4 *Pannexin-2 deficiency disrupts visual pathways and leads to ocular defects in zebrafish*

Authors: Riya Shanbhag[§1,4], Georg S.O. Zoidl[$1,4], Fatema Nakhuda[$1,4], Shiva Sabour[2], Heike

Naumann[5], Christiane Zoidl[3,4], Armin Bahl[5,6], Nima Tabatabaei[2], Georg R. Zoidl[1,3,4]

Affiliations:

[1]Biology, [2]Engineering, [3]Psychology, [4]Center for Integrated and Applied Neuroscience, York

University, Canada; [5]Centre for the Advanced Study of Collective Behaviour, University of

Konstanz, Germany, [6]Zukunftskolleg, University of Konstanz

[$] These authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author: Riya Shanbhag[§] (riya28@yorku.ca)

Abstract

Pannexin-2 (Panx2) is a unique ion channel localized to ER-mitochondria contact sites.

These dynamic and specialized microdomains are abundant in neurons and glia and essential for

cellular signaling and metabolism. While synaptic interactions are well-studied, the role of

intracellular contacts, such as those of ER-mitochondrial junctions, in neuronal function and

neurodegeneration remains largely unexplored. To investigate the roles of Panx2 in neuronal

communication, we meticulously examined its expression pattern in the zebrafish brain and used

TALEN technology to generate homozygous Panx2 knockout ($Panx2^{\Delta11}$) zebrafish. Our results

demonstrate that *panx2* mRNA is present in several brain regions, notably in visual centers such

as the optic tectum and the thalamus. In 6 days post fertilization TL ($Panx2^{+/+}$) larvae, Panx2

expression was observed in the inner and outer plexiform layers of the retina and the arborization

fields of the optic tract. Transcriptome profiling of $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ larvae by RNA-seq analysis revealed

down-regulation of vision-related genes, specifically those involved in visual and sensory

perception and lens development. Behavioral tests showed that loss of Panx2 leads to an altered

ability to interpret visual information, such as changes in ambient illuminations, and respond with

the characteristic motor action. $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ larvae exhibited reduced locomotor activity during light

and increased activity during dark phases. Additionally, the knockout larvae displayed

significantly impaired optomotor response (OMR). Lastly, when we tested the retinal structure of

adult zebrafish eyes using optical coherence tomography (OCT), $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ fish revealed a longer

mean axial length and a negative shift in retinal refractive error (RRE) values; both indicative of

myopia. Our findings highlight a distinct, novel function of Panx2 in retinal development, visual

perception, and ocular health, beyond its recognized roles in neurodevelopment and tumor-

suppressing properties in cancer cells.

Introduction

Pannexin-2, the least studied member of the vertebrate-specific family of three proteins:

Pannexin-1 (Panx1), Pannexin-2 (Panx2), and Pannexin-3 (Panx3) [1], has been shown to exhibit a

dynamic expression pattern. Early reports demonstrated low levels of mammalian Panx2 during

prenatal development, with a significant increase postnatally, suggesting its involvement in CNS

expansion [2]. This finding, along with another early report pointing toward the role of Panx2 in

regulating the timing of neuronal differentiation via an S-palmitoylated modification [3],

underscores the significant implications of Panx2's role in the central nervous system. Although

originally believed to be confined to the central nervous system [4–6], Panx2 has since been

detected in sixteen different tissues; highest in skin, skeletal muscles, and the eye [7]. Recent

structural studies have revealed Panx2 as a channel-forming protein with a unique pore

architecture that allows the passage of small molecules, including ATP [8,9]. This unique structure,

along with its post-translational modifications, including N-glycosylation at asparagine (N86)

within its first extracellular loop [10], sets Panx2 apart from its paralogs, Panx1 and Panx3. Unlike

Panx1 and Panx3, N-glycosylated Panx2 is absent from the plasma membrane [11,12]. While Panx2

was known to localize within the cytoplasm, its specific organelle distribution was not

consistently defined. Studies reported its presence in various intracellular structures, including the

ER, Golgi apparatus, and endolysosomes [10,13,14]. Le Vasseur et al. identified Panx2 as a novel

component of mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs), using compelling live-cell imaging

and electron microscopy [15]. The authors showed that approximately 60% of endogenous Panx2 is

localized at the mitochondria under physiological conditions, suggesting specialized roles that

differentiate it from other pannexins. MAMs are dynamic ER-mitochondria contact sites crucial

for cellular processes such as lipid transfer, calcium homeostasis, immune regulation, and cell

death [16]. We know there are numerous ER-mitochondria contact sites in neurons [17]. However, the

specific roles of Panx2 within these junctions, particularly in the context of neurotransmission,

neurodegenerative diseases, and cancer, remain to be elucidated [18,19].

Multiple Panx2 isoforms exist in humans and zebrafish, with conserved regions primarily

localized within the transmembrane domains and intracellular loops [6]. Notably, the Panx2 C-

terminal domain exhibits significant sequence variation compared to Panx1 and Panx3 [9]. To

investigate whether these paralog differences correlate with distinct expression patterns, we

performed HCR-fluorescent *in situ* hybridization of whole larval zebrafish. Panx2 mRNA was

detected in multiple brain regions. To further elucidate the role of Panx2 in neuronal

communication, we generated a global Panx2 knockout zebrafish model using TALEN

technology. A custom-made antibody identified Panx2 in the inner segments of photoreceptors

and the outer retina and confirmed the loss of Panx2 in the knockout. Transcriptome analysis

revealed differential expression of genes involved in visual perception, circadian rhythm, and

innate and adaptive immune responses. Subsequent behavioral studies demonstrated that loss of

Panx2 impairs visual-motor responses and optomotor behavior, highlighting its critical role in

visual information processing. Lastly, optical coherence tomography of adult zebrafish eyes

revealed alterations in the structure of the lens, suggesting that early-life molecular changes

induced by the absence of Panx2 result in a myopia-like phenotype. We concluded that Panx2's

novel association with myopia contributes to the observed visual-motor phenotype.

Our study reveals a novel and essential role for Panx2 in the visual system. Given the

expression patterns of Panx2 in the brain and its subcellular localization at mitochondria-

associated membranes (MAMs), it is likely that this protein plays diverse roles in neuronal

function. While Panx2 has been implicated in various processes, including skin homeostasis,

neurodevelopment, and cancer, our findings expand its known functions to include visual

perception. This discovery highlights the multifaceted nature of Panx2 and its potential

significance in neurological disorders.

Results

Panx2 is expressed broadly in the brain of zebrafish larvae.

RNA fluorescence in-situ hybridization (RNA-FISH) was used to determine *panx2*

mRNA expression in 6 days post-fertilization (dpf) whole-mount TL larvae. By analyzing

volumes in the common reference frame of the mapZebrain altas [20], we found *panx2* distributed

within the prosencephalon, mesencephalon, and rhombencephalon (**Fig. 1a,b**). *Panx2* mRNA

levels were highest in the mesencephalon (median fluorescence intensity/pixel for *panx2* probe =

1191, n=6; control = 425, n=5; P-value <0.0001) (**Fig. 1c**). In light of our prior reports on the

roles of Panx1a/b in zebrafish visual function, we focused on brain regions involved in the

ascending visual pathway (**Fig. 1d,e**). We found high *panx2* localized within the pallium,

pretectum, tectum neuropil, tegmentum, periventricular layer (PVL), and dorsal thalamus (**Fig.**

1f,g). The elevated *panx2* expression in the neuropil, a region crucial for visual integration,

suggested a potential involvement of Panx2 channels in synaptic plasticity and neuronal

communication within this visual processing hub (median fluorescence intensity/pixel for *panx2*

probe = 2570, n=6; control = 750, n=5; P-value <0.0001). Moreover, *panx2* was concentrated in

arborization fields (AFs) 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9 (**Fig. 1h,i**). Previous studies have shown that these AFs

are key players in the zebrafish visual system. Specifically, AF4 is involved in phototaxis; AF8

and AF9 are important for the response to dimming and brightening environments [21]. While AF6

has a potential role in optokinetic and optomotor reflexes. Quantification of *panx2* fluorescence

within the AFs revealed highest levels in AF7 and AF9, both of which are associated with the

pretectum (**Fig. 1j**).

