1 Chronic treatment with fluoxetine downregulates mitochondrial activity in parvalbumin interneurons of
2 prefrontal cortex
3

Abbreviated title: Flx PFC TRAP

Elias Jetsonen[1], Ilida Suleymanova[2], Eero Castren[1*], Juzoh Umemori[1, 3*]

1 Neuroscience Center, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Finland.

2 Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Helsinki, Finland

3 Gene and cell technology, A.I. Virtanen Institute, University of Eastern Finland

Shared Corresponding Authors:

Eero Castren, MD, PhD

Neuroscience Center, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Finland

Email: eero.castren@helsinki.fi

Address: University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 56, 00014 Helsinki, Finland

Juzoh Umemori, PhD

Neuroscience Center, HiLIFE, University of Helsinki, Finland

Gene and Cell Technology, A.I. Virtanen Institute, University of Eastern Finland

Email: juzoh.umemori@uef.fi

Address: A.I. Virtanen Institute, P.O. Box 1627, 70211 Kuopio, Finland

Abstract

Chronic treatment with fluoxetine, a widely prescribed selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI),

is known to promote neural plasticity through the activation of the neurotrophic receptor TrkB. Our

previous studies have highlighted the role of TrkB in parvalbumin-positive interneurons (PV-INs) in

mediating plasticity-related behaviors in the visual cortex and hippocampus. However, the impact of

TrkB activity on gene expression and neuronal functions in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) remains

unclear. This study aimed to investigate the effects of chronic fluoxetine on PV-INs in the PFC. Using

Translating Ribosome Affinity Purification (TRAP), we found that fluoxetine treatment downregulated

pathways involved in mitochondrial energy production, including NADH dehydrogenase and

cytochrome c activity. Upregulated genes were associated with phosphatase activity, voltage-gated

potassium channels, and amino acid transmembrane transport. Analysis of mitochondrial function

specific in PV-INs revealed a reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential, while non-PV-INs in the

PFC exhibited increased membrane potential. These findings suggest that fluoxetine selectively

inactivates PV-INs in the PFC, leading to compensatory increases in mitochondrial activity in non-PV-

INs. Immunohistochemical analyses further demonstrated reduced PV expression and weakened

perineuronal nets in specific PFC regions. Our results underscore the differential impact of chronic

fluoxetine on gene expression and mitochondrial function in PV-INs, suggesting region-specific

disinhibition and enhanced plasticity in the PFC.

Keywords

Parvalbumin interneurons, SSRI, neural plasticity, cell-type specific transcriptome, mitochondria,

BDNF, TrkB

Introduction

Fluoxetine is a widely used antidepressant belonging to the pharmacological group of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI). Preclinical studies have shown that fluoxetine induces juvenile like plasticity in adult rodent brain [1,2]. This has been shown to function in different neural systems, like visual cortex [3], fear conditioning network [4] and reversal learning [5]. In addition, fluoxetine has been shown to restore impaired neurogenesis in rodent hippocampus [6]. Mechanistically, antidepressants have been shown to directly bind to neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase (NTRK2, or TrkB) and stabilize its dimer to enhance BDNF signaling through TrkB [7].

Parvalbumin positive interneurons (PV-INs), are one of the largest populations of GABAergic interneurons that synchronize local circuit neural activity [8], have a key role in gamma oscillations [9], and are known to control neural plasticity [10]. Specifically, our studies with conditional knockout mice and optogenetics demonstrated that TrkB-receptor in PV-INs is necessary and sufficient for cortical plasticity in the visual cortex [11]. In addition, heterozygous removal of TrkB in PV-cells blunts fluoxetine effect on reversal learning [5]. Most of PV-cells are surrounded by a specific extracellular matrix formation called perineuronal net (PNN), which stabilizes synaptic connections and restricts neural plasticity, particularly after critical periods of development [12]. The PNN is composed of hyaluronan, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans and linking proteins, and it surrounds soma and proximal dendrites of PV-INs [13]. Enzymatic removal of the PNN has been shown to enhance synaptic remodeling and restore juvenile-like plasticity, allowing for increased adaptability in neural circuits [14–16]. Previous studies have demonstrated that chronic fluoxetine treatment or activation of TrkB in PV-INs reduces PNN formation [4,5,11,17,18]. PV-INs play a crucial role in maintaining the excitatory-inhibitory balance (E/I-balance) and optimizing synchronized signal processing in cortex [19]. Notably, mature PNN reduce the E/I-balance by blocking AMPA receptor trafficking [20], inhibiting TrkB activity [21], and influencing the firing potential of PV-INs [22]. The process of increasing E/I-balance, known as disinhibition, has been observed to following administration of venlafaxine [23], or optical activation of TrkB in PV-INs [11].

Mitochondria generate the major of cellular energy, primarily in the form of ATP, through

oxidative phosphorylation, a process essential for neuronal plasticity, including synaptic transmission

and memory formation [24,25]. This process begins with the oxidation of pyruvate in the Krebs cycle,

which produces NADH. NADH donates electrons to the electron transport chain, driving pumping of

protons across the inner mitochondrial membrane and produces a membrane potential. This

membrane potential is then utilized to produce ATP on the mitochondrial membrane. Cytochrome C,

a key component of electron transport chain, plays a crucial role in this process and serves as a

marker for neuronal metabolic activity [26]. In addition, Translocase of the Outer Membrane 22

(Tomm22), a critical component of the mitochondrial import machinery, plays a pivotal role in

maintaining mitochondrial function by facilitating the import of nuclear-encoded proteins and

regulating mitophagy [27,28].

Previous studies have indicated that fluoxetine can affect mitochondrial function in neurons,

although the findings have been inconsistent, with both upregulation and downregulation reported

following acute or chronic administration [29]. For instance, a proteomic study from rat hippocampus

found upregulation of proteins related to mitochondrial energy production [30]. In contrast, other

studies observed that fluoxetine reduced mitochondrial respiration and ATP synthesis, particularly at

high concentrations [31,32]. Agostinho et al also found that chronic fluoxetine decreased the activity

of complex IV in the hippocampus [33]. These discrepancies likely arise from the heterogeneity of

neural tissues and the diversity of cell types. However, cell-type specific analyses have not been

conducted yet.

