stFormer: a foundation model for spatial transcriptomics

Shenghao Cao[1,2], Ye Yuan[3,4,*]

[1]Department of Automation, School of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering,

Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

[2]Institute of Image Processing and Pattern Recognition, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, and Key

Laboratory of System Control and Information Processing, Ministry of Education of China,

Shanghai 200240, China

[3]Key Laboratory of Biopharmaceutical Preparation and Delivery, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing 100190, China

[4]State Key Laboratory of Biochemical Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Contact: yuanye_auto@sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

Here we present stFormer, a foundation model which incorporates ligand genes within spatial niches
into Transformer encoders of single-cell transcriptomics. We demonstrate that despite a moderate
pretraining data size, the spatially informed gene representations generated by stFormer more
consistently cluster the cells, more accurately encode hierarchy and membership within receptor-
dependent gene networks, remarkably boost identification of ligand-receptor interaction pairs, and
could simulate perturbation effects of ligand-receptor interactions on downstream targets.

Main

The large language model based on the generative pretrained Transformer (GPT) architecture has
made groundbreaking progress in the field of natural language processing[1,2]. Recently, the
Transformer pretraining method was applied to building foundation models for single-cell
transcriptomics data[3-5]. The foundation models generated contextual gene representations within
single cells. These gene representations served as input of diverse downstream applications,

including cell type annotation, perturbation response prediction, gene network inference, and achieved state-of-the-art performances.

Notably, the intracellular transcription is not only regulated by gene networks, but also mediated by extracellular signals. However, the single-cell transcriptomics data do not provide molecular information in the extracellular microenvironment. Fortunately, the spatially resolved transcriptomics (ST) technologies offer the opportunities to profiling gene expressions in their native locations. Here, we adapt the Transformer model to ST data, in order to integrate the effect of cell-cell communication via secreting and receiving ligands into gene representations (Fig. 1a and Methods). Our model, named stFormer, differs with the previous foundation models in that we insert a cross-attention module after the self-attention module in the Transformer block to compute attentions with ligand genes from niche cells (Fig. 1a).

A variety of ST technologies are available with different resolution and gene coverage. One of the most commonly used platforms is the 10x Genomics Visium[6]. It captures whole transcriptomes at the arranged spots in the tissue section. However, the spot diameter, i.e. the resolution, is 55 μm, which is several-fold larger than a typical tissue cell, so each spot may be overlaid by multiple cells. Deconvolution methods such as cell2location[7] can estimate the cell-type proportions and cell-type specific expressions at each spot, but the cell number and cell specific expressions remain unknown. Here, we propose the logarithmic cell-type proportion-biased cross-attention method, which can extend the single-cell ST framework to spot-based Visium data (Fig. 1b and Methods).

We pretrained our model in a self-supervised manner on a combined corpus of two human Visium datasets, one comprising 8 colon and terminal ileum tissue sections from adult and fetal[8], another comprising 28 heart tissue sections from normal myocardium and multiple physiological zones at distinct timepoints after myocardial infarction[9]. A total of about 580,000 training samples were in the corpus. After pretraining, we visualized the cell embeddings on two sections of normal and fibrotic heart tissue using the UMAP visualization[10] (Fig. 2a). The derived clusters were highly consistent with the annotated cell types, achieving higher normalized mutual information than scFoundation. Moreover, the UMAP plot of our method on the fibrotic sample showed an overlap

between endothelial and fibroblast clusters (Fig. 2a), consistent with the endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition occurring during cardiac fibrosis[11]. The results indicated that the cell embedding which pooled all gene embeddings encoded the characteristics of the cell state.