Figure 1: Spatial distribution of *panx2* mRNA by fluorescence in-situ hybridization. a)
Three-brain region atlas derived from Kunst et al. (2019) showing the major brain subdivisions:
prosencephalon, mesencephalon, and rhombencephalon. b) Dorsal view of TL larvae expressing
panx2 (green). Scale bar: 50 µm. c) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) for regions described in
(a), panx2 probe (red), control (same procedure without probe, grey). d) Three-dimensional view
of larval zebrafish brain regions involved in the visual ascending pathway, acquired from
mapZebrain. e) Simplified schematic of the visual processing pathway; visual input travels to the
thalamus and optic tectum, subsequently projecting to the pallium. f) MFI for regions described
in (d). g) Images from left to right represent sequential slices of *panx2*-labelled larval brain
regions involved in the ascending visual pathway: axial views, ventral to dorsal. h) Three-
dimensional view of larval zebrafish brain regions showing retinal arborization fields (AFs) 4, 6,
7, 8 and 9 that partially project within the dorsal thalamus and pretectum. i) Three-dimensional
projection showing AFs mentioned in (h) (top panel). Images of *panx2* expression within selected
AF regions (bottom panel). j) MFI for regions described in (h). Scale bar: 50 µm. Statistical
significance determined with two-way ANOVA. Significance: ****P-value<0.0001, ***P-
value<0.001, P-value<0.01 and *P-value<0.05. Error bars = SD. Sample sizes for TL labelled
with *panx2* probe, n=6; control probe, n=5.
139
140
141 ***Generating a Panx2 global knockout zebrafish line.***
142
The *panx2* mutant allele was generated by TALEN-mediated genome editing, targeting

the *BamHI* restriction endonuclease site in the first exon of the *panx2 gene* (**Fig. 2a**). A DNA

sequence analysis of microinjected embryos identified short 4 to 11 base pairs (bp) long

nucleotide deletions in exon one of the *panx2* gene. An adult founder fish (F0) with an 11 base

pair deletion (*panx2Δ11*) was selected for further experimentation (**Fig. 2b**). In this fish the 11 bp

deletion caused a frameshift at amino acid (aa) G28, resulting in a premature stop codon. The

consequence of this stop codon was a truncated reading frame for a 27-aa protein, lacking 640-aa

of the 651-aa long Panx2-C protein sequence (**Fig. 2c**). The *Panx2^{Δ11}* fish were viable and able to

breed for multiple generations. When comparing age-matched male and female adults to wild

type siblings a modest but significant decrease in body length was noted (**Fig. 2d,e**). The gene-

editing event in exon1 effectively eliminated the shared start codon for the three known Panx2

protein isoforms A: 580-aa (ABY78016), B: 604-aa (ABY78018), and C: 651aa (ABY78017).

The Panx2-C isoform was the most abundant *panx2* mRNA detectable in 6 dpf TL (*Panx2$^{+/+}$*)

larvae by RT-qPCR suggesting that it may play a dominant role in Panx2-mediated functions

(**Fig. 2f**).

Figure 2: Generating a Panx2 global knockout zebrafish line. a) Exon-intron organization of the
zebrafish Panx2 gene (ENSDARG00000063019, chromosome 18 (GRCz11:CM002902.2). The
positions of the targeting Left and Right arms with a unique BamHI restriction endonuclease site
in a spacer region between the TALEN pair are highlighted. b) Representation of the 11 bp
deletion causing a premature stop codon. c) Graphical representation of the predicted Panx2
structure. The region in blue indicates the residual sequence of 27 amino acids followed by a new
in-frame stop codon. Representative images (d) and comparison of body length between one-
year-old zebrafish of different genotypes, both sexes. f) Real-time PCR quantification and
presentation of the normalized expression of the three Panx2 isoforms, normalized to 18S rRNA.
Statistics in e,f: Welch's test. Sample sizes were n=26 for *Panx2^+/+* and n=20 for *Panx2^Δ11*.
Significance: ***P-value<0.001 and *P-value<0.05. Error bars = SD.
171
172

Panx2 is localized in the outer retina of the zebrafish.

To demonstrate the protein-level knockout of Panx2 in larvae, a custom polyclonal anti-

peptide Panx2 antibody was generated. *Panx2*$^{+/+}$ larvae revealed low Panx2 immunoreactivity,

which was most pronounced in the outer retina, the inner plexiform layer, and the lens epithelium

(**Fig. 3a, see open triangles**). Panx2 knockout larvae exhibited a significant reduction in

fluorescence with residual signals attributed to collagen autofluorescence in the sclera and at the

margins of the lens epithelium, confirming the loss of function at the translational level (**Fig. 3b**).

At higher magnification of the outer retina Panx2 localization was prominent in the axons of the

inner segments of photoreceptor cells and the lens epithelium (**Fig. 3c,d, see open triangles**). No

immunoreactivity was detected in horizontal cells which express two other pannexins, the Panx1a

and Panx1b isoforms [22].

Figure 3: Panx2 localization in outer retina of the zebrafish. a) TL larvae showing that Panx2 proteins (green) are ubiquitously expressed throughout the retina. The expression was enriched in the outer retina, the inner plexiform layer, and the lens epithelium. DAPI is shown in magenta. b) After Panx2 ablation the expression was greatly reduced across the retina, with residual staining left in tissue known for high autofluorescence. c) Higher magnification of the outer retina showing the pronounced staining in sections of the inner photoreceptor segments, in TL larvae. d) Higher magnification of the lens epithelium of TL larvae. Abbreviations: SCL sclera, LE lens epithelium, GCL ganglion cell layer, IPL inner plexiform layer, INL inner nuclear layer, OPL outer plexiform layer, ONL outer nuclear layer, PRL photoreceptor layer. Scale bars: (a, b) 60 µm; (c, d) 10 µm.

Panx2 ablation regulates vision processes and structural components of the lens.

A comparison of the transcriptomes of 6 dpf $Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae identified 5355 differentially expressed genes (DEG) when the adjusted P-value (padj) was <0.05. In total, 2512 genes were up-regulated, and 2843 were down-regulated (**Fig. 4a**). The Gene Ontology analyzer

for - (GOSeq 1.56.0; [23]) identified Biological Processes (BP) and Molecular Functions (MF) that

were over/under-represented in the DEG data (**Fig. 4b**). Vision-related categories like *Sensory*

Perception of Light Stimulus (GO:0050953; 61 genes down) or *Sensory Perception*

(GO:0007600; 70 genes down) were significantly down-regulated (**Fig. 4c,d**). The GO

annotations refer to the events required for an organism to receive a sensory light stimulus,

convert it to a molecular signal, and recognize as well as characterize it. The most up-regulated

process was the *Humoral Immune Response* representing immune responses mediated through a

body fluid *(GO:0006959; 17 genes up)* (**Fig. 4e**). *The Structural Component of the Lens*

(GO:0005212; 38 genes down) was the most significantly down-regulated Molecular Function.

This GO annotation refers to molecules that contribute to the structural integrity of the lens (**Fig.**

4f). The most up-regulated MFs were *Endopeptidase Regulator Activity (GO:0061135)*

encompassing molecules that modulate the activity of peptidases, which can control critical

functions of innate and adaptive immune responses like antigen processing and presentation of

immunogenic peptides.

Next, a STRING analysis with k-means clustering of the 61 differentially down-regulated

genes annotated as *Sensory Perception of Light Stimulus (GO:0050953)* showed that both the

retina and the lens were affected by loss of Panx2. Cluster I represented genes with essential roles

in normal vision and signal transduction in the retina. Genes belonging to the retinal arrestin

family (*arr3a* and *arr3b*), rhodopsin family (*rho* and *rhol*), as well as the guanylyl cyclase family

(*guca1g* and *gucy2d*), were significantly down-regulated (**Fig. 4g**). Cluster II represented lens-

specific proteins belonging to the two alpha- or beta/gamma groups of the crystallin super gene

families, including members of the gamma-N and gamma-M subgroups. The group represented

the M-subfamily of gamma-crystallin, which is specific to fish. These genes play essential roles

in the lens structure; mutations have been shown to cause cataracts.

226   Genes in the up-regulated category *Humoral Immune Response (GO:0006959)* (**Fig. 4g**)

227 showed notable up-regulated genes in *Panx2^{Δ11}* larvae. Genes such as *si:dkey-22f5.9, c8a, c8g,*

228 *c9*, are expressed in the liver. Interestingly, genes implicated in human eye diseases (*cfb*) and

229 age-related macular degeneration (c2/*si:ch1073-280e3.1 (F1QJB3_DANRE)*) were also found to

230 be up-regulated. The significant differential expression of retina and lens genes, as well as genes

231 implicated in human eye disorders, led us to prioritize biological processes related to vision.

Figure 4: Panx2 ablation regulates vision processes and structural components of the lens. a) A
DESeq2 analysis of RNA-seq data from Panx2$^{+/+}$ and Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$ 6 dpf zebrafish larvae identified
5355 differentially expressed genes with an adjusted P-value < 0.05 b) Analysis of differentially
expressed genes using GOSeq (v1.56.0). The Gene Ontology Classifier Biological Process (BP)
showed significant downregulation of several vision-related categories. c,d) The most
significantly down-regulated biological processes included *Sensory Perception of Light Stimulus*
(GO:0050953) and *Sensory Perception (GO:0007600).* e) The top up-regulated BP was *Humoral*
Immune Response (GO:0006959). f) The most significantly down-regulated Molecular Function
(MF) was *the Structural Component of the Lens (GO:0005212).* g) STRING analysis of 61
regulated genes annotated as *Sensory Perception of Light Stimulus (GO:0050953)* and 17
regulated genes annotated as *Humoral Immune Response (GO:0006959).* K-means clustering
identified two clusters which were either retina- or lens-specific. Cluster I genes are implicated in
the phototransduction processes of the retina. Cluster II represents lens-specific proteins
belonging to the alpha- or beta/gamma supergene families. A third group represents the humoral
immune response.

248
249
250   ***Light-ON and light-OFF conditions affect free swimming of Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$ larvae.***
251
We examined visually guided behaviors to explore consequences of the differential

expression of genes with roles in visual function. Baseline spontaneous swimming activity of

both genotypes was analyzed at 6 dpf, during light-ON (1200 lux) and light-OFF (0 lux) phases.

