DNA methylation of transposons pattern aging differences across a diverse cohort of dogs from the Dog Aging Project

Blaise L. Mariner[1,2,†], Brianah M. McCoy[1,2,†], Ashlee Greenier[1,2], Layla Brassington[3], Elizabeth Slikas[1,2], Christine Adjangba[1,2], Abbey Marye[4], Benjamin R. Harrison[4], Tal Bamberger[5], Yadid Algavi[5], Efrat Muller[5,6], Adam Harris[7], Emily Rout[7], The Dog Aging Project Consortium, Anne Avery[7], Elhanan Borenstein[5,6], Daniel Promislow[8], Noah Snyder-Mackler[1,2,9,10,*]

[1] School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe 85287-1701, AZ, USA
[2] Center for Evolution and Medicine, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-1701, USA
[3] Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA
[4] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA 98195-6100, USA
[5] Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medical & Health Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
[6] Blavatnik School of Computer Science, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
[7] Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA
[8] Jean Mayer USDA Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging, Tufts University, Boston, MA 02111 USA
[9] School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe 85287-1701, AZ, USA
[10] ASU-Banner Neurodegenerative Disease Research Center, Arizona State University, Tempe 85287-1701, AZ, USA
† equal contribution
* correspondence: nsnyderm@asu.edu

Abstract

Within a species, larger individuals often have shorter lives and higher rates of age-related disease. Despite this well-known link, we still know little about underlying age-related epigenetic differences, which could help us better understand inter-individual variation in aging and the etiology, onset, and progression of age-associated disease. Dogs exhibit this negative correlation between size, health, and longevity and thus represent an excellent system in which to test the underlying mechanisms. Here, we quantified genome-wide DNA methylation in a cohort of 864 dogs in the Dog Aging Project. Age strongly patterned the dog epigenome, with the majority (66% of age-associated loci) of regions associating age-related loss of methylation. These age effects were non-randomly distributed in the genome and differed depending on genomic context. We found the LINE1 (long interspersed elements) class of TEs (transposable elements) were the most frequently hypomethylated with age (FDR < 0.05, 40% of all LINE1 regions). This LINE1 pattern differed in magnitude across breeds of different sizes– the largest dogs lost 0.26% more LINE1 methylation per year than the smallest dogs. This suggests that epigenetic regulation of TEs, particularly LINE1s, may contribute to accelerated age and disease phenotypes within a species. Since our study focused on the methylome of immune cells, we looked at LINE1 methylation changes in golden retrievers, a breed highly susceptible to hematopoietic cancers, and found they have accelerated age-related LINE1 hypomethylation compared to other breeds. We also found many of the LINE1s hypomethylated with age are located on the X chromosome and are, when considering X chromosome inactivation, counter-intuitively

more methylated in males. These results have revealed the demethylation of LINE1 transposons as a potential driver of inter-species, demographic-dependent aging variation.

Keywords: aging, transposable elements, transposons, dogs, epigenetics, DNA methylation, LINE, LINE1
Statements and declarations: None. No competing interests.

Introduction

Life expectancy is generally inversely correlated with body size within mammalian species [1,2], while females typically live longer than males [3]. Despite the various mechanisms influencing these (and other [4,5]) demographic differences in lifespan, we still know little about how epigenetic differences between individual characteristics may also contribute to the variation in lifespan, health, and disease within a mammalian species. Companion dogs (*Canis familiaris*) are a powerful translational model with immediate relevance to human health [6], exemplified by their great genetic and phenotypic diversity, dynamic and variable environments, diverse diets, well-established healthcare system, and wide geographical distribution— many of which are characteristics shared with humans [7–10].

Transposable elements (TEs) are selfish genetic elements that propagate by transposing (or "jumping") around the genome [11–13]. TE transposition can cause genomic instability and contribute to the onset of cancers and other age-related diseases [14–23]. TE activity is generally suppressed by epigenetic modifiers such as DNA methylation (DNAm), a mechanism organisms generally use to control cell fate and function [24,25]. Changes in DNAm patterns close to TEs can have wide-ranging consequences [26–31], but largely, the loss of methylation (hypomethylation) at TEs specifically is a known consequence of aging [25,32–34]. Interventions that suppress TE transposition can extend the lifespan in *Drosophila* and *Caenorhabditis elegans*, suggesting that these genetic parasites play a role in regulating aging [35–38]. In mice, dysregulation of TEs can lead to accelerated aging and is partially rescued with drugs that can repress TE transposition [39–41]. Research aiming to mitigate TE-related genomic damage has wide-ranging (e.g. oncogenic) practical applications [11–13,42,43]. Individual characteristics (e.g. size, sex) of dogs may influence TE regulation,

which may help explain the variation in aging and disease onset between individuals of the same species.

Given that dogs exhibit notable variation in aging rates, with body size being a well-known factor influencing this variation [2,44,45], the regulation of TEs may offer additional insights into aging and disease onset within a species [46]. DNA methylation (DNAm) at TEs, known to suppress TE activity, could serve as a useful proxy for investigating how TE activity correlates with individual characteristics such as size and sex. By examining whether DNAm patterns near TEs differ according to these characteristics, we can begin to explore whether variation in TE regulation might help explain differences in the rates of aging and disease onset among dogs [32].

Here, we generated genome-wide DNAm data from immune cells of 864 dogs as part of the Dog Aging Project (DAP) to characterize age-related 1) global DNAm changes, 2) TE-specific changes, and 3) demographic drivers of these differences in 1 and 2. Based on the existing literature [32,43,47,48], we hypothesized that the methylation of TE regions would decrease with age in dogs. We found that not only are TEs hypomethylated with age, but larger dog breeds have more accelerated loss of TE methylation. We also found that TEs hypomethylated with age are overwhelmingly located on the X chromosome and are, on average at all ages, more methylated in males– a surprising result counter-intuitive to X chromosome inactivation.

Figure 1: Sample workflow and region generation. Veterinarians collected blood samples from Dog Aging Project (DAP) dogs. Researchers then isolated and prepped peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) for reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) to detect 5'-cytosine-phosphate-guanine-3' (CpG) methylation. CpG loci were grouped into genomic regions and annotated using their genomic coordinates (methods).

Results

The aging methylome of canine immune cells

We measured DNA methylation of CpG sites in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) collected from 864 dogs (420 female, 444 male) of diverse breed sizes (195 Small, 183 Medium, 199 Standard, 215 Large, 72 Giant) using reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) (**Figure 1, Supplemental Figure 1A & 1B**, see methods). We quantified DNAm for 3,063,134 well-covered CpG sites across the dog genome, which we combined into 47,393 CpG regions to reduce the number of hypothesis tests and the amount of missing data due to stochastic variation in sequencing coverage (see methods and [49,50]). We then tested if age or breed size predicted CpG region DNAm in a binomial mixed-effects model controlling for other covariates and genetic relatedness [51].

Age strongly patterned DNAm across the genome: 42% of the tested regions were significantly associated with age (FDR<0.05). The majority (66%) of these regions were hypomethylated in older dogs compared to younger dogs (**Figure 2A**), consistent with the known age-associated global loss of methylation observed in many other species [52–54].

