Megakaryocytes assemble a three-dimensional cage of extracellular matrix that controls their maturation and anchoring to the vascular niche

Claire Masson[1≠], Cyril Scandola[2≠], Jean-Yves Rinckel[1], Fabienne Proamer[1], Emily Janus-Bell[1], Fareeha Batool[1], Naël Osmani[3], Jacky G. Goetz[3], Léa Mallo[1], Nathalie Brouard[1], Catherine Léon[1], Alicia Bornert[1], Renaud Poincloux[4], Olivier Destaing[5], Alma Mansson[6], Hong Qian[6], Maxime Lehmann[1], Anita Eckly[1]

≠ First co-authors ship

[1]Université de Strasbourg, INSERM, EFS Grand Est, BPPS UMR_S1255, FMTS, F-67065 Strasbourg, France ; [2]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Ultrastructural Bioimaging Unit, 75015 Paris, France ; [3]INSERM UMR_S1109, Tumor Biomechanics Lab, Université de Strasbourg, Fédération de Médecine Translationnelle de Strasbourg (FMTS), Strasbourg, F-67000, France. Equipe Labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer; [4] Institut de Pharmacologie et de Biologie Structurale, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UMR5089, 205 route de Narbonne, BP64182 31077 Toulouse, France ; [5] Institute for Advanced Biosciences, Centre de Recherche Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm U 1209, CNRS UMR 5309, Grenoble, France; [6] Center for Hematology and Regenerative Medicine (HERM), Department of Medicine Hiddinge, Karolinska University Hospital, Karonlinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.

Key words : Megakaryocyte, extracellular matrice, intravasation, integrins, proteolysis

Number of figures : 7

Correspondance to: Anita ECKLY

                        UMR-S1255 INSERM-Université de Strasbourg

                        Etablissement Français du Sang-Grand Est

                        10, rue Spielmann, B.P. N°36

                        67065 Strasbourg Cedex, France

                        anita.michel@efs.sante.fr

                        Tel: (33) 388 21 25 25

                        Fax: (33) 388 21 25 21

Key Points

1. Megakaryocytes form a three-dimensional (3D) cage composed of laminin and collagen IV connected to the basement membrane surrounding them. This microarchitecture stabilizes megakaryocytes within their vascular niche.

2. β1/β3 integrins and MMP are key ECM cage regulators that assist megakaryocyte maturation and intravasation at the bone marrow-blood interface.

Abstract

Megakaryocytes, the progenitor cells of blood platelets, play a crucial role in hemostasis by residing in the bone marrow and ensuring continuous platelet production. Unlike other hematopoietic cells, megakaryocytes do not enter the blood circulation intact. They remain anchored within the bone marrow while extending cytoplasmic protrusions called proplatelets through the sinusoidal endothelial barrier. These proplatelets subsequently fragment into functional platelets. This unique process of intravasation facilitates efficient platelet production while maintaining the megakaryocyte cell body within the bone marrow niche, thus preventing potential thrombotic complications. How the extracellular matrix (ECM) influences the delicate balance between megakaryocyte retention and proplatelet extension remains largely unknown. Here, we investigate the spatial organization and functional role of ECM components in the megakaryocyte vascular niche. Our findings reveal that laminin and collagen IV form three-dimensional (3D) ECM cages encompassing megakaryocytes and anchor them to the sinusoidal basement membrane. Gene deletion shows the existence of laminin α4 in the ECM cage that is necessary to maintain megakaryocyte-sinusoid interactions. Notably, megakaryocytes actively contribute to the ECM cage assembly; β1/β3 integrin knockout weakens these structures, increasing intravasation and entire megakaryocyte entry into circulation. The retention of megakaryocytes by these 3D ECM cages depends on dynamic remodeling processes. Inhibition of ECM proteolysis results in denser cage formation, increasing the frequence of immature megakaryocytes with impaired demarcation membrane system (DMS) development. Thus, the ECM cage represents a novel concept of an active and dynamic 3D microenvironment that is continuously remodeled and essential for maintaining megakaryocyte perivascular positioning. This specific microarchitecture guides megakaryocyte maturation and intravasation, underscoring the critical role of ECM microarchitecture and dynamics in megakaryocyte function.

INTRODUCTION

Megakaryocytes, the precursors of blood platelets, differentiate from hematopoietic stem cells and mature in a complex bone marrow microenvironment. Megakaryocytes are large, highly polyploid cells that contain a complex invaginated network of membranes, known as the demarcation membrane system (DMS), which serves as a membrane reservoir for platelet production. In contrast to other hematopoietic cells, megakaryocytes exhibit a unique platelet production process. They do not enter the bloodstream intact. Instead, they remain anchored in the bone marrow and extend cytoplasmic protrusions called proplatelets through the sinusoidal endothelial barrier. These protrusions protrude into the lumen of bone marrow sinusoids, where they undergo fragmentation to release individual platelets into the circulation. This distinctive mechanism allows for the efficient production and release of platelets while maintaining the megakaryocyte cell body within the bone marrow microenvironment, thus reducing the risk of thrombotic complications (Boscher et al., 2020; Stone et al., 2022). The remaining cell body, composed of a nucleus surrounded by a thin rim of cytoplasm, is ultimately phagocytosed in the stroma (Radley & Haller, 1983).

Megakaryocytes are strategically located at the interface between the bone marrow and the blood circulation, specifically at the parasinusoidal region (Lichtman et al., 1978; Stegner et al., 2017). Intravasation, the coordinated passage of megakaryocyte fragments through the sinusoidal barrier, requires an original, complex, and dynamic adaptation of megakaryocytes to highly different microenvironments, both mechanically and biologically. They are positioned next to the endothelial lining and its underlying basement membrane, in equilibrium between the constrained 3D environment of the bone marrow and the fluid environment of the blood. In this context, they are in contact with two types of ECM organization: basement membrane and interstitial ECM.

Megakaryocytes actively influence their structural microenvironment through several ECM remodeling mechanisms, including the endocytosis of plasma proteins like fibrinogen, and the synthesis and release of extracellular matrix (ECM) components such as fibronectin laminin, and type IV collagen (Abbonante et al., 2017; Handagama et al., 1987; Malara et al., 2014). Additionally, they produce ECM-modifying proteins, including matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMPs), and lysyl oxidase (LOX), which facilitate the continuous renewal and regulation of the matrix (Eliades

et al., 2011; Malara et al., 2018; Villeneuve et al., 2009). Furthermore, we have recently discovered that megakaryocytes could remodel the substrate-bound fibronectin matrix into basal fibrillar structures surrounding the cells. The extent of fibrillogenesis depended on the stiffness of the substrate, and relied on both β1 and β3 integrins (Guinard et al., 2023). This intricate interplay between megakaryocytes and their surrounding ECM remodeling demonstrates the dynamic nature of the vascular niche and highlights its critical role in platelet production.

The ECM surrounding megakaryocytes plays a crucial role in their development and function. Numerous studies have identified essential components of this matrix, including collagen IV, fibronectin, fibrinogen, and laminin (Larson & Watson, 2006; Malara et al., 2014; Semeniak et al., 2016; Susek et al., 2018). The presence and function of collagen I fibers remain a subject of ongoing debate, with some researchers proposing that they guide megakaryocyte proplatelets toward sinusoids (Oprescu et al., 2022). *In vitro* studies have shown that while collagen I may inhibit proplatelet formation, other ECM components, like collagen IV, fibrinogen, and fibronectin, can facilitate this process (Balduini et al., 2008; Malara et al., 2014; Sabri et al., 2004). The diverse and sometimes contradictory roles of these ECM components in megakaryocyte behavior underscore the complexity of this research area and highlight the need for further investigation, particularly *in vivo*.

The present study provides new insight into the spatial organization of the ECM that envelops megakaryocytes, elucidating its specific architecture and molecular mechanisms governing its dynamics and function. We performed advanced 2D and 3D analyses of mouse bone marrow and identified a novel ECM cage containing laminin chains ϒ1 and α4, and collagen IV that anchored megakaryocytes to the sinusoidal basement membrane. Deleting laminin α4 impairs the connections between megakaryocytes and sinusoids. Megakaryocytes act actively in forming and maintaining this ECM cage, relying on their integrins β1 and β3. Indeed, deleting these integrins causes significant impairment of the cage's integrity, resulting in increased intravasation of megakaryocytes and an unexpected release of intact megakaryocytes into the bloodstream. Moreover, *in vivo*, matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) inhibition reveals that dynamic ECM remodeling is crucial for maintaining the cage structure and supporting megakaryocyte development. In conclusion, our study unveils a 3D ECM cage that physically stabilizes megakaryocytes within their vascular niche. This structure facilitates

126   the physiological regulation of megakaryocyte maturation and intravasation at the bone

127   marrow-bloodstream interface.

RESULTS

Laminin and collagen IV create a 3D cage around sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes.

The organization of the ECM around megakaryocytes in the vascular niche is not well understood due to challenges in *in vivo* observation. To investigate this, we utilized immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy on ultrathin bone marrow cryosections (250 nm thickness). This approach offers superior axial resolution compared to traditional confocal microscopy, enabling high-resolution localization of ECM components. Megakaryocytes were visualized using antibodies against GPIbβ, while sinusoids were identified with antibodies against FABP4 (Figure 1A). Our findings revealed that laminin Ƴ1 chains and collagen IV delineated the basement membrane underlying the endothelium of sinusoids and the outer contour of mature megakaryocytes (Figure 1A, Suppl. Figure 1Aa). Adjacent cells exhibited granular staining for laminin Ƴ1 and collagen IV, which may indicate a potential contribution to the ECM constitution (arrow in Suppl. Figure 1Ag-h). Fibronectin was detected in the basement membrane and around megakaryocytes, while fibrinogen was more widespread, associated with the basement membrane, the megakaryocyte surface, and intracellular granules (Suppl. Figure 1Ab-c). Von Willebrand factor (VWF) signal was restricted to the alpha granules of megakaryocytes (Suppl. Figure 1Ad). We observed no detectable signals for type I and III collagen around megakaryocytes or sinusoids despite using antibodies validated on positive controls (Suppl. Figure 1Ae-f, Suppl. Figure 1B-C).

Having established the expression of laminin Ƴ1, collagen IV, fibronectin, and fibrinogen in the direct megakaryocyte microenvironment, their spatial organization was next investigated using 3D imaging of whole-mount bone marrow preparations (Figure 1B). Analysis of maximum Z-stack projections revealed that laminin Ƴ1 and collagen IV formed a reticular 3D ECM cage completely enveloping megakaryocytes and extending radially from the sinusoidal basement membrane (Figure 1B-C). With this approach, the basement membrane-ECM cage connections were clearly visible when examining the full z-stack series. Examples are shown in movies 1 and 2 and in Suppl. Figures 1D-E. Quantification showed that almost all megakaryocytes near sinusoids (sMK, 92.8 ± 3.3 %) have a laminin cage, compared to only 11.4 ± 4.8 % of megakaryocytes in the parenchyma (pMK) (Suppl. Figure 1F-G). Parenchymal megakaryocytes (pMK), which represented only 18 ± 1.3 % of all

megakaryocyte population (Suppl. Figure 1H), were instead surrounded by a a sparse thin network of laminin Ƴ1 (Suppl. Figure 1F). Although we cannot exclude that ECM cage can be form on its own, our data suggests that ECM cage assembly may require interactions between megakaryocytes and the sinusoidal basement membrane. Parenchymal megakaryocytes (pMK), which represented only 18 ± 1.3 % of all megakaryocyte population (Suppl. Figure 1H), were instead surrounded by a a sparse thin network of laminin Ƴ1 (Suppl. Figure 1F). The ECM cage was present at all stages of megakaryocyte maturation, including megakaryocytes with proplatelet extension (Suppl. Figures 1I-J). Remarkably, after mechanical dissociation and size exclusion, nearly half of the megakaryocytes successfully retained their cages (53.4 ± 5.6 %, 329 megakaryocytes counted from 3 mice), indicating strong physical attachments between both components (Figure 1D). While fibronectin and fibrinogen are present around megakaryocytes and at the vessel-cell interface, they do not form a reticular ECM cage. Furthermore, no connection was found between fibronectin and fibrinogen deposition with the sinusoid basement membrane, in contrast to the findings for laminin and collagen IV (Suppl. Figure 1K). These observations demonstrate that megakaryocytes establish precise and tight interactions with an ECM cage made of laminin Ƴ1 and collagen IV in a spatially confined microenvironment at the sinusoidal basement membrane.

