TITLE

Molecular and Neural Circuit Mechanisms Underlying Sexual

Experience-dependent Long-Term Memory in *Drosophila*.

RUNNING TITLE: Sexual experience-dependent long-term-memory formation

AUTHORS

Dongyu Sun[1], Xiaoli Zhang[1], Hongyu Miao[1], Tianmu Zhang[1], and Woo Jae Kim[1, 2, *]

[1]HIT Center for Life Sciences, School of Life Science and Technology, Harbin

of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

[2]Medical and Health Research Institute , Zhengzhou Research Institute of HIT,

Zhengzhou , Henan 450000 , China

[*]Correspondence to: wkim@hit.edu.cn

DS: 21S028032@stu.hit.edu.cn

XZ: 20210124l@stu.hit.edu.cn

HM: 20210125l@stu.hit.edu.cn

TZ: 22B928013@stu.hit.edu.cn

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: Woo Jae Kim.

Data curation: Dongyu Sun, Xiaoli Zhang, Hongyu Miao, Tianmu Zhang, and Woo

Jae Kim.

Formal analysis: Dongyu Sun, Xiaoli Zhang, Hongyu Miao, Tianmu Zhang, and

Woo Jae Kim.

Funding acquisition: Woo Jae Kim.

Investigation: Woo Jae Kim.

Methodology: Woo Jae Kim.

Project administration: Woo Jae Kim.

Resources: Woo Jae Kim.

Supervision: Woo Jae Kim.

Validation: Dongyu Sun, Xiaoli Zhang, Hongyu Miao, Tianmu Zhang, and Woo Jae

Kim.

Visualization: Woo Jae Kim.

Writing – original draft: Woo Jae Kim.

Writing – review & editing: Dongyu Sun and Woo Jae Kim.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS:

The authors declare no competing interests.

ABSTRACT

The neural and molecular underpinnings of sexual experience-dependent long-term

memory (SELTM) in male *Drosophila melanogaster* remain poorly understood,

despite its significance for reproductive success. Here, we dissect the role of a specific

class of neurons, termed 'Yuelao' (YL) neurons, in the formation of SELTM and the

associated molecular pathways. We employed a combination of genetic manipulations,

immunohistochemistry, and behavioral assays in *Drosophila* to investigate the

function of YL neurons and their molecular interactors in SELTM. Utilizing the RNA

sequencing data and transgenic tools, we delineated the neural circuits involved in

taste and pheromone processing relevant to SELTM. Our findings reveal that the YL

neurons, expressing the Orb2 scaffolding protein, are indispensable for the formation

of SELTM following sexual experience. These neurons are regulated by the

neuromodulator short neuropeptide F (sNPF) and its receptor (sNPF-R), which

modulate glutamate release via NMDAR2. We demonstrate that sexual experience

triggers synaptic plasticity in YL neurons, characterized by an increase in dendritic

and presynaptic terminal areas, and a decrease in intracellular calcium levels.

Furthermore, we show that YL neurons are specialized for the generation of appetitive

sexual experience-dependent memory and project to brain regions implicated in

memory formation. Our study uncovers the YL neurons as a key neural substrate for

SELTM in *Drosophila*, shedding light on the molecular and circuit mechanisms that

mediate the formation of long-term memories following sexual experience. These

findings provide novel insights into the neural basis of taste-related memory and have

broader implications for understanding the interplay between experience, memory

formation, and behavior.

KEYWORDS: Orb2; long-term-memory; sexual experience; mating behavior;

NMDAR; CaMK; synaptic plasticity; Drosophila; SELTM; LTM; mushroom body;

SMD behavior; interval timing

INTRODUCTION

Drosophila melanogaster is a widely used model organism for investigating the brain

circuitry involved in memory formation and retrieval. The memory systems of the

fruit fly, such as visual, olfactory, and taste, have been well studied and each has

specific neural substrates[1]. The mushroom body (MB), a crucial component of the

Drosophila brain, predominantly facilitates the process of olfactory and visual

learning and memory[2]. Olfactory memory is dependent on the antennal lobe (AL),

which is the convergence point for olfactory receptor neurons. Additionally, the MB

α'/β' lobes play a role in olfactory memory by receiving inputs from the AL and

processing olfactory information [3]. Visual memory relies on the optic lobes, which

receive visual inputs, and the central complexes (CC) including the ellipsoid body

(EB) and the fan-shaped body (FB)[4–6]. In addition, the dorsal accessory calyx (dAC),

which is composed of the a/b Kenyon cells, primarily receives visual input[7–9].

Despite the extensive knowledge accumulated on these sensory memories, the neural

mechanisms underlying sexual experience-dependent long-term-memory (SELTM) in

male *Drosophila* remain relatively uncharted. Unlike olfactory memory, which has

been extensively dissected at both the molecular and circuit levels, SELTM is less

explored, and its neural underpinnings are not as clearly defined. This gap in

understanding is particularly notable given the significance of SELTM in reproductive

success and species survival[10]. Sexual experience can induce long-lasting changes in

male courtship behavior[11], which indicates that this behavioral adaptation is

underpinned by associative learning and memory processes. However, the specific

neural pathways and molecular cascades that mediate this type of memory are not

well understood.

While experience-dependent long-term-memory (LTM) has been well established in

the context of courtship conditioning in *Drosophila*[12,13], the precise mechanisms by

which actual sexual experience shapes memory traces remain enigmatic. Courtship

conditioning, a form of associative learning, has been extensively studied and

involves the modification of male courtship behavior based on prior experience with

females[12,14–18]. However, the intricate ways in which the act of mating itself can sculpt

the neural architecture and memory formation in males are not well understood.

A particularly intriguing aspect of sexual experience in *Drosophila* is the dichotomy

between the well-documented post-mating behaviors of females[19], such as reduced

receptivity and increased egg laying[20–25], and the relatively unexplored realm of male

sexual experience and its impact on memory and future behaviors[26,27]. Unlike females,

where the neurobiological changes of post-mating have been linked to specific

physiological and behavioral adaptations, the memory-related consequences of sexual

experience in males are less characterized. The scarcity of research in this area is

surprising, considering the potential insights SELTM could provide into the evolution

of reproductive strategies and the complexity of memory processing in the brain. This

knowledge could also have broader implications for understanding the neural basis of

complex behaviors and the interplay between sensory experiences and memory

consolidation[28].

The *orb2* gene encodes a postsynaptic scaffolding protein that is indispensable for the

establishment of experience-dependent long-term courtship memory in *Drosophila*[29].

This protein has the capacity to form amyloid-like oligomers, a process that alters its

molecular function, transitioning from a translation repressor to an activator[30]. Orb2

can facilitate memory formation through two distinct mechanisms: by binding to

target mRNA 3' untranslated regions (UTRs) to augment the translation of genes

involved in neuronal growth, synapse formation, and protein turnover[31,32] or

independently of its RNA-binding affinity[30,33–35]. Although the role of Orb2 in

mediating olfactory and courtship memory in *Drosophila* has been well-established,

its involvement in SELTM remains to be fully elucidated.

This study aims to bridge this gap by investigating the neural and molecular

signatures of sexual experience in male *Drosophila*, offering novel insights into how

such experiences are encoded and retrieved within the brain. By delineating the

function of Orb2-expressing MB projection neurons, which are situated outside the

conventional MB Kenyon cell population, we aim to find the molecular mechanisms

modulating memory processes that are specifically associated with gustatory and

pheromonal sensory inputs to SELTM.

RESULTS

Sexual experience induces Orb2-specific LTM in male *Drosophila*

We previously elucidated that taste and pheromonal cues exert a pivotal influence on

the modulation of mating investment by sexual experience in male *Drosophila*[36,37].

This behavior, termed 'shorter-mating-duration (SMD)', is a form of interval timing

that is prevalent across the animal kingdom, enabling organisms to quantify time

intervals within the range of seconds to minutes[38]. The internal clock model posits

that the coordination of pacemaker-accumulator circuits with memory circuits within

the brain is essential for the precise comparison of time durations[39]. Consequently, we

posited that the SMD behavior is contingent upon the engagement of memory circuits

and associated traces within the neural architecture of the brain (Fig. S1A)[40–43].

Males undergone sexual experience demonstrate a persistent reduction in MD, with

this behavioral pattern persisting even 12 hours post-isolation from females (Fig.

1A-E), indicating the establishment of LTM. To corroborate that this attenuation of

MD is a consequence of cumulative mating experiences, we conducted experiments

on serial mating of males, which revealed that repetitive mating did not diminish

mating duration (Fig. 1F-H). This finding suggests that the reduction in mating

investment is contingent upon the formation of LTM.

Previously, our group demonstrated that male fly prolongs their mating duration when

confronted with competitors, a phenomenon termed as longer-mating-duration (LMD),

which is also a type of interval timing behavior. This extended mating duration is

contingent on the visual learning and memory capacity of the males, specifically

linked to the expression of *amnesic* (*amn*) and *rutabaga* (*rut*) in specific EB rings (Fig.

S1B-D)[44].

Contrary to the LMD behavior, the LTM for SMD does not rely on the functions of

dnc, *amn*, or *rut* (Fig. 1I-K). Instead, our data indicate that Orb2 is specifically

implicated in SMD but not LMD (Fig. 1L-M). Analysis of the Fly SCope RNA

sequencing dataset suggests that Orb2 is predominantly expressed in the α/β- and

γ-lobe of the MB (Fig. 1N-O)[45], aligning with previous reports (Fig. S1E) [33]. Within

the MB, which is a pivotal high-order brain region for memory processing, we have

previously shown that inhibition of the EB but not the MB or FB disrupts LMD

behavior (Fig. S1F-H)[44]. Conversely, inhibition of both the MB and EB, but not the

FB, impairs SMD behavior (Fig. 1P and Fig. S1I-J). Furthermore, Fly SCope data

predict that Orb2-expressing MB neurons also co-express *dnc*, *amn*, and *rut* (Fig.

1Q-S). In conjunction with genetic control data (Fig. S1K-N), the collective results

indicate that LTM formation for SMD is contingent upon the functional engagement

of the MB and EB, along with the function of the Orb2 gene in a currently undefined

neuronal subset.

The expression of Orb2 in a specific subset of MB projection neurons is pivotal

for taste related LTM for generating SMD.

The targeted knockdown of Orb2 in $GAL4^{ok107}$-positive MB neurons, but not in

$GAL4^{c547}$-positive EB or $GAL4^{14-94}$-positive FB neurons, disrupts SMD behavior (Fig.

2A-C). Utilizing advanced genetic tools designed to dissect MB circuits[46,47], we

conducted a screening to identify MB neurons that require Orb2 expression for the

generation of SELTM (Table 1). Remarkably, our analysis has determined that the

expression of Orb2 within neurons marked by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ is uniquely essential for

the manifestation of SMD behavior (Fig. 2D, Fig. S2A-B for genetic control

experiments, and Fig. S2C for independent *orb2-RNAi* strain confirmation).

The FlyLight GAL4 expression pattern indicates that $GAL4^{MB093C}$ exclusively labels

three pairs of neurons with cell bodies situated below the AL and above the

subesophageal ganglion (SOG) (Fig. 2E)[48]. Each of these neurons extends a vertical

fiber to the dorsal brain region, where they branch into dense arborizations within the

α lobes of the MB and send sparse terminals to the anterior, middle, and posterior

superiormedial protocerebrae in female brains[49] (Fig. 2F). Our further analysis

confirmed the presence of only three pairs of nuclei near the SOG in male brains,

whereas female brains exhibit a greater number of nuclei near the AL (Fig. 2G),

suggesting subtle sexual dimorphisms in $GAL4^{MB093C}$-expressing neurons.

Orb2 expression in $GAL4^{MB093C}$ neurons was validated by three independent anti-Orb2

antibodies (Fig. 2H-I and Fig. S2D). Remarkably, Orb2 protein forms highly

oligomerized puncta in the MB α-lobe area to which $GAL4^{MB093C}$ neurons project

(yellow arrows in Fig. 2H-I and Fig. S2D). We observed leaky expression of

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ in the VNC (panel VNC in Fig. 2I and Fig. S2D), but knockdown of

Orb2 exclusively in the brain still disrupted SMD behavior (Fig. 2J). Additionally,

Orb2 puncta did not colocalize with $GAL4^{MB093C}$-positive VNC neurons (Fig. 2K-M

and Fig. S2E). Notably, the MB α-lobe displays increased colocalization with the

Orb2 protein following male sexual experience (Fig. S2F-J), implying that Orb2

accumulation in the MB α-lobe post-experience may enhance memory formation.

The collective results demonstrate that the expression of the Orb2 gene in the three

pairs of MB projection neurons is essential for the formation of SELTM with SMD

behavior, which we have designated as 'SELTM'. We have designated these three pairs

of neurons as 'Yuelao (YL)' neurons, a nomenclature derived from Chinese

mythology, where 'Yuelao' is the deity associated with marriage and love.

RNA-binding proteins and the CaM-Kinase signaling cascade are indispensable

constituents of SELTM.

RNA-binding proteins, including Staufen (Stau), Fragile X messenger

ribonucleoprotein 1 (Fmr1), and Pumilio (Pum), are integral to the molecular

underpinnings of LTM in *Drosophila*[50–53] (Fig. 3A). Specifically, Fmr1 expression is a

prerequisite for SELTM in YL neurons (Fig. 3B-D, S3A-B). Colocalization analysis

via Fly SCope suggests that Orb2 co-expresses with Stau, Pum, and Fmr1 in the

Kenyon cell population (Fig. 3E-G), indicating that YL neurons may employ distinct

molecular mechanisms for SELTM compared to those associated with other sensory

modalities.

Cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CREB)-responsive transcription has

been established as a pivotal mechanism in LTM formation[54,55]. In *Drosophila*, CrebA

and CrebB encode CREB family proteins, and their knockdown of CrebB in YL

neurons disrupts SELTM (Fig. 3H). However, overexpression of CrebB does not

influence SELTM (Fig. 3I), suggesting that the presence of CrebB is more critical

than its expression level for SELTM generation. *Drosophila*

Calcium/Calmodulin-dependent Kinase II (CaMKII) and

Calcium/Calmodulin-dependent Kinase I (CaMKI), a homolog of the mammalian

αCaMKII and CaMKI, is synthesized at synapses and its mRNA translation is

regulated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation element–binding (CPEB) proteins at

synapses, a process crucial for LTM formation[56–59]. Knockdown of CaMKI or

CaMKII disrupts SELTM (Fig. 3J and. Fig. S3C). The elevated expression of the

wild-type CaMKII protein does not exert a significant influence on SELTM (Fig.

S3D). Conversely, the introduction of a mutant variant of CaMKII leads to the

impairment of SELTM (Fig. S3E-F).

It is worth mentioning that knockdown of both CaMKII and CaMKI disrupts LTM for

SMD in YL neurons (Fig. 3J and Fig. S3C) and the Fly SCope data indicate that all

LTM-related genes are highly enriched in MB Kenyon cells co-expressing Orb2 (Fig.

3K-L), suggesting that the co-expression of these genes with Orb2 does fully account

for the specificity of SELTM formation (Fig. S3G-L for genetic control experiments).