The prefrontal cortex (PFC), a functionally diverse brain region critical for decision-making,

emotional regulation, and motor planning, with its connections to multiple subcortical and cortical

regions [34], including midbrain, which modulate reward processing, motivation, and cognitive

function [35] and the hippocampus and amygdala which are shaping network configurations

essential for cognitive flexibility and emotional processing [36]. Within the PFC, the prelimbic area

(PL) is primarily involved in emotional processing and the expression of fear, while the infralimbic

area (IL) is crucial for fear extinction and emotional inhibition, both of which are essential for

adaptive behavior [37,38]. The anterior cingulate area (ACA), along with the supplementary motor

cortex (M2), plays a central role in cognitive control, error monitoring, and motor planning,

supporting cognitive flexibility and motor adaptation [39]. However, the distinctive effects of chronic

treatment of fluoxetine on the different PFC areas remain elusive.

We previously conducted a transcriptomic analysis specifically for PV-INs in the hippocampus

using translating ribosome affinity purification (TRAP) after a chronic treatment with fluoxetine, and

found changes in gene expression, such as formation of PNNs and synaptic formations[5]. In this

study, we perform the TRAP in prefrontal cortex. We found an upregulation of PV-INS plasticity-

related genes and downregulation of mitochondria-related genes. Then we analyzed PV-INs specific

mitochondrial functions by sorted PV-INs and then immunohistochemically analyzed mitochondrial

mass and PNN formation on each subarea in the PFC.

Material and Methods

Mice

For the TRAP, transgenic mice expressing FLEX-L10a conjugating GFP [3] specifically in PV+

interneurons were produced by mating females from an homozygous PV specific Cre line [1] (PV$^{cre/cre}$

; Pvalb-IRES-Cre, JAX: 008069, Jackson laboratory)[40] with males from an homozygous mice

expressing GFP-L10a (Liu et al, 2014) (B6;129S4-Gt(ROSA)26Sor $^{tm9(EGFP/Rpl10a)Amc}$/J, 024750, Jackson

laboratory) . For PV-INs specific mitochondria analysis, by crossing male transgenic mice harboring

double inverted openreading frame (DIO)-expressing tdTomato (B6.Cg-*Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm14(CAG-}$

$^{tdTomato)Hze}$/J, RRID:IMSR_JAX:007914, Jackson laboratory) [41] with female mice of the homozygous PV

specific Cre line (PV$^{cre/cre}$)[40].

All mice were kept in a room at 23±2°C with a 12-hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 a.m.) with

access to food and water ad libitum. Three months old male mice are used for this study. All

experiments were carried out in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive

86/6609/EEC and the guidelines of the Society for Neuroscience and were approved by the County

Administrative Board of Southern Finland (License number: ESAVI/38503/2019).

Fluoxetine treatment

0.008 % solution of fluoxetine was administered in drinking water for 14 days in all experiments. For

both control and treated groups, drinking water included 0.1 % saccharine for equalizing taste of

water. The same treatment conditions were used for all experiments.

TRAP

TRAP analysis was performed according to previously published protocol [42]. Briefly, we isolated

prefrontal cortex (PFC) from mice expressing GFP tag in ribosomes of PV-cells, as mentioned above.

The isolated PFC were stored in -80 C between isolation and TRAP analysis. After lysating the PFC, the

tagged ribosomes were precipitated using magnetic beads coated with anti-GFP antibodies. Quality

of RNA was assessed by Bioanalyzer (Agilent, California, US), and one sample was excluded due to

low RNA integrity (2.4 while it was between 7.6-9.5) (Supplemental fig 2). Actively translated mRNA

co-precipitated and were sequenced using HiSeq2500 (Illumina, CA, USA) with SMART-Seq v4 Ultra

Low Input RNA kit (Takara, Japan) for making cDNA library.

ATP assay

PV-TdT mice were anesthetized with pentobarbital and transcardially perfused. The brains were

removed, and hippocampus, prefrontal cortex and other parts of the cortex were dissected. Cells

were dissociated using Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi, Germany) according to

manufacturer's protocol. The cells were then FACS sorted to PV and non-PV cells based on TdT

expression. ATP was detected using CellTiter (Promega, Wisconsin US) according to manufacturer's

protocol. Luminescence was detected with Varioskan Flash (Thermo Fisher, Massachusetts US).

Mitochondrial mass and membrane potential assay

PV-TdT mice were anesthetized using pentobarbital and transcardially perfused with cooled N-methyl-D-glucamine buffer to preserve cells. Brains were isolated and hippocampus, prefrontal cortex and rest of the cortex were dissected. Cells were dissociated using Neural Tissue Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi, Germany) according to manufacturer's protocol. The cells were then FACS sorted to PV and non-PV cells based on TdT expression. To measure mitochondrial mass, the cells were stained with MitoTracker Green (Thermo Fisher, Massachusetts US) for 30 min in 37 C. To measure mitochondrial membrane potential, the cells were stained with 5 nm Rhodamine 123 [43], for 30 min in +4 C. The cells were centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min and diluted with D-PBS before proceeding to the second round of FACS. During sorting, fluorescence was measured for each cell.

Immunohistochemistry on PV, PNN and TOMM22

Mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused with 4 % PFA in PBS. The brains were cut into 40 μm sections and stored in +4 °C until further processing. Sections were then washed with PBST and blocked using bovine serum albumin and donkey serum. The sections were stained with primary antibodies overnight and, after additional PBST washing, secondary antibodies for 1 h in room temperature. Details of used antibodies and their dilutions are listed on table. Images were obtained using Andor Dragonfly (Oxford Instruments, United Kingdom).

Imaging analysis

The whole PFC was imaged on multiple frames and Z-stack. Images were stitched using ImageJ and the best layer of Z-stack was manually selected for analysis. Subregions were identified using a reference atlas [44]. Cell borders were detected using customized scripts based on morphological features and thresholding using Matlab (The MathWorks, Massachusetts, US). Cells were detected using PV and PNN staining, and data of overlapping cells was combined. PV and TOMM22 signal

intensities were measured in cells detected by PV shape and PNN signal intensity was measured in

cells detected by PNN shape.

Experimental design and statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for TRAP was conducted using R and package DESeq2 [45]. Multiple test correction

was performed using Benjamini-Hochberg procedure, and Q-value (corrected P-value) of 0.1 was

considered significant. Pathway analysis was performed using package clusterProfiler [46]. Resulting

pathways were clustered and visualized using the function "emapplot_cluster".

