An integrative proteotranscriptomics approach reveals new ADAM9 substrates and downstream pathways

Congyu Lu[1,2‡], Xiaolu Xu[1‡], Neha Sindhu[1,2‡], Jessica Rainey[1], Shawn W. Polson[2,3], Jing Qiu[2,4] and Shuo Wei[1,2*]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

[2] Center for Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19713, USA

[3] Department of Computer and Information Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

[4] Department of Applied Economics and Statistics, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

[*] Correspondence: swei@udel.edu

Running title: Identifying ADAM9 substrates and targets

Keywords: Ectodomain shedding; ADAM; proteotranscriptomics; FOXO

Character count: 21,263

Abstract

Membrane protein ectodomain shedding is a key regulatory mechanism for cell signaling and adhesion, and dysregulated shedding is associated with diseases. More than 70 proteases can catalyze shedding, but the substrate spectra and downstream targets of these "sheddases" remain largely elusive. While secretomics-based methods have been applied to the systematic identification of sheddase substrates, these methods are not always effective and cannot provide much information on non-proteolytic targets or downstream pathways. Here we developed an integrative proteotranscriptomics approach to uncover the transcriptional and post-transcriptional targets of the disintegrin metalloproteinase ADAM9, a sheddase that has been implicated in solid tumors, autoimmunity, inflammatory diseases and COVID-19. This systematic approach revealed signaling pathways downstream of ADAM9, including the oncogenic mTOR and tumor suppressor FOXO pathways. We further identified several direct and indirect substrates for ADAM9, which may mediate the pathophysiological roles of ADAM9 in various diseases. Of note, some of these substrates are difficult to detect by secretomics. This new approach can effectively complement secretomics to systematically identify sheddase substrates and targets.

Introduction

Ectodomain shedding refers to the proteolysis of membrane proteins that releases the entire or part of the ectodomain from the membrane. Shedding can simply be a way of membrane protein turnover, or as a regulatory mechanism for cellular processes such as signaling and adhesion. There are over 70 proteases that can catalyze shedding, including a dozen members of the disintegrin metalloproteinase (ADAM) family, which function as canonical sheddases (Lichtenthaler *et al*, 2018). Abnormal shedding can contribute to disease pathology. For example, elevated levels of ADAM9, the sheddase that is the focus of this study, have been implicated in several diseases, such as solid tumors, autoimmune diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and COVID-19, whereas loss of ADAM9 leads to retinal degeneration (Carapito *et al*, 2022; Oria *et al*, 2018; Parry *et al*, 2009; Umeda *et al*, 2021; Wang *et al*, 2018). However, despite the importance of ADAM9 and many other sheddases, their substrates and other targets remain largely elusive (Chou *et al*, 2020; Lichtenthaler *et al.*, 2018). This information is not only needed for understanding the mechanisms of action for these sheddases, but also for assessing the adverse effects when targeting them for therapeutic purposes.

Currently, proteomics is essentially the only method for systematic identification of sheddase substrates. Most of the published proteomics studies focused on the secretome, as the shed ectodomains of substrates can often be detected in the conditioned media of cultured cells or tissue, and their abundance is altered when sheddase activity is manipulated (Yang *et al*, 2020). These secretomics-based methods have identified numerous substrates for the "housekeeping" sheddases such as ADAM10 and 17

(Lichtenthaler *et al.*, 2018), but do not seem to be very effective for other sheddases with fewer substrates, such as ADAM15 (Calligaris *et al*, 2023; Yang *et al*, 2022). A possible explanation for the lack of sensitivity (false negative) of these methods is that the shed ectodomains of some substrates may remain attached to the membrane or are quickly turned over, and are hence not present in significant amount in the secretome. One example is the Notch receptors, which are associated with Notch ligands after cleavage by ADAM10, until being internalized and degraded (Schnute *et al*, 2018). False positive is another problem, as many differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) in the secretome may be regulated through other mechanisms such as transcription, but cannot be distinguished from *bona fide* shedding substrates by secretomics alone. Finally, secretomics provides little information on non-proteolytic targets or downstream signaling pathways, which are also important for mediating sheddase function. Therefore, it is necessary to develop complementary methods to systematically identify sheddase substrates and targets.