NOTCH1 functions as a transmembrane receptor. The NOTCH1-dependent gene network governing cardiac valve disease has been mapped[12]. It was reported that the single-cell transcriptomics foundation model Geneformer could be fine-tuned to classify central versus peripheral factors within the NOTCH1-dependent gene network, and boosted predictive accuracy compared to alternative methods[3]. Here, we trained a three-layer perceptron to the same task, but just using the gene embeddings generated through our pretrained model without any fine-tuning. The training and validation were on a normal heart tissue section. The final performance was comparable to Geneformer (AUROC 0.81 vs 0.81)[3] (Fig. 2b). We also trained the three-layer perceptron using the gene embeddings from another single-cell transcriptomics foundation model, scFoundation[5], and its fine-tuned edition (Methods). Our method consistently outperformed them (Fig. 2b). In another task that classifies the NOTCH1 downstream targets versus non-targets, similarly we trained a three-layer perceptron using the pretrained gene embeddings. Our method also outperformed the scFoundation methods (Fig. 2c), and even surpassed the fine-tuning Geneformer (AUROC 0.83 vs 0.81)[3]. These results suggested that our gene representation more accurately encoded the hierarchy in the receptor NOTCH1-dependent gene network.

We further evaluated if our gene representation more accurately encoded the membership of the entire receptor-dependent gene network. We considered another different network, the TGF-beta signaling pathway, which exhibited increased activity in the fibrotic samples[9]. The TGF-beta signaling pathway is initiated by the binding of TGF-beta ligands to their receptors. We trained a three-layer perceptron using pretrained gene embeddings to classify the member versus non-member genes of the GSEA[13] gene set "signaling by TGFB family members". The training and validation were on a fibrotic heart tissue section. The results showed that our method indeed outperformed the scFoundation methods (AUROC 0.74 vs 0.69 and 0.68) (Fig. 2d).

We next evaluated if our gene representation encoded the ligand-receptor connections. Specifically,

we concatenated the pretrained gene embeddings of ligand-receptor pairs and fed into a three-layer perceptron to classify the interacting versus non-interacting pairs (Methods). The train and test sets were completely separated in the fivefold cross-validation to avoid any information leakage (Methods). The results are presented in Fig. 2e. Our method showed a remarkable boost (>30%) over the scFoundation methods, the ROC curves of which were almost parallel with the diagonal.

Finally, we tested whether our gene representation captured the connections between ligand-receptor signaling and its targets. It was reported that the WNT signaling pathway plays a pivotal role in cardiac remodeling[14]. Thus, we chose an ischemic heart tissue section and in silico downregulated the gene expressions of ligands and receptors in the WNT signaling pathway (Methods). The deleterious effects on gene embeddings are presented in Fig. 2f. The WNT targets were impacted significantly more than NOTCH1 targets and housekeeping genes. In silico downregulation of WNT ligands or receptors alone also impacted WNT targets significantly more than housekeeping genes, but significantly less than joint downregulation of ligands and receptors.

We have demonstrated that incorporating ligand expression information from spatial niches into the Transformer architecture enhances the performance of gene representation in ligand-receptor involved classification tasks. Although the data size of our pretraining corpus was lower by two orders of magnitude than existing foundation models, our model was still competitive in these tasks. Our model also serves as a potential tool for conducting in silico perturbation analysis in the context of ligand-receptor interactions.

Methods

Model architecture

Assumptions. Suppose that the ST data we are analyzing are resolved at the single-cell level. Otherwise, if the ST data are generated through spot RNA sequencing, such as with the 10x Genomics Visium platform, we can follow the methods described in the next subsection to convert them to single-cell scenarios. Centered on each cell in the ST data, define the surrounding neighborhood within a preset radius as the cell's niche. Since the current model does not account

for spatial distance—in other words, we assume all the cells in the niche equally affect the center cell—the radius should be chosen as a relatively small value, such as 55μm, the spot diameter of the Visium platform.

Layers. The schematic overview of the model architecture is illustrated in Fig. 1a. It is composed of six identical Transformer blocks, each composed of a self-attention layer, cross-attention layer, and feed-forward neural network layer with the following parameters: 768 embedding dimensions, 12 attention heads per layer, and feed-forward size of 3072.

Input. The input sample consists of the gene symbols and values of the center cell, as well as the ligand gene symbols and values of the niche cells. We constructed a ligand gene library by integrating seven knowledge databases[15-21] and only used the ligand genes included in at least two databases. We limit the input to genes with non-zero expressions. For each gene, the symbol embedding is retrieved from a learnable lookup table with a vocabulary size of 19,264. The embeddings in the lookup table are initialized by those from the scFoundation[5] model. The gene value is transformed into a learnable value embedding through a scalar encoding module borrowed from scFoundation[5]. The final input embedding for each gene is the sum of its symbol embedding and value embedding.