185   Data for other assays in this article were analyzed by two-way ANOVA followed by post hoc

test with multiple test correction using Šídák's method, or Chi-square test when suitable. Statistical

analyses were performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, California, US). P-value < 0.05 was

considered significant.

Results

Identification of PV-IN specific gene expression changes following chronic fluoxetine

treatment

After two weeks of fluoxetine treatment, we performed Translating Ribosome Affinity Purification

(TRAP) analysis on parvalbumin-positive interneurons (PV-INs) (Fig. 1a-b). Following the application

the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for multiple test correction, we identified 315 DEGs (q > 0.1) (Fig

1c, Supplemental table 2). 50 DEGs with lowest q-values are shown in Fig 2a.

Figure 1: TRAP analysis on PV-INs.

a) PV-eGFP-L10a mice were treated with fluoxetine or water for 2 weeks, and their PFC were

isolated post-euthanasia. GFP-tagged translating ribosomes binding mRNA were precipitated

from cell lysate using magnetic beads coated with anti-GFP antibodies, enabling the isolation of

ribosome-bound mRNA. The isolated mRNA was then converted into cDNA and sequenced using

next-generation sequencing (NGS). **b)** Representative PFC section from PV-eGFP-L10a mouse

stained with parvalbumin antibody. GFP co-localizes with parvalbumin, confirming the specificity

of the PV-IN labeling **c)** Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes in PV-INs, with the x-

axis representing the magnitude of change (log2 fold change) and the y-axis representing

statistical significance (−log10 adjusted p-value) on. Upregulated genes are highlighted in red and

downregulated genes in blue, providing a visual overview of the transcriptional changes induced

by fluoxetine.

We then conducted a pathway analysis for GO Molecular Function -pathways using these DEGs and

identified upregulation in 30 and downregulation of 20 functional pathways (Fig 2b and c,

supplemental table 3), and representative DEGs in the pathways are shown in Fig. 3. Notably, we

observed significant downregulation in pathways associated with mitochondrial function (Fig. 2b).

These included proton ATPase activity, synthesis and activity of NADH dehydrogenase (quinone), and

mitochondrial ribonucleoprotein ribosome complex functions. In fact, we identified the

downregulation of genes such as Atp5d, Cox5a, Mrpl14, Timm13, Tomm22, and Ndufa1, which are

crucial components of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, protein synthesis and

mitochondrial transmembrane transport [47]. This downregulation suggests a reduction in

mitochondrial energy production and efficiency, which may contribute to lowered excitatory states in

PV-INs post-fluoxetine treatment [11]. Additionally, we observed downregulation in pathways

associated with ribosomes, including Rplp1, Rps13, and Rpl17 (Fig. 3b), which are involved in

ribosome biogenesis and function [48,49]. These reductions implicate decreased protein synthesis,

and the metabolic changes observed in PV-INs may affect fundamental cellular functions and

metabolism.

 Figure 2: TRAP Analysis in PV-INs after Chronic Fluoxetine Treatment

a) Heatmap of 50 most differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in PV-INs after chronic fluoxetine treatment, illustrating the extent and pattern of gene expression changes. **b-c)** Results of pathway enrichment analysis of GO Molecular Function -pathways in PV-INs clustered into 50 subgroups. Numbers correspond to individual pathways in Supplementary table 3. **b)** Downregulated pathways predominantly involve mitochondrial energy production, including NADH dehydrogenase activity, oxidoreductase activity, cytochrome c function, electron transport, proton transmembrane transport, and ATP synthase. Additionally, downregulation of ribosome-related pathways is observed, suggesting a broad impact on cellular metabolism and protein synthesis. **c)** Upregulated pathways are related to phosphatase activity, amino acid transmembrane transport, and voltage-gated potassium channels, highlighting enhanced synaptic and signaling activities [11]. The complete details of the 50 pathways are listed in supplemental table 3.

Upregulated pathways included those related to actin binding, phosphatase activity, amino

acid transmembrane transport, voltage gated potassium channel activity, and ion gated channel

activity (Fig. 2c). For instance, upregulated Wnk2, Kcnf1, and Ptpn9 (Fig. 3c), are associated with ion

transport and cellular signaling pathways [50], indicating enhanced cellular communication and ion

homeostasis, which may contribute to altered intracellular signaling in PV-INs. Actin-binding proteins,

which play a crucial role in dendritic spine formation and synaptic plasticity, were notably

upregulated, consistent with previous findings linking these proteins to structural plasticity in

neurons [51]. Additionally, the upregulation of voltage-gated potassium channels aligns with the

modulation by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) exposure [52] and activation of its receptor

TrkB [11], which is also activated by chronic fluoxetine treatment [7,53–55]. The increased

phosphatase activity, particularly involving protein dephosphorylation, suggests a role in modulating

synaptic plasticity and signal transduction [56]. Furthermore, the upregulation of amino acid

transport genes indicates enhanced neurotransmitter availability, which could support increased

synaptic transmission and more robust neuronal communication [57].

Figure 3: Representative DEGs After Chronic Fluoxetine Treatment

a) Oxidative Phosphorylation and Mitochondrial Function: Downregulated genes Atp5d, Cox5a, Mrpl14, Timm13, Tomm22, and Ndufa1 are critical components of the mitochondrial electron transport chain, protein synthesis and transmembrane transport, suggesting reduced mitochondrial activity in PV-INs. **b)** Ribosomal Proteins: Downregulated genes Rplp1, Rps13, and Rpl17 are involved in ribosome biogenesis and function, indicating a potential decrease in protein synthesis capacity. **c)** Cell Signaling/Ion Transport: Upregulated genes Wnk2, Kcnf1, and Ptpn9 are associated with ion transport and signaling pathways. **d)** mTOR Signaling and Lysosomal Function: Downregulated genes Lamtor4 and Lamtor5 are part of the mTOR signaling pathway and lysosomal function, indicating possible suppression of cellular growth and metabolism. **e)** Neuroactive Ligand-Receptor Interaction/Synaptic Transmission: Downregulated genes Npy and Parv suggest reduced inhibitory signaling and calcium buffering, potentially decreasing inhibitory tone. Upregulated genes Syt16 and Nptxr indicate enhanced synaptic transmission and receptor clustering, possibly leading to increased excitatory signaling to PV-INs and synaptic plasticity. **f)** PNN interaction: Mmp17, an upregulated gene, plays a key role in matrix remodeling and may lead to the degradation of perineuronal nets (PNNs), supporting increased synaptic flexibility and potentially facilitating structural reorganization in response to

chronic fluoxetine treatment. Receptor type protein tyrosine phosphatase (Ptprs) was

upregulated. It modulates TrkB activity in interaction with PNNs and plays role in memory

retention. * indicates statistical significance with q < 0.1.