Larvae were placed individually in wells of a 24-well plate (each well: 1.9 cm^2). The

representative images illustrate locomotor patterns within a single well in the light-ON condition

(**Fig. 5a**). Knockout larvae preferred swimming close to the circumference of the well. In the

light, *Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$* larvae swam a shorter distance (t=7.755, df=113.1, P-value <0.001; n=24 larvae)

at a lower velocity (t=7.177, df=117.6, P-value <0.001; n=24 larvae) than the *Panx2$^{+/+}$* wildtype

group (**Fig. 5b,c**). In the absence of light, both *Panx2$^{+/+}$* and *Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$* larvae exhibited a

preference for the perimeter and avoided the central zone of the well (**Fig. 5d**). However, in the

light-OFF condition, *Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$* larvae swam further (t=4.147, df=88.91, P-value <0.001; n=24

larvae) at a lower, steady velocity than *Panx2$^{+/+}$* larvae (t=4.095, df=96.20, P-value <0.001; n=24

larvae) (**Fig. 5e,f**).

Figure 5: Light-ON and light-OFF conditions affect free swimming of *Panx2^Δ11*. Representative images of a single well showing examples of *Panx2^+/+* and *Panx2^Δ11* larvae locomotion patterns in the light (a). Medium (<20 mm/sec) or high-speed movements (>20 mm/sec) are visualized with green and red colors, respectively. Graphs demonstrate mean experimental traces ± 95% CI of distance traveled (mm) and speed (mm/sec) of 6 dpf larvae for 30 min (b,c) and their corresponding mean values, in the light. d) Representative images for each genotype, in the dark. Tracked experimental traces of distance and speed under dark conditions (e,f) and their corresponding mean ± SD. Sample sizes were n=24 larvae for each genotype. A Welch's t-test was used for statistical comparison between groups. Significance: ****P-value<0.0001 and *P-value<0.05. Error bars = SD.

Panx2 ablation affects the* visual motor response *to light-ON and light-OFF stimuli.

Visual motor response (VMR) analysis was performed to compare the stereotypical motor

responses of *Panx2^+/+* and *Panx2^Δ11* larvae. The kinematic data collected provided information

about seven parameters: duration of bouts, duration of bursts, freeze duration, average activity

duration, bout counts, burst counts, and freeze counts. A principal component analysis identified

"freeze duration" (dimension 1) and "average activity duration" (dimension 2) as capturing

73.62% and 15.14% of the data variance, respectively (**Fig. 6a**). Both parameters were selected to

compare divergence between the genotypes. We found that $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae displayed strikingly

different activity patterns for freeze duration, which represents the amount of time that the larvae

were immobile (**Fig. 6b**) and average activity duration (**Fig. 6c**). Panx2 knockout larvae were less

active during light-ON phases, and more active in light-OFF phases. A Welch's t-test established

statistical differences in mean activity of $Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ in both luminance conditions (P-

value <0.0001 for light-ON (**Fig. 6d**), P-value <0.0001 for light-OFF (**Fig. 6e**), n=24 larvae).

A modified VMR assay was performed next where the intensity of light was gradually

increased; locomotor activity was measured at 10% (400 lux), 20% (800 lux) and 30% (1200 lux)

light intensity. With the onset of light, $Panx2^{+/+}$ larvae exhibited a progressive increase in

average activity duration. In contrast, $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae activity was less variable as the light

stimulus intensified (**Fig. 6f**). The variance analysis revealed significant differences (P-values

<0.0001) in activity between $Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae across all levels of luminosity (**Fig.**

6g,h,i). Altogether, the differential responses demonstrated that $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae can sense

changes in light but their observed motor response to luminance is altered.

Figure 6: Panx2 ablation affects the response to light-ON and light-OFF stimuli. Principal component analysis was performed using multivariable activity data. a) The variable correlation plot indicates the coordinates of seven variables within the first two dimensions, where PC1 and PC2 capture 73.62% and 15.14% of the data variance, respectively. b) Line graph showing patterns of immobility with mean freeze duration activity for *Panx2⁺/⁺* (red) and *Panx2^{Δ11}* (blue) over alternating 20-minute periods of light-ON and light-OFF conditions. c) Line graph showing the mean average activity. Mean activity, with error bars showing SD for d) light-ON and e) light-OFF conditions, ****P-value<0.0001. f) Line graph showing the mean average activity for *Panx2⁺/⁺* (red) and *Panx2^{Δ11}* (blue) during an initial light-OFF period, followed by 20-minute increments of increasing light intensities. Mean activity, with error bars showing SD during g) 10% light intensity, h) 20% light intensity and i) 30% light intensity. ****P-value<0.0001. Data shown for n=24 larvae for each genotype.

Panx2 ablation alters the optomotor response of larvae.

Optomotor response (OMR) assays evaluated the visual perception of *Panx2^Δ11* larvae. The

assay enabled us to test an innate behavioral response that many animals use to stabilize

themselves relative to a visual environmental stimulus [24]. Both *Panx2^+/+* and *Panx2^Δ11* larvae

responded to the presented visual stimuli, composed of moving black and white stripes.

Previously optimized parameters [25] for three spatial frequencies (SF: 64, 128, and 256

pixels/cycle), two velocities (72 and 144 pixels/sec), and two contrast levels (10% and 100%)

were tested. The line graphs in **Fig. 7** summarize the percentage of positive response (PPR);

corresponding to the number of larvae that showed the expected response by swimming in the

direction of the stimulus (n=16 for genotype, for each parameter). Altogether, *Panx2^Δ11* larvae

demonstrated lower PPR and hence lower visual acuity in comparison to the wildtype group for

all permutations of SF, speed, and contrast.

Under low contrast settings (10%), *Panx2^Δ11* larvae showed an average PPR of 6.94 in

comparison to 45.15 for *Panx2^+/+*. The variance was most prevalent for the rightward direction at

a speed of 72 pixels/sec and SF of 64, where *Panx2^Δ11* larvae did not respond while PPR for TL

was 66.7 (P-value 0.00061, n=16 for each genotype). Similarly, when the stimulus was presented

in the leftward direction at the same speed and SF, *Panx2^Δ11* larvae had a PPR of 8.3 in

comparison to a much higher value of 58.3 for *Panx2^+/+* (P-value 0.006, n=16 for each genotype).

No statistically significant difference was observed between the two groups at the SF of 256 at 72

pixels/sec; and with the SF of 128 at 144 pixels/sec, regardless of directionality.

In the high contrast setting (100%), *Panx2^Δ11* larvae showed an average PPR of 26.38. In this

luminous environment, a statistically significant difference was observed between *Panx2^+/+* and

the knockout larvae at all combinations of SF and speed. Furthermore, peak response deficiency

was observed for *Panx2^Δ11* larvae at a SF of 64, reflecting the trend observed under low contrast

conditions at the same SF. While there was an increase in PPR for $Panx2^{+/+}$ at high contrast,

when the edges of the moving stripes were well defined, there was still a considerable decrease in

OMR response with the loss of Panx2. The observed decline in visual acuity of $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$ larvae

was found to be independent of direction (P-values: see Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of OMR positive response percentages between $Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta 11}$

larvae.

		Leftward			Rightward		
		Spatial frequency (pixels/cycle)					
Contrast	**Speed (pixels/sec)**	**64**	**128**	**256**	**64**	**128**	**256**
Low (10%)	**72**	0.006	0.003	0.08	0.00061	0.004	0.29
	144	0.013	0.176	0.037	0.03	0.575	0.287
High (100%)	**72**	0.001	0.04	0.03	0.00061	0.03	0.001
	144	0.0014	0.036	0.012	0.0014	0.031	0.008

345
346

Figure 7: Optomotor response (OMR) impaired in $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ larvae. OMR was used to assess
optic flow processing in 6 dpf $Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ larvae, at two light intensities (10% and
100%). Both groups were shown visual stimulus of moving stripes at three spatial frequencies
(64, 128, and 256), which were presented at 72 pixels/sec and 144 pixels/sec. The line graphs
show the percentage of larvae that indicated the expected positive response, from n=16 for each
genotype. $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ larvae had significantly lower visual acuity when compared to $Panx2^{+/+}$.
***P-value<0.001, **P-value<0.01, *P-value<0.05, ns = not a significant difference.
354
355
Panx2 loss leads to lens malformation consistent with myopia.

Whole-eye Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) images of adult, one-year-old,

$Panx2^{+/+}$ and $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ zebrafish were acquired using a custom-developed 1310nm Spectral

Domain OCT (SD-OCT) system. An analysis of each eye was performed from a microstructural

perspective and using geometric parameters, including axial length, lens diameter, retinal radius,

and corneal thickness, as illustrated in **Fig. 8a**. Detailed examinations of whole-eye images

revealed disruptions to the lens epithelium in $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ fish. These disruptions manifested as

protruding rings within the lens, unlike the smooth, well-organized epithelium observed in

$Panx2^{+/+}$ fish (**Fig. 8b,c,d**). We speculated that the defects could impair the lens's light-focusing

ability by disrupting the normal light pathway.

