BioLLMNet: Enhancing RNA-Interaction Prediction with a Specialized Cross-LLM Transformation Network

Md Toki Tahmid*
Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology
sharifulislamtoki@gmail.com

Abrar Rahman Abir
Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology
abrarrahmanabir156@gmail.com

M. Saifur Rahman
Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology
mrahman@cse.buet.ac.bd

Abstract

Existing computational methods for the prediction of RNA related interactions often rely heavily on manually crafted features. Language model features for bio-sequences has gain significant popularity in proteomics and genomics. However, during interaction prediction, how language model features from different modalities should be combined to extract the most representative features is yet to be explored. We introduce BioLLMNet, a novel framework that introduces an effective combination approach for multi-modal bio-sequences. BioLLMNet provides a way to transform feature space of different molecule's language model features and uses learnable gating mechanism to effectively fuse features. Rigorous evaluations show that BioLLMNet achieves state-of-the-art performance in RNA-protein, RNA-small molecule, and RNA-RNA interactions, outperforming existing methods in RNA-associated interaction prediction.

1 Introduction

Ribonucleic acids (RNAs) are essential biomolecules that play diverse roles in cellular processes through interactions with other RNAs, proteins, and small molecules [20, 18, 3, 9, 24, 32, 8, 30]. Understanding these RNA-associated interactions is crucial, as they regulate various physiological and pathological processes. Specifically, RNA-RNA interactions are involved in post-transcriptional processes, contributing to gene expression regulation [26, 12]. RNA-protein interactions are vital for maintaining cellular homeostasis, and disruptions in these interactions can lead to cellular dysfunctions or diseases such as cancer [29, 1, 15, 6]. Furthermore, RNA-small molecule interactions have significant implications in therapeutic development, as RNAs can serve as potential drug targets, especially when conventional protein targets are less accessible [5, 16].

Despite the importance of these interactions, the discovery of RNA-associated interactions is often challenging due to the complexity of RNA structures and the limited availability of experimentally resolved RNA-containing structures [17, 11]. Existing computational methods have therefore been

---

*Corresponding author.

developed to predict such interactions and facilitate research in this area. These approaches typically involve encoding interacting molecules into computer-recognizable features such as sequences, structures, and physicochemical properties, followed by integrating these features to predict interactions. For RNA-RNA interactions, tools like MD-MLI and lncIBTP convert RNA sequences and secondary structures into numerical vectors, then use machine learning algorithms to identify potential interaction partners [25, 31]. In the case of RNA-protein interactions, tools such as CatRAPID and PRPI–SC use both sequence and structural information to calculate interaction scores, combining features like hydrogen bonding potential, charge, and hydrophobicity [33, 2]. For RNA-small molecule interactions, LigandRNA and dSPRINT extract molecular fingerprints and physicochemical properties of small molecules, then predict binding affinities with RNA using statistical models and similarity-based ranking [23, 7].

While existing methods have made significant progress, they face several limitations. Most approaches rely on manually crafted features based on sequence motifs, structural properties, or physicochemical characteristics, which may not fully capture the complexity and dynamic nature of RNA interactions. Additionally, these methods often lack flexibility in adapting to diverse RNA sequences and their interaction partners. Notably, none of the current models utilizes RNA language model features, which have shown great potential for capturing intricate sequence patterns and contextual information in a data-driven manner. Integrating RNA language model features could provide a more comprehensive understanding of RNA interactions, enhancing the predictive power and generalizability of these methods. Therefore, there is a need for methods that combine language model representations to more accurately predict RNA-RNA, RNA-protein, and RNA-small molecule interactions.

To address these issues, we propose a novel framework **BioLLMNet** for predicting RNA interactions with protein, RNA and small molecules. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to incorporate RNA language model embeddings for predicting RNA-associated interactions, incorporating advanced sequence representations that capture complex RNA patterns. Moreover, We employ language model embeddings for both modalities in interaction prediction, meaning that for RNA and its interacting partners (whether proteins, small molecules, or other RNAs), we utilize language model-based representations. This approach allows for a more comprehensive and context-aware understanding of interaction patterns. Additionally, for biological language model feature fusion for different modalities, we propose a learnable gating mechanism that adaptively combines features from both RNA and the other modality. Finally, through rigorous evaluation we validate that BioLLMNet achieves state-of-the-art performance for predicting RNA-RNA, RNA-protein, and RNA-small molecule interactions, outperforming existing approaches in all categories.

