Target journal: Frontiers in Endocrinology

Special issue: Oxytocin and Metabolic Dysregulation: From Pathophysiology to

Pharmacotherapy

Full title: Effects of systemic oxytocin and beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) treatment

on body weight and adiposity in male diet-induced obese rats

Abbreviated title: Systemic Oxytocin and CL 316243 Treatment Reduces Weight

Jared D. Slattery[1], June R. Rambousek[1], Edison Tsui[1], Mackenzie K. Honeycutt[1], Matvey

Goldberg[1], James L. Graham[5], Tomasz A. Wietecha[2,4], Tami Wolden-Hanson[1], Amber L.

Williams[1], Kevin D. O'Brien[3,4], Peter J. Havel[5,6], and James E. Blevins[1,2]

[1]VA Puget Sound Health Care System, Office of Research and Development Medical

Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Seattle, WA 98108,

USA

[2]Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, University

of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[3]Division of Cardiology, Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of

Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

[4]UW Medicine Diabetes Institute, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle,

WA 98109

[5]Department of Nutrition, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

[6]Department of Molecular Biosciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of

California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Corresponding author's address:

J. E. Blevins, Ph.D.

VA Puget Sound Health Care System

Research-151

1660 South Columbian Way

Seattle, WA 98108

Key words: Obesity, brown adipose tissue, white adipose tissue, food intake, oxytocin

This material was based upon work supported by the Office of Research and
Development, Medical Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) and the
VA Puget Sound Health Care System Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core and the
Cellular and Molecular Imaging Core of the Diabetes Research Center at the University
of Washington and supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant P30DK017047.
This work was also supported by the VA Merit Review Award 5 I01BX004102, from the
United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans Affairs Biomedical Laboratory Research and
Development Service and NIH 5R01DK115976 grant to James Blevins. PJH's research
program also received research support during the project period from NIH grants DK-
095980, HL-091333, HL-107256 and a Multi-campus grant from the University of
California Office of the President.
45
46 The contents do not represent the views of the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs or
47 the United States Government.
48
49 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**
50 The authors thank the technical support of Nishi Ivanov.
51

52 Disclosures: JEB had a financial interest in OXT Therapeutics, Inc., a company that
53 developed highly specific and stable analogs of oxytocin to treat obesity and metabolic
54 disease but this is no longer the case. The authors' interests were reviewed and were
55 managed by their local institutions in accordance with their conflict of interest policies.
56 The other authors have nothing to report.
57

Abstract

Previous studies have implicated hindbrain oxytocin (OT) receptors in the control of food intake and brown adipose tissue (BAT) thermogenesis. We recently demonstrated that hindbrain [fourth ventricle (4V)] administration of oxytocin (OT) could be used as an adjunct to drugs that directly target beta-3 adrenergic receptors (β3-AR) to elicit weight loss in diet-induced obese (DIO) rodents. What remains unclear is whether systemic OT can be used as an adjunct with the β3-AR agonist, CL 316243, to increase BAT thermogenesis and elicit weight loss in DIO rats. We hypothesized that systemic OT and β3-AR agonist (CL 316243) treatment would produce an additive effect to reduce body weight and adiposity in DIO rats by decreasing food intake and stimulating BAT thermogenesis. To test this hypothesis, we determined the effects of systemic (subcutaneous) infusions of OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle (VEH) when combined with daily systemic (intraperitoneal) injections of CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or VEH on body weight, adiposity, food intake and brown adipose tissue temperature (T_{IBAT}). OT and CL 316243 monotherapy decreased body weight by 8.0±0.9% ($P<0.05$) and 8.6±0.6% ($P<0.05$), respectively, but OT in combination with CL 316243 produced more substantial weight loss (14.9±1.0%; $P<0.05$) compared to either treatment alone. These effects were associated with decreased adiposity, energy intake and elevated T_{IBAT} during the treatment period. The findings from the current study suggest that the effects of systemic OT and CL 316243 to elicit weight loss are additive and appear to be driven primarily by OT-elicited changes in food intake and CL 316243-elicited increases in BAT thermogenesis.

Introduction

The obesity epidemic and its associated complications increase the risk for cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, cancer, type 2 diabetes, and COVID-19 [1; 2]. Many of the monotherapies to treat obesity are of limited effectiveness, associated with adverse and/or unwanted side effects (i.e. diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, sleep disturbance and depression) and/or are poorly tolerated. Improvements have been made in monotherapies to treat obesity, particularly within the family of drugs that target the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor (GLP-1R). Although the FDA recently approved the use of the long-acting and highly effective GLP-1R agonist, semaglutide [3], it can also be associated with mild to moderate gastrointestinal (GI) side effects [3; 4], underscoring the need for continued optimization of existing treatments.

Recent studies suggest that combination therapy (co-administration of different compounds) and monomeric therapy (dual or triple agonists in single molecule) are more effective than monotherapy for prolonged weight loss [5; 6]. Marked weight loss has been reported in long-term (20 weeks to ≥ 1 year) clinical studies in humans treated with the amylin analogue, cagrilintide, and semaglutide (≈ 15.6 to 17.1% of initial body weight [7; 8]) and the FDA-approved drug, Qsymia (topiramate + phentermine) (≈ 10.9% of initial body weight; [9]). Alternatively, the monomeric compound, tirzepatide (Zepbound™), targets both GLP-1R and glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide receptor (GIPR), and was recently reported to elicit 20.9% and 25.3% weight loss in humans with obesity over 72- [10] and 88-week trials [11]. Similarly, a recently described drug conjugate, GLP-1-MK-801, which serves as both a GLP-1R agonist and an NMDA receptor antagonist, resulted in 23.2% weight loss following a 14-day treatment regimen in DIO mice [12]. In

addition, retatrutide, a triple-agonist that targets GIPR, glucagon receptors (GCGR) and

GLP-1R was reported to reduce body weight by 24.2% over a 48-week trial [13]. Despite

the considerable improvements that have been made with respect to weight loss, these

treatments are still associated with adverse GI side effects [10], leading, in some cases,

to the discontinuation of the drug in up to 7.1% of participants [10].

While the hypothalamic neuropeptide, oxytocin (OT) is largely associated with

reproductive behavior [14], recent studies implicate an important role for OT in the

regulation of body weight [15; 16; 17; 18]. Studies to date indicate that OT elicits weight

loss, in part, by reducing food intake and increasing lipolysis [19; 20; 21] and energy

expenditure [19; 22; 23; 24]. While OT is effective at evoking prolonged weight loss in

DIO rodents [20; 23; 24; 25; 26; 27; 28; 29] and nonhuman primates [19], its overall

effectiveness as a monotherapy to treat obesity is relatively modest following 4-8 week

treatments in DIO mice (≈4.9%) [29], rats (≈8.7%) [29] and rhesus monkeys (≈3.3%) [19]

thus making it more suited as a combination therapy with other drugs that work through

other mechanisms. Head and colleagues recently reported that systemic OT and the

opioid antagonist, naltrexone, resulted in an enhanced reduction of high-fat, high-sugar

meal in rats [30]. Recently, we found that hindbrain (fourth ventricle; 4V) OT treatment in

combination with systemic treatment with CL 316243, a drug that directly targets beta-3

adrenergic receptors (β3-AR) to increase brown adipose tissue (BAT) thermogenesis [29;

31; 32; 33; 34], resulted in greater weight loss (15.5 ± 1.2% weight loss) than either OT

(7.8 ± 1.3% weight loss) or CL 316243 (9.1 ± 2.1% weight loss) alone [35].

The goal of the current study aimed to determine whether systemic OT treatment

could be used as an adjunct with the β3-AR agonist, CL 316243, to increase BAT

thermogenesis and elicit weight loss in DIO rats when using a more translational route of

administration for OT delivery. We hypothesized that systemic OT and β3-AR agonist (CL

316243) treatment would produce an additive effect to reduce body weight and adiposity

in DIO rats by decreasing food intake and stimulating BAT thermogenesis. To test this,

we determined the effects of systemic (subcutaneous) infusions of OT (50 nmol/day) or

vehicle (VEH) when combined with daily systemic (intraperitoneal (IP)) injections of CL

316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or VEH on body weight, adiposity, food intake, brown adipose tissue

temperature (T_{IBAT}) and thermogenic gene expression.

Methods

Animals

Adult male Long-Evans rats [~ 8-9 weeks old, 292-349 grams at start of high fat dietary

(HFD) intervention/~ 8-10 months old, 526-929 g body weight at study onset] were initially

obtained from Envigo (Indianapolis, IN) and maintained for at least 4 months on a high

fat diet (HFD) prior to study onset. All animals were housed individually in Plexiglas cages

in a temperature-controlled room (22±2°C) under a 12:12-h light-dark cycle. All rats were

maintained on a 1 a.m./1 p.m. light cycle (lights on at 1 a.m./lights off at 1 p.m.). Rats had

ad libitum access to water and a HFD providing 60% kcal from fat (approximately 6.8%

kcal from sucrose and 8.9% of the diet from sucrose) (D12492; Research Diets, Inc., New

Brunswick, NJ). The research protocols were approved both by the Institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee of the Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System

(VAPSHCS) and the University of Washington in accordance with NIH's *Guide for the*

Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NAS, 2011) [36]. We have used the ARRIVE

Essential 10 checklist for reporting animal studies.

Drug Preparation

Fresh solutions of OT acetate salt (Bachem Americas, Inc., Torrance, CA) were

solubilized in sterile water. Each minipump was placed into a test tube containing sterile

0.9% saline and then into a water bath at 37º C for approximately 40 hours prior to

minipump implantation based on manufacturer's recommended priming instructions for

ALZET® model 2004 minipumps. CL 316243 (Tocris/Bio-Techne Corporation,

Minneapolis, MN) was solubilized in sterile water each day of each experiment. CL

316243 was used in place of the FDA-approved β3-AR agonist, Mirabegron, due to the

well-established effects of CL 316243 on lipolysis in isolated white adipocytes cells [37]

and on BAT thermogenesis and energy expenditure in rodent models in our lab [35] and

other labs [33; 34; 38; 39]. In contrast to CL 316243, Mirabegron is not water soluble.

Subcutaneous implantations of osmotic minipumps

The approach for implanting minipumps has been described previously [35]. Briefly,

animals received a subcutaneous implantation of osmotic minipump (model 2004,

DURECT Corporation Cupertino, CA) one week prior to CL 316243 treatment as

previously described [35]. Animals were treated once with the analgesic ketoprofen (2

mg/kg; Fort Dodge Animal Health) at the completion of the minipump implantations.

Implantation of implantable telemetry devices (PDT-4000 HR E-Mitter or PDT-4000) into

the abdominal cavity

Animals were anesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently received implantations of a

sterile PDT-4000 HR E-Mitter (26 mm long × 8 mm wide; Starr Life Sciences Company)

or PDT-4000 E-Mitter (23 mm long × 8 mm wide) into the intraperitoneal cavity. The

abdominal opening was closed with 4-0 Vicryl absorbable suture and the skin was closed

with 4-0 monofilament nonabsorbable suture. Vetbond glue was used to seal the wound

and bind any tissue together between the sutures. Animals were treated with the

analgesic ketoprofen (2 mg/kg; Fort Dodge Animal Health; once/day for 3 consecutive

days, including day of surgery) and the antibiotic enrofloxacin (5 mg/kg; Bayer Healthcare

LLC., Animal Health Division Shawnee Mission, KS, United States; once per day for 4

consecutive days, including day of surgery) at the completion of the intra-abdominal

implantations. Sutures were removed within two weeks after the PDT-4000 HR E-Mitter

implantation. All PDT-4000 HR and PDT-4000 E-Mitters were confirmed to have

remained within the abdominal cavity at the conclusion of the study.