To account for individual variations in body size, we normalized geometric data to body

length as previous described [26,27]. Our analysis revealed a significantly longer mean axial length

in $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ fish compared to wild-type controls ($Panx2^{+/+}$ = 47.57 ± 1.39 µm/mm; $Panx2^{\Delta11}$ =

51.97 ± 2.26 µm/mm; P-value < 0.0001; Fig. 8e). To further investigate potential refractive

anomalies, we calculated the Relative Refractive Error (RRE) using high-resolution whole-eye

OCT images. RRE is a widely used standardized metric calculated as 1- (retinal radius/F), where

F is an idealized focal length equal to lens radius × 2.324. Examination of calculated RREs

revealed that Panx2 ablation led to a decrease in RRE values ($Panx2^{+/+}$ = 0.02 ± 0.03; $Panx2^{\Delta11}$

= -0.03 ± 0.03; P-value <0.0001; **Fig. 8f**). Eyes with a distance from the lens center to the retinal

pigment epithelium (RPE) greater than the expected retinal radius exhibit negative RRE values,

indicating myopic shifts. Conversely, eyes with a shorter distance (RPE closer to the lens) have

positive RRE values, signifying hyperopic shifts [26]. The observed statistically significant

reduction of RRE suggested myopia-like abnormalities in *Panx2^{Δ11}* fish. Finally, we detected an

increase in corneal thickness in *Panx2^{Δ11}* fish, when normalized to lens diameter (*Panx2^{+/+}*

$= 0.07 \pm 0.01$ µm/mm, n=38 eyes; *Panx2^{Δ11}* $= 0.08 \pm 0.01$ µm/mm, n=40 eyes; P-value <0.001;

Fig. 8g,h). For the above-mentioned parameters, we examined a total of 38 eyes from 19

Panx2^{+/+} control and 40 eyes from 20 *Panx2^{Δ11}* age-matched adult fish. Altogether, our analysis

demonstrated lens abnormalities in *Panx2^{Δ11}* fish, which suggested that Panx2 loss-of-function

may contribute to myopic vision deficits in adult zebrafish.

385
386
Figure 8: Loss of Panx2 leads to lens defects. Eyes of adult fish were imaged using a SD-OCT
system. a) Schematic definition of four geometric parameters used for quantification of high-
resolution OCT Whole-eye images. Representative images of *Panx2^{+/+}* (b) and *Panx2^{Δ11}* (c,d),
showing disruptions in lens epithelium. Scale bars = 500 µm. Bar plots showing spread of
quantified geometric parameters for the axial length normalized to body length (e), relative
refractive error (f), corneal thickness (g), and corneal thickness normalized to lens diameter (h),

collectively showing significant differences between the genotypes. Sample sizes for *Panx2*$^{+/+}$
and *Panx2*^{Δ11} were 38 and 40 eyes from 19 and 20 fish, respectively. Significance: ***P-value
<0.001 and ****P-value <0.0001.

396
397
398
Discussion

This study demonstrates the roles of Panx2 for visual function in zebrafish. We provide

three lines of evidence. We show that Panx2 mRNA is elevated within visual processing regions

of the brain; this is further supported by RNA-seq analysis that shows the differential expression

of genes with biological functions in the sensory perception of light. The testing of behavior in

response to different light stimuli demonstrates that Panx2-deficient larvae exhibit altered

locomotor behavior in response to illumination and impaired optomotor responses, indicating

defects in visual perception and acuity. Finally, in adult zebrafish, loss of Panx2 is correlated

with changes to the geometry and refractive properties of the eyes.

The novel results advance our previous work on pannexin functions in the visual system.

We had shown previously functions of two other pannexin family members. Panx1a is

predominantly expressed in the outer retina and functions in light decrement detection [28,29]. Our

work on Panx1b revealed that the isoform is localized to the inner retina and ganglion cell layer

[25,30]. We also showed that Panx1b is expressed in the end-feet of Muller glial cells, which was the

first evidence for a unique cell type-specific expression, - neuronal versus glial -unique to fish [25].

Behavioral analyses have revealed distinct phenotypes for Panx1a and Panx1b knockout

zebrafish. Panx1a KOs exhibited altered visual motor responses (VMR), characterized by a

general reduction in activity and specific deficits in dark-phase locomotion [29]. Panx1b knock

outs, on the other hand, showed impairments in optic flow direction-selectivity, particularly at

high spatiotemporal frequencies and low contrast surroundings [25]. It was also demonstrated that

Panx1a and Panx1b function in the outer retina as the slow negative feedback signal from HCs to

cones to generate the center/surround organization of bipolar cell receptive fields [31]. Here, we

show that Panx2 is expressed in the inner and outer retinal layers, with prominent expression in

the photoreceptor inner segment. Our data aligns with a previous report in the murine model,

which showed that Panx2 is expressed in the photoreceptor inner segment and outer plexiform

layer of the mouse retina [7]. Panx2 KO larvae exhibit a pronounced reduction in locomotor

activity during light phases and an increase in locomotor activity during dark phases, a pattern

that is markedly different from the VMR phenotypes observed in Panx1a/b KOs [25,29].

Furthermore, regardless of direction, the OMR response in Panx2 KOs is severely

impaired during low and high contrast conditions. Thus, our findings for Panx2 KO larvae

suggest a severe and distinct role in retinal function compared to Panx1a/b. Consistent with the

behavioral data, RNA-seq analysis identified a marked decrease in the expression of genes

involved in detecting light stimuli in Panx2 knockouts. We speculate that Panx2 plays a critical

role in retinal signaling that is not redundant with Panx1a or Panx1b. Their specific functions

may likely be complementary or synergistic, contributing to different aspects of visual

processing.

The observed expression patterns and widespread changes in gene expression and

behavior highlight the pivotal role of Panx2 in retinal physiology. Its strategic localization at ER-

mitochondria contacts (MAMs) and its abundance in the neuropil, a critical region for synaptic

transmission, suggest that the neuronal deficits in Panx2 knockout fish could stem from

bioenergetics and synaptic function disruptions. Given their highly compartmentalized nature,

neurons rely on precise calcium control that is essential for their function. The endoplasmic

reticulum (ER) and mitochondria are critical for this regulation [32]. Mitochondria, in addition to

providing energy to postsynaptic spines, also help maintain calcium homeostasis [33]. We reason

that the loss of Panx2 function in the inner segments of photoreceptors affects the biosynthetic

machinery essential for photoreceptor function. Inner segments that are rich in mitochondria, can

interface with MAMs, and consequently serve as conduits for calcium ion flux and other

signaling events between organelles. Studies indicate that dysfunction in the inner segment's

ability to produce energy can lead to vision loss and degenerative diseases like retinitis

pigmentosa and age-related macular degeneration [34].

Additionally, disruptions in the inner segment's metabolic processes are associated with

stress responses that may lead to photoreceptor cell death, impacting vision over time [35]. As

revealed by recent imaging techniques, the intricate network of ER-mitochondria connections

underscores the importance of MAMs in regulating calcium concentrations and energy dynamics

within neurons [17]. We know that the metabolic demands of synaptic transmission are substantial,

requiring a constant supply of ATP [36]. It is possible then that Panx2-mediated processes within

the ER-mitochondrial axis influence energy production or utilization, leading to deficits in

neuronal function. Additionally, the enriched expression of Panx2 in the neuropil suggests its

involvement in regulating synaptic transmission, potentially through its role in calcium signaling

or mitochondrial dynamics, which are known to influence the efficiency of energy production.

Studies have shown that ER releases calcium in dendrites upon synaptic stimulation, which is

subsequently buffered by mitochondria.

Moreover, the tethering of mitochondria to the ER might influence the duration of ER

residence in spines, potentially affecting synaptic plasticity [37]. Computational modeling has

further emphasized the role of MAMs in regulating calcium and ATP dynamics within neurons,

highlighting the importance of MAMs communication in shaping synaptic signaling [32].

Interestingly, prior overexpression studies revealed that both paralogs of Panx2, Panx1 and

Panx3, can form calcium-permeable ER channels [38,39]. These possibilities allude to the role of

Panx2 in supporting retinal function and indicate that its influence likely extends beyond the

visual signaling pathway.

The expression of Panx1 and Panx2 has been previously identified in the adult murine

lens epithelium [40]. In adult zebrafish, the ablation of Panx2 ablation resulted in lens

malformations consistent with myopia. RNA-seq data collaborated this finding, indicating

differential expression of genes associated with the structural components of the eye lens early in

life. The downregulation of genes for lens-specific proteins, including alpha- and beta/gamma-

crystallins and fish-specific M-subfamily gamma-crystallins, was particularly significant. These

genes are essential for maintaining lens structure, and mutations in them can lead to cataracts

[41,42]. Our study suggests that Panx2 is critical for cellular energy homeostasis. The loss of Panx2

could disrupt the energy supply to lens cells, hindering their growth and differentiation and

potentially resulting in a myopic phenotype. The cellular stress induced by metabolic disruptions

may contribute to the development of myopia-related ocular changes, such as altered lens

curvature or axial elongation. These potential implications of our research open new avenues for

further investigation and underscore the multifaceted role of Panx2 in retinal physiology.

Beyond its impact on vision, transcriptome profiling also suggested that Panx2 is a novel

regulator of immune responses, with immune activation potentially exacerbating retinal and lens

degeneration in aged animals. While we have yet to prioritize investigating this result, Panx2

plays multifaceted roles in maintaining visual acuity, regulating ocular morphology, and

moderating neuro-immune interactions crucial for retinal and lens development and health. In this

regard this study's implications extend to understanding the neuro-immune axis in vision and

suggest that Panx2 could be a therapeutic target for conditions involving retinal degeneration,

immune dysregulation, cataracts, or myopia.

Materials and Methods

Zebrafish lines.

Zebrafish (*Danio Rerio*) of strain Tubingen long fin (TL) were maintained in groups with

mixed sex in a recirculation system (Aquaneering Inc., San Diego, CA) at 28°C on a 14hr

light/10hr dark cycle. All animal work was performed at York University's zebrafish vivarium

and in an S2 biosafety laboratory following the Canadian Council for Animal Care guidelines

after approval of the study protocol by the York University Animal Care Committee (GZ#2019-

7-R2).

Generating *Panx2^{411}* zebrafish.

