Title: Parental stress alters the fitness effects and genetic correlation of offspring performance

traits and their gene expression pathways

Authors and affiliations: Samuel N. Bogan[1], Marie E. Strader[1,2], and Gretchen E. Hofmann[1]

[1]Department of Ecology, Evolution and Marine Biology, University of California Santa Barbara,

Santa Barbara, CA, USA

[2]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

Corresponding author:

Samuel N. Bogan

samuel.n.bogan@gmail.com

Keywords: Gene expression, phenotypic plasticity, genetic variation, adaptation, acclimation,

global change

Running head: Parental stress shapes adaptive evolution

Abstract

Transgenerational effects, where parental environments influence offspring phenotype, facilitate acclimation over ecological timescales. Transgenerational effects may also influence evolution by altering the fitness costs of offspring traits and the expression of genetic variation. We tested the evolutionary role of transgenerational effects in the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, whose populations are exposed to coastal upwelling (periods of low temperature and pH) and exhibit local adaptation, parental effects, and phenotypic plasticity in response to upwelling. Using a quantitative genetic breeding design, we conditioned parents and larvae to upwelling or control conditions and combined RNA-seq with larval phenotyping (body size, biomineralization, survival). Larval upwelling exposure caused widespread differential expression (DE), reduced biomineralization, and reduced size. Survival was linked to biomineralization, body size, and their plasticity under upwelling, but only in offspring of upwelling-conditioned parents - evidence that parental environment affected selection on offspring traits. DE under upwelling was associated with adaptive plasticity in biomineralization and size, but adaptive gene expression changes shared negative genetic correlations. However, genetic correlations in gene expression associated with body size plasticity were significantly more positive in larvae from upwelling parents. Parental conditioning strengthened selection on offspring performance and reduced genetic tradeoffs between performance-associated expression pathways, potentially accelerating adaptation.

Introduction

The environmental experiences of parents can influence phenotypic outcomes in offspring through a process known as transgenerational plasticity (TGP). During TGP, the environmental cue triggering plasticity is experienced by a parent or parents and the plastic response to that cue is expressed in offspring and subsequent generations (Bell and Hellmann 2019). TGP has the potential to facilitate multigenerational, adaptive responses to environmental change over rapid ecological timescales, potentially aiding the resilience of biodiversity to global change (Donelson et al. 2018; Donelan et al. 2020). Additionally, TGP can influence natural selection, reshaping adaptation over evolutionary timescales (Day and Bonduriansky 2011; Herman and Sultan 2011; Donelson et al. 2018; Che et al. 2024). Theory regarding the evolutionary effects of parental environments has developed over three decades (Kirkpatrick and Lande 1989), but empirical research on the evolutionary consequences of transgenerational effects is lacking (Bogan and Yi 2024; Cao and Chen 2024). It is unclear whether and how strongly transgenerational effects alter the primary components of evolution by natural selection: the fitness effects and heritable genetic variation of traits.

Parental environments are predicted to reshape the fitness costs of offspring phenotypes. This can happen through alteration of parental investment in response to a stressful environment, with consequences for the condition and energy budget of offspring (Bonduriansky and Crean 2018). For example, offspring from parents that performed gametogenesis under oxidative stress may receive less maternal investment of lipids, proteins, and other resources (Schreck et al. 2001; Wong et al. 2019). If an offspring genotype underpins a phenotype that requires these resources, expression of that phenotype may come at a fitness cost (Buchanan et al. 2013). Assuming that there is heritable genetic variation in this phenotype, an effect of parental environment on the

fitness effects of phenotypic variation will impact evolution by natural selection. For example,

evidence that parental exposure to stress increases the fitness effects and expression of genetic

variation in offspring traits would suggest that transgenerational effects can accelerate adaptation.

However, an experiment has not been reported that evaluated the joint influence of

transgenerational effects on the fitness costs and genetic variation of offspring traits.

Transgenerational effects can also alter the expression of genetic variation in offspring

phenotype (Snell-Rood et al. 2016). An individual's own environment is widely known to

influence the expression of genetic variation. For example, environmental stress generally

increases the expression of cryptic genetic variants (Rutherford and Lindquist 1998; Condelli et

al. 2019). Therefore, genetic variation and covariation underpinning traits and their expression is

dependent on the environment. Parental environments can alter the regulation of gene expression

in offspring and phenotypes linked to that gene expression, resulting in the release or masking of

genetic variation and changes in total additive genetic variation (Snell-Rood et al. 2016; Strader et

al. 2022).

Changes in gene regulation that alter the expression of genetic variation have the potential

to alter genetic covariance (Innocenti and Chenoweth 2013). Genetic covariance is a measure of

how much two traits vary together as a function of genotypic effects. Under positive genetic

covariance, genotypes that increase the expression of one trait generally increase the expression of

a second trait. Under negative genetic covariance, genotypes exhibit opposing directional effects

on two traits (Lande 1980). Genetic covariance is a critical constraint on evolution. Evolutionary

rates accelerate when the directions of selection and genetic correlation of two traits align (e.g.

positive directional selection on two traits sharing positive genetic correlation), but slow when the

direction of these parameters is misaligned - e.g. positive directional selection on two traits sharing

negative genetic correlation (Agrawal and Stinchcombe 2008; Blows and McGuigan 2016). If TGP

induces differential expression in offspring of a gene with pleiotropic phenotypic effects, genetic

covariance between those traits will change according to parental environment (Day and

Bonduriansky 2011). If transgenerational effects alter the fitness consequences and genetic

(co)variance of offspring phenotypes, then parental environments have potential to act as

modulators of evolution by natural selection.

Performance traits and their phenotypic plasticity can be highly polygenic, regulated by

multiple physiological pathways as identified by multi-environment genome-wide association

studies (Li et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2021; Nguyen Ba et al. 2022) and gene expression-phenotype

associations (DiLeo et al. 2011; Velotta et al. 2020). Whether a trait's evolution is constrained or

facilitated by genetic covariance depends, in part, on the strength and direction of covariance

between the regulatory pathways underpinning it (Innocenti and Chenoweth 2013). RNA-seq

experiments can be integrated with quantitative genetic breeding designs to (i) measure the

additive genetic variation and heritability of regulatory pathways underpinning performance traits

and (ii) estimate genetic variance-covariance (G) matrices of those pathways, consequently, their

genetic correlation (Blows et al. 2015). Progress has been made in determining how developmental

environments influence genetic correlation between traits (Sgrò and Hoffmann 2004; Wood and

Brodie 2015) and their fitness effects (Kingsolver and Gomulkiewicz 2003). Whether parental

environments reshape natural selection on traits through these mechanisms is poorly understood,

however (Donelson et al. 2018).

Using the purple sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus,* an ecologically important

model invertebrate (Pearse 2006), we tested the hypotheses that parental exposure to stress

reshapes the fitness effects and genetic (co)variance of offspring performance traits. We

conditioned adults and larvae to experimental treatments that mimicked variation in temperature

and pCO_2 under coastal upwelling frequently experienced by *S. purpuratus*. Upwelling occurs

when wind-driven, upward movement of deep seawater lowers the temperature and increases the

pCO_2 of surface oceans (Gruber et al. 2012). Coastal upwelling is predicted to increase in intensity

as a result of climate change in regions such as the California Current (Snyder et al. 2003).

S. purpuratus populations inhabiting different *in situ* upwelling regimes exhibit

genetically-fixed differences in their degree of phenotypic and transcriptional plasticity induced

by experimental ocean acidification and upwelling, demonstrating that plastic, acclimatory

response to this stressor are a key performance metric associated with upwelling adaptation (Evans

et al. 2013, 2017; Kelly et al. 2013). In response to experimental upwelling, *S. purpuratus* exhibits

transgenerational and developmental plasticity of differential gene expression, DNA methylation,

and several performance traits including larval growth rate, biomineralization, and lipid content

(Wong et al. 2018, 2019; Strader et al. 2019, 2020, 2022; Bogan et al. 2023). Phenotypic data for

larval body size and biomineralization from the experiment that we describe here were reported

by Strader et al. 2022, who detected significant genetic variation for the plasticity of both

phenotypes in response to upwelling and an effect of parental environments on additive genetic

variation in plasticity. Therefore, we evaluated our hypotheses by focusing on the plasticity of

offspring phenotypes and gene expression as our primary performance traits.

We integrated RNA-seq with assays of performance and fitness-correlated traits in a

quantitative genetic crossing design of larval families exposed to upwelling or control conditions

(Figures 1A & S1), a design that facilitated the measurement of additive genetic variation,

heritability, and *G* matrices associated with phenotypic plasticity and associated regulatory

pathways (Fig. 1B). We tested whether parental stress modified selection on offspring plasticity

and genetic covariance between pathways associated with offspring plasticity.

2. Methods

2.1 Adult conditioning, crossing, and larval culture – Adult urchins were collected from 2

coastal sites in the Santa Barbara Channel in August and September of 2018: Naples Reef

(34.4221216, -119.95154) on August 23, 2018, and from Arroyo Quemado Reef (34.46774988, -

120.11905). Adults were distributed across 4 90 L tanks per parental treatment at a density of 10

urchins per tank. Adults acclimated to parental treatments for approximately 4 months: non-

upwelling = 17°C and 596 µatm pCO_2; upwelling = 12.8°C and 1117 µatm pCO_2. Flow rates to

adult tanks equaled 20 l h^{-1}. Adults were fed fresh *Macrocystis pyrifera ad libitum* with food

changes and tank cleanings conducted once per week. Seawater temperature was controlled using

heat pumps regulated by Nema 4X digital temperature controllers. pCO_2 was controlled using a

flow-through CO_2 mixing system adapted from Fangue *et al*. (Fangue et al. 2010).