This study aimed to establish a new integrative proteotranscriptomics approach to identify sheddase substrates and targets, by using ADAM9 as an example. We carried out RNA-sequencing and quantitative proteomics for HCT116 colon cancer cells with and without ADAM9 knockdown (KD; Fig. 1A). The two omics datasets were integrated using two-way Orthogonal Partial Least Squares (O2PLS) analyses to identify transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally regulated protein targets of ADAM9. Among the post-transcriptional targets that negatively correlate with ADAM9, cell-surface proteins were selected as candidate substrates (Fig. 1B). Using this approach, we have

successfully uncovered two downstream signaling pathways and three substrates for ADAM9, which may contribute to the pathological roles of this versatile sheddase.

Results

Characterizing and integrating the transcriptome and cellular proteome regulated by ADAM9 in HCT116 cells

HCT116 cells were chosen for this study due to their stable diploid karyotype that is suitable for omics analyses (Venkataramanan *et al*, 2021). We recently showed that ADAM9 cleaves ephrin-B1 and -B2 to promote downstream Akt kinase activity in HCT116 and SW620 colorectal cancer (CRC) cells (Chandrasekera *et al*, 2022). To systematically identify other ADAM9 substrates and targets, we knocked down ADAM9 in HCT116 cells using the well characterized siRNA AD9-1 (Chandrasekera *et al.*, 2022). RNA-seq and multiplexed quantitative proteomics with tandem mass tag (TMT) labeling were performed for control and ADAM9 KD cells. Principle component analyses for both omics data show clear segregation of ADAM9 KD samples from the controls (Fig. S1 and S2). Volcano plots of differentially regulated genes (DEGs) and DEPs (FDR<0.05) are shown in Fig. 1C and D, and heat maps of the top DEGs and DEPs in Fig. 1E and F. The transcriptome and proteome data were integrated using OmicsPLS to identify the transcriptional and post-transcriptional targets as described in Fig. 1B and Methods. Transcriptional and post-transcriptional targets that positively and negatively correlated with ADAM9 (FC>1.2 for DEP) are listed in Table S1 and S2, respectively.

mTOR and FOXO are signaling pathways downstream of ADAM9 in HCT116 cells

To search for major signaling pathways downstream of ADAM9, we carried out KEGG pathway enrichment analysis for the DEGs, as altered cell signaling often leads to large changes in transcriptome. Among the top 10 pathways, mTOR and FOXO are two major pathways downstream of Akt (Manning & Toker, 2017). A comparison of our DEGs (FC>1.5, q<0.05) with those obtained previously using the mTOR inhibitor Torin-1 (Park *et al*, 2017) shows significant overlap (p=1.5E-04; Fig. 2B and Table S3). The validation of mTOR signaling as a target pathway of ADAM9 in HCT116 cells has been published elsewhere (Chandrasekera *et al.*, 2022). Akt also downregulates FOXO signaling by phosphorylating FOXO transcription factors to facilitate their nuclear export and degradation (Huang & Tindall, 2011). Interesting, FOXO3, the only FOXO family member detected in HCT116 cells, was one of the top post-transcriptionally upregulated proteins upon ADAM9 KD (FC=1.74, q=2.8E-06). There is also a significant overlap between our DEGs and the genes previously found to be FOXO3 targets (Eijkelenboom *et al*, 2013)(p=0.006; Fig. 2C and Table S4). We confirmed the upregulation of FOXO3 with western blotting using both AD9-1 and a second siRNA targeting ADAM9 (AD9-2; Fig. 2D and S3); no significant alteration of the *FOXO3* mRNA was found (Fig. 2E). Three target genes (*MUC13*, *THBS1*, and *GDA*) were selected from Table S4, and their upregulation upon ADAM9 KD was validated using RT-qPCR (Fig. 2E). Consistent with these findings, KD of ADAM9 leads to increased levels of nuclear FOXO3, as shown by immunocytochemistry (ICC; Fig. 2F). Together, these data indicate that ADAM9 suppresses FOXO signaling in HCT116 cells.