The signaling pathway mediated by Nmadr1 is crucial for the SELTM

formation.

In *Drosophila melanogaster*, the NMDAR1 (N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor1) and its

homolog, NMDAR2, are pivotal components of the glutamatergic signaling system,

which is integral to various brain functions, including memory formation. NMDARs

are ionotropic glutamate receptors that mediate rapid excitatory synaptic transmission

and are implicated in long-term potentiation (LTP), a form of synaptic plasticity that

is considered a molecular correlate of learning and memory[60,61]. The

NMDARs-CamKI/II signaling cascade is a critical component of LTM (Fig. 4A)[62].

RNA interference-mediated knockdown of *Nmdar2*, but not *Nmdar1*, disrupts SELTM

(Fig. 4B-C), despite both receptors co-expressing in Orb2-positive MB Kenyon cells

(Fig. 4D- E). Notably, RNAi knockdown of NMDAR2 in *GAL4[ok107]*-positive MB

neurons does not affect SELTM (Fig. 4F), suggesting that NMDAR2 expression in YL

neurons, but not in other MB neurons, is required for the generation of SELTM (Fig.

S4A-B for genetic control experiments).

Dizocilpine, also known as MK-801, is a selective antagonist of N-methyl-D-aspartate

receptors (NMDARs), which are a subclass of ionotropic glutamate receptors critical

to the functioning of the CNS [63]. MK-801 exerts its antagonistic effect by binding to

two distinct sites within the NMDAR-ion channel complex, thereby attenuating

glutamatergic neurotransmission through NMDARs. The application of MK-801 to

block NMDAR activity results in the disruption of SELTM (Fig. 4G). Our subsequent

analysis, conducted under both naive and experienced conditions with and without

MK-801 (0.3 mM) administration, revealed that MK-801 specifically suppresses the

reduction in MD induced by sexual experience (Fig. 4H and 4I). Collectively, these

findings indicate that the specific inhibition of NMDARs by MK-801 interferes with

the consolidation of SELTM in subjects with prior sexual experience.

Genetic intersection analyses corroborated that Nmdar1 is not expressed with YL

neurons (Fig. 4J), whereas Nmdar2 is expressed in a single pair of YL neurons in both

male and female brains (indicated by yellow arrows in Fig. 4K and Fig. S4C). These

data suggests that the NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases cascade in these specific YL neurons

is a pivotal component for the generation of SELTM. CaMKII phosphorylation of

various synaptic proteins is pivotal in modulating their structural and functional

dynamics, which are pivotal for synaptic plasticity[62]. Intriguingly, the overall calcium

levels within YL neurons were markedly reduced following sexual experience in

males (Fig. 4L-O and Fig. S4D-H). The observed reduction in calcium levels within

YL neurons suggests that the inhibitory function of the neuronal signaling pathway

plays a crucial role in the formation of SELTM.

Short neuropeptide F (sNPF) inputs to YL neurons connect with NMDAR2

inputs to release glutamate for LTM formation.

Previously, we elucidated that sNPF-sNPF-R signaling within the AL and the MB

dorsal accessory calyx (dAC) is pivotal for modulating SMD behavior [64]. The

male-specific sNPF-sNPF-R signaling circuits operate as an accumulator, processing

information related to sexual experiences. The integration of this information into

memory circuits is essential for interval timing, with accumulator circuits being

proposed to be interconnected with memory circuits[39–41,43,65,66]. It is hypothesized that

sNPF-R may serve as the neural interface that bridges the accumulator circuits into

memory circuits (Fig. 5A).

Knockdown of sNPF-R, but not sNPF, within YL neurons disrupts SELTM (Fig.

5B-C), and sNPF-R is exclusively expressed with Orb2 in MB Kenyon cells (Fig.

5D-E). Furthermore, the overexpression of membrane-tethered sNPF in YL neurons

disrupts SELTM (Fig. 5F and Fig. S5A-E), indicating that the proximity of sNPF to

YL neurons is a critical determinant for SELTM formation (Fig. S5F for genetic

control experiments).

Although sNPF has been demonstrated to act as a neuromodulator within the MB for

olfactory memory[67], the specific neurotransmitters (NTs) that synergize with sNPF in

MB memory circuits remain elusive. Utilizing RNA interference-mediated

knockdown of essential enzymes involved in NT synthesis, we identified that

glutamate release from YL neurons is indispensable for LTM induction (Fig. 5G-M

and Fig. S5G-L for genetic control experiments). Additionally, YL neurons do not

appear to receive inputs from serotonergic neurons through 5-HT receptors, despite

the established requirement of serotonin receptors in MB for learning and memory

formation[68–71] (Fig. S5M-P).

Recently generated knockin $sNPF\text{-}R^{T2A}\text{-}lexA$ driver expresses in all three pairs of YL

neurons[72] (Fig. 5N), whereas $Vglut^{T2A}\text{-}lexA$ is expressed in only one pair of medial

neurons (Fig. 5O). The localization of VGlut-positive YL neurons corresponds to that

of Nmdar2-positive YL neurons (Fig. 4K), suggesting that this specific YL neuron

pair receives input signals through NMDAR2 and sNPF-R, subsequently releasing

glutamate into the MB α/β lobes (Fig. S5Q).

Neuropeptide sNPF is known to be broadly expressed throughout the brain and is

involved in local neuromodulatory functions[73]. To identify the local sNPF-expressing

neurons that interact with YL neurons, we screened GAL4 strains that drive sNPF

expression and identified the *sNPF^{R20E08}* driver, which is adjacent to YL neurons (Fig.

5P). These *sNPF^{R20E08}* neurons partially overlaps with YL neurons and also locate

closely with YL neurons in the vicinity of the SOG and the MB α/β lobes. We

designated this group of sNPF-expressing local neurons as "Weigu (WG)" neurons,

inspired by the Chinese legend of a man who encountered Yue Lao, the deity of

marriage. Knockdown of sNPF and sNPF-R in WG neurons abolishes SELTM (Fig.

5Q-R), indicating that WG neurons co-express sNPF and sNPF-R near YL neurons.

These findings collectively suggest that local sNPF inputs from WG neurons to

sNPF-R-expressing YL neurons, in conjunction with NMDAR2, are essential for the

generation of SELTM behavior (Fig. S5Q).

The rise in synaptic plasticity of YL neurons is accompanied by a reduction in

intracellular calcium concentrations.

The NMDAR-CaMKII cascade is well-established as a critical regulator of synaptic

plasticity[62,74,75]. To investigate whether the NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases signaling

pathway in YL neurons (Movie 1) undergoes synaptic plasticity during memory

formation, we utilized the DenMark and syt.eGFP markers to assess the morphology

of dendrites and presynaptic terminals[76]. Our findings revealed a substantial

augmentation in the area occupied by YL neurons' dendrites and presynaptic terminals

throughout the brain (Fig. 6A and S6A-C), with a more pronounced increase observed

in the MB and SOG regions (Fig. 6B-G).

A detailed particle analysis within the MB region demonstrated a marked increase in

the number of synapses proximate to the α/β lobes of the MB, while the average size

of these synapses remained unchanged (Fig. 6H-J). These data indicate that the

increase of CaMKII phosphorylation within YL neurons induced by sexual

experiences enhances their synaptic plasticity, thereby facilitating the establishment of

SELTM.

To further corroborate the calcium dynamics during synaptic plasticity, we utilized a

transcriptional reporter of intracellular calcium (TRIC)[77]. Our results revealed a

significant decrease in the calcium levels of YL neurons following the onset of

synaptic plasticity (Fig. 6K-N and Fig. S6D-E), particularly in the α/β lobes of the

MB (Fig. 6L-N). Additionally, the intracellular calcium reporter CaLexA displayed

concordant results[78] (Fig. 6O-P and S6F-H), suggesting that sexual experience indeed

leads to a reduction in intracellular calcium levels within YL neurons. Collectively,

these data indicate that the sexual experience of males alters the calcium signaling

cascade within YL neurons, which in turn promotes increased synaptic plasticity and

SELTM (Fig. S6Q).

YL neurons are specialized for the generation of SELTM.

As previously documented, the generation of SMD behavior in male *Drosophila* is

significantly influenced by taste and pheromonal cues, which are detected and

processed through Gr5a-positive neurons specialized in sugar sensing[37]. The YL

neurons, which are situated near the SOG, which have been identified as a primary

gustatory processing center [79,80]. Consequently, we hypothesize that the YL neurons

are specialized in the generation of contact-based taste- and pheromone-specific

appetitive LTM.

To validate this hypothesis, we conducted a series of olfactory conditioning

experiments using a T-maze apparatus (Fig. 7A)[81]. Untrained flies demonstrated a

preference for both odor A and B, with approximately a 50% chance of choice for

each (Fig. 7B and Fig. S7A-C). Upon conditioning with an electric shock paired with

one odor, the flies developed a strong aversion to that odor, avoiding it for up to 12

hours post-training (Fig. 7C-E). Surprisingly, the olfactory LTM was still observed in

flies with targeted knockdown of Orb2 or NMDAR2 in the YL neurons (Fig. 7F-K),

suggesting that the function of Orb2 and NMDAR2 in YL neurons is not essential for

olfactory LTM.

Additionally, we observed distinct LTM in male flies that were repeatedly rejected by

females during courtship, indicating the involvement of multisensory inputs in

mediating aversive memory[82–84] (Fig. 7L-M). Interestingly, knockdown of Orb2 or

NMDAR2 in YL neurons still resulted in strong LTM for courtship conditioning up to

12 hours (Fig. 7N-O), indicating that YL neurons are not necessary for the generation

of aversive LTM.

To further elucidate the neural circuits connected to YL neurons, we utilized

transsynaptic mapping using trans-Tango, a method that labels postsynaptic partners

of YL neurons[85]. Our findings revealed that the secondary order YL neurons primarily

project to dorsolateral brain regions, including the crepine (CRE), superior medial

protocerebrum (SMP), lateral protocerebrum (SLP), and lateral horn (LH)[86].

Specifically, the LH, which contains local neurons (LHLN), input neurons (LHIN),

and output neurons (LHON) involved in memory formation and retrieval, has been

recognized as a multimodal ventral zone which is known to relay multisensory inputs

from the visual, mechanosensory, gustatory, and thermosensory systems[87–92] (Fig. 7P

and Fig. S7D).

Given that Gr5a neurons project to sNPF-sNPF-R-positive circuits near the AL/SOG

boundary[37,64], we propose that taste and pheromonal inputs from Gr5a neurons convey

information about male's sexual experience to the sNPF-sNPF-R circuits, which are

processed by the YL neurons. This information is then utilized to generate SELTM via

the YL neurons, analogous to the accumulator-memory circuits in the internal clock

model for measuring time intervals (Fig. 7Q and Fig. S7E).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we explore the role of a subset of MB projection neurons, termed

'Yuelao (YL)' neurons, in the formation of sexual experience-related LTM (SELTM)

in male *Drosophila*. These YL neurons express the *orb2* gene, a postsynaptic

scaffolding protein crucial for LTM in courtship conditioning (Fig. 1). Our findings

demonstrate that sexual experience triggers the formation of LTM for SMD in male

flies, which is dependent on the expression of Orb2 in YL neurons (Fig. 2). The

molecular mechanisms underlying this LTM involve the RNA-binding protein Fmr1,

CREB transcription, and the NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases signaling cascade in YL

neurons (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Additionally, we uncover that the neuromodulator sNPF

in WG neurons and its receptor sNPF-R in YL neurons regulate the release of

glutamate, which is essential for LTM formation (Fig. 5). Sexual experience leads to

synaptic plasticity and reduces intracellular calcium levels in YL neurons (Fig. 6).

Furthermore, YL neurons exhibit specialization for generating sexual

experience-specific appetitive LTM. These neurons receive inputs from taste and

pheromonal circuits and project to memory-related brain regions (Fig. 7). Overall, our

study provides new insights into the neural circuits and molecular mechanisms

underlying taste-related memory formation in *Drosophila*.

Research on *Drosophila* taste memory has predominantly centered on the neural

circuitry and molecular mechanisms underlying aversive taste learning. Flies exhibit

robust avoidance behaviors after exposure to bitter substances, serving as a warning

of potential toxicity. This aversive taste memory is critical for the fly's survival,

enabling it to avoid consuming harmful substances[93]. Although aversive taste LTM

has been extensively characterized, the neural circuits mediating appetitive taste LTM

remain relatively underexplored[91,94–96]. The SOG is a key brain region involved in

processing sweet taste and contact chemoreception[97], and YL neurons are located

above SOG (Fig. 2F). Appetitive taste LTM is crucial for *Drosophila* as it aids in

forming associations between food cues and reward, facilitating food selection and

foraging behaviors. The discovery of YL neurons is significant as these specialized

neurons in the MB might facilitate the formation of taste-related appetitive LTM. Our

findings contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the complex relationship

between taste and other sensory modalities in memory formation and have broader

implications for understanding the neural basis of learning and memory.

Previous studies reported that two pairs of cholinergic MB efferent neurons are

required for appetitive LTM retrieval. These MB-V3 cholinergic neurons are

specifically recruited for the retrieval of appetitive LTM, but not STM[98,99]. YL

neurons are 3 pairs of neurons, and 1 pair of YL neurons are glutaminergic, not

cholinergic (Fig. 5O). This single pair of neurons appears solely responsible for

SELTM since the knockdown of VGlut, not ChAT, in YL neurons disrupts SELTM

(Fig. 5H and Fig. 5L). Thus, we speculate SELTM only requires the function of a

single pair of glutaminergic YL neurons, which are functionally distinct from MB-V3

cholinergic neurons. However, the role of these MB-V3 efferent neurons in SELTM

has not been investigated in previous studies[98]. This is likely due to the complexity of

taste coding and the challenge in isolating taste from other sensory modalities. Our

study further investigates the molecular mechanisms by which

NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases with sNPF-sNPF-R signaling cascade can jointly modulate

Orb2-mediated synaptic protein synthesis.

The sNPF has been identified to play an inhibitory role in certain neural circuits [100–103].

The inhibitory effects of sNPF are thought to be mediated through the activation of

sNPF-R, which leads to the inhibition of neurotransmitter release or the activation of

inhibitory neurotransmitter pathways. Specifically, sNPF has been shown to interact

with neurotransmitters such as GABA, a primary inhibitory neurotransmitter in the

brain, and glutamate, the primary excitatory neurotransmitter [104]. When sNPF binds to

sNPF-R, it can enhance the inhibitory effects of GABA or suppress the excitatory

effects of glutamate, leading to a net inhibitory effect on neurotransmission. It has

been investigated that $G\alpha_o$ is the crucial regulator of sNPF effects downstream of

sNPF-R [105] $G\alpha_o$ has been shown to inhibit cAMP production, although it can also

have cAMP-independent effects. We showed that sexual experience dramatically

decreases calcium in YL neurons (Fig. 6), suggesting that sNPF to sNPF-R circuits in

YL neurons act as inhibitory signals. Further molecular analysis with these circuits to

understand how this inhibitory modulation occurs will be interesting to expand our

understanding of how taste-specific coding leads to LTM for organisms. One of our

important findings is that NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases is working together with the

sNPF-sNPF-R system to yield taste-related LTM. Since there has been no study about

the relationship between sNPF and NMDAR system, this circuit may provide useful

toolkits to understand how appetitive taste can induce LTM for organisms.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study uncovers the pivotal role of the YL neurons in the formation

of sexual experience-dependent long-term memory (SELTM) in male *Drosophila*.