Reduced 3D laminin cage and vessel-associated megakaryocytes in Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mouse bone marrow.

Among the γ1 chain-bearing laminin isoforms, laminin α4 was abundantly found in bone marrow sinusoid basement membrane (Susek et al., 2018). To explore the direct influence of ECM organization on platelets and megakaryocytes, we utilized laminin α4-deficient mice (Lamα4$^{-/-}$). These mice exhibit mild thrombocytopenia, with platelet counts approximately 20% lower than wild-type (WT) mice (Cai et al., 2022). Their platelets maintain a normal ultrastructure and functions, as shown by flow cytometry and EM analysis (Suppl. Figures 2A-C). Bone marrow from Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice showed a normal number of mature megakaryocytes with characteristic ultrastructural features (Figure 2C and Suppl. Figure 2D). Analysis of bone marrow explants further demonstrated that these megakaryocytes could extend proplatelets, indicating preserved fundamental function (Suppl. Figures 2 E-F).

We next examined the ECM cage using an antibody against laminin γ1. In Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice,

laminin γ1 deposition around megakaryocytes and in the sinusoid basement membrane

decreased by 1.7- and 2.6-fold compared to controls, indicating a disruption of the laminin

cage (Figures 2A-B, upper panels). However, collagen IV organization remained unaltered

around the megakaryocytes in these mice (Figure 2A-B, lower panels), showing that laminin

α4 is not necessary for collagen IV cage formation. We also analyzed megakaryocyte

localization in the bone marrow of Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice (Figure 2C). The total megakaryocyte

density was similar to that of control mice (Figure 2D), but we observed more parenchymal

MK with a 1.5-fold reduction in the number of megakaryocytes near sinusoids (Figure 2E).

These observations highlights the role of the laminin α4 cage in maintaining optimal

positioning of megakaryocytes near sinusoids.

Integrins maintain the structural properties of the ECM cages.

Integrins play a crucial role in ECM remodeling. Megakaryocytes express β1 and β3

integrins as main ECM receptors (Yang et al., 2022). To elucidate the molecular mechanisms

governing the intricate interactions between megakaryocytes and the ECM cage, we

investigate the subcellular localization of integrins on immunostained ultrathin bone marrow

cryosections. Using conformation-independent antibodies, we showed the presence of β1

subunit at on the plasma membrane and on the DMS (Figure 3A). Similar findings were

obtained for total β3 integrins (Suppl. Figure 3A). In contrast, the activated form of β1

integrin was expressed only at interaction sites with laminin γ1 and collagen IV, suggesting

that activated β1 integrins mediate megakaryocyte interactions with the ECM cage (Figure

3B). Activated β3 integrin involvement remains to be determined, as no specific signal was

detected when testing two different antibodies (JonA-PE, Pac 1).

To test if integrin-mediated signaling plays a role in the structural assembly of the ECM

cage, a transgenic mouse model lacking β1 and β3 integrins in the megakaryocyte lineage

was used (*Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$*) (Figure 3C). *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mice were generated crossing the two

single loxP-flanked lines (β1$^{fl/fl}$ and β3$^{fl/fl}$ mice) with *Pf4-Cre* mice (expressing the Cre

recombinase under the control of the *Pf4* promoter). Our analysis revealed a 2.6-fold

reduction in laminin γ1 deposition on the megakaryocyte surface in *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mice

compared to *Pf4-Cre* control mice. Furthermore, intensity profiles demonstrated that

laminin γ1 forms a less dense network around the megakaryocytes in the double knockout

mice, with significantly increased mesh sizes (10.6 ± 1.3 μm vs 6.3 ± 0.6 μm, respectively) (Figure 3D). Notably, this lower ECM density surrounding megakaryocytes in *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} mice is not linked to increased matrix degradation or reduced laminin synthesis (Suppl. Figures 3B-C). As expected, the laminin γ1 network at the basement membrane remained unaffected in these mice, as the integrin deletion is restricted to megakaryocytes (Suppl. Figures 3E-F).

Further confocal and immunoEM examination revealed a decrease in the expression of fibrillar fibronectin around *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} megakaryocytes, along with mislocalization of fibrinogen in the extracellular space of the DMS instead of the granules (Suppl. Figures 3G and 3H). These findings show that megakaryocytes, through β1 and β3 integrins dependant mechanisms, participate in their ECM microenvironment remodelling (ECM cage and fibronectin, fibrinogen deposition) and that megakaryocytes β1 and β3 integrins are required.

Normal ECM organization is essential for proper megakaryocyte intravasation.

Next, we investigate the relevance of the ECM cage to platelet and megakaryocyte functions. Flow cytometry showed that *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} platelets have intact α-granule secretion but impaired integrin-mediated aggregation, consistent with the bleeding phenotype observed in these mice (Janus-Bell et al., 2024) (Suppl. Figure 4A). As we previously described that *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} mice had a 50% reduction in platelet count (Guinard et al., 2023), we hypothesized that the organization of the ECM cage could contribute to the maturation process of megakaryocytes. Firstly, the number, ploidy, and maturation stages of megakaryocytes in *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} mice are similar to control mice. However, consistent with previous reports, these mutant megakaryocytes have a disorganized membrane system and smaller cytoplasmic areas compared to normal cells (Suppl. Figures 4B-E). We also analyzed megakaryocyte localization in the bone marrow tissue. We found a significantly higher proportion of megakaryocytes that were extending intravascular fragments (i.e., intravasation) in *Itgb1*^{−/−}/*Itgb3*^{−/−} mice (15.1 ± 2.7% of total bone marrow megakaryocytes) compared to that in *Pf4Cre* mice (2.9 ± 0.4% of total megakaryocytes). More strikingly, intact megakaryocytes were found in the sinusoid lumen, a rare phenomenon in control mice under physiological conditions (Figures 4A-B).

To elucidate which of the two integrins was responsible for the observed phenotype, we employed single-knockout mice (Figure 4C). Analysis of the ECM cage revealed a trend towards decreased laminin Υ1 density around $Itgb1^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes, while no notable changes were observed around $Itgb3^{-/-}$ cells. No statistically significant increase in intravasation events was observed in either single knock-out mouse model. This suggests a redundant role of both integrins in regulating the megakaryocyte ECM cage and the intravasation process, potentially compensating for each other's role. Additionally, we investigate the role of GPVI, one of the two well-characterized collagen receptors in this process (Figure 4C), and no alterations in ECM cage formation or intravasation behavior were noticed, which is in agreement with previous reports (Semeniak et al., 2019).

To understand the unusual localization of circulating $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes in the bloodstream, we used intravital 2-photon microscopy imaging to describe the dynamic of the megakaryocyte behavior. We used the GPIX marker, a subunit of the GPIb-V-IX complex that is expressed on mature megakaryocytes, to track the behavior of megakaryocyte in living mice. Among the stabilized megakaryocytes at the parasinusoidal interface, we could observe the cellular distortions of $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes and their exit of the marrow (Figures 4D-E, movie 3). Flow cytometry analysis did not allow us to detect intact megakaryocytes in the blood in $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ blood samples (Suppl. Figure 4F). However, large megakaryocyte nuclei were observed within the downstream pulmonary capillaries of these mice (Figures 4F-G), suggesting that circulating megakaryocytes in $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ mice are in large proportion retained in lung microvasculature shortly after entering the bloodstream. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observation confirmed that intact $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes were similar in size and ultrastructure to those in the stroma compartment (Figure 4H). Furthermore, no significant change in the size of the endothelial pores ($Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$: 4.6 ± 0.3 µm; $Pf4Cre$: 4.3 ± 0.4 µm) was observed, indicating that increased megakacaryocyte intravasion in not link to endothelium alteration in mutant mice.

Integrins promote megakaryocyte adhesion to the ECM components of the bone marrow

We next assessed the contribution of integrins adhesive function in megakaryocyte anchorage to ECM. Under static conditions, most $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes did not spread and still showed a round shape on immobilized laminin, fibronectin or fibrinogen (Figures 5A-B). We next measured the adhesive potential of freshly isolated bone marrow

megakaryocytes to test for such an adhesive role. To this end, we used a miniaturized microfluidic-based experimental model in which individual megakaryocyte detachment was tracked when exposed to a flow rate of 300s-1, similar to the flow typically found in sinusoids (Suppl. Figure 5A-B). *Pf4-Cre* megakaryocytes had capture yields of 70.5% on laminin, 83.6% on fibrillar fibronectin, and 82.0% on fibrinogen. Laminin exhibited the least adhesion, emphasizing the importance of the molecular composition of the said 3D ECM cage and its evident impact on the adhesion response of megakaryocytes *in vivo* (Figures 5C-D). *Pf4-Cre* megakaryocytes remained anchored, while $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes experienced higher detachment rates across various ECMs (movies 4 and 5). In line with this conclusion, we used the bone marrow explant model to study the adhesion properties of the megakaryocytes associated with their 3D ECM cage (Figures 5E-F). This model enabled us to quantify the physical detachment of megakaryocytes at the periphery of the explants. After 3 hours, more megakaryocytes detached from $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ bone marrow tissue than *Pf4-Cre* explants, reaching a plateau at 6 h. These findings indicate that β1 and β3 integrins control ECM-megakaryocyte interactions in their native bone marrow microenvironment.

Collectively, our results highlight the essential roles of β1 and β3 integrins in forming 3D ECM cages around megakaryocytes and modulating their adhesion within the bone marrow, which helps stabilize the cells in their vascular niche and prevent the passage of intact megakaryocytes through the sinusoid barriers.

Cage compression via metalloproteinase inhibition affects the maturation of megakaryocytes.

Our results suggest that a weakened ECM cage promotes megakaryocyte intravasation through reduced adhesion. We, therefore, tested whether an increase in cage density also may affect megakaryocyte functions. For that purpose, we proposed to decrease the catabolic aspect occurring in the ECM equilibrium between its synthesis and degradation. To this aim, mice were injected with batimastat (30 mg/kg) and ilomastat (20 mg/kg) for 7 days, in order to inhibit the activation of MMPs in the megakaryocyte microenvironment (Winer et al., 2018) (Supplemental Figure 6A). These mice produced platelets normally in terms of both count and average platelet function (Suppl. Figures 6B-C). Regarding the cage, this treatment increased the ECM density in megakaryocyte surrounding, as evidenced by reduced fiber length and pore size (Figures 6A-B).

We investigated whether this denser ECM cage might affect megakaryopoiesis.
Remarkably, treatment with MMP inhibitors resulted in a significantly higher proportion of
smaller megakaryocytes compared to untreated mice (white arrows in Figures 6C-D). To
better evaluate the extent of this defect, we employed TEM (Figure 6E). We found that 53.7
% of the megakaryocytes lacked the appropriate organization of the DMS in MMP inhibitors-
treated mice, reflecting a primary failure in the cytoplasmic maturation (Stage II in Figure 6F
right). To determine whether MMP inhibition could directly affect megakaryocytes, we
cultured primary megakaryocytes in the presence of increasing concentrations of inhibitors.
We found no significant change in their proliferation, maturation, and proplatelet formation
(Suppl. Figure 6D). Although these *in vitro* system and exogenous MMPs may not fully
recapitulate the complexity of the bone marrow situation, these findings suggest that
disturbing ECM remodelling significantly impacts megakaryocyte maturation.

An additional phenotype associated with a denser ECM environment is a significant
increase in the total megakaryocyte number in MMP inhibitor-treated mice compared to the
control condition (Figures 6D and 6F left). Moreover, immunofluorescence analysis of bone
marrow sections revealed an upregulation of activated β1 integrin (Suppl. Figure 6E). These
results indicate that increased ECM density may promote megakaryocyte adhesion within
the congested microenvironment of the bone marrow. To further investigate this point, we
quantified the number of megakaryocytes released from bone marrow explants. We
observed a significant reduction in megakaryocyte egress from the bone marrow
microenvironment after 6 h in MMP inhibitor-treated compared to control mice (Figure 6G-
H). Together, these results indicate that MMPs are essential regulators of ECM homeostasis
within the bone marrow, influencing megakaryocyte maturation and attachment to the
vascular niche.

