Original article

A Novel Genus of Virulent Phage Targeting _Acinetobacter baumannii_: Efficacy

and Safety in a Murine Model of Pulmonary Infection

JiaWang.Wang[a,b,c],Huan.Hu[b,c],QingQing.Wang[b,c],TianZhu.Zhu[b,c],XiaoHan.Ren[b,c],Wen

Qian.Jiang[b,c],XueMei.Hou[b,c, *],Jun.Lin[b,c, *],XiaoLing.Yu[a,b,c, *]

a) Department of Pharmacy, Mengchao Hepatobiliary Hospital of Fujian Medical

University, FuZhou 350025, China;

b) Institute of Applied Genomics, Fuzhou University, FuZhou 350108, China;

c) College of Biological Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University, FuZhou

350108, China;

* Corresponding author

Xiaoling.Yu: Department of Pharmacy, Mengchao Hepatobiliary Hospital of Fujian

Medical University, Fuzhou, China; 350025;E-mail:xiaolingyu82@163.com;Tel: +86

591 88116019;

Jun.Lin: Institute of Applied Genomics, College of Biological Science and

Engineering,Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, China;350108; E-mail: jun@fzu.edu.cn;Tel:

+86 591 22863805;

XueMei.Hou: Institute of Applied Genomics, College of Biological Science and

Engineering,Fuzhou   University,   Fuzhou,   China;350108;   E-mail:

houxuemei_1990@163.com;Tel: +86 591 22863805;

Abstract

Acinetobacter baumannii (*A.baumannii*) is a notable opportunistic pathogen responsible for severe hospital-acquired infections, with multidrug-resistant strains posing significant treatment challenges. Phage therapy, which employs bacteriophages as natural bacterial antagonists, has gained renewed attention as a promising solution to combat antibiotic-resistant infections. In this study, we isolated and characterized a novel virulent phage, vB_AbaS_qsb1, which specifically lyses *A.baumannii*. Phylogenetic and genomic analyses indicate that vB_AbaS_qsb1 is the founding member of a previously unreported genus, which we have named as *Acinibactriovirus*, with *Acinibactriovirus lysinus* as the type species. vB_AbaS_qsb1 demonstrated robust stability across diverse temperature and pH ranges, a short latent period, and no known virulence or antibiotic resistance genes within its 54,713 bp dsDNA genome. Safety assessments showed that high-dose vB_AbaS_qsb1 induced no adverse effects in mice, with histopathology confirming its safety profile. Therapeutic experiments further indicated that vB_AbaS_qsb1 provided at least 50% protection against *A.baumannii*-induced pneumonia, significantly reducing bacterial loads and inflammation markers, while maintaining high phage titers in lung tissue.This study introduces vB_AbaS_qsb1 as a promising candidate for phage therapy against *A.baumannii*, offering both innovative insights and a valuable framework for future isolation, genomic characterization, and efficacy evaluation of phages targeting antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Graphical abstract

Key words

Introduction

A.baumannii is a Gram-negative, non-motile opportunistic pathogen commonly found in aquatic and soil environments, and a leading cause of nosocomial infections. It is associated with high mortality rates, particularly in ICU settings where infections such as pneumonia and sepsis can result in mortality rates as high as 54%[1, 2]. This pathogen is a major contributor to lung infections, sepsis, meningitis, urinary tract infections, and wound infections[3].

In clinical practice, antibiotics are commonly used to treat *A.baumannii* infections, but the misuse of these drugs has led to the rise of multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains, including carbapenem-resistant *A.baumannii* [4]. While combination antibiotic therapy is employed to combat resistance[5], its effectiveness can be limited by antagonistic interactions and may even promote broad-spectrum resistance[6]. The high mortality rate of *A.baumannii* infections stems from its inherent resistance and ability to acquire resistance genes[7, 8]. In response, the WHO classified carbapenem-resistant *A.baumannii* as a critical priority for antibiotic development in 2017, reaffirming its importance in 2024[9, 10].

Given the escalating challenge of antibiotic resistance, the need for novel antimicrobial treatments is pressing. Phage therapy, an ancient yet increasingly promising approach, has garnered renewed interest. Bacteriophages are viruses that specifically infect bacteria, and based on their lifecycle, they can be categorized as either virulent or temperate[11]. Due to their ability to specifically lyse bacterial pathogens without harming eukaryotic cells, virulent phages have emerged as

potential therapeutic agents for treating bacterial infections[12]. In vitro studies have

demonstrated the potent antibacterial activity of phages, showing their ability to

effectively eliminate bacteria and disrupt biofilms[13] , Jeremy J. Barr *et al.*[14, 15]

demonstrated that both single phage therapy and phage-antibiotic combination

therapy can effectively alleviate infection symptoms in mice. Furthermore, *in vivo*

studies of animals have confirmed the safety and efficacy of phage therapy in treating

bacterial infections[16, 17]. Numerous compassionate-use cases have highlighted the

clinical success of phage therapy in treating various infections, including lung

infections[18, 19], pancreatic infections[20], osteomyelitis[21], and enteritis[22].In

this study, we isolated and systematically characterized a novel virulent phage,

vB_AbaS_qsb1, targeting *A.baumannii* from environmental sewage, with its

biological properties and genomic features comprehensively detailed. Remarkably,

phylogenetic analysis revealed that vB_AbaS_qsb1 belongs to a previously

unreported genus, which we have named as *Acinibactriovirus*, with vB_AbaS_qsb1

serving as the type species (*Acinibactriovirus lysinus*). This classification underscores

the novelty of our work, as it introduces a new genus of phages with significant

potential for therapeutic applications against multidrug-resistant *A.baumannii*.The

phage has been preserved in the Guangdong Microbial Culture Collection Center

(GDMCC, accession number GMDCC 64831-B1). Additionally, we developed a

mouse model of *A.baumannii*-induced pneumonia to evaluate both the safety and

antibacterial efficacy of vB_AbaS_qsb1 in vivo. This model is essential for assessing

the phage's ability to reduce bacterial loads, enhance survival rates, and confirm its

safety profile, providing a robust foundation for potential clinical applications in

combating resistant *A.baumannii* infections.

Result

Purification and morphology of a novo-bacteriophage

vB_AbaS_qsb1

A potent *A.baumannii* phage, vB_AbaS_qsb1, was successfully isolated from local

lake(QiShan lake, FuZhou city, FuJian provience, China) water sample, utilizing

A.baumannii strain *ioag01* as the host. Following preprepared double-layer agar plates

seeded with qsb1 and *ioag01*, and subsequent incubation at 37□ overnight, distinct

plaques with an average diameter of approximately 4.0±1.0 mm were observed,

accompanied by a depolymerase halos with an approximate measurement of 7.0±1.0

mm (Figure 1A).Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis (Figure 1B)

revealed that the phage's head dimensiona were approximately 70×80 nm, with a tail

extending about 90 nm in length and a tail diameter of 20 nm. In accordance with the

International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) classification and

nomenclature criteria, the phage was designated as vB_AbaS_qsb1. The phage has

been archived in the Guangdong Microbial Culture Collection Center (GDMCC) with

the accession number GMDCC 64831-B1.