Potential TALENs target sites were identified using Mojo Hand software

(http://talendesign.org) [11,12]. TALENs targeted exon 1 of the *panx2* gene (NM_001256641). The

TALEN constructs were synthesized in Dr. Stephen Ekker's lab (Mayo Clinic Cancer Center,

Rochester, MN). TALEN assemblies of the repeat-variable di-residues (RVD-containing repeats)

were conducted using the Golden Gate approach [43]. pT3TS-GoldyTALEN expression vectors

[44,45]. Plasmids were linearized with the SacI restriction endonuclease (ThermoFisher Scientific,

Canada) for 15 min at 37°C and used as templates for *in vitro* transcription.

Capped cRNAs were synthesized from TALEN pairs mixed 1:1 using the mMESSAGE

mMACHINE T3 Transcription kit (Life Technologies, Canada) and purified using the Oligotex

mRNA Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Toronto, Canada). TALEN cRNAs were diluted in DNase/RNase-

free water (Life Technologies) to the final concentration of 1 µg/µL and stored at −80°C before

microinjection.

One-cell stage zebrafish embryos were microinjected with TALEN cRNAs pair at a dose

of 25 pg/nl. Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from injected embryos at 4 dpf to examine the

TALEN mutagenesis efficiency. Individual larvae were incubated in 100 mM NaOH at 95°C for

15 min. After cooling to room temperature, one-tenth of the 1 M Tris (pH8.0) volume was added

to the extracts to neutralize the NaOH. Finally, 1 volume TE buffer pH 8.0 was added, and

gDNAs were stored at -20°C. gPCR was used as a screen to detect small indel mutations by

BamHI restriction enzyme (RE) digests. PCR primers for genotyping: 5'-

CGAATGCAGAATATCCTCGAGCAG-3'; reverse, 5'-GTGACGACCCGGTCAAAGG-3'.

Indel mutations were confirmed by sequencing (Eurofins Genomics LLC, KY, USA) of gel-

purified PCR products cloned into the pJet1.2 cloning vector (Life Technologies).

Adult mosaic zebrafish (F0) were anesthetized in pH-buffered ethyl 3-aminobenzoate

methanesulfonate solution (0.2 mg/ml; MS-222, Sigma-Aldrich). A section of the caudal fin was

removed using dissecting scissors (WPI Inc., FL, USA) and placed into 1.5 ml collecting tubes.

The fin gDNA was isolated and screened for indel mutations as described [44]. Adult F0 zebrafish

were out-crossed to wild-type (WT) TL zebrafish and their F1 offspring were analyzed by PCR

and *BamHI* restriction digestions to verify germline transmission of mutations. Heterozygous

Panx2$^{+/-}$ F1 mutants were in-crossed to establish homozygous F2 mutants *Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$*. All

experiments described were performed with progenies of F3 or alter generations. Age matched

siblings served as controls.

NGS RNA-sequencing.

The transcriptomes of the *Panx2$^{\Delta 11}$* and sibling controls (*Panx2$^{+/+}$*) were analyzed by

RNA-seq (NGS-Facility, The Center for Applied Genomics, SickKids, Toronto, ON, Canada).

The raw data represented the sequencing of three independent pools of ≈30 age-matched larvae at

6 dpf. The RNA-seq data are deposited at the NCBI - Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database

repository (ID pending). Total RNAs were extracted using RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen). The

RNA quality was determined using a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA High Sensitivity chip (Agilent

Technologies, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The RNA library preparation was performed following

the NEB NEBNext Ultra II Directional RNA Library Preparation protocol (New England Biolabs

Inc., Ipswich, MA, USA). RNA libraries were loaded on a Bioanalyzer 2100 DNA High

Sensitivity chip to check for size, quantified by qPCR using the Kapa Library Quantification

Illumina/ABI Prism Kit protocol (KAPA Biosystems, Wilmington, MA, USA). Pooled libraries

were paired-end sequenced on a High Throughput Run Mode flow cell with the V4 sequencing

chemistry on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) following

Illumina's recommended protocol to generate paired-end reads of 126-bases in length.

Differential Gene Expression and Functional Enrichment Analyses.

The post-sequencing processing to final read counts, normalization, and differential gene

expression analysis used multiple software packages, including a two-condition differential

expression analysis using the edgeR R-package, v.4.0.16 [46,47] and DESeq2 R package version

1.42.1 [48]. Genotypes were incorporated into the statistical model and multiple hypothesis testing

was performed. The default filter for DESeq2 used a threshold of p<0.05 and the Benjamini-

Hochberg procedure to determine the false discovery rate (FDR), and the adjusted P-value (padj).

A Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) tested whether a defined set of genes shows

statistically significant, concordant differences between genotypes. The data were filtered at padj

<0.05 and analyzed for enrichment using R GOseq (v1.56.0) [23]. Other tools used to process

RNA-seq data were HCOP (https://www.genenames.org/tools/hcop/) and db2db at bioDBnet

(https://biodbnet.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/db/db2db.php) to convert curated human or mouse to zebrafish

genes [49,50]. Curated gene lists were generated based on GOseq and analyzed in STRING v12.0

(string-db.org) [51]. The top-scoring categories were visualized using ggplot2 (v3.5.1) from the

tidyverse package (v2.0.0) in R [52].

Hybridization chain reaction RNA-fluorescence in situ hybridization (HCR RNA-FISH).

Larvae were raised under standard conditions in egg water. When embryos reached 12

hours post-fertilization (hpf), egg water was replaced with egg water containing 0.003% of 1-

phenyl 2-thiourea (PTU). Fresh egg water containing 0.003% PTU was replaced every 24 hours

until the larvae reached 5 dpf. At 6 dpf, the larvae were euthanized and fixed in 4% ice-cold

paraformaldehyde (PFA). After 24 hours, the PFA was washed out with $1\times$ PBS, and the samples

were gradually dehydrated, permeabilized with methanol, and stored at $-20°C$ for several days

until HCR in situ labelling was performed.

Staining was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol for whole-mount

zebrafish larvae [53]. Samples were separated into 5 larvae per well in a 24-well plate. Rehydration

steps were performed by washing for 5 minutes (mins) each in 75% methanol/PBST ($1\times$ PBS +

0.1% Tween-20), 50% methanol/PBST, 25% methanol/PBST, and 5 times with 100% PBST. The

samples were permeabilized with 30 µg/ml proteinase K for 45 mins at room temperature (RT),

followed by post-fixation with 4% PFA for 20 mins at RT, and 5 washes in PBST for 5 mins

each. The samples were prehybridized in 500 µl of probe hybridization buffer (Molecular

Instruments) for 30 mins at 37°C. Hybridization was performed by adding 2 pmol of each probe

set to the hybridization buffer and incubating for 16 hours at 37°C. Probe sets for *panx2* were

purchased from and designed by Molecular Instruments using proprietary HCR methodology to

detect and fluorescently label target RNA transcripts. To maximize targeting, the probe was

designed against shared regions of known variants found on NCBI Gene and the Ensembl

database. Each probe set consisted of 20 split-initiator probe pairs per target and utilized a B1

amplifier with a 546 nm fluorophore label. To remove excess probes, the samples were washed 4

times for 15 mins each with a wash buffer (Molecular Instruments) at 37°C, followed by 2

washes of 5 mins each with 5× SSCT (5× SSC + 0.1% Tween-20) at RT. Pre-amplification was

performed by incubating the samples for 30 mins in an amplification buffer (Molecular

Instruments) at RT. The fluorescently labelled hairpins (B2-488) were prepared by snap cooling:

heating at 95°C for 90 seconds and then cooling to RT for 30 mins. The hairpin solution was

prepared by adding 10 µl of the snap-cooled hairpins (3 µM stock concentration) to 500 µl of

amplification buffer. The pre-amplification buffer was removed, and the samples were incubated

in the hairpin solution for 16 hours at RT. Excess hairpins were washed three times with 5×

SSCT for 20 mins each. Following HCR RNA-FISH, larvae were stained with DAPI (1:12,000)

overnight at 4°C, followed by three 10-minute washes in 5× SSCT. The samples were then stored

in 5× SSCT in the dark at 4°C until imaging. A negative control was included, where no probes

were added but fluorescent hairpins were used. The larvae were raised under standard conditions,

with PTU treatment, euthanized at 6 dpf, and processed for HCR in situ labeling.

Confocal image acquisition and analysis.

A z-mold or an 8-teeth mold for zebrafish larvae was 3D-printed using 2% low melting

agarose on glass-bottom culture dishes (MatTek, P35G-0-10C) [54]. The larvae were positioned in

larva-shaped slots and embedded dorsal side down in 0.5% low melting agarose. Images were

acquired on the Nikon A1R confocal system with 20x W objective using the 546 nm (B1

amplifier) and 468 nm lasers. Image analysis was performed using FIJI (v2.9.0).

The acquired z-stacks were mapped against the mapZebrain atlas [20,55] using the DAPI

stain channel as a bridge (see reference brain mapping in materials and methods). Median raw

fluorescence values from *Panx2* probe and control larvae were then extracted from well-defined

anatomical mapZebrain atlas regions. For visualization, these regions were overlayed on panx2

stacks using FIJI. The correlating regions are displayed either as transversal or lateral sections, or

as a 3D projection viewed dorsally.

Reference brain mapping.

