Decoding the biogenesis of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta and their fusion with the nuclear speckle.

Chiara Tomasini[1*], Celine Cuche[1*], Selen Ay[1], Maxence Collard[1], Bin Cui[2], Mohammad Rashid[2], Shaoni Bhattacharjee[1], Julian Buchrieser[3], Charlotte Luchsinger[2], Cinzia Bertelli[2], Vladimir N. Uversky[4], Felipe Diaz-Griffero[2#], Francesca Di Nunzio[1#]

[1] *Institut Pasteur, Advanced Molecular Virology Unit, Department of Virology, Université Paris Cité, 75015 Paris, France*

[2] *Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Department of Immunology and Microbiology, New York 10461, USA*

[3] *Institut Pasteur,* Virus and Immunity Unit*, Department of Virology, Université Paris Cité, 75015 Paris, France.*

[4] *Department of Molecular Medicine and USF Health Byrd Alzheimer's Research Institute, Morsani College of Medicine, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33612, USA*

 # Address correspondence to Francesca Di Nunzio, dinunzio@pasteur.fr, or Felipe Diaz-Griffero, felipe.diaz-griffero@einsteinmed.edu

* These authors have equally contributed

Highlights

- The formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta is critical for restoring HIV-1 nuclear reverse transcription (RT).
- CPSF6 protein lacking the FG peptide cannot bind to the viral core, thereby failing to form HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta.
- The FG peptide, rather than low-complexity regions (LCRs) or the mixed charge domains (MCDs) of the CPSF6 protein, drives the formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta.
- HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta form individually and later fuse with nuclear speckles (NS) via the intrinsically disordered region (IDR) of SRRM2.

Summary

Viruses rely on host cellular machinery for replication. After entering the nucleus, the HIV genome accumulates in nuclear niches where it undergoes reverse transcription and integrates into neighboring chromatin, promoting high transcription rates and new virus progeny. Despite anti-retroviral treatment, viral genomes can persist in these nuclear niches and reactivate if treatment is interrupted, likely contributing to the formation of viral reservoirs.

The post-nuclear entry dynamics of HIV remain unclear, and understanding these steps is

critical for revealing how viral reservoirs are established.

In this study, we elucidate the formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta and the domains of

CPSF6 essential for this process. We also explore the roles of nuclear speckle scaffold factors,

SON and SRRM2, in the biogenesis of these puncta. Through genetic manipulation and

depletion experiments, we demonstrate the key role of the intrinsically disordered region of

SRRM2 in enlarging nuclear speckles in the presence of the HIV capsid.

We identify the FG domain of CPSF6 as essential for both puncta formation and binding to the

viral core, which serves as the scaffold for CPSF6 puncta. While the low-complexity regions

(LCRs) modulate CPSF6 binding to the viral capsid, they do not contribute to puncta formation,

nor do the disordered mixed charge domains (MCDs) of CPSF6. These results demonstrate

how HIV evolved to hijack host nuclear factors, enabling its persistence in the host.

Of note, this study provides new insights into the underlying interactions between host factors

and viral components, advancing our understanding of HIV nuclear dynamics and offering

potential therapeutic targets for preventing viral persistence.

Introduction

Since the discovery of HIV (Barre-Sinoussi et al., 1983), the initial stages of the viral life cycle have been understood to primarily occur within the host cytoplasm. Only the pre-integration complex, carrying the fully reverse-transcribed viral DNA, was believed to enter the nucleus for integration into the host chromatin (Suzuki and Craigie, 2007). Recent studies highlighted that the viral genome is transported in the nucleus via a shuttle that shields it from the hostile cellular environment (Rasaiyaah et al., 2013). This shuttle is constituted by the viral capsid (Blanco-Rodriguez and Di Nunzio, 2021; Blanco-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2016; Selyutina et al., 2020; Yamashita and Emerman, 2004; Zila et al., 2021), which comprises 250 hexamers and 12 pentamers (Pornillos et al., 2009). Within the structure of the capsid, hydrophobic pockets exist between hexamers, which serve as targets for various nucleoporins, especially the ones carrying FG repeats, facilitating the translocation of the capsid through the nuclear pore complex (NPC) (Buffone et al., 2018; Di Nunzio, 2013; Di Nunzio et al., 2012; Lelek et al., 2015; Matreyek et al., 2013; Price et al., 2014). Recent studies suggest that HIV uses multiple FG regions of several nucleoporins to translocate through the NPC, acting as a chaperone by itself (Dickson et al., 2024; Fu et al., 2024). Using a reductionist system of Nup98 condensates, it has been demonstrated that FG-mediated phase partitioning identifies specific sites on the capsid that allow it to interact autonomously with these phases. These findings complement the evolving understanding of the early stages of HIV infection, which has been revisited in recent years. They unveil that crucial stages of early viral infection occur within the host nucleus (Burdick et al., 2020; Dharan et al., 2020; Francis et al., 2020; Scoca et al., 2023; Selyutina et al., 2020). Notably, it has been shown that the pre-integration complex forms within the host nucleus (Muller et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023), and incoming viral RNA genomes accumulate in nuclear niches containing , such as like cleavage and polyadenylation specificity factor subunit 6 (CPSF6), RNA-binding protein SON and Splicing component, 35 kDa (SC35, also known as serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 2 (SRSF2) (Francis et al., 2020; Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023). However, the mechanistic requirements that govern post-nuclear entry phases, which are crucial for a successful viral infection and the establishment of viral reservoirs, remain enigmatic.

Specifically, the mechanism behinds the formation of nuclear niches containing viral components and nuclear speckle (NS) factors, such as SC35—traditionally used as a marker for nuclear speckles remains unclear. However, recent studies have revealed that SON and serine/arginine repetitive matrix protein 2 (SRRM2) are essential for NS biogenesis (Fu and Maniatis, 1990). Particularly, intrinsically disordered regions play a critical role in the NS formation (Ilik et al., 2020). These membraneless organelles (MLOs) fulfil various cellular functions besides splicing. Recent evidence indicates a direct role of NSs in cellular

transcription regulation, as their spatial proximity correlates with gene expression amplification,

as demonstrated by live-cell imaging of heat-shock responsive genes (Chen et al., 2018;

Zhang et al., 2021). HIV, being a virus capable of generating new particles through splicing

and integrating into active host genes, finds NSs highly conducive for viral replication. HIV

particles, along with their RNA genome, accumulate within nuclear MLOs enriched in NS

factors (Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023). Notably, CPSF6, a paraspeckle factor first

identified as a viral partner by KewalRamani's laboratory (Lee et al., 2010), has been clearly

detected in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta (Francis et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2010; Luchsinger et

al., 2023; Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023). These puncta serve as hubs for nuclear

reverse transcription (RT) and the formation of pre-integration complexes, which generate

active proviruses detected outside but in close proximity to NSs (Li et al., 2021; Scoca et al.,

2023).

In our study, we aim to elucidate how these HIV-induced CPSF6 form and identify the NS

factors involved in their formation. CPSF6, along with NS factors, contains intrinsically

disordered regions that can guide HIV-1 to the correct nuclear location for successful infection

or allow the virus to remain sequestered during drug treatment, forming reservoirs. Importantly,

viral reservoirs are the major bottleneck for curing the infection.

In this study, we investigate which disordered domain of CPSF6 is responsible for tracking the

viral core and generating HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta in the host nucleus. Simultaneously, we

elucidate the key component of NSs that, through its intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs),

enable fusion with HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta, likely stabilizing them. Notably, we observed

that the virus rebounds when anti-reverse transcription drugs are removed, but only if nuclear

niches containing HIV, NS factors, and CPSF6 are present. If these niches are

pharmacologically dismantled, viral rebound does not occur.

Overall, studying the biogenesis of HIV-induced nuclear niches is crucial for understanding

how the virus navigates and persists in the nucleus of infected cells, and for designing new

antiretroviral strategies.

Results

Critical Role of HIV-Induced CPSF6 Puncta in Restoring Nuclear RT After Anti-RT

Therapy Discontinuation.

Upon nuclear entry, HIV enhances the formation of CPSF6 clusters, where RT ends. The

treatment with the reversible RT inhibitor nevirapine (NEV) can trap the viral RNA genome in

these nuclear niches (Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023). Once NEV is removed, the

trapped vRNA can resume RT entirely within the nucleus, a process we term nuclear RT . Here

we demonstrate that this phenomenon is dependent on the presence of CPSF6 puncta, as

their disruption by high doses of PF74 (25 µM) significantly impairs nuclear RT, shown by the

absence of luciferase expression, similar to full NEV treatment (Figure 1A-B).

CPSF6 FG Domain is Required for HIV-Induced Puncta Formation.

Formation of CPSF6 puncta upon HIV-1 infection hinges into two key events: the entry of the

HIV-1 core into the nucleus and the binding of CPSF6 to the HIV-1 core (Blanco-Rodriguez

and Di Nunzio, 2021; Blanco-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Buffone et al., 2018; Zila et al., 2021). To

determine the contribution of CPSF6's disordered domains for the formation of CPSF6 puncta

upon HIV-1 infection, we correlated the binding of CPSF6 to the HIV-1 core with the formation

of CPSF6 puncta. To this end, we first generated CPSF6 knockout (KO) THP-1 cells (Figure

2A-B) to eliminate the interference from the endogenous protein, which could affect the

interpretation of results regarding the role of the analyzed CPSF6 domains. CPSF6 depletion

in THP-1 cells was performed by CRISPR Cas9 technology. To completely eliminate the

expression of the CPSF6 gene we selected single clones by limiting dilution. We identified a

clone that was completely KO for CPSF6, confirmed through western blot and

immunofluorescence (Fig. 2A-B) and we infected this clone and the control clone with HIV.

CPSF6 puncta were detected only in the control-infected cells and not in the KO clone (Fig.

2B; Suppl. Fig.3A). The viral integrase (IN) was observed within CPSF6 puncta, consistent

with previous studies (Francis et al., 2020; Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023), but absent

in CPSF6 KO cells where viral IN was predominantly observed in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2B;

Suppl. Fig.3A). Thus, we used KO cells for CPSF6 to assess the role of selected CPSF6

domains in HIV-induced condensates. We designed various CPSF6 deletion mutants (Figure

2C) to specifically assess the significance of the main disordered regions of CPSF6 protein

(Suppl. Figure 2A) such as, the FG motif, the low complexity regions (LCRs), and the mixed

charge domain (MCD), in the ability of CPSF6 to bind to the core and facilitate the formation

of CPSF6 puncta. We investigated the role of the FG peptide by generating a mutant that

exclusively lacks the FG peptide (ΔFG). Previous *in vitro* studies have shown that the FG

peptide binds to the hydrophobic pocket formed between capsid hexamers (Buffone et al.,

2018; Price et al., 2014). Here, we want to investigate the role of FG peptide in the context of

the protein.

To further explore this, we developed an alternative plasmid by expanding the FG peptide

deletion to include surrounding prion-like LCRs (ΔFG ΔLCR). These regions, outside the

CPSF6 context, have been identified as crucial for facilitating strong CPSF6 binding to capsid

lattices (Wei et al., 2022). In our study, we aim to evaluate their role within a more physiological

setting. Additionally, we assessed a CPSF6 variant that carries the 15-mer FG peptide flanked

by non-LCR sequences, such as those derived from Beta-adducin (ADD2), kindly provided by

Mamuka Kvaratskhelia (ΔLCR+ADD2). These protein segments are known for their high

flexibility, akin to the LCR of CPSF6 . Furthermore, to elucidate the contribution of the LCRs of

CPSF6 in the formation of CPSF6 puncta, we generated a mutant lacking both LCRs (ΔLCR)

(Figure 2C). Analysis of the MCD contribution to both the ability of CPSF6 to bind to the core

and formation of CPSF6 puncta was achieved by deleting the MCD and adding 3 nuclear

localization signals (3xNLS ΔMCD) since the deletion of the MCD results in a protein that

localizes mainly into the cytoplasm (Figure 2C; Suppl. Figure 2B).

To correlate the ability of CPSF6 to bind to the HIV-1 core with formation of CPSF6 puncta,

we expressed wild type and mutant CPSF6 constructs in THP-1 cells knockout for CPSF6.