The study of the biological characteristics of qsb1 showed that the optimal phage

replication efficiency was achieved at an multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1

(Figure 1C). At this MOI, phage proliferation exhibited a two-log increase within 6

hours under these conditions. The one-step growth curve of qsb1 revealed a short

latent period (about 20 minutes), followed by an exponential phase(about 100

minutes), with a burst size of approximately 23 plaque-forming units (PFU) /cell

(Figure 1D).Thermal stability analysis demonstrated that qsb1 remains stable between

10 ☐ and 60 ☐, though the phage lost all activity at 80 ☐ and 100 ☐ (Figure 1E). pH

stability testing indicated qsb1 stability across a pH range of 3 to 11, with significant

reductions in activity observed at pH 1 and complete inactivation at pH 13 (Figure 1F).

Overall, qsb1 shows robust stability under normal physiological conditions, with

activity only compromised under extreme heat or pH levels.

Figure 1: Biological Characteristics of Bacteriophage vB_AbaS_qsb1

(A) Plaque morphology of vB_AbaS_qsb1 on double-layer agar plates. When vB_AbaS_qsb1 and

ioag01 were co-cultured in soft agar overnight at 37☐, clear transparent plaques and distinct

depolymerase halos were observed.

(B) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image of vB_AbaS_qsb1 morphology, negatively

stained with 4% phosphotungstic acid, The scale bar corresponds to 100 nm.

(C) MOI of vB_AbaS_qsb1, showing phage titer following infection of its host at various MOIs.

(D) One-step growth curve of vB_AbaS_qsb1.

(E) Temperature stability of vB_AbaS_qsb1, represented by titer variations at different

temperatures across varying time periods.

(F) pH stability of vB_AbaS_qsb1. All samples were analyzed in triplicate, and the results are

expressed as mean values ±SD.

Genome characteristics of the phage vB_AbaS_qsb1

Genome features of phage vB_AbaS_qsb1

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) estimated the genome size of vB_AbaS_qsb1

to be approximately 50 kbp (Supplementary Figure S1). Next-generation sequencing

(NGS) revealed that the qsb1 genome comprises 54,713 base pairs (Figure 2) of

double-stranded DNA (verified using RNAse A, DNAse I and S1 nuclease;

Supplementary Figure S2 and S3), with a GC content of 39%. A total of 95 coding

DNA sequences (CDSs) were identified, numbered from CDS_1 to CDS_95

(Supplementary Table S1). Among these, 42 CDSs were predicted to encode proteins

with known functions, while the remaining 51 were classified as hypothetical proteins

with uncharacterized roles. The identified proteins are involved in key biological

processes such as structural assembly, DNA replication and repair, gene regulation,

host recognition, and infection mechanisms. Notably, an integrase gene (CDS_1),

typically associated with lysogeny, was identified, but experimental data and lifestyle

prediction analyses confirmed that qsb1 functions as a virulent phage in both in vivo

and in vitro studies. Additionally, the genome encodes a lysozyme (CDS_6), likely

enhancing the phage's ability to degrade extracellular polysaccharides, aiding in

bacterial lysis and biofilm disruption. Comprehensive scans using CRAD and

Phagescope did not detect any virulence or antibiotic resistance genes, indicating that

qsb1 is a promising and safe candidate for phage therapy. (The NGS raw sequencing

data have been deposited in the SRA databases, SRA data accession: PRJNA1170883)

Figure 2: Whole-Genome Circular Map of Bacteriophage vB_AbaS_qsb1. The black ring

represents the GC content, while the orange and purple rings display the CG skew for the positive

and negative strands, respectively. Green rings denote predicted open reading frames (ORFs), and

blue rings correspond to coding sequences (CDSs) annotated with predicted protein functions. Red

labels indicate tRNAs, and grey highlights identifiable viral sequences. Pseudogenes or proteins of

unknown function are excluded from the map.

Phylogenetic Analysis

To elucidate the phylogenetic background of bacteriophage vB_AbaS_qsb1, we

performed a BLAST search against all viral genome databases in the NCBI repository,

identifying a total of 88 sequences. Among the BLAST results, phages from the

genera *Vieuvirus*, *Obolenskvirus*, and unclassified members of the family

Caudoviricetes were detected.

We compared all previously reported complete *Acinetobacter* phage genome

sequences (74 in total) and noted that the remaining 14 phages with partial genomes

displayed coverage rates ranging from 0% to 49% (Supplementary Table S2). This

analysis revealed that vB_AbaS_qsb1 is closely related to *Acinetobacter* phage

vB_AbaS_TRS1 and MAG_*Caudoviricetessp* _Isolate_224 (Figure 3A), with both

clustering in the same cluster, and indicating genus-level consistency. However, the

results of colinearity comparison showed that the genomes of vB_AbaS_qsb1,

vB_AbaS_TRS1 and Isolate_224 were extremely different (Figure 3B), and the

coverage of vB_AbaS_qsb1 on vB_AbaS_TRS1 and Isolate_224 was extremely low

(only 20% and 2%, respectively). Furthermore, NCBI records indicate that neither

VB_AbaS_TRS1 nor Isolate_224 has been formally classified within a recognized

genus. Therefore, we suggested that vB_AbaS_qsb1 is also a phage independent of

any known genus.

Further phylogenetic comparisons were made between qsb1 and representative

members of the genera Vieuvirus and Obolenskvirus in both AAI and GBDP analyses.

The AAI values for Vieuvirus phages ranged from 58.65% to 73.07%, while those for

Obolenskvirus phages ranged from 32.15% to 62.75% (Supplementary Tables S3 and

S4). According to ICTV standards, AAI values between 75% and 95% indicate

distinct species within the same genus. Thus, the observed AAI and GBDP results

support the classification of qsb1 as a distinct species within a novel phage genus.

Similarly, average nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis showed that the OrthoANIu

values between qsb1 and representative phages YMC11_11_R3177 and AP22 were

only 73.50% and 73.40%, respectively, further supporting its distinction from known

species and genera (Supplementary Table S5).

The combined AAI, ANI, GBDP, and synteny analyses strongly suggest that qsb1

represents a novel genus, named *Acinibactriovirus*, and a novel species,

Acinibactriovirus lysinus, within the *Acinetobacter* phage lineage. These results

underscore the unique genomic characteristics of qsb1 and open avenues for further

taxonomic and functional exploration.

Figure 3: Heat map of complete genome analysis and Collinearity Analysis

(A) Heat map of AAI (Average Amino Acid Identity) values and Genome BLAST Distance

Phylogeny (GBDP) analysis for 74 complete *Acinetobacter* phage genomes. The AAI values range

from 0% (blue) to 100% (red), depicting the degree of genetic similarity among phages. The

evolutionary tree on the left and top represents GBDP analysis, showing the phylogenetic

relationships among 74 phages. Symbols and colors at the bottom correspond to taxonomic

differences at the family, genus, or species level. Vertical axis labels represent the family, genus, or

species, with matching shapes and colors indicating consistency at specific taxonomic levels.