In other pathways, downregulation of Lamtor4 and Lamtor5 within mTOR signaling and

lysosomal function pathways (Fig. 3d) suggests suppression of cellular growth and metabolism,

potentially reducing anabolic processes in PV-INs [58]. Additionally, downregulation of Neuropeptide

Y (Npy) and Parvalbumin (Parv) (Fig. 3e) suggests weakened neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction

and synaptic transmission. NPY enhances inhibitory tone and regulating stress and anxiety [59], while

Parv, crucial for calcium buffering in fast-spiking interneurons, regulate neuronal excitability [60,61].

This may reduce inhibitory tone and disrupt calcium homeostasis in PV-INs. In contrast, the

upregulation of Synaptotagmin 16 (Syt16) and Neuronal Pentraxin Receptor (Nptxr) suggests

enhanced synaptic transmission and receptor clustering. Syt16 a member of synaptotagmin family

involved in synaptic vesicle exocytosis, may play a role in synaptic function, with other

synaptotagmins, such as Syt4, being implicated in BDNF release [62]. Nptxr, which regulates AMPA

receptor clustering, promotes synaptic plasticity and receptor localization at synapses [63]. The

upregulation may increase excitatory signaling onto PV-INs, thereby altering the E/I balance in a

complex manner. Lastly, we found upregulated genes interacting with PNN (Fig. 3f), Matrix

metalloproteinase-17 (Mmp17) was upregulated (Fig. 3f). MMPs degrade extracellular matrix

structures, such as PNN [64], and the upregulation of Mmp17 may facilitate structural reorganization

in response to chronic fluoxetine treatment, potentially modulating the activity of PV-INs [12,22]. In

contrast, Receptor type protein tyrosine phosphatase sigma (Ptprs), which interacts with chondroitin

sulfate proteoglycans (CSPGs) in PNNs, was upregulated (Fig. 3f). This is consistent with previous

studies showing that Ptprs dephosphorylate TrkB, an interaction modulated by fluoxetine and known

to play a role in memory retention [15].

These findings suggest that chronic fluoxetine treatment leads to a complex reorganization of

gene expression in PV-INs, with upregulated synaptic and neurotransmitter-related functions, while

simultaneously leading to reduced mitochondrial activity. This dual impact highlights the intricate

balance between promoting plasticity and altering cellular energy dynamics in response to

fluoxetine.

Chronic Fluoxetine Treatment Reduces Mitochondrial Membrane Potential in PV-INs

To further investigate the impact of chronic fluoxetine treatment on mitochondrial function, we

measured mitochondrial membrane potential, mitochondrial mass and intracellular ATP contents in

FACS-sorted cells after chronic fluoxetine administration.

A key finding was a marked reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential in PV-INs across

all brain regions after fluoxetine treatment ($p < 0.0001$) (Fig. 4a). In contrast, an increase in

membrane potential was observed specifically in non-PV cells of the PFC ($p < 0,0001$) (Fig. 4d). A

decrease in membrane potential typically indicates a reduction in ATP production by the

mitochondrial electron transport chain. Mitochondrial mass in PV-INs remained elevated in the PFC

with no significant changes in other brain regions post-treatment (Fig. 4b). Mitochondrial mass was,

however, reduced in non-PV cells after fluoxetine treatment ($p = 0,0071$) (Fig. 4e). Despite the

reduced membrane potential, no significant changes in ATP levels were detected in any cell type,

including PV-INs (Fig. 4c, f). Notably, ATP levels were higher in the PFC compared to the hippocampus

and other cortical regions, specifically in PV-INs ($p < 0.0001$), but fluoxetine treatment did not

significantly affect ATP levels (Fig. 4c).

These results suggest that while mitochondrial energy production remains active in PFC,

chronic fluoxetine treatment reduces energy production in PV-INs without alternating intracellular

ATP levels or mitochondrial mass. This reduction in PV-INs may contribute to the disinhibition of

other cortical cell types, particularly excitatory neurons, which could be reflected in the upregulation

of their energy production in non-PV-INs.

Figure 4: ATP production and mitochondria assays using FACS-sorted cells.

PV and other types of cells were sorted and analyzed separately in the cortex (Cx),

Hippocampus (HP), and prefrontal cortex (PFC): PV-INs, a, b, c; Non-PV-Cells, d, e, f. **(a, d)**

Mitochondrial membrane potential was significantly downregulated in PV-INs from all

studied regions (P < 0,0001). Interestingly, upregulation of mitochondrial membrane

potential was shown in non-PV-INs from PFC (P < 0,0001). **(b,e)** No differences in

mitochondrial mass were seen in PV-cells, while it was down-regulated in non-PV-cells from

PFC (Two-way ANOVA, Treatment, F (1, 3617) = 5,191, P=0,0228; Šídák's multiple

comparisons test "control" vs "fluoxetine", PFC: P = 0,0071). **(c,f)** No differences in

intracellular ATP were seen after fluoxetine treatment in either cell type. Bars represent

standard error of means, with ANOVA results noted below each plot.

 Chronic Fluoxetine Modulates PV Cell distribution and PNN Structure in the PFC

To investigate plastic changes and mitochondrial functions in specific subregions of the prefrontal

cortex (PFC), we performed immunohistochemical analysis staining with antibodies of PV and PNN,

plastic markers of PV-INs, and TOMM22, a marker of mitochondrial mass and function (Fig 5a). The

analysis was focused on the prelimbic area (PL), infralimbic area (IL), and anterior cingulate area with

supplementary motor area (ACA/M2), based on a reference atlas [44]. There was no treatment effect

of fluoxetine on number of PV-INs (Fig. 5b).