Age-associated CpG regions were non-randomly distributed in the genome–likely reflecting context-dependent effects of age on DNAm. Specifically, compared to all age-associated regions, promoters were twice as likely to be hypermethylated with age (1,115 regions; 26% of all promoter regions; $\log_2(OR) = 1.39$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$) (**Figure 2B**). By the same measure, we also found that exons ($\log_2(OR) = 0.99$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$), CpG islands (which are CpG-rich regions in the genome) ($\log_2(OR) = 1.32$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$), and CpG shores, which flank CpG islands, ($\log_2(OR) = 0.51$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$) were more likely to be hypermethylated with age compared to the background expectation across all regions. Conversely, we found TEs and CpG shelves, which flank CpG shores, were significantly under-represented in the regions hypermethylated with age (TEs: $\log_2(OR) = -0.90$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$; CpG shelves: $\log_2(OR) = -0.60$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$) and over-represented in the regions hypomethylated with age (TEs: $\log_2(OR) = 0.24$, $p_{adj} < 2e\text{-}16$; CpG shelves: $\log_2(OR) = 0.10$, $p_{adj} = 0.02$). This age-associated loss of methylation in TEs and CpG shelves may reflect these regions' increased activity–e.g., TE transposition and/or distal gene regulatory (e.g. enhancer) activity.

Functional enrichment of genes with age-associated promoter DNAm

Genes with promoters hypermethylated with age were enriched for bone marrow and blood cell markers (**Supplemental Figure 3A**), likely reflecting the changing dynamics of these immune cells' gene regulation with age. Additionally, these genes were also more likely to be targets of PRC2 (polycomb repressive complex 2) and H3K27me3–both of which have been previously linked to age-associated DNAm patterns (**Supplemental Figure 3B**) [55,56]. Both PRC2 and H3K27me3 repress TE activity, and thus, age-related hypermethylation of

their binding motifs may reflect a growing inability to repress TEs with age [55,57,58]. We also found that genes differentially methylated with age were associated with developmental pathways and cancer signatures. This cancer-related signature is concentrated in promoters with age-associated hypermethylation, which were enriched for genes that are up-regulated in response to tretinoin treatment (a drug used to treat leukemia [59]) and genes implicated in lung and liver cancers [60,61].

Using motif enrichment analysis, we further tested the sequences of the 2kb promoter regions to uncover transcription factor binding motifs differentially methylated with age. We found that promoters hypermethylated with age were enriched for numerous motifs, including enrichment of transcription factors involved in embryo development, immune response, inflammation, and stress response signaling (**Supplemental Figures 3C-3E**). For example, the <u>a</u>ctivating <u>t</u>ranscription <u>f</u>actor <u>4</u> (ATF4) binding motif (5'-GTGACGT[AC][AG]-3') is one of the stress response motifs hypermethylated with age [62]. ATF4 is known to be up-regulated in many types of long-lived mice, and ATF4's orthologs are necessary for lifespan extension in several different aging model organisms [63–67]. Hypermethylation of transcription factor motifs would likely impact transcription factor binding and downstream activity influencing gene expression.

Autonomous TEs become less methylated with age

TEs, which have been implicated in aging across many species, had the strongest signal of age-associated hypomethylation–likely reflecting that they may become more active with age. However, "transposable elements" is an umbrella term that is comprised of various types, or "classes", that differentially impact the host. Briefly, TEs have different mechanisms for transposition–some require RNA intermediaries (e.g., LINEs and SINEs), and others solely DNA (e.g., DNA transposons [68]). TEs can also be broadly categorized into "autonomous" and "non-autonomous" classes, where autonomous TEs can transpose without any help from

the host. In contrast, non-autonomous host sequences or machinery can mobilize [69]. The autonomous class consists of (1) DNA transposons [68] and (2) LINE1 (long interspersed elements) that carry their own reverse-transcription machinery. LINE2s and SINEs (short interspersed elements), however, are non-autonomous and require other elements' reverse-transcription machinery to transpose. We thus carried out a more nuance analysis to identify which classes may be driving the observed age-associated patterns in dogs.

Autonomous TEs were the most frequently hypomethylated with age, with significant age-associated loss of methylation in 34% of all LINE1s (n = 3,305) and 34% of all DNA transposons (n = 1,388). In contrast, 31% of LINE2s (n=1,209) and 30% of the SINEs (n=6,555) were hypomethylated with age (**Figure 2C**). Of all the TE classes, LINE1s showed the lowest amount of hypermethylation with age: only 4% of LINE1s were significantly hypermethylated with age compared to ~10% in all other TE classes (**Figure 2C**). On average, all TE classes became less methylated with age, but this decline was the steepest in LINE1s (β_{LINE1} = -0.13%; $\beta_{DNATransposon}$ = -0.12e-3%; β_{LINE2} = -0.10%; β_{SINE} = -0.089%). When we compared this decline in TEs relative to the whole genome, we similarly found the fastest decline in LINE1s (and DNA transposons) methylation relative to the entire genome (β_{LINE1} = -5.57e-2%, p = 5.7e-9; $\beta_{DNATransposon}$ = -5.4e-2%, p < 2.2e-16; β_{LINE2} = -3.8e-2%, p < 2.2e-16; β_{SINE} = -2.6e-2%, p < 5.6e-15; similar to [32]). This suggests that age-associated loss of methylation in LINE1s, and to a lesser extent DNA transposons, outpaces other TE classes' age-associated methylation loss.

Given the strong age-associated hypomethylation in LINE1s and the link between LINE1 demethylation and cancer onset [70], it is possible that variation in this age-associated loss of methylation at LINE1s might reflect variation in cancer incidence across breeds. To test this thoroughly, however, requires precise pairing of breed-specific cancer prevalence with breed assignments of our study animals–data that are outside of the scope of this

manuscript. Nevertheless, we attempted a preliminary test of this link between LINE1 demethylation and cancer risk in golden retrievers, the most common breed (n=44) in our study, which also have an extremely high risk of hematopoietic cancer ([31,71–74] note that our data were generated in immune cells). Interestingly, we found that golden retrievers have faster age-related methylation loss of LINE1 elements than non-golden retrievers ($\beta_{age:breedGR}$ = 6.67e-2%, p = 0.585; **Figure 2D**). This result suggests that increased TE activity in older golden retrievers may be mechanistically linked to their increased risk of hematopoietic cancers, a disease state whose cause has remained elusive to veterinarians [75].

Figure 2: Age differentially affects DNA methylation across the genome. A) Percent of regions in each annotation category hypomethylated with age (blue; β_{age} < 0 & FDR < 0.05), hypermethylated with age (purple; β_{age} > 0 & FDR < 0.05), or not associated with age (grey). B) Enrichment (log$_2$OR) of regions hypomethylated (x-axis; done with regions β_{age} < 0 & FDR < 0.05) and hypermethylated (y-axis; done with regions β_{age} > 0 & FDR < 0.05) with age. Error bars: 95% confidence intervals. C) Percent of regions in each TE class hypomethylated with age (blue; β_{age} < 0 & FDR < 0.05), hypermethylated with age (purple; β_{age} > 0 & FDR < 0.05), or not associated with age (grey). D) Mean percent methylation of LINE1s by life stage classification of golden retrievers (brown) and non-golden retrievers (blue).

X-chromosome is enriched for age-associated LINE1s

LINE1 activity and impact varies across chromosomes, and it is well-established that some chromosomes, particularly the X chromosome, are particularly enriched for LINE1s [69,76,77]. Thus, we next sought to characterize the chromosomal distribution of age-associated LINE1s, which, if biased, might provide mechanistic insight into sex differences in aging and mortality. Of the 1,130 LINE1s hypomethylated with age (FDR < 0.05), 245 of them are located on the X chromosome ($\log_2(OR)$ = 3.1, p_{adj} < 2e-16) (**Figure 3A**). We hypothesized that these X-linked LINE1s would be more methylated in females than males–in large part due to X chromosome inactivation in females where one copy of the X chromosome is almost completely hypermethylated. To test this, we first compared mean percent methylation between males and females of all age-associated X-linked non-LINE1 regions. As we would expect, DNAm of these regions was significantly higher in females compared to males (β_{Male} = -3.5% ; p<2e-16). However, this pattern was reversed when we compared DNAm only on X-linked LINE1s, which were more methylated in males (β_{Male}= 0.4%, p = 3e-4; **Figure 3B**). This lower DNAm of X-linked LINE1s in females may correspond to increased LINE1 activity in females relative to males. This sex difference in X-linked LINE1 DNAm was ~3x stronger when we limited our comparison to those LINE1 elements that were also significantly associated with age (β_{Male}= 1.5%, p < 2e-16; **Figure 3C**). Together, these findings suggest that X-linked LINE1s are less methylated in females and thus cross a pathogenic threshold of activity earlier in females than in males.