Implantation of temperature transponders underneath interscapular brown adipose tissue

(IBAT)

The approach for implanting temperature transponders has been described previously

[35]. Rats were anesthetized with isoflurane prior to having the dorsal surface along the

upper midline of the back shaved and scrubbed with 70% ethanol followed by betadine

swabs as previously described [29]. Following an incision (1 ") along the midline of the

interscapular area a temperature transponder (14 mm long/2 mm wide) (HTEC IPTT-300;

Bio Medic Data Systems, Inc., Seaford, DE) was implanted underneath the left IBAT pad

as previously described [29; 40; 41]. The transponder was subsequently secured in place

by suturing it to the brown fat pad with sterile silk suture. The interscapular incision was

closed with Nylon sutures (5-0), which were removed in awake animals approximately

10-14 days post-surgery. Animals were treated once with the analgesic ketoprofen (2

mg/kg; Fort Dodge Animal Health) at the completion of the temperature transponder

implantations. HTEC IPTT-300 transponders were used in place of IPTT-300

transponders to enhance accuracy in our measurements as previously described [29].

Acute IP injections and measurements of T_{IBAT}

CL 316243 (or saline vehicle; 0.1 ml/kg injection volume) was administered immediately

prior to the start of the dark cycle following 4 hours of food deprivation. Animals remained

without access to food for an additional 1 (**Study 3**) or 4 h (**Studies 1-2**) during the course

of the T_{IBAT} measurements. The purpose of the fast was to minimize the potential

confound of diet-induced thermogenesis [42] on T_{IBAT}, which was a key measurement in

our studies. A handheld reader (DAS-8007-IUS Reader System; Bio Medic Data

Systems, Inc.) was used to collect measurements of T_{IBAT}. Measurements were taken

under dimmed red light.

Body Composition

Determinations of lean body mass and fat mass were made on un-anesthetized rats by

quantitative magnetic resonance using an EchoMRI 4-in-1-700^{TM} instrument (Echo

Medical Systems, Houston, TX) at the VAPSHCS Rodent Metabolic Phenotyping Core.

Measurements were taken prior to subcutaneous minipump implantations as well as at

the end of the infusion period.

Study Protocols

Study 1: Determine the dose-response effects of systemic CL 316243 on body

weight, energy Intake, T_{IBAT}, core temperature and gross motor activity in male DIO

rats.

Rats (N=10 at study onset) (~ 9 mo old; 517-823 g at start of study) were fed *ad libitum*

and maintained on HFD for approximately 8 months prior to being implanted with

temperature transponders (HTEC IPTT-300) underneath the left IBAT depot. Following a

2-week period, animals were subsequently implanted with a PDT-4000 HR E-Mitter

telemetry device into the abdominal cavity. Following a 3-week recovery period, CL

316243 or vehicle was administered once per animal at approximately 1-week intervals

so that each animal served as its own control. T_{IBAT} was measured daily at baseline (-4

h; 9:00 a.m.), immediately prior to IP injections (0 h; 12:45-1:00 p.m.), and at 0.25, 0.5,

0.75, 1, 20 and 24-h post-injection. The doses of CL 316243 (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg)

were selected based on doses of CL 316243 found to be effective at increasing T_{IBAT}

when administered intraperitoneally [35] into DIO rats.

Changes of Core Temperature and Gross Motor Activity. The protocol for measuring

core temperature and gross motor activity has been described previously [43]. Briefly,

core temperature (surrogate marker of energy expenditure) and gross motor activity

were recorded non-invasively in unanesthetized rats in the home cage every 30 sec

throughout the study.

Study 2: Determine the dose-response effects of systemic infusion of OT (16 and

50 nmol/day) on body weight, adiposity, energy intake and kaolin intake in male

DIO rats.

Rats (N=20 at study onset) (~ 10.5 mo old; 577-962 g at start of study) were fed *ad libitum*

and maintained on HFD for approximately 8.5 months prior to prior to being implanted

with a temperature transponder underneath the left IBAT depot. Following a 2-week

period, animals were matched for both body weight and adiposity prior to being implanted

with minipumps. Rats were subsequently maintained on a daily 4-h fast and received

minipumps to infuse vehicle or OT (16 or 50 nmol/day) over 29 days. These doses were

selected based on a dose of OT found to be effective at reducing body weight or body

weight gain when administered subcutaneously [19; 20] or into the 4V [44] of HFD-fed

rats. Daily food intake and body weight were collected across the 29-day infusion period.

Study 3: Effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-

3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on body weight, body

adiposity, energy intake and T_{IBAT} in male DIO rats.

Rats (N=43 at study onset) (~ 10 mo old; 526-929 g at start of study) were fed *ad libitum*

and maintained on HFD for approximately 9 months prior to receiving implantations of

temperature transponders underneath the left IBAT pad. Following a 1-week recovery

period, a subset of animals (N=15) was implanted with a PDT-4000 E-Mitter telemetry

device into the abdominal cavity or received sham implantations (N=10). Following up to

a 1-month recovery period, animals were matched for both body weight and adiposity and

were subsequently implanted with minipumps to infuse vehicle or OT (50 nmol/day) over

29 days, respectively. After having matched animals for OT-elicited reductions in body

weight (infusion day 7), DIO rats subsequently received single daily IP injections of VEH

or CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg). We selected this dose of CL 316243 because it reduced

energy intake and body weight gain and elevated both T_{IBAT} and core temperature and

(**Study 1**). Importantly, we found that this dose of CL 3162243 and CNS administration

of OT were found to produce an additive effect on weight loss in DIO rats [35]. In addition,

we selected the dose of OT (50 nmol/day) because it produced comparable weight loss

to that of OT alone in **Study 1**. T_{IBAT} was measured daily at baseline (-4 h; 9:00 a.m.),

immediately prior to IP injections (0 h; 12:45-1:00 p.m.), and at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 20 and

24-h post-injection. In addition, daily food intake and body weight were collected across

the 29-day infusion period. Data from animals that received the single dose of CL-316243

were analyzed over the 29-day infusion period.

Kaolin measurements

Kaolin (K50001, Research Diets, Inc.) intake (g) was assessed over 29 days following

implantation of minipumps containing vehicle (saline) or OT (16 or 50 nmol/day).

Placement of kaolin and high fat diet was reversed every other day within each treatment

condition.

Adipose tissue processing for adipocyte size and UCP-1 analysis

Inguinal white adipose tissue (IWAT) and epididymal white adipose tissue (EWAT) depots were collected at the end of the infusion period in rats from **Study 3**. Rats from each group were euthanized following a 3-h fast. Rats were euthanized with intraperitoneal injections of ketamine cocktail [ketamine hydrochloride (214.3 mg/kg), xylazine (10.71 mg/kg) and acepromazine (3.3 mg/kg) in an injection volume up to 2 mL/rat] and transcardially exsanguinated with PBS followed by perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS. Adipose tissue (IBAT, IWAT, and EWAT) was dissected and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde-PBS for 24 h and then placed in 70% ethanol (EtOH) prior to paraffin embedding. Sections (5 μm) sampled were obtained using a rotary microtome, slide-mounted using a floatation water bath (37°C), and baked for 30 min at 60°C to give approximately 15-16 slides/fat depot with two sections/slide.

Adipocyte size analysis and immunohistochemical staining of UCP-1

Adipocyte size analysis was performed on deparaffinized, airdried, unstained and uncovered sections. Slightly underexposed photographs of dry sectioned produced clear, highly contrasted black and white images suitable for a built-in particle counting method of ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Images were first converted to 16-bit files and then modified and analyzed with a cell shape factor 0.35-1 (a shape factor of 0 represents a straight line and a shape factor of 1 indicates a circle) (methods modified from [45]). Fixed (4% PFA), paraffin-embedded adipose tissue was sectioned and stained with a—primary rabbit anti-UCP-1 antibody (1:100; Abcam, Cambridge, MA (#ab10983/RRID: AB_2241462)] as has been previously described in

lean C57BL/6J mice [46] and both lean and DIO C57BL/6 mice after having been

screened in both IBAT and IWAT of Ucp1$^{+/-}$ and Ucp1$^{-/-}$ mice [47]. Immunostaining

specificity controls included omission of the primary antibody and replacement of the

primary antibody with normal rabbit serum at the same dilution as the respective primary

antibody. Area quantification for UCP-1 staining was performed on digital images of

immunostained tissue sections using image analysis software (Image Pro Plus software,

Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA). Slides were visualized using bright field on an

Olympus BX51 microscope (Olympus Corporation of the Americas; Center Valley, PA)

and photographed using a Canon EOS 5D SR DSLR (Canon U.S.A., Inc., Melville, NY)

camera at 100X magnification. Values for each tissue within a treatment were averaged

to obtain the mean of the treatment group.

Blood collection

Blood was collected from 4-h (**Study 1**) or 6-h fasted rats (**Studies 2-3**) within a 2-h

window towards the end of the light cycle (10:00 a.m.-12:00 p.m.) as previously described

in DIO CD® IGS rats and mice [25; 29]. Animals from **Study 3** were euthanized at 2-h

post-CL 316243 or VEH treatment. Treatment groups were counterbalanced at time of

euthanasia to avoid time of day bias. Blood samples [up to 3 mL] were collected

immediately prior to transcardial perfusion by cardiac puncture in chilled K2 EDTA

Microtainer Tubes (Becton-Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Whole blood was centrifuged

at 6,000 rpm for 1.5-min at 4°C; plasma was removed, aliquoted and stored at −80°C for

subsequent analysis.

Plasma hormone measurements

Plasma leptin and insulin were measured using electrochemiluminescence detection [Meso Scale Discovery (MSD®), Rockville, MD] using established procedures [29; 48]. Intra-assay coefficient of variation (CV) for leptin was 2.7% and 3.2% for insulin. The range of detectability for the leptin assay is 0.137-100 ng/mL and 0.069-50 ng/mL for insulin. Plasma fibroblast growth factor-21 (FGF-21) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and irisin (AdipoGen, San Diego, CA) levels were determined by ELISA. The intra-assay CV for FGF-21 and irisin were 4.5% and 8.4%, respectively; the ranges of detectability were 31.3-2000 pg/mL (FGF-21) and 0.078-5 μg/mL (irisin). Plasma adiponectin was also measured using electrochemiluminescence detection Meso Scale Discovery (MSD®), Rockville, MD] using established procedures [29; 48]. Intra-assay CV for adiponectin was 1.1%. The range of detectability for the adiponectin assay is 2.8-178 ng/mL. The data were normalized to historical values using a pooled plasma quality control sample that was assayed in each plate.

Blood glucose and lipid measurements

Blood was collected for glucose measurements by tail vein nick following a 4 (**Study 1**) or 6-h fast (**Studies 2-3**) and measured with a glucometer using the AlphaTRAK 2 blood glucose monitoring system (Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL) [49]. Tail vein glucose was measured at 2-h post-CL 316243 or VEH treatment (**Study 3**). Total cholesterol (TC) [Fisher Diagnostics (Middletown, VA)] and free fatty acids (FFAs) [Wako Chemicals USA, Inc., Richmond, VA)] were measured using an enzymatic-based kits. Intra-assay CVs for

TC and FFAs were 1.4 and 2.3%, respectively. These assay procedures have been

validated for rodents [50].

Tissue collection for quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

IBAT, EWAT and IWAT tissue was collected from 4 (**Study 1**) or 6-h fasted rats (**Study**

3). In addition, animals from **Study 3** were euthanized at 2-h post-CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)

or VEH administration. IBAT, EWAT and IWAT were collected within a 2-h window

towards the end of the light cycle (10:00 a.m.-12:00 p.m.) as previously described in DIO

CD® IGS/Long-Evans rats and C57BL/6J mice [25; 29; 44]. Tissue was rapidly removed,

wrapped in foil and frozen in liquid N2. Samples were stored frozen at -80°C until analysis.

qPCR

RNA extracted from samples of IBAT, EWAT and IWAT (**Study 3**) were analyzed using

the RNeasy Lipid Mini Kit (Qiagen Sciences Inc, Germantown, MD) followed by reverse

transcription into cDNA using a high-capacity cDNA archive kit (Applied Biosystems,

Foster City, CA). Quantitative analysis for relative levels of mRNA in the RNA extracts

was measured in duplicate by qPCR on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR

system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The TaqMan® probe for rat *Nono*

(Rn01418995_g1), *Ucp1 (*catalog no. Rn00562126_m1), *Adrb1 (*catalog no.