Output. The Transformer block first calculates the self-attention among all gene embeddings within the center cell, then computes the cross-attention between these center cell gene embeddings and ligand gene embeddings of the niche cells, and finally propagates the gene embeddings through a two-layer feed-forward neural network. The model ultimately outputs the gene embeddings specific to the intracellular context and spatial niche.

Biased cross-attention on Visium data

Visium data consists of spot-wise gene expression profiles, with each spot covering multiple cells. We initially used the deconvolution method cell2location[7] with default settings to disentangle the mixed RNA measurements at each spot. Cell2location outputs the proportions of different cell types and cell-type specific expression profiles at each spot. To utilize the model architecture described

in the previous subsection, we base our approach on the following two assumptions.

Assumption 1. Cells of the same type within a spot approximately have the same expression profiles.

Assumption 2. The niche of each cell in a spot approximates to the cellular composition of that spot.

The assumptions are reasonable since the spot size 55μm is relatively small, several-fold larger than a typical tissue cell. Under these two assumptions, the cross-attention using cell-wise ligand gene embeddings can be converted to using cell-type-wise ligand gene embeddings with biases of logarithmic cell-type proportions. The details are described as follows.

Suppose that a total of n cells from t different cell types are located in a given spot, and the cell-type proportions estimated by cell2location are $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_t$ respectively. For the sake of simplicity and without loss of generality, we suppose only one ligand gene is detected. According to *Assumption 1*, the np_i cells of the ith cell type approximately have identical ligand gene expression, which is estimated by cell2location, resulting in the same ligand gene embedding, denoted as $\boldsymbol{E}_i^l \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$ ($i = 1, 2, \cdots, t$).

For any cell $\mathbf{c}$ in the spot, according to *Assumption 2*, the niche cells centered on cell $\mathbf{c}$ are approximately the n cells in the spot. Denote an arbitrary gene embedding of cell $\mathbf{c}$ as $\boldsymbol{E}^c \in \mathbb{R}^{d_e}$. Then, the cross-attention between $\boldsymbol{E}^c$ and the ligand gene embeddings of its niche cells can be calculated as follows.

First, project the gene embeddings to the query, key, and value spaces respectively using the learnable parameter matrices $W^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_e}$, $W^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_k \times d_e}$, and $W^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_v \times d_e}$.

$$\boldsymbol{q} = W^Q \boldsymbol{E}^c$$

$$\boldsymbol{k}_i = W^K \boldsymbol{E}_i^l \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, t)$$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_i = W^V \boldsymbol{E}_i^l \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, t)$$

Then, pack the keys and values together into matrices K and V, where $\mathbf{1}_{np_i}$ is an np_i-

dimensional vector with all elements being 1.

$$K = \left(\boldsymbol{k}_1 \mathbf{1}'_{np_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_t \mathbf{1}'_{np_t}\right)$$

$$V = \left(\boldsymbol{v}_1 \mathbf{1}'_{np_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_t \mathbf{1}'_{np_t}\right)$$

Finally, compute the attention function between $\boldsymbol{q}$ and K, V:

$$\text{Attention}(\boldsymbol{q}, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}'K}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V'$$

$$= \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}'\boldsymbol{k}_1 \mathbf{1}'_{np_1}}{\sqrt{d_k}}, \cdots, \frac{\boldsymbol{q}'\boldsymbol{k}_t \mathbf{1}'_{np_t}}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{v}_1 \mathbf{1}'_{np_1}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_t \mathbf{1}'_{np_t}\right)'$$

$$= \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}'\boldsymbol{k}_1}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \log(p_1), \cdots, \frac{\boldsymbol{q}'\boldsymbol{k}_t}{\sqrt{d_k}} + \log(p_t)\right) \cdot (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_t)'$$

$$= \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}'\widetilde{K}}{\sqrt{d_k}} + bias\right)\tilde{V}'$$

where $\widetilde{K} = (\boldsymbol{k}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_t)$, $\tilde{V} = (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_t)$ are the cell-type-wise keys and values; $bias = (\log(p_1), \cdots, \log(p_t))$ is a bias term composed of logarithmic cell-type proportions.

