The Spc105[Knl1]/Kre28[Zwint] complex promotes mitotic error correction by outer kinetochore recruitment of the Ipl1[Aurora B] kinase

Alexander Dudziak[1], Jasmin Schmidt[1], Frederik Hamm[1], Sharvari Tendulkar[1], Karolin Jänen[1], Ingrid R. Vetter[2], Sylvia Singh[3], Josef Fischböck[3], Franz Herzog[3,4] and Stefan Westermann[1]

[1]Department of Molecular Genetics I, Faculty of Biology, Center of Medical Biotechnology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstrasse 5, 45117 Essen, Germany

[2]Department of Mechanistic Cell Biology, Max-Planck-Institute of Molecular Physiology, Otto-Hahn-Straße 11, 44227 Dortmund, Germany

[3]Gene Center Munich and Department of Biochemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Feodor-Lynen-Str. 25, 81377 Munich, Germany

[4]Institute Krems Bioanalytics, IMC University of Applied Sciences, Krems, Piaristengasse 1, A-3500 Krems, Austria

[#]correspondence to: Stefan.Westermann@uni-due.de

Characters: 42,871 (no spaces, excluding Materials/Methods and References)

Keywords: chromosome biorientation, error correction, Aurora B, chromosomal passenger complex

Subject Categories: Cell Cycle, Biochemistry, Genetics

Working title: Outer kinetochore receptor of Ipl1[Aurora B] during error correction

Abstract

Kinetochores link chromosomes to dynamic microtubules of the mitotic spindle. To ensure equal chromosome segregation, sister chromatids must attach to microtubules from opposing spindle poles, a configuration referred to as biorientation. To avoid chromosome missegregation the conserved kinase Aurora B phosphorylates outer kinetochore proteins on attachments lacking tension, allowing re-establishment of new connections until biorientation is achieved. While Aurora B localizes to the centromere and inner kinetochore as part of the chromosomal passenger complex (CPC), the underlying recruitment pathways can be eliminated without fully disrupting biorientation. It therefore remains unclear how the kinase operates during error correction and whether additional mechanisms may facilitate tension-dependent phosphorylation of substrate proteins.

In this study, we identify the conserved KMN subcomplex Spc105^{Knl1}/Kre28^{Zwint} as an outer kinetochore receptor of the Aurora kinase Ipl1 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. We show that mutations in the helical bundle domain of Spc105/Kre28 cause defective mitotic error correction, closely resembling the effects of *ipl1* or *sli15* mutants. In biochemical experiments we show that Ipl1/Sli15 directly associates with the KMN network via the Spc105/Kre28 subcomplex and that binding is inhibited by Ipl1 autophosphorylation. The phenotype of the *kre28* mutant cells can be efficiently suppressed by artificial recruitment of Ipl1, demonstrating the importance of Ipl1 docking to the outer kinetochore. These results have important implications for the mechanism of tension-dependent error correction during chromosome biorientation.

Introduction

Faithful chromosome segregation during mitosis depends on the physical interaction between highly dynamic microtubules of the mitotic spindle and kinetochores which assemble on centromeric DNA of each sister chromatid. Kinetochores are megadalton-sized proteinaceous structures consisting of multiple subcomplexes which fulfill different functions and assemble in a hierarchical manner. The constitutive centromere-associated network (CCAN) is the main constituent of the inner kinetochore[1-3] which is recruited to centromeres through the interaction of the Mif2[CENP-C] subunit with centromeric nucleosomes[4,5]. The CCAN consecutively recruits subcomplexes of the outer kinetochore generally termed as KMN network which consists of the Spc105[Knl1]/Kre28[Zwint] complex, Mtw1 complex (Mtw1, Dsn1, Nnf1, Nsl1) and Ndc80 complex (Ndc80, Nuf2, Spc24, Spc25)[6,7]. In this context, the Mtw1 complex serves as scaffold which connects the other KMN subcomplexes with the inner kinetochore by direct association with Mif2[CENP-C] and Ame1[CENP-U] [8-12]. The highly elongated Ndc80 complex is the main microtubule receptor of the kinetochore which binds to the mitotic spindle through the calponin homology (CH) domains at the N-termini of the Ndc80 and Nuf2 subunits[13-15]. The Ndc80/Nuf2 head also recruits the checkpoint kinase Mps1 to the kinetochore[16-18]. The RWD domains of the Spc24 and Spc25 subunits connect the Ndc80 complex with the Mtw1 complex[8,10,19]. Furthermore, the Mtw1 complex recruits Spc105[Knl1]/Kre28[Zwint] to the kinetochore, even though the molecular mechanisms differ between mammals and yeast[20-22]. It has been well established that Spc105[Knl1] plays a crucial role as a signaling platform for the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) after phosphorylation of MELT repeats by the conserved kinase Mps1[23-25]. Furthermore, Spc105[Knl1] is required for recruitment of different protein phosphatases which are involved in SAC silencing and modulation of kinetochore-microtubule attachments[26-28]. In contrast, relatively little is known about Kre28[Zwint] (also referred to as YDR532C according to its systematic name). Kre28 was identified as budding yeast homolog of the metazoan protein Zwint (Zeste White interacting protein) due its sequence similarity and, similar to Zwint, Kre28 forms a complex with Spc105[Knl1] [29]. In contrast to mammalian cells, where the tandem RWD domains of Knl1 directly associate with the Mtw1 complex[20], *S. cerevisiae* Kre28 itself binds to the Mtw1 complex and by this recruits Spc105[Knl1] to the kinetochore[21,22]. Both, the interaction with Spc105[Knl1] and with the Mtw1 complex is mediated by coiled-coil elements in the central region of Kre28[21,22]. Furthermore, the C-terminus of Kre28 (residues 299-386) forms an RWD domain whose function is unknown so far[30]. Kre28 is essential for viability of yeast cells[29]. However, it is unclear whether this is just a consequence of loss of Spc105[Knl1] from kinetochores or whether Kre28[Zwint] has additional essential functions. For instance, it was proposed that Spc105[Knl1]/Kre28[Zwint] might be required for kinetochore localization of different microtubule-associated proteins[29].

The conserved protein kinase Ipl1[Aurora B] is an essential part of the error correction machinery

which phosphorylates outer kinetochore substrates in order to release kinetochore-microtubule

attachments that lack tension[31]. Ipl1[Aurora B] acts in a complex with Sli15[INCENP], Bir1[Survivin] and

Nbl1[Borealin], together termed as chromosomal passenger complex (CPC). The N-terminal CEN

box of Sli15[INCENP] associates with Bir1[Survivin] and Nbl1[Borealin] [32] whereas its C-terminal IN box

binds to the kinase domain of Ipl1[Aurora B] [33]. The CPC is targeted to the inner and kinetochore-

proximal centromere by different mechanisms which depend on the haspin kinase, the Bub1

kinase and Sgo1/Sgo2[34]. However, different studies have demonstrated that inner and

kinetochore-proximal centromere localization of Ipl1[Aurora B] is dispensable for establishment of

biorientation. For instance, an N-terminal deletion of Sli15, preventing inner centromere

recruitment of the CPC through Bir1, still supports the formation of bioriented attachments[35],

suggesting that additional mechanisms can target the CPC to the kinetochore. Indeed, two

recent studies identified the inner kinetochore COMA complex as a Bir1-independent Ipl1/Sli15

receptor[36,37]. Furthermore, studies in human cells have also suggested the existence of an

additional pool of Aurora B at the kinetochore, which might be relevant for the phosphorylation

of outer kinetochore proteins such as components of the KMN network[38,39].

In this study, we provide a detailed molecular analysis of the KMN subunit Kre28[Zwint]. We

identified a conserved Zwint helix in Kre28 whose lack leads to fatal chromosome segregation

defects when cells are forced to reform their spindle apparatus after nocodazole washout.

Artificial recruitment of Ipl1 to the outer kinetochore is sufficient to suppress this phenotype.

Using protein biochemistry, we demonstrate that Spc105[Knl1]/Kre28[Zwint] can directly recruit

Ipl1[Aurora B]/Sli15[INCEP] *in vitro* and that this interaction is negatively regulated by Ipl1

autophosphorylation. Thus, we have identified Spc105/Kre28 as the elusive outer kinetochore

receptor of Ipl1 and we propose a model for how docking of the kinase may contribute to

tension-sensitive mitotic error correction.

Results

Characterization of novel mutant alleles in the outer kinetochore subunit Kre28[Zwint]

To gain insight into the organization of Spc105/Kre28 we performed AF2 structure predictions using the C-terminal part of Spc105 (omitting the disordered residues 1-489) and full-length Kre28 (**Figure 1 A, Figure 1 – Supplement 1**). The model shows that the Spc105/Kre28 heterodimer is formed via two interfaces: At the C-terminus of Kre28 a single RWD domain (residues 299-385) is paired with the N-RWD domain of Spc105. Together with short alpha-helical segments just preceding these RWD domains, this part resembles heterodimeric RWD domain arrangements found in other kinetochore subunits like Spc24/Spc25[40]. The N-terminal parts, on the other hand, engage into a helical bundle (**Figure 1 A**). This is in agreement with previous studies that have established that Kre28 associates with Spc105 mainly via coiled-coil segments formed by residues 127-201[22], while another segment (229-259) is required for binding to the Mtw1 complex[21]. Deletion of these segments is incompatible with KMN assembly and yields lethal phenotypes in yeast. Interestingly, multiple sequence alignments show that an alpha-helical segment preceding the first Kre28 coiled-coil domain (residues 77-113, hereafter referred to as the Zwint helix) is among the most conserved segments of the protein (**Figure 1 B**). By contrast, the C-terminus is not well conserved and human Zwint, for example, lacks an RWD domain[30].

To analyze the contribution of Zwint helix and RWD domain to Kre28 function in cells, we replaced the endogenous *KRE28* gene with Flag-tagged wildtype or mutant alleles in diploid cells (**Figure 1 C**). Tetrad dissection showed that neither *kre28[ΔZwint]* nor *kre28[ΔRWD]* alone impaired viability. However, haploid spores of the *kre28[ΔZwint ΔRWD]* double mutant were never recovered, demonstrating that simultaneous elimination of these segments is lethal (**Figure 1 C**). Western blotting confirmed that wildtype and mutant proteins were expressed at comparable levels (**Figure 1 D**). Interestingly, serial dilution assays of the haploid strains revealed that *kre28[ΔZwint]* mutants fully supported growth at 30 °C, but were inviable in the presence of the microtubule-depolymerizing drug benomyl and additionally displayed cold sensitivity (**Figure 1 E**). By contrast the deletion of the C-terminal RWD domain of Kre28 did not cause a growth phenotype. We found that not only the complete removal of the Zwint helix, but also selected smaller deletions or point mutations of conserved residues caused benomyl hypersensitivity. The most pronounced phenotypes were obtained for truncations eliminating Kre28 residues 103-113, as well as for point mutations in this region (**Figure 1 – Supplement 2**).

The *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant is defective in mitotic error correction of kinetochore-microtubule attachments

The pronounced benomyl hypersensitivity of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant may be caused by a defect in the spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) or a different problem with chromosome segregation. To distinguish between these possibilities, we analyzed Pds1^{Securin} levels in wildtype and *kre28^{ΔZwint}* strains after cells were released from a G1 arrest into medium containing the microtubule-depolymerizing drug nocodazole. Both, wildtype and *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant cells stabilized Pds1 levels, while a *mad1Δ* control strain failed to do so (**Figure 2 A**). Thus, *kre28^{ΔZwint}* cells have a functional SAC response to a lack of kinetochore-microtubule attachments. To define the genetic relationship between the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant and the mitotic checkpoint more closely, we deleted the *MAD1* gene in the *KRE28* wildtype or *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant background. Abolishing the SAC aggravated the growth defect of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant, an effect that was particularly apparent at temperatures of 25 °C and 16 °C (**Figure 2 B**). Thus, the benomyl hypersensitivity of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant is not caused by a general mitotic checkpoint defect, and indeed, the SAC is required to support viability of this mutant.

While *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant cells arrested efficiently in response to nocodazole, we found that they nevertheless very quickly lost viability when cells were plated from nocodazole-containing medium onto YEPD plates (**Figure 2 - Supplement**). To determine the reason for the rapid loss in viability we arrested wildtype and mutant cells with nocodazole, then washed out the drug to allow spindle reformation and chromosome attachment and followed the progression through the first cell division. Analysis of Pds1 degradation kinetics showed that *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant cells initiated anaphase – indicated by the decline in Pds1 levels - with the same timing as wildtype cells (**Figure 2 C**). The lack of a delay indicated that the SAC was not activated under these conditions. In the same experimental setup, we analyzed the distribution of fluorescently labelled chromosome XII (CEN12-GFP) following the nocodazole washout (**Figure 2 D**). Strikingly, *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant cells missegregated chromosome XII in nearly 70 % of all anaphases, while wildtype cells displayed an error rate of less than 5% (**Figure 2 E**). This high rate of missegregation can explain the pronounced benomyl hypersensitivity and the rapid loss of viability after exposure to nocodazole.