Rn00824536_s1), *Adrb3 (*catalog no. Rn01478698_g1), type 2 deiodinase (*Dio2)*

(catalog no. Rn00581867_m1), G-protein coupled receptor 120 (*Gpr120*; catalog no.

Rn01759772_m1), cell death-inducing DNA fragmentation factor α-like effector A (*Cidea*;

catalog no. Rn04181355_m1), peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma

coactivator 1α (*Ppargc1a*; catalog no. Rn00580241_m1) and PR domain containing 16

(*Prdm16*; catalog no. Rn01516224_m1) were acquired from Thermo Fisher Scientific

(Thermo Fisher Scientific Gene Expression Assay probes). Relative amounts of target

mRNA were determined using the Comparative C_T or $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method [51] following

adjustment for the housekeeping gene, *Nono*. Specific mRNA levels of all genes of

interest were normalized to the cycle threshold value of *Nono* mRNA in each sample and

expressed as changes normalized to controls (vehicle/vehicle treatment).

Verification of transponder and telemetry device (E-Mitter) placement

All temperature transponders, PDT-4000 HR E-Mitters and PDT-4000 E-Mitters were

confirmed to have remained underneath the IBAT depot and within the abdominal cavity,

respectively, at the conclusion of the study.

Statistical Analyses

All results are expressed as means ± SE. Comparisons between multiple groups involving

between-subjects designs were made using one- or two-way ANOVA as appropriate,

followed by a post-hoc Fisher's least significant difference test. Comparisons involving

within-subjects designs were made using a one-way repeated-measures ANOVA

followed by a post-hoc Fisher's least significant difference test. Analyses were performed

using the statistical program SYSTAT (Systat Software, Point Richmond, CA).

Differences were considered significant at *P*<0.05, 2-tailed.

Results

Study 1: **Determine the dose-response effects of acute systemic CL 316243 on body weight, energy intake, T_{IBAT}, core temperature and gross motor activity in male DIO rats.**

The goal of this study was to identify a dose range of the beta-3 receptor agonist, CL 316243, that resulted in weight loss, reduced energy intake and an elevation in IBAT and core temperature in DIO rats. The effective dosing data from this study was used to select a dose range (0.01-1 mg/kg, IP) for use in the subsequent chronic dose escalation study (**Study 3**). By design, DIO rats were obese as determined by both body weight (782.1±22.2 g) and adiposity (288.4±12.4 g fat mass; 36.8±0.8% adiposity) after maintenance on the HFD for approximately 8 months.

Body weight

As in our previous study in DIO rats [35], there was an overall significant main effect of CL 316243 to reduce body weight gain at 20- [(F(4,36) = 4.347, P=0.006], 44- [(F(4,36) = 4.734, P=0.004], 68- [(F(4,36) = 7.316, P<0.01], 92- [(F(4,36) = 3.858, P=0.010], 116- [(F(4,36) = 8.203, P<0.01], 140- [(F(4,36) = 7.531, P<0.01], 164- [(F(4,36) = 6.100, P=0.001] and 188-h post-injection [(F(4,36) = 5.129, P=0.002].

Specifically, the highest dose (1 mg/kg) reduced body weight gain across all post-injection time intervals (P<0.05 vs VEH) (**Figure 1A**). The second highest dose of CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) also reduced weight gain at 20, 44, 68, 92, 1116, 140, and 164-h post-injection. A lower dose of CL 31243 (0.1 mg/kg) was also effective at reducing

weight gain at 20-, 44-, 68-, 116, 140, 164 and 188-h post-0injection. The lowest dose

(0.01 mg/kg) reduced body weight gain at 44-, 116-h post-injection and tended to

reduce body weight gain at 68-h post-injection.

Energy intake

As in our previous study in DIO rats [35], there was a significant main effect of CL

316243 to reduce energy intake at 20-h [(F(4,36) = 17.431, $P<0.01$], 44-h [(F(4,36) =

3.688, $P=0.013$], 116-h [(F(4,36) = 3.094, $P=0.027$] and 140-h post-injection [(F(4,36) =

4.416, $P=0.007$]. In addition, there was a near significant effect at 68-h post-injection

[(F(4,36) = 2.131, $P=0.097$].

Specifically, CL 316243 reduced 20-h energy intake at 0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg

($P<0.05$) by 28.4 ± 5.5, 41.4 ± 5.4, 45.0 ± 6.0, and 56.5 ± 5.8% (**Figure 1B**). The higher

doses (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg) also reduced energy intake by 18.0 ± 11.1, 17.8 ± 12.1,

and 37.8 ± 7.7% ($P<0.05$) at 44-h post-injection ($P<0.05$). Furthermore, the highest

dose (1 mg/kg) reduced energy intake by 25.7 ± 10.3, 13.0 ± 11.6, 22.8 ± 5.7 and 13.0 ±

11.6% at 68-, 92-, 116- and 140-h post-injection ($P<0.05$).

T_{IBAT}

As in our previous study in DIO rats [35], there was a significant main effect of CL

316243 to elevate T_{IBAT} at 0.25- [(F(4,36) = 22.639, $P<0.01$], 0.5- [(F(4,36) = 31.681,

$P<0.01$], 0.75- [(F(4,36) = 19.777, $P<0.01$], 1- [(F(4,36) = 14.901, $P<0.01$], 1.25-

[(F(4,36) = 19.606, $P<0.01$], 1.5- [(F(4,36) = 12.651, $P<0.01$], 1.75- [(F(4,36) = 18.074,

P<0.01], 2- [(F(4,36) = 25.583, *P*<0.01], 3- [(F(4,36) = 23.501, *P*<0.01], 4- [(F(4,36) =

30.853, *P*<0.01] and 16-h post-injection [(F(4,36) = 13.296, *P*<0.01]. We also found a

significant effect of time [(F(10,450) = 99.883, *P*<0.01] and a significant interactive effect

between time and dose [(F(40,450) = 2.635, *P*<0.01] across 11 time points over the 16-

h measurement period.

Specifically, systemic injections of CL 316243 (0.01-1 mg/kg) (**Figure 1C**) increased

T_{IBAT} at all time points between 0.25-h and 4-h post-injection. The higher doses (0.1-1

mg/kg) also increased T_{IBAT} at 16-h post-injection (*P*<0.05).

Core temperature

There was a significant main effect of CL 316243 to elevate core temperature at 2-

[(F(4,28) = 5.833, P<0.01], 3- [(F(4,28) = 7.394, *P*<0.01], 4- [(F(4,28) = 9.207, *P*<0.01],

5- [(F(4,28) = 3.534, *P*=0.019], 14- [(F(4,28) = 4.497, *P*=0.006], 16- [(F(4,28) = 7.136,

P<0.01], 22- [(F(4,28) = 3.382, *P*=0.022], 24- [(F(4,28) = 14.967, P<0.01] and 40-h post-

injection [(F(4,28) = 4.718, *P*=0.005].

CL 316243 produced a near significant main effect to elevate core temperature at 1.75-

[(F(4,28) = 2.211, *P*=0.093] and 6-h post-injection [(F(4,28) = 2.419, *P*=0.072]. We also

found a significant effect of time [(F(12,420) = 25.873, *P*<0.01] and a significant

interactive effect between time and dose [(F(48,420) = 1.830, *P*=0.001] across 13 time

points over the initial 16-h measurement period.

Specifically, systemic injections of CL 316243 increased core temperature at 0.5- (0.01

and 0.1 mg), 1.5- (0.5 mg/kg), 2- (0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg), 3- (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1

mg/kg), 4- (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg), 5- (0.1 and 1 mg/kg), 6- (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg),

14- (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg), 16- (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg), 22- (0.5 and 1 mg/kg), 24-

(0.5 and 1 mg/kg), and 40-h post-injection (1 mg/kg).

There was also a near significant effect of CL 316243 to stimulate core temperature at

0.5-h (0.5 mg/kg), 0.75-h (0.1 mg/kg), 1.75-h (0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg), 5-h (0.01 and

0.5 mg/kg), 6-h (0.01 mg/kg), 12-h (0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg), 16- (0.01 mg/kg), 20-h post-

injection (0.5 mg/kg) and 24-h post-injection (0.01 mg/kg).

Two animals were removed from the core temperature and gross motor activity analysis

due to defective telemetry devices.

Gross motor activity

There was a significant main effect of CL 316243 to reduce gross motor activity at 1-h

post-injection [(F(4,28) = 5.603, P=0.002]. There was also a near significant main effect

of CL 316243 to reduce gross motor activity at 0.75-h post-injection [(F(4,28) = 2.375,

P=0.076].

Specifically, CL 316243 reduced gross motor activity at 0.75- (0.01, 0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg),

and 1-h post-injection (0.01, 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg) (P<0.05; data not shown). There was

also a near significant effect of CL 316243 (0.01 and 1 mg/kg) to reduce core temperature

at 22-h post-injection ($0.05 < P < 0.01$). Otherwise, CL 316243 was ineffective at altering

gross motor activity at any other time point (P=NS vs vehicle; data not shown).

Study 2: Determine the dose-response effects of systemic infusion of OT (16 and

50 nmol/day) on body weight, adiposity, energy intake and kaolin intake in male

DIO rats

By design, rats were diet-induced obese (DIO) as determined by both body weight (771

±24.5 g) and adiposity (312.3±16.7 g fat mass; 40.1±1.1% adiposity) after maintenance

on the HFD for approximately 8.5 months. Following temperature transponder

implantations and prior to minipump implantations, groups were again matched for body

weight and adiposity (vehicle: 760±56.5 grams/36.8±1.7% fat/283.3±31.9 g fat mass);

OT (16 nmol/day): 758.6±39.9 grams/36.8±2.0% fat/282.0±28.1 g fat mass; OT (50

nmol/day): 763.6±45.7 grams/36.6±1.8% fat/284.5±31.1 g fat mass. There was no

difference in body weight [($F_{(2,17)}$ = 0.027, P=NS)] or percent adiposity [($F_{(2,17)}$ = 0.003,

P=NS)] between groups prior to treatment onset. As expected, body weight of DIO rats

remained stable over the month of vehicle treatment relative to pre-treatment [($F_{(1,5)}$ =

2.865, P=0.151)] (**Figure 2A**). In contrast to vehicle treatment, systemic OT (16 nmol/day)

resulted in a significant reduction of body weight relative to OT pre-treatment [($F_{(1,6)}$ =

140.799, $P < 0.01$)] (**Figure 2A**; $P < 0.05$). Furthermore, SC OT, at a 3-fold higher dose (50

nmol/day), also resulted in a significant reduction of body weight relative to pre-treatment

[($F_{(1,6)}$ = 47.271, $P < 0.01$)].

In addition, SC OT (16 and 50 nmol/day) was able to reduce weight gain (**Figure 2B**)

relative to vehicle treatment throughout the 28-day infusion period. SC OT (50 nmol/day),

at a dose that was at least 3-fold higher than the centrally effective dose (16 nmol/day),

reduced weight gain throughout the entire 28-day infusion period. SC OT (16 nmol/day)

treated rats had reduced weight gain between days 2-29 ($P<0.05$) while SC OT (50

nmol/day) reduced weight gain between days 1-29 ($P<0.05$). There was an overall effect

of OT to reduce relative fat mass (pre- vs post-intervention) [($F_{(2,17)} = 6.052$, $P=0.010$)].

SC OT (50 nmol/day) reduced fat mass ($P<0.05$) and there was also a tendency for the

lower dose (16 nmol/day) to reduce relative fat mass ($P=0.066$) (**Figure 2C**; $P<0.05$).

There was also an overall effect of OT to reduce relative lean mass (pre- vs post-

intervention) [($F_{(2,17)} = 5.572$, $P=0.014$)]. Specifically, SC OT (16 nmol/day) reduced

relative lean mass at the lower dose (16 nmol/day; $P<0.01$) while the higher dose (50

nmol/day) tended to reduce relative lean mass ($P=0.090$). Note that there was no

significant reduction in total fat mass or lean mass (P=NS).

The changes in body weight and relative fat mass were not associated with any changes

in plasma leptin, insulin, glucose or total cholesterol (**Table 1**). These effects that were

mediated, at least in part, by a modest reduction of energy intake that was apparent during

weeks 1 (50 nmol/day) and 2 (16 and 50 nmol/day) of OT treatment (**Figure 2D**; $P<0.05$).