Figure 3: Chromosome locations of LINE1s hypomethylated with age. A) Density plot representing the origin of LINE1s hypomethylated with age by OR enrichment of all autosomes (grey) and the X chromosome (red). B) Mean percent methylation of all LINE1s on the X chromosome. C) Mean percent methylation of LINE1s on the X chromosome significantly associated with age (FDR < 0.05). P-values are from linear models: LINE1 percent methylation ~ sex + age).

Larger dogs show the strongest age-related DNAm changes

We next tested if dog size, which is negatively correlated with lifespan, predicted differences in the rate of DNAm changes with age. We used genetic predictions of adult dog height (**Supplemental Figure 1A & 1B**, [78]) to capture dog size rather than relying on breed averages or owner-reported weight. However, the results reported below were largely consistent when we used owner-reported and breed size estimates.

The effects of dog size on DNAm were significantly correlated with those of age on DNAm (Pearson's r = 0.18, p < 2e-16), such that increasing size and age exerted similar overall effects on DNAm. After FDR correction, dog size was significantly associated with DNAm in 375 regions (FDR <0.05; note that this doubles to 819 at FDR < 0.1), which is only a fraction (1.5%) of the regions significantly associated with age. Nevertheless, these size-associated regions were enriched for age-associated regions, being twice as likely to also be age-associated than expected by chance (binomial test, p = 2.1e-7).

Given the significant overlap of age and size effects in LINE1 regions and the fact that LINE1s show the strongest age-associated loss of methylation, we next tested if larger dogs showed even faster rates of age-associated hypomethylation in these regions than other dogs. Indeed, age-associated LINE1 de-methylation was faster in larger dogs ($\beta_{\text{Age:dog size}}$ = -0.37%, p = 0.013). This pattern was also evident when we categorized dogs into breed class sizes (**Figure 4**). On average, LINE1 elements become demethylated at a dramatically increasing rate from small dogs to giant dogs ($\beta_{\text{Age:small dogs}}$ = +0.08% per year; $\beta_{\text{Age:medium dogs}}$ = -0.08% per year; $\beta_{\text{Age:standard dogs}}$ = -0.16% per year; $\beta_{\text{Age:large dogs}}$ = -0.15% per year; $\beta_{\text{Age:giant dogs}}$ = -0.24% per year). In short, giant dogs lose 0.26% more LINE1 methylation per year than the small dogs ($\beta_{\text{Age:breedsizeGiant}}$ = -0.26%, p = 3.6e-3).

Figure 4: Breed size effects on age-related epigenetic acceleration. Mean percent methylation of all LINE1s by age across breed size classifications (linear model: LINE1 percent methylation modeled by Age:Breed size shown here for each breed size respectively). Shaded region represents the 95% confidence level interval for each nested effect respectively.

Discussion

In this study we comprehensively examined the aging methylome of a large and diverse cohort of dogs from the DAP. We integrated the rich demographic data from the DAP with measures of DNAm across the dog genome to identify not only the normative molecular changes that occur with age in the dog, but also the factors that pattern inter-individual aging variation among dogs. Specifically, we identified three key age-associated patterns in the methylome. First, we found that age exerts broad effects on DNAm across the genome, but that its impact is genome context dependent. Specifically, we found a broad age-associated hypermethylation of promoters that contrasted with age-associated loss of methylation in TEs. Second, X-linked LINE1 methylation was lower in females than males, illustrating potential differential susceptibility to age-associated decline. Third, dog size predicts the rate of age-associated decline in LINE1 DNAm, consistent with a potential role for LINE1 expression to explain a long-standing effect of dog size on aging rate and longevity. Collectively, these data deepen our understanding of the heterogeneous mammalian epigenome with relevance to health and age-associated phenotypes in dogs, and mammals more broadly.

Overall, age strongly patterned DNAm in companion dog immune cells, with the vast majority of age-associated loci exhibiting a loss of methylation with age–consistent with studies in other organisms [29,54]. However, the effect of age on DNAm varied across the genome and was highly context dependent. For instance, promoters were much more likely to exhibit age-associated gains in methylation and were enriched for numerous immune-response related and stress-responsive proteins and targets (**Supplemental Figure 3**). Age-related changes detected here may reflect age-associated dysregulation of key immune and regulatory genes (i.e. changes in the epigenetic accessibility of stress-responsive promoters and motifs likely would impact the ability for the organism to respond accordingly). In support of this, we found that promoters with age-associated

hypermethylation were enriched for transcription factors involved in the stress responses. Methylation state of transcription factor binding sites has wide-ranging possible outcomes– but is generally associated with a decrease in transcription initiation [79–81]. One of these transcription factors, ATF4 (and its orthologs), is necessary for lifespan extension in important model organisms (e.g., *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *C. elegans*) and is also more abundant in tissues from long-lived mouse models [63–67]. ATF4 is also known to be involved in both viral and bacterial responses [62,82–84], so a change in methylation state of these sites could severely alter these immune cells' ability to response to foreign nucleotides. Overall, these results support a loss of ability for older animals to respond to stressors–i.e., reduced resilience.

While promoters were largely hypermethylated with age, we found that most of the genome exhibited age-associated loss of DNAm, which was the strongest in TEs. Epigenetic dysregulation of TEs can contribute to aging, the development of age-associated diseases, and other disorders [36,46,85]. We also found that golden retrievers–a breed with a high incidence of hematopoietic cancer–show more rapid age-associated loss of methylation of LINE1s, which have been repeatedly linked to cancer [31,88] (**Figure 2F**). A genetic mechanism underlying this breed's increased cancer risk has remained elusive, and those found only explain a fraction of the incidences [75,89]. While just one example, it raises the possibility of deploying reverse transcriptase inhibitors to delay or even prevent blood-based cancers in golden retrievers. The epigenetic accessibility and activity of TEs are broadly known to increase with age [32,46,86,87]. In mice, loss of *Sirt6*, a gene known to repress LINE1 transposition, can lead to progeria, which can be partially rescued by reverse-transcription inhibitor drug treatment [39]. Other recent work has uncovered that DNAm is lost at TEs, including LINE1s, with age in humans and non-human primates [32,54]. Our results significantly broaden our understanding of TEs and aging by capturing

age-associated loss of LINE1 methylation in dogs. Thus we provide further support that loss of epigenetic repression of TE activity is a key feature of aging–though it is unclear whether increased TE activity is a cause or consequence of aging and age-related disease.

LINE1 elements are highly abundant on the X chromosome, which could be reflected in differences in LINE1 regulation between sexes. We found that, on average, X-linked LINE1s are more methylated in males compared to females, consistent with evidence in mammals that LINE1s aid in silencing in the context of X chromosome inactivation and are more epigenetically accessible on inactive X chromosomes [90–92]. It is unclear to what extent this difference in chromosome X's methylation of LINE1s contributes to sex-specific aging, especially as non-DNAm modes of silencing may also control LINE1 expression. However, while not quantified here, it is possible that loss of epigenetic silencing of Y-linked LINE1s may be more damaging than X-linked LINE1s [93–95]. In support of this, studies of mice have found that genetically modified XY females lived shorter than normal XX females, and genetically modified XX males lived longer than XY males [96]. Human aneuploidy studies have also found XYY humans have life expectancy that is 10 years shorter than XY humans, while this reduction in life expectancy relative to XY is only 2 years in XXY humans [97,98].