Identifying candidate substrates for ADAM9 from post-transcriptional targets

Upon ADAM9 KD, substrates are expected to accumulate on the membrane unless there are additional feedbacks to regulate their protein levels. Among the post-transcriptional targets that negatively correlate with ADAM9 (FC>1.2 for DEP), 188 are annotated as membrane proteins in UniProt. Further analysis showed that 64 of these membrane proteins are localized on the cell surface and hence may serve as potential substrates for ADAM9. These include 35 single-pass transmembrane (29 type I, 3 type II, 1 type IV and 2 unknown), 26 multi-pass transmembrane, and 2 glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins (Table S5).

ALCAM, IL10RB and IGSF8 are ADAM9 substrates with possible disease relevance

We next selected several of the candidate substrates for validation. These included ALCAM, IGSF8, and IL10RB. KD of ADAM9 downregulated the shed ALCAM and IGSF8 in the conditioned media and concomitantly upregulated the full-length proteins in the cell lysates (Fig. 3A, E). Conversely, overexpression of wild-type ADAM9, but not the protease-dead E348A mutant, enhanced shed ALCAM levels in the conditioned media (Fig. 3B), suggesting that ADAM9 protease activity is required for ALCAM shedding. Since ALCAM is known as a substrate for ADAM17 (Rosso *et al*, 2007), we further examined if ADAM9 regulates ALCAM shedding indirectly via ADAM17 by using HCT116 cells with ADAM17 knocked out (Fig. 3C). In the absence of ADAM17, ectopic wild-type ADAM9 but not the E348A mutant still decreased the full-length ALCAM in the cell lysates and increased the shed ectodomain in the conditioned media (Fig. 3D), suggesting that ADAM9-mediated shedding of ALCAM is independent of

ADAM17. In contrast, both wild-type ADAM9 and the E/A mutant increased shed IGSF8 and decreased the full-length protein (Fig. 3F). Thus, IGSF8 is likely an indirect substrate for ADAM9. Although we were unable to detect the shed IL10RB in the conditioned media, the full-length protein was upregulated upon ADAM9 KD (Fig. 3G). Interestingly, the shed IL10RB ectodomain was found in the cell lysates when wild-type ADAM9, but not the E/A mutant, was overexpressed (Fig. 3H). Since IL10RB primarily exists in complex with other receptor subunits such as IL10RA (Ahn & Prince, 2020; Shouval *et al*, 2014), this indicates that shed IL10RB ectodomain remains associated with these proteins on the membrane. Thus, we were able to identify ADAM9 substrates not released into the conditioned media, which could not be identified by secretomics approaches alone.

Discussion

To date, only a handful of substrates have been reported for ADAM9, all of which were identified through candidate approaches (Chou *et al.*, 2020). Here we developed a new high-throughput and nonbiased approach to systematically screen for ADAM9 substrates. Among the validated substrates identified in this study, ALCAM and IGSF8 play important roles in solid tumor progression (Ding *et al*, 2023; Ferragut *et al*, 2021), whereas IL10RB is upregulated in both COPD and COVID-19 patients and is one of the top risk factors for severe COVID-19 (Acevedo *et al*, 2021; Voloudakis *et al*, 2022). We further show that ADAM9 promotes mTOR signaling, an oncogenic pathway (Xie *et al*, 2016), while suppressing FOXO signaling, a tumor suppressor pathway (Calissi *et al*, 2021), providing an explanation for the involvement of this sheddase in multiple types of

solid tumors. These findings will shed new light on the pathophysiology of ADAM9-related diseases.