We used the ANTs brain registration library (Avants et al. 2011) to map volumes against

the mapZebrain atlas [20,55]. We first used Fiji to manually convert each acquired confocal volume

into separate nrrd files, one for the DAPI and one for the *panx2* channel. To then generate a warp

transformation file across coordinate systems, we mapped DAPI volumes against the

T_AVG_DAPI reference volume (downloaded from the mapZebrain atlas platform). We then

applied the resulting transform to the *panx2* probe channel. We used brain region masks

(downloaded from the mapZebrain platform) to slice out the fluorescence from the mapped image

stacks for further analysis of the *panx2* expression levels within well-defined separate brain areas.

For all mappings, we used the following ANTs commands:

antsRegistration -v 1 -d 3 --float 1 --winsorize-image-intensities [0.005, 0.995] --use-histogram-

matching 0 -o <output.nrrd> --initial-moving-transform

[<DAPI_reference.nrrd>,<image_DAPI_channel.nrrd>,1] -t Rigid[0.1] -m

MI[<DAPI_reference.nrrd>,<image_DAPI_channel.nrrd>,1,32,Regular,0.5] -c

[1000x500x250x300,1e-8,10] -s 3x2x1x0 -f 8x4x2x1 -t Affine[0.1] -m

MI[<DAPI_reference.nrrd>,<image_DAPI_channel.nrrd>,1,32,Regular,0.5] -c

[200x200x200x100,1e-8,10] -s 3x2x1x0 -f 8x4x2x1 -t SyN[0.1,6,0] -m

CC[<DAPI_reference.nrrd>,<image_DAPI_channel.nrrd>,1,2] -c [200x100,1e-7,10] -s 4x3 -f

12x8

antsApplyTransforms -d 3 -v 0 -- float -n linear -i <image_panx2_channel.nrrd> -r

<DAPI_reference.nrrd> -o <image_panx2_channel_mapped.nrrd> -t <image_1Warp.nii.gz> -t <

image_0GenericAffine.mat>

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis.

Total RNA (1 µg) was extracted and purified from pools of 30 zebrafish larvae at 6 dpf

using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, United States of America) as per the

manufacturer's protocols. Fish were homogenized by bead beating in 1xTE buffer (pH 8.0).

RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix

(Bio-Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression was

analyzed by qRT-PCR using the SsoAdvanced universal SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad,

Mississauga, ON, Canada) in the CFX96™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad,

Mississauga, ON, Canada). Thermal cycling was carried out for 39 cycles of the following: 94°C

for 30 seconds, 50°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 1 min. The housekeeping gene, *18S*, was used

to determine the quality of the samples and for normalization purposes. CT values were averaged

and exported from the CFX Manager Software (Bio-Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada). The fold-

difference for the relative gene expression was calculated using the Relative Expression Software

Tool VS2009 [56]. Three technical replicates per gene were performed from three biological

replicates.

Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR:

Gene	ID	Forward primer (5'-3')	Reverse primer (5'-3')	Amplicon (bp)
panx2-A	EU252023.1	ACATTCCCTACAGGCACAG	GTCAACCTGACTCCCATCTC	103
panx2-B	EU252025.1	TGGGTGCAGTGATCACACATT	ACGCTGAGGCACAAGGTC	75
panx2-C	EU252024.1	CCGAGCATCCATCATACCTTAT	TAACACTCTCCAGCAGCAATC	95

Immunohistochemistry.

Larvae (6 dpf) were humanely euthanized in MS-222 solution (0.02% w/v, Sigma-

Aldrich) and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1xPBS overnight at 4°C, followed by

cryoprotection in 30% sucrose in 1xPBS. After embedding in Tissue-Tek O.C.T compound 15µm

sections were cut on a cryotome (Thermofisher). Samples were washed three times for 5 mins

with 1xPBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) at RT. Unspecific binding sites were blocked

with freshly prepared 5% normal goat serum (NGS, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBST for 1 hour at RT.

Following blocking, samples were incubated with a custom anti-Panx2 antibody (1:200,

GenScript) overnight at 4°C. A monoclonal anti-Panx2 antibody was generated against a

carboxy-terminal peptide (IKAEKPKPVRRKNV), representing amino acids 460-473 of Panx2.

The antibody was produced in rabbit and affinity-purified by GenScript (Nanjing GenScript

Biotech Co., Ltd). Subsequent washes with PBST were carried out for 1 hour at 4℃. The Alexa

488 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:20,000 in 1% NGS PBST, catalog#A11034, Life

Technologies) was applied for 1 hour at RT. After three washes with PBST and one wash with

water, specimens were mounted on microscope slides using ProLong Antifade with DAPI

(Thermofisher). Confocal images were collected using LSM-ZEN2 software (Zeiss LSM700

system; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Oberkochen, Germany) with Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 or

Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.3 oil DIC M27 objectives. For comparison of wild type and knockout

tissues settings for raw image collection were identical. Post image collection composite figures

were created using Adobe Photoshop 2021.

Zebrafish Locomotion Assays.

The Zebrabox behavior system and the ZebraLab analytical suite (ViewPoint Life

Technology, Lyon, France, http://www.viewpoint.fr) were used for automated extraction of

behavioral outputs and video tracking. Tracking videos were recorded at 30 frames per second

(fps) under infrared (for light-OFF recording) or visible light (light-ON) illumination using a

Point Grey Research Dragonfly2 DR2-HIBW camera (Teledyne-FLIR, Burlington, ON, Canada).

Inside the Zebrabox a lightbox provided visible and infrared light from below for recordings

using 0% to 30% light intensity (visible range, 0-1200 lux). 6 dpf larvae were observed in 24 or

48-well plates maintained at 28°C throughout the experiment. All experiments were performed

between 12:00 to 2 pm, as larval (6 dpf) activity was previously reported to reach a stable level

by early afternoon.

Spontaneous free-swimming assay.

Larval swimming activity under constant light-ON/OFF conditions was tested using 24-

well plates. Locomotor behavior was tracked for 30 mins using the Zebrabox system. For

analysis of locomotion, three thresholds were defined: slow (<2mm), medium (2-20mm), and fast

(>20mm). The mean total distance traveled (mm) and velocity (mm/sec) in two swim speeds

(medium and fast) were used for statistical analysis.

Visual Motor Response (VMR) assay.

Zebrafish larvae (n=24 per genotype) were acclimatized to darkness for 2 hours in a 48-

well plate. Baseline activity was recorded for 21 mins under light-OFF conditions. For the first

experiment, larvae were subjected to alternating 20-minute light-ON (30%, 1200 lux) and light-

OFF periods, totaling 1 hour and 41 mins. For the second experiment, a modified protocol

involved incremental 10% light intensity increases every 20 mins, culminating in 30% (1200 lux)

light intensity after 1 hour and 21 mins. Data acquisition for all experiments was conducted using

the Quantization® mode of Zebrabox. Data collected at each time point included freeze count,

freeze duration, mid count, mid duration, burst count, and burst duration. For analysis, the

average duration (mean of mid and burst durations) was calculated for each larva.

Opto Motor Response (OMR).

710
OMR assays were performed using a custom-built system, which follows the design

described [57]. Briefly, stimuli were presented from underneath the larvae using an ASUS P3B 800-

Lumen LED portable projector (https://www.asus.com/ca-en/Projectors/P3B/). The larval

movements were recorded using a USB 3.1 high-speed camera (XIMEA GmbH, Germany)

equipped with a 35mm C Series Fixed Focal Length Lens (Edmund Optics Inc., USA). An 830

nm long-pass filter (Edmund Optics Inc., USA) was used to block infrared illumination coming

from a source at the bottom of the test environment. The videos were recorded using the XIMEA

Windows Software Package (https://www.ximea.com/support/wiki/apis/software_packages).

Visual stimuli were generated with an online stimulus generator program called "Moving

Grating" (available at https://michaelbach.de/sci/stim/movingGrating/index.html).

During experiments four larvae in a 3 cm dish (Thermo Scientific) were allowed to

acclimatize for 5 mins before starting the video recording. The visual stimulus consisted of

sequences of alternating white and black stripes generated with 64/128/256 pixels/cycle spatial

frequency. The speed rate was set to 72/144 pixels/sec. The contrast was set to 10%, where the

stripes appeared as white and grey, or 100%, where the stripes appeared as white and black.

Stimuli were presented to larvae (n = 4, for each of the four independent experimental repeats)

for 30 seconds in the left or right direction. Once the larvae oriented towards the moving stimulus

and initiated a sustained swimming motion in the direction of the stimulus, it was counted as a

positive response. The positive rate of response was used for analysis. This value was expressed

as a percentage: number of larvae that swam in the direction of the stimulus/ total number of

larvae in the dish.

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) assay.

733
A custom-developed spectral-domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) system

was built in a Michelson configuration, employing a super luminescent laser diode centered at

1,310 nm (± 75 nm at 10 dB; Exalos, Switzerland) and a 2048-pixel line scan camera

spectrometer with a maximum acquisition rate of 147kHz (Wasatch Photonics; United States of

America). A 50/50 fiber coupler splits the source light into the reference and sample arms. In the

sample arm, the output light illuminates the sample surface after passing through a reflective

beam collimator (Thorlabs; United States of America), a 2-DOF galvo mirror, and an objective

lens (LSM02, Thorlabs; United States of America). The 2-DOF Galvo mirror allows for

collection of reflected light from the sample while raster scanning sample surface. In the

reference arm, a polarization controller, a dispersion compensation block, and a gold-coated

reference mirror were installed. The back- reflected light of these two arms is subsequently

merged after passing through the beam splitter and redirected to the spectrometer by the optical

circulator. The formed interference pattern in the spectrometer is captured by a line scan camera.