DISCUSSION

Physiological platelet formation relies on the strategic positioning of mature megakaryocytes at sinusoids to facilitate polarised proplatelet extension and platelet release into circulation. This study identifies a 3D ECM cage anchoring megakaryocytes to bone marrow sinusoids. This cage, composed of laminin γ1 and α4 and collagen IV fibers, extends from the basement membrane and surrounds megakaryocytes. Megakaryocyte integrin signaling and ECM proteolysis regulate its remodeling and adhesive properties. This dynamic microenvironment is key for megakaryocyte positioning, maturation, and intravasation into the bloodstream, representing a novel concept in understanding physiological platelet formation (Graphical Abstract, Figure 7).

In line with previous studies (Guinard et al., 2023; Larson & Watson, 2006; Malara et al., 2014; Semeniak et al., 2016), we identified the presence of collagen IV, laminin γ1, fibronectin, and fibrinogen surrounding sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes. Notably, when analyzed using immunofluorescence and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), collagen I fibers were absent under steady-state conditions. The discrepancy between our results and those reported in the literature may be attributed to differences in staining methodologies or variations in the physiological context of the bone marrow. Furthermore, our findings indicate that GPVI-deficient megakaryocytes do not display alterations in ECM cage formation, vessel association and intravasation behavior. These observations support studies showing GPVI and α2β1 integrins are not critical for megakaryocyte function, underscoring potential redundancy in ECM-receptor interactions (Semeniak et al., 2019). Besides, non-protein ECM molecules, including glycosaminoglycans, have been shown to play an essential role in supporting megakaryocyte function, including maturation (Petrey et al., 2016; Piszczatowski et al., 2022; Vögtle et al., 2019).

We identify laminin and collagen IV as the principal structural components of this ECM cage. Consistent with previous research (Abbonante et al., 2016; Cai et al., 2022; Susek et al., 2018), these ECM proteins were detected intracellularly within megakaryocytes and in stromal cells situated in their vicinity. This indicates that the ECM cage proteins may be derived from autocrine synthesis and their production by neighbouring cells. Interestingly, while fibronectin and fibrinogen were found around megakaryocytes, they do not form a ECM cage, suggesting distinct functional roles, potentially related to megakaryocyte expansion in bone marrow fibrosis (Malara et al., 2019; Matsuura et al., 2020).

Our findings in *Lamα4*-deficient mice reveal that the ECM cage is critical for

positioning megakaryocytes near sinusoids. It is established that CXCL12 is a most potent

physiological chemoattractant for megakaryocytes and that the parasinusoidal location of

megakaryocytes in the bone marrow is mediated by the CXCL12/CXCR4 interaction (Hamada

et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2019). Other chemoattractive factors also include fibroblast growth

factor 4 (FGF4) (Avecilla et al., 2004) and VWF (Ouzegdouh et al., 2018). Besides its potential

role as a reservoir of such growth factors, we show that the ECM cage is also a crucial

element in maintaining megakaryocytes in the proximity of sinusoids, which is essential for

their efficient maturation and optimal platelet production. We also find the persistent

presence of this ECM cage throughout megakaryocyte maturation, supporting previous

findings that megakaryocyte development occurs primarily at the sinusoid (Gaertner et al.,

2024; Lichtman et al., 1978; Stegner et al., 2017).

Our study highlights the indispensable roles of β1 and β3 integrins in maintaining

megakaryocyte stability within the vascular niche. We demonstrate that these integrins are

crucial for two fundamental processes : (1) the building of the 3D ECM cages, and (2) the

ECM-mediated adhesion mechanisms to the vascular niche. Integrins biochemical and

mechanical signal transduction play key roles in ECM remodelling (Larsen et al., 2006) and

can generate the forces that may cause these changes (Lemmon et al., 2009). For instance,

RhoA inhibition in $Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes (Guinard et al., 2023) is likely

contributing to the altered ECM remodeling. Nevertheless, we still need to understand how

in megakaryocyte β1 or β3 integrins participate in ECM remodelling and ECM cage dynamics.

Our work further demonstrates that in these mice, intact megakaryocytes can enter the

general circulation. Of note, no intravascular megakaryocytes were found in *Lamα4*-deficient

mice, which have a normal collagen IV cage but a compromised laminin cage. This indicates

that integrity of all components of the cage is not needed to keep megakaryocytes from

entering circulation. Importantly, circulating megakaryocytes have also been observed in

humans during emergency megakaryopoiesis, such as in cases of infectious diseases,

inflammatory conditions, and following cardiopulmonary bypass procedures (Frydman et al.,

2023; Gelon et al., 2022; Puhm et al., 2023; Rapkiewicz et al., 2020). These circulating

megakaryocytes have been implicated in thrombotic complications, underscoring the

importance of integrin signaling-dependent mechanisms regulating megakaryocyte retention

and release.

The precise role of the ECM cage in platelet production remains incompletely understood. In the Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice, a collagen-rich ECM cage persists with normal fibronectin deposition, whereas the *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* model displays a much more severe phenotype, characterized by the loss of both the laminin cage and collagen, along with the absence of fibrillar fibronectin. The preserved collagen and fibronectin in Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice may allow for residual activation of signaling pathways - potentially through integrins or alternative mechanisms - compared to the *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* model, where these matrix components are absent.

MMPs profoundly influence megakaryocyte maturation and their association with the vascular niche by modulating the density of ECM cage architecture. This finding highlights the dynamic nature of the bone marrow microenvironment and its impact on megakaryopoiesis. Dysregulation of ECM production, often associated with bone marrow pathologies, can lead to its uncontrolled accumulation. In myelofibrosis, for instance, megakaryocytes secrete transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) which stimulates excessive ECM production by stromal cells (Abbonante et al., 2016). This pathological ECM accumulation leads to abnormally smaller megakaryocytes that exhibit reduced platelet production (Gianelli et al., 2023; Malara et al., 2018; Sarachakov et al., 2023). Likewise, we observed smaller and immature megakaryocytes retained in the constrained ECM microenvironment of the bone marrow, associated with an upregulation of activated β1 integrin in treated mice. Interestingly, previous research by K. Hoffmeister's group has demonstrated that proper megakaryocyte localization at the sinusoids depends on β1 integrin glycosylation. Specifically, the absence of galactosylation in β4galt1$^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes leads to hyperactivity of β1 integrin, which negatively impacts the formation of the DMS (Giannini et al., 2020). Building on these insights, our study shows the coordinated interplay between integrin-mediated signaling and MMP proteolysis, working together to tightly regulate the density and cross-linking properties of the ECM cage and thereby control megakaryocyte maturation at the bone marrow-blood interface. Intriguingly, despite these effects on megakaryocyte maturation, we observed no significant changes in circulating platelet counts, and importantly, platelet function remained preserved. This supports the idea that differences in ECM composition can influence the signaling environment and megakaryocyte maturation, but do not fully abrogate platelet function.

The influence of ECM features - like fiber length and pore size - on megakaryocyte biology is complex and not yet fully understood. Longer ECM fibers may help cells adhere better (Barriga et al., 2018; Dolega et al., 2021). Larger pores could make it easier for megakaryocytes to mature and to extend proplatelets at the bone marrow-blood interface. Also, the mechanical properties of the ECM, particularly its stiffness, have emerged as critical environmental determinants of megakaryocyte development and function (Abbonante et al., 2017; Aguilar et al., 2016; Guinard et al., 2023; Leiva et al., 2018). Notably, megakaryocytes possess mechanosensing capabilities, primarily mediated by the β3 integrin subunit, enabling them to detect and respond to changes in substrate stiffness (Guinard et al., 2023). The assembly of extracellular matrix (ECM) fibronectin around megakaryopoiesis involves a more complex interplay of integrins, with both β1 and β3 integrins playing essential roles in fibrillogenesis (Abbonante et al., 2024; Guinard et al., 2023). This cooperative relationship appears to be conserved across various cell types (Attieh et al., 2017; De Mets et al., 2019; Kyumurkov et al., 2023). This may explain why the deletion of both integrins is required to affect the 3D ECM cage and megakaryocyte behavior significantly. We can not exclude that other ECM ligands of β3 integrins, such as fibronectin, may contribute to the organization of collagen IV and laminin, influencing the overall architecture of the vascular niche (Petito & Gresele, 2024; Yang et al., 2022; Zeng et al., 2018). Indeed, it is known that the fibronectin matrix favors the deposition of other ECM proteins, such as collagens IV and various other glycoproteins (Saunders & Schwarzbauer, 2019). This hypothesis underscores the need for further investigation into the spatio-temporal dynamics of ECM component assembly in the megakaryocyte vascular niche.

Overall, our findings reveal the supportive role of the ECM cage in platelet biogenesis. Integrin-mediated ECM interactions are clearly crucial, as demonstrated by the 50% reduction in platelet counts observed in integrin double knockout mice. In summary, the ECM cage acts as a finely tuned facilitator, optimising the efficiency and precision of platelet production by guiding megakaryocytes to the right place at the right time.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Animals. We used wild type (WT) mice (C57BL/6J from C. River, L'Arbesle, France), Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$ double knock out mice and Pf4cre mice aged 10 to 15 weeks. Pf4cre mice expressed the Cre recombinase under the control of the Pf4 promoter. The β1$^{fl/fl}$ (Potocnik et al., 2000) and β3$^{fl/fl}$ (Morgan et al., 2010) mice were crossed with mice expressing the Cre recombinase under the control of the Pf4 promoter to obtain inactivation in the MK lineage. *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* double knock out (KO) mice were crosses of the two single KO lines, as described in Guinard et al., 2023. *GPVI$^{-/-}$* mice and *Lamα4$^{-/-}$* mice were from Nieswandt and Qian labs (Bender et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2022). All animal studies were approved by the French legislation for animal experimentation and in accordance with the guide for the care and use of laboratory animals as defined by the European laws (Animal Facility Agreement C-67-482-10).

Chemicals. Dimethyl sulfoxide (2438), bovine serum albumin (900.011), saponin (47036), Triton X-100 (T8787), fibrinogen and batimastat (SML0041) (Sigma-Aldrich, Rueil-Malmaison, France), Ilomastat (GM6001, HY-15768) (MedChemExpress, Clinisciences, France), Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), penicillin, streptomycin, and glutamine (Invitrogen , Cergy-Pontoise, France), Gelatin-Oregon Green 488 conjugate (G-13186) (Life Technologies), Laminin 511 recombinant (Biolamina) and fibronectin from human plasma from (341635, Calbiochem) were used in this study.

Antibodies. See Tables 1 and 2 in "Data Supplements" for details.
GPIX and GPIbβ are components of the GPIb-IX complex, identifying mature megakaryocytes (Lepage et al., 2000). The choice of marker used to identify megakaryocytes in different experiments is primarily based on technical considerations. Intravital experiments have been standardised using AF488-conjugated anti-GPIX to consistently identify mature megakaryocytes. The rest of the manuscript uses GPIbβ (GP1bβ) due to its strong, specific, bright staining.

Extrusion and Preservation of Murine Bone Marrow. Bone marrow extrusion, without leaving any residual bone, was obtained by carefully flushing the femurs of mice with PBS using a 21-

gauge needle attached to a 10 mL syringe (see photo in Figure 1D) (Scandola et al., 2021). To maximize the preservation of the bone marrow integrity, we used a double fixation procedure (4 % paraformaldehyde and 0.4% glutaraldehyde for 1 hour) immediately after bone marrow extrusion, followed by embedding it in 4 % low-melting-point agarose to preserve as much as possible their three-dimensional architecture.

Isolation of freshly isolated bone marrow-derived megakaryocytes. Bone marrow megakaryocytes were dissociated using a 21G needle and filtered through a 40 μm Millipore filter. The filter was then rinsed in DMEM + 1% fœtal bovine serum, and the isolated megakaryocytes were adjusted to 300 cells/ml in the same medium.