Secretomics and transcriptomics have been used as individual approaches to identify sheddase substrates and transcriptional targets, respectively; to our knowledge the integration of two or more omics approaches has not been explored for shedding. Here, we demonstrate the power of combining proteomics and transcriptomics to identify sheddase substrates and target identification. Using ADAM9 as an example, we show that this method can differentiate between transcriptional and post-transcriptional targets, and reveal substrates that are not detectable by secretomics (such as IL10RB). Our current efforts are focused on comparing and combining these results with those obtained from secretomics. The integration of multiple omics methods will greatly improve the coverage and accuracy of sheddase substrate and target identification.

Methods

Plasmids and reagents

The expression constructs encoding wild-type and protease-dead E348A mutant ADAM9 were generated in our previous study (Chandrasekera *et al.*, 2022). The cDNA construct for mouse ALCAM was purchased from Dharmacon (Clone ID: 3501215). The cDNA sequence encoding mouse ALCAM$^{28-583}$ was subcloned into a pCS2+ expression vector containing a signal peptide followed by an in-frame FLAG tag using the BspEI and XbaI restriction sites (Fig. S4). Sequences of all PCR primers used in this study are shown in Table S6. The siRNAs used in this study include control siRNA (SIC) (Cell Signaling

Technology (CST) 6568), ADAM9 siRNA-1 (AD9-1) (CST 11968), and ADAM9 siRNA-2 (AD9-2) (Ambion 4390826). Antibodies used in this study include rabbit anti-ADAM9 (CST 4151, 1:1,000), mouse anti-ALCAM (Santa Cruz 74558, 1:200), mouse anti-IL10RB (R&D Systems MAB874, 5 µg/ml), rabbit anti-FOXO3 (CST 2497, 1:1,000 for western blotting, and 1:100 For immunocytochemistry), rabbit anti-IGSF8 (Sigma 011917, 1:500), HRP-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse (CST 7076, 1:2,000), HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (CST 7074; 1:2,000), HRP-conjugated mouse anti-β-actin antibody (CST 12262, 1:2,000), and Alexa Fluor™ 594-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (Thermal fisher A-11012, 1:1,000 for ICC).

Cell culture and transfection

Parental HCT116 were purchased from ATCC (CCL-247), and HCT116 cells with ADAM17 knocked out were from Abcam (ab266873). These cells were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium (ATCC) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% Pen-Strep (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin) in 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cells were grown to 60% confluence and transfected with siRNA using lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen). The medium was refreshed 12 h after transfection, and the cells were further incubated for another 48 h. For secretomics analysis and cleavage assays, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and changed to serum-free medium 12 h after the transfection, and the cells were further incubated in serum free medium for another 48 h.

RNA-seq Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the cells using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of the RNA was estimated using the A260:A280 ratio, and RNA degradation and contamination were monitored by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels. The integrity and size distribution of RNA were evaluated using a Bioanalyzer. The total RNA samples were treated with DNase I to eliminate DNA contamination. Illumina RNA-Seq library construction and sequencing were conducted by the University of Delaware DNA Sequencing & Genotyping Center (RRID:SCR_012230, Newark, DE). Six replicates of each treatment were used for the RNA-seq (paried end with 101 read length) performed with the Illumina HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina).

After obtaining the RNA-Seq raw data in FASTQ format, the quality of the raw data was evaluated with FastQC (0.11.5) (Babraham Institute Bioinformatics, Cambridge, UK), a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. The low-quality read ends and adapter sequences were removed, and the low-quality reads were filtered out using Trim Galore (0.4.4) (Babraham Institute Bioinformatics, Cambridge, UK). After the trimming and filtering, all the reads were aligned to the hg19 human reference genome using TopHat2 (2.1.1). HTseq (0.11) was used to count how many reads map to each annotated gene. The differential gene expression analysis was conducted using the R package edgeR (3.20.9), which provide statistical routines for determining differential expression using a model based on the negative binomial distribution. False discovery rate (FDR) was used for multiple test correction, and the exact test in edgeR was conducted to determine the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with a cutoff threshold of FDR <

0.05 and fold change > 1.5. Pathway enrichment analysis of the DEGs was performed using Enrichr.