Subsequently, we infected these cells with HIV-1 and analyzed the presence or absence of

CPSF6 clusters at 24 hours post-infection. Importantly, for the imaging experiment we

expressed CPSF6 WT and mutants without tags to avoid the formation of aggregates that

could interfere with our conclusions. Our data show that HIV-induced CPSF6 clusters can form

extremely rarely with the deletion mutant CPSF6 ADD2ΔLCR and with the mutant lacking the

FG or both the FG peptide and the LCRs (Fig. 2D-F; Suppl. Fig.3B). However, when we

analyzed the role of the MCD domain in CPSF6 puncta formation, which was indicated to be

important for condensing CPSF6 in NS (Greig et al., 2020), comparing the number of CPSF6

WT puncta induced by HIV infection with CPSF6 mutants revealed that the MCD domain does

not play a critical role in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta formation (Fig. 2D-F). In addition, we

observed that the majority of analysed CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCD puncta contain vRNA inside,

similar to CPSF6 WT puncta (Figure 2G), thus corroborating the lack of a role for this

intrinsically disordered domain in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta. Since the NLS domain from

SV40, which replaces the MCD, is highly basic and could potentially induce condensates, we

fused CPSF6 with a non-basic NLS (PY-NLS) or removed the NLS entirely. Even though these

two proteins do not efficiently enter the nucleus, the few that do manage to reach the nucleus

can host viral particles, as evidenced by the presence of IN. Many viruses are typically blocked

in the cytoplasm due to the presence of these mutants that are mainly cytoplasmic. However,

because we used a high viral dose, the blockage in the cytoplasm was not complete. As a

result, the viruses that successfully entered the nucleus induced the formation of clusters

associated with CPSF6-deleted mutants, indicating that the MCD is not critical for the formation

of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta (Fig.2H). Similar to the MCD, when we compared CPSF6

truncated for the LCRs with CPSF6 WT, we observed that the LCRs do not contribute to CPSF6

puncta formation. Therefore, the FG peptide alone, without the LCRs, is the only CPSF6
domain required for their formation (Fig. 2D-F; Suppl. Fig.3B).

Next, we tested the ability of the different CPSF6 deletion mutants for their ability to bind the
viral core using a previously described capsid binding assay (Selyutina et al., 2018). Wild type
and mutant CPSF6 proteins were expressed in human 293T cells at similar levels (INPUT)
(Figure 3A). Extracts containing wild type and mutant CPSF6 proteins were incubated with
stabilized HIV-1 capsid tubes for 1 h at 25° C in the presence of 10 µM of PF74, which is a
small molecule that competes with CPSF6 for binding to the hydrophobic pocket formed
between hexamers that constitute the viral core (Buffone et al., 2018; Price et al., 2014). HIV-
1 capsid stabilized tubes were washed, and the bound proteins were eluted using Laemli buffer
(BOUND). For every construct, the percentage of bound protein relative to input in the
presence or absence of PF74 is shown (Figure 3B). Our results revealed that the absence of
the FG peptide (ΔFG) entirely abolished CPSF6's ability to bind to the viral core. In agreement,
simultaneous deletion of the FG motif and LCRs (ΔFG ΔLCR) resulted in a construct unable to
bind to the viral core. Similar outcomes were observed when the LCRs were replaced with
sequences derived from ADD2, even if the FG was present.

LCR-FG is notably more disordered than ADD2-FG, containing a high proportion of prolines
(48 out of 98 residues), which makes it mostly non-foldable (Figure 3C-L). Since proline is a
structure-disrupting residue, LRC-FG is not expected to adopt any secondary structure. In
contrast, ADD2-FG contains fewer prolines (15 out of 98 residues) but has many charged
residues. It is predicted to form two short α helices and a ß strand, arranged as: α helix - FG –
ß strand - α helix. ADD2-FG may form a flexible collapsed state, as its oppositely charged
residues are evenly distributed, potentially allowing polyelectrostatic compaction. This
suggests that FG within ADD2-FG may be less accessible for the interaction with the viral
core's hydrophobic pocket due to its involvement in this collapsed conformational ensemble
(Figure 3C-G, suppl. Fig.4). This aligns with the inability of CPSF6 carrying ADD2 in place of
the LCRs to induce CPSF6 puncta (Figure 2F). On the other hand, the deletion of only the two
LCRs, while keeping the FG peptide intact, resulted in unexpected findings. The ΔLCR mutant
exhibited a stronger binding affinity for the viral core when compared to the wild-type protein
(Figure 3B). These results suggest that the LCRs surrounding the FG motif are modulating the
affinity of CPSF6 to the viral core, which might be important for function. By contrast, deletion
of the MCD (ΔMCD) but retention of other regions, such as the FG peptide and the LCRs,
demonstrated a binding affinity to the viral core similar to that of the wild-type protein. These
results suggest that the MCD domain is not involved in the binding of CPSF6 to the viral core,
which is not surprising since the CPSF6 (1-358), which does not have an MCD, binds to the
viral core (Lee et al., 2010).

Thus, the viral capsid, through the FG peptide of CPSF6, constitutes the scaffold of HIV-
induced CPSF6 puncta.

In summary, our results suggest that the FG peptide is the main determinant involved in the
binding of CPSF6 to the viral capsid. Interestingly, our work implies that the LCRs may be
modulating the affinity of the FG motif for the viral core (Figure 3B). Recognition motifs that
mediate protein-protein interactions, such as the FG motif of CPSF6, are usually embedded
within longer intrinsically disordered regions that can modulate affinity of the interaction
(Karlsson et al., 2022). Taken together, our data show that the FG peptide coordinates both
the binding to the viral core and the induction of CPSF6 puncta. This coordination suggests
that the FG peptide plays a critical dual role in recognizing the viral capsid and facilitating the
cellular clustering of CPSF6, which may be part of the cellular response to viral entry.

HIV-induced CPSF6 mutants puncta and Nuclear Speckles.
The NS factor SC35, commonly used as a marker of NS, has been detected in HIV-induced
CPSF6 puncta (Figure 4A)**.** In this study, we investigated whether HIV-induced CPSF6 mutant
puncta are associated with SC35. We infected cells expressing CPSF6 wild-type (WT), CPSF6
3xNLS ΔMCD, CPSF6 ΔLCR, CPSF6 ΔMCD, and CPSF6 PY NLS ΔMCD, and examined
whether the nuclear puncta formed by the various CPSF6 proteins associate with SC35.
Imaging analysis revealed no significant difference in the association of SC35 with CPSF6 WT
or the CPSF6 mutants (Figure 4B), confirming that the disordered domains, LCRs, and MCDs
are dispensable for the formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta that localize in the canonical
nuclear niche marked by SC35.

Biogenesis of HIV-Induced CPSF6 Puncta carrying Nuclear Speckle factors.
Nuclear speckle factors, particularly those involved in their biogenesis, such as SON and
SRRM2 (Ilik et al., 2020), have been identified as constituents of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta
. However, the specific role of NSs in these puncta remains unclear. Most of the existing results
have been obtained through immunofluorescence experiments conducted several days post-
infection. In this study, we conducted a time course experiment to investigate the biogenesis
of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta, which contain NS factors. Our goal was to capture the fusion
event between the HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta and NS, providing insights into the dynamics
of how HIV manipulates host nuclear structures during infection. We hypothesized that NS
factors might either be recruited during the initial formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta,
shortly after the virus is released from the nuclear basket of the NPC, or later via the fusion
between NSs and HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta. To investigate this, we performed live imaging

to track CPSF6-mNeonGreen and NSs in cells expressing endogenous SRRM2 fused with a Halo tag, using CRISPR Paint (courtesy of Roy Parker) (Lester et al., 2021).We fixed and labeled samples at different time points post-infection, ranging from 6 h.p.i. to 30 h.p.i. (Figure 5A, Suppl. Fig.1G). At 6 h.p.i., 27% of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta were still individual, compared to only 9% at 30 h.p.i. (Figure 5B). Concurrently, 61% of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta were fused with NS at 6 h.p.i., rising to 75% at 30 h.p.i. (Figure 5B). This indicates a progressive increase in the number of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta fusing with NS over time (Figure 5C). Overall, we detected individual CPSF6 clusters (green) and NSs (red) that quickly fused, confirming that this fusion occurs within the two independent puncta, CPSF6 and SRRM2, rather than during the formation of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta (Figure 5A-C, movies 1A, 1B).

Taken together, these results suggest that HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta first form independently of NS and later fuse with NS, causing an enlargement of NS as part of the hijacking process by HIV-1.

Role of SON and SRRM2 in the Fusion and Stabilization of HIV-Induced CPSF6 Puncta within Nuclear Speckles.

Macrophage-like cells, THP-1, were depleted for SON or SRRM2 using AUM*silence*™ ASO technology (Gao et al., 2024; Marasca et al., 2022; Mazzeo et al., 2024; Zhang et al.). The level of depletion of SON and SRRM2 was evaluated by immunofluorescence using antibodies against SON and SRRM2 (Figure 6A). Both depleted cells were analyzed also for the presence of NS, labelled by SC35 (Suppl. Fig.1A-E). However, recent findings suggest that the primary target of the SC35 mAb is SRRM2 . To confirm this, cells depleted of SRRM2 were labelled with antibodies against both SRRM2 and SC35 (Suppl. Figure 5). We have observed that the reduction of SRRM2 resulted in a slight decrease in the mean intensity of SC35, whereas the depletion of SON (Suppl. Fig.1F) did not have the same effect.

Subsequently, we infected THP-1 depleted cells for SRRM2 and SON or control cells with HIV-1 and we fixed them at 48 h post-infection for immunofluorescence. We calculated the percentage of CPSF6 clusters in HIV infected THP-1 control cells (approximately 78%) and in HIV infected THP-1 cells depleted for SRRM2 (about 43%) and SON (around 66%). The results indicate that the partial depletion of SRRM2 affects the formation of HIV-induced puncta, while the depletion of SON slightly reduces their establishment (Figure 6B).

The IDR of SRRM2 is a crucial element for the fusion of HIV-Induced CPSF6 puncta to the nuclear speckles.

CPSF6 contains several disordered regions (Di Nunzio et al., 2023) as well as NS factors(Ilik

et al., 2020). Previous studies have established the role of IDR of SRRM2 in the biogenesis of

NS (Ilik et al., 2020).

Here, we investigate the role of IDRs within NS factors, with a specific emphasis on SRRM2,

in the fusion and stabilization of HIV-induced CPSF6 clusters. To address this inquiry, we

utilized previously published HEK293 cell lines generated using the CRISPaint system (Lester

et al., 2021), comprising two distinct lines: HaloTag SRRM2, SRRM2 full-length (FL) (1-2748

aa) fused with halo tag(insertion at amino acid [aa] 2,708), and a cell line lacking the C-terminal

IDR of SRRM2, known as ∆IDR HaloTag SRRM2(1-429 aa, with halo insertion at aa 430) .

As anticipated, the truncated form of SRRM2 displayed a more diffuse distribution within the

nucleus, without recruitment to NS (suppl. Fig.1A-E), and consequently lacked nuclear puncta

(Figure 6C). On the other hand, the number of SON puncta was highly similar between the two

cell lines (Figure 6D).

Subsequently, we quantified the formation of CPSF6 puncta in both cell lines infected with HIV-

1. No significant difference in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta formation was observed between

HEK293 and HEK293 carrying the SRRM2 halo tag (~27%). However, a substantial reduction

in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta was evident in the cell line carrying the SRRM2 form that lacks

the IDR (~11%) (Figure 6E; Suppl. Fig.1). Collectively, these results underscore the pivotal

role of the SRRM2 IDR in the stabilization of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta through their fusion

with NSs (Figure 5A-C).

Discussion

HIV-1 capsid has transformed long-standing assumptions in the field. Previously considered an undruggable viral target due to the belief that it disassembled shortly after HIV entered target cells, whereas recent findings have revealed its essential role in nuclear import (Ay and Di Nunzio, 2023; Blanco-Rodriguez and Di Nunzio, 2021; Blanco-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2016; Taylor and Fassati, 2024; Yamashita and Emerman, 2004; Zila et al., 2021). Notably, the first-in-class antiretroviral capsid inhibitor, Lenacapavir, has shown remarkable results in patients, demonstrating that the capsid, contrary to previous belief, can indeed be a viable therapeutic target. While Lenacapavir improves patients' quality of life with only two injections per year (Link et al., 2020; Segal-Maurer et al., 2022), no current antiretroviral drugs provide a cure. This may be due to our incomplete understanding of certain aspects of HIV biology. In this study, we shed light on the post-nuclear entry steps, a critical phase for the establishment of viral reservoirs, which represent the main barrier to a cure. Recent findings have shown that not only the HIV viral capsid translocates through the NPC, but that the viral nuclear entry also enhances the formation of CPSF6 puncta. Additionally, it has been revealed that RT is completed within the nucleus (Burdick et al., 2020; Dharan et al., 2020; Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023; Selyutina et al., 2020). Furthermore, incoming viral RNA has been observed to be sequestered in nuclear niches in cells treated with the reversible reverse transcriptase inhibitor, NEV. When macrophage-like cells are infected in the presence of NEV, the incoming viral RNA is held within the nucleus (Rensen et al., 2021; Scoca et al., 2023). This scenario is comparable to what is observed in patients undergoing antiretroviral therapy. Interestingly, we found that if CPSF6 puncta are pharmacologically dismantled, the nuclear reverse transcription cannot be restored, indicating that HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta play a crucial role in the viral life cycle, particularly for their potential role in forming viral reservoirs. Understanding the biogenesis of these puncta could be a significant step towards deepening our knowledge of HIV biology and providing additional tools to combat this pandemic virus.