The combination of phenotypes displayed by the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant - a functional SAC in response to microtubule depolymerization, but pronounced chromosome missegregation without checkpoint activation following spindle reformation - is reminiscent of mutants in the tension-dependent error correction pathway, such as *ipl1*, *sli15* or *sgo1* [41,42]. In genetic crosses we found that the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant was highly sensitive to further perturbations of the error correction machinery: Its combination with the temperature-sensitive *ipl1-1* allele resulted in

extremely poorly growing spores (**Figure 2 F**) and its combination with *sli15-3* or *sgo1Δ* was

synthetic lethal. The genetic interactions of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* allele are summarized in **Figure 2 G**.

Deletion of the Kre28 Zwint helix is synthetically lethal or aggravates growth defects when the

function of the microtubule-binding Ndc80 complex (*ndc80-1*) and Dam1 complex (*dam1-1*,

dam1-9 and *duo1^{ΔSxIP}*) is compromised. Furthermore, either direct perturbation of the CPC

(*ipl1-1* and *sli15-3*) or affecting its centromere (*sgo1Δ*) or inner kinetochore recruitment

pathways (*ctf19^{ΔC-RWD}*) dramatically reduced cell growth in combination with the *kre28* mutant

allele.

The *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant reduces the level of Spc105/Kre28 at kinetochore clusters *in vivo*

To further define the molecular basis of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* phenotype, we analyzed outer

kinetochore composition in wildtype and mutant cells by live cell imaging. The fluorescence

intensity of the KMN components Nuf2-GFP (Ndc80 complex) and Mtw1-GFP (Mtw1 complex)

at metaphase and anaphase kinetochore clusters was unaffected by the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutation

(**Figure 3 A**). By contrast, we noticed a reduction of Spc105-GFP intensity at metaphase

kinetochores to about 70 % of the wildtype level and found that the apparent increase in cluster

intensity between metaphase and anaphase was reduced relative to the wildtype (**Figure 3 B**).

These differences were not caused by changes in protein levels, as judged by western blotting

(**Figure 3 – Supplement A**). Since we found that a C-terminal GFP fusion caused a reduced

protein level of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant (**Figure 3 – Supplement C and D**), we constructed and

analyzed N-terminal GFP-fusions of wildtype and mutant alleles. GFP-Kre28^{ΔZwint} was present

at near wildtype levels in western blots (**Figure 3 – Supplement B).** Similar to Spc105-GFP,

the GFP-*kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant displayed a moderate reduction in metaphase kinetochore cluster

intensity and a less pronounced increase in apparent anaphase cluster intensity (**Figure 3 B**).

We conclude that the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutation has a specific effect on the KMN network *in vivo*: It

reduces the localization of Spc105/Kre28 to kinetochores without affecting the recruitment of

Ndc80 and Mtw1 complexes.

Since Spc105 acts as a scaffold for the Mps1-dependent recruitment of Bub1/Bub3, we asked

if the Spc105 reduction would have any consequences on downstream signaling. We found

that Sgo1-GFP localization to kinetochores in prometaphase (which is dependent on Bub1)

was not affected by *kre28^{ΔZwint}*, suggesting that the modest reduction in Spc105 does not have

a pronounced effect on downstream signaling (**Figure 3 – Supplement E**). This is also

consistent with the observation that this mutant has a functional SAC.

Using recombinant expression of all ten KMN subunits in Sf9 cells, we found that affinity

purification via Spc105-Flag allowed the isolation of the entire yeast KMN network independent

of whether Kre28 was in wildtype or ΔZwint mutant form (**Figure 3 C**). Upon prolonged

incubation of recombinant KMN on beads, however, Mtw1c and Ndc80c subcomplexes

dissociated more easily from the Spc105/Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ mutant KMN network (**Figure 3 C and D,**

see also Figure 4 – Supplement 2 A). In line with the *in vivo* observations, these experiments

therefore indicate that the *kre28 $^{\Delta Zwint}$* mutation reduces the affinity between the Spc105/Kre28

and the Mtw1c/Ndc80c subcomplexes of the KMN network.

Ipl1/Sli15 directly binds the KMN network via the Spc105/Kre28 subcomplex

Since the *kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$* allele reduced the association of Spc105/Kre28 with kinetochores in cells

and phenotypically resembled mutants in the error correction pathway, we asked whether the

Ipl1/Sli15 complex may directly associate with the KMN network and specifically with

Spc105/Kre28. To this end we co-expressed the ten KMN subunits from two separate

baculoviruses in Sf9 insect cells and purified the network via a Flag tag at the C-terminus of

the Spc105 subunit. This purification strategy allowed the single-step isolation of a stable full-

length KMN network that co-eluted stoichiometrically during analytical size exclusion

chromatography (SEC) (**Figure 4 A**). We evaluated the organization of the KMN network by

Pt/C rotary shadowing electron microscopy. In electron micrographs the Ndc80 complex (N)

was readily identifiable as a 50-60 nm long rod and its distal end was decorated by one or two

appendages – formed by the KM complexes - which seemed to adopt a variety of different

conformations relative to each other (**Figure 4 B**).

We then incubated the recombinant KMN network immobilized on anti-Flag M2 beads with

bacterially expressed Ipl1/6xHis-Sli15 (**Figure 4 C**). Western blotting indicated that our

Ipl1/6xHis-Sli15 preparation contained two major forms of Sli15, both recognized by the anti-

His antibody. The faster migrating form is therefore a C-terminal truncation of Sli15. This

fragment can be purified away from full-length Sli15 and is unable to bind Ipl1 since it lacks the

IN box (**Figure 4 – Supplement 1 B**). We found that wildtype Kre28 and Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ KMN

networks, but not empty control beads, bound the Ipl1/Sli15 complex in the pull down

experiment. Interestingly, preferentially the full length Sli15 form was detected in the pull down

(**Figure 4 C**). Whereas Ipl1/Sli15 appeared to bind equally well to both wildtype and mutant

KMN networks, the association of Mtw1c/Ndc80c to Spc105/Kre28 was slightly reduced in the

Kre28 $^{\Delta Zwint}$ mutant, as discussed before (**see Figure 4 C**). Changing the pull down protocol to

prolonged incubation times, led to a more complete dissociation of Mtw1c/Ndc80c from

Spc105/Kre28, while Ipl1/Sli15 binding was largely preserved (**Figure 4 – Supplement 2 A**).

Kinase assays showed that Spc105, Ndc80 and Dsn1 were major KMN substrates of Ipl1, as

anticipated from previous work [43]. Interestingly, Ipl1 autophosphorylation - a readout for its

activity - appeared to be increased in the presence of the KMN network (**Figure 4 –**

Supplement 2 B and C).

We next compared the ability of Ipl1/Sli15 to bind to the different subcomplexes of the KMN

network by immobilizing Spc105-Flag/Kre28 (K subcomplex) or Mtw1c/Ndc80c-Flag (MN

subcomplexes) separately on anti-Flag M2 beads. Western blotting showed that Ipl1/Sli15

interacted exclusively with Spc105/Kre28, but not with Ndc80c/Mtw1c under these conditions

(**Figure 4 D**).

Our initial characterization showed that the Ipl1/Sli15 complex was autophosphorylated

following expression and purification from *E. coli* (**Figure 4 - Supplement 1 A**). We tested the

effect of Ipl1 autophosphorylation on the binding to immobilized Spc105/Kre28 by comparing

an untreated control with samples including either ATP (to promote phosphorylation) or

Lambda phosphatase (to eliminate phosphorylation). We found that inclusion of ATP strongly

reduced the association of Ipl1/Sli15 with Spc105/Kre28, while addition of Lambda

phosphatase promoted binding (**Figure 4 E**). Judged by protein band intensity, near

stoichiometric amounts of Ipl1 seemed to bind to immobilized Spc105/Kre28 in the untreated

or Lambda phosphatase-treated sample. Thus, we conclude that Ipl1/Sli15 binds recombinant

KMN *in vitro* via the Spc105/Kre28 complex and that this interaction can be counteracted by

Ipl1 autophosphorylation.

Unlike the checkpoint kinase Mps1, the Ipl1/Sli15 kinase complex is typically not recovered as

a stable component of kinetochore purifications from yeast extracts using Dsn1-Flag [44]. Using

a proximity-dependent *in vivo*-biotinylation approach with the TurboID Ligase [45] we found,

however, that Sli15-6xFlag could be detected in Streptavidin affinity-purifications from extracts

of strains that expressed Spc105-TurboID, similar to the constitutive Spc105 binding partner

Kre28 (**Figure 4 F**). By contrast, the nuclear kinesin-5 motor protein Cin8, implicated in the

regulation of kinetochores via Ndc80 [46,47], was not recovered with Spc105-TurboID, despite

similar input levels in extracts. This supports the idea that Spc105-Sli15 interactions also occur

in vivo, although possibly only transiently.

To characterize the KMN-Ipl1/Sli15 assembly in more detail, we conducted chemical

crosslinking with the homobifunctional amine-to-amine crosslinker BS3, followed by mass

spectrometry (XL-MS). The resulting Lys-Lys proximity map is in overall agreement with the

established KMN subunit topology (**Figure 5 A and B**). In particular it showed that the C-termini

of the subunits Mtw1, Dsn1 and Nsl1 are closely connected to each other and proximal to

Spc105/Kre28 and to the Spc24/Spc25 heterodimer of the Ndc80 complex[8,19,21]. The majority

of Sli15/Ipl1 crosslinks to the KMN network is found on the Spc105-Kre28 subcomplex (17

different crosslinks to Spc105/Kre28, 4 to Mtw1c and 4 to Ndc80c). In particular, the Spc105

segment 450-700, encompassing the helical bundle and the coiled-coil with Kre28, is found in

proximity to Sli15. Overall, these crosslinks are consistent with the binding experiments,

showing that Spc105/Kre28 is the main interaction partner of Ipl1/Sli15 in the outer kinetochore

KMN network.

Artificial recruitment of Ipl1 to Mtw1 suppresses the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* phenotype *in vivo*

If the *kre28 ^{ΔZwint}* mutant reduces the association of Spc105/Kre28 to kinetochores *in vivo* and an important role of this complex is to bind and position Ipl1/Sli15, then artificially increasing Ipl1 recruitment in the mutant may rescue the defect (**Figure 6 A**). We tested this hypothesis by constructing fusion proteins between the Mtw1 subunit (whose kinetochore level is unaffected by *kre28^{ΔZwint},* **Figure 3 A**) and different C-terminal segments of the Sli15 protein. The fusions were either prepared with wildtype Sli15 sequences or with two point mutations exchanging conserved amino acids in the IN box (W646G, F680A), which prevent Ipl1 binding[33]. The genes encoding the fusion proteins were integrated as extra copies at the *lys2* locus and expressed constitutively under control of the *MTW1* promoter (**Figure 6 B, Figure 6 - Supplement**).

Serial dilution assays showed that expression of neither fusion protein alone caused any growth defects in a Kre28^{WT} strain background. Interestingly, Mtw1-Sli15⁵⁶⁵⁻⁶⁹⁸ improved growth of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant in the presence of benomyl. Moreover, the longer Mtw1-Sli15⁵¹⁶⁻⁶⁹⁸ fusion, encompassing the IN box and the single alpha helix (SAH) domain, was even more effective in suppressing the benomyl hypersensitivity of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant. Importantly, fusion proteins with point mutations in the IN box had no beneficial effect on growth of *kre28^{ΔZwint}* strains in the presence of benomyl, demonstrating the importance of Ipl1 recruitment to the outer kinetochore.

We further analyzed the effect of the two Mtw1-Sli15 fusions on *kre28^{ΔZwint}* cells by scoring segregation of fluorescently labelled chromosome XII in the first division after release from a nocodazole arrest. As described before (see **Figure 3 E**) about 70 % of *kre28^{ΔZwint}* cells missegregated chromosome XII. In contrast, the rate of missegregation was significantly reduced in *kre28^{ΔZwint}* cells expressing the Mtw1-Sli15 fusion proteins (**Figure 6 D**). Similar to the effect in the serial dilution assay, expression of the larger construct comprising Sli15 residues 516-698 showed a stronger suppression of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* phenotype. Notably, constitutive recruitment of Ipl1 the outer kinetochore via the Mtw1 fusion had no negative effect on chromosome biorientation in a wildtype strain background.

These data indicate that an outer kinetochore pool of Ipl1/Sli15 recruited by Spc105/Kre28 has a crucial role in establishing chromosome biorientation and that a lack of Ipl1/Sli15 at the outer kinetochore is a main cause of the phenotypes observed in *kre28^{ΔZwint}* strains.