Cellular Proteomics Analysis

Proteins were reduced, alkylated, and purified by chloroform/methanol extraction prior to digestion with sequencing grade modified porcine trypsin (Promega). Tryptic peptides were labeled using tandem mass tag isobaric labeling reagents (Thermo) following the manufacturer's instructions and combined into one 10-plex sample group. The labeled peptide multiplex was separated into 46 fractions on a 100 x 1.0 mm Acquity BEH C18 column (Waters) using an UltiMate 3000 UHPLC system (Thermo) with a 50 min gradient from 99:1 to 60:40 buffer A: B ratio under basic pH conditions, and then consolidated into 18 super-fractions. Each super-fraction was then further separated by reverse phase XSelect CSH C18 2.5 um resin (Waters) on an in-line 150 x 0.075 mm column using an UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano system (Thermo). Peptides were eluted using a 60 min gradient from 98:2 to 60:40 buffer A: B ratio. Eluted peptides were ionized by electrospray (2.2 kV) followed by mass spectrometric analysis on an Orbitrap Eclipse Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo) using multi-notch MS3 parameters. MS data were acquired using the FTMS analyzer in top-speed profile mode at a resolution of 120,000 over a range of 375 to 1500 m/z. Following CID activation with normalized collision energy of 35.0, MS/MS data were acquired using the ion trap analyzer in centroid mode and normal mass range. Using synchronous precursor selection, up to 10 MS/MS precursors were selected for HCD activation with normalized collision energy of 65.0, followed by the acquisition of MS3 reporter ion data using the FTMS analyzer in profile

mode at a resolution of 50,000 over a range of 100-500 m/z. Buffer A = 0.1% formic acid, 0.5% acetonitrile, Buffer B = 0.1% formic acid, 99.9% acetonitrile. Both buffers were adjusted to pH 10 with ammonium hydroxide for offline separation.

Proteins were identified and MS3 reporter ions were quantified using MaxQuant (Max Planck Institute) against the UniprotKB *Homo sapiens* database (January 2021) with a parent ion tolerance of 3 ppm, a fragment ion tolerance of 0.5 Da, and a reporter ion tolerance of 0.003 Da. Scaffold Q+S (Proteome Software) was used to verify MS/MS-based peptide and protein identifications (protein identifications were accepted if they could be established with less than 1.0% false discovery and contained at least two identified peptides; protein probabilities were assigned by the Protein Prophet algorithm) and to perform reporter ion-based statistical analysis (Nesvizhskii *et al*, 2003). Protein TMT MS3 reporter ion intensity values were analyzed using the ProteiNorm app, a user-friendly tool for normalization, imputation of missing values, and differential expression analysis (Graw *et al*, 2020). The Linear Models for Microarray Data (limma) with empirical Bayes (eBayes) smoothing to the standard errors were used to conduct the differential expression analysis (Ritchie *et al*, 2015). Proteins with an FDR < 0.05 and a fold change > 1.5 were considered to be differentially expressed proteins.

Integration of transcriptome and proteome data to identify ADAM9 substrates and targets

The transcriptome and cellular proteome were integrated using the OmicsPLS R package (PMID: 30309317). OmicsPLS is the implementation of O2PLS, which is a symmetrical

multivariate regression method that integrates two datasets (Bouhaddani *et al*, 2016; Bouhaddani *et al*, 2018). It decomposes the variation in two data matrices into three parts: the joint component shared by two datasets, the orthogonal components specific for each dataset, and residual error. The join components induce the relationship between the two datasets and can be viewed as the representation of the integration. We define transcriptional targets as DEPs whose mRNA and protein positively correlate with each other, and post-transcriptional targets as DEPs whose mRNA and protein do not have a significant positive correlation (Fig. 1B). Pre-processed data was fitted to the O2PLS model to obtain the systematic and non-systematic components of the data, with the former including the joint component, and dataset-specific 'orthogonal' component. For both types of targets, we further determined whether they were positively or negatively correlated with ADAM9. To do this, we tested for a significant positive or negative correlation of the systematic variation of the protein with that of ADAM9 in the proteome. Potential substrates of ADAM9 cleavage are cell-surface proteins that are post-transcriptional targets negatively correlated with ADAM9. Cell Surface proteins were determined from their annotation as per UniProt (Release 2024_03) subcellular localization. The surface proteins were further prioritized based on their types.