Fertilizations were conducted using a staggered cross-classified North Carolina II breeding

design. During each phase of the staggered cross, 2 males and 2 females from a common adult

condition were reciprocally crossed and their resulting offspring were cultured under non-

upwelling and upwelling conditions until the prism stage of larval development. Each cross x larval

treatment group was reared using 2 technical replicate culture buckets, resulting in 16 larval

cultures per staggered cross. This process was repeated 5x for non-upwelling and upwelling

crosses, alternating in order between parental treatments, resulting in 40 breeding adults, 40

crosses reared across 160 technical replicates, and 80 biological replicates (Fig. 1A). This sample

size of breeding adults and lines exceeds breeding designs used to measure additive genetic

Figure 1 | *Crossing and experimental designs*. **(A)** This graphic depicts adult and larval conditioning to ambient, non-
upwelling (blue) and stressful, experimental upwelling conditions (yellow). Crosses between cohorts of conditioned
adults are depicted with lines. Reciprocal rearing of offspring resulting from each cross is depicted with arrows
directed toward larval non-upwelling and upwelling conditions. **(B)** A visual representation of modeling approaches
used to integrate environmental treatments ("E"), additive genetic variance ("V_A"), gene expression ("GE"),
phenotypes ("P"), and fitness correlating traits ("F"). Structural equation modeling is visualized in blue which
estimated the effect of differential expression on phenotypic plasticity induced by environmental treatments. Animal
models predicting additive genetic variance in gene expression are green. Selection gradient models predicting the
fitness effects of differential expression are red. Environmental treatments are grey. Parameters predicted by modeling
approaches are visualized in shades of blue.

variation and *G* matrices of transcriptome-wide gene expression in other animals (Blows et al.

2015; Tung et al. 2015).

Larvae were cultured in replicate flow-through 6 L nested buckets (i.e., an inner bucket

with 30 µM mesh openings nested in an exterior bucket) at a flow rate of 3 L h^{-1} and a density of

10 larvae ml^{-1} until the early prism stage of larval development signified by the onset of tripartite

gut differentiation. Temperature and pH were regulated in larval culture buckets as described

above for adult conditioning. Point measures of temperature, salinity, total alkalinity, and pH for

adult and larval tanks are described by Strader et al. 2022.

2.2. Phenotyping of performance and fitness-correlated traits – Three phenotypes were

measured in larval cultures: (i) percent developmental abnormality (a corollary of survival), (ii)

larval body size, and (iii) spicule length per unit body size (a corollary of biomineralization).

Morphometric measurements of body size and spicule length were performed on $n \geq 30$ prism

larvae per technical replicated stored in 2% formalin buffered with 100 mM NaBO$_3$ (pH 8.7) in

filtered sea water. Body size was defined as the maximum linear distance of a prism body and

spicule length defined as length from the tip of the body rod to the branching point of the post-oral

rod. Abnormality was scored on $n \geq 30$ larvae during sampling and was measured as the percentage

of larvae exhibiting unsuccessful gastrulation. Gastrulation failure is frequently used as a proxy

for larval viability and survival, as gastrulation is necessary for embryo viability and development

(Duncan et al. 1997; Gambardella et al. 2021). Importantly, body size and spicule length were not

measured in non-prism larvae or ungastrulated embryos. Because RNA-seq was performed using

pooled RNA samples per culture, performance and fitness-correlating phenotypes were integrated

with gene expression data using culture means rather than per-animal values.

2.3. RNA extraction, sequencing, and analysis – Total RNA was extracted with Trizol from

pooled samples of 6,000 larvae per culture replicate. Extractions were performed on 1 technical

replicate per cross x developmental treatment resulting in 80 RNA extractions. Total RNA quantity

and quality was evaluated via Nanodrop, gel electrophoresis, and Qubit quantification before

library preparation. RNA-seq libraries were prepared using polyA enrichment and were quality

checked via LabChip GX. Strand specific PE 150 bp reads were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq

4000 platform. Adapter removal, quality filtering, and trimming were performed using CutAdapt

v4.4 and Trimmomatic v0.39 before reads were aligned to the 'Spur_5.1' reference genome

(Sodergren et al. 2006) using hisat2 v2.2.1 (Kim et al. 2019). Specific parameters for read

processing, alignment, and processing of alignment files are reported in Supplemental Methods.

Transcript read counts were normalized in edgeR v3.40.2 as counts per million (CPM).

Read counts were filtered to keep all transcripts exhibiting CPM > 0.5 in at least 75% of the 80

replicates. Differential expression (DE) was modeled using a negative binomial generalized linear

model (glm) fitted with edgeR's robust, tagwise dispersion parameter using the robust iteration of

the model fitting function 'GLMQLFit' and the DE test function 'GLMQLFTest' (Robinson et al.

2010). Expression was predicted as a function of two non-interacting, categorical variables for

parental and developmental environment. Models were fit with non-interacting environmental

predictors because the study's design only enabled the measurement of V_A for developmental

rather than transgenerational plasticity. Fitting an interaction between both effects would confound

interpretation of V_A for developmental plasticity. Significant DE was evaluated using FDR

adjusted p-values (alpha < 0.05). Functional enrichment was tested using a rank-based Mann

Whitney U test of Gene Ontology terms input with logFC coefficients for DE. This test determines

whether a given GO term's logFC distribution is significantly skewed from the mean of the

background, filtered transcriptome (Wright et al. 2015).

2.4 Identifying adaptive differential expression – The effect of DE on the plasticity of body

size and biomineralization (body size-normalized spicule length) was measured using structural

equation models (SEMs). SEMs were derived from two linear models: (i) phenotype predicted as

a function of transcript abundance, developmental environment, and parental environment and (ii)

scaled, signed transcript abundance predicted as a function of developmental and parental

environments. Scaled transcript abundance was signed such that samples with low expression

resulting in a negative scaled value were multiplied by the direction of the transcript's DE under

upwelling. The effect of developmental environment on phenotype mediated by DE was estimated

for each transcript using mediation analysis performed with the 'mediate' function of the R

package mediation v4.5.0 set to 1000 simulations (Tingley et al. 2014). Positive mediation effects

indicated that changes in gene expression in the direction of DE were associated with higher levels

of body size or biomineralization under upwelling. Negative mediation effects indicated that DE

was associated with reduced phenotypic values under upwelling. To understand how the strength

of DE impacted phenotypic outcomes, linear regressions were performed between transcriptome

wide, absolute logFC and a second-order, quadratic polynomial for the phenotypic effects output

by SEM.

The fitness costs and benefits of plasticity in body size and biomineralization were

measured using an adaptation of the Lande & Arnold selection gradient model (Lande and Arnold

1983), which traditionally assumes uniform relatedness among individuals, for use on non-

uniformly related samples. This was achieved by controlling for the effect of genetic covariance

on the fitness-correlating outcome using a known pedigree structure. A fitness correlated trait

(proportion of normal development, a larval corollary of survival) was modeled as a function of

developmental and parental environment, body size or biomineralization per cross in each

environment, and the plasticity of body size or biomineralization of a cross between developmental

environments. Selection gradient models included a random effect identifying each cross and

controlling for genetic covariance using a relatedness matrix generated from custom code (see

https://github.com/[REDACTED]) such that offspring-parent relatedness equals 0.5, full-sibling

relatedness equals 0.5, half sibling relatedness equals 0.25, and unrelated cohorts share a

relatedness of 0. This modification of the Lande and Arnold model has been used to accommodate

non-uniform relatedness in other studies (Fontúrbel et al. 2021).

Selection gradient models were fitted in brms v2.19.6 (Bürkner 2017), an R interface to

the Bayesian programming language Stan (Carpenter et al. 2017). Models assumed uniform priors,

employed 40,000 MCMC iterations with a 5,000 iteration warm up, and a beta-distributed

generalized linear regression model family. Beta distribution was selected because the proportion

of normal development is constrained between 0 and 1. A Bayesian approach was selected for

fitting because of the flexibility of packages such as brms for accommodating relatedness matrices

within a beta distributed model family. These selection gradient models predicted whether positive

versus negative plasticity of larval body size and biomineralization promoted greater fitness under

upwelling stress. The significance of fitness effects were tested using probability of direction,

which determines whether the $\geq 95\%$ of posterior distribution falls above or below 0 (Makowski

et al. 2019). Selection gradient coefficients were then multiplied with the phenotypic effects of DE

on plasticity for body size and biomineralization to calculate the associated fitness effect of

transcriptional plasticity.