Our results suggest that: (i) dog size recapitulates age-associated DNAm patterns such that larger dogs have "older" epigenomes than their similarly-aged counterparts, (ii) age-associated X-linked LINE1's are more highly methylated in males than females, and (iii) large dogs show the fastest age-associated hypomethylation in LINE1 elements (**Figure 4**). Researchers commonly propose that differences in exposure to growth hormone and differential insulin-like growth factor signaling explain size-related lifespan variations and the onset of age-related diseases within a species, including studies in humans [99–102]. This is in light of extensive and foundational work done in model organisms, including *S. cerevisiae,*

Drosophila, and *C. elegans,* tying insulin-like growth-factor signaling to the progression of aging [1,101,103–108]. Compelling studies suggest that exposure to insulin-like growth factor signaling, especially during development, contributes to differences in dog life expectancy [109,110]. Our data presented here offers an additional perspective that TEs may also be impacting life expectancy and disease states across sizes in addition as well.

Although these data do not quantify the degree to which LINE1s are transposing with age and are cross-sectional in nature, these findings broadly impact aging studies, especially given recent findings that reverse-transcriptase inhibitors can delay aging and diseases of aging by suppressing transposable elements [40,41,111].

Could the differences in LINE1 epigenetic regulation (e.g. DNAm) found here be reflected in other contexts important to lifespan? Other differences in demographics within dogs are important in the progression of lifespan (e.g. sterilization status [112], social [5,113], environmental [114]). Understanding the epigenetic differences under circumstances that influence lifespan may lead us to a common mechanism responsible for the differences in aging and disease onset within a species. The DAP has already enlisted more than 40,000 dogs that are intriguingly from various genetic and environmental backgrounds. The 864 dogs studied here belong to a cohort of ~1,000 dogs, the "Precision Cohort," that are to be studied longitudinally in the Dog Aging Project, collecting blood samples and sequencing the epigenome yearly in the same manner as described here. This project will continue to uncover the genetic, metabolomic, and epigenetic prospects contributing to within-species differences in aging. It will also uncover to what extent LINE1s are differentially regulated across different demographics and lead to a general understanding of how they may more broadly influence dog disease states and lifespan itself.

Methods

Blood sample collection

This study examined changes in DNA methylation (DNAm) in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from whole blood samples collected annually from the Precision cohort, starting in 2021 up until February 2024. Dogs were enrolled in the Precision cohort on a rolling basis, with veterinarians collecting samples annually based on each dog's enrollment date (**Figure 1A**). These blood samples were refrigerated and sent to the DAP team members at Texas A&M University, which are then aliquotted and sent on ice to the Arizona State University (ASU) DAP team. The ASU DAP team isolated peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from the whole blood samples [115]. PBMCs offer significant advantages for analysis as (1) they are easily attainable from a minimally invasive blood draw, (2) they consist of a diverse set of cells (lymphocytes, monocytes, and macrophages), and (3) their methylation patterns have been found representative to human health and disease [27,116,117].

Sample annotation

The paired metadata for this study were drawn from the Dog Aging Project's Health and Life Experience Survey (HLES), which collects extensive information about dogs' activity, environment, behavior, and general characteristics. For this study, breed background data from HLES were particularly relevant, as they enabled the assignment of dogs to one of five size categories: Toy and Small, Medium, Standard, Large, and Giant [44].

To further refine the analysis, predicted adult heights for the dogs were calculated using a machine learning model based on DAP height survey data, with height values ranging from 0 to 4 (0: ankle high; 1: calf high; 2: knee high; 3: thigh high; 4: waist high). This model was trained using genetic information from thousands of dogs, as described previously [78]. The predicted heights were strongly correlated with the traditional breed size categories (**Supplemental Figure 1B**).

Using predicted heights as a proxy for breed size offers several advantages: (1) it reduces subjectivity in size classification by positioning dog breed size on a continuous scale, (2) it accounts for pre-adult dogs by consistently reflecting predicted adult size throughout the dog's lifespan, allowing for the inclusion of dogs that are not fully grown, and (3) it captures the inherent non-linearity of breed size categories, offering a more nuanced representation of size variation among dogs.

The University of Washington IRB deemed that recruitment of dog owners for the Dog Aging Project, and the administration and content of the DAP Health and Life Experience Survey (HLES), are human subjects research that qualifies for Category 2 exempt status (IRB ID no. 5988, effective 10/30/2018). All study-related procedures involving privately owned dogs were approved by the Texas A&M University IACUC, under AUP 2021-0316 CAM (effective 12/14/2021).

RRBS preparation, sequencing, and data preprocessing

DNA was then extracted for reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) using the Quick-DNA/RNA Magbead kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, California, USA) [115]. The Translational Genomics Research Institute (Phoenix, Arizona) sequenced the samples on the Illumina NovaSeq S4 platform in collaboration with the ASU DAP team members.

We trimmed reads with Trim Galore! and aligned the reads to the NCBI UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0 (CanFam4) reference genome [118]. To extract methylation and read count data, we used Bismark [119]. We removed samples with less than one million mapped reads and re-sequenced these samples if the sample amounts allowed.

We kept CpG sites with at least 5x coverage in at least 33% of the samples for downstream analysis [50]. CpG sites that were consistently methylated (>90% mean percent methylation) and consistently unmethylated (<10% mean percent methylation) in samples were also removed. We expect neighboring CpG sites to have similar DNA methylation

patterns, given their relative proximity in the genome (as shown by the literature), so we grouped these CpG sites into regions using BSseq [51,120]. In our framework, we required CpG sites to be closer than 250 base pairs to be grouped into the same region and the region to have at least 5x coverage in 33% of the samples (**Supplemental Figure 2A**). In total, we generated 47,393 regions for downstream analyses. These regions vary in length, but most have, on average, ~25 nucleotides between individual CpG sites (**Supplemental Figure 2B**). Regions that were almost always methylated (>90% percent methylation) or unmethylated (<10% mean percent methylation) across the samples were removed so that we could test the variably methylated regions (**Supplemental Figure 2C**). ~80% of all regions had 5x or more region coverage across 95% of all 864 samples (**Supplemental Figure 2D**). Moreover, ~80% of all samples had 5x or more coverage across 95% of all 47,393 regions (**Supplemental Figure 2E**).

Region annotation

We obtained gene information from the NIH CanFam4 gene annotation file. We defined promoters as regions 2kb upstream of transcriptional start sites, and when annotation was available, we identified introns. We downloaded the CpG islands and TEs locations from the CanFam4 UCSC genome browser [121]. We generated annotations for CpG shores and CpG shelves in R, where CpG shores are the 2kb that flank CpG Islands and CpG shelves flank CpG shores by 2kb [122]. We annotated regions overlapping chromatin state annotations (e.g. heterochromatin, quiescent) using Son et al.'s mapping of the dog epigenome [123].

Region-based differential methylation analysis

PQLSeq, a binomial mixed modeling framework, was used to model differential methylation as a function of age, while controlling for sex, predicted height, and genetic relatedness across 864 samples [51,124]. Functional class scoring used the R package fgsea with the provided curated gene sets [125,126].

Instruments and statistical methods

All bioinformatics analyses were conducted on the Sol Supercomputer at ASU [127] and with R (v4.2.2). We corrected for multiple hypothesis tests using Benjamini-Hochberg's False Discovery Rate (FDR). Estimates used linear models accounting for age, with code provided upon peer-reviewed publication.