The N-terminus of Ipl1 is implicated in outer kinetochore recruitment by KMN

We asked how an interaction between Ipl1/Sli15 and the KMN network may be established at the molecular level. Since a C-terminal Sli15 truncation lacking the IN box seemed to be less

effective in binding KMN *in vitro* (**Figure 4 C**), we reasoned that the Ipl1 kinase itself might

contribute to the interaction. Ipl1 can be subdivided into a C-terminal globular kinase domain

(residues 96-385) and a largely unstructured N-terminus (residues 1-95). We have previously

shown that the Ipl1 N-terminus establishes binding to the yeast end-binding (EB) protein Bim1,

contributing to the spindle localization of the CPC in anaphase [48]. In addition, recent

experiments have reported interactions between peptides derived from the Ipl1 N-terminus and

Ndc80/Nuf2 [18], suggesting the presence of outer kinetochore binding motifs in this segment of

Ipl1 (**Figure 7 A**). Interestingly, AlphaFold2 reproducibly predicted an interaction between Ipl1

residues 1-30 and the Spc105/Kre28 helical bundle **(Figure 7 B**; Supplement). This Ipl1

segment includes a predicted alpha helix, and is well conserved among yeast aurora kinases,

even better than the more carboxyterminal segment involved in Bim1 or Ndc80/Nuf2 binding

(**Figure 7 A**). Closer inspection of the predicted binding interface suggests crucial roles for Ipl1

residues Leu11, Arg23 and Ile29. We produced a recombinant Ipl1-(L11A R23A I29A)/6xHis-

Sli15 complex in *E.coli* and performed pull down experiments with Spc105-Flag/Kre28 *in vitro*.

We found that the Ipl1-3A mutation reduced the amount of Sli15 in the pull down relative to

wildtype, but did not abolish it completely (**Figure 7 C**).

If the N-terminus of Ipl1 was indeed involved in crucial functions during mitotic error correction,

we would expect mutations or truncations to cause growth defects. To test this prediction, we

replaced one *IPL1* allele in diploid cells with Flag-tagged wildtype *IPL1*, the *ipl1-3A* point

mutant, or different N-terminal truncations. Upon sporulation and dissection, we evaluated the

viability and growth phenotypes of the haploid strains. In otherwise wildtype strains, the *ipl1* N-

terminal point- or truncation mutants fully supported viability and exhibited no obvious growth

defects (**Figure 7 E-G**). We reasoned that fully intact centromere-dependent recruitment

pathways may mask the contributions of the Ipl1 N-terminus (**Figure 7 D**). We therefore

conditionally depleted Sgo1 in Ipl1 wildtype cells or N-terminal mutants using an auxin-

inducible degron. While wildtype Ipl1 supported viability when Sgo1 was depleted (+Galactose,

+NAA), the *ipl1*^{3A} mutant displayed a growth defect under the same conditions **(Figure 7 E**).

Moreover, *ipl1* truncation mutants were unable to support viability, quickly giving rise to

individual larger colonies, which likely represent suppressors. Thus, depletion of Sgo1 greatly

sensitizes cells for mutations in the Ipl1 N-terminal tail. Recent studies have shown that the

inner kinetochore COMA complex directly interacts with Ipl1/Sli15 and can therefore configure

a Bir1-independent pathway to recruit the kinase to the kinetochore [36,37]. The C-terminal RWD

domain of Ctf19 is required for the interaction with Sli15/Ipl1 *in vitro* [36]. We therefore combined

ipl1 N-terminal mutants with *ctf19*$^{ΔRWD-C}$. We note that the Ctf19$^{ΔRWD-C}$ protein is still able to

interact with Mcm21, and therefore constitutes a less severe allele than *ctf19Δ*. Evaluation of

the genetic crosses and the resulting haploid yeast strains showed that *ipl1* N-terminal mutants

did not display growth defects in *CTF19* wildtype strains. By contrast, *ipl1* N-terminal

truncations Δ2-30 and Δ2-55 conferred poor growth in *ctf19$^{ΔRWD-C}$* cells (**Figure 7 F**). In

addition, *ipl1* point mutants and N-terminal truncations greatly aggravated the benomyl-

hypersensitivity of *ctf19$^{ΔRWD-C}$*. Overall, these results reveal an important function for the Ipl1

N-terminus when centromere or inner kinetochore recruitment pathways of the CPC are

compromised.

Discussion

New *kre28* mutant alleles reveal specific contributions to outer kinetochore function

While the molecular roles of Ndc80c and Mtw1c complexes in the kinetochore architecture are well established, the functional contributions of Spc105/Kre28 still await further definition. The mitotic checkpoint function of Spc105 is well understood, but it cannot account for its essential role in budding yeast. The *kre28^{ΔZwint}* allele described in this study can be used to reveal additional aspects of Spc105/Kre28 function. We show that it causes a selective reduction of Spc105/Kre28 at the kinetochore without affecting the levels of Ndc80 and Mtw1 complexes. It is therefore much more selective than more penetrant perturbations. For example, a depletion or reduction of human Knl1 by RNAi strongly affects the levels of additional outer kinetochore components such as Ndc80/Hec1 as well [49,50], making it difficult to delineate a specific function. We note that the observed Spc105/Kre28 reduction in metaphase cells is moderate and does not lead to impaired spindle assembly checkpoint activity, as the mutant cells are fully capable of arresting in nocodazole. In addition, they show normal levels of Sgo1 recruitment to the kinetochore in prometaphase, suggesting sufficient Bub1 activity at the kinetochore. We currently cannot provide a precise molecular explanation for why the mutations in the Kre28 helical bundle may reduce the affinity of Spc105/Kre28 for Ndc80c/Mtw1c, both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. Even though AlphaFold2 models predict multiple possible conformations of KMN, they do not show a binding interface within KMN that would include the Kre28 Zwint helix. It seems possible that the mutation causes a structural defect in the organization of the Spc105/Kre28 heterodimer that lowers its affinity to MN.

An outer kinetochore recruitment mechanism for the Ipl1/Sli15 kinase complex

Taken together, four lines of evidence support the conclusion that the *kre28* helical bundle mutant phenotype is caused by insufficient Ipl1 activity at the outer kinetochore.

1. The phenotypes of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant strongly resemble defects in the tension-dependent error correction pathway. The mutant cells have a functional mitotic checkpoint in response to nocodazole, but when forced to reassemble a spindle following nocodazole withdrawal, they ignore biorientation problems and missegregate chromosomes without delaying the initiation of anaphase. The lack of a delay indicates that the cells can form kinetochore-microtubule attachments, because otherwise the unattached kinetochores would activate the checkpoint. This characteristic behavior is also displayed by *sgo1* mutants [42] and indicates an inability to sense the incorrect attachment status.

2. The genetic interaction profile indicates that the *kre28* mutant is very sensitive to further perturbations of Ipl1/Sli15, its recruitment pathways, or mutations in its outer kinetochore substrates.

3. The *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutant is suppressed by artificial recruitment of Ipl1 via an Mtw1-Sli15 fusion
protein. We show that the Mtw1-Sli15 fusion can only suppress the phenotype when capable
of binding Ipl1. We suspect that fusing the Sli15 segment to the C-terminus of Mtw1
reintroduces Ipl1 at the proper location within KMN, while previous studies report constitutive
Sli15 fusions to Ndc80 to be incompatible with biorientation[51]. Our live cell imaging experiment
using fluorescently labelled chromosomes demonstrates that an improved chromosome
segregation after nocodazole washout is the basis for the suppression of the growth defect.
4. Recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 binds KMN via the Spc105/Kre28 subunits *in vitro*. The crosslink-
mass spectrometry experiments are consistent with Spc105/Kre28 providing the main binding
site within the KMN network. Autophosphorylation of Ipl1/Sli15 inhibits binding, possibly
preventing excessive Ipl1 recruitment which would hinder the re-establishment of kinetochore-
microtubule attachments during error correction. Our findings are consistent with earlier reports
showing that depletion of Knl1 appears to reduce Aurora B substrate phosphorylation in human
cells [49].
422
Implications for the tension-sensing mechanism of the outer kinetochore
Our results indicate that the Ipl1 kinase module of the CPC is an integral part of the outer
kinetochore and can therefore be influenced by architectural and conformational changes that
occur in different attachment situations. This is compatible with the previously proposed model
that CPC centromere anchoring generates a gradient of Aurora B activity and that tension pulls
substrates out of the reach of the kinase[52]. The structure of the Sli15 protein with the
centromere localization module at the N-terminus and the kinase module at the C-terminus
should allow a single CPC molecule to simultaneously bind to both locations (**Figure 8 A**).
Alternatively, a separate pool of Ipl1/Sli15 may bind the outer kinetochore independently of the
centromere-targeting subunits Bir1/Nbl1.
Structure predictions, our biochemical experiments and the recent report of an Ipl1 peptide
bound to the Ndc80/Nuf2 head [18] implicate the N-terminal domain of Ipl1 in the outer
kinetochore recruitment mechanism. We have previously demonstrated that the N-terminus
binds budding yeast Bim1^{EB1} via two SxIP motifs (residues 46-49 and 72-75) in this domain[48],
which promotes the relocalization of Ipl1 to the spindle midzone in anaphase, where it
contributes to timely spindle disassembly [53,54]. Interestingly, the presence of adjacent binding
motifs for Kre28/Spc105 and Ndc80c in the Ipl1 -N-terminus would mean that simultaneous
binding should only occur when the Ndc80/Nuf2 head and the Kre28/Spc105 helical bundle
come into close proximity to each other (**Figure 8 B**). Docking of the kinase module onto
Spc105/Kre28 could then facilitate Ndc80 phosphorylation and prevent premature Dam1c
association under low tension conditions when the Ndc80 head can adopt conformations close
to the Spc105/Kre28 helical bundle. Upon establishment of tension, microtubule-generated

forces may change the distance between these binding sites and decrease the affinity of
Ipl1/Sli15 for the outer kinetochore or its activity (**Figure 8 B**). This concept of conformation-
sensitive kinase docking could make an important contribution to the tension-sensing
mechanism. Our genetic experiments show that the Ipl1 N-terminus is not required for viability
in otherwise wildtype cells, but its contribution is unmasked when the centromere/kinetochore
targeting pathways of the CPC are compromised. Future experiments will have to develop
quantitative binding assays to better characterize the contributions of different CPC binding
interfaces within KMN. The basis for the sensitivity of KMN recruitment to autophosphorylation
will also need to be investigated in more detail. In addition to the Ipl1 N-terminus, the
crosslinking data also implicate Sli15 in outer kinetochore binding, a contribution which will
need to be characterized in future experiments as well.

The *kre28^{ΔZwint}* mutation is very sensitive to perturbations of the CPC inner kinetochore
recruitment pathway, which would suggest that an outer kinetochore docking mechanism alone
cannot provide full functionality. We note, however, that the severity of the *kre28^{ΔZwint}*
phenotype indicates that outer kinetochore docking of the kinase module could make a very
important contribution to CPC function during error correction. It could also explain why
reconstituted kinetochore-microtubule attachments remain tension-sensitive to Ipl1/Sli15
constructs lacking the CEN localization module [43]. Future experiments will have to investigate
how Ipl1 kinase activity towards key substrates may be influenced by distinct KMN
conformations under force.

Material and methods

Cultivation and genetic manipulation of yeast

All yeast strains used in this study are based on the S288C strain. Standard techniques were used for cultivation and genetic manipulation of yeast strains. PCR-generated integration cassettes were generated as described in Longtine *et al*, 1998 and Janke *et al*, 2004. Alternatively, linearized plasmids with ends homologous to the site of integration were used for transformation. Plasmids used for yeast transformation are listed in Table 1.

Name	Coding sequence
pAD77	Kre28^{WT}-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD78	Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pJP1	Kre28$^{\Delta 77-90}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pJP2	Kre28$^{\Delta 91-102}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pJP3	Kre28$^{\Delta 103-113}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD81	Kre28^{4A}-6xFlag (L95A, K96A, Y99A, E101A) in pRS306
pAD82	Kre28^{3A}-6xFlag (E103A, L105A, D106A) in pRS306
pAD84	Kre28^{6A}-6xFlag (F108A, F109A, R110A, F111A, T112A, L113A) in pRS306
pAD89	Kre28^{WT}-GFP in pRS306
pAD90	Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$-GFP in pRS306
pAD98	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{565-698}$ in pRS307
pAD101	GFP-Kre28^{WT}-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD102	GFP-Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD103	Kre28$^{\Delta RWD}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD104	Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint\ \Delta RWD}$-6xFlag in pRS306
pAD105	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{565-698}$ (W646G, F680A) in pRS307
pAD108	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{516-698}$ in pRS307
pAD118	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{516-698}$ (W646G, F680A) in pRS307
pAD120	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{565-698}$::natNT2 in pRS307
pAD121	Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15$^{516-698}$::natNT2 in pRS307
pAD126	Ipl1 (L11A, R23A, I29A)/6xHis-Sli15 in pETDuett
pSW574	Ipl1-WT-2xFlag in pRS305
pAD127	Ipl1 (L11A, R23A, I29A)-2xFlag in pRS305
pAD129	Ipl1 Δ2-30-2xFlag in pRS305
pLP1	Ipl1 Δ2-55-2xFlag in pRS305

Table 1: List of plasmids used in this study

Sporulation and dissection of diploid yeast strains

Cells from 1 ml of a saturated overnight culture of diploid yeasts strains were washed twice with 1 ml ddH$_2$O, resuspended in 4 ml sporulation medium and incubated at room temperature for several days until tetrads were formed. 250 µl of the sporulation culture were centrifuged and the cell pellet was resuspended in 37.5 µl Zymolase T (1 mg/ml) and incubated at 37 °C for 3.5 minutes. Afterwards, 200 µl of cold 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was added. A few microliters of the cell suspension were streaked out on a YEPD plate and tetrads

were dissected using a Singer MSM semi-automated dissecting microscope. Plates were
incubated at 30 °C for three days. For genotyping, the spores were resuspended in minimal
medium and spotted on YEPD, drop-out and mating type tester plates.