(**B**) Collinearity comparison of the vB_AbaS_qsb1 genome with vB_AbaS_TRS1 and Isolate_224.

Arrows indicate the location and orientation of CDSs in each genome (right-pointing arrows for

the positive strand, left-pointing arrows for the negative strand). The lines between genomes

illustrate sequence similarities (as represented in the bottom left corner) , and CDSs are

color-coded by functional categories, as shown in the legend in the bottom right corner.

Phage therapy for acute pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii* in mice

Establishment of the model of pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii* in mice

A BALB/C mouse model of acute pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii* was

established by tracheal instillation of bacteria directly into the trachea.

Over the course of a 7-day observation period, all mice in the $3\times10\square$ Colony-forming

units (CFU)/mouse group died within 36 hours, and those in the $3\times10\square$ CFU/mouse

group had a mortality rate of 83.3%. In contrast, only one mouse in the $3\times10\square$

CFU/mouse group succumbed (a mortality rate of 16.7%), and no mortality was

observed in either the $3\times10\square$ CFU/mouse group or the control group (Figure 4A).

Based on the median lethal dose (LD$\square\square$) criteria and to ensure the study's

comparability, a bacterial dose of $3\times10\square$ CFU/mouse was selected for subsequent

experiments to construct a reliable model of pulmonary infection in mice.

The Safety of phage therapy

To assess whether *A.baumannii* phage qsb1 induces severe immune responses or

adverse clinical symptoms in mice, phage preparation was instilled into the lungs.

Throughout the 7-day observation period, none of the mice in the phage-treated group

exhibited any negative clinical symptoms, and no deaths occurred (Figure 4A). Whole

blood samples of mice were collected for complete blood count（CBC）. The results

indicated no significant differences in the levels of white blood cells and neutrophils

between the phage-treated group and the control group (Figure 4B). Analysis of

relative inflammatory cytokine levels (TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β) in mouse lung tissue

(Figure 4C) indicated that phage treatment did not trigger a severe immune response

in mice. Furthermore, hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining analysis (Figure 4D) revealed

no significant infiltration of inflammatory cells in the lung tissue, no apparent

congestion, and the alveolar structure remained intact. Summary, no severe immune

responses or lung tissue damage were observed in mice treated with phage qsb1,

confirming its safety.

Figure 4: Mouse model of *A.baumannii* lung infection and phage safety evaluation. The

control group received saline treatment only, while the phage-treated group received 10 PFU of

qsb1. Other groups were administered varying doses of *A.baumannii* culture.

(A) Survival curve of mice during the 7-day observation period (n=6 for the Control, 3×10^9

CFU/mouse, 3×10^8 CFU/mouse, 3×10^7 CFU/mouse and 3×10^6 CFU/mouse, n=5 for the Phage

treated group).

(B) Leukocyte and neutrophil counts in whole blood of the control and phage treated groups

(n=5). The results indicate no significant differences in leukocyte and neutrophil levels between

the phage-treated and control groups.

(C) Relative levels of inflammatory cytokines (IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α) in mouse lung tissues

(n=5). The data demonstrate no significant differences in the levels of IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α

between the phage-treated and control groups.

(D) Pathological examination of mouse lung tissue. Scale bar=100μm. Statistical analysis of

survival curves was performed using the log-rank test, while other data were analyzed using

two-way ANOVA. ***$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$.

Phage treatment of mice with pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii*

To investigate the efficacy of different phage doses on the treatment of pneumonia-infected mice, phage therapy was administered 1 hour post-infection. In the Mock-treated group, a substantial number of mice (4/5) succumbed within 48 hours, resulting in a final survival rate of 20%. Similarly, in both the Medium-dose and Low-dose phage treated groups, most mice died (4/5) within 96 hours, with a survival rate of 20% by day 7. The survival rates in these groups were significantly lower than those observed in the control group. Remarkably, in the High-dose phage treated group, only one mouse died(1/5) following phage treatment, and the survival rate reached 80%, which was not significantly different from that of the control group (Figure 5A).

After the 7-day observation period, the mice were sacrificed, and blood samples were collected for CBC. The results showed no significant differences in leukocyte and neutrophil counts between the high-dose phage-treated group and the control group (Figure 5B). Histopathological examination of lung tissues with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining revealed substantial damage to the alveolar structure in the Mock, Medium-dose, and Low-dose treated groups, including a marked reduction in normal alveoli, inflammatory exudates in the alveolar spaces, thickened and ruptured alveolar septa, and significant congestion and necrosis of lung tissue. In contrast, the high-dose treated group displayed well-preserved lung architecture, with intact alveoli and no evident pathological changes, closely resembling the control group's lung morphology (Figure 5C). In summary, high-dose phage therapy significantly enhances the survival rate of infected mice and effectively prevents the development of lung lesions.

Figure 5: Phage therapy in lung-infected mice via tracheal instillation. The control group

received only saline without infection, while the Mock-treated group was administered $3\times10^{□}$

CFU of *A.baumannii ioag01* without any treatment. Mice in the high, medium, and low-dose

phage treatment groups were administered $3\times10^{□}$, $3\times10^{□}$, and $3\times10^{□}$ PFU of qsb1, respectively,

following infection.

(A) Survival curve of mice over 7 days following treatment with different doses of phage (n=5).

(B) White blood cell and neutrophil counts in mice 7 days post high-dose phage treatment (n=4).

(C) Histopathological examination of lung tissue after phage treatment; scale bar = 100 μm.

Survival curves were analyzed using the log-rank test, and other data were analyzed using

two-way ANOVA. ***$p < 0.001$, **$p < 0.01$, *$p < 0.05$.

Dynamic changes in infection status of mice during phage treatment

As previously established, a phage dose of $3\times10^{□}$ PFU/mouse demonstrated

substantial efficacy against lung infection. To further investigate the dynamic

progression of the disease during treatment, phages were administered 1 hour

post-infection, and 6 mice from each experimental group were euthanized at various

time points after infection (12 h, 24 h, 48 h, and 168 h) to collect blood and lung

tissue.

Over the 7-day observation period, all mice in the mock-treated group succumbed

within 60 hours (Figure 6A). However, 50% of the mice in the phage-treated group

survived by the end of the observation period (168 hours). These findings align with

our previous studies, demonstrating that phage qsb1 therapy can significantly improve

survival rates in mice suffering from acute pneumonia infected by *A.baumannii*. CBC

results (Figure 6B) indicated a continuous rise in white blood cell counts over the first

48 hours, suggesting the progression of inflammation. besides, the TNF-α levels in the

phage-treated group were significantly lower than those in the model control group

(Figure 6E). These findings indicate that phage treatment effectively mitigated the

inflammatory response in the lungs.