Through a series of genetic and pharmacological experiments, we have demonstrated

that the activation of YL neurons, which express the Orb2 scaffolding protein, is both

necessary and sufficient for the establishment of SELTM. The molecular mechanisms

underlying this process involve the intricate interplay of RNA-binding proteins,

CREB transcription factors, and the NMDAR2-CaM-Kinases signaling cascade.

Furthermore, our findings reveal the neuromodulatory role of sNPF and its receptor

sNPF-R in regulating glutamate release within YL neurons, which is essential for the

consolidation of SELTM. The synaptic plasticity and the subsequent reduction in

intracellular calcium levels within YL neurons following sexual experience

underscore the significance of these neurons in the encoding of appetitive taste

memories. Our research not only advances the understanding of the neural and

molecular substrates of SELTM but also provides a foundation for future

investigations into the complex interplay between experience, memory, and behavior

in *Drosophila*. These insights contribute to the broader knowledge of memory

formation and could potentially inform studies on learning and memory processes in

other organisms, including humans.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

***Drosophila melanogaster* was cultured under standard laboratory conditions at**

25□ (for details, see **Fly Stocks and Husbandry**). **Samples were prepared as**

described in the METHODS DETAILS. All fly strains are listed in the KEY

RESOURCES TABLE.

Fly Stocks and Husbandry

Drosophila melanogaster was raised on cornmeal-yeast medium at similar densities to

yield adults with similar body sizes. Flies were kept in 12 h light: 12 h dark cycles

(LD) at 25□ (ZT 0 is the beginning of the light phase, ZT12 beginning of the dark

phase) except for some experimental manipulation (experiments with the flies

carrying *tub-GAL80^{ts}*). Wild-type flies were Canton-S. To reduce the variation from

genetic background, all flies were backcrossed for at least 3 generations to CS strain.

All mutants and transgenic lines used here have been described previously.

Mating Duration Assay

The mating duration assay in this study has been reported [37,44,106]. To enhance the

efficiency of the mating duration assay, we utilized the *Df(1)Exel6234* (DF here after)

genetic modified fly line in this study, which harbors a deletion of a specific genomic

region that includes the sex peptide receptor (SPR)[107,108]. Previous studies have

demonstrated that virgin females of this line exhibit increased receptivity to males[108].

We conducted a comparative analysis between the virgin females of this line and the

CS virgin females and found that both groups induced SMD. Consequently, we have

elected to employ virgin females from this modified line in all subsequent studies. For

naïve males, 40 males from the same strain were placed into a vial with food for 5

days. For single reared males, males of the same strain were collected individually

and placed into vials with food for 5 days. For experienced males, 40 males from the

same strain were placed into a vial with food for 4 days then 80 DF virgin females

were introduced into vials for last 1 day before assay. 40 DF virgin females were

collected from bottles and placed into a vial for 5 days. These females provide both

sexually experienced partners and mating partners for mating duration assays. At the

fifth day after eclosion, males of the appropriate strain and DF virgin females were

mildly anaesthetized by CO_2. After placing a single female into the mating chamber,

we inserted a transparent film then placed a single male to the other side of the film in

each chamber. After allowing for 1 h of recovery in the mating chamber in 25□

incubators, we removed the transparent film and recorded the mating activities. Only

those males that succeeded to mate within 1 h were included for analyses. Initiation

and completion of copulation were recorded with an accuracy of 10 sec, and total

mating duration was calculated for each couple. All assays were performed from noon

to 4pm. Genetic controls with *GAL4/+* or *UAS/+* lines were omitted from

supplementary figures, as prior data confirm their consistent exhibition of normal

LMD and SMD behaviors[37,44,106,109,110]. Hence, genetic controls for LMD and SMD

behaviors were incorporated exclusively when assessing novel fly strains that had not

previously been examined. In essence, internal controls were predominantly

employed in the experiments, as LMD and SMD behaviors exhibit enhanced

statistical significance when internally controlled. Within the LMD assay, both group

and single conditions function reciprocally as internal controls. A significant

distinction between the naïve and single conditions implies that the experimental

manipulation does not affect LMD. Conversely, the lack of a significant discrepancy

suggests that the manipulation does influence LMD. In the context of SMD

experiments, the naïve condition (equivalent to the group condition in the LMD assay)

and sexually experienced males act as mutual internal controls for one another. A

statistically significant divergence between naïve and experienced males indicates that

the experimental procedure does not alter SMD. Conversely, the absence of a

statistically significant difference suggests that the manipulation does impact SMD.

Hence, we incorporated supplementary genetic control experiments solely if they

deemed indispensable for testing. All assays were performed from noon to 4 PM. We

conducted blinded studies for every test[111,112].

Pharmacology

Drosophila were subjected to treatment with the NMDA receptor antagonist MK-801,

also known as Dizocilpine. The MD assays were conducted following acute

administration of MK-801 to the flies (over a period of 24 hours) at a concentration of

0.3 mM, incorporated into their dietary regimen. The selected drug concentration was

informed by findings from a preceding study [113]. For the control cohorts, an equal

volume of the vehicle solution, which in this case was distilled water, was mixed into

the fly food.

T-maze Aversive Conditioning Protocol

The T-maze was a custom-made 3D printed arena (polyoxymethylene material)

adapted and simplified from the conventional Tully T-maze [114]. The arena was

modified to ensure least interference from the reflected light. Innate preference was

determined by testing the choice of a group of naive flies (50-60 in number, 3–5 days

old) to the odors and colors against paraffin oil and darkness, respectively. For the

training protocol, a different group of 50-60 flies was aspirated into the training tube

that was lined with an embedded copper grid. Aversive reinforcement of the stimuli

(CS+: odor/light/bimodal) was provided for 1 min paired with AC 90V electric shock.

One training trial consisted of 15 shock pulses, each pulse lasting 1.8 s followed by an

interval of 3 s. After training, flies were given 1 min of clean air or kept in darkness,

then followed by 1 min of testing (odor OTC and MCH vs paraffin oil/light vs dark).

There was no electric shock presented during the testing. For bimodal training, CS +

constituted a simultaneous presentation of the light, odor and shock, were also

performed to rule out non-associative effects. All experiments were conducted at the

same time-window for all days to control for circadian variation in wavelength

preferences. Suction was always provided to prevent odor contamination or

accumulation in the center of the maze. The tube lined with the copper grid was used

in both the training and the testing phase to reproduce the same contexts. All training

and testing were done at 25°C and 70% relative humidity in a dark arena. Flies inside

the arena were handled only in red light (>720 nm). Different groups of flies were

used to observe innate and learned behavior. Preference indices were calculated for

both using the following formula:

$Preference Index(PI)=[\#Flies(CS+)-\#Flies(CS-)]/[\#Flies(CS+)+\#Flies(CS-)]$

In experiments where long-lasting memory retrieval was necessary, trained flies were

collected and stored in vials with food at 25°C and darkness for specified time.

Immunostaining

The dissection and immunostaining protocols for the experiments are described

elsewhere [106]. After 5 days of eclosion, the *Drosophila* brain was taken from adult

flies and fixed in 4% formaldehyde at room temperature for 30 minutes. The sample

was washed three times (5 minutes each) in 1% PBT and then blocked in 5% normal

goat serum for 30 minutes. The sample next be incubated overnight at 4℃ with

primary antibodies in 1% PBT, followed by the addition of fluorophore-conjugated

secondary antibodies for one hour at room temperature. The brain was mounted on

plates with an antifade mounting solution (Invitrogen) for imaging purposes.

Samples were imaged with Zeiss LSM880. Antibodies were used at the following

dilutions: Chicken anti-GFP (1:500, Invitrogen), mouse anti-nc82 (1:50, DSHB),

rabbit anti-DsRed (1:500, Rockland Immunochemicals), Alexa-488 donkey

anti-chicken (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch), Alexa-555 goat anti-rabbit (1:200,

Invitrogen), Alexa-647 goat anti-mouse (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch). For

specific antibodies used for immunostaining, see **KEY RESOURCE TABLES**.

Quantitative Analysis of Fluorescence Intensity

To ascertain calcium levels and synaptic intensity from microscopic images, we

dissected and imaged five-day-old flies of various social conditions and genotypes

under uniform conditions. The GFP signal in the brains and VNCs was amplified

through immunostaining with chicken anti-GFP primary antibody. Image analysis was

conducted using ImageJ software. For the quantification of fluorescence intensities,

an investigator, blinded to the fly's genotype, thresholded the sum of all pixel

intensities within a sub-stack to optimize the signal-to-noise ratio, following

established methods [115]. The total fluorescent area or region of interest (ROI) was then

quantified using ImageJ, as previously reported. For CaLexA signal quantification, we

adhered to protocols detailed by Kayser et al.[116], which involve measuring the ROI's

GFP-labeled area by summing pixel values across the image stack. This method

assumes that changes in the GFP-labeled area are indicative of alterations in the

CaLexA signal, reflecting synaptic activity. ROI intensities were

background-corrected by measuring and subtracting the fluorescent intensity from a

non-specific adjacent area, as per Kayser et al. [116]. For the analysis of GRASP or

tGRASP signals, a sub-stack encompassing all synaptic puncta was thresholded by a

genotype-blinded investigator to achieve the optimal signal-to-noise ratio. The

fluorescence area or ROI for each region was quantified using ImageJ, employing a

similar approach to that used for CaLexA quantification[115].

Colocalization Analysis

Before the colocalization analysis, an investigator, blinded to the fly's genotype,

thresholded the sum of all pixel intensities within a sub-stack to optimize the

signal-to-noise ratio, following established methods [115]. To perform colocalization

analysis of multi-color fluorescence microscopy images in this study, we employed

ImageJ software [117]. In brief, we merged image channels to form a composite with

accurate color representation and applied a threshold to isolate yellow pixels,

signifying colocalization. The measured "area" values represented the colocalization

zones between fluorophores. To determine the colocalization percentage relative to

the total area of interest (e.g., GFP or RFP), we adjusted thresholds to capture the full

fluorophore areas and remeasured to obtain total areas. The colocalization efficiency

was calculated by dividing the colocalized area by the total fluorophore area. All

samples were imaged uniformly.

Single-nucleus RNA-sequencing Analyses

The snRNAseq dataset analyzed in this paper has been published[45] and available at

the Nextflow pipelines (VSN, https://github.com/vib-singlecell-nf), the availability of

raw and processed datasets for users to explore, and the development of a

crowd-annotation platform with voting, comments, and references through SCope

(https://flycellatlas.org/scope), linked to an online analysis platform in ASAP

(https://asap.epfl.ch/fca). For the generation of the tSNE plots, we utilized the Fly

SCope website (https://scope.aertslab.org/#/FlyCellAtlas/*/welcome). Within the

session interface, we selected the appropriate tissues and configured the parameters as

follows: 'Log transform' enabled, 'CPM normalize' enabled, 'Expression-based

plotting' enabled, 'Show labels' enabled, 'Dissociate viewers' enabled, and both 'Point

size' and 'Point alpha level' set to maximum. For all tissues, we referred to the

individual tissue sessions within the '10X Cross-tissue' RNAseq dataset. Each tSNE

visualization depicts the coexpression patterns of genes, with each color

corresponding to the genes listed on the left, right, and bottom of the plot. The tissue

name, as referenced on the Fly SCope website is indicated in the upper left corner of

the tSNE plot. Dashed lines denote the significant overlap of cell populations

annotated by the respective genes. Coexpression between genes or annotated tissues is

visually represented by differentially colored cell populations. For instance, yellow

cells indicate the coexpression of a gene (or annotated tissue) with red color and

another gene (or annotated tissue) with green color. Cyan cells signify coexpression

between green and blue, purple cells for red and blue, and white cells for the

coexpression of all three colors (red, green, and blue). Consistency in the tSNE plot

visualization is preserved across all figures.

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) data from the *Drosophila melanogaster*

were obtained from the Fly Cell Atlas website

(https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abk2432). Oenocytes gene expression analysis

employed UMI (Unique Molecular Identifier) data extracted from the 10x VSN

oenocyte (Stringent) loom and h5ad file, encompassing a total of 506,660 cells. The

Seurat (v4.2.2) package (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.048) was utilized for

data analysis. Violin plots were generated using the "Vlnplot" function, the cell types

are split by FCA.

Statistical Tests

Statistical analysis of mating duration assay was described previously[37,44,106]. More

than 50 males (naïve, experienced and single) were used for mating duration assay.

Our experience suggests that the relative mating duration differences between naïve

and experienced condition and singly reared are always consistent; however, both

absolute values and the magnitude of the difference in each strain can vary. So, we

always include internal controls for each treatment as suggested by previous studies

[118]. Therefore, statistical comparisons were made between groups that were naïvely

reared, sexually experienced and singly reared within each experiment. As mating

duration of males showed normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, $p > 0.05$),

we used two-sided Student's t tests. The mean ± standard error (s.e.m) (**** = $p <$

*0.0001, *** = p < 0.001, ** = p < 0.01, * = p < 0.05*). All analysis was done in

GraphPad (Prism). Individual tests and significance are detailed in figure legends.

Besides traditional *t*-test for statistical analysis, we added estimation statistics for all

MD assays and two group comparing graphs. In short, 'estimation statistics' is a

simple framework that—while avoiding the pitfalls of significance testing—uses

familiar statistical concepts: means, mean differences, and error bars. More

importantly, it focuses on the effect size of one's experiment/intervention, as opposed

to significance testing [119]. In comparison to typical NHST plots, estimation graphics

have the following five significant advantages such as (1) avoid false dichotomy, (2)

display all observed values (3) visualize estimate precision (4) show mean difference

distribution. And most importantly (5) by focusing attention on an effect size, the

difference diagram encourages quantitative reasoning about the system under study [120].

Thus, we conducted a reanalysis of all our two group data sets using both standard t

tests and estimate statistics. In 2019, the Society for Neuroscience journal eNeuro

instituted a policy recommending the use of estimation graphics as the preferred

method for data presentation [121].

Courtship Conditioning/Courtship Memory Test and Analysis

Animals were prepared for courtship conditioning as previously described[122]. In short,

males of appropriate strain were collected during the pupal stage and individually

housed in clean glass tubes containing fresh food for 4 days post-eclosion to prevent

pre-test social interaction. To prevent anesthetic effects, we used a mouth aspirator to

transfer or collect animals. Trainer females were prepared by housing day 3–5 virgin

Canton-S females with Canton-S males in food-containing glass tubes overnight.

Immobilized tester females were prepared by decapitating day 4–5 virgin Canton-S

females with fine scissors under CO_2 anesthesia. Protocols for long-term courtship

conditioning followed those described previously [123], with some modifications.