Figure 5: Immunostaining and imaging analysis PV, PNN and TOMM22 in the PFC.

a) Immunostaining and imaging analysis were performed to assess parvalbumin (PV),

perineuronal nets (PNN), and TOMM22, a quantitative mitochondrial marker. Sub-regions of

the prefrontal cortex, including the infralimbic region (IL), prelimbic region (PL), and anterior

cingulate area with secondary motor cortex (ACA/M2), were identified using a reference

atlas and selected for subsequent analysis. **b)** Number of PV-INs in different subregions.

Fluoxetine treatment didn't have a significant effect on cell count. **c)** The proportion of PV-positive cells surrounded by PNN was assessed. Although no significant treatment effect was observed, the ratio of PNN-positive PV cells varied significantly between regions (two-way ANOVA, Region, $F_{(2, 18)} = 36,35$ $p < 0.0001$). **d)** PV-positive cells were categorized into four subgroups based on PV expression levels. The relative number of cells expressing very high levels of PV was significantly lower in the PL region following fluoxetine treatment (Chi-square test, $p = 0.0175$). **e)** The intensity of PNNs in PV-cell subsets (low, middle, high, and very high PV expression) was analyzed after chronic fluoxetine treatment in the PL, IL, and ACA/M2 regions. In both the PL and ACA/M2 regions, fluoxetine treatment significantly reduced the overall intensity of PNNs (Two-way ANOVA, Treatment, PL: $F_{(1, 335)} = 17,28$, $p < 0.0001$; ACA/M2: Treatment, $F_{(1, 1744)} = 11,68$, $p = 0.0006$). Additionally, there was a significant effect of PV expression subsets (Two-way ANOVA, PV subset, ACA/M2: $F_{(3, 1744)} = 40,64$, $p = 0.0006$), indicating a positive correlation between PNN intensity and PV expression in the ACA/M2 region. **f)** The intensity of TOMM22 in PV-cell subsets was also analyzed. In all regions, a significant effect of PV expression subsets was observed (Two-way ANOVA, PV subset, PL: $F_{(3, 1287)} = 791,0$ $p < 0.0001$; IL: $F_{(3, 714)} = 390,7$, $p < 0.0001$; ACA/M2: $F_{(3, 4012)} = 2756$, $p < 0.0001$), indicating that PV-INs with higher PV expression exhibited higher TOMM22 levels compared to those with lower PV expression. Fluoxetine treatment significantly increased TOMM22 expression only in the PL region (Two-way ANOVA, Treatment, $F_{(1, 1287)} = 21,17$, $p < 0.0001$). Bars represent standard error of means, with ANOVA results noted below each plot.

PV-positive cells were categorized into four subgroups based on PV expression levels, as previous studies have shown a negative correlation between PV expression intensity and plasticity in PV-INs [5,10,11]. Chronic fluoxetine treatment led to a significant decrease in the number of cells expressing very high levels of PV particularly in the PL region ($p = 0.0175$) (Fig. 5d), consistent with previous findings in the visual cortex [11] and hippocampus [5].

The analysis of PNNs revealed a significant reduction in total PNN intensity in both the PL and ACA/M2 regions following fluoxetine treatment (PL, $p < 0.0001$; ACA/M2, $p = 0.0006$). Additionally, there was a significant effect of PV expression subsets, with a positive correlation between PNN intensity and PV expression levels in the ACA/M2 region ($p = 0.0006$) (Fig. 5e).

TOMM22 intensity across PV-IN subsets showed a significant increase in TOMM22 expression only in

the PL region post-treatment (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 5e). In all regions, PV-INs with higher PV expression

exhibited higher TOMM22 levels than those with lower PV expression (p < 0.0001).

These results suggest that chronic fluoxetine treatment induces notable changes in PV cell

plasticity and PNN structure, especially in the PL and ACA/M2 regions. The reduction in the number

of high PV-expressing PV-INs and corresponding PNN intensity implies increased plasticity in these

regions. Although no overall TOMM22 loss was detected following fluoxetine treatment, as observed

in the TRAP analysis, the correlation between TOMM22 and PV expression suggest that high PV-

expressing PV-INs may have a high energy consumption.

Discussion

Here, we demonstrated that chronic fluoxetine treatment in mice induced transcriptional changes in

PV-INs, notably downregulating mitochondrial energy production, as observed in transcriptome data

and reduced mitochondrial membrane potential in PV-INs of the PFC. In contrast, non-PV-INs

exhibited an increase in membrane potential. Despite these changes, mitochondrial mass and ATP

levels remained stable, suggesting fluoxetine may reduce mitochondrial efficiency in the PFC. Altered

genetic pathways related to voltage-gated potassium channels, phosphatase activity, phospholipid

binding, and extracellular matrix remodeling indicate broader impacts on cellular communication

and metabolism. Immunohistochemical analysis corroborated these findings, revealing reductions in

PV expression and perineuronal nets (PNNs) without significant changes in TOMM22 intensity,

highlighting consistent mitochondrial mass. These results underscore the complexity of fluoxetine's

effects on PV-INs, particularly in energy metabolism and synaptic plasticity.

Role of TrkB Activation and Synaptic Plasticity

Chronic fluoxetine treatment was found to enhance TrkB activation [7], the receptor for brain-

derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which plays a pivotal role in synaptic plasticity, neuronal survival,

and growth [7,65], especially in PV-INs [5,11]. Our TRAP analysis identified 315 DEGs in PV-INs, with

notable upregulation of pathways associated with synaptic plasticity, including actin binding, voltage-

gated potassium channel activity, and amino acid transmembrane transport. These findings suggest

that fluoxetine promotes a state of enhanced plasticity in PV-INs, further supported by the observed

downregulation of parvalbumin and perineuronal nets (PNNs), point to a more permissive

environment for synaptic remodeling.

Impact on E/I Balance and Mitochondrial Dynamics

PV-INs are crucial for maintaining the excitatory/inhibitory (E/I) balance in cortical circuits, with

dysregulation linked to psychiatric conditions, like depression [66,67]. The downregulation of

parvalbumin and PNNs, coupled with reduced energy dynamics following fluoxetine treatment,

suggests a reduction in the inhibitory tone of PV-INs. This aligns with our previous studies showing

that activation of TrkB specifically in PV-INs reduces their excitability, partially through

downregulation of Kv3 family potassium channel activity [11]. A reduced inhibitory tone potentially

shifts the E/I balance towards increased excitation, enhancing the plasticity of excitatory synapses

and promoting the remodeling of cortical circuits required for cognitive flexibility and emotional

regulation [19].