2.5 Measuring the heritability of gene expression and its plasticity – Additive genetic

variation (V_A) and heritability (h_2) of gene expression was measured to determine whether there

was sufficient genetic variation for fitness- and phenotype-associated changes in expression to

evolve via natural selection. V_A for gene expression and DE were measured across all transcripts

using animal models fit with the 'relmatLmer' function of the R package lme4qtl v0.2.2

(Ziyatdinov et al. 2018). Within animal models, DE was measured as the foldchange of gene

expression across developmental environment for a given cross. Mean-standardized CPM (gene

expression) was predicted as a function of fixed effects for developmental and parental

environment, random effects for dam and sire, and a random effect for cross identity. Genetic

covariance between crosses was estimated using the relatedness matrix described above. Mean-

standardized DE fold changes were predicted using an identical animal model lacking a fixed effect

for developmental environment. h^2 was derived from each model as the heritable proportion of

total variance in gene expression or DE. Standard error in h^2 was calculated for each model via

bootstrapping using the function bootMer function of lme4set to "parametric" and 100 simulations

(Bates et al. 2015). Differences in h^2 of baseline gene expression and gene expression were

modeled transcriptome wide using a quasibinomial glm linear model that corrected for standard

error of h^2 by weighting observations by $1/SE^2$.

Covariation between DE's heritability and adaptive, phenotypic effects were modelled

using three different approaches addressing the questions (i) 'Does the probability of heritability

($h^2 \geq 0.2$) vary as a function of DE's effect on adaptive plasticity in body size or

biomineralization?', (ii) 'Does total heritability (h^2 as a continuous variable) vary as a function of

DE's phenotypic effects?', and (iii) 'Does total heritability vary according to the fitness costs of

DE's combined effect on plasticity in body size and biomineralization?'. Tests of questions i and

ii were performed by modelling the probability of $h^2 \geq 0.2$ using a binomial glm. Continuous h^2

was modeled as a quasibinomial linear model weighted by h^2 standard error (weight = $1/SE^2$). Each

model type fitted two continuous predictor variables for the phenotypic effect of DE on body size

and biomineralization signed toward the adaptive direction of that effect under parental upwelling,

which induced yielded fitness effects of plasticity as opposed to neutral effects under parental non-

upwelling. Models of continuous h^2 set parameters for DE's phenotypic effects as a second order

polynomial to accommodate non-linear variation in h^2 across the parameter space. For question iii,

DE's total effect on adaptive plasticity was calculated as the summation of the SEM-predicted

coefficient for DE of transcript i's effect (E) on body size (S) and biomineralization (B) multiplied

by the selection gradient (β) acting on each plastic trait under upwelling, such that adaptive

plasticity associated with transcript $i = (E_{S,i} \times \beta_{S,i}) + (E_{B,i} \times \beta_{B,i})$. Covariance between DE h^2 and its

total adaptive effect on plasticity was modeled using a quasibinomial linear model weighted by

standard error of h^2 (weight = $1/SE^2$). The predictor variable of this model was calculated as the

sum of two products that were separately measured for the plasticity of biomineralization and size.

For each trait, this product equaled the indirect effect of DE on plasticity multiplied by the selection

gradient acting on plasticity. For example, this predictor variable was high when DE had a strong

and positive association with plastic decreases in upwelling, which were adaptive.

2.6. Estimating G matrices – Genetic variance-covariance matrices of absolute logFC

values for DE associated with adaptive plasticity in larval body size and biomineralization were

estimated by fitting multivariate animal models representing all possible pairs of DEGs in each

group. Additive genetic variance in each absolute logFC trait and covariances between pairs were

extracted from the multivariate models and assembled into n-by-n variance-covariance matrices,

where n equals the number of DEGs associated with adaptive plasticity in body size or

biomineralization. Genetic variance-covariance matrices were then converted to correlation

matrices using the cov2corr() function of R 'stats' v4.2.2. The number of genetically-correlated

modules of adaptive plasticity-associated DEGs was estimated from G matrices using hierarchical

clustering of genetic distances (1 – genetic correlation). A distance threshold of < 0.5 was used to

assign DEGs to their shared modules. Hierarchical clustering was performed using the hclust()

function of R stats v4.2.2.

Variation in logFC G matrices between larvae of upwelling and non-upwelling parents was

quantified. First, two multivariate animal models fitted with pedigrees of parental non-upwelling

or parental upwelling families were fitted for all pairs of genes with DE associated with adaptive

plasticity in larval body size or biomineralization. As described above, genetic (co)variances from

each model were used to populate parental upwelling and non-upwelling G matrices which were

converted to correlation matrices. Significant differences in correlation matrix structure between

parental treatments were evaluated using a three-step approach. Two-sided Mantel tests were used

to compare parental environment matrices, applying separate tests to logFC associated with

adaptive plasticity in body size and adaptive biomineralization plasticity. Mantel tests were run

using the mantel.test() function of the R package 'ape' v5.8 using 10,000 iterations (Paradis et al.

2004; Paradis and Schliep 2019). Next, a chi-squared test was used to determine if the number of

negative-to-positive changes in genetic correlation under upwelling relative to positive-to-negative

changes was greater than expected by chance.

To determine whether significant enrichment in negative-to-positive changes in genetic

correlation was attributed to parental environment or the pedigree structure of populations in

parental treatment groups (i.e., differences in genetic (co)variance between animals used in each

treatment), random permutations of the experimental pedigree were constructed. These

permutations split the pedigree into two halves which evenly represented families of parental

treatment groups. Random permutations of split pedigrees were input into animal models and

resulting G matrices. Chi-squared tests of enrichment for directional changes in genetic correlation

between permuted matrices were performed, which determined the effect of pedigree structure on

apparent effects of parental environment on genetic correlations. In Results, enrichment of

directional changes in genetic correlation between parental environment are reported alongside the

percentage of these effects that were attributed to pedigree structure rather than treatment.

3. Results

Coastal upwelling is stressful for *S. purpuratus* larvae (Wong et al. 2018, 2019; Strader et

al. 2020, 2022). This was similarly observed here, as evidenced by an increased percent

abnormality in larvae in cultures conditioned to the treatment transgenerationally and

developmentally (Strader et al. 2022). Developmental and parental conditioning to experimental

upwelling induced widespread differential expression (DE; Fig. 2). DE induced by developmental

upwelling was primarily associated with decreases in larval body size and, to a lesser extent,

increases in biomineralization (Fig. 3). The plasticity of body size and biomineralization both

yielded significant fitness effects measured as variance in the proportion of normal development

among larval families. However, fitness effects were contingent upon the parental environment

from which larvae were spawned. Following parental upwelling, reductions in larval body size and

plastic increases in biomineralization were both adaptive. Using a heritability threshold of $h^2 \geq 0.2$

(Orton 2020), 56.80 – 59.93% of adaptive gene expression plasticity exhibited significant

heritability (Fig. 4). Negative genetic correlation was abundant between DE of genes associated

with adaptive phenotypic plasticity. However, larvae from upwelling-exposed parents exhibited a

significant reduction in negative genetic correlation between DE genes associated with adaptive

plasticity in body size, demonstrating that changes in gene regulation following parental stress

modified the expression of genetic covariance (Fig. 5). We further describe these results below.

3.1 Differential expression induced by parental and developmental upwelling – RNA-seq

alignment, quality checking, and filtering – Following trimming, RNA-seq libraries achieved a

mean size of 37.07 ± 5.09 million reads and a mean mapping efficiency of 74.14 ± 1.80%. After

read-count filtering for transcripts with > 0.5 CPM in at least 75% of all samples, 12,953 transcripts

were retained for downstream analysis. Outlier detection was performed with filtered read count

data using arrayQualityMetrics v3.54.0 (Kauffmann et al. 2009), which flagged two half sibling

crosses from parental and developmental upwelling treatments as significant outliers (Fig. S2–S3).

Removal of these two samples brought the RNA-seq sample size to n = 78. Mean variation in gene

expression across samples equaled a biological coefficient of variation of 0.12. Library and

alignment metrics are further described in Supplemental File 1.

Parental conditioning to upwelling induced upregulation of 1,582 transcripts and

downregulation of 1,539 in larval offspring. These differentially expressed genes (DEGs) included

50 and 29 upregulated and downregulated transcripts with an absolute $\log_2FC > 1.0$ (Fig. 2). DEGs

induced by parental upwelling were enriched for 71 biological process (BP) GO terms, 49

molecular function (MF) terms, and 30 cellular component (CC) terms described in Figures S4–

S6. Developmental exposure to upwelling induced upregulation of 2,246 transcript and

downregulation of 2,205. With a >1.0 $\log_2FC$ cutoff, these included 38 upregulated and 184

downregulated transcripts (Fig. 2). In comparison of parental and offspring responses to upwelling,

39.73% of DEGs induced by transgenerational effects were also differentially expressed in

response to developmental conditioning. Developmental upwelling DEGs were enriched for 89

BP GO terms, 53 MF terms, and 37 CC terms described in Figures S7–S9.

Figure 2 | *Differential expression induced by parental and developmental exposure to upwelling.* **(A)** Loading of samples to principal coordinate axes derived from filtered, normalized read counts. Parental and developmental treatments are represented by color. Paths connect single crosses and their change in loading between non-upwelling ("N"; no point) and upwelling ("U"; point) treatments. **(B)** Mean difference plots of transcript logFC across average CPM per transcript, grouped by parental and developmental effects of upwelling. Significant downregulation is depicted in blue and upregulation in red.