The captured signal is digitized and sent to the computer for processing. To form an A-line (i.e.,

depth profile of sample reflections at a given point on sample surface), the tomograms of the

sample is background subtracted and mapped to k-space before applying Fourier transformation

to calculate depth profile of reflectors in the z-space (physical depth space). Processes were

repeated for data acquired during raster scanning of beam on sample surface to eventually form

3-D OCT volumetric images of zebrafish eye. The axial and lateral resolutions of the system in

tissue were measured as 8.5 μm and 10 μm, respectively. Before imaging, age-matched adult

zebrafish were humanely euthanized using MS-222. Fish were then placed in a silicon mold to

orient the eye toward OCT system's objective lens. To minimize specular reflections from

sample surface, a thin layer of PBS (~80 μm) was placed over the eye before imaging. All

captured OCT images were calibrated for image pixel size in axial and lateral directions before

interrogation in ImageJ software and quantification of the geometric parameters.

Statistics and data reproducibility.

Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism VS10.2.3. Results are represented

as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or standard error of the mean (SEM) for behavioural data.

For molecular analysis (RT-qPCR, RNA-seq), a minimum of $n \geq 3$ independent experimental

replicates were generated. For behavioral testing G*power analysis determined the number of

larvae. The normality and homogeneity of the data variance were determined by the "Shapiro-

Wilk Test" and "Levene's Test." Experimental groups were compared using unpaired t-tests,

Welch's t-tests, or two-way ANOVA. A *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

For all experiments, sample sizes, statistical tests, and when appropriate P-values are indicated in

the figure legends.

Acknowledgements

We thank two members of York University Zebrafish Vivarium, Janet Fleites-Medina, and

Veronica Scavo for outstanding zebrafish husbandry. We also wish to thank the Center for

Applied Genomics, SickKids, Toronto, ON, Canada for the RNA-seq service.

Funding

This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council

(NSERC) discovery grants RGPIN-2022-04605 (NT), and RGPIN-2019-06378 (GRZ). AB

received funding through the Emmy Noether Program (BA 5923/1-1), the Excellence Strategy

(EXC 2117-422037984), and the Zukunftskolleg Konstanz.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, RS, GRZ; data analysis, RS, GSZ, FN, SS, HN, AB; investigation, all authors;

writing – original draft preparation, RS, GRZ; writing – review and editing, all authors;

visualization, RS, GSZ, FN, SS, AB; supervision, NT, GRZ; project administration, GRZ;

funding acquisition, NT, GRZ, AB.

Consent for publication

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval

All animal work was performed at York University's zebrafish vivarium and in an S2 biosafety

laboratory following the Canadian Council for Animal Care guidelines after approval of the study

protocol by the York University Animal Care Committee (GZ#2019-7-R2).

Data Availability

The RNA-seq data are deposited at the NCBI - Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database

repository (ID pending at time of submission). Additional information necessary for the

reanalysis of the data reported in this manuscript is available from the corresponding author upon

request.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Materials & Correspondence

Correspondence and material requests should be addressed to Riya Shanbhag, Georg R. Zoidl.

References

1. Panchina, Y., Kelmanson, I., Matz, M., Lukyanov, K., Usman, N., and Lukyanov, S. (2000).
A ubiquitous family of putative gap junction molecules. Current Biology *10*, R473–R474.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(00)00576-5.

2. Vogt, A., Hormuzdi, S.G., and Monyer, H. (2005). Pannexin1 and Pannexin2 expression in
the developing and mature rat brain. Molecular Brain Research *141*, 113–120.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbrainres.2005.08.002.

3. Swayne, L.A., Sorbara, C.D., and Bennett, S.A.L. (2010). Pannexin 2 is expressed by
postnatal hippocampal neural progenitors and modulates neuronal commitment. J Biol
Chem *285*, 24977–24986. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.130054.

4. Baranova, A., Ivanov, D., Petrash, N., Pestova, A., Skoblov, M., Kelmanson, I., Shagin, D.,
Nazarenko, S., Geraymovych, E., Litvin, O., et al. (2004). The mammalian pannexin
family is homologous to the invertebrate innexin gap junction proteins. Genomics *83*,
706–716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2003.09.025.

5. Bond, S.R., Wang, N., Leybaert, L., and Naus, C.C. (2012). Pannexin 1 Ohnologs in the
Teleost Lineage. J Membrane Biol *245*, 483–493. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00232-012-
9497-4.

6. Zoidl, G., Kremer, M., Zoidl, C., Bunse, S., and Dermietzel, R. (2008). Molecular diversity
of connexin and pannexin genes in the retina of the zebrafish Danio rerio. Cell Commun
Adhes *15*, 169–183. https://doi.org/10.1080/15419060802014081.

7. Le Vasseur, M., Lelowski, J., Bechberger, J.F., Sin, W.-C., and Naus, C.C. (2014).
Pannexin 2 protein expression is not restricted to the CNS. Frontiers in Cellular
Neuroscience *8*.

8. He, Z., Zhao, Y., Rau, M.J., Fitzpatrick, J.A.J., Sah, R., Hu, H., and Yuan, P. (2023).
Structural and functional analysis of human pannexin 2 channel. Nat Commun *14*,
1712. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37413-z.

9. Zhang, H., Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Hou, M., Du, C., Zhao, Z., Vogel, H., Li, Z., Yan, K., Zhang,
X., et al. (2023). Cryo-EM structure of human heptameric pannexin 2 channel. Nat
Commun *14*, 1118. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36861-x.

10. Sanchez-Pupo, R.E., Johnston, D., and Penuela, S. (2018). N-Glycosylation Regulates
Pannexin 2 Localization but Is Not Required for Interacting with Pannexin 1. Int J Mol Sci
19, 1837. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19071837.

11. Ambrosi, C., Gassmann, O., Pranskevich, J.N., Boassa, D., Smock, A., Wang, J., Dahl, G.,
Steinem, C., and Sosinsky, G.E. (2010). Pannexin1 and Pannexin2 channels show
quaternary similarities to connexons and different oligomerization numbers from each
other. J Biol Chem *285*, 24420–24431. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.115444.

12. Penuela, S., Bhalla, R., Nag, K., and Laird, D.W. (2009). Glycosylation Regulates
Pannexin Intermixing and Cellular Localization. Mol Biol Cell *20*, 4313–4323.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E09-01-0067.

13. Boassa, D., Nguyen, P., Hu, J., Ellisman, M.H., and Sosinsky, G.E. (2014). Pannexin2
oligomers localize in the membranes of endosomal vesicles in mammalian cells while
Pannexin1 channels traffic to the plasma membrane. Front Cell Neurosci *8*, 468.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2014.00468.

14. Wicki-Stordeur, L.E., Boyce, A.K.J., and Swayne, L.A. (2013). Analysis of a pannexin 2-
pannexin 1 chimeric protein supports divergent roles for pannexin C-termini in cellular
localization. Cell Commun Adhes *20*, 73–79.
https://doi.org/10.3109/15419061.2013.791681.

15. Le Vasseur, M., Chen, V.C., Huang, K., Vogl, W.A., and Naus, C.C. (2019). Pannexin 2
Localizes at ER-Mitochondria Contact Sites. Cancers (Basel) *11*, 343.
https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11030343.

16. Wang, N., Wang, C., Zhao, H., He, Y., Lan, B., Sun, L., and Gao, Y. (2021). The MAMs
Structure and Its Role in Cell Death. Cells *10*, 657.
https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10030657.

17. Lee, A., Hirabayashi, Y., Kwon, S.-K., Lewis, T.L., and Polleux, F. (2018). Emerging roles of
mitochondria in synaptic transmission and neurodegeneration. Curr Opin Physiol *3*, 82–
93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cophys.2018.03.009.

18. Markovinovic, A., Greig, J., Martín-Guerrero, S.M., Salam, S., and Paillusson, S. (2022).
Endoplasmic reticulum–mitochondria signaling in neurons and neurodegenerative
diseases. Journal of Cell Science *135*, jcs248534. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.248534.

19. Missiroli, S., Perrone, M., Gafà, R., Nicoli, F., Bonora, M., Morciano, G., Boncompagni,
C., Marchi, S., Lebiedzinska-Arciszewska, M., Vezzani, B., et al. (2023). PML at
mitochondria-associated membranes governs a trimeric complex with NLRP3 and

P2X7R that modulates the tumor immune microenvironment. Cell Death Differ *30*, 429–
441. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-022-01095-9.

20. Kunst, M., Laurell, E., Mokayes, N., Kramer, A., Kubo, F., Fernandes, A.M., Förster, D.,
Dal Maschio, M., and Baier, H. (2019). A Cellular-Resolution Atlas of the Larval Zebrafish
Brain. Neuron *103*, 21-38.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.034.

21. Baier, H., and Wullimann, M.F. (2021). Anatomy and function of retinorecipient
arborization fields in zebrafish. Journal of Comparative Neurology *529*, 3454–3476.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.25204.

22. Prochnow, N., Abdulazim, A., Kurtenbach, S., Wildförster, V., Dvoriantchikova, G.,
Hanske, J., Petrasch-Parwez, E., Shestopalov, V.I., Dermietzel, R., Manahan-Vaughan,
D., et al. (2012). Pannexin1 Stabilizes Synaptic Plasticity and Is Needed for Learning.
PLOS ONE *7*, e51767. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051767.