Briefly, a 4-day old male was paired with a mated Canton-S female for 5 h in the food

chamber (2.0 ml tube). Trained (or sham-trained) males were individually housed in a

fresh food tube for 24 h prior to testing, whereupon courtship activity of a trained (or

sham-trained) male toward an immobilized (decapitated) tester female was recorded

for 10 min using a high-frame digital camcorder (60hz). All assays were manually

scored for courtship index, blind to the genotype and to the extent possible, the

experimental condition. Positive contributions to courtship index (CI), defined as the

percentage of time a male performs courtship behavior over a 10 min interval,

included all courtship activities such as orienting, tapping, singing, licking, and

attempting to copulate. Courtship memory was quantified through computation of a

memory performance index (MPI), which is the relative reduction of CI of individual

trained male ($^{i}CI_T$) from the mean CI of sham-trained males ($^{m}CI_S$): MPI= ($^{m}CI_S$-

$^{i}CI_T$)/ $^{m}CI_S$.

Generation of Transgenic Flies

To create a membrane-anchored version of *UAS-t-sNPF* strains, we employed the

previously described GPI-linker technique to produce a sNPF variant that is attached

to the cell membrane [124]. Using the aforementioned approaches, we created several

transgenic flies with *UAS-t-sNPF*. The peptide sequence expressed by the above gene

is:

UAS-t-sNPF-1-ML:

MSALLILALVGAAVAAQRSPSLRLRFGNEQKLISEEDLGNGAGFATPVTLALVP

ALLATFWSLL

UAS-t-sNPF-1-SEC: MSALLILALVGAAVAAQRSPSLRLRFGNEQKLISEEDLGN

UAS-t-sNPF-2-ML: MSALLILALVGAAVAWFGDVNQKPIRSPSLRLRF

GNEQKLISEEDLGNGAGFATPVTLALVPALLATFWSLL

UAS-t-sNPF-2-SEC:

MSALLILALVGAAVAWFGDVNQKPIRSPSLRLRFGNEQKLISEEDLGN

UAS-t-sNPF-2$_{12-19}$-SEC: MSALLILALVGAAVASPSLRLRFGNEQKLISEEDLGN

UAS-t-sNPF-3-ML: MSALLILALVGAAVAKPQRLRWGNEQKLISEEDLGNGAG

FATPVTLALVPALLATFWSLL

UAS-t-sNPF-3-SEC: MSALLILALVGAAVAKPQRLRWGNEQKLISEEDLGN

Synthesis of DNA, subcloning into the pUAST-attB vector, and the introduction of

transgenes into the *Drosophila* genome via microinjection services were performed by

Qidong Fungene Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (http://www.fungene.tech).

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP	Invitrogen	RRID: AB_2534023
Donkey Alexa-488 anti-chicken	Jackson ImmunoResearch	RRID: AB_2340375
Goat Alexa-555 anti-rabbit	Invitrogen	RRID: AB_2535849
Goat Alexa-647 anti-mouse	Jackson ImmunoResearch	RRID: AB_2338902
Mouse polyclonal anti-nc82	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank	RRID: AB_2314866
Rabbit polyclonal anti-RFP	Rockland Immunochemicals	RRID: AB_2209751

Mouse Monoclonal hospho-CaMKII alpha(Thr286) anti-*Drosophila*	DSHB	RRID: AB_325402
Mouse Monoclonal orb2-4G8 anti-*Drosophila*	DSHB	RRID: AB_2619566
Mouse Monoclonal orb2 4H8 anti-*Drosophila*	DSHB	RRID: AB_528418
Mouse Monoclonal orb2 6H4 anti-*Drosophila*	DSHB	RRID: AB_528419
Experimental models: Organisms/strains		
D. melanogaster: wild type: Canton-S	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_64349
D. melanogaster: Deficiency females: Df(1)Exel6234	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_7708
D. melanogaster: memory mutant: dunce^{01}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_6020
D. melanogaster: memory mutant: amnesiac^{01}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_5954
D. melanogaster: memory mutant: rutabaga^{01}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_9404
D. melanogaster: memory mutant: orb2$^{\Delta}$	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_94346
D. melanogaster: memory mutant: orb2$^{\Delta Q}$	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_94347
D. melanogaster: mushroom body diver: GAL4^{ok107}	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_854
D. melanogaster: mushroom body diver: UAS-KCNJ2	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_6496
D. melanogaster: mushroom body diver: GAL4^{c547}	Renn et al., 1999	N/A
D. melanogaster: mushroom body diver: GAL4$^{14-94}$	https://academic.oup.com/genetics/article/135/2/455/6011245	N/A
D. melanogaster: CPEB RNAi: UAS-stau-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_43187

D. melanogaster: CPEB RNAi: UAS-pum-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_41875
D. melanogaster: CPEB RNAi: UAS-CaMKI-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_26726
D. melanogaster: CPEB RNAi: UAS-CaMKII-17A	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_9232
D. melanogaster: CPEB: UAS-CaMKII. WT-R3-iso	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_29662
D. melanogaster: CPEB: UAS- CaMKII.T287D	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_29663
D. melanogaster: CPEB: UAS-CaMKII.T287A	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_29664
D. melanogaster: Nmdars RNAi: Nmdar1-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_29664
D. melanogaster: Nmdars RNAi: Nmdar2-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_26019
D. melanogaster: Nmdars drivers: Nmdar1-LexA	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_84420
D. melanogaster: Nmdars drivers: Nmdar2-LexA	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_84412
D. melanogaster: UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_32229
D. melanogaster: UAS-sNPF-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 25867
D. melanogaster: UAS-sNPF-R-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 27507
UAS-t-sNPF-1-ML	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-1-SEC	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-1$_{4-11}$-ML	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-2-SEC	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-2$_{12-19}$-ML	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-2$_{12-19}$-SEC	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-3-ML	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-3-SEC	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-4-ML	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
UAS-t-sNPF-4-SEC	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
D. melanogaster: ple-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 25796
D. melanogaster: ChaT-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 60028
D. melanogaster: Trhn-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 25842
D. melanogaster: Tdc2-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 25871

D. melanogaster: VGlut-RNAi	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 27538
D. melanogaster: sNPF-R drivers: *sNPF-R-LexA*	Qidong Fungene Ltd	N/A
D. melanogaster: VGlut drivers: *VGlut-LexA*	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_ 84436
D. melanogaster: dendritic and synaptotagmin fluorescent marker: UAS-Denmark, UAS-syt.eGFP	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_33065
D. melanogaster: w*, UAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, LexAop2-mCD8::GFP; nSyb-MKII::nlsLexADBDo/CyO; UAS-p65AD::CaM/TM6B	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_61679
D. melanogaster: CalexA system: lexAop-CD8GFP; UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT, lexAop-rCD2-GFP	Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center	RRID: BDSC_66542
Software and algorithms		
Fiji/ImageJ	Schindelin et al., 2012	https://fiji.sc
Prism 9.0	GraphPad	https://www.graphpad.com
Adobe illustrator 2020	Adobe.com	N/A
SCOPE	FlyCellAtlas	https://scope.aertslab.org/#/FlyCellAtlas/*/welcome
Seurat	Yuhan et al., 2023	https://github.com/satijalab/seurat
R v4.2.2	R Core Team	https://www.R-project.org/
QuillBot AI	QuillBot Core Team	https://quillbot.com/
Microsoft Office Excel	Microsoft	https://www.microsoft.com/en-au/microsoft-365/excel

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed

to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Woo Jae Kim (wkim@hit.edu.cn).

Data and code availability

- **All data reported in this paper will be shared by the lead contact upon**

request.

- **This paper does not report original code. The URL of the codes used in this**

paper are listed in the key resources table.

- **Any additional information required to reanalyze the data in this paper is**

available from the lead contact upon request.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Yuh Nung Jan and Lily Yeh Jan (UCSF, USA) for helpful comments

and support on this paper. We are also very appreciative to the colleagues who

supplied us with several fly strains: Drs. Young-Joon Kim and Sung-Eun Yoon (Korea

Drosophila Resource Center, KDRC). This research was supported a University of

Ottawa Startup grant 602496 to WJK, Startup funds from HIT Center for Life Science

to WJK, a University of Ottawa Interdisciplinary Research Group Funding

Opportunity (IRGFO stream 1 and 2) grants 148101 and 148747 to WJK, a Natural

Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery grant

(reference: 211406) to WJK, a University of Ottawa Brain and Mind Research

Institute/Center for Neural Dynamics Open call project grant 150950 to WJK, a

Mitacs Globalink Research Internship Program grant 17268 to WJK. This research

was also supported by the Brain Pool Program of the National Research Foundation in

Korea grant ZYM5041911 to WJK, Burroughs Wellcome Fund Collaborative

Research Travel Grants (reference: 1017486) to WJK and a NVIDIA Academic

Hardware Grant Program to WJK. The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Funding

A University of Ottawa Interdisciplinary Research Group Funding Opportunity

(IRGFO stream 1 and 2) grants 148101 and 148747 to WJK.

A Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC)

Discovery grant (reference: 211406) to WJK.

A University of Ottawa Brain and Mind Research Institute/Center for Neural

Dynamics Open call project grant 150950 to WJK, a Mitacs Globalink Research

Internship Program grant 17268 to WJK.

This research was also supported by the Brain Pool Program of the National Research

Foundation in Korea grant ZYM5041911 to WJK, Burroughs Wellcome Fund

Collaborative Research Travel Grants (reference: 1017486).

DECLARATION OF GENERATIVE AI AND AI-ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES

IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the creation of this work, the author(s) utilized Moonshot AI

https://kimi.moonshot.cn/ to rephrase English sentences, verify English grammar, and

detect plagiarism, as none of the authors of this paper are native English speakers.

After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed

and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

REFERENCES

1. Kahsai, L. & Zars, T. Learning and Memory in Drosophila: Behavior, Genetics,
and Neural Systems. *Int Rev Neurobiol* **99**, 139–167 (2011).

2. Modi, M. N., Shuai, Y. & Turner, G. C. The Drosophila Mushroom Body: From
Architecture to Algorithm in a Learning Circuit. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **43**, 1–20
(2020).

3. Guven-Ozkan, T. & Davis, R. L. Functional neuroanatomy of Drosophila olfactory
memory formation. *Learn. Mem.* **21**, 519–526 (2014).

4. Ofstad, T. A., Zuker, C. S. & Reiser, M. B. Visual place learning in Drosophila
melanogaster. *Nature* **474**, 204–207 (2011).

5. Kahsai, L. & Zars, T. Visual Working Memory: Now You See It, Now You Don't.
Curr Biol **23**, R843–R845 (2013).

6. Barth, M. & Heisenberg, M. Vision affects mushroom bodies and central complex
in Drosophila melanogaster. *Learn Memory* **4**, 219–229 (1997).

7. Vogt, K. *et al.* Shared mushroom body circuits underlie visual and olfactory
memories in Drosophila. *Elife* **3**, e02395 (2014).

8. Liu, L., Wolf, R., Ernst, R. & Heisenberg, M. Context generalization in Drosophila
visual learning requires the mushroom bodies. *Nature* **400**, 753–756 (1999).

9. Li, J., Mahoney, B. D., Jacob, M. S. & Caron, S. J. C. Visual Input into the
Drosophila melanogaster Mushroom Body. *Cell Rep.* **32**, 108138–108138 (2020).

10. Saleem, S., Ruggles, P. H., Abbott, W. K. & Carney, G. E. Sexual Experience
Enhances Drosophila melanogaster Male Mating Behavior and Success. *Plos One* **9**,
e96639 (2014).

11. MARKOW, T. A., QUAID, M. & KERR, S. Male mating experience and
competitive courtship success in Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature* **276**, 821–822
(1978).

12. Kamyshev, N. G., Iliadi, K. G. & Bragina, J. V. Drosophila Conditioned
Courtship: Two Ways of Testing Memory. *Learn. Mem.* **6**, 1–20 (1999).

13. Welch, C. J. & Mulligan, K. A. Evaluating Learning and Memory in Drosophila
melanogaster to Study the Neurodevelopmental Impacts of Toxicants. *Curr Protoc* **2**,
e576 (2022).

14. Gil-Martí, B. *et al.* A simplified courtship conditioning protocol to test learning
and memory in Drosophila. *Star Protoc* **4**, 101572 (2023).

15. Reza, A. *et al.* Automated analysis of courtship suppression learning and memory
in Drosophila melanogaster. *Fly* **7**, 105–111 (2013).

16. Griffith, L. C. & Ejima, A. Courtship learning in Drosophila melanogaster:
Diverse plasticity of a reproductive behavior. *Learn Memory* **16**, 743–750 (2009).

17. Wolf, R. *et al.* Drosophila Mushroom Bodies Are Dispensable for Visual, Tactile,
and Motor Learning. *Learn. Mem.* **5**, 166–178 (1998).

18. Krüttner, S. *et al.* Synaptic Orb2A Bridges Memory Acquisition and Late Memory
Consolidation in Drosophila. *Cell Reports* **11**, 1953–1965 (2015).

19. Rezával, C. *et al.* Neural Circuitry Underlying Drosophila Female Postmating
Behavioral Responses. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 1155–1165 (2012).

20. Yapici, N., Kim, Y.-J., Ribeiro, C. & Dickson, B. J. A receptor that mediates the
post-mating switch in Drosophila reproductive behaviour. *Nature* **451**, 33–37 (2008).

21. Feng, K., Palfreyman, M. T., Häsemeyer, M., Talsma, A. & Dickson, B. J.
Ascending SAG Neurons Control Sexual Receptivity of Drosophila Females. *Neuron*
83, 135–148 (2014).

22. White, M. A., Bonfini, A., Wolfner, M. F. & Buchon, N. Drosophila melanogaster
sex peptide regulates mated female midgut morphology and physiology. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. **118**, e2018112118 (2021).

23. Häsemeyer, M., Yapici, N., Heberlein, U. & Dickson, B. J. Sensory Neurons in
the Drosophila Genital Tract Regulate Female Reproductive Behavior. *Neuron* **61**,
511–518 (2009).

24. Yang, C. *et al.* Control of the Postmating Behavioral Switch in Drosophila
Females by Internal Sensory Neurons. *Neuron* **61**, 519–526 (2009).

25. Rezával, C., Nojima, T., Neville, M. C., Lin, A. C. & Goodwin, S. F. Sexually
Dimorphic Octopaminergic Neurons Modulate Female Postmating Behaviors in
Drosophila. *Curr Biol* **24**, 725–730 (2014).

26. Scheunemann, L., Lampin-Saint-Amaux, A., Schor, J. & Preat, T. A sperm
peptide enhances long-term memory in female Drosophila. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaax3432
(2019).

27. Ram, K. R. & Wolfner, M. F. Sustained Post-Mating Response in Drosophila
melanogaster Requires Multiple Seminal Fluid Proteins. *Plos Genet* **3**, e238 (2007).

28. Dwijesha, A. S., Eswaran, A., Berry, J. A. & Phan, A. Diverse memory paradigms
in Drosophila reveal diverse neural mechanisms. *Learn. Mem.* **31**, a053810 (2024).

