# Focal persistence and phylodynamics of Heartland virus in Georgia

Authors: Stephanie Bellman[1,2,3], Nima Shariatzadeh[3], Tyshawn Ferrell[3,4,5], Audrey Long[2], Leah Aeschleman[2], Ellie Fausett[1,2], Tim Walsh[1,2], Seana Cleary[1,2], Isabella Roeske[2], Erick Ojeda[1], Madison Schwab[3,6], Hannah Dakanay[3], Sam R Telford III[7], Heidi K Goethert[7], Gonzalo Vazquez-Prokopec[2], Anne Piantadosi[3,8]*

Affiliations:
1. Gangarosa Department of Environmental Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
2. Department of Environmental Sciences, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
3. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
4. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
5. Graduate Program in Biochemistry, Cell, and Developmental Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
6. Graduate Program in Population Biology, Ecology, and Evolution, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US
7. Department of Infectious Disease and Global Health, Cumming School of Veterinary Medicine, Tufts University, Grafton, MA, US
8. Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, US

* Corresponding author contact:

Anne Piantadosi MD, PhD
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine
Emory University School of Medicine
101 Woodruff Circle
Atlanta, GA 30322
404-712-9005
anne.piantadosi@emory.edu

## Abstract

Heartland virus (HRTV) is an emerging tick-bone virus associated with severe illness in the U.S. There are large gaps in knowledge of HRTV diversity, evolution, and transmission due to a paucity of HRTV-positive samples and genome sequences. We identified a focal site of HRTV-positive *Amblyomma americanum* ticks in central Georgia and developed a novel multiplex-amplicon sequencing assay to generate full HRTV genome sequences. By screening over 21,000 field-collected ticks from 2021-2023, we identified six positive pools. Five were collected from the site in central Georgia where our group first detected HRTV-positive ticks in 2019, and one from a site in western Georgia approximately 175 km away. The HRTV genome sequences from Georgia were highly related, even across this distance and over five years. Reference HRTV genome sequences from across the U.S. were also geographically clustered. Time-scaled phylogenetic analysis suggested recent spread of HRTV in the U.S., with all available sequences sharing a common ancestor within the last 300 years, and sequences from Georgia sharing a common ancestor within the last 40 years. Our observed spatial clustering of HRTV and the high degree of genetic conservation in our persistent focus suggest the importance of small spatial dynamics in HRTV transmission ecology.

## Author Summary

Heartland virus (HRTV) was first discovered in humans in 2009 and has since caused over 60 cases of severe and fatal disease in the United States. HRTV is transmitted by the lone star tick, *Amblyomma americanum*, across the Southeast, East coast, and Midwest. Little information is known about how this virus circulates and changes across time and space due to a lack of genetic data. Here, we created a new procedure to generate more genetic sequence data for HRTV and

collected over 21,000 ticks to screen for HRTV across three years in Georgia. We generated 6

new HRTV sequences and compared them to existing sequences from our group in Georgia, and

across the country, finding evidence of regional clustering of HRTV and highly related HRTV

across time in Georgia. Our analyses additionally found that this virus was likely introduced to

the U.S. in the last 300 years. Our study provides new context and information in understanding

the landscape and transmission of HRTV in the U.S.

## Introduction

In 2009, two farmers in northwestern Missouri presented with symptoms similar to ehrlichiosis

and were found to have a novel pathogen, Heartland virus (HRTV).(1) Originally categorized as

a *Phlebovirus*, HRTV was recategorized to the genus *Bandavirus* in the family *Phenuiviridae,*

order *Bunyavirales* in 2020.(2) HRTV is vector-borne and transmitted by the lone star tick

(*Amblyomma americanum*), whose range spans the majority of the eastern half of the United

States.(3, 4) To date, HRTV has been associated with over sixty cases of human disease in

fourteen U.S. states and has an observed case fatality rate of 5-10%.(5)

HRTV, like other bunyaviruses, has a segmented negative-sense single-stranded RNA genome

with three segments.(1) The L segment encodes the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp)

and is 6.4 kb long. The M segment encodes two viral glycoproteins (Gn, Gc) and is 3.4 kb long.

Lastly, the S segment employs an ambisense strategy to encode the nucleoprotein (Np) and

nonstructural protein (NSs) in 1.7 kb. HRTV is most closely related to Dabie bandavirus (DBV)

(previously known as Severe Fever and Thrombocytopenia Syndrome virus, SFTSV) which

circulates in China, Japan, South Korea, Vietnam and Taiwan.(6) While different genotypes of

DBV have been documented across Asia(7) and have been associated with different

pathogenicity in humans,(8) very little is known about the genotypic and phenotypic diversity of

HRTV. This knowledge gap stems from limited surveillance, low HRTV prevalence in ticks, (9-

11) and few detected human cases.(12)

HRTV has been identified in *A. americanum* populations in eight states across the U.S., spanning

the Northeast, Southeast, and Midwest.(13) Prior surveillance studies for HRTV in ticks have

been episodic, typically responding to newly discovered human cases, and continuing for one to

two years.(9-11, 14) Few studies have continued surveillance beyond two years, and there is very

little HRTV genome sequence data available, creating a gap in understanding the enzootic

transmission and evolutionary dynamics of HRTV.

The objective of this study was to begin to fill this knowledge gap through long term surveillance

at established HRTV-positive tick sites in central Georgia (GA), where our group initially

detected HRTV in 2019.(15) In our prior study, we found a minimum infection rate of 0.46/1000

HRTV-positive *A. americanum* ticks at two locations where HRTV seropositivity was previously

reported in deer populations,(16) and adjacent to the county where a human case was

reported.(12)  In the present study, we build upon this by performing extended HRTV

surveillance in GA across multiple years. We use a novel multiplex amplicon sequencing

approach to generate full HRTV genome sequences and analyze phylogenetic and

phylogeographic relationships of HRTV in GA and across the U.S., gaining insight into potential

mechanisms of virus transmission and evolution.