Data sharing and availability

The Dog Aging Project is an open data project. These data are housed on the Terra platform at the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard. Interested researchers and the general public can apply for data access at dogagingproject.org/open_data_access.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dog Aging Project participants, their dogs, and community veterinarians for their essential contributions. This research is based on publicly available data collected by the Dog Aging Project, under U19 grant AG057377 (PI: Daniel Promislow) from the National Institute on Aging, a part of the National Institutes of Health, and by additional grants and private donations, including generous support from the Glenn Foundation for Medical Research, the Tiny Foundation Fund at Myriad Canada, the WoodNext Foundation, and the Dog Aging Institute. These data are housed on the Terra platform at the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard. BMM is supported by an NIH F99/K00 and a Graduate Research Fellowship from the National Science Foundation. DP received support from USDA cooperative agreement USDA/ARS 58-8050-9-004. The authors acknowledge Research Computing at Arizona State University for providing High Performance Computing and Storage resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this paper. The content is solely the authors' responsibility and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Dog Aging Project Consortium Authors (as of March 2022)

Joshua M. Akey[1], Brooke Benton[2], Elhanan Borenstein[3], Marta G. Castelhano[4], Amanda E. Coleman[5], Kate E. Creevy[6], Kyle Crowder[7], Matthew D. Dunbar[8], Virginia R. Fajt[9], Annette L. Fitzpatrick[10], Unity Jeffery[11], Erica C Jonlin[12], Matt Kaeberlein[13], Elinor K. Karlsson[14], Kathleen F. Kerr[15], Jonathan M. Levine[16], Jing Ma[17], Robyn L McClelland[18], Daniel E.L. Promislow[19], Audrey Ruple[20], Stephen M. Schwartz[21], Sandi Shrager[22], Noah Snyder-Mackler[23], Katherine Tolbert[24], Silvan R. Urfer[25], Benjamin S. Wilfond[26]

[1] Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
[2] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
[3] Department of Clinical Microbiology and Immunology, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
[4] Cornell Veterinary Biobank, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
[5] Department of Small Animal Medicine and Surgery, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA
[6] Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, College Station, TX, USA
[7] Department of Sociology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[8] Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[9] Department of Veterinary Physiology and Pharmacology, Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, College Station, TX, USA
[10] Department of Family Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[11] Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, College Station, TX, USA
[12] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
[13] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
[14] Bioinformatics and Integrative Biology, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA
[15] Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[16] Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, College Station, TX, USA
[17] Division of Public Health Sciences, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA
[18] Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[19] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
[20] Department of Population Health Sciences, Virginia-Maryland College of Veterinary Medicine, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA
[21] Epidemiology Program, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA
[22] Collaborative Health Studies Coordinating Center, Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[23] School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA
[24] Department of Small Animal Clinical Sciences, Texas A&M University College of Veterinary Medicine & Biomedical Sciences, College Station, TX, USA

[25] Department of Laboratory Medicine and Pathology, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

[26] Treuman Katz Center for Pediatric Bioethics, Seattle Children's Research Institute, Seattle, WA, USA

Supplementary figures

Supplemental Figure 1: Breed size and predicted height overview. A) In this study, the dog size (predicted height) of female dogs and the dog size of the male dogs (Student's t-test, p = 0.12). B) Dog size (predicted adult height) versus breed size class of dogs in the study.

Supplemental Figure 2: Sample and region overview. A) Number of CpGs over the length of the 47,393 regions used in this study. B) Region length / CpG sites for all regions used in this study. C) Distribution of mean percent methylation and region length of the regions across the 864 samples. D) Most regions had 5x or more coverage across 95% of samples (95% threshold shown in red). E) Most samples had 5x coverage of 95% of regions (95% threshold shown in red).

Supplemental Figure 3: Promoter set and transcription factor binding site enrichment. Functional class enrichment using fgsea functional class scoring (methods) of fgsea provided (A) cell type signature gene set and (B) curated gene set ranked by standardized effect of age [125]. C) Motif enrichment results among top 20 promoter regions hypomethylated and hypermethylated with age, all with FDR < 0.01 [128]. At the top of the heatmap, green indicates the regions hypermethylated with age, while tan indicates the regions hypomethylated with age. D, E) Ontology enrichment of proteins whose promoter targets are (D) hypermethylated and (E) hypomethylated with age.

References

1. Miller RA, Harper JM, Galecki A, Burke DT. Big mice die young: early life body weight predicts longevity in genetically heterogeneous mice. Aging Cell. 2002;1: 22–29.

2. Kraus C, Pavard S, Promislow DEL. The size-life span trade-off decomposed: why large dogs die young. Am Nat. 2013;181: 492–505.

3. Austad SN, Fischer KE. Sex Differences in Lifespan. Cell Metab. 2016;23: 1022–1033.

4. Anderson JA, Johnston RA, Lea AJ, Campos FA, Voyles TN, Akinyi MY, et al. High social status males experience accelerated epigenetic aging in wild baboons. Elife. 2021;10. doi:10.7554/eLife.66128

5. Snyder-Mackler N, Burger JR, Gaydosh L, Belsky DW, Noppert GA, Campos FA, et al. Social determinants of health and survival in humans and other animals. Science. 2020;368: eaax9553.

6. Kaeberlein M, Creevy KE, Promislow DEL. The dog aging project: translational geroscience in companion animals. Mamm Genome. 2016;27: 279–288.

7. Balaresque PL, Ballereau SJ, Jobling MA. Challenges in human genetic diversity: demographic history and adaptation. Hum Mol Genet. 2007;16 Spec No. 2: R134–9.

8. Wheeler SM, Bryant AS. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health and Health Care. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 2017;44: 1–11.

9. Kanasi E, Ayilavarapu S, Jones J. The aging population: demographics and the biology of aging. Periodontol 2000. 2016;72: 13–18.

10. Livshits G, Vainder M, Pavlovsky O, Kobyliansky E. Population biology of human aging: ethnic and climatic variation of bone age scores. Hum Biol. 1996;68: 293–314.

11. McClintock B. Controlling Elements and the Gene. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 1956;21: 197–216.

12. McClintock B. The origin and behavior of mutable loci in maize. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1950;36: 344–355.

13. McClintock B. Regulation of pattern of gene expression by controlling elements in maize. Regulation of pattern of gene expression by controlling elements in maize. 1965. Available: https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19681602343

14. Michaud EJ, van Vugt MJ, Bultman SJ, Sweet HO, Davisson MT, Woychik RP. Differential expression of a new dominant agouti allele (Aiapy) is correlated with methylation state and is influenced by parental lineage. Genes Dev. 1994;8: 1463–1472.

15. Wu M, Rinchik EM, Wilkinson E, Johnson DK. Inherited somatic mosaicism caused by an intracisternal A particle insertion in the mouse tyrosinase gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997;94: 890–894.

16. Kuster JE, Guarnieri MH, Ault JG, Flaherty L, Swiatek PJ. IAP insertion in the murine LamB3 gene results in junctional epidermolysis bullosa. Mamm Genome. 1997;8: 673–681.

17. Gwynn B, Lueders K, Sands MS, Birkenmeier EH. Intracisternal A-particle element transposition

into the murine beta-glucuronidase gene correlates with loss of enzyme activity: a new model for beta-glucuronidase deficiency in the C3H mouse. Mol Cell Biol. 1998;18: 6474–6481.

18. Ukai H, Ishii-Oba H, Ukai-Tadenuma M, Ogiu T, Tsuji H. Formation of an active form of the interleukin-2/15 receptor beta-chain by insertion of the intracisternal A particle in a radiation-induced mouse thymic lymphoma and its role in tumorigenesis. Mol Carcinog. 2003;37: 110–119.