2 Methods

In this section, we present the architecture of BioLLMNet, focusing on embedding processes for RNA, protein, and small molecules, as well as the transformation and gated combination of multimodal feature spaces. The overall architecture of BioLLMNet is shown in Figure 1.

RNA Language Model Representation: RNA sequences composed of adenine (A), uracil (U), cytosine (C), and guanine (G) are embedded using RNA-FM, which converts sequences $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$ into high-dimensional feature vectors for downstream tasks. We use BiRNA-BERT[**?**] to generate language model features for RNA sequences.

Protein Language Model Representation: Protein sequences $\mathcal{P} = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m\}$ are embedded using esm2 [], which tokenizes the sequence and processes it into a 1024-dimensional space using transformer layers, with the CLS token capturing a global representation.

Molecular Language Model Representation: MoleBERT [] tokenizes SMILES strings S by converting them into molecular graphs $G = (V, E)$. Each atom v_i is encoded into a latent vector z_i, quantized into a token t_i, and embedded into a feature vector e_i. The final set of embeddings $E = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ captures the molecule's structural context.

2.1 Transformation of Cross-Lingual Feature Space

To unify the multi-lingual biological feature space, we transform lower-dimensional modality vectors into higher-dimensional ones using Algorithm 1. For RNA-protein and RNA-small molecule interactions, we use a single-layer MLP to map the RNA features to the dimensionality of the other

Figure 1: Overall architecture of BioLLMNet. (a) We transform the feature space size of RNA to match the feature space size of the protein or molecules. For that, we employ a single layer MLP which performs this transformation. This MLP is learned with the whole network. (b) Finally, we use a gated weight to first perform a weighted average of the two sequence embeddings (which are now in the same dimension after step 2), and then pass the joint embedding through a multi-layer MLP network which is essentially the prediction head. These three MLPs (transformation, gated weight, and prediction head) are learned jointly with backpropagation.

modality, followed by a ReLU activation. This transformation ensures consistent dimensionality for downstream tasks and is learned during training.

Algorithm 1 Cross-Lingual Feature Transformation

Require: Feature vectors $\mathbf{f}_{RNA}, \mathbf{f}_{Protein}, \mathbf{f}_{MoleBERT}$
Ensure: Transformed feature vectors $\mathbf{f}'_{RNA}$
 1: **Transformation:**
 2: **if** RNA-Protein interaction **then**
 3:     $\mathbf{f}'_{RNA} \leftarrow ReLU(MLP_\theta(\mathbf{f}_{RNA}))$
 4: **end if**
 5: **if** RNA-Small Molecule interaction **then**
 6:     $\mathbf{f}'_{RNA} \leftarrow ReLU(MLP_\theta(\mathbf{f}_{RNA}))$
 7: **end if**

2.2 Gated Combination of Transformed Spaces

After transforming the feature spaces to the same dimensionality, we combine them using a gated mechanism with Algorithm 2. This approach dynamically balances the contribution of each modality by learning a gate for each feature dimension. The gate allows the model to emphasize one modality over another based on input data, improving feature relevance for downstream tasks.

The gate parameters are learned via backpropagation, and the final prediction is made through a three-layer deep neural network, optimized with a combined loss function.

3 Results

RNA Protein Interaction Prediction: For the RNA-protein interaction prediction, we evaluate BioLLMNet on the RPI-1460 dataset, comparing it with six models: RPISeq-RF, IMPIner, CFRP, RPITER, LPI-CSFFR, and RNAincoder. From Table 1 we see that, BioLLMNet achieves superior performance across all metrics, showing an 11.6% improvement in MCC, 4.8% in accuracy, 9.0% in F1, and 9.6% in precision on RPI-1460.

Algorithm 2 Gated Combination of Transformed Spaces

Require: Transformed feature vectors $\mathbf{f}'_1$ and $\mathbf{f}'_2$ of same dimension
Ensure: Combined feature vector $\mathbf{f}_{combined}$
  1: **Initialization:** Gate parameters $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where d is the dimensionality
  2: Compute gate values:
$$\mathbf{g}_{values} \leftarrow \sigma(\mathbf{g})$$
  ▷ Sigmoid activation function
  3: Compute combined feature vector:
$$\mathbf{f}_{combined} \leftarrow \mathbf{f}'_1 \odot \mathbf{g}_{values} + \mathbf{f}'_2 \odot (1 - \mathbf{g}_{values})$$
  ▷ Element-wise multiplication
  4: **Output:** Combined feature vector $\mathbf{f}_{combined}$

Table 1: Performance comparison of different methods on RPI1460 dataset.