29. Keleman, K., Krüttner, S., Alenius, M. & Dickson, B. J. Function of the
Drosophila CPEB protein Orb2 in long-term courtship memory. *Nat Neurosci* **10**,
1587–1593 (2007).

30. Khan, M. R. *et al.* Amyloidogenic Oligomerization Transforms Drosophila Orb2
from a Translation Repressor to an Activator. *Cell* **163**, 1468–1483 (2015).

31. Stepien, B. K. *et al.* RNA-binding profiles of Drosophila CPEB proteins Orb and
Orb2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, E7030–E7038 (2016).

32. Mastushita-Sakai, T., White-Grindley, E., Samuelson, J., Seidel, C. & Si, K.
Drosophila Orb2 targets genes involved in neuronal growth, synapse formation, and
protein turnover. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 11987–11992 (2010).

33. Krüttner, S. *et al.* Drosophila CPEB Orb2A Mediates Memory Independent of Its
RNA-Binding Domain. *Neuron* **76**, 383–395 (2012).

34. Kimura, S. *et al.* The Drosophila lingerer protein cooperates with Orb2 in
long-term memory formation. *J Neurogenet* **29**, 8–17 (2015).

35. Majumdar, A. *et al.* Critical Role of Amyloid-like Oligomers of Drosophila Orb2
in the Persistence of Memory. *Cell* **148**, 515–529 (2012).

36. Wong, K., Schweizer, J., Nguyen, K.-N. H., Atieh, S. & Kim, W. J. Neuropeptide
relay between SIFa signaling controls the experience-dependent mating duration of
male Drosophila. *Biorxiv* 819045 (2019) doi:10.1101/819045.

37. Lee, S. G. *et al.* Taste and pheromonal inputs govern the regulation of time
investment for mating by sexual experience in male Drosophila melanogaster. *PLOS*
Genet. **19**, e1010753 (2023).

38. Golombek, D. A., Bussi, I. L. & Agostino, P. V. Minutes, days and years:
molecular interactions among different scales of biological timing. *Philosophical*
Transactions Royal Soc B Biological Sci **369**, 20120465 (2014).

39. Merchant, H. & Lafuente, V. de. Introduction to the neurobiology of interval
timing. *Adv Exp Med Biol* **829**, 1–13 (2014).

40. Gibbon, J. Scalar expectancy theory and Weber's law in animal timing. *Psychol.*
Rev. **84**, 279–325 (1977).

41. Gibbon, J. Origins of scalar timing. *Learn. Motiv.* **22**, 3–38 (1991).

42. Tucci, V., Buhusi, C. V., Gallistel, R. & Meck, W. H. Towards an integrated
understanding of the biology of timing. *Philosophical Transactions Royal Soc B*
Biological Sci **369**, 20120470 (2014).

43. Merchant, H., Harrington, D. L. & Meck, W. H. Neural Basis of the Perception
and Estimation of Time. *Annu Rev Neurosci* **36**, 313–336 (2012).

44. Kim, W. J., Jan, L. Y. & Jan, Y. N. Contribution of visual and circadian neural
circuits to memory for prolonged mating induced by rivals. *Nat Neurosci* **15**, 876–883
(2012).

45. Li, H. *et al.* Fly Cell Atlas: A single-nucleus transcriptomic atlas of the adult fruit
fly. *Science* **375**, eabk2432 (2022).

46. Shuai, Y. *et al.* Driver lines for studying associative learning in Drosophila.
bioRxiv 2023.09.15.557808 (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.09.15.557808.

47. Rubin, G. M. & Aso, Y. New genetic tools for mushroom body output neurons in
Drosophila. *eLife* **12**, RP90523 (2024).

48. Jenett, A. *et al.* A GAL4-Driver Line Resource for Drosophila Neurobiology. *Cell*
Reports **2**, 991–1001 (2012).

49. Tanaka, N. K., Tanimoto, H. & Ito, K. Neuronal assemblies of the Drosophila
mushroom body. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **508**, 711–755 (2008).

50. Sudhakaran, I. P. & Ramaswami, M. Long-term memory consolidation: The role
of RNA-binding proteins with prion-like domains. *RNA Biol.* **14**, 568–586 (2017).

51. Dubnau, J. *et al.* The staufen/pumilio Pathway Is Involved in Drosophila
Long-Term Memory. *Curr. Biol.* **13**, 286–296 (2003).

52. McBride, S. M. J. *et al.* Pharmacological Rescue of Synaptic Plasticity, Courtship
Behavior, and Mushroom Body Defects in a Drosophila Model of Fragile X
Syndrome. *Neuron* **45**, 753–764 (2005).

53. Mirisis, A. A. & Carew, T. J. The ELAV family of RNA-binding proteins in
synaptic plasticity and long-term memory. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **161**, 143–148
(2019).

54. Yin, J. C. & Tully, T. CREB and the formation of long-term memory. *Curr. Opin.*
Neurobiol. **6**, 264–268 (1996).

55. Yin, J. C. P., Vecchio, M. D., Zhou, H. & Tully, T. CREB as a Memory
Modulator: induced expression of a dCREB2 activator isoform enhances long-term
memory in drosophila. *Cell* **81**, 107–115 (1995).

56. Wu, L. *et al.* CPEB-Mediated Cytoplasmic Polyadenylation and the Regulation of
Experience-Dependent Translation of α-CaMKII mRNA at Synapses. *Neuron* **21**,
1129–1139 (1998).

57. Kelleher, R. J., Govindarajan, A. & Tonegawa, S. Translational Regulatory
Mechanisms in Persistent Forms of Synaptic Plasticity. *Neuron* **44**, 59–73 (2004).

58. Ashraf, S. I., McLoon, A. L., Sclarsic, S. M. & Kunes, S. Synaptic Protein
Synthesis Associated with Memory Is Regulated by the RISC Pathway in Drosophila.
Cell **124**, 191–205 (2006).

59. Fortin, D. A. *et al.* Long-Term Potentiation-Dependent Spine Enlargement
Requires Synaptic Ca2+-Permeable AMPA Receptors Recruited by CaM-Kinase I. *J.*
Neurosci. **30**, 11565–11575 (2010).

60. Xia, S. *et al.* NMDA Receptors Mediate Olfactory Learning and Memory in
Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* **15**, 603–615 (2005).

61. Wu, C.-L. *et al.* Specific requirement of NMDA receptors for long-term memory
consolidation in Drosophila ellipsoid body. *Nat. Neurosci.* **10**, 1578–1586 (2007).

62. Yasuda, R., Hayashi, Y. & Hell, J. W. CaMKII: a central molecular organizer of
synaptic plasticity, learning and memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **23**, 666–682 (2022).

63. Kovacic, P. & Becvar, L. E. Mode of action of anti-infective agents: focus on
oxidative stress and electron transfer. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **6**, 143–67 (2000).

64. Kim, W. J. *et al.* Sexually experienced male Drosophila melanogaster uses
gustatory-to-neuropeptide integrative circuits to reduce time investment for mating.
Biorxiv 088724 (2016) doi:10.1101/088724.

65. Treisman, M., Faulkner, A., Naish, P. L. N. & Brogan, D. The Internal Clock:
Evidence for a Temporal Oscillator Underlying Time Perception with Some Estimates
of its Characteristic Frequency. *Perception* **19**, 705–742 (1988).

66. Eckard, M. L. & Lattal, K. A. The Internal Clock: A Manifestation of a Misguided
Mechanistic View of Causation? *Perspect. Behav. Sci.* **43**, 5–19 (2020).

67. Knapek, S., Kahsai, L., Winther, Å. M. E., Tanimoto, H. & Nässel, D. R. Short
Neuropeptide F Acts as a Functional Neuromodulator for Olfactory Memory in
Kenyon Cells of Drosophila Mushroom Bodies. *J Neurosci* **33**, 5340–5345 (2013).

68. Ganguly, A., Qi, C., Bajaj, J. & Lee, D. Serotonin receptor 5-HT7 in Drosophila
mushroom body neurons mediates larval appetitive olfactory learning. *Sci. Rep.* **10**,
21267 (2020).

69. Lee, P.-T. *et al.* Serotonin–mushroom body circuit modulating the formation of
anesthesia-resistant memory in Drosophila. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 13794–13799
(2011).

70. Sun, Y. *et al.* Social attraction in Drosophila is regulated by the mushroom body
and serotonergic system. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5350 (2020).

71. Lee, W.-P. *et al.* Serotonin Signals Modulate Mushroom Body Output Neurons
for Sustaining Water-Reward Long-Term Memory in Drosophila. *Front. Cell Dev.*
Biol. **9**, 755574 (2021).

72. Deng, B. *et al.* Chemoconnectomics: Mapping Chemical Transmission in
Drosophila. *Neuron* **101**, 876-893.e4 (2019).

73. Nässel, D. R. & Zandawala, M. Endocrine cybernetics: neuropeptides as
molecular switches in behavioural decisions. *Open Biol* **12**, 220174 (2022).

74. Gnegy, M. E. Ca^{2+}/Calmodulin Signaling in NMDA-lnduced Synaptic Plasticity.
Crit. Rev. Neurobiol. **14**, 40 (2000).

75. Haghighi, A. P. *et al.* Retrograde Control of Synaptic Transmission by
Postsynaptic CaMKII at the Drosophila Neuromuscular Junction. *Neuron* **39**,
255–267 (2003).

76. Nicolaï, L. J. J. *et al.* Genetically encoded dendritic marker sheds light on
neuronal connectivity in Drosophila. *Proc National Acad Sci* **107**, 20553–20558
(2010).

77. Gao, X. J. *et al.* A transcriptional reporter of intracellular Ca2+ in Drosophila. *Nat*
Neurosci **18**, 917–925 (2015).

78. Masuyama, K., Zhang, Y., Rao, Y. & Wang, J. W. Mapping Neural Circuits with
Activity-Dependent Nuclear Import of a Transcription Factor. *Journal of*
Neurogenetics **26**, 89–102 (2012).

79. Masek, P. & Keene, A. C. Gustatory processing and taste memory in Drosophila.
J. Neurogenet. **30**, 112–121 (2016).

80. Freeman, E. G. & Dahanukar, A. Molecular neurobiology of Drosophila taste.
Curr Opin Neurobiol **34**, 140–148 (2015).

81. Tully, T. *et al.* Genetic Dissection of Memory Formation in Drosophila
melanogaster. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **55**, 203–211 (1990).

82. Krüttner, S. *et al.* Drosophila CPEB Orb2A Mediates Memory Independent of Its
RNA-Binding Domain. *Neuron* **76–248**, 383–395 (2012).

83. Majumdar, A. *et al.* Critical Role of Amyloid-like Oligomers of Drosophila Orb2
in the Persistence of Memory. *Cell* **148**, 515–529 (2012).

84. Griffith, L. C. & Ejima, A. Multimodal Sensory Integration of Courtship
Stimulating Cues in Drosophila melanogaster. *Ann. N. York Acad. Sci.* **1170**, 394–398
(2009).

85. Talay, M. *et al.* Transsynaptic Mapping of Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies
by trans-Tango. *Neuron* **96**, 783-795.e4 (2017).

86. Scaplen, K. M. *et al.* Transsynaptic mapping of Drosophila mushroom body
output neurons. *bioRxiv* 2020.09.22.309021 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.22.309021.

87. Chakraborty, S. D. & Sachse, S. Olfactory processing in the lateral horn of
Drosophila. *Cell Tissue Res.* **383**, 113–123 (2021).

88. Dolan, M.-J. *et al.* Neurogenetic dissection of the Drosophila lateral horn reveals
major outputs, diverse behavioural functions, and interactions with the mushroom
body. *eLife* **8**, e43079 (2019).

89. Bates, A. S. *et al.* Complete Connectomic Reconstruction of Olfactory Projection
Neurons in the Fly Brain. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 3183-3199.e6 (2020).

90. Patella, P. & Wilson, R. I. Functional Maps of Mechanosensory Features in the
Drosophila Brain. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 1189-1203.e5 (2018).

91. Kim, H., Kirkhart, C. & Scott, K. Long-range projection neurons in the taste
circuit of Drosophila. *eLife* **6**, e23386 (2017).

92. Frank, D. D., Jouandet, G. C., Kearney, P. J., Macpherson, L. J. & Gallio, M.
Temperature representation in the Drosophila brain. *Nature* **519**, 358–361 (2015).

93. Masek, P. & Keene, A. C. Gustatory processing and taste memory in Drosophila.
J. Neurogenet. **30**, 112–121 (2016).

94. Brown, E., Worden, K., Li, Y., Masek, P. & Keene, A. C. Measurement of Taste
Memory in Drosophila. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2023**, pdb.prot108093 (2023).

95. Kirkhart, C. & Scott, K. Gustatory Learning and Processing in the Drosophila
Mushroom Bodies. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 5950–5958 (2015).

96. Selcho, M., Pauls, D., Han, K.-A., Stocker, R. F. & Thum, A. S. The Role of
Dopamine in Drosophila Larval Classical Olfactory Conditioning. *PLoS ONE* **4**,
e5897 (2009).

97. Buresch, K. C. *et al.* Contact chemoreception in multi-modal sensing of prey by
Octopus. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **208**, 435–442 (2022).

98. Plaçais, P.-Y., Trannoy, S., Friedrich, A. B., Tanimoto, H. & Preat, T. Two Pairs
of Mushroom Body Efferent Neurons Are Required for Appetitive Long-Term
Memory Retrieval in Drosophila. *Cell Rep.* **5**, 769–780 (2013).

99. Tanaka, N. K., Tanimoto, H. & Ito, K. Neuronal assemblies of the Drosophila
mushroom body. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **508**, 711–755 (2008).

100. Shang, Y. *et al.* Short Neuropeptide F Is a Sleep-Promoting Inhibitory Modulator.
Neuron **80**, 171–183 (2013).

101. Nässel, D. R., Enell, L. E., Santos, J. G., Wegener, C. & Johard, H. A. A large
population of diverse neurons in the Drosophilacentral nervous system expresses short
neuropeptide F, suggesting multiple distributed peptide functions. *BMC Neurosci.* **9**,
90 (2008).

102. Vecsey, C. G., Pírez, N. & Griffith, L. C. The Drosophila neuropeptides PDF and
sNPF have opposing electrophysiological and molecular effects on central neurons. *J.*
Neurophysiol. **111**, 1033–1045 (2014).

103. Dillen, S., Verdonck, R., Zels, S., Wielendaele, P. V. & Broeck, J. V.
Identification of the short neuropeptide F precursor in the desert locust: Evidence for
an inhibitory role of sNPF in the control of feeding. *Peptides* **53**, 134–139 (2014).

104. Kahsai, L., Carlsson, M. A., Winther, Å. M. E. & Nässel, D. R. Distribution of
metabotropic receptors of serotonin, dopamine, GABA, glutamate, and short
neuropeptide F in the central complex of Drosophila. *Neuroscience* **208**, 11–26
(2012).

105. Vecsey, C. G., Pírez, N. & Griffith, L. C. The Drosophila neuropeptides PDF and
sNPF have opposing electrophysiological and molecular effects on central neurons. *J*
Neurophysiol **111**, 1033–1045 (2014).