The results of lung homogenate colony counts showed that, The bacterial colonization

in the lungs of mice in the mock treatment group remained at a high level($10^□$ to

$10^{1□}$ CFU/g) (Figure 6C). In contrast, bacterial colonization in the phage-treated

group was significantly lower at all time points. By the 48-hour mark, bacterial

colonization in the phage-treated group had decreased to just 10^6 CFU/g.

Additionally, the phage titer in the lungs of the Phage-treated group was monitored

during infection (Figure 6D). At 12 hours after infection, the phage titer was $10^□$

PFU/g. Over time, the phage titer steadily increased, corresponding with bacterial

colonization, as the phages continued to infect and alleviate infection symptoms. By

168 hours, the phage titer had reached $10^{1□}$ PFU/g, indicating continuous phage

activity in combating infection and without being cleared by the immune system.

Finally, histopathological examination of lung tissue (Figure 6F) revealed that , as the

infection progressed, severe lung damage became apparent, including congestion,

necrosis, inflammatory cytokine infiltration, and extensive destruction of the alveolar

structure. In the Phage-treated group, only minor alveolar edema and limited

inflammatory infiltration were observed at all time points, with alveolar structures

remaining largely intact, and no signs of congestion, necrosis, or other severe

pathological changes.

Figure 5: High-dose phage treatment in mice with *A.baumannii* lung infection.

Saline (red circles) and qsb1 phage (green squares) were administered 1 hour post-infection with

A.baumannii. The 1st, 2nd, and 3rd observations correspond to 12h, 24h, and 48h, respectively. The

4th observation is at 60h for the Mock treated group (all mice died by 60h) and 128h for the Phage

treated group.

(A) Seven-day survival curve for mice after high-dose phage treatment (n=6): Mock-treated group

(red) and Phage-treated group (green). The survival rate (50%) in the phage-treated group was

significantly higher than that in the Mock-treated group at the end of treatment.

(B) Changes in blood cell counts (WBC and CRP) over the course of treatment (n=6).

(C) Bacterial CFU) in the lungs of mice; each symbol represents an individual mouse, and lines

represent the mean. Data represent pooled results from independent experiments, with n = 6 mice

per group per time point, normalized to lung weight. The CFU in the lungs of the phage-treated

group showed significant or highly significant reductions at all time points compared with the

Mock-treated group.

(D) PFU in the lungs of phage-treated mice. Each symbol represents a logarithmic value, and lines

represent the mean. Results represent pooled data from independent experiments, with n = 6 mice

per time point, normalized to lung weight.Significant differences in PFU in the lungs were

observed at the end of mouse treatment (168 h) and at other time points (12 h, 24 h, and 48 h).

(E) TNF-α levels in mouse lungs; n = 6 mice per group per time point, with two replicates per

mouse. Lines represent the mean. Significant differences in TNF-α levels were observed between

the Phage-treated and Mock-treated groups at all time points.

(F) Histopathological analysis of lung tissue; scale bar = 100 μm. Survival curves were analyzed

using the log-rank test, while other data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA. ***$p < 0.001$, **p

< 0.01, *$p < 0.05$.

Discussion

The global rise of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses a serious public health

threat[23, 24]. Phage therapy, recognized for its antibacterial properties[11], offers

distinct advantages over conventional treatments, including targeted activity, safety,

and without disturbing the commensal microbiota[25]. Recent high-profile clinical

cases and ongoing trials in countries like the U.S., France, and Sweden underscore its

potential in combating multidrug-resistant (MDR) pathogens[26].

In this study, we isolated and characterized the bacteriophage vB_AbaS_qsb1, a

virulent phage with potent lytic activity against *A.baumannii*. vB_AbaS_qsb1

demonstrated remarkable stability across a broad temperature (10-60□) and pH range

(pH 3-11), indicating its potential for use under diverse physiological conditions. The

phage's short latent period allows rapid bacterial lysis, despite its moderate burst size.

These findings will support vB_AbaS_qsb1 as a promising candidate for therapeutic

infection of *A.baumannii*.

Genomic analysis of vB_AbaS_qsb1 classified it within the Caudoviricetes family,

supporting its designation as the founding member of a novel genus, which we

propose to name *Acinibactriovirus*, with *Acinibactriovirus lysinus* as the type species.

Although vB_AbaS_qsb1 harbors an integrase gene (CDS_1) often associated with

lysogeny, both experimental data and lifestyle prediction analyses confirmed that it

exhibits characteristics of a virulent phage. This integrase gene offers an interesting

avenue for further study in phage-host interactions and gene-editing applications.

The genome of vB_AbaS_qsb1 also encodes a lysozyme (CDS_6), likely enhancing

its capability to degrade extracellular polysaccharides, positioning this phage as a

potential anti-biofilm and anti-infective tool[27]-[28]. Intriguingly, qsb1 produced a

prominent depolymerase halo during bacterial lysis, though no specific depolymerase

gene was detected, suggesting that its depolymerase function may arise from "viral

dark matter"—genes that remain uncharacterized.

Our findings thus establish vB_AbaS_qsb1 as the prototype of the newly proposed

Acinibactriovirus genus, highlighting its distinct genomic features and promising

therapeutic potential.

Safety is a critical factor in the clinical use of phage therapy[27]. In this study,

intratracheal administration of 10□ PFU vB_AbaS_qsb1 to mice did not induce

adverse clinical symptoms, with stable inflammatory markers and no significant lung

tissue damage. These results confirm the safety of vB_AbaS_qsb1, essential for its

clinical advancement. Moreover, further in vivo experiments demonstrated its

therapeutic efficacy, phage significantly reducing bacterial loads of lung and

improving survival rates in mice with *A.baumannii* lung infections. The phages

persisted in the lungs for up to a week post-treatment without immune system

clearance, continuously reducing bacterial load while proliferating. This, combined

with their host specificity and minimal impact on beneficial microbiota, highlights

their potential as an effective alternative against multidrug-resistant bacterial

infections.

While our results are promising, several limitations remain. The efficacy of

vB_AbaS_qsb1 in chronic infections hosts warrants further study. Additionally, the

survival rate of treated mice, although improved, remained at 50%. This may be

attributed to mechanical damage during phage administration or the empirical nature

of current phage therapy protocols[29, 30]. Therefore, future studies can further

evaluate the impact of different administration routes of phage on the survival rate of

mice while ensuring safety. Finally, in addition to the route of administration, it is

worth further study on whether to use a single phage or a phage cocktail, phage dosage and endotoxin levels in phage preparations, frequency and time of administration, and phage neutralizing antibodies.