Method	MCC	ACC	F1	Precision	Recall
RPISeq-RF[19]	0.570	0.780	0.780	0.790	0.780
IMPIner[21]	0.520	0.760	0.770	0.720	0.830
CFRP [4]	0.630	0.810	0.820	0.830	0.780
RPITER [22]	0.412	0.690	0.510	0.610	0.480
LPI-CSFFR [10]	0.600	0.830	0.840	0.780	0.910
RNAincoder [27]	*0.760*	*0.880*	*0.840*	*0.810*	*0.940*
BioLLMNet	**0.848** {↑11.6%}	**0.923** {↑4.8%}	**0.925** {↑9.0%}	**0.888** {↑9.6%}	**0.966** {↑2.8%}

Case Study: We conducted a case study to evaluate BioLLMNet's ability to identify RNA-protein interactions, focusing on the RNA sequence '2QEX-0', which interacts with 37 different proteins. As shown in Figure 2, BioLLMNet correctly predicts all interactions with proteins from the 2QEX family (29 proteins) but makes two incorrect predictions with 4GD1-G and 2WH1-Y. Upon examining the confidence scores, the incorrect predictions show significantly lower scores, demonstrating BioLLMNet's robustness with 100

Figure 2: Case study of interactions of the 2QEX-0 RNA complex with different protein complexes. To the left (a), there is the actual interactions. 2QEX-0 interacts will all 29 proteins from the 2QEX protein family however, does not interact with the other eight proteins. (b) Predicted interaction result with BioLLMNet. BioLLMNet predicts the true interactions perfectly, however it predicts two non-interacting edges as interacting edges.

RNA-Small Molecule Interaction Prediction: RNA-small molecule interaction prediction is a challenging regression task where given a RNA sequence and molecular representation of a small moleule we need to determine their binding affinity. For that, we use the benchmarking datasets provided in `https://web.iitm.ac.in/bioinfo2/RSAPred/Predict.html` where RNA sequences of six RNA families : miRNA, Viral RNA, Riboswitch RNA, Ribosomal RNA, Repeats RNA and Aptamers are provided along with the proteins they interact with. Following RSAPred [], we divide the dataset into training and validation set with 20% validation split and report the pearson correlation and the mae scores in Table 2. We see that, BioLLMNet achieves superior performance in five out of the six datasets in pearson's correlation and achieves best mae score in all datasets.

Table 2: Comparison of BioLLMNet and RSAPred on various datasets (Pearson and MAE).

Metric	Method	Aptamer	Repeats	Ribosomal	Riboswitch	Viral	miRNA
Pearson	**BioLLMNet**	**0.7713**	**0.9340**	**0.8933**	**0.9462**	**0.8004**	0.8712
	RSAPred[14]	0.718	0.894	0.836	0.909	0.784	**0.881**
MAE	**BioLLMNet**	**0.5726**	**0.3572**	**0.5743**	**0.5236**	**0.5582**	**0.3827**
	RSAPred	0.607	0.367	0.687	0.537	0.607	0.434

Transformation Along Same Language– lncRNA-miRNA Interaction Prediction: The core idea of BioLLMNet is combining sequences from different modalities, requiring feature space transformation. We explore whether gated combinations work for the same modality by applying it to miRNA-lncRNA interaction prediction, where both sequences belong to the RNA domain but differ significantly in length. We use three RNA-RNA interaction datasets for evaluation with cross-validation across six train-test combinations. miRNA sequences range from 10-50 nucleotides, while lncRNA sequences vary from 200-4000 nucleotides. BioLLMNet outperforms CORAIN in four out of six dataset combinations (ATH-GMA, GMA-ATH, MTR-ATH, MTR-GMA), with up to 17.2% improvement as shown in Table 3. In ATH-MTR, BioLLMNet achieves 72% accuracy, and in GMA-MTR, it records 84% accuracy, with a 9.7% performance drop compared to the SOTA.