Here we identify the disordered FG peptide essential for the binding with the viral capsid as the inducer of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta. Notably, CPSF6 protein lacking the FG peptide is incapable of forming nuclear puncta. Moreover, we discovered that the two major intrinsically disordered regions of CPSF6, the MCDs and the LCRs, are dispensable for the formation of viral nuclear puncta. The MCDs of CPSF6 have been shown to provide cohesion for NS condensation (Greig et al., 2020). In the context of HIV infection, the viral capsid induces the formation of CPSF6 puncta that are depleted of MCDs carrying the viral RNA genome. These CPSF6 puncta are highly similar to those formed by wild-type CPSF6, suggesting that MCDs do not play a significant role in this process. Additionally, the LCRs of CPSF6 also do not appear to influence puncta formation, as their depletion does not reduce the number of CPSF6

puncta. This indicates that neither the MCDs nor the LCRs are involved in CPSF6 puncta

formation during HIV infection. Surprisingly, when we assessed the ability of CPSF6 domains

to bind to the viral capsid, we observed that the deletion of LCRs increases CPSF6's ability to

bind to the viral capsid. We hypothesize that a change in charges may alter the binding

mechanism of CPSF6 when LCRs are absent. In scenarios where the FG motif is depleted,

we observed a dramatic inhibition of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta formation and a lack of

binding to the viral core *in vitro*. On the flip side, the linkage between CPSF6 entities is

facilitated by the FG peptides' interaction with certain hydrophobic CA pockets along adjoining

hexamers (Wei et al., 2022). Therefore, it's conceivable that FG peptides, not involved in the

capsid's binding, could coalesce similarly to FG-Nups. These undergo phase separation,

forming condensates with nuclear pore complex-like permeability barrier features (Hülsmann

et al., 2012).

We have also identified HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta formation independent of NS at early

stages post-infection, with a progressive increase in CPSF6 puncta co-localizing with NS over

time. These results were obtained by focusing our studies on two scaffold proteins involved in

NS biogenesis: SON and SRRM2. Over the past approximately 0.6–1.2 billion years of

metazoan evolution, these two factors have undergone significant lengthening, unlike many

other proteins involved in splicing. This extension primarily occurred within their IDRs, which

are commonly associated with liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) and the formation of

biomolecular condensates (Rai et al., 2018). Co-depleting SRRM2 with SON, or depleting SON

in a cell line where it is deleted the intrinsically disordered C-terminus of SRRM2, abolished

the formation of NSs (Ilik et al., 2020). However, the depletion of only one factor does not

abolish NSs. Consistent with this finding, we observed that the depletion of SRRM2 does not

affect the presence of SON nuclear clusters. Similar results were obtained with cells genetically

modified to express the truncated form of SRRM2 lacking the IDRs. When the ΔIDR SRRM2-

halo tag was detected by the halo ligand, there was no recruitment of the truncated SRRM2

form in NSs. However, if SC35 is used as a target for antibodies, it can still be detected, albeit

with a much lower intensity signal than in cells expressing the full-length SRRM2. Additionally,

we observed a significant reduction in the detection of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta. The few

CPSF6 clusters detected in these cells colocalized with the weak SC35 signal. These results

suggest that the IDR of SRRM2 plays an important role in HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta

stabilization, but rare clusters can appear, likely induced by redundant NS factors. This

indicates that further investigation is needed to better understand the hijacking of NS by HIV.

Taken together, our results reveal the intricate interplay between individual CPSF6 domains

and the viral capsid in dictating the nuclear fate of the virus. Concurrently, the IDR domain of

SRRM2 contributes to the enlargement of particular nuclear speckles with the fusion of HIV-

induced CPSF6 puncta. Lastly, these HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta necessitate of FG peptide
to engage the viral core.

Overall, this study could provide insights into the understanding of viral invasion and
persistence within the host.

Materials and methods

Cell lines

THP-1 cells are immortalized monocytic cells, which, once seeded, differentiate into
macrophage-like cells under phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) treatment (160 nM). THP-
1 cells were also engineered knocking out CPSF6. These cell populations These cells were
cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1%
penicillin–streptomycin solution (100 U/ml). HEK293T cells are human embryonic kidney cells
used to produce LVs. For figure 2, we used two engineered HEK293 strains, Halo tagged
SRRM2 HEK293 cells and Halo tagged SRRM2 ΔIDR HEK293 cells, kind gifts from Roy
Parker's lab (Lester et al., 2021), characterized by the Halo tagged SRRM2 protein. In Halo
tagged SRRM2 ΔIDR HEK293 cells Parker's lab deleted also the SRRM2 sequence encoding
for aa 430-2748. All the HEK293 strains were cultivated in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium
supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (100 U/ml).

Bacteria strains

All E. coli bacteria strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37°C. DHα competent
cells and Stellar Competent Cells were used for molecular cloning, while E.coli One-Shot
BL21star (DE3) cells were exploited for protein production.

Plasmids

To express the WT CPSF6/WT CPSF6-mNeonGreen and the mutant CPSF6/mutant CPSF6-
mNeonGreen clones, the correspondent coding sequences were engineered in pSICO
plasmids. The two original plasmids used were pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen and pLPCX
CPSF6 ADD2, gift from Mamuka's lab. HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef plasmid encodes the ΔEnvHIV-
1 LAI (BRU) viral genome where the IN protein is fused to the HA tag while pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef
IRES GFP plasmid encodes the ΔEnvHIV-1 NL4.3 viral genome and contains also a GFP

sequence headed by an IRES. The pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNefLuc has the Luciferase cDNA as reporter
gene.

CRISPR-Cas9 knockout in THP-1 cells

To target CPSF6, three different crRNAs were used simultaneously (specific sequence: 5'-
TCGGGCAAATGGCCAGTCAAAGG-3', 5'-AGGACGGGGCCGTTTTCCAGGGG-3', and 5'-
CATGTAATCTCGGTCTTCTGGGG-3', all ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies, IDT).
Pre-designed unspecific crRNA was used as control (IDT). crRNA and tracrRNA were
resuspended in IDT Duplex Buffer according to the manufacturer's instructions. On the day of
the nucleofection, duplexes were formed by mixing equimolar concentration of crRNA and
tracrRNA, followed by 5-min annealing at 95°C. RNA duplexes were then mixed (1:2) with
TrueCut™ Cas9 Protein v2 for 10 min at RT to generate ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes.
$2×10^5$ THP1 cells were resuspended in P3 Primary Cell Nucleofector™ Solution, mixed with
RNP and Alt-R® Cas9 Electroporation Enhancer (90 pmol, IDT), and nucleofected in a 4D-
Nucleofector™ System using the P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector™X Kit S (program FI-110).
After nucleofection, cells were seeded in complete RPMI medium with 20% FBS. Three days
after nucleofection, cells were plated for clonal selection.

Clonal selection of KO cell lines

447 Seventy-two hours post nucleofection, cells were diluted in RPMI medium containing 20% FBS
448 and plated in five 96-well plates at 1 and 5 cells/well condition. After one-month, selected
449 microcolonies (50-100) are placed into 24- wells plate. Once that wells were near confluence,
450 cells were transferred into the well of a 6- well plate. After growing for another one-month, cells
451 were proceeded for western blot.

Western blot

Proteins were extracted on ice from THP-1 cells using RIPA buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.6,
150 mM NaCl, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% SDS, 2mM EDTA, complete
protease inhibitor), and protein concentration was quantified using a detergent-compatible
(DC) protein assay (Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kit) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a
standard. 90 μg of total protein lysate were loaded onto SDS-PAGE 4- 12% Bis-Tris gels
(Invitrogen); an Ab rabbit anti-CPSF6 (1:500) and an anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated (1:5000)

were used for the detection of CPSF6, whereas the normalization was done by an Ab anti-

actin HRP-conjugated (1:3000). Visualization was carried out using an ECL solution .

AUMsilence™ ASO

AUMsilenceTM 352 ASOs were synthesized by AUM BioTech, LLC (Philadelphia, USA). THP-

1 negative control, THP-1 KD SRRM2 and THP-1 KD SON cells were differentiated with PMA

(160 nM) for 48 h then incubated 72 h with 10 µM of a AUMsilenceTM ASOs complementary

to the mRNA of SRRM2 and SON, respectively (scramble control AUMscrambleTM). All cells

were kept in incubator at 37°C and 5% CO2. These cells were cultivated in RPMI 1640 medium

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin solution (100

U/ml).

Cloning

pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen was used to generate deletion mutants by exceeding different

regions from the original sequence according to Table2. The mutants were produced with and

without the mNeonGreen tag, except for pSICO CPSF6-ΔMCD and pSICO CPSF6-ΔMCD PY

NLS. All primers are specified in Table1.

For pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen ΔLCR ADD2, CPSF6 ΔLCR ADD2 sequence was amplified

by PCR from pLPCX CPSF6 ADD2 and specific primers were designed to add also BamHI

restriction sites at the extremities. Phusion Flash High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix was used and

the reaction was performed according to the manufacture datasheet (100 ng template DNA

and 56° annealing temperature). PCR products were treated with DpnI for 1h at 37°C and then

digested with BamHI restriction enzyme at 37°C for 1h. 2 ul of the backbone pSICO CPSF6-

mNeonGreen were digested with BamHI at 37°C for 3h and further treated with CIP. After gel

extraction of the DNA and purification, insert and backbone were ligated with T4 Ligase for 2

h at 22°C. 5 ul of the product were used to transform 50 ul of DH5α bacteria (30 min at 4°C,

45 sec at 42°C, 2 min at 4°C, incubation in SOC medium for 1h at 37°C and plating on LB agar

dishes).

All the other mutants were obtained using In-Fusion®Snap Assembly protocol, which allowed

to amplify the original plasmid deleting the small region of interest. The different primers used

are reported in Table1. As reported in the In-Fusion®Snap Assembly protocol

(https://www.takarabio.com/documents/User%20Manual/In/InFusion%20Snap%20Assembly

%20User%20Manual.pdf), 5 ng of the original plasmid were amplified with PrimeSTARMax

DNA polymerase in 35 PCR cycles (10 sec at 98°C, 15 sec at 55°C, 5sec/kb at 72°C). The

PCR products were then digested for 1h at 37°C using DpnI to get read of the original plasmid.

After plasmid cleaning up, it was circularized through a ligation of 15 min at 50°C. 2.5 ul of

products were used in Stellar™ Competent Cells' transformation, following the same
procedure used for DH5α transformation, previously explained.

Lentiviral vectors and viral productions

LVs and HIV-1 viruses were produced by transient transfection of HEK293T cells through
calcium chloride co-precipitation. Co-transfection was performed as follows: for LVs, 10 µg of
transfer vector, 10 µg of packaging plasmid (gag-pol-tat-rev), and 2.5 µg of pHCMV-VSV-G
envelope plasmid; for VSV- HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef-VPX viruses and VSV-pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef
IRES GFP-VPX, 10 µg HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef plasmid or pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef IRES GFP, 2.5 µg
of pHCMV-VSV-G plasmid and 3 ug of SIVMAC Vpx (Durand et al., 2013). After the collection
of the supernatant 48 h post-transfection, lentiviral particles were concentrated by
ultracentrifugation for 1 h at 22000 rpm at 4°C and stored at −80°C. LVs and viruses were
tittered by qPCR in HEK293T cells 3 days post-transduction.

Cell transduction and infection

THP-1 ctrl CRISPR clone 2 cells and THP-1 (duplex1-2-3 CRISPR) KO clone 4 cells were
differentiated with PMA (160 nM) for 72 h then transduced with different mutants of CPSF6 for
72h (MOI=1) and then infected for 30h with HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef Vpx (MOI=10) in presence of
Nevirapine (10uM). The medium was always supplemented with PMA (160 nM) .