Serial dilution assay
Cells from a saturated overnight culture were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.4 in minimal medium and
serially diluted (1:4, six dilution steps in total). Cells were spotted on YEPD or YEPD + benomyl
(20 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich) plates using a pinning tool. Plates were incubated at 25 °C, 30 °C
or 37 °C for two days or at 16 °C for five days.

Preparation of western blot samples from yeast cultures
Samples for western blot analysis were prepared according to a protocol adapted from [55]. In
brief, an equivalent of 2 OD_{600} from a logarithmically growing culture was harvested by
centrifugation, resuspended in 100 µl ddH_2O and 100 µl 0.2 M NaOH was added. Samples
were incubated at room temperature for five minutes, cells were pelleted, resuspended in 50 µl
SDS-PAGE loading buffer and boiled at 95 °C for five minutes. 6 – 8 µl of sample were loaded
for SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to a Amersham™ Protran™ Nitrocellulose Blotting
Membrane (Cytiva) by wet transfer. Membranes were blocked in TBST with 5 % (w/v) skimmed
milk, incubated with the respective antibodies listed in Table 2 and membranes were washed
in TBST. For detection of signals from HRP-conjugated antibodies, membranes were
incubated with ECL™ (Prime) Western Blotting Detection Reagents (Cytiva). Signals were
visualized using an Amersham Imager 600 (GE Healthcare).

name	species	manufacturer	product number	dilution
anti-Flag (HRP-conjugated) (M2)	mouse	Sigma-Aldrich	A8592	1:10000
anti-HA	mouse	Biolegend	901514	1:1000
anti-Myc (9E10)	mouse	Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc	sc-40	1:1000
anti-GFP	mouse	Roche	11814460001	1:1000
anti-Pgk1 (22C5D8)	mouse	Invitrogen	459250	1:5000
anti-penta-His (HRP-conjugated)	mouse	Qiagen	34460	1:5000
anti-mouse IgG (HRP-conjugated)	sheep	Cytiva	NA931V	1:10000

510   **Table 2**: List of antibodies used in this study

Analysis of cell cycle progression after release from α factor arrest into medium with nocodazole

Cells from a saturated overnight culture were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.2 and incubated at 30 °C from 1 hour. α factor was added to a final concentration of 10 µg/ml and cells were further incubated at 30 °C for 2 – 2.5 hours. Arrest in G1 phase was confirmed by microscopy when more than 90 % of cells had a shmoo. Cells were subsequently collected by centrifugation, washed two times with pre-warmed YEPD supplemented with 100 µg/ml pronase (Roche) and once with pre-warmed YEPD without pronase. Cells were resuspended in pre-warmed YEPD with 15 µg/ml nocodazole (Sigma) and further cultivated at 30 °C. Samples for western blot analysis were taken immediately and every 30 minutes after release from the α factor arrest.

Analysis of cell cycle progression after release from a nocodazole arrest

Cells from a saturated overnight culture were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.2 and grown at 30 °C for 1.5 hours. Nocodazole was added to a final concentration of 15 µg/ml, followed by further incubation at 30 °C for 2 hours. Arrest in mitosis was confirmed by microscopy when more than 90 % of cells were large budded. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed three time with pre-warmed YEPD, resuspended in pre-warmed YEPD with 10 µg/ml α factor to arrest cells in the next G1 phase and further cultivated at 30 °C. Samples for western blot analysis were collect every 15 minutes after release from the nocodazole arrest.

Viability assay after nocodazole treatment

Cells from a saturated overnight culture were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.2 and incubated at 30 °C for 3 hours. Nocodazole was added to a final concentration of 15 µg/ml and cultures were further incubated at 30 °C for 1.5 hours. Before and after nocodazole treatment, an aliquot was taken from the cultures and serially diluted to OD_{600} = 0.0004. 100 µl of the diluted culture were plated on YEPD plates and incubated at 30 °C for two days. The number of colonies grown on each plate was counted. For each strain, the number of colonies formed in the untreated sample was defined as 100 %.

Live cell microscopy

For live cell microscopy to quantify fluorescence signal intensities, cells from a saturated overnight culture were diluted to OD_{600} = 0.2 in YEPD medium and grown at 30 °C for four to five hours. Cells were then immobilized on glass bottom dishes (No 1.5, MatTek corporation) coated with 0.1 mg/ml concanavalin A (Sigma) and the dish was filled with SD doTrp medium. To analyze chromosome segregation after nocodazole washout, cells were diluted to

OD_{600} = 0.2 in YEPD medium and grown at 30 °C for two hours. Cells were treated with

15 µg/ml nocodazole, further incubated at 30 °C for 1.5 hours and then immobilized in multi

well glass bottom dishes (Ibidi) coated with 0.1 mg/ml concanavalin A (Sigma). The Cells were

washed three times with SD doTrp to wash out the nocodazole and the imaging chamber was

filled with SD doTrp. Images were acquired at 10 minutes intervals over a total time of 1.5 to

2 hours. All media were supplemented with 100 µM $CuSO_4$ to induce expression of LacI-GFP

which is under control of the Cup1 promoter.

All microscopy was performed with a DeltaVision Elite wide-filed microscope (GE Healthcare

Life Sciences) with a Super-Plan Apo 100x/1.4 oil objective, solid state light source (SSCI) and

sCMOS camera. Imaging was performed at 30 °C. Z-stacks with a spacing of 0.4 µm covering

a total distance of 6 µm were acquired and maximum projections were generated. Alternatively,

OAI (optical axis integration) scans over 6 µm were performed. Images were deconvolved

using the default settings of the SoftWoRx software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Quantification of fluorescence microscopy signal

Fluorescence microscopy signals were quantified using Fiji. Equally sized boxes were drawn

around individual kinetochore clusters of metaphase or anaphase cells and the mean

integrated density was measured. Additionally, the local background in proximity to the

kinetochore cluster was measured and subtracted from the signal.

For each experimental data set, the mean value measured for metaphase kinetochore clusters

of the Kre28[WT] strain was defined as 1.0 as and all other data was normalized accordingly for

better comparison.

Statistical analysis

573   Data was analyzed and visualized using the statistics software GraphPad Prism 10. Mean

574   values +/- standard deviation (SD) are displayed. Information on sample size and applied

575   statistical tests are provided in the figure legends.

Multiple sequence alignments and secondary structure prediction

Multiple sequence alignments were performed with sequences of Kre28 proteins from different

yeast species or with sequences of Kre28 and Zwint related proteins from various eukaryotic

species were used.

Sequences from yeast species were aligned using the MAFFT multiple alignment tool [56].

Alignments were visualized in Jalview [57] with Clustal coloring and 50 % conservation threshold.

Secondary structure predictions of *S. cerevisiae* Kre28 and *H. sapiens* Zwint were generated

using the JPred4 server [58].

ColabFold structure predictions

The structure of the Spc105$^{490-917}$/Kre28 heterodimer in Figure 1 was predicted using Colabfold
(https://colab.research.google.com/github/sokrypton/ColabFold/blob/main/beta/AlphaFold2_a
dvanced.ipynb)[59] with the following parameters: msa_method=mmseqs2,
pair_mode=unpaired, cov=0, qid=0, max_msa=512:1024, subsample_msa=True,
num_relax=0, use_turbo=True, use_ptm=True, rank_by=pLDDT, num_models=5,
num_samples=1, num_ensemble=1, max_recycles=3, tol=0, is_training=False,
use_templates=False. The figures of the predicted structures were further processed using the
software ChimeraX[60]. pLDDT values were colored in ChimeraX. Visualization of the predicted
alignment errors was prepared by Colabfold.

AlphaFold2 molecular modelling

AlphaFold (AF) was used for all molecular modeling in the versions AF2 Multimer 3.2.1 [61] and
AF3 [62]. A general feature of AF2 Multimer is the higher sensitivity to intra- and intermolecular
interactions compared to the original AF2 [63].
For the model shown in Fig. 7, two sub-complexes were predicted: Firstly, a complex consisting
of i) the yeast Mis12 complex [MTW1 (UNIPROT P39731), NNF1 (P47149), NSL1 (Q12143),
and DSN1 residues 270-C (P40568)], ii) the Spc24/Spc25 complex (Q04477/P40014), and iii)
the Spc105/Kre28 complex [Spc105 residues 421-917 (P53148) and Kre28 (Q04431)].
Secondly, a complex of Spc105 residues 421-917, Kre28 full length, and Ipl1 residues 1-30
(UNIPROT P38991), called "SKI" in the following, was also predicted with AF2 and
superimposed onto the first complex to visualize the binding site of Ipl1 in context of the
putative complete complex. The fragments were superimposed with PyMOL (Schrödinger,
LLC), and the stitching points within the alpha-helices were relaxed using alpha-helical
geometry restraints using COOT [64]. In the final model, 66% of the residues had very reasonable
pLDDT scores above 70, 42% had scores above 80, i.e. were predicted at least as "confident",
and 8% of the residues scored above 90, i.e. with very high confidence. Corroborating the high
quality of the model, the "predicted alignment error" (PAE) plots consistently indicated well-
defined relative positions of the domains to each other (**Figure 7 -Supplement 1**).
A more detailed interface analysis of the "SKI" complex showed that although the pLDDT
scores of the Ipl 1-30 peptide were very low, the reproducibility within the 10 predicted models
was very good, and the PAE scores were very favourable (**Figure 7 -Supplement 2**).
Interestingly, prediction with AF3 yielded even worse pLDDT scores for the Ipl1 peptide
compared to AF2. In contrast, the Spc105/Kre28 coiled coil helices at the interface have very

high confidence. The point mutations L11A, R23A, and I29A were designed based on the AF2 prediction using manual inspection as well as PISA [65] analysis that highlighted L11, I29, R23, and P24 as most stabilizing residues.

The binding mode of Ipl1 1-30 would be consistent with a loss or weakening of binding upon Ipl1-autophosphorylation since the binding site is next to extensive negatively charged patches on the Spc105/Kre28 surface. In addition, the Ipl1/AurB consensus phosphorylation site sequence [R/K]x[T/S][I/L/V/S/T] would match S5 (RNSL) and T20,T21,T22 (KKTTT). S15 could be a Cdk1 site (SPS).

Expression of recombinant proteins in *Sf9* insect cells

KMN and individual KMN subcomplexes were expressed in Sf9 (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) insect cells. Cells were infected with baculoviruses carrying expression constructs for the respective protein complexes. Information about baculoviruses used in this study are listed in Table 3. For expression of the ten subunit KMN network, cells were co-infected with KT1-5 and KT1-6. Sf9 cells were split to a cell density of 0.8×10^6 cells/ml and grown at 27 °C with gentle agitation. Next day, cells were infected with the respective baculoviruses and harvested two to three days after infection.

Name of Baculovirus	Encoded kinetochore subunits
pFAP10	Spc105-Flag, Kre28^{WT*}
pFAP10 mut	Spc105-Flag, Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$
KT1-5	Dsn1, Mtw1, Nnf1, Nsl1, Spc105-Flag
KT1-6	Ndc80, Nuf2, Spc24, Spc25, Kre28^{WT}
KT1-6 mut	Ndc80, Nuf2, Spc24, Spc25, Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$
Ndc80c-Flag	Ndc80-Flag, Nuf2, Spc24, Spc25
pFAP19	Dsn1, Mtw1, Nnf1, Nsl1

Table 3: List of baculoviruses used in this study

Pull down assays

For pull down assays using proteins expressed in Sf9 cells, cells were resuspended in interaction buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5 % (v/v) glycerol, 0.01 % (v/v) Tween-20) supplemented with 1 mM PMSF and Pierce^{TM} Protease Inhibitor (EDTA-free; ThermoFisher Scientific) and lysed by sonication. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation. Anti-Flag M2 agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) were preequilibrated with interaction buffer and incubated with the cleared lysate overnight, rotating at 4 °C. Afterwards, beads were washed three times for 5 minutes with interaction buffer and loaded beads were split into individual pull down samples.