Table 3: Comparison of BioLLMNet, CORAIN, and PMLIPred on miRNA-lncRNA interaction datasets

Model	Train-Test Dataset					
	ATH-GMA	ATH-MTR	GMA-ATH	GMA-MTR	MTR-ATH	MTR-GMA
PMLIPred[13]	65	70	55	87	53	71
CORAIN[28]	*69*	***74***	*67*	***93***	*58*	*84*
BioLLMNet	**69** {↑**0.0%**}	72 {↓**2.7%**}	**69** {↑**3.0%**}	84 {↓**9.7%**}	**68** {↑**17.2%**}	**85** {↑**1.2%**}

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, BioLLMNet introduces an innovative approach to combining language model features from different bio-sequence modalities, providing a robust solution for RNA-associated interaction prediction. By transforming the feature space and employing a learnable gating mechanism, BioLLMNet effectively fuses multi-modal features, leading to state-of-the-art performance across various interaction types, including RNA-protein, RNA-small molecule, and RNA-RNA interactions.

Looking ahead, the framework's potential can be further expanded by incorporating additional modalities such as structural or physiochemical features, which can be integrated in a similar manner to enhance prediction accuracy. Furthermore, future extensions could adopt strategies akin to LLAVA, where joint embeddings from natural language and visual modalities are learned, enabling the seamless incorporation of diverse feature types such as 3D molecular structures and other high-dimensional bio-sequence features. This will allow for the development of more sophisticated models capable of leveraging heterogeneous data sources to improve the accuracy and robustness of RNA-related interaction predictions.

References

[1] M. Bellucci, F. Agostini, M. Masin, and G.G. Tartaglia. Predicting protein associations with long noncoding rnas. *Nature Methods*, 8:444–445, 2011.

[2] M. Bellucci, F. Agostini, M. Masin, and G.G. Tartaglia. Predicting protein associations with long noncoding rnas. *Nature Methods*, 8:444–445, 2011.

[3] L.L. Chen. The expanding regulatory mechanisms and cellular functions of circular rnas. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 21:475–490, 2020.

[4] Qiguo Dai, Maozu Guo, Xiaodong Duan, Zhixia Teng, and Yueyue Fu. Construction of complex features for computational predicting ncrna-protein interaction. *Frontiers in genetics*, 10:18, 2019.

[5] C.V. Dang, E.P. Reddy, K.M. Shokat, and L. Soucek. Drugging the 'undruggable' cancer targets. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 17:502–508, 2017.

[6] N. Duan, M. Arroyo, W. Deng, M.C. Cardoso, and H. Leonhardt. Visualization and characterization of rna–protein interactions in living cells. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49:e107, 2021.

[7] A. Etzion-Fuchs, D.A. Todd, and M. Singh. dsprint: predicting dna, rna, ion, peptide and small molecule interaction sites within protein domains. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 49:e78, 2021.

[8] P. Gainza, F. Sverrisson, F. Monti, E. Rodola, D. Boscaini, M.M. Bronstein, and B.E. Correia. Deciphering interaction fingerprints from protein molecular surfaces using geometric deep learning. *Nature Methods*, 17:184–192, 2020.

[9] G.J. Goodall and V.O. Wickramasinghe. Rna in cancer. *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 21:22–36, 2021.

[10] Xiaoqian Huang, Yi Shi, Jing Yan, Wenyan Qu, Xiaoyi Li, and Jianjun Tan. Lpi-csffr: Combining serial fusion with feature reuse for predicting lncrna-protein interactions. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, 99:107718, 2022.

[11] B. Hwang, J.H. Lee, and D. Bang. Single-cell rna sequencing technologies and bioinformatics pipelines. *Experimental Molecular Medicine*, 50:1–14, 2018.

[12] Q. Kang, J. Meng, J. Cui, Y. Luan, and M. Chen. Pmlipred: a method based on hybrid model and fuzzy decision for plant mirna-lncrna interaction prediction. *Bioinformatics*, 36:2986–2992, 2020.

[13] Qiang Kang, Jun Meng, Jun Cui, Yushi Luan, and Ming Chen. Pmlipred: a method based on hybrid model and fuzzy decision for plant mirna–lncrna interaction prediction. *Bioinformatics*, 36(10):2986–2992, 2020.

[14] Sowmya R Krishnan, Arijit Roy, and M Michael Gromiha. Reliable method for predicting the binding affinity of rna-small molecule interactions using machine learning. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 25(2):bbae002, 2024.

[15] H. Lanjanian, S. Nematzadeh, S. Hosseini, M. Torkamanian-Afshar, F. Kiani, M. Moazzam-Jazi, N. Aydin, and A. Masoudi-Nejad. High-throughput analysis of the interactions between viral proteins and host cell rnas. *Computational Biology and Medicine*, 135:104611, 2021.