## Methods

<u>Field collections</u>

Ticks were collected from March-August 2021-2023, corresponding to the seasonality of

*Amblyomma americanum* in Georgia.(17) Collection locations varied by year: in 2021, repeated

sampling was conducted at the two sites where our group detected Heartland virus in 2019(15);

in 2022, sampling was performed in forty-three locations across the state(18); and in 2023, five

locations with the highest density of *A. americanum* from the previous years were repeatedly

sampled to maximize potential HRTV detection. Free flagging was conducted at each site

according to previously described protocols,(19) with the addition of transect sampling during

2022.(18) Ticks were transported live in plastic vials to the laboratory and microscopically

identified with taxonomic keys.(20, 21)

<u>Tick processing, RNA extraction, and qRT-PCR</u>

Surface disinfection was conducted on ticks in field vials using a wash of 10% bleach for five

minutes, 3% hydrogen peroxide for five minutes, and three rinses of distilled water for five

minutes each. The ticks were pooled in groups of approximately five adults or twenty-five

nymphs per species per site. Differing amounts of BA-1 diluent (1× medium 199 with Hanks

balanced salt solution, 0.05 mole/L Tris buffer [pH 7.6], 1% bovine serum albumin, 0.35 g

sodium bicarbonate/L, 100 μg/L streptomycin, 1 μg/mL amphotericin B) were added to each

pool based on the number of ticks in the pool (1 ml for >1 adult or >10 nymphs, 0.5 mL for 1

adult or <10 nymphs) to preserve concentrations of the ground ticks in media. Pools were ground

thoroughly using a 7-mL glass TenBroeck grinder (Fisher Scientific) with alundum bedding

material (Fisher Scientific) as an abrasive.

Tick homogenates underwent RNA extraction (QIAmp TNA Extraction Kit) following the

manufacturer's protocol (Supplemental Table S1). Extracted RNA was tested using quantitative

reverse-transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) with primer-probe set 1 for the S segment of HRTV

described by Savage et al.(10) The AgPath-ID One-Step RT-PCR kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific)

was used as per the manufacturer's instructions, with cycling conditions of 45°C for 10 minutes,

95°C for 10 minutes, 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 45 seconds. The reaction

mix and primer and probe sequences are available in Supplemental Table S2. Samples were

tested in duplicate with positive controls (extracted HRTV virus stock from Romer et al.(15))

and negative controls (water) on each plate. As a quality control check for RNA extraction, tick

actin RT-qPCR was run in parallel using the Applied Biosystems Power SYBR Green RNA-to-Ct

1-step PCR Kit (Fisher Scientific) with primers, probes, and reaction conditions listed in

Supplemental Table S3.

Infection rate estimation

The minimum infection rate (MIR) of HRTV was estimated by site and year by dividing the

number of positive pools at a location by the number of *A. americanum* of the same life stage

collected at the site and year and multiplying by 1,000.

HRTV multiplex amplicon sequencing primer design

Multiplex amplicon sequencing primers for HRTV were designed with primalscheme

(v1.4.1)(22) using an alignment of 4 (L) or 5 (M and S) complete reference HRTV

sequences.(23) Primers that had high annealing temperatures (65°C or greater) or had SNPs

expected to affect primer binding, as determined by comparison to additional partial genome

sequences, were redesigned in Geneious Prime (v2022.2). A set of 36 L, 18 M, and 10 S primer

pairs were created to cover the entire genome, with each primer pair amplifying an

approximately 250bp region (Supplemental Table S4). The HRTV-specific primer sequences

were concatenated with a universal Nextera adapter sequence to allow Nextera XT indexing and

amplification.(24, 25) Primers were synthesized by IDT, pooled, and tested using a previously-

sequenced HRTV-positive sample.(15) Primer concentrations were optimized to ensure

approximately equal coverage across the entire genome.

<u>HRTV full genome multiplex amplicon sequencing</u>

RNA samples that tested positive for HRTV via RT-qPCR underwent heat-labile dsDNase

treatment (ArticZymes), single-stranded cDNA synthesis (Fisher/Invitrogen) and multiplex PCR

using Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity Polymerase (New England Biolabs) using the custom-designed

primers. The resulting amplicons were indexed using Nextera XT (Illumina) and quantified using

the KAPA Universal Complete Kit (Roche). Libraries were pooled to equimolar concentration

and sequenced with 300bp paired-end reads on an Illumina MiSeq. Reads were filtered for

quality, and adaptor sequences were removed, before undergoing reference-based assembly using

ViralRecon v. 2.6.0 with reference sequences L: NC_024495, M: NC_024494, and S:

NC_024496. A more complete protocol for this sequencing method can be found in

Supplemental Text S1.

<u>Phylogenetic analysis</u>

Twelve reference sequences of Heartland virus L, M, and S segments present in GenBank as of

March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2024 were downloaded for analysis. Selection criteria included: related to a field

<del>March 1st</del>

collected case (tick or human), no identical isolates (if an isolate was sequenced more than once,

the earliest was selected), and >95% genome coverage. Newly-generated sequences and

reference sequences were aligned by segment in Geneious Prime (v2022.2.2) using MAFFT

(v7.450) with default parameters.(26, 27) Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were

generated for each segment using PhyML 3.0,(28, 29) with best fit substitution models: GTR+G

for the L segment, HKY85+G for the M segment, and K80+I for the S segment. Trees were

subsequently visualized and annotated using iTOL(30). Reassortment was assessed through two

methods 1) by visually comparing segment relationships across different clades within the trees

and 2) by testing aligned concatenated coding regions with the recombination detection program,

RDP4.(31)

<u>Bayesian time-scaled phylogenetic analysis</u>

To construct time-scaled phylogenies, the temporal signal of each segment's coding region was

evaluated using TempEST(32), and one outlier was removed using a threshold of 0.03 with

unbalanced residuals on the residual histogram distribution (reference sequence OK480062.1,

Supplemental Figure S1). There was evidence for moderate temporal signal that varied by

segment, with root-to-tip correlation coefficients of 0.59 for the L segment, 0.55 for the M

segment, and 0.33 for the S segment. Time-scaled phylogenies were therefore constructed only

for the L and M segments, using BEAST 2.7.6 with a chain length of 600 million.(33) We used a

GTR+gamma nucleotide substitution model, a constant coalescent population, and a strict

molecular clock with a prior of 2E-4,(34-36) with a lognormal distribution. The log files were

examined with Tracer v1.7.2 and confirmed to have ESS values >200 for all parameters.(37)

Maximum clade credibility trees were generated with 10% burn-in using TreeAnnotator

v2.7.6.(33) More complex models, including a relaxed molecular clock and skyline models of

population growth, did not converge, likely as a result of the limited HRTV data available.