Upregulated transcripts related to ribosomal function included several ribosomal subunits and 16 DEAD-box proteins involved in the initiation of translation. Included in cellular responses to stress was the significant upregulation of 8 heat shock proteins including 3 Hsp70 and 5 Hsp40 chaperones. Interestingly, 8 heat shock proteins were significantly downregulated in response to upwelling, indicating that they were more highly expressed under warmer conditions. These included all 3 Hsp90 isoforms present in the *S. purpuratus* genome as well as 2 Hsp70 and 3 Hsp40 chaperones. These functional enrichment results demonstrate a suite of complex molecular responses to multivariate, abiotic environmental change brought on by experimental upwelling, a third of which were commonly induced by parental and larval conditioning.

3.2. Selection on offspring performance traits and their plasticity - Selection gradient

models predicting the proportion of normal development per replicate culture (a fitness correlated

trait) as a function of body size or biomineralization and their plasticity estimated fitness benefits

of (i) plastic reductions in size under upwelling and (ii) maintenance of or plastic increases in

biomineralization under upwelling. When larvae were spawned from parents exposed to

upwelling, larval biomineralization incurred a selection gradient of 0.15 ± 0.06 (Fig. 3A) and, for

body size, 0.13 ± 0.04 (Fig. 3B). In larvae from upwelling parents, plastic increases in

biomineralization incurred a selection gradient of 0.40 ± 0.09 (Fig. 3A) and plastic reductions in

body size incurred a weaker selection gradient of 0.13 ± 0.04 (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, the plasticity

of both traits did not exhibit detectable fitness costs or benefits when larvae were spawned from

non-upwelling parents. These selection gradient coefficients represent the slopes of logistic

regressions between larval survival (abnormality) and phenotypic plasticity visualized in Figures

3A and 3B.

3.3. Associations between differential expression and adaptive versus maladaptive

plasticity – Structural equation models (SEMs) predicting the effect of differential expression (DE)

on plastic changes in body size and biomineralization in response to developmental upwelling

identified 231 transcripts associated with increased body size, 564 with reduced body size, 125

associated with increased biomineralization, and 113 with decreased biomineralization. Because

selection gradients were significantly positive for plasticity of biomineralization, and negative for

plasticity in size, DE associated with reductions in body size or increases in biomineralization were

associated with adaptive or weakly adaptive phenotypic outcomes following parental exposure to

upwelling (Fig. 3C).

Figure 3 | *Effects of differential expression on adaptive plasticity.* **(A – B)** The effects of phenotypic values and plasticity in biomineralization (spicule length normalized by body length) and body size (maximum body length) on proportion of normal development grouped by parental environment are plotted in A and B, respectively. Points represent phenotypic means (left) or mean reaction norms (right) of crosses reared in each environment. Environments are depicted by color such that non-upwelling is blue and upwelling is yellow. Error bars depict standard deviation in each trait among replicates of each cross. **(C)** Absolute logFC of differential expression induced by developmental upwelling is plotted across differential expression's association with upwelling effects on body size and biomineralization in A and B, respectively. Points represent transcripts. Solid lines depict fitted quadratic curves ± 95% CI. Plot background color corresponds to the product of differential expression's phenotypic effect on the plasticity of body size or biomineralization and the selection gradient for plasticity of each trait under parental upwelling, resulting in an inferred fitness induced by differential expression.

As the absolute fold change of a transcript's DE increased, the adaptive effect of DE on

reduced body size and/or increased biomineralization significantly increased. From here forward,

'adaptive' or 'maladaptive' effects refer to selection on larvae from upwelling-exposed parents

unless otherwise specified. Associations between DE and effects on phenotypic plasticity toward

maladaptive directions were weaker, demonstrating that DE induced by developmental upwelling

was predominantly associated with adaptive plasticity (Fig. 3C). Transcripts associated with

reduced body size under upwelling conditions were enriched for BP/MF terms involved in cellular

signaling, transmembrane transport, and ribosomal biogenesis localized to cell junctions and the

nucleolus. DE driving increases or decreases in biomineralization exhibited no functional

enrichment across all GO term categories.

3.4. Genetic (co)variance of adaptive and maladaptive differential expression – DE was

highly heritable across most genes, but levels of heritability varied according to associations

between DE and adaptive plasticity. DE was more heritable than baseline gene expression (CPM)

by 19.95% h^2 (p < 2.2e^{-16}) and the two variables were uncorrelated (Fig. 4A). Most significant DE

induced by developmental conditioning (60.46%) exhibited h^2 greater than or equal to 0.20 (i.e.,

at least 20% of in DE variance was heritable). The mean h^2 of expression was 0.2229 ± 0.1159.

The standard error of expression h^2 was 0.1159 ± 0.0486. The mean h^2 of DE was 0.2674 ± 0.1592.

The standard error of DE h^2 was 0.1158 ± 0.0486. 7.59% of DEGs were heritable and associated

with adaptive plasticity in body size. However, 59.93% of adaptive DE related to body size was

heritable. These transcripts exhibited similar h^2 relative to all DE: 0.2613 ± 0.1516. Less DE was

heritable and associated with maladaptive plasticity in body size - only 3.59% of all DEGs.

However, maladaptive DE related to body size exhibited greater heritability with a mean h^2 of

0.2984 ± 0.1600. Most of the DEGs associated with adaptive increases in biomineralization

(56.80%) were heritable, with a mean h^2 of 0.3709 ± 0.1224. 1.55% of DEG's were heritable and

associated with adaptive increases in biomineralization. No DEGs that were significantly

associated with maladaptive decreases in biomineralization were heritable.

DE associated with adaptive reductions in body size under upwelling was less heritable

than DE associated with maladaptive increases in size. As the effect of DE on adaptive decreases

in body size grew, h^2 significantly decreased (β = -0.96; p = 5.77e^{-8}) as well as the probability of

$h^2 \geq 0.2$ (β = -5.47; p = 2.16e^{-8}; Fig. 4B). The phenotypic effect of DE on adaptive

biomineralization plasticity had a negative but insignificant effect on its heritability (Fig. 4B).

Adaptive DE remained significantly less heritable when considering the cumulative fitness effects

of plasticity-associated DE (β = -0.05; p = 0.00376). The cumulative fitness effect of DE was

measured by summing DE's phenotypic effects on both traits and multiplying these effects by the

selection gradients acting on each trait's plasticity.

Genetic variance-covariance matrices (G matrices) were estimated for sibships' logFC

values for differentially expressed genes associated with adaptive plasticity of body size and

biomineralization. DE associated with adaptive plasticity in body size was composed of 28

genetically correlated modules of 564 genes. DE associated with adaptive plasticity in

biomineralization was composed of 32 genetically correlated modules of 125 genes. Genetic

correlations between logFC values were primarily positive and exhibited larger absolute

correlation among logFCs sharing positive genetic correlation (Fig. 5). G matrices for larvae from

upwelling versus non-upwelling parents exhibited differences in genetic correlation for DE

associated with adaptive plasticity in body size. Mantel tests were used to evaluate variation

between parental treatments in genetic correlation matrices of DE associated with adaptive

Figure 4 | *Heritability of differential expression and its association with adaptive phenotypic plasticity*. **(A)** h^2 of differential expression (DE) induced by developmental upwelling is plotted across h^2 of baseline gene expression transcriptome wide. The distributions of h^2 for baseline and differential expression are plotted adjacent to the x and y axes, respectively. **(B)** The probability of differential expression $h^2 \geq 0.20$ is plotted as a logistic curve $\pm$ 95% CI across differential expression's effect on biomineralization and body size signed for the effect's direction toward adaptive plasticity. Background color represents the coefficient for DE's effect on phenotypic plasticity multiplied by the selection gradient acting on the plasticity of each phenotype under parental upwelling (e.g., the associated fitness outcome of DE's effect on plasticity).

plasticity in body size ($Z = 40477.59$; $p < 1e10^{-4}$) and biomineralization ($Z = 1438.176$; $p < 1e10^{-4}$

$^{-4}$). For both traits, some correlations shifted from negative-to-positive and positive-to-negative

under parental upwelling (Fig. 5). Even in the absence of environmental effects, random chance in

changes to the sign of genetic correlation are expected. Thus, a chi-squared test was used to

determine if there were greater or fewer positive-to-negative shifts than expected by chance alone.

Genetic correlations in body size DE exhibited significant shifts from negative-to-positive under

parental upwelling ($\chi^2 = 1767.7$; $p < 2e10^{-16}$).

Parental treatment groups contained different genotypes, potentially confounding

transgenerational effects on genetic correlation. Permutations of the experimental pedigree were

performed, dividing it into two random halves with equal representation of parental treatment

groups. Permutation revealed that 7.25% of enrichment for positive changes in genetic correlations

in body size DE were attributed to pedigree structure rather than parental environment. It was

significantly more likely that directional shifts in genetic correlation under parental upwelling were

attributed to environment rather than pedigree ($\chi^2 = 1639.6$; $p < 2e10^{-16}$). Most upwelling-induced

directional shifts to genetic correlations in biomineralization DE (94.53%) were attributable to

pedigree structure. Thus, there were detectable effects of parental environment on the genetic

covariance of pathways associated with adaptive body size plasticity, but not biomineralization.