We also observed a reduction in mitochondrial membrane potential in PV-INs while an

increase in non-PV-INs. As mitochondrial membrane potential is generated by electron transport

chain complexes I-IV and is used to produce ATP by complex V, ATP synthase [68], so our findings

show that energy metabolism is decreased in PV-INs and increased in non-PV-INs. This shift may

contribute to a disinhibition of excitatory neurons, which compose most of the non-PV-INs. Despite

of wide transcriptional changes and downregulation of mitochondrial membrane potential, we did

not observe fluoxetine effects on intracellular ATP levels, possibly due to the broad cellular functions

ATP supports, maintaining constant concentration, even as its production altered. Additionally,

technical limitations such as the sensitivity of ATP detection assays and the rapid, localized turnover

of ATP might have masked subtle changes. The heterogeneity of cell populations in PV-INs could

further dilute small ATP changes, and the dynamic nature of ATP production may require more

sensitive, real-time measurements. Our two-week treatment duration may have been insufficient to

observe mitochondrial mass changes, warranting further studies with longer treatment period.

Region-specific modulation of PV-IN plasticity in the prefrontal cortex by chronic fluoxetine treatment

Our study reveals region-specific effects of chronic fluoxetine treatment on PV-INs across key

subregions of PFC, aligning with their distinct roles in emotional and cognitive processing. In the PL,

associated with fear expression, fluoxetine reduced PV expression, suggesting enhanced plasticity

that may aid in emotional regulation and anxiety relief [37,38]. While no significant changes were

observed in the IL, critical for fear extinction, the trend toward reduced inhibitory tone could support

the formation of new, less fearful associations [69]. In the ACA/M2, which are involved in cognitive

flexibility and motor planning, reduced perineuronal net (PNN) intensity points to increased synaptic

remodeling, potentially enhancing adaptability and cognitive function [39]. These findings highlight

that fluoxetine's region-specific enhancement of plasticity in the PFC, contributing to its therapeutic

effects in treating depression and anxiety by modulating neural circuits related to emotion and

cognition.

Clinical Implications and Future Directions

The modulation of PV-INs by fluoxetine carries significant clinical implications, particularly given their

crucial role in maintaining cortical network balance. Fluoxetine's ability to reduce PV expression and

PNN density may help restore E/I balance in conditions with pathologically elevated inhibition,

offering therapeutic benefits in mood disorders and other neuropsychiatric conditions characterized

by disrupted cortical networks [8]. Our findings highlight potential biomarkers related to PV-IN

plasticity or mitochondrial activity, which could aid in identify new therapeutic targets. Future

research should focus on exploring the long-term effects of fluoxetine on neural circuitry and

cognitive function to better understand its full spectrum of therapeutic outcomes. Additionally,

translational research should investigate combining fluoxetine with psychotherapy [2] or treatments

targeting complementary pathways, such as synaptic stabilization [70], to enhance its efficacy and

broaden its applicability in treating various neuropsychiatric disorders.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Sulo Kolehmainen and Seija Lågas for technical help. We also thank

the Biomedicum Flow cytometry unit at the University of Helsinki for instructing Sony SH800Z Cell

Sorter. We thank Biomedicum Imaging unit at the University of Helsinki for providing equipment and

technical help for immunohistochemical analysis. This work was carried out with the support of

HiLIFE Laboratory Animal Center Core Facility, the University of Helsinki, Finland.

Funding

These studies have been supported by grants by the Academy of Finland (294710, 303124, 307416,

327192), Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, Jane & Aatos Erkko Foundation, the Helsinki Institute of Life

Science (HiLIFE) Fellow Program, Bilateral exchange program between the Academy of Finland and

JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science), The Finnish Medical Foundation, and Biomedicum

Helsinki Foundation.

Conflict of Interest

EC is a co-founder and Board member of Kasvu Therapeutics. The other authors declare no

competing financial interests.

Author Contributions

JU and EC conceived of and designed the project. EJ conducted all experiments including TRAP

analysis, immunohistochemistry, imaging, and mitochondrial analysis under the supervision of JU. IS

conducted imaging analysis. All authors were involved in writing the manuscript.

References

1.   Castrén E, Hen R. Neuronal plasticity and antidepressant actions. Trends Neurosci.

2013;36:259–267.

2.   Umemori J, Winkel F, Didio G, Llach Pou M, Castrén E. iPlasticity: Induced juvenile-like

plasticity in the adult brain as a mechanism of antidepressants. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.

2018;72:633–653.

3.   Vetencourt JFM, Sale A, Viegi A, Baroncelli L, De Pasquale R, O'Leary OF, et al. The

antidepressant fluoxetine restores plasticity in the adult visual cortex. Science (1979).

2008;320:385–388.

4.   Karpova NN, Pickenhagen A, Lindholm J, Tiraboschi E, Kulesskaya N, Ágústsdóttir A, et al. Fear

Erasure in Mice Requires Synergy Between Antidepressant Drugs and Extinction Training.

Science (1979). 2011;334:1731–1734.

5.   Jetsonen E, Didio G, Winkel F, Llach Pou M, Boj C, Kuczynski-Noyau L, et al. Activation of TrkB

in Parvalbumin interneurons is required for the promotion of reversal learning in spatial and

fear memory by antidepressants. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2023. 21 March 2023.

https://doi.org/10.1038/S41386-023-01562-Y.

6.   Malberg JE, Duman RS. Cell Proliferation in Adult Hippocampus is Decreased by Inescapable

Stress: Reversal by Fluoxetine Treatment. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2003;28:1562–1571.

7.   Casarotto PC, Girych M, Fred SM, Kovaleva V, Moliner R, Enkavi G, et al. Antidepressant drugs

act by directly binding to TRKB neurotrophin receptors. Cell. 2021;184:1299-1313.e19.

8.   Sohal VS, Zhang F, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K. Parvalbumin neurons and gamma rhythms enhance

cortical circuit performance. Nature. 2009;459:698–702.

9.   Bartos M, Vida I, Jonas P. Synaptic mechanisms of synchronized gamma oscillations in

inhibitory interneuron networks. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8:45–56.

10.   Donato F, Rompani SB, Caroni P. Parvalbumin-expressing basket-cell network plasticity

induced by experience regulates adult learning. Nature. 2013;504:272–276.