Geographic clustering analysis

The Mantel test(38) was used to assess the relationship between geographic distance and genetic

divergence. Because exact coordinates were not known for the reference sequences, the location

was estimated using the finest resolution information present. For samples with only a state

recorded for the source location in GenBank, the center point of the most central county in the

state was assigned, using USGS(39) and Latitude.to (v1.64-im). For samples with a county and a

state recorded for the source location in GenBank, the center of the county listed for that

sequence was assigned. For sequences associated with publications, the smallest resolution

estimate was made based on recorded location information.(9, 11, 40) Lastly, for the two initial

Missouri human case sequences, Andrews county and Nodaway county centers were used based

on Savage et al.(40) The Mantel test was run using the vegan package (v2.5-7) in R (v4.0.4)

using 1000 permutations.

Host bloodmeal analysis

Nucleic acid extracted from HRTV-positive *A. americanum* pools and matched HRTV-negative

*A. americanum* pools – containing a similar number of ticks, and the same life stage, collection

site, and collection date – were tested by multiplex qPCR targeting retrotransposons of potential

hosts, according to established protocols.(41, 42) Species included in the assay were: mice,

voles, rabbits, birds, shrews, squirrels/chipmunks, deer, skunks and opossums.

# Results

<u>Six HRTV positive tick pools were detected across central and western Georgia, U.S., from 2021 to 2023</u>

In total, 21,386 ticks were collected across the three year period: 7,687 in 2021, 3,444 in 2022, and 10,255 in 2023 (Table 1). Most (20,959, 98%) were *A. americanum* adults or nymphs. Six pools of *A. americanum* ticks tested positive for Heartland virus: 1 pool of 19 nymphs from 2021, 2 pools of 27 nymphs and 28 nymphs from 2022, and 3 pools of 25 nymphs, 5 adult females, and 25 nymphs in 2023 (Table 2). Five of the six HRTV-positive pools were collected at ST road site (ST) in central GA, and the sixth was collected at Chattahoochee Bend State Park (CB) in 2023 (Figure 1). The minimum infection rates (MIRs) calculated for each site and year were 0.33 per 1000 nymphs at ST in 2021, 1.97 per 1000 nymphs at ST in 2022, 0.59 per 1000 nymphs at ST in 2023, 2.56 per 1000 adults at ST in 2023 and 0.46 per 1000 nymphs at CB in 2023.

**Table 1. Species and life stage of ticks collected during field sampling from 2021-2023**

| Species | Stage | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| *Amblyomma americanum* | Adult | 1984 | 600 | 1109 |
| *Amblyomma americanum* | Nymph | 5584 | 2708 | 8974 |
| *Dermacentor variabilis* | Adult | 100 | 54 | 19 |
| *Amblyomma maculatum* | Adult | 19 | 13 | 14 |
| *Ixodes scapularis* | Adult | 0 | 66 | 64 |
| *Ixodes* species | Nymph | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| *Haemaphysalis longicornis* | Nymph | 0 | 3 | 67 |
| **Total** | | 7687 | 3444 | 10255 |

**Table 2. Heartland positive pool characteristics**

| Year | Lab Code | Location | Date Collected | Number of Ticks | Life Stage |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2019* | 23 | KH | 4/28/19 | 5 | Adult (Female) |
| 2019* | 26 | KH | 4/28/19 | 25 | Nymph |
| 2019* | 504 | ST | 6/14/19 | 5 | Adult (Male) |

| 2021 | 404 | ST | 6/15/21 | 19 | Nymph |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2022 | 100 | ST | 5/12/22 | 27 | Nymph |
| 2022 | 187 | ST | 6/10/22 | 28 | Nymph |
| 2023 | 56F | ST | 5/12/23 | 25 | Nymph |
| 2023 | 432R | ST | 6/16/23 | 5 | Adult (Female) |
| 2023 | 642T | CB | 7/19/23 | 25 | Nymph |

All pools consist of *Amblyomma americanum*. Location abbreviations: KH = KH road site, ST = ST road site, CB = Chattahoochee Bend State Park. *2019 HRTV-positive pools were originally published in Romer et al.(15)

**Figure 1. Map of collection sites visited from 2021-2023**. State and county boundaries for Georgia are highlighted in black. Black dots represent locations sampled where HRTV positive pools of ticks were not found. Red dots represent locations where HRTV positive pools were found with each location labeled with its two letter site code (KH = KH road site, ST = ST road site, CB = Chattahoochee Bend State Park). Though no positive pools of HRTV were found from 2021-2023, KH is still highlighted due to presence of positive ticks from 2019 detected by our group as originally documented in Romer et al(15) and described in Table 2. Map created in ArcGIS Pro v3.1.0.

 HRTV sequences from GA are highly related across space and time

Full HRTV genome sequences were generated using our novel HRTV-specific multiplex

amplicon protocol, with 97-100% coverage achieved across all three segments from the six

positive tick pools. Including the three HRTV positive tick pools collected and sequenced by our

group in 2019 from sites ST and KH,(15) the nine GA sequences were highly similar with 99.52-

99.99% nucleotide identity across the entire genome and similar identity relationships across the

individual segments (Table 3). The largest genetic differences were seen between the sample

collected at site CB in 2023 (Sample 642T) and other samples from GA, collected approximately

175 km away. Sample 642T differed from the other GA sequences by 44-51 single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs), while the sequences from sites KH and ST differed from one another by

only 1-27 SNPs (Table 3). Few non-synonymous SNPs were found in sample 642T: 4 (of 24 total

SNPs) in the L segment, 1 (of 13) in the M segment, and none in the S segment. Interestingly,

while three of these non-synonymous SNPs were unique to sample 642T, two SNPs in the L

segment (T168A and R183K) were shared with the other twelve reference sequences obtained

from across the country.