2D confocal microscopy on bone marrow cryosections. This study explores the interactions between megakaryocytes and their immediate ECM microenvironment. Ultrathin cryosections were used for their superior axial resolution, offering a 2- to 3-fold improvement over conventional confocal microscopy, which facilitates analysis of signal superposition. Cryosections from WT extruded bone marrow were used to study the ECM composition and distribution of sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes. Bone marrow was fixed in a mix of 2 % paraformaldehyde-0.2 % glutaraldehyde in 0.2 M sodium cacodylate buffer for 1 hour. The fixed samples were infiltrated with 2.3 M sucrose and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Ultrathin cryosections of 250 nm were obtained at −110°C with a LEICA Ultracut UCT cryo-ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems). For immunofluorescence staining, cryosections were labeled with primary antibodies and conjugated-second antibodies of the appropriate species and DAPI, as reported in Table 1. They were examined under a confocal microscope (TCS SP8, Leica) using the 63x objective with a numerical zoom of 4 (pixel size: 0.09μm). The bone marrow specimens from 3 mice were examined under identical conditions, using constant exposure and the same irrelevant antibodies. No fluorescence was detected using isotype-specific control IgG.

3D confocal analysis on bone marrow vibratome sections. Whole-mount bone marrow preparations were used to investigate i) the localization of megakaryocytes in the bone marrow and the lung and ii) the spatial organization of ECM around sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes. Megakaryocytes were classified based on their maturation stage: stage I (presence of granules, no clear DMS visible), stage II (developing DMS not yet organized),

and stage III (DMS organized in platelet territories). Mice from each genotype were analyzed and image acquisitions were performed in a blinded manner.

For megakaryocyte localization, sections of femurs's bone marrow or lungs fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde for 1 hour, with a thickness of 250 µm, were incubated with FABP4 overnight. This was followed by overnight staining with Alexa 568-conjugated anti-GPIbβ antibody and Alexa 488 Donkey anti-Goat for FABP4. Finally, Hoechst 33342 was used for counterstaining for 10 minutes. The fluorescently labeled tissue was placed cut-face down into incubation chambers and mounted with Mowiol mounting solution. The Leica SP8 confocal microscope was used to collect a series of x-y-z images. The images were typically 194x194 µm x-y size and were collected along the z-axis at 1 µm step size through 50 µm of bone marrow tissue. The 40x objective with a numerical zoom of 4 (pixel size: 0.142 µm) was used. The lung was imaged using an x63 objective with a 2.5 digital zoom (pixel size: 0.145 µm).

To perform 3D ECM analysis, bone marrow samples were fixed in 4% PFA and 0.4% GA for 1 hour, then embedded in 4% agarose and cut into 250 µm-thick sections using a vibratome. These sections were then incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies targeting laminin, type IV collagen, fibronectin, and fibrinogen, followed by corresponding secondary antibodies and Hoechst 33342 counterstaining. Series of x-y-z images of typically 46.13*46.13 µm x-y size were collected along the z-axis at 1 µm step size through 15-35 µm of sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes, using the 63x objective with a numerical zoom of 4 (pixel size: 0.09) from a Leica SP8 confocal microscope. For quantitative spatial analysis of ECM around megakaryocytes, the observations have been made on z-stacks as described by *Voisin et al.* (Voisin et al., 2010).The fluorescence was delineated on the maximum z-stack projection of half a megakaryocyte using Image J software.

Image processing to perform quantitative analyses of fluorescence profiles and fiber length are explained in Suppl. Figure 7. The random length measurement method uses random sampling to provide unbiased data on laminin/collagen fibers in a 3D cage. Measurements included intervals between different branching points throughout the cage, including branch ends. Processing involved five steps: 1) acquiring 3D images, 2) projecting onto 2D planar sections, 3) selecting random intersection points for measurement, 4) measuring intervals using ImageJ software, and 5) repeating the process for a representative dataset. Briefly, a

binary threshold mask (0 for the background and 1 for the ECM network, threshold to reduce signal noise) was generated from the channel showing labeled collagen or laminin. The mask was then applied to the channel showing megakaryocytes, resulting in an image that corresponded to only an active ECM signal that colocalized with megakaryocytes. The mask was then applied to the collagen/laminin channel to determine the amount of fluorescent ECM within the mask. This enabled the determination of the cell area and the elimination of the signal outside the mask.

Intravital imaging. *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mice underwent intravital imaging. To visualize megakaryocytes and sinusoids, an AF488-conjugated anti-GPIX antibody derivative and Texas Red dextran 70kDa were intravenously injected, respectively. The skull bone marrow was observed using two-photon microscopy, following the procedure described in reference (Bornert et al., 2021). The anesthetized mice were monitored for a maximum of 6 hours, during which one to four proplatelets were recorded. Two regions of interest were analyzed, each composed of 3 images (xyz = 1320 µm*1320 µm*100 µm, total volume/ROI = 0.17 µm3, pixel size = 0.867 µm at obj HC FLUOTAR L 25x/0.95 WATER zoom x1). A total of 130 megakaryocytes *PF4cre* vs. 130 megakaryocytes *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* were analyzed and the results are expressed in %.

Electron microscopy. For Transmission electron microscopy, bone marrow was fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 1 hour and embedded in Epon as described (Scandola et al., 2021). Transversal thin sections of the entire bone marrow were cut and examined under a JEOL TEM (120 kV). The number of megakaryocytes was counted per surface unit (s.u., 12,945 µm2). The observations from three independent mice were averaged.

For SEM, native bone marrow megakaryocytes were allowed to adhere to a surface coated with 300 µg/ml fibronectin, 100 µg/ml fibrinogen, or 50 µg/ml laminin 511. After gentle agitation to detach non-adherent cells, the remaining adherent cells were fixed in 2.5 % glutaraldehyde for 1 hour, dehydrated, attached to stubs, sputter coated, and examined under a Helios NanoLab microscope at 5kV (ThermoFisher, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Adherent megakaryocytes where counted and classified as spreading or round cells. The results were obtained by the average from three independent mice.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometry was used to investigate i) megakaryocyte ploidy, ii) intact megakaryocytes in the blood and iii) platelet activation.

For the ploidy analysis of the native megakaryocytes, mouse femurs, tibias, and iliac crests were harvested, cut into small fragments, and incubated in a PBS-collagenase-dispase mix (at 3 mg/mL and 4 mg/mL, 5mL/mouse respectively) for 30 min at 37°C. Then, the tube was filled with PBS-2% NCS to stop the collagenase, and the supernatant was 70 μm filtered to eliminate the bone fragments. After red cell lysis, the freshly isolated bone marrows were washed in PBS-2% NCS and stained with anti-CD41 and anti-CD42c antibodies and Hoechst. Anti Gr-1, B220, F4/80, and TER119 probes were used as a negative control to exclude granulocytes, B lymphocytes, macrophages, and erythrocytes from the total bone marrow suspension. The freshly isolated megakaryocytes were identified as a CD41/CD42c positive cell population and ploidy analysis was performed using Hoechst 33342. The results are representative of three independent experiments.

For detection of intact megakaryocytes in the blood, red blood cell lysis was performed using BD Lysing buffer solution according to manufacturer's instruction. The resulting mononucleated cells were labelled with fluorescent conjugated antibodies: anti CD41-PE-Cy7 (clone MWReg30), anti-CD42c-Alexa 488 (clone RAM-1), anti-CD45-PE (clone 30-F11), TER119-APC (clone TER119), antibodies from ebiosciences except RAM-1 antibody produced in house. Megakaryocytes enriched from bone marrow using BSA gradient were spiked into blood sample to validate the gating strategy to identify potential circulating megakaryocytes as CD45$^+$TER119$^-$CD41$^+$CD42c$^+$ events. 300.10^3 CD45$^+$TER119$^-$ events were recorded. Data acquisition was performed on a Symphony A1 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using BD FACS Diva Software (BD Biosciences).

For platelet activation studies, whole blood was collected from the tail vein and anticoagulated with hirudin (200 U /ml). Platelets were activated or not with collagen-related peptide (CRP, 40 μg/ml) and TRAP (4mM) for 15 min at 37°C and stained with FITC-labelled or 647-labelled rat anti-mouse GPIbβ and APC-labeled rat anti-mouse P-selectin or PE-labelled rat anti-mouse activated-αIIbβ3 antibodies. Fluorescence was quantified using an LSRFortessa cell analyze (BD Biosciences) and BD FACSDiva software. A total of 20.000 events were analyzed for each sample.

Microfluidic experiments. The PDMS microfluidic chamber channels were assembled and connected to a peristaltic pump, as described (Osmani et al., 2021). The channels were washed with PBS for 5 min and coated with laminin 511 (50 µg/ml), fibrillar fibronectin (300 µg/ml), or fibrinogen (100 µg/ml) overnight at 4°C, followed by a blocking stage with human serum albumin (1 %) for 30 min at RT. To produce fibrillar fibronectin, we use the method of mechanical stretching as described in Maurer et al, (Maurer et al., 2015). Freshly isolated megakaryocytes were seeded at a concentration of 30 cells /µL per channel and incubated for 15 min – 45 min at 37 °C with 5 % CO_2. In previous work, the seeding time was determined with capture efficiencies of over 80 % for Pf4cre megakaryocytes (Suppl. Figure 4B). The channels were then perfused under a flow of 300 µm/s and the megakaryocyte behavior was monitored in real-time, for 1 min, using a Leica DMI8 microscope (x20) equipped with a CMOS Camera (Hamamatsu ORCA fusion). Megakaryocyte capture yield was measured by quantifying the number of adherent megakaryocytes before and after flow induction (expressed as a percentage) on an average of 20 stage positions (n= 50 megakaryocytes analyzed/test). The results are an average of three independent experiments, the values were expressed as a mean ± sem.

Bone marrow explants. Preparation of bone marrow explants was performed as described in Guinard et al., 2021 to investigate proplatelet formation. For investigating megakaryocyte emigration, bone marrows were flushed from femurs of *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$*; Lamα4$^{+/+}$ and Lamα4$^{-/-}$; as well as from DMSO- and B+I-treated mice and ten 0.5 mm-thick sections were placed in an incubation chamber. Megakaryocytes at the periphery of the explants were counted under an inverted phase contrast microscope coupled to a video camera (DMI8 Leica microscope, 40x objective). A motorized multiposition stage (in *x, y, z*) was used, and an average of 100 stages positions showing megakaryocytes was followed. Results are expressed as the number of total emigrating megakaryocytes at the indicated time points. In each case, a minimum of six independent experiments were performed. Mice from each genotype were analyzed and image acquisitions were performed in a blinded manner.

Effects of MMP inhibition. Batimastat plus ilomastat treatment was tested for their potential impact on the ECM in the microenvironment of the megakaryocyte vascular niche. Mice were treated daily with a protease inhibitor cocktail (Batimastat at 30 mg/kg + Ilomastat at

50 mg/kg) or vehicle (DMSO) for 7 consecutive days (Gui et al., 2018; Pielecka-Fortuna et al.,

2015). The platelet count was monitored every two days. On the eighth day, the mice were

sacrificed, and their bone marrow was collected for analysis of ECM organization and

megakaryocyte behavior, as explained in the 3D confocal analysis on bone marrow

vibratome sections.

Gelatin degradation assay. Coverslips were coated with Oregon green gelatin, and fixed with

0.5% glutaraldehyde for 20 min at RT. After washing three times with PBS, cells were seeded

on coated coverslips and incubated for 6 h before fixation and staining.

Statistics. All values are reported as the mean ± sem. n= number of megakaryocytes studied.

Statistical analyses were performed with PrismGraphpad software (La Jolla, CA, USA). For

group comparison, data were tested for Gaussian distribution. Then, a Student t-test

(Gaussian) or Mann-Whitney U test (non-Gaussian) were used to compare individual groups;

multiple groups were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-test or by a

non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, with a threshold of significance of 5%. P-values <0.05

were considered statistically significant. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001.

Contributions

C.M and C.S performed experiments, analyzed data, and contributed to the writing of the revised manuscript; JY.R, F.P, E.J-B, N.O, L.M, A.M., N.B. and A.B performed experiments, analyzed data, and commented on the manuscript; J.G, N.O, C.Q, F.B, and C.L provided vital reagents and commented on the manucript; R.P., O.D, and M.L contributed to the writing of the manuscript; and A.E designed and supervised research, analyzed data, and wrote the manuscript

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Ketty Knez-Hippert, Josiane Weber, Clarisse Mouriaux, Sylvie Moog and Patricia Laeuffer (EFS-GEST) for excellent expert technical assistance. This work was supported by ARMESA (Association de Recherche et Développement en Médecine et Santé Publique) and by ANR Grant MegaPod (ANR-22-CE14-0029). The authors thank Pr. B. Nieswandt for providing GPVI knock-out mice.