Western Blotting and RT-qPCR

Cells were lysed in the cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) and the concentration of the protein was determined using the Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Scientific) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. For the western blotting, the same amount of protein was loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and then electrotransferred onto PVDF

membranes (Bio-Rad). After blocking for 1 hour with 5% BSA and incubating for 1 hour with primary antibody, signals were detected by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies using chemiluminescence reaction (Bio-Rad). β-actin was used as the loading control.

Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with DNase I treatment (Qiagen). RT-qPCR was performed using the qMAX Green Low Rox qPCR Mix (Accuris) according to the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were assayed in triplicate, and data were analyzed using the Comparative CT Method with GAPDH as the reference gene. The RT-qPCR primers are shown in Table S6.

Immunocytochemistry

HCT116 cells were grown to 60% confluence on Poly-L-lysine coated coverslips (Neuvitro) and transfected with siRNA using lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection reagent (Invitrogen). The medium was refreshed 12 h after transfection, and the cells were further incubated for another 48 h. Cells were then fixed in PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and permeabilized by incubation in PBS containing 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. After washing in PBS three times for 5 min, cells were blocked with 1% BSA for 30 min. Cells were then incubated with FOXO3 antibody (1:100) overnight at 4□, washed in PBS three times for 5 min, and incubated with anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing in PBS three times for 5 min, coverslips were incubated in DAPI (1:10,000) for

1 min at room temperature. Imaging was performed with confocal microscopy LSM 880, AxioObserver, and objective Plan-Apochromat 20x. Nuclear regions were first defined by DAPI stain and the red signal intensities within the nuclear regions were measured.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this work are available within the paper and its Extended View figures and tables files. RNA-seq data and proteomics data will be deposited to public repositories upon publication.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the US National Institute of Health (R01 GM114105, P20 GM104316 and U54 GM104941 to S.W.). JR was supported by an NIH training grant (T32GM133395).

Figure legends

Figure 1. The transcriptome and cellular proteome of HCT116 cells with and without ADAM9 KD. (**A**) Flow chart of our transcriptome and cellular proteome analyses. (**B**) Integration of transcriptome and cellular proteome to identify transcriptional and post-transcriptional targets as well as candidate substrates for ADAM9. (**C, D**) Volcano plots of transcriptome (**C**) and cellular proteome (**D**) showing DEGs and DEPs (FDR<0.05). ADAM9 is highlighted as a positive control. (**E, F**) Heat maps showing top DEGs (**E**) and DEPs (**F**).

Figure 2. ADAM9 regulates mTOR and FOXO signaling in HCT116 cells. (**A**) KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of transcriptome data showing top 10 pathways affected by ADAM9 KD. (**B**, **C**) Venn diagrams showing significant overlaps between the DEGs found in our transcriptome data and published transcriptional targets of mTOR (**B**) and FOXO3 (**C**). (**D**) Western blots of FOXO3 in control and ADAM9 KD cells (3 biological replicates each). Statistics is shown on the right with p-value at the top. (**E**) RT-qPCR results of the indicated genes with and without ADAM9 KD (3 biological replicates each). (**F**) ICC of FOXO3 in control and ADAM9 KD cells. Confocal images were processed with ImageJ to measure the intensity of red fluorescence (FOXO3) within the DAPI-stained area (nucleus).