In conclusion, we successfully isolated and characterized a novel *A.baumannii* virulent phage, vB_AbaS_qsb1. This phage exhibited potent lytic activity both in vitro and in vivo, demonstrating its ability to effectively eliminate *A.baumannii*, highlighting its potential as a promising therapeutic agent. Additionally, this phage exhibited a favorable safety profile in animal models, with treatment using qsb1 rescuing over 50% of mice with acute *A.baumannii* pneumonia. Notably, qsb1 maintained high phage titers in the lungs 7 days post-administration, which may also indicate that qsb1 has certain potential in the preventive treatment of *A.baumannii* infection. As antibiotic resistance continues to rise, phage therapy holds great promise as an alternative or adjunct to traditional antimicrobial treatments.

Material and method

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

A.baumannii ioag01 was obtained from our laboratory in a previous study[31]. In this study, *ioag01* was used as a host strain to isolate phages. The frozen bacteria were first streaked on Luria-Bertani Broth for 12 h (37 □), and then single colonies were picked and enriched in liquid culture medium in a constant temperature shaking incubator at 220 rpm (37 □, 12 h). The bacterial culture was stored at -80 □ for long-term with 10% glycerol as a cryoprotectant.

Phage isolation and purification

Water samples were collected from the lake in Qishan Lake Park, Fuzhou City, Fujian

Province, China. The samples were centrifuged and filtered through a 0.22 μm PES

membrane filter. The filtrate was collected in a sterile centrifuge tube. For the

enrichment of bacteriophages, the bacterial culture (*A.baumannii ioag01*) was first

centrifuged and the supernatant was removed. The bacterial precipitate was

resuspended in the 2× LB liquid medium and an equal volume of filtrate was added to

it. The mixture was co-cultured at 220 rpm (37 □, 5~7 d). The mixture solution was

then centrifuged at 20,000 g (4 □, 10 min). The supernatant was collected and the

presence of phages was detected by the drop method[32].For positive samples

detected by the drop method, the phage suspension was first serially diluted using SM

buffer (1.972 g/L MgSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 5.85 g/L NaCl, 50 mL/L 1M Tris-HCl（pH 7.5）, 5.0

mL/L 2% Gelatin). Subsequently, 500 μL of the phage dilution was mixed with 500

μL of bacterial culture and incubated at 37 □ for 10 minutes. The 1 mL mixture was

then added to 0.6% semi-solid medium and layered onto the surface of a 1.5% solid

medium to form double-layer plates, which were incubated overnight at 37 □.

Individual plaques were picked into SM buffer on the second day. To purify the

isolated phage, an individual plaque was picked and passaged at 3~5 times by the

double-layer agar (DLA) method. Single plaques were collected to complete the

purification and determine the phage titer.

Phage amplification, concentration, and storage

A 500 μL aliquot of the purified phage lysate was mixed with 500 μL of bacterial

culture and incubated overnight at 37 □. The mixture was then centrifuged at 20,000

g (4 □, 10 min). The phage-containing supernatant was collected and added to 5 mL

of bacterial culture. This mixture was incubated at 220 rpm (37 □) until the culture

became clear. Subsequently, the culture was centrifuged again at 20,000 g (4 □, 10

min). The supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μm PES membrane to obtain the

phage suspension. The phage suspension was then mixed with a 4× virus

concentration solution (400 g/L PEG-8000, 70 g/L NaCl, 100 mL/L 10× PBS buffer,

pH 6.8) at a 3:1 (v/v) ratio and allowed to stand at 4 □ overnight. Following this, the

mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 g (4 □, 15 min), and the supernatant was discarded.

The phage pellet was resuspended in SM buffer. Finally, the phage particles were

stored at -80 □ using 10 mg/mL PEG-4000 as a cryoprotectant for long-term

preservation.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

A 20 μL aliquot of the concentrated phage particle suspension was applied to the

surface of a carbon-coated copper grid and allowed to stand for 10 minutes. Excess

liquid was then removed using filter paper. The grid was subsequently stained with 20

μL of 2% phosphotungstic acid for 90 seconds to achieve negative staining. After

removing the excess stain with filter paper, the grid was left to dry overnight. Imaging

was performed using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, HT7800, HITACHI)

operating at an accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

Multiplicity of infection (MOI) of phages

The concentration of the host bacterial culture was determined by turbidimetry, and

the bacterial concentration was adjusted to 10^8 CFU/mL. The titer of phage qsb1 was

then determined using the DLA method. Phage and host bacterial cultures were mixed

in equal volumes at MOI of 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100, and incubated with shaking at

220 rpm (37 □, 6 h). Following incubation, the cultures were centrifuged, and the

phage titer was measured. Three independent experiments were performed.

One-Step growth curve of phages

The phage and host bacterial culture were mixed at the optimal multiplicity of

infection (MOI=0.1) determined previously, and incubated at 37 □ for 10 minutes.

The supernatant was then discarded, and the precipitate was washed once with LB

liquid medium by centrifugation at 20,000 g (4 □, 1 min). The precipitate was

resuspended in LB medium and incubated with shaking at 220 rpm (37 □, 4h).

Samples were collected every 20 minutes during the first 2 hours, and every 30

minutes during the final 2 hours, for phage titer determination. Three independent

experiments were performed.

Temperature and pH stability of phages

To assess the temperature stability of phage qsb1, a phage suspension with a

previously measured titer was incubated at temperatures of 10 □, 20 □, 40 □, 60 □,

80 □, and 100 □ for 30, 60, and 90 minutes, respectively, followed by phage titer

determination. Three independent experiments were performed.

Similarly, to evaluate the pH stability of phage qsb1, 100 μL of phage suspension

(with a known titer) was mixed with 900 μL of SM buffer at various pH levels (1, 3, 5,

7, 8, 9, 11, 13) and incubated (4 □,1 h). Subsequently, the pH of the mixtures was

adjusted with appropriate amounts of NaOH or HCl to a level suitable for bacterial

growth, and the phage titer was measured. Three independent experiments were

performed.

Extraction, sequencing, and analysis of phage genomes

The total genome of the phage was extracted using the phenol-chloroform method,

with nuclease digestion performed beforehand to eliminate host bacterial nucleic acids

from the sample. The concentration of the extracted genome was measured using a

Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The genome was

treated with RNase A (Gproan, GPE007001), DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

EN0521), and S1 nucleases (Thermo Fisher Scientific, EN0321) to confirm its nucleic

acid type and structural integrity. Subsequently, Pulsed-Field Gel

Electrophoresis(Pippin-pluse，sage science) was employed to confirm the size of the

phage genome nucleic acid.Next-generation sequencing (NGS) library of the phage

genome was constructed using the Nextera library preparation kit, followed by

high-throughput sequencing on the Illumina NovaSeq™ X Plus system.

Post-sequencing, quality control of the raw data was conducted to ensure high-quality

reads, which were then assembled using MEGAHIT software[33]. For functional

annotation of the assembled phage sequences, several bioinformatics tools were

utilized, including Prokka[34],RAST[35], GeneMarks[36], Phagescope[37],and

Phastest[38]. Phage lifestyle prediction was conducted using the DeepPhage[39] tool

to determine whether the isolated phage exhibits a lytic or lysogenic lifecycle.The

integrated gene annotation results provided comprehensive genomic information for

the phage qsb1.Genomic features were visualized using the CGView[40] online

server.