Discosure of conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interests to declare

References

Abbonante, V., Chitalia, V., Rosti, V., Leiva, O., Matsuura, S., Balduini, A., & Ravid, K. (2017). Upregulation of lysyl oxidase and adhesion to collagen of human megakaryocytes and platelets in primary myelofibrosis. *Blood*, *130*(6), 829-831. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2017-04-777417

Abbonante, V., Di Buduo, C. A., Gruppi, C., Malara, A., Gianelli, U., Celesti, G., Anselmo, A., Laghi, L., Vercellino, M., Visai, L., Iurlo, A., Moratti, R., Barosi, G., Rosti, V., & Balduini, A. (2016). Thrombopoietin/TGF- *β* 1 Loop Regulates Megakaryocyte Extracellular Matrix Component Synthesis. *Stem Cells*, *34*(4), 1123-1133. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2285

Abbonante, V., Karkempetzaki, A. I., Leon, C., Krishnan, A., Huang, N., Di Buduo, C. A., Cattaneo, D., Ward, C. M., Matsuura, S., Guinard, I., Weber, J., De Acutis, A., Vozzi, G., Iurlo, A., Ravid, K., & Balduini, A. (2024). Newly identified roles for PIEZO1 mechanosensor in controlling normal megakaryocyte development and in primary myelofibrosis. *American Journal of Hematology*, *99*(3), 336-349. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.27184

Aguilar, A., Pertuy, F., Eckly, A., Strassel, C., Collin, D., Gachet, C., Lanza, F., & Léon, C. (2016). Importance of environmental stiffness for megakaryocyte differentiation and proplatelet formation. *Blood*, *128*(16), 2022-2032. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-02-699959

Attieh, Y., Clark, A. G., Grass, C., Richon, S., Pocard, M., Mariani, P., Elkhatib, N., Betz, T., Gurchenkov, B., & Vignjevic, D. M. (2017). Cancer-associated fibroblasts lead tumor invasion through integrin-β3–dependent fibronectin assembly. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *216*(11), 3509-3520. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201702033

Avecilla, S. T., Hattori, K., Heissig, B., Tejada, R., Liao, F., Shido, K., Jin, D. K., Dias, S., Zhang, F., Hartman, T. E., Hackett, N. R., Crystal, R. G., Witte, L., Hicklin, D. J., Bohlen, P., Eaton, D., Lyden, D., De Sauvage, F., & Rafii, S. (2004). Chemokine-mediated interaction of hematopoietic progenitors with the bone marrow vascular niche is required for thrombopoiesis. *Nature Medicine*, *10*(1), 64-71. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm973

Balduini, A., Pallotta, I., Malara, A., Lova, P., Pecci, A., Viarengo, G., Balduini, C. L., & Torti, M. (2008). Adhesive receptors, extracellular proteins and myosin IIA orchestrate proplatelet formation by human megakaryocytes. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis: JTH*, *6*(11), 1900-1907. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2008.03132.x

Barriga, E. H., Franze, K., Charras, G., & Mayor, R. (2018). Tissue stiffening coordinates morphogenesis by triggering collective cell migration in vivo. *Nature*, *554*(7693), 523-527. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25742

Bender, M., Hagedorn, I., & Nieswandt, B. (2011). Genetic and antibody-induced glycoprotein VI deficiency equally protects mice from mechanically and FeCl3-induced thrombosis. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, *9*(7), 1423-1426. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04328.x

Bornert, A., Boscher, J., Pertuy, F., Eckly, A., Stegner, D., Strassel, C., Gachet, C., Lanza, F., & Léon, C. (2021). Cytoskeletal-based mechanisms differently regulate *in vivo* and *in vitro* proplatelet formation. *Haematologica*, *106*(5), Article 5. https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2019.239111

Boscher, J., Guinard, I., Eckly, A., Lanza, F., & Léon, C. (2020). Blood platelet formation at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*, *133*(20), jcs244731. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.244731

Cai, H., Kondo, M., Sandhow, L., Xiao, P., Johansson, A.-S., Sasaki, T., Zawacka-Pankau, J., Tryggvason, K., Ungerstedt, J., Walfridsson, J., Ekblom, M., & Qian, H. (2022). Critical role of Lama4 for hematopoiesis regeneration and acute myeloid leukemia progression. *Blood*, *139*(20), 3040-3057. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2021011510

De Mets, R., Wang, I., Balland, M., Oddou, C., Moreau, P., Fourcade, B., Albiges-Rizo, C., Delon, A., & Destaing, O. (2019). Cellular tension encodes local Src-dependent differential β_1 and β_3 integrin mobility. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *30*(2), 181-190. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E18-04-0253

Dolega, M. E., Monnier, S., Brunel, B., Joanny, J.-F., Recho, P., & Cappello, G. (2021). Extracellular matrix in multicellular aggregates acts as a pressure sensor controlling cell proliferation and motility. *eLife*, *10*, e63258. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.63258

Eliades, A., Papadantonakis, N., Bhupatiraju, A., Burridge, K. A., Johnston-Cox, H. A., Migliaccio, A. R., Crispino, J. D., Lucero, H. A., Trackman, P. C., & Ravid, K. (2011). Control of Megakaryocyte Expansion and Bone Marrow Fibrosis by Lysyl Oxidase. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *286*(31), 27630-27638. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.243113

Frydman, G. H., Ellett, F., Jorgensen, J., Marand, A. L., Zukerberg, L., Selig, M. K., Tessier, S. N., Wong, K. H. K., Olaleye, D., Vanderburg, C. R., Fox, J. G., Tompkins, R. G., & Irimia, D. (2023). Megakaryocytes respond during sepsis and display innate immune cell behaviors. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *14*, 1083339. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1083339

Gaertner, F., Ishikawa-Ankerhold, H., Stutte, S., Fu, W., Weitz, J., Dueck, A., Nelakuditi, B., Fumagalli, V., Van Den Heuvel, D., Belz, L., Sobirova, G., Zhang, Z., Titova, A., Navarro, A. M., Pekayvaz, K., Lorenz, M., Von Baumgarten, L., Kranich, J., Straub, T., … Massberg, S. (2024). Plasmacytoid dendritic cells control homeostasis of megakaryopoiesis. *Nature*, *631*(8021), 645-653. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07671-y

Gelon, L., Fromont, L., & Lefrançais, E. (2022). Occurrence and role of lung megakaryocytes in infection and inflammation. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *13*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1029223

Gianelli, U., Thiele, J., Orazi, A., Gangat, N., Vannucchi, A. M., Tefferi, A., & Kvasnicka, H. M. (2023). International Consensus Classification of myeloid and lymphoid neoplasms : Myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Virchows Archiv*, *482*(1), 53-68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-022-03480-8

Giannini, S., Lee-Sundlov, M. M., Rivadeneyra, L., Di Buduo, C. A., Burns, R., Lau, J. T., Falet, H., Balduini, A., & Hoffmeister, K. M. (2020). β4GALT1 controls β1 integrin function to govern thrombopoiesis and hematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. *Nature Communications*, *11*(1), 356. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14178-y

Gui, P., Ben-Neji, M., Belozertseva, E., Dalenc, F., Franchet, C., Gilhodes, J., Labrousse, A., Bellard, E., Golzio, M., Poincloux, R., Maridonneau-Parini, I., & Le Cabec, V. (2018). The Protease-Dependent Mesenchymal Migration of Tumor-Associated Macrophages as a Target in Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunology Research*, *6*(11), 1337-1351. https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-17-0746

Guinard, I., Nguyen, T., Brassard-Jollive, N., Weber, J., Ruch, L., Reininger, L., Brouard, N., Eckly, A., Collin, D., Lanza, F., & Léon, C. (2023). Matrix stiffness controls megakaryocyte adhesion, fibronectin fibrillogenesis, and proplatelet formation through Itgβ3. *Blood Advances*, *7*(15), 4003-4018. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2022008680

Hamada, T., Möhle, R., Hesselgesser, J., Hoxie, J., Nachman, R. L., Moore, M. A. S., & Rafii, S. (1998).
Transendothelial Migration of Megakaryocytes in Response to Stromal Cell-derived Factor 1
(SDF-1) Enhances Platelet Formation. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, *188*(3), 539-548.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.188.3.539

Handagama, P. J., George, J. N., Shuman, M. A., McEver, R. P., & Bainton, D. F. (1987). Incorporation
of a circulating protein into megakaryocyte and platelet granules. *Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences*, *84*(3), 861-865. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.84.3.861

Janus-Bell, E., Receveur, N., Mercier, L., Mouriaux, C., Magnenat, S., Reiser, J., Lanza, F., Hechler, B.,
Ho-Tin-Noé, B., & Mangin, P. H. (2024). Cooperation Between Platelet β1 and β3 Integrins in
the Arrest of Bleeding Under Inflammatory Conditions in Mice—Brief Report. *Arteriosclerosis,
Thrombosis,   &   Vascular   Biology*,   *44*(10),   2213-2222.
https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.124.321104

Kyumurkov, A., Bouin, A.-P., Boissan, M., Manet, S., Baschieri, F., Proponnet-Guerault, M., Balland,
M., Destaing, O., Régent-Kloeckner, M., Calmel, C., Nicolas, A., Waharte, F., Chavrier, P.,
Montagnac, G., Planus, E., & Albiges-Rizo, C. (2023). Force tuning through regulation of
clathrin-dependent integrin endocytosis. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *222*(1), e202004025.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202004025

Larsen, M., Artym, V. V., Green, J. A., & Yamada, K. M. (2006). The matrix reorganized : Extracellular
matrix remodeling and integrin signaling. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, *18*(5), 463-471.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2006.08.009

Larson, M. K., & Watson, S. P. (2006). Regulation of proplatelet formation and platelet release by
integrin αIIbβ3. *Blood*, *108*(5), 1509-1514. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-11-011957

Leiva, O., Leon, C., Ng, S. K., Mangin, P., Gachet, C., & Ravid, K. (2018). The Role of Extracellular
Matrix Stiffness in Megakaryocyte Development and Function. *American journal of
hematology*, *93*(3), 430-441. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.25008

Lemmon, C. A., Chen, C. S., & Romer, L. H. (2009). Cell Traction Forces Direct Fibronectin Matrix
Assembly. *Biophysical Journal*, *96*(2), 729-738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2008.10.009

Lepage, A., Leboeuf, M., Cazenave, J. P., de la Salle, C., Lanza, F., & Uzan, G. (2000). The
alpha(IIb)beta(3) integrin and GPIb-V-IX complex identify distinct stages in the maturation of
CD34(+) cord blood cells to megakaryocytes. *Blood*, *96*(13), 4169-4177.