Figure 5 | *Changes in genetic correlation between parental upwelling treatments for log foldchanges (logFC) of differential expression (DE) associated with adaptive plasticity in larval body size and biomineralization.* X and Y axes represent genetic correlations extracted from *G* matrices of logFC values estimated from larvae of non-upwelling and upwelling conditioned parents. Correlations that shifted from negative to positive under parental upwelling are enlarged and colored in red. Correlations that shifted from positive to negative under parental upwelling are enlarged and colored in blue.

Heritable DE associated with plasticity in body size was enriched for functions associated with ribosomal biogenesis and maintenance (Fig. 6). Transcripts with high DE heritability and adaptive reductions in body size under upwelling were enriched for the BP/MF GO terms related to ribosomal biogenesis, RNA processing, and transmembrane transport localized to the nucleolus. Heritable DE associated with maladaptive increases in size was enriched for BP/MF terms related to amide formation (a component of translation) and ribosome structure localized to the cytosolic ribosome and large ribosomal subunit (Fig. 6). Heritable DE with adaptive effects on the plasticity of biomineralization was not enriched for GO terms.

525
Figure 6 | *Functional enrichment of differentially expressed genes with high heritability and strong absolute effects on the plasticity of body size*. Enriched gene ontology (GO) terms are derived from a Mann Whitney U test of variation in the product of differential expression's heritability (h^2) and DE's effect on adaptive plasticity of body size in response to upwelling. Trees depict clustering of GO terms based on shared transcripts. Red GO terms are enriched within heritable differential expression associated with adaptive reductions in body size in response to developmental upwelling exposure. Blue terms are enriched within heritable differential expression associated with maladaptive increases in body size under upwelling.

4. Discussion

4.1. Transgenerational effects reshaped fitness consequences of offspring performance traits and their plasticity – Conditioning of adult *S. purpuratus* to experimental upwelling during gametogenesis led to an increase in the strength of selection gradients acting on larval size, biomineralization, and the plasticity of both traits in response to larval upwelling exposure (Fig. 3). Transgenerational effects can influence the fitness consequences of offspring phenotypes through changes in provisioning to gametes (Gagliano and McCormick 2007). In these cases, it is expected that expression of a costly phenotype in offspring with fewer resources (e.g. protein,

lipid, and amino acids) and lower condition will causes a fitness decline relative to the expression

of that same phenotype in higher condition offspring (Roff and Fairbairn 2007). Maternal

conditioning of *S. purpuratus* to ocean acidification has been shown to decrease lipid provisioning

to eggs while leaving egg size and protein provisions unaffected (Wong et al. 2019). In our

experiment, dams conditioned to different treatments did not did not differ in egg size (Strader et

al. 2022). Our study showed that abnormal development (a proxy for larval mortality) was

significantly higher in larvae from stress-exposed parents, demonstrating a clear decline in

offspring condition (Fig. 3).

Our findings align with and expand on studies evaluating whether parental effects alter

selection on offspring traits. Much of this literature has focused on parental care in nesting

vertebrates that have substantial post-zygotic investment, while our study addressed prezygotic

parental effects. In collared flycatchers, the strength and direction of selection acting on offspring

size changed between low- and high-quality parental rearing conditions (Krist and Munclinger

2015). In blue tits, low parental provisioning toward hatched offspring strengthened negative

selection on metabolic rate and begging behaviors (Lucass et al. 2016). In mice, maternal care

weakened selection gradients acting on offspring traits by buffering against the effects of

deleterious phenotypes (Ashbrook et al. 2015). An example of transgenerational effects on

selection gradients driven by nutrient provisioning, rather than care, is in damselfish, where low

maternal nutrition increased positive selection for increase yolk sac size in larval offspring

(Gagliano and McCormick 2007). Our findings connect parental investment to selection on

offspring traits through ecological physiology rather than parental care: parental exposure to

abiotic stress reduced offspring condition, strengthening the fitness costs of multiple offspring

traits that are critical for sustaining performance under stress.

Transgenerational plasticity (TGP) has been proposed to buffer against or facilitate natural

selection in offspring phenotypes by masking or expressing genetic variation (Vogl 1996;

Heckwolf et al. 2018). Our results demonstrate that transgenerational effects also reshape selection

on offspring by increasing the fitness effects of expressed genetic variation. Expression of costly

phenotypes induced by TGP in offspring that received poor provisioning may accelerate adaptation

over multiple generations. While transgenerational effects have received interest in global change

biology for their ability to promote rapid adaptive responses to climate stressors on ecological

timescales, our findings align with recommendations that additional research should investigate

their ability to modulate evolution and climate adaptation (Donelson et al. 2018; Fox et al. 2019).

In populations of *S. purpuratus*, for example, local adaptation to ocean acidification is evident

across a mosaic of upwelling in the California Current Ecosystem, where upwelling is predicted

to become more frequent and severe under climate change (Snyder et al. 2003). Future research in

natural populations of *S. purpuratus* assessing the effects of parental upwelling environment on

adaptive evolution in larvae may reveal how transgenerational effects alter climate adaptation.

4.2. Transgenerational effects reshaped genetic variance-covariance in pathways

associated with offspring performance – Genetic variance-covariance matrices (G matrices) can

constrain responses to selection in multivariate trait space when genetic covariance or correlation

between traits is strongly negative (Agrawal and Stinchcombe 2008). G matrices of differential

expression associated with adaptive offspring plasticity shared a high degree of negative genetic

correlation, demonstrating antagonistic pleiotropy or linkage between variants of opposing effects

on gene expression traits (Chebib and Guillaume 2021). Pervasive negative genetic correlation

indicates that adaptive responses to selection by some gene expression modules should be

constrained (Sgrò and Hoffmann 2004). Among DE associated with adaptive plasticity in body

size, genetic correlations between logFC values of thousands of genes significantly shifted from

negative to positive in offspring of upwelling-conditioned parents (Fig. 5). Environments can

influence genetic correlations between traits by inducing or silencing the expression of genetic

variation underpinning those traits (Wood and Brodie 2015; Bogan and Yi 2024). For polygenic

traits, this can lead to the expression of variants that share more positive or more negative genetic

correlation. Effects of developmental environments on genetic correlation have been reported in

pairs of traits (Sgrò and Hoffmann 2004; Wood and Brodie 2015; Fischer et al. 2016). Our results

reveal that not only developmental, but parental environment, influences the expression of genetic

covariation across thousands of transcriptional traits.

Increases in positive genetic correlation among DE associated with adaptive body size

plasticity reduced genetic tradeoffs, mitigating constraints on adaptive evolution (Fig. 5). Shifts

toward more positive genetic correlations in trait-associated pathways in larvae from upwelling-

exposed parents coincided with increases in the strength of selection acting on those traits. This

combination of increased selective pressure and reduced constraints on responses to selection

suggest that parental upwelling exposure acted as a facilitator of adaptive evolution. Models of

adaptation to environmental change frequently fit an effect of each generation's environment on

the expression of genetic (co)variation and selection gradients (Gillberg et al. 2019; Cubry et al.

2022; Gallegos et al. 2025). However, the influence of environmental experience in prior

generations on these parameters in descendent generations is rarely considered. Our results

motivate consideration of parental environments and their transgenerational effects as processes

reshaping genetic evolution and adaptation in later generations, particularly in cases of adaptation

to global change.

4.3. Reduced heritability of transcriptional responses associated with adaptive plasticity –
Parental effects on the selection gradients and genetic covariance of offspring performance traits will only influence evolution if there is heritable genetic variation underpinning those traits. We observed that the heritability of DE significantly decreased when it was associated with adaptive, phenotypic responses to larval upwelling conditioning (Fig. 4). This extent of genetic variation for DE, and its decline in DE associated with adaptive plasticity, confirms observations in other studies of plants (He et al. 2021) and animals (Oostra et al. 2018; Campbell-Staton et al. 2021). However, past research has assigned putatively adaptive and maladaptive roles to molecular responses based on prior findings. Here, we directly integrated gene expression plasticity with fitness measures. Quantifying cross-environment genetic correlations (a measure of genetic variance in plasticity) for gene expression in a tropical butterfly, Oostra et al. detected low-to-undetectable genetic variation for DE between two seasonal morphological phenotypes (Oostra et al. 2018). The authors proposed that minimal genetic variation for transcriptional plasticity resulted from a canalized response to a highly reliable seasonal cue (Oostra et al. 2018). Numerous studies have reported moderate-to-high genetic variation in DE measured as genotype x environment (GxE) interactions shaping expression in animals (Grishkevich and Yanai 2013; McCairns et al. 2016; Huang et al. 2020). Oostra et al. provide one of the only measures of additive genetic variance in the reaction norm of gene expression rather than variance attributed to GxE. Thus, it is notable that V_A of DE that they detected is strikingly lower than what we observed here.

S. purpuratus exhibited reduced genetic variation for transcriptional plasticity associated with adaptive phenotypic effects, but heritability remained significant for a proportion of these genes (Fig. 4). This pattern is potentially a signature of standing genetic variation and selection for DE induced by upwelling in natural populations. *S. purpuratus* larvae are widely dispersed during

their planktonic phase resulting in high connectivity across spatial scales and high genetic diversity

within populations (Palumbi and Wilson 1990; Edmands et al. 1996; Pespeni and Palumbi 2013).