Pretraining

The pretraining was accomplished using a masked learning objective. Specifically, 15% of the genes in the center cells were masked. Their value embeddings were replaced with mask embeddings and then added with the symbol embeddings to form the input embeddings. A three-layer perceptron block was added after the model architecture to predict the expression values of the masked genes according to their output gene embeddings. The pretraining objective was to minimize the mean squared error (MSE) loss between the predicted and true values of the masked genes.

The pretraining data corpus was comprised of two human Visium datasets. One was from developmental intestine and adult colon tissue[8]; the other was from myocardial tissue after infarction and controls[9]. The total sample size was about 0.58 million. The model was pretrained for three epochs with the following hyperparameters: batch size, 8; max learning rate 1×10^{-4}; learning scheduler, decay by 0.9 every epoch; optimizer, Adam.

Downstream tasks

Clustering of cell embeddings. The cell embedding for each cell was generated by max-pooling its output gene embeddings of the sample centered on the cell. As a comparison, we also computed the cell embeddings from the scFoundation model. To evaluate the consistency between clustering results of the cell embeddings and annotated cell types, the normalized mutual information (NMI) metrics were calculated using the Python implementation of scib.metrics[22]. The evaluations were conducted on two tissue sections from the myocardial infarction Visium dataset[9]: a normal sample (10X001) and a fibrotic sample (ACH005).

Classification of hierarchy and membership within receptor-dependent gene networks. We used a separate three-layer perceptron to perform the classification tasks. The input was the output gene embeddings of single-cell samples by forward pass through the pretrained model. The objective was to minimize the cross-entropy loss between predicted gene type probabilities and ground truth labels.

We evaluated on three datasets respectively using fivefold cross-validation, and the results were reported as AUROC $\pm$ standard deviation. The first two datasets were based on the NOTCH1 receptor-dependent gene network, with genes labeled as central or peripheral, and as activated or non-target[3]. The evaluation samples were obtained from a normal tissue section (10X001) of the myocardial infarction Visium dataset[9]. The third dataset focused on the TGF-beta receptor-dependent gene network, with genes labeled as member or non-member. The member genes were derived from the GSEA[13] gene set "signaling by TGFB family members" with ligand genes excluded. The evaluation samples were obtained from a fibrotic tissue section (ACH005) of the myocardial infarction Visium dataset[9].

For comparison, we also evaluated the classification performance using gene embeddings generated by a single-cell transcriptomics foundation model, scFoundation[5], and its fine-tuned edition. The fine-tuned edition was obtained by initializing the model with the pretrained weights and training on the myocardial infarction Visium dataset for three epochs using the masked learning objective of pretraining. Compared to our model, the input samples used by scFoundation did not include ligand

genes of niche cells.

Identification of ligand-receptor interaction pairs. We used a separate three-layer perceptron to classify the interacting and non-interacting ligand-receptor pairs. For a specific ligand-receptor pair, the ligand gene embedding of a single-cell sample was derived by averaging the ligand gene embeddings of all cells within the niche. In Visium data, under the two assumptions we propose in the subsection "Biased cross-attention on Visium data", it is equivalent to calculating a weighted average of ligand gene embeddings across cell types, using the cell-type proportions as weights. We concatenated the ligand gene embedding with the receptor gene embedding output through the pretrained model and fed into the perceptron classifier. The objective was to minimize the cross-entropy loss between the predicted interacting probabilities and ground truth labels.

We randomly sampled 150 ligand-receptor interaction pairs from the CellTalkDB database[18], and evaluated the classification performance using fivefold cross-validation. Specifically, we respectively split the ligand genes and receptor genes of the 150 interaction pairs into five equal parts. In each of the five iterations, one fold of ligand genes and one fold of receptor genes were picked out as test data, and the interaction pairs between them were labeled as positive pairs. The sample number of negative pairs were randomly selected between non-interacting ligand and receptor genes. The remaining four folds of ligand genes and receptor genes were treated as train data. The corresponding positive and negative pairs were generated in the same way. As a result, we ensured a complete separation of train and test data, with no intersection of ligand genes or receptor genes between them.