Lichtman, M. A., Chamberlain, J. K., Simon, W., & Santillo, P. A. (1978). Parasinusoidal location of
megakaryocytes in marrow : A determinant of platelet release. *American Journal of
Hematology*, *4*(4), 303-312. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajh.2830040402

Malara, A., Currao, M., Gruppi, C., Celesti, G., Viarengo, G., Buracchi, C., Laghi, L., Kaplan, D. L., &
Balduini, A. (2014). Megakaryocytes contribute to the bone marrow-matrix environment by
expressing fibronectin, type IV collagen and laminin. *Stem cells (Dayton, Ohio)*, *32*(4),
926-937. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.1626

Malara, A., Gruppi, C., Abbonante, V., Cattaneo, D., De Marco, L., Massa, M., Iurlo, A., Gianelli, U.,
Balduini, C. L., Tira, M. E., Muro, A. F., Chauhan, A. K., Rosti, V., Barosi, G., & Balduini, A.
(2019). EDA fibronectin–TLR4 axis sustains megakaryocyte expansion and inflammation in
bone marrow fibrosis. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, *216*(3), 587-604.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20181074

Malara, A., Ligi, D., Di Buduo, C. A., Mannello, F., & Balduini, A. (2018). Sub-Cellular Localization of
Metalloproteinases   in   Megakaryocytes.   *Cells*,   *7*(7),   Article   7.
https://doi.org/10.3390/cells7070080

Matsuura, S., Thompson, C. R., Ng, S. K., Ward, C. M., Karagianni, A., Mazzeo, C., Malara, A., Balduini, A., & Ravid, K. (2020). Adhesion to fibronectin via α5β1 integrin supports expansion of the megakaryocyte lineage in primary myelofibrosis. *Blood*, *135*(25), 2286-2291. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019004230

Maurer, E., Schaff, M., Receveur, N., Bourdon, C., Mercier, L., Nieswandt, B., Dubois, C., Jandrot-Perrus, M., Goetz, J. G., Lanza, F., Gachet, C., & Mangin, P. H. (2015). Fibrillar cellular fibronectin supports efficient platelet aggregation and procoagulant activity. *Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, *114*(12), 1175-1188. https://doi.org/10.1160/TH14-11-0958

Morgan, E. A., Schneider, J. G., Baroni, T. E., Uluçkan, O., Heller, E., Hurchla, M. A., Deng, H., Floyd, D., Berdy, A., Prior, J. L., Piwnica-Worms, D., L. Teitelbaum, S., Patrick Ross, F., & Weilbaecher, K. N. (2010). Dissection of platelet and myeloid cell defects by conditional targeting of the β3-integrin subunit. *The FASEB Journal*, *24*(4), 1117-1127. https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.09-138420

Oprescu, A., Michel, D., Antkowiak, A., Vega, E., Viaud, J., Courtneidge, S. A., Eckly, A., De La Salle, H., Chicanne, G., Léon, C., Payrastre, B., & Gaits-Iacovoni, F. (2022). Megakaryocytes form linear podosomes devoid of digestive properties to remodel medullar matrix. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 6255. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10215-x

Osmani, N., Follain, G., Gensbittel, V., García-León, M. J., Harlepp, S., & Goetz, J. G. (2021). Probing Intravascular Adhesion and Extravasation of Tumor Cells with Microfluidics. In U. S. Stein (Éd.), *Metastasis* (Vol. 2294, p. 111-132). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1350-4_8

Ouzegdouh, Y., Capron, C., Bauer, T., Puymirat, E., Diehl, J.-L., Martin, J. F., & Cramer-Bordé, E. (2018). The physical and cellular conditions of the human pulmonary circulation enable thrombopoiesis. *Experimental Hematology*, *63*, 22-27.e3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2018.04.001

Petito, E., & Gresele, P. (2024). Immune attack on megakaryocytes in immune thrombocytopenia. *Research and Practice in Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, *8*(1), 102345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rpth.2024.102345

Petrey, A. C., Obery, D. R., Kessler, S. P., Flamion, B., & De La Motte, C. A. (2016). Hyaluronan Depolymerization by Megakaryocyte Hyaluronidase-2 Is Required for Thrombopoiesis. *The American Journal of Pathology*, *186*(9), 2390-2403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2016.05.004

Pielecka-Fortuna, J., Kalogeraki, E., Fortuna, M. G., & Löwel, S. (2015). Optimal level activity of matrix metalloproteinases is critical for adult visual plasticity in the healthy and stroke-affected brain. *eLife*, *4*, e11290. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.11290

Piszczatowski, R. T., Schwenger, E., Sundaravel, S., Stein, C. M., Liu, Y., Stanley, P., Verma, A., Zheng, D., Seidel, R. D., Almo, S. C., Townley, R. A., Bülow, H. E., & Steidl, U. (2022). A glycan-based approach to cell characterization and isolation : Hematopoiesis as a paradigm. *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, *219*(11), e20212552. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20212552

Potocnik, A. J., Brakebusch, C., & Fässler, R. (2000). Fetal and Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cells Require β1 Integrin Function for Colonizing Fetal Liver, Spleen, and Bone Marrow. *Immunity*, *12*(6), 653-663. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80216-2

Puhm, F., Laroche, A., & Boilard, E. (2023). Diversity of Megakaryocytes. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, *43*(11), 2088-2098. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.123.318782

Radley, J. M., & Haller, C. J. (1983). Fate of senescent megakaryocytes in the bone marrow. *British*
Journal of Haematology, *53*(2), 277-287. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2141.1983.tb02022.x

Rapkiewicz, A. V., Mai, X., Carsons, S. E., Pittaluga, S., Kleiner, D. E., Berger, J. S., Thomas, S., Adler, N.
M., Charytan, D. M., Gasmi, B., Hochman, J. S., & Reynolds, H. R. (2020). Megakaryocytes and
platelet-fibrin thrombi characterize multi-organ thrombosis at autopsy in COVID-19 : A case
series. *eClinicalMedicine*, *24*, 100434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100434

Sabri, S., Jandrot-Perrus, M., Bertoglio, J., Farndale, R. W., Mas, V. M.-D., Debili, N., & Vainchenker,
W. (2004). Differential regulation of actin stress fiber assembly and proplatelet formation by
α2β1 integrin and GPVI in human megakaryocytes. *Blood*, *104*(10), 3117-3125.
https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-12-4398

Sarachakov, A., Varlamova, A., Svekolkin, V., Polyakova, M., Valencia, I., Unkenholz, C., Pannellini, T.,
Galkin, I., Ovcharov, P., Tabakov, D., Postovalova, E., Shin, N., Sethi, I., Bagaev, A., Itkin, T.,
Crane, G., Kluk, M., Geyer, J., Inghirami, G., & Patel, S. (2023). Spatial mapping of human
hematopoiesis at single-cell resolution reveals aging-associated topographic remodeling.
Blood, *142*(26), 2282-2295. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2023021280

Saunders, J. T., & Schwarzbauer, J. E. (2019). Fibronectin matrix as a scaffold for procollagen
proteinase binding and collagen processing. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *30*(17), 2218-2226.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E19-03-0140

Scandola, C., Lanza, F., Gachet, C., & Eckly, A. (2021). In Situ Exploration of Murine Megakaryopoiesis
using Transmission Electron Microscopy. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, *175*, 62494.
https://doi.org/10.3791/62494

Semeniak, D., Faber, K., Öftering, P., Manukjan, G., & Schulze, H. (2019). Impact of Itga2-Gp6-double
collagen receptor deficient mice for bone marrow megakaryocytes and platelets. *PLOS ONE*,
14(8), e0216839. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216839

Semeniak, D., Kulawig, R., Stegner, D., Meyer, I., Schwiebert, S., Bösing, H., Eckes, B., Nieswandt, B.,
& Schulze, H. (2016). Proplatelet formation is selectively inhibited by collagen type I through
Syk-independent GPVI signaling. *Journal of Cell Science*, *129*(18), 3473-3484.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.187971

Stegner, D., vanEeuwijk, J. M. M., Angay, O., Gorelashvili, M. G., Semeniak, D., Pinnecker, J.,
Schmithausen, P., Meyer, I., Friedrich, M., Dütting, S., Brede, C., Beilhack, A., Schulze, H.,
Nieswandt, B., & Heinze, K. G. (2017). Thrombopoiesis is spatially regulated by the bone
marrow vasculature. *Nature Communications*, *8*(1), 127. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
017-00201-7

Stone, A. P., Nascimento, T. F., & Barrachina, M. N. (2022). The bone marrow niche from the inside
out : How megakaryocytes are shaped by and shape hematopoiesis. *Blood*, *139*(4), 483-491.
https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2021012827

Susek, K. H., Korpos, E., Huppert, J., Wu, C., Savelyeva, I., Rosenbauer, F., Müller-Tidow, C.,
Koschmieder, S., & Sorokin, L. (2018). Bone marrow laminins influence hematopoietic stem
and progenitor cell cycling and homing to the bone marrow. *Matrix Biology*, *67*, 47-62.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matbio.2018.01.007

Villeneuve, J., Block, A., Le Bousse-Kerdilès, M.-C., Lepreux, S., Nurden, P., Ripoche, J., & Nurden, A. T.
(2009). Tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases in platelets and megakaryocytes : A
novel organization for these secreted proteins. *Experimental Hematology*, *37*(7), 849-856.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2009.03.009

Vögtle, T., Sharma, S., Mori, J., Nagy, Z., Semeniak, D., Scandola, C., Geer, M. J., Smith, C. W., Lane, J.,
Pollack, S., Lassila, R., Jouppila, A., Barr, A. J., Ogg, D. J., Howard, T. D., McMiken, H. J.,
Warwicker, J., Geh, C., Rowlinson, R., … Senis, Y. A. (2019). Heparan sulfates are critical
regulators of the inhibitory megakaryocyte-platelet receptor G6b-B. *eLife*, *8*, e46840.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.46840

Voisin, M.-B., Pröbstl, D., & Nourshargh, S. (2010). Venular Basement Membranes Ubiquitously
Express Matrix Protein Low-Expression Regions : Characterization in Multiple Tissues and
Remodeling during Inflammation. *The American Journal of Pathology*, *176*(1), 482-495.
https://doi.org/10.2353/ajpath.2010.090510

Wang, M., Feng, R., Zhang, J., Xu, L., Feng, F., Wang, C., Wang, Q., Zhu, X., He, Y., Xue, J., Fu, H., Lv,
M., Kong, Y., Chang, Y., Xu, L., Liu, K., Huang, X., & Zhang, X. (2019). Dysregulated
megakaryocyte distribution associated with nestin+ mesenchymal stem cells in immune
thrombocytopenia. *Blood Advances*, *3*(9), 1416-1428.
https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2018026690

Winer, A., Adams, S., & Mignatti, P. (2018). Matrix Metalloproteinase Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy :
Turning Past Failures Into Future Successes. *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics*, *17*(6),
1147-1155. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0646

Yang, X., Chitalia, S. V., Matsuura, S., & Ravid, K. (2022). Integrins and their role in megakaryocyte
development and function. *Experimental Hematology*, *106*, 31-39.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2021.11.007

Zeng, D. F., Chen, F., Wang, S., Chen, S. L., Xu, Y., Shen, M. Q., Du, C. H., Wang, C., Kong, P. Y., Cheng,
T. M., Su, Y. P., & Wang, J. P. (2018). Autoantibody against integrin αvβ3 contributes to
thrombocytopenia by blocking the migration and adhesion of megakaryocytes. *Journal of
Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, *16*(9), 1843-1856. https://doi.org/10.1111/jth.14214

LamininY1 and collagen IV form 3D cages of ECM for megakaryocytes, directly connected to the sinusoidal basement membrane

(A) <u>Left panel</u>. Schematic representation of the experimental workflow for 2D imaging of immunostained bone marrow cryosections from WT mice. Confocal imaging is performed on single ultrathin sections with an axial resolution of 250 µm. <u>Right panel.</u> Representative 2D images of a sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for laminin ϒ1 (red), GPIbβ (white) and FABP4 (cyan). Cell nuclei are visualized with DAPI (blue) (from one out of three independent IF experiments).
(B) <u>Left panel</u>. Schematic representation of the experimental workflow for 3D analysis of whole-mount bone marrow preparations from WT mice. A stack of confocal images covering half the depth of the megakaryocyte is acquired and then z-projected to create a maximum projection image. <u>Right panel</u>. Representative maximal projection images of sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for laminin ϒ1 (red), GPIbβ (white) and FABP4 (cyan) (from one out of three independent IF experiments).
(C) Representative maximal projection images of sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for collagen IV (green) and GPIbβ (white). The inset image shows the FABP4 (cyan) and megakaryocyte (white) immunostaining.
(D) Bone marrow-isolated megakaryocyte maintaining an ECM cage. <u>Left panel</u>. Schematic of the experimental procedure used to isolate mouse bone marrow megakaryocytes. <u>Right panel</u>. Maximal projection 3D images showing the persistence of the ECM cage (collagen IV in green) around a freshly isolated megakaryocyte (GPIbβ in white).

*, sinusoid lumen ; arrowheads, basement membrane-cage connections; BM, bone marrow; bm, basement membrane ; MK, megakaryocyte; Bars, 10 µm**.**

Figure 2

Reduced laminin Υ1 cage and megakaryocyte-sinusoid interactions in *Lamα4^-/-* mouse bone marrow.

(A-B) Depletion of laminin α4 leads to a reduction in the laminin Υ1 deposition, but not in collagen IV, in the cage around megakaryocytes and in the sinusoid basement membrane.

A. Representative maximal projection images showing the immunostaining of laminin γ1 (red) or collagen IV (green) in the *Lamα4^-/-* compared to control mice. Two magnifications are shown for *Lamα4^-/-* mouse.

B. Quantification of laminin γ1 and collagen IV surface coverage per megakaryocyte and per basement membrane surface (laminin: 6<cage<17 and 15<bm<17; collagen IV: 6<cage<16 and 11<bm<21 expressed as a percentage, ****P>0.001 unpaired t-Test).