19. Everson R, Pettitt L, Forman OP, Dower-Tylee O, McLaughlin B, Ahonen S, et al. An intronic LINE-1 insertion in MERTK is strongly associated with retinopathy in Swedish Vallhund dogs. PLoS One. 2017;12: e0183021.

20. O'Donnell KA, Burns KH. Mobilizing diversity: transposable element insertions in genetic variation and disease. Mob DNA. 2010;1: 21.

21. Van Meter M, Kashyap M, Rezazadeh S, Geneva AJ, Morello TD, Seluanov A, et al. SIRT6 represses LINE1 retrotransposons by ribosylating KAP1 but this repression fails with stress and age. Nat Commun. 2014;5: 5011.

22. Daskalos A, Nikolaidis G, Xinarianos G, Savvari P, Cassidy A, Zakopoulou R, et al. Hypomethylation of retrotransposable elements correlates with genomic instability in non-small cell lung cancer. International Journal of Cancer. 2009;124: 81–87.

23. Belgnaoui SM, Gosden RG, Semmes OJ, Haoudi A. Human LINE-1 retrotransposon induces DNA damage and apoptosis in cancer cells. Cancer Cell Int. 2006;6: 13.

24. Moore LD, Le T, Fan G. DNA Methylation and Its Basic Function. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2013;38: 23–38.

25. Zhou W, Liang G, Molloy PL, Jones PA. DNA methylation enables transposable element-driven genome expansion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117: 19359–19366.

26. Pal S, Tyler JK. Epigenetics and aging. Science Advances. 2016;2: e1600584.

27. Ziller MJ, Gu H, Müller F, Donaghey J, Tsai LT-Y, Kohlbacher O, et al. Charting a dynamic DNA methylation landscape of the human genome. Nature. 2013;500: 477–481.

28. Baccarelli A, Wright R, Bollati V, Litonjua A, Zanobetti A, Tarantini L, et al. Ischemic heart disease and stroke in relation to blood DNA methylation. Epidemiology. 2010;21: 819–828.

29. Lu AT, Fei Z, Haghani A, Robeck TR, Zoller JA, Li CZ, et al. Universal DNA methylation age across mammalian tissues. Nat Aging. 2023;3: 1144–1166.

30. Wolff EM, Byun H-M, Han HF, Sharma S, Nichols PW, Siegmund KD, et al. Hypomethylation of a LINE-1 Promoter Activates an Alternate Transcript of the MET Oncogene in Bladders with Cancer. PLoS Genet. 2010;6: e1000917.

31. Wilhelm CS, Kelsey KT, Butler R, Plaza S, Gagne L, Zens MS, et al. Implications of LINE1 methylation for bladder cancer risk in women. Clin Cancer Res. 2010;16: 1682–1689.

32. Morandini F, Lu JY, Rechsteiner C, Shadyab AH, Casanova R, Snively BM, et al. Transposable element methylation state predicts age and disease. bioRxiv. 2024. p. 2024.03.15.585206. doi:10.1101/2024.03.15.585206

33. Jansz N. DNA methylation dynamics at transposable elements in mammals. Essays Biochem.

2019;63: 677–689.

34. Baccarelli A, Tarantini L, Wright RO, Bollati V, Litonjua AA, Zanobetti A, et al. Repetitive element DNA methylation and circulating endothelial and inflammation markers in the VA normative aging study. Epigenetics. 2010;5: 222–228.

35. LaRocca TJ, Cavalier AN, Wahl D. Repetitive elements as a transcriptomic marker of aging: Evidence in multiple datasets and models. Aging Cell. 2020;19: e13167.

36. De Cecco M, Criscione SW, Peterson AL, Neretti N, Sedivy JM, Kreiling JA. Transposable elements become active and mobile in the genomes of aging mammalian somatic tissues. Aging . 2013;5: 867–883.

37. Wood JG, Jones BC, Jiang N, Chang C, Hosier S, Wickremesinghe P, et al. Chromatin-modifying genetic interventions suppress age-associated transposable element activation and extend life span in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113: 11277–11282.

38. Sturm Á, Saskői É, Hotzi B, Tarnóci A, Barna J, Bodnár F, et al. Downregulation of transposable elements extends lifespan in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Commun. 2023;14: 5278.

39. Simon M, Van Meter M, Ablaeva J, Ke Z, Gonzalez RS, Taguchi T, et al. LINE1 Derepression in Aged Wild-Type and SIRT6-Deficient Mice Drives Inflammation. Cell Metab. 2019;29: 871–885.e5.

40. Brochard T, McIntyre RL, Houtkooper RH, Seluanov A, Gorbunova V, Janssens GE. Repurposing nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) to slow aging. Ageing Res Rev. 2023;92: 102132.

41. De Cecco M, Ito T, Petrashen AP, Elias AE, Skvir NJ, Criscione SW, et al. L1 drives IFN in senescent cells and promotes age-associated inflammation. Nature. 2019;566: 73–78.

42. Schulz WA, Steinhoff C, Florl AR. Methylation of Endogenous Human Retroelements in Health and Disease. In: Doerfler W, Böhm P, editors. DNA Methylation: Development, Genetic Disease and Cancer. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2006. pp. 211–250.

43. Senapati P, Miyano M, Sayaman RW, Basam M, Leung A, LaBarge MA, et al. Loss of epigenetic suppression of retrotransposons with oncogenic potential in aging mammary luminal epithelial cells. Genome Res. 2023;33: 1229–1241.

44. Kraus C, Snyder-Mackler N, Promislow DEL. How size and genetic diversity shape lifespan across breeds of purebred dogs. Geroscience. 2023;45: 627–643.

45. Nam Y, White M, Karlsson EK, Creevy KE, Promislow DEL, McClelland RL, et al. Dog size and patterns of disease history across the canine age spectrum: Results from the Dog Aging Project. PLoS One. 2024;19: e0295840.

46. Gorbunova V, Seluanov A, Mita P, McKerrow W, Fenyö D, Boeke JD, et al. The role of retrotransposable elements in ageing and age-associated diseases. Nature. 2021;596: 43–53.

47. Ramini D, Latini S, Giuliani A, Matacchione G, Sabbatinelli J, Mensà E, et al. Replicative Senescence-Associated LINE1 Methylation and LINE1-Alu Expression Levels in Human Endothelial Cells. Cells. 2022;11. doi:10.3390/cells11233799

48. Lange NE, Sordillo J, Tarantini L, Bollati V, Sparrow D, Vokonas P, et al. Alu and LINE-1 methylation and lung function in the normative ageing study. BMJ Open. 2012;2.

49. Eckhardt F, Lewin J, Cortese R, Rakyan VK, Attwood J, Burger M, et al. DNA methylation profiling of human chromosomes 6, 20 and 22. Nat Genet. 2006;38: 1378–1385.

50. Simpson DJ, Zhao Q, Olova NN, Dabrowski J, Xie X, Latorre-Crespo E, et al. Region-based epigenetic clock design improves RRBS-based age prediction. Aging Cell. 2023;22: e13866.

51. Lea AJ, Tung J, Zhou X. A Flexible, Efficient Binomial Mixed Model for Identifying Differential DNA Methylation in Bisulfite Sequencing Data. PLoS Genet. 2015;11: e1005650.

52. Watowich MM, Chiou KL, Montague MJ, Cayo Biobank Research Unit, Simons ND, Horvath JE, et al. Natural disaster and immunological aging in a nonhuman primate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2022;119: e2121663119.

53. Jones MJ, Goodman SJ, Kobor MS. DNA methylation and healthy human aging. Aging Cell. 2015;14: 924–932.

54. Watowich MM, Costa CE, Chiou KL, Goldman EA, Petersen RM, Patterson S, et al. Immune gene regulation is associated with age and environmental adversity in a nonhuman primate. Mol Ecol. 2024; e17445.