The reduction of energy intake does not appear to be due to an aversive effect of systemic

OT (16 or 50 nmol/day), since there was no effect on kaolin consumption relative to

vehicle-treated DIO rats (P=NS; data not shown).

Energy intake data from a vehicle-treated animal during week 3 was deleted on account

of an error that was made when recording the data. Kaolin data from a subset of animals

was excluded on account of these animals shredding the kaolin diet (2 measurements

during week 2, 1 measurement during week 3 and 1 measurement during week 4) and

being significant outliers (Grubb's test for outliers).

Study 3: Effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic β3-

AR agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on body weight, body adiposity,

energy intake and kaolin intake in male DIO rats.

The goal of this study was to determine the effects of chronic OT treatment (single dose

identified from **Study 2**) in combination with a single dose of the β3-AR agonist, CL

316243, on body weight and adiposity in DIO rats. By design, DIO rats were obese as

determined by both body weight (804±14 g) and adiposity (310±11 g fat mass; 38.3±4.7%

adiposity) after maintenance on the HFD for approximately 7 months. Prior to the onset

of CL 316243 treatment on infusion day 7, both OT treatment groups were matched for

OT-elicited reductions of weight gain.

OT and CL 316243 alone reduced body weight by $\approx$ 7.8±1.3% (P<0.05) and 9.1±2.3%

(*P*<0.05), respectively, but the combined treatment produced more pronounced weight

loss (pre- vs post-intervention) (15.5±1.2%; *P*<0.05) (**Figure 3A**) than either treatment

alone (*P*<0.05). OT alone tended to reduce weight gain on days 16-28 (0.05<*P*<0.1) while

CL 316243 alone tended to reduce or reduced weight gain on day 24 (0.05<*P*<0.1), day

25 (*P*=0.05), and days 26-28 (*P*<0.05) (**Figure 3B**). OT and CL 316243 together tended

to reduce weight gain on day 9 ($0.05 < P < 0.1$) reduced weight gain on days 10-28

($P < 0.05$). The combination treatment appeared to produce a more pronounced reduction

of weight gain relative to OT alone on day 25 ($0.05 < P < 0.1$) and this reached significance

on days 26-28 ($P < 0.05$).

In addition, the combination treatment tended to produce a greater reduction of weight

gain relative to CL 316243 alone on days 21-22 and 26-28 ($0.05 < P < 0.1$). While OT alone

did not significantly reduce fat mass (P=NS), there was a tendency for CL 316243 alone

($0.05 < P < 0.1$), and the combination of OT and CL 316243 ($P < 0.05$) to reduce fat mass

without impacting lean body mass (**Figure 3C**; P=NS). However, the combination

treatment did not result in a significant reduction of fat mass relative to OT alone or CL

316243 alone (P=NS). OT and CL 316243 alone did produce a reduction in relative fat

mass (pre- vs post-intervention; $P < 0.05$). OT also produced a modest reduction in relative

lean mass (**Figure 3D**; $P < 0.05$). The combination treatment also produced a significant

reduction of relative fat mass ($P < 0.05$) which exceeded that of OT and CL 316243 alone

($P < 0.05$).

Systemic OT treatment alone reduced energy intake during week 1 ($P < 0.05$). OT, CL

316243 and the combined treatment were effective at reducing energy intake at week 2

(**Figure 3E**; $P < 0.05$). OT and the combined treatment reduced energy intake during week

3 ($P < 0.05$) but CL 316243 failed to reduce energy intake during this time (P=NS). All

treatments were ineffective at reducing energy intake over weeks 3 and 4 (P=NS). The

reduction of energy intake in response to OT alone, CL 316243 alone or the combined

treatment does not appear to be due to an aversive effect, since there was no effect on

kaolin consumption relative to vehicle-treated DIO rats (P=NS; data not shown).

Two-way ANOVA revealed an overall significant effect of OT [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 63.434, $P<0.01$)],

CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 74.939, $P<0.01$)] but no significant interactive effect between OT

and CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 0.058, P=NS)] on weight loss. Consistent with this finding,

two-way ANOVA revealed consistent overall effects of OT and CL-3162343 on reduction

of body weight gain between days 10-29 but no significant overall effect. In addition, two-

way ANOVA revealed no overall significant effect of OT [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 2.003, P=0.165)], a

significant effect of CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 6.859, P=0.012)] and no significant interactive

effect between OT and CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 0.005, P=0.943)] on fat mass. There was

no significant overall effect of OT [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 2.069, P=0.158)] or CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ =

0.217, P=0.644)] on lean mass and no interactive effect of OT and CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$

= 0.004, P=0.950)] on lean mass. Lastly, two-way ANOVA revealed an overall significant

effect of OT [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 21.464, $P<0.01$)], CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 62.681, $P<0.01$)] and a

near significant interactive effect between OT and CL 316243 [($F_{(1,39)}$ = 3.190, P=0.082)]

on energy intake (week 2).

Overall, these findings suggest an additive effect of OT and CL 316243 to produce

sustained weight loss in DIO rats. The effects of the combination treatment on adiposity

and energy intake appear to be driven largely by CL 316243 and OT, respectively.

CL 316243 elevated T_{IBAT} on injection day 1 at 0.5, 0.75 and 1-h post-injection ($P<0.05$;

Supplemental Figure 1A) and tended to elevate T_{IBAT} at 0.25-h post-injection

(0.05<P<0.1). Similarly, CL 316243, when given in combination with OT, also increased

T_{IBAT} at 0.5, 0.75 and 1-h post-injection and tended to elevate T_{IBAT} at 0.25-h post-injection

on injection day 1 (0.05<P<0.1). Both CL 316243 and CL 316243 + OT treatments

elevated T_{IBAT} relative to vehicle treated animals when the T_{IBAT} data from injection day 1

were averaged over 1-h post-injection (P<0.05). There was no significant difference in

T_{IBAT} response to CL 316243 CL 316243 + OT treatments when the T_{IBAT} data were

averaged over 60 min (P=NS).

CL 316243 also elevated T_{IBAT} on injection day 22 at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1-h post-injection

(P<0.05; **Supplemental Figure 1B**). Similarly, CL 316243, when given in combination

with OT, also increased T_{IBAT} at 0.5 and 0.75-h post-injection and tended to elevate T_{IBAT}

at 0.25 and 1-h post-injection on injection day 22 (0.05<P<0.1). Both CL 316243 and CL

316243 + OT treatments elevated T_{IBAT} relative to vehicle treated animals when the T_{IBAT}

data from injection day 22 were averaged over 1-h post-injection (P<0.05). There was no

significant difference in the T_{IBAT} response to CL 316243 and CL 316243 +OT treatments

when the T_{IBAT} data were averaged over 60 min (P=NS).

In addition, in a limited number of subjects (N=3-5/group), we found that CL 31243 in

combination with OT elevated core temperature at 20-h post-injection relative to vehicle-

treated animals (P<0.05; data not shown). CL 316243 alone also tended to elevate 20-h

core temperature (P=0.1; data not shown). No changes in 20-h activity occurred in

response to the treatments (data not shown).

Adipocyte size

H&E-stained sections from the four treatment conditions are shown in **Figure 4A-D (EWAT) and Figure 4E-H (IWAT)**. CL 316243 alone (*P*<0.05) and in combination with OT (*P*<0.05) reduced EWAT adipocyte size in DIO rats relative to vehicle treatment (**Supplemental Figure 2A; Figure 5A**). There were no significant differences in the ability of the combined treatment to reduce EWAT adipocyte size relative to CL 316243 alone (*P*=0.156). Similarly, OT and CL 316243 given in combination reduced IWAT adipocyte size whereas there was no significant effect of OT or CL 316343 on adipocyte size when given alone (*P*=NS) (**Supplemental Figure 2B**; **Figure 5B**). A subset of EWAT (N=6) and IWAT (N=5) samples were excluded from the adipocyte size analysis due to poor tissue quality or an insufficient amount of tissue.

.

UCP-1 expression

CL 316243 alone and in combination with OT (*P*<0.05) increased UCP-1 expression in EWAT relative to VEH. In addition, CL 316243 alone also increased UCP-1 relative to OT (P<0.05). The combination of CL 316243 and OT also increased UCP-1 relative to OT treatment alone (**Figure 6A-D**; **Figure 7A)**, but was not different from CL 316243 alone (*P*=0.167). Similarly, CL 316243 in combination with OT (*P*<0.05) increased UCP-1 expression in IWAT relative to VEH treatment and OT treatment (**Figure 6A-D**; **Figure 7A**). A subset of EWAT (N=10) and IWAT (N=3) samples were excluded in the UCP-1 expression analysis due to poor tissue quality or an insufficient amount of tissue.

Plasma hormone concentrations

To characterize the endocrine and metabolic effects of systemic OT (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) in DIO rats in a chronic study using as single dose of CL 316243 (**Study 3; Table 2**), we measured blood glucose levels and plasma concentrations of leptin, insulin, FGF-21, irisin, adiponectin, TC, triglycerides, and FFAs. CL 316243 alone or in combination with OT resulted in a reduction of plasma leptin relative to OT ($P<0.05$) or vehicle alone ($P<0.05$). The combination treatment was also associated with a reduction of blood glucose and insulin relative to vehicle ($P<0.05$) and OT treatment ($P<0.05$) but it was not statistically different from CL 316243 (P=NS). We also found that FGF-21 was reduced in response to CL 316243 and CL 316243 in combination with OT relative to vehicle and OT treatment ($P<0.05$). In addition, the combination treatment was associated with an elevation of adiponectin relative to vehicle ($P<0.05$) and OT treatment ($P<0.05$) but it was not statistically different from CL 316243 (P=NS). A subset of data (N=2) was excluded from the plasma hormone analysis from **Study 3** due to gross hemolysis or samples having been misplaced.

Gene expression data

We next determined the extent to which CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg), OT (50 nmol/day), or the combination treatment increased thermogenic gene expression in IBAT, EWAT and IWAT relative to vehicle at 2-hour post-CL 316243/vehicle injections.

IBAT: Consistent with published findings in mice and rats, chronic CL 316243 administration elevated relative levels of the thermogenic markers *Ucp1* [52; 53; 54], *Dio2*

[35; 55], *Ppargc1a* [53] and *Gpr120* [35; 53] (**Table 3A**; *P*<0.05). CL 316243 in

combination with OT also elevated *Ucp1*, *Dio2, Ppargc1a* and *Gpr120* (*P*<0.05). In

addition, chronic CL 316243 alone and in combination with OT reduced *Adrb3* (β3-AR)

mRNA expression in IBAT (**Table 3A**; *P*<0.05).

EWAT: CL 316243 elevated relative levels of the thermogenic marker Ucp1 relative to

vehicle treatment (*P*<0.05; **Table 3B**). CL 316243 in combination with OT also elevated

Ucp1, *Dio2*, *Ppargc1a* and *Adrb3* relative to vehicle controls (*P*<0.05; **Table 3B**).

A subset of EWAT data [(N=5 (*Ucp1*); N=3 (*Dio2*); N=3 (*Ppargc1a*); N=1 (*Adrb3*), N=2

(*Cidea*)] was excluded from the EWAT gene expression analysis on account of missing

samples, samples with undetectable values or statistical outliers (Grubbs' test for

outliers).

IWAT: There were no significant differences in the thermogenic markers *Ucp1*, *Dio2*,

Ppargc1a or *Adrb3* in response to chronic CL 316243 alone or in combination with OT

(**Table 3C**; *P*=NS).

A subset of IWAT data [(N=3 (Ucp1); N=3 (Dio2); N=1 (Ppargc1a); N=2 (Adrb3)] was

excluded from the IWAT gene expression analysis on account of missing samples,

samples with undetectable values or statistical outliers (Grubbs' test for outliers).