11.   Winkel F, Ryazantseva M, Voigt MB, Didio G, Lilja A, Llach Pou M, et al. Pharmacological and

optical activation of TrkB in Parvalbumin interneurons regulate intrinsic states to orchestrate

cortical plasticity. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26:7247–7256.

12.   Fawcett JW, Oohashi T, Pizzorusso T. The roles of perineuronal nets and the perinodal

extracellular matrix in neuronal function. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2019;20:451–465.

13.   Kwok JCF, Dick G, Wang D, Fawcett JW. Extracellular matrix and perineuronal nets in CNS

repair. Dev Neurobiol. 2011;71:1073–1089.

14.   Lensjø KK, Lepperød ME, Dick G, Hafting T, Fyhn M. Removal of perineuronal nets unlocks

juvenile plasticity through network mechanisms of decreased inhibition and increased gamma

activity. Journal of Neuroscience. 2017;37:1269–1283.

15.   Lesnikova A, Casarotto PC, Fred SM, Voipio M, Winkel F, Steinzeig A, et al. Chondroitinase and

antidepressants promote plasticity by releasing TRKB from dephosphorylating control of ptpσ

in parvalbumin neurons. Journal of Neuroscience. 2021;41:972–980.

16.   Pizzorusso T, Medini P, Berardi N, Chierzi S, Fawcett JW, Maffei L. Reactivation of Ocular

Dominance Plasticity in the Adult Visual Cortex. Science (1979). 2002;298:1248–1251.

17.   Ohira K, Takeuchi R, Shoji H, Miyakawa T. Fluoxetine-Induced Cortical Adult Neurogenesis.

Neuropsychopharmacology. 2013;38:909–920.

18.   Mikics É, Guirado R, Umemori J, Tóth M, Biró L, Miskolczi C, et al. Social Learning Requires

Plasticity Enhanced by Fluoxetine Through Prefrontal Bdnf-TrkB Signaling to Limit Aggression

Induced by Post-Weaning Social Isolation. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2018;43:235–245.

19.   Ferguson BR, Gao W-J. PV Interneurons: Critical Regulators of E/I Balance for Prefrontal

545   Cortex-Dependent Behavior and Psychiatric Disorders. Front Neural Circuits. 2018;12.

546   20.   Frischknecht R, Heine M, Perrais D, Seidenbecher CI, Choquet D, Gundelfinger ED. Brain

547   extracellular matrix affects AMPA receptor lateral mobility and short-term synaptic plasticity.

548   Nat Neurosci. 2009;12:897–904.

549   21.   Lesnikova A, Casarotto P, Moliner R, Fred SM, Biojone C, Castrén E. Perineuronal Net Receptor

550   PTPσ Regulates Retention of Memories. Front Synaptic Neurosci. 2021;13.

551   22.   Tewari BP, Chaunsali L, Campbell SL, Patel DC, Goode AE, Sontheimer H. Perineuronal nets

552   decrease membrane capacitance of peritumoral fast spiking interneurons in a model of

553   epilepsy. Nat Commun. 2018;9:4724.

554   23.   Alaiyed S, McCann M, Mahajan G, Rajkowska G, Stockmeier CA, Kellar KJ, et al. Venlafaxine

555   Stimulates an MMP-9-Dependent Increase in Excitatory/Inhibitory Balance in a Stress Model

556   of Depression. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2020;40:4418–4431.

557   24.   Cheng A, Hou Y, Mattson MP. Mitochondria and neuroplasticity. ASN Neuro. 2010;2:243–256.

558   25.   Mattson MP. Mitochondrial regulation of neuronal plasticity. Neurochem Res. 2007;32:707–

559   715.

560   26.   Wong-Riley MTT. Cytochrome oxidase: an endogenous metabolic marker for neuronal activity.

561   Trends Neurosci. 1989;12:94–101.

562   27.   Yano M, Terada K, Mori M. Mitochondrial Import Receptors Tom20 and Tom22 Have

563   Chaperone-like Activity. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2004;279:10808–10813.

564   28.   Kravic B, Harbauer AB, Romanello V, Simeone L, Vögtle F-N, Kaiser T, et al. In mammalian

565   skeletal muscle, phosphorylation of TOMM22 by protein kinase CSNK2/CK2 controls

566   mitophagy. Autophagy. 2018;14:311–335.

29.   de Oliveira MR. Fluoxetine and the mitochondria: A review of the toxicological aspects. Toxicol

Lett. 2016;258:185–191.

30.   Filipović D, Costina V, Perić I, Stanisavljević A, Findeisen P. Chronic fluoxetine treatment directs

energy metabolism towards the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation in rat

hippocampal nonsynaptic mitochondria. Brain Res. 2017;1659:41–54.

31.   Curti C, Mingattao FE, Polizello ACM, Galastri LO, Uyemura SA, Santos AC. Fluoxetine interacts

with the lipid bilayer of the inner membrane in isolated rat brain mitochondria, inhibiting

electron transport and F1F0-ATPase activity. Mol Cell Biochem. 1999;199:103–109.

32.   Hroudová J, Fišar Z. In vitro inhibition of mitochondrial respiratory rate by antidepressants.

Toxicol Lett. 2012;213:345–352.

33.   Agostinho FR, Réus GZ, Stringari RB, Ribeiro KF, Ferreira GK, Jeremias IC, et al. Olanzapine plus

fluoxetine treatment alters mitochondrial respiratory chain activity in the rat brain. Acta

Neuropsychiatr. 2011;23:282–291.

34.   Miller EK, Cohen JD. An Integrative Theory of Prefrontal Cortex Function. Annu Rev Neurosci.

2001;24:167–202.

35.   Grace AA, Floresco SB, Goto Y, Lodge DJ. Regulation of firing of dopaminergic neurons and

control of goal-directed behaviors. Trends Neurosci. 2007;30:220–227.

36.   Guirado R, Perez-Rando M, Ferragud A, Gutierrez-Castellanos N, Umemori J, Carceller H, et al.

A Critical Period for Prefrontal Network Configurations Underlying Psychiatric Disorders and

Addiction. Front Behav Neurosci. 2020;14.

37.   Sotres-Bayon F, Cain CK, LeDoux JE. Brain Mechanisms of Fear Extinction: Historical

Perspectives on the Contribution of Prefrontal Cortex. Biol Psychiatry. 2006;60:329–336.