(C-E) Depletion of laminin α4 leads to a decrease in the sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes.

C. Representative maximal projections showing the immunostaining of laminin γ1 (red) and megakaryocytes (GPIbβ in white) in the bone marrow of *Lamα4^-/-* and control mice.

D. Quantification of the total number of megakaryocytes per surface unit (s.u., 12,945 μm², n=3 for each genotype, 87<n<92, P=0.9228, ns, Mann-Whitney test).

E. Quantification of the sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes (n=3, ****P>0.001 unpaired t-Test) in control (grey) and *Lamα4^-/-* (dark) mice. Arrows point to megakaryocytes which are not associated with sinusoids (pMK).

bm, basement membrane; MK, megakaryocyte; pMK, MK in the parenchyma; Bar, 10 μm**.**

Integrin-mediated control of the 3D ECM cage around megakaryocytes

(A) Representative 2D images of *Pf4cre* bone marrow cryosections (250 nm) showing a sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for β1 integrin (MAB1997 in yellow). Right: the boxed area is shown at a higher magnification.

(B) Activated β1 integrins form functional adhesion structures around megakaryocyte surfaces. Representative 2D images of *Pf4cre* bone marrow cryosections showing laminin (red, upper panels) or collagen IV (green, lower panels) staining around a sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for activated β1 integrin (9EG7 in cyan) and GPIbβ (white). Right: Magnification of the boxed area showing co-localization of the ECM proteins and activated β1 integrin.

(C) Depletion of β1 and β3 integrins leads to a reduction in the laminin deposition on the surface of megakaryocytes. Representative 3D images showing a decrease in laminin deposition (red) on *Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻* megakaryocytes compared to *Pf4cre.*

(D) Quantification of laminin surface coverage per megakaryocyte (in %, 17<n<19 as indicated in the bars, ***P>0.001 unpaired t-Test), (Middle) expression profile of the laminin staining along straight-lines (25 μm long) visible as white lines in the confocal images, and (Right) quantification of mesh sizes (in μm, 14<n<16, **P<0.01 Mann-Whitney).

*, sinusoid lumen; MFI, mean fluorescence intensity; MK, megakaryocyte; pm, plasma membrane; Bars, 10 μm.

Integrins protects megakaryocytes from entering the bloodstream as whole cells

(A-C)) Higher proportion of intravasation events in mice lacking β1/β3 integrins.

   A. Representative confocal images of *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* whole-mount bone marrow immunostained for GPIbβ (white) and FABP4 (cyan).

   B. Quantification of megakaryocyte intravasation and circulating megakaryocytes (3 mice minimum for each genotype, 213<n<397 for Pf4cre and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* , ** P<0.001 Mann Whitney).

   C. Quantification of the laminin γ1 deposition in the ECM cage in single knockout integrins and in GPVI knockout**.** Quantification of the intravasation events in single knock-out mice showing that both integrins are essential for the proper anchoring of megakaryocytes in their vascular niche.

(D -E) Intravital two-photon imaging of *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mouse calvarial bone marrow

   D. Tissues were stained with intravenously injected AF488-conjugated anti-GPIX antibody and rhodamin dextran**.** The white arrow indicates an intrasinusoidal *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocyte, dotted lines illustrate the sinusoid wall and the values in the left corner show the time-lapses.

   E. Quantification of circulating megakaryocytes, expressed as a percentage of the total number of megakaryocyte (from 3 independent experiments, 130<n<136, 0.0279 *P<0.1, Paired t-test).

(F-G) Large megakaryocyte nuclei detected in the pulmonary capillaries of *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mice

   F. Representative confocal images showing megakaryocytes' nucleus (arrow, GPIbβ green, DAPI nucleus) within the pulmonary microvessels of *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* mice**.** Cyan dotted lines indicate the vessel wall.

   G. Quantification of the intravascular megakaryocytes (from 5 independent experiments, 28<n<149, 0.0079 **P<0.01, Mann-Whitney).

(H) Two TEM image showing intravascular entire *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocyte.

*, sinusoid wall; FG, fibrinogen; FN, fibronectin; FG, fibrinogen; n, nucleus; sMK, sinusoid-associated MK; pMK, MK in the parenchyma; PPT, proplatelets; Bars in A-G, 10 µm; Bar in E, 5 µm; Bar in G, 30µm.

A *Pf4cre* *Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻*

B
Round MK
Spread MK

MK (%)

Laminin   FN   FG

Pf4cre   Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻

C
Before flow   Application of the flow   After flow

Pf4cre
MK

Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻
MK

D
MK adhesion (%)

Laminin   FN   FG
***   **

Pf4cre   Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻

E
3h

MK

F
3h   6h

MKs released
*

Pf4cre   Itgb1⁻/⁻/Itgb3⁻/⁻

Integrins promote megakaryocyte adhesion to the ECM components of the bone marrow

(A-B) Impaired adhesion and spreading of *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocytes.
    A. Representative SEM images depicting bone marrow-derived megakaryocytes adhering on laminin.
    B. Spreading (hatched bars) and round (grey bars) megakaryocytes were counted following 3h incubation on laminin, fibronectin (FN), and fibrinogen (FG) (in %) (from 4-6 independent experiments).
(C-D) Microfluidic flow chamber to study megakaryocyte adhesion efficiency.
    C. Representatives bright field images showing that upon flow application, *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocytes detach from fibrillary fibronectin protein, while *Pf4Cre* MKs remain attached.
    D. Quantification of the detachment of *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocytes on laminin, fibrillar fibronectin and fibrinogen (from 5 to 7 independent experiments ,**P<0.01, ***P<0,001, Mann-Whitney).
(E-F) Reduced physical anchoring of *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocytes to bone marrow.
    E. Representatives bright field images of the ten femur bone marrow sections placed in an incubation chamber (left panel), of the box (center panel) and of the megakaryocytes released from the periphery of the explants (right panel).
    F. Quantification of the number of *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$* megakaryocytes released from the explants following 3h (from 10 to 13 independent experiments, 594<n<1095 for Pf4cre and *Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$*, *P<0.05, unpaired t-test).

dotted lines, MK detachment; MK, megakaryocytes, FN, fibronectin; FG, fibrinogen; n, number of cells studied; Bars in A, 10 μm; Bars in B, 20 μm; Bars in B, 30 μm.

Maturation of the 3D ECM cage is correlated with maturation of the DMS in megakaryocytes

(A-B) MMP inhibition leads to a densification of the 3D ECM cage.

   A. Representative 3D confocal images showing a significant increase in collagen IV deposition (green) on the megakaryocyte surface in treated mice treated with the intravenous cocktail of protease inhibitors (B + I).

   B. (Left) Quantification of collagen IV fluorescence showed a shortening of collagen IV fibers in treated mice compared to that in control mice (from 3-5 independent experiments, 20<n<22 as indicated in the bars, ****P<0;001, Mann-Whitney), (Middle) Histograms of fluorescence intensity versus distance showed an increase in cross-linking with a reduction in pore size (white lines of 25µm length are visible in the confocal images), (Right) Reduction in mesh sizes in treated mice (from 3 independent experiments, 7<n<12, ***P>0.001, t-test).

(C-D) MMP inhibition affects megakaryocyte growth.

   C. Representative confocal images from DMSO *vs* B+I treated mice immunostained for GPIbβ (white) and FABP4 (cyan), zoomed-in images showing the difference in megakaryocyte size between the two groups (arrows).

   D. Quantification of the number of megakaryocytes per bone marrow area (194 x 194 µm) (from 3 independent experiments, 229<n<483 as indicated in the bars, ****P<0.0001, Mann-Whitney).

(E-F) MMP inhibition leads to an increased in the number of immature megakaryocytes.

   E. TEM observation revealed the presence of numerous immature megakaryocytes (stage II) in treated mice as compared to fully mature megakaryocytes in control mice (stage III).

   F. Quantification of the total number of megakaryocytes (145<n<169 as indicated in bars, **P<0.01, Mann-Whitney) and in the proportion of immature megakaryocytes (stage II) in the B + I group (from 3 independent experiments, **P < 0.05, ***P < 0.01, one way ANOVA with Tukey correction).

(G-H) MMP inhibition reduced release of megakaryocytes from the bone marrow explant.

   G. Representatives bright field images of the megakaryocytes (arrows) released from the periphery of the control and treated explants.

   H. Quantification of the number of megakaryocytes released following 3h and 6h (from 6 independent experiments, 369<n<783 for DMSO and B+I, *P<0.05, unpaired t-test).

B + I, batimastat + Ilomastat; sMK, sinusoid-associated MK; pMK, MK in the parenchyma; n, number of cells studied; Bars in A and C, 10 µm; Bars in E, 5 µm; Bar in G, 50 µm.

Homeostasis	Integrins β1/β3 depletion	Inhibition of ECM degradation

 |

 |

 |
| ECM cage stabilizes the MK in the vascular niche | Weaker ECM cage increases MK intravasation | Denser ECM cage inhibits MK maturation |

Figure 7

Integrin-mediated signaling and MMP proteolysis regulate the matrix remodeling and the adhesive properties of the 3D ECM cage, which control megakaryocyte maturation and intravasation at the bone marrow-blood interface.

Molecular cartography of the ECM around megakaryocytes.

(A) Immunofluorescence 2D analysis of ECM proteins. Collagen IV (a and g), laminin γ1 (h), fibronectin (b), fibrinogen (c), von Willebrand factor (d), and collagen I /III (e-f) around sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes. Bone marrow immunostained for GPIbβ(white), FABP4 (cyan), and DAPI (blue).

(B) Positive immunostaining of small stromal cells near the bone with the antibody against collagen III.

(C) Positive immunostaining of the bone and arterioles using two antibody against collagen I (AB765P and ab21286, respectively). Note that the megakaryocytes are not immunostained.

(D-E) Direct connections between the basement membrane and the ECM cage

  D. Maximal projection showing the interface between the basement membrane (red), the sinusoid (blue) and megakaryocyte (white). The next image is a 3D reconstruction of this area.

  E. Maximum projection of 3D laminin Υ1 fibers linked to the basement membrane. The arrowheads indicate the connextion between the basement membrane and the cage.

(F-H) The spatial distribution of the ECM cage is highly restrictive to the sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes

  F. Maximal projection of a large field of the 3D laminin Υ1 organization. Megakaryocytes in contact with sinusoids (sMK) have a cage, while megakaryocytes in the parenchyma (pMK) were surrounded by sparse interstitial laminin Υ1. Bone marrow immunostained for GPIbβ(white) and laminin Υ1 (red).

  G. Quantification of the number of megakaryocytes displaying the typical 3D cage as a function of cell association with sinusoids**.** Megakaryocytes with an ECM cage (grey bars) and without a cage (hatched bars) were counted (three complete 3D stacks from independent mice, 126 megakaryocytes counted in total as indicated in the bars, **P<0.01 Mann-Whitney).

  H. Quantification of the pMK relative to the total MK population. Megakaryocytes in the stroma and in contact with sinusoids were counted on three complete 3D stacks from independent mice, ***P<0.0001, unpaired t-test). OK

(I-J) Correlation with the maturation stages of megakaryocytes.

  I. Maximal projections of megakaryocytes are shown. Bone marrow immunostained for GPIbβ(white) and laminin Υ1 (red).

  J. Quantification of laminin γ1 immunostaining on megakaryocytes. Quantification per cell shows the presence of a 3D ECM cage at all stages of maturation (2-3 independent experiments, 14<n<22 as indicated in the bars, *P<0,05 Mann-Whitney). Quantification on megakaryocytes extending proplatelets and residual megakaryocytes was not possible due to sample size limitations.

(K) Distribution of fibronectin and fibrinogen around sinusoid-associated megakaryocytes. Maximal projection 3D images showing the absence of ECM connections with the basement membrane for fibronectin (magenta) and fibrinogen (yellow). Sinusoid endothelial cells were visualized with anti-FABP4 (cyan) and megakaryocytes were identified with anti-GPIbβ (white).