To test for binding of Ipl1/Sli15 or Ipl1 to KMN or individual subcomplexes, 100 μl of 2 μM Ipl1/Sli15 or Ipl1 was added to approximately 20 μl of loaded beads. As controls, Ipl1/Sli15 or

Ipl1 was also added to unloaded beads or loaded beads were incubated with interaction buffer
without any protein. 20 µl of the assembled reactions was taken as input samples for SDS-
PAGE analysis. Pull down samples were subsequently incubated rotating at 4 °C for 6 h.
Afterwards, beads were collected by centrifugation, the supernatant was removed, and the
beads were washed three times with 200 µl interaction buffer. Proteins bound to the beads
were eluted by addition of 50 µl interaction buffer with 0.5 or 1.0 µg/µl 3xFlag peptide, followed
by incubation at 4 °C for one to two hours.

***In vitro* KMN stability assay**
Sf9 cells expressing KMN with either Kre28^{WT} or Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ were resuspended in interaction
buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5 % (v/v) glycerol, 0.01 % (v/v)
Tween-20) supplemented with 1 mM PMSF and Pierce^{TM} Protease Inhibitor (EDTA-free;
ThermoFisher Scientific) and lysed by sonication. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation,
added to M2 anti-Flag agarose beads (Sigma-Aldrich) preequilibrated with interaction buffer
and incubated overnight rotating at 4 °C. Next day, beads were washed three times for five
minutes with 1 ml interaction buffer and 730 µl interaction buffer was added to the beads.
Samples were collected immediately and 3, 6 and 24 hours after addition of the buffer. Beads
were collected by centrifugation and once briefly washed with 200 µl of interaction buffer. 50 µl
of interaction buffer with 1 µg/µl 3xFlag peptide was added to the beads and samples were
incubated rotating at 4 °C for 1.5 hours to elute proteins from the beads. Samples were
subsequently analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

***In vitro* phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of Ipl1/Sli15**
Recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 was diluted in interaction buffer (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl,
1 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5 % (v/v) glycerol, 0.01 % (v/v) Tween-20) supplemented with 1 mM MnCl$_2$. λ-
Protein Phosphatase (NEB) or 500 µM ATP was added and samples were incubated at 30 °C
for 30 minutes.

***In vitro* kinase assay**
In vitro kinase reactions were prepared in a total reaction volume of 90 µl. Recombinant KMN,
Ipl1/Sli15 or a mixture of KMN and Ipl1/Sli15 were diluted in kinase buffer (20 mM HEPES
pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM MnCl$_2$, 25 mM β-glycerol phosphate, 1 mM DTT)
supplemented with 100 µM cold ATP and 83.25 nM hot ^{32}P-γ-ATP (Hartmann Analytic).
Samples were incubated at 30 °C and 20 µl aliquots were taken after 0, 5, 15 and 30 minutes.
Reactions were stopped by addition of 6x SDS-PAGE loading buffer, boiled at 95 °C and
analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Gels were stained with Coomassie dried afterwards. ^{32}P

autoradiography was detected with a FLA-3000 Imaging Scanner (Fujifilm).

Purification of recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 from *E. coli*

Ipl1/6xHis-Sli15 was expressed in BL21 *E. coli* cells. 4 L TB medium with 50 µg/ml kanamycin

were inoculated with 100 ml of a saturated overnight culture. Cells were incubated at 37 °C,

shaking at 180 rpm, until an $OD_{600} \approx 0.7$ was reached. Expression of Ipl1/Sli15 was induced

by addition of 0.4 mM IPTG. Cells were further incubated for 3 h and afterwards harvested by

centrifugation, washed in PBS and stored at -80 °C until further processing.

Cells were resuspended in 90 ml lysis buffer (50 mM Na_2HPO_4/NaH_2PO_4 pH 8.0, 300 mM

NaCl, 5 % (v/v) glycerol, 20 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM TCEP) supplemented with Pierce^{TM}

Protease Inhibitor (EDTA-free; ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1 mM PMSF and lysed by

sonication. The lysate was cleared by centrifugation and loaded onto two serially connected

HisTrap 5 ml columns (Cytiva) which were connected to a ÄKTA FPLC system (GE

Healthcare). Columns were washed with lysis buffer and bound proteins were eluted with a

linear imidazole gradient (20 – 500 mM). Elution fractions were collected and analyzed by

SDS-PAGE. Fractions of comparable quality were pooled, concentrated to approximately

500 µl and subjected to size exclusion chromatography using Superdex 200 10/300 GL

column (GE Healthcare; 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 5 % (v/v)

glycerol, 0.5 mM TCEP). Elution fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and comparable

samples were pooled, aliquoted, snap-frozen in liquid N_2 and stored at -80 °C.

In vivo biotinylation and affinity purification with TurboID

Cell extracts from the respective yeast strains were prepared from log-phase cultures. Cells

were lysed by bead-beating (BioSpec) in Lysis buffer (20 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 200 mM NaCl,

1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5 mM TCEP, 2.5 % glycerol. After centrifugation, 500 µl cleared lysate was

incubated with 50 µl pre-equilibrated streptavidin-sepharose beads (Cytiva) with rotation at

4°C overnight. Beads were washed twice with wash buffer (Lysis buffer + 0.05 % (v/v) TX-100),

twice with wash buffer + 2 % (w/v) SDS, twice with wash buffer + 0.1 % (w/v) SDS and finally

twice with wash buffer. Proteins were eluted off the beads with SDS-PAGE loading buffer and

analyzed by western blotting.

Pt/C low angle rotary shadowing electron microscopy

The peak elution fractions from gel filtrations of recombinant KMN complexes were further

prepared for rotary shadowing EM analysis by diluting the complexes to ~70 µg/ml in spraying

buffer, containing 100 mM ammonium acetate and 30 % (v/v) glycerol, pH adjusted to 7.4. After

dilution, the samples were sprayed onto freshly cleaved mica chips and immediately

transferred into a Bal-Tec MED020 high vacuum evaporator equipped with electron guns. After drying in the vacuum, the rotating samples were coated with 0.5 nm platinum at an elevation angle between 5° and 6°, followed by 7 nm carbon at 90°. The produced replicas were floated off from the mica chips and picked up on 400mesh Cu/Pd grids. The grids were inspected in an FEI Morgagni 268D TEM operated at 80kV. Electron micrographs were acquired using an 11 megapixel Morada CCD camera from Olympus-SIS. Fiji was used for image analysis.

Chemical crosslinking and mass spectrometry

50-60 µg of recombinant protein complexes were crosslinked with 1:1 isotopically-labeled (d_0/d_{12}) BS3 (Bis[sulfosuccinimidyl] suberate, Creative molecules) in a Thermomixer at 35°C for 30 min at 1200 rpm. The reaction was quenched with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate for 5 minutes at room temperature and supplemented with 8 M urea to a final concentration of 6 M. After reduction and alkylation crosslinked proteins were digested with Lys-C (1:50 w/w, Wako) for 3 hours, diluted with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate to 1 M urea and digested with trypsin (1:50 w/w, Promega) overnight. Crosslinked peptides were purified by reversed phase chromatography using C18 cartridges (Sep-Pak, Waters). Crosslinked peptides were enriched by peptide size exclusion chromatography and analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry (Orbitrap Elite, Thermo Scientific). Fragment ion spectra were searched and crosslinks identified by the dedicated software program xQuest.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – SFB1430 – Project-ID 424228829. Microscopy experiments were carried out with support from the Imaging Center Campus Essen Core Facility (ICCE). The DeltaVision Elite high resolution microscope was obtained through Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft funding (Major Research Instrumentation Program as per Art. 91b GG, INST 20876/275-1). The laboratory of F.H. was supported by the European Research Council (ERC)-StG (638218) and by the GFF NÖ (Endowed Professorship, Province of Lower Austria).

Author contributions

A.D. conducted and analyzed the majority of experiments, supported by J.S. and F.H.; S.T. performed *in vivo* biotinylation experiments, K.J. supported strain and plasmid construction; I.R.V. performed and analyzed structure predictions. F.H., S.S. and J.F. contributed and analyzed XL-MS experiments; A.D. and S.W. designed the study and wrote the manuscript.

759 **Conflict of interest**

760 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Main Figure Legends

Figure 1: Mutation of the Kre28 helical bundle renders yeast cells inviable in the presence of benomyl

A: AlphaFold2 structure prediction of the Spc105$^{490-917}$/Kre28 heterodimer. The unstructured N-terminal half of Spc105 was omitted form the structure prediction. Functionally relevant regions of the proteins such as Zwint or RWD domains are labelled in distinct colors.

B: Secondary structure prediction of *S. cerevisiae* Zwint (top), *H. sapiens* Zwint (bottom) and multiple sequence alignment of the so called Zwint helix of related eukaryotic proteins (middle). Functional regions of Kre28 required for Spc105 (Roy *et al*, 2022), Mtw1c binding (Ghodgaonkar-Steger *et al*, 2020), the C-terminal RWD domain (Tromer *et al*, 2019) and the newly identified Zwint helix are marked.

C: Representative images of dissection plates of diploid heterozygous Kre28/Kre28-6xFlag yeast strains with different 6xFlag-tagged alleles (WT, *ΔZwint*, *ΔRWD* or *ΔZwint ΔRWD*). Strains were sporulated and dissected and the plates were incubated at 30 °C for three days. Spores were subsequently analyzed regarding their genotype. While haploid strains with single deletion of either the Zwint helix or the RWD domain are viable, simultaneous deletion of both domains does not support viability of haploid yeasts.

D: Western blot analysis to compare protein expression levels of Kre28^{WT}, *kre28^{ΔZwint}* and *kre28^{ΔRWD}* in haploid yeast strains. Pgk1 was used as loading control.

E: Serial dilution assay of haploid yeast strains with different Kre28 alleles. Strains with the indicated genotypes were serially diluted, spotted on YEPD or YEPD + benomyl plates and incubated at the indicated temperatures. A *mad1Δ* strain was used as control for benomyl hypersensitivity.

Figure 2: The Kre28 helical bundle mutant displays defects in tension-dependent error-correction

A: Analysis of cell cycle progression. Haploid yeast strains with either Kre28^{WT}, *kre28^{ΔZwint}* or *mad1Δ* were first arrested in G1 using α factor and then released into medium containing nocodazole to depolymerize microtubules and test for functionality of the spindle assembly checkpoint. Samples for western blot analysis were collected every 30 minutes and were analyzed for Pds1 levels as readout for mitotic progression. A *mad1Δ* strain was used as positive control for spindle assembly checkpoint deficiency.

B: Serial dilution assay of haploid yeast strains with Kre28^{WT} or *kre28^{ΔZwint}* in a *MAD1* or *mad1Δ* strain background. Strains with the indicated genotypes were serially diluted, spotted on YEPD or YEPD + benomyl plates and incubated at the indicated temperatures.

C: Analysis of cell cycle progression after release from a nocodazole arrest. Kre28^{WT} or

kre28^ΔZwint cells were arrested in mitosis using nocodazole and released into medium with
alpha factor to arrest cells in the next G1 phase. Samples were collected every 15 minutes
and Pds1 levels as marker for mitotic progression were analyzed by western blot. Pgk1 served
as loading control.

D and E: Analysis of segregation of fluorescently labelled chromosome XII by live cell
microscopy. Kre28^WT and *kre28^ΔZwint* cells with fluorescently labelled chromosome XII (CEN12-
GFP) and spindle pole bodies (Spc42-mCherry) were released from a nocodazole arrest and
segregation of chromosome XII during the next anaphase was followed. Representative
images of segregation and missegregation are shown in D, a quantification of the
missegregation rate is shown in E. Data is derived from three independent experiments
analyzing n > 100 cells per condition. The dots represent the data of each individual
experiment. p value was calculated by an unpaired t-test.

F: Representative images of dissection plates of diploid heterozygous Kre28/Kre28-6xFlag
Ipl1/*ipl1-1* strains. Strains were sporulated and dissected and the plates were incubated at
30 °C for three days. Afterwards, the spores were analyzed regarding their genotype. Haploid
strains with both *kre28^ΔZwint* and *ipl1-1* are viable but display severe growth retardation.

G: Summary of genetic interactions between the *kre28^ΔZwint* allele and mutant alleles of outer
kinetochore subunits (*ndc80-1*, *duo1^ΔSxIP*, *dam1-1* and *dam1-9*), the Ndc80c recruiter Cnn1
(*cnn1Δ*), CPC subunits (*ipl1-1*, *sli15-3*), CPC recruiters (*sgo1Δ*, *ctf19^ΔC-RWD*) or a spindle
assembly checkpoint component (*mad1Δ*).

Figure 3: The Kre28^ΔZwint mutant reduces the level of Spc105/Kre28 at kinetochore
clusters *in vivo*

A and B: Live cell imaging of yeast cells with GFP-tagged KMN subunits. Representative
images of metaphase and anaphase cells are shown on the left, quantification of fluorescence
signal intensities on the right. Kinetochore recruitment of Mtw1-GFP (Mtw1 complex), Nuf2-
GFP (Ndc80 complex) and Spc105-GFP was analyzed in Kre28^WT and *kre28^ΔZwint* strain
backgrounds. In addition, signal intensities of GFP-Kre28^WT or GFP-*kre28^ΔZwint* were quantified.
The C-terminus of Spc42 was fused to RFP to label the position of the mitotic spindle. The
mean of metaphase signal intensities in the wildtype strain was defined as 1 and all other data
was normalized to this value. Means +/- standard deviation are plotted. p values were
calculated with a Kruskal-Wallis test. n > 100 kinetochore clusters were analyzed for each
condition. Representative data from at least two independent experiments is shown.