For Halo tagged SRRM2 HEK 293 cells and Halo tagged SRRM2 ΔIDR HEK 293 cells, 2×10^5
cells were seeded on coverslips coated with polylysine in complete growth medium (DMEM,
GlutaMAX™-I, 10% FBS, and 1% P/S) and incubated at 37°C (5% CO_2) for 24 h. Cells were
then infected with the HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in complete growth medium
supplemented with Nevirapine (10μM) for 24h.

THP-1 control (scramble), THP-1 KD SRRM2 Cells and THP-1 KD SON cells were seeded on
coverslips and differentiated with PMA (160 nM) for 72 h. Then incubated 48 h with 10 µM of
a FANA ASOs (scramble control FANA (SCR-FANA), SRRM2-FANA and *SON*-FANA). ASOs
used in this study were designed and synthesized by AUM LifeTech (Philadelphia, PA, USA).
Next, cells were infected with the pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef IRES GFP-VPX (MOI 25) in complete
growth medium and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO_2 for 4 days.

In the four timepoints experiment, THP-1 cells were differentiated with PMA (160 nM) for 72 h.
Cells were then infected with HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef Vpx (MOI=10) in presence of Nevirapine
(10uM), in complete growth medium supplemented with PMA (160 nM), and incubated at 37°C
in 5% CO_2 for 6 h, 9 h, 12 h, 30 h post infection.

Immunofluorescense Microscopy

Immunostaining

On the day of fixation, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min. Cells were treated with glycine 0.15% for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 30 min, and blocked with 1% BSA for 30 min. All antibody incubations were carried out at room temperature in a dark humid chamber, for 1 h with primary antibodies and for 45 min with secondary antibodies. Washes between antibody incubations and antibody dilutions were done in 1% BSA.

Primary antibodies were diluted as follows: anti-HA 1:500, anti-CPSF6 1:400, anti-SC35 1:200, anti-SON 1:200, anti-SRRM2 1:200, Secondary antibodies used were goat anti-rabbit Alexa-488 1:300 and donkey anti-Rabbit Cy3 1:1000 for CPSF6, SON and SRRM2, goat anti-rat Alexa-647 1:100 for IN-HA, goat anti-mouse Alexa-647 1:300 or goat anti-mouse Alexa-488 1:300 for SC35, donkey anti-mouse Alexa-647 1:300 for SRRM2.

Finally, cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 1:10000 for 5 min. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides (Star Frost) with Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant.

HaloTag Labelling

To detect the HaloTag in Halo tagged SRRM2 HEK 293 cells and Halo tagged SRRM2 ΔIDR HEK 293 cells, we used HaloTag®TMR Ligand following the Technical Manual available at www.promega.com/protocols. Specifically, cells were incubated in DMEM supplemented with HaloTag®TMR Ligand (5 µM) for 15 min at 37°C. The ligand-containing medium was then removed and replaced with an equal volume of 1X PBS, repeating the step twice and ending with warm complete medium. Cells were incubated in complete culture medium for 30 min at 37°C. The medium was then removed and replaced with an equal volume of fresh warm culture medium.

Immuno-RNA FISH

On the day of fixation, fixed cells were incubated in Permeabilization/Blocking buffer (1% BSA, 0.3% Triton X-100, 2mM Vanadyl Ribonucleoside complexes (VRCs) in RNase-free PBS) for 1 h before the antibodies' incubations. Antibodies were diluted in Permeabilization/Blocking buffer. After the primary and secondary antibody staining (respectively of 1h and 45 min) with the respective washes, cells were fixed for a second time in PFA 4% (in RNase-free PBS) for 10 min at RT with subsequent washes with RNase-free PBS. In the meantime, 40 pmol of primary smiFISH probes (Tsanov et al., 2016) (24 smiFISH probes designed against HIV-1 pol

sequence (Rensen et al., 2021) were hybridized with 50 pmol of secondary FLAP probe

conjugated to a Cy5 fluorophore (Cy5/AATGCATGTCGACGAGGTCCGAGTGTAA/Cy5Sp/) in

1X NEBuffer 3 (diluted in RNase-free H_2O) using a thermocycler. The program setting follows:

3 min at 85°C, 3 min at 65°C and 5 min at 25°C. FISH-probe solution was then diluted 1:50 in

Hybridization buffer (90% Stellaris® RNA-FISH Hybridization Buffer, 10% Deionized

Formamide). After the samples were washed in Wash A buffer (20% Stellaris® RNA-FISH

Wash Buffer A, 10% Deionized Formamide, in RNase-free H_2O) at RT for 5 min, they were

placed on parafilm, covered with 50 ul of FISH-probe solution in Hybridization buffer and

incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, cells were washed with Wash A buffer in the dark

at 37°C for 30 min. Afterwards, the samples were incubated for 10 min in Hoechst 333342

diluted 1:10000 in RNase-free H_2O and then washed with Wash B buffer for 5 min at RT in the

dark. Finally, the cells were washed in RNase-free H_2O before the coverslips were mounted

on microscopy slides using ProLongTM Diamond Antifade mounting medium. The mounting

medium was cured overnight at RT under the chemical hood and away from light.

Images acquisition

Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM700 confocal inverted microscope, with a 63×

objective (Plan Apochromat, oil immersion, NA = 1.4), using diode lasers at 405 nm, 488 nm,

555 nm and 639 nm for the respective fluorophores. A pixel size of 0.07 μm was used.

Live imaging on HIV-1 infected Halo tagged SRRM2 HEK 293 cells

Halo tagged SRRM2 HEK293 cells were seeded and transduced with CPSF6-mNeonGreen

lentiviral vector (MOI 0.5) for 24 h. 0.3×10^6 Cells were then transferred on poly-L-Lysin coated

Ibidi-dishes and infected with HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef (MOI = 40) in presence of Nevirapine (10

uM) for 2 h. After having changed the medium and labeled with HaloTag®TMR Ligand (5 μM)

(see previous "HaloTag labelling" section) and Hoechst 333342 diluted 1:80000 in complete

DMEM medium, 4D movies were acquired.

3D movies were acquired using a Nikon Ti2-E Confocal Inverted Spinning Disk microscope,

using a 63x objective (Plan Apochromat, oil immersion, NA = 1.4) and a sCMOS Hamamatsu

camera, Orca Flash 4. Pixel size 6.5 μm, 2048 x 2044 pixel, QE 82%. For the Z stuck a Z piezo

stage was used, with 0.33 µm interval. For live imaging acquisitions, cells were placed in an

environmental chamber with 37°C temperature, 5% CO_2 and 21% O_2.

Protein expression and purification.

pET-11a vectors were used to express the HIV-1 capsid protein. Point mutations, A14C and

E45C were introduced using the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. All proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli*

one-shoot BL21star™ (DE3) cells (Invitrogen). Briefly, LB medium was inoculated with

overnight cultures, which were grown at 30°C until mid log-phase (A_{600}, 0.6-0.8). Protein

expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) overnight at

18°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000 x g for 10 min at 4°C, and pellets were

stored at −80°C until purification. Purification of capsid was carried out as follows. Pellets from

2 L of bacteria were lysed by sonication (Qsonica microtip: 4420; A=45; 2 minutes; 2 seconds

on; 2 seconds off for 12 cycles), in 40 ml of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH=8, 50mM NaCl, 100mM

β-mercaptoethanol and Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets). Cell debris were

removed by centrifugation at 40,000g for 20 min at 4°C. Proteins from the supernatant were

precipitated by incubation with 1/3 of volume of saturated ammonium sulfate containing 100

mM β-mercaptoethanol for 20 min at 4°C and centrifugation at 8,000g for 20 min at 4°C.

Precipitated proteins were resuspended in 30 ml of buffer A (25mM MES pH6.5, 100 mM β-

mercaptoethanol) and sonicated 2-3 times (Qsonica microtip: 4420; A=45; 2 minutes; 1 second

on; 2 seconds off). Sample was dialyzed 3 times in buffer A (2 h, overnight, 2 h). The sample

was sonicated and diluted in 500 ml of buffer A and was chromatographed sequentially on a 5

ml HiTrap™ Q HP column and on a 5 ml HiTrap™ SP FF column (GE Healthcare), both pre-

equilibrated with buffer A. The capsid protein was eluted from HiTrap™ SP FF column using a

linear gradient from 0-2 M of NaCl. Absorbance at 280 nm was checked to take the eluted

fraction that had higher protein levels. Pooled fractions were dialyzed 3 times (2 h, overnight,

2 h) in storage buffer (25mM MES, 2M NaCl, 20 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Sample was

concentrated using centricons to a concentration of 20 mg/ml and stored at -80°C.

Assembly of stabilized HIV-1 capsid tubes

1 mL of monomeric capsid (3 mg/mL or 1 mg/mL) was dialyzed in SnakeSkin dialysis tubing

10,000 MWCO (Thermo Scientific) against a buffer that is high in salt and contains a reducing

agent (buffer 1: 50 mM Tris, pH 8, 1 M NaCl, 100 mM β-mercaptoethanol) at 4°C for 8 hours.

Subsequently the protein was dialyzed against the same buffer without the reducing agent β-

mercaptoethanol (buffer 2: 50 mM Tris, pH 8, 1 M NaCl) at 4°C for 8 hours. The absence of β-

mercaptoethanol in the second dialysis allows formation of disulfide bonds between Cysteine

14 and 43 inter-capsid monomers in the hexamer. Finally the protein is dialyzed against buffer

3 (20 mM Tris, pH 8,0, 40 mM NaCl) at 4°C for 8 hours. Assembled complexes were kept at

4°C up to 1 month.

Capsid binding assay protocol

Human HEK293T cells were transfected for 24 h with a plasmid expressing the specified

CPSF6 variant tagged with mNeonGreen. Cell media was completely removed and cells were

lysed in 300 μL of capsid binding buffer (CBB: 10 mM Tris, pH 8,0, 1,5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM

KCl) by scrapping off the plate. Cells were rotated at 4°C for 15 min and then centrifuged to

remove cellular debris (21,000 x g ,15 minutes, 4 °C). Cell lysates were incubated with

stabilized HIV-1 capsid tubes for 1 h at 25 °C. Subsequently, stabilized HIV-1 capsid tubes

were washed by pelleting the complexes by centrifugation at 21,000 x g for 2 min. Pellets were

washed using by resuspension in CBB or PBS. Pellets were resuspended in Laemmli buffer

1X and analyzed by Western blotting using anti-p24 or anti-mNeonGreen antibodies.

Bioinformatics analysis of CPSF6

Intrinsic disorder propensity of CPSF6 was evaluated using the Rapid Intrinsic Disorder

Analysis Online platform (RIDAO) (https://ridao.app/) designed to predict disordered residues

and regions in a query protein based on its amino acid sequence (Dayhoff and Uversky, 2022).

RIDAO yields results by combining the outputs of several commonly used per-residue disorder

predictors, such as PONDR® VLXT (Romero et al., 2001), PONDR® VL3(Peng et al., 2006),

PONDR® VLS2B(Peng et al., 2005), PONDR® FIT (Xue et al., 2010), as well as IUPred2

(Short) and IUPred2 (Long)(Dosztanyi et al., 2005a, b). RIDAO also computes a mean disorder

score for each residue based on these. In the resulting intrinsic disorder profile, the disorder

score of 0.5 is the threshold between order and disorder, where residues/regions above 0.5

are disordered, and residues/regions below 0.5 are ordered. The disorder score of 0.15 is the

threshold between order and flexibility, where residues/regions with the disorder scores above

0.15 but below 0.5 are flexible, and residues/regions below 0.15 are highly ordered.

Amino acid compositions of the intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain (residues 261-358)

of human CPSF6 and its different variants (CPSF6 ΔFG ΔLCR, CPSF6 ΔLCR, CPSF6 ΔFG,

and CPSF6 ADD2 ΔLCR) were analyzed to evaluate the relative abundance of prion-like low

complexity region (LCR) defining uncharged, charged, and Pro residues in these protein

regions. The corresponding values of the relative abundance of these residue groups were

calculated by dividing numbers of prion-like LCR defining uncharged (Ala, Gly, Val, Phe, Tyr,

Leu, Ile, Ser, Thr, Pro, Asn, Gln), charged (Asp, Glu, Lys, Arg), and Pro residues by total

number of amino acids in the corresponding protein fragments. As references, we used the corresponding data for protein sequences deposited to the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database that provides information on the overall distribution of amino acids in nature (Bairoch et al., 2005); PDB Select 25 (Berman et al., 2000), which is a subset of structures from the Protein Data Bank with less than 25% sequence identity, biased towards the composition of proteins amenable to crystallization studies; and DisProt 3.4 that is comprised of a set of consensus sequences of experimentally determined disordered regions (Sickmeier et al., 2007). Per-residue intrinsic disorder propensities of the LCR-FG and ADD2-FG sequences were evaluated by PONDR® VLXT (Romero et al., 2001), which is sensitive to local peculiarities of the amino acid sequences freely available at http://www.pondr.com/ (accessed on August 03, 2024). Linear distribution of the net charge per residue (NCPR) within the LCR-FG and ADD2-FG sequences were evaluated by CIDER (Holehouse et al., 2017), which is a webserver for the analysis of a wide range of the physicochemical properties encoded by IDP sequences freely available at http://pappulab.wustl.edu/CIDER (accessed on August 03, 2024). Secondary structure propensities of the LCR-FG and ADD2-FG sequences were evaluated by PSIPRED (McGuffin et al., 2000), which is a highly accurate secondary structure prediction method freely available to non-commercial users at http://globin.bio.warwick.ac.uk/psipred/ (accessed on August 03, 2024).