Figure 3. Identification of ALCAM, IGSF8 and IL10RB as new ADAM9 substrates. HCT116 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNA or expression constructs), and western blotting was performed for conditioned media (CM) or cell lysates (CL) using

antibodies specifically recognizing the ectodomain of the indicated proteins. Three biological replicates are shown for KD experiments, with statistics including p-values on the right. Western blots of endogenous ADAM17 in parental and *ADAM17* knockout (KO) cells are shown in **C**, and shedding of FLAG-tagged ALCAM by myc-tagged wild-type (WT) ADAM9 and the E348A mutant in *ADAM17* KO cells in **D**.

References

Acevedo N, Escamilla-Gil JM, Espinoza H, Regino R, Ramírez J, Florez de Arco L, Dennis R, Torres-Duque CA, Caraballo L (2021) Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Patients Have Increased Levels of Plasma Inflammatory Mediators Reported Upregulated in Severe COVID-19. *Front Immunol* 12: 678661

Ahn D, Prince A (2020) Participation of the IL-10RB Related Cytokines, IL-22 and IFN-λ in Defense of the Airway Mucosal Barrier. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 10: 300

Bouhaddani SE, Houwing-Duistermaat J, Salo P, Perola M, Jongbloed G, Uh HW (2016) Evaluation of O2PLS in Omics data integration. *BMC Bioinformatics* 17 Suppl 2: 11

Bouhaddani SE, Uh HW, Jongbloed G, Hayward C, Klarić L, Kiełbasa SM, Houwing-Duistermaat J (2018) Integrating omics datasets with the OmicsPLS package. *BMC Bioinformatics* 19: 371

Calissi G, Lam EW, Link W (2021) Therapeutic strategies targeting FOXO transcription factors. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 20: 21-38

Calligaris M, Yang CY, Bonelli S, Spanò DP, Müller SA, Lichtenthaler SF, Troeberg L, Scilabra SD (2023) Identification of membrane proteins regulated by ADAM15 by SUSPECS proteomics. *Front Mol Biosci* 10: 1162504

Carapito R, Li R, Helms J, Carapito C, Gujja S, Rolli V, Guimaraes R, Malagon-Lopez J, Spinnhirny P, Lederle A *et al* (2022) Identification of driver genes for critical forms of COVID-19 in a deeply phenotyped young patient cohort. *Sci Transl Med* 14: eabj7521

Chandrasekera P, Perfetto M, Lu C, Zhuo M, Bahudhanapati H, Li J, Chen WC, Kulkarni P, Christian L, Liu J *et al* (2022) Metalloprotease ADAM9 cleaves ephrin-B ligands and differentially regulates Wnt and mTOR signaling downstream of Akt kinase in colorectal cancer cells. *J Biol Chem* 298: 102225

Chou CW, Huang YK, Kuo TT, Liu JP, Sher YP (2020) An Overview of ADAM9: Structure, Activation, and Regulation in Human Diseases. *Int J Mol Sci* 21

Ding Y, Chen J, Li S, Wren JD, Bajpai AK, Wang J, Tanaka T, Rice HC, Hays FA, Lu L *et al* (2023) EWI2 and its relatives in Tetraspanin-enriched membrane domains regulate malignancy. *Oncogene* 42: 861-868

Eijkelenboom A, Mokry M, de Wit E, Smits LM, Polderman PE, van Triest MH, van Boxtel R, Schulze A, de Laat W, Cuppen E *et al* (2013) Genome-wide analysis of FOXO3 mediated transcription regulation through RNA polymerase II profiling. *Mol Syst Biol* 9: 638

Ferragut F, Vachetta VS, Troncoso MF, Rabinovich GA, Elola MT (2021) ALCAM/CD166: A pleiotropic mediator of cell adhesion, stemness and cancer progression. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev* 61: 27-37

Graw S, Tang J, Zafar MK, Byrd AK, Bolden C, Peterson EC, Byrum SD (2020) proteiNorm - A User-Friendly Tool for Normalization and Analysis of TMT and Label-Free Protein Quantification. *ACS Omega* 5: 25625-25633