C: *In vitro* analysis of KMN stability with Kre28^WT or Kre28^ΔZwint subunits. KMN with either
Kre28^WT or Kre28^ΔZwint was immobilized on M2 anti-Flag beads using a Flag tag at the C-
terminus of Spc105 and buffer was added to the beads for dilution. Samples were taken at the

indicated time points to follow dissociation of Mtw1c and Ndc80c from Spc105/Kre28 over time.

Proteins were eluted from the beads using 3xFlag peptide and the eluate was analyzed by

SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

D: Quantification of indicated subunits by band intensity in Kre28 wildtype or mutant

complexes.

Figure 4: Ipl1/Sli15 binds to KMN via the Spc105/Kre28 subcomplex *in vitro*

A: Single-step isolation of a recombinant budding yeast KMN network from Sf9 insect cells.

Left side shows Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE of anti-Flag purification of Spc105-Flag. Right

panel shows consecutive fractions from size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6

column.

B: Gallery of electron micrographs showing recombinant KMN network visualized by Pt/C

rotary shadowing EM. Distinct configurations are shown in which the end of the Ndc80 complex

shows one or more appendages.

C: Pull down assays to test for binding of Ipl1/Sli15 to KMN. KMN containing either Kre28^{WT} or

Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ was purified from Sf9 insect cells and immobilized on M2 anti-Flag beads.

Recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 was added to test for its binding to KMN. Proteins were eluted from

beads by addition of 3xFlag peptide. Input and pull down samples were analyzed by SDS-

PAGE and Coomassie staining or western blot to detect 6xHis-tagged Sli15.

D: Pull down assay to test for binding of Ipl1/Sli15 to Spc105/Kre28 or Mtw1c/Ndc80c. Either

Spc105/Kre28 or Mtw1c in combination with Ndc80c were purified from Sf9 insect cells and

immobilized on M2 anti-Flag beads. Recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 was added to test for its binding

to the immobilized proteins. Proteins bound to the beads were finally eluted with 3xFlag peptide

and input and pull down samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie

staining or western blot to detect 6xHis-tagged Sli15.

E: Pull down assay to analyze the impact of Ipl1/Sli15 phosphorylation on binding to

Spc105/Kre28. Spc105/Kre28 was purified from Sf9 insect cells and immobilized on M2 anti-

Flag beads. Prior to addition to the loaded beads, recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 was *in vitro*

autophosphorylated (+ATP), dephosphorylated by λ phosphatase or left untreated. After

incubation with the immobilized Spc105/Kre28, bound proteins were eluted from the beads

using 3xFlag peptide and input and pull down samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and

Coomassie staining or western blot to detect 6xHis-Sli15. The mobility of Ipl1 and Sli15 during

SDS-PAGE depends on their phosphorylation status. LC and HC mark the light and heavy

chains of the M2 anti-Flag antibody. F: *In vivo* proximity-biotinylation experiment using Spc105-

TurboID and different Flag-tagged potential interactors. Yeast lysates were prepared from the

indicated strains and subjected to a Streptavidin affinity purification to isolate biotinylated

proteins. Note that Kre28 and Sli15 are only detected in pull downs from strains that also

express Spc105-TurboID. The major endogenously biotinylated proteins Acc1, Pyc1/2 or Arc1
enriched in in the Streptavidin pull down are indicated.
874

Figure 5: Chemical cross-linking mass spectrometry analysis of the KMN-Ipl1/Sli15
assembly
A: Schematic illustration of Sli15 and Ipl1. Sli15 associates with its N-terminal CEN box to
Bir1/Survivin and Nbl1/Borealin. The C-terminal IN box binds to the globular kinase domain of
Ipl1.
B: Network diagram of inter- and intramolecular Lys-Lys crosslinks of a KMN-Ipl1/Sli15
assembly. Recombinant KMN and Ipl1/Sli15 were mixed at equimolar amounts and incubated
in the presence of BS3. Functional domains of Ipl1 and Sli15 are indicated in the legend.
Interlinks originating from Sli15 or Ipl1 to KMN subunits are highlighted.
884

Figure 6: Artificial recruitment of Sli15 to Mtw1 suppresses the $kre28^{\Delta Zwint}$ mutant
phenotype
A: Illustration of artificial recruitment of Ipl1 to KMN by fusion of a C-terminal fragment of Sli15
to the C-terminus of Mtw1 and schematic of the architecture of two different Mtw1-Sli15 fusion
proteins. Two C-terminal fragments of Sli15 were fused to the C-terminus of Mtw1, separated
by a 6xHA tag. Ipl1 is recruited to the fusion proteins by binding to the IN box of Sli15. The red
asterisks mark the position of W646G and F680A amino acid substitutions which prevent
binding of Ipl1 to Sli15. The genes encoding the fusion constructs were integrated at the *LYS2*
locus and under control of the Mtw1 promotor.
B: Western blot analysis of Mtw1 fusion proteins and Kre28 mutants. Extracts of indicated
strains were blotted for the presence of fusion proteins (anti-HA), Kre28 wildtype or mutant
(anti-Flag).
C: Serial dilution assay with haploid yeast strains with $Kre28^{WT}$ or $kre28^{\Delta Zwint}$ expressing
different Mtw1-Sli15 fusion proteins. Serial dilutions of yeast strains with the indicated
genotypes were spotted on YEPD and YEPD + benomyl plates and incubated at the indicated
temperatures.
D: Scoring of chromosome segregation in $Kre28^{WT}$ or $kre28^{\Delta Zwint}$ with fluorescently labelled
chromosome XII (CEN12-GFP) and spindle pole bodies (Spc42-mCherry) expressing different
Mtw1-Sli15 fusion proteins. Cells were released from a nocodazole arrest and segregation of
chromosome XII in the first anaphase after release was analyzed. Missegregation rates in the
different strains are displayed with data from three independent experiments. Mean values +/-
standard deviation are plotted. The dots represent the data from three individual experiments.
n > 110 cells were analyzed for each condition in each experiment. p values were calculated

with an unpaired t-test.

Figure 7: The N-terminus of Ipl1 is implicated in outer kinetochore recruitment

A: Multiple sequence alignment of the Ipl1 N-terminal domain from different yeast species. MSA was generated in Jalview and colored according to ClustalW scheme. Position of residues mutated in the *ipl1^3A* mutant is indicated. Abbreviations as follows: S.c. Saccharomyces cerevisiae; S.b. Saccharomyces boulardii; K.b. Kazachstania barnetii; Z.b. Zygosaccharomyces parabailii; Z.r. Zygosaccharomyces rouxii; A.g. Ashbya gossypii; E.s. Eromethecium sinecaudum; K.l. Kluyeromyces lactis; K.m. Kluyeromyces marxianis.

B: Alphafold2 structure prediction of a KMN network with Mtw1 complex (green), Spc24/Spc25 (grey), Spc105/Kre (red and blue) and the N-terminal 30-residue segment of Ipl1 (turquoise). Insert shows magnified view of a predicted binding interface formed between the Spc105/Kre28 helical bundle and the Ipl1 N-terminus.

C: Pull down assay with recombinant Spc105/Kre28 and Sli15/Ipl1 wildtype or Ipl1 L11A, R23A, I29A point mutant. After incubation with the immobilized Spc105/Kre28, bound proteins were eluted from the beads using 3xFlag peptide and input and pull down samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining or western blot to detect 6xHis-Sli15. 6xHis-Sli15 signals were quantified in the pull down and normalized to the wildtype.

D: Testing synthetic genetic effects between different Ipl1 recruitment mechanisms. The *sgo1-aid* or ctf19^ΔRWD-C alleles compromise centromere-dependent recruitment pathways, but are compatible with viability.

E: Serial dilution assay of Sgo1-aid strains in different Ipl1 wildtype or mutant backgrounds. Depletion of Sgo1-aid is induced in the presence of Galactose and NAA.

F: Serial dilution assays of IPL1 wildtype or mutant strains in either a CTF19 wildtype or a ctf19^ΔRWD-C genetic background. Strains were incubated on YEPD plates. Note that Ipl1 point or deletion mutants compromise viability under conditions when Sgo1 is depleted.

G: Serial dilution assays of IPL1 wildtype or mutant strains in either a CTF19 wildtype or a *ctf19^ΔRWD-C* genetic background. Strains were incubated on YEPD plates containing benomyl.

Figure 8: A model for conformation-sensitive docking of Ipl1 to the outer kinetochore

A: Organization of centromere-binding modules and outer kinetochore docking sites in the Ipl1/Sli15 complex.

B: Model for how conformation-sensitive outer kinetochore docking could contribute to tension-dependent error correction. To improve clarity, only Ipl1 and the IN box of Sli15 is depicted. Other Sli15 segments may provide additional interactions with inner and outer kinetochore.

Figure Supplement Legends

Figure 1 – Supplement 1: Additional information on the Spc105/Kre28 structure prediction

A: Predicted alignment error of the Spc105$^{490-917}$/Kre28 structure prediction.

B: Predicted structure of Spc105$^{490-917}$/Kre28 colored by local pLDDT confidence score.

Figure 1 – Supplement 2: Detailed mutagenesis analysis of the Zwint helix

A: Multiple sequence alignment of Kre28 sequences from different yeast species. Conserved residues are colored according to the Clustal color scheme. Positions of smaller Zwint helix deletions and alanine substitutions of conserved residues are marked.

B: Western blot analysis of different Kre28/Zwint deletion or point mutants. Extracts of log phase yeast cells were analyzed, Pgk1 blot serves as a loading control.

C and D: Serial dilution assays of haploid yeast strains with different Kre28 Zwint helix deletions or point mutations of conserved residues. Strains with the indicated genotypes were serially diluted and spotted on YEPD or YEPD + benomyl plates and incubated at the indicated temperatures.

Figure 2 – Supplement: Viability assay after nocodazole treatment

Kre28^{WT}, *kre28^{ΔZwint}* and *mad1Δ* cells were treated with nocodazole for 2 hours. Before and after treatment, a defined number of cells was plated on YEPD plates and incubated at 30 °C. After two days, the number of colonies was counted. Images of the plates are shown on the left, the quantification of colony formation in the right. For each strain, the number of colonies of the untreated samples was defined as 100 %.

Figure 3 – Supplement: Western blot analysis of GFP-tagged KMN subunits and live cell microscopy of Sgo1-GFP

A: Western blot analysis to compare the expression of different GFP-tagged KMN subunits. in Kre28 wildtype and mutant cells. Pgk1 served as loading control.

B: Western blot analysis of expression level of N-terminal GFP-tagged Kre28 in wildtype and mutant form.

C: Western blot analysis of C-terminal GFP-tagged Kre28 in wildtype and mutant form.

D: Quantification of Kre28-GFP fluorescence signals at metaphase and anaphase kinetochores. Strains with either Kre28^{WT}-GFP or *kre28^{ΔZwint}*-GFP were analyzed by live cell microscopy. The C-terminus of Spc42 was fused to RFP to label the position of the mitotic spindle. The mean of metaphase signal intensities in the wildtype strain was defined as 1 and all other data was normalized to this value. Means +/- standard deviation are plotted. p values

were calculated with a Kruskal-Wallis test. n > 100 kinetochore clusters were analyzed for each
condition. Representative data from two independent experiments is shown.
E: Live cell microscopy for analysis of Sgo1-GFP kinetochore localization in a Kre28^{WT} or
kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ strain background. Representative images of a metaphase and an anaphase cell
are shown on the left and quantification of Sgo1-GFP signals in cells with short mitotic spindles
is shown on the right. Data was normalized to the mean value measured for the Kre28^{WT} strain.
Mean values +/- standard deviation are plotted. A Mann-Whitney test was used to test for
statistical significance.

Figure 4 – Supplement 1: *In vitro* dephosphorylation of Ipl1/Sli15 and gel filtration
analysis
A: *In vitro* dephosphorylation of Ipl1/Sli15. Recombinant Ipl1/Sli15 was treated with λ
phosphatase for 30 minutes at 30 °C. Untreated and dephosphorylated Ipl1/Sli15 were
analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. Both Ipl1 and Sli15 show faster migration
after phosphatase treatment.
B: Gelfiltration analysis of recombinant Ipl1/Sl15 complex on a Superdex 200 column. Input
and consecutive fractions from size exclusion chromatography are loaded on SDS-PAGE and
stained with Coomassie. Note that the truncated Sli15 fragment purifies away from the
Ipl1/Sli15 complex.