106. Kim, W. J., Jan, L. Y. & Jan, Y. N. A PDF/NPF Neuropeptide Signaling
Circuitry of Male Drosophila melanogaster Controls Rival-Induced Prolonged Mating.
Neuron **80**, 1190–1205 (2013).

107. Parks, A. L. *et al.* Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of
the Drosophila melanogaster genome. *Nat. Genet.* **36**, 288–292 (2004).

108. Yapici, N., Kim, Y.-J., Ribeiro, C. & Dickson, B. J. A receptor that mediates the
post-mating switch in Drosophila reproductive behaviour. *Nature* **451**, 33–37 (2008).

109. Huang, Y., Kwan, A. & Kim, W. J. Y chromosome genes interplay with interval
timing in regulating mating duration of male Drosophila melanogaster. *Gene Rep.*
101999 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.genrep.2024.101999.

110. Zhang, T., Zhang, X., Sun, D. & Kim, W. J. Exploring the Asymmetric Body's
Influence on Interval Timing Behaviors of Drosophila melanogaster. *Behav. Genet.*
1–10 (2024) doi:10.1007/s10519-024-10193-y.

111. Bai, L. *et al.* A Conserved Circadian Function for the Neurofibromatosis 1 Gene.
Cell Rep. **22**, 3416–3426 (2018).

112. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data
Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔC T Method. *Methods* **25**, 402–408
(2001).

113. Tomita, J., Ueno, T., Mitsuyoshi, M., Kume, S. & Kume, K. The NMDA
Receptor Promotes Sleep in the Fruit Fly, Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS ONE* **10**,
e0128101 (2015).

114. Tully, T. & Quinn, W. G. Classical conditioning and retention in normal and
mutant Drosophila melanogaster. *J. Comp. Physiol. A, Sens., neural, Behav. Physiol.*
157, 263–77 (1985).

115. Feinberg, E. H. *et al.* GFP Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP)
Defines Cell Contacts and Synapses in Living Nervous Systems. *Neuron* **57**, 353–363
(2008).

116. Kayser, M. S., Yue, Z. & Sehgal, A. A Critical Period of Sleep for Development
of Courtship Circuitry and Behavior in *Drosophila*. *Science* **344**, 269–274 (2014).

117. Daly., C. Colocalisation tutorial using ImageJ [Video]. YouTube.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rRyJnFo57xU (2020).

118. Bretman, A., Westmancoat, J. D., Gage, M. J. G. & Chapman, T. Males Use
Multiple, Redundant Cues to Detect Mating Rivals. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 617–622 (2011).

119. Claridge-Chang, A. & Assam, P. N. Estimation statistics should replace
significance testing. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 108–109 (2016).

120. Ho, J., Tumkaya, T., Aryal, S., Choi, H. & Claridge-Chang, A. Moving beyond P
values: data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 565–566 (2019).

121. Bernard, C. Estimation Statistics, One Year Later. *eNeuro* **8**,
ENEURO.0091-21.2021 (2021).

122. Lee, S. S. *et al.* Hormonal Signaling Cascade during an Early-Adult Critical
Period Required for Courtship Memory Retention in Drosophila. *Curr. Biol.* **27**,
2798-2809.e3 (2017).

123. Ishimoto, Y. *et al.* Spectrocolorimetric evaluation of human articular cartilage.
Osteoarthr. Cartil. **17**, 1204–1208 (2009).

124. Choi, C. & Nitabach, M. N. Membrane-Tethered Ligands: Tools for
Cell-Autonomous Pharmacological Manipulation of Biological Circuits. *Physiology*
28, 164–171 (2013).

125. Scaplen, K. M. *et al.* Transsynaptic mapping of Drosophila mushroom body
output neurons. *bioRxiv* 2020.09.22.309021 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.09.22.309021.

1117

1118

1119

1120

FIGURE LEGEND

Fig 1. Sexual experience elicits a robust memory that endures for over 12 hours.

(A) The sexual experience-isolation experiment. The red arrow indicates the starting time point for doubling the number of female fruit flies and males, and the black arrow indicates the time point for returning male fruit flies to sexual isolation. The duration of each phase is indicated in the figure. MD experiments have been conducted for all groups after a period of 5 days. The DBMs states that "Difference between means" in context of estimation statistics. The Difference between means refers to the disparity or variation in the average values of two distinct datasets or groups.

(B-E) SMD assays for *Canton-S* after sexual isolation. The periods of isolation are as follows: no isolation (B), 6-hour isolation (C), 12-hour isolation (D), 24-hour isolation (E). Light grey dots represent naïve males and pink dots represent experienced males. Dot plots represent the MD of each male fly. The mean value and standard error are labeled within the dot plot (black lines). with error bars representing SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences, as revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents non-significant difference (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.0001). For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the mating duration assay used in this study.

(F-H) Mating duration assays for *Canton-S,* naive (1st mating) *Canton-S* VS exp. (2nd mating) *Canton-S* (F), naive (1st mating) *Canton-S* VS exp. (3rd mating) *Canton-S* (G) and naive (1st mating) *Canton-S* VS exp. (4th mating) *Canton-S* (H).

(I-K) SMD assays for Memory-defective mutant fruit flies, $dunce^{01}$(I), $amnesiac^{01}$ (J)

and $rutabaga^{2080}$ (K).

(L-M) LMD and SMD assays for Memory-defective mutant fruit flies $orb2^{\Delta}/orb2^{\Delta Q}$

Light grey dots represent Group naïve males and blue dots represent single males. Dot

plots represent the MD of each male fly.

(N-O) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

colored by expression of $orb2$(red) α/β-Kenyon cell (blue) and g-Kenyon cell (green)

in brain.

(P) SMD assays for $GAL4^{ok107}$ mediated electrical silencing *via UAS-KCNJ2*.

(Q-S) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

colored by expression of orb2 (red) dnc (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (α/β-MB, green)

in neurons (Q). $orb2$(red) amn (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (α/β-MB, green) in

neurons (R) and $orb2$(red) rut (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (α/β-MB, green) in neurons

(S).

1157

Fig 2. The presence of the Orb2 protein in $GAL4^{MB093C+}$ cells is necessary for the

generation of SELTM.

(A-D) SMD assays for $GAL4^{ok107}$ (A), $GAL4^{c547}$ (C)and $GAL4^{14-94}$ (C) *and*

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ (D) mediated knockdown of *orb2 via orb2-RNAi*.

(E-F) Brain and VNC of male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ with *UAS-CD4tdGFP*,

were immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and nc82 (magenta) antibodies. Scale bars

represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the magnified regions to clearly show the

expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver (E). And the

zoom in the MB-body of interesting patterns (F), utilize white dashed lines to

delineate regions of significance and provide explanatory notes using text. The data

from Flylight (https://www.janelia.org/project-team/flylight)**.**

(G) Brain of male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ together with $UAS\text{-}CD4tdGFP$,

$UAS\text{-}Redstinger$ were immunostained with anti-GFP (green), anti-DsRed (red), and

3c11(blue) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Green and red lines indicate the

magnified regions of interest presented in the left two panels are presented as a grey

scale to clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver. For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a detailed

description of the immunostaining procedure used in this study.

(H) Brain of male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ with $UAS\text{-}CD4tdGFP$ were

immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-orb2^{6H4} (red). Boxes indicate the

magnified regions of interest presented in the left two panels are presented as a grey

scale to clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver, The yellow arrow indicates the precise position of the Orb2

protein within the synapse alongside $GAL4^{MB093C+}$ cells.

(I) Brain and VNC of male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ with $UAS\text{-}CD4tdGFP$ were

immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-orb2^{4H8} (red). Boxes indicate the

magnified regions of interest presented in the left two panels are presented as a grey

scale to clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver.

(J) SMD assays for *elav^{c155}*mediated knockdown of Orb2 *via orb2-RNAi* together with

tsh-GAL80.

(K-L) Colocalization analysis of GFP and DsRed staining, normalized to total GFP

and DsRed areas. Bars represent the mean GFP (green column) and DsRed (red

column) fluorescence level with error bars representing SEM. Asterisks represent

significant differences, as revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents

non-significant difference (**p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.0001*). The

same symbols for statistical significance are used in all other figures. See the

METHODS for a detailed description of the colocalization analysis used in this study.

(M) Colocalization analysis of DsRed (Orb2) in Brain and VNC, normalized to total

DsRed areas, Bars represent the mean Brain (white column) and DsRed (black

column) fluorescence level with error bars representing SEM. Asterisks represent

significant differences, as revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents

non-significant difference (**p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p< 0.001, ****p< 0.0001*). The

same symbols for statistical significance are used in all other figures. See the

METHODS for a detailed description of the colocalization analysis used in this study.

1203

Fig 3. The presence of the CPEB proteins in YL neuron is necessary for the

manifestation of SELTM.

(A) Illustration depicting the interaction CPEB and mRNA Creating and strengthening

LTM related to SMD under conditioned stimulus (CS) and unconditioned stimulus

(US) circumstances, The orange dot represents the stau, the yellow dot represents the

of pum. Fmr1 is represented by the pink oval, while Orb2 is represented by the red

star.

(B-D) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of stau, pum and Fmr1 *via*

stau-RNAi^{i43187} (B) *pum -RNAi^{41875}* (C) *and Fmr1 -RNAi^{40928}* (D).

(E-G) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

colored by expression of *orb2* (red) *stau* (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in

mushroom body neurons (E), expression of *orb2* (red) *pum* (blue) and α/β-Kenyon

cell (green) in mushroom body neurons (F) and expression of *orb2* (red) Fmr1 (blue)

and α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in mushroom body neurons (G).

(H) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of CrebB, *via*

CrebB-RNAi^{29401}.

(I) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ overexpress of CrebB via *UAS-CrebB^{17A}*.

(J) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of CaMKII via *CaMKII-RNAi*.

(K-L) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

colored by expression of *orb2* (red) *CrebB* (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in

mushroom body neurons (K) and expression of *orb2* (red) CaMKII (blue) and

α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in neurons (L).

(M-N) MB-α/β-lobe of male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ with *UAS-CD4tdGFP* were

immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-orb2^{6H4} (red).

(O-R) Colocalization analysis of GFP and DsRed staining, normalized to total GFP

and DsRed areas. Bars represent the mean GFP, green column (O) and DsRed, red

column (Q). To effectively illustrate the phenomenon of colocalization, the outcomes

1231 of GFP (P) and RFP (R) are presented on the right side, while the terms "naive" and

1232 "exp." are noted in the picture. See the METHODS for a detailed description of the

1233 colocalization analysis used in this study.

1234

1235 **Fig 4.** The presence of the NMDAR2 in YL neuron is necessary for the manifestation

1236 of SELTM.

1237 (A) Illustration depicting the interaction between NMDARs and CaMKI/II under

1238 conditioned stimulus (CS) and unconditioned stimulus (US) circumstances.

1239 (B-C) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of NMDAR1 and NMDAR2

1240 via *Nmdar1-RNAi* (B) and *Nmdar2-RNAi* (C).

1241 (D-E) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

1242 colored by expression of *orb2* (red) *Nmdar1* (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in

1243 mushroom body neurons (D) and expression of *orb2* (red) *Nmdar2* (blue) and

1244 α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in mushroom body neurons (E).

1245 (F) SMD assays for $GAL4^{ok107}$ mediated knockdown of NMDAR2 via *Nmdar2-RNAi*.

1246 (G-I) SMD assays for *Canton-s* with MK-801 feeding.

1247 (J-K) Brain of male flies expressing *Nmdar1-LexA* (J) or *Nmdar2-LexA* (K) and

1248 $GAL4^{MB093C}$ together with *CD4tdGFP-LexAop, UAS-Redstinger* were immunostained

1249 with anti-GFP (green), anti-DsRed (red), and nc82 (blue) antibodies. Scale bars

1250 represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the magnified regions of interest presented in the

1251 left two panels are presented as a grey scale to clearly show the expression patterns of

1252 neurons in brain labeled by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver and the right two panels are zoom in

the boxes indicate the magnified regions to clearly shows the overlapping. For

detailed methods, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the

immunostaining procedure used in this study.

(L) Different levels of neural activity of the brain as revealed by the TRIC system in

naïve and experienced flies. Male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ along with

UAS-UAS-IVS-mCD8RFP, LexAop2-mCD8GFP, nSyb-MKII-LexA,

UAS-p65AD::CaM and were dissected after 5 days of growth (mated male flies had

1-day of sexual experience with virgin females). The dissected brains were then

immunostained with anti-GFP (fire), anti-nc82 (grey). GFP is pseudo-colored as "red

hot". Boxes indicate the magnified regions of interest presented in the left panels.

Scale bars represent 100 μm. And zoom in the boxes indicate the magnified regions,

MB-α/β-lobe (L). For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a detailed description

of the immunostaining procedure used in this study.

(M-O) Quantification was performed for the DsRed and GFP fluorescence in CB ,

Mainly SOG (K) and MB-α/β-lobes (L) between naïve and experienced male flies.

The GFP fluorescence was normalized relative to the fluorescence of thenc82. The

conditions of flies are described above: naïve, naïve male flies; exp., male flies with

sexual experience. Bars represent the mean of the normalized GFP fluorescence level

with error bars representing the SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences, as

revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents non-significant difference (*$p < 0.05$,

**$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$*). See the METHODS for a detailed description of the

fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

1275

Fig 5. YL neurons express sNPF-R and function as glutamatergic neurons. (A) The

behavior of SMD is determined by the behavioral hypothesis model put out by

Interval timing, wherein all model components are abstracted to enhance reader

comprehension. CLK neurons function as pacemakers, Gr5a cells act as switches, and

sNPF neurons serve as accumulators. The focus of this article is the memory

component, which consolidates memories and transmits it to the downstream

decision-making neurons known as SIFa neurons.

(B-C) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of sNPF and sNPF-R via

sNPF-RNAi (B) and *sNPF-R-RNAi* (C).

(D-E) Single-cell RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters

colored by expression of orb2 (red) sNPF (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in

mushroom body neurons (D) and *orb2* (red) *sNPF-R* (blue) and α/β-Kenyon cell

(green) in mushroom body neurons (E).

(F) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ expression for self-coupling of t-sNPF-2 via

UAS-t-sNPF-2-SEC.

(G-L) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of *ple* (G), ChaT (H), Trhn

(I), Gad1 (J), Tdc2 (K) and VGlut (L) via *Neurotransmitters -RNAi*. (M) Single-cell

RNA sequencing (SCOPE scRNA-seq) datasets reveal cell clusters colored by

expression of orb2 (red) *ple, ChaT, Thrn, Gad1, Tdc2* and *VGlut* (blue) and

α/β-Kenyon cell (green) in neurons (mushroom body).