270
271
272
273

**Table 3. Pairwise genetic distances between HRTV sequences in Georgia**

| Location | | Site KH | | Site ST | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | HRTV-23_T_GA_KH_2019 | HRTV-26_T_GA_KH_2019 | HRTV-100_T_GA_ST_2022 | HRTV-404_T_GA_ST_2021 | HRTV-187_T_GA_ST_2022 | HRTV-504_T_GA_ST_2019 | HRTV-056F_T_GA_ST_2023 | HRTV-432R_T_GA_ST_2023 |
| Site KH | HRTV-26_T_GA_KH_2019 | 3 (99.97%) | | | | | | | |
| Site ST | HRTV-100_T_GA_ST_2022 | 10 (99.91%) | 7 (99.93%) | | | | | | |
| | HRTV-404_T_GA_ST_2021 | 8 (99.92%) | 7 (99.93%) | 2 (99.98%) | | | | | |
| | HRTV-187_T_GA_ST_2022 | 11 (99.9%) | 8 (99.92%) | 1 (99.99%) | 3 (99.97%) | | | | |
| | HRTV-504_T_GA_ST_2019 | 23 (99.78%) | 22 (99.79%) | 23 (99.78%) | 21 (99.8%) | 24 (99.77%) | | | |
| | HRTV-056F_T_GA_ST_2023 | 11 (99.9%) | 10 (99.91%) | 7 (99.93%) | 7 (99.93%) | 8 (99.92%) | 26 (99.75%) | | |
| | HRTV-432R_T_GA_ST_2023 | 12 (99.89%) | 11 (99.9%) | 8 (99.92%) | 10 (99.91%) | 9 (99.91%) | 27 (99.74%) | 7 (99.93%) | |
| Site CB | HRTV-642T_T_GA_CB_2023 | 47 (99.56%) | 44 (99.58%) | 46 (99.56%) | 46 (99.56%) | 47 (99.56%) | 51 (99.52%) | 47 (99.56%) | 46 (99.56%) |

Number of SNPs and percent nucleotide identity is displayed across the concatenated genome of the three segments excluding gaps. Background color gradient represents nucleotide identity with darker colors representing higher nucleotide identity. Sites are delineated by bolded lines.

When comparing all twenty-one HRTV sequences from the U.S., the nucleotide identity ranged

from 92.76-99.99% across the three segments, equating to between 1 and 782 SNP differences

(Supplemental Table S5). Across the HRTV genome, the GA sequences shared 70 SNPs (35 in L,

20 in M, 12 in S), 6 of which (1 in L, 2 in M, 3 in S) were non-synonymous. While the GA

sequences were all derived from tick pools, the twelve available reference sequences from

GenBank were from both tick pools (5) and human infections (7). There were no SNPs common

to HRTV sequences from only ticks or only humans.

U.S. HRTV sequences demonstrate geographic clustering and potential reassortment

The 21 U.S. HRTV sequences generally clustered geographically on maximum-likelihood

phylogenetic trees for each segment (Figure 2). Across all trees, the GA sequences clustered

together and the sample collected at western site CB (HRTV 642T) was the most divergent. The

two sequences from NY ticks similarly clustered together across all segments. Furthermore,

samples from neighboring states generally clustered together (OK/KS/MO and TN/KY) in each

segment, regardless of date of sample collection or host. The Mantel test also supported

geographic clustering, with a correlation of 0.53 (p-value=0.001) between genetic distance and

spatial distance.

While the overall phylogenetic structure was similar across segments, we observed two examples

of potential reassortment between segments. First, sequences OQ688994-5, collected from a

human case in KY in 2015, clustered with the other KY and TN sequences in the L segment but

with the MO, OK, and KS clade in the M segment, and with a single MO sequence in the S

segment, all with moderate to high ultrafast bootstrap support (0.76, 0.99, 0.97 respectively). The

second example of potential reassortment is from our sample 432R, collected at site ST in 2023.

It clusters with the site KH sequences from 2019 (23 and 26) in the L segment, but with the other

site ST sequences (187, 100, 056F) in the S segment, with moderate ultrafast bootstrap support

(0.74, 0.79). These differences in tree topology may indicate reassortment, as has been reported

for the related Dabie bandavirus. RDP4 was also used to assess for reassortment events between

the HRTV segments and did not identify any events with high certainty.

**Figure 2: Phylogenetic and geographic relationships of HRTV sequences in the U.S.** Segments were aligned separately, and maximum likelihood trees are displayed for the L segment (A), M segment (B), and S segment (C). Reference sequences from GenBank were named by the accession code followed by T (tick sample) or H (human sample), the segment, the state abbreviation for the collection location, and the year of collection. Sequences generated in this study were named by HRTV, sample number, T, GA, collection site, and year collected. All trees were rooted on the reference sequence from MO Patient 1. Ultrafast bootstrap values above 0.7 are displayed on the tree. Tips are labeled with a star for tick samples and square for human samples. The tip color indicates the location of collection. D) A map of the Eastern United States with states colored as in the phylogenetic trees (panels A-C). Locations for each sequence included are marked with a black dot. Dots are placed where either 1) sequences locations were documented in the literature(9, 11, 40) or 2) in the center of the state/county reported when finer resolution location data was unavailable. Dots are additionally marked with a-u and correspond to the sequences in the tree marked in panel A. Map created in ArcGIS Pro v3.1.0.

Contemporary HRTV strains in the U.S. emerged within the last 300 years

Time-scaled Bayesian phylogenetic analysis showed an evolutionary rate of 1.1 x10$^{-4}$

substitutions/site/year (s/s/y) in the L segment and 1.8 x10$^{-4}$ s/s/y in the M segment; this was not

performed for the S segment given the lower temporal signal observed. Based on the L segment,

the time to most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) for the GA clade was 23 years ago (95%

HPD 12-42); the tMRCA for the GA clade and its nearest neighbor, the MO/KY/TN clade, was

85 years ago (95% HPD 46-153); and the overall root of the tree was 105 years ago (95% HPD

57-187) (Figure 3). Based on the M segment, the tMRCA for the GA clade was 16 years ago

(95% HPD 8-29); the tMRCA for the GA clade and its nearest neighbor, the KY/TN clade, was

56 years ago (95% HPD 31-99); and the overall root of the tree was 150 years ago (95% HPD

78-286) (Figure 3).