Figure 4 – Supplement 2: Pull -down experiment and *in vitro* kinase reaction with
Ipl1/Sli15 and KMN
A: Pull down assays to analyze binding of Ipl1/Sli15 to KMN. KMN with either Kre28^{WT} or
Kre28$^{\Delta Zwint}$ was purified from Sf9 cells and immobilized on M2 anti-Flag beads. Loaded beads
were incubated with buffer or recombinant Ipl1/Sli15, afterwards proteins bound to the beads
were eluted with 3xFlag peptide. Input and pull down samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE
and Coomassie staining or western blot to detect 6xHis-tagged Sli15.
B: *In vitro* kinase reaction with Ipl1/Sli15 or Ipl1/Sli15 in presence of recombinant KMN
analyzed over time. Coomassie stained gel at the top, corresponding autoradiograph at the
bottom. For control a KMN only sample taken 30 min after in incubation with radioactive ATP
is shown at the in first lane. C: Quantification phosphorylated Ipl1 signal over time alone (blue
curve) or in presence of KMN (green curve).

1011

1012 **Figure 6 – Supplement: Western blot analysis of different Mtw1-Sli15 fusion proteins**
1013 Western blot analysis comparing the expression of Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15 fusion proteins (shorter
1014 Fusion I and longer Fusion II) in wild-type form or in mutant form preventing Ipl1 binding. The

respective strains were used for serial dilution assays shown in Figure 6B. Pgk1 served as loading control.

Figure 7 – Supplement 1: **A.** pLDDT scores of the "spliced" model of Mtw1c-Spc24/25-Spc105/Kre28/Ipl1 1-30 complex (left) and the separate prediction for Spc105 residues 421-917, Kre28, and Ipl1 residues 1-30 (right). **B.** PAE scores for the "spliced" model for all 10 AF2 predictions. Fragment boundaries are indicated by black lines, blue are favourable scores, red are unfavourable.

Figure 7 - Supplement 2: **A**. Ipl1 1-30 binding site in AF2 predictions of the "SKI" complex: **Top row**: All 10 predicted models, with potentially interacting side chains shown as green sticks **Middle row**: Highest ranking model **Bottom row**: pLDDT scores for highest ranking model. The Spc105/Kre28 surface is colored by electrostatic potential. **B.** PAE scores for the "SKI" model for all 10 AF2 predictions. Fragment boundaries are indicated by black lines, blue are favourable scores, red are unfavourable.

References

1. Yatskevich, S., Muir, K.W., Bellini, D., Zhang, Z., Yang, J., Tischer, T., Predin, M., Dendooven, T., McLaughlin, S.H., and Barford, D. (2022). Structure of the human inner kinetochore bound to a centromeric CENP-A nucleosome. Science *376*, 844-852. 10.1126/science.abn3810.

2. Dendooven, T., Zhang, Z., Yang, J., McLaughlin, S.H., Schwab, J., Scheres, S.H.W., Yatskevich, S., and Barford, D. (2023). Cryo-EM structure of the complete inner kinetochore of the budding yeast point centromere. Science Advances *9*, eadg7480. 10.1126/sciadv.adg7480.

3. Pesenti, M.E., Raisch, T., Conti, D., Walstein, K., Hoffmann, I., Vogt, D., Prumbaum, D., Vetter, I.R., Raunser, S., and Musacchio, A. (2022). Structure of the human inner kinetochore CCAN complex and its significance for human centromere organization. Molecular Cell *82*, 2113-2131.e2118. 10.1016/j.molcel.2022.04.027.

4. Xiao, H., Wang, F., Wisniewski, J., Shaytan, A.K., Ghirlando, R., FitzGerald, P.C., Huang, Y., Wei, D., Li, S., Landsman, D., et al. (2017). Molecular basis of CENP-C association with the CENP-A nucleosome at yeast centromeres. Genes Dev. *31*, 1958-1972. 10.1101/gad.304782.117.

5. Kato, H., Jiang, J., Zhou, B.-R., Rozendaal, M., Feng, H., Ghirlando, R., Xiao, T.S., Straight, A.F., and Bai, Y. (2013). A Conserved Mechanism for Centromeric Nucleosome Recognition by Centromere Protein CENP-C. Science *340*, 1110-1113. 10.1126/science.1235532.

6. Polley, S., Raisch, T., Ghetti, S., Korner, M., Terbeck, M., Grater, F., Raunser, S., Aponte-Santamaria, C., Vetter, I.R., and Musacchio, A. (2024). Structure of the human KMN complex and implications for regulation of its assembly. Nat Struct Mol Biol *31*, 861-873. 10.1038/s41594-024-01230-9.

7. Yatskevich, S., Yang, J., Bellini, D., Zhang, Z., and Barford, D. (2024). Structure of the human outer kinetochore KMN network complex. Nat Struct Mol Biol *31*, 874-883. 10.1038/s41594-024-01249-y.

8. Dimitrova, Y.N., Jenni, S., Valverde, R., Khin, Y., and Harrison, S.C. (2016). Structure of the MIND Complex Defines a Regulatory Focus for Yeast Kinetochore Assembly. Cell *167*, 1014-1027 e1012. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.011.

9. Weir, J.R., Faesen, A.C., Klare, K., Petrovic, A., Basilico, F., Fischböck, J., Pentakota, S., Keller, J., Pesenti, M.E., Pan, D., et al. (2016). Insights from biochemical reconstitution into the architecture of human kinetochores. Nature *537*, 249-253. 10.1038/nature19333.

10. Petrovic, A., Keller, J., Liu, Y., Overlack, K., John, J., Dimitrova, Y.N., Jenni, S., van Gerwen, S., Stege, P., Wohlgemuth, S., et al. (2016). Structure of the MIS12 Complex and Molecular Basis of Its Interaction with CENP-C at Human Kinetochores. Cell *167*, 1028-1040.e1015. 10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.005.

11. Hornung, P., Troc, P., Malvezzi, F., Maier, M., Demianova, Z., Zimniak, T., Litos, G., Lampert, F., Schleiffer, A., Brunner, M., et al. (2014). A cooperative mechanism drives budding yeast kinetochore assembly downstream of CENP-A. Journal of Cell Biology *206*, 509-524. 10.1083/jcb.201403081.

12. Musacchio, A., and Desai, A. (2017). A Molecular View of Kinetochore Assembly and Function. Biology (Basel) *6*, 5. 10.3390/biology6010005.

13. Ciferri, C., Pasqualato, S., Screpanti, E., Varetti, G., Santaguida, S., Dos Reis, G., Maiolica, A., Polka, J., De Luca, J.G., De Wulf, P., et al. (2008). Implications for Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachment from the Structure of an Engineered Ndc80 Complex. Cell *133*, 427-439. 10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.020.

14. Wei, R.R., Al-Bassam, J., and Harrison, S.C. (2007). The Ndc80/HEC1 complex is a contact point for kinetochore-microtubule attachment. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. *14*, 54-59. 10.1038/nsmb1186.

15. Wilson-Kubalek, E.M., Cheeseman, I.M., Yoshioka, C., Desai, A., and Milligan, R.A. (2008). Orientation and structure of the Ndc80 complex on the microtubule lattice. Journal of Cell Biology *182*, 1055-1061. 10.1083/jcb.200804170.

16. Pleuger, R., Cozma, C., Hohoff, S., Denkhaus, C., Dudziak, A., Kaschani, F., Kaiser, M., Musacchio, A., Vetter, I.R., and Westermann, S. (2024). Microtubule end-on attachment maturation

regulates Mps1 association with its kinetochore receptor. Curr Biol *34*, 2279-2293 e2276.
10.1016/j.cub.2024.03.062.

17.   Parnell, E.J., Jenson, E.E., and Miller, M.P. (2024). A conserved site on Ndc80 complex facilitates
dynamic recruitment of Mps1 to yeast kinetochores to promote accurate chromosome
segregation. Curr Biol *34*, 2294-2307 e2294. 10.1016/j.cub.2024.04.054.

18.   Zahm, J.A., and Harrison, S.C. (2024). A communication hub for phosphoregulation of
kinetochore-microtubule attachment. Curr Biol *34*, 2308-2318 e2306.
10.1016/j.cub.2024.04.067.

19.   Malvezzi, F., Litos, G., Schleiffer, A., Heuck, A., Mechtler, K., Clausen, T., and Westermann, S.
(2013). A structural basis for kinetochore recruitment of the Ndc80 complex via two distinct
centromere receptors. EMBO J *32*, 409-423. emboj2012356 [pii]

10.1038/emboj.2012.356.

20.   Petrovic, A., Mosalaganti, S., Keller, J., Mattiuzzo, M., Overlack, K., Krenn, V., De Antoni, A.,
Wohlgemuth, S., Cecatiello, V., Pasqualato, S., et al. (2014). Modular Assembly of RWD Domains
on the Mis12 Complex Underlies Outer Kinetochore Organization. Molecular Cell *53*, 591-605.
10.1016/j.molcel.2014.01.019.

21.   Ghodgaonkar-Steger, M., Potocnjak, M., Zimniak, T., Fischbock-Halwachs, J., Solis-Mezarino, V.,
Singh, S., Speljko, T., Hagemann, G., Drexler, D.J., Witte, G., and Herzog, F. (2020). C-Terminal
Motifs of the MTW1 Complex Cooperatively Stabilize Outer Kinetochore Assembly in Budding
Yeast. Cell Rep *32*, 108190. 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108190.

22.   Roy, B., Sim, J., Han, S.J.Y., and Joglekar, A.P. (2022). Kre28–Spc105 interaction is essential for
Spc105 loading at the kinetochore. Open Biology *12*, 210274. 10.1098/rsob.210274.

23.   London, N., Ceto, S., Ranish, J.A., and Biggins, S. (2012). Phosphoregulation of Spc105 by Mps1
and PP1 Regulates Bub1 Localization to Kinetochores. Current Biology *22*, 900-906.
10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.052.

24.   Shepperd, L.A., Meadows, J.C., Sochaj, A.M., Lancaster, T.C., Zou, J., Buttrick, G.J., Rappsilber,
J., Hardwick, K.G., and Millar, J.B.A. (2012). Phosphodependent Recruitment of Bub1 and Bub3
to Spc7/KNL1 by Mph1 Kinase Maintains the Spindle Checkpoint. Current Biology *22*, 891-899.
10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.051.

25.   Yamagishi, Y., Yang, C.-H., Tanno, Y., and Watanabe, Y. (2012). MPS1/Mph1 phosphorylates the
kinetochore protein KNL1/Spc7 to recruit SAC components. Nature Cell Biology *14*, 746-752.
10.1038/ncb2515.

26.   Rosenberg, J.S., Cross, F.R., and Funabiki, H. (2011). KNL1/Spc105 Recruits PP1 to Silence the
Spindle Assembly Checkpoint. Current Biology *21*, 942-947. 10.1016/j.cub.2011.04.011.

27.   Nijenhuis, W., Vallardi, G., Teixeira, A., Kops, G.J.P.L., and Saurin, A.T. (2014). Negative feedback
at kinetochores underlies a responsive spindle checkpoint signal. Nature Cell Biology *16*, 1257-
1264. 10.1038/ncb3065.

28.   Meadows, J.C., Shepperd, L.A., Vanoosthuyse, V., Lancaster, T.C., Sochaj, A.M., Buttrick, G.J.,
Hardwick, K.G., and Millar, J.B.A. (2011). Spindle Checkpoint Silencing Requires Association of
PP1 to Both Spc7 and Kinesin-8 Motors. Developmental Cell *20*, 739-750.
10.1016/j.devcel.2011.05.008.

29.   Pagliuca, C., Draviam, V.M., Marco, E., Sorger, P.K., and Wulf, P.D. (2009). Roles for the
Conserved Spc105p/Kre28p Complex in Kinetochore-Microtubule Binding and the Spindle
Assembly Checkpoint. PLOS ONE *4*, e7640. 10.1371/journal.pone.0007640.

30.   Tromer, E.C., van Hooff, J.J.E., Kops, G.J.P.L., and Snel, B. (2019). Mosaic origin of the eukaryotic
kinetochore. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *116*, 12873-12882.
10.1073/pnas.1821945116.

31.   Tanaka, T.U., and Zhang, T. (2022). SWAP, SWITCH, and STABILIZE: Mechanisms of Kinetochore–
Microtubule Error Correction. Cells *11*, 1462. 10.3390/cells11091462.

32.   Jeyaprakash, A.A., Klein, U.R., Lindner, D., Ebert, J., Nigg, E.A., and Conti, E. (2007). Structure of
a Survivin–Borealin–INCENP Core Complex Reveals How Chromosomal Passengers Travel
Together. Cell *131*, 271-285. 10.1016/j.cell.2007.07.045.