Huang H, Tindall DJ (2011) Regulation of FOXO protein stability via ubiquitination and proteasome degradation. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1813: 1961-1964

Lichtenthaler SF, Lemberg MK, Fluhrer R (2018) Proteolytic ectodomain shedding of membrane proteins in mammals-hardware, concepts, and recent developments. *EMBO J* 37

Manning BD, Toker A (2017) AKT/PKB Signaling: Navigating the Network. *Cell* 169: 381-405

Nesvizhskii AI, Keller A, Kolker E, Aebersold R (2003) A statistical model for identifying proteins by tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal Chem* 75: 4646-4658

Oria VO, Lopatta P, Schilling O (2018) The pleiotropic roles of ADAM9 in the biology of solid tumors. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 75: 2291-2301

Park Y, Reyna-Neyra A, Philippe L, Thoreen CC (2017) mTORC1 Balances Cellular Amino Acid Supply with Demand for Protein Synthesis through Post-transcriptional Control of ATF4. *Cell Rep* 19: 1083-1090

Parry DA, Toomes C, Bida L, Danciger M, Towns KV, McKibbin M, Jacobson SG, Logan CV, Ali M, Bond J *et al* (2009) Loss of the metalloprotease ADAM9 leads to cone-rod dystrophy in humans and retinal degeneration in mice. *Am J Hum Genet* 84: 683-691

Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK (2015) limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: e47

Rosso O, Piazza T, Bongarzone I, Rossello A, Mezzanzanica D, Canevari S, Orengo AM, Puppo A, Ferrini S, Fabbi M (2007) The ALCAM shedding by the metalloprotease ADAM17/TACE is involved in motility of ovarian carcinoma cells. *Mol Cancer Res* 5: 1246-1253

Schnute B, Troost T, Klein T (2018) Endocytic Trafficking of the Notch Receptor. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1066: 99-122

Shouval DS, Ouahed J, Biswas A, Goettel JA, Horwitz BH, Klein C, Muise AM, Snapper SB (2014) Interleukin 10 receptor signaling: master regulator of intestinal mucosal homeostasis in mice and humans. *Adv Immunol* 122: 177-210

Umeda M, Yoshida N, Hisada R, Burbano C, Orite SYK, Kono M, Kyttaris VC, Krishfield S, Owen CA, Tsokos GC (2021) ADAM9 enhances Th17 cell differentiation and autoimmunity by activating TGF-β1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 118

Venkataramanan S, Gadek M, Calviello L, Wilkins K, Floor SN (2021) DDX3X and DDX3Y are redundant in protein synthesis. *RNA* 27: 1577-1588

Voloudakis G, Vicari JM, Venkatesh S, Hoffman GE, Dobrindt K, Zhang W, Beckmann ND, Higgins CA, Argyriou S, Jiang S *et al* (2022) A translational genomics approach identifies IL10RB as the top candidate gene target for COVID-19 susceptibility. *NPJ Genom Med* 7: 52

Wang X, Polverino F, Rojas-Quintero J, Zhang D, Sánchez J, Yambayev I, Lindqvist E, Virtala R, Djukanovic R, Davies DE *et al* (2018) A Disintegrin and A Metalloproteinase-9 (ADAM9): A Novel Proteinase Culprit with Multifarious Contributions to COPD. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 198: 1500-1518

Xie J, Wang X, Proud CG (2016) mTOR inhibitors in cancer therapy. *F1000Res* 5

Yang CY, Bonelli S, Calligaris M, Carreca AP, Müller SA, Lichtenthaler SF, Troeberg L, Scilabra SD (2022) Quantitative Proteomics Reveals That ADAM15 Can Have Proteolytic-Independent Functions in the Steady State. *Membranes (Basel)* 12

Yang CY, Troeberg L, Scilabra SD (2020) Quantitative Mass Spectrometry-Based Secretome Analysis as a Tool to Investigate Metalloprotease and TIMP Activity. *Methods Mol Biol* 2043: 265-273