As a functional readout of BAT thermogenesis for the gene expression analyses, we

measured T_{IBAT} in response to CL 316243 alone or CL 316243 + OT during the time

period that preceded tissue collection. CL 316243 alone resulted in an increase in T_{IBAT}

at 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1-h post-injection (**Supplemental Figure 3**; $P<0.05$). Similarly, CL

316243 + OT resulted in an increase in T_{IBAT} at 0.5-h post-injection (**Supplemental**

Figure 3; $P<0.05$) and it also tended to increase T_{IBAT} at 0.75-h post-injection

(**Supplemental Figure 3**; $0.05<P<0.1$).

Discussion

The goal of the current study was to determine the extent to which systemic OT could be

used as an adjunct with the β3-AR agonist, CL 316243, to increase BAT thermogenesis

and elicit weight loss in DIO rats. We hypothesized that systemic OT and beta-3 agonist

(CL 316243) treatment would produce an additive effect to reduce body weight and

adiposity in DIO rats by decreasing food intake and stimulating BAT thermogenesis. To

test this hypothesis, we determined the effects of systemic (subcutaneous) infusions of

OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle (VEH) when combined with daily systemic (intraperitoneal)

injections of CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or VEH on body weight, adiposity, food intake and

brown adipose tissue temperature (T_{IBAT}). OT and CL 316243 monotherapy decreased

body weight by 8.0±0.9% ($P<0.05$) and 8.6±0.6% ($P<0.05$), respectively, but OT in

combination with CL 316243 produced more substantial weight loss (14.9±1.0%; $P<0.05$)

compared to either treatment alone. These effects were associated with decreased

adiposity, energy intake and elevated T_{IBAT} during the treatment period. In addition,

systemic OT and CL 316243 combination therapy increased IBAT thermogenic gene

expression suggesting that increased BAT thermogenesis may also contribute to these

effects. The findings from the current study suggest that the effects of systemic OT and

CL 316243 to reduce body weight and adiposity are additive and appear to be driven

primarily by OT-elicited changes in food intake and CL 316243-elicited increases in BAT

thermogenesis.

Recent findings also indicate that other agents, namely the glucagon-like peptide-1

receptor agonist, liraglutide, and the fat signal, oleoylethanolamide (OEA), act in an

additive fashion with CL 316243 to reduce body weight or body weight gain. Oliveira

recently reported that the combination of liraglutide and CL 316243 produce additive

effects to reduce the change in body weight in a mouse model [56]. These effects

appeared to be attributed to additive effects on energy intake and increased oxygen

consumption in IBAT and IWAT. In addition, the combined treatment increased

expression of UCP-1 in IWAT (indicative of browning). Similarly, Suarez and colleagues

demonstrated that the peroxisome proliferator-activating receptor-α (PPARα) agonist and

fat signal, oleoylethanolamide (OEA), act in an additive fashion with CL 316243 produced

to reduce food intake and weight gain in rats [33]. These effects were associated with

pronounced reductions in fat mass and increases in expression of thermogenic genes

(*PPARα* and *Ucp1*) in EWAT [33]. Of interest is the finding that systemic OT and central

OT can increase OEA expression in EWAT [20]. Furthermore, Deblon reported that the

effectiveness of OT to decrease body weight was partially blocked in PPARα null mice

[20], indicating that PPARα may partially mediate OT's thermogenic effects in EWAT.

OEA has also been found to stimulate 1) hypothalamic expression of OT mRNA [57], 2)

PVN OT neurons [58], and 3) OT release within the PVN [58]. In addition, OEA also

decreases food intake, in part, through OT receptor signaling [57]. Thus, it is possible that

high fat diet-elicited stimulation of OEA [59] may reduce food intake, in part, through an

OTR signaling and that the effects of OT to stimulate WAT thermogenesis might occur

through PPARα. Additional studies that utilize adipose depot knockdown of PPARα will

enable us to determine if PPARα in specific adipose depots may contribute to the ability

of OT and CL 316243 to reduce body weight and adiposity.

Our findings and others raise the possibility that systemic OT could be reducing food

intake and adiposity, in part, through a direct effect on peripheral OT receptors. Asker

reported that OT-B12, a BBB-impermeable OT analogue, reduced food intake in rats,

thus providing evidence that peripheral OTR signaling is important in the control of food

intake [60]. Consistent with these findings, Iwasaki found that the ability of peripheral

administration of OT to reduce food intake was attenuated in vagotomized mice [61; 62].

In addition, Brierley extended these findings and found that the effect of systemic

administration of OT to suppress food intake required NTS preproglucagon neurons that

receive direct synaptic input OTR-expressing vagal afferent neurons [63]. We also found

that systemic administration of a non-BBB penetrant OTR antagonist, L-371257,

stimulated food intake and body weight gain in rats [64]. Several studies have also found

that subcutaneous infusion of OT reduced adipocyte size in 1) visceral fat in female Wistar

rats that were peri- and postmenopausal [65] and 2) visceral fat in a dihydrotestosterone-

elicited model of polycystic ovary syndrome in female Wistar rats [66], 3) subcutaneous

fat in female ovariectomized Wistar rats [67], and 4) EWAT in male Zucker fatty rats [68].

More recent studies have confirmed that peripheral administration of long-acting OT

analogues (including ASK2131 and ASK1476) also reduced both food intake and body

weight [69; 70]. Together, these findings suggest that OT may also act in the periphery to

decrease adipocyte size by a direct effect on OTRs found on adipose tissue [20; 21; 71].

Of translational importance is the finding that subcutaneous [20; 25; 26; 27; 28; 72] or

intraperitoneal [28] administration of OT or long-acting OT analogues can recapitulate the

effects of chronic CNS administration of OT on reductions of food intake and body weight.

The combination treatment and CL 316243 monotherapy reduced body weight and

adiposity, in part, through increased BAT thermogenesis. Both CL 316243 alone and in

combination with OT elevated T_{IBAT} throughout the course of the injection study and

increased IBAT thermogenic genes (UCP-1, DIO2 and Ppargc1a) and UCP-1 content in

IBAT. These findings coincided with CL- 316243-elicited increases in T_{IBAT} from the same

animals during the time that preceded tissue collection. These findings are consistent with

our previously published findings in rats [35] and other studies mice and rats that found

chronic CL 316243 administration to increase the thermogenic markers DIO2 [55] and

Gpr120 [52; 53; 54]. Similar to our findings, others also reported that systemic CL 316243

increased UCP-1 mRNA expression in mice [73]. We also found that the combination

treatment and CL 316243 alone caused a downregulation of β3-AR mRNA expression at

2-h post-injection. This finding is consistent with we have previously reported [35] and

others who have reported that both cold exposure and norepinephrine reduced β3-AR

mRNA expression in mouse IBAT [74; 75] and.mouse brown adipocytes [76].

In summary, our results indicate that systemic administration of OT in combination

with systemic CL 316243 treatment results in more profound reductions of body weight

compared to either OT or CL 316243 alone. Moreover, the combined treatment of OT and

CL 316243 stimulated BAT thermogenesis as determined by increased T_{IBAT} and

thermogenic gene expression in IBAT. Together, our data support the hypothesis that

systemic OT and β3-AR agonist (CL 316243) treatment produce an additive effect to

reduce body weight and adiposity in DIO rats. The effects of the combined treatment on

body weight and adiposity appeared to be additive and driven predominantly by OT-

elicited reductions of food intake and CL-316243-elicited increases in BAT

thermogenesis.

Collectively, these findings suggest that systemic OT treatment could be a viable

adjunct to other anti-obesity treatment strategies. While intranasal OT has been found to

reduce body weight by approximately 9.3% in a small study with limited subjects (9-

11/group) [77], it was not found, however, to have any effect on body weight in a larger

scale well-controlled clinical study (N=30-31/group) in which subjects were matched well

for body weight, adiposity and gender [78]. Importantly, Plessow, Lawson and colleagues

did find a significant effect of intranasal OT to reduce energy intake at 6-weeks post-

treatment, which served as an important control. It is possible that a more extended length

of treatment might have been required to take advantage of the reductions of energy

intake that were not observed until the 6-week post-treatment time point. In addition,

changes in dose, dosing frequency, or co-administration with Mg^{2+} [79; 80] might need to

be taken into consideration in order to maximize the effects of intranasal OT on body

weight in humans who are overweight or obese. Given that OT can be an effective

delivery approach to reduce energy intake and elicit weight loss in several rodent models

(see [16; 81; 82] for review) and obese nonhuman primates [19], it will also be important to

determine if chronic systemic OT treatment can elicit weight loss when given in

combination with CL 316243 at doses that are sub-threshold for producing adverse

effects on heart rate or blood pressure [83]. Recent findings indicate that OT can be

effective at reducing food intake and/or body weight in female rats [84] and DIO male and

female mice [26], respectively. Thus, it will be important to examine if this combination

treatment produces an additive effect to reduce body weight and adiposity in female DIO

rodents and nonhuman primates.

References

854
[1] S. Smyth, and A. Heron, Diabetes and obesity: the twin epidemics. Nature medicine 12
(2006) 75-80.
[2] G. Targher, A. Mantovani, X.B. Wang, H.D. Yan, Q.F. Sun, K.H. Pan, C.D. Byrne, K.I.
Zheng, Y.P. Chen, M. Eslam, J. George, and M.H. Zheng, Patients with diabetes are at
higher risk for severe illness from COVID-19. Diabetes & metabolism (2020).
[3] J.P.H. Wilding, R.L. Batterham, S. Calanna, M. Davies, L.F. Van Gaal, I. Lingvay, B.M.
McGowan, J. Rosenstock, M.T.D. Tran, T.A. Wadden, S. Wharton, K. Yokote, N.
Zeuthen, R.F. Kushner, and S.S. Group, Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with
Overweight or Obesity. The New England journal of medicine 384 (2021) 989.
[4] J.P. Frias, M.J. Davies, J. Rosenstock, F.C. Perez Manghi, L. Fernandez Lando, B.K.
Bergman, B. Liu, X. Cui, K. Brown, and S.-. Investigators, Tirzepatide versus
Semaglutide Once Weekly in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of
medicine 385 (2021) 503-515.
[5] O.G. Chepurny, R.L. Bonaccorso, C.A. Leech, T. Wollert, G.M. Langford, F. Schwede, C.L.
Roth, R.P. Doyle, and G.G. Holz, Chimeric peptide EP45 as a dual agonist at GLP-1 and
NPY2R receptors. Scientific reports 8 (2018).
[6] J.P. Frias, E.J. Bastyr, L. Vignati, M.H. Tschop, C. Schmitt, K. Owen, R.H. Christensen, and
R.D. DiMarchi, The Sustained Effects of a Dual GIP/GLP-1 Receptor Agonist,
NNC0090-2746, in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Cell Metabolism 26 (2017) 343-+.
[7] L.B. Enebo, K.K. Berthelsen, M. Kankam, M.T. Lund, D.M. Rubino, A. Satylganova, and
D.C.W. Lau, Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and pharmacodynamics of
concomitant administration of multiple doses of cagrilintide with semaglutide 2.4 mg for
weight management: a randomised, controlled, phase 1b trial. Lancet 397 (2021) 1736-
1748.
[8] J.P. Frias, S. Deenadayalan, L. Erichsen, F.K. Knop, I. Lingvay, S. Macura, C. Mathieu, S.D.
Pedersen, and M. Davies, Efficacy and safety of co-administered once-weekly
cagrilintide 2.4 mg with once-weekly semaglutide 2.4 mg in type 2 diabetes: a
multicentre, randomised, double-blind, active-controlled, phase 2 trial. Lancet 402 (2023)
720-730.
[9] D.B. Allison, K.M. Gadde, W.T. Garvey, C.A. Peterson, M.L. Schwiers, T. Najarian, P.Y.
Tam, B. Troupin, and W.W. Day, Controlled-release phentermine/topiramate in severely
obese adults: a randomized controlled trial (EQUIP). Obesity 20 (2012) 330-42.
[10] A.M. Jastreboff, L.J. Aronne, N.N. Ahmad, S. Wharton, L. Connery, B. Alves, A. Kiyosue,
S. Zhang, B. Liu, M.C. Bunck, A. Stefanski, and S.-. Investigators, Tirzepatide Once
Weekly for the Treatment of Obesity. The New England journal of medicine 387 (2022)
205-216.
[11] L.J. Aronne, N. Sattar, D.B. Horn, H.E. Bays, S. Wharton, W.Y. Lin, N.N. Ahmad, S.
Zhang, R. Liao, M.C. Bunck, I. Jouravskaya, M.A. Murphy, and S.-. Investigators,
Continued Treatment With Tirzepatide for Maintenance of Weight Reduction in Adults
With Obesity: The SURMOUNT-4 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA : the journal of the
American Medical Association (2023).
[12] J. Petersen, M.Q. Ludwig, V. Juozaityte, P. Ranea-Robles, C. Svendsen, E. Hwang, A.W.
Kristensen, N. Fadahunsi, J. Lund, A.W. Breum, C.V. Mathiesen, L. Sachs, R. Moreno-
Justicia, R. Rohlfs, J.C. Ford, J.D. Douros, B. Finan, B. Portillo, K. Grose, J.E. Petersen,
M. Trauelsen, A. Feuchtinger, R.D. DiMarchi, T.W. Schwartz, A.S. Deshmukh, M.B.