**Figure 3: Time-scaled Bayesian phylogenetic tree of HRTV segments L (A) and M (B).**

Nodes are labeled with median time to recent common ancestor (tMRCA) with [95% HPD].

*Amblyomma americanum* ticks feed on a variety of wildlife in Georgia

To begin to evaluate potential reservoir species for HRTV, a total of 12 *A. americanum* pools

from GA underwent host bloodmeal analysis using retrotransposon qPCR. Each HRTV-positive

pool was matched with an HRTV-negative pool with ticks of the same life stage, collection site,

and collection date (Table 4) . The HRTV-positive pools of nymphs from ST (samples 404, 187,

100, and 56F) tested positive for birds, deer, rabbits, and squirrels/chipmunks. Their matched

controls from HRTV-negative pools had similar results, with two exceptions: HRTV-negative

pool 403 was positive for rabbit while its matched HRTV-positive pool 404 was not, and HRTV-

positive pool 56F was positive for squirrel/chipmunk, while its matched HRTV-negative pool

55E was not. Both pools of adult ticks from site ST tested negative for most hosts, other than

rabbit in the HRTV-negative pool. Finally, the nymph pools from CB both tested positive for

deer, and the HRTV-negative pool additionally tested positive for squirrels/chipmunks.

**Table 4. Host Bloodmeal PCR results**

| Sample Name | HRTV Status | Tick Stage (n) | Location, Year | Rabbit PCR | Bird PCR | Squirrel/ Chipmunk PCR | Deer PCR |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 403 | - | Nymph (25) | ST, 2021 | + | + | - | + |
| 404 | + | Nymph (19) | ST, 2021 | - | + | - | + |
| 186 | - | Nymph (27) | ST, 2022 | - | - | - | + |
| 187 | + | Nymph (28) | ST, 2022 | - | - | - | + |
| 99 | - | Nymph (26) | ST, 2022 | + | + | + | + |
| 100 | + | Nymph (27) | ST, 2022 | + | + | + | + |
| 55E | - | Nymph (25) | ST, 2023 | + | + | - | + |
| 56F | + | Nymph (25) | ST, 2023 | + | + | + | + |
| 432R | + | Adult (5) | ST, 2023 | - | - | - | - |
| 433S | - | Adult (5) | ST, 2023 | + | - | - | - |
| 641S | - | Nymph (25) | CB, 2023 | - | - | + | + |
| 642T | + | Nymph (25) | CB, 2023 | - | - | - | + |

360  The following species with no positive results were not included in the table: mice, voles,
361  shrews, skunks, and opossums.

## Discussion

We identified a persistent focus of genetically conserved HRTV in central Georgia. We collected over 21,000 ticks across three years and found minimum infection rates (MIRs) ranging from 0.33 per 1000 nymphs in 2021 to 2.56 per 1000 adults in 2023, compatible with our prior work[15] and other studies.[40, 43] Using a novel multiplex amplicon sequencing assay, we generated six new HRTV genome sequences and examined phylogenetic relationships across multiple years and locations.

One key finding was the very high genetic conservation of HRTV from ticks collected at a focal site in central GA across five years. Studies of Powassan virus, an unrelated tick-borne virus also endemic to the U.S., have similarly reported foci of genetically conserved viruses that were stable across multiple years, but have also reported geographic dispersal of closely-related POWV strains.[44-46] We did not observe geographic dispersal of closely-related HRTV strains, instead we observed phylogenetic clustering by location throughout the U.S., though it is notable that sequence data are limited, with only 12 reference sequences available from elsewhere in the U.S. and our 9 from Georgia.

A second key finding was that time-scaled phylogenetic analysis indicated recent spread of HRTV in the U.S., with all 21 sequences sharing a common ancestor within the last 300 years, and sequences from Georgia sharing a common ancestor within the last 40 years. This timeline is compatible with historical patterns of *A. americanum* prevalence.[47] In the 18th century, dense forest supported high populations of deer and associated ticks, however deforestation and decimation of deer populations in the 19th century led to large-scale *A. americanum* range

retraction. The resulting fracturing of tick populations could have generated geographically

isolated HRTV lineages that diverged over the last several hundred years. Supporting this, there

is evidence for geographic population structure within *A. americanum* ticks between different

regions of the U.S.[48]

Overall, our phylodynamic results for HRTV mirror what has been reported for the closely

related Dabie bandavirus (DBV) in Asia. Our estimated evolutionary rate for HRTV, 1.1-1.8 x10$^{-4}$

s/s/y, is very similar to the DBV literature, where studies report rates ranging from 1.07 x10$^{-4}$ to

1.09 x10$^{-3}$ s/s/y across the three segments, with most estimates around 2 x10$^{-4}$.[34-36, 49, 50]

Similar to our results, many DBV studies have shown distinct genotypes clustering by

geographic region.[49, 51-53] Interestingly, however, several recent studies also report mixing of

DBV genotypes across different countries in Asia,[7, 36] which is thought to be due to migratory

bird movement carrying the DBV vector, *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, across longer

distances.[54]

Despite the small number of HRTV sequences, our phylogenetic analysis suggests the possibility

of reassortment between genome segments, a common mechanism of increasing genetic diversity

in bunyaviruses and other segmented viruses.[55] Reassortment has been frequently described

for DBV, allowing new genotypes to emerge after co-infection events.[7, 8, 56, 57] This is

important because reassorted viruses have the ability to overcome host barriers and have the

potential to generate strains of higher pathogenicity as seen in influenza virus.[55, 58] Because

reassortment requires multiple viruses to infect the same cell, it would be expected to occur less

frequently in viruses with low prevalence. Thus, our findings are surprising in light of the

reported low HRTV prevalences in our study and others, underscoring the need for expanded

surveillance and genetic studies of HRTV throughout the U.S.