38.   Quirk GJ, Mueller D. Neural Mechanisms of Extinction Learning and Retrieval.

Neuropsychopharmacology. 2008;33:56–72.

39.   Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI. Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex.

Trends Cogn Sci. 2000;4:215–222.

40.   Hippenmeyer S, Vrieseling E, Sigrist M, Portmann T, Laengle C, Ladle DR, et al. A

Developmental Switch in the Response of DRG Neurons to ETS Transcription Factor Signaling.

PLoS Biol. 2005;3:e159.

41.   Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, et al. A robust and high-

throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat

Neurosci. 2010;13:133–140.

42.   Heiman M, Kulicke R, Fenster RJ, Greengard P, Heintz N. Cell type-specific mRNA purification

by translating ribosome affinity purification (TRAP). Nat Protoc. 2014;9:1282–1291.

43.   Emaus RK, Grunwald R, Lemasters JJ. Rhodamine 123 as a probe of transmembrane potential

in isolated rat-liver mitochondria: spectral and metabolic properties. Biochim Biophys Acta.

1986;850:436–448.

44.   George Paxinos, Keith B. J. Franklin. The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates, 2nd edition.

Academic_Press; 2001.

45.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq

data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15:1–21.

46.   Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, et al. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool

for interpreting omics data. The Innovation. 2021;2:100141.

47.   den Brave F, Pfanner N, Becker T. Mitochondrial entry gate as regulatory hub. Biochimica et

Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research. 2024;1871:119529.

48.   Vanden Broeck A, Klinge S. Eukaryotic Ribosome Assembly. Annu Rev Biochem. 2024;93:189–

613   210.

614   49.   Campos RK, Wijeratne HRS, Shah P, Garcia-Blanco MA, Bradrick SS. Ribosomal stalk proteins

615   RPLP1 and RPLP2 promote biogenesis of flaviviral and cellular multi-pass transmembrane

616   proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48:9872–9885.

617   50.   Tiganis T, Bennett AM. Protein tyrosine phosphatase function: the substrate perspective.

618   Biochemical Journal. 2007;402:1–15.

619   51.   Borovac J, Bosch M, Okamoto K. Regulation of actin dynamics during structural plasticity of

620   dendritic spines: Signaling messengers and actin-binding proteins. Molecular and Cellular

621   Neuroscience. 2018;91:122–130.

622   52.   Tucker K, Fadool DA. Neurotrophin modulation of voltage-gated potassium channels in rat

623   through TrkB receptors is time and sensory experience dependent. J Physiol. 2002;542:413–

624   429.

625   53.   Rantamäki T, Hendolin P, Kankaanpää A, Mijatovic J, Piepponen P, Domenici E, et al.

626   Pharmacologically Diverse Antidepressants Rapidly Activate Brain-Derived Neurotrophic

627   Factor Receptor TrkB and Induce Phospholipase-Cγ Signaling Pathways in Mouse Brain.

628   Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007;32:2152–2162.

629   54.   Fred SM, Laukkanen L, Brunello CA, Vesa L, Göös H, Cardon I, et al. Pharmacologically diverse

630   antidepressants facilitate TRKB receptor activation by disrupting its interaction with the

631   endocytic adaptor complex AP-2. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2019;294:18150–18161.

632   55.   Saarelainen T, Hendolin P, Lucas G, Koponen E, Sairanen M, MacDonald E, et al. Activation of

633   the TrkB Neurotrophin Receptor Is Induced by Antidepressant Drugs and Is Required for

634   Antidepressant-Induced Behavioral Effects. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2003;23:349–357.

56. Shi Y. Serine/Threonine Phosphatases: Mechanism through Structure. Cell. 2009;139:468–

484.

57. Kanai Y, Hediger MA. The glutamate and neutral amino acid transporter family: physiological

and pharmacological implications. Eur J Pharmacol. 2003;479:237–247.

58. Bar-Peled L, Sabatini DM. Regulation of mTORC1 by amino acids. Trends Cell Biol.

2014;24:400–406.

59. Tasan RO, Verma D, Herzog H, Sperk G. Neuropeptide Y in the basolateral amygdala

modulates the acquisition of conditioned fear. BMC Pharmacol. 2010;10:A33.

60. Caillard O, Moreno H, Schwaller B, Llano I, Celio MR, Marty A. Role of the calcium-binding

protein parvalbumin in short-term synaptic plasticity. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences. 2000;97:13372–13377.

61. Schwaller B. The continuing disappearance of "pure" Ca2+ buffers. Cellular and Molecular Life

Sciences. 2009;66:275–300.

62. Dean C, Liu H, Staudt T, Stahlberg MA, Vingill S, Bückers J, et al. Distinct Subsets of Syt-

IV/BDNF Vesicles Are Sorted to Axons versus Dendrites and Recruited to Synapses by Activity.

The Journal of Neuroscience. 2012;32:5398–5413.

63. Pelkey KA, Barksdale E, Craig MT, Yuan X, Sukumaran M, Vargish GA, et al. Pentraxins

Coordinate Excitatory Synapse Maturation and Circuit Integration of Parvalbumin

Interneurons. Neuron. 2015;85:1257–1272.

64. Alaiyed S, Conant K. A Role for Matrix Metalloproteases in Antidepressant Efficacy. Front Mol

Neurosci. 2019;12.

65. Minichiello L. TrkB signalling pathways in LTP and learning. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2009;10:850–

860.

66.   Sohal VS, Rubenstein JLR. Excitation-inhibition balance as a framework for investigating

mechanisms in neuropsychiatric disorders. Mol Psychiatry. 2019;24:1248–1257.

67.   Marín O. Interneuron dysfunction in psychiatric disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012;13:107–

120.

68.   Friedman JR, Nunnari J. Mitochondrial form and function. Nature. 2014;505:335–343.

69.   Milad MR, Quirk GJ. Fear Extinction as a Model for Translational Neuroscience: Ten Years of

Progress. Annu Rev Psychol. 2012;63:129–151.

70.   Duman RS, Sanacora G, Krystal JH. Altered Connectivity in Depression: GABA and Glutamate

Neurotransmitter Deficits and Reversal by Novel Treatments. Neuron. 2019;102:75–90.

667