Thomsen, K.A. Kohlmeier, K.W. Williams, T.H. Pers, B. Frolund, K. Stromgaard, A.B. Klein, and C. Clemmensen, GLP-1-directed NMDA receptor antagonism for obesity treatment. Nature 629 (2024) 1133-1141.

[13] A.M. Jastreboff, L.M. Kaplan, and M.L. Hartman, Triple-Hormone-Receptor Agonist Retatrutide for Obesity. Reply. The New England journal of medicine 389 (2023) 1629-1630.

[14] G. Gimpl, and F. Fahrenholz, The oxytocin receptor system: structure, function, and regulation. Physiol Rev 81 (2001) 629-83.

[15] J.E. Blevins, and D.G. Baskin, Translational and therapeutic potential of oxytocin as an anti-obesity strategy: Insights from rodents, nonhuman primates and humans. Physiol Behav (2015).

[16] E.A. Lawson, The effects of oxytocin on eating behaviour and metabolism in humans. Nature reviews. Endocrinology 13 (2017) 700-709.

[17] E.A. Lawson, P.K. Olszewski, A. Weller, and J.E. Blevins, The role of oxytocin in regulation of appetitive behaviour, body weight and glucose homeostasis. J Neuroendocrinol 32 (2020) e12805.

[18] S.E. McCormack, J.E. Blevins, and E.A. Lawson, Metabolic Effects of Oxytocin. Endocrine reviews 41 (2020).

[19] J.E. Blevins, J.L. Graham, G.J. Morton, K.L. Bales, M.W. Schwartz, D.G. Baskin, and P.J. Havel, Chronic oxytocin administration inhibits food intake, increases energy expenditure, and produces weight loss in fructose-fed obese rhesus monkeys. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 308 (2015) R431-8.

[20] N. Deblon, C. Veyrat-Durebex, L. Bourgoin, A. Caillon, A.L. Bussier, S. Petrosino, F. Piscitelli, J.J. Legros, V. Geenen, M. Foti, W. Wahli, V. Di Marzo, and F. Rohner-Jeanrenaud, Mechanisms of the anti-obesity effects of oxytocin in diet-induced obese rats. PloS one 6 (2011) e25565.

[21] K.J. Yi, K.H. So, Y. Hata, Y. Suzuki, D. Kato, K. Watanabe, H. Aso, Y. Kasahara, K. Nishimori, C. Chen, K. Katoh, and S.G. Roh, The regulation of oxytocin receptor gene expression during adipogenesis. J Neuroendocrinol 27 (2015) 335-42.

[22] E.E. Noble, C.J. Billington, C.M. Kotz, and C. Wang, Oxytocin in the ventromedial hypothalamic nucleus reduces feeding and acutely increases energy expenditure. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 307 (2014) R737-45.

[23] G. Zhang, H. Bai, H. Zhang, C. Dean, Q. Wu, J. Li, S. Guariglia, Q. Meng, and D. Cai, Neuropeptide exocytosis involving synaptotagmin-4 and oxytocin in hypothalamic programming of body weight and energy balance. Neuron 69 (2011) 523-35.

[24] G. Zhang, and D. Cai, Circadian intervention of obesity development via resting-stage feeding manipulation or oxytocin treatment. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 301 (2011) E1004-12.

[25] J.E. Blevins, B.W. Thompson, V.T. Anekonda, J.M. Ho, J.L. Graham, Z.S. Roberts, B.H. Hwang, K. Ogimoto, T.H. Wolden-hanson, J.O. Nelson, K.J. Kaiyala, P.J. Havel, K.L. Bales, G.J. Morton, M.W. Schwartz, and D.G. Baskin, Chronic CNS oxytocin signaling preferentially induces fat loss in high fat diet-fed rats by enhancing satiety responses and increasing lipid utilization. Am J Physiol-Reg I (2016).

[26] Y. Maejima, M. Aoyama, K. Sakamoto, T. Jojima, Y. Aso, K. Takasu, S. Takenosihita, and K. Shimomura, Impact of sex, fat distribution and initial body weight on oxytocin's body weight regulation. Scientific reports 7 (2017) 8599.

[27] Y. Maejima, Y. Iwasaki, Y. Yamahara, M. Kodaira, U. Sedbazar, and T. Yada, Peripheral
oxytocin treatment ameliorates obesity by reducing food intake and visceral fat mass.
Aging 3 (2011) 1169-77.
[28] G.J. Morton, B.S. Thatcher, R.D. Reidelberger, K. Ogimoto, T. Wolden-Hanson, D.G.
Baskin, M.W. Schwartz, and J.E. Blevins, Peripheral oxytocin suppresses food intake and
causes weight loss in diet-induced obese rats. Am J Physiol-Endoc M 302 (2012) E134-
E144.
[29] Z.S. Roberts, T.H. Wolden-Hanson, M.E. Matsen, V. Ryu, C.H. Vaughan, J.L. Graham, P.J.
Havel, D.W. Chukri, M.W. Schwartz, G.J. Morton, and J.E. Blevins, Chronic Hindbrain
Administration of Oxytocin is Sufficient to Elicit Weight Loss in Diet-Induced Obese
Rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol (2017) ajpregu 00169 2017.
[30] M.A. Head, A.S. Levine, D.G. Christian, A. Klockars, and P.K. Olszewski, Effect of
combination of peripheral oxytocin and naltrexone at subthreshold doses on food intake,
body weight and feeding-related brain gene expression in male rats. Physiol Behav 238
(2021) 113464.
[31] P.J. Enriori, P. Sinnayah, S.E. Simonds, C. Garcia Rudaz, and M.A. Cowley, Leptin action
in the dorsomedial hypothalamus increases sympathetic tone to brown adipose tissue in
spite of systemic leptin resistance. J Neurosci 31 (2011) 12189-97.
[32] M.R. Mirbolooki, S.K. Upadhyay, C.C. Constantinescu, M.L. Pan, and J. Mukherjee,
Adrenergic pathway activation enhances brown adipose tissue metabolism: A [F-18]FDG
PET/CT study in mice. Nucl Med Biol 41 (2014) 10-16.
[33] J. Suarez, P. Rivera, S. Arrabal, A. Crespillo, A. Serrano, E. Baixeras, F.J. Pavon, M.
Cifuentes, R. Nogueiras, J. Ballesteros, C. Dieguez, and F.R. de Fonseca,
Oleoylethanolamide enhances beta-adrenergic-mediated thermogenesis and white-to-
brown adipocyte phenotype in epididymal white adipose tissue in rat. Disease models &
mechanisms 7 (2014) 129-141.
[34] C. Xiao, M. Goldgof, O. Gavrilova, and M.L. Reitman, Anti-obesity and metabolic efficacy
of the beta3-adrenergic agonist, CL316243, in mice at thermoneutrality compared to 22
degrees C. Obesity 23 (2015) 1450-9.
[35] M.M. Edwards, H.K. Nguyen, A.D. Dodson, A.J. Herbertson, T.A. Wietecha, T. Wolden-
Hanson, J.L. Graham, M.K. Honeycutt, J.D. Slattery, K.D. O'Brien, P.J. Havel, and J.E.
Blevins, Effects of combined oxytocin and beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) treatment
on body weight and adiposity in male diet-induced obese rats. Frontiers in physiology
(2021).
[36] Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals: Eighth Edition, The National
Academies Press, Washington, D.C., 2011.
[37] V.S. Susulic, R.C. Frederich, J. Lawitts, E. Tozzo, B.B. Kahn, M.E. Harper, J. Himms-
Hagen, J.S. Flier, and B.B. Lowell, Targeted disruption of the beta 3-adrenergic receptor
gene. The Journal of biological chemistry 270 (1995) 29483-92.
[38] D.M. Lateef, G. Abreu-Vieira, C. Xiao, and M.L. Reitman, Regulation of body temperature
and brown adipose tissue thermogenesis by bombesin receptor subtype-3. Am J Physiol
Endocrinol Metab 306 (2014) E681-7.
[39] D. Grujic, V.S. Susulic, M.E. Harper, J. HimmsHagen, B.A. Cunningham, B.E. Corkey, and
B.B. Lowell, beta 3-adrenergic receptors on white and brown adipocytes mediate beta 3-
selective agonist-induced effects on energy expenditure, insulin secretion, and food

intake - A study using transgenic and gene knockout mice. Journal of Biological
Chemistry 272 (1997) 17686-17693.

[40] M.N. Brito, N.A. Brito, D.J. Baro, C.K. Song, and T.J. Bartness, Differential activation of
the sympathetic innervation of adipose tissues by melanocortin receptor stimulation.
Endocrinology 148 (2007) 5339-47.

[41] C.H. Vaughan, Y.B. Shrestha, and T.J. Bartness, Characterization of a novel melanocortin
receptor-containing node in the SNS outflow circuitry to brown adipose tissue involved
in thermogenesis. Brain Res 1411 (2011) 17-27.

[42] A.W. Fischer, C. Schlein, B. Cannon, J. Heeren, and J. Nedergaard, Intact innervation is
essential for diet-induced recruitment of brown adipose tissue. Am J Physiol Endocrinol
Metab 316 (2019) E487-E503.

[43] M.M. Edwards, H.K. Nguyen, A.D. Dodson, A.J. Herbertson, M.K. Honeycutt, J.D.
Slattery, J.R. Rambousek, E. Tsui, T. Wolden-Hanson, T. Wietecha, J.L. Graham, G.P.
Tapia, C.L. Sikkema, K.D. O'Brien, T.O. Mundinger, E.R. Peskind, V. Ryu, P.J. Havel,
A.M. Khan, J. Taborsky, G.J., and J.E. Blevins, Sympathetic innervation of interscapular
brown adipose tissue is not a predominant mediator of oxytocin-elicited reductions of
body weight and adiposity in male diet-induced obese rats. Frontiers in Drug Delivery 4
(2024).

[44] M.M. Edwards, H.K. Nguyen, A.J. Herbertson, A.D. Dodson, T. Wietecha, T. Wolden-
Hanson, J.L. Graham, K.D. O'Brien, P.J. Havel, and J.E. Blevins, Chronic Hindbrain
Administration of Oxytocin Elicits Weight Loss in Male Diet-Induced Obese Mice. Am J
Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol (2021).

[45] S.D. Parlee, S.I. Lentz, H. Mori, and O.A. MacDougald, Quantifying size and number of
adipocytes in adipose tissue. Methods in enzymology 537 (2014) 93-122.

[46] Q. Cao, J. Jing, X. Cui, H. Shi, and B. Xue, Sympathetic nerve innervation is required for
beigeing in white fat. Physiological reports 7 (2019) e14031.

[47] M.M. Veniant, G. Sivits, J. Helmering, R. Komorowski, J. Lee, W. Fan, C. Moyer, and D.J.
Lloyd, Pharmacologic Effects of FGF21 Are Independent of the "Browning" of White
Adipose Tissue. Cell Metab 21 (2015) 731-8.

[48] A.A. Bremer, K.L. Stanhope, J.L. Graham, B.P. Cummings, W. Wang, B.R. Saville, and
P.J. Havel, Fructose-fed rhesus monkeys: a nonhuman primate model of insulin
resistance, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes. Clinical and translational science 4
(2011) 243-52.