IMAGING AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All images were analysed using Fiji Software. More in detail, for the count of the nuclear speckles a macro was computed to segment cellular nuclei and to select and count the nuclear speckles. For the nuclei segmentation a Gaussian Blur with sigma=2 was used and for the channel related to the nuclear speckles the set threshold was at 7000-46012 (min and max). For the counting, a "size =10 – Infinity summarize" was used for the nuclei, whereas for the nuclear speckles the size was reduced to "size=0-Infinity summarize".

For live imaging analysis, Arivis software was used to reconstruct the 3D movies.

All data were statistically analyzed with GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com). Calculations were performed and figures were drawn using Excel 365 or GraphPad Prism 8.0. Statistical analysis was performed, with Wilcoxon matched paired t-tests or Mann–Whitney unpaired t-tests. Spearman correlation coefficients (r) were calculated using GraphPad Prism.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Role of HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta in the nuclear RT upon removal of NEV. A)

A) THP-1 cells, infected with VSV-G Δenv HIV-1 (NL4.3) ΔR LUC (MOI 10) in presence or not

of Nevirapine (10 µM) for 5 days, or in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM) for 2 days and then the

remaining 3 days without drug or in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM) for 2 days then in presence

of PF74 (25 µM). Confocal microscopy images, to verify the presence of CPSF6 clusters, the

cells are stained with anti-CPSF6 antibody (green). Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue).

Scale bar 10 µm. **B)** Luciferase Assay, to verify luciferase expression in the aforementioned

samples. Luciferase values were normalized by total proteins revealed with the Bradford kit.

One-way ANOVA statistical test with multiple comparison was performed (****=p<0.0001;

*=p<0.05; ns=p>0.05). Data are representative of two independent experiments.

Figure 2. Role of CPSF6 Domains in HIV-Induced CPSF6 Puncta. A) Western blots

demonstrate CPSF6 depletion using a specific antibody against CPSF6 in THP-1 cells

subjected to CRISPR Cas9 methods: CRISPR Cas9 bulk (left), and CRISPR Cas9 clones

selected by limiting dilution (right). Each condition is normalized for actin labeling. The ratio

between the intensity signal of CPSF6 and actin was analyzed via ImageJ and is plotted below

each western blot. **B)** Confocal microscopy images of THP-1 ctrl CRISPR clone 2 cells (Ctrl 2)

and THP-1 duplex1-2-3 CRISPR clone 4 cells (CPSF6 KO 4) infected with HIV-

1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in the presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). The cells are

stained 30 h p.i. with anti-CPSF6 antibody and anti-HA antibodies to detect HA tagged

integrase (IN). **C)** Schema of CPSF6 isoform 588aa deletion mutants. **D)** Confocal microscopy

images of THP-1 CPSF6 KO cells, transduced with different mutants of CPSF6, infected with

HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). The cells are

stained with CPSF6 and HA antibody 30 h p.i.. Scale bar 5µm. **E)** Analysis of the number of

CPSF6 clusters in THP-1 CPSF6 KO cells transduced with different mutants of CPSF6, not

infected or infected in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM) (the number of analyzed cells is shown

under the x-axis). Statistical test: ordinary one-way ANOVA (****=p<0.0001; ***=p<0.001;

*=p<0.05; ns=p>0.05). **F)** The plot compares the number of CPSF6 clusters per cell in THP-1

CPSF6 KO cells transduced with different mutants of CPSF6, infected with HIV-1 in the

presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). Statistical test: ordinary one-way ANOVA (****=p<0.0001;

ns=p>0.05). **G)** Confocal microscopy images of THP-1 CPSF6 KO clone 4, non-transduced

and non-infected or transduced with WT CPSF6 and CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCD and infected with

HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). Immuno-

RNA FISH: the cells are stained with CPSF6 (green) antibody and with 24 probes against HIV-

1 Pol sequence (gray)(RNA-FISH) 25 h p.i. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). Scale bar

10 µm. Violin plot presenting the percentage of CPSF6 clusters colocalizing with the viral RNA
in THP-1 CPSF6 KO clone 4 cells transduced with LVs expressing CPSF6 WT or CPSF6
3xNLSΔMCD (respectively n=73 and n=103) and infected with HIV-1ΔEnvIN$_{HA}$ΔNef Vpx (LAI)
Bru (MOI 10) in presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). A total of 198 CPSF6 WT clusters and 264
CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCD clusters were counted. Statistical test: unpaired t-test, ns=p>0.05. **H)**
Confocal microscopy images of THP-1 KO CPSF6 cells transduced with WT CPSF6 and
CPSF6 ΔMCD without NLS, with 3xNLS or with PY NLS, respectively. Cells were differentiated
for 3 days, transduced with CPSF6 lentiviral vectors (MOI 1) for 3 days and infected for 24 h
with HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in presence of Nevirapine (10 uM) (left
panels). The panels on the right show transduced and uninfected cells. CPSF6 and the IN
tagged with the HA are labeled with anti-CPSF6 (green) and anti-HA (white) antibodies,
respectively. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). The arrows show CPSF6 puncta in
colocalization with IN-HA. Scale bar 10µm.

Figure 3. Evaluation of CPSF6 Deletion Mutants' Binding Capacity to the Viral Core. A)
Ability of wild type and mutant CPSF6 proteins to bind to the HIV-1 core. Cellular extracts
derived from human 293T cells expressing similar levels of the indicated CPSF6 proteins
(INPUT) were incubated with HIV-1 capsid stabilized tubes for 1 hour at room temperatures in
the presence and absence of 10 µM PF74, as described in materials and methods. As a carrier
control, we utilized DMSO. Subsequently, HIV-1 capsid stabilized tubes were washed, and the
bound proteins eluted 1X Laemmli buffer 1X. The BOUND fractions were analysed by Western
blotting using antibodies against neon-GFP and the HIV-1 capsid. **B)** Experiments were
repeated at least three times and the average BOUND fraction relative to the INPUT fraction
normalized to wild type binding are shown for the different CPSF6 mutants.   *** indicates a p-
value < 0.001; **** indicates a p-value < 0.0001; and ns indicates no significant difference as
determined by unpaired t-tests. **C)** Physicochemical characteristics of the LCR-FG and ADD2-
FG sequences. Intrinsic disorder predispositions evaluated by PONDR® VLXT. Position of the
FR segment within the LCR-FG and ADD2-FG sequences is shown as gray shaded area. **D)**
Linear distribution of the net charge per residue (NCPR) within the LCR-FG sequence
evaluated by CIDER. **E)** Linear distribution of the net charge per residue (NCPR) within the
ADD2-FG sequence evaluated by CIDER. **F)** Secondary structure propensity of the LCR-FG
sequence evaluated by PSIPRED. **G)** Secondary structure propensity of the ADD2-FG
sequence evaluated by PSIPRED. **H)** Analysis of the peculiarities of the amino acid
compositions of the intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain (residues 261-358) of human
CPSF6 and its different mutants. Relative abundance of prion-like LCR defining uncharged
residues in analyzed protein segments. **I)** Relative abundance of proline residues in analyzed

protein segments. **L)** Relative abundance of charged residues in analyzed protein segments.
The values were calculated by dividing numbers of prion-like LCR defining uncharged (Ala,
Gly, Val, Phe, Tyr, Leu, Ile, Ser, Thr, Pro, Asn, Gln), Pro, and charged (Asp, Glu, Lys, Arg)
residues by the total number of amino acids in the respective protein fragments. Corresponding
values for all protein sequences deposited in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database, PDB
Select25, and DisProt are shown for comparison.

Figure 4. A) Epifluorescence microscopy images of both infected and non-infected 293T cells
showing the presence of CPSF6 clusters only in the infected condition. CPSF6 and SC35 are
labeled with anti-CPSF6 (red) and anti-SC35 (gray) antibodies, respectively. Nuclei are stained
with Hoechst (blue). Scale bar 5µm. **B)** Confocal microscopy images of THP-1 KO CPSF6
cells, differentiated for 3 days, transduced with CPSF6 lentiviral vector (MOI 1) (specifically
WT CPSF6, CPSF6 ΔLCRs, CPSF6 ΔMCD with 3xNLS, without NLS, or with PY NLS) for 3
days and infected for 24 h with HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru (MOI 10) in presence of
Nevirapine (10 uM). CPSF6 and nuclear speckles were labeled with anti-CPSF6 (green) and
anti-SC35 (red) antibodies, respectively. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). Scale bar
10µm. The percentage of CPSF6 puncta associated with SC35 per field of view is shown in
the graph. N cells were counted in each condition and a one-way ANOVA statistical test with
multiple comparison was performed; ns= p value > 0.05.

Figure 5. Dynamics of the HIV-induced CPSF6 puncta formation and their fusion with
NSs. A) Time course of infection of THP-1, 6h.p.i., 9h.p.i., 12h.p.i., 30h.p.i. or non infected.
Cells were stained with antibodies against CPSF6 (green) and SC35 (red). **B)** The graph
shows the percentage of CPSF6 puncta associated with NS or adjacent to NS or isolated from
NS at different time post-infection. **C)** The graph shows the progression of CPSF6 puncta
associated to NS during the time post-infection. N indicates the number of cells analyzed. One-
way ANOVA statistical test with multiple comparison was performed; ns= p value > 0.05; ****
indicates a p-value < 0.0001.

Figure 6. Role of SRRM2 and SON in the Formation of HIV-Induced CPSF6 puncta. A)
Depletion of SON and SRRM2 in THP-1 cells using AUM*silence*™ ASO technology. The
degree of depletion is quantified by mean intensity through immunofluorescence using
antibodies against SON and SRRM2, respectively. Scale bar 5µm. **B)** The percentage of

CPSF6 puncta formation is quantified by IF in THP-1 cells knocked down for SON, SRRM2,

and control (Ctrl) infected with HIV-1 (MOI 25) for 48 h. CPSF6 is stained with an antibody

against CPSF6 (green), and nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). The graph on the right

reports the percentage of CPSF6 clusters calculated from more than 100 cells. Scale bar

10µm. Experiments were performed at least twice. **C)** Confocal microscopy images of ΔIDR

HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 and HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 cells stained with the halo tag ligand

(red), and nuclei (blue). Scale bar 10µm. **D)** Confocal microscopy images of HaloTag SRRM2

HEK293 and ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 cells, both labeled with anti-SRRM2 (red) and

anti-SON (gray) antibodies. Nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue). Scale bar 10µm. Statistical

studies are summarized in the violin plot which displays the distribution of the number of SON

puncta per cell in the two conditions. N cells were counted and Kolmogorov Smirnov test was

performed, ns= p>0.05. **E)** Confocal microscopy images of HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 and

ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 cells, either non-infected or infected for 24 h with ΔEnvHIV-1

LAI (BRU) (MOI 10) in the presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). CPSF6 and SC35 are labeled with

anti-CPSF6 (red) and anti-SC35 (gray) antibodies, respectively. Nuclei are stained with

Hoechst (blue). The plot shows the mean ± SD of the percentage of cells with CPSF6 clusters

calculated in n fields of view (n=24, 29, 32); N is the number of cells analyzed for each of the

three different cell lines; an unpaired t-test was performed, ****=p<0.0001, ns=p>0.05. Scale

bar 10µm. Experiments were performed at list twice.

Movies 1 A and B. CPSF6 MLOs can form independently from SRRM2 MLOs in HEK293

SRRM2 HaloTag cells. Spinning Disk confocal images of HEK293 SRRM2 HaloTag cells

acquired 9 hours after ΔEnvHIV-1 LAI (BRU) Vpx (MOI 10) infection in presence of Nevirapine

(10 µM). Cells were previously transduced for 24h with CPSF6-mNeonGreen lentiviral vector

(MOI 0.5) to detect CPSF6 and SRRM2 was visualized incubating cells with the TMR-halo tag

ligand. The nuclei were stained with Hoechst. Acquisitions were performed continuously for 27

minutes.