Phylogenetic analysis

The phage genome was compared with the virus database in the NCBI database by

BLAST (using the nt_Viruses datebase and algorithm of Highly similar sequences

(megablast)), and then the genomes of *Acinetobacter* phages with full genome

sequences in the comparison results were downloaded (74 of 88 sequences) for

phylogenetic tree construction.Phylogenetic trees and clustering of phage genomes

were constructed using VICTOR[41],which based on a Genome BLAST Distance

Phylogeny (GBDP) to obtain a more accurate taxonomic classification. Average

nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis was performed using the ANI Calculator[42].

Average amino acid identity (AAI) analysis was carried out based on a pairwise

comparison of *Acinetobacter* phage sequences using CompareM

(https://github.com/dparks1134/CompareM) with default parameters. For comparative

analysis of whole-genome collinearity among phages, RStudio[43] with the

gggenomes package[44] was employed to generate a whole-genome comparison

visualization map.

Mice

For all mouse experiments, we selected male BALB/C mice aged 6-8 weeks. Upon

arrival at the facility, the mice randomly were housed in clean individually ventilated

cages (IVC) with 5-6 mice per group, Random numbers were generated using the

standard = RAND() function in Microsoft Excel. All mice were used after a 7-day

acclimatization period. They were fed with irradiated sterilized feed and provided

distilled water sterilized by high-pressure wet heat. The housing conditions were

maintained at a constant temperature of 24-26 □, humidity of 50%-60%, with a

12-hour light/dark cycle.

Preparation of bacterial culture and phage suspension

The bacterial culture of *A.baumannii* was prepared as described in section 2.1, with

the concentration adjusted by turbidimetry. A 1 mL aliquot of the bacterial culture was

centrifuged at 20,000 g (4 □, 10 min), and the pellet was collected. The pellet was

washed with 0.9% NaCl, and then resuspended in 30 μL of 0.9% NaCl for use.

Bacteria were removed from the phage suspension using a 0.22 μm microporous

membrane, and the phage titer was subsequently determined using the DLA method.

Subsequently, the phage suspension was centrifuged at 100,000 g (4 □, 2 h). The

phage pellet was resuspended in 0.9% NaCl to a final concentration of 1×10^{12}

PFU/mL for further use.

Establishment of a mouse pneumonia model via intratracheal instillation

In previous studies, tracheal access often involved surgical procedures to locate the

trachea for drug administration or model establishment, which could introduce

experimental variability, such as post-surgical infections or complications during the

procedure. To mitigate these risks, we employed a Non-invasive intratracheal

instillation approach to construct the mouse pneumonia model [45]. This method is

straightforward, rapid, and effective. The anesthetized mouse was positioned supine

on an intubation platform, with the head secured, and a disposable indwelling needle

was inserted into the glottis using a small animal laryngoscope to complete the

endotracheal intubation.

The model of pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii* in mice and phage safety

To induce neutropenia and simulate the immunocompromised state of clinical patients, mice were intraperitoneally injected with cyclophosphamide(CTX) at doses of 200 mg/kg and 150 mg/kg, 4 days and 1 day prior to infection, respectively. In previous studies, a bacterial dose ranging from $10^\square$ ~ $10^\square$ CFU was commonly used to establish an acute pulmonary bacterial infection model in mice[46]. In this study, mice were anesthetized and inoculated via tracheal instillation with varying doses of *A.baumannii ioag01* ($3\times10^\square$ CFU/mouse, $3\times10^\square$ CFU/mouse, $3\times10^\square$ CFU/mouse, $3\times10^\square$ CFU/mouse). In the phage-treated group, mice were administered $1\times10^\square$ PFU/mouse of phage qsb1 via tracheal instillation, while the control group received an equivalent volume of 0.9% NaCl. Mice were observed for seven days, and survival rates were recorded to determine the median lethal dose (LD50) of the bacteria and to evaluate the safety of the phage treatment.

Phage treatment for acute pulmonary infection caused by *A.baumannii* in mice

After determining the appropriate bacterial dose (3×10^8 CFU/mouse) for the mouse lung infection model, phages were used to treat the infected mice to assess therapeutic efficacy. Twenty-five BALB/C mice were randomly divided into five groups. They were intraperitoneally injected with 200 mg/kg and 150 mg/kg of CTX, 4 days and 1 day before infection, respectively. Mice were anesthetized and infected with 3×10^8 CFU of *A.baumannii ioag01*. One hour post-infection, 30 μL of 0.9% NaCl was

administered by tracheal instillation (mock-treated group). The experimental groups

received varying phage titers (3×10^9 PFU, high-dose; 3×10^8 PFU, medium-dose; and

3×10^7 PFU, low-dose). The control group received 30 μL of 0.9% NaCl initially, and

an additional 30 μL of 0.9% NaCl one hour later to control for procedural variables.

All mice were observed for seven days, during which their conditions and mortality

were recorded.

High-Dose phage treatment for acute pulmonary infection caused by
584

585 *A.baumannii* in mice

To further evaluate the pathological changes during infection and the therapeutic

effect of high-dose phage treatment, 48 BALB/C mice were randomly divided into

two groups. They were injected intraperitoneally with 200 mg/kg and 150 mg/kg of

CTX, 4 days and 1 day prior to infection, respectively. After anesthesia, a lung

infection model was established as previously described. One hour after bacterial

infection, the experimental group received 3×10^9 PFU of phage by tracheal instillation,

while the control group received 30 μL of 0.9% NaCl. Subsequently, six mice were

euthanized by cervical dislocation at 12、24、48 and 168 hours post-infection. Whole

blood and lung tissues were collected aseptically from each mouse. Whole blood and

lung tissues were collected under sterile conditions. CBC were conducted to evaluate

systemic responses, while bacterial and phage colonization in the lungs was assessed

by homogenizing the right lung. The left lung was fixed in paraformaldehyde and

stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) to assess lung pathology.

Statistical analysis.

Data were evaluated using two-way ANOVA or the log-rank test for survival curves, unless otherwise indicated. A p-value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Transparency declaration

Conflict of interest

The bacteriophage strain vB_AbaS_qsb1 has been filed for a patent.