[49] J.E. Blevins, D.H. Moralejo, T.H. Wolden-Hanson, B.S. Thatcher, J.M. Ho, K.J. Kaiyala,
and K. Matsumoto, Alterations in activity and energy expenditure contribute to lean
phenotype in Fischer 344 rats lacking the cholecystokinin-1 receptor gene. Am J Physiol
Regul Integr Comp Physiol 303 (2012) R1231-40.

[50] B.P. Cummings, E.K. Digitale, K.L. Stanhope, J.L. Graham, D.G. Baskin, B.J. Reed, I.R.
Sweet, S.C. Griffen, and P.J. Havel, Development and characterization of a novel rat
model of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the UC Davis type 2 diabetes mellitus UCD-T2DM rat.
Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 295 (2008) R1782-93.

[51] K.J. Livak, and T.D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25 (2001) 402-8.

[52] E. Gonzalez-Hurtado, J. Lee, J. Choi, and M.J. Wolfgang, Fatty acid oxidation is required
for active and quiescent brown adipose tissue maintenance and thermogenic programing.
Molecular metabolism 7 (2018) 45-56.

[53] M. Rosell, M. Kaforou, A. Frontini, A. Okolo, Y.W. Chan, E. Nikolopoulou, S. Millership,
M.E. Fenech, D. MacIntyre, J.O. Turner, J.D. Moore, E. Blackburn, W.J. Gullick, S.
Cinti, G. Montana, M.G. Parker, and M. Christian, Brown and white adipose tissues:
intrinsic differences in gene expression and response to cold exposure in mice. Am J
Physiol Endocrinol Metab 306 (2014) E945-64.
[54] J. Suarez, P. Rivera, S. Arrabal, A. Crespillo, A. Serrano, E. Baixeras, F.J. Pavon, M.
Cifuentes, R. Nogueiras, J. Ballesteros, C. Dieguez, and F. Rodriguez de Fonseca,
Oleoylethanolamide enhances beta-adrenergic-mediated thermogenesis and white-to-
brown adipocyte phenotype in epididymal white adipose tissue in rat. Disease models &
mechanisms 7 (2014) 129-41.
[55] J.M.A. de Jong, R.T.F. Wouters, N. Boulet, B. Cannon, J. Nedergaard, and N. Petrovic, The
beta3-adrenergic receptor is dispensable for browning of adipose tissues. Am J Physiol
Endocrinol Metab 312 (2017) E508-E518.
[56] F.C.B. Oliveira, E.J. Bauer, C.M. Ribeiro, S.A. Pereira, B.T.S. Beserra, S.M. Wajner, A.L.
Maia, F.A.R. Neves, M.S. Coelho, and A.A. Amato, Liraglutide Activates Type 2
Deiodinase and Enhances beta3-Adrenergic-Induced Thermogenesis in Mouse Adipose
Tissue. Frontiers in endocrinology 12 (2021) 803363.
[57] S. Gaetani, J. Fu, T. Cassano, P. Dipasquale, A. Romano, L. Righetti, S. Cianci, L. Laconca,
E. Giannini, S. Scaccianoce, J. Mairesse, V. Cuomo, and D. Piomelli, The fat-induced
satiety factor oleoylethanolamide suppresses feeding through central release of oxytocin.
J Neurosci 30 (2010) 8096-101.
[58] A. Romano, T. Cassano, B. Tempesta, S. Cianci, P. Dipasquale, R. Coccurello, V. Cuomo,
and S. Gaetani, The satiety signal oleoylethanolamide stimulates oxytocin neurosecretion
from rat hypothalamic neurons. Peptides 49 (2013) 21-6.
[59] I. Sospedra, R. Moral, R. Escrich, M. Solanas, E. Vela, and E. Escrich, Effect of High Fat
Diets on Body Mass, Oleylethanolamide Plasma Levels and Oxytocin Expression in
Growing Rats. J Food Sci 80 (2015) H1425-31.
[60] M. Asker, J.P. Krieger, A. Liles, I.C. Tinsley, T. Borner, I. Maric, S. Doebley, C.D. Furst, S.
Borchers, F. Longo, Y.R. Bhat, B.C. De Jonghe, M.R. Hayes, R.P. Doyle, and K.P.
Skibicka, Peripherally restricted oxytocin is sufficient to reduce food intake and
motivation, while CNS entry is required for locomotor and taste avoidance effects.
Diabetes, obesity & metabolism 25 (2023) 856-877.
[61] Y. Iwasaki, P. Kumari, L. Wang, S. Hidema, K. Nishimori, and T. Yada, Relay of peripheral
oxytocin to central oxytocin neurons via vagal afferents for regulating feeding.
Biochemical and biophysical research communications 519 (2019) 553-558.
[62] Y. Iwasaki, Y. Maejima, S. Suyama, M. Yoshida, T. Arai, K. Katsurada, P. Kumari, H.
Nakabayashi, M. Kakei, and T. Yada, Peripheral oxytocin activates vagal afferent
neurons to suppress feeding in normal and leptin-resistant mice: a route for ameliorating
hyperphagia and obesity. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 308 (2015) R360-9.
[63] D.I. Brierley, M.K. Holt, A. Singh, A. de Araujo, M. McDougle, M. Vergara, M.H.
Afaghani, S.J. Lee, K. Scott, C. Maske, W. Langhans, E. Krause, A. de Kloet, F.M.
Gribble, F. Reimann, L. Rinaman, G. de Lartigue, and S. Trapp, Central and peripheral
GLP-1 systems independently suppress eating. Nat Metab 3 (2021) 258-273.
[64] J.M. Ho, V.T. Anekonda, B.W. Thompson, M. Zhu, R.W. Curry, B.H. Hwang, G.J. Morton,
M.W. Schwartz, D.G. Baskin, S.M. Appleyard, and J.E. Blevins, Hindbrain oxytocin

receptors contribute to the effects of circulating oxytocin on food intake in male rats.
Endocrinology 155 (2014) 2845-57.

[65] O. Erdenebayar, T. Kato, T. Kawakita, K. Kasai, Y. Kadota, K. Yoshida, T. Iwasa, and M.
Irahara, Effects of peripheral oxytocin administration on body weight, food intake,
adipocytes, and biochemical parameters in peri- and postmenopausal female rats.
Endocrine journal (2020).

[66] T. Iwasa, T. Matsuzaki, Y. Mayila, T. Kawakita, R. Yanagihara, and M. Irahara, The effects
of chronic oxytocin administration on body weight and food intake in DHT-induced
PCOS model rats. Gynecological endocrinology : the official journal of the International
Society of Gynecological Endocrinology 36 (2020) 55-60.

[67] T. Iwasa, T. Matsuzaki, Y. Mayila, R. Yanagihara, Y. Yamamoto, T. Kawakita, A.
Kuwahara, and M. Irahara, Oxytocin treatment reduced food intake and body fat and
ameliorated obesity in ovariectomized female rats. Neuropeptides 75 (2019) 49-57.

[68] L. Balazova, K. Krskova, M. Suski, V. Sisovsky, N. Hlavacova, R. Olszanecki, D. Jezova,
and S. Zorad, Metabolic effects of subchronic peripheral oxytocin administration in lean
and obese zucker rats. Journal of physiology and pharmacology : an official journal of the
Polish Physiological Society 67 (2016) 531-541.

[69] C.T. Elfers, J.E. Blevins, T.S. Salameh, E.A. Lawson, D. Silva, A. Kiselyov, and C.L. Roth,
Novel Long-Acting Oxytocin Analog with Increased Efficacy in Reducing Food Intake
and Body Weight. International journal of molecular sciences 23 (2022).

[70] C.T. Elfers, J.E. Blevins, E.A. Lawson, R. Pittner, D. Silva, A. Kiselyov, and C.L. Roth,
Robust Reductions of Body Weight and Food Intake by an Oxytocin Analog in Rats.
Frontiers in physiology 12 (2021) 726411.

[71] A. Schaffler, N. Binart, J. Scholmerich, and C. Buchler, Hypothesis paper Brain talks with
fat--evidence for a hypothalamic-pituitary-adipose axis? Neuropeptides 39 (2005) 363-7.

[72] J. Altirriba, A.L. Poher, A. Caillon, D. Arsenijevic, C. Veyrat-Durebex, J. Lyautey, A.
Dulloo, and F. Rohner-Jeanrenaud, Divergent effects of oxytocin treatment of obese
diabetic mice on adiposity and diabetes. Endocrinology 155 (2014) 4189-201.

[73] H. Yoshitomi, K. Yamazaki, S. Abe, and I. Tanaka, Differential regulation of mouse
uncoupling proteins among brown adipose tissue, white adipose tissue, and skeletal
muscle in chronic beta 3 adrenergic receptor agonist treatment. Biochemical and
biophysical research communications 253 (1998) 85-91.

[74] Y. Kasahara, K. Sato, Y. Takayanagi, H. Mizukami, K. Ozawa, S. Hidema, K.H. So, T.
Kawada, N. Inoue, I. Ikeda, S.G. Roh, K. Itoi, and K. Nishimori, Oxytocin receptor in the
hypothalamus is sufficient to rescue normal thermoregulatory function in male oxytocin
receptor knockout mice. Endocrinology 154 (2013) 4305-15.

[75] Y. Kasahara, Y. Tateishi, Y. Hiraoka, A. Otsuka, H. Mizukami, K. Ozawa, K. Sato, S.
Hidema, and K. Nishimori, Role of the Oxytocin Receptor Expressed in the Rostral
Medullary Raphe in Thermoregulation During Cold Conditions. Frontiers in
endocrinology 6 (2015) 180.

[76] T. Bengtsson, B. Cannon, and J. Nedergaard, Differential adrenergic regulation of the gene
expression of the beta-adrenoceptor subtypes beta1, beta2 and beta3 in brown adipocytes.
The Biochemical journal 347 Pt 3 (2000) 643-51.

[77] H. Zhang, C. Wu, Q. Chen, X. Chen, Z. Xu, J. Wu, and D. Cai, Treatment of obesity and
diabetes using oxytocin or analogs in patients and mouse models. PloS one 8 (2013)
e61477.

[78] F. Plessow, L. Kerem, M.L. Wronski, E. Asanza, M.L. O'Donoghue, F.C. Stanford, K.T.
Eddy, T.M. Holmes, M. Misra, J.J. Thomas, F. Galbiati, M. Muhammed, A.C. Sella, K.
Hauser, S.E. Smith, K. Holman, J. Gydus, A. Aulinas, M. Vangel, B. Healy, A.
Kheterpal, M. Torriani, L.M. Holsen, M.A. Bredella, and E.A. Lawson, Intranasal
Oxytocin for Obesity. NEJM Evid 3 (2024) EVIDoa2300349.
[79] V.N. Bharadwaj, J. Meyerowitz, B. Zou, M. Klukinov, N. Yan, K. Sharma, D.J. Clark, X.
Xie, and D.C. Yeomans, Impact of Magnesium on Oxytocin Receptor Function.
Pharmaceutics 14 (2022).
[80] F.A. Antoni, and S.E. Chadio, Essential role of magnesium in oxytocin-receptor affinity and
ligand specificity. The Biochemical journal 257 (1989) 611-4.
[81] J. Niu, J. Tong, and J.E. Blevins, Oxytocin as an Anti-obesity Treatment. Front Neurosci 15
(2021) 743546.
[82] P.K. Olszewski, E.E. Noble, L. Paiva, Y. Ueta, and J.E. Blevins, Oxytocin as a Potential
Pharmacological Tool to Combat Obesity. Journal of Neuroendocrinology In press
(2022).
[83] A.M. Cypess, L.S. Weiner, C. Roberts-Toler, E. Franquet Elia, S.H. Kessler, P.A. Kahn, J.
English, K. Chatman, S.A. Trauger, A. Doria, and G.M. Kolodny, Activation of human
brown adipose tissue by a beta3-adrenergic receptor agonist. Cell Metab 21 (2015) 33-8.
[84] C.M. Liu, E.A. Davis, A.N. Suarez, R.I. Wood, E.E. Noble, and S.E. Kanoski, Sex
Differences and Estrous Influences on Oxytocin Control of Food Intake. Neuroscience
447 (2020) 63-73.