Supplementary Figures

Suppl. Figure 1. Multiple examples (**A-E**) of confocal microscopy images of HaloTag SRRM2

HEK293 and ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2 HEK293 cells, either non-infected or infected for 24 h with

ΔEnvHIV-1 LAI (BRU) (MOI 10) in the presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). CPSF6 and SC35 are

labeled with anti-CPSF6 (red) and anti-SC35 (gray) antibodies, respectively. Nuclei are stained

with Hoechst (blue). Scale bar 10µm. **F)** HEK 293 HaloTag SRRM2 or ΔIDR were labelled with

Halo ligand (red) and an anti-SON antibody (gray), nuclei are stained by Hoechst (blue). Scale

bar 10µm. **G)** THP-1 infected with ΔEnvHIV-1 LAI (BRU) Vpx were labelled with specific

antibodies against CPSF6 (green) and SC35 (red), nuclei are stained with Hoechst (blue).

Scale bar 10µm.

Suppl. Figure 2. A) Per-residue intrinsic disorder propensity of the CPSF6 isoform 588 aa

evaluated by the Rapid Intrinsic Disorder Analysis Online platform (RIDAO) (Dayhoff and

Uversky, 2022) that yields results for IU-Pred_short (yellow line), IUPred_long (blue line),

PONDR® VL3 (green line), PONDR® VLXT (black line), PONDR® VSL2 (red line), and

PONDR® FIT (pink line) and computes a mean disorder score for each residue based on these

predictors (MDP, thick, dark pink, dashed line). Light pink shadow represents MDP error

distribution. The thin black line at the disorder score of 0.5 is the threshold between order and

disorder, where residues/regions with disorder scores above 0.5 are disordered, and

residues/regions below 0.5 are ordered. The dashed line at the disorder score of 0.15 is the

threshold between order and flexibility, where residues/regions above 0.15 are flexible, and

residues/regions below 0.15 are highly ordered (upper). Schema of the deletion mutants of

CPSF6 (bottom). **B)** Lentiviral Vector Transduction of PMA-Differentiated THP-1 Cells

expressing CPSF6 ΔMCD fused to mNeonGreen (left), CPSF6 NLSΔMCD fused to

mNeonGreen (center), CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCD fused to mNeonGreen (right). CPSF6 is

represented in green, and nuclei are stained in blue. Scale bar 5µm. **C)** Lentiviral Vector

Transduction of PMA-Differentiated THP-1 cells expressing CPSF6 deletion mutants fused to

mNeonGreen. CPSF6 is represented in green, and nuclei are stained in blue. Scale bar 5µm.

Suppl. Figure 3. A) Multiple examples of confocal microscopy images of THP-1 ctrl CRISPR

clone 2 cells and THP-1 CPSF6 KO 4 cells infected with HIV-1ΔEnvINHAΔNef Vpx (LAI) Bru

(MOI 10) in the presence of Nevirapine (10 µM). The cells are stained 30 h p.i. with CPSF6

(green) and HA (red) antibodies to detect integrase (IN). **B)** Multiple examples of THP-1 CPSF6

KO clone 4 cells transduced with different LVs carrying CPSF6 WT or mutants and stained

with CPSF6 and HA antibody 30 h p.i.. Scale bar 5µm.

Suppl. Figure 4. Sequences of FG and LCRs or substituted amino acids sequences analyzed

in figure 5.

Suppl. Figure 5. SRRM2 depletion is confirmed using antibodies against both SRRM2 and

SC35 by IF. Statistical analysis: One-Way ANOVA (****=p<0.0001; *=p<0.05; ns=p>0.05).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F.D.N. is supported by the Institut Pasteur and ANRS grants (ECTZ192036, ECTZ137593), ANR-PRCI
grant, Sidaction grant. C.T. is supported by fellowships Sidaction, ANR-PRCI. S.A. is supported by ANRS
fellowship ECTZ204694. We gratefully acknowledge the UtechS Photonic BioImaging platform
(Imagopole), C2RT at Institut Pasteur. We thank the NIH AIDS Reagents program to support us with
precious reagents and Addgene.

Bibliography

Ay, S., and Di Nunzio, F. (2023). HIV-Induced CPSF6 Condensates. J Mol Biol *435*, 168094.

Bairoch, A., Apweiler, R., Wu, C.H., Barker, W.C., Boeckmann, B., Ferro, S., Gasteiger, E., Huang, H.,
Lopez, R., Magrane, M.*, et al.* (2005). The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt). Nucleic Acids Res *33*,
D154-159.

Barre-Sinoussi, F., Chermann, J.C., Rey, F., Nugeyre, M.T., Chamaret, S., Gruest, J., Dauguet, C., Axler-
Blin, C., Vezinet-Brun, F., Rouzioux, C.*, et al.* (1983). Isolation of a T-lymphotropic retrovirus from a
patient at risk for acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS). Science *220*, 868-871.

Berman, H.M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T.N., Weissig, H., Shindyalov, I.N., and
Bourne, P.E. (2000). The Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res *28*, 235-242.

Blanco-Rodriguez, G., and Di Nunzio, F. (2021). The Viral Capsid: A Master Key to Access the Host
Nucleus. Viruses *13*.

Blanco-Rodriguez, G., Gazi, A., Monel, B., Frabetti, S., Scoca, V., Mueller, F., Schwartz, O., Krijnse-
Locker, J., Charneau, P., and Di Nunzio, F. (2020). Remodeling of the Core Leads HIV-1 Preintegration
Complex into the Nucleus of Human Lymphocytes. J Virol *94*.

Buffone, C., Martinez-Lopez, A., Fricke, T., Opp, S., Severgnini, M., Cifola, I., Petiti, L., Frabetti, S.,
Skorupka, K., Zadrozny, K.K.*, et al.* (2018). Nup153 Unlocks the Nuclear Pore Complex for HIV-1
Nuclear Translocation in Nondividing Cells. J Virol *92*.

Burdick, R.C., Li, C., Munshi, M., Rawson, J.M.O., Nagashima, K., Hu, W.S., and Pathak, V.K. (2020).
HIV-1 uncoats in the nucleus near sites of integration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *117*, 5486-5493.

Chen, N.Y., Zhou, L., Gane, P.J., Opp, S., Ball, N.J., Nicastro, G., Zufferey, M., Buffone, C., Luban, J.,
Selwood, D.*, et al.* (2016). HIV-1 capsid is involved in post-nuclear entry steps. Retrovirology *13*, 28.

Chen, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Brinkman, E.K., Adam, S.A., Goldman, R., van Steensel, B., Ma,
J., and Belmont, A.S. (2018). Mapping 3D genome organization relative to nuclear compartments
using TSA-Seq as a cytological ruler. J Cell Biol *217*, 4025-4048.

Dayhoff, G.W., 2nd, and Uversky, V.N. (2022). Rapid prediction and analysis of protein intrinsic
disorder. Protein Sci *31*, e4496.

Dharan, A., Bachmann, N., Talley, S., Zwikelmaier, V., and Campbell, E.M. (2020). Nuclear pore
blockade reveals that HIV-1 completes reverse transcription and uncoating in the nucleus. Nature
Microbiology *5*, 1088-1095.

Di Nunzio, F. (2013). New insights in the role of nucleoporins: a bridge leading to concerted steps
from HIV-1 nuclear entry until integration. Virus Res *178*, 187-196.

Di Nunzio, F., Danckaert, A., Fricke, T., Perez, P., Fernandez, J., Perret, E., Roux, P., Shorte, S., Charneau,
P., Diaz-Griffero, F.*, et al.* (2012). Human nucleoporins promote HIV-1 docking at the nuclear pore,
nuclear import and integration. PLoS One *7*, e46037.

Di Nunzio, F., Uversky, V.N., and Mouland, A.J. (2023). Biomolecular condensates: insights into early
and late steps of the HIV-1 replication cycle. Retrovirology *20*, 4.

Dickson, C.F., Hertel, S., Tuckwell, A.J., Li, N., Ruan, J., Al-Izzi, S.C., Ariotti, N., Sierecki, E., Gambin, Y.,
Morris, R.G., *et al.* (2024). The HIV capsid mimics karyopherin engagement of FG-nucleoporins.
Nature.
Dosztanyi, Z., Csizmok, V., Tompa, P., and Simon, I. (2005a). IUPred: web server for the prediction of
intrinsically unstructured regions of proteins based on estimated energy content. Bioinformatics *21*,
3433-3434.
Dosztanyi, Z., Csizmok, V., Tompa, P., and Simon, I. (2005b). The pairwise energy content estimated
from amino acid composition discriminates between folded and intrinsically unstructured proteins. J
Mol Biol *347*, 827-839.
Durand, S., Nguyen, X.N., Turpin, J., Cordeil, S., Nazaret, N., Croze, S., Mahieux, R., Lachuer, J., Legras-
Lachuer, C., and Cimarelli, A. (2013). Tailored HIV-1 vectors for genetic modification of primary human
dendritic cells and monocytes. J Virol *87*, 234-242.
Francis, A.C., Marin, M., Singh, P.K., Achuthan, V., Prellberg, M.J., Palermino-Rowland, K., Lan, S.,
Tedbury, P.R., Sarafianos, S.G., Engelman, A.N., *et al.* (2020). Publisher Correction: HIV-1 replication
complexes accumulate in nuclear speckles and integrate into speckle-associated genomic domains.
Nat Commun *11*, 6165.
Fu, L., Weiskopf, E.N., Akkermans, O., Swanson, N.A., Cheng, S., Schwartz, T.U., and Görlich, D. (2024).
HIV-1 capsids enter the FG phase of nuclear pores like a transport receptor. Nature *626*, 843-851.
Fu, X.D., and Maniatis, T. (1990). Factor required for mammalian spliceosome assembly is localized to
discrete regions in the nucleus. Nature *343*, 437-441.
Gao, L., Zhang, W., Zhang, L., Gromova, B., Chen, G., Csizmadia, E., Cagle, C., Nastasio, S., Ma, Y.,
Bonder, A., *et al.* (2024). Silencing of aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor restrains Th17 cell
immunity in autoimmune hepatitis. Journal of Autoimmunity *143*, 103162.
Greig, J.A., Nguyen, T.A., Lee, M., Holehouse, A.S., Posey, A.E., Pappu, R.V., and Jedd, G. (2020).
Arginine-Enriched Mixed-Charge Domains Provide Cohesion for Nuclear Speckle Condensation. Mol
Cell *77*, 1237-1250.e1234.
Holehouse, A.S., Das, R.K., Ahad, J.N., Richardson, M.O., and Pappu, R.V. (2017). CIDER: Resources to
Analyze Sequence-Ensemble Relationships of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins. Biophys J *112*, 16-21.
Hülsmann, B.B., Labokha, A.A., and Görlich, D. (2012). The permeability of reconstituted nuclear
pores provides direct evidence for the selective phase model. Cell *150*, 738-751.
Ilik, I.A., Malszycki, M., Lubke, A.K., Schade, C., Meierhofer, D., and Aktas, T. (2020). SON and SRRM2
are essential for nuclear speckle formation. Elife *9*.
Karlsson, E., Schnatwinkel, J., Paissoni, C., Andersson, E., Herrmann, C., Camilloni, C., and Jemth, P.
(2022). Disordered Regions Flanking the Binding Interface Modulate Affinity between CBP and NCOA.
J Mol Biol *434*, 167643.
Lee, K., Ambrose, Z., Martin, T.D., Oztop, I., Mulky, A., Julias, J.G., Vandegraaff, N., Baumann, J.G.,
Wang, R., Yuen, W., *et al.* (2010). Flexible use of nuclear import pathways by HIV-1. Cell Host Microbe
7, 221-233.
Lelek, M., Casartelli, N., Pellin, D., Rizzi, E., Souque, P., Severgnini, M., Di Serio, C., Fricke, T., Diaz-
Griffero, F., Zimmer, C., *et al.* (2015). Chromatin organization at the nuclear pore favours HIV
replication. Nat Commun *6*, 6483.
Lester, E., Ooi, F.K., Bakkar, N., Ayers, J., Woerman, A.L., Wheeler, J., Bowser, R., Carlson, G.A.,
Prusiner, S.B., and Parker, R. (2021). Tau aggregates are RNA-protein assemblies that mislocalize
multiple nuclear speckle components. Neuron *109*, 1675-1691 e1679.
Li, C., Burdick, R.C., Nagashima, K., Hu, W.S., and Pathak, V.K. (2021). HIV-1 cores retain their integrity
until minutes before uncoating in the nucleus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *118*.
Link, J.O., Rhee, M.S., Tse, W.C., Zheng, J., Somoza, J.R., Rowe, W., Begley, R., Chiu, A., Mulato, A.,
Hansen, D., *et al.* (2020). Clinical targeting of HIV capsid protein with a long-acting small molecule.
Nature *584*, 614-618.
Luchsinger, C., Lee, K., Mardones, G.A., KewalRamani, V.N., and Diaz-Griffero, F. (2023). Formation of
nuclear CPSF6/CPSF5 biomolecular condensates upon HIV-1 entry into the nucleus is important for
productive infection. Sci Rep *13*, 10974.