(N-P) Brain of male flies expressing $sNPF-R^{T2A}$-*LexA* (N), $VGlut^{T2A}$-*LexA* (O) or

sNPF^{20E06}-LexA (P) and *GAL4^{MB093C}* together with *CD4tdGFP-LexAop,*

UAS-Redstinger were immunostained with anti-GFP (green), anti-DsRed (red), and

nc82 (blue) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the magnified

regions of interest presented in the left two panels are presented as a grey scale to

clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by *GAL4^{MB093C}* driver

and the right two panels are zoom in the boxes indicate the magnified regions to

clearly shows the overlapping. For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a

detailed description of the immunostaining procedure used in this study.

(Q-R) SMD assays for *sNPF^{20E06}-GAL4* mediated knockdown of sNPF and sNPF-R

via *sNPF-RNAi* (Q) and *sNPF-R-RNAi* (R).

1307

Fig 6. Sexual experiences induce widespread alterations in calcium dynamics

subsequent to synaptic plasticity within YL neurons.

(A) Male flies brain expressing *GAL4^{MB093C}* drivers together with *UAS-Denmark* and

UAS-syteGFP were immunostained with anti-GFP (green), anti-DsRed (red) and

anti-nc82 (blue) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the

magnified regions of interest presented in the left and bottom panels. Dashed circles

indicate the neurons where *GAL4^{MB093C}* form interconnected networks. The left two

panels are presented as a grey scale to clearly show the dendritic and synaptic

terminal of neurons labeled by *GAL4^{MB093C}* driver.

(B-G) Quantification of relative value for synaptic area, which is formed by

GAL4^{MB093C} in MB α/β-lobe (B-D) and SOG (E-G), between naïve and experienced

male flies. The possible synaptic interactions in naïve and experienced male flies. The

small panels are presented as a red scale to show the GFP and DsRed fluorescence

marked by threshold function of ImageJ. And quantification was performed for the

GFP and DsRed fluorescence in these regions between naïve and experienced male

flies. The GFP and DsRed fluorescence was normalized relative to the fluorescence of

the nc82. The conditions of flies are described above: naïve, naïve male flies; exp.,

male flies with sexual experience. Bars represent the mean of the normalized GFP

fluorescence level with error bars representing the SEM. Asterisks represent

significant differences, as revealed by the Student's t test and ns represents

non-significant difference (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$). See the METHODS

for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

(H-J) Quantification of relative value for synaptic particle, which is formed by

$GAL4^{MB093C}$ in MB α/β lobe, between naïve and experienced male flies. The possible

synaptic particle interactions in naïve and experienced male flies. The small panels are

presented as a red scale to show the GFP fluorescence marked by threshold function

of ImageJ (H). And quantification was performed for the GFP particle number (I) and

average size (J) in these regions between naïve and experienced male flies. Asterisks

represent significant differences, as revealed by the Student's t test and ns represents

non-significant difference (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$). See the METHODS

for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

(K-L) Different levels of neural activity of the brain as revealed by the TRIC system

in naïve and experienced flies (K). Male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ along with

UAS-UAS-IVS-mCD8RFP, LexAop2-mCD8GFP, nSyb-MKII-LexA,

UAS-p65AD::CaM and were dissected after 5 days of growth (mated male flies had

1-day of sexual experience with virgin females). The dissected brains were then

immunostained with anti-GFP (green), anti-RFP(red) and anti-nc82 (blue). GFP is

pseudo-colored as "red hot". Boxes indicate the magnified regions of interest

presented in the left panels. The left three panels are presented as a grey scale to

clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver.

Scale bars represent 100 μm. And zoom in the boxes indicate the magnified regions,

MB-α/β-lobe (L). For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a detailed description

of the immunostaining procedure used in this study.

(M-N) Quantification was performed for the DsRed and GFP fluorescence in

MB-α/β-lobe between naïve and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was

normalized relative to the fluorescence of the DsRed. The conditions of flies are

described above: naïve, naïve male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars

represent the mean of the normalized GFP fluorescence level with error bars

representing the SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences, as revealed by the

Student's *t* test and ns represents non-significant difference (*$*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$,*

$***p < 0.001$). See the METHODS for a detailed description of the fluorescence

intensity analysis used in this study.

(O) Different levels of neural activity of the MB-α/β-lobe as revealed by the CaLexA

system in naïve, single and experienced flies. Male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ along

with *LexAop-CD2-GFP, UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT and*

LexAop-CD8-GFP-A2-CD8-GFP were dissected after 5 days of growth (mated male

flies had 1-day of sexual experience with virgin females). The dissected brains were

then immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-nc82 (blue). GFP is

pseudo-colored as "red hot". Boxes indicate the magnified regions of interest

presented in the bottom panels. Scale bars represent 100 μm.

(P) Quantification was performed for the GFP fluorescence in MB-α/β-lobe between

naïve and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was normalized relative to

the fluorescence of the nc82.The conditions of flies are described above: naïve, naïve

male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars represent the mean of the

normalized GFP fluorescence level with error bars representing the SEM. Asterisks

represent significant differences, as revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents

non-significant difference (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$*). See the METHODS

for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

1376

Fig 7. YL neurons do not play a role in the establishment and strengthening of

olfactory and courtship memories.

(A) Device for testing olfactory memory During the training session in the T-maze,

gas 1 is administered and electrical stimulation is delivered, whereas gas 2 is not

administered. Following the completion of training (as shown in the picture), the fruit

flies are subjected to several memory tests at various intervals.

(B-E) T-maze assays for, the no electrical stimulation *Canton-S* (B), 3 mins interval

Canton-S (C), 6h interval *Canton-S* (D) and 12h interval *Canton-S* (E). All the

interval is after the necessary rest period after training. The numbers on the horizontal

line in the figure are MPI, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the

fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

(F-H) T-maze assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of orb2 via *orb2-RNAi.*, 3

mins interval (F), 6h interval (G) and 12h interval (H). All the interval is after the

necessary rest period after training. The numbers on the horizontal line in the figure

are MPI, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity

analysis used in this study.

(I-K) T-maze assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of NMDAR2 via

Nmdar2-RNAi., 3 mins interval (I), 6h interval (J) and 12h interval (K). All the

interval is after the necessary rest period after training. The numbers on the horizontal

line in the figure are MPI, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the

fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

(L) Tarin male flies underwent courtship memory testing by being trained with mated

female flies, while sham male flies did not get any training with female flies for a

duration of 5 hours. Following a 12-hour period, the male flies underwent memory

testing.

(M-O) t courtship memory assays for *Canton-S* (M) $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated

knockdown of Orb2 via *orb2-RNAi* (N) $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of

NMDAR2 via *Nmdar2-RNAi* (O). The numbers on the horizontal line in the figure are

MPI, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity

analysis used in this study.

(P) Male flies brain expressing *GAL4^{MB093C}* drivers with

UAS-myrGFP.QUAS-mtdTomato-3xHA; trans-Tango were immunostained with

anti-GFP (green), anti-DsRed (red) and anti-nc82 (blue) antibodies. Scale bars

represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the magnified regions of interest presented in the

left and the two panels on the right display magnified regions. The dashed lines in the

zoomed in figure on the right is employed to highlight possible postsynaptic

connections.

(Q) Illustration depicting the SMD behavior of male Drosophila is dependent on

sexual experience. It has been shown that sexual experience is used as taste input, The

source of this conclusion is indicated in the figure. taste and pheromonal information

from Gr5a neurons transmit information about the male's sexual experience to the

sNPF-sNPF-R circuits. These circuits are then processed by the YL neurons, which

WG neurons, being sNPF neurons, receive signals from upstream sources and send

information to downstream YL neurons through the use of VGlut and sNPF. YL

neurons subsequently transmit taste-specific information. The process of memory

formation involves the activation of the superior medial prefrontal cortex (SMP), the

subgenual anterior cingulate cortex (SLP), the caudal retrosplenial cortex (CRE), and

the lateral hypothalamus (LH), which in turn influences self-monitoring and

decision-making behavior.

1426

Table 1. Summary screening of SMD assays for *MB-Split-GAL4* mediated

knockdown of Orb2 via *orb2-RNAi* data.

Fig S1. The extended mating duration behavior elicited by rival (LMD behavior) does

not depend on the function of Orb2 within the MB.

(A) The schematic diagram of interval timing simulated based on relevant literature

sources shows that when sexual experience is transmitted as information, CLK cells

are "pacemakers," Gr5a cells are "mode switches," and sNPF cells are

"accumulators." The relevant information is integrated into "memory," and YL

neurons are the key to the "memory" element. Finally, the information is handed over

to the downstream "decision" part to realize the relevant behavior regulation.

(B-D) LMD assays for Memory-defective mutant fruit flies, *dunce^{01}*(B)*, amnesiac^{01}*

(C) *and rutabaga^{2080}* (D).

(E) Brain and VNC of male flies expressing *orb2* with *GFP,* were immunostained

with anti-GFP (green) and nc82 (magenta) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm.

Data from Kruttner, S. et al. [33].

(F-J) LMD assays for *GAL4^{ok107}* (F) *GAL4^{c547}* (G) and *GAL4$^{14-94}$* (H) mediated

electrical silencing *via UAS-KCNJ2*.and SMD assays for *GAL4^{c547}* (I) and *GAL4$^{14-94}$*

(J) mediated electrical silencing *via UAS-KCNJ2*.

(K-N) SMD assays for wide type background with *orb2^{D}/+* (K) *orb2^{DQ}/+* (L)

GAL4^{ok107}/+ (M) and *UAS-KCNJ2/+* (N).

1447

Fig S2. Orb2 is necessary for the manifestation of SMD behavior in YL neurons and

Orb2 expression.

(A-C) SMD assays for wide type background with *orb2-RNAi* (A) *and GAL4^{MB093C}* (B)

and SMD assays for *GAL4^MB093C* mediated knockdown of Orb2 via *orb2-RNAi* (C).

(D) Brain and VNC of male flies expressing *GAL4^MB093C* with

UAS-CsChrimson-mVenus, were immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and nc82

(magenta) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Boxes indicate the magnified

regions to clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by

GAL4^MB093C driver. The data from Flylight (https://www.janelia.org/project-team/).

(E) Quantification was performed for the GFP fluorescence in brain and VNC

between naïve and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was normalized

relative to the fluorescence of the relatively with GFP. The conditions of flies are

described above: naïve, naïve male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars

represent the mean of the normalized GFP fluorescence level with error bars

representing the SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences, as revealed by the

Student's *t* test and ns represents non-significant difference (**p < 0.05, **p < 0.01,*

***p < 0.001). See the METHODS for a detailed description of the description of the

colocalization analysis used in this study.

(F) MB-α/β-lobe of male flies expressing *GAL4^MB093C* with *UAS-CD4tdGFP* were

immunostained with anti-GFP (green) and anti-orb2^6H4 (red). Just show the

MB-α/β-lobe of male flies is clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain

labeled by *GAL4^MB093C* driver and see the Significant differences in MB-α/β-lobe.

(G-J) Colocalization analysis of GFP and DsRed staining, normalized to total GFP

and DsRed areas. Bars represent the mean GFP (green column) and DsRed (red

column) See the METHODS for a detailed description of the colocalization analysis

used in this study.

1474

Fig S3. Not all of the CPEB in YL neuron is necessary for the manifestation of SMD

behavior.

(A-B) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of stau and pum via

$stau\text{-}RNAi^{34944}$ (A) and $pum\text{ -}RNAi^{38342}$ (B).

(C) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of CaMKI via *CaMKI-RNAi.*

(D) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ overexpress CaMKII via $UAS\text{-}CamKII^{WT\text{-}R3\text{-}iso}$.

(E-F) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ express CaMKII mutant via $UAS\text{-}CaMKII^{T287A}$ (E)

and $UAS\text{-}CaMKII^{T287D}$(F).

(G-L) SMD assays for wide type background with *stau-RNAi* (G), *pum-RNAi* (H)*,*

$Fmr1\text{-}RNAi$ (I), CrebB-$RNAi^{29401}$ (J), $UAS\text{-}CrebB^{17A}$ (K) and *CaMKII-RNAi* (L).

1485

1486

Fig S4. Localization of NMDAR2 in YL neurons and neuronal response of YL

neurons following reception of mating cues.

(A-B) SMD assays for wide type background with *Nmdar1-RNAi* (A), and

Nmdar2-RNAi (B).

(C) Brain of female flies expressing *Nmdar2-LexA* and $GAL4^{MB093C}$ together with

CD4tdGFP-LexAop, UAS-Redstinger were immunostained with anti-GFP (green),

anti-DsRed (red), and nc82 (blue) antibodies. Scale bars represent 100 μm. Boxes

indicate the magnified regions of interest presented in the left two panels are

presented as a grey scale to clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain

labeled by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver and the right two panels are zoom in the boxes indicate

the magnified regions to clearly shows the overlapping.

(C-F) GFP is pseudo-colored as "red hot". Indicate the magnified regions of interest

presented in the left panels. The left three panels are presented as a grey scale to

clearly show the expression patterns of neurons in brain labeled by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ driver.

And zoom in the indicate the magnified regions, MB-α/β-lobe (D-E). SOG(G). And

quantification was performed for the GFP fluorescence in MB-α/β-lobe (F) and SOG

(H) between naïve and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was normalized

relative to the fluorescence of the nc82. The conditions of flies are described above:

naïve, naïve male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars represent the

mean of the normalized GFP fluorescence level with error bars representing the SEM.

Asterisks represent significant differences, as revealed by the Student's t test and ns

represents non-significant difference. For detailed methods, see the METHODS for a

detailed description of the immunostaining procedure used in this study.

1510

Fig S5. YL neurons are sNPFR cells but not serotonergic neurons

(A-E) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ expression for self-coupling of sNPF and

UAS-t-sNPF2-ML (A), *UAS-sNPF1-SEC* (B), *UAS-sNPF2$_{12-19}$-SEC* (C),

UAS-sNPF3-ML (D) and *UAS-sNPF3-SEC* (E).

(F-L) SMD assays for wide type background with *UAS-t-sNPF2* (F), *ple-RNAi* (G),

ChaT-RNAi (H), *Trhn-RNAi* (I), *Gad1-RNAi* (J), *Tdc2-RNAi* (K) and *VGlut-RNAi* (L).

(M-P) SMD assays for $GAL4^{MB093C}$ mediated knockdown of 5HT7 , 5HT1A, 5HT2A

and 5HT2B via *5HT7-RNAi* (M), *5HT1A-RNAi* (N), *5HT2A-RNAi* (O) and

5HT2B-RNAi (P).

(Q) Illustration depicting the local peptidergic transmission of WG neurons and YL

neurons, The red dots symbolize the binding of glutamate to red *Nmdar2*, while the

black dots symbolize the binding of sNPF to black sNPF-R, which controls YL

neurons and releases glutamate to regulate neurons further down the line.

1524

Fig S6. Alterations in the calcium levels inside YL neurons following sexual

encounters.