Figure legend

Figure 1. Dose-response effects of the beta-3 receptor agonist, CL 316243, on body weight, energy intake, T_{IBAT}, core temperature and gross motor activity in male DIO rats. Ad libitum fed rats were either maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat; N=10-12/group) for approximately 8 months prior to receiving IP injections of CL 316243 (0.01-1 mg/kg) or vehicle (sterile water) where each animal received each treatment approximately once per week. *A*, Effect of CL 316243 on body weight change in DIO rats *B*, Effect of CL 316243 on energy intake (kcal/day) in DIO rats *C*, Effect of CL 316243 on T_{IBAT} in DIO rats; *D*, Effect of CL 316243 on core temperature in DIO rats. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$, †$0.05<P<0.1$ CL 316243 vs. vehicle.

Figure 2A-D: Determine the dose-response effects of systemic infusions of OT (16 and 50 nmol/day) on body weight, adiposity and energy intake in DIO rats. *A*, Rats were maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat; N=6-7/group) for approximately 5.5 months prior to being implanted with temperature transponders and allowed to recover for 1-2 weeks prior to being implanted with subcutaneous minipumps. *A*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle on body weight in DIO rats; *B*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle on body weight change in DIO rats; *C*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle on change in fat mass and lean mass in DIO rats; *D*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle on change in weekly energy intake (kcal/week) in DIO rats. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$, †$0.05<P<0.1$ OT vs. vehicle.

Figure 3A-E: Effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on body weight, body adiposity and energy intake in male DIO rats. Ad libitum fed rats were either maintained on HFD (60% kcal from fat; N=8-10/group) for approximately 8 months prior to receiving continuous infusions of vehicle or OT (50 nmol/day) in combination with a single dose of CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg). *A*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle in combination with systemic CL 316243 or vehicle on body weight in DIO rats; *B*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle in combination with systemic CL 316243 or vehicle on body weight change in HFD-fed DIO rats; *C*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle in combination with systemic CL 316243 or vehicle on fat mass and lean mass in DIO rats; *D*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle in combination with systemic CL 316243 or vehicle on change in fat mass and lean mass in DIO rats; *E*, Effect of chronic subcutaneous OT or vehicle in combination with systemic CL 316243 or vehicle on change in weekly energy intake (kcal/week) in DIO rats. ↑ indicate 1x daily injections. Colored bars represent specific group comparisons vs vehicle. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *$P<0.05$, †$0.05<P<0.1$ vs. vehicle or baseline (pre-treatment; **Fig. 3A**).

Figure 4A-D: Representative image of H&E-stained section from EWAT and IWAT following chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg). Images taken from fixed (4% PFA) paraffin embedded sections (5 μm) containing EWAT (A-D) or IWAT (E-H) in HFD-fed rats treated with systemic OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in combination with IP CL

1211 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or IP vehicle. A/E, Veh/Veh. B/F, OT/Veh. C/G, Veh/CL 316243. D/H,
1212 OT-CL 316243; (A–H) all visualized at 100X magnification.
1213 Images were obtained using Image Pro Plus software.
1214
Figure 5A-B: Effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic
beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on adipocyte size
in EWAT and IWAT in male DIO rats. *A*, Adipocyte size (μm^2) was measured in EWAT
from rats that received chronic systemic infusion of OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in
combination with daily CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or vehicle treatment (N=9-10/group). *B*,
Adipocyte size (μm^2) was measured in IWAT from rats that received chronic systemic
infusion of OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in combination with daily CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)
or vehicle treatment (N=9-10/group). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05.
1223
Figure 6A-D: Representative image to illustrate the effect of chronic systemic OT
infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243)
administration (0.5 mg/kg) on UCP-1 content in EWAT and IWAT in male DIO rats.
UCP-1 was analyzed using Image Pro Plus software. Images were taken from fixed (4%
PFA) paraffin embedded sections (5 μm) containing EWAT (A-D) in HFD-fed rats
treated with SC OT (50 nmol/day) or SC vehicle in combination with IP CL 316243 (0.5
mg/kg) or IP vehicle. A, Veh/Veh B, OT/Veh. C, Veh/CL 316243. D, OT/CL 316243; (A–
H) all visualized at 100X magnification.
1232
Figure 7A-D: Effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic
beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on UCP-1 content
in EWAT and IWAT in male DIO rats. *A*, UCP-1 expression was measured in IWAT from
rats that received chronic systemic infusion of OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in combination
with daily CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or vehicle treatment (N=9-11/group). *B*, UCP-1
expression was measured in EWAT from rats that received chronic systemic infusion of
OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in combination with daily CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or vehicle
treatment (N=7-10/group). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05.
1241
1242 **Table 1.** Plasma measurements following systemic infusions of OT (16 and 50 nmol/day)
1243 or vehicle DIO rats. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 OT vs. vehicle (N=6-
1244 7/group).
1245
1246 **Table 2.** Plasma measurements following systemic infusions of OT (50 nmol/day), CL
1247 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or OT (50 nmol/day) + CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) in DIO rats. Data are
1248 expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs. vehicle (N=10-12/group).
1249
1250 **Table 3A-C.** Changes in IBAT, IWAT and EWAT mRNA expression following chronic
1251 systemic OT (50 nmol/day) and systemic CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) treatment in male DIO
1252 rats. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs. vehicle (N=8-12/group).
1253
1254
1255

Supplemental Figure 1A-B: T_{IBAT} measurements following acute systemic administration of the beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) or vehicle in male DIO rats. *A*, injection day 1 (0.5 mg/kg) and *B*, injection day 22 (0.5 mg/kg). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs VEH; †0.05<*P*<0.1 vs VEH.

Supplemental Figure 2A-H: Representative image to illustrate the effect of chronic systemic OT infusions (50 nmol/day) and systemic beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) administration (0.5 mg/kg) on adipocyte size in EWAT and IWAT in male DIO rats. Adipocyte size was analyzed using ImageJ. Images were taken from fixed (4% PFA) paraffin embedded sections (5 μm) containing EWAT (A-D) or IWAT (E-H) in HFD-fed rats treated with systemic OT (50 nmol/day) or vehicle in combination with IP CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg) or IP vehicle. A/E, Veh/Veh. B/F, OT/Veh. C/G, Veh/CL 316243. D/H, OT-CL 316243; (A–H) all visualized at 100X magnification.

Supplemental Figure 3. T_{IBAT} measurements following acute systemic administration of the beta-3 receptor agonist (CL 316243) or vehicle in male DIO rats. *A*, injection day 23 prior to euthanasia (0.5 mg/kg), euthanasia day. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. *P<0.05 vs VEH; †0.05<*P*<0.1 vs VEH.

Effects of CL316243 on Body Weight Gain, Energy Intake, T_{IBAT} and Core Temperature in Male DIO Rats

FIGURE 1A

FIGURE 1B

FIGURE 1C

FIGURE 1D

FIGURE 2A

FIGURE 2B

FIGURE 2C

FIGURE 2D

FIGURE 3A

FIGURE 3B

FIGURE 3C

FIGURE 3D

FIGURE 3E

EWAT

A. Veh/Veh

B. OT/Veh

C. Veh/CL 316243

D. OT/CL 316243

IWAT

E. Veh/Veh

F. OT/Veh

G. Veh/CL 316243

H. OT/CL 316243

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5A

FIGURE 5B

EWAT

A. Veh/Veh

B. OT/Veh

C. Veh/CL 316243

D. OT/CL 316243

IWAT

E. Veh/Veh

F. OT/Veh

G. Veh/CL 316243

H. OT/CL 316243

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 7A

FIGURE 7B

Table 1.   Plasma Measurements Following SC OT (16 and 50 nmol/day)

SC	VEH	OT (16 nmol/day)	OT (50 nmol/day)
Leptin (ng/mL)	65.9 ± 7.8	71.3 ± 8.2	59.6 ± 7.7
Insulin (ng/mL)	4.7 ± 1.7	3.4 ± 0.9	4.7 ± 1.3
Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	137.3 ± 4.5	160.4 ± 8.3*	157.7 ± 7.3
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	108.1 ± 10.4	118.4 ± 13.4	105.5 ± 11.5

Blood was collected in 4-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick (leptin, insulin and total cholesterol)

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

N=6-7/group

Table 2. Plasma Measurements Following SC OT +/- CL 316243 in DIO Rats

SC	VEH/VEH	OT/VEH	VEH/CL 316243	OT/CL 316243
Leptin (ng/mL)	47.2 ± 6.0	45.9 ± 4.9	25.8 ± 3.4*	22.0 ± 2.4*
Insulin (ng/mL)	10.9 ± 2.3	11.7 ± 1.5	6.6 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 0.8*
FGF-21 (pg/mL)	187.3 ± 22.5	163.9 ± 9.1	87.7 ± 8.0*	101.5 ± 11.6*
Irisin (μg/mL)	3.9 ± 0.3	4.5 ± 0.6	4.6 ± 0.4	4.4 ± 0.4
Adiponectin (μg/mL)	6.4 ± 0.6	6.3 ± 0.6	7.3 ± 0.6	8.7 ± 0.5*
Blood Glucose (mg/dL)	179.3 ± 24.5	174.9 ± 9.3	150 ± 3.7	138 ± 4.5*
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	81.5 ± 13.7	97.5 ± 33.1	39.8 ± 3.9	47.8 ± 3.3
FFA (mEq/L)	0.5 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.02*	0.3 ± 0.03[a]
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	100.0 ± 6.0	108.1 ± 9.7	94.5 ± 5.1	107.0 ± 10.2

Blood was collected in 6-h fasted rats by tail vein nick (glucose) or cardiac stick
(leptin, insulin, FGF-21, adiponectin, triglycerides, FFA and total cholesterol) at 2-h
post-injection of vehicle or CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)

*P<0.05 vs vehicle

N=10-12/group

Table 3A. Changes in IBAT mRNA Expression Following SC OT and CL 316243 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	VEH/VEH	OT/VEH	VEH/CL 316243	OT/CL 316243
IBAT				
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.4
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	0.5 ± 0.1*	0.5 ± 0.04*
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1*	1.6 ± 0.1*
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.4*	3.5 ± 0.3*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.2	19.8 ± 2.4*	15.9 ± 2.1*
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.05	1.0 ± 0.2	4.8 ± 0.4*	5.9 ± 0.5*

IBAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle or CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)

*P <0.05 vs vehicle

N=10-12/group

Table 3B. Changes in EWAT mRNA Expression Following SC OT and CL 316243 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	VEH/VEH	OT/VEH	VEH/CL 316243	OT/CL 316243
EWAT				
Adrb1	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.2*
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.2	38.8 ± 12.2*	60.5 ± 15.8*
Cidea	1.0 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	1.0± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.6	9.7 ± 3.8*
Gpr120	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2
Prdm16	1.0 ± 0.1	1.0 ± 0.1	1.3 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.2
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.3	0.7 ± 0.2	1.6 ± 0.2	13.7 ± 7.3*

EWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle or CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)

*P <0.05 vs vehicle

N=8-11/group

Table 3C. Changes in IWAT mRNA Expression Following SC OT and CL 316243 Treatment in Male DIO Rats

SC Treatment	VEH/VEH	OT/VEH	VEH/CL 316243	OT/CL 316243
IWAT				
Adrb3	1.0 ± 0.4	1.1 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.3	0.5 ± 0.2
Ucp1	1.0 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.8
Dio2	1.0 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.2	0.6 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.3
Ppargc1a	1.0 ± 0.7	0.5 ± 0.4	1.5 ± 1.0	0.04 ± 0.02

IWAT was collected in 6-h fasted rats at 2-h post-injection of vehicle or CL 316243 (0.5 mg/kg)

*P <0.05 vs vehicle

N=9-12/group

Supplemental Figure 1A

Supplemental Figure 1B

EWAT

A. Veh/Veh

B. OT/Veh

C. Veh/CL 316243

D. OT/CL 316243

IWAT

E. Veh/Veh

F. OT/Veh

G. Veh/CL 316243
Supplemental Figure 2

H. OT/CL 316243

Supplemental Figure 3