yu H, *et al.* 2022. Type VI secretion systems of pathogenic and commensal
bacteria mediate niche occupancy in the gut. *Cell Reports* **39**: 110731.

Sharabani G, Shtienberg D, Borenstein M, Shulhani R, Lofthouse M, Sofer M, Chalupowicz L,
Barel V, Manulis-Sasson S. **2013**. Effects of plant age on disease development and virulence of
Clavibacter michiganensis subsp. michiganensis on tomato. *Plant Pathology* **62**: 1114–1122.

Soubeyrand S, Garreta V, Monteil C, Suffert F, Goyeau H, Berder J, Moinard J, Fournier E,
Tharreau D, Morris CE, *et al.* 2017. Testing Differences Between Pathogen Compositions with
Small Samples and Sparse Data. *Phytopathology®* **107**: 1199–1208.

Sparks AH. **2018**. nasapower: a NASA POWER global meteorology, surface solar energy and
climatology data client for R [Journal of Open Source Software, vol. 3, no. 30, 1035.

Sundin GW, Wang N. **2018**. Antibiotic Resistance in Plant-Pathogenic Bacteria. *Annual Review*
of Phytopathology **56**: 161–180.

Thompson JN, Burdon JJ. **1992**. Gene-for-gene coevolution between plants and parasites.
Nature **360**: 121–125.

Tibshirani R. **1996**. Regression Shrinkage and Selection Via the Lasso. *Journal of the Royal*
Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) **58**: 267–288.

Timilsina S, Kara S, Jacques MA, Potnis N, Minsavage GV, Vallad GE, Jones JB, Saux MFL. **2019**.
Reclassification of Xanthomonas gardneri (ex Šutič 1957) Jones et al. 2006 as a later heterotypic
synonym of Xanthomonas cynarae Trébaol et al. 2000 and description of X. cynarae pv. cynarae
and X. cynarae pv. gardneri based on whole genome analyses. *International Journal of*
Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **69**: 343–349.

Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM. **2014**. The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome
alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. *Genome Biology*
15: 524.

Van Den Berg F, Gilligan CA, Bailey DJ, Van Den Bosch F. **2010**. Periodicity in Host Availability
Does Not Account for Evolutionary Branching as Observed in Many Plant Pathogens: An
Application to *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici*. *Phytopathology®* **100**: 1169–1175.

Westfall PH. 2014. Kurtosis as Peakedness, 1905 - 2014. R.I.P. *The American Statistician* **68**:
191–195.

Whalen MC. **2005**. Host defence in a developmental context. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **6**:
347–360.

Wichmann G, Ritchie D, Kousik CS, Bergelson J. **2005**. Reduced genetic variation occurs among
genes of the highly clonal plant pathogen Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. vesicatoria, including
the effector gene avrBs2. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **71**: 2418–32.

Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. **2019**. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome*
Biology **20**: 257.

Yeats C, Rawlings ND, Bateman A. **2004**. The PepSY domain: a regulator of peptidase activity in
the microbial environment? *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* **29**: 169–172.

Zhan J, Mundt CC, Hoffer ME, McDonald BA. **2002**. Local adaptation and effect of host
genotype on the rate of pathogen evolution: an experimental test in a plant pathosystem.
Journal of Evolutionary Biology **15**: 634–647.

1115