Its dispersal distances can be wide enough that larvae are frequently transported across areas of

major and minor upwelling in the California Current and Southern California Bight (Zaytsev et al.

2003; Pespeni and Palumbi 2013; Chan et al. 2017). *S. purpuratus* populations exhibit evidence of

local adaptation to regional differences in pCO_2 despite high rates of gene flow (Evans et al. 2013;

Pespeni et al. 2013). Balancing selection during seasonal changes in upwelling intensity may also

sustain genetic variation in plasticity (Lynn and Simpson 1987; Quilfen et al. 2021). Prior research

on *S. purpuratus* suggests that an individual population should possess genetic variation in plastic

responses associated with coastal upwelling attributed to migration and seasonal variation in

selection, but that reductions in genetic variation for adaptive plasticity may occur due to selection.

DE that was heritable and associated with adaptive plasticity, and whose genetic

correlations were made more positive by parental upwelling, were enriched for specific functions.

Heritable and adaptive changes in gene expression were enriched for GO terms associated with

ribosomal biogenesis while maladaptive, heritable DEGs retained enriched functions indicative of

ribotoxic stress such as downregulated ribosomal subunits (Fig. 6). Induced ribotoxic stress can

result in the downregulation of ribosomal subunits and the activation of HSF1, which upregulates

the cytosolic, 70 kda heat shock proteins Hsc70 and Hsp40 (Albert et al. 2019). These are the two

classes of heat shock proteins that were upregulated in response to larval upwelling conditioning.

Abiotic stress can perturbate ribosomal function via denaturation of ribosomal proteins/RNAs or

misfolding of nascent proteins that disrupt proximal ribosomes (Iordanov et al. 1998; De and

Mühlemann 2022). The overrepresentation of genes involved in ribosomal biogenesis and

structure among transcripts with heritable, (mal)adaptive plasticity in larval body size may be

explained by essential role of ribosomal homeostasis for growth and development (MacInnes

2016). Perturbations in ribosomal function during development bear harmful effects on organismal

function and size (Ordas et al. 2008; Freed et al. 2010).

5. Conclusion

Understanding how environments reshape the expression of genetic variation is a major

frontier in biology (Gibson and Dworkin 2004; Riederer et al. 2022), one that can improve

forecasts of adaptation (Martin et al. 2023). Prior research has focused on developmental

environments and within-generation processes, while less is understood about transgenerational

effects (Goldstein and Ehrenreich 2021). We demonstrated that parental environments not only

influence the expression of genetic variation in offspring traits, but the strength of selection on

those traits as well. Parental exposure to upwelling in *S. purpuratus* (i) increased the strength of

selection on offspring performance traits and their plasticity in response to developmental

upwelling exposure and (ii) caused negative-to-positive shifts in genetic covariance between gene

expression traits associated with adaptive plasticity. Combined, increases in the strength of

selection and reductions in genetic tradeoffs between adaptive traits are expected to accelerate

rates of adaptive evolution (Agrawal and Stinchcombe 2008; Blows and McGuigan 2016). Coastal

upwelling has shaped local adaptation among *S. purpuratus* populations in the California Current

Ecosystem (Kelly et al. 2013; Pespeni and Palumbi 2013; Evans et al. 2017), where upwelling is

predicted to become more severe under climate change (Quilfen et al. 2021). Our results suggest

that transgenerational effects on selection and genetic (co)variation potentially shaped adaptation

to upwelling in *S. purpuratus* and may alter the course of evolution under changing upwelling

regimes. More broadly, our experiment suggests that parental environments and transgenerational

effects play significant roles in mediating evolution by natural selection.

Acknowledgements

We thank Juliet Wong, Logan Kozal, Terence Leach, Jannine Chamorro, Maddie Housh,

and Olivia Simon for their assistance in adult conditioning and experimental culturing. We thank

Matthew Wolak, Katie Lotterhos, Steven Roberts, Yaamini Venkataraman, and Alan Downey-Wall

for his insights on quantitative genetic and transcriptomic analyses. This research was funded by

United States National Science Foundation awards IOS-1656262 and OPP-2053726 to GEH.

Diving and boating resources were provided by Santa Barbara Coastal Long Term Ecological

Research program (NSF award OCE-1831937; Director: Dr. Robert Miller).

Data availability

Raw RNA-seq data are available on the Short Read Archive under Bioproject

<u>PRJNA1169019</u>. All phenotypic data, pedigree data, and code are hosted on the Github repository

https://github.com/snbogan/QG_Purp_RNA. An archived copy of this Github repository is

available on Zenodo under the DOI [will be produced upon acceptance].

Author Contributions

SNB, MS, and GEH conceived of the aims and scope of the study. MS led experimental

design and phenotyping. GEH assisted SB assisted with experimental culturing. SB and MS

assisted with seawater chemistry and phenotyping. SB performed RNA extractions, oversaw

sequencing, bioinformatics, and all statistical analyses. SB wrote the manuscript. All authors

edited and revised the manuscript.

Declarations

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Agrawal, A. F., and J. R. Stinchcombe. 2008. How much do genetic covariances alter the rate of adaptation? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 276:1183–1191. Royal Society.

Albert, B., I. C. Kos-Braun, A. K. Henras, C. Dez, M. P. Rueda, X. Zhang, O. Gadal, M. Kos, and D. Shore. 2019. A ribosome assembly stress response regulates transcription to maintain proteome homeostasis. eLife 8:e45002. eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd.

Ashbrook, D. G., B. Gini, and R. Hager. 2015. Genetic variation in offspring indirectly influences the quality of maternal behaviour in mice. eLife 4:e11814. eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd.

Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. Bolker, and S. Walker. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

Bell, A. M., and J. K. Hellmann. 2019. An Integrative Framework for Understanding the Mechanisms and Multigenerational Consequences of Transgenerational Plasticity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 50:97–118. Annual Reviews.

Blows, M. W., S. L. Allen, J. M. Collet, S. F. Chenoweth, and K. McGuigan. 2015. The Phenome-Wide Distribution of Genetic Variance. The American Naturalist 186:15–30. The University of Chicago Press.

Blows, M. W., and K. McGuigan. 2016. The Distribution of Genetic Variance Across Phenotypic Space and the Response to Selection. Pp. 187–205 *in* Invasion Genetics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Bogan, S. N., M. E. Strader, and G. E. Hofmann. 2023. Associations between DNA methylation

and gene regulation depend on chromatin accessibility during transgenerational plasticity.

BMC Biol, doi: 10.1186/s12915-023-01645-8.

Bogan, S. N., and S. V. Yi. 2024. Potential Role of DNA Methylation as a Driver of Plastic

Responses to the Environment Across Cells, Organisms, and Populations. Genome

Biology and Evolution 16:evae022.

Bonduriansky, R., and A. J. Crean. 2018. What are parental condition-transfer effects and how

can they be detected? Methods in Ecology and Evolution 9:450–456.

Buchanan, K. L., J. L. Grindstaff, and V. V. Pravosudov. 2013. Condition dependence,

developmental plasticity, and cognition: implications for ecology and evolution. Trends in

Ecology & Evolution 28:290–296. Elsevier.

Bürkner, P.-C. 2017. brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. J. Stat.

Softw. 80:1–28. jstatsoft.org.

Campbell-Staton, S. C., J. P. Velotta, and K. M. Winchell. 2021. Selection on adaptive and

maladaptive gene expression plasticity during thermal adaptation to urban heat islands.

Nat Commun 12:6195. Nature Publishing Group.

Cao, S., and Z. J. Chen. 2024. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance during plant evolution

and breeding. Trends in Plant Science 29:1203–1223. Elsevier.

Carpenter, B., A. Gelman, M. D. Hoffman, D. Lee, B. Goodrich, M. Betancourt, M. Brubaker, J.

Guo, P. Li, and A. Riddell. 2017. Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language. Journal

of Statistical Software 76:1–32.

Chan, F., J. A. Barth, C. A. Blanchette, R. H. Byrne, F. Chavez, O. Cheriton, R. A. Feely, G.

Friederich, B. Gaylord, and T. Gouhier. 2017. Persistent spatial structuring of coastal

ocean acidification in the California Current System. Scientific Reports 7:2526. Nature

Publishing Group UK London.

Che, J., Y. Wang, A. Dong, Y. Cao, S. Wu, and R. Wu. 2024. A nested reciprocal experimental

design to map the genetic architecture of transgenerational phenotypic plasticity.

Horticulture Research 11:uhae172.

Chebib, J., and F. Guillaume. 2021. Pleiotropy or linkage? Their relative contributions to the

genetic correlation of quantitative traits and detection by multitrait GWA studies.

Genetics 219:iyab159.

Condelli, V., F. Crispo, M. Pietrafesa, G. Lettini, D. S. Matassa, F. Esposito, M. Landriscina, and

F. Maddalena. 2019. HSP90 Molecular Chaperones, Metabolic Rewiring, and

Epigenetics: Impact on Tumor Progression and Perspective for Anticancer Therapy.

Cubry, P., S. Oddou-Muratorio, I. Scotti, and F. Lefèvre. 2022. Interactions between

microenvironment, selection and genetic architecture drive multiscale adaptation in a

simulation experiment. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 35:451–466.