Our observation of high HRTV genetic conservation at a focal site across multiple years suggests

several possible hypotheses about the enzootic cycle of HRTV, which remains largely

unknown.(3) One possibility is that closely related viruses may be sustained within the

population through transovarial transmission (also referred to as vertical transmission), as has

been documented in experimental studies of HRTV.(59) In this scenario, infected female *A.*

*americanum* would lay eggs at the site, passing on virus to their larvae, which would feed on

small-range hosts and remain within the site to molt and be captured as nymphs the following

year. Vertical transmission typically augments other transmission mechanisms and has not been

proposed as a sole mechanism for persistence of other tick-borne viruses.(60) Testing larval

populations for HRTV is an important next step in investigating this mechanism.

A second potential mechanism for HRTV focality involves propagation of virus through

cofeeding transmission, which has also been documented experimentally for HRTV(59) and is

important in other tick-borne viruses such as tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV).(61)  In this

case, infected *A. americanum* feed next to uninfected ticks, leading to HRTV transmission

through infection of local skin and leukocytes. Co-feeding transmission provides a role for hosts

that are not competent for viremic infection themselves, as demonstrated for *Borrelia* species

that feed on hosts whose innate complement systems prevent systemic infection.(62) Cofeeding

on hosts with limited range could explain the persistence of highly related viruses across multiple

years.

Finally, HRTV could propagate through horizontal transmission by ticks feeding on infected

reservoir hosts. Serosurveys and experimental infections investigating potential HRTV reservoir

hosts have not yet identified any candidates.(63, 64) Evidence of seropositivity has been found in

multiple wildlife species with white-tailed deer, raccoons, horses, and dogs showing the highest

rates of neutralizing antibodies across studies.(3, 16, 65) However, experimental infection of

multiple wildlife hosts including white-tailed deer, raccoons, goats, chickens, rabbits, hamsters,

and C57BL/6 mice have failed to produce detectable viremia, except in an interferon receptor-

deficient mouse model.(63) Together, this information suggests that these animals may be

incidental hosts, and there is not yet evidence for a viremic reservoir host to support horizontal

transmission of HRTV. If there is a role for horizontal or cofeeding transmission in the HRTV

enzootic cycle, our HRTV phylogenetic analysis suggests the importance of geographically

restricted hosts. Our host bloodmeal analysis provides some possibilities, as *A. americanum* ticks

at our focal site in central Georgia fed on deer and rabbits (which do not support HRTV viremia

in experimental infection studies), as well as squirrels/chipmunks and birds (which have not been

evaluated in experimental infection studies). While we did not observe a clear difference

between HRTV-positive and negative samples, this analysis was limited by our analysis of tick

pools rather than individual ticks, and it is possible that yet-untested hosts are important.

In conclusion, through extensive field work and the use of a novel multiplex amplicon

sequencing assay, we have gained important insight into HRTV diversity and evolution. Key

findings are that HRTV sequences from a focal site in GA were highly related across time; that

there is strong geographic clustering of HRTV across the U.S. with this attribute being more

important than host or year; and that all available HRTV sequences share a common ancestor

within the recent past, suggesting that contemporary strains of HRTV emerged within the last

300 years. Our work offers potential insights into the enzootic cycle of HRTV and provides a

foundation for further investigation.

**References:**

1. McMullan LK, Folk SM, Kelly AJ, MacNeil A, Goldsmith CS, Metcalfe MG, et al. A new phlebovirus associated with severe febrile illness in Missouri. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(9):834-41.

2. Abudurexiti A, Adkins S, Alioto D, Alkhovsky SV, Avsic-Zupanc T, Ballinger MJ, et al. Taxonomy of the order Bunyavirales: update 2019. Arch Virol. 2019;164(7):1949-65.

3. Brault AC, Savage HM, Duggal NK, Eisen RJ, Staples JE. Heartland Virus Epidemiology, Vector Association, and Disease Potential. Viruses. 2018;10(9).

4. CDC. Regions Where Ticks Live: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;  [updated December 5, 2022. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/ticks/geographic_distribution.html.

5. Dembek ZF, Mothershead JL, Cirimotich CM, Wu A. Heartland Virus Disease-An Underreported Emerging Infection. Microorganisms. 2024;12(2).

6. Casel MA, Park SJ, Choi YK. Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus: emerging novel phlebovirus and their control strategy. Exp Mol Med. 2021;53(5):713-22.

7. Li A, Liu L, Wu W, Liu Y, Huang X, Li C, et al. Molecular evolution and genetic diversity analysis of SFTS virus based on next-generation sequencing. Biosafety and Health. 2021;3(2):105-15.

8. Yun SM, Park SJ, Kim YI, Park SW, Yu MA, Kwon HI, et al. Genetic and pathogenic diversity of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (SFTSV) in South Korea. JCI Insight. 2020;5(2).

9. Dupuis AP, 2nd, Prusinski MA, O'Connor C, Maffei JG, Ngo KA, Koetzner CA, et al. Heartland Virus Transmission, Suffolk County, New York, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27(12):3128-32.

10. Savage HM, Godsey MS, Jr., Panella NA, Burkhalter KL, Ashley DC, Lash RR, et al. Surveillance for Heartland Virus (Bunyaviridae: Phlebovirus) in Missouri During 2013: First Detection of Virus in Adults of Amblyomma americanum (Acari: Ixodidae). J Med Entomol. 2016;53(3):607-12.

11. Savage HM, Godsey MS, Jr., Tatman J, Burkhalter KL, Hamm A, Panella NA, et al. Surveillance for Heartland and Bourbon Viruses in Eastern Kansas, June 2016. J Med Entomol. 2018;55(6):1613-6.

12. CDC. Heartland virus disease (Heartland): Statistics & Maps  [updated November 22, 2022. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/heartland-virus/statistics/index.html.