(A-C) Quantification of brian, which is formed by $GAL4^{MB093C}$ drivers together with

UAS-Denmark and *UAS-syteGFP* (also see at Figure 6A) in brain (A), between naïve

and experienced male flies. The possible synaptic interactions in naïve and

experienced male flies. The six small panels are presented as a red scale to show the

GFP and DsRed fluorescence marked by threshold function of ImageJ. And

quantification was performed for the GFP and DsRed fluorescence in these regions

between naïve and experienced male flies. The GFP and DsRed fluorescence was

normalized relative to the fluorescence of the nc82. The conditions of flies are

described above: naïve, naïve male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars

represent the mean of the normalized GFP and DsRed fluorescence level with error

bars representing the SEM. Asterisks represent significant differences, as revealed by

the Student's *t* test and ns represents non-significant difference (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$,

***p < 0.001). See the METHODS for a detailed description of the fluorescence

intensity analysis used in this study.

(D-E) Quantification of brain, which is formed by $GAL4^{MB093C}$, between naïve and

experienced male flies (also see Figure 6 K-N). The possible synaptic interactions in

naïve and experienced male flies. The small panels are presented as a red scale to

show the GFP fluorescence marked by threshold function of ImageJ. And

quantification was performed for the GFP fluorescence in these regions between naïve

and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was normalized relative to the

fluorescence of the nc82. The conditions of flies are described above: naïve, naïve

male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars represent the mean of the

normalized GFP fluorescence level.

(F) Different levels of neural activity of the brian as revealed by the CaLexA system

in naïve, single and experienced flies. Male flies expressing $GAL4^{MB093C}$ along with

LexAop-CD2-GFP, UAS-mLexA-VP16-NFAT and LexAop-CD8-GFP-A2-CD8-GFP

were dissected after 5 days of growth (mated male flies had 1-day of sexual

experience with virgin females). The dissected brains were then immunostained with

anti-GFP (fire) and anti-nc82 (grey). GFP is pseudo-colored as "red hot". Boxes

indicate the magnified regions of interest presented in the bottom panels. Scale bars

represent 100 μm.

(G-H) Quantification was performed for the GFP fluorescence in brain between naïve

and experienced male flies. The GFP fluorescence was normalized relative to the

fluorescence of the nc82.The conditions of flies are described above: naïve, naïve

male flies; exp., male flies with sexual experience. Bars represent the mean of the

normalized GFP fluorescence level with error bars representing the SEM. Asterisks

represent significant differences, as revealed by the Student's *t* test and ns represents

non-significant difference (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001*). See the

+METHODS for a detailed description of the fluorescence intensity analysis used in

this study.

(Q) Upon receiving the sexual experience signal, the sNPF-R and NMDAR2 receptors

are activated by Glu and sNPF, respectively. This triggers a sequence of events in YL

cells, where CPEB proteins oligomerize and CaMKI and CaMKII phosphorylation

regulation to build the memory of SMD behavior. The specific CPEB protein is

depicted in the image. Subsequently, Glu transforms the memories into data and

transmits it to the prospective downstream neurons.

1573

Fig S7. T-maze olfactory memory experiment - memory test without electric shock.

(A-C) T-maze assays for, the no electrical stimulation *Canton-S* (A), 3 mins interval

Canton-S (A), 6h interval *Canton-S* (B) and 12h interval *Canton-S* (C). All the

interval is after the necessary rest period after training. The numbers on the horizontal

line in the figure are MPI, see the METHODS for a detailed description of the

fluorescence intensity analysis used in this study.

(D) Neuronal circuitry of the major olfactory neuropils in the *Drosophila* brain.

Olfactory sensory neurons (OSN) provide the olfactory input to the first olfactory

processing center, the antennal lobe (AL) which consists of ~ 50 olfactory glomeruli.

From the AL, the olfactory information is conveyed via different populations of

projection neurons (uniglomerular uPNs and multiglomerular mPNs) to two

second-order brain regions, the mushroom body calyx (MBc), and the lateral horn

(LH). The MB is composed of intrinsic neurons, called Kenyon cells (KC), which

receive direct PN input. The output of the MB to further brain areas is transmitted by

a rather small number MB output neurons (MBONs), of which a few also target the

LH. The LH is comprised of local neurons (LHLN) and output neurons (LHON)

which relay the olfactory information primarily to the SLP (superior lateral

protocerebrum), representing the third-order olfactory centers, as well as to the SIP,

SMP (superior intermediate/medial protocerebrum), and VLP (ventrolateral

protocerebrum). It is conceivable, but has not been proven yet, that the LH sends

feedback information to the MB (indicated by the arrow with question mark). In

addition, the LH also receives and integrates input from other sensory modalities.

LHONs and MBONs have further interactions in third-order neuropils and figure for

Scaplen, 2020 [125].

(E) Schematic representation of sNPF neurons functioning as accumulatore cells and

sNPF-R neurons acting as memory cells in the consolidation process of SELTM

1600

1601 **Movie 1.** Virtual 3D Morphology of YL Neurons. Data from:

1602 https://www.virtualflybrain.org/

1603

1604

 Supplementary information

Figure panel	Genotype
Figures 1B-H	*Canton S*
Figures 1I	*dunce^{01}*
Figures 1J	*amnesiac^{01}*
Figures 1K	*rutanaga^{2080}*
Figures 1L and 1M	*orb2$^{\Delta}$; orb2$^{\Delta Q}$*
Figures 1P	*GAL4^{ok107}; UAS-KCNJ2*
Figures S1B	*dunce^{01}*
Figures S1C	*amnesiac^{01}*
Figures S1D	*rutanaga^{2080}*
Figures S1F	*GAL4^{ok107}; UAS-KCNJ2*
Figures S1G and S1I	*GAL4^{c547}; UAS-KCNJ2*
Figures S1H and S1J	*GAL4$^{14-94}$; UAS-KCNJ2*
Figures S1K	*orb2$^{\Delta}$/+*
Figures S1L	*orb2$^{\Delta Q}$/+*
Figures S1M	*GAL4^{ok107}/+*
Figures S1N	*UAS-KCNJ2/+*
Figures 2A	*GAL^{ok107}; orb2-RNAi*
Figures 2B	*GAL4^{c547}; orb2-RNAi*
Figures 2C	*GAL4$^{14-94}$; orb2-RNAi*
Figures 2D	*GAL4^{MB093C}; orb2-RNAi*

Figures 2G	$GAL4^{MB093C;}$ UAS-CD4tdGFP, UAS-RedStinger
Figures 2H and 2I	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CD4tdGFP
Figures 2J	elavc155; tsh-GAL80; orb2-RNAi
Figures S2A	orb2-RNAi/+
Figures S2B	$GAL4^{MB093C}$/+
Figures S2C	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; orb2-RNAi
Figures S2D	$GAL4^{MB093C;}$ UAS-CD4tdGFP, UAS-RedStinger
Figures S2F	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CD4tdGFP
Figures 3B	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; stau-RNAi^{43187}
Figures 3C	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; pum-RNAi^{41875}
Figures 3D	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; Fmr1-RNAi^{40928}
Figures 3H	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; CreB-RNAi^{29401}
Figures 3I	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CrebB^{17A}
Figure 3J	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; CaMKII-RNAi
Figure 3M and 3N	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CD4tdGFP
Figures S3A	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; stau-RNAi^{34944}
Figures S3B	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; stau-RNAi^{38342}
Figures S3C	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CaMKII$^{WT-R3-iso}$
Figures S3D	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CaMKII^{T287A}
Figures S3E	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CaMKII^{T287D}
Figures S3F	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-CaMKII^{T287D}
Figures S3G	stau-RNAi^{43187}/+

Figures S3H	*pum-RNAi[41875]/+*
Figures S3I	*Fmr1-RNAi[40928]/+*
Figures S3K	*CrebB-RNAi[29401]/+*
Figures S3L	*UAS-CrebB[17A]/+*
Figures S3M	*CaMKII-RNAi/+*
Figure 4B	*GAL4[MB093C]; UAS-Nmdar1-RNAi*
Figure 4C	*GAL4[MB093C]; UAS-Nmdar2-RNAi*
Figure 4F	*GAL4[ok107]; UAS-Nmdar2-RNAi*
Figures 4J	*UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; GAL4[MB093C]; Nmdar1-LexA*
Figures 4K	*UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; GAL4[MB093C]; Nmdar2-LexA*
Figure 4L	*UAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, LexAop2-mCD8::GFP; nSyb-MKII::nlsLexADBDo; UAS-p65AD::CaM; GAL4[MB093C]*
Figure S4A	*Nmdar1-RNAi/+*
Figure S4B	*Nmdar2-RNAi/+*
Figure S4C	*UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; GAL4[MB093C];Nmdar2-LexA*
Figure 5B	*GAL4[MB093C]; sNPF-RNAi*
Figure 5C	*GAL4[MB093C]; sNPF-R-RNAi*
Figures 5F	*GAL4[MB093C]; UAS-t-sNPF2*
Figures 5G	*GAL4[MB093C]; ple-RNAi*

Figures 5H	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; ChaT-RNAi
Figure 5I	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; Trhn-RNAi
Figure 5J	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; Gad1-RNAi
Figure 5K	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; Tdc2-RNAi
Figure 5L	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; VGlut-RNAi
Figure 5N	UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; $GAL4^{MB093C}$; $sNPF-R^{T2A}$-LexA
Figure 5O	UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; $GAL4^{MB093C}$;$VGlut^{T2A}$-LexA
Figure 5P	UAS-mCD8RFP, lexAop-mCD8GFP; $GAL4^{MB093C}$; sNPF-LexA
Figure S5A	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-t-sNPF2-ML
Figure S5B	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-t-sNPF1-SEC
Figure S5C	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-t-sNPF2$_{12-19}$-SEC
Figure S5D	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-t-sNPF3-ML
Figure S5E	$GAL4^{MB093C}$; UAS-t-sNPF3-SEC
Figures S5G	ple-RNAi/+
Figures S5H	ChaT-RNAi/+
Figure S5I	Trhn-RNAi/+
Figure S5J	Gad1-RNAi/+
Figure S5K	Tdc2-RNAi/+
Figure S5L	VGlut-RNAi/+

Figure S5M	*GAL4^{MB093C}; 5-HT7-RNAi*
Figure S5N	*GAL4^{MB093C}; 5-HT1A-RNAi*
Figure S5O	*GAL4^{MB093C}; 5-HT2A-RNAi*
Figure S5P	*GAL4^{MB093C}; 5-HT2B-RNAi*
Figures 6A	*GAL4^{MB093C}; UAS-Denmark; UAS-syt.eGFP*
Figures 6K	*w*, UAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP, LexAop2-mCD8::GFP; nSyb-MKII::nlsLexADBDo/CyO; UAS-p65AD::CaM; GAL4^{MB093C}*
Figures 6O	*LexAop-CD8GFP(II);UAS-CaLexA,LexAop-CD2-GFP; GAL4^{MB093C}*
Figures S6A	*GAL4^{MB093C}; UAS-Denmark; UAS-syt.eGFP*
Figures S6F	*LexAop-CD8GFP(II);UAS-CaLexA,LexAop-CD2-GFP; GAL4^{MB093C}*
Figures 7B to 7E	*Canton S*
Figures 7F to 7H	*GAL4^{MB093C}/orb2-RNAi*
Figures 7I to 7K	*GAL4^{MB093C}/Nmdar2-RNAi*
Figures 7M	*Canton S*
Figures 7N	*GAL4^{MB093C}/orb2-RNAi*
Figures 7O	*GAL4^{MB093C}/Nmdar2-RNAi*
Figures S7A to S7C	*Canton S*
Table 1	*See the Table 1*
Movie 1	*See the Movie 1*

Memory, Fig.1

Memory, Fig.2

Memory, Fig.3

Memory, Fig.4

Memory, Fig. 5

Memory, Fig.6

Memory, Fig.7

MB-split-GAL4/stock#	naive	exp.	SMD			Cell type
	n	n	t	df	p-value	MB MBON
MB441B/68251	57	66	7.297	121	<0.0001	PAM-γ3
MB594B/68255	53	53	5.127	104	<0.0001	α/βc
MB607B/68256	68	58	4.849	124	<0.0001	γd
MB607B/68262	57	45	4.388	100	<0.0001	MBON−γ3 MBON−γ3β'1
MB131B/68265	51	54	6.668	103	<0.0001	γmain
MB152B/68266	53	53	5.402	53	<0.0001	α'/β'ap α'/β'm α/βs γd γmain
MB210B/68272	41	42	5.751	81	<0.0001	MBON−β'2mp MBON-γ5β'2a
MB045B/68274	45	44	7.624	87	<0.0001	N/A
MB056B/68276	61	53	3.193	112	=0.0018	PAM- 2p
MB062B/68280	22	37	2.856	57	=0.0060	MBON- 2p3p
MB080C/68285	55	60	6.248	114	<0.0001	MBON- 2sc
MB083C/68287	61	42	5.507	101	<0.0001	MBON- 3 1
MB093C/68289	61	32	1.811	91	=0.0735	MBON- 3 MBON- '2
MB008B/68291	60	51	5.618	109	<0.0001	α/βc α/βp α/βs
MB010B/68291	51	61	5.442	110	<0.0001	α'/β'ap α'/β'm α/βc α/βp α/βs
MB011B/68294	44	32	2.605	74	=0.01111	MBON- 5 2a MBON- 2mp MBON- 2mp_bilateral
MB021B/68297	52	45	7.782	95	<0.0001	OA-VPM4
MB022B/68298	67	43	4.736	108	<0.0001	OA-VPM3
MB025B/68299	68	50	1.940	116	=0.0548	PAM- 1 ap
MB027B/68301	38	52	7.412	88	<0.0001	MBON- 3ap MBON- 3m
MB005B/68306	67	54	6.441	119	<0.0001	α'/β'ap α'/β'm
MB296B/68308	52	38	6.194	88	<0.0001	PPL1- 2 1
MB301B/68311	64	54	7.740	116	<0.0001	PAM- 2 2a
MB308B/68312	56	28	2.506	72	=0.0145	PAM-α3
MB316B/68317	52	43	7.669	93	<0.0001	PAM-γ4 PAM-β'2m PAM-β'2m

MB364B/68318	63	56	4.621	117	<0.0001	α/βc α/βp α/βs γd γmain
MB380B/68320	51	44	5.136	93	<0.0001	MB-C1
MB417B/68321	63	60	2.841	121	=0.0053	α/βp α/βs α'/β'ap α'/β'm
MB418B/68322	53	24	2.691	75	=0.0088	α'/β'm
MB419B/68323	65	66	4.831	129	<0.0001	γd
MB434B/68325	60	42	7.076	100	<0.0001	MBON- 4> 1 2 MBON- 1>
MB461B/68327	111	94	7.690	203	<0.0001	MBON- α'3m MBON- α'3ap
MB477B/68328	58	47	5.865	103	<0.0001	α/βs
MB543B/68335	63	48	5.701	109	<0.0001	MBON- 1
MB047B/68364	58	53	4.065	109	<0.0001	PAM-β'2p PAM-β'2m
MB304B/68367	47	44	6.809	89	<0.0001	PPL1- 3
MB399B/68369	46	47	5.636	91	<0.0001	MBON- 2 2a
MB465C/68371	58	39	4.091	95	<0.0001	CSD
MB371B/68383	65	58	4.949	121	<0.0001	α/βp