Marasca, F., Sinha, S., Vadalà, R., Polimeni, B., Ranzani, V., Paraboschi, E.M., Burattin, F.V., Ghilotti, M.,
Crosti, M., Negri, M.L*., et al.* (2022). LINE1 are spliced in non-canonical transcript variants to regulate
T cell quiescence and exhaustion. Nature Genetics *54*, 180-193.

Matreyek, K.A., Yucel, S.S., Li, X., and Engelman, A. (2013). Nucleoporin NUP153 phenylalanine-
glycine motifs engage a common binding pocket within the HIV-1 capsid protein to mediate lentiviral
infectivity. PLoS Pathog *9*, e1003693.

Mazzeo, L., Ghosh, S., Di Cicco, E., Isma, J., Tavernari, D., Samarkina, A., Ostano, P., Youssef, M.K.,
Simon, C., and Dotto, G.P. (2024). ANKRD1 is a mesenchymal-specific driver of cancer-associated
fibroblast activation bridging androgen receptor loss to AP-1 activation. Nature Communications *15*,
1038.

McGuffin, L.J., Bryson, K., and Jones, D.T. (2000). The PSIPRED protein structure prediction server.
Bioinformatics *16*, 404-405.

Muller, T.G., Zila, V., Peters, K., Schifferdecker, S., Stanic, M., Lucic, B., Laketa, V., Lusic, M., Muller, B.,
and Krausslich, H.G. (2021). HIV-1 uncoating by release of viral cDNA from capsid-like structures in the
nucleus of infected cells. Elife *10*.

Peng, K., Radivojac, P., Vucetic, S., Dunker, A.K., and Obradovic, Z. (2006). Length-dependent
prediction of protein intrinsic disorder. BMC Bioinformatics *7*, 208.

Peng, K., Vucetic, S., Radivojac, P., Brown, C.J., Dunker, A.K., and Obradovic, Z. (2005). Optimizing long
intrinsic disorder predictors with protein evolutionary information. J Bioinform Comput Biol *3*, 35-60.

Pornillos, O., Ganser-Pornillos, B.K., Kelly, B.N., Hua, Y., Whitby, F.G., Stout, C.D., Sundquist, W.I., Hill,
C.P., and Yeager, M. (2009). X-ray structures of the hexameric building block of the HIV capsid. Cell
137, 1282-1292.

Price, A.J., Jacques, D.A., McEwan, W.A., Fletcher, A.J., Essig, S., Chin, J.W., Halambage, U.D., Aiken, C.,
and James, L.C. (2014). Host cofactors and pharmacologic ligands share an essential interface in HIV-1
capsid that is lost upon disassembly. PLoS Pathog *10*, e1004459.

Rai, A.K., Chen, J.X., Selbach, M., and Pelkmans, L. (2018). Kinase-controlled phase transition of
membraneless organelles in mitosis. Nature *559*, 211-216.

Rasaiyaah, J., Tan, C.P., Fletcher, A.J., Price, A.J., Blondeau, C., Hilditch, L., Jacques, D.A., Selwood, D.L.,
James, L.C., Noursadeghi, M*., et al.* (2013). HIV-1 evades innate immune recognition through specific
cofactor recruitment. Nature *503*, 402-405.

Rensen, E., Mueller, F., Scoca, V., Parmar, J.J., Souque, P., Zimmer, C., and Di Nunzio, F. (2021).
Clustering and reverse transcription of HIV-1 genomes in nuclear niches of macrophages. EMBO J *40*,
e105247.

Romero, P., Obradovic, Z., Li, X., Garner, E.C., Brown, C.J., and Dunker, A.K. (2001). Sequence
complexity of disordered protein. Proteins *42*, 38-48.

Scoca, V., Morin, R., Collard, M., Tinevez, J.Y., and Di Nunzio, F. (2023). HIV-induced membraneless
organelles orchestrate post-nuclear entry steps. J Mol Cell Biol *14*.

Segal-Maurer, S., DeJesus, E., Stellbrink, H.J., Castagna, A., Richmond, G.J., Sinclair, G.I., Siripassorn,
K., Ruane, P.J., Berhe, M., Wang, H*., et al.* (2022). Capsid Inhibition with Lenacapavir in Multidrug-
Resistant HIV-1 Infection. N Engl J Med *386*, 1793-1803.

Selyutina, A., Bulnes-Ramos, A., and Diaz-Griffero, F. (2018). Binding of host factors to stabilized HIV-1
capsid tubes. Virology *523*, 1-5.

Selyutina, A., Persaud, M., Lee, K., KewalRamani, V., and Diaz-Griffero, F. (2020). Nuclear Import of the
HIV-1 Core Precedes Reverse Transcription and Uncoating. Cell Rep *32*, 108201.

Sickmeier, M., Hamilton, J.A., LeGall, T., Vacic, V., Cortese, M.S., Tantos, A., Szabo, B., Tompa, P., Chen,
J., Uversky, V.N*., et al.* (2007). DisProt: the Database of Disordered Proteins. Nucleic Acids Res *35*,
D786-793.

Suzuki, Y., and Craigie, R. (2007). The road to chromatin — nuclear entry of retroviruses. Nature
Reviews Microbiology *5*, 187-196.

Taylor, I.A., and Fassati, A. (2024). The capsid revolution. J Mol Cell Biol *15*.

Tsanov, N., Samacoits, A., Chouaib, R., Traboulsi, A.M., Gostan, T., Weber, C., Zimmer, C., Zibara, K.,
Walter, T., Peter, M*., et al.* (2016). smiFISH and FISH-quant - a flexible single RNA detection approach
with super-resolution capability. Nucleic Acids Res *44*, e165.
Wei, G., Iqbal, N., Courouble, V.V., Francis, A.C., Singh, P.K., Hudait, A., Annamalai, A.S., Bester, S.,
Huang, S.W., Shkriabai, N*., et al.* (2022). Prion-like low complexity regions enable avid virus-host
interactions during HIV-1 infection. Nat Commun *13*, 5879.
Xue, B., Dunbrack, R.L., Williams, R.W., Dunker, A.K., and Uversky, V.N. (2010). PONDR-FIT: a meta-
predictor of intrinsically disordered amino acids. Biochim Biophys Acta *1804*, 996-1010.
Yamashita, M., and Emerman, M. (2004). Capsid is a dominant determinant of retrovirus infectivity in
nondividing cells. J Virol *78*, 5670-5678.
Zhang, L., Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Gholamalamdari, O., Wang, Y., Ma, J., and Belmont, A.S. (2021). TSA-seq
reveals a largely conserved genome organization relative to nuclear speckles with small position
changes tightly correlated with gene expression changes. Genome Res *31*, 251-264.
Zhang, Z.-Y., Harischandra, D.S., Wang, R., Ghaisas, S., Zhao, J.Y., McMonagle, T.P., Zhu, G., Lacuarta,
K.D., Song, J., Trojanowski, J.Q*., et al.* TRIM11 protects against tauopathies and is down-regulated in
Alzheimer's disease. Science *381*, eadd6696.
Zila, V., Margiotta, E., Turonova, B., Muller, T.G., Zimmerli, C.E., Mattei, S., Allegretti, M., Borner, K.,
Rada, J., Muller, B*., et al.* (2021). Cone-shaped HIV-1 capsids are transported through intact nuclear
pores. Cell *184*, 1032-1046 e1018.

1036

Figure 1

Figure 2

C

Figure 2

D

CPSF6 WT

CPSF6   IN   Merge

CPSF6 ΔFG ΔLCR

CPSF6   IN   Merge

CPSF6 ADD2 ΔLCR

CPSF6   IN   Merge

CPSF6 3XNLS ΔMCD

CPSF6   IN   Merge

CPSF6 ΔLCR

CPSF6   IN   Merge

CPSF6 ΔFG

CPSF6   IN   Merge

E

F

Figure 2

G

Figure 2

H

Figure 2

A

INPUT — WB: anti-neon-GFP

BOUND — WB: anti-neon-GFP / WB: anti-p24

CPSF6 WT
CPSF6 ΔFG ΔLCR
CPSF6 ADD2 ΔLCR
CPSF6 3xNLS ΔMCD
CPSF6 ΔLCR
CPSF6 ΔFG

—100 kDa
— 75 kDa

B

Figure 3

Figure 3

H

I

L

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

C

D

Figure 6

E

	Nucleus	CPSF6	SC35	Merge	
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					HIV
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					Non-Infected
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					

Figure 6

A

	Nucleus	CPSF6	SC35	Merge	
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					HIV
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					Non-Infected
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					

Suppl. Fig.1

B

	Nucleus	CPSF6	SC35	Merge	
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					
HaloTag SRRM2					HIV
WT 293T					
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					
HaloTag SRRM2					Non-Infected
WT 293T					

Suppl. Fig.1

C

	Nucleus	CPSF6	SC35	Merge	
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					HIV
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					
ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2					Non-Infected
HaloTag SRRM2					
WT 293T					

Suppl. Fig.1

D

E

Suppl. Fig.1

F

HEK 293 HaloTag SRRM2

HEK 293 ΔIDR HaloTag SRRM2

Suppl. Figure 1

G

A

B

CPSF6 ΔMCDmNeonGreen   CPSF6 NLSΔMCDmNeonGreen   CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCDmNeonGreen

5 µm   5 µm   5 µm

Suppl. Figure 2

C

CPSF6

CPSF6 ΔLCRΔFG

CPSF6 ΔFG

CPSF6 3xNLSΔMCD

CPSF6 ΔLCR

CPSF6 ADD2 ΔLCR

Suppl. Figure 2

A

IN CPSF6 Merge

Suppl. Figure 3

B

CPSF6 WT　　CPSF6 ΔFG ΔLCR　　CPSF6 ΔDD2 ΔLCR　　CPSF6 3xNLS ΔMCD　　CPSF6 ΔLCR　　CPSF6 ΔFG

Suppl. Fig. 3

Bioinformatic comparison of amino acids sequence ~261-358aa:

CPSF6 domain ΔLRC + FG peptide:

gavpggdrfpgpagpggppppfpgnlikhlvkgtrplfletripwhmghsiePVLFPGQPFGQPPLGpfpprppgplgppltlappphlp gpppgapp

CPSF6 ΔLCRs + ADD2 + FG peptide:

DGEKETAPEEPGSPAKSAPASPVQSPAKEAETKSPLVSPSKSLEEGTKKTETPVLFPGQPFGQPPLGSKAATTEPETTQ PEGVVVNGREEEQTAEEIL

CPSF6 WT (domain LCRs + FG peptide):

Eipifglkagqtpprpplgppgppgppgppppgqvlppplagppnrgdrppppvlfpgqpfgqpplgplppgppppvpgygpppgpppp qqgpppppg

CPSF6 (domain LCRs Δ FG peptide):

Eipifglkagqtpprpplgppgppgppgppppgqvlppplagppnrgdrpppplppgppppvpgygpppgppppqqgpppppg

CPSF6 (Δ domain LCRs Δ FG peptide):

Pfpprppgplgppltlappphlpgpppgapppaphvnpaffpppptnsgmptsdsrgppptdpygrpppydrgdygppgremdtartpls eaefeeimn