[16] V. Llombart and M.R. Mansour. Therapeutic targeting of "undruggable" myc. *EBioMedicine*, 75:103756, 2022.

[17] S.M.H. Mahmud, W. Chen, Y. Liu, M.A. Awal, K. Ahmed, M.H. Rahman, and M.A. Moni. Predtis: prediction of drug-target interactions based on multiple feature information using gradient boosting framework with data balancing and feature selection techniques. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 22:bbab046, 2021.

[18] X. Mou, S.W. Liew, and C.K. Kwok. Identification and targeting of g-quadruplex structures in malat1 long non-coding rna. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 50:397–410, 2022.

[19] Usha K Muppirala, Vasant G Honavar, and Drena Dobbs. Predicting rna-protein interactions using only sequence information. *BMC bioinformatics*, 12:1–11, 2011.

[20] A.C. Palcau, V. Canu, S. Donzelli, S. Strano, C. Pulito, and G. Blandino. Circpvt1: a pivotal circular node intersecting long non-coding-pvt1 and c-myc oncogenic signals. *Molecular Cancer*, 21:33, 2022.

[21] Xiaoyong Pan, Yang Yang, Chun-Qiu Xia, Aashiq H Mirza, and Hong-Bin Shen. Recent methodology progress of deep learning for rna–protein interaction prediction. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: RNA*, 10(6):e1544, 2019.

[22] Cheng Peng, Siyu Han, Hui Zhang, and Ying Li. Rpiter: a hierarchical deep learning framework for ncrna–protein interaction prediction. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 20(5):1070, 2019.

[23] A. Philips, K. Milanowska, G. Lach, and J.M. Bujnicki. Ligandrna: computational predictor of rna-ligand interactions. *RNA*, 19:1605–1616, 2013.

[24] M. Ramanathan, D.F. Porter, and P.A. Khavari. Methods to study rna–protein interactions. *Nature Methods*, 16:225–234, 2019.

[25] J. Song, S. Tian, L. Yu, Q. Yang, Y. Xing, C. Zhang, Q. Dai, and X. Duan. Md-mli: prediction of mirna-lncrna interaction by using multiple features and hierarchical deep learning. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 19:1724–1733, 2020.

[26] B. Van Treeck and R. Parker. Emerging roles for intermolecular rna–rna interactions in rnp assemblies. *Cell*, 174:791–802, 2018.

[27] Yunxia Wang, Zhen Chen, Ziqi Pan, Shijie Huang, Jin Liu, Weiqi Xia, Hongning Zhang, Mingyue Zheng, Honglin Li, Tingjun Hou, et al. Rnaincoder: a deep learning-based encoder for rna and rna-associated interaction. *Nucleic acids research*, 51(W1):W509–W519, 2023.

[28] Yunxia Wang, Ziqi Pan, Minjie Mou, Weiqi Xia, Hongning Zhang, Hanyu Zhang, Jin Liu, Lingyan Zheng, Yongchao Luo, Hanqi Zheng, et al. A task-specific encoding algorithm for rnas and rna-associated interactions based on convolutional autoencoder. *Nucleic acids research*, 51(21):e110–e110, 2023.

[29] C.A. Weidmann, A.M. Mustoe, P.B. Jariwala, J.M. Calabrese, and K.M. Weeks. Analysis of rna–protein networks with rnp-map defines functional hubs on rna. *Nature Biotechnology*, 39:347–356, 2021.

[30] S. Zhang, K. Amahong, X. Sun, X. Lian, J. Liu, H. Sun, Y. Lou, F. Zhu, and Y. Qiu. The mirna: a small but powerful rna for covid-19. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 22:1137–1149, 2021.

[31] Y. Zhang, C. Jia, and C.K. Kwoh. Predicting the interaction biomolecule types for lncrna: an ensemble deep learning approach. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 22:bbaa228, 2021.

[32] Z. Zhang, W. Sun, T. Shi, P. Lu, M. Zhuang, and J.L. Liu. Capturing rna–protein interaction via cruis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 48:e52, 2020.

[33] H. Zhou, J.S. Wekesa, Y. Luan, and J. Meng. Prpi-sc: an ensemble deep learning model for predicting plant lncrna–protein interactions. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 22:415, 2021.