The evaluations were performed on single-cell samples obtained from a normal tissue section (10X001) of the myocardial infarction Visium dataset[9]. For comparison, we also evaluated the classification performance using gene embeddings generated by scFoundation[5] and its fine-tuned edition. Since the input samples used by scFoundation did not include ligand genes of niche cells, the classifier input used intracellular ligand and receptor gene embeddings generated by the model.

In silico perturbation analysis of ligand-receptor interactions. We attempted to employ our

pretrained model to simulate the perturbation effects of ligand-receptor interactions. Specifically, we reduced the expression values of ligand and receptor genes in the WNT signaling pathway to the lowest level (0.0004) in an ischemic tissue section (ACH0014) of the myocardial infarction Visium dataset[9]. Since we restricted the input to genes with non-zero expressions, the downregulated ligand gene was WNT5B and the downregulated receptor genes were FZD4, FZD5, FZD6. We analyzed the effects on various genes, including direct targets of the WNT signaling pathway, housekeeping genes, and NOTCH1 targets, by calculating the cosine similarity of their output gene embeddings before and after in silico perturbation. The in silico perturbation was performed on ligand genes and receptor genes either individually or simultaneously.

Data availability

The spatial Visium dataset of human intestine, included in the pretraining corpus, is publicly available from the GEO database under accession number GSE158328, and the paired single-cell RNA-sequencing data used for deconvolution reference was downloaded from the CELLxGENE database under website https://cellxgene.cziscience.com/collections/60358420-6055-411d-ba4f-e8ac80682a2e. The spatial Visium dataset of human myocardial infarction, included in the pretraining corpus, is publicly accessible from the Zenodo data repository via record number 6580069, and the paired single-cell RNA-sequencing data used for deconvolution reference was downloaded from the CELLxGENE database under website https://cellxgene.cziscience.com/collections/8191c283-0816-424b-9b61-c3e1d6258a77. The gene lists of "NOTCH1 activated versus non-target genes", "NOTCH1 network central versus peripheral genes", and housekeeping genes were retrieved from the "example_input_files" folder in the Genecorpus-30M dataset (https://huggingface.co/datasets/ctheodoris/Genecorpus-30M). The gene set "signaling by TGFB family members" was accessed through the GSEA Molecular Signatures Database under website https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/human/geneset/REACTOME_SIGNALING_BY_TGFB_FAMILY_MEMBERS.html. The direct target genes of the WNT signaling pathway were retrieved from Nusse Lab's website https://web.stanford.edu/group/nusselab/cgi-bin/wnt/target_genes.

References

Vaswani, A. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (2017).

Brown, T. B. Language models are few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.14165* (2020).

Theodoris, C. V. *et al.* Transfer learning enables predictions in network biology. *Nature* **618**, 616-624 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06139-9

Cui, H. *et al.* scGPT: toward building a foundation model for single-cell multi-omics using generative AI. *Nat Methods* **21**, 1470-1480 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-024-02201-0

Hao, M. *et al.* Large-scale foundation model on single-cell transcriptomics. *Nat Methods* **21**, 1481-1491 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-024-02305-7

Du, J. *et al.* Advances in spatial transcriptomics and related data analysis strategies. *J Transl Med* **21**, 330 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-023-04150-2

Kleshchevnikov, V. *et al.* Cell2location maps fine-grained cell types in spatial transcriptomics. *Nat Biotechnol* **40**, 661-671 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01139-4

Fawkner-Corbett, D. *et al.* Spatiotemporal analysis of human intestinal development at single-cell resolution. *Cell* **184**, 810-826.e823 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.016

Kuppe, C. *et al.* Spatial multi-omic map of human myocardial infarction. *Nature* **608**, 766-777 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05060-x

McInnes, L., Healy, J. & Melville, J. Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for dimension reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426* (2018).

Zeisberg, E. M. *et al.* Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition contributes to cardiac fibrosis. *Nature Medicine* **13**, 952-961 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1613

Theodoris, C. V. *et al.* Human disease modeling reveals integrated transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms of NOTCH1 haploinsufficiency. *Cell* **160**, 1072-1086 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.035

Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 15545-15550 (2005).