Arrowheads, peri-MK staining; Arrow, staining in adjacent small cells in (Ag-h); bm, basement membrane; MK, megakaryocyte; DMS, demarcation membrane system; PPT, proplatelet; *, vascular lumen; Bar, 10 µm**.**

Supplementary Figure 2

Characterization of Lamα4$^{-/-}$ platelets and megakaryocytes

(A-C) Lamα4$^{-/-}$ platelet morphology and functions.
  A. Normal size, discoid shape and ultrastructure of Lamα4$^{-/-}$ platelets. Bar: 0.5 μm.
  B. Gating strategy for platelet function analysis. Flow cytometry was used to analyse the functions of Lamα4-/- platelets. Following activation with collagen-related peptide (CRP)(40 μg/ml) or CRP + TRAP (4 mM), the expression of P-selectin (CD62P, a marker of α-granule secretion) and P-selectin (JON-A PE, a marker of integrin αIIbβ3 activation) was analyzed.
  C. Quantification of the percentage of positive platelets, Bars are the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) of 3 to 6 independent experiments. The results were statistically compared to those of control platelets (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001).
 (D-E) Megakaryopoiesis in Lamα4$^{-/-}$ mice.
  D. Quantification of the bone marrow megakaryocytes observed by electron microscopy, per surface unit (s.u., 12,945 μm^2). ns, p=0,7177 unpaired t test.
  E. Representative TEM images of Lamα4$^{+/+}$ and Lamα4$^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes. Bars, 5 μm.
 (F-G) Ex vivo capacity of of Lamα4$^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes to form proplatelets.
  F. Representative image showing proplatelets forming-Lamα4$^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes in fresh bone marrow explants. Arrowhead pointes to proplatelets. Scale Bar, 100 μm.
  G. Quantification of the percentage of Lamα4$^{+/+}$ and Lamα4$^{-/-}$ megakaryocytes extending proplatelets following 3h and 6h h. Bars represent the mean ± SEM of three independent experiments **(**107<n<113 for Lamα4$^{+/+}$ and Lamα4$^{-/-}$, ns p>0.1, unpaired t-test).

α, alpha granules, δ, dense granules, PT, platelet territories, bm, basement membrane, MK, megakaryocyte*, sinusoid lumen. Bars, 10 μm.

Supplementary Figure 3

Integrins control the structural properties of the ECM cages around megakaryocytes

(A) Representative 2D images of sinusoid-associated megakaryocyte immunostained for β3 integrin (LucA5 in yellow). Right panel: the boxed area is shown at a higher magnification.

(B) Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes did not display enhanced degradation of gelatin matrix. Freshly isolated megakaryocytes from Pf4cre or Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} bone marrow were deposited on a fluorescent gelatin matrix (green) for 3h and labeled with phalloidin (adhesive structures in red). ECM degradation was virtually absent in Pf4cre and Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes. Tumoral 4T1 cells, which trigger large dark digested areas (arrow), were used as a positive control.

(C-D) Laminin is present in similar amounts in Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} and Pf4cre megakaryocytes.

  C. Maximal projection 3D images illustrating the presence or not of granules containing laminin (in red) and fibrinogen (in yellow, as negative control) in megakaryocytes (in white) from freshly isolated Pf4cre and Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes. The dotted lines delineated megakaryocytes.

  D. Quantification of the number of granules per megakaryocyte (5<MK<6, ****P>0.001, t-test).

(E-F) Normal laminin deposition in the sinusoid basement membrane in Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}

E. Representative 3D images of basement membrane immunostained for laminin ϒ1 (red) (from one out of three independent IF experiments).

  F. Quantification of fluorescence intensity of laminin per basement membrane surface (expressed as a percentage).

(G-H) Fibronectin and fibrinogen failed to form a ECM cage around Pf4cre and Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes

  G. Decrease in the expression of fibrillar fibronectin around Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes.

  H. Mislocalization of fibrinogen in Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes.

<u>Top panels.</u> 2D immunofluorescence of Pf4cre and Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} bone marrow cryosections using anti-fibronectin (magenta) and anti-fibrinogen (green) antibodies.

<u>Lower panels</u> ImmunogoldEM images showing the fibronectin and fibrinogen stainings with 10 nm gold particles. Without integrins, megakaryocytes are unable to effectively remodel fibronectin into fibers. Fibrinogen staining is located in the α granules of Pf4cre megakaryocytes and retained in the extracellular DMS space of Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} megakaryocytes.

α, alpha granules; arrowheads, peri-MK staining; bm, basement membrane; MK, megakaryocyte; pm, plasma membrane; *, sinusoid lumen; Bar, 10 μm**.**

Supplemental Figure 4

Characterization of *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* platelets and megakaryocytes

(A) *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* **platelet functional properties assessed by flow cytometry.**
The gating strategy was similar to that in Supplemental Figure 2B. Bar graphs showing that activated Itgb1$^{-/-}$/Itgb3$^{-/-}$ platelets expressed P-selectin but not JON-A PE. This confirmed their deficiency in integrin β3 (n=3 mice per genotype).

(B-E) Megakaryocyte density, maturation stages and ploidy were normal in *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* bone marrow
  B. Quantification of the total number of megakaryocyte, observed by electron microscopy (from 6-7 independent experiments, 120<n<153 as indicated in the bars).
  C. Representative TEM images of stage III megakaryocytes. (i) The DMS is well-defined with the presence of cytoplasmic territories in control mice. (ii) In *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* mice, the DMS accumulates in packets without marking territories (yellow arrow). Bars: 5 µm.
  D. Classification of the megakaryocytes according to their maturation stage (from 3-5 independent experiments, 99<n<544).
  E. Representative ploidy histograms of *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* megakaryocyte (one out of three independent experiments).

(F) Intact megakaryocytes were undetectable in the blood of Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-} mice.
Gating strategy for megakaryocyte identification: CD45+TER119- cells were selected from events with high FSC and SSC parameter (excluding platelets), CD41bright and CD42c bright events are megakaryocytes. The gate positions were determined based on FMO controls and biological positive controls (mouse bone marrow MK enriched cell suspension and whole blood supplemented with MK enriched cell population) (data not shown). Representative plots from 3 separate acquisitions on *Pf4cre* and *Itgb1^{-/-}/Itgb3^{-/-}* mice.

A

B

Supplementary Figure 5

Proteolysis inhibition do not affect platelet count and *in vitro* megakaryocyte maturation.

(A) Experimental setup. A combination of batimastat (30 mg/kg) and ilomastat (20 mg/kg) (B + I) or vehicle (DMSO) was injected daily for 7 days.

(B-C) *In vivo* **MMP inhibition is not impact platelet count and function.**

B. Platelet counts were similar in both groups.

C. Flow cytometry shows normal P-selectin and JON-A PE expression following activation with collagen-related peptide (CRP)(40 µg/ml) or CRP + TRAP (4 mM). Bars graphs represent the percentage of positive platelets, mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The results were statistically compared to those of control platelets (ns, multiple unpaired t tests).

(D) *In vitro* studies demonstrate that batimastat and ilomastat have no significant direct effect on cultured megakaryocytes. This is evident from their lack of impact on : 1) proliferation (as expressed by the number of megakaryocytes (MKs) per unit), 2) maturation (as expressed by MK size in µm); 3) the ability to extend proplatelets (as expressed by the percentage of MKs that extend proplatelets). Bar graphs illustrate the impact of two MMP inhibitor doses on days 3 and 4 of the culture process, displaying the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM).

(E) Representative 2D images of bone marrow cryosections demonstrating an increase staining of activated β1 integrin (9EG7 in green) around megakaryocytes in bone marrow treated with B + I in comparison to that treated with DMSO.

*, lumen of the sinusoid; D, day; MK, megakaryocyte; Bars: 10 µm.

A. Pre-processing Workflow

(1) BM sectioning (250 μm)

(2) IF stainings

(3) z-stack for semi-MK (LAS X)

(4) Max. projection semi-MK (LAS X)

(5) Binarization (Image J)

(6) Threshold selection to reduce noise (Image J)

Step 2-4. Max. Z-stack projection around semi-MK

Original image

Step 5-6. Binarize

B. Features extraction

ECM coverage

(1-6) Pre-processing workflow

(7) Defining the MK ROI (Image J)

(8) ROI transfert (Image J)

(9) Tools ROI Manager : Measure

(10) Area quantification (%)

(11) Statistics
- IF experiments (n=3)
- 14 < total MK < 22

Step 7. define ROI

MK delimitation

Step 8. ROI transfert

Step 10. Area quantification

	Area	%Area
1	4946.386	14.665

Fiber Length

(1-6) Pre-processing workflow

(7) Random length measuring
- Distance between 2 intersections points

x μm

A ●————————● B

(8) Statistics
- 10 fibers/mature MK (stage 3)
- IF experiment (n=3)
- 20 < Total MK analyzed < 22

Step 7. Random length

Straight line selections (right click for other types)

Step 8. Statistics

5.636

Stage III

Pore Size

(1-4) Pre-processing workflow

(5) ROI : line half MK

(6) Plot profile generation

(7) Normalize data (Prism ....)
- 0%: Min value
- 100%: Max value

(8) Calculate diameter
- x position is defined by the highest peak value
- $x_{n+1} - x_n = diameter$ (μm)

(9) Statistics
- DMSO vs B+I: 7<MK<12
- PF4Cre vs Itgb1/b3: 7<MK<12

Step 5. ROI

Step 6. Plot profile

Step 7. Normalize data

FMI %

35 μm

Step 8. Calculate diameter

	position (μm)		diameter (μm)
x1	1,68	d1	2,5
x2	4,18	d2	10,38
x3	14,56	d3	5,63
x4	20,19	d4	5,47
x5	25,66		

Step 9. Distribution

Length (μm)

Exemple

Supplementary Figure 7.
Image data flow graph

Antigen	Origin and isotype	Reference and supplier	Cryosections	Whole-mount
Primary antibody				
Collagen I	Rabbit polyclonal	Chemicon (Merck) ab765p	5µg/ml	
Collagen III		Invitrogen PA5-34787	10µg/ml	
Collagen IV		Chemicon (Merck), ab756p	5µg/ml	
FABP4	Goat polyclonal	R&D Systems AF1443	0.5µg/ml	
Fibrinogen	Rabbit polyclonal	Dako (Glostrup, Denmark), A0080	10µg/ml	
Fibronectin		ab2413 (Abcam ; Paris, France)	10µg/ml	
GPIbβ	Rat monoclonal	In house (Strasbourg, France)	1/300	1/300
Activated β1 integrin (9EG7)		553715 (BD Pharmingen)	10µg/ml	
Pan-laminin	Rabbit polyclonal	L9393 (Sigma-Aldrich)	10µg/ml	
Von Willebrand Factor		Dako, A0082	10µg/ml	
β1 integrin (MB1.2)	Rat monoclonal	Merck, MAB1997	10µg/ml	
LucA5	Rat IgG2A monoclonal	Emfret analytics, LucA5	10µg/ml	
Secondary antibody				
AF488-anti-rabbit immunoglobulin	Donkey	A21206 (Invitrogen)	1/150	
AF488-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin	Goat	A11070 (Invitrogen)		
AF647-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin	Goat	A21245 (Invitrogen)		
AF647-conjugated anti-rat immunoglobulin	Goat	A21247 (Invitrogen)		
AF555-conjugated anti-goat immunoglobulin	Donkey	A21432 (Invitrogen)		
AF647-conjugated anti-pan-laminin	Rabbit	In house	25µg/ml	
AF555-conjugated anti-collagen IV	Rabbit	In house	30µg/ml	
AF647-conjugated anti-GPIX antibody	Rat	nXiaB4 Emfret		

Table 1

Antigen	Origin and isotype	Reference and supplier	Fluorophor	Flow cytometry	Whole-mount
Conjugated antibody					
JONA Activated integrin αIIbβ3	Rat IgG2b	Emfret analytics M023-2	PE	Dilution of 1/5	
P-selectin (CD62P)	Mouse IgG2a, κ	BioLegend 148303	APC	1 µg/mL	
CD45 (clone 30-F11)	Rat IgG2b, κ	eBiosciences 12-0451-82	PE-A	1 µg/mL	
TER119	Rat / IgG2b, κ	eBiosciences 17-5921-82	APC-A	1 µg/mL	
GPIbβ	Rat monoclonal	In house (Strasbourg, France)	A647, A568, A488	1 µg/mL	
Hoechst-33342		Invitrogen H3570			10 µg/mL
Integrin αIIb (CD41 – clone MWReg30)	Rat IgG1 κ	eBiosciences 25-0411-82	PE-Cy7	1 µg/mL	

Table 2