Funding

This work was supported by the Fujian Provincial Department of Science and Technology (No. 2024J011223); Fuzhou Municipal Health Commission (No. 2022-S-wr4) and (No. 2022-S-rc5); Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of China (No. 2023YFC3304304); Fuzhou University (No. 2024T021); Fujian Provincial Department of Science and Technology (No. 2022Y4003) and (No. 2022ZD01001);

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. All other data supporting the findings of this study are included within the article and its supplementary materials. (The NGS raw sequencing data have been deposited in the SRA databases, SRA data accession: PRJNA1170883)

CRediT authorship contributions statement

JiaWang.Wang: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft; **Huan.Hu:** Funding acquisition, Investigation, Software; **QingQing.Wang:**

Investigation; **TianZhu.Zhu:** Software, Validation, Visualization; **XiaoHan.Ren:** Software,

Visualization; **WenQian.Jiang:** Investigation; **XueMei.Hou:** Investigation, Project administration,

Supervision, Writing – review and editing; **Jun.Lin:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition,

Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review and editing;

XiaoLing.Yu: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration,

Resources, Supervision, Writing – review and editing

Ethical statement

All protocols in this study were approved by the Committee on the Ethics of Animal

Experiments of College of Biological Science and Engineering, Fuzhou University

(the authority of the project licence: KS2023-SG-042)

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work the author(s) used Chat-GPT 4o in order to

improve the language and readability,with caution. After using this tool, the authors

reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content

of the publication.

Reference

1. Bianco A, Quirino A, Giordano M, Marano V, Rizzo C, Liberto MC, et al. Control of

carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii outbreak in an intensive care unit of a teaching hospital

in Southern Italy. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16(1):747. Epub 20161212. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-2036-7.

PubMed PMID: 27955639; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5154034.

2. Ayoub Moubareck C, Hammoudi Halat D. Insights into Acinetobacter baumannii: A Review of

Microbiological, Virulence, and Resistance Traits in a Threatening Nosocomial Pathogen. Antibiotics

(Basel). 2020;9(3). Epub 20200312. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9030119. PubMed PMID: 32178356;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7148516.

3.   Hafiz TA, Alghamdi SS, Mubaraki MA, Alghamdi SSM, Alothaybi A, Aldawood E, et al. A

two-year retrospective study of multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii respiratory infections in

critically Ill patients: Clinical and microbiological findings. J Infect Public Health. 2023;16(3):313-9.

Epub 20230111. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2023.01.004. PubMed PMID: 36641839.

4.   Mohd Sazlly Lim S, Zainal Abidin A, Liew SM, Roberts JA, Sime FB. The global prevalence of

multidrug-resistance among Acinetobacter baumannii causing hospital-acquired and

ventilator-associated pneumonia and its associated mortality: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J

Infect. 2019;79(6):593-600. Epub 20190930. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2019.09.012. PubMed PMID:

31580871.

5.   Mulani MS, Kamble EE, Kumkar SN, Tawre MS, Pardesi KR. Emerging Strategies to Combat

ESKAPE Pathogens in the Era of Antimicrobial Resistance: A Review. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:539.

Epub 20190401. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00539. PubMed PMID: 30988669; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6452778.

6.   Vestergaard M, Paulander W, Marvig RL, Clasen J, Jochumsen N, Molin S, et al. Antibiotic

combination therapy can select for broad-spectrum multidrug resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2016;47(1):48-55. Epub 20151025. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.09.014.

PubMed PMID: 26597931.

7.   Clark NM, Zhanel GG, Lynch JP, 3rd. Emergence of antimicrobial resistance among

Acinetobacter species: a global threat. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2016;22(5):491-9. doi:

10.1097/MCC.0000000000000337. PubMed PMID: 27552304.

8.   Gallagher P, Baker S. Developing new therapeutic approaches for treating infections caused by

multi-drug resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: Acinetobacter baumannii therapeutics. J Infect.

2020;81(6):857-61. Epub 20201025. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2020.10.016. PubMed PMID: 33115656.

9.   Organization WH. WHO publishes list of bacteria for which new antibiotics are urgently needed:

World   Health   Organization;   2017   [cited   accessed   10   Oct   2024].   Available   from:

https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/27-02-2017-who-publishes-list-of-bacteria-for-which-new-antib

iotics-are-urgently-needed.

10.   organization Wh. WHO updates list of drug-resistant bacteria most threatening to human health:

World   health   organization;   2024   [cited   accessed   10   Oct   2024].   Available   from:

https://www.who.int/zh/news/item/17-05-2024-who-updates-list-of-drug-resistant-bacteria-most-threat

ening-to-human-health.

11.   Salmond GP, Fineran PC. A century of the phage: past, present and future. Nat Rev Microbiol.

2015;13(12):777-86. Epub 20151109. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3564. PubMed PMID: 26548913.

12.   Tao P, Gu J, Barr JJ. Editorial: The Application of Phages Against Infectious Diseases. Front Cell

Infect Microbiol. 2021;11:815984. Epub 20211220. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.815984. PubMed PMID:

34988033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8721092.

13.   Jamal M, Andleeb S, Jalil F, Imran M, Nawaz MA, Hussain T, et al. Isolation, characterization and

efficacy of phage MJ2 against biofilm forming multi-drug resistant Enterobacter cloacae. Folia

Microbiol (Praha). 2019;64(1):101-11. Epub 20180808. doi: 10.1007/s12223-018-0636-x. PubMed

PMID: 30090964.

14.   Wang Y, Subedi D, Li J, Wu J, Ren J, Xue F, et al. Phage Cocktail Targeting STEC O157:H7 Has

Comparable Efficacy and Superior Recovery Compared with Enrofloxacin in an Enteric Murine Model.

Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(3):e0023222. Epub 20220510. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00232-22. PubMed

PMID: 35536028; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9241756.

15.   Gordillo Altamirano FL, Kostoulias X, Subedi D, Korneev D, Peleg AY, Barr JJ. Phage-antibiotic

combination is a superior treatment against Acinetobacter baumannii in a preclinical study.

EBioMedicine. 2022;80:104045. Epub 20220507. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104045. PubMed PMID:

35537278; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9097682.

16.   Ashworth EA, Wright RCT, Shears RK, Wong JKL, Hassan A, Hall JPJ, et al. Exploiting lung

adaptation and phage steering to clear pan-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in vivo. Nat

Commun. 2024;15(1):1547. Epub 20240220. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-45785-z. PubMed PMID:

38378698; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10879199.

17.   Chang RYK, Chow MYT, Wang Y, Liu C, Hong Q, Morales S, et al. The effects of different doses

of inhaled bacteriophage therapy for Pseudomonas aeruginosa pulmonary infections in mice. Clin

Microbiol Infect. 2022;28(7):983-9. Epub 20220203. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.01.006. PubMed PMID:

35123053.

18.   Nick JA, Dedrick RM, Gray AL, Vladar EK, Smith BE, Freeman KG, et al. Host and pathogen

response to bacteriophage engineered against Mycobacterium abscessus lung infection. Cell.

2022;185(11):1860-74 e12. Epub 20220513. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.04.024. PubMed PMID:

35568033; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9840467.

19.   Bernabeu-Gimeno M, Pardo-Freire M, Chan BK, Turner PE, Gil-Brusola A, Perez-Tarazona S, et

al. Neutralizing antibodies after nebulized phage therapy in cystic fibrosis patients. Med. 2024. Epub

20240617. doi: 10.1016/j.medj.2024.05.017. PubMed PMID: 38917792.