55. Hisanaga T, Romani F, Wu S, Kowar T, Wu Y, Lintermann R, et al. The Polycomb repressive complex 2 deposits H3K27me3 and represses transposable elements in a broad range of eukaryotes. Curr Biol. 2023;33: 4367–4380.e9.

56. Moqri M, Cipriano A, Simpson DJ, Rasouli S, Murty T, de Jong TA, et al. PRC2-AgeIndex as a universal biomarker of aging and rejuvenation. Nat Commun. 2024;15: 5956.

57. Frapporti A, Miró Pina C, Arnaiz O, Holoch D, Kawaguchi T, Humbert A, et al. The Polycomb protein Ezl1 mediates H3K9 and H3K27 methylation to repress transposable elements in Paramecium. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 2710.

58. Mikkelsen TS, Ku M, Jaffe DB, Issac B, Lieberman E, Giannoukos G, et al. Genome-wide maps of chromatin state in pluripotent and lineage-committed cells. Nature. 2007;448: 553–560.

59. Martens JHA, Brinkman AB, Simmer F, Francoijs K-J, Nebbioso A, Ferrara F, et al. PML-RARalpha/RXR alters the epigenetic landscape in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Cancer Cell. 2010;17: 173–185.

60. Hatada I, Fukasawa M, Kimura M, Morita S, Yamada K, Yoshikawa T, et al. Genome-wide profiling of promoter methylation in human. Oncogene. 2006;25: 3059–3064.

61. Acevedo LG, Bieda M, Green R, Farnham PJ. Analysis of the mechanisms mediating tumor-specific changes in gene expression in human liver tumors. Cancer Res. 2008;68: 2641–2651.

62. Ameri K, Harris AL. Activating transcription factor 4. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2008;40: 14–21.

63. Li W, Li X, Miller RA. ATF4 activity: a common feature shared by many kinds of slow-aging mice. Aging Cell. 2014;13: 1012–1018.

64. Li W, Miller RA. Elevated ATF4 Function in Fibroblasts and Liver of Slow-Aging Mutant Mice. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2015;70: 263–272.

65. McCormick MA, Delaney JR, Tsuchiya M, Tsuchiyama S, Shemorry A, Sim S, et al. A Comprehensive Analysis of Replicative Lifespan in 4,698 Single-Gene Deletion Strains Uncovers Conserved Mechanisms of Aging. Cell Metab. 2015;22: 895–906.

66. Shen Z-J, Postnikoff S, Tyler JK. Is Gcn4-induced autophagy the ultimate downstream mechanism by which hormesis extends yeast replicative lifespan? Curr Genet. 2019;65: 717–720.

67. Robbins CE, Patel B, Sawyer DL, Wilkinson B, Kennedy BK, McCormick MA. Cytosolic and mitochondrial tRNA synthetase inhibitors increase lifespan in a GCN4/atf-4-dependent manner. iScience. 2022;25: 105410.

68. Muñoz-López M, García-Pérez JL. DNA transposons: nature and applications in genomics. Curr Genomics. 2010;11: 115–128.

69. Wichman HA, Van den Bussche RA, Hamilton MJ, Baker RJ. Transposable elements and the evolution of genome organization in mammals. Genetica. 1992;86: 287–293.

70. Burns KH. Transposable elements in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. 2017;17: 415–424.

71. Modiano JF, Breen M, Burnett RC, Parker HG, Inusah S, Thomas R, et al. Distinct B-cell and T-cell lymphoproliferative disease prevalence among dog breeds indicates heritable risk. Cancer Res. 2005;65: 5654–5661.

72. Comazzi S, Marelli S, Cozzi M, Rizzi R, Finotello R, Henriques J, et al. Breed-associated risks for developing canine lymphoma differ among countries: an European canine lymphoma network study. BMC Vet Res. 2018;14: 232.

73. Evans JM, Parker HG, Rutteman GR, Plassais J, Grinwis GCM, Harris AC, et al. Multi-omics approach identifies germline regulatory variants associated with hematopoietic malignancies in retriever dog breeds. PLoS Genet. 2021;17: e1009543.

74. Dobson JM. Breed-predispositions to cancer in pedigree dogs. ISRN Vet Sci. 2013;2013: 941275.

75. Tonomura N, Elvers I, Thomas R, Megquier K, Turner-Maier J, Howald C, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies shared risk loci common to two malignancies in golden retrievers. PLoS Genet. 2015;11: e1004922.

76. Boyle AL, Ballard SG, Ward DC. Differential distribution of long and short interspersed element sequences in the mouse genome: chromosome karyotyping by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87: 7757–7761.

77. Ross MT, Grafham DV, Coffey AJ, Scherer S, McLay K, Muzny D, et al. The DNA sequence of the human X chromosome. Nature. 2005;434: 325–337.

78. Morrill K, Hekman J, Li X, McClure J, Logan B, Goodman L, et al. Ancestry-inclusive dog genomics challenges popular breed stereotypes. Science. 2022;376: eabk0639.

79. Zhu H, Wang G, Qian J. Transcription factors as readers and effectors of DNA methylation. Nat Rev Genet. 2016;17: 551–565.

80. Hu S, Wan J, Su Y, Song Q, Zeng Y, Nguyen HN, et al. DNA methylation presents distinct binding sites for human transcription factors. Elife. 2013;2: e00726.

81. Yin Y, Morgunova E, Jolma A, Kaasinen E, Sahu B, Khund-Sayeed S, et al. Impact of cytosine methylation on DNA binding specificities of human transcription factors. Science. 2017;356.

doi:10.1126/science.aaj2239

82. Corne A, Adolphe F, Estaquier J, Gaumer S, Corsi J-M. ATF4 signaling in HIV-1 infection: Viral subversion of a stress response transcription factor. Biology (Basel). 2024;13: 146.

83. Vasudevan D, Clark NK, Sam J, Cotham VC, Ueberheide B, Marr MT 2nd, et al. The GCN2-ATF4 signaling pathway induces 4E-BP to bias translation and boost antimicrobial peptide synthesis in response to bacterial infection. Cell Rep. 2017;21: 2039–2047.

84. Yang X, Xia R, Yue C, Zhai W, Du W, Yang Q, et al. ATF4 regulates CD4+ T cell immune responses through metabolic reprogramming. Cell Rep. 2018;23: 1754–1766.

85. Andrenacci D, Cavaliere V, Lattanzi G. The role of transposable elements activity in aging and their possible involvement in laminopathic diseases. Ageing Res Rev. 2020;57: 100995.

86. Mumford PW. Line-1 Retrotransposition and the Impact on Aging Rodent Skeletal Muscle Tissue. Roberts M, Brown M, Kavazis A, Young K, editors. Auburn University. 2019. Available: http://login.ezproxy1.lib.asu.edu/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/line-1-retrotransposition-impact-on-aging-rodent/docview/2779646405/se-2

87. Lund J, Tedesco P, Duke K, Wang J, Kim SK, Johnson TE. Transcriptional profile of aging in C. elegans. Curr Biol. 2002;12: 1566–1573.

88. Cervantes-Ayalc A, Ruiz Esparza-Garrido R, Velázquez-Flores MÁ. Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements 1 (LINE1): The chimeric transcript L1-MET and its involvement in cancer. Cancer Genet. 2020;241: 1–11.

89. Rebhun RB, York D, De Graaf FMD, Yoon P, Batcher KL, Luker ME, et al. A variant in the 5'UTR of ERBB4 is associated with lifespan in Golden Retrievers. GeroScience. 2024;46: 2849–2862.