Bergmann, M. W. WNT signaling in adult cardiac hypertrophy and remodeling: lessons learned from cardiac development. *Circulation research* **107**, 1198-1208 (2010).

Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nature Communications* **12**, 1088 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21246-9

Hou, R., Denisenko, E., Ong, H. T., Ramilowski, J. A. & Forrest, A. R. R. Predicting cell-to-cell communication networks using NATMI. *Nature Communications* **11**, 5011 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18873-z

Zhang, Y. *et al.* Cellinker: a platform of ligand-receptor interactions for intercellular communication analysis. *Bioinformatics* (2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab036

Shao, X. *et al.* CellTalkDB: a manually curated database of ligand-receptor interactions in humans and mice. *Brief Bioinform* **22** (2021). https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa269

Massenet-Regad, L. & Soumelis, V. ICELLNET v2: a versatile method for cell-cell communication analysis from human transcriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **40** (2024). https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btae089

Shao, X. *et al.* Knowledge-graph-based cell-cell communication inference for spatially resolved transcriptomic data with SpaTalk. *Nature Communications* **13**, 4429 (2022).

https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-022-32111-8

21   Troulé, K. *et al.* CellPhoneDB v5: inferring cell-cell communication from single-cell multiomics data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.04567* (2023).

22   Luecken, M. D. *et al.* Benchmarking atlas-level data integration in single-cell genomics. *Nat Methods* **19**, 41-50 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-021-01336-8

Fig.1 | Architecture of the spatially informed gene representation. a, For the spatial transcriptomics (ST) data that achieves single-cell resolution, fix each cell as a centric node and the neighborhood within a preset radius defines its niche. A training sample comprises gene symbols and masked gene values of the center cell and ligand gene symbols and values of the niche cells. The samples are fed into a stack of $L = 6$ identical Transformer block layers and output the embeddings of genes in the center cell. The model parameters are pretrained by minimizing the reconstruction loss of the masked gene values. Then, the gene embeddings can serve as input of multiple downstream tasks. **b,** For the ST data based on spot RNA sequencing, deconvolve the cell-type composition at each spot first. Adding a bias of logarithmic cell-type proportion to each attention score in cross-attention can unify the case within the single-cell framework in **a**. See Methods for details.

Fig.2 | Results of several tasks based on pretrained gene representations. a, Clustering of the cell embeddings resulting from max-pooling of gene embeddings, compared to the scFoundation method. The cell type annotation is indicated by color. Normal heart tissue section 10X001 and fibrotic heart tissue section ACH005 were analyzed. NMI, normalized mutual information. **b,** ROC curve of using gene embeddings to distinguish central versus peripheral genes within the NOTCH1-dependent gene network, compared to pretrained and fine-tuned scFoundation (scF-pt and scF-ft). The test was on a normal heart tissue section 10X001. **c,** ROC curve of using gene embeddings to distinguish NOTCH1 activated versus non-target genes, compared to pretrained and fine-tuned scFoundation (scF-pt and scF-ft). The test was on a normal heart tissue section 10X001. **d,** ROC curve of using gene embeddings to distinguish member versus non-member genes of the gene set "signaling by TGFB family members", compared to pretrained and fine-tuned scFoundation (scF-pt and scF-ft). The test was on a fibrotic heart tissue section ACH005. **e,** ROC curves of using gene embeddings to distinguish interacting versus non-interacting ligand-receptor pairs, compared to pretrained and fine-tuned scFoundation (scF-pt and scF-ft). The test was on a normal heart tissue section 10X001. **f,** In silico downregulation of WNT ligands and receptors impacted significantly more on WNT targets than on housekeeping genes and NOTCH1 targets. In silico downregulation of WNT ligands or receptors alone impacted significantly more on WNT targets than on housekeeping genes. In silico downregulation of WNT ligands and receptors together impacted significantly more on WNT targets than separate downregulation of ligands or receptors. The test was on an ischemic heart tissue section ACH0014. *P<0.05 Wilcoxon, FDR-corrected.