20.   Schooley RT, Biswas B, Gill JJ, Hernandez-Morales A, Lancaster J, Lessor L, et al. Development

and Use of Personalized Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutic Cocktails To Treat a Patient with a Disseminated Resistant Acinetobacter baumannii Infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017;61(10). Epub 20170922. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00954-17. PubMed PMID: 28807909; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5610518.

21. Nir-Paz R, Gelman D, Khouri A, Sisson BM, Fackler J, Alkalay-Oren S, et al. Successful Treatment of Antibiotic-resistant, Poly-microbial Bone Infection With Bacteriophages and Antibiotics Combination. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(11):2015-8. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz222. PubMed PMID: 30869755.

22. Federici S, Kredo-Russo S, Valdes-Mas R, Kviatcovsky D, Weinstock E, Matiuhin Y, et al. Targeted suppression of human IBD-associated gut microbiota commensals by phage consortia for treatment of intestinal inflammation. Cell. 2022;185(16):2879-98 e24. Epub 20220804. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.07.003. PubMed PMID: 35931020.

23. organization Wh. Report signals increasing resistance to antibiotics in bacterial infections in humans and need for better data: World health organization; 2024 [cited accessed 10 Oct 2024]. Available from: https://www.who.int/zh/news/item/09-12-2022-report-signals-increasing-resistance-to-antibiotics-in-bacterial-infections-in-humans-and-need-for-better-data.

24. Murray CJL, Ikuta KS, Sharara F, Swetschinski L, Robles Aguilar G, Gray A, et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: a systematic analysis. The Lancet. 2022;399(10325):629-55. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(21)02724-0.

25. Ali Y, Inusa I, Sanghvi G, Mandaliya VB, Bishoyi AK. The current status of phage therapy and its advancement towards establishing standard antimicrobials for combating multi drug-resistant bacterial

pathogens. Microbial Pathogenesis. 2023;181. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2023.106199.

26.   Strathdee SA, Hatfull GF, Mutalik VK, Schooley RT. Phage therapy: From biological mechanisms

to future directions. Cell. 2023;186(1):17-31. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2022.11.017.

27.   Li M, Wang H, Chen L, Guo G, Li P, Ma J, et al. Identification of a phage-derived depolymerase

specific for KL47 capsule of Klebsiella pneumoniae and its therapeutic potential in mice. Virol Sin.

2022;37(4):538-46. Epub 20220502. doi: 10.1016/j.virs.2022.04.005. PubMed PMID: 35513275;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9437526.

28.   Liu Y, Leung SSY, Huang Y, Guo Y, Jiang N, Li P, et al. Identification of Two Depolymerases

From Phage IME205 and Their Antivirulent Functions on K47 Capsule of Klebsiella pneumoniae.

Front Microbiol. 2020;11:218. Epub 20200214. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00218. PubMed PMID:

32117192; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7034173.

29.   Mitropoulou G, Koutsokera A, Csajka C, Blanchon S, Sauty A, Brunet JF, et al. Phage therapy for

pulmonary infections: lessons from clinical experiences and key considerations. Eur Respir Rev.

2022;31(166). Epub 20221005. doi: 10.1183/16000617.0121-2022. PubMed PMID: 36198417;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9724797.

30.   Hong Q, Chang RYK, Assafiri O, Morales S, Chan HK. Optimizing in vitro phage-ciprofloxacin

combination formulation for respiratory therapy of multi-drug resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa

infections. Int J Pharm. 2024;652:123853. Epub 20240126. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2024.123853.

PubMed PMID: 38280500.

31.   Lin J, Jiang W, Shi Y, Cai W. Metagenomic Sequencing Revealed the Potential Pathogenic Threats

of Banknotes. ACS Omega. 2021;6(5):3499-507. Epub 20210127. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.0c04546.

PubMed PMID: 33585735; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7876676.

32. Acs N, Gambino M, Brondsted L. Bacteriophage Enumeration and Detection Methods. Front

Microbiol. 2020;11:594868. Epub 20201023. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.594868. PubMed PMID:

33193274; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7644846.

33. Li D, Liu C-M, Luo R, Sadakane K, Lam T-W. MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for

large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. Bioinformatics.

2015;31(10):1674-6. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033.

34. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(14):2068-9.

doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.

35. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, et al. The RAST Server: Rapid

Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics. 2008;9(1). doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.

36. Besemer J. GeneMarkS: a self-training method for prediction of gene starts in microbial genomes.

Implications for finding sequence motifs in regulatory regions. Nucleic Acids Research.

2001;29(12):2607-18. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.12.2607.

37. Wang RH, Yang S, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Wang X, Xu Z, et al. PhageScope: a well-annotated

bacteriophage database with automatic analyses and visualizations. Nucleic Acids Res.

2024;52(D1):D756-D61. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad979. PubMed PMID: 37904614; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC10767790.

38. Wishart DS, Han S, Saha S, Oler E, Peters H, Grant JR, et al. PHASTEST: faster than PHASTER,

better than PHAST. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(W1):W443-W50. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad382. PubMed

PMID: 37194694; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10320120.

39. Wu S, Fang Z, Tan J, Li M, Wang C, Guo Q, et al. DeePhage: distinguishing virulent and

temperate phage-derived sequences in metavirome data with a deep learning approach. GigaScience.

2021;10(9). doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giab056.

40. Grant JR, Enns E, Marinier E, Mandal A, Herman EK, Chen CY, et al. Proksee: in-depth

characterization and visualization of bacterial genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51(W1):W484-W92.

doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad326. PubMed PMID: 37140037; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10320063.

41. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Goker M. VICTOR: genome-based phylogeny and classification of

prokaryotic viruses. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(21):3396-404. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx440.

PubMed PMID: 29036289; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5860169.

42. Yoon SH, Ha SM, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J. A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate

average nucleotide identity. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 2017;110(10):1281-6. Epub 20170215. doi:

10.1007/s10482-017-0844-4. PubMed PMID: 28204908.

43. Team R. RStudio: Integrated Development for R V2024.04.2+764 (version 2024.04.2+764) 2020.

Available from: http://www.rstudio.com/.

44. T H, M A, B vA. gggenomes: A Grammar of Graphics for Comparative Genomics.R package

(version 1.0.1) 2024. Available from: https://github.com/thackl/gggenomes.

45. Zeng Y, Jin H, Wang J, Guo C, Chen W, Tan Y, et al. An optimized method for intratracheal

instillation in mice. J Pharmacol Toxicol Methods. 2022;118:107230. Epub 20221030. doi:

10.1016/j.vascn.2022.107230. PubMed PMID: 36323359.

46. Yang X, Haque A, Matsuzaki S, Matsumoto T, Nakamura S. The Efficacy of Phage Therapy in a

Murine Model of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pneumonia and Sepsis. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:682255.

Epub 20210705. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.682255. PubMed PMID: 34290683; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC8287650.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6