90. Bailey JA, Carrel L, Chakravarti A, Eichler EE. Molecular evidence for a relationship between LINE-1 elements and X chromosome inactivation: the Lyon repeat hypothesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000;97: 6634–6639.

91. Chow JC, Ciaudo C, Fazzari MJ, Mise N, Servant N, Glass JL, et al. LINE-1 activity in facultative heterochromatin formation during X chromosome inactivation. Cell. 2016;166: 782.

92. Cotton AM, Price EM, Jones MJ, Balaton BP, Kobor MS, Brown CJ. Landscape of DNA methylation on the X chromosome reflects CpG density, functional chromatin state and X-chromosome inactivation. Hum Mol Genet. 2015;24: 1528–1539.

93. Nguyen AH, Wang W, Chong E, Chatla K, Bachtrog D. Transposable element accumulation drives size differences among polymorphic Y Chromosomes in Drosophila. Genome Res. 2022;32: 1074–1088.

94. Nguyen AH, Bachtrog D. Toxic Y chromosome: Increased repeat expression and age-associated heterochromatin loss in male Drosophila with a young Y chromosome. PLoS Genet. 2021;17: e1009438.

95. Teoli J, Merenciano M, Fablet M, Necsulea A, Siqueira-de-Oliveira D, Brandulas-Cammarata A, et al. Transposable element expression with variation in sex chromosome number: insights into a toxic Y effect on human longevity. bioRxiv. 2023. doi:10.1101/2023.08.03.550779

96. Davis EJ, Lobach I, Dubal DB. Female XX sex chromosomes increase survival and extend lifespan in aging mice. Aging Cell. 2019;18: e12871.

97. Stochholm K, Juul S, Gravholt CH. Diagnosis and mortality in 47,XYY persons: a registry study. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2010;5: 15.

98. Stochholm K, Juul S, Gravholt CH. Mortality and incidence in women with 47,XXX and variants. Am J Med Genet A. 2010;152A: 367–372.

99. Sutter NB, Bustamante CD, Chase K, Gray MM, Zhao K, Zhu L, et al. A single IGF1 allele is a major determinant of small size in dogs. Science. 2007;316: 112–115.

100. Folgueras AR, Freitas-Rodríguez S, Velasco G, López-Otín C. Mouse Models to Disentangle the Hallmarks of Human Aging. Circ Res. 2018;123: 905–924.

101. Brown-Borg HM, Borg KE, Meliska CJ, Bartke A. Dwarf mice and the ageing process. Nature. 1996;384: 33.

102. Guevara-Aguirre J, Balasubramanian P, Guevara-Aguirre M, Wei M, Madia F, Cheng C-W, et al. Growth hormone receptor deficiency is associated with a major reduction in pro-aging signaling, cancer, and diabetes in humans. Sci Transl Med. 2011;3: 70ra13.

103. Friedman DB, Johnson TE. A mutation in the age-1 gene in Caenorhabditis elegans lengthens life and reduces hermaphrodite fertility. Genetics. 1988;118: 75–86.

104. Kenyon C, Chang J, Gensch E, Rudner A, Tabtiang R. A C. elegans mutant that lives twice as long as wild type. Nature. 1993;366: 461–464.

105. Blüher M, Kahn BB, Kahn CR. Extended longevity in mice lacking the insulin receptor in adipose tissue. Science. 2003;299: 572–574.

106. Giannakou ME, Partridge L. Role of insulin-like signalling in Drosophila lifespan. Trends Biochem Sci. 2007;32: 180–188.

107. Tatar M, Kopelman A, Epstein D, Tu MP, Yin CM, Garofalo RS. A mutant Drosophila insulin receptor homolog that extends life-span and impairs neuroendocrine function. Science. 2001;292: 107–110.

108. McLean S, Lee M, Liu W, Hameed R, Gujjala VA, Zhou X, et al. Molecular mechanisms of genotype-dependent lifespan variation mediated by caloric restriction: insight from wild yeast isolates. Front Aging. 2024;5: 1408160.

109. Rimbault M, Beale HC, Schoenebeck JJ, Hoopes BC, Allen JJ, Kilroy-Glynn P, et al. Derived variants at six genes explain nearly half of size reduction in dog breeds. Genome Res. 2013;23: 1985–1995.

110. Eigenmann JE, Patterson DF, Zapf J, Froesch ER. Insulin-like growth factor I in the dog: a study in different dog breeds and in dogs with growth hormone elevation. Eur J Endocrinol. 1984;105: 294–301.

111. Casale AM, Liguori F, Ansaloni F, Cappucci U, Finaurini S, Spirito G, et al. Transposable element activation promotes neurodegeneration in a Drosophila model of Huntington's disease. iScience. 2022;25: 103702.

112. Hoffman JM, Creevy KE, Promislow DEL. Reproductive capability is associated with lifespan and cause of death in companion dogs. PLoS One. 2013;8: e61082.

113. McCoy BM, Brassington L, Jin K, Dolby GA, Shrager S, Collins D, et al. Social determinants of

health and disease in companion dogs: a cohort study from the Dog Aging Project. Evol Med Public Health. 2023;11: 187–201.

114. Martin GM. Interactions of aging and environmental agents: the gerontological perspective. Prog Clin Biol Res. 1987;228: 25–80.

115. Snyder-Mackler NN. Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing (RRBS) with NEB Reagents. 2023 [cited 30 Jul 2024]. Available: https://www.protocols.io/view/reduced-representation-bisulfite-sequencing-rrbs-w-cfdati2e.pdf

116. Lowe R, Barton C, Jenkins CA, Ernst C, Forman O, Fernandez-Twinn DS, et al. Ageing-associated DNA methylation dynamics are a molecular readout of lifespan variation among mammalian species. Genome Biol. 2018;19: 22.

117. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013;14: R115.

118. Krueger F. Trim Galore!: A wrapper around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently apply adapter and quality trimming to FastQ files, with extra functionality for RRBS data. Babraham Institute. 2015. Available: https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1370294643762929691

119. Krueger F, Andrews SR. Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for Bisulfite-Seq applications. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 1571–1572.

120. Hansen KD, Langmead B, Irizarry RA. BSmooth: from whole genome bisulfite sequencing reads to differentially methylated regions. Genome Biol. 2012;13: R83.

121. Nassar LR, Barber GP, Benet-Pagès A, Casper J, Clawson H, Diekhans M, et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2023 update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023;51: D1188–D1195.

122. Doi A, Park I-H, Wen B, Murakami P, Aryee MJ, Irizarry R, et al. Differential methylation of tissue-and cancer-specific CpG island shores distinguishes human induced pluripotent stem cells, embryonic stem cells and fibroblasts. Nat Genet. 2009;41: 1350–1353.

123. Son KH, Aldonza MBD, Nam A-R, Lee K-H, Lee J-W, Shin K-J, et al. Integrative mapping of the dog epigenome: Reference annotation for comparative intertissue and cross-species studies. Science Advances. 9: eade3399.

124. Sun S, Zhu J, Mozaffari S, Ober C, Chen M, Zhou X. Heritability estimation and differential analysis of count data with generalized linear mixed models in genomic sequencing studies. Bioinformatics. 2019;35: 487–496.

125. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2005;102: 15545–15550.

126. Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson K-F, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, et al. PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. Nat Genet. 2003;34: 267–273.

127. Jennewein DM, Lee J, Kurtz C, Dizon W, Shaeffer I, Chapman A, et al. The Sol Supercomputer at Arizona State University. Practice and Experience in Advanced Research Computing. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2023. pp. 296–301.

128. Machlab D, Burger L, Soneson C, Stadler M. monaLisa-MOtif investigation with Lisa.

bakanal.com. Available: https://bakanal.com/what-is-a-transcription-factor-motif-analysis-homer