23. Young, M.D., Wakefield, M.J., Smyth, G.K., and Oshlack, A. (2010). Gene ontology
analysis for RNA-seq: accounting for selection bias. Genome Biology *11*, R14.
https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-2-r14.

24. LeFauve, M.K., Rowe, C.J., Crowley-Perry, M., Wiegand, J.L., Shapiro, A.G., and
Connaughton, V.P. (2021). Using a variant of the optomotor response as a visual defect
detection assay in zebrafish. J Biol Methods *8*, e144.
https://doi.org/10.14440/jbm.2021.341.

25. Safarian, N., Houshangi-Tabrizi, S., Zoidl, C., and Zoidl, G.R. (2021). Panx1b Modulates
the Luminance Response and Direction of Locomotion in the Zebrafish. International
Journal of Molecular Sciences *22*, 11750. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111750.

26. Collery, R.F., Veth, K.N., Dubis, A.M., Carroll, J., and Link, B.A. (2014). Rapid, Accurate,
and Non-Invasive Measurement of Zebrafish Axial Length and Other Eye Dimensions
Using SD-OCT Allows Longitudinal Analysis of Myopia and Emmetropization. PLOS ONE
9, e110699. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110699.

27. Brown-Panton, C.A., Sabour, S., Zoidl, G.S.O., Zoidl, C., Tabatabaei, N., and Zoidl, G.R.
(2023). Gap junction Delta-2b (gjd2b/Cx35.1) depletion causes hyperopia and visual-
motor deficiencies in the zebrafish. Front Cell Dev Biol *11*, 1150273.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2023.1150273.

28. Prochnow, N., Hoffmann, S., Vroman, R., Klooster, J., Bunse, S., Kamermans, M.,
Dermietzel, R., and Zoidl, G. (2009). Pannexin1 in the outer retina of the zebrafish, Danio
rerio. Neuroscience *162*, 1039–1054.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2009.04.064.

29. Safarian, N., Whyte-Fagundes, P., Zoidl, C., Grigull, J., and Zoidl, G. (2020). Visuomotor
deficiency in panx1a knockout zebrafish is linked to dopaminergic signaling. Sci Rep *10*,
9538. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66378-y.

30. Kurtenbach, S., Prochnow, N., Kurtenbach, S., Klooster, J., Zoidl, C., Dermietzel, R., Kamermans, M., and Zoidl, G. (2013). Pannexin1 Channel Proteins in the Zebrafish Retina Have Shared and Unique Properties. PLOS ONE *8*, e77722. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077722.

31. Cenedese, V., de Graaff, W., Csikós, T., Poovayya, M., Zoidl, G., and Kamermans, M. (2017). Pannexin 1 Is Critically Involved in Feedback from Horizontal Cells to Cones. Front Mol Neurosci *10*, 403. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2017.00403.

32. Leung, A., Ohadi, D., Pekkurnaz, G., and Rangamani, P. (2021). Systems modeling predicts that mitochondria ER contact sites regulate the postsynaptic energy landscape. npj Syst Biol Appl *7*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41540-021-00185-7.

33. Tsuboi, M., and Hirabayashi, Y. (2021). New insights into the regulation of synaptic transmission and plasticity by the endoplasmic reticulum and its membrane contacts. Proc Jpn Acad Ser B Phys Biol Sci *97*, 559–572. https://doi.org/10.2183/pjab.97.028.

34. Liu, H., and Prokosch, V. (2021). Energy Metabolism in the Inner Retina in Health and Glaucoma. International Journal of Molecular Sciences *22*, 3689. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22073689.

35. Hanna, J., David, L.A., Touahri, Y., Fleming, T., Screaton, R.A., and Schuurmans, C. (2022). Beyond Genetics: The Role of Metabolism in Photoreceptor Survival, Development and Repair. Front Cell Dev Biol *10*, 887764. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.887764.

36. Li, S., and Sheng, Z.-H. (2022). Energy matters: presynaptic metabolism and the maintenance of synaptic transmission. Nat Rev Neurosci *23*, 4–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-021-00535-8.

37. Perez-Alvarez, A., Yin, S., Schulze, C., Hammer, J.A., Wagner, W., and Oertner, T.G. (2020). Endoplasmic reticulum visits highly active spines and prevents runaway potentiation of synapses. Nat Commun *11*, 5083. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18889-5.

38. Ishikawa, M., Iwamoto, T., Nakamura, T., Doyle, A., Fukumoto, S., and Yamada, Y. (2011). Pannexin 3 functions as an ER Ca2+ channel, hemichannel, and gap junction to promote osteoblast differentiation. Journal of Cell Biology *193*, 1257–1274. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201101050.

39. Vanden Abeele, F., Bidaux, G., Gordienko, D., Beck, B., Panchin, Y.V., Baranova, A.V., Ivanov, D.V., Skryma, R., and Prevarskaya, N. (2006). Functional implications of calcium permeability of the channel formed by pannexin 1. Journal of Cell Biology *174*, 535–546. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200601115.

40. Dvoriantchikova, G., Ivanov, D., Panchin, Y., and Shestopalov, V.I. (2006). Expression of
pannexin family of proteins in the retina. FEBS Letters *580*, 2178–2182.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2006.03.026.

41. Berry, V., Ionides, A., Pontikos, N., Georgiou, M., Yu, J., Ocaka, L.A., Moore, A.T., Quinlan,
R.A., and Michaelides, M. (2020). The genetic landscape of crystallins in congenital
cataract. Orphanet J Rare Dis *15*, 333. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-020-01613-3.

42. Song, I.-K., Na, S., Paek, E., and Lee, K.-J. (2020). Cataract-Associated New Mutants
S175G/H181Q of βB2-Crystallin and P24S/S31G of γD-Crystallin Are Involved in Protein
Aggregation by Structural Changes. Int J Mol Sci *21*, 6504.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21186504.

43. Cermak, T., Doyle, E.L., Christian, M., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Schmidt, C., Baller, J.A.,
Somia, N.V., Bogdanove, A.J., and Voytas, D.F. (2011). Efficient design and assembly of
custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for DNA targeting. Nucleic
Acids Res *39*, e82. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr218.

44. Bedell, V.M., Wang, Y., Campbell, J.M., Poshusta, T.L., Starker, C.G., Krug II, R.G., Tan,
W., Penheiter, S.G., Ma, A.C., Leung, A.Y.H., et al. (2012). In vivo genome editing using a
high-efficiency TALEN system. Nature *491*, 114–118.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11537.

45. Bedell, V.M., and Ekker, S.C. (2015). Using Engineered Endonucleases to Create
Knockout and Knockin Zebrafish Models. In Chromosomal Mutagenesis, S. M. Pruett-
Miller, ed. (Springer), pp. 291–305. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1862-1_17.

46. Chen, Y., Lun, A.T.L., and Smyth, G.K. (2016). From reads to genes to pathways:
differential expression analysis of RNA-Seq experiments using Rsubread and the edgeR
quasi-likelihood pipeline. F1000Res *5*, 1438.
https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8987.2.

47. Chen, Y., Chen, L., Lun, A.T.L., Baldoni, P.L., and Smyth, G.K. (2024). edgeR 4.0: powerful
differential analysis of sequencing data with expanded functionality and improved
support for small counts and larger datasets. Preprint at bioRxiv,
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.21.576131
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.21.576131.

48. Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Preprint at bioRxiv,
https://doi.org/10.1101/002832 https://doi.org/10.1101/002832.

49. Mudunuri, U., Che, A., Yi, M., and Stephens, R.M. (2009). bioDBnet: the biological
database network. Bioinformatics *25*, 555–556.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn654.

50. Yates, B., Gray, K.A., Jones, T.E.M., and Bruford, E.A. (2021). Updates to HCOP: the
HGNC comparison of orthology predictions tool. Briefings in Bioinformatics *22*,
bbab155. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbab155.

51. Szklarczyk, D., Kirsch, R., Koutrouli, M., Nastou, K., Mehryary, F., Hachilif, R., Gable,
A.L., Fang, T., Doncheva, N.T., Pyysalo, S., et al. (2023). The STRING database in 2023:
protein-protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses for any
sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res *51*, D638–D646.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac1000.

52. Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L.D., François, R.,
Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., et al. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse.
Journal of Open Source Software *4*, 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.

53. Choi, H.M.T., Schwarzkopf, M., Fornace, M.E., Acharya, A., Artavanis, G., Stegmaier, J.,
Cunha, A., and Pierce, N.A. (2018). Third-generation *in situ* hybridization chain reaction:
multiplexed, quantitative, sensitive, versatile, robust. Development *145*, dev165753.
https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.165753.

54. Geng, Y., and Peterson, R.T. (2021). Rapid Mounting of Zebrafish Larvae for Brain
Imaging. Zebrafish *18*, 376–379. https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2021.0062.

55. Shainer, I., Kuehn, E., Laurell, E., Al Kassar, M., Mokayes, N., Sherman, S., Larsch, J.,
Kunst, M., and Baier, H. (2023). A single-cell resolution gene expression atlas of the
larval zebrafish brain. Science Advances *9*, eade9909.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ade9909.

56. Pfaffl, M.W., Horgan, G.W., and Dempfle, L. (2002). Relative expression software tool
(REST) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results
in real-time PCR. Nucleic Acids Res *30*, e36. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/30.9.e36.

57. Štih, V., Petrucco, L., Kist, A.M., and Portugues, R. (2019). Stytra: An open-source,
integrated system for stimulation, tracking and closed-loop behavioral experiments.
PLoS Comput Biol *15*, e1006699. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006699.

1010