Day, T., and R. Bonduriansky. 2011. A Unified Approach to the Evolutionary Consequences of

Genetic and Nongenetic Inheritance. The American Naturalist 178:E18–E36. The

University of Chicago Press.

De, S., and O. Mühlemann. 2022. A comprehensive coverage insurance for cells: revealing links

between ribosome collisions, stress responses and mRNA surveillance. RNA Biology

19:609–621. Taylor & Francis.

DiLeo, M. V., G. D. Strahan, M. den Bakker, and O. A. Hoekenga. 2011. Weighted Correlation

Network Analysis (WGCNA) Applied to the Tomato Fruit Metabolome. PLOS ONE

6:e26683. Public Library of Science.

Donelan, S. C., J. K. Hellmann, A. M. Bell, B. Luttbeg, J. L. Orrock, M. J. Sheriff, and A. Sih.

2020. Transgenerational plasticity in human-altered environments. Trends Ecol. Evol.

35:115–124.

Donelson, J. M., S. Salinas, P. L. Munday, and L. N. S. Shama. 2018. Transgenerational

plasticity and climate change experiments: Where do we go from here? Glob. Chang.

Biol. 24:13–34.

Duncan, S., A. Nagy, and W. Chan. 1997. Murine gastrulation requires HNF-4 regulated gene

expression in the visceral endoderm: tetraploid rescue of Hnf-4(-/-) embryos.

Development.

Edmands, S., P. E. Moberg, and R. S. Burton. 1996. Allozyme and mitochondrial DNA evidence

of population subdivision in the purple sea urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. Marine

Biology 126:443–450.

Evans, T. G., F. Chan, B. A. Menge, and G. E. Hofmann. 2013. Transcriptomic responses to

ocean acidification in larval sea urchins from a naturally variable pH environment. Mol.

Ecol. 22:1609–1625. Wiley.

Evans, T. G., M. H. Pespeni, G. E. Hofmann, S. R. Palumbi, and E. Sanford. 2017.

Transcriptomic responses to seawater acidification among sea urchin populations

inhabiting a natural pH mosaic. Mol. Ecol. 26:2257–2275. Wiley Online Library.

Fangue, N. A., M. J. O'Donnell, M. A. Sewell, P. G. Matson, A. C. MacPherson, and G. E.

Hofmann. 2010. A laboratory-based, experimental system for the study of ocean

acidification effects on marine invertebrate larvae. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 8:441–

452. Wiley.

Fischer, E. K., C. K. Ghalambor, and K. L. Hoke. 2016. Plasticity and evolution in correlated

suites of traits. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 29:991–1002.

Fontúrbel, F. E., P. F. Ferrer, C. Vega-Retter, and R. Medel. 2021. Accounting for relatedness and

spatial structure to improve plant phenotypic selection in the wild. Evol Ecol 35:15–26.

Fox, R. J., J. M. Donelson, C. Schunter, T. Ravasi, and J. D. Gaitán-Espitia. 2019. Beyond

buying time: the role of plasticity in phenotypic adaptation to rapid environmental

change. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences

374:20180174. Royal Society.

Freed, E. F., F. Bleichert, L. M. Dutca, and S. J. Baserga. 2010. When ribosomes go bad: diseases

of ribosome biogenesis. Mol. BioSyst. 6:481–493. The Royal Society of Chemistry.

Gagliano, M., and M. I. McCormick. 2007. Maternal Condition Influences Phenotypic Selection

on Offspring. Journal of Animal Ecology 76:174–182. [Wiley, British Ecological

Society].

Gallegos, C., L.-M. Chevin, K. A. Hodgins, and K. Monro. 2025. Predicting adaptation and

evolution of plasticity from temporal environmental change. Methods in Ecology and

Evolution 16:84–96.

Gambardella, C., F. Marcellini, C. Falugi, S. Varrella, and C. Corinaldesi. 2021. Early-stage

anomalies in the sea urchin (*Paracentrotus lividus*) as bioindicators of multiple stressors

in the marine environment: Overview and future perspectives. Environmental Pollution

287:117608.

Gibson, G., and I. Dworkin. 2004. Uncovering cryptic genetic variation. Nat Rev Genet 5:681–

690. Nature Publishing Group.

Gillberg, J., P. Marttinen, H. Mamitsuka, and S. Kaski. 2019. Modelling G×E with historical

weather information improves genomic prediction in new environments. Bioinformatics

35:4045–4052.

Goldstein, I., and I. M. Ehrenreich. 2021. Genetic Variation in Phenotypic Plasticity. P. *in*

Phenotypic Plasticity & Evolution. CRC Press.

Grishkevich, V., and I. Yanai. 2013. The genomic determinants of genotype × environment

interactions in gene expression. Trends in Genetics 29:479–487. Elsevier.

Gruber, N., C. Hauri, Z. Lachkar, D. Loher, T. L. Frölicher, and G.-K. Plattner. 2012. Rapid

Progression of Ocean Acidification in the California Current System. Science 337:220–

223. American Association for the Advancement of Science.

He, F., K. A. Steige, V. Kovacova, U. Göbel, M. Bouzid, P. D. Keightley, A. Beyer, and J. de

Meaux. 2021. Cis-regulatory evolution spotlights species differences in the adaptive

potential of gene expression plasticity. Nat Commun 12:3376. Nature Publishing Group.

Heckwolf, M. J., B. S. Meyer, T. Döring, C. Eizaguirre, and T. B. H. Reusch. 2018.

Transgenerational plasticity and selection shape the adaptive potential of sticklebacks to

salinity change. Evolutionary Applications 11:1873–1885.

Herman, J. J., and S. E. Sultan. 2011. Adaptive transgenerational plasticity in plants: case studies,

mechanisms, and implications for natural populations. Front. Plant Sci. 2:102.

frontiersin.org.

Huang, W., M. A. Carbone, R. F. Lyman, R. R. H. Anholt, and T. F. C. Mackay. 2020. Genotype

by environment interaction for gene expression in Drosophila melanogaster. Nat

Commun 11:5451. Nature Publishing Group.

Innocenti, P., and S. F. Chenoweth. 2013. Interspecific Divergence of Transcription Networks

along Lines of Genetic Variance in Drosophila: Dimensionality, Evolvability, and

Constraint. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30:1358–1367.

Iordanov, M. S., D. Pribnow, J. L. Magun, T.-H. Dinh, J. A. Pearson, and B. E. Magun. 1998.

Ultraviolet Radiation Triggers the Ribotoxic Stress Response in Mammalian Cells *.

Journal of Biological Chemistry 273:15794–15803. Elsevier.

Kauffmann, A., R. Gentleman, and W. Huber. 2009. arrayQualityMetrics—a bioconductor

package for quality assessment of microarray data. Bioinformatics 25:415–416.

Kelly, M. W., J. L. Padilla-Gamiño, and G. E. Hofmann. 2013. Natural variation and the capacity

to adapt to ocean acidification in the keystone sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*.

Glob. Chang. Biol. 19:2536–2546. Wiley.

Kim, D., J. M. Paggi, C. Park, C. Bennett, and S. L. Salzberg. 2019. Graph-based genome

alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat Biotechnol 37:907–

915. Nature Publishing Group.

Kingsolver, J. G., and R. Gomulkiewicz. 2003. Environmental Variation and Selection on

Performance Curves1. Integrative and Comparative Biology 43:470–477.

Kirkpatrick, M., and R. Lande. 1989. THE EVOLUTION OF MATERNAL CHARACTERS.

Evolution 43:485–503.

Krist, M., and P. Munclinger. 2015. Context dependence of maternal effects: testing assumptions

of optimal egg size, differential, and sex allocation models. Ecology 96:2726–2736.

Lande, R. 1980. THE GENETIC COVARIANCE BETWEEN CHARACTERS MAINTAINED

BY PLEIOTROPIC MUTATIONS. Genetics 94:203–215.

Lande, R., and S. J. Arnold. 1983. The measurement of selection on correlated characters.

882   Evolution 37:1210–1226. [Society for the Study of Evolution, Wiley].

883   Li, X., T. Guo, Q. Mu, X. Li, and J. Yu. 2018. Genomic and environmental determinants and

884   their interplay underlying phenotypic plasticity. Proceedings of the National Academy of

885   Sciences 115:6679–6684. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

886   Liu, N., Y. Du, M. L. Warburton, Y. Xiao, and J. Yan. 2021. Phenotypic Plasticity Contributes to

887   Maize Adaptation and Heterosis. Molecular Biology and Evolution 38:1262–1275.

888   Lucass, C., M. Stöwe, M. Eens, and W. Müller. 2016. Favored parent–offspring trait

889   combinations? On the interplay of parental and offspring traits. Behavioral Ecology

890   27:134–140.

891   Lynn, R., and J. Simpson. 1987. The California Current System - the Seasonal Variability of Its

892   Physical Characteristics. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans 92:12947-. Amer Geophysical Union,

893   Washington.

894   MacInnes, A. W. 2016. The role of the ribosome in the regulation of longevity and lifespan

895   extension. WIREs RNA 7:198–212.