Suppl. Figure 4

A Ab SC35

B Ab SRRM2

Suppl. Fig.5

Appendix 1—key resources table

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rat monoclonal anti-HA	Roche	Cat#11867423001
Mouse monoclonal anti-p24	NIH reagent	Cat#NIH183-H12-5C
Rabbit monoclonal anti-CPSF6	Novus Biologicals	Cat#NBP1- 85676
Mouse monoclonal anti-SC35	Abcam	Cat#ab11826
Rabbit monoclonal anti-SON (human)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#HPA031755
Rabbit monoclonal anti-SRRM2	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#HPA066181
Donkey polyclonal anti-mouse Cy3	Jackson Lab	Cat#715-165-150
Goat polyclonal anti-rat Alexa Fluor™ 647	Invitrogen	Cat#A21247
Goat polyclonal anti-rat Alexa Fluor™ 555	Invitrogen	Cat#A21434
Goat polyclonal anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor™ 488	Invitrogen	Cat#A32731
Goat polyclonal anti-mouse Alexa Fluor™ 568	Invitrogen	Cat#A11031
Goat polyclonal anti-mouse Alexa Fluor™ 647	Invitrogen	Cat#A21235
Mouse monoclonal anti-β actin HRP-conjugated	Abcam	Cat#8226
Mouse polyclonal anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated	Santa Cruz	Cat#sc2357
Bacterial and virus strains		
VSV-G ΔEnv HIV-1 (BRU) IN(HA) ΔNef	Petit et al., 1999	
VSV-G ΔEnv HIV-1 (NL4.3) ΔNef IRES GFP	Rensen et al., 2021	
VSV-G Δenv HIV-1 (NL4.3) ΔR LUC	Di Nunzio et al., 2013	
DH5α *E.coli* competent cells		This study
One Shot™ BL21 Star™ (DE3) Chemically Competent *E. coli*	Invitrogen	Cat#C601003
Stellar™ Competent Cells	Takara	Cat#636763
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
BamHI	New England BioLabs	Cat#R3136S
Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#A9647
Bradford	Biorad	Cat#500-0006
Calcium Chloride solution	Sigma Aldrich	Cat #21115
CIP	New England BioLabs	Cat#M0525S
cOmplete, EDTA free (tablet)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#11873580001
Deionized Formamide	Bio Basic	Cat#FB0211
DMEM (1X) + GlutaMAX™-I	Gibco	Cat#31966
DPBS	Gibco	Cat#14200
ECL solution	Cytiva	Cat#RPN2232
Ethanol Absolute	Fisher BioReagents	Cat#BP2818-500
Ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid disodium salt solution (EDTA)	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#E7889

Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS)	Serana®	Cat#S-FBS-SA-015
Glycine	Sigma	Cat#G8898
HaloTag®TMR Ligand	Promega	Cat#G8252
HEPES-buffered saline, pH 7.0 (2X for transfection)	Fisher Scientific	Cat#15450257
HEPES solution (for cell culture)	Gibco	Cat#15630
Hoechst 33342	Invitrogen	Cat#H3570
In-Fusion® Snap Assembly Master Mix	Takara	Cat#638947
Luciferase Assay System	Promega	Cat#E4030
NEBuffer 3	New England BioLabs	Cat#B7003S
Nevirapine	Sigma Aldrich	Cat#SML0097
NucleoSpin Plasmid	Macherey-Nagel	Cat#740588.50
NuPAGE™ Bis-Tris Mini Protein Gels, 4–12%	Invitrogen	Cat#NP0322BOX
P3 Primary Cell 4D-Nucleofector™ X Kit S	Lonza	Cat# V4XP-3032 (?)
Paraformaldehyde 32%	Electron Microscopy Sciences	Cat#15714
PBS (RNase-free)	Invitrogen	Cat#AM9625
PCR clean-up and gel extraction	Macherey-Nagel	Cat#740609.50
Penicillin Streptomycin (P/S)	Gibco	Cat#15140
PF74	sigma	Cat#SML0835
Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA)	Sigma	Cat#P8139
Pierce™ BCA Protein Assay Kits	Thermo Scientific	Cat#23225
Poly-L-lysine solution	Sigma	Cat#P4707
Precision Plus Protein Western C Standard solution	BioRad	Cat#1610376
ProLong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#P36970
QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit	Stratagene	
Reducing Agent 20X	BioRad	Cat#1610792
RIPA buffer	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#R0278
RNaseZAP™	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#R2020
RPMI Medium 1640 (1X) + GlutaMAX™-I	Gibco	Cat#61870
Running buffer 20X	Invitrogen	Cat#NP0001
Sample Buffer 4X	BioRad	Cat#1610791
Stellaris® RNA FISH Hyb. Buffer	Biosearch™ Technologies	Cat#SMF-HB1
Stellaris® RNA FISH Wash Buffer A	Biosearch™ Technologies	Cat#SMF-WA1
Stellaris® RNA FISH Wash Buffer B	Biosearch™ Technologies	Cat#SMF-WB1
T4 Ligase	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#EL0011
Transfer buffer 20X	Invitrogen	Cat#NP0006-1
Triton™ X-100	Sigma	Cat#T8787
TrueCut™ Cas9 Protein v2	Thermo Fisher	Cat# A36496
Trypsin 0.05%-EDTA (1X)	Gibco	Cat#253000
TWEEN® 20	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#P1379
Phusion Flash High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix	Thermo Scientific	Cat#F-548S

UltraPure™ Distilled Water (H₂O)	Invitrogen	Cat#10977
Vanadyl Ribonucleoside Complexes (VRC)	Sigma	Cat#94742
Experimental models: Cell lines		
HEK293T	American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)	CRL-3216™
Halo tagged SRRM2 HEK293 cells	Parker et al., 2021	
Halo tagged SRRM2 ΔIDR HEK293 cells	Parker et al., 2021	
THP-1	American Type Culture Collection (ATCC)	TIB-202™
THP-1 KO CPSF6	This paper	
Oligonucleotides		
Primary smiFISH probes against HIV-1 pol (× 24)	Integrated DNA Technologies	
Cy5 FLAP (RNA FISH secondary probe)	Eurofins Genomics	
Table1 primers	This paper	
Recombinant DNA		
HIV-1 (BRU) ΔEnv IN(HA) plasmid	Petit et al., 1999 ; Petit et al., 2000	
pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef IRES GFP	Rensen et al., 2021	
pNL4.3 Δenv ΔNef Luc	Di Nunzio et al., 2013	
pCMV-VSV-G	Addgene	Cat#8454
pSD-GP-NDK	PlasmidFactory.com	
SIVMAC Vpx	Berger G. et al., 2008	
pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	Addgene	Cat#167587
pLPCX CPSF6 ADD2	Gift from Mamuka's lab	
Table2 pSICO-CPSF6 mutants	This paper	
Software and algorithms		
ZEISS arivis Scientific Image Analysis	ZEISS	https://www.arivis.com/
Fiji	Schindelin et al., 2012	https://imagej.net/software/fiji/
Icy	De Chaumont et al., 2012	https://icy.bioimageanalysis.org/download/
Prism	GraphPad Software	https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/
Other		
Centrifuge	ThermoFisher Scientific	Sorvall ST4 Plus
BD SYRINGE 60ml (no needle)	Dutscher	309653
Confocal microscope	Zeiss	LSM700 inverted
Confocal microscope 63´ objective	Zeiss	Objective Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 Oil DIC M27
Corning® black 96 Well Solid Polystyrene Microplate	Merck	Cat#CLS3916
Enspire 2300	Perkin Elmer	
Falcon® Cell culture 24-well plate	Dutscher	Cat#353047
Glasstic™ Slide 10 with Grids	KOVA™	Cat#87144E
HiTrap™ Q HP column	Cytiva	Cat# 17115401
HiTrapTM SP FF column	Cytiva	Cat#17515701

Ibidi microdishes 35 mm high	Ibidi	Cat#81158
LS Columns	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#130-042-401
Minisart™ NML Syringe Filter 0.45um	Sartorius	Cat#16555
MS Columns	Miltenyi Biotec	Cat#130-042-201
Open-Top Thinwall Polypropylene Konical Tube, 31.5 mL, 25 ´ 89mm	Beckman Coulter, Inc.	Cat#358126
OPTILUX Petri dish - 100 x 20 mm	Dutscher	Cat#353003
Precision cover glasses 12mm Æ thickness No. 1.5H	Marienfeld	Cat#0117520
Refrigerated benchtop centrifuge	Eppendorf	Centrifuge 5415 R
Rotor for LE-80K	Beckman Coulter, Inc.	SW32Ti
SnakeSkin™ Dialysis Tubing 10,000 MWCO	Thermo Scientific	Cat#68100
Syngene GeneGenius Bio Imaging System Gel Documentation UV Transilluminator	Syngene	
Star-Frost slides 76 x 26 mm	Dutscher	Cat#100204
Stericup Quick Release-HV Sterile Vacuum Filtration System 0.45µm	Millipore	Cat#S2HVU05RE
Thermocycler	Eppendorf	Mastercycler® nexus
Thermocycler (qPCR)	Eppendorf	Mastercycler® realplex^2
Ultracentrifuge	Beckman Coulter, Inc.	Optima™ LE-80K

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Francesca Di Nunzio (francesca.di-nunzio@pasteur.fr).

Materials availability

All materials are available on request.

Table 1: Oligonucleotides

Name	Sequence
For CA insertion	TGGACAACCTTTTGGGCAGCCTCCATTGGGTCCCTTTCCACCTCGTCCACC
Rev CA insertion	CCAAAAGGTTGTCCAGGAAAAAGAACTGGCTCTATGCTGTGCCCCATATGC
For del CA binding	CTCCACCACCACTTCCTCCTGGCCCT
Rev del CA binding	GAAGTGGTGGTGGAGGGCGATCTCCT
For BamHI (ΔLCR+ADD2)	GAACCGTCGGATCCCAGTGTGGTGGTACGGGAATTCAGGAAGATGGCGGACGGCGTGGACCACATAGACATTTACG
Rev BamHI (ΔLCR+ADD2)	GACCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCTCACGATGACGATATTCGCGCTCTCGGTCACG
For del MCD + 2NLS	CAAAGTGGGCGGCAGCCCGAAAAAAAAACGCAAAGTGCCAAAAAGAAAAGAAAAGTTGGCC
Rev del MCD + 2NLS	CTGCCGCCCACTTTGCGTTTTTTTTTCGGTTCCAGAATAAGAACTTTGCAACGATCATC
For del MCD 520-588	AGTCCAAGCGTGAGGTACCGCGGGCC
Rev del MCD 520-588	CCTCACGCTTGGACTCAATTCCATGAAGGC
For CPSF6 260-359	GCATAGAGCCCTTTCCACCTCGTCCACC
Rev CPSF6 260-359	GAAAGGGCTCTATGCTGTGCCCCATATGC
ForCPSF6 w/o mNG	GTCATCGTTAAGCGGCCGCATAACTTCG
RevCPSF6 w/o mNG	CCGCTTAACGATGACGATATTCGCGCTCTC
ForCPSF6 del MCD w/o mNG	AAGTTGGCTAAGCGGCCGCATAACTTCG
RevCPSF6 del MCD w/o mNG	CCGCTTAGCCAACTTTTCTTTTCTTTTTTGGC
Rev seq CPSF6 mut	CATGATCTCGTGAAGCGAGC
For seq CPSF6ADD2	AAATGTCGTAACAACTCCGC
Rev seq CPSF6ADD2	CTTTGCTACCCAATGGAGG
For BamHI CPSF6	GACCGGTGGATCCCGGGCCCGCGGTACCTCACGATGACGATATTCGCGCTCTCGGTCACGC
Rev BamHI CPSF6	GAACCGTCGGATCCCAGTGTGGTGGTACGGGAATTCAGGAAGATGGCGGACGGCGTGGACCACATAGACATTTACG
SRRM2-ASO	ATCTACTACGAGACCTGCGTC
SON-ASO	ACATCTGAGCATCCATGGTGC
SCR-ASO	TGACCCTAtgctgtTCCTATA

Table 2: Composition and compounds used to obtain the engineered CPSF6.

Construct	Modification (aa)	Plasmid/s used	Primers used
pSICO CPSF6 ΔFG	Deletion, aa 313-327	pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	C,D (deletion) M,N (removal of mNG)
pSICO CPSF6 ΔLCR	Deletion, aa 260-312 and 328-359	pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	K,L (deletion) A,B (insert of FG) M,N (removal of mNG)
pSICO CPSF6 ΔLCR-ΔFG	Deletion, aa 260-359	pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	K,L (deletion) M,N (removal of mNG)
pSICO CPSF6-ΔLCR ADD2	Replacement, CPSF6 -ΔLCR ADD2 from pLPCX CPSF6 ADD2 at the place of CPSF6 in pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen (backbone) pLPCX CPSF6 ADD2 (insert)	E,F M,N (removal of mNG)
pSICO CPSF6-ΔMCD-3xNLS	Deletion, aa 520-588; Insertion of 3xNLS at C term	pSICO CPSF6-mNeonGreen	I,J (deletion and insertion of NLS) G,H (insertion of 2 NLS) O,P (removal of mNG)