33.   Sessa, F., Mapelli, M., Ciferri, C., Tarricone, C., Areces, L.B., Schneider, T.R., Stukenberg, P.T., and
1138   Musacchio, A. (2005). Mechanism of Aurora B Activation by INCENP and Inhibition by
1139   Hesperadin. Molecular Cell *18*, 379-391. 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.03.031.
1140   34.   Hindriksen, S., Lens, S.M.A., and Hadders, M.A. (2017). The Ins and Outs of Aurora B Inner
1141   Centromere Localization. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology *5*.
1142   35.   Campbell, C.S., and Desai, A. (2013). Tension sensing by Aurora B kinase is independent of
1143   survivin-based centromere localization. Nature *497*, 118-121. nature12057 [pii]

1144   10.1038/nature12057.
1145   36.   Fischbock-Halwachs, J., Singh, S., Potocnjak, M., Hagemann, G., Solis-Mezarino, V., Woike, S.,
1146   Ghodgaonkar-Steger, M., Weissmann, F., Gallego, L.D., Rojas, J., et al. (2019). The COMA
1147   complex interacts with Cse4 and positions Sli15/Ipl1 at the budding yeast inner kinetochore.
1148   Elife *8*. 10.7554/eLife.42879.
1149   37.   García-Rodríguez, L.J., Kasciukovic, T., Denninger, V., and Tanaka, T.U. (2019). Aurora B-INCENP
1150   Localization at Centromeres/Inner Kinetochores Is Required for Chromosome Bi-orientation in
1151   Budding Yeast. Current Biology *29*, 1536-1544.e1534. 10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.051.
1152   38.   Hadders, M.A., Hindriksen, S., Truong, M.A., Mhaskar, A.N., Wopken, J.P., Vromans, M.J.M., and
1153   Lens, S.M.A. (2020). Untangling the contribution of Haspin and Bub1 to Aurora B function
1154   during mitosis. Journal of Cell Biology *219*, e201907087. 10.1083/jcb.201907087.
1155   39.   Broad, A.J., DeLuca, K.F., and DeLuca, J.G. (2020). Aurora B kinase is recruited to multiple
1156   discrete kinetochore and centromere regions in human cells. Journal of Cell Biology *219*,
1157   e201905144. 10.1083/jcb.201905144.
1158   40.   Schmitzberger, F., and Harrison, S.C. (2012). RWD domain: a recurring module in kinetochore
1159   architecture shown by a Ctf19-Mcm21 complex structure. EMBO Rep *13*, 216-222.
1160   embor20121 [pii]

1161   10.1038/embor.2012.1.
1162   41.   Biggins, S., and Murray, A.W. (2001). The budding yeast protein kinase Ipl1/Aurora allows the
1163   absence of tension to activate the spindle checkpoint. Genes Dev *15*, 3118-3129.
1164   42.   Indjeian, V.B., Stern, B.M., and Murray, A.W. (2005). The centromeric protein Sgo1 is required
1165   to sense lack of tension on mitotic chromosomes. Science *307*, 130-133.
1166   10.1126/science.1101366.
1167   43.   de Regt, A.K., Clark, C.J., Asbury, C.L., and Biggins, S. (2022). Tension can directly suppress
1168   Aurora B kinase-triggered release of kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Nature
1169   Communications *13*, 2152. 10.1038/s41467-022-29542-8.
1170   44.   Akiyoshi, B., Sarangapani, K.K., Powers, A.F., Nelson, C.R., Reichow, S.L., Arellano-Santoyo, H.,
1171   Gonen, T., Ranish, J.A., Asbury, C.L., and Biggins, S. (2010). Tension directly stabilizes
1172   reconstituted kinetochore-microtubule attachments. Nature *468*, 576-579. nature09594 [pii]

1173   10.1038/nature09594.
1174   45.   Fenech, E.J., Cohen, N., Kupervaser, M., Gazi, Z., and Schuldiner, M. (2023). A toolbox for
1175   systematic discovery of stable and transient protein interactors in baker's yeast. Molecular
1176   Systems Biology *19*, e11084. 10.15252/msb.202211084.
1177   46.   Gardner, M.K., Bouck, D.C., Paliulis, L.V., Meehl, J.B., O'Toole, E.T., Haase, J., Soubry, A., Joglekar,
1178   A.P., Winey, M., Salmon, E.D., et al. (2008). Chromosome congression by Kinesin-5 motor-
1179   mediated disassembly of longer kinetochore microtubules. Cell *135*, 894-906. S0092-
1180   8674(08)01239-7 [pii]

1181   10.1016/j.cell.2008.09.046.
1182   47.   Suzuki, A., Gupta, A., Long, S.K., Evans, R., Badger, B.L., Salmon, E.D., Biggins, S., and Bloom, K.
1183   (2018). A Kinesin-5, Cin8, Recruits Protein Phosphatase 1 to Kinetochores and Regulates
1184   Chromosome Segregation. Curr Biol *28*, 2697-2704 e2693. 10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.038.

48. Zimniak, T., Fitz, V., Zhou, H., Lampert, F., Opravil, S., Mechtler, K., Stolt-Bergner, P., and Westermann, S. (2012). Spatiotemporal regulation of Ipl1/Aurora activity by direct Cdk1 phosphorylation. Curr Biol *22*, 787-793. S0960-9822(12)00264-3 [pii]

10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.007.

49. Caldas, G.V., DeLuca, K.F., and DeLuca, J.G. (2013). KNL1 facilitates phosphorylation of outer kinetochore proteins by promoting Aurora B kinase activity. J Cell Biol *203*, 957-969. 10.1083/jcb.201306054.

50. Desai, A., Rybina, S., Müller-Reichert, T., Shevchenko, A., Shevchenko, A., Hyman, A., and Oegema, K. (2003). KNL-1 directs assembly of the microtubule-binding interface of the kinetochore in C. elegans. Genes Dev. *17*, 2421-2435. 10.1101/gad.1126303.

51. Li, S., Garcia-Rodriguez, L.J., and Tanaka, T.U. (2023). Chromosome biorientation requires Aurora B's spatial separation from its outer kinetochore substrates, but not its turnover at kinetochores. Current Biology. 10.1016/j.cub.2023.09.006.

52. Liu, D., Vader, G., Vromans, M.J.M., Lampson, M.A., and Lens, S.M.A. (2009). Sensing Chromosome Bi-Orientation by Spatial Separation of Aurora B Kinase from Kinetochore Substrates. Science *323*, 1350-1353. 10.1126/science.1167000.

53. Zimniak, T., Stengl, K., Mechtler, K., and Westermann, S. (2009). Phosphoregulation of the budding yeast EB1 homologue Bim1p by Aurora/Ipl1p. The Journal of Cell Biology *186*, 379-391. 10.1083/jcb.200901036.

54. Buvelot, S., Tatsutani, S.Y., Vermaak, D., and Biggins, S. (2003). The budding yeast Ipl1/Aurora protein kinase regulates mitotic spindle disassembly. Journal of Cell Biology *160*, 329-339. 10.1083/jcb.200209018.

55. Kushnirov, V.V. (2000). Rapid and reliable protein extraction from yeast. Yeast *16*, 857-860. 10.1002/1097-0061(20000630)16:9<857::AID-YEA561>3.0.CO;2-B.

56. Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K.D. (2019). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Briefings in Bioinformatics *20*, 1160-1166. 10.1093/bib/bbx108.

57. Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M.A., Clamp, M., and Barton, G.J. (2009). Jalview Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics *25*, 1189-1191. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp033.

58. Drozdetskiy, A., Cole, C., Procter, J., and Barton, G.J. (2015). JPred4: a protein secondary structure prediction server. Nucleic Acids Research *43*, W389-W394. 10.1093/nar/gkv332.

59. Mirdita, M., Schütze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger, M. (2022). ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nat. Methods *19*, 679-682. 10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1.

60. Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. Journal of Computational Chemistry *25*, 1605-1612. 10.1002/jcc.20084.

61. Evans, R., O'Neill, M., Pritzel, A., Antropova, N., Senior, A., Green, T., Žídek, A., Bates, R., Blackwell, S., Yim, J., et al. (2021). Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. BioRXiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.04.463034.

62. Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., Ronneberger, O., Willmore, L., Ballard, A.J., Bambrick, J., et al. (2024). Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature *630*, 493-500. 10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

63. Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Bates, R., Žídek, A., Potapenko, A., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature *596*, 583-589. 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2.

64. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr *60*, 2126-2132. 10.1107/S0907444904019158.

65. Krissinel, E., and Henrick, K. (2007). Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J Mol Biol *372*, 774-797. S0022-2836(07)00642-0 [pii]

1236   10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022.

1237

A α factor arrest ⟶ nocodazole

Kre28^WT — min. after release from α factor arrest: 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 — Pds1-18xMyc (anti-Myc), Pgk1 (anti-Pgk1)

kre28^ΔZwint — min. after release from α factor arrest: 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 — Pds1-18xMyc (anti-Myc), Pgk1 (anti-Pgk1)

mad1Δ — min. after release from α factor arrest: 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 — Pds1-18xMyc (anti-Myc), Pgk1 (anti-Pgk1)

B Spot dilution series: wildtype, Kre28^WT, kre28^ΔZwint, mad1Δ, Kre28^WT / mad1Δ, kre28^ΔZwint / mad1Δ. YEPD, 16 °C; YEPD, 25 °C; YEPD, 30 °C.

C nocodazole arrest ⟶ release (spindle reformation) ⟶ α factor arrest

Kre28^WT — min. after release from noc arrest: 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120 — Pds1-18xMyc (anti-Myc), Pgk1 (anti-Pgk1)

kre28^ΔZwint — min. after release from noc arrest: 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120 — Pds1-18xMyc (anti-Myc), Pgk1 (anti-Pgk1)

D brightfield, CEN12-GFP, Spc42-mCherry, merge — segregation, missegregation. scale bar: 2 µm

E noc release - 1st division. missegregated chromosome XII (%). p < 0.0001. Kre28^WT vs kre28^ΔZwint

F spore A B C D, tetrad 1-4. Kre28^WT/Kre28^WT Ipl1/ipl1-1, ○ Kre28^WT, ipl1-1. Kre28^WT/kre28^ΔZwint Ipl1/ipl1-1, ○ kre28^ΔZwint, ipl1-1

G growth temperature

kre28^ΔZwint	16 °C	25 °C	30 °C	37 °C	
ndc80-1					outer kinetochore subunits
duo1^ΔSxIP					
dam1-1					
dam1-9					
cnn1Δ					
ipl1-1					CPC subunits
sli15-3					
sgo1Δ					CPC localization
ctf19^ΔC-RWD					
mad1Δ					SAC

no growth defect / growth defect / inviable

A

B

A

Kre28^WT or kre28^ΔZwint

Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15 fusion

Mtw1c

Fusion I: Mtw1 | 6xHA | IN box — Mtw1^WT, Sli15^565-698

Fusion II: Mtw1 | 6xHA | SAH | IN box — Mtw1^WT, Sli15^516-698

** W646G, F680A (mut.)
→ no binding of Ipl1 to Sli15

integration at *LYS2* locus
expression under control of Mtw1 promoter

C

Kre28^WT
kre28^ΔZwint
Kre28^WT — Fusion I WT
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion I WT
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion I WT
Kre28^WT — Fusion II WT
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion II WT
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion II WT
Kre28^WT — Fusion I mut.
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion I mut.
Kre28^WT — Fusion II mut.
kre28^ΔZwint — Fusion II mut.

YEPD, 30 °C   YEPD + benomyl, 30 °C

B

CEN12-GFP, Spc42-mCherry

Fusion I WT | Fusion II WT | Fusion I WT | Fusion II WT
WT | ΔZwint | WT | ΔZwint — Kre28-6xFlag

MW (kDa)
100
70 — Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15^516-698 / Mtw1-6xHA-Sli15^565-698
55

anti-HA

70
55 — Kre28^WT-6xFlag / Kre28^ΔZwint-6xFlag

anti-Flag

55
35 — Pgk1

anti-Pgk1

D

noc release - 1st division

missegregated chromosome XII (%)

p = 0.0008
p = 0.0011

WT | ΔZwint | WT | ΔZwint | WT | ΔZwint — Kre28
        Fusion I   Fusion II

A Ipl1 N-terminal tail

$ipl1^{3A}$ → L11A R23A I29A

Zahm and Harrison, 2024
Ndc80 binding S1

C

D

E *Sgo1-aid pGAL-OsTIR*

+ NAA

$Ipl1^{WT}$
$ipl1^{3A}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-30}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-55}$

YEPD, 30 °C   YEP Raff/Gal, 30 °C

Sli15
CEN box   IN box C
C kinase
N

sgo1-aid
ctf19^{ΔRWD-C}

ipl1^{3A}
ipl1^{Δ2-30}
ipl1^{Δ2-55}

centromere/inner KT   outer KT binding motifs
recruitment pathways

F *CTF19*   *ctf19^{ΔRWD-C}*

$Ipl1^{WT}$
$ipl1^{3A}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-30}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-55}$

YEPD, 30 °C

G *CTF19*   *ctf19^{ΔRWD-C}*

$Ipl1^{WT}$
$ipl1^{3A}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-30}$
$ipl1^{Δ2-55}$

YEPD + benomyl, 30 °C

A

B

attachment lacking tension

⟶ **release attachment**

attachment generating tension

⟶ **stabilize attachment**