896   Makowski, D., M. S. Ben-Shachar, S. H. A. Chen, and D. Lüdecke. 2019. Indices of Effect

897   Existence and Significance in the Bayesian Framework. Frontiers in Psychology 10.

898   Martin, R. A., C. R. B. da Silva, M. P. Moore, and S. E. Diamond. 2023. When will a changing

899   climate outpace adaptive evolution? WIREs Climate Change 14:e852.

900   McCairns, R. J. S., S. Smith, M. Sasaki, L. Bernatchez, and L. B. Beheregaray. 2016. The

901   adaptive potential of subtropical rainbowfish in the face of climate change: heritability

902   and heritable plasticity for the expression of candidate genes. Evolutionary Applications

903   9:531–545.

Nguyen Ba, A. N., K. R. Lawrence, A. Rego-Costa, S. Gopalakrishnan, D. Temko, F. Michor,

and M. M. Desai. 2022. Barcoded bulk QTL mapping reveals highly polygenic and

epistatic architecture of complex traits in yeast. eLife 11:e73983. eLife Sciences

Publications, Ltd.

Oostra, V., M. Saastamoinen, B. J. Zwaan, and C. W. Wheat. 2018. Strong phenotypic plasticity

limits potential for evolutionary responses to climate change. Nat Commun 9:1005.

Nature Publishing Group.

Ordas, B., R. A. Malvar, and W. G. Hill. 2008. Genetic variation and quantitative trait loci

associated with developmental stability and the environmental correlation between traits

in maize. Genetics Research 90:385–395. Cambridge University Press.

Orton, T. J. 2020. Chapter 3 - Review of Genetics (From The Perspective of A Plant Breeder).

Pp. 39–71 *in* T. J. Orton, ed. Horticultural Plant Breeding. Academic Press.

Palumbi, S. R., and A. C. Wilson. 1990. Mitochondrial Dna Diversity in the Sea Urchins

Strongylocentrotus Purpuratus and S. Droebachiensis. Evolution 44:403–415.

Paradis, E., J. Claude, and K. Strimmer. 2004. APE: Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in

R language. Bioinformatics 20:289–290.

Paradis, E., and K. Schliep. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and

evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35:526–528.

Pearse, J. S. 2006. Ecological role of purple sea urchins. Science 314:940–941. American

Association for the Advancement of Science.

Pespeni, M. H., F. Chan, B. A. Menge, and S. R. Palumbi. 2013. Signs of adaptation to local pH

conditions across an environmental mosaic in the California Current Ecosystem. Integr.

Comp. Biol. 53:857–870. Oxford Academic.

Pespeni, M. H., and S. R. Palumbi. 2013. Signals of selection in outlier loci in a widely

dispersing species across an environmental mosaic. Mol. Ecol. 22:3580–3597.

Quilfen, Y., J. Shutler, J.-F. Piolle, and E. Autret. 2021. Recent trends in the wind-driven

California current upwelling system. Remote Sensing of Environment 261:112486.

Riederer, J. M., S. Tiso, T. J. B. van Eldijk, and F. J. Weissing. 2022. Capturing the facets of

evolvability in a mechanistic framework. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 37:430–439.

Elsevier.

Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26:139–

140.

Roff, D. A., and D. J. Fairbairn. 2007. The evolution of trade-offs: where are we? Journal of

Evolutionary Biology 20:433–447.

Rutherford, S. L., and S. Lindquist. 1998. Hsp90 as a capacitor for morphological evolution.

Schreck, C. B., W. Contreras-Sanchez, and M. S. Fitzpatrick. 2001. Effects of stress on fish

reproduction, gamete quality, and progeny. Aquaculture 197:3–24.

Sgrò, C. M., and A. A. Hoffmann. 2004. Genetic correlations, tradeoffs and environmental

variation. Heredity 93:241–248. Nature Publishing Group.

Snell-Rood, E. C., M. Burger, Q. Hutton, and A. P. Moczek. 2016. Effects of parental care on the

accumulation and release of cryptic genetic variation: review of mechanisms and a case

study of dung beetles. Evol Ecol 30:251–265.

Snyder, M. A., L. C. Sloan, N. S. Diffenbaugh, and J. L. Bell. 2003. Future climate change and

upwelling in the California Current. Geophysical Research Letters 30.

Sodergren, E., G. M. Weinstock, E. H. Davidson, et al., and R. Wright. 2006. The Genome of the

Sea Urchin Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. Science 314:941–952. American Association

for the Advancement of Science.

Strader, M. E., L. C. Kozal, T. S. Leach, J. M. Wong, J. D. Chamorro, M. J. Housh, and G. E.

Hofmann. 2020. Examining the role of DNA methylation in transcriptomic plasticity of

early stage sea urchins: developmental and maternal effects in a kelp forest herbivore.

Front. Mar. Sci. 7:205.

Strader, M. E., M. E. Wolak, O. M. Simon, and G. E. Hofmann. 2022. Genetic variation

underlies plastic responses to global change drivers in the purple sea urchin,

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. Proc Biol Sci 289:20221249.

Strader, M. E., J. M. Wong, L. C. Kozal, T. S. Leach, and G. E. Hofmann. 2019. Parental

environments alter DNA methylation in offspring of the purple sea urchin,

Strongylocentrotus purpuratus. J. Exp. Mar. Bio. Ecol. 517:54–64.

Tingley, D., T. Yamamoto, K. Hirose, L. Keele, and K. Imai. 2014. mediation: R package for

causal mediation analysis. UCLA Statistics/American Statistical Association. UCLA

Statistics/American Statistical Association.

Tung, J., X. Zhou, S. C. Alberts, M. Stephens, and Y. Gilad. 2015. The genetic architecture of

gene expression levels in wild baboons. eLife 4:e04729. eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd.

Velotta, J. P., C. E. Robertson, R. M. Schweizer, G. B. McClelland, and Z. A. Cheviron. 2020.

Adaptive Shifts in Gene Regulation Underlie a Developmental Delay in Thermogenesis

in High-Altitude Deer Mice. Molecular Biology and Evolution 37:2309–2321.

Vogl, C. 1996. Developmental Buffering and Selection. Evolution 50:1343–1346. Oxford

University Press.

Wong, J. M., K. M. Johnson, M. W. Kelly, and G. E. Hofmann. 2018. Transcriptomics reveal

transgenerational effects in purple sea urchin embryos: Adult acclimation to upwelling

conditions alters the response of their progeny to differential pCO2 levels. Mol. Ecol.

27:1120–1137. Wiley.

Wong, J. M., L. C. Kozal, T. S. Leach, U. Hoshijima, and G. E. Hofmann. 2019.

Transgenerational effects in an ecological context: conditioning of adult sea urchins to

upwelling conditions alters maternal provisioning and progeny phenotype. J. Exp. Mar.

Bio. Ecol. 517:65–77. Elsevier.

Wood, C. W., and E. D. Brodie III. 2015. Environmental effects on the structure of the G-matrix.

Evolution 69:2927–2940.

Wright, R. M., G. V. Aglyamova, E. Meyer, and M. V. Matz. 2015. Gene expression associated

with white syndromes in a reef building coral, Acropora hyacinthus. BMC Genom.

16:371.

Zaytsev, O., R. Cervantes-Duarte, O. Montante, and A. Gallegos-Garcia. 2003. Coastal

Upwelling Activity on the Pacific Shelf of the Baja California Peninsula. Journal of

Oceanography 59:489–502.

Ziyatdinov, A., M. Vázquez-Santiago, H. Brunel, A. Martinez-Perez, H. Aschard, and J. M.

Soria. 2018. lme4qtl: linear mixed models with flexible covariance structure for genetic

studies of related individuals. BMC Bioinformatics 19:68.

A

Non-upwelling, ambient

Upwelling, stressful

Repeated
5x

40 crosses

Ambient temp
Low pCO$_2$

Low temp
High pCO$_2$

n = 80 cultures

B

① Structural equation model

③ Selection gradient model

E

GE

P

F

② Animal model

V$_A$

GO enrichment:
DE h^2 x DE body size effect

Biological Process

- 54/163 ncRNA metabolic process
- **36/62 rRNA metabolic process**
- **104/276 RNA processing**
- **66/119 ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis**
- **110/303 cellular amide metabolic process**
- **90/236 amide biosynthetic process**
- 146/470 RNA biosynthetic process
- 14/43 regulation of cell death
- 86/317 phosphorylation
- 156/503 transmembrane transport
- 26/97 movement of cell or subcellular component

Molecular Function

- 52/133 channel
- 172/578 transporter
- 33/86 cation channel
- 92/314 signaling receptor
- 100/381 transferase, transferring phosphorus-containing groups
- 80/310 kinase
- 75/220 transcription regulator
- 17/77 nucleoside-triphosphatase regulator
- 10/40 guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor
- 27/86 helicase
- 15/35 RNA helicase
- **71/208 structural molecule**
- **58/135 structural constituent of ribosome**
- 17/43 oxidoreductase, acting on the CH-CH group of donors

Cellular Component

- **70/215 membrane-enclosed lumen**
- 19/93 nucleoplasm
- **53/158 nuclear lumen**
- **29/44 nucleolus**
- 18/42 large ribosomal subunit
- **60/136 ribosome**
- **34/72 ribosomal subunit**
- **19/37 cytosolic ribosome**
- 21/82 extracellular region
- 12/50 microtubule associated complex

p < 0.001
p < 0.01
p < 0.05