13. Dupuis AP, II, Lange RE, Ciota AT. Emerging tickborne viruses vectored by Amblyomma americanum (Ixodida: Ixodidae): Heartland and Bourbon viruses. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2023;60(6):1183-96.

14. Aziati ID, Jnr DM, Antia A, Joshi A, Aviles-Gamboa A, Lee P, et al. Prevalence of Bourbon and Heartland viruses in field collected ticks at an environmental field station in St. Louis County, Missouri, USA. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2023;14(1):102080.

15. Romer Y, Adcock K, Wei Z, Mead DG, Kirstein O, Bellman S, et al. Isolation of Heartland Virus from Lone Star Ticks, Georgia, USA, 2019. Emerg Infect Dis. 2022;28(4):786-92.

16. Clarke LL, Ruder MG, Mead DG, Howerth EW. Heartland Virus Exposure in White-Tailed Deer in the Southeastern United States, 2001-2015. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018;99(5):1346-9.

17.    Semtner PJ, Hair JA. The Ecology and Behavior of the Lone Star Tick (Acarina:
Ixodidae). IV. The daily and seasonal activity patterns of adults in different habitat types1.
Journal of Medical Entomology. 1973;10(4):337-44.
18.    Bellman S, Fausett E, Aeschleman L, Long A, Roeske I, Pilchik J, et al. Mapping the
distribution of Amblyomma americanum in Georgia, USA. Parasit Vectors. 2024;17(1):62.
19.    Fausett E, Kirstein OD, Bellman S, Long A, Roeske I, Cheng C, et al. Surveillance and
detection of Haemaphysalis longicornis (Acari: Ixodidae) in protected areas from Georgia, USA.
Journal of Medical Entomology. 2024.
20.    Keirans JE, Durden LA. Illustrated key to nymphs of the tick genus Amblyomma (Acari:
Ixodidae) found in the United States. J Med Entomol. 1998;35(4):489-95.
21.    Keirans JE, Litwak TR. Pictorial key to the adults of hard ticks, family Ixodidae (Ixodida:
Ixodoidea), east of the Mississippi River. J Med Entomol. 1989;26(5):435-48.
22.    Quick J, Grubaugh ND, Pullan ST, Claro IM, Smith AD, Gangavarapu K, et al. Multiplex
PCR method for MinION and Illumina sequencing of Zika and other virus genomes directly
from clinical samples. Nat Protoc. 2017;12(6):1261-76.
23.    Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Ostell J, Pruitt KD, Karsch-Mizrachi I. GenBank.
Nucleic Acids Research. 2019;48(D1):D84-D6.
24.    Glenn TC, Pierson TW, Bayona-Vásquez NJ, Kieran TJ, Hoffberg SL, Thomas Iv JC, et
al. Adapterama II: universal amplicon sequencing on Illumina platforms (TaggiMatrix). PeerJ.
2019;7:e7786.
25.    Matteson NL, Hassler GW, Kurzban E, Schwab MA, Perkins SA, Gangavarapu K, et al.
Genomic surveillance reveals dynamic shifts in the connectivity of COVID-19 epidemics. Cell.
2023;186(26):5690-704.e20.
26.    Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma Ki, Miyata T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Research. 2002;30(14):3059-
66.
27.    Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:
Improvements in Performance and Usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2013;30(4):772-
80.
28.    Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. New
Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the
Performance of PhyML 3.0. Systematic Biology. 2010;59(3):307-21.
29.    Lefort V, Longueville J-E, Gascuel O. SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML.
Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2017;34(9):2422-4.
30.    Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. Nucleic Acids Research. 2021;49(W1):W293-W6.
31.    Martin DP, Murrell B, Golden M, Khoosal A, Muhire B. RDP4: Detection and analysis of
recombination patterns in virus genomes. Virus Evolution. 2015;1(1).
32.    Rambaut A, Lam TT, Max Carvalho L, Pybus OG. Exploring the temporal structure of
heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). Virus Evolution. 2016;2(1).
33.    Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu C-H, Xie D, et al. BEAST 2: A
Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. PLOS Computational Biology.
2014;10(4):e1003537.
34.    Liu J-W, Zhao L, Luo L-M, Liu M-M, Sun Y, Su X, et al. Molecular Evolution and
Spatial Transmission of Severe Fever with Thrombocytopenia Syndrome Virus Based on
Complete Genome Sequences. PLOS ONE. 2016;11(3):e0151677.

35.    Yun M-r, Ryou J, Choi W, Lee J-Y, Park S-W, Kim D-W. Genetic diversity and
evolutionary history of Korean isolates of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus
from 2013–2016. Archives of Virology. 2020;165(11):2599-603.
36.    Fu Y, Li S, Zhang Z, Man S, Li X, Zhang W, et al. Phylogeographic analysis of severe
fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus from Zhoushan Islands, China: implication for
transmission across the ocean. Scientific Reports. 2016;6(1):19563.
37.    Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA. Posterior Summarization in
Bayesian Phylogenetics Using Tracer 1.7. Systematic Biology. 2018;67(5):901-4.
38.    Diniz-Filho JA, Soares TN, Lima JS, Dobrovolski R, Landeiro VL, de Campos Telles
MP, et al. Mantel test in population genetics. Genet Mol Biol. 2013;36(4):475-85.
39.    USGS. Geographic Centers USGS Educational Resources [Available from:
https://www.usgs.gov/educational-resources/geographic-centers.
40.    Savage HM, Godsey MS, Lambert A, Panella NA, Burkhalter KL, Harmon JR, et al. First
detection of heartland virus (Bunyaviridae: Phlebovirus) from field collected arthropods. Am J
Trop Med Hyg. 2013;89(3):445-52.
41.    Goethert HK, Mather TN, Buchthal J, Telford SR, 3rd. Retrotransposon-Based Blood
Meal Analysis of Nymphal Deer Ticks Demonstrates Spatiotemporal Diversity of Borrelia
burgdorferi and Babesia microti Reservoirs. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2021;87(2).
42.    Goethert H. Protocol for bloodmeal identification in ticks using retrotransposon-targeted
real time PCR. Protocol Exchange. 2021.
43.    Newman BC, Sutton WB, Moncayo AC, Hughes HR, Taheri A, Moore TC, et al.
Heartland Virus in Lone Star Ticks, Alabama, USA. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020;26(8):1954-6.
44.    Anderson JF, Armstrong PM. Prevalence and genetic characterization of Powassan virus
strains infecting Ixodes scapularis in Connecticut. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87(4):754-9.
45.    Brackney DE, Nofchissey RA, Fitzpatrick KA, Brown IK, Ebel GD. Stable prevalence of
Powassan virus in Ixodes scapularis in a northern Wisconsin focus. Am J Trop Med Hyg.
2008;79(6):971-3.
46.    McMinn RJ, Langsjoen RM, Bombin A, Robich RM, Ojeda E, Normandin E, et al.
Phylodynamics of deer tick virus in North America. Virus Evolution. 2023;9(1).
47.    Rochlin I, Egizi A, Lindström A. The Original Scientific Description of the Lone Star
Tick (Amblyomma americanum, Acari: Ixodidae) and Implications for the Species' Past and
Future Geographic Distributions. Journal of Medical Entomology. 2022;59(2):412-20.
48.    Monzón JD, Atkinson EG, Henn BM, Benach JL. Population and Evolutionary Genomics
of Amblyomma americanum, an Expanding Arthropod Disease Vector. Genome Biol Evol.
2016;8(5):1351-60.
49.    Liu B, Zhu J, He T, Zhang Z. Genetic variants of Dabie bandavirus: classification and
biological/clinical implications. Virol J. 2023;20(1):68.
50.    Liu L, Chen W, Yang Y, Jiang Y. Molecular evolution of fever, thrombocytopenia and
leukocytopenia virus (FTLSV) based on whole-genome sequences. Infection, Genetics and
Evolution. 2016;39:55-63.
51.    Yoshikawa T, Shimojima M, Fukushi S, Tani H, Fukuma A, Taniguchi S, et al.
Phylogenetic and Geographic Relationships of Severe Fever With Thrombocytopenia Syndrome
Virus in China, South Korea, and Japan. J Infect Dis. 2015;212(6):889-98.
52.    Zu Z, Lin H, Hu Y, Zheng X, Chen C, Zhao Y, et al. Seroprevalence and transmission of
severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus in a coastal endemic area in Southeastern
China. Ticks and Tick-borne Diseases. 2024;15(1):102277.

53.    Liang S, Li Z, Zhang N, Wang X, Qin Y, Xie W, et al. Epidemiological and
spatiotemporal analysis of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in Eastern China,
2011-2021. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):508.
54.    Shi J, Hu S, Liu X, Yang J, Liu D, Wu L, et al. Migration, recombination, and
reassortment are involved in the evolution of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome
bunyavirus. Infect Genet Evol. 2017;47:109-17.
55.    Vijaykrishna D, Mukerji R, Smith GJ. RNA Virus Reassortment: An Evolutionary
Mechanism for Host Jumps and Immune Evasion. PLoS Pathog. 2015;11(7):e1004902.
56.    Wu X, Li M, Zhang Y, Liang B, Shi J, Fang Y, et al. Novel SFTSV Phylogeny Reveals
New Reassortment Events and Migration Routes. Virol Sin. 2021;36(2):300-10.
57.    Lv Q, Zhang H, Tian L, Zhang R, Zhang Z, Li J, et al. Novel sub-lineages, recombinants
and reassortants of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus. Ticks Tick Borne Dis.
2017;8(3):385-90.
58.    Smith GJ, Bahl J, Vijaykrishna D, Zhang J, Poon LL, Chen H, et al. Dating the
emergence of pandemic influenza viruses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(28):11709-12.
59.    Godsey MS, Savage HM, Burkhalter KL, Bosco-Lauth AM, Delorey MJ. Transmission of
Heartland Virus (Bunyaviridae: Phlebovirus) by Experimentally Infected Amblyomma
americanum (Acari: Ixodidae). J Med Entomol. 2016;53(5):1226-33.
60.    Maqbool M, Sajid MS, Saqib M, Anjum FR, Tayyab MH, Rizwan HM, et al. Potential
Mechanisms of Transmission of Tick-Borne Viruses at the Virus-Tick Interface. Front Microbiol.
2022;13:846884.
61.    Labuda M, Austyn JM, Zuffova E, Kozuch O, Fuchsberger N, Lysy J, et al. Importance of
localized skin infection in tick-borne encephalitis virus transmission. Virology. 1996;219(2):357-
66.
62.    Voordouw MJ. Co-feeding transmission in Lyme disease pathogens. Parasitology.
2015;142(2):290-302.
63.    Bosco-Lauth AM, Calvert AE, Root JJ, Gidlewski T, Bird BH, Bowen RA, et al.
Vertebrate Host Susceptibility to Heartland Virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22(12):2070-7.
64.    Bosco-Lauth AM, Panella NA, Root JJ, Gidlewski T, Lash RR, Harmon JR, et al.
Serological investigation of heartland virus (Bunyaviridae: Phlebovirus) exposure in wild and
domestic animals adjacent to human case sites in Missouri 2012-2013. Am J Trop Med Hyg.
2015;92(6):1163-7.
65.    Riemersma KK, Komar N. Heartland Virus Neutralizing Antibodies in Vertebrate
Wildlife, United States, 2009-2014. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21(10):1830-3.

644
645
646
647
Acknowledgements

We thank undergraduate and graduate students from the Environmental Sciences Department at

Emory University and Rollins School of Public Health for assistance with field work. We

additionally thank Yamila Romer, Kayla Adcock and Daniel Mead for their initial work on

Heartland virus detection and isolation from ticks in Georgia.

Author Contributions

SB, GVP, and AP conceived of the study. Field collections were conducted by SB, NS, AL, LA,

EF, TW, SC, IR, and MS. Lab processing, amplicon sequencing design and sequencing was

completed by SB, NS, EO, MS and HD. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted by SB, TF, and

AP. Host bloodmeal analysis was conducted by HKG and SRT. All authors read, edited, and

approved the final manuscript.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare they have no competing interests